

THE GAMMA FILTRATIONS FOR THE SPIN GROUPS

NOBUAKI YAGITA

ABSTRACT. Let G be a compact Lie group and T its maximal torus. In this paper, we try to compute $gr_\gamma^*(G/T)$ the graded ring associated with the gamma filtration of the complex K -theory $K^0(G/T)$ for $G = Spin(n)$. In particular, we give a counterexample for a conjecture by Karpenko when $G = Spin(17)$. The arguments for E_7 in §11 of the old version were not correct, and they are deleted in this version.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us fix a prime number p , and assume all theories $(H^*(X), CH^*(X), K^*(X))$ are p -localized theories. Let G and T be a simply connected compact Lie group and its maximal torus. Given a field k with $ch(k) = 0$, let G_k and T_k be the split reductive group and a split maximal torus over the field k , corresponding to G and T . Let B_k be the Borel subgroup containing T_k . Let \mathbb{G} be a G_k -torsor. Then $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$ is a twisted form of the flag variety G_k/B_k .

In this paper, we study the graded ring $gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F})$ associated to the γ -filtration of the algebraic K -theory $K_{alg}^0(\mathbb{F})$, mainly for $G = Spin(odd)$ and $p = 2$. Since $K_{alg}^0(\mathbb{F})$ is isomorphic to the topological K -theory $K_{top}^0(G/T)$ [Pa], we know $gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F}) \cong gr_\gamma^*(G/T)$ for the algebraic and topological γ -filtrations.

Moreover we take \mathbb{G} a versal G_k -torsor i.e., \mathbb{G} is isomorphic to $G_{k(S)}$ -torsor over $Spec(k(S))$ given by the generic fiber of $GL_N \rightarrow S$ where $S = GL_N/G_k$ for an embedding $G \subset GL_N$ (for the properties of a versal G_k -torsor, see §3 below or see [Ga-Me-Se], [To2], [Me-Ne-Za], [Ka1]). When $\mathbb{G}/B_k = \mathbb{F}$ is a versal flag variety, it is known ([Me-Ne-Za], [Ka1]) that $CH^*(\mathbb{F})$ is generated by Chern classes. Hence we know $gr_\gamma^*(\mathbb{F}) \cong gr_{geo}^*(\mathbb{F})$; the graded ring associated the geometric filtration (defined from degree of $CH^*(X)$) (see Chapter 15 in [To3], [Ya5]).

Lemma 1.1. *Let G be a compact simply connected Lie group. Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$ be the versal flag variety. Then*

$$gr_\gamma^*(G/T) \cong gr_{geo}^*(\mathbb{F}) \cong CH^*(\mathbb{F})/I(1) \quad \text{for some ideal } I(1) \subset CH^*(\mathbb{F}).$$

Karpenko conjectured that the above $I(1) = 0$ ([Ka1], [Ka2]). In this paper, we try to compute $gr_\gamma^*(G/T)$ for $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$. In particular, we see $I(1) \neq 0$ for $G = Spin(17)$.

For these computations, we use the generalized Rost motive $R(\mathbb{G})$ defined by Petrov-Semenov-Zainoulline [Pe-Se-Za] such that the (p -localized) motive $M(\mathbb{F})$ of the flag variety \mathbb{F} is written

$$M(\mathbb{F}) \cong R(\mathbb{G}) \otimes (\oplus_s \mathbb{T}^{\otimes s}), \quad \text{for the Tate motive } \mathbb{T}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57T15, 20G15, 14C15.

Key words and phrases. gamma filtration, Chow ring, spin group, complete flag variety.

For $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$, $G'' = Spin(2\ell + 2)$, we know $R(\mathbb{G}) \cong R(\mathbb{G}'')$ from a theorem by Vishik-Zainoulline [Vi-Za]. So in this paper, we only study $Spin(odd)$ but many arguments for $Spin(even)$ are similar.

For $\ell \leq 2$, $H^*(G)$ has no torsion. For $\ell = 3, 4$, $gr_\gamma^*(\mathbb{F})$ are given in [Ya4] and $I(1) = 0$. For $\ell = 5$, $gr_\gamma^*(\mathbb{F})$ is given in [Ya5], [Ka2]. Moreover Karpenko shows $I(1) = 0$ and this Karpenko result is the first example that $R(\mathbb{G})$ is not the original Rost motive, but $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ is given. For $\ell = 6$, $gr_\gamma^*(\mathbb{F})$ is given §9 in this paper, while we can not see $I(1) = 0$ or not. For $\ell \geq 7$, very partial results are only given here. However, we see $I(1) \neq 0$ for $\ell = 8$.

Let us write by $\Lambda(a, \dots, b)$ the exterior algebra over $\mathbb{Z}/2$ generated by a, \dots, b . We note the following fact

Lemma 1.2. *Let $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Let $2^t \leq \ell < 2^{t+1}$. Then there are elements c_2, \dots, c_ℓ and $e_{2^{t+1}}$ with $deg(c_i) = i$, $deg(e_j) = j$ such that there is an additive surjection*

$$\Lambda(c_2, \dots, c_\ell) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[e_{2^{t+1}}] \twoheadrightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2.$$

Here c_i is represented by some i -th Chern class. For a ring A , let us write by $A\{a, \dots, b\}$ the A -free module generated by a, \dots, b . For example, we can write

Theorem 1.3. *Let $G = Spin(13)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ is additively generated by products of $1, c_2, c_3, \dots, c_6$ and e_8 as follows*

$$gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong A \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, c_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_4\}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_6c_4\}$$

where $A = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, c_3, c_5, e_8\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_2\}$.

When $G = Spin(17)$, elements in the Chow ring $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ are additively generated by products of $1, c_2, \dots, c_7$. Moreover the torsion index $t(G) = 2^4$. Then we can see for $x = c_2c_3c_6c_7$,

$$x = 0 \in gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2, \quad \text{but } x \neq 0 \in gr(2)^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2.$$

Here $gr(n)^*(X)$ is the graded associated ring for the integral Morava $\tilde{K}(n)$ -theory, so that

$$gr(n)^*(X) \cong CH^*(X)/I(n) \quad \text{for some ideal } I(n),$$

and $gr(1)^*(X) \cong gr_{geo}^*(X)$. Hence this x is nonzero in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$, i.e., $0 \neq x \in I(1)$.

Remark. The arguments (for $(G, p) = (E_7, 2)$) in §11 and Theorem 1.4 of the old version were not correct, and they are deleted in this version.

The plan of this paper is the following. In §2, we recall and prepare the topological arguments. In §3, we recall the decomposition of the motive of a versal flag variety. In §4, we recall the filtrations of the K -theory. In §5, we study the connective K -theory $k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$. In §6, we study the general properties when $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$. In §7, §8, we study cases $\ell = 3$ (and 4), $\ell = 5$ respectively. In §9, we study the case $\ell = 6$. In §10 we study the cases $\ell \geq 7$ and see the counterexample when $\ell = 8$. In §11, we note the cases ℓ large.

2. LIE GROUPS G AND THE FLAG MANIFOLDS G/T

Let G be a connected compact Lie group. By Borel ([Bo], [Mi-Tod]), its $mod(p)$ cohomology is written as

$$(*) \quad grH^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong P(y)/p \otimes \Lambda(x_1, \dots, x_\ell), \quad \ell = rank(G)$$

$$\text{with } P(y) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[y_1, \dots, y_k]/(y_1^{p^{r_1}}, \dots, y_k^{p^{r_k}})$$

where the degree $|y_i|$ of y_i is even and $|x_j|$ is odd. In this paper, we only consider this $grH^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ in $(*)$, and write it simply $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$.

Let T be the maximal torus of G and BT be the classifying space of T . We consider the fibering ([Tod], [Mi-Ni]) $G \xrightarrow{\pi} G/T \xrightarrow{i} BT$ and the induced spectral sequence

$$E_2^{*,*'} = H^*(BT; H^{*'}(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)) \implies H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p).$$

The cohomology of the classifying space of the torus is given by $H^*(BT) \cong S(t) = \mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_\ell]$ with $|t_i| = 2$, where $t_i = pr_i^*(c_1)$ is the 1-st Chern class induced from $T = S^1 \times \dots \times S^1 \xrightarrow{pr_i} S^1 \subset U(1)$ for the i -th projection pr_i . Note that $\ell = rank(G)$ is also the number of the odd degree generators x_i in $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$.

It is well known that y_i are permanent cycles (i.e., exist in $E_\infty^{0,*'}$) and that there is a regular sequence ([Tod], [Mi-Ni]) $(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_\ell)$ in $H^*(BT)/(p)$ such that $d_{|x_i|+1}(x_i) = \bar{b}_i$ (this \bar{b}_i is called the transgressive element). Thus we get

$$E_\infty^{*,*'} \cong grH^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong P(y)/p \otimes S(t)/(\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_\ell).$$

Moreover we know that G/T is a manifold such that $H^*(G/T)$ is torsion free, and

$$grH^*(G/T) \cong P(y) \otimes S(t)/(b_1, \dots, b_\ell) \quad \text{where } b_i = \bar{b}_i \text{ mod}(p).$$

For ease of the notation, let us write simply $S(t)/(b) = S(t)/(b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$.

Let $BP^*(-)$ be the Brown-Peterson theory with the coefficients ring $BP^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots]$, $|v_i| = -2(p^i - 1)$ ([Ha], [Ra]). Since $H^*(G/T)$ is torsion free, the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence (AHSS) collapses. Hence we also know $grBP^*(G/T) \cong BP^* \otimes grH^*(G/T)$.

Recall the Morava K -theory $K(n)^*(X)$ with the coefficient ring $K(n)^* = \mathbb{Z}/p[v_n, v_n^{-1}]$. Similarly we can define connected or integral Morava K -theories with

$$k(n)^* = \mathbb{Z}/p[v_n], \quad \tilde{k}(n)^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_n], \quad \tilde{K}(n)^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_n, v_n^{-1}].$$

It is known that $\tilde{K}(1)^*(X) \cong BP^*(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \tilde{K}(1)^*$ as the Conner-Floyd theorem. The relation to the usual K -theory $K^*(X)$ is given using the Bott periodicity B

$$K^*(X) \cong \tilde{K}(1)^*(X) \otimes_{\tilde{K}(1)^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[B, B^{-1}], \quad \text{with } B^{p-1} = v_1.$$

We recall the Milnor operation $Q_i : H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow H^{*+2p^i-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}/p)$, which is defined by $Q_0 = \beta$ and $Q_{i+1} = P^{p^i}Q_i - Q_iP^{p^i}$ for the Bockstein operation β and the reduced power operation P^j . This Q_i -operation relates to the Morava $k(i)^*$ -theory. Recall the fibering $G \xrightarrow{\pi} G/T \rightarrow BT$. Let us write $v_0 = p$ and $k(0)^*(X) = H^*(X)$. Let $I_\infty = (p, v_1, \dots)$ be the invariant prime ideal in BP^* . Then we can prove

Corollary 2.1. ([Ya4]) *Let $d_{|x|+1}(x) = b \neq 0 \in H^*(G/T)/p$ for $x \in H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/p)$. Then there is a lift $b \in BP^*(BT) \cong BP^* \otimes S(t)$ of $b \in S(t)/p$ such that*

$$b = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v_i y(i) \in BP^*(G/T)/I_\infty^2 \quad (\text{i.e., } b = v_i y(i) \in k(i)^*(G/T)/(v_i^2))$$

where $y(i) \in H^*(G/T; \mathbb{Z}/p)$ with $\pi^*y(i) = Q_i x$.

