

ON POLYCYCLIC CODES OVER A FINITE CHAIN RING

A. FOTUE TABUE¹, E. MARTÍNEZ-MORO², T. BLACKFORD³

ABSTRACT. Galois images of polycyclic codes over a finite chain ring S and their annihilator dual are investigated. The case when a polycyclic code is Galois-disjoint over the ring S , is characterized and, the trace codes and restrictions of free polycyclic codes over S are also determined giving an analogue of Delsarte theorem among trace map, any S -linear code and its annihilator dual.

AMS Subject Classification 2010: 13B02, 94B05.

1. INTRODUCTION


In [9] the authors extend the notion of cyclicity in various directions and generalized in several ways raising the concepts of polycyclic codes and sequential codes. Polycyclic codes (formerly known as pseudo-cyclic codes) are the generalization of cyclic and constacyclic codes and were studied in [16, p.241] for the first time. In [6] X.-dong Hou et al. introduced sequential codes over finite fields showing that they are punctured cyclic codes and established a strong connection between recurrence sequences and sequential codes. López-Permouth, et al.[9] studied the dual of a polycyclic code and showed [9, Theorem 3.5] that a constacyclic code is a polycyclic code whose dual is again polycyclic. Still polycyclic codes never enjoyed the same popularity that constacyclic codes have because the dual of some polycyclic code is not polycyclic. In [1], an alternate duality is introduced in order to address this issue.

In this paper we extend some results in [2] to polycyclic codes over finite chain rings using generator polynomials. Galois variance of constacyclic codes over finite fields was studied, the concept of Galois-disjoint of linear codes was introduced and a new class of codes so-called polynomial codes were presented. Notice that those polynomial codes are indeed polycyclic codes over finite fields.

Key words and phrases. Galois extension of finite chain rings; Polycyclic code; Trace code; Restriction code. Edgar Martínez-Moro has been partially supported by the Spanish MINECO under Grant MTM2015-65764-C3-1.

Throughout this work, S is a commutative ring with identity, and $\text{Aut}(S)$ is the group of ring automorphisms of S . The action of $\text{Aut}(S)$ on S^n leads to the concept of Galois-invariance for any S -linear code of length n (see [12]). In [8] the Hamming weight of some polycyclic codes over Galois rings was established. Also in [4] some class of constacyclic codes over finite chain rings were characterized via cyclotomic cosets as contraction of some cyclic codes. In [11], the authors studied the algebraic structure of a class of linear codes over finite chain rings containing the polycyclic codes.

The structure of the paper is as follows. After some preliminaries in Section 2 we use the concept of strong Gröbner bases for polycyclic codes in order to deal with the algebraic structure of polycyclic codes and their annihilator duals in Section 3. In Section 4 we characterize those polycyclic codes over finite chain rings that are completely Galois-disjoint and we deduce an analogue Delsarte theorem relating the trace map of any polycyclic code and its annihilator dual.



2. PRELIMINARIES

We use the following notation p be a prime, a, e, m be nonnegative integers, and \mathbb{Z}_{p^a} be the residue ring of integers modulo p^a . A ring S is a finite chain ring if S is local and principal. We will denote the maximal ideal of S as $J(S) = \langle \theta \rangle$ and \mathbb{F}_{p^m} the residue field $S/J(S)$. Thus the ideals of S form a chain under inclusion $\{0_S\} = J(S)^s \subsetneq J(S)^{s-1} \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq J(S) \subsetneq S$ and $J(S)^t = \theta^t S$ for $0 \leq t < s$. Note that any generator of $J(S)$ is a root of an Eisenstein polynomial over \mathbb{Z}_{p^a} of degree e where p^a is the characteristic of S (see for example [13, Theorem 5.1.]). The ring epimorphism $\pi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ naturally extends a ring

epimorphism from $S[x]$ to $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[X]$ and on the other hand it naturally induces an S -module epimorphism from S^n to $(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})^n$, we will abuse the notation and thus we also denote it by the same π .

A polynomial f is basic irreducible over the ring S if $\pi(f)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_{p^m} . The Galois ring of characteristic p^a and cardinality p^{am} , denoted $\text{GR}(p^a, m)$, is the quotient ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^a}[X]/\langle f \rangle$, where $\langle f \rangle$ is the ideal generated by a monic basic irreducible polynomial f of degree m over \mathbb{Z}_{p^a} . Note that for all positive integers r and r' , the Galois ring $\text{GR}(p^a, r')$ is a subring of the Galois ring $\text{GR}(p^a, r)$ if and only if r' divides r . Examples of finite chain rings include Galois rings $\text{GR}(p^a, m)$ (here $\theta = p$, and $a = s$) and, in particular, finite fields ($\text{GR}(p, m) = \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$).

