

Fading of collective attention shapes the evolution of linguistic variants

Diego E Shalom¹, Gabriel Mindlin¹ and Marcos A Trevisan¹

¹ Department of Physics, University of Buenos Aires and Physics Institute of Buenos Aires (IFIBA) CONICET, Argentina.

Language change | collective attention | dynamical model

Language change involves the competition between alternative linguistic forms (1). Notably, the Spanish past subjunctive comes in two perfectly equivalent variants ended in *-ra* or *-se* (2); for instance, in the sentence ‘If I were there’, the verb can be equally translated to *estuviera* or *estuviese*. During a first spontaneous process that began in the Middle Ages, the form *-ra* migrated from the indicative to the subjunctive, displacing the original *-se* form (3–5). A second period started with the creation of the Royal Spanish Academy in 1713, which produced a global standardization process that enforced the official spelling of *-ra* and *-se* as perfectly interchangeable variants (6). Time series extracted from a massive corpus of books (7) show that this standardization produced a boost in the declining old form *-se* that eventually faded, leaving *-ra* as the dominant one in the present time. The time series were successfully fitted by a low dimensional model that integrates two basic collective behaviors: an imitative component, and a fading of collective attention to the institutional regulation. We show that the natural scale over which collective attention fades is inversely proportional to the frequency of use of the verbs.

Alternative linguistic forms such as synonyms (garbage-rubbish), spelling differences (behavior-behaviour) or past-tense regularization (spilt-spilled) are in constant tension and constitute a main cause of language change (8, 9). One empirical problem is that alternative forms are usually not equivalent, making difficult to isolate and model the selection forces that bias the speakers towards either alternative. The case of the Spanish imperfect subjunctive is notable because it comes in two virtually perfectly exchangeable variants (3–5, 10), ended in *-ra* and *-se*, as in *cantara* and *cantase*, from the verb *cantar*, to sing.

The subjunctive is a grammatical mood that expresses states of unreality such as wish, possibility or action that have not yet occurred (e.g. ‘Wish you were here’); this mood is often contrasted with the indicative, used mainly to point that something is a statement of fact (e.g. ‘You are here’) (5). The Spanish subjunctive presents several outstanding aspects that shaped its evolution over the last six centuries. Notably, *-ra* is the only verbal form which has changed its mood value in the history of Spanish. Its Latin indicative value had been preserved in Old Spanish, together with the conditional value which it had acquired in late Latin. But, from the fourteenth century on, the *-ra* began to be used as an imperfect subjunctive, coming into competition with *-se* and eventually

ousting this form in many varieties of Spanish (2). A long process mediated the ascription of these forms to the subjunctive, and it was not until the seventeenth century that they began to occupy contexts reserved to the *-se* form, first in final subordinate sentences and then in the rest of the contexts (11).

The Royal Spanish Academy (RAE) is the official institution responsible for overseeing the Spanish language (6). Founded in 1713 with the mission to promote linguistic unity within and across Spanish-speaking territories (6, 9), the Academy succeeded in producing a global standardization process that enforced the official spelling of a number of linguistic forms, including *-ra* and *-se* as completely interchangeable variants of the past subjunctive in any context, without change of meaning (2, 11, 12).

This background defines two distinct evolutionary periods for the alternative forms: first, a slow spontaneous process in which *-ra* displaced the original *-se* through a major grammatical change; second, the propagation across the population of an external prescription that established de facto the equivalence of *-ra* and *-se* variants. Here we concentrated in this last period, that extends approximately over 300 years (~1700-2000), marked by the dynamics of the collective attention to an external prescription. The problem of how collective attention propagates and eventually fades among large populations is one of importance to understand social behavior, especially in the digital era. Quantitative investigations on news websites and meme propagation in social networks (13, 14) show that two competing effects are present: the growth in the number of people that attend to a given content and the habituation or competition from other content that makes the original less attractive (15).

To explore the dynamics of the equivalent forms after the institutional regulation, we collected tokens of Spanish verbs from the two main Spanish corpora, the Spanish Google books (7) and the New Historical Spanish Dictionary (CDH) from the Royal Spanish Academy (16) (see Methods). Figure 1a shows the frequency of the first 100 verbs in subjunctive mood, computed in the period 1700-2000. As expected, verbs follow the Zipf's law (17).

From all collected tokens, we computed the number of each subjunctive variant N_{ra} and N_{se} on a yearly basis. In Figure 1b we show traces of the relative frequency for *-se*, $s = N_{se}/(N_{ra} + N_{se})$ for verbs of different frequency in the list. The traces show that the *-se* form systematically increased its popularity during the eighteenth century, which is also supported by analyses on small private corpora (10). Then, after attaining a maximum value around 1800, the trend reversed and the form *-se* decreased monotonically, making *-ra* the dominant form observed at the present time across the Spanish speaking countries (10).

