

The construction of Ψ^* -algebra by commutator method
from the Bergman projection on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n

by
Dr. H. ISSA

Department of Mathematics and Physics
School of Arts and Science
Lebanese International University
Nov. 01, 2018

Dedication

Dieses Manuskript ist dem Betreuer meiner Doktorarbeit gewidmet. Ich möchte mich herzlich bedanken bei Prof. Dr. Wolfram Bauer für seine Unterstützung während des Studiums.

This manuscript is dedicated to my Ph.D. supervisor Prof. Dr. Wolfram Bauer. These notes and results were partially prepared during my stay in Greifswald University in 2008 and continued during my work at LIU in 2018.

Abstract

The manuscript is devoted to the construction of Ψ^* -algebras containing the Bergman projection on the unit ball B_n of \mathbb{C}^n . Motivated by the local properties for pseudo-differential operators with symbols in the Hörmander classes $S_{\rho,\delta}^0$, the notion for Ψ^* -algebras were introduced. These are Fréchet algebras and being closed under holomorphic functional calculus. We consider the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{L}(L^2(B_n))$ of bounded operator acting on the Hilbert space of square integrable functions on B_n with respect to the standard probability measure. We search for spectral invariant Fréchet subalgebras of $\mathcal{L}(L^2(B_n))$ containing the Bergman projection P which is defined on $L^2(B_n)$ with values in the space of holomorphic functions. We use the commutator method as introduced by Gramsch in [17]. This method generalizes the work of R. Beals for the spectral invariance of pseudodifferential operators (cf. [5, 36]). Roughly speaking, one need not to work on the Hörmander classes [22] but can describe the algebra of pseudodifferential operators as continuous commutator between scales of Sobolev spaces. To connect the analytical properties to the geometry of the unit ball [20], we search for linear tangent vector fields X on the the unit sphere ∂B_n so that the commutator $[X, P]$ has a continuous extension to $L^2(B_n)$. In comparison to the work of Bauer in [2, 8] for the case of the Fischer-Fock space it turns out that the results are completely different. Our first contribution states that the set of vector fields which provides the Ψ^* -algebra for the Fock space fails even to provide a continuity with the Bergman projection in the case of the unit ball. Our second contribution, is the construction of vector fields being tangent to the unit sphere which provides a new Ψ^* -algebra containing the Bergman projection of the unit ball. Roughly speaking, we prove that every linear vector field on the unit sphere commutes with the Bergman projection on the unit ball.

Contents

Introduction	3
1 Introduction	7
2 Some types of algebras	9
2.1 Algebras and Banach algebras	9
2.2 The spectrum in Banach algebras	14
3 Invariance under holomorphic calculus	17
3.1 Spectral invariant subalgebras	17
3.2 Holomorphic Banach algebras valued functions	19
3.3 The holomorphic functional calculus	22
3.4 Invariance under holomorphic functional calculus	26
4 More types of algebras	29
4.1 Fréchet spaces and Fréchet algebras	29
4.2 C^* -algebras	34
4.2.1 Involutions and \star -algebras	34
4.2.2 C^* -algebras	36
4.3 Ψ^* -algebras	39
5 Pseudodifferential operators	41
5.1 Oscillatory integrals	41
5.2 Pseudodifferential operators	45
5.3 The algebra of Pseudodifferential operators	47
6 Bergman spaces	55
6.1 RKHS and Bergman spaces	55
6.2 Bergman space over the unit ball	57

6.3	The Segal Bargmann space	59
7	Ψ^*-algebra and the Bergman projection	61
7.1	Motivation and the main problem	61
7.2	The commutator method for Ψ^* -algebra	62
7.3	Continuity results: case of constant coefficients	65
7.4	Continuity results: case of linear vector fields	69
7.5	Conclusion and open problems	70

Chapter 1

Introduction

Motivated by the work of W. Bauer in [2, 8] for constructing Ψ^* -algebras starting from the Bergman projection on the Segal-Bargmann space, we consider a similar question in the more complicated situation i.e. in the unit ball \mathbb{B}_n of \mathbb{C}^n . Following recent results which describes the commutator property between Toeplitz operators by the geometric invariance of these symbols inside the ball and not by smoothness of the symbols, it turns out that algebraic properties are being held beneath the geometry of the balls. Roughly speaking, symbols which are invariant under the group action of maximal subgroup of the automorphism of the unit ball provides commutative Banach algebras (cf. [20]). The case of the higher dimensional complex space is completely different and automorphisms forms an infinite dimensional group. This addresses us to compare the two situation and whether we can use the geometry of the space to obtain some algebraic properties on operators. In [2, 8], W. Bauer found a collection of linear vector fields so that the commutator is being uniquely extended by continuity and a Ψ^* -algebra was constructed starting from the Bergman projection on the complex space. The importance of Ψ^* -algebras lies behind their topological properties as being Fréchet -algebras continuously embedded in a C^* -algebra. Moreover, they are inverse closed and so holomorphic functional calculus can be done. The idea behind introducing these algebras and relaxing the notion of C^* -algebras dates back to the work of R. Beals in [5] for pseudodifferential operators. Roughly speaking, for $\rho, \delta \in [0, 1]$ with $\delta < \rho$, pseudodifferential operators with symbols in the Hörmander classes $S_{\rho, \delta}^0(\Omega)$ defines a collection of (extended) bounded operators on $L^2(\Omega)$ forming a Fréchet embedded subalgebra and being closed under holomorphic functional calculus. The approach of Beals did not depend on considering the Hörmander symbols but rather defining a scale of bounded operators between Sobolev spaces using derivations and multiplication. In fact, he showed

that the obtained collection of pseudodifferential operators coincides with the following

$$\Psi_{\rho,\delta}^0 := \{a \in \mathcal{L}(H^0) \mid ad(M)^\alpha ad(\partial)^\alpha(a) \in \cap_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}(H^{s-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}, H^s), \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n\},$$

where M denotes the multiplication by the real coordinates and H^s are the Sobolev spaces. Motivated by the work of Beals, Gramsch introduced the notion of Ψ^* -algebras and gave a general way for construction such algebras (cf. [17, 19]). Indeed, given a Hilbert space H and fix $a \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. Consider a finite family \mathcal{V} of densely defined closed operators (derivations) on H we require that all the iterated commutators

$$[[a, V_1], V_2, \dots], \quad V_j \in \mathcal{V}$$

are well defined on a suitable dense subset of H and they admit an extension in $\mathcal{L}(H)$. This produces a Ψ^* -algebra in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ (cf. Section 7.2 for the construction).

In connection to the analysis Toeplitz operators on the unit ball $\mathbb{B}_n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, we consider the space $L^2(B_n) := L^2(\mathbb{B}_n, d\mu)$ of square-integrable complex valued functions on \mathbb{B}_n , where μ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n normalizing \mathbb{B}_n . Then the space of entire function in $L^2(B_n)$ forms a closed subspace, denoted by $\mathbb{H}^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$, and is called the Bergman space on the unit ball.

The thesis is devoted to answer the following problems:

- (I) Let $a = P$ and the Consider the set of vector fields generated by linear combinations of $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}$ (or $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_k}$). This set generates a Ψ -algebra containing the projection onto the Segal Bargmann space. Now let $a = P$ and consider the same set of vector fields. By studying the extension of continuity of the commutator of these vector fields with P , can we obtain a Ψ^* -algebra in $L^2(B_n)$ containing the Bergman projection on the unit ball.
- (II) Can we construct a collection of vector fields being tangent to the unit sphere and provides a Ψ^* -algebra in $L^2(B_n)$ containing the Bergman projection on the unit ball? Do linear vector fields provide such an algebra?

Regarding Problem (I) we show that such a Ψ^* -algebra can not be obtained. The detailed proof is given in Section 7.3 Addressing Problem (II), we show that every linear vector field on the sphere commutes with Bergman projection. In Section 7.5, we collect the results and compare the case of the Bergman space over the unit ball to that of the Fock-space and provide some open problems motivated by our work.

Chapter 2

Some types of algebras

In this chapter we investigate some basic properties on several types of algebras. First section is devoted to Banach algebras \mathcal{B} with standard examples. In the second section, we are interested in the invertible elements of a unital Banach algebra. We introduce the basic properties of the spectrum in a Banach algebra.

2.1 Algebras and Banach algebras

We start by introducing the notion and the basic properties of an algebra.

Definition 2.1.1 *A vector space \mathcal{E} over \mathbb{C} is called an algebra if \mathcal{E} is endowed with a multiplication map*

$$\mu : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E}$$

such that μ is bilinear and associative. The multiplication between 2 elements $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$ is usually denoted by $x.y$, i.e. $\mu(x, y) = x.y$. Thus for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, the conditions of an algebra are given as follows:

1. $x.(\lambda y + z) = \lambda xy + xz$ and
2. $(x.y).z = x.z + y.z$.

From now on, an algebra will be denoted by \mathcal{A} .

Definition 2.1.2 *Suppose there is an element $e \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying*

$$e.x = x.e = x$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$. Then \mathcal{A} is called a unital algebra and the element e is called identity element or unit.

It is direct from the definition of the neutral element that in case of existence, the neutral element is unique. It should be mentioned that not every algebra is a unital algebra. Indeed, the space of all real valued functions defined on \mathbb{R} , whose limit at infinity is 0, is an algebra under the point wise multiplication without a unit.

Example 2.1.1 The above mentioned algebra is denoted by

$$\mathcal{A} = \{f : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0\}$$

with the following operations:

1. $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$
2. $(f.g)(x) = [f(x)].[g(x)]$
3. $(\lambda f)(x) = \lambda(f(x))$

with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$.

If we consider $f(x) = \frac{1}{1+x^2} \in \mathcal{A}$ then

$$\frac{e(x)}{1+x^2} = \frac{1}{1+x^2} \Leftrightarrow e(x) = 1$$

but $e(x) \notin \mathcal{A}$ since $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e(x) \neq 0$, this shows that \mathcal{A} has no unital element.

In the below definition we review the notion of a Banach algebra.

Definition 2.1.3 Let \mathcal{A} be a unital algebra. Suppose \mathcal{A} is endowed with a submultiplicative norm, i.e.

$$\|x.y\| \leq \|x\|.\|y\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (2.1.1)$$

Then $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a normed algebra. If in addition, $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space then \mathcal{A} is called a Banach algebra.

From now on, a Banach will be denoted by \mathcal{B} or $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$.

Remark 2.1.1 If \mathcal{B} is a Banach algebra then it is a normed vector space and hence the collection

$$\{B(x, r), x \in \mathcal{B}, r > 0\}$$

form a basis for \mathcal{B} . Moreover, the multiplication map defined on $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}$ by

$$\mu(x, y) = xy$$

is continuous.

We note that the submultiplicativity of the norm ensures that $\|e\| \geq 1$. However, the below proposition shows that we can suppose that $\|e\| = 1$.

Proposition 2.1.1 *Let $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach algebra, then there is $\|\cdot\|'$ equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$ so that $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|')$ is a Banach algebra and $\|e\|' = 1$.*

Proof.

Given $x \in \mathcal{B}$, we define

$$\|x\|' = \sup\{\|xy\|, \|y\| \leq 1\}$$

It is clear that $\|\cdot\|'$ is a submultiplicative norm on \mathcal{B} . Moreover,

$$\|x\| = \|x \cdot \frac{e}{\|e\|}\| \leq \|x\|'$$

so that $\|e\| \|x\| \leq \|x\|'$. Therefore, if (x_n) Cauchy in $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|')$ then (x_n) is Cauchy in $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ hence convergent to some x in \mathcal{B} . Therefore (x_n, y) converges to xy and so (x_n) converges to x in $\|\cdot\|'$. As $\|x\| \leq \frac{1}{\|e\|} \|x\|'$, for all $x \in \mathcal{B}$, by an application of the open mapping theorem, the two norms are equivalent. \square

For convenience, we memorize and rearrange the conditions needed for Banach algebra.

Definition 2.1.4 : *Let $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space then it is a Banach algebra if there is a multiplication map $\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \mapsto \mathcal{B}$ satisfying the following properties: $\forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{B}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$*

1. $(xy)z = x(yz)$
2. $(x + y)z = xz + yz$
3. $x(y + z) = xy + xz$
4. $a(xy) = (ax)y = x(ay)$
5. $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \|y\|$

Moreover, if there is a unit element $e: ex = xe = x \forall x \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\|e\| = 1$ then \mathcal{B} is a unital Banach algebra.

The following are some standard examples of Banach algebras in operator theory and functional spaces.

Example 2.1.2 *Let \mathcal{E} be a Banach space over \mathbb{C} and denote by*

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}) := \{T : \mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathcal{E} \mid T \text{ is linear and bounded}\}.$$

On $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ the addition is given by point wise addition, the multiplication is a composition between two operators, i.e.

1. $(T + S)(f) = Tf + Sf$
2. $(\lambda T)(f) = \lambda(Tf)$
3. $(T.S)f = T(Sf)$

We consider the operator norm on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$, i.e.

$$\|T\|_{op} = \sup\left\{\frac{\|Tf\|}{\|f\|} \mid f \in \mathcal{E} \text{ and } f \neq 0\right\}$$

since \mathcal{E} is Banach then $(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}), \|\cdot\|_{op})$ is a Banach space. Moreover the identity map on \mathcal{E} is the unit element with $\|id\|_{op} = 1$. Furthermore given T, S in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ and $f \in \mathcal{E}$ with $f \neq 0$, we have

$$\frac{\|T.Sf\|}{\|f\|} \leq \sup_{Sf \neq 0} \frac{\|T.Sf\|}{\|Sf\|} \cdot \sup_{\|f\| \neq 0} \frac{\|Sf\|}{\|f\|}$$

This shows that $\|TS\|_{op} \leq \|T\|_{op}\|S\|_{op}$ i.e. $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ is submultiplicative. It follows that $(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E}), \|\cdot\|_{op})$ is a Banach algebra. The fact that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{E})$ is Banach is standard since \mathcal{E} is Banach

The below example is a particular case of the previous one in the finite dimensional case.

Example 2.1.3 Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote by $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ the set of all square matrices whose entries are in \mathbb{C} . It is well known that $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ coincides with the set of all endomorphisms on \mathbb{C}^n . Given a norm $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathbb{C}^n , we consider the induced norm on $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\|A\|_{ind} = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|}{\|x\|}$$

In fact there is an isometric isomorphism between $(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \|\cdot\|_{ind})$ and $(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n), \|\cdot\|_{op})$, hence $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a unital Banach algebra with $e = I_n$ the identity matrix. In particular, \mathbb{C} under the usual addition and multiplication by complex numbers (with the norm being the modulus) is a Banach algebra.

Remark 2.1.2 We consider the Frobenius norm $\|\cdot\|_F$ on $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2},$$

where $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. The submultiplicativity of the Frobenius norm insures that $(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \|\cdot\|_F)$ is a unital Banach algebra, but $\|e\|_F = \|I_n\|_F = \sqrt{n} > 1$ for $n > 1$.

Example 2.1.4 Let \mathcal{W} be a non-empty set and $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathcal{W})$ be the space of all bounded complex valued functions defined on \mathcal{W} . Under the point wise addition and multiplication, $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathcal{W})$ is a unital Banach algebra with the supremum norm given by

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{W}} |f(x)|$$

Indeed, given a Cauchy sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $(\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathcal{W}), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ then for each $x \in \mathcal{W}$ we have $\{f_n(x)\}$ is Cauchy in \mathbb{C} and hence convergent.

If we set $f(x) = \lim f_n(x)$, then following the boundedness of the Cauchy sequence $\{f_n\}$ we obtain the boundedness f and the convergence in the supremum norm.

Definition 2.1.5 Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra and \mathcal{A}_1 be a non-empty subset of \mathcal{A} . \mathcal{A}_1 is called a subalgebra of \mathcal{A} if it is an algebra in its own. In other words, \mathcal{A}_1 is a subalgebra if it is closed under the operations of scalar multiplication, addition and multiplication defined on \mathcal{A} . So that given $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we have:

1. $x + y \in \mathcal{A}_1$
2. $\alpha x \in \mathcal{A}_1$
3. $xy \in \mathcal{A}_1$

Remark 2.1.3 It is direct that if \mathcal{S} is a non-empty subset of an algebra \mathcal{A} , then the intersection of all subalgebras containing \mathcal{S} is the minimal subalgebra containing \mathcal{S} . It is often called the subalgebra generated by \mathcal{S} .

The following remark is important for the construction of several Banach algebras.

Remark 2.1.4 Given $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ a Banach algebra and \mathcal{A}_1 a subalgebra of \mathcal{A} which is closed in $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$, then $(\mathcal{A}_1, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}_1})$ is also a Banach algebra.

Example 2.1.5 Let \mathcal{X} be a locally compact Hausdorff space, the space of all bounded and continuous functions on \mathcal{X} with values in \mathbb{C} , denoted by $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{X})$, is a closed subset of $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathcal{X})$. Hence $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{X})$ is a unital algebra in its own. Note that if \mathcal{X} is a compact subset of \mathbb{R} (or \mathbb{C}), then $\mathcal{C}_b(\mathcal{X})$ coincides with $\mathcal{C}_0(\mathcal{X})$, the space of all continuous functions of \mathcal{X} .

Another example on the disk algebra.

Example 2.1.6 Let \mathcal{D} denote the unit open disk in \mathbb{C} . We write $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D})$ to denote the set of holomorphic functions in \mathcal{D} and has continuous extension to $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$. As the uniform limit of holomorphic functions is also holomorphic, then $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{D})$ is closed in $\mathcal{C}_b(\overline{\mathcal{D}})$ and hence a unital Banach algebra.

The uniform convergence doesn't ensure differentiability and thus we can not obtain a Banach algebra. This is ensured in the following example.

