

THE GAMMA FILTRATIONS FOR THE SPIN GROUPS

NOBUAKI YAGITA

ABSTRACT. Let G be a compact Lie group and T its maximal torus. In this paper, we try to compute $gr_\gamma^*(G/T)$ the graded ring associated with the gamma filtration of the complex K -theory $K^0(G/T)$ for $G = Spin(n)$. In particular, we show $gr_\gamma^*(G/T)$ is not isomorphic to $CH^*(G/B)$ when $G = Spin(17)$ and \mathbb{G} is a versal G -torsor.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a prime number. Let $K_{top}^*(X)$ (resp. $K_{alg}^*(X)$) be the complex (resp. algebraic) K -theory localized at p for a topological space (resp. algebraic variety) X . There are two typical filtrations for $K_{top}^0(X)$ (resp. $K_{alg}^0(X)$), the topological (resp. geometric) filtration and the γ -filtration [At], [Gr]. Let us write by $gr_{top}^*(X)$ (resp. $gr_{geo}^*(X)$) and $gr_\gamma^*(X)$ the associated graded algebras for these filtrations. (Also see Chapter 15 in the book [To3] by Totaro.)

Let G and T be a connected compact Lie group and its maximal torus. Given a field k with $ch(k) = 0$, let G_k and T_k be a split reductive group and a split maximal torus over the field k , corresponding to G and T . Let B_k be the Borel subgroup containing T_k . Let \mathbb{G} be a G_k -torsor. Then $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$ is a twisted form of the flag variety G_k/B_k . It is well known that

$$gr_{top}^*(G/T) \cong H^*(G/T) \cong CH^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}}) \cong gr_{geo}^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$$

where $\bar{\mathbb{F}} = \mathbb{F}|_{\bar{k}}$ for the algebraic closure \bar{k} of k . However, $gr_\gamma^*(G/T)$ is not isomorphic to the above ring if $H^*(G)$ has p -torsion.

It is well known from Chevalley that the restriction $res_K : K_{alg}^0(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow K_{alg}^0(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$ is surjective when G is simply connected. Panin [Pa] shows that $K_{alg}^0(\mathbb{F})$ is torsion free, which implies res_K is injective. Hence when G is simply connected, we see

$$K_{alg}^0(\mathbb{F}) \cong K_{alg}^0(\bar{\mathbb{F}}) \cong K_{top}^0(G/T).$$

Hence we see $gr_\gamma^*(G/T) \cong gr_\gamma^*(\mathbb{F})$.

Moreover we take \mathbb{G} a versal G_k -torsor i.e., \mathbb{G} is isomorphic to $G_{k(S)}$ -torsor over $Spec(k(S))$ given by the generic fiber of $GL_N \rightarrow S$ where $S = GL_N/G_k$ for an embedding $G \subset GL_N$ (for the properties of a versal G_k -torsor, see §3 below or see [Ga-Me-Se], [To2], [Me-Ne-Za], [Ka1]). When $\mathbb{G}/B_k = \mathbb{F}$ is a versal flag variety, it is known ([Me-Ne-Za], [Ka1]) that $CH^*(\mathbb{F})$ is generated by Chern classes. Hence we know $gr_\gamma^*(\mathbb{F}) \cong gr_{geo}^*(\mathbb{F})$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57T15, 20G15, 14C15.

Key words and phrases. Gamma filtration, Chow ring, versal torsor, complete flag variety.

Lemma 1.1. *Let G be a compact simply connected Lie group. Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$ be the versal flag variety. Then*

$$gr_\gamma^*(G/T) \cong gr_{geo}^*(\mathbb{F}) \cong CH^*(\mathbb{F})/I(1) \quad \text{for some ideal } I(1) \subset CH^*(\mathbb{F}).$$

Karpenko conjectures that the above $I(1) = 0$ ([Ka1], [Ka2]). In this paper, we try to compute $gr_\gamma^*(G/T)$ for $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$. In particular, we see $I(1) \neq 0$ for $G = Spin(17)$.

For these computations, we use the generalized Rost motive $R(\mathbb{G})$ defined by Petrov-Semenov-Zainoulline [Pe-Se-Za] such that the motive $M(\mathbb{F})$ of the flag variety \mathbb{F} is written

$$M(\mathbb{F}) \cong R(\mathbb{G}) \otimes (\oplus_s \mathbb{T}^{\otimes s}), \quad \text{for the Tate motive } \mathbb{T}.$$

For $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$, $G'' = Spin(2\ell + 2)$, we know $R(\mathbb{G}) \cong R(\mathbb{G}'')$ from a theorem by Vishik-Zainoulline [Vi-Za]. So in this paper, we only study $Spin(\text{odd})$ but the results for $Spin(\text{even})$ are similar.

For $\ell \leq 2$, then $H^*(G)$ has no torsion. For $\ell = 3, 4$, $gr_\gamma^*(\mathbb{F})$ are given in [Ya5] and $I(1) = 0$. For $\ell = 5$, $gr_\gamma^*(\mathbb{F})$ is given in [Ya6], [Ka2]. Moreover Karpenko shows $I(1) = 0$ and this Karpenko result is the first example that $R(\mathbb{G})$ is not the original Rost motive, but $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ is given. For $\ell = 6$, $gr_\gamma^*(\mathbb{F})$ is given §7 in this paper, while we can not see $I(1) = 0$ or not. For $\ell \geq 7$, very partial results are only given here. However, we see $I(1) \neq 0$ for $\ell = 8$.

Let us write by $\Lambda(a, \dots, b)$ the exterior algebra over $\mathbb{Z}/2$ generated by a, \dots, b . We note the following fact

Lemma 1.2. *Let $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Let $2^t \leq \ell < 2^{t+1}$. Then there are elements $c_2, \dots, c_{\bar{\ell}}$ and $e_{2^{t+1}}$ such that there is a surjection*

$$\Lambda(c_2, \dots, c_{\bar{\ell}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[e_{2^{t+1}}]/(e_{2^{t+1}}^N) \twoheadrightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$$

for some $N \geq 1$. Here $\bar{\ell} = \ell$ for $\ell \neq 2^t$, and $\bar{\ell} = \ell - 1$ for $\ell = 2^t$.

Here c_i is some i -th Chern class and $e_{2^{t+1}}$ is some 2^{t+1} -dimensional element. Hence the suffix means their degree. For a ring A , let us write by $A\{a, \dots, b\}$ the A -free module generated by a, \dots, b . For example, we can write

Theorem 1.3. *Let $G = Spin(13)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ is additively generated by products of $1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_6$ and e_8 as follows*

$$gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong A \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, c_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_4\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_6c_4\})$$

where $A = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, c_3, c_5, e_8\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_2\}$.

By similar arguments, we can consider the case $(G, p) = (E_7, 2)$. For this case the Karpenko conjecture is true.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $(G, p) = (E_7, 2)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ is additively generated by products of $1, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_7$ (here $b_1 = c_2, b_2 = c_3, b_3 = c_5$ for the natural embedding $Spin(11) \subset E_7$) as follows*

$$CH^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong A' \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{b_1\}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{b_2b_7\}$$

where $A' = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_7\}$.

When $G = Spin(17)$, elements in the Chow ring $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ are additively generated by products of $1, c_2, \dots, c_7$ and e_{16} . Moreover the torsion index $t(G) = 2^4$. Then we can see for $x = c_2c_3c_6c_7$,

$$x = 0 \in gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2, \quad \text{but } x \neq 0 \in gr(2)^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2.$$

Here $gr(n)^*(X)$ is the graded associated ring for the integral Morava $\tilde{K}(n)$ -theory, so that

$$gr(n)^*(X) \cong CH^*(X)/I(n) \quad \text{for some ideal } I(n),$$

and $gr(1)^*(X) \cong gr_{geo}^*(X)$. (For $n \geq 2$, $\tilde{K}(n)^*(\mathbb{F}) \not\cong \tilde{K}(n)^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$ in general.) Hence this x is nonzero in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$, i.e., $0 \neq x \in I(1)$.

The plan of this paper is the following. In §2, we recall and prepare the topological arguments. In §3, we recall the decomposition of the motive of a versal flag variety. In §4, we recall the filtrations of the K -theory. In §5, we study the general properties when $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$. In §6 – 8, we study cases $\ell = 3$ (and 4), $\ell = 5$, $\ell = 6$ respectively. In §9 we study the cases $\ell \geq 7$ and see the counterexample when $\ell = 8$. In §10, we study the case $(G, p) = (E_7, 2)$. In the last section, we study relations to the classifying space BG_k .

2. LIE GROUPS G AND THE FLAG MANIFOLDS G/T

Let G be a connected compact Lie group. By Borel, its $mod(p)$ cohomology is written as

$$(*) \quad grH^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong P(y)/p \otimes \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_\ell), \quad \ell = rank(G)$$

with $P(y) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[y_1, \dots, y_k]/(y_1^{p^{r_1}}, \dots, y_k^{p^{r_k}})$

where the degree $|y_i|$ of y_i is even and $|x_j|$ is odd. In this paper, we only consider this $grH^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ in $(*)$, and write it simply $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$.

Let T be the maximal torus of G and BT be the classifying space of T . We consider the fibering ([Tod], [Mi-Ni]) $G \xrightarrow{\pi} G/T \xrightarrow{i} BT$ and the induced spectral sequence

$$E_2^{*,*'} = H^*(BT; H^{*'}(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)) \implies H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

The cohomology of the classifying space of the torus is given by $H^*(BT) \cong S(t) = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_\ell]$ with $|t_i| = 2$, where $t_i = pr_i^*(c_1)$ is the 1-st Chern class induced from $T = S^1 \times \dots \times S^1 \xrightarrow{pr_i} S^1 \subset U(1)$ for the i -th projection pr_i . Note that $\ell = rank(G)$ is also the number of the odd degree generators x_i in $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$.

It is well known that y_i are permanent cycles and that there is a regular sequence ([Tod], [Mi-Ni]) $(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_\ell)$ in $H^*(BT)/(p)$ such that $d_{|x_i|+1}(x_i) = \bar{b}_i$ (this \bar{b}_i is called the transgressive element). Thus we get

$$E_\infty^{*,*'} \cong grH^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong P(y)/p \otimes S(t)/(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_\ell).$$

Moreover we know that G/T is a manifold such that $H^*(G/T)$ is torsion free, and

$$H^*(G/T)_{(p)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[y_1, \dots, y_k] \otimes S(t)/(f_1, \dots, f_k, b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$$

where $b_i = \bar{b}_i \mod(p)$ and $f_i = y_i^{p^{r_i}} \mod(t_1, \dots, t_\ell)$.

Let $BP^*(-)$ be the Brown-Peterson theory with the coefficients ring $BP^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots]$, $|v_i| = -2(p^i - 1)$ ([Ha], [Ra]). Since $H^*(G/T)$ is torsion free, the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence (AHss) collapses. Hence we also know

$$BP^*(G/T) \cong BP^*[y_1, \dots, y_k] \otimes S(t)/(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_k, \tilde{b}_1, \dots, \tilde{b}_\ell)$$

where $\tilde{b}_i = b_i \bmod(BP^{<0})$ and $\tilde{f}_i = f_i \bmod(BP^{<0})$.

Recall the Morava K -theory $K(n)^*(X)$ with the coefficient ring $K(n)^* = \mathbb{Z}/p[v_n, v_n^{-1}]$. Similarly we can define connected or integral Morava K -theories with

$$k(n)^* = \mathbb{Z}/p[v_n], \quad \tilde{k}(n)^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_n], \quad \tilde{K}(n)^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_n, v_n^{-1}].$$

It is known (as the Conner-Floyd type theorem)

$$\tilde{K}(1)^*(X) \cong BP^*(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \tilde{K}(1)^*.$$

$$K^*(X) \cong \tilde{K}(1)^*(X) \otimes_{\tilde{K}(1)^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[B, B^{-1}], \quad \text{with } B^{p-1} = v_1,$$

Here B is the Bott periodicity with $|B| = -2$. The above fact does not hold for $K(n)$ -theory with $n \geq 2$.

Here we consider the connected theory $k(n)^*(X)$ and the Thom natural homomorphism $\rho : k(n)^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. Recall that there is an exact sequence (Sullivan exact sequence [Ra], [Ya2])

$$\dots \rightarrow k(n)^{*+2(p^n-1)}(X) \xrightarrow{v_n} k(n)^*(X) \xrightarrow{\rho} H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots$$

such that $\rho \cdot \delta(X) = Q_n(X)$. Here the Milnor Q_i operation

$$Q_i : H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^{*+2p^i-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

is defined by $Q_0 = \beta$ and $Q_{i+1} = P^{p^i} Q_i - Q_i P^{p^i}$ for the Bockstein operation β and the reduced power operation P^j .

