

ON THE SPECTRAL GAP OF RANDOM QUANTUM CHANNELS

CARLOS E. GONZÁLEZ-GUILLÉN, MARIUS JUNGE, AND ION NECHITA

ABSTRACT. In this work, we prove a lower bound on the difference between the first and second singular values of quantum channels induced by random isometries, that is tight in the scaling of the number of Kraus operators. This allows us to give an upper bound on the difference between the first and second largest (in modulus) eigenvalues of random channels with same large input and output dimensions for finite number of Kraus operators $k \geq 169$. Moreover, we show that these random quantum channels are quantum expanders, answering a question posed in [Has07c]. As an application, we show that ground states of infinite 1D spin chains, which are well-approximated by matrix product states, fulfill a principle of maximum entropy.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Random quantum channels	2
3. Integration over the unitary group. The Weingarten function.	4
4. Lower bound on the norm	5
5. Upper bound on the norm of the restriction	8
6. Spectral gap of a random quantum channel	12
7. Random channels are quantum expanders	14
8. Principle of maximum entropy for translationally-invariant matrix product states	16
References	18

1. INTRODUCTION

Quantum channels are the most general linear transformations quantum systems can undergo. As such, the study of their properties is of central importance in Quantum Information Theory [Wat18], much as Markov chains are central objects in probability theory and Shannon theory.

During the last 15 years, there has been an increasing interest in the study of *generic quantum channels*, that is random quantum channels having natural probability distributions. Such an approach, of paramount importance in classical information theory (where, e.g., random channel coding plays a central role) has been pursued in the field of quantum information, in parallel with the study of other generic objects, such as density matrices [CN16].

Several models of random quantum channels have been considered in the literature. Channels where the Kraus operators are taken to be random unitary matrices were first considered in [HLSW04] where it was shown (and later improve in [Aub09]) that they are ε -randomizing maps. The same channels were considered in [HLS05] as part of a data hiding scheme, in [HHL04] for superdense coding, and [DFHL13] to study locking classical information. All this application have in common that the number of Kraus operators is increasing with the dimension of the system. However, in the case when the number of Kraus operators is kept fixed, Hastings showed that

Date: November 22, 2018.

Key words and phrases. random quantum channel, quantum expander, spectral gap, matrix product state, principle of maximum entropy.

random quantum channels are quantum expanders [Has07c], providing the quantum counterpart of Friedman’s classical result that random regular graphs are (nearly) Ramanujan expanders [Fri08]. Later, Hastings used the same model of random channels to give the first counterexamples of the additivity of the minimum output entropy [Has09].

Another model of random quantum channels stems from the Stinespring dilation theorem. Indeed, choosing a Haar-distributed random isometry induces a probability distribution on the set of quantum channels. The main difference from this model and the previous one is that in the random isometry case, channels are no longer unital (with probability one). Such models were first considered in [HW08] to tackle additivity related questions, and have been shown to also provide additivity counterexamples in [FK10].

In this work, we study the singular value and spectral gap of random quantum channels induced by random isometries which have a fixed number of Kraus operators, but very large input and output dimensions. Besides the fundamental importance of answering such questions, we motivate our work with two applications. First, we show that random quantum channels are quantum expanders, i.e. they have a lower bounded spectral (eigenvalue) gap for fixed number of Kraus operators. Our results are the counterpart of Hastings’ first example of such random channels [Has07c], in the framework of random mixed unitary channels. Our bounds on the gap match (up to constants) Hastings’ bounds, as well as bounds obtained by Pisier in [Pis14]. To be more precise, we show that for the number of Kraus operators $k \geq 169$ and input and output dimensions equal and large, random quantum channels Φ_n are generalized quantum expanders, where the invariant state does not need to be maximally mixed, but an state close to it, having a large von Neumann entropy. As a second application of our results, we prove that reduced density matrices of infinite translationally-invariant matrix product states satisfy a maximum entropy principle.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce in detail the model of random quantum channels we consider and the precise asymptotical regime in which we study them. Section 3 contains a brief review of Weingarten calculus needed for random matrix computations. Sections 4 and 5 are the main technical core of the paper, containing lower and, respectively, upper bounds on the singular values of the sequence of super-operators corresponding to the random quantum channels under consideration; these results are put together in Section 6 to establish the singular value and spectral gap of random quantum channels. In Section 7 we establish that random quantum channels are quantum expanders, after proving that the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector has large entropy. Finally, in Section 8 we discuss our second application, a maximum entropy principle for infinite translationally-invariant matrix product states.

2. RANDOM QUANTUM CHANNELS

In this section we introduce the model of random quantum channels we shall study in the paper. Introduced in [HW08] in order to tackle the additivity problem for the minimum output entropy, the model of quantum channels we consider has received a lot of attention in the recent years, mainly due to its generality and simplicity. Indeed, while other models of randomness (e.g. random mixed unitary channels, see [HLSW04] or [Has07c]) have also been considered, the model we describe below is the most natural from a probabilistic perspective, since it does not impose any constraints on the linear map, except complete positivity and trace preservation.

Let us fix a triple of integers (d, n, k) satisfying $d \leq nk$ and consider an isometry $V : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$; the isometric property reads $V^*V = I_d$. We shall choose the isometry V at random, from the *Haar measure*. Indeed, there is a unique probability measure on the set of isometries $\mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{nk}$ which is invariant under left and right multiplication by arbitrary unitary operators of appropriate size. In practice, one can sample such a random Haar isometry by truncating $nk - d$ columns off a random Haar unitary matrix U of size nk .

A random isometry as above induces a *random quantum channel*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &: M_d(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C}) \\ X &\mapsto [\text{id}_n \otimes \text{Tr}_k](VXV^*).\end{aligned}$$

To a (random) quantum channel Φ as above, we associate three important objects (see [Wat18, Section 2.2] for the theory on the subject):

- its *Kraus decomposition* $\Phi(X) = \sum_{i=1}^k A_i X A_i^*$. The matrices A_i are actually the $n \times d$ blocks of the isometry V :

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^k A_i \otimes e_i,$$

for some fixed orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^k$ of \mathbb{C}^k . These are usually refer as Kraus operators of the channel Φ .

- its *Choi matrix* $C_\Phi \in M_{nd}(\mathbb{C})$, defined as the action of the channel Φ on half of a maximally entangled state:

$$C_\Phi := [\Phi \otimes \text{id}](\omega_d).$$

Above, $\omega_d := \Omega_d \Omega_d^*$ is the rank-one projection on the maximally entangled state

$$\mathbb{C}^d \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \ni \Omega_d := \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=1}^d e_i \otimes e_i \quad (1)$$

for some fixed basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^d$ of \mathbb{C}^d .

- its *super-operator* $F \in M_{n^2 \times d^2}(\mathbb{C})$, which is the matrix of Φ , seen as a linear operator $\Phi : \mathbb{C}^{d^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n^2}$. It's an easy exercise to show that

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^k A_i \otimes \overline{A_i}. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, F is the *realignment* of the Choi matrix C_Φ , see [BZ06, Section 10.2].

The Choi matrix and the super-operator are depicted in Figure 1 in the Penrose tensor notation. We recall that in this notation, tensors are depicted by boxes, and tensor contractions, such as traces or matrix multiplications, are depicted by wires connecting different decorations attached to the boxes. The shape of the decorations indicate to which vector space they correspond, different shapes corresponding to vector spaces of different dimensions.