Example 2.1.7 $(\mathcal{C}'([-1, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is not Banach. Indeed, consider the sequence of functions $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{C}'([-1, 1])$ given by

$$f_n(x) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n^2} + x^2}$$

The sequence converges uniformly to $|x|$. Hence $(\mathcal{C}'([-1, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is not closed in $\mathcal{L}^\infty([-1, 1])$.

Remark 2.1.5 On $\mathcal{C}'([-1, 1])$, consider

$$\|f\| = \|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty$$

then $(\mathcal{C}'([-1, 1]), \|\cdot\|)$ becomes a Banach algebra. We note that this norm is a submultiplicative norm since

$$\begin{aligned} \|fg\| &= \|fg\|_\infty + \|(fg)'\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty + \|f'g + fg'\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty \|g'\|_\infty \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty \|g\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty \|g'\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty \|g'\|_\infty \\ &= (\|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty)(\|g\|_\infty + \|g'\|_\infty) \\ &= \|f\| \cdot \|g\|. \end{aligned}$$

2.2 The spectrum in Banach algebras

This section is devoted to introduce the spectrum of an element in a unit Banach algebra. The following properties are to be used for the invariance under holomorphic function calculus.

Definition 2.2.1 Let \mathcal{A} be a unital algebra with unit e . Given $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we say that a is invertible if there exist $b \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $ab = ba = e$. The set of all invertible elements in \mathcal{A} is denoted by $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})$, i.e.

$$\text{Inv}(\mathcal{A}) = \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid a \text{ is invertible}\}.$$

For a given $a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})$, we write a^{-1} to denote the inverse of a .

We recall now the definition of the spectrum of an element in a unital algebra

Definition 2.2.2 Let \mathcal{A} be a unital algebra with $e = e_{\mathcal{A}}$ the identity element. For a given $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the spectrum of a in \mathcal{A} denoted by $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ is given by

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda e_{\mathcal{A}} - a \notin \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})\}$$

Below is a first example on the spectrum for the endomorphism on \mathbb{C}^n .

Example 2.2.1 Given $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda I_n - \mathcal{A} \text{ is invertible} &\iff (\lambda I_n - \mathcal{A}) \neq 0 \\ &\iff \lambda \text{ not eigenvalue of } \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\lambda \mid \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } \mathcal{A}\}.$$

Example 2.2.2 Let \mathcal{X} be a compact subset of \mathbb{C} and $f \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda - f \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X})) &\iff \exists g \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}) \text{ such that } (\lambda - f)g = 1 \\ &\iff g = \frac{1}{\lambda - f} \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that by Von-Neumann Lemma, we know that if $a \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying $\|a\| < 1$ then $(e - a) \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ and $(e - a)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n$. The mentioned lemma together with the submultiplicative property of the norm on a unital Banach algebra insure that the set of invertible elements is open.

Proposition 2.2.1 Let $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a unital algebra, then $(\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B}), \|\cdot\|)$ is open in $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|)$.

Proof. Given $a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$, we claim that the ball $B(a, \frac{1}{\|a^{-1}\|})$ is contained in $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$. In fact, if $\|b - a\| < \frac{1}{\|a^{-1}\|}$ then $\|a^{-1}\| \|b - a\| < 1$ so $\|a^{-1}b - e\| < 1$. Hence by Von-Neumann Lemma, $a^{-1}b$ is invertible and therefore $b \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$. \square

Remark 2.2.1 Following the above proof and the fact that $e \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$, we proved $B(e, 1) \subset \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$.

The fact that $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ is open in \mathcal{B} insures that the spectrum is a closed subset of \mathbb{C} . Moreover, the spectrum is a compact subset of \mathbb{C} as stated in the below proposition.

Proposition 2.2.2 Let \mathcal{B} be a unital subalgebra. Given $a \in \mathcal{B}$, the spectrum of a , $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(a) = \sigma(a)$, is compact subset of \mathbb{C} .

Proof. We construct an isometry between the complex plane and the Banach algebra \mathcal{B} . Let $\varphi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be the map defined by

$$\varphi(\lambda) = \lambda e - a$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\varphi(\lambda) - \varphi(\mu)\| &= \|(\lambda e - a) - (\mu e - a)\| \\ &= \|(\lambda - \mu)e\| \\ &= |\lambda - \mu|.\end{aligned}$$

Hence φ is an isometry and $\varphi^{-1}(\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})) = \{\lambda \mid \lambda - a \text{ is invertible}\} = \mathbb{C}$. As $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ is open then $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(a)$ is closed. Moreover, given $|\lambda| > \|a\|$ then by Von-Neumann Lemma, we know that $e - \lambda^{-1}a$ is invertible. Hence $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(a)$ and so $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}} \subset B(0, \|a\|)$ which is bounded, so that $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(a)$ is closed and bounded, hence compact. \square

Chapter 3

Invariance under holomorphic calculus

In this chapter we study invertible elements in algebras and their relation to the invertibility in other algebras. The notion of algebras which are invariant under holomorphic calculus is motivated by the Wiener's Tauberian theorem.

Through out the chapter \mathcal{B} will denote a unital Banach algebra.

3.1 Spectral invariant subalgebras

Given a Banach subalgebra \mathcal{B} of a unital algebra \mathcal{A} . We are interested in the relationship of elements of \mathcal{B} which are invertible in \mathcal{A} and whether the inverse is in \mathcal{B} or not. This is usually known as the notion of full sub algebras or closed invariant subalgebras. In the following , we aim to study the invertibility of elements in Banach spaces which has a tremendous importance in solving operator equations. Following a motivation from Wiener's theorem, it turns out that invertibility in one Banach algebra has a relationship to invertibility in another one. We start by the following observation.

Remark 3.1.1 *If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are two unital algebras with $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$, then $e_{\mathcal{B}}$, the unit element of \mathcal{B} , need not to be the unit element of \mathcal{A} . For example, $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$ is a sub*

algebra of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ whose unit is $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Definition 3.1.1 *Let $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ be two Banach algebras with $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and having common unit e . We say that \mathcal{B} is spectral invariant in \mathcal{A} if for any $x \in \mathcal{B}$ which is invertible in \mathcal{A} , the element x is also invertible in \mathcal{B} , that is*

$$\text{Inv}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{B} = \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$$

In other words, given $x \in \mathcal{B}$ such that x^{-1} exists in \mathcal{A} , then $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$.

Remark 3.1.2 In \mathcal{K} theory, the notion of spectral invariant subalgebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} is replaced by the term \mathcal{B} is closed inverse in \mathcal{A} and the couple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is called Wiener pair.

Example 3.1.1 Let \mathcal{B} denote the set of all upper triangular matrices in $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. As a product of two upper triangular matrices is also an upper triangular and \mathcal{B} is a vector subspace of \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{B} is a subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . Moreover, $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ is a Wiener pair. Indeed, Let C be an upper triangular matrix with $\det(C) \neq 0$. Using Gaussian elimination method, it follows that C^{-1} is also an upper triangular and hence $C^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$. This shows that \mathcal{B} is spectral invariant in \mathcal{A} .

Below we provide an example of spectral invariant subalgebra $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ in $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ with $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ being induced from $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Example 3.1.2 Let $\mathcal{B} = (C'([-1, 1]), \|\cdot\|)$ and $\mathcal{A} = (C([-1, 1]), \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ with $\|f\| = \|f\|_{\infty} + \|f'\|_{\infty}$, $f \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\|g\|_{\infty} = \sup|g(x)|$, $x \in [-1, 1]$, then \mathcal{B} is spectral invariant in \mathcal{A} . Indeed, given $f \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $f(\epsilon) \neq 0 \forall \epsilon \in [-1, 1]$. Then $\frac{1}{f}$ is differentiable and $(\frac{1}{f})' = -\frac{f'}{f^2}$ is continuous, hence $\frac{1}{f} \in \mathcal{B}$.

The following example provides a motivation for the study of the spectral invariant algebras and is due to Wiener.

Example 3.1.3 Let \mathcal{T} denotes the circle group, i.e. $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and consider $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ the space of all functions whose Fourier series is absolutely convergent i.e. $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ if

$$f(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{ikt} \text{ with } a = (a_k)_k \in l^1(\mathbb{Z})$$

and $\|f\| = \|a\|_1 = \sum |a_k|$.

Then $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ is a Banach algebra under point wise multiplication. We remark the submultiplicativity follows from the convolution property:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t)g(t) &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{ikt} \cdot \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} b_j e^{ijt} \\ &= \sum_{k, j \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k b_j e^{i(k+j)t} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k b_{n-k} e^{int} \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} \|fg\| &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k b_{n-k} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_k b_{n-k}| \\ &= \|fg\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consider $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T})$ the algebra of continuous functions on the unit circle. Wiener theorem shows that if $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ satisfying that f is nowhere zero, then $\frac{1}{f(t)}$ admits an absolutely convergent Fourier series. In other words, given $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ such that f is invertible in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$ then f is also invertible in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$. Thus $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T})$ is spectral invariant in $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T})$.

The spectral invariance of \mathcal{B} in \mathcal{A} is closely related to the spectrum in \mathcal{B} and that in \mathcal{A} . This is given in the below theorem.

Theorem 3.1.1 *Let $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ and $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ be two Banach algebras with $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and having common unit e . Then \mathcal{B} is spectral invariant in \mathcal{A} if and only if $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$. i.e. given $b \in \mathcal{B}$ the spectrum of b in \mathcal{B} coincides with the spectrum of b in \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. *For the necessary condition, let $b \in \mathcal{B}$ be fixed. Given $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(b)$ then $(\lambda e - b) \notin \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$, hence $(\lambda e - b) \notin \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})$ so $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(b)$. This shows $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(b) \subseteq \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(b)$. Conversely, if $\lambda \in \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(b)$ then $(\lambda e - b) \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})$ but $(\lambda e - b) \in \mathcal{B}$ so $(\lambda e - b) \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ and so $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(b) \subseteq \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(b)$. For the sufficient condition, given $b \in \mathcal{B}$ with $b \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})$ then $0 \notin \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(b)$, so $0 \notin \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(b)$ and therefore $b \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$. \square*

Below is an application of the above theorem.

Example 3.1.4 *Let \mathcal{A} be the algebra of meromorphic on the complex plane. Denote by \mathcal{B} the sub algebra of \mathcal{A} formed by all entire functions. Then the function $f(z) = z \in \mathcal{B}$ has an inverse in \mathcal{A} and not invertible in \mathcal{B} , so that \mathcal{B} is not spectral invariant. The above fact can be proven by noting $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(f) = \emptyset$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(f) = \mathbb{C}$ because for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $(\lambda - z)^{-1}$ is meromorphic but not entire.*

3.2 Holomorphic Banach algebras valued functions

In this section we are interested in holomorphic functions with Banach algebra valued functions. We shall apply these concepts to relate spectral invariance and the invariance under holomorphic functional calculus.

Definition 3.2.1 *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach algebra over \mathbb{C} and \mathcal{U} be an empty subset of \mathbb{C} . Given a function $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, we say that f is holomorphic on \mathcal{U} if for each $z_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ there is $r > 0$ and $\{c_n\} \subset \mathcal{A}$ such that*

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z - z_0)^n, \text{ where } |z - z_0| < r.$$

In the below, we show that the resolvent operator R_a is holomorphic on the resolvent set $\rho(a) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(a)$ which is an open set by Proposition 2.2.2.

Definition 3.2.2 Let \mathcal{A} be a unital algebra with identity e . Given $a \in \mathcal{A}$ we denote $\rho(a)$ the resolvent set of a , i.e.

$$\rho(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda e - a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})\}$$

The resolvent operator is the map defined on $\rho(a)$ with values in the algebra \mathcal{A} and is given by

$$R_a(z) = (ze - a)^{-1}, \text{ for } z \in \rho(a)$$

Proposition 3.2.1 The resolvent operator $R_a : \rho(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a holomorphic map.

Proof. Fixed $z_0 \in \rho(a)$, since $\sigma(a)$ is a closed set then for all $z \in \rho(a)$ with $|z - z_0| < \frac{1}{\|(ze-a)^{-1}\|}$ we obtain

$$\|(z - z_0)(z_0e - a)^{-1}\| < 1$$

On the one hand, by Von-Neumann Lemma, we obtain $(e - (z_0 - z)(z_0e - a)^{-1})$ is invertible with

$$\begin{aligned} (e - (z_0 - z)(z_0e - a)^{-1})^{-1} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z_0 - z)^n [(z_0e - a)^{-1}]^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z_0 - z)^n [R_a(z_0)]^n \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we plug z_0e in the resolvent operator as follows

$$\begin{aligned} R_a(z) &= (ze - a)^{-1} \\ &= [z_0e - a - (z_0e - ze)]^{-1} \\ &= [(z_0e - a)(e - (z_0 - z)(z_0e - a)^{-1})]^{-1} \\ &= [(e - (z_0 - z)(z_0e - a)^{-1})]^{-1} (z_0e - a)^{-1} \\ &= \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z_0 - z)^n (R_a(z_0))^n \right] R_a(z_0) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z_0 - z)^n (R_a(z_0))^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

Taking $c_n = (R_a(z_0))^{n+1}$ it follows that R_a is holomorphic on $\rho(a)$. \square

We are now able to prove that the spectrum of any element is non-empty (and compact by Proposition 2.2.2). For this we need a **Banach valued version** of Liouville's theorem.

Theorem 3.2.1 Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach algebra. Given a Banach valued function $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. Suppose that f is bounded in the following sense: there is $M > 0$ such that $\|f(z)\| \leq M$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then there is $a_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f(z) = a_0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ i.e. f is a constant function. (cf. Theorem 3.1.2. in)

Corollary 3.2.1 *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a non-zero unital Banach algebra, the spectrum of any element in \mathcal{A} is a non-empty subset of \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. *If $a = 0$ then*

$$\sigma(0) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda e \notin \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})\} = \{0\}$$

For the case $a \neq 0$, we consider the resolvent operator R_a . If $\sigma(a)$ is empty, then by the previous proposition we obtain that R_a is holomorphic on \mathbb{C} i.e. it is an entire function. We show that R_a is also bounded.

It is clear that R_a is bounded on the compact set $B[0, \|a\|]$ as it is a continuous function. For $|z| > \|a\|$ we have $\|\frac{a}{z}\| < 1$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} R_a(z) &= (ze - a)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \left(e - \frac{a}{z}\right)^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{z^n} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{z^{n+1}} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_a(z)\| &\leq \frac{1}{|z|} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\|\frac{a}{z}\right\|^n \\ &= \frac{1}{|z|} \frac{1}{1 - \left\|\frac{a}{z}\right\|} \\ &= \frac{1}{|z| - \|a\|}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} \|R_a(z)\| = 0$. Therefore R_a is bounded and hence constant by Liouville's theorem with $R_a \equiv 0$, which is a contradiction. \square

Definition 3.2.3 *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach algebra, the spectral of $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is defined to be*

$$\rho(a) = \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(a)} |\lambda|$$

As $\sigma(a)$ is non-empty then the above definition makes sense. Moreover, following the proof of Proposition 2.2.2 we know that $\sigma(a) \subset B(0, \|a\|)$. Therefore $\rho(a)$ is bounded by $\|a\|$. Furthermore, $\rho(a)$ is given by the limit of the norm of powers of a (cf. [23]).

Theorem 3.2.2 *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a unital Banach algebra and $a \in \mathcal{A}$ then the spectral radius of a is given by*

$$\rho(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \tag{3.2.1}$$

3.3 The holomorphic functional calculus

The holomorphic functional calculus is an important tool in operator theory and functional analysis. It allows us to produce a wide class of operators from a given one. We start by the following motivation.

Consider the complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, let $P(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k z^k$ be a polynomial in \mathbb{C} . We can define

$$P(\mathcal{T}) = a_0 Id_n + a_1 \mathcal{T} + \dots + a_n \mathcal{T}^n,$$

where \mathcal{T}^j is the composite of \mathcal{T} with itself j -times. As $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is an algebra over \mathbb{C} , we then have $P(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. We note here that P is an entire function and there is no restriction for the definition of $P(\mathcal{T})$.

Now consider another example $Q(z) = \frac{1}{z}$. It is natural to assign $Q(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ to be the inverse of \mathcal{T} . This shows that \mathcal{T} should be invertible, i.e. $0 \notin \sigma(\mathcal{T})$. However, Q is holomorphic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, i.e. Q is holomorph in a neighborhood of $\sigma(\mathcal{T})$.

The above discussion encourage us to define $f(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and f being holomorph in a neighborhood of $\sigma(\mathcal{T})$ in an abstract way.

We start by introducing the curvilinear integral of Banach valued functions.

Definition 3.3.1 *Let \mathcal{U} be an open subset of \mathbb{C} , given μ a regular curve in \mathcal{U} and $\gamma : [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ be a \mathbb{C}^∞ parametrization of μ . Suppose $f : \mathcal{U} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ is a continuous Banach algebra valued function, we define the integral of f along μ as follows:*

$$\int_{\mu} f(z) dz = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n [\gamma(x_k) - \gamma(x_{k-1})] f(\gamma(x_k))$$

where $x_k = \frac{k(b-a)}{n}$ is a uniform partition of $[a, b]$.

Note that, the function $h : [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$h(x) := \|f(\gamma(x))\|$$

is a continuous map and so the limit of the Riemann sum exists, hence the above integral exists in \mathcal{A} .

Remark 3.3.1 *In case μ is a piecewise smooth curve i.e. $\mu = \gamma_1 \cup \gamma_2 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_n$, where γ_i are regular, we define*

$$\int_{\mu} f(z) dz := \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mu_i} f(z) dz$$

We move now to the collection of germs of holomorphic functions and fix some notation.