Recall the fibering $G \xrightarrow{\pi} G/T \rightarrow BT$. Using this Sullivan exact sequence, we can prove

Corollary 2.1. ([Ya5]) *Let $b \neq 0$ be the transgression image of x , i.e. $d_{|x|+1}(x) = b \in H^*(G/T)/p$. Then there is a lift $b \in BP^*(BT) \cong BP^* \otimes S(t)$ of $b \in S(t)/p$ such that*

$$b = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_i y(i) \in BP^*(G/T)/I_{\infty}^2 \quad (\text{i.e., } b = v_i y(i) \in k(i)^*(G/T)/(v_i^2))$$

where $y(i) \in H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ with $\pi^* y(i) = Q_i x$.

3. VERSAL FLAG VARIETIES

Let G_k be the split reductive algebraic group corresponding to G , and T_k be the split maximal torus corresponding to T . Let B_k be the Borel subgroup with $T_k \subset B_k$. Note that G_k/B_k is cellular, and $CH^*(G_k/T_k) \cong CH^*(G_k/B_k)$. Hence we have

$$CH^*(G_k/B_k) \cong H^{2*}(G/T) \quad \text{and} \quad CH^*(BB_k) \cong H^{2*}(BT).$$

Remark. In this paper, for $x \in CH^*(X)$, degree $|x|$ means two times of the usual degree for the Chow ring i.e., $|x| = 2*$.

Let us write by $\Omega^*(X)$ the BP -version of the algebraic cobordism defined by Levine-Morel ([Le-Mo1],[Le-Mo],[Ya2],[Ya3]) such that

$$\Omega^*(X) = MGL^{2*,*}(X)_{(p)} \otimes_{MU^*_{(p)}} BP^*, \quad \Omega^*(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \cong CH^*(X)_{(p)}$$

where $MGL^{*,*'}(X)$ is the algebraic cobordism theory defined by Voevodsky with $MGL^{2*,*}(pt.) \cong MU^*$ the complex cobordism ring. There is a natural (realization) map $\Omega^*(X) \rightarrow BP^*(X(\mathbb{C}))$. In particular, we have $\Omega^*(G_k/B_k) \cong BP^*(G/T)$. Let

$I_n = (p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ and $I_\infty = (p, v_1, \dots)$ be the (prime invariant) ideals in BP^* . We also note

$$\Omega^*(G_k/B_k)/I_\infty \cong BP^*(G/T)/I_\infty \cong H^*(G/T)/p.$$

Let \mathbb{G} be a nontrivial G_k -torsor. We can construct a twisted form of \mathbb{G}_k/B_k by $(\mathbb{G} \times G_k/B_k)/G_k \cong \mathbb{G}/B_k$. We will study the twisted flag variety $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$.

Let us consider an embedding of G_k into the general linear group GL_N for some large N . This makes GL_N a G_k -torsor over the quotient variety $S = GL_N/G_k$. Let F be the function field $k(S)$ and define the *versal G_k -torsor* E to be the G_k -torsor over F given by the generic fiber of $GL_N \rightarrow S$. (For details, see [Ga-Me-Se], [To2], [Me-Ne-Za], [Ka1].)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \longrightarrow & GL_N \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Spec}(k(S)) & \longrightarrow & S = GL_N/G_k \end{array}$$

The corresponding flag variety E/B_k is called the *versal flag variety*, which is considered as the most complicated twisted flag variety (for given G_k). It is known that the Chow ring $CH^*(E/B_k)$ is not dependent to the choice of generic G_k -torsors E (Remark 2.3 in [Ka1]).

Karpenko and Merkurjev showed the following result for a versal flag variety.

Theorem 3.1. (*Karpenko Lemma 2.1 in [Ka1]*) *Let $h^*(X)$ be an oriented cohomology theory (e.g., $CH^*(X)$, $\Omega^*(X)$). Let \mathbb{G}/B_k be a versal flag variety. Then the natural map $h^*(BB_k) \rightarrow h^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k)$ is surjective.*

Corollary 3.2. *If \mathbb{G} is versal, then $CH^*(\mathbb{F}) = CH^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k)$ is multiplicatively generated by elements t_i in $S(t)$.*

The versal case of the main results in [Pe-Se-Za] is given as

Theorem 3.3. (*Theorem 5.13 in [Pe-Se-Za] and Theorem 4.3 in [Se-Zh]*) *Let \mathbb{G} be a versal G_k -torsor over k , $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$. Then there is a p -localized motive $R(\mathbb{G})$ such that the motive $M(\mathbb{F})$ of the variety \mathbb{F} is decomposed as motives*

$$M(\mathbb{F})_{(p)} \cong R(\mathbb{G}) \otimes (\oplus_u \mathbb{T}^{\otimes u}).$$

Here $\mathbb{T}^{\otimes u}$ are Tate motives with

$$CH^*(\oplus_u \mathbb{T}^{\otimes u})/p \cong S(t)/(b) = S(t)/(p, b_1, \dots, b_\ell).$$

The mod(p) Chow group of $\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}) = R(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \bar{k}$ is given by $CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/p \cong P(y)/p$. Hence we have $CH^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})/p \cong CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \otimes S(t)/(b)$ and

$$CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p \cong CH^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \otimes S(t)/(b).$$

Remark. In this paper, $A \cong B$ for rings A, B means a ring isomorphism. However $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ does not have a canonical ring structure. Hence a map $A \cong CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ means only a (graded) additive isomorphism. Hence all (except for the first) isomorphisms in the above theorem are those of only graded \mathbb{Z}/p -modules.

Hence we have surjections for a versal variety \mathbb{F}

$$CH^*(BB_k) \twoheadrightarrow CH^*(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{pr.} CH^*(R(\mathbb{G})).$$

We study in [Ya5] what elements in $CH^*(BB_k)$ generate $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$. By giving the filtration on $S(t)$ by b_i , we can write

$$grS(t)/p \cong A \otimes S(t)/(b_1, \dots, b_\ell) \quad \text{for } A = \mathbb{Z}/p[b_1, \dots, b_\ell].$$

In particular, we have maps $A \xrightarrow{i_A} CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p \rightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$. We also see that the above composition map is surjective (see also Lemma 3.6 below).

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that there are $f_1(b), \dots, f_s(b) \in A$ such that $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p \cong A/(f_1(b), \dots, f_s(b))$. Moreover if $f_i(b) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ also in $CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p$, we have the isomorphism*

$$CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p \cong S(t)/(p, f_1(b), \dots, f_s(b)).$$

For $N > 0$, let us write $A_N = \mathbb{Z}/p\{b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_k} \mid |b_{i_1}| + \dots + |b_{i_k}| \leq N\}$.

Lemma 3.5. *For the projection $pr : A_N \rightarrow CH^*(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$, if $b \in \text{Ker}(pr)$, then*

$$b = \sum b'u' \quad \text{with } b' \in A_N, \quad u' \in S(t)^+/(p, b), \quad \text{i.e., } |u'| > 0.$$

Let us write

$$y_{top} = \prod_{i=1}^s y_i^{p^{r_i} - 1} \quad (\text{resp. } t_{top})$$

the generator of the highest degree in $P(y)$ (resp. $S(t)/(b)$) so that $f = y_{top}t_{top}$ is the fundamental class in $H^{2d}(G/T)$ for $2d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(G/T)$.

Lemma 3.6. *For $N = |y_{top}|$, the map $A_N \rightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$ is surjective.*

Proof. In the preceding lemma, $A_N \otimes u$ for $|u| > 0$ maps zero in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$. Since each element in $S(t)$ is written by an element in $A_N \otimes S(t)/(b)$, we have the lemma. \square

Corollary 3.7. *If $b_i \neq 0$ in $CH^*(X)/p$, then so in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}_k))/p$.*

Proof. Let $pr(b_i) = 0$. From Lemma 3.6, $b_i = \sum b'u'$ for $|u'| > 0$, and hence $b' \in \text{Ideal}(b_1, \dots, b_{i-1})$. This contradict to that (b_1, \dots, b_ℓ) is regular. \square

Now we consider the torsion index $t(G)$. Let $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(G/T) = 2d$. Then the torsion index is defined as

$$t(G) = |H^{2d}(G/T; \mathbb{Z})/i^*H^{2d}(BT; \mathbb{Z})| \quad \text{where } i : G/T \rightarrow BT.$$

Let $n(\mathbb{G})$ be the greatest common divisor of the degrees of all finite field extension k' of k such that \mathbb{G} becomes trivial over k' . Then by Grothendieck [Gr], it is known that $n(\mathbb{G})$ divides $t(G)$. Moreover, \mathbb{G} is versal, then $n(\mathbb{G}) = t(G)$ ([To2], [Me-Ne-Za], [Ka1]). Note that $t(G_1 \times G_2) = t(G_1)t(G_2)$. It is well known that if $H^*(G)$ has a p -torsion, then p divides the torsion index $t(G)$. Torsion index for simply connected compact Lie groups are completely determined by Totaro [To1], [To2].

Lemma 3.8. ([Ya5]) *Let $\tilde{b} = b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_k}$ in $S(t)$ such that in $H^*(G/T)_{(p)}$*

$$\tilde{b} = p^s(y_{top} + \sum yt), \quad |t| > 0$$

for some $y \in P(y)$ and $t \in S(t)^+$. Then $t(G)_{(p)} \leq p^s$.

4. FILTRATIONS OF K -THEORIES

We first recall the topological filtration defined by Atiyah. Let X be a topological space. Let $K_{top}^*(X)$ be the complex K -theory so that $K_{top}^0(X)$ is the Grothendieck group generated by complex bundles over X . Let X^i be an i -dimensional skeleton of X . Define the topological filtration of $K_{top}^*(X)$ by $F_{top}^i(X) = Ker(K_{top}^*(X) \rightarrow K_{top}^*(X^i))$ and the associated graded algebra $gr_{top}^i(X) = F_{top}^i(X)/F_{top}^{i+1}(X)$. Consider the AHss

$$E_2^{*,*'}(X) \cong H^*(X) \otimes K_{top}^{*'} \implies K^*(X)_{top}.$$

By the construction of the spectral sequence, we have

Lemma 4.1. (Atiyah [At]) $gr_{top}^*(X) \cong E_{\infty}^{*,0}(X)$.

Next we consider the geometric filtration. Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over a subfield k of \mathbb{C} . Let $K_{alg}^*(X)$ be the algebraic K -theory so that $K_{alg}^0(X)$ is the Grothendieck group generated by k -vector bundles over X . It is also isomorphic to the Grothendieck group generated by coherent sheaves over X . This K -theory can be written by the motivic K -theory $AK^{*,*'}(X)$ ([Vo1], [Vo2], [Ya2]), i.e.,

$$K_{alg}^i(X) \cong \bigoplus_j AK^{2j-i,j}(X).$$

In particular $K_{alg}^0(X) \cong AK^{2*,*}(X) = \bigoplus_j AK^{2j,j}(X)$.

The geometric filtration ([Gr], Chapter 15 in [To3]) is defined as

$$F_{geo}^{2i}(X) = \{[O_V] | codim_X V \geq i\} \subset K_{alg}^0(X)$$

(and $F_{geo}^{2i-1}(X) = F_{geo}^{2i}(X)$) where O_V is the structural sheaf of closed subvariety V of X . Here we recall the motivic AHss ([Ya2], [Ya3])

$$AE_2^{*,*','''}(X) \cong H^{*,*'}(X; K^{*''}) \implies AK^{*,*'}(X)$$

where $K^{2*} = AK^{2*,*}(pt.)$. Note that

$$AE_2^{2*,*','''}(X) \cong H^{2*,*}(X; K^{*''}) \cong CH^*(X) \otimes K^{*''}.$$

Hence $AE_{\infty}^{2*,*','''}(X)$ is a quotient of $CH^*(X)$ by dimensional reason of degree of differential d_r (i.e., $d_r AE_r^{2*,*','''}(X) = 0$ for smooth X). Thus we have

Lemma 4.2. ([To3], Lemma 6.2 in [Ya4]) We have

$$gr_{geo}^{2*}(X) \cong AE_{\infty}^{2*,*','''}(X) \cong CH^*(X)/I(1)$$

where $I(1) = \cup_r Im(d_r)$.

Lemma 4.3. ([To3], [Ya4]) The realization induces $gr_{geo}^*(X) \rightarrow gr_{top}^*(X(\mathbb{C}))$.

