

# Polynomial tau-functions of BKP and DKP hierarchies

Victor Kac

Johan van de Leur

Department of Mathematics,  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology,  
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139,  
U.S.A  
e-mail: kac@math.mit.edu

Mathematical Institute,  
Utrecht University,  
P.O. Box 80010, 3508 TA Utrecht,  
The Netherlands  
e-mail: J.W.vandeLeur@uu.nl

## Abstract

We construct all polynomial tau-functions of the BKP, DKP and MDKP hierarchies.

## 1 Introduction

In his seminal paper [8] M. Sato introduced the KP hierarchy of evolution equations of Lax type

$$\frac{dL}{dt_n} = [(L^n)_+, L], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1)$$

on the pseudodifferential operator  $L = \partial + u_1(t)\partial^{-1} + u_2(t)\partial^{-2} + \dots$ , where  $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots)$  and  $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}$ . He introduced the corresponding tau-function  $\tau(t)$  and showed that any solution  $u_1(t), u_2(t), \dots$  of (1) can be expressed as a differential polynomial in  $\tau$ . He also showed that the tau-functions form an infinite Grassmann manifold of type  $A$ , and that the set of polynomial tau-functions includes all Schur polynomials  $s_\lambda(t)$ , where  $\lambda \in \text{Par}$ , the set of partitions. His ideas have been subsequently developed by his school in the series of papers, including [2], [3], [4], [5].

The totality of polynomial tau-functions of the Kp hierarchy is a disjoint union of Schubert cells  $\coprod_{\lambda \in \text{Par}} C_\lambda$ , such that  $s_\lambda(t)$  is the "center" of  $C_\lambda$ . In our paper [7] we proved, using the boson-fermion correspondence, that each  $C_\lambda$  can be obtained from  $s_\lambda(t)$  by shifts of the  $t_i$  by certain constants.

In the papers [4], [5] the BKP and DKP hierarchies have been introduced along the lines proposed by Sato for KP. In his papers [9] and [10] Y. You proved that the  $Q$ -Schur polynomials are polynomial tau-functions of the BKP, DKP and MDKP hierarchies. As in the KP case, these tau-functions are "centers" of Schubert cells of the infinite-dimensional orthogonal Grassmann manifold. In the present paper we construct all polynomial tau-functions for the BKP, DKP and MDKP hierarchies in each of the Schubert cells, using the boson-fermion correspondence of types  $B$  and  $D$  [6].

Note that, as shown in [1], the CKP hierarchy has no polynomial tau-functions.

## 2 The spin representation of $b_\infty$ and $d_\infty$ and the BKP and DKP hierarchy in the fermionic picture

Consider the Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

$$gl_\infty = \{(a_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbf{Z}} \mid \text{all but a finite number of } a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ are zero}\}.$$

The matrices  $E_{ij}$  with  $(i, j)$ -th entry 1 and 0 elsewhere, for  $i, j \in \mathbf{Z}$  form a basis. Define on  $gl_\infty$  the following two linear anti-involutions:

$$\iota_B(E_{jk}) = (-1)^{j+k} E_{-k, -j} \quad \iota_D(E_{jk}) = E_{-k+1, -j+1}.$$

Using these anti-involution we define the Lie algebras  $b_\infty$  and  $d_\infty$  as a subalgebra of  $gl_\infty$ :

$$b_\infty = \{a \in gl_\infty \mid \iota_B(a) = -a\}, \quad d_\infty = \{a \in gl_\infty \mid \iota_D(a) = -a\}.$$

The elements  $F_{jk} = E_{-j, k} - (-1)^{j+k} E_{-k, j} = -(-1)^{j+k} F_{kj}$ , with  $j > k$ , respectively  $G_{j+\frac{1}{2}, k-\frac{1}{2}} = E_{-j, k} - E_{-k+1, j+1} = -G_{k-\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}$ , with  $j \geq k$ , form a basis of  $b_\infty$ , respectively  $d_\infty$ . We have the following root space decomposition of  $b_\infty$ :

$$b_\infty = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+^B} (\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha), \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{C}F_{-i, i},$$

$$\Delta_+^B = \{\epsilon_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j \mid i > j > 0\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\pm\epsilon_i} = \mathbb{C}F_{\pm i, 0}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j} = \mathbb{C}F_{i, \pm j}.$$

The root space decomposition of  $d_\infty$  is as follows:

$$d_\infty = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_+^D} (\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\alpha), \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{h} = \bigoplus_{i>0} \mathbb{C}G_{-i, i},$$

$$\Delta_+^D = \{\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j \mid i > j \geq 0\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\epsilon_i \pm \epsilon_j} = \mathbb{C}G_{i+\frac{1}{2}, \pm(j+\frac{1}{2})}.$$

We now describe the spin representation of  $b_\infty$  and  $d_\infty$ . For this purpose we introduce the Clifford algebras  $BCl$  and  $DCl$  as the associative algebras generated by the vector space  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$  with basis  $\phi_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ , respectively  $j \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbf{Z}$ , and symmetric bilinear forms

$$(\phi_i, \phi_j)_B = (-1)^i \delta_{i, -j}, \quad (\phi_i, \phi_j)_D = \delta_{i, -j} \quad (2)$$

with defining relations

$$vw + wv = (v, w), \quad v, w \in \mathbb{C}^\infty. \quad (3)$$

We have a  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation

$$BCl = BCl_{\bar{0}} \oplus BCl_{\bar{1}}, \quad \text{resp. } DCl = DCl_{\bar{0}} \oplus DCl_{\bar{1}}$$

where  $BCl_\nu$  ( $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ) is spanned by all products of  $s$  elements of  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$  with  $s \equiv \nu \pmod{2}$ . We shall identify  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$  with its image in  $BCl$  and  $DCl$ .

We define the spin module  $V_B$  over  $BCl$  and  $V_D$  over  $DCl$  as the irreducible module with highest weight vector, the *vacuum vector*  $|0\rangle \neq 0$ , satisfying

$$\phi_j|0\rangle = 0 \quad \text{for } j > 0,$$

where in the  $B$ -case we also assume that

$$\phi_0|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle.$$

The elements  $\phi_{j_1}\phi_{j_2}\cdots\phi_{j_p}|0\rangle$  with  $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_p < 0$  form a basis of  $V_B$  (here all  $j_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) and  $V_D$  (here all  $j_k \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ ). Then we obtain the representation of  $b_\infty$ , respectively  $d_\infty$ , by

$$\pi_B(F_{jk}) = \frac{(-1)^j}{2}(\phi_j\phi_k - \phi_k\phi_j), \quad \text{resp. } \pi_D(G_{jk}) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_j\phi_k - \phi_k\phi_j).$$

It is irreducible in the  $B$ -case. If we restrict to  $d_\infty$ , the module splits into two irreducible  $d_\infty$ -modules  $V_D = V_0 \oplus V_1$ , with highest weight vectors  $|0\rangle$  and  $|1\rangle = \phi_{-\frac{1}{2}}|0\rangle$ .