3. VERSAL FLAG VARIETIES

Let G_k be the split reductive algebraic group corresponding to G , and T_k be the split maximal torus corresponding to T . Let B_k be the Borel subgroup with $T_k \subset B_k$. Note that G_k/B_k is cellular, and $CH^*(G_k/T_k) \cong CH^*(G_k/B_k)$. Hence we have $CH^*(G_k/B_k) \cong H^{2*}(G/T)$ and $CH^*(BB_k) \cong H^{2*}(BT)$.

Remark. In this paper, for $x \in CH^*(X)$, degree $|x|$ means two times of the usual degree for the Chow ring i.e., $|x| = 2*$.

Let us write by $\Omega^*(X)$ the BP -version of the algebraic cobordism defined by Levine-Morel ([Le-Mo],[Ya1],[Ya2]) such that

$$\Omega^*(X) = MGL^{2*,*}(X)_{(p)} \otimes_{MU^*_{(p)}} BP^*, \quad \Omega^*(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \cong CH^*(X)$$

where $MGL^{*,*'}(X)$ is the algebraic cobordism theory defined by Voevodsky with $MGL^{2*,*}(pt.) \cong MU^*$ the complex cobordism ring. There is a natural (realization) map $\Omega^*(X) \rightarrow BP^*(X(\mathbb{C}))$. In particular, we have $\Omega^*(G_k/B_k) \cong BP^*(G/T)$. Recall $I_n = (p, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})$ and we also note

$$\Omega^*(G_k/B_k)/I_\infty \cong CH^*(G_k/B_k)/p \cong H^*(G/T)/p.$$

Let \mathbb{G} be a nontrivial G_k -torsor. We can construct a twisted form of \mathbb{G}/B_k by $(\mathbb{G} \times G_k/B_k)/G_k \cong \mathbb{G}/B_k$. We will study the twisted flag variety $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$.

Let us consider an embedding of G_k into the general linear group GL_N for some large N . This makes GL_N a G_k -torsor over the quotient variety $S = GL_N/G_k$. Let F be the function field $k(S)$ and define the *versal G_k -torsor* E to be the G_k -torsor over F given by the generic fiber of $GL_N \rightarrow S$. (For details, see [Ga-Me-Se], [To2], [Me-Ne-Za], [Ka1].)

The corresponding flag variety E/B_k is called the *versal flag variety*, which is considered as the most complicated twisted flag variety (for given G_k). It is known that the Chow ring $CH^*(E/B_k)$ is not dependent to the choice of generic G_k -torsors E (Remark 2.3 in [Ka1]).

Karpenko proves the following result for a versal flag variety.

Theorem 3.1. (*Karpenko Lemma 2.1 in [Ka1], [Me-Ne-Za]*) *Let \mathbb{G}/B_k be a versal flag variety. Let $h^*(-)$ be an oriented cohomology theory e.g., $h^*(X) = CH^*(C), K^*(X), \Omega^*(X)$. Then the natural map $h^*(BB_k) \rightarrow h^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k)$ is surjective.*

Corollary 3.2. *If \mathbb{G} is versal, then $h^*(\mathbb{F}) = h^*(\mathbb{G}/B_k)$ is multiplicatively generated by elements t_i in $S(t)$.*

The versal case of the main result in Petrov-Semenov-Zainouline [Pe-Se-Za] is given as

Theorem 3.3. (*Theorem 5.13 in [Pe-Se-Za] and Theorem 4.3 in [Se-Zh]*) *Let \mathbb{G} be a versal G_k -torsor, and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$. Then there is a p -localized motive $R(\mathbb{G})$ such that the (p -localized) motive $M(\mathbb{F})$ of the variety \mathbb{F} is decomposed*

$$M(\mathbb{F})_{(p)} \cong R(\mathbb{G}) \otimes T \quad \text{with } T = (\oplus_u \mathbb{T}^{\otimes u}).$$

Here $\mathbb{T}^{\otimes u}$ are Tate motives with $CH^*(T)/p \cong S(t)/(p, b) = S(t)/(p, b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$. Hence

$$CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p \cong CH^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \otimes S(t)/(p, b).$$

For $\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}) = R(\mathbb{G}) \otimes \bar{k}$, we have $CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/p \cong P(y)/p$.

Remark. In this paper, $A \cong B$ for rings A, B means a ring isomorphism. However $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ does not have a canonical ring structure. Hence an isomorphism $A \cong CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ means only a (graded) additive isomorphism.

Hereafter in this section, we always assume that \mathbb{G} (and hence \mathbb{F}) is versal. Hence we have surjections for a variety \mathbb{F}

$$CH^*(BB_k) \twoheadrightarrow CH^*(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{pr.} CH^*(R(\mathbb{G})).$$

We study in [Ya4] what elements in $CH^*(BB_k)$ generate $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$.

For ease of notations, let us write $A(b) = \mathbb{Z}/p[b_1, \dots, b_\ell]$. By giving the filtration on $S(t)$ by b_i , we can write $grS(t)/p \cong A(b) \otimes S(t)/(b)$.

In particular, we have maps $A(b) \xrightarrow{i_A} CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p \rightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$. We also see that the above composition map is surjective (see also Lemma 3.6 below).

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that there are $f_1(b), \dots, f_s(b) \in A(b)$ such that $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p \cong A(b)/(f_1(b), \dots, f_s(b))$. Moreover if $f_i(b) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ also in $CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p$, we have the isomorphism*

$$CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p \cong S(t)/(p, f_1(b), \dots, f_s(b)).$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let $pr : CH^*(\mathbb{F})/p \rightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$, and $0 \neq x \in Ker(pr)$. Then $x = \sum b't'$ with $b' \in A(b)$, $0 \neq t' \in S(t)^+/(p, b)$ i.e., $|t'| > 0$.*

Let us write

$$y_{top} = \prod_{i=1}^s y_i^{p^{r_i} - 1} \quad (\text{resp. } t_{top})$$

the generator of the highest degree in $P(y)$ (resp. $S(t)/(b)$) so that $f = y_{top}t_{top}$ is the fundamental class in $H^{2d}(G/T)$ for $2d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(G/T)$. For $N > 0$, let us write

$$A_N = \mathbb{Z}/p\{b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_k} \mid |b_{i_1}| + \dots + |b_{i_k}| \leq N\} \subset A(b).$$

Lemma 3.6. *For $N = |y_{top}|$, the map $A_N \rightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$ is surjective.*

Proof. In the preceding lemma, $A_N \otimes t'$ for $|t'| > 0$ maps zero in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$. Since each element in $S(t)$ is written by an element in $A_N \otimes S(t)/(b)$, we have the lemma. \square

Corollary 3.7. *If $b_i \neq 0$ in $CH^*(X)/p$, then so in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}_k))/p$.*

Proof. Let $pr(b_i) = 0$. From Lemma 3.6, $b_i = \sum b't'$ for $|t'| > 0$, and hence $b' \in Ideal(b_1, \dots, b_{i-1})$. This contradict to that (b_1, \dots, b_ℓ) is regular. \square

Now we consider the torsion index $t(G)$. Let $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(G/T) = 2d$. Then the torsion index is defined as

$$t(G) = |H^{2d}(G/T; \mathbb{Z})/i^*H^{2d}(BT; \mathbb{Z})| \quad \text{where } i : G/T \rightarrow BT.$$

Let $n(\mathbb{G})$ be the greatest common divisor of the degrees of all finite field extension k' of k such that \mathbb{G} becomes trivial over k' . Then by Grothendieck [Gr], it is known that $n(\mathbb{G})$ divides $t(G)$. Moreover, \mathbb{G} is versal, then $n(\mathbb{G}) = t(G)$ ([To2], [Me-Ne-Za], [Ka1]), which implies that each element in $t(G)P(y)$ is represented by element in $CH^*(BB_k)$.

It is well known that if $H^*(G)$ has a p -torsion, then p divides the torsion index $t(G)$. Torsion index for simply connected compact Lie groups are completely determined by Totaro [To1], [To2].

Lemma 3.8. ([Ya4]) *Let $\tilde{b} = b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_k}$ in $S(t)$ such that in $H^*(G/T)$*

$$\tilde{b} = p^s(y_{top} + \sum yt), \quad |t| > 0$$

for some $y \in P(y)$ and $t \in S(t)^+$. Then $t(G)_{(p)} \leq p^s$.

4. FILTRATIONS OF K -THEORIES

In this section, we recall the properties of the graded rings $gr_{top}^*(X)$, $gr_{geo}^*(X)$, $gr_\gamma^*(X)$ related to AHss and Chern classes. For their definition, see [Ga-Za], [Za], [Ju], [To3], [Ya3,5]), while definition itself is not used in this paper.

Let X be a topological space. Let $K_{top}^*(X)$ be the complex K -theory. Consider the AHss

$$E_2^{*,*'}(X) \cong H^*(X) \otimes K_{top}^{*'} \implies K_{top}^*(X).$$

Then $gr_{top}^*(X)$ is characterized as

Lemma 4.1. (Atiyah [At]) $gr_{top}^*(X) \cong E_\infty^{*,0}(X)$.

Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over a subfield k of \mathbb{C} . Let $K_{alg}^*(X)$ be the algebraic K -theory. This K -theory can be written by the motivic K -theory $AK^{*,*'}(X)$ ([Vo1], [Vo2], [Ya1]), i.e., $K_{alg}^i(X) \cong \bigoplus_j AK^{2j-i,j}(X)$. In particular $K_{alg}^0(X) \cong AK^{2*,*}(X)$. We recall the motivic AHss ([Ya1], [Ya2])

$$AE_2^{*,*,*'}(X) \cong H^{*,*'}(X; K^{*'}) \implies AK^{*,*'}(X)$$

where $K^{2*} = AK^{2*,*}(pt.)$. Here we have

$$AE_2^{2*,*,*'}(X) \cong H^{2*,*}(X; K^{*'}) \cong CH^*(X) \otimes K^{*'}.$$

Hence $AE_\infty^{2*,*,*'}(X)$ is a quotient of $CH^*(X)$, since $d_r AE_r^{2*,*,*'}(X) = 0$ for smooth X . Then $gr_{geo}^*(X)$ is characterized as

Lemma 4.2. ([To3], Lemma 6.2 in [Ya3]) *We have*

$$gr_{geo}^{2*}(X) \cong AE_\infty^{2*,*,*'}(X) \cong CH^*(X)/I(1)$$

where $I(1) = \cup_r Im(d_r)$.