At last, we consider the gamma filtration. Let $\lambda^i(x)$ be the exterior power of the vector bundle $x \in K_{alg}^0(X)$ and $\lambda_t(x) = \sum \lambda^i(x)t^i \in K_{alg}^*(X)[t]$. Let us denote

$$\lambda_{t/(1-t)}(x) = \gamma_t(x) = \sum \gamma^i(x)t^i \quad (i.e. \gamma^n(x) = \lambda^n(x+n-1)).$$

Hence $\gamma^i(x) \in K^0(X)$ if so is x . The gamma filtration is defined as

$$F_{\gamma}^{2i}(X) = \{\gamma^{i_1}(x_1) \cdots \gamma^{i_m}(x_m) | i_1 + \dots + i_m \geq i, x_j \in K_{alg}^0(X)\}.$$

Then we can see $F_{\gamma}^i(X) \subset F_{geo}^i(X)$. (Similarly we can define $F_{\gamma}(X)$ for a topological space X .) In Proposition 12.5 in [At], Atiyah proved $F_{\gamma}^i(X) \subset F_{top}^i(X)$ in

$K_{top}(X)$. Moreover Atiyah's arguments work also in $K_{alg}^0(X)$ and this fact is well known ([Ga-Za], [Ju], [To3], [Ya4]).

Lemma 4.4. ([At], [To3], [Ya4]) *The condition $F_\gamma^{2*}(X) = F_{top}^{2*}(X)$ (resp. $F_\gamma^{2*}(X) = F_{geo}^{2*}(X)$) is equivalent to that $E_\infty^{2*,0}(X)$ (resp. $AE_\infty^{2*,0}(X)$) is (multiplicatively) generated by Chern classes in $H^{2*}(X)$ (resp. $CH^*(X)$).*

Recall that $A\tilde{K}(1)^{*,*'}(X)$ is the algebraic Morava K -theory with $\tilde{K}(1)^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_1^{-1}]$. The motivic K -theory $AK^{*,*'}(X)$ is written by using this Morava K -theory $A\tilde{K}(1)^{*,*'}(X)$ and the Bott periodicity B with $deg(B) = (-2, -1)$.

Lemma 4.5. ([Ya2]) *There is an isomorphism*

$$A\tilde{K}^{*,*'}(X) \cong A\tilde{K}(1)^{*,*'}(X) \otimes_{\tilde{K}(1)^*} \mathbb{Z}[B, B^{-1}] \quad \text{with } v_1 = B^{p-1}.$$

Lemma 4.6. ([Ya4]) *Let $E(AK)_r$ (resp. $E(A\tilde{K}(1))_r$) be the AHss converging to $AK^{*,*'}(X)$ (resp. $A\tilde{K}(1)^{*,*'}(X)$). Then*

$$E(AK)_r^{*,*',**'} \cong E(A\tilde{K}(1))_r^{*,*',**'} \otimes_{\tilde{K}(1)^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[B, B^{-1}].$$

In particular, $gr_{geo}^(X) \cong E(AK)_\infty^{2*,*} \cong E(A\tilde{K}(1))_\infty^{2*,*}$.*

From the above lemmas, it is sufficient to consider the Morava K -theory $A\tilde{K}(1)^{*,*'}(X)$ when we want to study $AK^{*,*'}(X)$. For ease of notations, let us write simply

$$K^{2*}(X) = A\tilde{K}(1)^{2*,*}(X), \quad \text{so that } K^*(pt.) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_1^{-1}],$$

$$k^{2*}(X) = A\tilde{k}(1)^{2*,*}(X), \quad \text{so that } k^*(pt.) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1].$$

Hereafter of this paper, we only consider this Morava K -theory $K^{2*}(X)$ instead of $AK^{2*,*}(X)$ or $K_{alg}^0(X)$.

For each $n > 1$ we can also define the integral Morava $\tilde{K}(n)^*$ -theory and let $gr(n)^*(X)$ be the induced graded ring, that is,

$$gr(n)^*(X) = E(A\tilde{K}(n))_\infty^{2*,*},$$

where $E(A\tilde{K}(n))_r^{*,*',**'}$ is the AHss converging to the motivic integral Morava K -theory $A\tilde{K}(n)^{*,*'}(X)$. In particular, we see $gr(1)^*(X) \cong gr_{geo}^*(X)$. The case $n = 2$, the ring $gr(2)^*(X)$ will be used in §9 below.

We have the surjection

$$E_2^{2*,*},0 \cong CH^*(X) \twoheadrightarrow E_\infty^{2*,*},0 \cong gr(n)^*(X),$$

which induces the isomorphism

$$CH^*(X)/I(n) \cong gr(n)^*(X), \quad I(n) : \text{ideal in } CH^*(X).$$

Note that for a sufficient large n , we see $I(n) = 0$, but $res : A\tilde{K}(n)^*(X) \rightarrow A\tilde{K}(n)^*(\bar{X})$ is far from an isomorphism. Moreover, it seems that there is no direct relation to $gr_\gamma^*(X)$, when $n > 1$.

Similar but different approaches using the Morava $K(n)$ -theory are given by Sechin and Semenov [Sec-Se], [Sec].

At last of this section, we consider the restriction maps that

$$res_K : K^*(X) \rightarrow K^*(\bar{X}).$$

By Panin [Pa], it is known that $K_{alg}^0(\mathbb{F})$ is torsion free for each twisted flag varieties $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$. For each simply connected Lie group G , it is well known that res_K is surjective from Chevalley. Hence we have

Theorem 4.7. *(Chevalley, Panin) When G is simply connected, res_K is an isomorphism.*

This implies $K^*(\mathbb{F}) \cong K^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}}) \cong K^*(G/T)$ and hence

$$gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F}) \cong gr_\gamma(G_k/B_k) \cong gr_\gamma(G/T).$$

(We also note $K^*(BG_k) \cong \bar{K}^*(BG|_{\bar{k}}) \cong K^*(BG)$ for classifying spaces.) Moreover if \mathbb{G} is versal, then we have $gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F}) \cong gr_{geo}^*(\mathbb{F})$ from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.4.

5. THE SPIN GROUP $Spin(2\ell + 1)$ AND $p = 2$

At first we consider the orthogonal groups $G = SO(m)$ and $p = 2$ in this section. The $mod(2)$ -cohomology is written as (see for example [Mi-Tod], [Ni])

$$grH^*(SO(m); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \Lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1})$$

where $|x_i| = i$, and the multiplications are given by $x_s^2 = x_{2s}$. (Note that the suffix means its degree.)

For ease of argument, we only consider the case $m = 2\ell + 1$ (the case $m = 2\ell + 2$ works similarly) so that

$$H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong P(y) \otimes \Lambda(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2\ell-1})$$

$$grP(y)/2 \cong \Lambda(y_2, \dots, y_{2\ell}), \quad \text{letting } y_{2i} = x_{2i} \quad (\text{hence } y_{4i} = y_{2i}^2).$$

The Steenrod operation is given as $Sq^k(x_i) = \binom{i}{k}(x_{i+k})$. The Q_i -operations are given by Nishimoto [Ni]

$$Q_n x_{2i-1} = y_{2i+2^{n+1}-2}, \quad Q_n y_{2i} = 0.$$

It is well known that the transgression $d_{2i}(x_{2i-1}) = c_i$ is the i -th elementary symmetric function on $S(t)$. Moreover we see that $Q_0(x_{2i-1}) = y_{2i}$ in $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. In fact, the cohomology $H^*(G/T)$ is computed completely by Toda-Watanabe [Tod-Wa]

Theorem 5.1. *([Tod-Wa]) There are $y'_{2i} \in H^*(G/T)$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ such that $\pi^*(y'_{2i}) = y_{2i}$ for $\pi : G \rightarrow G/T$ (let us write y'_{2i} also by y_{2i} hereafter), and that we have an isomorphism*

$$H^*(G/T) \cong \mathbb{Z}[t_i, y_{2i}]/(c_i - 2y_{2i}, J_{2i})$$

where $J_{2i} = 1/4(\sum_{j=0}^{2i} (-1)^j c_j c_{2i-j})$ letting $y_{2j} = 0$ for $j > \ell$.

By using Nishimoto's result for Q_i -operation, from Corollary 2.1, we have

Corollary 5.2. *In $BP^*(G/T)/I_\infty^2$, we have*

$$c_i = 2y_{2i} + \sum v_n y(2i + 2^{n+1} - 2)$$

for some $y(j)$ with $\pi^*(y(j)) = y_j$.

We have $c_i^2 = 0$ in $CH^*(\mathbb{F})/2$ from the natural inclusion $SO(2\ell+1) \rightarrow Sp(2\ell+1)$ (see [Pe], [Ya5]) for the symplectic group $Sp(2\ell + 1)$. Thus we have

Theorem 5.3. ([Pe], [Ya5]) *Let $(G, p) = (SO(2\ell + 1), 2)$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$ be versal. Then $CH^*(\mathbb{F})$ is torsion free, and*

$$CH^*(\mathbb{F})/2 \cong S(t)/(2, c_1^2, \dots, c_\ell^2), \quad CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \cong \Lambda(c_1, \dots, c_\ell).$$

Corollary 5.4. *We have $gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F}) \cong gr_{geo}(\mathbb{F}) \cong CH^*(\mathbb{F})$.*

From Corollary 2.2, we see $c_i = 2y_i + v_1 y_{2+2} \pmod{v_1^2}$. Here we consider the $\text{mod}(2)$ theory version $res_{K/2} : K^*(\mathbb{F})/2 \rightarrow K^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})/2$.

Lemma 5.5. *We have $Im(res_{K/2}) \cong K^* \otimes \Lambda(y_{2i} | 2i \geq 4)$. Hence res_K is not surjective.*

Now we consider $Spin(2\ell + 1)$. Throughout this section, let $p = 2$, $G = SO(2\ell + 1)$ and $G' = Spin(2\ell + 1)$. It is well known that $G/T \cong G'/T'$ for the maximal torus T' of the spin group. Hence we see

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$ and $\mathbb{F}' = \mathbb{G}'/B'_k$ be versal. Then*

$$gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F}) \not\cong gr_\gamma(\bar{\mathbb{F}}) \cong gr_\gamma(\bar{\mathbb{F}}') \cong gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F}').$$

By definition, we have the 2 covering $\pi : G' \rightarrow G$. It is well known that $\pi^* : H^*(G/T) \cong H^*(G'/T')$. Let $2^t \leq \ell < 2^{t+1}$, i.e. $t = \lfloor \log_2 \ell \rfloor$. The $\text{mod } 2$ cohomology is

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(G'; \mathbb{Z}/2) &\cong H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2)/(x_1, y_1) \otimes \Lambda(z) \\ &\cong P(y)' \otimes \Lambda(x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{2\ell-1}) \otimes \Lambda(z), \quad |z| = 2^{t+2} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

where $P(y) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[y_2]/(y_2^{2^{t+1}}) \otimes P(y)'$. (Here $d_{2^{t+2}}(z) = y^{2^{t+1}}$ for $0 \neq y \in H^2(B\mathbb{Z}/2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ in the spectral sequence induced from the fibering $G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}/2$.) Hence

$$grP(y)' \cong \otimes_{2i \neq 2^j} \Lambda(y_{2i}) \cong \Lambda(y_6, y_{10}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{2\bar{\ell}})$$

where $\bar{\ell} = \ell - 1$ if $\ell = 2^j$ for some j , and $\bar{\ell} = \ell$ otherwise.

The Q_i operation for z is given by Nishimoto [Ni]

$$Q_0(z) = \sum_{i+j=2^{t+1}, i < j} y_{2i} y_{2j}, \quad Q_n(z) = \sum_{i+j=2^{t+1}+2^{n+1}-2, i < j} y_{2i} y_{2j} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

We know that

$$\begin{aligned} grH^*(G/T)/2 &\cong P(y)' \otimes \mathbb{Z}[y_2]/(y_2^{2^{t+1}}) \otimes S(t)/(2, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_\ell) \\ grH^*(G'/T')/2 &\cong P(y)' \otimes S(t)/(2, c'_2, \dots, c'_\ell, c_1^{2^{t+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Here $c'_i = \pi^*(c_i)$ and $d_{2^{t+2}}(z) = c_1^{2^{t+1}}$ in the spectral sequence converging $H^*(G'/T')$. These are isomorphic, in particular, we have

Lemma 5.7. *The element $\pi^*(y_2) = c_1 \in S(t')$ and $\pi^*(t_j) = c_1 + t_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$.*

Take k such that \mathbb{G} is a versal G_k -torsor so that \mathbb{G}'_k is also a versal G'_k -torsor. Let us write $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$ and $\mathbb{F}' = \mathbb{G}'/B'_k$. Then

$$CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}'))/2 \cong P(y)'/2, \quad \text{and} \quad CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/2 \cong P(y)/2.$$

The Chow ring $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}'))/2$ is not computed yet (for general ℓ), while we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 5.8. *Let $2^t \leq \ell < 2^{t+1}$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then there is a surjection*

$$\Lambda(c'_2, \dots, c'_\ell) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[c_1^{2^{t+1}}] \twoheadrightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2.$$

Let $G'' = Spin(2\ell + 2)$. Then the inclusion $i : G' \subset G''$ induces

$$CH^*(\bar{R}(G'')) \cong P(y)' \stackrel{i^*}{\cong} CH^*(\bar{R}(G')).$$

Hence by a (main) theorem by Vishik-Zainoulline [Vi-Za], we have

Lemma 5.9. *Let $G' = Spin(\ell + 1)$ and $G'' = Spin(2\ell + 2)$. Then $R(G') \cong R(G'')$.*

Therefore, in this paper, we consider only $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$, but the results for $G = Spin(2\ell + 2)$ are similar.