From now on let  $G = B$  or  $D$ , we will write e.g.  $GCl$  for the corresponding Clifford algebra.

It will be convenient also to introduce the opposite  $b_\infty$  and  $d_\infty$ -module with highest weight vector  $\langle 0|$ , by

$$\langle 0|\phi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\langle 0|, \quad \langle 0|\phi_j = 0, \quad \text{for } j < 0.$$

For  $d_\infty$  we also have  $\langle 1| = \langle 0|\phi_{\frac{1}{2}}$ . The *vacuum expectation value* of  $a \in GCl$  is defined as  $\langle 0|a|0\rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Given a non-isotropic vector  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\infty$  (i.e.  $(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$ ), the associated reflection  $r_\alpha$  is defined by

$$r_\alpha(v) = v - \frac{2(\alpha, v)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha.$$

Let  $GCl^\times$  denote the multiplicative group of invertible elements of the algebra  $GCl$ . We denote by  $\text{Pin}_G\mathbb{C}^\infty$  the subgroup of  $GCl^\times$  generated by all the elements  $a$  such that  $a\mathbb{C}^\infty a^{-1} = \mathbb{C}^\infty$  and let  $\text{Spin}_G\mathbb{C}^\infty = \text{Pin}_G\mathbb{C}^\infty \cap GCl_{\bar{0}}$ .

If  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\infty$  is a non-isotropic vector, then, by (3):

$$\alpha^{-1} = \frac{2\alpha}{(\alpha, \alpha)}, \tag{4}$$

hence  $\alpha \in GCl^\times$ , and from (3) we obtain

$$\alpha v \alpha^{-1} = -r_\alpha(v), \tag{5}$$

hence  $\alpha \in \text{Pin}_G\mathbb{C}^\infty$ . We have a homomorphism  $T : \text{Pin}_G\mathbb{C}^\infty \rightarrow B_\infty$  or  $D_\infty$ ,  $g \mapsto T_g$  defined by ( $v \in \mathbb{C}^\infty$ ):

$$T_g(v) = g v g^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}^\infty.$$

Here  $B_\infty$  or  $D_\infty$  is the subgroup of the group

$$GL_\infty = \{(g_{ij})_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{ which are invertible and all but a finite number of } g_{ij} - \delta_{ij} \text{ are } 0\},$$

consisting of elements which preserve the bilinear form (2). Thus, we have a projective representation of  $B_\infty$  on  $V_B$  and  $D_\infty$  on  $V_D$ .

The orthogonal  $G$ -Grassmannian is the collection of all linear subspaces

$$\text{Ann}_G f = \{v \in \mathbb{C}^\infty \mid vf = 0\}, \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Each  $\text{Ann}_G f$  is a maximal isotropic subspace of  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$ . In fact, the orthogonal Grassmannian is the collection of all maximal isotropic subspaces  $U$  of  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$  such that  $\phi_j \in U$  for all  $j \gg 0$ .

Let us focus on the  $B$ -case first, which is well-known, see e.g. [4] or [9]. The group  $B_\infty$  is the subgroup of  $GL_\infty$  generated by all  $-r_\alpha$ . Let  $\mathcal{O} = (\text{Spin}_B \mathbb{C}^\infty) |0\rangle$ . Since  $\phi_0 \in \text{Pin}_B \mathbb{C}^\infty$  and  $\phi_0 |0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |0\rangle$ , one has that  $\mathcal{O} = \text{Pin}_B \mathbb{C}^\infty |0\rangle$ .

Let  $S_B$  be the following operator on  $V_B \otimes V_B$ :

$$S_B = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^j \phi_j \otimes \phi_{-j},$$

Then (see e.g. [6])

**Theorem 1** *If  $\tau \in V_B$  and  $\tau \neq 0$ , then  $\tau \in \mathcal{O}$  if and only if  $\tau$  satisfies the equation*

$$S_B(\tau \otimes \tau) = \frac{1}{2} \tau \otimes \tau. \quad (6)$$

Equation (6) is called the fermionic BKP hierarchy.

In the  $D$ -case, let  $\mathcal{O}_0 = (\text{Spin}_D \mathbb{C}^\infty) |0\rangle$  and  $\mathcal{O}_1 = (\text{Spin}_D \mathbb{C}^\infty) |1\rangle$ . In this case, let

$$S_D = \sum_{j \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} \phi_j \otimes \phi_{-j},$$

Then (see e.g. [10] or [6])

**Theorem 2** (a) *If  $\tau \in V_\nu$  and  $\tau \neq 0$ , then  $\tau \in \mathcal{O}_\nu$  if and only if  $\tau$  satisfies the equation*

$$S_D(\tau \otimes \tau) = 0 \quad (7)$$

(b) *A pair of non-zero DKP tau-functions  $\tau_0 \in \mathcal{O}_0$  and  $\tau_1 \in \mathcal{O}_1$  satisfies the modified DKP hierarchy, i.e.,*

$$S_D(\tau_0 \otimes \tau_1) = \tau_1 \otimes \tau_0. \quad (8)$$

*if and only if*

$$\dim((\text{Ann } \tau_0 + \text{Ann } \tau_1) / (\text{Ann } \tau_0 \cap \text{Ann } \tau_1)) = 2.$$

If  $\tau$  is a BKP (resp. DKP) tau-function and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^\infty$ , then it is shown in [6], Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, that  $\alpha\tau$  is again a BKP (resp. DKP) tau-function. Hence, since  $|0\rangle$  is a tau-function,

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 v_2 \cdots v_k |0\rangle &\in \mathcal{O} \cup \{0\} \quad \text{for any } v_i \in \mathbb{C}^\infty, \\ v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{2\ell} |0\rangle &\in \mathcal{O}_0 \cup \{0\} \quad \text{for any } v_i \in \mathbb{C}^\infty, \\ v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{2\ell+1} |0\rangle &\in \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \{0\} \quad \text{for any } v_i \in \mathbb{C}^\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Without loss of generality we may choose, in every  $v_j$ , the coefficient of the lowest  $\phi_n$  equal to 1, in other words we assume that all  $v_j$  are of the form

$$\begin{aligned} B: \quad v_j &= \phi_{-\lambda_j} + \sum_{n < \lambda_j} a_{-n,j} \phi_{-n}, \quad \text{with } a_{-n,j} = 0 \text{ for } n \ll 0, \\ D: \quad v_j &= \phi_{-\lambda_j - \frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{n < \lambda_j + \frac{1}{2}} a_{-n,j} \phi_{-n}, \quad \text{with } a_{-n,j} = 0 \text{ for } n \ll 0, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where the  $\lambda_j$  are positive integers in the  $B$ -case and non-negative integers for  $D$ . We may also assume that  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_k$ .