In what follows we shall study sequences of random quantum channels of increasing size, in the following *asymptotic regime*. We shall assume that the parameter k (the size of the environment, or the number of Kraus operators) is a fixed positive integer, and we shall consider a sequence of integers d_n which will behave like $d_n \sim \lambda n$, for another fixed real constant $\lambda \in (0, k)$. We shall then define a sequence of random quantum channels $\Phi_n : M_{d_n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ as above, starting from Haar distributed random isometries $V_n : \mathbb{C}^{d_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$. We summarize our hypotheses below:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} k \geq 1 \text{ fixed integer} \\ n \rightarrow \infty \\ \lambda \in (0, k) \text{ fixed real} \\ d_n \rightarrow \infty, d_n \sim \lambda n \\ V_n : \mathbb{C}^{d_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^k \text{ Haar-distributed random isometry} \\ \Phi_n : M_{d_n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C}) \text{ random quantum channel induced by } V_n. \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$




FIGURE 1. A graphical representation of the output $\Phi(X)$ of a quantum channel given by an isometry V . In the bottom panel, the Choi matrix (left) and the super-operator (right) associated to Φ . Round shaped decorations correspond to \mathbb{C}^d , square shaped decorations correspond to \mathbb{C}^n , and diamond shaped decorations correspond to \mathbb{C}^k .

3. INTEGRATION OVER THE UNITARY GROUP. THE WEINGARTEN FUNCTION.

Here we describe the main ingredients of the unitary Weingarten function and calculus that we are going to use to compute averages over the unitary group; for a complete description of this function we refer to [Col03, CS06].

Let us start with some notation needed in the combinatorial study of permutations. For a permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_p$, we denote by $|\sigma|$ its *length*, that is the minimum number k such that σ can be written as a product of k transpositions. We shall write $\#\sigma$ for the *number of cycles* of σ (including the trivial fixed points). These quantities are related by the formula $|\sigma| + \#\sigma = p$.

Definition 3.1. *The unitary Weingarten function $\text{Wg}(n, \sigma)$ is a function taking as inputs a dimension parameter n and a permutation σ in the symmetric group \mathcal{S}_p . It is the pseudo inverse of the function $\sigma \mapsto n^{\#\sigma}$ under the convolution for the symmetric group.*

The interest of the Weingarten function lies in the following theorem from [Col03], which states that the average of a monomial over the unitary group can be computed in terms of sums of Weingarten functions. We shall use the notation $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let n be a positive integer and $i = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$, $i' = (i'_1, \dots, i'_p)$, $j = (j_1, \dots, j_p)$ and $j' = (j'_1, \dots, j'_p)$ be p -tuples of positive integers from $[n]$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}_n} U_{i_1 j_1} \cdots U_{i_p j_p} \bar{U}_{i'_1 j'_1} \cdots \bar{U}_{i'_p j'_p} dU = \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_p} \delta_{i_1 i'_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots \delta_{i_p i'_{\sigma(p)}} \delta_{j_1 j'_{\tau(1)}} \cdots \delta_{j_p j'_{\tau(p)}} \text{Wg}(n, \sigma^{-1} \tau). \quad (4)$$

In [CN10] the authors introduce a graphical paradigm in order to simplify the use of the above formula in practice. Suppose that one wants to compute the expected value of a polynomial quantity defined in terms of a Haar-distributed random unitary matrix $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$. Assume also that this quantity is given as a diagram \mathcal{D} in the Penrose tensor notation. Then,

$$\mathbb{E}_U \mathcal{D} = \sum_{\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_p} C_{\sigma, \tau} \text{Wg}(n, \sigma^{-1} \tau), \quad (5)$$

where the diagrams $C_{\sigma, \tau}$ can be computed by the procedure depicted in Figure 2. One has to enumerate the matrices U and \bar{U} appearing in \mathcal{D} from 1 to p ; if the numbers of U and \bar{U} boxes in \mathcal{D} are not identical, then the result is zero. For any pair of permutations $\sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{S}_p$, we construct

the new diagram $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma,\tau}$ as follows: we delete the U and \bar{U} boxes and we connect the inputs of U_i with the inputs of $\bar{U}_{\sigma(i)}$, and analogously, we connect the outputs using the τ permutation. In the resulting diagram $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma,\tau}$ loops represent traces over the identity on some Hilbert space, and should be replaced by the appropriate dimension.




FIGURE 2. A graphical interpretation of the Weingarten formula: in a diagrammatic notation, the boxes U and \bar{U} are deleted, and extra wires are used to connect the inputs (blue) according to σ and the outputs (red) according to τ .

The following result from [Col03] gives an asymptotic bound of the Weingarten function when the size n of the unitary matrices is growing, while the order p of the monomial integrand is fixed.

Lemma 3.3. *If $p \geq 1$ is a fixed integer and $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_p$ is any permutation, then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\text{Wg}(n, \sigma) = n^{-p-|\sigma|} \text{Möb}(\sigma) (1 + O(n^{-2})), \quad (6)$$

where $\text{Möb}(\sigma)$ is a function which is multiplicative on the cycles of σ ; its value for a full p -cycle is

$$\text{Möb}((1, 2, \dots, p)) = (-1)^{p-1} \text{Cat}_{p-1},$$

where Cat_p is the p -th Catalan number

$$\text{Cat}_p = \frac{1}{p+1} \binom{2p}{p}.$$

4. LOWER BOUND ON THE NORM

As described in the introduction, our strategy for showing that random quantum channels have a large spectral gap consists of two steps. In this section we accomplish the first step, providing a lower bound on the largest singular value (i.e. the operator norm) of the super-operator F .

Proposition 4.1. *Consider a sequence of random quantum channels $\Phi_n : M_{d_n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ as in (3) and let F_n be the corresponding super-operators (2) associated with the channel Φ_n . Define the overlap*

$$\mathbb{R} \ni f_n := \Omega_{d_n}^* F_n^* F_n \Omega_{d_n} = \text{Tr}[\omega_{d_n} \cdot F_n^* F_n],$$

where Ω_{d_n} is the maximally entangled vector (1) on the input space \mathbb{C}^{d_n} and ω_{d_n} is the corresponding quantum state. Then, for all integers $p \geq 1$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} f_n^p = \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\lambda}{k^2} \right)^p. \quad (7)$$




FIGURE 3. Graphical representation of the random (scalar) overlap f_n . The round decorations correspond to the Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^{d_n} , the square decorations correspond to \mathbb{C}^n , and the diamond decorations correspond to \mathbb{C}^k . The normalization factor d_n^{-1} comes from the vectors Ω_{d_n} .

Proof. The proof is a straightforward application of the Weingarten graphical calculus. We shall compute the moments of the random variable f_n . For a moment of order p , we need to evaluate the expectation value of a diagram consisting of p disjoint copies of the diagram in Figure 3. Such a diagram contains $2p$ copies of V_n (or V_n^T) boxes, and $2p$ copies of \bar{V}_n (or V_n^*) boxes, so the sum in the Weingarten formula (4) is indexed by two permutations of $2p$ elements:

$$\mathbb{E}f_n^p = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_{2p}} C_{\alpha, \beta} \text{Wg}(nk, \alpha^{-1}\beta),$$

where the $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ coefficient is the diagram obtained by erasing V_n boxes from p copies of Figure 3 (right panel), connecting the black decorations of the i -th V_n box with the corresponding black decorations of the $\alpha(i)$ -th \bar{V}_n box, and connecting the white decorations of the i -th V_n box with the corresponding white decorations of the $\beta(i)$ -th \bar{V}_n box. We shall denote the two V_n boxes in the i -th copy of the diagram from the right panel of Figure 3 by i^T, i^B (for, respectively, the box on the top row and the box on the bottom row). We also introduce the permutation

$$\mathcal{S}_{2p} \ni \delta := \prod_{i=1}^p (i^T, i^B),$$

permuting the top with the bottom row in the diagram.