Lemma 5.10. *The restriction $res_K : K^*(\mathbb{F}') \rightarrow K^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}}')$ is isomorphic. In fact, we have $K^*(R(G'))/2 \cong K/2^* \otimes \Lambda(c'_i | i \neq 2^j - 1)$.*

In general, $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))$ seems complicated. Here we only note the following proposition. Let us write $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -free module

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_s} | 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n\}.$$

Proposition 5.11. *Let us write*

$$B_1 = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(c'_i | i \neq 2^j - 1, 2 \leq i \leq \ell - 1), \quad B_2 = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(c'_i | i \neq 2^j, 3 \leq i \leq \ell).$$

Then there are additive injections for $i = 1, 2$ and the surjection

$$B_i/2 \subset gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \twoheadrightarrow (B_1/2 + B_2/2)$$

for degree $ < |c_1^{2^{t+1}}| = 2^{t+2}$.*

6. $Spin(7), Spin(9)$

Throughout this section to §9 in this paper, we only consider $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$.

Hereafter of this paper, we change notations as follows. Let us write the element c_1^j by e_j , and take off the dash of c'_i , i.e.

$$e_{2^{t+1}} = c_1^{2^{t+1}}, \quad c_2 = c'_2, \quad c_3 = c'_3, \quad \dots, \quad c_\ell = c'_\ell.$$

Now we consider examples. For groups $Spin(7), Spin(9)$, the rank $\ell = 3, 4$ respectively and the cohomology is given

$$grH^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2[y_6]/(y_6^2) \otimes \Lambda(x_3, x_5, z_7) & G = Spin(7) \\ \mathbb{Z}/2[y_6]/(y_6^2) \otimes \Lambda(x_3, x_5, x_7, z_{15}) & G = Spin(9). \end{cases}$$

We can take $v_1 y_6 = c_2, 2y_6 = c_3$. The Chow rings of the Rost motives $R(\mathbb{G})$ are isomorphic

$$CH^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, c_3\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_2\}.$$

The Chow ring of the flag manifolds are [Ya5]

$$gr_\gamma(G/T) \cong CH^*(\mathbb{F}) \cong \begin{cases} S(t)/(2c_2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_2c_3, e_4) & G = Spin(7), \ell = 3 \\ S(t)/(2c_2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_2c_3, e_8, c_4) & G = Spin(9), \ell = 4 \end{cases}$$

where $S(t) = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[t_1, \dots, t_\ell]$.

7. $Spin(11)$

In this section we consider $G = Spin(11)$. The cohomology is written as

$$H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[y_6, y_{10}]/(y_6^2, y_{10}^2) \otimes \Lambda(x_3, x_5, x_7, x_9, z_{15}).$$

By Nishimoto, we know $Q_0(z_{15}) = y_6 y_{10}$. It implies $2y_6 y_{10} = d_{16}(z_{15}) = e_8$. Since $y_{top} = y_6 y_{10}$, we have $t(G) = 2$.

Theorem 7.1. ([Ka2], [Ya5], [Ya6]) *For $(G, p) = (Spin(11), 2)$, we have the isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 &\cong \mathbb{Z}/2\{1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_2 c_4, e_8\}, \\ gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F})/2 &\cong S(t)/(2, c_i c_j, c_i e_8, e_8^2 | 2 \leq i \leq j \leq 5, (i, j) \neq (2, 4)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider the restriction map res_K

$$K^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong K^*\{1, c_2, c_4, c_2 c_4\} \xrightarrow{\cong} K^*\{1, y_6, y_{10}, y_6 y_{10}\} \cong K^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$$

by $res_K(c_2) = v_1 y_6$, $res_K(c_4) = v_1 y_{10}$. Note $c_2 c_4 \neq 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$, from Proposition 5.11. (In fact, $v_1 y_6 y_{10} \notin Im(res_K)$)

Next using $res_K(c_3) = 2y_6$, $res_K(c_5) = 2y_{10}$, and $res_K(e_8) = 2y_6 y_{10}$, we have

$$grK^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong K^*/2\{c_2, c_4, c_2 c_4\} \oplus K^*\{1, c_3, c_5, e_8\}.$$

From this, we show the first isomorphism. Note generators c_2, \dots, e_8 are all nonzero in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ by Corollary 3.7. (Of course $e_8 \neq 0$ and $e_8^2 = 0$ in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$, since $y_6 y_{10} \notin res_{CH}$ and $|e_8^2| > |y_6 y_{10}|$)

Let us write $y_6^2 = y_6 t \in CH^*(\mathbb{F})/2$ for $t \in S(t)$. Then

$$c_2 c_3 = 2v_1 y_6^2 = 2v_1 y_6 t = v_1 c_3 t \quad \text{in } K^*(\mathbb{F}).$$

Hence we see $c_2 c_3 = 0$ in $CH^*(\mathbb{F})/I(1) \cong gr_\gamma^*(\mathbb{F})/2$. We also note

$$c_2 c_5 = c_3 c_4 = v_1 e_8, \quad c_3 c_5 = 4y_6 y_{10} = 2e_8 \quad \text{in } K^*(\mathbb{F}).$$

By arguments similar to Lemma 3.4, we can show the second isomorphism. For example,

$$c_2 e_8 = v_1 y_6 y_{10} \cdot 2y_6 y_{10} = v_1 (2y_6^2 y_{10})$$

which is zero in $gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F})/2$, since $t(G) = 2$ and $2y \in res_k$ for $y \in P(y)$. The other cases are proved similarly. \square

Karpenko computes $CH^*(\mathbb{F})$ and proves ([Ka2]) the following theorem.

Theorem 7.2. ([Ka2]) *Let $G = Spin(11)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$.*

Proof. (Karpenko [Ka2]) We consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) & \xrightarrow{N} & CH^*(R(\mathbb{G})) & \longrightarrow & CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/N \\ \cong \downarrow & & f_1 \downarrow & & f_2 \downarrow \\ gr_{geo}^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) & \xrightarrow{N} & gr_{geo}^*(R(\mathbb{G})) & \longrightarrow & gr_{geo}^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/N \end{array}$$

Since $CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$ is torsion free, the both norm map N are injective. Hence if f_2 is isomorphic, then so is f_1 .

The norm map N is given by (using $resNres = 2res$ and $CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$ is torsion free)

$$N(y_6) = c_3, \quad N(y_{10}) = c_5, \quad N(y_6 y_{10}) = e_8.$$

Hence $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/N$ (and $gr_{geo}^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/N$) is isomorphic to a quotient of

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(c_2, \dots, c_5, e_8)/Ideal(2, c_3, c_5, e_8) \cong \Lambda(c_2, c_4).$$

We see from the preceding theorem that

$$gr_{geo}(R(\mathbb{G}))/N \cong \Lambda(c_2, c_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\{1, c_2, c_4, c_2c_4\}.$$

So $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ is also isomorphic to this module. \square

Hence the ring in Theorem 7.1 is isomorphic to $CH^*(\mathbb{F})/2$.

We recall here the algebraic cobordism theory $\Omega^*(X)$. Then we know ([Vi-Ya], [Ya3]) that for the usual Rost motive R_n defined by a nonzero symbol in the Milnor K -theory $K_{n+1}^M(k)/2$, the restriction

$$res_{\Omega} : \Omega^*(R_n) \rightarrow \Omega^*(\bar{R}_n) \cong BP^*[y]/(y^p) \quad |y| = 2(p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$$

is an injection, and $Im(res_{\Omega}) = BP^*\{1\} \oplus I_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[y]^+/(y^p)$ where $I_n = (p, \dots, v_{n-1})$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[y]^+/(y^p) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{y, \dots, y^{p-1}\}$.

When $G = Spin(7), Spin(9)$, the motive $R(\mathbb{G}) \cong R_2$ and $Im(res_{\Omega}) \cong BP^*\{1\} \oplus I_2\{y_6\}$. The similar fact holds for $R(\mathbb{G})$ with $G = Spin(11)$.

Corollary 7.3. *Let $G = Spin(11)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then the restriction map*

$$res_{\Omega} : \Omega^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow \Omega^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \cong BP^*\{1, y_6, y_{10}, y_6y_{10}\}$$

is an injection, and

$$Im(res_{\Omega}) = BP^*\{1\} \oplus I_2\{y_6, y_{10}\} \oplus (2, v_1^2)\{y_6y_{10}\} \quad \text{with } I_2 = (2, v_1).$$

Proof. Since $CH^*(X) \otimes BP^* \cong gr\Omega^*(X)$, we have

$$grBP^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong BP^*/2\{c_2, c_4, c_2c_4\} \oplus BP^*\{1, c_3, c_5, e_8\}.$$

This induces an injection to

$$\begin{aligned} gr(Im_{\Omega}) &\cong BP^*/2\{v_1y_6, v_1y_{10}, v_1^2y_6y_{10}\} \oplus BP^*\{1, 2y_6, 2y_{10}, 2y_6y_{10}\} \\ &\subset gr\Omega^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) = \Omega^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/2 \oplus 2\Omega^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})). \end{aligned}$$

\square

We give here a conjecture

Conjecture 7.4. *Let G be a connected compact Lie group and \mathbb{G} be a G_k -torsor. Then the restriction map*

$$res_{\Omega} : \Omega^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow \Omega^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \cong BP^* \otimes P(y)$$

is injective, i.e., $\Omega^(R(\mathbb{G}))$ is torsion free.*

This conjecture is weaker than the Karpenko conjecture.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $K^*(X) \cong K^*(\bar{X})$. If $gr_{geo}^*(X) \cong CH^*(X)$, then the above conjecture holds for X .*

Proof. Let $0 \neq x \in Ker(res_{\Omega})$. Then in $E_r^{2*,*,*}$ the AHss converging to $\Omega^*(X)$, let

$$x = ax' \quad a \in BP^*, \quad 0 \neq x' \in CH^*(X).$$

Suppose $a \in BP^0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, that is, $0 \neq x \in CH^*(X) \cong gr_{geo}^*(X)$. Hence x is non-zero in $K^*(X) \cong K^*(\bar{X})$. Since $K^*(\bar{X}) \cong \Omega^*(\bar{X}) \otimes_{BP^*} K^*$, we see $0 \neq x \in \Omega^*(\bar{X})$, and this is a contradiction.

Suppose $a \in BP^{<0}$. Using $r_{p^1 \Delta_{n-1}}(v_n) = v_1$ [Ra], [Ha], we can take the Quillen operation r_α such that

$$r_\alpha(ax') = r_\alpha(a) \cdot x' = \lambda v_1^s x' \quad \text{for } s, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence there is a nonzero element $\lambda' x' \neq 0 \in CH^*(X)$, for $\lambda' \in \mathbb{Z}$, but it is v_1^s -torsion in $E_\infty^{2*,*,*'} \cong gr\Omega^*(X)$.

Since $\Omega^*(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_1^{-1}] \cong K^*(X)$, we see $0 \neq \lambda' x' \in I(1)$. \square

Remark. The converse is not correct. In fact, for the original Rost motive R_n , $gr_\gamma(R_n) \not\cong CH^*(R_n)$ for $n \geq 3$, while the conjecture is correct.

8. Spin(13)

In this section, we consider the case $Spin(13)$, i.e., $\ell = 6$. Then we have

$$P(y) \cong \Lambda(y_6, y_{10}, y_{12}).$$

Hence $y_{top} = y_6 y_{10} y_{12}$. Since $2^t \leq \ell < 2^{t+1}$, so $t = 2$. Hence e_8 exists in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$. Note $e_8^2 = 0$ in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ since $|e_8^2| > |y_{top}|$. We also note $t(G) = 2^2 = 4$ by Totaro, in fact $e_8 c_6 = 4y_{top}$.