As we go back in time, the number of books per year diminishes and the collected tokens become increasingly sparse. We therefore bounded our analyses to the period beginning at year 1750 (vertical line) for which subjunctive instances for all verbs are greater than 1000 tokens per year in the Google Books corpus (Figure S1). The amount of tokens per year depends in turn of each

specific verb. We required the existence of subjunctive instances in each 5-year window within the period 1750-2000, which holds for the top 40 most frequent verbs (see Methods).




Figure 1 | Evolution of the fraction -se in the period 1750-2000. **a**, we computed the frequency of subjunctive tokens (normalized to the size of the corpus) for the 100 most used Spanish verbs, which follow the Zipf's law. **b**, time traces of the -se fraction of poder (to be able), ver (to see) and resultar (to result), representatives of high, medium and low frequency of use (Spanish corpus of Google Books). Time traces show an overshoot circa yr. 1800. The vertical line at yr. 1750 indicates the cutoff point after which the density of forms -ra and -se is enough for data analysis.

Recently, a discrete model for the competition of linguistic variants under different enforcements was presented (9). Notably, control on a few parameters allows fitting the model to experimental data of spontaneous competitive processes, or under the action of formal or informal authorities. In particular, the model was used to fit the evolution of the fractions s and r in the period 1800-2000. According to the model, at each year t writers contribute to the corpus of books in this way: a fraction c of them use the -ra convention; the rest of them (fraction $1 - c$) either follow the institutional enforcement E (fraction γ) or sample the current distribution (fraction $1 - \gamma$). With these ingredients, the model reads:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{t+1} &= (1 - c)[\gamma E_r + (1 - \gamma)r_t] + c \\ s_{t+1} &= (1 - c)[\gamma E_s + (1 - \gamma)s_t] \end{aligned}$$

The dominance of -ra is guaranteed by the asymmetry introduced by the parameter c in the first equation. The fractions E_r and E_s represent the institutional enforcement that bias writers towards either convention. Setting $E_r = E_s = 1/2$ to account for the equivalence of both forms,

the authors of the work successfully fitted the time series of the variants in the period 1800-2000, when $-se$ decreases monotonically (9).

We capitalized on this to model the evolution of the fractions from the early eighteenth century on. We first assumed no intrinsic asymmetry between conventions ($c = 0$). Taking the continuum limit ($x_{t+1} - x_t \rightarrow \dot{x}$) and defining $\tau = 1/\gamma$, the equation for $-se$ reads

$$\tau \dot{s} = -s + E_s(t), \quad (1)$$

with an equivalent equation for the fraction $-ra$ (r). Here, the function $E_s(t)$ does not represent the bias produced by the regulation itself, but rather the time-dependent attraction effect produced by the external prescription. Setting

$$E_s(t) = a\tau e^{-t/\tau} \quad (2)$$

we assumed that attention was attracted towards the ousted form $-se$, which faded exponentially among the population (15). The resulting equation is solved by the functions

$$s(t) = (at + s_0) e^{-t/\tau} \quad (3)$$

that overshoot the stimulus $E_s(t)$ and then follow the exponential decay, a picture that qualitatively describes the behavior of the time series shown in Figure 1b, where $-se$ gains popularity before decaying (Figure 1b and Supplementary Figure S3). To quantify this, we associated each verb with the pair of parameters (s_0, τ) in eq. (3). The value of s_0 represents the $-se$ fraction at the beginning of the period (we set $t = 0$ at yr. 1750) and τ is the lifetime of the exponential decay $E_s(t)$. A single a value was calculated for the complete set of verbs, $a = 0.027 \pm 0.004$ (see Methods).

Figures 1b and S3 show the time traces and fitted curves within the period 1750-2000. As a control, we verified that the values obtained for s_0 are positively correlated with the values of the $-se$ fraction at year 1750, computed from the independent CDH corpus (Figure 2a). More interestingly, Figure 2b shows that relaxation times τ are inversely related to the frequency of use ν of the verbs, following the power law $\tau = \nu^{-\beta}$ ($\beta \sim 0.14$).

In this description, $E_s(t)$ is interpreted as the fraction of writers driven by attention to the variant $-se$, and consequently $E_s(t) = a\tau e^{-t/\tau} \leq a\tau \leq 1$. As expected by the statistical interpretation of the model, this holds for low relaxation times ($\tau \leq 1/a \sim 43$ years, see Figure 2b) that correspond to highly popular verbs. However, the model successfully fits experimental traces for all verbs, even those of much lower frequencies than the required by the statistical interpretation. This invites to consider the system of equations 1 and 2 as a more abstract model for the variants, which can be rewritten as the autonomous 2-dimensional linear system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{s} \\ \dot{e}_s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1/\tau & 1 \\ 0 & -1/\tau \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ e_s \end{pmatrix}$$

where $e_s = E_s/\tau$. For this system, trajectories in phase space (s, e_s) approach the origin without oscillations and faster than any other linear system. This borderline case describes many natural phenomena, as cascades of chemical reactions (18) and critically damped oscillators (19). From this abstract perspective, the onset of the regulation acts as a perturbation $e_s(0) = a$ that kicks the system away from the equilibrium $(0,0)$, to which it then relaxes with a timescale τ inversely proportional to the frequency ν of each verb.