### 3 Schubert cell decomposition of the orbit

For  $G = B$ , let  $W_0$  be the subgroup of the Weyl group generated by reflections in the short roots  $\epsilon_i$ , i.e.  $w \in W_0$  if and only if  $w(\epsilon_j) = \pm\epsilon_j$ , where only finitely many  $\epsilon_j$  are mapped to  $-\epsilon_j$ . There is a one to one correspondence between the set of all strict partitions and the elements of  $W_0$ . Namely, we associate to a strict partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1}$  the following element of  $W_0$ :  $w_\lambda(\epsilon_{\lambda_n}) = -\epsilon_{\lambda_n}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and all other  $\epsilon_j$  fixed.

For  $G = D$ , let  $W_0$  be the subgroup of the Weyl group consisting of elements  $w$  such that  $w(\epsilon_j) = \pm\epsilon_j$ , now  $j \geq 0$  where only a finite even number of  $\epsilon_j$  are mapped to  $-\epsilon_j$ . The correspondence with strict partitions as in the  $B$ -case is almost the same, now we have an even number of parts, but the last one can be zero, i.e.,  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \cdots > \lambda_{2k} \geq 0$  corresponds to  $w_\lambda(\epsilon_{\lambda_n}) = -\epsilon_{\lambda_n}$   $n = 1, 2, \dots, 2k$  and all other  $\epsilon_j$  fixed. If we allow that a part is also 0, we call such a partition an extended strict partition.

Let  $P_B$  be the parabolic subgroup that corresponds to all long simple roots  $\epsilon_{i+1} - \epsilon_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , i.e.,  $P_B$  corresponds to the roots  $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$ ,  $\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j$  and  $\epsilon_i$  for  $i \neq j > 0$ , thus the corresponding root vectors annihilate the vacuum. Then we have the Bruhat decomposition

$$B_\infty = \bigcup_{w \in W_0} U_w w P_B \quad \text{disjoint union,} \tag{11}$$

where  $U_{w_\lambda}$  consists of all elements  $\exp(\sum_\alpha t_\alpha e_\alpha)$ , where  $e_\alpha$  is a root vector corresponding to  $\alpha \in \Delta_+$  such that  $w_\lambda(-\alpha) \in \Delta_+$ , and  $t_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

For  $G = D$  we need two decompositions corresponding to a different parabolic subgroups, viz  $P_D^0$  and  $P_D^1$ , where both correspond to the simple roots  $\epsilon_{i+1} - \epsilon_i$  for

$i \geq$  together with  $\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_0$  for  $P_D^0$ , respectively  $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_0$  for  $P_D^1$ . Hence, these parabolics correspond to the root vectors  $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$  and  $\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j$ , where  $i \neq j \geq 0$  for  $P_D^0$ , respectively  $\epsilon_i - \epsilon_j$ , where  $i \neq j > 0$ ,  $\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j$ , where  $i > j \geq 0$  and  $\pm\epsilon_j - \epsilon_0$  for  $P_D^1$ . We have the Bruhat decomposition

$$D_\infty = \bigcup_{w \in W_0} U_w w P_D^i \quad \text{disjoint union, } i = 0 \text{ or } 1, \quad (12)$$

where  $U_{w_\lambda}$  consists of all elements  $\exp(\sum_\alpha t_\alpha e_\alpha)$ , where  $e_\alpha$  is a root vector corresponding to  $\alpha \in \Delta_+$  such that  $w_\lambda(-\alpha) \in \Delta_+$ , and  $t_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ .

Using the Bruhat decompositions (11), respectively (12), and that  $P_G|0\rangle = \mathbb{C}^\times|0\rangle$ , respectively  $P_G^i|i\rangle = \mathbb{C}^\times|i\rangle$ , we obtain the Schubert cell decomposition of  $\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_0$  and  $\mathcal{O}_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O} &= \bigcup_{w_\lambda \in W_0} U_{w_\lambda} w_\lambda |0\rangle \quad \text{disjoint union,} \\ \mathcal{O}_i &= \bigcup_{w_\lambda \in W_0} U_{w_\lambda} w_\lambda |i\rangle \quad \text{disjoint union, } i = 0 \text{ or } 1. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

One has (see e.g. [9]):

$$w_\lambda |0\rangle = |\lambda\rangle = \phi_{-\lambda_1} \phi_{-\lambda_2} \cdots \phi_{-\lambda_k} |0\rangle \quad \text{for } G = B$$

and for  $G = D$ :

$$\begin{aligned} w_\lambda |0\rangle &= |\lambda\rangle = \phi_{-\lambda_1 - \frac{1}{2}} \phi_{-\lambda_2 - \frac{1}{2}} \cdots \phi_{-\lambda_{2k} - \frac{1}{2}} |0\rangle \\ w_\lambda |1\rangle &= \begin{cases} |(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2k}, 0)\rangle = \phi_{-\lambda_1 - \frac{1}{2}} \phi_{-\lambda_2 - \frac{1}{2}} \cdots \phi_{-\lambda_{2k} - \frac{1}{2}} \phi_{-\frac{1}{2}} |0\rangle & \text{if } \lambda_{2k} \neq 0 \\ |(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2k-1})\rangle = \phi_{-\lambda_1 - \frac{1}{2}} \phi_{-\lambda_2 - \frac{1}{2}} \cdots \phi_{-\lambda_{2k-1} - \frac{1}{2}} |0\rangle & \text{if } \lambda_{2k} = 0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have to calculate how an element of  $U_{w_\lambda}$  acts on this vector. We describe the case  $G = B$ , the case that  $G = D$  can be determined in a similar way. Note that we should only take vectors  $e_\alpha$  such that  $e_\alpha w_\lambda |0\rangle$  is non-zero. So we may remove all positive roots  $\epsilon_j + \epsilon_{\lambda_n}$  where  $j < \lambda_n$  and  $j \notin \lambda$ . Hence, we may assume that an element of  $B_\infty$  is of the form  $\exp(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_\lambda} t_\alpha e_\alpha)$ , where

$$\Delta_\lambda = \{\epsilon_{\lambda_n}, \epsilon_{\lambda_n} - \epsilon_j, \epsilon_{\lambda_n} + \epsilon_{\lambda_m} \mid n, m = 1, 2, \dots, k, 0 < j < \lambda_n, j \neq \lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_{n+2}, \dots, \lambda_k\},$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_\lambda} t_\alpha e_\alpha\right) &= \exp\left(\sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq k} t_{\lambda_m, \lambda_n} \phi_{\lambda_m} \phi_{\lambda_n}\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{0 < j < \lambda_n, j \notin \lambda} t_{-j, \lambda_n} \phi_{-j} \phi_{\lambda_n}\right) \times \\ &\quad \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^k t_{\lambda_n} \phi_0 \phi_{\lambda_n}\right), \end{aligned}$$