Let us write $A(c) = \Lambda(c_2, \dots, c_6, e_8)$. We take y_6, y_{10}, y_{12} such that

$$c_2 = v_1 y_3, \quad c_4 = v_1 y_{10}, \quad y_{12} = y_6^2.$$

Recall that the invariant ideal I_∞ in k^* -theory is

$$I_\infty = Ideal(2, v_1) \subset k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_1] \otimes P(y).$$

Then we can take with $mod(I_\infty^3)$

$$c_3 = 2y_6 + \mu v_1^2 y_{10}, \quad c_5 = 2y_{10} + v_1 y_{12}, \quad c_6 = 2y_{12} + \mu' v_1^2 y_6 y_{10} \quad \mu, \mu' \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$e_8 = 2y_6 y_{10} + v_1 y_6 y_{12}.$$

Here we used $P(y)^* = 0$ for $* = 8, 14, 20$, and $Q_1 x_9 = y_{12}$, $Q_1 z_{15} = y_6 y_{12}$.

Lemma 8.1. *For $x \in A(c)$, let $x \in Ideal(I_\infty^4) \subset k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$. Then $x \in I_\infty \cdot \Lambda(c)$, i.e., $x = 0$ in $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.*

Proof. Let $x \in I_\infty^4 k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$. Then x is a sum of

$$v_1^4 y, \quad 2v_1^3 y, \quad 4v_1^2 y, \quad 8v_1 y, \quad 16y \quad \text{for } y \in P(y).$$

Since the torsion index $t(G) = 4$, we see $4y \in k_*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ for $y \in P(y)$. So $4v_1^2 y, 8v_1 y, 16y$ are in $I_\infty \Lambda(c)$. Hence we only need to consider the cases

$$x = v_1^4 y \quad \text{or} \quad x = 2v_1^3 y.$$

Let $x = v_1^4 y$. When $y = y_{top}$, we consider

$$x' = x - c_2 c_4 (c_2)^2 = 0 \quad \text{in } k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})).$$

Here $(c_2^2) = 0$ in $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$, and hence $x = x' = 0 \in gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. When $y = y_{10} y_{12}$, taking $x' = x - v_1 c_4 c_2^2$, similar arguments work. When $y = y_6 y_{10}$, we consider

$$x' = x - v_1^2 c_2 c_4 = 0 \quad \text{in } k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})).$$

This means $x = 0 \in gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Thus we can see the lemma for $x = v_1^4 y$.

Let $x = 2v_1^3 y$ with $y = y_{top}$. Then

$$x' = x - v_1 c_2 c_4 c_6 = -\mu' v_1^4 y_6 y_{10} y_6 y_{10} = 0 \quad mod(I_\infty^5)$$

which is zero in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ since $x' = v_1^5 y'$ or $x' = 2v_1^4 y'$. The other cases are proved similarly. \square

Lemma 8.2. $c_2 c_3 = 0 \in gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.

Proof. We have

$$c_2 c_3 = v_1 y_6 (2y_6 + \mu v_1^2 y_{10}) \pmod{I_\infty^4}.$$

We consider $x = c_2 c_3 - v_1 c_6 - \mu v_1 c_2 c_4$ with $\pmod{I_\infty^4}$. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= v_1 y_6 (2y_6 + \mu v_1^2 y_{10}) - v_1 (2y_{12} + \mu' v_1^2 y_6 y_{10}) - \mu v_1^3 y_6 y_{10} \\ &= -\mu' v_1^3 y_6 y_{10} = -\mu' v_1 c_2 c_4. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from the preceding lemma, we see $c_2 c_3 = 0 \in gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. \square

We consider $gr_2(K^*(R(\mathbb{G})) = K^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \oplus 2K^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$. First note

$$\begin{aligned} K^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 &\cong K^* \otimes \Lambda(c_2, c_4, c_5) \\ &\cong K^* \otimes \Lambda(y_6, y_{10}, y_{12}) \cong K^*(\bar{R}(G))/2 \end{aligned}$$

by $c_2 \mapsto v_1 y_6$, $c_4 \mapsto v_1 y_{10}$ and $c_5 \mapsto 2v_1^{-1} c_4 + v_1 y_{12}$.

At first we study elements in $\Lambda(c_2, c_4, c_5)$. Of course c_2, c_4, c_5 are non zero in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. We also know $c_2 c_4 \neq 0 \in gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ since so for $G = Spin(11)$.

We have (with $\pmod{I_\infty^4}$)

$$c_2 c_5 = v_1 y_6 (2y_{10} + v_1 y_{12}) = v_1 e_8.$$

Hence we get $c_2 c_5 c_4 = v_1 e_8 c_4$, where $e_8 c_4 = v_1^2 y_{top} \pmod{I_\infty^3}$ which is nonzero in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. (Here we note $c_2 c_4 \mapsto v_1^2 y_6 y_{10}$, $c_2 c_5 - c_3 c_4 \mapsto v_1^2 y_6 y_{12}$, and they are non-zero in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.)

For the element $c_4 c_5$, we compute

$$c_4 c_5 = v_1 y_{10} (2y_{10} + v_1 y_{12}) = v_1^2 y_{10} y_{12} \pmod{I_\infty^3}$$

which is also non zero in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Thus we have

Lemma 8.3. *We have some filtration and the graded ring*

$$grP(c)/(2) \cong P(c)/(2, c_2 c_5, c_2 c_5 c_4) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{e_8, e_8 c_4\} \subset gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2,$$

where $P(c) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(c_2, c_4, c_5)$.

We consider elements in $2K^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cap Im(res_k)$. First note ($\pmod{I_\infty^3}$)

$$2y_6 = c_3, \quad 2y_{12} = c_6.$$

and $c_3, c_6 \neq 0$ in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Next we see (with $\pmod{I_\infty^3}$)

$$2v_1 y_6 y_{10} = c_3 c_4, \quad 2v_1 y_6 y_{12} = c_2 c_6, \quad 2v_1 y_{10} y_{12} = c_4 c_6.$$

Here $c_3 c_4, c_2 c_6, c_4 c_6 \neq 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ since $2y_6 y_{10}, 2y_6 y_{12}, 2y_{10} y_{12} \notin Im(res_{CH})$. These $c_3 c_4, c_2 y_6, c_4 c_6$ are 2-torsion in $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))$, in fact, we see

$$2c_3 c_4 = v_1 c_3 c_5, \quad 2c_2 c_6 = v_1 c_3 c_6, \quad 2c_4 c_6 = v_1 c_5 c_6.$$

Next we consider elements $4y$ in $y \in P(y)$. In particular,

$$4y_{10} = 2c_5 + v_1 c_6, \quad 4y_6 y_{10} = 2e_8 + c_4 c_6,$$

$$4y_6 y_{12} = c_3 c_6, \quad 4y_{10} y_{12} = c_5 c_6,$$

here $c_3 c_6, c_5 c_6 \neq 0$ in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Moreover

$$4y_6 y_{10} y_{12} = e_8 c_6$$

here $e_8 c_6 \neq 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ since $t(G) = 4$. Thus we have

Lemma 8.4. *The graded ring $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))$ contains*

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\{c_4 c_3, c_2 c_6, c_4 c_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{c_3, c_5, c_6, e_8, c_3 c_6, c_5 c_6, e_8 c_6\}.$$

From preceding two lemmas, we have

Theorem 8.5. *Let $G = Spin(13)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then we have*

$$gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong P(b)/(c_2 c_5, c_2 c_4 c_5) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_4 c_3, e_8 c_4, c_2 c_6, c_4 c_6\} \\ \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, c_3, c_6, e_8, c_3 c_6, c_5 c_6, e_8 c_6\}$$

where $P(b) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(c_2, c_4, c_5)/(2c_2, 2c_4)$

Corollary 8.6. *We have the additive isomorphism*

$$gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong A \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, c_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_4\}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_6 c_4\}$$

where $A = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, c_3, c_5, e_8\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_2\}$.

Corollary 8.7. *Let $G = Spin(13)$, \mathbb{G} be versal, and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$. To state the formula simply, let us write $e_8 = c_8$. Then we have additive (graded) isomorphism*

$$gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F})/2 \cong S(t)/(2, c_i c_j, c_m c_n c_k | (i, j) \neq (s, 4), (s', 6) \text{ for } s \neq 4, s' \neq 6)$$

where i, j, k, s are one of $2, \dots, 6, 8$.

We can not see here that the above isomorphism is that of rings. We also can not get $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ here, but do some arguments using norm map. The norm map N is given explicitly

$$N(y_6) = 2c_3, \quad N(y_{10}) = 2c_5, \quad N(y_6 y_{10}) = 2e_8, \quad N(y_{12}) = 2c_6, \\ N(y_6 y_{12}) = c_3 c_6, \quad N(y_{10} y_{12}) = c_5 c_6, \quad N(y_{top}) = e_8 c_6.$$

Lemma 8.8. *We have*

$$gr_{geo}^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/N \cong gr_{geo}^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2, c_3 c_6, c_5 c_6, e_8 c_6.$$

Here we recall the Wu formula

$$Sq^i w_k = \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{k-j-1}{i-j} w_{k+i-j} w_j.$$

In particular, with $mod(w_1)$ we see $Sq^1 w_{2i} = w_{2i+1}$, $Sq^1 w_{2i+1} = 0$, and

$$Sq^2 w_2 = w_2^2, \quad Sq^2 w_4 = w_6 + w_4 w_2, \quad Sq^2 w_3 = w_5 + w_3 w_2, \quad Sq^2 w_5 = w_5 w_2.$$

Lemma 8.9. *If we can see $c_2 c_3 = 0$ and $c_2 e_8 = 0$ in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$, then $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$.*

Proof. By the Wu formula, we have

$$c_2 c_3 = 0 \xrightarrow{P^2} c_2 c_5 = 0 \xrightarrow{P^1} c_3 c_5 = 0, \quad c_2 e_8 = 0 \xrightarrow{P^1} c_3 e_8 = 0 \xrightarrow{P^2} c_5 e_8 = 0.$$

Let us write $c_8 = e_8$ and $c_7 = 0$, and

$$A(c)' = \mathbb{Z}/2\{1, c_k, c_i c_j | 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 8, i < j\}.$$

Then from Theorem 8.5, we can see

$$gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \cong A(c)'/(c_2 c_3, c_2 c_5, c_3 c_5, c_2 e_8, c_3 e_8, c_5 e_8).$$

Hence we have the lemma. \square

Lemma 8.10. *Let $G = Spin(13)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then the restriction map*

$$res_\Omega : \Omega^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/BP^* \cdot I(1) \rightarrow gr\Omega^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \cong BP^* \otimes \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(y_6, y_{10}, y_{12})$$

is an injection, and $Im(res_\Omega) \subset gr\Omega^(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$ is given by*

$$res(\Omega^*(R(\mathbb{G}')) \oplus (2, v_1)\{y_{12}\} \oplus (4, 2v_1, v_1^2)\{y_6y_{12}, y_{10}y_{12}\} \oplus (4, v_1^2)\{y_{top}\})$$

where $G' = Spin(11)$ and $(a, \dots, b) \subset BP^$ is the ideal generated by a, \dots, b .*

Proof. By Cartan formula, we see (using $r_{\Delta_1}(v_1) = 2$)

$$r_{\Delta_1}(v_1^2) = 2v_1r_{\Delta_1}(v_1) = 4v_1.$$

So (4) , $(4, 2v_1, v_1^2)$, $(4, v_1^2)$ are all invariant ideal under the action r_{Δ_1} .

The image of the restriction map is written (with $mod(I_\infty^3)$) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} c_6 &\mapsto 2y_{12}, & c_6c_3 &\mapsto 4y_6y_{12}, & c_6c_5 &\mapsto 4y_{10}y_{12}, & c_6e_8 &\mapsto 4y_{top} \\ c_6c_2 &\mapsto 2v_1y_6y_{12}, & c_6c_4 &\mapsto 2v_1y_{10}y_{12}, & (e_8c_5 &\mapsto 4v_1y_{top}) \\ (c_3c_4 - v_1e_8) &\mapsto v_1^2y_6y_{12}, & c_4c_5 &\mapsto v_1^2y_{10}y_{12}, & e_8c_4 &\mapsto v_1^2y_{top} \end{aligned}$$

These facts imply the lemma from Theorem 8.5. \square

9. $Spin(15)$, $Spin(17)$ AND THE COUNTEREXAMPLE

At first, we consider the case $Spin(15)$, i.e., $\ell = 7$. Then we have

$$P(y) \cong \Lambda(y_6, y_{10}, y_{12}, y_{14}).$$

So $y_{top} = y_6y_{10}y_{12}y_{14}$. Since $2^t \leq \ell < 2^{t+1}$, there is e_8 in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$. By Totaro, it is known that the torsion index is $2^3 = 8$.