Figure 2 | Relaxation times decrease with verb popularity. **a**, the values of s_0 in equation 2 represent the fraction $-se$ at year 1750, fitted with our model using data from the Spanish corpus of Google Books in the period 1750-2000. These values are positively correlated with the mean value of $-se$ in the period 1700-1800 from the independent CDH corpus ($r=0.52$, $b=0.41$, $p<0.002$). The colormap covers the range of verb frequencies, from high (blue) to low (yellow) values. **b**, the most used verbs are less sensitive to novelty effects, evidenced by the inverse relationship between the relaxation timescale τ and frequency of use ν (Deming corrected linear regression, $r=0.50$, $p<0.003$).

The competition of subjunctive forms seems to be dominated by a general preference for the form $-ra$, a fact that holds across all Spanish speaking countries. Forces that drive selection to one of the alternatives in competition have been explored, and include social and cognitive factors, as well as phonological and biophysical factors (20, 21). Although the forces underlying the preference for $-ra$ rest unknown, the dynamics that drive speakers towards the preferred form are modulated by collective behaviors such as the ones analyzed in the present work.

Here we capitalized on the access to massive digital corpora (16, 22) and previous models (9, 13, 15) to advance a simple dynamical system that fits experimental traces of imperfect subjunctive

variants collected from written texts. The model integrates two basic forms of collective behavior: an imitative component (writers contribute to the corpus by sampling the current distributions of the variants) and a novelty component (writers temporarily pay attention to the declining form).

Our main findings are that 1. the model successfully fits experimental time traces starting at year 1750, close to the external regulation that stated the equivalence of the variants. This regulation acted as a perturbation that unfolded the underlying dynamics of the problem, compatible with a linear system in critical regime. As a result of data fitting, we found that 2. the relaxation timescales are in inverse proportion to the verb frequency, which means that popular verbs are less prone to change by novelty. This contributes to form a picture of verbal change, beyond the observed resistance of the most popular verbs to regularization (23).

Imitation and preference to novelty have been also suggested as necessary components for modelling data obtained from the competition of news in the web (13). The integration of these two basic behaviors allows then to understand diverse competing objects, with lifetimes that range from some hours or days for memes (14) and news (13) to decades for verbs. This suggests a general mechanism underlying cultural evolution, and provides us with a general framework to study cultural phenomena.

Methods summary

We extracted the list of 889 Spanish verbs with more than one instance of imperfect subjunctive from the LexEsp corpus (24). For each verb, we built the list of all conjugations in both imperfect subjunctive forms: *-ra* (cantara, cantarás, cantáramos, cantarais, cantaran) and *-se* (cantase, cantases, cantásemos, cantáseis, cantasen). These tokens were then collected from the Google Books (22) and CDH (16) corpora. From all collected tokens, we selected those corresponding to verbs that occurred at least once in each 5-years window from 1750 on (see supplementary Figure S1). This condition was met for the top 40 most used Spanish verbs in the Google books corpus. The frequency of use of each verb was computed as the ratio between all the conjugations for the simple past subjunctive collected in the corpus and the size of the corpus ($8.4 \cdot 10^{10}$ tokens).

In the absence of regulation, many orthographic forms coexisted in Old Spanish. For instance, the verb *haber* (to have) included the following *-se* orthographic variants: *oviese*, *oviesse*, *hobiese* and *hubiese*. We verified that none of the archaic forms were present after 1700 (see Supplementary Figure S2) and therefore only the modern past subjunctive forms were collected and analyzed.

Four verbs were explicitly excluded from our analyses. The verbs *ser* (to be) and *haber* (to have) serve a different function, as auxiliars for the compound tenses in Spanish. Also, the verb *ir* (to go) shares the same imperfect past subjunctive with the verb *ser* (to be), i.e. *fuera/fuese*. For these reasons, those cases were a priori excluded from our analyses. The time trace of the verb *deber* (should) was not fitted by the model and was also excluded from the analysis.

Parameters a , τ and s_0 of equation (3) were fitted to the experimental time traces using a standard least squares method (Figure S3). The pairs (s_0, τ) for each verb in the set were calculated as a function of a , whose value was then set as the one that minimizes the sum of errors for all verbs. The range of $a = 0.027 \pm 0.004$ was determined by bootstrapping (100 repetitions of 2 randomly chosen verbs).