For a given finite chain ring S there are integers p, a, m, e, s such that $\mathbb{Z}_{p^a} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{p^a}[\theta] \subseteq S$ and $S/\text{J}(S) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$, where θ (the generator of $\text{J}(S)$) is a root of an Eisenstein polynomial over \mathbb{Z}_{p^a} of degree e satisfying $\theta^{s-1} \neq \theta^s = 0_S$. From now on we will denote by S_r the Galois extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^a}[\theta]$ of degree r given by $S_r = \text{GR}(p^a, r)[\theta]$. Note that a finite chain ring S is the Galois extension of S_r of degree d if and only if $m = rd$. The subset $\Gamma(S_r) \setminus \{0\}$ is the only cyclic subgroup of $(S_r)^\times$ isomorphic to $(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}) \setminus \{0\}$, where $\Gamma(S_r) = \{a \in S_r : a^{p^r} = a\}$ is the Teichmüller set of S_r . Moreover the map $\pi : S_r \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^r}$ restricted to $\Gamma(S_r) \setminus \{0\}$ is a group isomorphism from $\Gamma(S_r) \setminus \{0\}$ onto $(\mathbb{F}_{p^r}) \setminus \{0\}$. From [13, Proposition 3.3.] each element a in S_r can be uniquely rewritten as $a = a_0 + a_1\theta + \cdots + a_{s-1}\theta^{s-1}$, where $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{s-1}) \in \Gamma(S_r)^s$. Thus, the finite chain ring S_r has p^{sr} elements and the units group $(S_r)^\times$ of S_r is

$$\left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{s-1} a_t \theta^t : (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{s-1}) \in \Gamma(S_r) \setminus \{0\} \times (\Gamma(S_r))^{s-1} \right\}.$$

For any divisor r of m the mapping $\sigma^r : S \rightarrow S$ defined by $\sigma^r \left(\sum_{t=0}^{s-1} a_t \theta^t \right) = \sum_{t=0}^{s-1} a_t^{p^r} \theta^t$ where $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{s-1}) \in \Gamma(S)^s$ is a generator of the group $\text{Aut}_{S_r}(S)$ of the S_r -algebra automorphisms of the ring S , i.e. $\text{Aut}_{S_r}(S) = \{\sigma^{ir} : 0 \leq i < d\}$. The mapping σ is called a *Frobenius automorphism* of S . It induces the automorphism Fr_p of \mathbb{F}_{p^m} as follows $\text{Fr}_p(\pi(a)) = \pi(\sigma(a))$ for all $a \in S$.

An S -linear code C of length n is a submodule of the S -module S^n . An S -linear code over S is *free* if it is free as an S -module. A matrix G is called a *generator matrix* for an S -linear code C if the rows of G span C and none of them can be written as an S -linear combination of the other rows of G . A matrix G is in *standard form* if there exists an

s -tuple $(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{s-1})$ in \mathbb{N}^s (where s is the nilpotency index of S) such that

$$(1) \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} I_{k_0} & G_{0,1} & G_{0,2} & \cdots & G_{0,s-1} & G_{0,s} \\ 0 & \theta I_{k_1} & \theta G_{1,2} & \cdots & \theta G_{1,s-1} & \theta G_{1,s} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \theta^{s-1} I_{k_{s-1}} & \theta^{s-1} G_{s-1,s} \end{pmatrix} U,$$

where I_{k_t} is an identity matrix of order k_t (for $0 \leq t < s$) and U is a suitable permutation matrix. The s -tuple $(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{s-1})$ is called *type* of G .

From [14, Proposition 3.2, Theorem 3.5], each S -linear code C admits a generator matrix in standard form. Moreover all the generator matrices for a given code C in standard form have the same type, thus the type and the rank are numerical invariants for S -linear codes. Henceforth the *type* of any S -linear code is the type of one of its generator matrices in standard form. The *rank* of an S -linear code C , denoted $\text{rank}_S(C)$, is the number of rows of a generator matrix in standard form. Notice that if C is an S -linear code of type $(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{s-1})$ then $\text{rank}_S(C) = k_0 + k_1 + \dots + k_{s-1}$, also an S -linear code C of type $(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{s-1})$ is free if and only if $\text{rank}_S(C) = k_0$ and $k_1 = k_2 = \dots = k_{s-1} = 0$.