Note that:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(\sum_{1 \leq m < n \leq k} t_{\lambda_m, \lambda_n} \phi_{\lambda_m} \phi_{\lambda_n}\right) &= \prod_{1 \leq m < n \leq k} (1 + t_{\lambda_m, \lambda_n} \phi_{\lambda_m} \phi_{\lambda_n}), \\ \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{0 < j < \lambda_n, j \notin \lambda} t_{-j, \lambda_n} \phi_{-j} \phi_{\lambda_n}\right) &= \prod_{n=1}^k \prod_{0 < j < \lambda_n, j \notin \lambda} (1 + t_{-j, \lambda_n} \phi_{-j} \phi_{\lambda_n}), \\ \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^k t_{\lambda_n} \phi_0 \phi_{\lambda_n}\right) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^k t_{\lambda_n} \phi_0 \phi_{\lambda_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence applying conjugation several times gives respectively

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^k t_{\lambda_n} \phi_0 \phi_{\lambda_n}\right) \phi_{-\lambda_i} \left(1 - \sum_{n=1}^k t_{\lambda_n} \phi_0 \phi_{\lambda_n}\right) &= \phi_{-\lambda_i} + (-1)^{\lambda_i} t_{\lambda_i} \phi_0, \\ \prod_{n=1}^k \prod_{0 < j < \lambda_n, j \notin \lambda} (1 + t_{-j, \lambda_n} \phi_{-j} \phi_{\lambda_n}) (\phi_{-\lambda_i} + (-1)^{\lambda_i} t_{\lambda_i} \phi_0) \prod_{m=1}^k \prod_{0 < j < \lambda_m, j \notin \lambda} (1 - t_{-j, \lambda_m} \phi_{-j} \phi_{\lambda_m}) &= \\ \phi_{-\lambda_i} + (-1)^{\lambda_i} \left(t_{\lambda_i} \phi_0 + \sum_{0 < j < \lambda_i, j \notin \lambda} t_{-j, \lambda_i} \phi_{-j}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \exp\left(\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_\lambda} t_\alpha e_\alpha\right) \phi_{-\lambda_i} \exp\left(-\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_\lambda} t_\alpha e_\alpha\right) &= \\ \prod_{1 \leq m < n \leq k} (1 + t_{\lambda_m, \lambda_n} \phi_{\lambda_m} \phi_{\lambda_n}) \left(\phi_{-\lambda_i} + (-1)^{\lambda_i} \left(t_{\lambda_i} \phi_0 + \sum_{0 < j < \lambda_i, j \notin \lambda} t_{-j, \lambda_i} \phi_{-j}\right)\right) \times \\ \prod_{1 \leq m < n \leq k} (1 - t_{\lambda_m, \lambda_n} \phi_{\lambda_m} \phi_{\lambda_n}) &= \\ = \phi_{-\lambda_i} + (-1)^{\lambda_i} \left(t_{\lambda_i} \phi_0 + \sum_{0 < j < \lambda_i, j \notin \lambda} t_{-j, \lambda_i} \phi_{-j} + \sum_{0 < \ell < i} t_{\lambda_\ell, \lambda_i} \phi_{\lambda_\ell} - \sum_{i < \ell \leq k} t_{\lambda_i, \lambda_\ell} \phi_{\lambda_\ell}\right). \end{aligned}$$

So for a strict partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ , let

$$C_\lambda = U_{w_\lambda} w_\lambda |0\rangle.$$

From the observation on  $U_{w_\lambda}$  above, we find that the dimension of the corresponding Schubert cell  $C_\lambda$  is  $|\Delta_\lambda| = |\lambda|$ . Moreover, from the calculations above, we find that the element (9) with  $v_j$  given by (10) must be an element of  $C_\lambda$ . The calculations for  $G = D$  can be done in a similar way. We thus obtain

**Proposition 3** (a) *Let  $SP$  be the set of strict partitions  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_k > 0$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{O} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in SP} C_\lambda \quad \text{disjoint union,}$$

where

$$C_\lambda = \left\{ a \left( \phi_{-\lambda_1} + \sum_{j>-\lambda_1} a_{j1} \phi_j \right) \left( \phi_{-\lambda_2} + \sum_{j>-\lambda_2} a_{j2} \phi_j \right) \cdots \left( \phi_{-\lambda_k} + \sum_{j>-\lambda_k} a_{jk} \phi_j \right) |0 \right\} \quad (14)$$

and  $a, a_{j\ell} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $a \neq 0$ .

(b) Let  $ESP$  be the set of extended strict partitions  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_k \geq 0$ , and let  $ESP_0$ , respectively  $ESP_1$ , be the subsets of  $ESP$ , consisting of extended strict partitions  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$  with  $k$  even, respectively odd. Then

$$\mathcal{O}_i = \bigcup_{\lambda \in ESP_i} D_\lambda \quad (i = 0, 1),$$

where

$$D_\lambda = \left\{ a \left( \phi_{-\lambda_1 - \frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j>-\lambda_1} a_{j1} \phi_j \right) \left( \phi_{-\lambda_2 - \frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j>-\lambda_2} a_{j2} \phi_j \right) \cdots \left( \phi_{-\lambda_k - \frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j>-\lambda_k} a_{jk} \phi_j \right) |0 \right\} \quad (15)$$

and  $a, a_{j\ell} \in \mathbb{C}$ , for all  $1 \leq \ell \leq k$  and  $a \neq 0$ .

## 4 Vertex operators and the BKP hierarchy in the bosonic picture

In this section we will describe the case that  $G = B$ , the case that  $G = D$ , will be done in the next section.