The resulting diagram $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ is, up to the pre-factor d_n^{-p} , a collection of loops, as follows:

- $\#\alpha$ loops of dimension n , corresponding to square-shaped decorations. The initial wiring is given by the identity permutation and the additional wiring is given by α .
- $\#(\delta^{-1}\alpha)$ loops of dimension k , corresponding to diamond-shaped decorations. The initial wiring is given by the permutation δ and the additional wiring is given by α .
- $\#(\delta^{-1}\beta)$ loops of dimension d_n , corresponding to round-shaped decorations. The initial wiring is given by the permutation δ and the additional wiring is given by β .

Putting everything together, we get

$$\mathbb{E}f_n^p = d_n^{-p} \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_{2p}} n^{\#\alpha} k^{\#(\delta^{-1}\alpha)} d_n^{\#(\delta^{-1}\beta)} \text{Wg}(nk, \alpha^{-1}\beta).$$

Using the asymptotic formula (6) for the Weingarten function from Lemma 3.3 and the scaling $d_n \sim \lambda n$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}f_n^p = (1 + o(1)) \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{S}_{2p}} n^{p - (|\alpha| + |\alpha^{-1}\beta| + |\beta^{-1}\delta|)} k^{-|\alpha^{-1}\beta| - |\delta^{-1}\alpha|} \lambda^{p - |\delta^{-1}\beta|} \text{Möb}(\alpha^{-1}\beta).$$

Now, we study the asymptotics of this expression as a function of n when $n \rightarrow \infty$ (the other parameters being fixed, see (3)):

$$\text{exponent of } n = p - (|\alpha| + |\alpha^{-1}\beta| + |\beta^{-1}\delta|) \leq p - |\delta| = 0, \quad (8)$$

where we have used the triangle inequality

$$|\alpha| + |\alpha^{-1}\beta| + |\beta^{-1}\delta| \geq |\delta| = p.$$

This inequality is saturated when $\text{id} \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \delta$ is a geodesic in \mathcal{S}_{2p} . Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} f_n^p = \sum_{\text{id} \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \beta \rightarrow \delta} k^{-|\delta^{-1}\alpha| - |\alpha^{-1}\beta|} \lambda^{p - |\delta^{-1}\beta|} \text{Möb}(\alpha^{-1}\beta). \quad (9)$$

Now, δ is a product of p disjoint transpositions $\delta = \prod_{i=1}^p \tau_i$, where $\tau_i = (i^T, i^B)$. Permutations α and β lie on the geodesic $\text{id} \rightarrow \delta$ if and only if there exist two subsets $\emptyset \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq [p]$ such that $\alpha = \prod_{i \in A} \tau_i$ and $\beta = \prod_{i \in B} \tau_i$. Since $\alpha^{-1}\beta$ is a product of $|B \setminus A|$ transpositions of disjoint support, then $\text{Möb}(\alpha^{-1}\beta) = (-1)^{|B \setminus A|}$. Taking this into account we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} f_n^p &= \sum_{\emptyset \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq [p]} k^{-p + |A| - |B \setminus A|} \lambda^{|B \setminus A| + |A|} (-1)^{|B \setminus A|} \\ &= k^{-p} \sum_{\emptyset \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq [p]} (k\lambda)^{|A|} \left(\frac{-\lambda}{k} \right)^{|B \setminus A|} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Using the multinomial identity

$$\sum_{\emptyset \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq [p]} x^{|A|} y^{|B \setminus A|} = (1 + x + y)^p,$$

we obtain the desired result

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} f_n^p = \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\lambda}{k^2} \right)^p.$$

□

Theorem 4.2. *Consider a sequence of random quantum channels $\Phi_n : M_{d_n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ given by*

$$\Phi_n(X) = [\text{id}_n \otimes \text{Tr}_k](V_n X V_n^*) = \sum_{i=1}^k A_i X A_i^*,$$

where k is a fixed constant, $d_n \sim \lambda n$ for another constant $\lambda \in (0, k)$, and $V_n : \mathbb{C}^{d_n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \mathbb{C}^k$ is a Haar-distributed random isometry. Then, if F_n is the super-operator associated with the channel Φ_n , we have that, $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left[\|F_n\|_\infty \geq \sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\lambda}{k^2}} - \varepsilon \right] = 1.$$

If, moreover, $d_n = (1 + O(n^{-2}))\lambda n$, the above probability is lower bounded, at fixed n , by $1 - \varepsilon^{-2}O(n^{-2})$, and thus, almost surely

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F_n\|_\infty \geq \sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\lambda}{k^2}}. \quad (11)$$

Proof. Recall from Proposition 4.1 that the quantity $f_n = \Omega_{d_n}^* F_n^* F_n \Omega_{d_n} = \|F_n \Omega_{d_n}\|^2$ converges in probability to $\lambda + \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\lambda}{k^2}$; since Ω_{d_n} is a unit vector, $\sqrt{f_n}$ is a lower bound for the operator norm of F_n , thus proving the main claim. The quantitative bound at fixed n follows from Chebyshev's inequality and the observation that all the approximations in the proof of Proposition 4.1 are of order $1 + O(n^{-2})$. Indeed, note that if the geodesic inequalities are not saturated, there is a jump of one order in the exponent of n : this follows that the parity of the map $\sigma \mapsto |\alpha^{-1}\sigma| + |\sigma^{-1}\beta|$ is constant, for any permutations α, β . Moreover, note that a similar jump in the asymptotic behavior of the Weingarten function follows from (6). Finally, the almost sure convergence follows from the Borel-Cantelli lemma [Kal02, Theorem 3.18]. □

Remark 4.3. *If, instead of analyzing $\langle \Omega_{d_n}, F_n^* F_n \Omega_{d_n} \rangle$ in the proof above, one looks at $\langle \Omega_n, F_n F_n^* \Omega_n \rangle$, using the isometry property $V_n^* V_n = I_d$ (which is equivalent to the trace-preservation property of the quantum channel Φ_n), one would get the asymptotic lower bound $\|F\| \geq \lambda$. Note that this bound is worse than the one in the statement of the theorem, since $\lambda < k$.*

Remark 4.4. *Instead of just computing the limiting overlap $\langle \Omega_{d_n}, F_n^* F_n \Omega_{d_n} \rangle$, it would be interesting to analyze the full limiting eigenvalue distribution of the random matrix $F_n^* F_n$; however, this seems to be out or reach at the current time with the moment techniques used in the proof of Proposition 4.1 and with the Weingarten calculus as the main tool.*

5. UPPER BOUND ON THE NORM OF THE RESTRICTION

This section contains the second result needed for the spectral gap, an upper bound on the second singular value of the super-operator corresponding to a random quantum channel. The proof is largely inspired by G. Pisier's work [Pis14], where he gave a different point of view on Hastings' quantum expander result from [Has07c]. Since the model of random quantum channels we consider here is different (random isometries as opposed to random mixed unitary channels in [Has07c, Pis14]), we go to give a self-contained presentation, and, on the way, slightly generalize some of the technical results from (the Appendix) of [Pis14].

The main result of this section, Theorem 5.5, which is the upper bound on the second singular value of the super-operators, is a consequence of two propositions: a comparison of our random model with a Gaussian model (Proposition 5.2) and a bound on the Gaussian model (Proposition 5.4).

We start with the result relating the sequence of super-operators F_n to a Gaussian model. We would like to point out that such techniques have been used to great success in Random Matrix Theory (e.g. [TV11]). The idea here is that, in Proposition 4.1 we have identified the vector Ω_{d_n} as having a large overlap with a right-singular-vector corresponding to a (possibly) large singular value. To show a spectral gap, we will prove that, the restriction of F_n to the orthogonal complement of Ω_{d_n} has a relatively small norm.