Lemma 4.3. ([To3], [Ya3]) *The realization induces $gr_{geo}^*(X) \rightarrow gr_{top}^*(X(\mathbb{C}))$.*

At last, we consider the gamma filtration. Let $\lambda^i(x)$ be the exterior power of the vector bundle $x \in K_{alg}^0(X)$ and $\lambda_t(x) = \sum \lambda^i(x)t^i \in K_{alg}^*(X)[t]$. The total γ -class is defined by $\lambda_{t/(1-t)}(x) = \gamma_t(x)$ so that $\gamma^i(x) \in K_{alg}^0(X)$ if so is x . The gamma filtration is defined by using this $\gamma^i(x)$, which relates Chern classes as so does $\lambda^i(x)$. There is a natural map $gr_\gamma^*(X) \rightarrow gr_{geo}^*(X)$.

Lemma 4.4. ([At], [To3], [Ya3]) *The condition $g_\gamma^{2*}(X) \cong gr_{top}^{2*}(X)$ (resp. $gr_\gamma^{2*}(X) \cong gr_{geo}^{2*}(X)$) is equivalent to that $E_\infty^{2*,*'}(X)$ (resp. $AE_\infty^{2*,*,*'}(X)$) is (multiplicatively) generated by Chern classes in $H^{2*}(X)$ (resp. $CH^*(X)$).*

Recall that $A\tilde{K}(1)^{*,*'}(X)$ is the algebraic Morava K -theory with $\tilde{K}(1)^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_1^{-1}]$. The motivic K -theory $AK^{*,*'}(X)$ is written by using this Morava K -theory $A\tilde{K}(1)^{*,*'}(X)$ and the Bott periodicity B with $deg(B) = (-2, -1)$, as the topological case stated in §2 [Ya3]

$$A\tilde{K}^{*,*'}(X) \cong A\tilde{K}(1)^{*,*'}(X) \otimes_{\tilde{K}(1)^*} \mathbb{Z}[B, B^{-1}] \quad \text{with } v_1 = B^{p-1}.$$

Lemma 4.5. ([Ya3]) *Let $E(AK)_r$ (resp. $E(A\tilde{K}(1))_r$) be the AHss converging to $AK^{*,*'}(X)$ (resp. $A\tilde{K}(1)^{*,*'}(X)$). Then*

$$gr_{geo}^{2*}(X) \cong E(AK)_\infty^{2*,*,0} \cong E(A\tilde{K}(1))_\infty^{2*,*,0}.$$

From the above lemmas, it is sufficient to consider the Morava K -theory $A\tilde{K}(1)^{2*,*}(X)$ when we want to study $AK^{2*,*}(X)$. For ease of notations, let us write simply (for smooth X)

$$K^{2*}(X) = A\tilde{K}(1)^{2*,*}(X), \quad \text{so that } K^*(pt.) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_1^{-1}],$$

and $k^{2*}(X) = A\tilde{K}(1)^{2*,*}(X)$, so that $k^*(pt.) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1]$. Hereafter of this paper, we only use this Morava K -theory $K^{2*}(X)$ instead of $AK^{2*,*}(X)$ or $K_{alg}^0(X)$.

For each $n > 1$ we can also define the integral Morava $\tilde{K}(n)^*$ -theory and let $gr(n)^*(X)$ be the induced graded ring, that is,

$$gr(n)^*(X) = E(A\tilde{K}(n))_\infty^{2*,*,0}.$$

where $E(A\tilde{K}(n))_{r,*',*''}$ is the AHss converging to the motivic integral Morava K -theory $A\tilde{K}(n)^{*,*'}(X)$. In particular, we see $gr(1)^*(X) \cong gr_{geo}^*(X)$. The case $n = 2$, the ring $gr(2)^*(X)$ will be used in §10 below.

We have the surjection $E_2^{2*,*,0} \cong CH^*(X) \twoheadrightarrow E_\infty^{2*,*,0} \cong gr(n)^*(X)$, which induces the isomorphism

$$CH^*(X)/I(n) \cong gr(n)^*(X), \quad I(n) : \text{ideal in } CH^*(X).$$

Note that for a sufficient large n , we see $I(n) = 0$, but $res : A\tilde{K}(n)^*(X) \rightarrow A\tilde{K}(n)^*(\bar{X})$ is far from an isomorphism. Moreover, it seems that there is no direct relation to $gr_\gamma^*(X)$, when $n > 1$.

Hereafter this paper, for ease of notations, we write

$$\tilde{k}(n)^*(X) = A\tilde{k}(n)^*(X), \quad \text{and } \tilde{K}(n)^*(X) = \tilde{k}(n)^*(X)[v_n^{-1}]$$

so that $\tilde{k}(n)^* \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_n]$. (So $K^*(X) = \tilde{K}(1)^*(X)$.)

Similar but different approaches using the Morava $K(n)$ -theory are given by Sechin and Semenov [Sec-Se], [Sec].

At last of this section, we consider the restriction map $res_K : K^*(X) \rightarrow K^*(\bar{X})$. By Panin [Pa], it is known that $K_{alg}^0(\mathbb{F})$ is torsion free for each twisted flag varieties $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$. For each simply connected Lie group G , it is well known that res_K is surjective from Chevalley. Hence we have

Theorem 4.6. (Chevalley, Panin [Pa]) *When G is simply connected, res_K is an isomorphism. This implies $K^*(\mathbb{F}) \cong K^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}}) \cong K^*(G/T)$.*

Hence we see $gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F}) \cong gr_\gamma(G_k/B_k) \cong gr_\gamma(G/T)$. Moreover if \mathbb{G} is versal, then we have $gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F}) \cong gr_{geo}^*(\mathbb{F})$ from Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 4.4. Thus we have Lemma 1.1 in the introduction.

5. CONNECTIVE \bar{K} -THEORY $k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$

Let $h^*(-)$ be one of connected oriented integral theories $\Omega^*(X)$, $k^*(-) = \tilde{k}(1)^*(X)$, $\tilde{k}(n)^*(X)$. Since $H^*(G/T)$ is torsion free and the AHss collapses, we have the h^* -algebra isomorphism

$$h^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}}) \cong h^*(G/T) \cong h^*[y_1, \dots, y_k] \otimes S(t)/(p_1, \dots, p_r, \bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_\ell)$$

where $p_i = y_i^{p^{r_i}} \bmod(S(t)^+)$, $\bar{b}_i = b_i \bmod(I_\infty S(t)^+)$.

Recall that additively

$$h^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})/p) \cong h^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})/(p, S(t)^+) \cong h^* \otimes P(y)/p.$$

(Recall $h^*(\mathbb{T}) \cong h^*(\bar{\mathbb{T}})$ for the Tate motive \mathbb{T} in Theorem 3.3, and it is contained in $h^* \otimes S(t)^+$.) Hence we can identify

$$h^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}}) \supset h^* \otimes P(y) \xrightarrow{(*)} h^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \cong h^* \otimes P(y)$$

where $(*) = pr|_{k^* \otimes P(y)}$ is additive isomorphism.

For the following Lemma 5.1-Corollary 5.5, we assume $h^*(X) = \tilde{k}(n)^*(X)$. (However, note $\tilde{K}(n)^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}}) \not\cong K(n)^*(\mathbb{F})$ for $n \geq 2$, in general.)

From Lemma 4.3, we see

$$\begin{aligned} gr(n)^*(X)/p &\cong CH^*(X)/(p, I(n)) \\ &\cong (h^*(X) \otimes_{h^*} \mathbb{Z}/p)/I(n) \cong h^*(X)/(I(n), I_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Here note $I(n)$ is the ideal of (higher) v_n -torsion elements in $h^*(X) \otimes_{h^*} \mathbb{Z}/p$. Hence for $a \in h^m(X)$, the following conditions are equivalent

$$a = 0 \in gr(n)^m(X)/p \iff a \in (I_\infty, I(n))h^*(X).$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $a \neq 0 \in \tilde{K}(n)^0(X)$. Then there is $s \geq 0$ such that $v_1^{-s}a = a' \in k^*(X)$, $a' \neq 0 \in gr(n)^{2s}(X)$, and $a' \notin \text{Im}(I_\infty h^*(X))$.*

Proof. Suppose that $a \neq 0 \in \tilde{K}(n)^0(X)$. Since $K(n)^*(X) \cong h^*(X)[v_1^{-1}]$, there is s such that

$$a = v_n^s a' \quad \text{for some } a' \in h^{2s}(X).$$

Let us take the largest such s . Then a' is a k^* module generator of $h^*(X)/p$. So s must be nonnegative. \square

Lemma 5.2. *For $a \in h^m(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \subset h^* \otimes P(y)$, if $a = 0 \in gr(n)^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$, then*

$$a \in \text{Im}(I_\infty A(b)) \subset h^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \cong h^* \otimes P(y).$$

Proof. The fact $a = 0 \in gr(n)^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$ implies that $a \in I_\infty h^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ in $h^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \cong k^* \otimes P(y)$ (which is no v_n -torsion). When \mathbb{G} is versal, we see

$$a = 0 \bmod(I_\infty(h^*(R(\mathbb{G}))) = \bmod(I_\infty(h^* \otimes S(t))) = \bmod(I_\infty \otimes A(b))$$

in $h^m(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$. \square

The converse of the above lemma seems difficult to show. We only see

Lemma 5.3. *If $a \neq 0 \in gr(n)^m(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$ and $a \in \text{Im}(I_\infty A(b)) \subset h^* \otimes P(y)/p$, then there is $a' \in \text{Im}(I_\infty A(b)) \subset h^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/p$ such that $a - a' = 0 \in gr(n)^m(X)$.*

From Lemma 3.5, we show additively

$$\text{Ker}(pr) = k^* \otimes P(y) \otimes S(t)^+/(b) \subset h^*(\mathbb{F}) \cong k^* \otimes H^*(G/T).$$