Define the following two generating series:

$$\phi(z) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_j z^{-j}, \quad \alpha(z) = \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \alpha_k z^{-k-1} =: \phi(z) \frac{\phi(-z)}{z} : .$$

Then one has (see e.g. [6] for details):

**Theorem 4** ([3])

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp\left(- \sum_{k<0, \text{odd}} \frac{\alpha_k}{k} z^{-k}\right) \exp\left(- \sum_{k>0, \text{odd}} \frac{\alpha_k}{k} z^{-k}\right).$$

The neutral (twisted) boson-fermion correspondence consists of identifying the space  $V$  with the space  $B = \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_3, t_5, \dots]$  via the vector space isomorphism  $\sigma : F \rightarrow B$  given by

$$\sigma(\alpha_{-m_1} \alpha_{-m_2} \dots \alpha_{-m_s} |0\rangle) = m_1 m_2 \dots m_s t_{m_1} t_{m_2} \dots t_{m_s}.$$

The transported action of the operators  $\alpha_m$  is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma \alpha_{-m} \sigma^{-1}(p(t)) &= m t_m p(t), \\ \sigma \alpha_m \sigma^{-1}(p(t)) &= 2 \frac{\partial p(t)}{\partial t_m}. \end{aligned}$$



Then

$$\sigma\phi(z)\sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}e^{\xi(t,z)}e^{-\eta(t,z)}, \quad \text{where}$$

$$\xi(t,z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t_{2i+1}z^{2i+1}, \quad \eta(t,z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2i+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{2i+1}} z^{-2i-1}.$$

We now rewrite the BKP hierarchy (6), using  $\text{Res}_{z=0} dz \sum_j f_j z^j = f_{-1}$ . Namely, by Theorem 1, we have that  $0 \neq \tau(t) \in B$  is an element of  $\sigma(\mathcal{O})$  if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Res}_{z=0} \frac{dz}{z} \exp \sum_{j>0,\text{odd}} t_j z^j \exp(-2 \sum_{j>0,\text{odd}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \frac{z^{-j}}{j}) \tau \\ & \otimes \exp - \sum_{j>0,\text{odd}} t_j z^j \exp(2 \sum_{j>0,\text{odd}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \frac{z^{-j}}{j}) \tau = \tau \otimes \tau. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Equation (16) is called the BKP hierarchy in the bosonic picture. It is straightforward, using change of variables and Taylor's formula, to rewrite (16) into a generating series of Hirota bilinear equations on the tau-function (see e.g. [4]). However, we will not do that here, but rather concentrate on obtaining polynomial tau-functions of this hierarchy.

Define  $H(t) = \sum_{j>0,\text{odd}} \frac{t_j}{2} \alpha_j$ . Since

$$e^{\sum_{j>0,\text{odd}} \frac{t_j}{2} \alpha_j} e^{-\sum_{k<0,\text{odd}} \frac{\alpha_k}{k} z^{-k}} = e^{\sum_{j>0,\text{odd}} t_j z^j} e^{-\sum_{k<0,\text{odd}} \frac{\alpha_k}{k} z^{-k}} e^{\sum_{j>0,\text{odd}} \frac{t_j}{2} \alpha_j},$$

one finds, using the expression for  $\phi(z)$  of Theorem 4, that

$$e^{H(t)} \phi(z) e^{-H(t)} = e^{\sum_{j>0,\text{odd}} t_j z^j} \phi(z), \quad e^{H(t)} |0\rangle = |0\rangle. \quad (17)$$

Moreover,

$$\tau(t) = \sigma(g|0\rangle) = \langle 0 | e^{H(t)} g | 0 \rangle. \quad (18)$$

Let us calculate the following elements (cf. (9))

$$\langle 0 | e^{H(t)} v_1 v_2 \cdots v_k | 0 \rangle.$$

Define the elementary Schur polynomials  $s_i(t)$  by  $e^{\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j z^j} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_i(t) z^i$  and let  $\tilde{t} = (t_1, 0, t_3, 0, t_5, \dots)$ . Let  $v_j$  be of the form

$$v_j = \phi_{-\lambda_j} + \sum_{n<\lambda_j} a_{-n,j} \phi_{-n}, \quad \text{with } a_{-s,j} = 0 \text{ for } s \ll 0.$$

Since the map  $(s_1(t), s_2(t), \dots, s_k(t)) : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$  is surjective (actually an isomorphism), one can find constants  $c_j = (c_{1j}, c_{2j}, \dots)$  such that

$$1 + \sum_{n<\lambda_j} a_{-n,j} z^{\lambda_j-n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} s_k(c_j) z^k = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_{ij} z^i}.$$

Using this and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{H(t)}v_je^{-H(t)} &= e^{H(t)}\left(\phi_{-\lambda_j} + \sum_{n<\lambda_j} a_{-nj}\phi_{-n}\right)e^{-H(t)} \\
&= \text{Res}_{z=0} e^{H(t)}\phi(z)e^{-H(t)}\left(z^{-\lambda_j-1} + \sum_{n<\lambda_j} a_{-nj}z^{-n-1}\right)dz \\
&= \text{Res}_{z=0} \phi(z)e^{\sum_{\ell,\text{odd}} t_\ell z^\ell}\left(z^{-\lambda_j-1} + \sum_{n<\lambda_j} a_{-nj}z^{-n-1}\right)dz \\
&= \text{Res}_{z=0} \phi(z)e^{\sum_{\ell,\text{odd}} t_\ell z^\ell}z^{-\lambda_j-1}e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty c_{ij}z^i}dz \\
&= \text{Res}_{z=0} \phi(z)e^{\sum_{\ell,\text{odd}} t_\ell z^\ell + \sum_{i=1}^\infty c_{ij}z^i}z^{-\lambda_j-1}dz \\
&= \text{Res}_{z=0} \phi(z)e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty (\tilde{t}_i + c_{ij})z^i}z^{-\lambda_j-1}dz \\
&= \text{Res}_{z=0} \phi(z)\sum_{\ell=0}^\infty s_\ell(\tilde{t} + c_j)z^{\ell-\lambda_j-1}dz \\
&= \sum_{\ell=0}^\infty s_\ell(\tilde{t} + c_j)\phi_{\ell-\lambda_j} := v_j(\tilde{t} + c_j).
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Hence the BKP tau-function that corresponds to an element of the form (9) is the vacuum expectation value

$$\langle 0|v_1(\tilde{t} + c_1)v_2(\tilde{t} + c_2)\cdots v_k(\tilde{t} + c_k)|0\rangle. \tag{20}$$

If  $k = 2\ell$ , this expectation value is equal to (cf. the Appendix of [4] or [9])

$$\langle 0|v_1(\tilde{t} + c_1)v_2(\tilde{t} + c_2)\cdots v_{2\ell}(\tilde{t} + c_{2\ell})|0\rangle = \text{Pf}\left(\langle 0|v_i(\tilde{t} + c_i)v_j(\tilde{t} + c_j)|0\rangle\right)_{ij}. \tag{21}$$