We can not decide $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))$, in this paper. In particular, we can not see $e_8^2 = 0$ or not in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. We only show

Lemma 9.1. $e_8^3 = 0$ in $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.

Lemma 9.2. We have $c_2c_3 \neq 0$ in $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.

Proof. The element $x = c_2c_3 - v_1c_6$ is written for $y', y'' \in k^* \otimes P(y)$

$$v_1y_6(2y_6 - v_1^2y') - v_1(2y_{12} + v_1y_{14} + v_1^2y'') = -v_1^2y_{14} \quad mod(I_\infty^3).$$

Let us write $\Lambda(c) = \Lambda(c_2, \dots, c_7) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[e_8]/(e_8^3)$. If $x = v_1x'$ with $x' \in k^* \otimes \Lambda(c)$, then $x' = v_1y_{14}$. But such x' does not exist, because there does not exist x_i such that $Q_1x_i = y_{14}$ but $Q_0x_i = 0$ (note $Q_0x_{11} = y_{12}$). \square

Lemma 9.3. Let $G = Spin(15)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. We have $c_2c_3c_6c_7 = 0$ in $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.

Proof. We consider the element

$$x' = c_2c_3 - v_1c_6 = 2v_1y_6^2 - v_1(2y_{12} + v_1y_{14}) = -v_1^2y_{14} \quad mod(I_\infty^3).$$

Multiplying c_6c_7 , we have

$$x = x'c_6c_7 = v_1^2y_{14}(2y_{12} + v_1y_{14} + v_1^2y')(2y_{14} + v_1^2y'') = 0 \quad mod(I_\infty^5)$$

because $y_{14}^2 \in I_\infty$. Here we note $|x| = |y_{top}| - 6$ and $|v_1^3| = -6$. So

$$(*) \quad x = 2^2\lambda v_1^3y_{top}, \quad with \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Here we note that x is decided with $\text{mod}(v_1^4)$. However if $x = 2^2 \lambda v_1^3 y_{top} + v_1^4 y'$, then $|y'| > |y_{top}|$ and $(*)$ holds exactly in $k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$.

Let us write $x'' = c_2 c_4 c_6 c_7$, i.e.,

$$x'' = v_1 y_6 v_1 y_{10} (2y_{12} + v_1 y_{14}) \cdot 2y_{14} = 2^2 v_1^2 y_{top} \pmod{v_1^3}.$$

Then we have $x' = x - \lambda v_1 x'' = 0 \pmod{v_1^4}$. Hence we see $x = 0$ in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. \square

Next we consider the case $G = Spin(17)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Hereafter we assume $\ell = 8$ and $G = Spin(17)$. Hence $P(y)$ is the same as the case $G = Spin(15)$

$$P(y) \cong \Lambda(y_6, y_{10}, y_{12}, y_{14}), \quad \text{with } y_6^2 = y_{12}.$$

So $y_{top} = y_6 y_{10} y_{12} y_{14}$. By Totaro, it is known that $t(G) = 2^4 = 16$. Note that we have the element $e_{16} \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$.

By the complete same proof as Lemma 9.3 (the case $Spin(15)$), we have

Lemma 9.4. *Let $G = Spin(17)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then we have $c_2 c_3 c_6 c_7 = 0$ in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.*

Karpenko conjectures

Conjecture 9.5. ([Ka1], [Ka2]) *Let G be simply connected and \mathbb{G} be a versal G_k -torsor. Then $CH^*(\mathbb{F}) \cong gr_\gamma^*(\mathbb{F})$.*

We will disprove it by using the algebraic integral Morava $A\tilde{K}(n)$ -gheory, and its associated graded ring

$$gr(n)^*(X) = CH^*(X)/I(n).$$

In $BP^*(G/T)/I_\infty^2$, we have

$$c_2 = v_1 y_6 + v_2 y_{10} + v_3 y_{18} + \dots$$

$$c_3 = 2y_6 + v_1 y_8 + v_2 y_{12} + v_3 y_{20} + \dots$$

Now we consider $A\tilde{k}(2)$ -theory with $\tilde{k}(2)^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_2]$.

Lemma 9.6. *We have*

$$c_2 c_3 c_6 c_7 \neq 0 \quad \text{in } gr(2)^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \quad (\text{hence in } CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2).$$

Proof. We can write in $A\tilde{k}(2)^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$

$$c_2 c_3 = (v_2 y_{10})(2y_6 + v_2 y_{12}) = 2v_2 y_6 y_{10} + v_2^2 y_{10} y_{12} \pmod{I_\infty^3}.$$

Suppose that the following $x = 0 \in gr(2)^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ where

$$x = c_2 c_3 c_6 c_7 = 2^3 v_2 y_{top} \pmod{v_2^2}.$$

Then there is $x' \in k(c) = \tilde{k}(2)^*[c_2, \dots, c_7, e_{16}]$ such that we have

$$x = v_2 x' \quad \text{or} \quad x = 2x'.$$

Suppose $x = v_2 x'$. Then $x = 2^3 y_{top} \pmod{v_2}$. Therefore we see $t(G) \leq 2^3$, and this contradicts to $t(G) = 2^4$.

The case $x = 2x'$ is proved similarly using the Quillen operation $r_{\Delta_2}(v_2) = 2$. In fact $r_{\Delta_2} x = 2^3 y_{top}$ also. \square

Remark. For $G = Spin(15)$, we have $c_2 c_3 c_6 c_7 = 0$ also in $gr(2)^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. form $t(G) = 2^3$. Indeed $e_8 c_6 c_7 = 2^3 y_{top}$. For $G = Spin(13)$, we have $c_2 c_3 = 0$ also in $gr(2)^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.

Theorem 9.7. *When $G = Spin(17)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \not\cong gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$.*

Similarly, we have

Lemma 9.8. *Let $G = Spin(19)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. For $x = c_2c_3c_6e_{16}$, we have $x \neq 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ but $x = 0 \in gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.*

Proof. We note that $e_{16} = d(z) = 2(y_{14}y_{18})$ in $CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$, and the torsion index $t(G) = 2^4$ by Totaro. The results are gets by arguments similar to the preceding lemmas. \square

Corollary 9.9. *Let $G = Spin(17)$ and \mathbb{G} be versall. Then we have*

$$(2^4, 2^3v_1, 2^3v_2)\{y_{top}\} \subset Im(res_\Omega) \subset grBP^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})).$$

Proof. We see $c_2c_3c_6c_7 = 2^3v_2y_{top} \bmod(I_\infty^5)$. Hence the ideal $(2^4, 2^3v_1, 2^3v_2) \subset BP^*$ is invariant under $r_{\Delta_1}, r_{2\Delta_1}$, and r_{Δ_2} , we have the corollary. In fact

$$c_3c_5c_6c_7 \mapsto 2^4y_{top}, \quad c_2c_5c_6c_7 \mapsto 2^3v_1y_{top}, \quad c_2c_3c_6c_7 \mapsto 2^3v_2y_{top}.$$

\square

At last this section, we note the following general property.

Lemma 9.10. *Let $2^t < \ell < 2^{t+1}$ with $\ell \geq 5$. Then $e_{2^{t+1}} \neq 0$ in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.*

Proof. At first, we recall the case $\ell = 5$. Then $e_8 \neq 0$ in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ from Theorem 7.1. By the naturality $e_8 \neq 0$ for $\ell = 6, 7$. Moreover we note

$$2^3 = t(Spin(15)) < t(Spin(19)) = 2^4 \quad (\text{while } t(Spin(7)) = 2 = t(Spin(11))).$$

Let us write $d = 2^{t+1}$ and assume $d \geq 16$ (i.e., $t \geq 3$). We consider the group $G = Spin(d+3)$. Since $Q_0(z) = y_{d-2}y_{d+2}$ and $d(z) = e_d$ by Nishimoto, we have

$$2y_{d-2}y_{d+2} = e_d \in CH(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/2.$$

Here we recall

$$(*) \quad t(Spin(d-1)) < t(Spin(d+3)).$$

In fact, by Totaro, for $t(Spin(2n+1)) = 2^u$, u is close to $n - 2\log_2(n) + 1$. Then we can see that $e_d \neq 0$ in $CH^*(X)/2$. Otherwise $1/2e_d = y_{d-2}y_{d+2} \in Res$. This implies

$$t(Spin(d-1))y_6 \dots y_{d-4} \cdot y_{d-2}y_{d+2} \in Res(CH^*(X)),$$

which contradicts to (*). Hence From Corollary 3.7, we also see $e_d \neq 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}_k))/2$ for $\ell = d/2 + 1$.

For group $Spin(2\ell+1)$, $d/2 < \ell < d$, we see

$$d(z) = c_1^d = 2(y_{d-2}y_{d+2} + y_{d-4}y_{d+4} + \dots)$$

We have $e_d \neq 0$ for the group $Spin(2\ell+1)$, by the naturality for $Spin(d+3) \rightarrow Spin(2\ell+1)$. \square

Remark. When $G = Spin(d+1)$ for $d = 2^{t+1}$, we see $e_d = 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$, since $Q_0(z) = 0$. We also note $e_8 = 0$ for $G = Spin(9)$ and $e_4 = 0$ for $Spin(7)$.

10. THE CASE $G = E_7$ AND $p = 2$.

Let E_7 be the exceptional Lie group of $\text{rank}(G) = 7$. We can compute $\text{gr}_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))$ by arguments similar to the cases $\text{Spin}(m)$. Throughout this section, let $(G, p) = (E_7, 2)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal.

Theorem 10.1. *The cohomology $\text{gr}H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ is given*

$$\mathbb{Z}/2[y_6, y_{10}, y_{18}]/(y_6^2, y_{10}^2, y_{18}^2), \otimes \Lambda(z_3, z_5, z_9, z_{15}, z_{17}, z_{23}, z_{27}).$$

Hence we can rewrite

$$\text{gr}H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[y_1, y_2, y_3]/(y_1^2, y_2^2, y_3^2) \otimes \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_7).$$

Lemma 10.2. *The cohomology operations act as*

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 = z_3 &\xrightarrow{Sq^2} x_2 = z_5 \xrightarrow{Sq^4} x_3 = z_9 \xrightarrow{Sq^8} x_4 = z_{17} \\ x_5 = z_{15} &\xrightarrow{Sq^8} x_6 = z_{23} \xrightarrow{Sq^4} x_7 = z_{27} \\ x_5 = z_{15} &\xrightarrow{Sq^2} x_4 = z_{17} \end{aligned}$$

The Bockstein acts $Sq^1(x_{i+1}) = y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$, and

$$Sq^1 : x_5 = z_{15} \mapsto y_1 y_2, \quad x_6 = z_{23} \mapsto y_1 y_3, \quad x_7 = z_{27} \mapsto y_2 y_3.$$

Lemma 10.3. ([Ya5], [Ya6]) *In $H^*(G/T)/(4)$, for all monomials $u \in P(y)^+/2$, except for $y_{\text{top}} = y_1 y_2 y_3$, the elements $2u$ are written as elements in $H^*(BT)$. Moreover, in $BP^*(G/T)/I_\infty^2$, there are $b_i \in BP^*(BT)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 = 2y_1, \quad b_3 = 2y_2, \quad b_4 = 2y_3, \quad b_6 = 2y_1 y_3, \quad b_7 = 2y_2 y_3, \\ b_1 = v_1 y_1 + v_2 y_2 + v_3 y_3, \quad b_5 = 2y_1 y_2 + v_1 y_3. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The last two equations are given by $Q_1(x_1) = y_1$, $Q_2(x_1) = y_2$,

$$Q_3(x_1) = y_3, \quad Q_1(x_5) = y_3, \quad Q_0(x_5) = y_1 y_2.$$

□

Lemma 10.4. ([To1]) *We have $t(E_7)_{(2)} = 2^2$.*

Proof. We get the result from $b_2 b_7 = (2y_1)(2y_2 y_3) = 2^2 y_{\text{top}}$. □

By Chevalley's theorem, res_K is surjective. Hence in $K^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/2$,

$$v_1^s y_2 = b \in \mathbb{Z}/2[b_1, \dots, b_\ell] \quad \text{some } s \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since $y_2 \notin \text{Im}(\text{res}_{K/2})$, we see $s \geq 1$. The facts that $|b_i| \geq 6$ and $|y_2| = 10$ imply $s = 2$.