Let us recall the definition of the *Ginibre ensemble* of random matrices. A $M \times N$ random matrix X having i.i.d. entries distributed as a centered complex Gaussian random variable with variance σ^2 is said to have a Ginibre distribution with parameters $(M, N; \sigma^2)$; we write $X \in \text{Gin}(M, N; \sigma^2)$. We shall need the following lemma, which can be found in the Appendix of [Pis14] in the case of square matrices. We generalize it here for rectangular matrices and we explicitly characterize the large dimension limit of the constants.

Lemma 5.1. *For integers $M \geq N$, let $Y \in \text{Gin}(M, N; 1/M)$ be a random Ginibre matrix and denote $H := \mathbb{E}(|Y| \otimes |\bar{Y}|) \in M_{N^2}(\mathbb{C})$. Then,*

$$H = \omega_N + \chi_{M,N}(I_{N^2} - \omega_N) \quad \text{with} \quad \chi_{M,N} = \frac{\mathbb{E}\|Y\|_1^2 - 1}{N^2 - 1}. \quad (12)$$

For all M, N , we have $\chi_{M,N} \geq 1/(N+1) > 0$. Moreover, in the limit where $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $M \sim cN$ for some constant $c \geq 1$,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \chi_{cN,N} = \chi_c := c^{-1} \left[\int_a^b \frac{\sqrt{(x-a)(b-x)}}{2\pi\sqrt{x}} dx \right]^2, \quad (13)$$

where $a = (\sqrt{c} - 1)^2$ and $b = (\sqrt{c} + 1)^2$. The function $c \mapsto \chi_c$ is increasing and we have $\chi_1 = (8/(3\pi))^2$ and $\lim_{c \rightarrow \infty} \chi_c = 1$.

Proof. The key to the proof is that the matrix H is $U \otimes \bar{U}$ -invariant, i.e. for all unitary matrices $U \in \mathcal{U}_N$,

$$(U \otimes \bar{U})H(U \otimes \bar{U})^* = \mathbb{E}(U|Y|U^* \otimes \bar{U}|\bar{Y}|\bar{U}^*) = \mathbb{E}(|YU^*| \otimes |\bar{Y}\bar{U}^*|) = \mathbb{E}(|Y'| \otimes |\bar{Y}'|) = H.$$

We can thus integrate the relation above over U , a procedure known as “twirling” in quantum information theory [Wer89], which is yet another application of the Weingarten calculus:

$$H = \int_{U \in \mathcal{U}_N} (U \otimes \bar{U}) H (U \otimes \bar{U})^* dU = \text{Tr}(H \omega_N) \omega_N + \frac{\text{Tr}[H(I_{N^2} - \omega_N)]}{N^2 - 1} (I_{N^2} - \omega_N).$$

In our case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(H \omega_N) &= N^{-1} \mathbb{E} \text{Tr} |Y|^2 = N^{-1} \mathbb{E} \text{Tr} Y^* Y = N^{-1} M N M^{-1} = 1 \\ \text{Tr} H &= \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr} |Y| |\text{Tr} \bar{Y}|] = \mathbb{E}[(\text{Tr} |Y|)^2] = \mathbb{E} \|Y\|_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows with the announced value of χ . The inequality at fixed M, N follows by writing

$$\chi_{M,N} = \frac{\mathbb{E} \|Y\|_1^2 - 1}{N^2 - 1} \geq \frac{\mathbb{E} \|Y\|_2^2 - 1}{N^2 - 1} = \frac{N - 1}{N^2 - 1}.$$

The limiting case is a consequence of the formula for the Marchenko-Pastur density, see [BS10, Eq. (3.1.1)]:

$$\text{dMP}_c = \max(1 - c, 0) \delta_0 + \frac{\sqrt{(b-x)(x-a)}}{2\pi x} \mathbf{1}_{[a,b]}(x) dx,$$

with $a = (1 - \sqrt{c})^2$ and $b = (1 + \sqrt{c})^2$. Indeed, $M^{1/2}Y$ has standard Gaussian distribution, and thus

$$N^{-1} \left(M^{1/2} Y \right)^* \left(M^{1/2} Y \right) \rightarrow \text{MP}_c,$$

where MP_c is the Marchenko-Pastur distribution of parameter c , and the convergence above holds in moments. Hence,

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N s_i(Y) \rightarrow c^{-1/2} \int \sqrt{x} d\text{MP}_c(x),$$

from which the conclusion follows. \square

We now state our result, comparing the Schatten norms of our random quantum channel model with the corresponding norms of un-correlated Gaussian matrices. Note that the following result holds for fixed parameters n, d, k .

Proposition 5.2. *Consider a random quantum channel $\Phi : M_d(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ defined by a Haar-random isometry and let F be the corresponding super-operator (2) associated with the channel Φ . Then, for any $p \geq 1$ and any $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \|F(I_{d^2} - \omega_d)\|_q^p \leq (2/\chi_{nk,d})^p \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i \otimes Z_i \right\|_q^p,$$

where $\{Y_i, Z_i\}_{i=1}^k$ are independent Ginibre random matrices of parameters $(n, d; (nk)^{-1})$, and χ are the constants from (12).

Proof. We will adapt some of the ideas present in the Appendix of [Pis14].

Let $Y \in \text{Gin}(nk, d; (nk)^{-1})$ be a Ginibre random matrix; we recall that this means that the entries of Y are i.i.d. complex Gaussian variables with mean 0 and variance $1/(nk)$. Using the unitary invariance of the Gaussian distribution, we can write its polar decomposition $Y = V|Y|$, where $V \in M_{nk,d}(\mathbb{C})$ is a Haar-distributed random isometry and $|Y| \in M_d(\mathbb{C})$ is positive semidefinite, such that V and $|Y|$ are independent random matrices (see [HP00, Lemma 4.3.10] for a proof of the square case).

Let \mathcal{E} be the conditional expectation operator with respect to the σ -algebra generated by isometry part V . We have

$$\mathcal{E}(Y \otimes \bar{Y}) = \mathcal{E}(V|Y| \otimes \overline{V|Y|}) = (V \otimes \bar{V}) \mathbb{E}(|Y| \otimes |\bar{Y}|).$$

Using Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}(Y \otimes \bar{Y}) = (V \otimes \bar{V}) [\omega_d + \chi_{nk,d}(I_{d^2} - \omega_d)].$$

Taking the (i, i) block elements of each side and multiplying on the right with $I_{d^2} - \omega_d$ yields

$$\chi_{nk,d}^{-1} \mathcal{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^k Y_i \otimes \bar{Y}_i \right] (I_{d^2} - \omega_d) = F(I_{d^2} - \omega_d),$$

where $Y_1, \dots, Y_k \in M_{n,d}(\mathbb{C})$ are the blocks of Y :

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^k e_i \otimes Y_i.$$

Since $\|\cdot\|_q^p$ is a convex function, after applying Jensen's inequality, we get

$$\mathbb{E} \|F(I_{d^2} - \omega_d)\|_q^p \leq \chi_{nk,d}^{-p} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k (Y_i \otimes \bar{Y}_i)(I_{d^2} - \omega_d) \right\|_q^p$$

We shall now use a decoupling argument from the Appendix of [Pis14], to go from the left-hand-side of the equation above to the expression in the statement. We state it here without proof, since there is no difference between the case of square matrices discussed in [Pis14] and our (more general) situation involving rectangular blocks Y_i . We just note that one of the key ingredients of the proof is that, for all i , $\mathbb{E}(Y_i \otimes \bar{Y}_i)(I_{d^2} - \omega_d) = 0$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let Y_1, \dots, Y_k be independent $n \times d$ Ginibre matrices, and consider independent copies Z_1, \dots, Z_k having the same distributions. Then,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k (Y_i \otimes \bar{Y}_i)(I_{d^2} - \omega_d) \right\|_q^p \leq 2^p \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k (Y_i \otimes Z_i)(I_{d^2} - \omega_d) \right\|_q^p$$