Given a unital Banach algebra \mathcal{A} , and an element $a \in \mathcal{A}$. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(a)$ the collection of germs of holomorphic functions f defined on an open neighborhood of the spectrum of a . i.e.

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}^1 := \{f : D_f \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \mid D_f \text{ is an open subset of } \mathbb{C} \text{ with } \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \subset D_f\}.$$

The operations on $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(a)$ are given by:

1. $(f + g)(z) = f(z) + g(z), \quad z \in D_f \cap D_g.$
2. $(fg)(z) = f(z).g(z), \quad z \in D_f \cap D_g.$
3. $(\lambda f)(z) = \lambda f(z), \quad z \in D_f \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$

Remark 3.3.2 *In order to obtain an algebra of the germs using the above operations we need to define an equivalence relation as follows: $f \sim g$ if there is \mathcal{U} open containing $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ with*

$$f|_{\mathcal{U}} = g|_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(a) := \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(a) / \sim$ is an algebra and is called the algebra of holomorphic functions around $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$.

Before introducing the holomorphic functional calculus, we need the following result from complex analysis which can be found in (cf. [23] Lemma 3.1.2), we state it in a way to fit our needs.

Lemma 3.3.1 *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach algebra and let $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Given D an open neighborhood of $\sigma(a)$. There are piecewise closed smooth curves $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \subset D \setminus \sigma(a)$ satisfying the following conditions: given $f : D \longmapsto \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic, then*

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\gamma_j} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi$$

Now let $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ we want to associate another element in \mathcal{A} using f .

Definition 3.3.2 *Given a unital Banach algebra \mathcal{A} with $a \in \mathcal{A}$, let D be an open subset of \mathbb{C} containing $\sigma(a)$ and $f : D \longmapsto \mathbb{C}$. we define $\tilde{f}(a) \in \mathcal{A}$ as follows*

$$\tilde{f}(a) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{\gamma_j} f(z)(ze - a)^{-1} dz$$

where $\{\gamma_j\}_{j=1, \dots, n}$ are any closed curves satisfying the above lemma.

The below theorem motivates the above definition and clarifies the connection to the discussion done at the beginning of this section.

Theorem 3.3.1 *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a unital Banach algebra and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, given an entire function with $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$\tilde{f}(a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n a^n \in \mathcal{A}$$

Proof. *Following the proof of Proposition 2.2.2, we know that $\sigma(a) \subset B(0, \|a\|)$. We choose γ to be the circle in \mathbb{C} centered at 0 and radius $r > \|a\|$. Hence γ satisfies Lemma 3.3.1, and so*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(a) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z)(ze - a)^{-1} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n (ze - a)^{-1} dz \\ &= \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{z^n}{z} \left(e - \frac{a}{z}\right)^{-1} dz \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{\gamma} z^{n-1-k} a^k dz \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k \int_{\gamma} z^{n-1-k} dz \end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy's integral formula for entire functions g :

$$g^n(z_0) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{g(\xi)}{\xi - z_0} d\xi,$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z^l} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{If } l = 1 \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

Hence the double sum in the above series reduces to

$$\tilde{f}(a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \frac{a^n}{z} dz = \sum_{n=0}^{\text{infy}} c_n a^n$$

□

A slight modification of the above theorem is given below.

Proposition 3.3.1 *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a unital Banach algebra and $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ and suppose $f : D_f \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic such that D_f contains a disk $D(0, r)$ with $r < \rho(a)$, where $\rho(a)$ is the spectral radius of a . Assume that f has a power series representation $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ with radius of convergence $r_1 \leq r$ then $\tilde{f}(a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n a^n \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. *The proof is identical to the previous one. We only need to check the normal convergence of $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n a^n$. We apply the n^{th} root test together with Theorem 3.2.2*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|c_n a^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} &= \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |c_n|^{\frac{1}{n}} \|a^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= \frac{1}{r_1} \rho(a) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r} \rho(a) < 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence the series converges normally and the interchange of the integration and summation is then guaranteed. \square

Remark 3.3.3 *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital Banach algebra with unit e . Taking $f \equiv 1$ on \mathbb{C} we obtain from the above theorem that $\tilde{f}(a) = e$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Example 3.3.1 *Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ be the algebra of 2×2 matrices. Let $a = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}$ be a fixed diagonal matrix. Consider the exponential map on \mathbb{C} , $f(z) = e^z$ which is entire function. With $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$ then $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ with*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(a) &= \tilde{f}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}^n}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda^n & 0 \\ 0 & \mu^n \end{pmatrix}}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\lambda)^n}{n!} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(\mu)^n}{n!} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda)^n}{n!} & 0 \\ 0 & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu)^n}{n!} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & e^\mu \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Below is the definition of the holomorphic calculus

Definition 3.3.3 *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a unital Banach algebra and $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}, a} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the map defined by*

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{A}, a}(f) = \tilde{f}(a), \quad f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$$

We call $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}, a}$ the holomorphic functional calculus over a .

3.4 Invariance under holomorphic functional calculus

We introduce the notion of subalgebra which are closed under holomorphic functional calculus and we prove that this notion coincides with the spectral invariance notion.

Definition 3.4.1 Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ be two Banach algebras with $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and having common unit e . We say that \mathcal{B} is closed under holomorphic functional calculus if for every $a \in \mathcal{B}$ the image of $\Phi_{\mathcal{A},a}$ is entirely in \mathcal{B} i.e. given $a \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $\Phi_{\mathcal{A},a} : \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$.

Example 3.4.1 Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$ be the algebra of diagonal matrices. We want to prove \mathcal{B} is closed under holomorphic functional calculus in \mathcal{A} . Fix $a = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\sigma(a) = \sigma\left[\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}\right] = \{\alpha, \beta\}$. Let f be holomorph on D , where D is open and $\{\alpha, \beta\} \subset D$. Let $\gamma \subset D \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$ be a closed curve then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z)(zI_2 - a)^{-1} dz &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) \left(z \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) \begin{pmatrix} z - \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & z - \beta \end{pmatrix}^{-1} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{z - \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{z - \beta} \end{pmatrix} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f(z)}{z - \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{f(z)}{z - \beta} \end{pmatrix} dz \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n [\gamma(x_k) - \gamma(x_{k-1})] \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f(\gamma(x_k))}{\gamma(x_k) - \alpha} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{f(\gamma(x_k))}{\gamma(x_k) - \beta} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\gamma(x_k) - \gamma(x_{k-1}))}{\gamma(x_k) - \alpha} f(\gamma(x_k)) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(\gamma(x_k) - \gamma(x_{k-1}))}{\gamma(x_k) - \beta} f(\gamma(x_k)) \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{as } &\begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\gamma(x_k) - \gamma(x_{k-1}))}{\gamma(x_k) - \alpha} f(\gamma(x_k)) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(\gamma(x_k) - \gamma(x_{k-1}))}{\gamma(x_k) - \beta} f(\gamma(x_k)) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{then } \sum_{j=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\gamma(x_k) - \gamma(x_{k-1}))}{\gamma(x_k) - \alpha} f(\gamma(x_k)) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(\gamma(x_k) - \gamma(x_{k-1}))}{\gamma(x_k) - \beta} f(\gamma(x_k)) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B},$$

$$\text{hence } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\gamma(x_k) - \gamma(x_{k-1}))}{\gamma(x_k) - \alpha} f(\gamma(x_k)) & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{(\gamma(x_k) - \gamma(x_{k-1}))}{\gamma(x_k) - \beta} f(\gamma(x_k)) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}$$

and therefore $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z)(zI_2 - a)^{-1} dz \in \mathcal{B}$.

The spectral invariance property, introduced in Section 3.2, which is a relation between the invertible elements of \mathcal{B} and those in \mathcal{A} (cf. Definition 3.1.1) give the rise for the invariance under the holomorphic functional calculus. Moreover, the two notions are the same.

Theorem 3.4.1 *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ be two Banach algebras with $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and having common unit e . Then \mathcal{B} is spectral invariance in \mathcal{A} if and only if \mathcal{B} is closed under holomorphic functional calculus.*

Proof. *We start by proving the necessary condition. Let $a \in \mathcal{B}$ be fixed and f be a holomorphic in $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ i.e. $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$. As \mathcal{B} is spectral invariant in \mathcal{A} then by Theorem 3.1.1, we know that $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(a)$ therefore f is holomorph in a neighborhood of $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(a)$, i.e. $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$. Therefore*

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{A},a}(f) = \tilde{f}(a) = \varphi_{\mathcal{B},a}(f) \in \mathcal{B}$$

because $z \mapsto f(z)(ze - a)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ then $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(z)(ze - a)^{-1} dz \in \mathcal{B}$.

For the sufficient condition, we fix $a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{B}$ and prove that $a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$. Since a is invertible in \mathcal{A} then $0 \notin \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$, let $f : \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the inversion map i.e. $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$, then f is holomorph in a neighborhood of $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$. This shows that $f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$. As $a \in \mathcal{B}$, by the invariance under holomorphic functional calculus, we have $\tilde{f}(a) = a^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}$ so $a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$. \square

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ be two Banach algebras with $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and having common unit e . We say that \mathcal{B} is locally spectral invariant in \mathcal{A} if there is a positive number r satisfying

$$\mathcal{B} \cap B_{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}}(e, r) \subset \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$$

where $B_{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}}(e, r) = \{f \in \mathcal{A}, \|f - e\| < r\}$ is the open ball in \mathcal{A} centered at e with radius r .

Remark 3.4.1 *If \mathcal{B} is closed under holomorphic functional calculus of \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{B} is locally spectral invariant in \mathcal{A} . Indeed, by Remark 2.2.1, we know that $B_{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}}(e, 1) \subset \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})$. hence by the previous theorem, we obtain*

$$\mathcal{B} \cap B_{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}}(e, 1) \subset \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{B} = \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B}).$$

Finally we remark that the notion of locally spectral invariance coincides with the spectral invariance of dense subalgebra as proved in [ref[69]of paper diss]

Chapter 4

More types of algebras

In the following chapter we review basics on Fréchet algebras and on C^* -algebras. We then introduce the notion of Ψ^* -algebras and end by recalling Gramsch commutator method for the construction of Ψ^* -algebras.

4.1 Fréchet spaces and Fréchet algebras

This section is devoted to introduce the notion of Fréchet algebras which are generalization of Banach algebras. We start by recalling the notion of Fréchet spaces.

Definition 4.1.1 *Let \mathcal{E} be a vector space over \mathbb{C} and suppose $Q = \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of semi-norms on \mathcal{E} . We define the topology induced by Q , denoted by $\tau(Q)$ as follows:*

Given $(x_\alpha) \in \mathcal{E}$ and $x_0 \in \mathcal{E}$, we say that x_α converges to x_0 if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $q_n(x_\alpha - x_0) \mapsto 0$.

The above topology turns $(\mathcal{E}, \tau(Q))$ into a topological vector space.

A basis for the topology $\tau(Q)$ is given in the following theorem [26].

Theorem 4.1.1 *Let $Q = \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of semi-norms on \mathcal{E} , then a basis for $\tau(Q)$ is given by*

$$\{B_{q_{n_1}}(x, r_1) \cap B_{q_{n_2}}(x, r_2) \cap \dots \cap B_{q_{n_t}}(x, r_t), x \in \mathcal{E} \text{ and } n_1, \dots, n_t \in \mathbb{N}, r_1, \dots, r_t > 0\}.$$

Here $B_{q_{n_j}}(x, r_j)$ denotes the ball centered at x radius r_j in the q_{n_j} -semi -norm. i.e.

$$B_{q_{n_j}}(x, r_j) = \{y \in \mathcal{E} \mid q_{n_j}(x - y) < r_j\}.$$

Remark 4.1.1 We can replace the collection $Q = \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ by the equivalent semi-norms $P = \{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where $p_n = \max\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$. This allows us to obtain the same topology on \mathcal{E} i.e. $\tau(Q) \equiv \tau(P)$ and to gain the advantage of having an increasing family of semi-norms on \mathcal{E} . For this reason we will always assume that the family $Q = \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of semi-norms satisfies $q_1 \leq q_2 \leq \dots$

The below lemma shows that $(\mathcal{E}, \tau(Q))$ is metrizable whenever it is locally convex Hausdorff (cf. [21]).

Lemma 4.1.1 Let $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing family of semi-norms on a vector space \mathcal{E} and assume \mathcal{E} is a locally convex Hausdorff space. Then the map $d : \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{E} \mapsto \mathbb{R}_+$ given by

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{q_n(x - y)}{1 + q_n(x - y)}$$

defines a metric on \mathcal{E} satisfying $d(x+z, y+z) = d(x, y)$ for all x, y and $z \in \mathcal{E}$ with $\tau_d \equiv \tau(Q)$.

Due to the above lemma we motivate the following definition for Fréchet space.

Definition 4.1.2 Let $Q = \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of semi-norms on \mathcal{E} . We say that \mathcal{E} is a Fréchet space if $(\mathcal{E}, \tau(Q))$ is complete and Hausdorff.

Remark 4.1.2 The Hausdorff property is equivalent to: given $x \in \mathcal{E}$ with $p_n(x) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $x = 0$ i.e.

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{x \in \mathcal{E}; p_n(x) = 0\} = 0_{\mathcal{E}}$$

Remark 4.1.3 By a Cauchy sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we mean, given any neighborhood \mathcal{U} of $0_{\mathcal{E}}$, there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ (depending on \mathcal{U}) such that $x_n - x_m \in \mathcal{U}$ for all $n, m > n_0$ (cf. [32] for the sequentially completeness). Following the previous lemma, the definition of the Cauchy criteria coincides with $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ being Cauchy in (\mathcal{E}, τ_d) i.e. given $\epsilon > 0$ there is $n_0 = n_0(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for all $n, m \geq n_0$.

Example 4.1.1 Every Banach space is a Fréchet space.

Example 4.1.2 Consider the Schwartz space over \mathbb{R}^N i.e.

$$S(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid \text{for each } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^N \text{ we have } \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |x^\alpha D^\beta f(x)| < \infty\}.$$

Consider the collection $Q = \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of semi-norms on $S(\mathbb{R}^N)$ given by

$$q_n(f) = \sup_{|\alpha| \leq n} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |(1 + |x|^2)^n D^\alpha f(x)|, \quad f \in S(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

then $(S(\mathbb{R}^N), \tau(Q))$ is a Fréchet space (cf. [6, 14]).

We have the following theorem which can be found in Zelazko.

Theorem 4.1.2 *Let \mathcal{E} (respectively \mathcal{F}) be two Fréchet spaces whose topology is given by an increasing family of semi-norms $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (respectively $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$). Given $T : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ a linear map, then T is continuous if and only if for each semi norm q_{n_0} there is a semi-norm p_{n_1} and a positive number c such that*

$$q_{n_0}(T(f)) \leq c p_{n_1}(f), \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Definition 4.1.3 *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital algebra and $Q = \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing family of semi-norms for which $(\mathcal{A}, \tau(Q))$ is a Fréchet space and suppose that the multiplication is (separately or adjointly) continuous i.e. given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $c_n > 0$ with $n_0 \geq n$ satisfying*

$$q_n(fg) \leq c_n q_{n_0}(f) q_{n_0}(g), \text{ for all } f, g \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Definition 4.1.4 *A Fréchet algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \tau(Q))$ is said to be submultiplicative if $Q = \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of submultiplicative norms, that is*

$$q_n(fg) \leq q_n(f) q_n(g), \text{ for all } f, g \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It follows from the above definition together with Example 4.1.1 and the equation

$$\|xy\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$$

that every Banach algebra is submultiplicative Fréchet algebra.

Below we give an example on a unital Fréchet algebra which is not a Banach algebra.

Example 4.1.3 *Consider $C^\infty([0, 1])$ with operations of pointwise multiplication and addition (cf. 2.1.4). Consider the collection of semi-norms $Q = \{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ given by*

$$q_n(f) = 2^n \sup_{0 \leq k \leq n} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f^{(k)}(x)|$$

It is clear that $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing family of semi-norms. The completeness follows by a similar approach to that in Remark 2.1.5 (for a detailed proof that \mathcal{A} is Fréchet space we refer the reader (chapter 2 section 9 example 3))

Note that

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f^{(k)}(x)| \leq \frac{q_n(f)}{2^n}, \text{ for all } k \leq n$$

so that

$$q_n(f) q_n(g) \geq 2^n \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f^{(k)}(x)| \cdot 2^n \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |g^{(k)}(x)|$$

Using Leibniz Formula we have for each $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(fg)^{(k)}(x)| &\leq \sum_{l=0}^k C_l^k |f^{(l)}(x)| |g^{(k-l)}(x)| \\ &\leq \sum_{l=0}^k C_l^k \sup_{l \leq k} |f^{(l)}(x)| \sup_{l \leq k} |g^{(k-l)}(x)| \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq k \leq n} |(fg)^{(k)}(x)| &\leq \sup_{0 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{l=0}^k C_l^k \sup_{l \leq k} |f^{(l)}(x)| \sup_{l \leq k} |g^{(k-l)}(x)| \\ &\leq 2^n \frac{q_n(f)}{2^n} \cdot 2^n \frac{q_n(g)}{2^n} \\ &= \frac{q_n(f) q_n(g)}{2^n}, \text{ for all } x \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} q_n(fg) &= 2^n \sup_{0 \leq k \leq n} \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |(fg)^{(k)}(x)| \\ &\leq 2^n \frac{q_n(f) q_n(g)}{2^n} \\ &= q_n(f) \cdot q_n(g). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that \mathcal{A} is a submultiplicative Fréchet algebra (where $c_n = 1$ and $n_0 = n$ in the above definition).