Lemma 10.5. *We can take $b_2 = 2y_1 + v_1^2 y_2$ in $k^*(G/T)/(v_1^3)$.*

We now consider $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$. There are maps of Lie groups

$$\text{Spin}(12) \subset E_7 \overset{i}{\subset} \text{Spin}(N).$$

for some large N . Note $i^*(x'_1) = x_1$ for $x_1 \in H^*(E_7; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ and $x'_1 = x_3 \in H^*(\text{Spin}(N); \mathbb{Z}/2)$. Hence for their transgression elements, we have $i^* c_2 = b_1$.

Recall y_1, y_2, y_3 are defined by $y_1 \xrightarrow{P^2} y_2 \xrightarrow{P^4} y_3$. Hence we can identify

$$b_1 = c_2, \quad b_2 = c_3, \quad b_3 = c_5, \quad b_4 = c_9$$

from the image from $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}'))$ for $G' = \text{Spin}(N)$. In particular we have

Lemma 10.6. *In $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$, we see $b_i^2 = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 4$.*

Lemma 10.7. *Let $(Tor) \subset CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ be the module of 2-torsion elements. Then*

$$CH^*(\mathbb{G})/(Tor) \cong NT = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, b_2, \dots, b_7, b_2b_7\}.$$

Proof. Since $res_{CH} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is isomorphic, we have the injection

$$CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/(Tor) \subset CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \cong \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(y_1, y_2, y_3).$$

We see its image

$$\begin{aligned} Im(res_{CH}) &\cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1.2y_1, 2y_2, \dots, 2y_2y_3, 4y_1y_2y_3\} \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_7, b_2b_7\} = NT. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 10.8. *We have the additive isomorphism*

$$Tor \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\{b_1, b_1b_i | b_1b_i \neq 0, 2 \leq i \leq 7\}.$$

Proof. First note $b_1^2 = 0$. Each $x \in Tor$ is written as $x = b_1x'$ for $x' \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[b_1, \dots, b_7]$, and hence $x^2 = 0$. For $b \in NT$,

$$(b_1b_i)b = b_1b' \quad \text{for } b' \in NT/(b_2b_7).$$

Here $b_1b_2b_7 = 0$, since $|b_2b_2b_7| > |y_{top}|$.

□

Theorem 10.9. *We have $b_1b_i \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 7$ in $K * (R(\mathbb{G}))$. Hence*

$$gr_{\gamma}(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong CH^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong NT \oplus NT/(2, b_2b_7)\{b_1\}$$

where $NT = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, b_2, \dots, b_7, b_2b_7\}$.

Corollary 10.10. *Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$ and \mathbb{G} versal. Then*

$$grCH^*(\mathbb{F})/2 = CH^*(\mathbb{F})/(2, Tor) \oplus Tor \cong S(t)/(R_1, R_2, R_3)$$

where $R_1 = (b_ib_j = 0 | 2 \leq i \leq j \leq 7, i + j \neq 9)$, $R_2 = (b_2b_7 = b_3b_6 = b_4b_5, b_1b_k = 0)$, and $R_3 = (b_1^2, b_1b_2b_7)$.

We will prove $b_1b_i \neq 0$ for $2 \leq i \leq 7$ in $gr_{\gamma}^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Since $res_{K/2}$ is surjective, for each monomial $y_I \in \Lambda(y_1, y_2, y_3)$, there is $b_J = b_{J(I)}$ such that $res(b_J) = v_1^{s(J)}y_I$.

Here we recall degree of b_i

$$|b_1| = 4, |b_2| = 6, |b_3| = 10, |b_5| = 16, |b_4| = 18, |b_6| = 24, |b_7| = 28.$$

Proof of Theorem 10.9. First note $b_1b_7 = 2v_1y_1y_2y_3$. Next we seek b_J for $y_{top} = y_1y_2y_3$. Then by dimensional reason, we can write

$$b_1b_6 = \lambda v_1^3 y_{top} \quad \text{mod}(2, v_1^4) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

And for $i < 6$, we see

$$(*) \quad b_1b_i = \lambda' v_1^{3+j} y_{top} + \dots \quad \text{for } j \geq 3 = 1/2(24 - 18).$$

We note

$$b_1b_2b_5 = v_1y_1(2y_1 + v_1^2y_2)(2y_1y_2 + v_1y_3) = v_1^4y_1y_2y_3 \quad \text{mod}(2, v_1^5).$$

For $i < 6$, we consider the element $b_1b_i - \lambda' v_1^{j-1} b_1b_2b_5$ instead of b_1b_i . Then we can take $\lambda' = 0$ in (*). Hence $\lambda \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}/2$. That is, $b_1b_6 = v_1^3 y_{top} + \dots$. This also

induces $b_1b_6 \neq 0$ in $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ because there is no element $x' \in k^* \otimes A(b) = k^*[b_1, \dots, b_7]$ such that $b_1b_6 = v_1^2x'$ by the above arguments.

Next we consider $y_I = y_2y_3$. Note $b_1b_4 = \lambda v_1^3y_2y_3 + \dots$. For $i = 5$ or $i < 3$, we have

$$(**) \quad b_1b_i = \lambda' v_1^{3+j} y_2y_3 + \dots \quad \text{for } j \leq 1 = 1/2(18 - 16).$$

For $i = 5$ or $i \leq 3$, we consider the element $b_1b_i - \lambda' v_1^j b_1b_2$ instead of b_1b_i . Then we can take $\lambda' = 0$ in $(**)$ and $\lambda \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}/2$, that is $b_1b_4 = v_1^3y_2y_3 + \dots$

We still see $b_1b_5 = v_1^2y_1y_3$.

Next, we consider the case $y_I = y_1y_2$. We see $b_1b_3 = \lambda v_1y_1y_2 + \dots$. But we see

$$b_1b_3 = v_1y_1(2y_2) = 2v_1y_1y_2 \pmod{I_\infty^3}.$$

Hence we have $b_1b_2 = v_1^3y_1y_2 + \dots \pmod{2}$. (This means $2y_1^2 \neq v_1^2y_1y_2$.)

For b_1b_3 , we consider the element

$$b' = b_1b_3 - v_1b_5 = v_1y_1(2y_2) - v_1(2y_1y_2 + v_1y_3) = v_1^2y_3.$$

Hence it is non zero in $K^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ and so is b_1b_3 (while b' is not torsion).

Thus we can prove the preceding theorem. \square

Remark. In [Ya6], we could not show that $b_1b_4 \neq 0 \in gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$

11. CLASSIFYING SPACE BG_k

Let $h^*(X) = CH^*(X)/I(X, h)$ for some ideal $I(X, h)$ (e.g., $gr_{geo}^*(X)$). We note here the following lemma for a (general) split algebraic group G_k and a G_k -torsor \mathbb{G}_k .

Lemma 11.1. *For the above $h^*(X)$, the composition of the following maps is zero for $* > 0$*

$$h^*(BG_k) \rightarrow h^*(BB_k) \rightarrow h^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k).$$

Proof. Take U (e.g., GL_N for a large N) such that U/G_k approximates the classifying space BG_k [To3]. Namely, we can take $\mathbb{G} = f^*U$ for the classifying map $f : \mathbb{G}/G_k \rightarrow U/G_k$. Hence we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k & \longrightarrow & U/B_k \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Spec(k) \cong \mathbb{G}/G_k & \longrightarrow & U/G_k \end{array}$$

where U/B_k (resp. U/G_k) approximates BB_k (resp. BG_k). Since $h^*(Spec(k)) = CH^*(Spec(k))/I(pt., h) = 0$ for $* > 0$, we have the lemma. \square

We consider the case $h^*(X) = K^*(X)$, while $h^*(X) \not\cong CH^*(X)/I(X)$. The following lemma is well known by Chevalley ([Pa]).

Lemma 11.2. *Let G be simply connected. and $h^*(X) = K^*(X)$. Then $K^*(BG_k) \cong K^*(BG_{\bar{k}})$ and the sequence of maps in the preceding lemma is exact, i.e. $K^*(\mathbb{F}) \cong K^*(BB_k)/K^*(BG_k)$.*

In most cases $h^*(X)$, the above sequences of maps in Lemma 11.1 is not exact, However we get some informations from $h^*(\mathbb{F})$ to $h^*(BG_k)$. For example, we get more many informations of $h^*(BG_k)$ from $h^*(\mathbb{F})$ than $h^*(G_k/B_k)$ when \mathbb{G} is versal.

Let us write the induced maps

$$h^+(BG) \xrightarrow{i^+} h^+(BT) \xrightarrow{j(\mathbb{G})^+} h^+(\mathbb{G}/B_k).$$

Define $D_h(\mathbb{G}) = Ker(j^+)/Ideal(Im(i^+))$.

In this paper, we mainly consider the case $h^*(X) = CH^*(X)/p$ and write it $D(\mathbb{G})$ simply. Let \mathbb{G} be versal and k' is some extension of k . Then

$$D(\mathbb{G}) \subset D(\mathbb{G}|_{k'}) \subset D(G_k).$$

Lemma 11.3. *We have a surjective map $D(G_k)/D(\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$.*

Proof. Recall

$$CH^*(G_k/B_k)/p \cong P(y) \otimes S(t)/(b) \quad (b) = Ideal(p, b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$$

So $Ker(j(G_k)) = (b)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D(G_k)/D(\mathbb{G}_k) &\cong (Ker(j(G_k)/Im(i^+))/(Ker(j(\mathbb{G}))/Im(i^+))) \\ &\cong Ker(j(G_k))/Ker(j(\mathbb{G})) \subset CH^*(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G})). \end{aligned}$$

This map is a surjection, because $Ker(j(G_k))$ is generated by b_1, \dots, b_ℓ from Lemma 3.6. \square

Now we consider the case $G = Spin(n)$. The $mod(2)$ cohomology

$$H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong H^*(BG_{\bar{k}}; \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

is given by Quillen [Qu]. For example, G is real type (i.e., $n = -1, 1, 2 \pmod{8}$) we have

$$H^*(BG; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[w_2, \dots, w_n]/(w_2, Q_0w_2, \dots, Q_{n-2}w_2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[w_{2^h}(\Delta)].$$

Here w_i is the Stiefel-Whitney class of the usual real representation for $Spin(n) \rightarrow SO(n)$. and $w_{2^h}(\Delta)$ is the Stiefel-Whitney class for the spin representation Δ (i.e. a representation its restriction to the center of G is nontrivial), and h is the Hurwitz number, e.g., when $2\ell + 1 = 8\ell' + j$ $0 \leq j \leq 7$, then $h = 4\ell' + i$, for some $0 \leq i \leq 3$ (for details, see (6.2) in [Qu]). Similar facts hold for $H^*(BSpin(n); \mathbb{Z}/2)$ for all $n \geq 3$.

Here we consider the motivic cohomology so that

$$CH^*(X)/2 \cong H^{2*,*}(X; \mathbb{Z}/2).$$

The degree is given $deg(w_i) = (i, i)$ and $deg(c_i) = (2i, i)$. The cohomology operation Q_i exists in the motivic cohomology with $deg(Q_i) = (2^{i+1} - 1, 2^i - 1)$. Hence

$$Q_i Q_0(w_2) \in H^{2*,*}(BG_k; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong CH^*(BG_k)/2.$$

Using these facts, we can see

Theorem 11.4. ([Ya1]) *The ring $CH^*(BSpin(n)_k)/2$ has a subring*

$$RQ(n) = \mathbb{Z}/2[c_2, \dots, c_n]/(Q_1Q_0w_2, \dots, Q_{n-1}Q_0w_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[c_{2^h}(\Delta_{\mathbb{C}})]$$

where c_i is the Chern class for $Spin(n) \rightarrow SO(n) \rightarrow U(n)$ and $c_{2^h}(\Delta_{\mathbb{C}})$ is that of complex representation for Δ .

Proof. This theorem is proved in [Ya1] for $k = \bar{k}$. From Lemma 11.2, we see all Chern classes in $CH^*(BG_{\bar{k}})$ can be extended for $CH^*(BG_k)$. \square

Remark. There are cases that $c_{odd} = 0$, in fact $Q_1Q_0w_2 = c_3$. For all type of n , we can define c_{2^i} and $c_{2^{i+1}}(\Delta_{\mathbb{C}})$ in $CH^*(BSpin(n))/2$.