Here Pf stands for the Pfaffian of a  $2\ell \times 2\ell$  skewsymmetric matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ :

$$\text{Pf}(A) = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} a_{\sigma(2i-1),\sigma(2i)},$$

where we take the sum over all permutations  $\sigma$  for which  $\sigma(2i-1) < \sigma(2i+1)$  and  $\sigma(2i-1) < \sigma(2i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ . Note that in the Pfaffian of (21) we only take products of vacuum expectation values  $\langle 0|v_i(\tilde{t} + c_i)v_j(\tilde{t} + c_j)|0\rangle$  with  $i < j$ . If  $k$  is odd there is a problem, the vacuum expectation value is nonzero, but we cannot relate this to a Pfaffian, since the Pfaffian of an odd skewsymmetric matrix is 0. We solve this problem as follows. We can assume that  $k$  is always even; if  $k$  is odd, we add the element  $v_{k+1} = \phi_0$ , since this only changes the vacuum expectation value by a scalar factor:

$$\langle 0|e^{H(t)}v_1v_2\cdots v_kv_{k+1}|0\rangle = \langle 0|e^{H(t)}v_1v_2\cdots v_k\phi_0|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\langle 0|e^{H(t)}v_1v_2\cdots v_k|0\rangle.$$

In this way we get a vacuum expectation value of an even number of  $v_j$ 's, which we can relate to a Pfaffian which is nonzero. Hence, from now on we assume, without loss of generality, that we have an even number of  $v_j$ 's. This means that that such an element is related to a strict partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{2\ell})$  with an even number of parts, where the last part is allowed to be zero. We call such partition an extended strict partitions. Clearly there is a bijection between the this set and the collection of strict partitions.

Let

$$\chi_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j}(c_i, c_j) = \langle 0 | v_i(c_i) v_j(c_j) | 0 \rangle.$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j}(c_i, c_j) &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} s_m(c_i) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} s_{\ell}(c_j) \langle 0 | \phi_{m-\lambda_i} \phi_{\ell-\lambda_j} | 0 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m=\lambda_i}^{\infty} s_m(c_i) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lambda_j} s_{\ell}(c_j) \langle 0 | \phi_{m-\lambda_i} \phi_{\ell-\lambda_j} | 0 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lambda_j} s_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j-\ell}(c_i) s_{\ell}(c_j) \langle 0 | \phi_{\lambda_j-\ell} \phi_{\ell-\lambda_j} | 0 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\chi_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j}(c_i, c_j) = \frac{1}{2} s_{\lambda_i}(c_i) s_{\lambda_j}(c_j) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\lambda_j} (-1)^{\ell} s_{\lambda_i+\ell}(c_i) s_{\lambda_j-\ell}(c_j). \quad (22)$$

Above we assume that  $\lambda_i > \lambda_j \geq 0$ ; in this case we let  $\chi_{\lambda_j, \lambda_i} = -\chi_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j}$ . This function is zero if  $\lambda_j < 0$ .

As a result of Proposition 3 and the above considerations, we obtain the following

**Theorem 5** *All polynomial tau-functions of the BKP hierarchy are, up to a scalar multiple, of the form*

$$\text{Pf} \left( \chi_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j}(\tilde{t} + c_i, \tilde{t} + c_j) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n}, \quad (23)$$

where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{2n})$  is an extended strict partition, i.e.,  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_{2n} \geq 0$ ,  $\tilde{t} = (t_1, 0, t_3, 0, t_5, 0, \dots)$ ,  $c_i = (c_{1i}, c_{2i}, c_{3i}, \dots)$  are constants, and  $\chi_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j}$  is given by (22).

**Proof.** According to Proposition 3, an element in the group orbit  $O$  corresponds to an element in a  $C_{\lambda}$  for  $\lambda$  a strict partition. Thus, we have to determine the image of the elements appearing in such a  $C_{\lambda}$  under the map  $\sigma$  which appears in the neutral boson-fermion correspondence. According to (18) and the calculations (19), this is equal to (20). Since we always may assume that  $k$  is even (for odd  $k$  we add the element  $v_{k+1}(\tilde{t} + c_{k+1}) = \phi_0$ ), using (21) we can express this in the Pfaffian. We thus obtain the desired result. □

If one puts all constants  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  in (23) equal to 0, one obtains the  $Q$ -Schur

functions, giving a result of You [9] that all  $Q$ -Schur functions are tau-functions of the BKP hierarchy.

**Example 6** *One of the polynomial tau-functions corresponding to the partition  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3 > 0$ , corresponds to*

$$v_1 v_2 v_3 |0\rangle = \sqrt{2} v_1 v_2 v_3 \phi_0 |0\rangle.$$

Add  $\lambda_4 = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(v_1 v_2 v_3 |0\rangle) &= \sqrt{2} \langle 0 | e^{H(t)} v_1 v_2 v_3 \phi_0 |0\rangle \\ &= \sqrt{2} \langle 0 | \sum_i s_i(\tilde{t} + c_1) \phi_{i-\lambda_1} \sum_j s_j(\tilde{t} + c_2) \phi_{j-\lambda_2} \sum_\ell s_\ell(\tilde{t} + c_3) \phi_{\ell-\lambda_3} \phi_0 |0\rangle \\ &= \sqrt{2} \text{Pf} \left( \chi_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j}(\tilde{t} + c_i, \tilde{t} + c_j) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 4} \\ &= \sqrt{2} (\chi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\tilde{t} + c_1, \tilde{t} + c_2) \chi_{\lambda_3, 0}(\tilde{t} + c_3, \tilde{t}) - \chi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_3}(\tilde{t} + c_1, \tilde{t} + c_3) \chi_{\lambda_2, 0}(\tilde{t} + c_2, \tilde{t}) + \\ &\quad + \chi_{\lambda_2, \lambda_3}(\tilde{t} + c_2, \tilde{t} + c_3) \chi_{\lambda_1, 0}(\tilde{t} + c_1, \tilde{t})) \\ &= \sqrt{2} (\chi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}(\tilde{t} + c_1, \tilde{t} + c_2) s_{\lambda_3}(\tilde{t} + c_3) - \chi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_3}(\tilde{t} + c_1, \tilde{t} + c_3) s_{\lambda_2}(\tilde{t} + c_2) + \\ &\quad + \chi_{\lambda_2, \lambda_3}(\tilde{t} + c_2, \tilde{t} + c_3) s_{\lambda_1}(\tilde{t} + c_1)), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\chi_{\lambda_i, 0}(\tilde{t} + c_i, \tilde{t}) = s_{\lambda_i}(\tilde{t} + c_i)$ .