Example 4.1.4 The Schwartz space $S(\mathbb{R}^N)$ defined in Example 4.1.2 is also a Fréchet algebra under pointwise multiplication and addition. This follows also using a similar argument as done in the previous example with the aid of Leibniz formula for C^∞ functions on \mathbb{R}^N

$$\partial^\alpha(fg) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{N}, \mu \leq \alpha} C_\mu^\alpha \partial^\mu f(x) \partial^{\alpha-\mu} g(x).$$

It is clear that this Fréchet algebra is not a unital algebra.

The σ -compactness of \mathbb{R}^N allows us to make the collection of smooth functions a Fréchet algebra.

Example 4.1.5 Let $\mathcal{A} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be the space of all smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^N , we consider the collection of $\{k_n = B[0, n + 1]\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ of the closed balls centered at zero and radius $n + 1$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$p_n(f) = \sup\{|D^\alpha f(x)|, x \in k_n \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq n\}.$$

Then $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing family of semi-norms giving the topology of uniform convergence on the compact sets. The completeness follows from the fact that $C^\infty(B[0, n])$ is complete. Using Leibniz formula it follows that the multiplication is continuous and hence $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a Fréchet algebra.

The notion of embedding a Fréchet algebra in another is given in the below definition.

Definition 4.1.5 Let $(\mathcal{A}, \tau_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$ be two Fréchet algebras with $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$, we say that \mathcal{B} is continuously embedded in \mathcal{A} and we write $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ if the injection map $\text{id}_{\mathcal{B}} : (\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, \tau_{\mathcal{A}})$ is continuous.

Remark 4.1.4 Let $\{q_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the semi-norms defined on \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{A} , respectively. Using Theorem 4.1.2 together with the fact that the identity map is linear, it follows then $\mathcal{B} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ if and only if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_n > 0$ such that

$$q_n(b) \leq c_n p_m(b), \text{ for all } b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Example 4.1.6 Every closed subalgebra \mathcal{B} of a Fréchet algebra \mathcal{A} is continuously embedded in \mathcal{A} . In particular, every closed Banach subalgebra is continuously embedded in the algebra.

Example 4.1.7 The Fréchet algebra of rapidly decreasing functions $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded in the algebra of all smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^N . Indeed, for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(f) &= \sup_{|\alpha| \leq n; x \in B[0, n+1]} |D^\alpha f(x)| \\ &\leq \sup_{|\alpha| \leq n; x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |D^\alpha f(x)| \\ &\leq \sup_{|\alpha| \leq n; x \in \mathbb{R}^N} |(1 + |x|^2)^n D^\alpha f(x)| \\ &= q_n(f). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

4.2 C^* -algebras

This section is devoted to study particular types of Banach algebras, known as C^* -algebras. The term C^* -algebra refers back to Segal for the study of closed sub-algebras of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ where \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space. We present these algebras in an abstract way. The terminology of the notion follows from Example 4.2.5 below.

4.2.1 Involutions and \star -algebras

We start by the notion of an involution and \star -algebra.

Definition 4.2.1 *Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra over \mathbb{C} , we say that a map $\star : \mathcal{A} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ is an involution if it satisfies the following conditions:*

1. $(\alpha a + b)^\star = \overline{\alpha} a^\star + b^\star, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.
2. $(a^\star)^\star = a$, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and
3. $(ab)^\star = b^\star a^\star$, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

In this case, we say that (\mathcal{A}, \star) is a \star -algebra.

Example 4.2.1 *Following the notation in Example 2.1.4), consider $f \in l^\infty(\mathcal{W})$ and define f^\star to be the complex conjugate of f , i.e. $f^\star(x) = \overline{f(x)}$ for all x . It is clear that this map is an involution and $f^\star \in l^\infty(\mathcal{W})$. This shows that $(l^\infty(\mathcal{W}), \star)$ is a \star -algebra.*

The transpose conjugate is an involution on the set of square matrices $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. This is proved in the below example.

Example 4.2.2 *Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and define $\star : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ by $A^\star = \overline{A}^T$ i.e.*

$$a_{i,j}^\star = \overline{a_{j,i}} \quad \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}), \star)$ is a \star -algebra. In fact, given $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and A, B in $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ we have:

1. $(\alpha A + B)^\star = (\overline{\alpha A + B})^T = (\overline{\alpha A} + \overline{B})^T = \overline{\alpha} \overline{A}^T + \overline{B}^T = \overline{\alpha} A^\star + B^\star$
2. $(A^\star)^\star = (\overline{\overline{A}^T})^T = ((\overline{\overline{A}})^T)^T = A$
3. $(AB)^\star = (\overline{AB})^T = (\overline{AB})^T = (\overline{B})^T (\overline{A})^T = B^\star A^\star$

A more general example is given by the adjoint operator on any Hilbert space.

Example 4.2.3 Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the space of all bounded operator with $\|\cdot\|_{op}$ defined in Example 2.1.2. Let $\star : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be given as follows: for $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, let $T^\star : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be the map defined by:

$$\langle Tf, g \rangle = \langle f, T^\star g \rangle, \text{ for all } f, g \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then $(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), \star)$ is a \star -algebra.

First we prove that $T^\star \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. For the linearity, given $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with f, g and $h \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, T^\star(\alpha g + h) \rangle &= \langle Tf, \alpha g + h \rangle \\ &= \langle Tf, \alpha g \rangle + \langle Tf, h \rangle \\ &= \bar{\alpha} \langle Tf, g \rangle + \langle Tf, h \rangle \\ &= \bar{\alpha} \langle f, T^\star g \rangle + \langle f, T^\star h \rangle \\ &= \langle f, \alpha T^\star g \rangle + \langle f, T^\star h \rangle \\ &= \langle f, \alpha T^\star g + T^\star h \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence $T^\star(\alpha g + h) = \alpha T^\star g + T^\star h$ i.e. T^\star is linear. For the boundedness, given any $g \in \mathcal{H}$ the map

$$f \mapsto \langle Tf, g \rangle$$

is bounded since

$$|\langle Tf, g \rangle| \leq \|Tf\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} \|g\| \|f\|.$$

Hence by Reisz Representation theorem, $\sup_{\|f\|=1} |\langle Tf, g \rangle| = \|T^\star g\|$. Hence

$$\|T^\star g\| \leq \|Tf\| \|g\| \text{ for all } f \text{ with } \|f\| = 1.$$

i.e.

$$\|T^\star g\| \leq \|T\| \|g\|.$$

This shows that T^\star is bounded with

$$\|T^\star\|_{op} \leq \|T\|_{op}. \quad (4.2.1)$$

For the condition of \star -algebra. Consider $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and $T, S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ then for any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ we have:

1. $\langle f, (\alpha T)^\star g \rangle = \langle (\alpha T)f, g \rangle = \alpha \langle Tf, g \rangle = \alpha \langle f, T^\star g \rangle = \langle f, \bar{\alpha} T^\star g \rangle$.
2. $\langle f, (T + S)^\star g \rangle = \langle (T + S)f, g \rangle = \langle Tf + Sf, g \rangle = \langle Tf, g \rangle + \langle Sf, g \rangle = \langle f, T^\star g \rangle + \langle f, S^\star g \rangle = \langle f, T^\star g + S^\star g \rangle = \langle f, (T^\star + S^\star)g \rangle$.

3. $\langle f, (T^*)^*g \rangle = \langle T^*f, g \rangle = \overline{\langle g, T^*f \rangle} = \overline{\langle Tg, f \rangle} = \langle f, Tg \rangle$.
4. $\langle f, (TS)^*g \rangle = \langle TSf, g \rangle = \langle Sf, T^*g \rangle = \langle f, S^*T^*g \rangle$.

Proposition 4.2.1 *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital \star -algebra with identity e , then the following holds true:*

1. $e^* = e$.
2. If $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is invertible then $a^* \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})$ with $(a^*)^{-1} = (a^{-1})^*$.
3. $\sigma(a^*) = \{\bar{\lambda} \mid \lambda \in \sigma(a)\}$.

Proof.

1. As e is the identity element of \mathcal{A} then following the second and third condition in Definition 4.2.1, we have

$$e^* = e^*e = e^*(e^*)^* = (e^*e)^* = (e^*)^* = e$$

2. Let $a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})$ then $a^{-1}a = e$. Hence by 1), $a^*(a^{-1})^* = e$ and $(a^{-1})^*a^* = e$ so that $a^* \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})$ and $(a^*)^{-1} = (a^{-1})^*$.
3. We know that $\lambda \notin \sigma(a)$ if and only if $\lambda e - a \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})$. Using 2), this is equivalent to say $(\lambda e - a)^* \in \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})$ i.e. $\bar{\lambda}e - a^*$ is invertible in \mathcal{A} , which means $\bar{\lambda} \notin \sigma(a^*)$.

□

4.2.2 C^* -algebras

We start by the definition of C^* -algebras which are special type of Banach algebras.

Definition 4.2.2 *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach algebra with an involution \star , we say that \mathcal{A} (or $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$) is a C^* -algebra if the following condition is satisfied:*

$$\|aa^*\| = \|a\|^2 \text{ for all } a \in \mathcal{A} \quad (4.2.2)$$

Remark 4.2.1 *Following the definition of a C^* -algebra, it is easy to see that the norm being preserved under involution i.e. $\|a^*\| = \|a\|$, indeed by multiplication of semi-norm, we have*

$$\|a\|^2 \leq \|a\| \cdot \|a^*\|$$

Replacing a by a^ we get the required equality.*

Below we give some standard examples of C^* -algebras.

Example 4.2.4 *Combining the result of Example 2.1.4 and 4.2.1, we know that $l^\infty(\mathcal{W})$ is a Banach algebra and also a \star -algebra. Moreover,*

$$\|ff^*\| = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{W}} |\overline{f(x)}f(x)| = \left(\sup_{x \in \mathcal{W}} |f(x)|\right)^2 = \|f\|^2$$

So that $l^\infty(\mathcal{W})$ is a C^ -algebra.*

Example 4.2.5 *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space then $(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), \|\cdot\|_{op})$ is a C^* -algebra. The fact that it is a \star -algebra and a Banach algebra follows from Example 2.1.2 and 4.2.3. The condition (4.2.2) is also verified. In fact, given $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, then by (4.2.1), we have $\|T^*\| \leq \|T\|$, replacing T by T^* in the above inequality, we obtain that*

$$\|T^*\| = \|T\|.$$

Thus by submultiplicativity of norms we get

$$\|T^*T\| \leq \|T^*\| \|T\| = \|T\|^2 \tag{4.2.3}$$

Moreover, for $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $f \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|^2 &= \langle Tf, Tf \rangle = \langle T^*Tf, f \rangle \\ &\leq \|T^*T\| \|f\| \|f\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\frac{\|Tf\|^2}{\|f\|^2} \leq \|T^*T\|$$

i.e

$$\left(\frac{\|Tf\|}{\|f\|}\right)^2 \leq \|T^*T\|$$

*This shows that $\|T\|^2 \leq \|T^*T\|$. The previous inequality with (4.2.3) shows that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is a C^* -algebra.*

Definition 4.2.3 *Let (\mathcal{A}, \star) be a \star -algebra and let \mathcal{B} be a subset of \mathcal{A} . We say that \mathcal{B} is symmetric ($\mathcal{B}^* = \mathcal{B}$) if it is closed under the involution \star i.e. given $b \in \mathcal{B}$, then $b^* \in \mathcal{B}$.*

We now provide the precise definition of a C^* -subalgebra.

Definition 4.2.4 *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{B} be a subalgebra of \mathcal{A} (cf. Definition 2.1.5). If \mathcal{B} is symmetric and norm-closed in \mathcal{A} then we say that \mathcal{B} is a C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .*

Example 4.2.6 Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the C^* -algebra of square matrices with entries in \mathbb{C} . Consider \mathcal{B} be the subalgebra of diagonal matrices in \mathcal{A} . It is easy to see that \mathcal{B} is symmetric and closed in $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{op})$. Hence \mathcal{B} is a C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} .

To make the topic self contained we recall an important representation of C^* -algebras. Let us note that if $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is closed \star -subalgebra then it is a C^* -algebra, the converse is also true, but it is beyond the scope of the thesis. This is known as Gelfand Naimark theorem (cf.[11] for a detailed proof and construction).

Theorem 4.2.1 Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra, then there is a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and a C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{B} of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that \mathcal{A} is \star -isomorphic to \mathcal{B} .

Proposition 4.2.2 Let \mathcal{A} be a \star -algebra. Assume $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_1)$ is a C^* -algebra and let $\|\cdot\|_2$ be another norm on \mathcal{A} . If $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_2)$ is also a C^* -algebra then the two norms are equal.

Proof. Let $b \in \mathcal{A}$ be a self-adjoint element i.e. $b^* = b$. Hence, for $j = 1$ or 2 we have

$$\|b^2\|_j = \|b\|_j^2$$

and by induction we get

$$\|b^{2^n}\|_j = \|b\|_j^{2^n}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then by (3.2.1) for the spectral radius, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(b) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b^n\|_j^{\frac{1}{n}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b^{2^n}\|_j^{\frac{1}{2^n}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|b\|_j)^{2^n \times \frac{1}{2^n}} \\ &= \|b\|_j \end{aligned}$$

Now let $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then a^*a is self-adjoint so $\rho(a^*a) = \|a^*a\|_j = \|a\|_j^2$ i.e. $\|a\|_1^2 = \|a\|_2^2$ so $\|a\|_1 = \|a\|_2$ then the two norms are equal on \mathcal{A} . \square

We recall the following result on the spectral invariance of C^* -algebras which can be found in ([27], Theorem 2.1.11).

Theorem 4.2.2 Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and let \mathcal{B} be a C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} with a common unit. Then \mathcal{B} is spectral invariant (or closed under holomorphic functional calculus) in \mathcal{A} .

4.3 Ψ^* -algebras

The term Ψ^* -algebra dates back to the work of Gramsch in [17]. These algebras are Fréchet -algebras continuously embedded in a C^* -algebra and being closed under holomorphic functional calculus. The idea of introducing this notion was to generalize the work of R. Beals for the case of pseudodifferential operators.

Let us first introduce the notion of a Ψ^* - algebra.

Definition 4.3.1 *Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ be a C^* - algebra and let $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$ be a Fréchet subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . Suppose that \mathcal{B} satisfies the following three conditions:*

1. \mathcal{B} is inverse closed in \mathcal{A} i.e. $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B}) = \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A}) \cap \mathcal{B}$ (cf. Definition 3.1.1).
2. $(\mathcal{B}, \tau_{\mathcal{B}})$ is continuously embedded in $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|)$ (cf. Definition 4.1.5).
3. \mathcal{B} is symmetric i.e. closed under involution (cf. Definition 4.2.3).

Then \mathcal{B} is called a Ψ^* - algebra in \mathcal{A} .

Remark 4.3.1 *If the Fréchet algebra \mathcal{B} is a submultiplicative algebra (cf Definition 4.1.4) and is a Ψ^* - algebra in \mathcal{A} . We say that \mathcal{B} is a submultiplicative Ψ^* - algebra in \mathcal{A} .*

Example 4.3.1 *Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{B} be a C^* -subalgebra of \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{B} is a Ψ^* -algebra in \mathcal{A} .*

Before we proceed more, we need the following property about the continuity of the inverse map in the case of a Fréchet algebra (which is more general in the case of Banach algebra (cf. Proposition 2.2.1)) and which can be found in [37].

Lemma 4.3.1 *Let \mathcal{B} be a Fréchet algebra then $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ is a G_{δ} set if and only if the map $x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is continuous on $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$.*

In connection with the case of Ψ^* - algebra, we have the following result.

Proposition 4.3.1 *Let \mathcal{B} be a Ψ^* - algebra in \mathcal{A} , then the map $b \mapsto b^*$ is continuous on the group of $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$.*

Proof. *From condition one of Definition 4.3.1 we know that $\text{id}_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \mapsto \mathcal{A}$ is continuous. As \mathcal{A} is C^* - algebra then $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})$ is open in \mathcal{A} (cf Proposition 2.2.1). Therefore the continuity of $\text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$ shows that $\text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(\text{Inv}(\mathcal{A}))$ is open in \mathcal{B} . But*

$$\text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}(\text{Inv}(\mathcal{A})) = \mathcal{B} \cap \text{Inv}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$$

by condition three in definition 4.3.1. This shows that $\text{Inv}(\mathcal{B})$ is open in the Fréchet space \mathcal{B} . However every open set is a G_δ set. The continuity of the inverse map then follows by the previous lemma. \square

Based on the above observation, it is then natural to ask the following question: Given a subalgebra \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} , can we find a Fréchet topology $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ on \mathcal{B} making \mathcal{B} a Ψ^* – algebra in \mathcal{A} ? In this situation we obtain that the invertible group in \mathcal{B} is being open and \mathcal{B} is also closed under holomorphic functional of \mathcal{A} . The above question has no positive answer in general.

Chapter 5

Pseudodifferential operators

In this chapter, we introduce pseudodifferential operators whose symbols are in the Hörmander classes $S_{\rho,\delta}^m$. These operators generalize differential operators as we shall see in Example 5.2.1. The collection of pseudodifferential operators with symbols in $S_{\rho,\delta}^m$ is denoted by $\Psi_{\rho,\delta}^m$. We show that each class of operators $\Psi_{\rho,\delta}^m$ is a Fréchet space. Moreover, for the case $m = 0$, we obtain a Fréchet algebra $\Psi_{\rho,\delta}^0$. In case $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$, we recall the Calderon Vaillancourt theorem for the boundedness of the operators on L^2 . We give a proof on the symbols having a compact support. Furthermore, we show that $\Psi_{\rho,\delta}^0$ is a submultiplicative algebra and indicate the fact $\Psi_{\rho,\delta}^0$ is a Ψ^* -algebra in $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\Omega))$.

5.1 Oscillatory integrals

By using Fourier transform, pseudodifferential operators converts the concept of linear partial differential operators with smooth coefficient to the algebraic theory. We start by considering the Fourier transform which is given by:

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = (\mathcal{F}f)\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx \quad (5.1.1)$$

where $dx = dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n$ is a Lebesgue measure.