Consider the $n \times n$ -matrices

$$(2) \quad D_{\mathbf{a}} = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & & & \\ \vdots & & I_{n-1} & \\ 0 & & & \\ \hline a_0 & a_1 & \cdots & a_{n-1} \end{array} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad E_{\mathbf{b}} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} b_0 & \cdots & b_{n-2} & b_{n-1} \\ \hline & & & 0 \\ & I_{n-1} & & \vdots \\ & & & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

with associate vectors $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1})$ in S^n . An S -linear code of length n is *right polycyclic* [1, 9] with associate vector \mathbf{a} (or simply, *right \mathbf{a} -cyclic*) if it is invariant by right multiplication by the matrix $D_{\mathbf{a}}$. Also in [1, 9] they define a code as *left \mathbf{b} -cyclic* if it is invariant by right multiplication by the matrix $E_{\mathbf{b}}$. It is straight forward (adapting the proof in [1, Theorem 4.] to finite chain rings) to see that any right \mathbf{a} -cyclic code where the determinant $\det(D_{\mathbf{a}}) = a_0 \in S^\times$ is also left \mathbf{b} -cyclic code with $b_j = -a_{j+1}a_0^{-1}$ for $j < n-1$ and $b_{n-1} = a_0^{-1}$. Therefore from now on we will assume all right \mathbf{a} -cyclic codes with $\mathbf{a} \in S^\times \times S^{n-1}$ and we will simply write \mathbf{a} -cyclic codes. Note that the a_0 -constacyclic codes are just \mathbf{a} -cyclic codes with $\mathbf{a} := (a_0, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Throughout this paper the S -module monomorphism

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Psi : & S^n & \hookrightarrow \\ & (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) & \mapsto c_0 + c_1 X + \cdots + c_{n-1} X^{n-1}. \end{array} \quad S[X]$$

will identify the vectors in S^n with the polynomials of $S[X]$ with degree at most n . Note that C is an \mathbf{a} -cyclic code if and only if $\Psi(C)$ is an ideal of the quotient ring $S[X]/\langle X^n - \Psi(\mathbf{a}) \rangle$. We define the \mathbf{a} -period of an \mathbf{a} -cyclic code over S as the positive integer $\ell_{\mathbf{a}}$ such that

$$(4) \quad \ell_{\mathbf{a}} := \min \{i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} : X^n - \pi(\Psi(\mathbf{a})) \text{ divides } X^i - 1\}.$$

Note that the quotient ring $S[X]/\langle X^n - \Psi(\mathbf{a}) \rangle$ is a principal ideal ring if either S is a field or $X^n - \pi(\Psi(\mathbf{a}))$ is square free [8, Theorem 5.2.]. From now on we will consider \mathbf{a} -cyclic code over S whose period is relatively prime to p and hence $X^n - \pi(\Psi(\mathbf{a}))$ is square free. From [14, Theorem 2.7], if $g \in S[X]$ is monic and $\pi(g)$ is square-free, then g factors uniquely into monic, coprime basic irreducibles. For any $f \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[X]$ dividing $X^{\ell_{\mathbf{a}}} - 1$ such that $p \nmid \ell_{\mathbf{a}}$, [10, Theorem XIII.4] implies the existence of a unique polynomial $g \in S[X]$ such that $\pi(g) = f$ and g divides $X^{\ell_{\mathbf{a}}} - 1$ since $X^{\ell_{\mathbf{a}}} - 1$ is square-free in $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}[X]$. The polynomial g will be called the *Hensel lift* of f .

3. POLYCYCLIC CODES AND THEIR DUAL CODES

In the field case the dual of polycyclic codes were studied in [6] via their parity-check matrix. In this section we generalize structural theorems of polycyclic codes over a finite field to a finite chain ring S .

3.1. Free polycyclic codes. Let $\omega \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $g = g_0 + g_1X + \dots + g_kX^k \in S[X]$ be a polynomial of degree $\deg(g) := k$ over S with $k < n$, we consider the $\omega \times n$ -matrix

$$(5) \quad M_{\omega}(g) := \left(\begin{array}{cccccc|ccc} g_0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & g_0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \ddots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & g_0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

in which the entries of the first row correspond to the coefficients of g , and its i^{th} row is the cyclic shift of the $(i-1)^{\text{th}}$ row, for all $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, \omega\}$. It is easy to see that if $g_0 \in S^{\times}$, then the rank of $M_{\omega}(g)$ is ω .