Lemma 5.4. *Let $y, y' \in h^* \otimes P(y) \subset h^*(\mathbb{F})$. Moreover $a, a' \in \text{Ker}(pr)$ with $a \in I_\infty^s h^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$, $a' \in I_\infty^r h^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$. Then we have in $h^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$*

$$(y + a)(y' + a') = yy' \bmod(\text{Ker}(pr), I_\infty^{s+r+1}).$$

That is, $pr((y + a)(y' + a')) = pr(yy')$ in $h^(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/I_\infty^{s+r+1}$.*

Proof. Let $x = y + a, x' = y' + a' \in h^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$ and $a \in I_\infty^r h^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$. From Lemma 3.5, we can write

$$a = \sum a_I y_I t_I \quad a_I \in I_\infty^s, \quad t_I \in S(t)^+ / (b) \quad \text{in } h^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}}).$$

Similarly we take $a' = \sum a_{I'} y_{I'} t_{I'}$. Then

$$x \cdot x' - y \cdot y' = x_1 + x_2 \quad \text{in } h^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$$

$$\text{with } x_1 = y \sum a_{I'} y_{I'} t_{I'} + y' \sum a_I y_I t_I, \quad \text{and } x_2 = \left(\sum a_I y_I t_I \right) \left(\sum a_{I'} y_{I'} t_{I'} \right).$$

Here we see $pr(x_1) = 0$ also from Lemma 3.5. Let us write

$$x_2 = x_{21} + x_{22} \quad \text{with } x_{21} = \sum a_{I''} y_{I''} t_{I''}, \quad a_{I''} \in I_\infty^{r+s}$$

$$\text{and } x_{22} = \sum a_J y_J t_J b_J \quad \text{with } a_J \in I_\infty^{r+s}, \quad b_J \in A_{(p)}^+(b) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[b_1, \dots, b_\ell]^+.$$

Here we have $pr(x_{21}) = 0$ and $x_{22} = 0 \pmod{(I_\infty^{r+s+1})}$, since $b_J \in (I_\infty)$. \square

Corollary 5.5. *Let $pr(b_{i_j}) = pr(b'_{i_j})$ for $b'_i \in k^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$. Then*

$$pr(b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_s}) = pr(b'_{i_1} \dots b'_{i_s}) \quad \text{in } k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) / (I_\infty^{s+1}).$$

Proof. Let $x = b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_{s-1}}$. Then $x \in I_\infty^{s-1}$. So $y = pr(x)$ and $a = x - y \in Ker(pr)$ are also in (I_∞^{s-1}) . Hence we have the result from the preceding lemma. \square

The results Lemma 5.1-Corollary 5.5 hold also for $\Omega^*(X) = k(\infty)^*(X)$. Here we identify $h^*(-)$ (resp. $\tilde{K}(n)^*(\infty)$, $gr(\infty)^*(X)$, v_n -torsion) by $\Omega^*(X)$ (resp. $\Omega^*(X)[v_i^{-1} | i \geq 1]$, $gr(\infty)^*(n)$, $(v_i | i \geq 1)$).

6. THE SPIN GROUP $Spin(2\ell + 1)$ AND $p = 2$

At first we consider the orthogonal groups $G = SO(m)$ and $p = 2$, while it is not simply connected. The $mod(2)$ -cohomology is written as (see for example [Mi-Tod], [Ni])

$$grH^*(SO(m); \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \Lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1})$$

where $|x_i| = i$, and the multiplications are given by $x_s^2 = x_{2s}$.

For ease of argument, we only consider the case $m = 2\ell + 1$ so that

$$H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong P(y) \otimes \Lambda(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2\ell-1})$$

$$grP(y)/2 \cong \Lambda(y_2, \dots, y_{2\ell}), \quad \text{letting } y_{2i} = x_{2i} \quad (\text{hence } y_{4i} = y_{2i}^2).$$

The Steenrod operation is given as $Sq^k(x_i) = \binom{i}{k} x_{i+k}$. The Q_i -operations are given by Nishimoto [Ni]

$$Q_n x_{2i-1} = y_{2i+2^{n+1}-2}, \quad Q_n y_{2i} = 0.$$

It is well known that the transgression $b_i = d_{2i}(x_{2i-1}) = c_i$ is the i -th elementary symmetric function on $S(t)$. Moreover we see that $Q_0(x_{2i-1}) = y_{2i}$ in $H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2)$. From Corollary 2.1, we have

Corollary 6.1. *In $BP^*(G/T)/I_\infty^2$, we have*

$$c_i = 2y_{2i} + \sum_{n \geq 1} v_n y(2i + 2^{n+1} - 2)$$

for some $y(j)$ with $\pi^*(y(j)) = y_j$.

We have $c_i^2 = 0$ in $CH^*(\mathbb{F})/2$ from the natural inclusion $SO(2\ell+1) \rightarrow Sp(2\ell+1)$ (see [Pe], [Ya4]) for the symplectic group $Sp(2\ell + 1)$. Thus we have

Theorem 6.2. ([Pe], [Ya4]) *Let $(G, p) = (SO(2\ell + 1), 2)$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$ be versal. Then $CH^*(\mathbb{F})$ is torsion free, and*

$$CH^*(\mathbb{F})/2 \cong S(t)/(2, c_1^2, \dots, c_\ell^2), \quad CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \cong \Lambda(c_1, \dots, c_\ell).$$

Corollary 6.3. *We have $gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F}) \cong gr_{geo}(\mathbb{F}) \cong CH^*(\mathbb{F})$.*

From Corollary 2.2, we see $c_i = 2y_i + v_1 y_{2i+2} \pmod{v_1^2}$. Here we consider the $\text{mod}(2)$ theory version $res_{K/2} : K^*(\mathbb{F})/2 \rightarrow K^*(\mathbb{F})/2$.

Lemma 6.4. *We have $Im(res_{K/2}) \cong K^* \otimes \Lambda(y_{2i} | 2i \geq 4)$. Hence res_K is not surjective.*

Now we consider $Spin(2\ell + 1)$. Throughout this section, let $p = 2$, $G = SO(2\ell + 1)$ and $G' = Spin(2\ell + 1)$. It is well known that $G/T \cong G'/T'$ for the maximal torus T' of the spin group.

By definition, we have the 2 covering $\pi : G' \rightarrow G$. It is well known that $\pi^* : H^*(G/T) \cong H^*(G'/T')$. Let $2^t \leq \ell < 2^{t+1}$, i.e. $t = \lfloor \log_2 \ell \rfloor$. The mod 2 cohomology is

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(G'; \mathbb{Z}/2) &\cong H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2)/(x_1, y_2) \otimes \Lambda(z) \\ &\cong P(y)' \otimes \Lambda(x_3, x_5, \dots, x_{2\ell-1}) \otimes \Lambda(z), \quad |z| = 2^{t+2} - 1 \end{aligned}$$

where $P(y) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[y_2]/(y_2^{2^{t+1}}) \otimes P(y)'$. (Here z is defined by $d_{2^{t+2}}(z) = y^{2^{t+1}}$ for $0 \neq y \in H^2(B\mathbb{Z}/2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$ in the spectral sequence induced from the fibering $G' \rightarrow G \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}/2$.) Hence

$$grP(y)' \cong \otimes_{2i \neq 2^j} \Lambda(y_{2i}) \cong \Lambda(y_6, y_{10}, y_{12}, \dots, y_{2\bar{\ell}})$$

where $\bar{\ell} = \ell - 1$ if $\ell = 2^j$ for some j , and $\bar{\ell} = \ell$ otherwise.

The Q_i operation for z is given by Nishimoto [Ni]

$$Q_0(z) = \sum_{i+j=2^{t+1}, i < j} y_{2i} y_{2j}, \quad Q_n(z) = \sum_{i+j=2^{t+1}+2^{n+1}-2, i < j} y_{2i} y_{2j} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

We know that

$$grH^*(G'/T')/2 \cong P(y)' \otimes S(t')/(2, c'_2, \dots, c'_\ell, c_1^{2^{t+1}}).$$

Here $c'_i = \pi^*(c_i)$ and $d_{2^{t+2}}(z) = c_1^{2^{t+1}}$ in the spectral sequence converging $H^*(G'/T')$.

Take k such that \mathbb{G} is a versal G_k -torsor so that \mathbb{G}'_k is also a versal G'_k -torsor. Let us write $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$ and $\mathbb{F}' = \mathbb{G}'/B'_k$. Then

$$CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}'))/2 \cong P(y)'/2, \quad \text{and} \quad CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/2 \cong P(y)/2.$$

The Chow ring $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}'))/2$ is not computed yet (for general ℓ), while we have the following lemmas.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $2^t \leq \ell < 2^{t+1}$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then there is a surjection*

$$\Lambda(c'_2, \dots, c'_\ell) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2[c_1^{2^{t+1}}] \twoheadrightarrow CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}'))/2.$$

Let $G'' = Spin(2\ell + 2)$. Then the inclusion $i : G' \subset G''$ induces

$$CH^*(\bar{R}(G'')) \cong P(y)' \xrightarrow{i^*} CH^*(\bar{R}(G')).$$

Hence by a (main) theorem by Vishik-Zainoulline [Vi-Za], we have

Lemma 6.6. *Let $G' = Spin(\ell + 1)$ and $G'' = Spin(2\ell + 2)$. Then $R(\mathbb{G}') \cong R(\mathbb{G}'')$.*

Therefore, in this paper, we consider only $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$, but the arguments for $G = Spin(2\ell + 2)$ are similar.

Lemma 6.7. *The restriction $res_K : K^*(\mathbb{F}') \rightarrow K^*(\overline{\mathbb{F}}')$ is isomorphic. In fact, we have $K^*(R(\mathbb{G}'))/2 \cong K^*/2 \otimes \Lambda(c'_i | i \neq 2^j - 1)$.*

7. $Spin(7), Spin(9)$

From this section to the last section, we only consider $G = Spin(2\ell + 1)$. Hereafter in this paper, we change notations as follows. Let us write the element c'_1 , in the preceding section, by e_j , and take off the dash of c'_i , i.e.

$$e_{2^{t+1}} = c_1^{2^{t+1}}, \quad c_2 = c'_2, \quad c_3 = c'_3, \quad \dots, \quad c_\ell = c'_\ell.$$

Now we consider examples. For groups $Spin(7), Spin(9)$, the rank $\ell = 3, 4$ respectively and the cohomology is given

$$grH^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2[y_6]/(y_6^2) \otimes \Lambda(x_3, x_5, z_7) & G = Spin(7) \\ \mathbb{Z}/2[y_6]/(y_6^2) \otimes \Lambda(x_3, x_5, x_7, z_{15}) & G = Spin(9). \end{cases}$$

We can take $v_1 y_6 = c_2, 2y_6 = c_3$ in $k^*(\overline{\mathbb{F}})$. The Chow groups of the Rost motives $R(\mathbb{G})$ are isomorphic

$$CH^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, c_3\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_2\}.$$

The Chow ring of the flag manifolds are [Ya4]

$$gr_\gamma(G/T) \cong CH^*(\mathbb{F}) \cong \begin{cases} S(t)/(2c_2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_2c_3, e_4) & G = Spin(7), \ell = 3 \\ S(t)/(2c_2, c_2^2, c_3^2, c_2c_3, e_8, c_4) & G = Spin(9), \ell = 4 \end{cases}$$

where $S(t) = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[t_1, \dots, t_\ell]$.