In case f is integrable, the Fourier transform is well defined. Moreover, by considering \mathcal{F} on the Schwartz space we obtain a linear continuous map

$$\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

having an inverse operator

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \hat{f}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi,$$

where $d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n} d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_n$.

Proposition 5.1.1 *The adjoint operator \mathcal{F}^* of the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} is the inverse Fourier transform multiplied by the coefficient $(2\pi)^n$.*

Proof. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{F}f, g \rangle &= (2\pi)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{F}f(\xi) \overline{g(\xi)} d\xi \\ &= (2\pi)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) dx \right\} \overline{g(\xi)} d\xi \\ &= (2\pi)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\xi} \overline{g(\xi)} d\xi f(x) dx \\ &= (2\pi)^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \overline{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} g(\xi) d\xi} f(x) dx \\ &= (2\pi)^n \langle f, \mathcal{F}^{-1}g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

So that $\mathcal{F}^* = (2\pi)^n \mathcal{F}^{-1}$. □

We want to extend the definition of (5.1.1) to more general functions. For this reason we fix a positive number $l > 0$ and consider the following space:

$$S^l(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is continuous and there is } c > 0 \text{ with } |f(x)| < c < x >^l\},$$

where $\langle x \rangle$ is the Japanese bracket given by

$$\langle x \rangle^l = (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{l}{2}} = (1 + x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^{\frac{l}{2}}.$$

Note that a function f is rapidly decreasing ($f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) if and only if it is a smooth function and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $N > 0$, there is $c_{\alpha, N}$ such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha f(x)| \leq c_{\alpha, N} \langle x \rangle^{-N}, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

This shows that the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is contained in $S^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It is also clear that the equation (5.1.1) is not defined for functions in $S^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Our aim is to extend the definition in (5.1.1) to functions in $S^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$. However, we will obtain only distributions and not usual functions.

Let us first set up some notation and recall a multiplicative property for the Fourier transform on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (cf. [13]). For $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ put $D_k = -i\partial_k$ and $D = (D_1, \dots, D_n)$.

Then

$$D^\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} \xi^\alpha \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (5.1.2)$$

Let $q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p = 2q$ (an even number), we write

$$\langle D \rangle^p = (1 + D_1^2 + \cdots + D_n^2)^q.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$e^{-ix\xi} = \langle x \rangle^{-p} \langle D_\xi \rangle^p e^{-ix\xi}. \quad (5.1.3)$$

If we consider the Laplace operator $\Delta_x = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$ then we can check that

$$e^{i\xi(x-y)} = \langle \xi \rangle^{-2m} (1 - \Delta_y)^m e^{i\xi(x-y)} = \langle x-y \rangle^{-2m} (1 - \Delta_\xi)^m e^{i\xi(x-y)}$$

where $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $x, y, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We will regularly use the following version of Peetre's inequality.

Proposition 5.1.2 *Let $l \in \mathbb{R}$ then*

$$\langle w + \mu \rangle^l \leq 2^{|l|} \langle w \rangle^{|l|} \langle \mu \rangle^l \text{ for all } w, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Proof. *Note that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have*

$$\langle x \rangle^2 = (1 + |x|^2) \leq (1 + |x|)^2 \leq (1 + |x|)^2 + (1 - |x|)^2 = 2(1 + |x|^2).$$

Given $l > 0$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w + \mu \rangle^l &= (1 + |w + \mu|^2)^{\frac{l}{2}} \\ &\leq (1 + |w + \mu|)^l \\ &\leq (1 + |w| + |\mu|)^l \\ &\leq (1 + |w|)^l (1 + |\mu|)^l \\ &\leq 2^l \langle w \rangle^l \langle \mu \rangle^l \end{aligned}$$

If $l < 0$, we replace $w + \mu$ by μ and w by $-w$ and we obtain:

$$\langle \mu \rangle^{-l} \leq 2^{-l} \langle (-w) \rangle^{-l} \langle \mu + w \rangle^{-l}.$$

This shows that

$$\langle w + \mu \rangle^l \leq 2^{|l|} \langle w \rangle^{|l|} \langle \mu \rangle^l \text{ for all } w, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

□

In order to regularize (5.1.1) for functions in $S^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we observe $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as a subset of its dual space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Recall the Schwartz space on \mathbb{R}^n is given by:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for each } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \text{ we have } \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\alpha D^\beta f(x)| < \infty\}$$

with the collection of semi-norms

$$q_n(f) = \sup_{|\alpha| \leq n} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |(1 + |x|^2)^n D^\alpha f(x)|, \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

The Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is regarded in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the following sense: given $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have $\hat{u} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and we define:

$$\langle \hat{u}, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{u}(\xi) v(\xi) d\xi, \quad v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (5.1.4)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \hat{u}, v \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{u}(\xi) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{n+1} v(\xi) \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{n+1}} d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{u}(\xi)| |(1 + |\xi|^2)^{n+1} v(\xi)| \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{n+1}} d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} q_0(\hat{u}) q_{n+1}(v) \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{n+1}} d\xi \\ &= c \|\hat{u}\|_\infty q_{n+1}(v). \end{aligned}$$

Where $c = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^{n+1}} d\xi$. This shows that rapidly decreasing functions defines temperate distribution.

Plugging (5.1.1) in (5.1.4), we obtain

$$\langle \hat{u}, v \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\xi} u(x) dx \right) v(\xi) d\xi. \quad (5.1.5)$$

By using Fubini's theorem, we can write (5.1.5) in the following way

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\xi} u(x) v(\xi) dx d\xi, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (5.1.6)$$

We now extend the definition given in equation (5.1.6) to functions $f = u$ in $S^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 5.1.1 *Let $l > 0$ and $f \in S^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Fix $p > l + n$, then*

$$\langle \hat{f}, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) \langle x \rangle^{-p} \langle D_\xi \rangle^p g(\xi) dx d\xi, \quad g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \quad (5.1.7)$$

extends the definition of (5.1.6) for rapidly decreasing functions. Moreover, equation (5.1.7) defines a linear continuous distribution $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. If $f = u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then by equation (5.1.6) we have

$$\langle \hat{f}, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\xi} f(x)g(\xi) dx d\xi$$

plugging (5.1.3) in the above equation, we get

$$\langle \hat{f}, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x \rangle^{-p} (\langle D_\xi \rangle^p e^{-ix\xi}) f(x)g(\xi) dx d\xi$$

Using integration by parts, we obtain

$$\langle \hat{f}, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) \langle x \rangle^{-p} (\langle D_\xi \rangle^p g(\xi)) dx d\xi.$$

Now for $f \in S^l(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p > l + n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \hat{f}, g \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\xi} f(x) \langle x \rangle^{-p} (\langle D_\xi \rangle^p g(\xi)) dx d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x) \langle x \rangle^{-p}| |\langle D_\xi \rangle^p g(\xi)| dx d\xi \\ &\leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle x \rangle^{l-p} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle D_\xi \rangle^p g(\xi)| d\xi \\ &= c\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\langle \xi \rangle^{n+1} \langle D_\xi \rangle^p g(\xi)| \frac{1}{\langle \xi \rangle^{n+1}} d\xi \\ &\leq c\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} p_m(g) \frac{1}{\langle \xi \rangle^{n+1}} \\ &= c\mu c_1 p_m(g) \\ &= c_2 p_m(g), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{dx}{(1+|x|^2)^{p-l}}$, $m = \max\{n+1, p\} > n$ and $c_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{\langle \xi \rangle^{n+1}} d\xi$. □

5.2 Pseudodifferential operators

We introduce Hörmander classes which are function spaces whose symbols define pseudodifferential operators.

Definition 5.2.1 Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n and consider $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho, \delta \in [0, 1]$. The space $S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as follows:

$$S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ a : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid a \in C^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and for } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n \right. \\ \left. \text{there is } c_{\alpha, \beta} \text{ such that } |\partial_\xi^\alpha \delta_x^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq c_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}, x \in \Omega \right\}.$$

The above classes generalize symbols of differential operators with smooth coefficients. We will be more interested in the case where $m = 0$, which is denoted by $S_{\rho,\delta}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ so that

$$S_{\rho,\delta}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ a : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid a \in C^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and for } \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, \right. \\ \left. \text{there is } c_{\alpha,\beta} \text{ such that } |\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq c_{\alpha,\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha| + \delta|\beta|}, x \in \Omega \right\}.$$

Below we introduce pseudodifferential operators associated to symbols in the Hörmander classes.

Definition 5.2.2 *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . For $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho, \delta \in [0, 1]$. Given $a \in S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, the pseudodifferential operator \mathcal{A} associated to the Kohn-Neirenberg symbol a is defined on the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows:*

$$\mathcal{A}u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y)\xi} a(x, \xi) u(y) dy d\xi, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } x \in \Omega$$

Remark 5.2.1 *For each $x \in \Omega$, we note that*

$$\mathcal{A}u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi. \quad (5.2.1)$$

since

$$a(x, \cdot) \hat{u} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

then

$$\mathcal{A}u(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(a(x, \cdot) \hat{u})(x)$$

is well defined.

The following example motivates the above definition for pseudodifferential operators.

Example 5.2.1 *Let $p(x, D)$ be a differential operator of order $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with smooth coefficient whose derivatives are bounded i.e.*

$$p(x, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} f_\alpha(x) D_x^\alpha, \quad \partial_x^\beta f_\alpha(x) \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega).$$

Let

$$a(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} f_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha.$$

Given $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_\xi^\gamma \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi)| &\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} |\partial_x^\beta f_\alpha(x)| |\partial_\xi^\gamma \xi^\alpha| \\ &\leq c_{\gamma,\beta} \langle \xi \rangle^m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $a \in S_{0,0}^m(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and by (5.1.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}u(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} f_\alpha(x) \xi^\alpha \right) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} f_\alpha(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} \xi^\alpha \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} f_\alpha(x) D^\alpha u(x) \\ &= p(x, D)u. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.2.2 The above example show that if $a = 1$ then $\mathcal{A}u(x) = u(x)$. Moreover, if $a(x, \xi) = f(x)$ i.e. a is independent ξ then \mathcal{A} is a multiplication operator. Note that if $a(x, \xi) = a(\xi)$ is independent of x then following equation (5.2.1) we have

$$\mathcal{A}u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} a(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi$$

is the convolution by a .

5.3 The algebra of Pseudodifferential operators

Fix $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho, \delta \in [0, 1]$, and let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . We write $\Psi_{\rho, \delta}^m$ for the class of pseudodifferential operators with symbols in the Hörmander class $S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

On $S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ we consider the collection of semi norms given by

$$Q_k(a) = \sup\{ | \langle \xi \rangle^{-m+\rho|\alpha|-\delta|\beta|} \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi) |, x \in \Omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha| \leq k, |\beta| \leq k \}.$$

The following theorem shows that Pseudodifferential operators are bounded on the Schwartz space.

Theorem 5.3.1 Let $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ and \mathcal{A} be the associated pseudodifferential operator. Giving $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have $\mathcal{A}u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the map $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be fixed. We first show that $\mathcal{A}u$ is a bounded function

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}u(x)| &= \left| \int e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\leq \int |a(x, \xi)| |\hat{u}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &= \int | \langle \xi \rangle^{-m} a(x, \xi) | \langle \xi \rangle^{m+n+1} |\hat{u}(\xi)| \frac{d\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle^{n+1}} \\ &= c q_{|m|+n+1}(u) + Q_0(a). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the derivation under integral sign can be done and we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{x_j} \mathcal{A}u(x) &= \int e^{ix\xi} i\xi_j a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi + \int e^{ix\xi} \partial_{x_j} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \\ &= i\mathcal{A}(\xi_j, \hat{u}) + \mathcal{B}u(x)\end{aligned}$$

where $b(x, \xi) = \partial_{x_j} a(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m+|\delta|\beta}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{x_j} \mathcal{A}u(x) &\leq cq_{|m|+n+1}(\xi_j, u) Q_0(a) + cq_{|m|+n+1}(u) Q_0(\partial_{x_j} a) \\ &\leq cq_{|m|+n+2}(u) Q_1(a).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|x_j \mathcal{A}u(x)| &= \left| \int e^{ix\xi} \partial_{\xi_j} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi + \int e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) \partial_{\xi_j} \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ &\leq cq_{|m|+n+1}(u) Q_0(\partial_{\xi_j} a) + cq_{|m|+n+1}(x_j u) Q_0(a) \\ &\leq cq_{|m|+n+2}(u) Q_1(a).\end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this way we obtain $\mathcal{A}u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and \mathcal{A} is continuous on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. \square

We aim to show that the space $\Psi_{\rho, \delta}^0$ forms an operator algebra. For this reason we start by investigating some properties of the Hörmander classes $S_{\rho, \delta}^{m-\rho|\alpha|+|\delta|\beta}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proposition 5.3.1 *Let $a \in S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ then $\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m-\rho|\alpha|+|\delta|\beta}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$.*

Proof. Given $\gamma, \mu \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\partial_\xi^\gamma \partial_x^\mu (\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi))| &= |\partial_\xi^{\gamma+\alpha} \partial_x^{\mu+\beta} a(x, \xi)| \\ &\leq c \langle \xi \rangle^{m-\rho|\gamma+\alpha|+|\delta|\mu+\beta} \\ &= c \langle \xi \rangle^{(m-\rho|\alpha|+|\delta|\beta)-\rho|\gamma|+|\delta|\mu}.\end{aligned}$$

\square

Proposition 5.3.2 *Let $a \in S_{\rho_1, \delta_1}^{m_1}$ and $b \in S_{\rho_2, \delta_2}^{m_2}$ then $ab \in S_{\rho, \delta}^{m_1+m_2}$ where $\rho = \min\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$ and $\delta = \max\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then using Leibniz formula we have:

$$\partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi) b(x, \xi) = \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} C_\beta^\gamma \partial_x^\gamma a(x, \xi) \partial_x^{\beta-\gamma} b(x, \xi)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi) b(x, \xi)| &= \left| \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} C_\beta^\gamma \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\gamma a(x, \xi) \partial_x^{\beta-\gamma} b(x, \xi) \right| \\
&= \left| \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \sum_{\mu \leq \alpha} C_\beta^\gamma C_\alpha^\mu \partial_\xi^\mu \partial_x^\gamma a(x, \xi) \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\mu} \partial_x^{\beta-\gamma} b(x, \xi) \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \sum_{\mu \leq \alpha} C_\beta^\gamma C_\alpha^\mu |\partial_\xi^\mu \partial_x^\gamma a(x, \xi) \partial_\xi^{\alpha-\mu} \partial_x^{\beta-\gamma} b(x, \xi)| \\
&\leq \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \sum_{\mu \leq \alpha} C_\beta^\gamma C_\alpha^\mu c_{\mu, \gamma} c_{\alpha-\mu, \beta-\gamma} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_1 - \rho_1 |\mu| + \delta_1 |\gamma|} \langle \xi \rangle^{m_2 - \rho_2 |\alpha - \mu| + \delta_2 |\beta - \gamma|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3.1}$$

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
&m_1 - \rho_1 |\mu| + \delta_1 |\gamma| + m_2 - \rho_2 |\alpha - \mu| + \delta_2 |\beta - \gamma| \\
&= m_1 + m_2 - (\rho_1 - 1) |\mu| + \rho_2 |\alpha| - \rho_2 |\mu| + (\delta_1 |\gamma| + \delta_2 |\beta| - \delta_2 |\gamma|).
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\delta_1 - \delta_2 \leq \delta - \delta_2 \text{ and } |\gamma| \leq |\beta|$$

so that

$$(\delta_1 - \delta_2) |\gamma| \leq (\delta - \delta_2) |\beta|$$

hence

$$\delta_1 |\gamma| - \delta_2 |\gamma| + \delta_2 |\beta| \leq \delta |\beta|. \tag{5.3.2}$$

Similarly

$$\rho_2 - \rho_1 \leq \rho_2 - \rho \text{ and } |\mu| \leq |\alpha|$$

so that

$$(\rho_2 - \rho_1) |\mu| \leq (\rho_2 - \rho) |\alpha|$$

hence

$$\rho_2 |\mu| - \rho_1 |\mu| - \rho_2 |\alpha| \leq -\rho |\alpha| \tag{5.3.3}$$

Using (5.3.2) and (5.3.3) in the estimate (5.3.1), we obtain

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi) b(x, \xi)| \leq c \langle \xi \rangle^{m_1 + m_2 - \rho |\alpha| + \delta |\beta|}$$

□

Corollary 5.3.1 *The collection of all Hörmander symbol classes $S_{\rho, \delta}^{-\infty} = \cup_{m \in \mathbb{R}} S_{\rho, \delta}^m$ is an algebra over the pointwise multiplication and addition.*

Corollary 5.3.2 *The symbol class $S_{\rho,\delta}^0$ is a Fréchet algebra under pointwise multiplication and addition. The topology is given by the collection of semi-norms $\{Q_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by*

$$Q_k(a) = \sup\{|\langle \xi \rangle^{-m+\rho|\alpha|-\delta|\beta|} \partial_\xi^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, \xi)|, x \in \Omega, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, |\alpha| \leq k \text{ and } |\beta| \leq k\}.$$

We are interested in the continuity of Pseudodifferential operator on the Lebesgue space $L^2(\Omega)$. Of course this is equivalent to the fact of existence of a positive number c satisfying

$$\|\mathcal{A}u\|_{L^2} \leq c\|u\|_{L^2}, \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The continuity is then understood as the (unique) extension from $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The below theorem can be found in [35]. However the original proof for the case $\delta = 0$ and $\rho > 0$ is due to Hörmander [22].