## 5 Vertex operators and the DKP hierarchy in the bosonic picture

In the spirit of [10], we embed  $b_\infty$  into  $d_\infty$  by viewing  $b_\infty$  as the fixed points of the involution  $\iota$  on  $DCl$  that sends  $\phi_{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$  to  $\phi_{\mp\frac{1}{2}}$  and fixes all other  $\phi$ 's. Then,

$$b_\infty = \{x \in d_\infty \mid \iota(x) = x\}.$$

Define as in the  $B$ -case

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(z) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_j z^{-j} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \phi_{\frac{1}{2}}) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \phi_{-i-\frac{1}{2}} z^i + (-1)^i \phi_{i+\frac{1}{2}} z^{-i} \right), \\ \alpha(z) &= \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} \alpha_k z^{-k-1} =: \phi(z) \frac{\phi(-z)}{z} : . \end{aligned}$$

There is a slight difference with the  $B$ -case in the sense that  $V_D = V_0 \oplus V_1$  and that

$$\sigma(V_D) = \mathbb{C}[\theta, t_1, t_3, \dots], \quad \text{where } \theta \text{ is a Grassmann variable,}$$

i.e.,  $\theta^2 = 0$  and  $\theta$  commutes with all  $t_i$ . Then,

$$\sigma \phi(z) \sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \theta + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) e^{\xi(t,z)} e^{-\eta(t,z)}, \quad \sigma \frac{\phi_{-\frac{1}{2}} - \phi_{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma^{-1} = \frac{\theta - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Hence,

$$S_D = S_B - \frac{1}{2} \left( \theta - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) \otimes \left( \theta - \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right)$$

Hence equation (6) turns into (16) for  $\tau = \tau_0(t)$  or  $\tau = \tau_1(t)\theta$  and (8) turns into

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{z=0} \frac{dz}{z} \exp \left( \sum_{j>0, \text{odd}} t_j z^j \right) \exp \left( -2 \sum_{j>0, \text{odd}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \frac{z^{-j}}{j} \right) \tau_0 \\ \otimes \exp \left( - \sum_{j>0, \text{odd}} t_j z^j \right) \exp \left( 2 \sum_{j>0, \text{odd}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_j} \frac{z^{-j}}{j} \right) \tau_1 = \tau_1 \otimes \tau_0. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We now want to determine  $\tau_0(t) = \sigma(v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{2\ell} | 0)$  and  $\tau_1(t)\theta = \sigma(v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{2\ell+1} | 0)$  where  $v_i$  is given by (10). As in the BKP case,

$$\tau_0(t) = \langle 0 | e^{H(t)} v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{2\ell} | 0 \rangle, \quad \tau_1(t) = \langle 0 | \phi_{\frac{1}{2}} e^{H(t)} v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{2\ell+1} | 0 \rangle =$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} v_j &= \phi_{-\lambda_j - \frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{n < \lambda_j} a_{-n - \frac{1}{2}, j} \phi_{-n - \frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \phi_{-\lambda_j} + \sum_{\lambda_j > n \in \mathbb{Z}} b_{-n, j} \phi_{-n} + b_j (\phi_{-\frac{1}{2}} - \phi_{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \text{Res}_{z=0} \phi(z) \left( z^{-\lambda_j - 1} + \sum_{n < \lambda_j} b_{-n, j} z^{-n-1} \right) dz + b_j (\phi_{-\frac{1}{2}} - \phi_{\frac{1}{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

where for  $k \geq 1$ :

$$b_{-k, j} = a_{-k - \frac{1}{2}, j}, \quad b_{k, j} = (-1)^k a_{k + \frac{1}{2}, j}, \quad b_{0, j} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a_{\frac{1}{2}, j} + a_{-\frac{1}{2}, j}), \quad b_j = \frac{1}{2} (a_{-\frac{1}{2}, j} - a_{\frac{1}{2}, j}).$$

Then similarly to the calculations in (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{H(t)} v_j e^{-H(t)} &= \text{Res}_{z=0} e^{H(t)} \phi(z) e^{-H(t)} \left( z^{-\lambda_j - 1} + \sum_{n < \lambda_j} b_{-n, j} z^{-n-1} \right) dz + b_j (\phi_{-\frac{1}{2}} - \phi_{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \text{Res}_{z=0} \phi(z) e^{\sum_{\ell, \text{odd}} t_\ell z^\ell} \left( z^{-\lambda_j - 1} + \sum_{n < \lambda_j} b_{-n, j} z^{-n-1} \right) dz + b_j (\phi_{-\frac{1}{2}} - \phi_{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} s_\ell (\tilde{t} + c_j) \phi_{\ell - \lambda_j} + b_j (\phi_{-\frac{1}{2}} - \phi_{\frac{1}{2}}) := v_j(\tilde{t} + c_j; b_j). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Define, in a similar way as for  $G = B$  for  $i < j$  hence  $\lambda_i > \lambda_j$ :

$$\rho_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j}(c_i, c_j; b_i, b_j) = \langle 0 | v_i(c_i; b_i) v_j(c_j; b_j) | 0 \rangle.$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j}(c_i, c_j; b_i, b_j) \\
&= \langle 0 | \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} s_m(c_i) \phi_{m-\lambda_i} + b_i(\phi_{-\frac{1}{2}} - \phi_{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} s_\ell(c_j) \phi_{\ell-\lambda_j} + b_j(\phi_{-\frac{1}{2}} - \phi_{\frac{1}{2}}) \right) | 0 \rangle \\
&= b_j s_{\lambda_i}(c_i) \langle 0 | \phi_0 \phi_{-\frac{1}{2}} | 0 \rangle - b_i s_{\lambda_j}(c_j) \langle 0 | \phi_{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_0 | 0 \rangle - b_i b_j \langle 0 | \phi_{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{-\frac{1}{2}} | 0 \rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=\lambda_i}^{\infty} s_m(c_i) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lambda_j} s_\ell(c_j) \langle 0 | \phi_{m-\lambda_i} \phi_{\ell-\lambda_j} | 0 \rangle \\
&= \left( s_{\lambda_i}(c_i) - \sqrt{2} b_i \right) \left( s_{\lambda_j}(c_j) + \sqrt{2} b_j \right) \langle 0 | \phi_0 \phi_0 | 0 \rangle \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell=0}^{\lambda_j-1} s_{\lambda_i+\lambda_j-\ell}(c_i) s_\ell(c_j) \langle 0 | \phi_{\lambda_j-\ell} \phi_{\ell-\lambda_j} | 0 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\rho_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j}(c_i, c_j; b_i, b_j) = \left( \frac{s_{\lambda_i}(c_i)}{\sqrt{2}} - b_i \right) \left( \frac{s_{\lambda_j}(c_j)}{\sqrt{2}} + b_j \right) + \sum_{\ell=1}^{\lambda_j} (-1)^\ell s_{\lambda_i+\ell}(c_i) s_{\lambda_j-\ell}(c_j). \quad (26)$$