8. $Spin(11)$

In this section we consider $G = Spin(11)$. The cohomology is written as

$$H^*(G; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2[y_6, y_{10}]/(y_6^2, y_{10}^2) \otimes \Lambda(x_3, x_5, x_7, x_9, z_{15}).$$

By Nishimoto, we know $Q_0(z_{15}) = y_6 y_{10}$. It implies $2y_6 y_{10} = d_{16}(z_{15}) = e_8$. Since $y_{top} = y_6 y_{10}$, we have $t(G) = 2$. From Corollary 2.1, we can take in $k^*(\overline{\mathbb{F}})$ such that $c_2 = v_1 y_6, c_4 = v_1 y_{10}$. Moreover in $k^*(\overline{\mathbb{F}})/(I_\infty^2)$ we have $c_3 = 2y_6, c_5 = 2y_{10}$.

Lemma 8.1. *For $x \in k^* \otimes S(t)$, let $x \in I_\infty^3 k^*(\overline{\mathbb{F}})$. Then $x \in I_\infty \cdot S(t)$, i.e., $x = 0$ in $gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F})/2$.*

Proof. Take off product of c_i and $t \neq 0 \in S(t)/(b)$ from x , we get the result. For example, let $x = 8y_6 y_{10} \pmod{4v_1}$. We consider $x' = x - 2c_3 c_5$ or $x' = x - 4e_8$. Then $x' \in I_\infty^3$ and $|x'| > |x|$. Since $x = 0 \in CH^*(\mathbb{F})/2$ for $|x| > 2dim(\mathbb{F})$, we get the result, by continuing this argument. \square

Theorem 8.2. ([Ka2], [Ya4], [Ya5]) *For $(G, p) = (Spin(11), 2)$, we have the isomorphisms*

$$gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\{1, c_2, c_3, c_4, c_5, c_2c_4, e_8\},$$

where $c_2c_4 = pr(c_2 \cdot c_4)$ for the cup product \cdot in $gr_\gamma^*(\mathbb{F})$, and

$$gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F})/2 \cong S(t)/(2, c_i c_j, c_i e_8, e_8^2 | 2 \leq i \leq j \leq 5, (i, j) \neq (2, 4)).$$

Proof. We will compute $gr_{geo}^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \cong gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Consider the restriction map res_K

$$K^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong K^*\{1, c_2, c_4, c_2c_4\} \xrightarrow{\cong} K^*\{1, y_6, y_{10}, y_6y_{10}\} \cong K^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$$

by $res_K(c_2) = v_1y_6$, $res_K(c_4) = v_1y_{10}$ in $K^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$. Note $c_2c_4 \neq 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$, from the fact that $v_1y_6y_{10} \notin Im(res_K)$.

Next using $res_K(c_3) = 2y_6$, $res_K(c_5) = 2y_{10}$, and $res_K(e_8) = 2y_6y_{10}$, we have

$$grK^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong K^*/2\{c_2, c_4, c_2c_4\} \oplus K^*\{1, c_3, c_5, e_8\}.$$

From this, we show the first isomorphism. Note generators c_2, \dots, e_8 are all nonzero in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ by Corollary 3.7. (Of course $e_8 \neq 0$ and $e_8^2 = 0$ in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$, since $y_6y_{10} \notin res_{CH}$ and $|e_8^2| > |y_6y_{10}|$)

Let us write $y_6^2 = y_6t + y_{10}t' \in CH^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})/2$ for $t \in S(t)$. Then

$$c_2c_3 = 2v_1y_6^2 = 2v_1(y_6t + y_{10}t') = v_1(c_3t + c_5t') \quad \text{in } K^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}}) \cong K^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}}).$$

Hence we see $c_2c_3 = 0$ in $CH^*(\mathbb{F})/I(1) \cong gr_\gamma^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$. We also note

$$c_2c_5 = c_3c_4 = v_1e_8, \quad c_3c_5 = 4y_6y_{10} = 2e_8 \quad \text{in } K^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}}) \quad (\text{so in } k^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})).$$

By arguments for $gr_{geo}^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$, similar to Lemma 3.4, we can show the second isomorphism. For example,

$$x = c_2e_8 = v_1y_6 \cdot 2y_6y_{10} = v_1(2y_6^2y_{10}) = v_1(2y_6t + 2y_{10}t').$$

Then we consider $x' = x - v_1(c_3t + c_5t')$. Then $|x'| > |x|$, and continue this argument, we get the result.

The other cases are proved similarly. \square

Karpenko computes $CH^*(\mathbb{F})$ and proves ([Ka2]) the following theorem.

Theorem 8.3. ([Ka2]) *Let $G = Spin(11)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$.*

Proof. (Karpenko [Ka2]) We consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) & \xrightarrow{N} & CH^*(R(\mathbb{G})) & \longrightarrow & CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/N \\ \cong \downarrow & & f_1 \downarrow & & f_2 \downarrow \\ gr_{geo}^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) & \xrightarrow{N} & gr_{geo}^*(R(\mathbb{G})) & \longrightarrow & gr_{geo}^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/N \end{array}$$

Since $CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$ is torsion free, the both norm maps N are injective. Hence if f_2 is isomorphic, then so is f_1 .

The norm map N is given by (using $res \cdot N \cdot res = 2res$ and $CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$ is torsion free)

$$N(y_6) = c_3, \quad N(y_{10}) = c_5, \quad N(y_6y_{10}) = e_8.$$

Hence $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/N$ (and $gr_{geo}^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/N$) is isomorphic to a quotient of

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(c_2, \dots, c_5, e_8)/Ideal(2, c_3, c_5, e_8) \cong \Lambda(c_2, c_4).$$

We see from the preceding theorem that $gr_{geo}^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/N \cong \Lambda(c_2, c_4)$. So f_1 is isomorphic. \square

Hence the ring in Theorem 7.1 is isomorphic to $CH^*(\mathbb{F})/2$. Moreover, Karpenko conjectured

Conjecture 8.4. ([Ka1], [Ka2]) *Let G be simply connected and \mathbb{G} be a versal G_k -torsor. Then $CH^*(\mathbb{F}) \cong gr_\gamma^*(\mathbb{F})$.*

We recall here the algebraic cobordism theory $\Omega^*(X)$ defined in §4. For a nonzero pure symbol a in the Milnor K -theory $K_{n+1}^M(k)/2$, let V_a be the norm variety. The usual Rost motive R_n is defined by an irreducible motive $M(V_a)$.

Then we know ([Vi-Ya], [Ya2]) that the restriction

$$res_\Omega : \Omega^*(R_n) \rightarrow \Omega^*(\bar{R}_n) \cong BP^*[y]/(y^p) \quad |y| = 2(p^n - 1)/(p - 1)$$

is an injection. Moreover, we have

$$Im(res_\Omega) = BP^*\{1\} \oplus I_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[y]^+/(y^p)$$

where $I_n = (p, \dots, v_{n-1})$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[y]^+/(y^p) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{y, \dots, y^{p-1}\}$.

When $G = Spin(7), Spin(9)$, the motive $R(\mathbb{G}) \cong R_2$ and $Im(res_\Omega) \cong BP^*\{1\} \oplus I_2\{y_6\}$. The similar fact holds for $R(\mathbb{G})$ with $G = Spin(11)$.

Corollary 8.5. *Let $G = Spin(11)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then the restriction map*

$$res_\Omega : \Omega^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow \Omega^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \cong BP^*\{1, y_6, y_{10}, y_6 y_{10}\}$$

is an injection, and

$$Im(res_\Omega) = BP^*\{1\} \oplus (2, v_1)\{y_6, y_{10}\} \oplus (2, v_1^2)\{y_6 y_{10}\}.$$

Proof. Since $CH^*(X) \otimes BP^* \cong gr\Omega^*(X)$, we have

$$gr\Omega^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong BP^*/2\{c_2, c_4, c_2 c_4\} \oplus BP^*\{1, c_3, c_5, e_8\}.$$

This induces an injection to

$$\begin{aligned} gr(Im_\Omega) &\cong BP^*/2\{v_1 y_6, v_1 y_{10}, v_1^2 y_6 y_{10}\} \oplus BP^*\{1, 2y_6, 2y_{10}, 2y_6 y_{10}\} \\ &\subset gr\Omega^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) = \Omega^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/2 \oplus 2\Omega^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})). \end{aligned}$$

□

We give here a conjecture

Conjecture 8.6. *Let G be a connected compact Lie group and \mathbb{G} be a G_k -torsor. Then the restriction map*

$$res_\Omega : \Omega^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow \Omega^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \subset BP^* \otimes P(y)$$

is injective, i.e., $\Omega^(R(\mathbb{G}))$ is torsion free.*

When G is simply connected and \mathbb{G} is versal, this conjecture is weaker than the Karpenko conjecture.

Lemma 8.7. *If $K^*(X) \cong K^*(\bar{X})$ and $gr_{geo}^*(X) \cong CH^*(X)$, then the restriction map res_Ω is injective.*

Proof. Let $0 \neq x \in Ker(res_\Omega)$. In the AHss $E_r^{2*,*,*}$ converging to $\Omega^*(X)$, let

$$x = ax' \quad a \in BP^*, \quad 0 \neq x' \in CH^*(X).$$

Suppose $a \in BP^0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, that is, $0 \neq x \in CH^*(X) \cong gr_{geo}^*(X)$. Hence x is non-zero in $K^*(X) \cong K^*(\bar{X})$. Since $K^*(\bar{X}) \cong \Omega^*(\bar{X}) \otimes_{BP^*} K^*$, we see $0 \neq x \in \Omega^*(\bar{X})$, and this is a contradiction.

Suppose $a \in BP^{<0}$. Considering the Quillen operation $r_{p^1 \Delta_{n-1}}(v_n) = v_1$ ([Ra], [Ha], [Ya4]). we can take the operation r_α such that

$$r_\alpha(ax') = r_\alpha(a) \cdot x' = \lambda v_1^s x' \quad \text{for } s, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence there is a nonzero element $\lambda'x' \neq 0 \in CH^*(X)$, for $\lambda' \in \mathbb{Z}$, but it is v_1^s -torsion in $E_\infty^{2*,*,*'} \cong gr\Omega^*(X)$. Since $\Omega^*(X) \otimes_{BP^*} \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_1^{-1}] \cong K^*(X)$, we see $0 \neq \lambda'x' \in I(1)$. \square

Remark. The converse of the above lemma is not correct. In fact, for the original Rost motive R_n , $gr_\gamma(R_n) \not\cong CH^*(R_n)$ for $n \geq 3$, while res_Ω is injective.