Theorem 5.3.2 *Let $\rho, \delta \in [0, 1]$ with $\delta < \rho$ and $a = a(x, \xi) \in S_{\rho,\delta}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ with the $\text{supp } a \subset \{x \in \Omega, |x| \leq c\}$. Then $\mathcal{A} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \mapsto L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuous.*

Proof. *We give the proof for the case $\delta = 0$. We consider the Fourier transform for a in the x -variable, we have:*

$$[\mathcal{F}a(\cdot, \xi)](\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x, \xi) e^{-ix\mu} dx = \int_K a(x, \xi) e^{-ix\mu} dx, \text{ where } \text{supp } a \subset K \text{ and } K \text{ is compact.}$$

Hence, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu^\alpha [\mathcal{F}a(\cdot, \xi)](\mu)| &= |\mathcal{F}[\partial_x^\alpha a(\cdot, \xi)](\mu)| \\ &= \left| \int_K \partial_x^\alpha a(x, \xi) e^{-ix\mu} dx \right| \\ &\leq c \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3.4}$$

As $\rho > 0$ we choose $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $\rho|\alpha| > n$. By the inequality (5.3.4) we have

$$|\mathcal{F}a(\cdot, \xi)(\mu)| \leq c \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|} \langle \mu \rangle^{-|\alpha|}$$

But

$$a(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\mathcal{F}a(\cdot, \xi)](\mu) e^{ix\mu} d\mu.$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} |a(x, \xi)| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathcal{F}a(\cdot, \xi)](\mu) d\mu \\ &\leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|} \langle \mu \rangle^{-|\alpha|} d\mu \\ &\leq c \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \mu \rangle^{-|\alpha|} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{A}u(x)|^2 &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \right|^2 \\
&= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |a(x, \xi)| |\hat{u}(\xi)| d\xi \right)^2 \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |a(x, \xi)|^2 d\xi \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&= \|\hat{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |a(x, \xi)|^2 d\xi \\
&\leq \|\hat{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (c \langle \xi \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \mu \rangle^{-|\alpha|} d\mu)^2 d\xi \\
&= c^2 \|\hat{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2\rho|\alpha|} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \mu \rangle^{-|\alpha|} d\mu \right)^2 d\xi \\
&= C \|\hat{u}\|_{L^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

Where $C = c^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{-2\rho|\alpha|} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \mu \rangle^{-|\alpha|} d\mu \right)^2 d\xi < \infty$ because $n < \rho|\alpha| \leq |\alpha|$. Using Plancherel theorem we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{A}u\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_K |\mathcal{A}u(x)|^2 dx \leq C \|\hat{u}\|_{L^2}^2 = C \|u\|_{L^2}^2.$$

□

Remark 5.3.1 *The above theorem is still true even when removing the boundedness of support of a in the x -variable. But the condition $\delta < \rho$ is essential. However, the proof is more technical and is due to Calderon-Vaillancourt [9].*

Theorem 5.3.3 *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \Psi_{\rho, \delta}^0$ with $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$, then \mathcal{A} is bounded on $L^2(\Omega)$.*

In order to investigate whether the composite of two pseudo-differential operators is also a pseudo-differential operator we need to go through pseudo-differential operator with double symbols.

Theorem 5.3.4 *Let $a, b \in S_{\rho, \delta}^0(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ then*

$$c(x, \mu) := \int \int e^{izw} a(x, w + \mu) b(z + x, \mu) dz dw \in S_{\rho, \delta}^0(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n) \quad (5.3.5)$$

Proof. *Using Leibniz rule together with Peetre's inequality (5.1.2), for each $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$*

$$\partial_x^\beta a(x, w + \mu) b(z + x, \mu) = \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} C_\beta^\gamma \partial_x^\gamma a(x, w + \mu) \partial_x^{\beta - \gamma} b(z + x, \mu).$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\partial_\mu^\alpha \partial_x^\beta a(x, w + \mu) b(z + x, \mu)| &\leq \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \sum_{r \leq \alpha} C_\beta^\gamma C_\alpha^r |\partial_\mu^r \partial_x^\gamma a(x, w + \mu)| |\partial_\mu^{\alpha-r} \partial_x^{\beta-\gamma} b(z + x, \mu)| \\
&\leq \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \sum_{r \leq \alpha} C_\beta^\gamma C_\alpha^r c_{r,\gamma} c_{\alpha-r,\beta-\gamma} \langle w + \mu \rangle^{-\rho|r|+\delta|\gamma|} \langle \mu \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha-r|+\delta|\beta-\gamma|} \\
&\leq \sum_{\gamma \leq \beta} \sum_{r \leq \alpha} C_\beta^\gamma C_\alpha^r c_{r,\gamma} c_{\alpha-r,\beta-\gamma} 2^{|\rho|r+\delta|\gamma|} \langle w \rangle^{|\rho|r+\delta|\gamma|} \langle \mu \rangle^{-\rho|r|+\delta|\gamma|} \langle \mu \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha-r|+\delta|\beta-\gamma|} \\
&\leq c \langle w \rangle^{|\rho|\alpha+\delta|\beta|} \langle \mu \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}.
\end{aligned}$$

this shows that

$$|\partial_\mu^\alpha \partial_x^\beta c(x, \mu)| \leq C \langle \mu \rangle^{-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}.$$

□

Theorem 5.3.5 Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \Psi_{\rho,\delta}^0$ with $0 \leq \delta < \rho \leq 1$, then $\mathcal{AB} \in \Psi_{\rho,\delta}^0$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in S_{\rho,\delta}^0(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Kohn-Neirenberg symbol associated to \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively. Given $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{AB}u(x) &= \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{B}u)(x) \\
&= \mathcal{A}\left[\int e^{ix\mu} b(y, \mu) \hat{u}(\mu) d\mu\right](x) \\
&= \int e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) \mathcal{F}\left(\int e^{iy\mu} b(y, \mu) \hat{u}(\mu) d\mu\right)(\xi) d\xi \\
&= \int e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) \int e^{-iy\xi} \int e^{iy\mu} b(y, \mu) \hat{u}(\mu) d\mu dy d\xi \\
&= \int e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) \int e^{-iy\xi} \int e^{iy\mu} b(y, \mu) \int e^{-i\mu\gamma} u(\gamma) d\gamma d\mu dy d\xi \\
&= \int \int e^{i\xi(x-y)} a(x, \xi) \int \int e^{i\mu(y-\gamma)} b(y, \mu) u(\gamma) d\gamma d\mu dy d\xi \\
&= \int \int e^{i\xi(x-y)} a(x, \xi) \int \int e^{i\mu\theta} b(y, \mu) u(\theta + y) d\theta d\mu dy d\xi \\
&= \int \int \int \int e^{-i\xi z - i\mu\theta} a(x, \xi) b(z + x, \mu) u(z + \theta + x) d\theta d\mu dz d\xi
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the change of variables $\gamma - y = \theta$ and $y - x = z$. Introduce the new change of variable $z + \theta = v$ and $\xi - \mu = w$ we obtain $z\xi + \theta\mu = zw + v\mu$ hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{AB}u(x) &= \int \int \int \int e^{-izw - iv\mu} a(x, w + \mu) b(z + x, \mu) u(v + x) dv d\mu dz dw \\
&= \int \int e^{-iv\mu} \int \int e^{-izw} a(x, w + \mu) b(z + x, \mu) dz dw u(v + x) dv d\mu \\
&= \int \int e^{-iv\mu} c(x, \mu) u(v + x) dv d\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where $c(x, \mu)$ is the symbol given by the above theorem. Using the change of variable $t = v + x$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}u(x) &= \int \int e^{-i(t-x)\mu} c(x, \mu) u(t) dt d\mu \\ &= \mathcal{C} u(x).\end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{C} is the Pseudo-differential operator with Kohn-Neirenberg symbol $c \in S_{\rho, \delta}^0(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ i.e. $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}\mathcal{B} \in \Psi_{\rho, \delta}^0$. \square

Combining theorem (5.3.3) and the above theorem, we obtain:

Corollary 5.3.3 *Given $\rho, \delta \in [0, 1]$ with $\delta < \rho$ then the collection of all Pseudo-differential operators of order zero $\Psi_{\rho, \delta}^0(\Omega)$ is an operator subalgebra in $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\Omega))$.*

We now prove that $\Psi_{\rho, \delta}^0(\Omega)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\Omega))$.

Theorem 5.3.6 *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \Psi_{\rho, \delta}^0(\Omega)$, then the adjoint operator $\mathcal{A}^* \in \Psi_{\rho, \delta}^0(\Omega)$*

Proof. *Let $u, v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then*

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \mathcal{A}u, v \rangle_{L^2} &= \int \mathcal{A}u(x) \overline{v(x)} dx \\ &= \int \int e^{ix\xi} a(x, \xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi \overline{v(x)} dx \\ &= \int \mathcal{F}(u)(\xi) \int \overline{e^{-ix\xi} a(x, \xi) v(x)} dx d\xi \\ &= \int \int e^{-iy\xi} u(y) dy \int \overline{e^{-ix\xi} a(x, \xi) v(x)} dx d\xi \\ &= \int u(y) \int \int \overline{e^{-i(y-x)\xi} a(x, \xi) v(x)} dx d\xi dy\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\mathcal{A}^*v(y) = \int \int e^{i(y-x)\xi} \overline{a(x, \xi) v(x)} dx d\xi$$

\square

Combining the last corollary and theorem we obtain

Corollary 5.3.4 *Let $\rho, \delta \in [0, 1]$ with $\delta < \rho$ then $\Psi_{\rho, \delta}^0$ is a Ψ^* – algebra in the C^* – algebra $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\Omega))$.*

For the spectral invariance proof, we refer the reader to Weiner's lemma for pseudodifferential operators which can be found in [16, 22, 34].

Chapter 6

Bergman spaces

6.1 RKHS and Bergman spaces

Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces (RKHS) have arise in various areas in functional analysis, representation theory, statistics and approximation theory. Through this chapter you will study reproducing kernels spaces with its properties and Bergman spaces as a particular example of it.

Definition 6.1.1 *A reproducing kernel Hilbert space H is a Hilbert Space of complex valued functions on an open subset Ω of \mathbb{C}^n such that the Dirac evaluation function at every point is continuous.*

Remark 6.1.1 *Let H be a RKHS then by Reisz Representation theory there is a unique $K_z \in H$ such that*

$$\delta_z(f) = f(z) = \langle f, K_z \rangle; \forall f \in H.$$

Definition 6.1.2 *The reproducing kernel of H is a 2-variable function over $\Omega \times \Omega$ defined by $K(w, z) = K_z(w)$.*

Proposition 6.1.1 *Let H be a RKHS of holomorphic functions. Then the reproducing of H is given by $K(w, z) = \overline{K(z, w)}$ i.e. $K(z, w)$ is holomorphic in z and anti-holomorphic in w .*

Definition 6.1.3 *Let U be an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n and α be a weight function such that $\alpha(z)dv(z)$ is a probability measure on U . The Bergman space over U , denoted by $HL^2(U, \alpha)$, is the space of holomorphic functions on U which are square integrable with the respect $\alpha(z)dv(z)$ i.e.*

$$HL^2(U, \alpha) = \{f : U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \int_U |F(z)|^2 \alpha(z) dz < \infty\}$$

Lemma 6.1.1 *The Bergman space $HL^2(U, \alpha)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of holomorphic functions.*

Proof. We provide the proof for the case $n = 1$. For a fixed $z \in U$. Let $B(z, r)$ be the open disk of center z and radius r so that $\overline{B(z, r)} \subset U$, then we can write

$$F(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{B(z, r)} F(v) dv$$

by Mean Value Theorem for holomorphic functions. Using Taylor series at $v = z$, $F(v) = F(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (v - z)^n$. As $\overline{B(z, r)} \subset U$ is a compact set then this series converges uniformly on $\overline{B(z, r)}$ to F .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{B(z, r)} F(v) dv &= \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{B(z, r)} F(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n (v - z)^n dv \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \int_{B(z, r)} F(z) dv + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_{B(z, r)} (v - z)^n dv \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi r^2} \pi r^2 F(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 r^n e^{in\theta} r dr d\theta \\ &= F(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 r^{n+1} e^{in\theta} dr d\theta = F(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{in\theta} d\theta \\ &= F(z) + 0 = F(z). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the pointwise evaluation map is continuous linear function on $HL^2(U, \alpha)$.

□

Proposition 6.1.2 *If $F \in L^2(U, \alpha)$, the orthogonal projection of F into the closed subspace $HL^2(U, \alpha)$ denoted by PF is given by*

$$PF(z) = \int_U K(z, w) F(w) \alpha(w) dw.$$

Proof. Given $F \in L^2$ write $F = f + g$ where $f \in HL^2$ and $g \in (HL^2)^\perp$. As $f \in HL^2(U, \alpha)$ then

$$Pf(z) = f(z) = \int_U K(z, w) f(w) \alpha(w) dw.$$

However, as $k_z \in HL^2$

$$\int_U K(z, w) g(w) \alpha(w) dw = \langle g, k_z \rangle_{L^2(U, \alpha)} = 0.$$

□

Below, we collect some basic properties of the kernel $K(w, z)$

1. $\|K_z\| = \sqrt{K(z, z)}$
2. $|K(w, z)| \leq \sqrt{K(z, z)}\sqrt{K(w, w)}$
3. $|F(z)|^2 \leq K(z, z)\|F\|^2$
4. $\int_U K(z, w)K(w, u)\alpha(w)dw = K(z, u)$

The reproducing kernel of a separable can be calculated as the following proposition ensures.

Proposition 6.1.3 *For any complete orthogonal system $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of a separable RKHS we have*

$$K(w, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} e_n(w)\overline{e_n(z)}$$

which converges absolutely on $U \times U$.

Proof. For any $z \in U$, we have $K_z = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle K_z, e_n \rangle e_n$ and hence

$$K_z(w) = \langle K_z, K_w \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle K_z, e_n \rangle \langle e_n, K_w \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{e_n(z)} e_n(w).$$

□

Remark 6.1.2 *Consider the Bergman space $HL^2(U, \alpha)$ and let $K(w, z)$ denotes the reproducing kernel. Then the following holds true*

1. $K(w, z)$ is analytic in w and anti-analytic in z .
2. H is separable.
3. $K(w, z)$ converges uniformly on compact sets of $U \times U$.

6.2 Bergman space over the unit ball

In this section we consider the case of the Bergman space over the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n . We are interested in calculating the reproducing kernel starting from the fact that the space of holomorphic polynomials are dense in the Bergman space. Our calculations are done for the unit disc (with standard measure) and by a slight generalization we obtain the kernel in the higher dimensional cases. The results of this section are well known in literature (cf. [38]).

Theorem 6.2.1 *Let D be the unit disk, i.e. $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$ and $HL^2(D, \frac{1}{\pi}(1 - |z|^2)^a)$, $a > -1$ is the weighted Bergman space. Then the reproducing kernel is given by*

$$K(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1 - w\bar{z})^{2+a}}$$

Proof. The prove of this needs few steps. We start by proving $\{z_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an orthogonal basis for $HL^2(D, (1 - |z|^2)^a)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z^n, z^m \rangle &= \int_D \bar{z}^n z^m \alpha(z) dz = \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} r^n e^{-in\theta} r^m e^{im\theta} (1 - r^2)^a r dr d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 r^{n+m+1} (1 - r^2)^a \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)\theta} d\theta dr \end{aligned}$$

For $m \neq n$ we know that $\int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)\theta} d\theta = [\frac{1}{m-n} e^{i(m-n)\theta}]_0^{2\pi} = 0$. Now let $F \in HL^2(D, (1 - |z|^2)^a)$ with $\langle z^n, F \rangle = 0$ then $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$. As $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ converges uniformly on a compact subset of D we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z^m, F \rangle &= \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} r^m e^{-im\theta} F(re^{i\theta}) (1 - r^2)^a r dr d\theta \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \int_0^x \int_0^{2\pi} r^m e^{-im\theta} F(re^{i\theta}) (1 - r^2)^a r dr d\theta \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \int_0^x \int_0^{2\pi} r^m e^{-im\theta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (c_n r^n e^{in\theta}) (1 - r^2)^a r dr d\theta \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^x \int_0^{2\pi} r^m e^{-im\theta} c_n r^n e^{in\theta} (1 - r^2)^a r dr d\theta \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^x r^{m+n+1} (1 - r^2)^a c_n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)\theta} d\theta dr \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_0^x r^{m+n+1} (1 - r^2)^a c_n \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)\theta} d\theta dr \\ &= \int_0^1 r^{m+n+1} (1 - r^2)^a c_m (2\pi) dr \\ &= 2\pi c_m \int_0^1 r^{2m+1} (1 - r^2)^a dr. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $c_m = 0$ for all m and therefore $F = 0$. Hence, $\{z^m\}$ is an orthogonal basis for $HL^2(D, (1 - |z|^2)^a)$.

Our next step is to normalize the basis.

$$\|z^n\| = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^1 \int_0^{2\pi} r^n e^{-in\theta} r^n e^{in\theta} (1 - r^2)^a r d\theta dr$$

$$= \int_0^1 2 r^{2n+1} (1 - r^2)^a dr = 2 \frac{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(n+1)}{2\Gamma(a+n+2)}.$$

So that

$$\left\{ z^n \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(a+n+2)}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(n+1)}} \right\}$$

is an orthonormal basis for $HL^2(D, (1 - |z|^2)^a)$.

Our final step is computing the reproducing kernel

$$\begin{aligned} K(z, w) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(a+n+2)}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(n+1)}} \bar{w}^n \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(a+n+2)}{\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(n+1)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(a+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n+2)}{\Gamma(n+1)} (z\bar{w})^n \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - w\bar{z})^{2+a}}. \end{aligned}$$

□ The generalization for higher dimensional case is given in the below definition and remark.