Definition 3.1. *A free S -linear code C of length n and rank $n - k$ is free polycyclic if there is a monic polynomial g such that $M_{n-k}(g)$ is a generator matrix for C .*

Note that for any free polycyclic code C over S there is a unique monic polynomial g over S such that $M_{n-k}(g)$ is a generator matrix for C . This polynomial g is called the *generator polynomial* of C and the free polycyclic code over S with generator polynomial f of length n is denoted $\mathcal{P}(S; n; g)$. We will assume $\mathcal{P}(S; n; g) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, if $\deg(g) \geq n$.

Remark 1. Note that $\pi(\mathcal{P}(S; n; g)) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m}; n; \pi(g))$, thus it follows as a generalization of [9, Theorems 2.3 and 2.4] to finite chain rings the following characterization: any free polycyclic code over S with generator matrix g satisfies $g_0 \in S^\times$.

From now on, $g = g(X)$ will denote a monic polynomial in $S[X]$ with $g_0 \in S^\times$ and \mathbf{a} in $(S^\times) \times (S)^{n-1}$ where $\Psi(\mathbf{a})$ is the remainder of the Euclidian division of X^n by g .

Proposition 1. The following assertions hold.

- (1) $\mathcal{P}(S; n; g) = \left\{ \mathbf{c} \in S^n : g \text{ divides } \Psi(\mathbf{c}) \right\}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{P}(S; n; g)$ is \mathbf{a} -cyclic.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{c} = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{P}(S; n; g) = C$.

- (1) From the definition of $\mathcal{P}(S; n; g)$, it follows that $M_{n-k}(g)$ is a generator matrix for C if and only if there is $(m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n-k-1}) \in S^{n-k}$ such that $\mathbf{c} = (m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n-k-1})M_{n-k}(g)$. Note that $\Psi(\mathbf{c}) = \Psi(m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n-k-1}, 0, \dots, 0)g$. Therefore $\mathbf{c} \in C$, if and only if g divides $\Psi(\mathbf{c})$.
- (2) We have that $\mathbf{cD}_{\mathbf{a}} = (0, c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-2}) + c_{n-1}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$. It follows that $\Psi(\mathbf{cD}_{\mathbf{a}}) = X\Psi(\mathbf{c}) - gh$, since $X^n - \Psi(\mathbf{a}) = gh$ for some $h \in S[X]$. Hence $\Psi(\mathbf{cD}_{\mathbf{a}}) \in C$ because g divides $\Psi(\mathbf{c})$ and therefore $\mathcal{P}(S; n; g)$ is \mathbf{a} -cyclic.

□

Let us follow the notation below.

- $\mu(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_u)$ the Hensel lift of $\text{lcm}(\pi(g_1), \pi(g_2), \dots, \pi(g_u))$, to $S[X]$.
- $\delta(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_u)$ the Hensel lift of $\text{gcd}(\pi(g_1), \pi(g_2), \dots, \pi(g_u))$, to $S[X]$.

Lemma 1. Let $\mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}(S; n; g_i)$ where g_i is a monic polynomial over S such that the period of $\pi(g_i)$ is coprime to p and $\text{deg}(g_i) < n$, for $1 \leq i \leq u$. The following assertions hold.

- (1) g_i divides g_j if and only if $\mathcal{P}_i \supseteq \mathcal{P}_j$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq u$;
- (2) $\bigcap_{i=1}^u \mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}(S; n; \mu(g_1, \dots, g_u))$;
- (3) $\sum_{i=1}^u \mathcal{P}_i \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S; n; \delta(g_1, \dots, g_u))$;
- (4) If $\text{deg}(\mu(g_1, \dots, g_u)) \leq n$, and $\sum_{i=1}^u \mathcal{P}_i$ is free, then $\sum_{i=1}^u \mathcal{P}_i = \mathcal{P}(S; n; \delta(g_1, \dots, g_u))$.

Proof. From Proposition 1 (1) is straight forward. In order to prove (2) we note that g_i divides $\mu(g_1, \dots, g_u)$ for each i , thus from (1) it follows that $\mathcal{P}_i \supseteq \mathcal{P}(S; n; \mu(g_1, \dots, g_u))$

for each i and hence we have the inclusion $\bigcap_{i=1}^u \mathcal{P}_i \supseteq \mathcal{P}(S; n; \mu(g_1, \dots, g_u))$. Conversely, if

$\mathbf{c} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^u \mathcal{P}_i$ then by Proposition 1 $\Psi(\mathbf{c})$ is a common multiple of g_1, \dots, g_u .