9. *Spin*(13)

In this section, we consider the case *Spin*(13), i.e., $\ell = 6$. Then we have

$$grP(y) \cong \Lambda(y_6, y_{10}, y_{12}).$$

Hence $y_{top} = y_6y_{10}y_{12}$. Since $2^t \leq \ell < 2^{t+1}$, so $t = 2$. Hence e_8 exists in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$. Note $e_8^2 = pr(e_8 \cdot e_8) = 0$ in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ since $|e_8^2| > |y_{top}|$. We also note $t(G) = 2^2 = 4$ by Totaro, in fact $e_8c_6 = 4y_{top}$.

Note. From Corollary 5.5, the projection $pr(c_{i_1} \dots c_{i_s})$ is uniquely determined in $k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/I_\infty^{s+1} \cong k^* \otimes P(y)/I_\infty^{s+1}$.

We take y_6, y_{10}, y_{12} such that

$$c_2 = v_1y_6, \quad c_4 = v_1y_{10}, \quad y_{12} = y_6^2 \quad \text{in } k^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}}).$$

(For example, let $y_6 = v_1^{-1}c_2$ and let us write $y_{12} = y_6^2$ in $k^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$.) Recall that the invariant ideal I_∞ in k^* -theory is

$$I_\infty = Ideal(2, v_1) \subset k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_1] \otimes P(y).$$

Note that $pr(I_\infty) \subset I_\infty$. Then we can take $c_i \in k^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ such that in $k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/I_\infty^3$,

$$c_3 = 2y_6 + \mu v_1^2y_{10}, \quad c_5 = 2y_{10} + v_1y_{12}, \quad c_6 = 2y_{12} + \mu' v_1^2y_6y_{10}, \quad \mu, \mu' \in \mathbb{Z}_{(2)},$$

$$e_8 = 2y_6y_{10} + v_1y_6y_{12}.$$

Here we used $P(y)^* = 0$ for $* = 8, 14, 20$, and $Q_1x_9 = y_{12}$, $Q_1z_{15} = y_6y_{12}$. Moreover, we write (new) c_3 (resp. c_6) as the (old) element $c_3 - \mu v_1c_4$ (resp. $c_6 - 2\mu'c_2c_4$) such that $(mod(I_\infty^3))$

$$c_3 = 2y_6, \quad c_6 = 2y_{12}.$$

Let us write $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -free module

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}\{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_s} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n\}.$$

Lemma 9.1. *Let $A(c) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(c_2, \dots, c_6, e_8)$. For $x \in A(c)$, let $x \in I_\infty^4 k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$. Then $x \in I_\infty \cdot A(c)$, i.e., $x = 0$ in $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.*

Proof. Let $x \in I_\infty^4 k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$. Then x is a sum of

$$v_1^4y, \quad 2v_1^3y, \quad 4v_1^2y, \quad 8v_1y, \quad 16y \quad \text{for } y \in P(y).$$

Since the torsion index $t(G) = 4$, we see $4y_6y_{10}y_{12} \in k_*(R(\mathbb{G}))$. When $y = y_iy_j$, we consider $4y - c_{i'}c_{j'}$ instead of $4y$ where $2y_i = c_{i'}, 2y_j = c_{j'} \pmod{v_1}$. Then $4v_1^2y, 8v_1y, 16y$ are in $I_\infty A(c)$. Hence we only need to consider the cases

$$x = v_1^4y \quad \text{or} \quad x = 2v_1^3y.$$

Let $x = v_1^4y$. When $y = y_{top}$, we consider

$$x' = x - c_2c_4(c_2)^2 = v_1^4y_{top} - v_1y_6v_1y_{10}(v_1^2y_{12}) = 0 \quad \text{in } k^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}}).$$

Here $(c_2^2) = 0$ in $gr_\gamma(\bar{\mathbb{F}})/2$, and hence $x = x' = 0 \in gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. When $y = y_{10}y_{12}$, taking $x' = x - v_1c_4c_2^2$, similar arguments work. When $y = y_6y_{10}$, we consider

$$x' = x - v_1^2c_2c_4 = 0 \quad \text{in } k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})).$$

This means $x = 0 \in gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Thus we can see the lemma for $x = v_1^4y$.

Let $x = 2v_1^3y$ with $y = y_{top}$. Then we see

$$x' = x - v_1c_2c_4c_6 = 2v_1^3y_{top} - v_1(v_1y_6)(v_1y_{10})(2y_{12}) = 0 \quad \text{mod}(I_\infty^5)$$

which is zero in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ since $x' = v_1^5y'$ or $x' = 2v_1^4y'$. The other cases are proved similarly. \square

Lemma 9.2. $c_2c_3 = 0 \in gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.

Proof. We have $c_2c_3 = v_1y_6(2y_6) \text{ mod}(I_\infty^4)$ in $k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$. (Here we used Lemma 5.4 and that $c_3 = 2y_6$ in $k^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})/I_\infty^2$ from Corollary 2.1.) We consider $x = c_2c_3 - v_1c_6$ with $\text{mod}(I_\infty^4)$. That is,

$$x = v_1y_6(2y_6) - v_1(2y_{12}) = 0 \quad \text{mod}(I_\infty^4).$$

Hence from the preceding lemma, we see $c_2c_3 = 0 \in gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. \square

We will compute $gr_{geo}^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \cong gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ (by using Lemma 5.2). We will seek generators of

$$gr_2(K^*(R(\mathbb{G}))) = K^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \oplus 2K^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$$

which are nonzero in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. If x is a generator of $K^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ but is zero in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$, then we seek an element $x' \neq 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ with $x = v_1^s x'$ in $K^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$ for some $s \geq 1$.

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} K^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 &\cong K^* \otimes \Lambda(c_2, c_4, c_5) \\ &\cong K^* \otimes \Lambda(y_6, y_{10}, y_{12}) \cong K^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/2 \end{aligned}$$

by $c_2 \mapsto v_1y_6$, $c_4 \mapsto v_1y_{10}$ and $c_5 \mapsto 2v_1^{-1}c_4 + v_1y_{12}$.

At first we study elements in $\Lambda(c_2, c_4, c_5)$. Of course c_2, c_4, c_5 are nonzero in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. We also know $c_2c_4 \neq 0 \in gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ since so for $G = Spin(11)$.

We have (with $\text{mod}(I_\infty^4)$)

$$c_2c_5 = v_1y_6(2y_{10} + v_1y_{12}) = v_1e_8.$$

Hence we get $c_2c_5c_4 = v_1e_8c_4$, where $e_8c_4 = v_1^2y_{top} \text{ mod}(I_\infty^3)$ which is nonzero in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. (Here we note $c_2c_4 \mapsto v_1^2y_6y_{10}$, $c_2c_5 - c_3c_4 \mapsto v_1^2y_6y_{12}$, and they are nonzero in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.)

For the element c_4c_5 , we compute

$$c_4c_5 = v_1y_{10}(2y_{10} + v_1y_{12}) = v_1^2y_{10}y_{12} \quad \text{mod}(I_\infty^3)$$

which is also non zero in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Thus we have

Lemma 9.3. *We have some filtration and the graded ring*

$$\begin{aligned} grP(c)/(2) &\cong \mathbb{Z}/2\{1, c_2, c_4, c_5, c_2c_4, c_4c_5\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{e_8, e_8c_4\} \\ &\cong P(c)/(2, c_2c_5, c_2c_5c_4) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{e_8, e_8c_4\} \subset gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2, \end{aligned}$$

where $P(c) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(c_2, c_4, c_5)$.

We consider elements in $2K^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})) \cap \text{Im}(\text{res}_k)$. First note

$$2y_6 = c_3, \quad 2y_{12} = c_6 \quad \text{with mod}(I_\infty^3).$$

and $c_3, c_6 \neq 0$ in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Next we see (with $\text{mod}(I_\infty^3)$)

$$2v_1y_6y_{10} = c_3c_4, \quad 2v_1y_6y_{12} = c_2c_6, \quad 2v_1y_{10}y_{12} = c_4c_6.$$

Here $c_3c_4, c_2c_6, c_4c_6 \neq 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ since $2y_6y_{10}, 2y_6y_{12}, 2y_{10}y_{12} \notin \text{Im}(\text{res}_{CH})$. These c_3c_4, c_2y_6, c_4c_6 are 2-torsion in $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))$, in fact, we see

$$2c_3c_4 = v_1c_2c_6, \quad 2c_2c_6 = v_1c_3c_6, \quad 2c_4c_6 = v_1c_5c_6.$$

Next we consider elements $4y$ in $y \in P(y)$. In particular,

$$4y_{10} = 2c_5 + v_1c_6, \quad 4y_6y_{10} = 2e_8 + c_2c_6,$$

$$4y_6y_{12} = c_3c_6, \quad 4y_{10}y_{12} = c_5c_6,$$

here $c_3c_6, c_5c_6 \neq 0$ in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Moreover $4y_6y_{10}y_{12} = e_8c_6$ here $e_8c_6 \neq 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ since $t(G) = 4$. Thus we have

Lemma 9.4. *The graded ring $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))$ contains*

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\{c_4c_3, c_2c_6, c_4c_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{c_3, c_5, c_6, e_8, c_3c_6, c_5c_6, e_8c_6\}.$$

From preceding two lemmas, we have

Theorem 9.5. *Let $G = \text{Spin}(13)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then we have*

$$gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong P(b)/(c_2c_5, c_2c_4c_5) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_4c_3, e_8c_4, c_2c_6, c_4c_6\} \\ \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, c_3, c_6, e_8, c_3c_6, c_5c_6, e_8c_6\}$$

where $P(b) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(c_2, c_4, c_5)/(2c_2, 2c_4)$

Corollary 9.6. *We have the additive isomorphism*

$$gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong A \otimes (\mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, c_6\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_4\}) \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_6c_4\}$$

where $A = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}\{1, c_3, c_5, e_8\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\{c_2\}$.

Corollary 9.7. *Let $G = \text{Spin}(13)$, \mathbb{G} be versal, and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{G}/B_k$. To state the formula simply, let us write $e_8 = c_8$. Then we have additive (graded) isomorphism*

$$gr_\gamma(\mathbb{F})/2 \cong S(t)/(2, c_i c_j, c_m c_n c_k | (i, j) \neq (s, 4), (s', 6) \text{ for } s \neq 4, s' \neq 6)$$

where i, j, k, m, n, s, s' are one of $2, \dots, 6, 8$.