Using the lemma, we conclude

$$\mathbb{E} \|F(I_{d^2} - \omega_d)\|_q^p \leq \left(\frac{2}{\chi_{nk,d}} \right)^p \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i \otimes Z_i \right\|_q^p.$$

□

We now move on to the second technical result of this section, a bound on the moments of the Gaussian model.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_k, Z_1, \dots, Z_k$ be independent Ginibre random matrices of parameters $(n, d; (nk)^{-1})$, where n, d, k are fixed integers. Then, for all even integers $p \geq 2$,*

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i \otimes Z_i \right\|_\infty^p \leq n^2 \left(\frac{(1 + \sqrt{\lambda})^2}{\sqrt{k}} + \varepsilon + \beta \sqrt{\frac{p}{n}} \right)^p$$

where ε and β are functions of n, d, k with the property that, in the asymptotic regime (3), $\varepsilon(n) \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta(n)$ is bounded, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. We follow the proof of [Pis12, Theorem 16.6]. Let $Y \in \text{Gin}(n, d; (nk)^{-1})$ be another Ginibre matrix as in the statement. By [BS10, Theorem 5.11]) we know that, almost surely, in the asymptotic regime (3),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Y\|_\infty = \frac{1 + \sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

Let us then define the function $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, d, k)$ by

$$\mathbb{E}\|Y\|_\infty = \frac{1 + \sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{k}} + \varepsilon,$$

so that $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the regime (3). Again by concentration of measure arguments (see [Pis86, Chapter 2]) the function $\beta = \beta(n, d, k, p)$ such that for any $n \geq 1$ and $p \geq 2$ we have

$$(\mathbb{E}\|Y\|_\infty^p)^{1/p} = \mathbb{E}\|Y\|_\infty + \beta\sqrt{\frac{p}{n}} \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{k}} + \varepsilon + \beta\sqrt{\frac{p}{n}} \quad (14)$$

is such that, in the regime (3), β is bounded uniformly in p , as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us write

$$X := \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i \otimes Z_i \in M_{n^2, d^2}(\mathbb{C}).$$

We claim that one has, for any even integer $p \geq 2$,

$$\mathbb{E}\text{Tr}|X|^p \leq k^{p/2}(\mathbb{E}\text{Tr}|Y|^p)^2. \quad (15)$$

Let us defer the proof of the claim for later, and now use it together with (14) to get:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\|X\|_\infty^p &\leq \mathbb{E}\|X\|_p^p \leq k^{p/2}(\mathbb{E}\|Y\|_p^p)^2 \leq k^{p/2}(\min(n, d)\mathbb{E}\|Y\|_\infty^p)^2 \\ &\leq n^2 k^{p/2} \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{k}} + \varepsilon + \beta\sqrt{\frac{p}{n}} \right)^{2p} \\ &= n^2 \left(\frac{(1 + \sqrt{\lambda})^2}{\sqrt{k}} + \varepsilon' + \beta'\sqrt{\frac{p}{n}} \right)^p, \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired statement, for some modified functions ε', β' enjoying the same asymptotic properties.

We verify now the claim (15). Let $p = 2m$. We develop

$$\mathbb{E}\text{Tr}|X|^p = \mathbb{E}\text{Tr}(X^*X)^m = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m, j_1, \dots, j_m=1}^k (\mathbb{E}\text{Tr}Y_{i_1}^* Y_{j_1} \cdots Y_{i_m}^* Y_{j_m})^2.$$

Note that, using the Wick formula to evaluate the Gaussian expectation, the only non-vanishing terms in this sum correspond to certain pairings that guarantee that $\mathbb{E}\text{Tr}Y_{i_1}^* Y_{j_1} \cdots Y_{i_m}^* Y_{j_m} \geq 0$:

$$\mathbb{E}\text{Tr}Y_{i_1}^* Y_{j_1} \cdots Y_{i_m}^* Y_{j_m} = (nk)^{-m} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_p : j = i \circ \alpha} n^{\#\alpha} d^{\#(\alpha^{-1}\gamma)},$$

where $\gamma = (1\ 2 \cdots m) \in \mathcal{S}_m$ is the full-cycle permutation. Moreover, by Hölder's inequality for the trace, we have, for all m -tuples i and j ,

$$|\mathbb{E}\text{Tr}Y_{i_1}^* Y_{j_1} \cdots Y_{i_m}^* Y_{j_m}| \leq \mathbb{E}\|Y_{i_1}^* Y_{j_1} \cdots Y_{i_m}^* Y_{j_m}\|_1 \leq \mathbb{E}\|Y\|_{2m}^{2m} = \mathbb{E}\text{Tr}|Y|^p.$$

From these observations, we find

$$\mathbb{E}\text{Tr}|X|^p \leq \mathbb{E}\text{Tr}|Y|^p \sum_{i, j} \mathbb{E}\text{Tr}Y_{i_1}^* Y_{j_1} \cdots Y_{i_m}^* Y_{j_m}, \quad (16)$$

where we have used the crucial positivity property mentioned before. Evaluating the last sum gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i, j} \mathbb{E}\text{Tr}Y_{i_1}^* Y_{j_1} \cdots Y_{i_m}^* Y_{j_m} &= k^m \mathbb{E}\text{Tr} \left(\sum_{i_1=1}^k k^{-1/2} Y_{i_1} \right)^* \cdots \left(\sum_{j_m=1}^k k^{-1/2} Y_{j_m} \right) \\ &= k^m \mathbb{E}\text{Tr}(\hat{Y}^* \hat{Y})^m = k^{p/2} \mathbb{E}\text{Tr}|\hat{Y}|^p, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{Y} := k^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i$ has the same distribution as Y ; hence (16) implies (15), and the proof is complete. \square

We can now state the main result of this section, an almost-sure upper bound on the norm of the super-operators F_n , restricted on the space orthogonal to the maximally entangled state Ω_{d_n} .

Theorem 5.5. *Consider a sequence of random quantum channels $\Phi_n : M_{d_n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ defined by Haar-random isometries and let F_n be the corresponding super-operators (2) associated with the channels Φ_n , in the asymptotic regime (3). Then, for any $p \geq 1$*

$$\left(\mathbb{E} \|F(I_{d_n}^2 - \omega_{d_n})\|_\infty^p \right)^{1/p} \leq n^{2/p} \left(g_{k,\lambda} + \varepsilon + \beta \sqrt{\frac{p}{n}} \right),$$

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n) \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta = \beta(n)$ is bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and (see (13) for the definition of χ .)

$$g_{k,\lambda} := \frac{2(1 + \sqrt{\lambda})^2}{\chi_{k/\lambda} \sqrt{k}}. \quad (17)$$

In particular, we have that, almost surely,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F_n(I_{d_n}^2 - \omega_{d_n})\|_\infty \leq g_{k,\lambda}. \quad (18)$$

Proof. The first claim is obtained by combining Proposition 5.2 for $q = \infty$ and Proposition 5.4, where the error $\chi_{nk, d_n} - \chi_\lambda$ is absorbed in ε . To obtain the almost-sure bound on the norm, apply Borel-Cantelli after noticing that, for $\delta > 0$, the probability

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\|F_n(I_{d_n}^2 - \omega_{d_n})\|_\infty \geq (1 + \delta)g_{k,\lambda} \right] \leq n^2(1 + \delta)^{-p} \left(1 + \varepsilon + \beta \sqrt{\frac{p}{n}} \right)^p$$

is an $O(n^{-2})$ for, say, $p = (5/\delta) \log n$. \square

Remark 5.6. *Assuming that λ is being kept fixed, let us analyze the asymptotic behaviour of $g_{k,\lambda}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$; note that this situation corresponds to a global asymptotic regime where $1 \ll k \ll n$ (compare with (3)). We start from “law of large numbers” for the Marchenko-Pastur distribution which states that, as the parameter c grows,*

$$D_{c^{-1}\text{MP}_c} \rightarrow \delta_1.$$

Hence, when $k/\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\chi_{k/\lambda} \sim 1$. Thus, the behaviour of $g_{k,\lambda}$ as λ is fixed and $k \rightarrow \infty$ is given by

$$g_{k,\lambda} \sim \frac{2(1 + \sqrt{\lambda})^2}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

6. SPECTRAL GAP OF A RANDOM QUANTUM CHANNEL

We state now the first main result of this work, an asymptotic lower bound on the singular value gap for the sequence of super-operators F_n .