Define

$$\rho_{\lambda_j}(c_j; b_j) = \langle 0 | \phi_{\frac{1}{2}} v_j(c_j; b_j) | 0 \rangle,$$

then

$$\rho_{\lambda_j}(c_j; b_j) = s_{\lambda_j}(c_j) \langle 0 | \phi_{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_0 | 0 \rangle + b_j \langle 0 | \phi_{\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{-\frac{1}{2}} | 0 \rangle = \frac{s_{\lambda_j}(c_j)}{\sqrt{2}} + b_j. \quad (27)$$

Thus we proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 7** Let  $\tilde{t} = (t_1, 0, t_3, 0, t_5, 0, \dots)$  and  $c_i = (c_{1i}, c_{2i}, c_{3i}, \dots)$  and  $b_i$  be constants. Denote by  $\tilde{c}_j = \tilde{t} + c_j = (t_1 + c_{1j}, c_{2j}, t_3 + c_{3j}, c_{4j}, \dots)$ .

(a) All polynomial tau-functions in  $V_0$  of the DKP hierarchy are, up to a scalar multiple, of the form

$$\rho_\lambda(\tilde{t}; c_1, \dots, c_{2n}; b_1, \dots, b_{2n}) := \text{Pf} \left( \rho_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j}(\tilde{c}_i, \tilde{c}_j; b_i, b_j) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n}, \quad (28)$$

where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{2n})$  is an extended strict partition, i.e.,  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_{2n} \geq 0$ , and  $\rho_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j}$  is given by (26).

(b) All polynomial tau-functions in  $V_1$  of the DKP hierarchy are, up to a scalar multiple, of the form

$$\rho_\lambda(\tilde{t}; c_1, \dots, c_{2n+1}; b_1, \dots, b_{2n+1}) := \text{Pf}(A), \quad (29)$$

where  $A$  is a  $(2n+2) \times (2n+2)$  skewsymmetric matrix, whose  $k$ -th row is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-\rho_{\lambda_k}(\tilde{c}_k; b_k), -\rho_{\lambda_1, \lambda_k}(\tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_k; b_1, b_k), \dots, -\rho_{\lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k}(\tilde{c}_{k-1}, \tilde{c}_k; b_{k-1}, b_k), 0, \\
& \rho_{\lambda_k, \lambda_{k+1}}(\tilde{c}_k, \tilde{c}_{k+1}; b_k, b_{k+1}), \dots, \rho_{\lambda_k, \lambda_{2n+1}}(\tilde{c}_k, \tilde{c}_{2n+1}; b_k, b_{2n+1}))
\end{aligned}$$

Again  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{2n+1})$  is an extended strict partition, i.e.,  $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots > \lambda_{2n+1} \geq 0$ ,  $\tilde{t} = (t_1, 0, t_3, 0, t_5, 0, \dots)$ ,  $c_i = (c_{1i}, c_{2i}, c_{3i}, \dots)$  and  $b_i$  are constants, and  $\rho_{\lambda_i, \lambda_j}$  is given by (26) and  $\rho_{\lambda_i}$  is given by (27).

(c) Let  $a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ . All polynomial tau functions of the MDKP hierarchy are pairs  $(\tau_0, \tau_1)$ , with either

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_0 &= a_0 \rho_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_{2n+1})}(\tilde{t}; c_1, \dots, c_{j-1}, c_{j+1}, \dots, c_{2n+1}; b_1, \dots, b_{j-1}, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_{2n+1}), \\ \tau_1 &= a_1 \rho_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2n+1}}(\tilde{t}; c_1, \dots, c_{2n+1}; b_1, \dots, b_{2n+1}),\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_0 &= a_0 \rho_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{2n}}(\tilde{t}; c_1, \dots, c_{2n}; b_1, \dots, b_{2n}), \\ \tau_1 &= a_1 \rho_{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_{2n})}(\tilde{t}; c_1, \dots, c_{j-1}, c_{j+1}, \dots, c_{2n}; b_1, \dots, b_{j-1}, b_{j+1}, \dots, b_{2n}).\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

Theorem 7 generalizes the results of Y. You [10], that  $Q$ -Schur functions are polynomial tau-functions of the DKP and MDKP hierarchies.

## References

- [1] Anguelova, I The two bosonizations of the CKP hierarchy: Overview and character identities, in: Representations of Lie algebras, quantum groups and related topics, Contemp. Math. 713 (2018), pp 1–34.
- [2] Date, E. ; Jimbo, M. ; Kashiwara, M. ; Miwa, T. Transformation groups for soliton equations. Euclidean Lie algebras and reduction of the KP hierarchy , Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **18** (1982), 1077–1110.
- [3] Date, E. ; Jimbo, M. ; Kashiwara, M. ; Miwa, T. Transformation groups for soliton equations, in: Nonlinear integrable systems—classical theory and quantum theory eds M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, World Scientific, (1983), 39–120.
- [4] Date, E. ; Jimbo, M. ; Kashiwara, M. ; Miwa, T. Transformation groups for soliton equations IV. A new hierarchy of soliton equations of KP type, Physica 4D (1982), 343–365.
- [5] Jimbo, M. ; Miwa, T. Solitons and infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 19 (1983), no. 3, 943–1001.
- [6] Kac, V. ; van de Leur, J. The geometry of spinors and the multicomponent BKP and DKP hierarchies. The bispectral problem (Montreal, PQ, 1997), 159–202, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 14, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [7] Kac, V.G. ; van de Leur, J. W. Equivalence of formulations of the MKP hierarchy and its polynomial tau-functions, Japanese Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 13 (2) (2018), 235–271.

- [8] Sato, M. Soliton equations as dynamical systems on a infinite-dimensional Grassmann manifold, RIMS Kokyuroku 439(1981), 30-46.
- [9] You, Y. Polynomial solutions of the BKP hierarchy and projective representations of symmetric groups, in *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and groups* (Luminy-Marseille, 1988) Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. 7, 1989, pp 449–464, World Sci.
- [10] You, Y. DKP and MDKP hierarchy of soliton equations, Physica D **50** (1991), 429–462