Note that the above isomorphism is not that of rings. In fact, we see

$$c_2e_8 - c_4c_6 = 0 \quad \text{in } gr_\gamma^*(\mathbb{F})/2.$$

We also can not get $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ here, but we do some arguments using norm map. The norm map N is given explicitly

$$N(y_6) = 2c_3, \quad N(y_{10}) = 2c_5, \quad N(y_6y_{10}) = 2e_8, \quad N(y_{12}) = 2c_6,$$

$$N(y_6y_{12}) = c_3c_6, \quad N(y_{10}y_{12}) = c_5c_6, \quad N(y_{top}) = e_8c_6.$$

Lemma 9.8. *We have $gr_{geo}^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/N \cong gr_{geo}^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2, c_3c_6, c_5c_6, e_8c_6$.*

Lemma 9.9. *If we can see that $c_2c_3 = 0$ and $c_2e_8 - c_4c_6 = 0$ in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$, then $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \cong CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$.*

Proof. By the Wu formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_2c_3 = 0 &\xrightarrow{P^2} c_2c_5 = 0 \xrightarrow{P^1} c_3c_5 = 0, \\ c_2e_8 - c_4c_6 = 0 &\xrightarrow{P^1} c_3e_8 - c_5c_6 = 0 \xrightarrow{P^2} c_5e_8 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us write $c_8 = e_8$ and $c_7 = 0$, and $A(c)' = \mathbb{Z}/2\{1, c_k, c_i c_j | 1 \leq i, j, k \leq 8, i < j\}$. Then from Theorem 9.5, we can see

$$gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \cong A(c)' / (c_2c_3, c_2c_5, c_3c_5, c_2e_8, c_3e_8, c_5e_8).$$

Hence we have the lemma. \square

Lemma 9.10. *Let $G = Spin(13)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then for the restriction map $res_k : k^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow k^*(R(\mathbb{G}'))$, the image $Im(res_k) \subset grk^*(R(\mathbb{G}'))$ is given by*

$$res(k^*(R(\mathbb{G}')) \oplus (2)\{y_{12}\} \oplus (4, 2v_1, v_1^2)\{y_6y_{12}, y_{10}y_{12}\} \oplus (4, v_1^2)\{y_{top}\})$$

where $G' = Spin(11)$ and $(a, \dots, b) \subset k^*$ is the ideal generated by a, \dots, b .

Proof. The image of the restriction map is written (with $mod(I_\infty^3)$) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} c_6 &\mapsto 2y_{12}, \quad c_6c_3 \mapsto 4y_6y_{12}, \quad c_6c_5 \mapsto 4y_{10}y_{12}, \quad c_6e_8 \mapsto 4y_{top} \\ c_6c_2 &\mapsto 2v_1y_6y_{12}, \quad c_6c_4 \mapsto 2v_1y_{10}y_{12}, \quad (e_8c_5 \mapsto 4v_1y_{top}) \\ (c_3c_4 - v_1e_8) &\mapsto v_1^2y_6y_{12}, \quad c_4c_5 \mapsto v_1^2y_{10}y_{12}, \quad e_8c_4 \mapsto v_1^2y_{top}. \end{aligned}$$

These facts imply the lemma from Theorem 9.5. \square

If the Karpenko conjecture holds for this case, then from the above lemma, we see that the maps res_k and res_Ω are injective (as stated in Lemma 8.7).

10. $Spin(15), Spin(17)$ AND THE COUNTEREXAMPLE

At first, we consider the case $Spin(15)$, i.e., $\ell = 7$. Then we have

$$grP(y) \cong \Lambda(y_6, y_{10}, y_{12}, y_{14}), \quad y_6^2 = y_{12}.$$

So $y_{top} = y_6y_{10}y_{12}y_{14}$ and there is e_8 in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$. By Totaro, it is known that the torsion index is $2^3 = 8$.

Lemma 10.1. *We have $c_2c_3 \neq 0$ in $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.*

Proof. The element $x = c_2c_3 - v_1c_6$ is written for $y', y'' \in k^* \otimes P(y)$

$$v_1y_6(2y_6 - v_1^2y') - v_1(2y_{12} + v_1y_{14} + v_1^2y'') = -v_1^2y_{14} \quad mod(I_\infty^3).$$

Let us write $A(c) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}(c_2, \dots, c_7) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[e_8]$. If $x = v_1x'$ with $x' \in k^* \otimes \Lambda(c)$, then $x' = v_1y_{14}$. But such x' does not exist, because there does not exist x_i such that $Q_1x_i = y_{14}$ but $Q_0x_i = 0$ (note $Q_0x_{11} = y_{12}$). \square

Next we consider the case $G = Spin(17)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Hence $P(y)$ is the same as the case $G = Spin(15)$, that is, $grP(y) \cong \Lambda(y_6, y_{10}, y_{12}, y_{14})$. So $y_{top} = y_6y_{10}y_{12}y_{14}$. But by Totaro, it is known that $t(G) = 2^4 = 16$. Note that we have the element $e_{16} \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$.

Lemma 10.2. *Let $G = Spin(17)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. We have $c_2c_3c_6c_7 = 0$ in $gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.*

Proof. The element $x = c_2c_3c_6c_7$ is computed in $k^*(\bar{\mathbb{F}})$ (See Lemma 5.3.)

$$x = v_1y_6(2y_6 + v_1^2y') (2y_{12} + v_1y_{14} + v_1^2y'') (2y_{14} + v_1^2y''') = 0 \pmod{I_\infty^5}$$

for $y', y'', y''' \in k^* \otimes P(y)$, because $y_{12}^2, y_{14}^2 \in I_\infty$.

The degrees are given $|x| = |y_{top}| - 6$ and $|v_1^3| = -6$. So

$$(*) \quad x = 2^2\lambda v_1^3 y_{top}, \quad \text{with } \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Here we note that x is decided with $\text{mod}(v_1^4)$. However if $x = 2^2\lambda v_1^3 y_{top} + v_1^4 y'$, then $|y'| > |y_{top}|$ and $(*)$ holds exactly in $k^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$.

Let us write $x'' = c_2c_4c_6c_7$, i.e.,

$$x'' = v_1y_6v_1y_{10}(2y_{12} + v_1y_{14}) \cdot 2y_{14} = 2^2v_1^2y_{top} \pmod{v_1^3}.$$

Then we have $x - \lambda v_1 x'' = 0 \pmod{v_1^4}$. Hence $x = 0$ in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. \square

In the above proof, torsion index and elements e_8, e_{16} do not appear. Hence the above proof is seen also the proof for $G = Spin(15)$.

We will disprove the Karpenko conjecture by using the algebraic integral Morava $A\tilde{K}(n)$ -theory, and its associated graded ring $gr(n)^*(X) = CH^*(X)/I(n)$. Recall the Nishimoto result $Q_i(x_3) = y_{2^{i+1}+2}$, and $Q_i(x_5) = y_{2^{i+1}+4}$. Hence, in $\Omega^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/I_\infty^2$, we have

$$\begin{cases} c_2 = v_1y_6 + v_2y_{10} + v_3y_{18} + \dots \\ c_3 = 2y_6 + v_2y_{12} + v_3y_{20} + \dots \end{cases}$$

Now we consider $\tilde{k}(2)$ -theory with $\tilde{k}(2)^* = \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[v_2]$. (Note the $\text{res } \tilde{K}(2)^*(R(\mathbb{G})) \rightarrow \tilde{K}(2)^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ is not isomorphism, in general.)

Lemma 10.3. *Let $G = Spin(17)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. We have*

$$c_2c_3c_6c_7 \neq 0 \quad \text{in } gr(2)^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2 \quad (\text{hence in } CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2).$$

Proof. We can write in $\tilde{k}(2)^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/I_\infty^5$.

$$x = pr(c_2c_3c_6c_7) = (v_2y_{10})(2y_6 + v_2y_{12})(2y_{12} + v_2y') (2y_{14} + v_2y'')$$

for $y', y'' \in \tilde{k}(2)^* \otimes P(y)$. Hence we have

$$x = 2^3v_2y_{top} \pmod{v_2^2}.$$

Suppose that $x = 0 \in gr(2)^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Then there is $x' \in \tilde{k}(2)^* \otimes \Lambda(c) = \tilde{k}(2)^* \otimes \Lambda(c_2, \dots, c_7) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{(2)}[e_{16}]$ such that we have

$$x = v_2x' \quad \text{or} \quad x = 2x'.$$

Suppose $x = v_2x'$. Then $x' = 2^3y_{top} \pmod{v_2}$. Therefore we see $t(G) \leq 2^3$, and this contradicts to $t(G) = 2^4$.

The case $x = 2x'$ is proved similarly using the Quillen operation $r_{\Delta_2}(v_2) = 2$. In fact $r_{\Delta_2}x' = 2^3y_{top}$ also. (For $j \neq 2$, $r_{\Delta_2}(v_j) = 0 \pmod{I_\infty^2}$) and we can define this Quillen operation in $\tilde{k}(2)^*(-)$. \square

Remark. For $G = Spin(15)$, we have $c_2c_3c_6c_7 = 0$ also in $gr(2)^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. form $t(G) = 2^3$. Indeed $e_8c_6c_7 = 2^3y_{top}$. For $G = Spin(13)$, we have $c_2c_3 = 0$ also in $gr(2)^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.

Theorem 10.4. *When $G = Spin(17)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then $I(1) \neq 0$.*

Similarly, we have

Lemma 10.5. *Let $G = Spin(19)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. For $x = c_2c_3c_6e_{16}$, we have $x \neq 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ but $x = 0 \in gr_\gamma(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.*

Proof. We note that from $Q_0(z) = y_{14}y_{18}$, we see $e_{16} = 2(y_{14}y_{18})$ in $CH^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))$. The torsion index $t(G) = 2^4$ by Totaro (in fact, $c_3c_5c_6e_{16} = 2^4y_{top}$). The results are gets by arguments exchanging c_7 by e_{16} in the proofs of Lemma 10.2, 10.3 for $Spin(17)$. The results are gets by arguments similar to the preceding lemmas. \square

Corollary 10.6. *Let $G = Spin(17)$ and \mathbb{G} be versal. Then we have*

$$(2^4, 2^3v_1, 2^3v_2)\{y_{top}\} \subset Im(res_\Omega) \subset grBP^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G})).$$

Proof. We see $c_2c_3c_6c_7 = 2^3v_2y_{top} \pmod{I_\infty^5}$. Hence the ideal $(2^4, 2^3v_1, 2^3v_2) \subset BP^*$ is invariant under $r_{\Delta_1}, r_{2\Delta_1}$, and r_{Δ_2} , we have the corollary. In fact

$$c_3c_5c_6c_7 \mapsto 2^4y_{top}, \quad c_2c_5c_6c_7 \mapsto 2^3v_1y_{top}, \quad c_2c_3c_6c_7 \mapsto 2^3v_2y_{top}.$$

\square

11. $Spin(N)$, N ; LARGE

At first we see that fixed $* > 0$, the Chow group $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))$ is constant when ℓ becomes bigger.