Theorem 6.1. *Consider a sequence of random quantum channels $\Phi_n : M_{d_n}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ defined by Haar-random isometries and let F_n be the corresponding super-operators (2) associated with the channels Φ_n , in the asymptotic regime (3). Then, almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have the following gap between the largest two singular values of F_n :*

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [s_1(F_n) - s_2(F_n)] \geq \sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\lambda}{k^2}} - g_{k,\lambda}, \quad (19)$$

where the constant $g_{k,\lambda}$ was defined in (17).

Proof. The result follows from Theorems 4.2 and 5.5 (more precisely from equations (11) and (18)) after using the perturbation result for singular values from [Bha97, Problem III.6.4]:

$$s_1(F) - s_2(F) \geq s_1(F) - s_1(F(I - \omega)) = \|F\|_\infty - \|F(I - \omega)\|_\infty.$$

□

Remark 6.2. The lower bound from (19) is not really explicit, since the quantity $\chi_{k/\lambda}$ appearing in the definition of $g_{k,\lambda}$ is defined, for general k, λ in terms of the elliptic integral (13). However, we can lower bound it by an explicit formula, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} [s_1(F_n) - s_2(F_n)] &\geq \sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\lambda}{k^2}} - \frac{2(1 + \sqrt{\lambda})^2}{\chi_{k/\lambda} \sqrt{k}} \\ &\geq \sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\lambda}{k^2}} - \frac{2(1 + \sqrt{\lambda})^2}{\chi_1 \sqrt{k}} \\ &= \sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\lambda}{k^2}} - \frac{9\pi^2(1 + \sqrt{\lambda})^2}{32\sqrt{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.3. One can also obtain a rather simple upper bound on the asymptotic singular value gap as follows:

$$s_1(F) - s_2(F) \leq \|F\|_\infty \leq \|F\omega\|_\infty + \|F(I - \omega)\|_\infty.$$

But recall that in the case of the super-operator sequence F_n , $\|F_n\omega_n\|_\infty$ is precisely the square root of the quantity f_n studied in Proposition 4.1. It follows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [s_1(F_n) - s_2(F_n)] \leq \sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\lambda}{k^2}} + g_{k,\lambda}.$$

We plot in Figure 4 the bound obtained above in the case where $\lambda = 1$. Notice that the bound approaches 1 as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and that, for small values of k , the bound is trivial (negative); our bound becomes non-trivial for $k \approx 63.52$.




FIGURE 4. Plot of the asymptotic lower bound on the singular value gap as a function of k , in the case where $\lambda = 1$. Left panel: large values of k ; right panel: the regime where the lower bound becomes non trivial, i.e. $k \gtrsim 63.52$.

In the case where $d_n = n$, which corresponds to $\lambda = 1$ in (3), the super-operators F_n are square matrices (of size n^2), and we can analyze their spectral gap. Note that the largest eigenvalue, called the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue, is equal to 1 in this case [EHK78], lower bounds on the spectral gap correspond to upper bounds on the modulus of the second eigenvalue.

Theorem 6.4. Consider a sequence of random quantum channels $\Phi_n : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ defined by Haar-random isometries and let F_n be the corresponding super-operators (2) associated with the

channels Φ_n , in the asymptotic regime (3). Then, almost surely as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the second largest (in absolute value) eigenvalue of F_n is asymptotically upper bounded:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\lambda_2(F_n)| \leq \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{k-1}{k^2}} + g_{k,1} \right) g_{k,1}. \quad (20)$$

Proof. Using Weyl's Majorant Theorem [Bha97, Theorem II.3.6], we have, for all $p > 0$,

$$1 + |\lambda_2(F)|^p \leq s_1(F)^p + s_2(F)^p.$$

In our case, we use the asymptotic almost sure upper bounds ($\lambda = 1$ below)

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |s_1(F_n)| &\leq \sqrt{\lambda + \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\lambda}{k^2}} + g_{k,\lambda} > 1 \\ \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |s_2(F_n)| &\leq g_{k,\lambda} < 1. \end{aligned}$$

To get the best bound, we need to choose $p \rightarrow 0$. With this choice, the conclusion follows after using

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} (a^p + b^p - 1)^{1/p} = ab.$$

□

Remark 6.5. Using the asymptotic behaviour of $g_{k,\lambda}$ from Remark 5.6, we have, in our present case, the following asymptotic lower bound for the spectral gap:

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - |\lambda_2(F_n)| \gtrsim 1 - \frac{8}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

We plot in Figure 5 the upper bound from the theorem above, as a function of k . We observe numerically that the bound becomes smaller than 1 for $k \approx 168.5$.




FIGURE 5. Plot of the asymptotic upper bound on the absolute value of the second eigenvalue of the super-operators F_n .

7. RANDOM CHANNELS ARE QUANTUM EXPANDERS

Inspired from classical combinatorial theory and computer science [HLW06], quantum expanders have been introduced independently in [BASTS08] and [Has07b]. We follow here the more general definition suggested in [Has07c], which allows for non-unital quantum channels.

Definition 7.1. A sequence of quantum channels $\Phi_n : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a quantum expander if satisfies the following properties:

- Φ_n have Kraus rank at most k
- The sequence of second largest (in modulus) eigenvalues of Φ_n is upper bounded

- The (unique) invariant states of Φ_n have “large entropy”.

In order to show that a sequence of random quantum channels as in Section 2 is a quantum expander, we just need to give an estimate on the entropy of the fixed point of the random channels (the upper bound on the second largest eigenvalue having been proved in Theorem 6.4). Note that for unital quantum channels, this is not an issue, since the fixed point is the maximally mixed state, which has maximal entropy. In our case, we shall approximate the fixed point by the maximally mixed case, and bound the distance between the two by iterating the quantum channel.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $\Phi : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a quantum channel having a unique fixed point Λ_Φ . Then, for all $t \geq 1$,*

$$\|\Phi^t(I/n) - \Lambda_\Phi\|_2 \leq 2|\lambda_2(\Phi)|^t,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

Proof. The claim follows from the following:

$$\|\Phi^t(I/n) - \Lambda_\Phi\|_2 = \|\Phi^t(I/n) - \Phi^t(\Lambda_\Phi)\|_2 \leq |\lambda_2(\Phi)|^t \|I/n - \Lambda_\Phi\|_2 \leq 2|\lambda_2(\Phi)|^t.$$

□

We can now prove the main result of this section, that sequences of random quantum channels as in (3) are *quantum expanders* in the sense of Definition 7.1. Note that in the following theorem, we can assume that the fixed point of a sequence of random quantum channels is unique, see [NP12, Theorem 4.4].