Acknowledgements

Marcos Trevisan thanks Damián Decuzzi and María Giannoni for enlightening conversations. The research in this work was partially funded by the Concejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), the University of Buenos Aires (UBA) and NIH through R01-DC-012859.

References

1. Labov W *Principles of linguistic change* (Blackwell).
2. Penny R, Penny RJ (2002) *A History of the Spanish Language* (Cambridge University Press).
3. Alonso ER (2002) El subjuntivo en oraciones causales del español medieval. *Arch Filol Aragon* 59:765–780.
4. Veiga A (2014) ¿Por qué “Pretérito Imperfecto de Subjuntivo”? *Liburna*:99–116.
5. Aguilar RC (1990) Sobre la historia del subjuntivo español. *Actas Del Congreso de La Sociedad Española de Lingüística XX Aniversario* (Gredos, Tenerife).
6. Pochat MT (2001) Historia de la real academia española. *Olivar* 2(2):249–253.
7. Michel J-B, et al. (2011) Quantitative analysis of culture using millions of digitized books. *Science* 331(6014):176–82.
8. Labov W (2011) *Principles of linguistic change, volume 3: Cognitive and cultural factors* (John Wiley & Sons).
9. Amato R, Lacasa L, Díaz-Guilera A, Baronchelli A (2018) The dynamics of norm change in the cultural evolution of language. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*:201721059.
10. Ramírez Luengo JL (2006) Para la historia de “si tendría”: empleo del pretérito de subjuntivo en la Guipúzcoa del siglo XVIII. *Oihenart* 21:395–408.
11. Manzano MG (2006) La evolución de los tiempos verbales en el español del Siglo de Oro a través de las primeras gramáticas. *Res Diachronicae* 5:15–26.
12. López JF, others (2009) El español de América. *Contrib a las Ciencias Soc* (2009-04).
13. Leskovec J, Backstrom L, Kleinberg J (2009) Meme-tracking and the Dynamics of the News Cycle. *Proc 15th ACM SIGKDD Int Conf Knowl Discov data mining ACM*:497–506.
14. Weng L, Flammini A, Vespignani A, Menczer F (2012) Competition among memes in a world with limited attention. *Sci Rep*:1–9.
15. Wu F, Huberman BA (2007) Novelty and collective attention. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 104(45).
16. Real Academia Española: CORDE database (online). Available at: <http://www.rae.es> [Accessed September 18, 2018].
17. Zipf GK (1933) Selected Studies of the Principle of Relative Frequency in Language. *Language (Baltim)* 9(1):89–92.
18. Wilson HR (2005) *Spikes, decisions, and actions* (Oxford University Press, Oxford).
19. Keener J, Sneyd J (2009) *Mathematical Physiology* eds Antman S, Marsden J, L S (Springer,

- New York). second doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2013.03.019.
20. Newberry MG, Ahern CA, Clark R, Plotkin JB (2017) Detecting evolutionary forces in language change. *Nat Publ Gr* 551(7679):223–226.
 21. Assaneo MF, Nichols JJ, Trevisan MA (2011) The Anatomy of Onomatopoeia. *PLoS One* 6(12):e28317.
 22. Michel J-B, et al. (2010) Quantitative Analysis of Culture Using Millions of Digitized Books. *Science* (80-) 331(January):176–183.
 23. Lieberman E, Michel J, Jackson J, Tang T, Nowak MA (2007) Quantifying the evolutionary dynamics of language. *Nature* 449(October):713–716.
 24. Sebastián-Gallés N (2000) *LEXESP: Léxico informatizado del español* (Edicions Universitat Barcelona).

Supplementary Information




Figure S1 | Past subjunctive tokens for the 50 most used Spanish verbs in the Google Books (red) and CDH (blue) corpora. Counts of Spanish past subjunctive verbal forms in the two corpora.




Figure S2 | Modern verbal forms for –ra and –se variants became standardized at the early eighteenth century (CDH corpus). Blue lines represent appearances of the modern past subjunctive of the verb haber (to have) ended in –ra (left panel) and in –se (right panel). Only these forms (hubiera and hubiese) are present in the period analyzed here, from yr. 1700 to 2000. In absence of regulation, different orthographic variants coexisted (as shown for the forms oviera and hobiera, hobiese, ovies(s)e, oviesse and hubiese in the period circa 1500-1700). Counts correspond to 5 years-windows.




Figure S3 | -se fraction in the period 1700-2000 and model fitting. Data from Google Books corpus for the first 48 most used verbs in Spanish.




Figure S3 (cont.) | -se fraction in the period 1700-2000 and model fitting. Data from Google Books corpus for the first 48 most used verbs in Spanish.