Definition 6.2.1 Let $B_n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ denotes the unit open ball of \mathbb{C}^n , and consider the space $\mathbf{L}^2(B_n) := \mathbf{L}^2(B_n, d\mu)$ of square-integrable complex valued functions on B_n , where μ is the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C}^n normalizing B_n i.e. $\mu(B_n) = 1$. Then the space of entire function in $\mathbf{L}^2(B_n)$ is called the Bergman space over the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n and is denoted by $\mathbb{H}^2(B_n)$.

Remark 6.2.1 The Bergman space $\mathbb{H}^2(B_n)$ has the reproduction kernel $k(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1 - w\bar{z})^{n+1}}$ and the Bergman projection

$$P : \mathbf{L}^2(B_n) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(B_n)$$

is given by

$$(Pf)(z) = \langle f, k_z \rangle = \int_{B_n} \frac{f(w)}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{n+1}} d\mu(w) \quad (6.2.1)$$

6.3 The Segal Bargmann space

This section is devoted to introduce the Segal Bargmann space which is a Bergman space over an unbounded domain. The aim of introducing this space in the thesis is to compare the results of Ψ^* -algebra constructed by Bauer to the construction we shall do in the case of the unit ball.

Definition 6.3.1 The Segal Bargmann space is defined to be the space of entire functions on \mathbb{C}^n which are square-integrable with respect to the Gaussian measure

$$\alpha_t(z) = \frac{1}{(\pi t)^n} e^{-\frac{|z|^2}{t}},$$

where t is a positive parameter. This space is denoted by $HL^2(\mathbb{C}, \alpha_t(z))$.

Theorem 6.3.1 *The reproducing kernel of the Segal Bargmann space $HL^2(\mathbb{C}, \alpha_t(z))$ is given by*

$$K(z, w) = e^{\frac{z\bar{w}}{t}}.$$

Proof. We provide the calculations for the case $n = 1$. Given $n \neq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z^n, z^m \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{z}^n z^m \alpha_t(z) dz = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} r^n r^{-in\theta} r^m e^{im\theta} \left(\frac{e^{-\frac{r^2}{t}}}{\pi t}\right) r d\theta dr \\ &= \int_0^\infty r^{n+m+1} \left(\frac{-e^{-\frac{r^2}{t}}}{\pi t}\right) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i(m-n)\theta} d\theta dr = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty c_n z^n$ with $\langle z^m, F \rangle = 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z^m, F \rangle &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} r^m e^{-im\theta} F(re^{i\theta}) \frac{1}{\pi t} e^{-\frac{r^2}{t}} r d\theta dr \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n,m} \frac{1}{\pi t} r^{m+n+1} e^{-\frac{r^2}{t}} c_n e^{i(n-m)\theta} d\theta dr \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{c_m}{\pi t} (2\pi) r^{2m+1} e^{-\frac{r^2}{t}} dr \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $F \equiv 0$. So $\{z^m\}$ is an orthogonal basis of $HL^2(\mathbb{C}, \alpha_t)$. Moreover, for $n = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z^n\|^2 &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} 1 \left(\frac{1}{\pi t}\right) e^{-\frac{r^2}{t}} r d\theta dr \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^x 2r e^{-\frac{r^2}{t}} dr \\ &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} -e^{-\frac{r^2}{t}} \Big|_0^x = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} -e^{-\frac{x^2}{t}} + e^0 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for $n > 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|z^n\|^2 &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} r^n e^{-in\theta} r^n e^{in\theta} \left(\frac{1}{\pi t}\right) e^{-\frac{r^2}{t}} r d\theta dr = \frac{2}{t} \int_0^\infty r^{2n} e^{-\frac{r^2}{t}} r dr \\ &= \frac{2}{t} \left[-\frac{t}{2} r^{2n} e^{-\frac{r^2}{t}}\right]_0^\infty + tn \int_0^\infty r^{2n-1} e^{-\frac{r^2}{t}} dr \\ &= 0 + tn \left(\frac{2}{t} \int_0^\infty r^{2(n-1)+1} e^{-\frac{r^2}{t}} dr\right) \\ &= tn \|z^{n-1}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by induction we obtain $\|z^n\| = n! t^n$ i.e. $\{\frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!t^n}}\}$ is an orthonormal basis. Hence the reproducing kernel is given by

$$K(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{z^n}{\sqrt{n!t^n}} \frac{\bar{w}^n}{\sqrt{n!t^n}} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} \left(\frac{z\bar{w}}{t}\right)^n = e^{\frac{z\bar{w}}{t}}.$$

□

Chapter 7

Ψ^* -algebra and the Bergman projection

This chapter is devoted to solve the problem considered in the thesis. The obtained results are new contribution in the analysis of Toeplitz operators and Ψ^* -algebras.

7.1 Motivation and the main problem

The characterization of the algebra of pseudodifferential operators $\Psi_{\rho,\delta}^0(\Omega)$, ($0 \leq \rho \leq \delta \leq 1$ and $\delta < 1$), was given by the method of Beals (cf. [5]) and Meyer (cf. [10]). Instead of defining the Hörmander classes $\Psi_{\rho,\delta}^0$ as an operators on the symbol classes $S_{\rho,\delta}$, one can describe $\Psi_{\rho,\delta}^0$ only by commutator method (cf. [5, 36]) Roughly speaking:

$$\Psi_{\rho,\delta}^0 := \{a \in \mathcal{L}(H^0) \mid ad(M)^\alpha ad(\partial)^\alpha(a) \in \bigcap_{s \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}(H^{s-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}, H^s), \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n\},$$

where M is the multiplication by the coordinates, and H^s are the sobolev spaces. It was shown that $\Psi_{\rho,\delta}^0 \subset \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is spectral invariant Fréchet algebra. As a generalization of this case, Gramsch introduced the notion of Ψ_0 and Ψ^* algebras in the abstract setting (cf [17, ?]).

Subsequent to the work of Gramsch, many other works were done in this field (cf[8, 12, 23, 30, 31]). It should be remarked here that due to the work of Rickard and Waelbroek, every Ψ^* algebra in $\mathcal{L}(H)$, where H is a Hilbert space, contains its holomorphic functional calculus (cf. [24]) in the sense of Taylor (cf [25]).

Different methods for generalizing and Ψ^* algebras were studied in different papers. For example the method using the unitary group actions was studied in [8] and [25]. Another method called the commutator method, can be found in (cf. [17, 19]). More precisely, starting from a finite family \mathcal{V} of densely defined closed operators on H , and $a \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ we

require that all the iterated commutators

$$[[a, V_1], V_2, \dots], \quad V_j \in \mathcal{V}$$

are well defined on a suitable dense subset of H and they admit an extension in $\mathcal{L}(H)$ (cf section 2). Using this method, we are interested in generating Ψ_0 and Ψ^* algebras in $\mathcal{L}(L^2(B_n))$ containing the Bergman projection P on the unit open ball $B_n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ by using a family of linear vector fields. Linear vector field X is defined by a matrix $A \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ given by

$$X = \sum_{i,j}^{2n} a_{i,j} x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

where (x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) are the real coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \approx \mathbb{C}^n$. And so for constructing new Ψ^* algebras containing P , a natural question arises:

What properties should A have so that the commutator $[X, P]$ admits a continuous extension in $\mathcal{L}(L^2(B_n))$?

To answer this question, we write X in the complex coordinates $(z_1, \bar{z}_1, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_n)$ and we define the property \mathfrak{S} as a relation between the entries of A . We need to find a dense subset in $L^2(B_n)$ which is invariant under P . We prove that the set of polynomials with complex coefficients is invariant under P .

After this step, we prove that a necessary condition for $[X, P]$ to admit a continuous extension is that the matrix A should satisfies the property \mathfrak{S} . A nice result we then prove, any vector field X with this property commutes with P . In fact, we prove that they commute on the set of smooth function with compact support. And by this result, its obviously to see that the higher commutators $[X, [X, P], \dots]$ also vanishes on $L^2(B_n)$ and therefore we obtain a Ψ^* algebras from any finite family of vector fields with this property.

In (cf), the author proved that the commutator of the Segal-Bergman projection P_1 (cf. Theorem 6.3.1) with vector fields having bounded coefficients admits a continuous extension on $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and Ψ^* -algebras were constructed. For example $[P_1, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}] = 0$ and $[P_1, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i}] = P_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i}$ admits a continuous extension.

7.2 The commutator method for Ψ^* -algebra

The method we follow for constructing a Ψ^* -algebra containing the Bergman projection on the unit ball is known as the commutator method and is due to Gramsch. We restrict our work to the operator algebra $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{L}(H)$ where $(H, \|\cdot\|_H)$ is a Hilbert space (in our case $H = L^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$), and we sketch the method for constructing new Ψ^* -algebras.

Let \mathcal{V} be a finite set of closed densely defined operators. For each $A \in \mathcal{V}$, $A : H \supset D(A) \mapsto H$ we define:

1. $\mathcal{T}(A) := \{a \in \mathcal{F} \mid a(D(A)) \subset D(A)\}$.
2. $\mathcal{B}(A) := \{a \in \mathcal{T}(A) \mid ad[A]a := Aa - aA : H \supset D(A) \mapsto H \text{ extends to } \partial_A(a) \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

It is not so hard to prove that for each $A \in \mathcal{V}$, the operator $\partial_A : \mathcal{F} \supset \mathcal{B}(A) \mapsto \mathcal{F}$ is a closed derivation between algebras. Moreover, if A is symmetric and we define

$$\mathcal{B}^*(A) := \{a \in \mathcal{B}(A) \mid a^* \in \mathcal{B}(A)\}$$

then $\partial_A : \mathcal{B}(A) \mapsto \mathcal{F}$ is closed \star -derivation between symmetric algebras. A method generating new Ψ_0 and Ψ^* -algebras in \mathcal{F} , from a given finite family of closed derivations and \star -derivation was discussed by Gramsch in cf. Applying those constructions to our case we define a decreasing sequence of subalgebras in \mathcal{F} :

$$\Psi_0^\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{F}] := \mathcal{F} \supset \Psi_1^\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{F}] := \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{V}} \mathcal{B}(A) \supset \cdots \supset \Psi_k^\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{F}] \supset \cdots \supset \Psi_\infty^\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{F}]$$

where

1. For $k \geq 2$, $\Psi_k^\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{F}] := \{a \in \Psi_{k-1}^\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{F}]; \delta_A(a) \in \Psi_{k-1}^\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{F}] \forall A \in \mathcal{V}\}$
2. $\Psi_\infty^\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{F}] := \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Psi_k^\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{F}]$

Now, we endow each $\Psi_k^\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{F}]$, $k \geq 1$, with the family of submultiplicative semi-norms $\{q_{k,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$:

$$q_{k,j}(\cdot) := q_{k-1,j}(\cdot) + \sum_{A \in \mathcal{V}} q_{k-1,j}(\delta_A \cdot)$$

As a result to the above construction, we obtain[ref]:

Proposition 7.2.1 *For each fixed k , $(\Psi_k^\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{F}], \{q_{k,j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is continuously embedded submultiplicative Fréchet algebra. And $(\Psi_\infty^\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{F}], \{q_{k,j}\}_{k,j \in \mathbb{N}})$ is a submultiplicative Ψ_0 algebra in $\mathcal{L}(H)$. Moreover, if $A \in \mathcal{V}$ is symmetric then replacing $\mathcal{B}(A)$ by $\mathcal{B}^*(A)$, gives that $\Psi_\infty^\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{F}]$ is a submultiplicative Ψ^* algebra in $\mathcal{L}(H)$.*

Now we define the higher order commutators with a finite system of operators, to get a sufficient condition for $a \in \mathcal{F}$ to belong to the algebra $\Psi_k^\mathcal{V}[\mathcal{F}]$:

Definition 7.2.1 *Let M be linear subspace of H , $L(M)$ denotes the set of linear operators on M . Consider $B \in L(M)$ and a finite system:*

$$\mathcal{A}_k = [A_1, \dots, A_k] \text{ where } A_j \in L(M),$$

We define inductively:

$$ad[A_1](B) = [A, B], \quad ad[a_1, \dots, A_j](B) = ad[A_j](ad[a_1, \dots, A_{j-1}](B))$$

Then $ad[A_k](B)$ is called the commutator with the system \mathcal{A}_k .

Let $A : H \supset D \mapsto H$ be a closable densely defined operator on H . And let $A : H \supset D(A) \mapsto H$ be its minimal closed extension in H . That is D is dense in $D(A)$ with respect to the graph norm ($\|\cdot\|_{gr} := \|\cdot\|_H + \|A\cdot\|_H$). Moreover, suppose there is $a \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, such that $a(D) \subset D(A)$ then the following lemma holds:

Lemma 7.2.1 *Suppose that the commutator $[A, a] : D \mapsto H$ admits a continuous extension $\delta_A(a) \in \mathcal{L}(H)$. Then*

1. $D(A)$ is invariant under a , i.e. $a(D(A)) \subset D(A)$.
2. $\delta_A(a)$ is the extension of the well defined operator: $[A, a] : D(A) \mapsto H$

Proof. Let $x \in D(A)$, then there is a sequence $(y_n) \in D$ such that $y_n \mapsto x$ and $ay_n \mapsto Ax$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_H$. Since $a \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, then $ay_n \mapsto ax(\star)$ and $aAy_n \mapsto aAx$, then

$$Aay_n = \delta_A(a)y_n + aAy_n$$

converges in H . Since A is closed on $D(A)$, and using (\star) we get $ax \in D(A)$. Hence $[A, a] : D(A) \mapsto H$ is well defined, and

$$[A, a](x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [A, a]y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_A(a)y_n = \delta_A(a)(x).$$

□

Proposition 7.2.2 *Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, $a \in \mathcal{F}$, and \mathcal{V} be a finite set of closed densely defined operators. Such that there is $D \subset H$ dense, with $D(A) = (\overline{D}, \|\cdot\|_{gr} := \|\cdot\|_H + \|A\cdot\|_H)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{V}$. Moreover, suppose the following property (E_k) holds:*

$(E_k) : D$ is invariant under a , and under all $A \in \mathcal{V}$. And suppose for any system \mathcal{A} in

$$S_k(\mathcal{V}) := \{[A_1, \dots, A_j], A_j \in \mathcal{V}, j \leq k\}$$

The commutators $ad[\mathcal{A}](a) : D \mapsto H$, admits a continuous extension $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \in \mathcal{F}$.

Then $a \in \Psi_k^{\mathcal{V}}[\mathcal{F}]$, and $\delta_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ is the bounded extension of $ad[\mathcal{A}](a) : H \supset D(A) \mapsto H$ for all $A \in \mathcal{V}$.

Proof. The previous Lemma proves the proposition for $k = 1$. Now assume that we proved $a \in \Psi_{k-1}^{\mathcal{V}}[\mathcal{F}]$, and consider $A \in \mathcal{V}$. Then by our assumption $ad[A](a)$ admits a continuous extension $\delta_A(a) \in \mathcal{F}$. However for any system \mathcal{A}_j , $j \leq k - 1$, we have

$$ad[\mathcal{A}_j, A](a) = ad[\mathcal{A}_j](\delta_A(a))$$

hence by induction $\delta_A(a) \in \Psi_{k-1}^{\mathcal{V}}$, i.e. $a \in \Psi_k^{\mathcal{V}}[\mathcal{F}]$. \square

7.3 Continuity results for derivations with constant coefficients

This section is devoted to study the extension by continuity for the commutator $[X, P]$ whenever X is formed of partial derivatives with respect to z_i or with respect to \bar{z}_i . The motivation started by the following results we obtained: let $Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i}$ then the commutator $[P, Y]$ is not continuous. Before proving our result we need to test the behavior of P on the monomials.

Proposition 7.3.1 *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then the Bergman projection of $z^\alpha \bar{z}^\beta$, $P(z^\alpha \bar{z}^\beta)$ is given by*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\alpha!}{(n + |\alpha|)!} \frac{[|\alpha - \beta| + n]!}{(\alpha - \beta)!} z^{\alpha - \beta}, & \alpha \geq \beta \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We start by regarding the following series representation. Given λ non negative integer, we have

$$(1 + x)^{-\lambda} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k + \lambda)}{k! \Gamma(\lambda)} x^k, \quad \text{for } |x| < 1$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(1 - z \cdot \bar{w})^{n+1}} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k + n + 1)}{k! \Gamma(n + 1)} (z \cdot \bar{w})^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k + n)!}{k! n!} (z \cdot \bar{w})^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k + n)!}{k! n!} \sum_{|\gamma|=k} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} z^\gamma \bar{w}^\gamma \end{aligned}$$

Now using the fact:

$$\int_{B_n} z^\alpha \bar{z}^\beta d\mu(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{n! \alpha!}{(n + |\alpha|)!}, & \alpha = \beta \\ 0, & \text{else,} \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(z^\alpha \bar{z}^\beta) &= \int_{B_n} \frac{w^\alpha \bar{w}^\beta}{(1 - z \cdot \bar{w})^{n+1}} d\mu(w) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+n)!}{k!n!} \sum_{|\gamma|=k} \frac{k!}{\gamma!} z^\gamma \int_{B_n} w^\alpha \bar{w}^\beta \bar{w}^\gamma d\mu(w). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\beta + \gamma = \alpha$ we obtain $k = |\alpha - \beta|$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P(z^\alpha \bar{z}^\beta) &= \frac{(|\alpha - \beta| + n)!}{|\alpha - \beta|!n!} \frac{|\alpha - \beta|!}{(\alpha - \beta)!} \int_{B_n} w^\alpha \bar{w}^\alpha z^{\alpha - \beta} d\mu(w) \\ &= \frac{(|\alpha - \beta| + n)!}{n!(\alpha - \beta)!} \frac{n!\alpha!}{(n + |\alpha|)!} z^{\alpha - \beta} \\ &= \frac{(|\alpha - \beta| + n)!}{(\alpha - \beta)!} \frac{\alpha!}{(n + |\alpha|)!} z^{\alpha - \beta}, \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 7.3.1 By the above calculations, we have:

$$\|z^\alpha \bar{z}^\beta\|^2 = \frac{n!(\alpha + \beta)!}{(n + |\alpha + \beta|)!}$$

Remark 7.3.2 Let D denotes the set of all polynomials with complex coefficient, then D is dense, closed under linear vector fields, and by the above proposition it is also closed under the Bergman projection.