Theorem 11.1. *Let $G(n) = Spin(n)$ and $\mathbb{G}(n)$ be the versal $G(n)_k$ -torsor. Then we have the additive isomorphism*

$$\lim_{\leftarrow N} CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}(N)))/2 \cong \Lambda(c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n, \dots).$$

Proof. Let $N = 2\ell + 1$, and $2^2 \leq 2^n < 2^t \leq \ell < 2^{t+1}$. We will see

$$CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}(N)))/2 \cong \Lambda(c_2, \dots, c_{2^n-1}) \quad \text{for } * < 2^n.$$

Suppose that

$$x = \sum c_{i_1} \dots c_{i_s} = 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}(N)))/2 \quad \text{for } 2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s < 2^n.$$

Here $c_{i_j} = v_n y_m$ with $m = 2^n - 2 + 2i_j$ in $k(n)^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Since $2^n < m < 2^{n+1}$, m is not a form 2^r , $r > 3$. Hence y_m is a generator of $grP(y) \cong \Lambda_{s \neq 2^i} y_{2^s}$.

Moreover recall that

$$e_{2^{t+1}} = v_n y_{2^{t+1}-2+2^n-2} y_{2^t+2} + \dots$$

This element is in the *ideal* (v_n^2, E) with $E = (y_{2^j} | j > 2^t)$. Hence we see $c_{i_j} = v_n y_m$ is also nonzero $\pmod{v_n^2, E}$ since $n < t$.

Thus we see that for $x' = y_{2^n-2+2i_1} \dots y_{2^n-2+2i_s}$

$$x = \sum v_n^s x' \neq 0 \in k(n)^*(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/2 \cong k(n)^* \otimes P(y).$$

Moreover $v_n^{s-1} x' \notin Im(res)$, because $Im(res)$ is generated by $res(c_{j_1}) \dots res(c_{j_r})$ and each $res(c_j) = 0 \pmod{v_n}$. \square

We note the following general properties for $\ell \geq 5$.

Lemma 11.2. *Let $2^t < \ell < 2^{t+1}$ with $\ell \geq 5$. Then $e_{2^{t+1}} \neq 0$ in $gr_\gamma^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$.*

Proof. At first, we recall the case $\ell = 5$. Then $e_8 \neq 0$ in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$ from Theorem 7.1. By the naturality $e_8 \neq 0$ for $\ell = 6, 7$. Moreover we note

$$2^3 = t(\text{Spin}(15)) < t(\text{Spin}(19)) = 2^4 \quad (\text{while } t(\text{Spin}(7)) = 2 = t(\text{Spin}(11))).$$

Let us write $d = 2^{t+1}$ and assume $d \geq 16$ (i.e., $t \geq 3$). We consider the group $G = \text{Spin}(d+3)$. Since $Q_0(z) = y_{d-2}y_{d+2}$ and $d(z) = e_d$ by Nishimoto, we have

$$2y_{d-2}y_{d+2} = e_d \in CH(\bar{R}(\mathbb{G}))/2.$$

Here we recall

$$(*) \quad t(\text{Spin}(d-1)) < t(\text{Spin}(d+3)).$$

In fact, by Totaro, for $t(\text{Spin}(2n+1)) = 2^u$, u is close to $n - 2\log_2(n) + 1$. Then we can see that $e_d \neq 0$ in $CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))/2$. Otherwise $1/2e_d = y_{d-2}y_{d+2} \in \text{Res}$. This implies

$$t(\text{Spin}(d-1))y_6 \dots y_{d-4} \cdot y_{d-2}y_{d+2} \in \text{Res}(CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}))),$$

which contradicts to (*). Hence From Corollary 3.7, we also see $e_d \neq 0 \in CH^*(R(\mathbb{G}_k))/2$ for $\ell = d/2 + 1$.

For groups $\text{Spin}(2\ell+1)$, $d/2 < \ell < d$, we see

$$d(z) = c_1^d = 2(y_{d-2}y_{d+2} + y_{d-4}y_{d+4} + \dots)$$

We have $e_d \neq 0$ for the group $\text{Spin}(2\ell+1)$, by the naturality for $\text{Spin}(d+3) \rightarrow \text{Spin}(2\ell+1)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Bo] A. Borel. Sur l'homologie et la cohomologie des groupes de Lie compacts connexes. *Amer. J. Math. Proc. Amer.* **76** (1954), 273-342.
- [At] M. Atiyah, Characters and the cohomology of finite groups, *Publ. Math. IHES* **9** (1961), 23-64.
- [Ga-Me-Se] S. Garibaldi, A. Merkurjev and J-P Serre. Cohomological invariants in Galois cohomology. *University Lecture Series 28*, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI (2003), viii+168pp.
- [Ga-Za] S. Garibaldi and K. Zainoulline. The γ -filtration and the Rost invariant. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **696** (2014), 225-244.
- [Gr] A. Grothendieck. La torsion homologique et les sections rationnelles. *Sem. C.Chevalley, ENS 1958, expose 5, Secretariat Math., IHP, Paris* (1958).
- [Ha] M. Hazewinkel, Formal groups and applications, *Pure and Applied Math. 78*, Academic Press Inc. (1978), xxii+573pp.
- [Ju] C. Junkins. The J-invariant and Tits algebras for groups of inner type E_6 , *manuscripta math.* **140** (2013), 249-261.
- [Ka1] N. Karpenko. Chow groups of some generically twisted flag varieties. *Ann K-theory* **2** (2017), 341-356.
- [Ka2] N. Karpenko. On generic flag varieties of Spin(11) and Spin(12).. *manuscripta math.* **157** (2018), 13-21.
- [Ko-Mi] A. Kono and M. Mimura. Cohomology operations and the Hopf algebra structures of compact exceptional Lie groups E_7 and E_8 . *Proc London Math. Soc.* **35** (1977), 345-358.
- [Le-Mo] M. Levine and F. Morel. Cobordisme algébrique I. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **332** (2001), 723-728.
- [Me-Ne-Za] A. Merkurjev, A. Neshitov and K. Zainoulline. *Invariants of degree 3 and torsion in the Chow group of a versal flag*. *Composito Math.* **151** (2015), 11416-1432.
- [Mi-Ni] M. Mimura and T. Nishimoto. Hopf algebra structure of Morava K-theory of exceptional Lie groups. *Contem. Math.* **293** (2002), 195-231.
- [Mi-Tod] M. Mimura and H. Toda, *Topology of Lie groups*, I and II, Translations of Math. Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc, **91** (1991).

- [Ni] T. Nishimoto. Higher torsion in Morava K -theory of $SO(m)$ and $Spin(m)$. *J. Math. Soc. Japan.* **52** (2001), 383-394.
- [Pa] I. Panin. On the algebraic K -theory of twisted flag varieties. *K-theory* **8** (1994), 541-585.
- [Pe] V. Petrov. Chow ring of generic maximal orthogonal Grassmannians. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Skelov. (POMI)* **443** (2016), 147-150.
- [Pe-Se-Za] V. Petrov, N. Semenov and K. Zainoulline. J-Invariant of linear algebraic groups. *Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup.* **41**, (2008) 1023-1053.
- [Ra] D. Ravenel. Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres. *Pure and Applied Mathematics, 121. Academic Press* (1986).
- [Ro] M. Rost. Some new results on Chowgroups of quadrics. *preprint* (1990).
- [Sec] P. Sechin Chern classes from Morava K -theories to p^n -typical oriented theories. arXiv:1805.09059 [math.AG], 2018.
- [Sec-Se] P. Sechin and N. Semenov. Applications of the Morava K -theory to algebraic groups. arXiv:1805.09050 [math.AG], 2018.
- [Se] N. Semenov. Motivic construction of cohomological invariant. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **91** (2016) 163-202.
- [Se-Zh] N. Semenov and M. Zhykhovich. Integral motives, relative Krull-Schmidt principle, and Maranda-type theorems. *Math. Ann.* **363** (2015) 61-75.
- [Tod] H. Toda. On the cohomology ring of some homogeneous spaces. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **15** (1975), 185-199.
- [To1] B. Totaro. The torsion index of E_8 and the other groups. *Duke Math. J.* **299** (2005), 219-248.
- [To2] B. Totaro. The torsion index of the spin groups. *Duke Math. J.* **299** (2005), 249-290.
- [To3] B. Totaro, Group cohomology and algebraic cycles, *Cambridge tracts in Math. (Cambridge Univ. Press)* **204** (2014).
- [Vi] A. Vishik. On the Chow groups of quadratic Grassmannians. *Doc. Math.* **10** (2005), 111-130.
- [Vi-Ya] A. Vishik and N. Yagita. Algebraic cobordisms of a Pfister quadric. *London Math. Soc.* **76** (2007), 586-604.
- [Vi-Za] A. Vishik and K. Zainoulline. Motivic splitting lemma. *Doc. Math.* **13** (2008), 81-96.
- [Vo1] V. Voevodsky, The Milnor conjecture, *www.math.uiuc.edu/K-theory/0170* (1996).
- [Vo2] V. Voevodsky. Motivic cohomology with $\mathbb{Z}/2$ coefficient. *Publ. Math. IHES* **98** (2003), 59-104.
- [Vo3] V. Voevodsky. On motivic cohomology with \mathbb{Z}/l -coefficients. *Ann. of Math.* **174** (2011), 401-438.
- [Ya1] N. Yagita. Applications of Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for motivic cobordism. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **90** (2005) 783-816.
- [Ya2] N. Yagita. Algebraic BP -theory and norm varieties. *Hokkaido Math. J.* **41** (2012), 275-316.
- [Ya3] N. Yagita. Note on the filtrations of the K -theory. *Kodai Math. J.* **38** (2015), 172-200.
- [Ya4] N. Yagita. Chow rings of versal complete flag varieties. arXiv:1609.08721v2. (*math.KT*).
- [Ya5] N. Yagita. The gamma filtrations of K -theory of complete flag varieties. *Kodai Math. J.* **42** (2018), 130-159.
- [Za] K. Zainoulline, Twisted gamma filtration of a linear algebraic group, *Compositio Math.* **148** (2012), 1645-1654.

FACULTY OF EDUCATION, IBARAKI UNIVERSITY, MITO, IBARAKI, JAPAN
E-mail address: nobuaki.yagita.math@vc.ibaraki.ac.jp,