Theorem 7.3. *Let $k \geq 169$ be a fixed integer, and consider a sequence $\Phi_n : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ of random quantum channels as in (3). Then, if Λ_n is the unique fixed point of Φ_n ,*

$$S(\Lambda_n) \geq \log k - o(1),$$

except with probability exponentially small in n .

Proof. Applying Jensen’s inequality we get that for any quantum state $\rho \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$

$$S(\rho) = -\text{tr}(\rho \log(\rho)) \geq -\log \text{tr}(\rho^2).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{tr}[(\Phi_n^t(I/n))^2] - \text{tr}[(\Lambda_n)^2]| &\leq \|(\Phi_n^t(I/n))^2 - \Lambda_n^2\|_1 \\ &\leq \|\Phi_n^t(I/n) - \Lambda_n\|_1 \|\Phi_n^t(I/n) + \Lambda_n\|_\infty \\ &\leq 2\|\Phi_n^t(I/n) - \Lambda_n\|_1 \leq 4|\lambda_2(\Phi_n)|^t. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Let V_n be the isometry inducing Φ_n and define $g(V_n) = \text{tr}((\Phi_n^t(I/n))^2)$. Then, $\mathbb{E}(g(V_n))$ can be seen as a particular case of the model introduced in [CGGPG13] that is the computation we are interested would be $\mathbb{E}(\text{tr}(\rho_1^2))$ there, where the spin chain would have a left boundary condition $L = I$, the bulk is formed of t systems and the left one of them is the accessible one, and right boundary condition is $R = I/n$. Following the same calculations there, we arrive to

$$\mathbb{E}(g(V_n)) = 1/k + O(n^{-1/5}),$$

and the Lipschitz constant of $g(V_n)$ is upper bounded by $4t$. Hence, applying a concentration result [Led01], we get that there exist constants c'_1, c'_2 such that

$$g(V_n) = 1/k + O(n^{-1/5})$$

with probability larger than $1 - c'_1 e^{-c'_2 n^{3/5}/t^2} \geq 1 - c'_1 e^{-c'_2 n^{1/5}}$.

Putting this together with eq. (21) we get

$$S(\Lambda_n) \geq -\log \text{tr}(\Lambda_n^2) \geq -\log(4|\lambda_2(\Phi_n)|^t + 1/k + O(n^{-1/5}))$$

with probability $\geq 1 - c_1 e^{-c_2 n^{1/5}}$, where we are taking into account the probability of Λ_n being the only fixed point. Choosing t growing with n , but not faster than $n^{1/5}$, the inequality from the statement follows. Since the sequence of random quantum channels Φ_n has fixed Kraus rank k and we have already shown that the second largest eigenvalue (in absolute value) of the super-operators F_n are bounded (see Theorem 6.4), the conclusion follows. \square

8. PRINCIPLE OF MAXIMUM ENTROPY FOR TRANSLATIONALLY-INVARIANT MATRIX PRODUCT STATES

In this section we derive a principle of maximum entropy for infinite spin chains, for which the ground state is well-approximated [Has07a] by *matrix product states* (MPS) [PGVWC07]. Ensembles of MPS were already considered in [GdOHZ10], showing concentration of local observables, and in [CGGPG13], showing concentration of the reduced density matrix with boundary conditions. Here, we focus in the case of infinite 1D translationally-invariant (TI) systems.

A translationally-invariant matrix product state (TI-MPS) is a state where the coefficients are given by a product of matrices in the following way

$$\psi = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_N} \text{tr}[A_{i_N} \cdots A_{i_1}] e_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{i_N}$$

where $A_i \in \mathcal{M}_D(\mathbb{C})$ are some matrices. There is a close relation between a TI-MPS and the completely positive map

$$\Phi(X) = \sum_i A_i X A_i^*$$

acting on \mathcal{M}_D . In fact, if we define the isometries $V = \sum_{i=1}^k A_i \otimes e_i$, there is a one to one correspondence between the set of TI-MPS with bond dimension D and the set of quantum channels acting on \mathcal{M}_D . Moreover, for an infinite TI-MPS given by an isometry V that induces a channel Φ with a unique fixed point Λ_Φ , the reduced density matrix on l sites is given by

$$\rho_l = \mathcal{E}^l(\Lambda_\Phi)$$

where $\mathcal{E}(X) = V X V^*$ (note the absence of the partial trace operation in the definition of \mathcal{E}). Thus, there is a natural way to take an infinite random TI-MPS, with physical dimension k and bond dimension D , by taking a random isometry (acting on \mathcal{M}_D with k Kraus operators).

Set $D = d_n = n$ which corresponds to $\lambda = 1$. In this case, the set of TI-MPS with bond dimension D and physical dimension k^1 has a one to one correspondence with the set of isometries introduced with fixed k . In this case the local tensors of the MPS are exactly the Kraus operators of the channel Φ .

For a fixed physical dimension k and a fixed bond dimension D we consider the ensemble of infinite TI-MPS induced by the random isometries $V : \mathbb{C}^D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^D \times \mathbb{C}^k$. In this case the ensemble of reduced density matrices over l systems is given by

$$\rho_l = \mathcal{E}^l(\Lambda_\Phi) \tag{22}$$

where $\mathcal{E}(X) = V X V^*$, and Λ_Φ is the fixed point of $\Phi(X) = [\text{id}_D \otimes \text{Tr}_k](V X V^*) = \sum_{i=1}^k A_i X A_i^*$.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $k \geq 169$ and let ρ_l be taken at random from the ensemble introduced above, such that $D \geq (t+l)^5$ and $t = t_D$ grows slower than $D^{1/5}$. Then $\text{tr}(\rho_l^2) \leq 1/k^l + O(D^{-1/5})$ except with probability exponentially small in D .*

¹Note the different nomenclature with respect to the usual notation in tensor networks, D is usually the bond dimension and d is the physical dimension

Proof. The idea of the proof is to approximate $\rho_l = \mathcal{E}^l(\Lambda_\Phi)$ by $\tilde{\rho}_l = \mathcal{E}^l(\Phi^t(I/D))$ and that way we avoid the non explicit algebraic dependency between the channel \mathcal{E} and the fixed point Λ_Φ of channel Φ . Indeed, using Lemma 7.2 we have

$$|\mathrm{tr}(\rho_l^2) - \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{\rho}_l^2)| \leq 2l\|\Lambda_\Phi - \Phi^t(I/D)\|_1 \leq 2l\sqrt{D}\|\Lambda_\Phi - \Phi^t(I/D)\|_2 \leq 2l\lambda_2^t(\Phi) \leq 1/(k^l\sqrt{D})$$

In order to show the result we will follow [CGGPG13] to give a bound for $g(V) = \mathrm{tr}(\tilde{\rho}_l^2(V))$. Following the calculations done there we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[g(V)] = 1/k^l + O(D^{-1/5}), \quad (23)$$

and the Lipschitz constant of $g(V)$ is upper bounded by $4(t+l)$.

Hence, applying a concentration result, we get that there exist constants c_1, c_2 such that

$$\mathrm{tr}(\tilde{\rho}_l^2(V)) = 1/k^l + O(D^{-1/5})$$

with probability $1 - c_1 e^{-c_2 D^{3/5}/(t+l)^2} \geq 1 - c_1 e^{-c_2 D^{1/5}}$.

Putting this together with eq. (23) finishes the proof. \square




FIGURE 6. A graphical representation of the approximation of a MPS with $R = I/D$ and open boundary conditions on the left.