Below is our first result concerning the continuity of the commutator of a derivation and the Bergman projection on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n .

Theorem 7.3.1 For all $i = 1, \dots, n$ the commutator $[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, P]$ is does not admit a continuous extension to $L^2(B_n)$.

Proof. Consider $z_i^p \bar{z}_i^q$ with $p > q + 1$, then by Proposition 7.3.1 we obtain

$$P\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}(z_i^p \bar{z}_i^q)\right) = pPz_i^{p-1} \bar{z}_i^q = \frac{p![p - q - 1 + n]!}{(n + p - 1)!(p - q - 1)!} z_i^{p-q-1}$$

By another application of Proposition 7.3.1 we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}(P(z_i^p \bar{z}_i^q)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}\left(\frac{p![p - q + n]!}{(n + p)!(p - q)!} z_i^{p-q}\right) = \frac{p![p - q + n]!}{(n + p)!(p - q - 1)!} z_i^{p-q-1}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, P\right](z_i^p \bar{z}_i^q) &= p! \left\{ \frac{[p - q + n]! - (n + p)[p - q - 1 + n]!}{(n + p)!(p - q - 1)!} \right\} z_i^{p-q-1} \\ &= -p!q \frac{[p - q - 1 + n]!}{(n + p)!(p - q - 1)!} z_i^{p-q-1} \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that the commutator is continuous, then there is a real positive number c such that

$$\|[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, P]f\|^2 \leq c\|f\|^2 \text{ for all } f \in L^2.$$

This is equivalent to say that

$$\|[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, P](z_i^p \bar{z}_i^q)\|^2 \leq c\|(z_i^p \bar{z}_i^q)\|^2.$$

By the above calculations we get

$$\{p!q \frac{[p-q-1+n]!}{(n+p)!(p-q-1)!}\}^2 \|z_i^{p-q-1}\|^2 \leq c\|(z_i^p \bar{z}_i^q)\|^2$$

Hence,

$$\{p!q \frac{[p-q-1+n]!}{(n+p)!(p-q-1)!}\}^2 \frac{n!(p-q-1)!}{(n+p-q-1)!} \leq c \frac{n!(p+q)!}{(n+p+q)!}$$

so that

$$q^2 \frac{p!p!(p-q-1+n)!}{(n+p)!(n+p)!(p-q-1)!} \frac{(n+p+q)!}{(p+q)!} \leq c.$$

Let $p = 2m$ and $q = m$ then:

$$m^2 \frac{(2m)!(2m)!(m-1+n)!}{(n+2m)!(n+2m)!(m-1)!} \frac{(3m+n)!}{(3m)!} \leq c$$

i.e.

$$m^2 \frac{(m-1+n)\dots m}{(2m+n)\dots(2m+1)(2m+n)\dots(2m+1)} (3m+n)\dots(3m+1) \leq c.$$

We obtain a contradiction by taking m big enough. □

As a consequence of the above result, we obtain

Corollary 7.3.1 *Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ where a_i are constants not all zeros, then $[X, P]$ does not admit a continuous extension to $L^2(B_n)$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, suppose $a_j \neq 0$, and suppose there exist $c > 0$ such that

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, P]f\|^2 \leq c\|f\|^2 \text{ for all } f \in L^2.$$

Consider the functions $(z_j^{2m} \bar{z}_j^m)$, then $[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, P](z_j^{2m} \bar{z}_j^m) = 0$ for all $i \neq j$ so that

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, P](z_j^{2m} \bar{z}_j^m)\|^2 = a_j^2 \|[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}, P](z_j^{2m} \bar{z}_j^m)\|^2 \leq c\|z_j^{2m} \bar{z}_j^m\|^2$$

which is not true by the previous theorem. □

Here is our second result for the criteria of the continuity of the commutator.

Theorem 7.3.2 For all $i = 1, \dots, n$ the commutator $[P, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i}]$ is does not admit a continuous extension to $L^2(B_n)$.

Proof. Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i} P = 0$, then

$$[P, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i}] = P \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i}$$

Suppose that the commutator is continuous then there is $c > 0$ such that

$$\|P \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i} f\|^2 \leq c \|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in L^2.$$

For $p > q$, we have

$$P \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i} (z_i^p \bar{z}_i^q) = q P (z_i^p \bar{z}_i^{q-1}) = q \frac{p! [p - q + 1 + n]!}{(n + p)! (p - q + 1)!} z_i^{p-q+1}$$

so that

$$\left\{ q \frac{p! [p - q + 1 + n]!}{(n + p)! (p - q + 1)!} \right\}^2 \|z_i^{p-q+1}\|^2 \leq c \|(z_i^p \bar{z}_i^q)\|^2$$

i.e.

$$\left\{ q \frac{p! [p - q + 1 + n]!}{(n + p)! (p - q + 1)!} \right\}^2 \frac{n! [p - q + 1]!}{(p - q + 1 + n)!} \leq c \frac{n! [p + q]!}{(n + p + q)!}$$

and

$$q^2 \frac{p! p! [p - q + 1 + n]!}{(n + p)! (n + p)! (p - q + 1)!} \frac{(n + p + q)!}{[p + q]!} \leq c$$

Taking $p = 2m = 2q$ we obtain

$$m^2 \frac{(2m)! (2m)! (m + 1 + n)!}{(n + 2m)! (n + 2m)! (m + 1)!} \frac{(n + 3m)!}{(3m)!} \leq c$$

i.e.

$$m^2 \frac{(m + n + 1) \dots (m + 2)}{(2m + n) \dots (2m + 1) (2m + n) \dots (2m + 1)} (3m + n) \dots (3m + 1) \leq c.$$

This is equivalent to say that whenever m is large enough we have

$$m^2 \frac{m^{2n}}{m^{2n}} \leq c.$$

□

Using the above theorem and following a similar technique to that used in Corollary 7.3.2, we obtain:

Corollary 7.3.2 Let $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_i}$, where a_i are constants not all zeros, then $[Y, P]$ is not continuous on $L^2(B_n)$.

7.4 Continuity results for the commutator defined by linear vector fields

In the sequel, let $X = (Ax)^t \frac{d}{dx}$ be a vector field where $A \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ is a given matrix is a skew-symmetric matrix. We study the problem of extension by continuity for $[X, P]$ to $L^2(B_n)$.

Proposition 7.4.1 *Let $A \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ and X be the vector field defined by $X = (Ax)^t \frac{d}{dx}$. Denote by S^{2n-1} the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^n . Then $X \in \mathfrak{X}(S^{2n-1})$ if and only if A is an antisymmetric matrix.*

Proof. First note that, for a given $x \in S^{2n-1}$ we know that $Ax \in T_x S^{2n-1}$ if and only if $\langle x, Ax \rangle = 0$. This shows the sufficient condition. For the necessary condition, consider arbitrary vectors $x, y \in S^{2n-1}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x + y, A(x + y) \rangle &= \langle x, A(x + y) \rangle + \langle y, A(x + y) \rangle \\ &= \langle x, Ax \rangle + \langle x, Ay \rangle + \langle Ax, y \rangle + \langle Ay, y \rangle \\ &= \langle x, Ay \rangle + \langle Ax, y \rangle \\ &= \langle x, (A + A^t)y \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that satisfying $A + A^t = 0$ i.e. A is an antisymmetric matrix. \square

Remark 7.4.1 *Let $X = (Ax)^t \frac{d}{dx} \in \mathfrak{X}(S^{2n-1})$ then the one parameter group e^{As} satisfies*

1. $\det(e^{As}) = e^{\text{trace}As} = e^0 = 1$
2. e^{As} is a unitary matrix
3. Given $f \in C^\infty(S^{2n-1})$ we have $X(f) = \frac{d}{ds}(f(e^{As} \cdot))_{s=0}$

Below is our result concerning the commuting property between linear vector fields and the Bergman Projection on the unit ball.

Theorem 7.4.1 *Let $X = (Ax)^t \frac{d}{dx} \in \mathfrak{X}(S^{2n-1})$ and let P be the Bergman projection on the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n . Then the commutator $[X, P]$ vanishes on $L^2(B_n)$. In particular, $[X, P]$ is continuous on $L^2(B_n)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(B_n)$ and assume that f admits a C^∞ extension for some neighborhood of the closed unit ball. It is then direct that $g_s = f \circ e^{As} \in L^2(B_n)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P(g_s)(z) &= \int_{B_n} \frac{f(e^{As}w)}{(1 - z^t \overline{w})^{n+1}} d\mu(w) = \int_{B_n} \frac{f(u) |\det(Du)|}{(1 - z^t \overline{e^{As}u})^{n+1}} d\mu(u) \\
&= \int_{B_n} \frac{f(u)}{(1 - z^t \overline{e^{-As}u})^{n+1}} d\mu(u) = \int_{B_n} \frac{f(u)}{(1 - (e^{As}z)^t \overline{u})^{n+1}} d\mu(u) = (Pf)(e^{As}z),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the change of variable $u = e^{-As}w$. Using the above observation, together with the fact that P is bounded we obtain

$$X(P(f)) = \frac{d}{ds} [(Pf)(e^{As} \cdot)]_{s=0} = \frac{d}{ds} [P(g_s)]_{s=0} = P \frac{dg_s}{ds} \Big|_{s=0} = P(X(f)).$$

□

7.5 Conclusion and open problems

We summarize the results obtained in the thesis and compare to the case of Segal-Bargmann space.

1. Following Lemma 2.2.1 in [8], the author proved that the Bergman projection P_1 from $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$ to the Segal Bargmann space commutes with $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$. However, following Theorem 7.3.1 and Corollary 7.3.1 we showed that $[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}, P]$ is not zero and does not admit a continuous extension to $L^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$.
2. In the above mentioned lemma the author also proved that $[P_1, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}]$ admits a continuous extension to $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$. Following Theorem 7.3.2 and Corollary 7.3.2 we showed that $\sum_k a_k [P, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k}]$, (not all the constants are zeros), can not be extended by continuity to $L^2(\mathbb{B}_n)$.
3. Based on the above observation for the continuity of the commutator with respect to the Wirintger derivatives for the case of the Segal-Bargmann space, W. Bauer constructed a collection of vector fields so that the iterated commutators with P_1 admits a continuous extension to $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu)$. The main point was to test the behavior of high order commutators and to check the continuity. In Theorem 7.4.1 we showed that every linear vector field tangent to the unit sphere commutes with the Bergman projection on the unit ball. This ensures that the higher commutator with P vanishes.

4. Following the above mentioned results, the construction of a Ψ^* is then ensured by an application of Proposition 7.2.1 i.e. the commutator method in sense of Gramsch. In the case of the Segal-Bargmann this results a Ψ^* -algebra in $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, d\mu))$ containing P_1 . In our case, we obtained a Ψ^* -algebra in $\mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{B}_n))$ containing P (cf. Proposition 7.2.2) .

Motivated by our results, we provide below some open problems.

1. Following the work of Vasilevski, we know that the commuting problem of Toeplitz operator on \mathbb{B}_n is equivalent to another problem on the geometry of the unit sphere (for details cf. [20]). In our case we think that the analysis problem for the extension is also related to the geometric structure of the sphere.
2. We did not apply the results of the construction of Ψ^* -algebra neither for the embedding nor for the spectral invariance. However, we think that this gives rise to control and provide some sharp estimation for the norm of the Bergman projection on Sobolev spaces.

Bibliography

- [1] G. Allan, Introduction to Banach Spaces and Algebras, *Oxford University Press*, Issue 20 of Oxford graduate texts in mathematics , 2015.
- [2] W. Bauer, Local Properties of the Segal-Bargmann projection and Ψ_0 -algebras, *the Journal of the ISAAC conference*, Catania, (2005).
- [3] W. Bauer, Toeplitz operators on finite and infinite dimensional spaces with associated Ψ^* -Fréchet algebras, Ph. D. thesis, *Shaker Verlag Aachen*, 2006.
- [4] W. Bauer. Toeplitz Ψ^* -algebras via unitary group representations, *Analytic Function Spaces and Their Operators*, 1519 (2006) pp. 1-20.
- [5] R. Beals , Characterization of pseudodifferential operators and applications, *Duke Math. J.* 44 (1977) pp. 45-57.
- [6] P. Bongaarts. Quantum Theory, A Mathematical Approach, *Springer International Publishing*, 2015.
- [7] J.-M. Bony, J.-Y. Chemin, Espaces fonctionnels associés au calcul de Weyl-Hörmander, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 122 (1994) pp. 77-118.
- [8] O. Bratelli, Derivations, dissipations and group actions on C^* -algebras, *vol.1229 of Lecture Notes in Math*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1986.
- [9] A. Calderon, R. Vaillancourt. On the boundedness of pseudo-differential operators, *pseudo-differential operators*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 23 (1971) pp. 374-378.
- [10] R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, Au delà des operateurs pseudo-différentiels, *Société Mathématique de France*, 1978.
- [11] B. J. Conway, A Course in Functional Analysis, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* 96, Springer-Verlag New York, 1985.
- [12] H.O. Cordes, On some C^* -algebras and Fréchet- \star -algebras of pseudodifferential operators, *vol. 43 of Proc. Symp. in Pure Math. Pseudodifferential operators*, (1985) pp. 79-104.

- [13] G. Dijk, Distribution Theory: Convolution, Fourier Transform, and Laplace Transform, *Walter de Gruyter*, 2013.
- [14] A. Elkinani, M. Oudadess, Distribution Theory and Applications, *Series on concrete and applicable mathematics*, World Scientific, 2010.
- [15] F. Forelli, W. Rudin, Projection on Spaces of Holomorphic Functions in Balls, *Indiana University Math.*, Vol 24, No. 6 1974..
- [16] B. Forster, Four Short Courses on Harmonic Analysis, *Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis*, 2010.
- [17] B. Gramsch, Relative Inversion in der Störungstheorie von Operatoren und Ψ -Algebren, *Math. Annalen*, 269 (1984)pp. 27-71.
- [18] B. Gramsch, W. Kaballo, Decompositions of meromorphic Fredholm resolvents and Ψ^* -algebras, *Int. Equ. and Op. Theory* 12, (1989) pp. 23-41.
- [19] B. Gramsch, J. Ueberberg, K. Wagner, Spectral invariance and submultiplicativity for Fréchet algebras with applications to pseudo-differential operators and Ψ^* -quantization, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications* , vol. 57 (1992) pp. 71-98.
- [20] S. Grudsky, R. Quiroga-Barranco and N. Vasilevski, *Commutative C^* -algebras of Toeplitz operators and quantization on the unit disc*. *J. Funct. Anal.* **234** (2006), pp. 1-44.
- [21] J. Horvath, Topological Vector Spaces and Distributions, *Dover Books on Mathematics*, Dover Publications, 2012.
- [22] L. Hörmander, Pseudo-differential operators and hypoelliptic equations, *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. vol 10* (1967) pp. 138-183.
- [23] E. Kaniuth, A Course in Commutative Banach Algebras, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* 246, Springer-Verlag New York, 2009.
- [24] R. Lauter, Spectral invariance and the holomorphic functional calculus of J.L. Taylor in Ψ^* -algebras, *J. Operator Theory* 32 No. 2, (1994) pp. 311-329.
- [25] R. Lauter, Holomorphic functional calculus in several variables and Ψ^* -algebras of totally characteristic operators on manifolds with boundary, Dissertation, *Shaker-Verlag*, 1996.
- [26] V. Moretti, Spectral theory and quantum mechanics, *Milan: Springer*, Vol. 64, 2013.
- [27] J. G. Murphy, C^* -Algebras and Operator Theory, *Academic Press*, 2014.
- [28] W. Rudin, Function Theory in the unit Ball of \mathbb{C}^n , *Classics in Mathematics*, Springer, 2012.

- [29] E. Schrohe, Boundedness and spectral invariance for standard pseudodifferential operators on anisotropically weighted L^p -Sobolev spaces, *Int. Equations and Operator Theory* 13, (1990) pp. 271-284.
- [30] E. Schrohe, A pseudo-differential calculus for weighted symbols and a Fredholm criterion for boundary value problems on noncompact manifolds, *Habilitationsschrift Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz*, 1991-1992.
- [31] E. Schrohe, Functional calculus and Fredholm criteria for boundary value problems on noncompact manifolds, *Operator Theory Adv. and App.* 57(1992) pp. 255-269.
- [32] L. Schwartz, Analyse: Topologie *générale* et analyse fonctionnelle, *Functional analysis Topology* the University of California, Hermann, 1970.
- [33] G. Sinnamon, Schurs lemma and best constants in weighted norm inequalities, *Univ. of Western Ontario*, 2003.
- [34] J. Sjöstrand, Wiener type algebras of pseudodifferential operators, *Séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles*, (1994) pp. 1-19.
- [35] M. E. Taylor. Pseudodifferential Operators, *Princeton Mathematical Series*, Princeton University Press, 2017.
- [36] J. Ueberberg. Zur Spektralinvanz von Algebren von Pseudo-Diferential Operatoren, *Manuscripta Math.* (1988) pp. 459-475.
- [37] L. Waelbroek, Topological Vector Spaces and Algebras, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* Springer, 2006.
- [38] K. Zhu, Operator Theory in Function Spaces, *Issue 138 of Mathematical surveys and monographs*, American Mathematical Soc. 2007.