Lemma 11.5. *We have*

$$i^*(c_{2i}) = c_i^2, \quad i^*(c_{2i+1}) = 0, \quad i^*(c_{2^h}(\Delta_{\mathbb{C}})) = e_{2^{h-t+1}}^{2^{h-t-1}}.$$

Proof. The first equation is well known (see Lemma 7.3 in [YaC]), in fact $c_i^2 = 0$ in $CH^*(\mathbb{G})$ is proved using $CH^*(BG_k)$ for $G = SO(n)$. The second equation follows from $P^1 c_{2i} = c_{2i+1}$ and $P^1(c_{2i}^2) = 0$. The last equation follows from the fact Δ is spin representation (which is nonzero in the restriction on $\mathbb{Z}/2$ (recall $e_{2^{t+1}} = c_1^{2^{t+1}}$). \square

From the preceding theorem

Corollary 11.6. *There is a surjection*

$$\Lambda(c_2, \dots, c_{\ell}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[e_{2^{t+1}}]/(e_{2^{t+1}}^{2^{h-t-1}}) \twoheadrightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2.$$

Corollary 11.7. *If we have*

$$(**) \quad Ker(j(\mathbb{G})^*) = Ideal(c_2^2, \dots, c_{\ell}^2) \quad \text{for } * \leq n,$$

*then we have $i^*CH^*(BG_k)/2 = i^*RQ$ in $S(t)/2$ for $* \leq n$.*

Recall the additive isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & \Lambda(c_2, \dots, c_{\ell}) \otimes S(t)/(c_2, \dots, c_{\ell}) \\ & \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[c_2, \dots, c_{\ell}]/(c_2^2, \dots, c_{\ell}^2) \otimes S(t)/(c_2, \dots, c_{\ell}) \cong S(t)/(c_2^2, \dots, c_{\ell}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence to see (**) in Corollary 11.7 for $* \leq n$, we only need to see

$$CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \cong \Lambda(c_2, \dots, c_{\ell}) \quad \text{for } * \leq n.$$

As an example we consider $G = Spin(15)$. Then we see $c_i c_j \neq 0$ in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Moreover we see $c_2 c_5 - c_3 c_4 \neq 0$. These fact implies (**) for $* \leq 7$. Hence we have

Corollary 11.8. *Let $G = Spin(N)$ with $N \geq 15$. Then*

$$i^*(CH^*(BG_k))/2 \cong i^*(RQ(N)) \quad \text{for } * \leq 7.$$

Lemma 11.9. *Let $G(n) = Spin(n)$ and $\mathbb{G}(n)$ be the versal $G(n)_k$ -torsor. Given $n \geq 1$, there is $N \geq 7$ such that*

$$CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}(N)))/2 \cong \Lambda(c_2, \dots, c_n) \quad \text{for all } * \leq n.$$

Proof. Let $N = 2\ell + 1$, and $2^2 \leq 2^n < 2^t \leq \ell < 2^{t+1}$.

We will see

$$CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}(N)))/2 \cong \Lambda(c_2, \dots, c_{\ell}) \quad \text{for } * < 2^n.$$

Suppose that

$$x = \sum c_{i_1} \dots c_{i_s} = 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s < 2^n.$$

Here $c_{i_j} = v_n y_m$ with $m = 2^n - 2 + 2i_j$ in $k(n)^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Since $2^n < m < 2^{n+1}$, m is not a form 2^r , $r > 3$. Hence y_m is a generator of $P(y)$.

Moreover recall that

$$e_{2^{t+1}} = v_n y_{2^{t+1}-2+2^n-2} y_{2^t+2} + \dots$$

This element is in the ideal (v_n^2, E) with $E = (y_{2j} | j > 2^t)$. Hence we see $c_{i,j} = v_n y_m$ is also nonzero mod (v_n^2, E) since $n < t$.

Thus we see that for $x' = y_{2^n-2+2i_1} \dots y_{2^n-2+2i_s}$

$$x = \sum v_n^s x' \neq 0 \in k(n)^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/2 \cong k(n)^* \otimes P(y).$$

Moreover $v_n^{s-1}x' \notin \text{Im}(\text{res})$, because $\text{Im}(\text{res})$ is generated by $\text{res}(c_{j_1})\dots\text{res}(c_{j_r})$ and each $\text{res}(c_j) = 0 \pmod{v_n}$. This is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 11.10. *Let $G(N) = \text{Spin}(N)$ and $\mathbb{G}(N)$ be versal. Then we have*

$$\lim_{\leftarrow N} CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}(N)))/2 \cong \Lambda(c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n, \dots),$$

$$\lim_{\leftarrow N} CH^*(BG(N)_k)/(2, \text{Tor}) \cong RQ(\infty)/(\text{Tor})$$

where $RQ(\infty) = \mathbb{Z}/2[c_2, \dots, c_n, \dots]/(Q_1Q_0w_2, \dots, Q_{n-1}Q_0w_n, \dots)$.

Example. Let $G = \text{Spin}(7)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. The Chow ring of $CH^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k)$ is given in §6. We see

$$\text{Ker}(j(\mathbb{G})) \cong (2c_2, c_2^2, c_2c_3, c_3^2, e_4).$$

The Chow ring of BG is given by Guillot [Gu]

$$CH^*(BG_{\bar{k}})/(\text{Tor}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[c_4, c_6, c_8'']\{1, c_2'', c_4'', c_6''\}$$

where c_i'' is a Chern class of the (complex) spin representation. Then we can see that the map i^* is given $c_4 \mapsto c_2^2$, $c_6 \mapsto c_3^2$, $c_8'' \mapsto e_4^2$, and

$$c_2'' \mapsto 2c_2, \quad c_4'' \mapsto 2e_4, \quad c_6'' \mapsto 2c_2e_4.$$

Here we consider the integral case $h^*(X) = CH^*(X)$. Then we have

$$D_{CH}(\mathbb{G}) \cong \Lambda(c_2c_3, e_4)^+.$$

REFERENCES

- [At] M. Atiyah, Characters and the cohomology of finite groups, *Publ. Math. IHES* **9** (1961), 23-64.
- [Ga-Me-Se] S. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev and J-P Serre. Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology. *University Lecture Series 28*, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (2003), viii+168pp.
- [Ga-Za] S. Garibaldi and K. Zainoulline. The γ -filtration and the Rost invariant. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **696** (2014), 225-244.
- [Gr] A. Grothendieck. La torsion homologique et les sections rationnelles. *Sem. C.Chevalley, ENS 1958, expose 5, Secretariat Math., IHP, Paris* (1958).
- [Gu] P. Guillot, The Chow rings of G_2 and $\text{Spin}(7)$, *J. reine angew. Math.* **604** (2007), 137-158.
- [Ha] M.Hazewinkel, Formal groups and applications, *Pure and Applied Math. 78*, Academic Press Inc. (1978), xxii+573pp.
- [Ju] C. Junkins. The J-invariant and Tits algebras for groups of inner type E_6 , *manuscripta math.* **140** (2013), 249-261.
- [Ka1] N. Karpenko. Chow ring of generic flag varieties. *Math. Nachr.* **16** (2017), 2641-2647.
- [Ka2] N. Karpenko. On generic flag varieties of $\text{Spin}(11)$ and $\text{Spin}(12)$.. *manuscripta math.* **157** (2018), 13-21.
- [Ka-Me] N. Karpenko and Merkurjev. *On standard norm varieties.* Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super. (4) **46** (2013), 175-214.
- [Ko-Mi] A. Kono and M. Mimura. Cohomology operations and the Hopf algebra structures of compact exceptional Lie groups E_7 and E_8 . *Proc London Math. Soc.* **35** (1977), 345-358.
- [Le-Mo1] M. Levine and F. Morel. Cobordisme algébrique I. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **332** (2001), 723-728.
- [Le-Mo] M. Levine and F. Morel. Cobordisme algébrique II. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **332** (2001), 815-820.
- [Me-Ne-Za] A. Merkurjev, A. Neshitov and K. Zainoulline. *Invariants of degree 3 and torsion in the Chow group of a versal flag.* Composito Math. **151** (2015), 11416-1432.
- [Me-Su] A. Merkurjev and A. Suslin. *Motivic cohomology of the simplicial motive of a Rost variety.* J. Pure and Appl. Algebra **214** (2010), 2017-2026.

- [Mi-Ni] M. Mimura and T. Nishimoto. Hopf algebra structure of Morava K-theory of exceptional Lie groups. *Contem. Math.* **293** (2002), 195-231.
- [Mi-Tod] M. Mimura and H. Toda, *Topology of Lie groups*, I and II, Translations of Math. Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc, **91** (1991).
- [Na] M. Nakagawa. The integral cohomology ring of E_8/T . *Proc. Japan* **86** (20), 383-394.
- [Ni] T. Nishimoto. Higher torsion in Morava K-theory of $SO(m)$ and $Spin(m)$. *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* **52** (2001), 383-394.
- [Pa] I. Panin. On th algebraic K -theory of twisted flag varieties. *K-theory* **8** (1994), 541-585.
- [Pe] V. Petrov. Chow ring of generic maximal orthogonal Grassmannians. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Skelov. (POMI)* **443** (2016), 147-150.
- [Pe-Se] V. Petrov and N. Semenov. Rost motives, affine varieties, and classifying spaces. *arXiv : 1506.00778v1*. (math. AT) Jun 2015.
- [Pe-Se-Za] V.Petrov, N.Semenov and K.Zainoulline. J-Invariant of linear algebraic groups. *Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup.* **41**, (2008) 1023-1053.
- [Qu] D. Quillen. The mod(2) cohomology rings of extra speial 2-groups and the spinor groups. *Math. Ann.* **194**, (1971) 197-212.
- [Ra] D.Ravenel. Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres. *Pure and Applied Mathematics, 121. Academic Press* (1986).
- [Ro1] M.Rost. Some new results on Chowgroups of quadrics. *preprint* (1990).
- [Ro2] M.Rost. On the basic correspondence of a splitting variety. *preprint* (2006)
- [Sec] P. Sechin Chern classes from Morava K -theories to p^n -typical oriented theories. arXiv:1805.09059 [math.AG], 2018.
- [Sec-Se] P. Sechin and N. Semenov. Applications of the Morava K -theory to algebraic groups. arXiv:1805.09050 [math.AG], 2018.
- [Se] N. Semenov. Motivic construction of cohomological invariant. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **91** (2016) 163-202.
- [Se-Zh] N. Semenov and M. Zhykhovich. Integral motives, relative Krull-Schmidt principle, and Maranda-type theorems. *Math. Ann.* **363** (2015) 61-75.
- [Tod] H.Toda. On the cohomolgy ring of some homogeneous spaces. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **15** (1975), 185-199.
- [Tod-Wa] H.Toda and T.Watanabe. The integral cohomology ring of F_4/T and E_4/T . *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **14** (1974), 257-286.
- [To1] B. Totaro. The torsion index of E_8 and the other groups. *Duke Math. J.* **299** (2005), 219-248.
- [To2] B. Totaro. The torsion index of the spin groups. *Duke Math. J.* **299** (2005), 249-290.
- [To3] B. Totaro, Group cohomology and algebraic cycles, *Cambridge tracts in Math. (Cambridge Univ. Press)* **204** (2014).
- [Vi] A. Vishik. On the Chow groups of quadratic Grassmannians. *Doc. Math.* **10** (2005), 111-130.
- [Vi-Ya] A.Vishik and N.Yagita. Algebraic cobordisms of a Pfister quadric. *London Math. Soc.* **76** (2007), 586-604.
- [Vi-Za] A.Vishik and K.Zainoulline. Motivic splitting lemma. *Doc. Math.* **13** (2008), 81-96.
- [Vo1] V. Voevodsky, The Milnor conjecture, *www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0170* (1996).
- [Vo2] V. Voevodsky. Motivic cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficient. *Publ. Math. IHES* **98** (2003), 59-104.
- [1] V.Voevodsky. On motivic cohomology with \mathbb{Z}/l -coefficients. *Ann. of Math.* **174** (2011), 401-438.
- [Ya1] N. Yagita. Chow ring of classifying spaces of extraspecial p -groups. *Comtemp. Math.* **293** (2002) 397-409.
- [Ya2] N. Yagita. Applications of Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for motivic cobordism. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **90** (2005) 783-816.
- [Ya3] N. Yagita. Algebraic BP -theory and norm varieties. *Hokkaido Math. J.* **41** (2012), 275-316.
- [Ya4] N. Yagita. Note on the filtrations of the K -theory. *Kodai Math. J.* **38** (2015), 172-200.
- [Ya5] N. Yagita. Chow rings of versal complete flag varities. *arXiv:1609.08721v2*. (math. KT).
- [Ya6] N. Yagita. The gamma filtrations of K -theory of complete flag varieties. *arXiv:1711.04706v2*. (math. AT).

- [Za] K. Zainouline, Twisted gamma filtration of a linear algebraic group, *Compositio Math.* **148** (2012), 1645-1654.

FACULTY OF EDUCATION, IBARAKI UNIVERSITY, MITO, IBARAKI, JAPAN
E-mail address: `nobuaki.yagita.math@vc.ibaraki.ac.jp`,