In the same way as in [CGGPG13] we get two immediate consequences:

Corollary 8.2. *Let $k \geq 169$ and let ρ_l be taken at random from the ensemble introduced in (22), where $l \ll D^{1/5}$. Then, with overwhelming probability as $D \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\left\| \rho_l - \frac{\mathbf{I}}{k^l} \right\|_\infty \leq O(D^{-1/10}).$$

Corollary 8.3. *Let $k \geq 169$ and let ρ_l be taken at random from the ensemble introduced in (22), where $l \ll D^{1/5}$. Then the von-Neumann entropy verifies*

$$S(\rho_l) = l \log k - k^l O(D^{-1/5}),$$

except with exponentially small probability in D .

Acknowledgments. C.E.G.G. is supported by Spanish MINECO (projects MTM2014-54240-P and MTM2017-88385-P) and MECD “José Castillejo” program (CAS16/00339). I.N.’s research has been supported by the ANR projects StoQ (grant number ANR-14-CE25-0003-01) and NEXT (grant number ANR-10-LABX-0037-NEXT), and by the PHC Sakura program (grant number 38615VA). This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No

648913). The authors would like to thank the Institut Henri Poincaré in Paris for its hospitality and for hosting the trimester on “Analysis in Quantum Information Theory”, during which part of this work was undertaken.

REFERENCES

- [Aub09] Guillaume Aubrun. On almost randomizing channels with a short kraus decomposition. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 288(3):1103–1116, 2009. [1](#)
- [BASTS08] Avraham Ben-Aroya, Oded Schwartz, and Amnon Ta-Shma. Quantum expanders: Motivation and constructions. In *23rd Annual IEEE Conference on Computational Complexity*, pages 292–303. IEEE, 2008. [14](#)
- [Bha97] Rajendra Bhatia. *Matrix analysis*, volume 169. Springer Science & Business Media, 1997. [13](#), [14](#)
- [BS10] Zhidong Bai and Jack W Silverstein. *Spectral analysis of large dimensional random matrices*, volume 20. Springer, 2010. [9](#), [10](#)
- [BZ06] Ingemar Bengtsson and Karol Zyczkowski. *Geometry of quantum states: an introduction to quantum entanglement*. Cambridge University Press, 2006. [3](#)
- [CGGPG13] Benoît Collins, Carlos E. González-Guillén, and David Pérez-García. Matrix product states, random matrix theory and the principle of maximum entropy. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 320(3):663–677, Jun 2013. [15](#), [16](#), [17](#)
- [CN10] Benoît Collins and Ion Nechita. Random quantum channels I: graphical calculus and the Bell state phenomenon. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 297(2):345–370, 2010. [4](#)
- [CN16] Benoît Collins and Ion Nechita. Random matrix techniques in quantum information theory. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 57(1), 2016. [1](#)
- [Col03] Benoît Collins. Moments and cumulants of polynomial random variables on unitary groups, the itzykson-zuber integral, and free probability. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2003(17):953–982, 2003. [4](#), [5](#)
- [CS06] Benoît Collins and Piotr Śniady. Integration with respect to the haar measure on unitary, orthogonal and symplectic group. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 264(3):773–795, 2006. [4](#)
- [DFHL13] Frédéric Dupuis, Jan Florjanczyk, Patrick Hayden, and Debbie Leung. The locking-decoding frontier for generic dynamics. *Proc. R. Soc. A*, 469(2159):20130289, 2013. [1](#)
- [EHK78] David E. Evans and Raphael Høegh-Krohn. Spectral properties of positive maps on C^* -algebras. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 17(2):345–355, 1978. [13](#)
- [FK10] Motohisa Fukuda and Christopher King. Entanglement of random subspaces via the hastings bound. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 51(4):042201, 2010. [2](#)
- [Fri08] Joel Friedman. A proof of Alon’s second eigenvalue conjecture and related problems. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 195(910):viii+100, 2008. [2](#)
- [GdOHZ10] Silvano Garnerone, Thiago R. de Oliveira, Stephan Haas, and Paolo Zanardi. Statistical properties of random matrix product states. *Phys. Rev. A*, 82:052312, Nov 2010. [16](#)
- [Has07a] Matthew B Hastings. An area law for one-dimensional quantum systems. *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*, 2007(08):P08024, 2007. [16](#)
- [Has07b] Matthew B Hastings. Entropy and entanglement in quantum ground states. *Physical Review B*, 76(3):035114, 2007. [14](#)
- [Has07c] MB Hastings. Random unitaries give quantum expanders. *Physical Review A*, 76(3):032315, 2007. [1](#), [2](#), [8](#), [14](#)
- [Has09] Matthew B Hastings. Superadditivity of communication capacity using entangled inputs. *Nature Physics*, 5(4):255–257, 2009. [2](#)
- [HHL04] Aram Harrow, Patrick Hayden, and Debbie Leung. Superdense coding of quantum states. *Physical review letters*, 92(18):187901, 2004. [1](#)
- [HLS05] Patrick Hayden, Debbie Leung, and Graeme Smith. Multiparty data hiding of quantum information. *Physical Review A*, 71(6):062339, 2005. [1](#)
- [HLSW04] Patrick Hayden, Debbie Leung, Peter W Shor, and Andreas Winter. Randomizing quantum states: Constructions and applications. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 250(2):371–391, 2004. [1](#), [2](#)
- [HLW06] Shlomo Hoory, Nathan Linial, and Avi Wigderson. Expander graphs and their applications. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 43(4):439–561, 2006. [14](#)
- [HP00] Fumio Hiai and Dénes Petz. *The semicircle law, free random variables, and entropy*, volume 77 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 2000. [9](#)
- [HW08] Patrick Hayden and Andreas Winter. Counterexamples to the maximal p -norm multiplicativity conjecture for all $p > 1$. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 284(1):263–280, 2008. [2](#)
- [Kal02] Olav Kallenberg. *Foundations of modern probability*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2002. [7](#)

- [Led01] Michel Ledoux. *The concentration of measure phenomenon*, volume 89. American Mathematical Soc., 2001. [15](#)
- [NP12] Ion Nechita and Clément Pellegrini. Random repeated quantum interactions and random invariant states. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 152(1-2):299–320, 2012. [15](#)
- [PGVWC07] David Perez-Garcia, Frank Verstraete, Michael M Wolf, and J Ignacio Cirac. Matrix product state representations. *Quantum Inf. Comput.*, 7:401, 2007. [16](#)
- [Pis86] Gilles Pisier. Probabilistic methods in the geometry of banach spaces. In *Probability and analysis*, pages 167–241. Springer, 1986. [11](#)
- [Pis12] Gilles Pisier. Grothendiecks theorem, past and present. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 49(2):237–323, 2012. [10](#)
- [Pis14] Gilles Pisier. Quantum expanders and geometry of operator spaces. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 16(6):1183–1219, 2014. [2](#), [8](#), [9](#), [10](#)
- [TV11] Terence Tao and Van Vu. Random matrices: universality of local eigenvalue statistics. *Acta mathematica*, 206(1):127, 2011. [8](#)
- [Wat18] John Watrous. *The Theory of Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, 2018. [1](#), [3](#)
- [Wer89] Reinhard F Werner. Quantum states with einstein-podolsky-rosen correlations admitting a hidden-variable model. *Physical Review A*, 40(8):4277, 1989. [9](#)

E-mail address: carlos.gguillen@upm.es

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA APLICADA A LA INGENIERÍA INDUSTRIAL, UNIVERSIDAD POLITÉCNICA DE MADRID, MADRID, SPAIN, & IMI, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID, MADRID, SPAIN.

E-mail address: junge@math.uiuc.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, IL 61801, USA

E-mail address: nechita@irsamc.ups-tlse.fr

LABORATOIRE DE PHYSIQUE THÉORIQUE, UNIVERSITÉ DE TOULOUSE, CNRS, UPS, FRANCE