From [10, Theorem XIII.6], there are a polynomial over S and $\mathbf{c}^* \in S^n$ such that $\Psi(\mathbf{c}) = \alpha(X)\Psi(\mathbf{c}^*)$ $\Psi(\mathbf{c}^*)$ is monic, and $\pi(\alpha(X)) = 1$. Therefore $\Psi(\mathbf{c}^*)$ is also a common multiple of g_1, \dots, g_u and $\mu(g_1, \dots, g_u)$ divides $\Psi(\mathbf{c}^*)$. Since $\Psi(\mathbf{c}) = \alpha(X)\Psi(\mathbf{c}^*)$ it follows that $\bigcap_{i=1}^u \mathcal{P}_i \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S; n; \mu(g_1, \dots, g_u))$ proving (3).

Since $\delta(g_1, \dots, g_u)$ divides g_i for each i by Proposition 1 $\mathcal{P}_i \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S; n; \delta(g_1, \dots, g_u))$ for each i , and since $\mathcal{P}(S; n; \delta(g_1, \dots, g_u))$ is a submodule of S^n we have $\sum_{i=1}^u \mathcal{P}_i \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S; n; \delta(g_1, \dots, g_u))$.

Thus to prove (4) it is enough to show that $\text{rank}_S \left(\sum_{i=1}^u \mathcal{P}_i \right) = \text{rank}_S(\mathcal{P}(S; n; \delta(g_1, \dots, g_u)))$,

if $\text{deg}(\mu(g_1, \dots, g_u)) \leq n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^u \mathcal{P}_i$ is free. Since $\text{deg}(\text{gcd}(\pi(g_1), \dots, \pi(g_u))) = \text{deg}(\mu(g_1, \dots, g_u)) \leq n$ by [2, Lemma 5] it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^u \pi(\mathcal{P}_i) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m}; n; \text{gcd}(\pi(g_1), \dots, \pi(g_u))),$$

and

$$\text{rank}_S \left(\sum_{i=1}^u \mathcal{P}_i \right) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \left(\pi \left(\sum_{i=1}^u \mathcal{P}_i \right) \right).$$

Hence $\text{rank}_S(\mathcal{P}(S; n; \delta(g_1, \dots, g_u))) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}(\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m}; n; \text{gcd}(\pi(g_1), \dots, \pi(g_u))))$ and this completes the proof. \square

3.2. Non-free polycyclic codes. Let C be a non-free non-zero \mathbf{a} -cyclic code of length n over S , and let g_0 be the polynomial $X^n - \Psi(\mathbf{a})$.

Definition 3.2. A subset $\{\theta^{\lambda_1}g_1; \theta^{\lambda_2}g_2, \dots, \theta^{\lambda_u}g_u\}$ of $S[X]$ (for some $1 \leq u < s$) is a strong Gröbner basis for C if $\Psi(C) = \langle \theta^{\lambda_1}g_1; \theta^{\lambda_2}g_2, \dots, \theta^{\lambda_u}g_u \rangle$ and

- (1) $0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_u < s$;
- (2) for $1 \leq i \leq u$, g_i is monic;
- (3) $n > \text{deg}(g_1) > \text{deg}(g_2) > \dots > \text{deg}(g_u) > 0$;
- (4) for $0 \leq i \leq u$, $\theta^{\lambda_{i+1}}g_{i+1} \in \langle \theta^{\lambda_{i+1}}g_{i+1}, \theta^{\lambda_{i+2}}g_{i+2}, \dots, \theta^{\lambda_u}g_u \rangle$ in $S[X]$.

Lemma 2. ([15, Theorem 4.2].) Every non-free, non-zero polycyclic code over S admits a strong Gröbner basis.

Remark 2. Condition (4) in the definition implies that $\pi(g_u)|\pi(g_{u-1})|\cdots|\pi(g_1)|\pi(g_0)$. Note that for polycyclic codes that condition cannot be improved in general: there are polycyclic codes for which no strong Gröbner basis $\{\theta^{\lambda_1}g_1, \theta^{\lambda_2}g_2, \dots, \theta^{\lambda_u}g_u\}$ has the property $g_u|g_{u-1}|\cdots|g_1|g_0$ (see [15, Example 3.3]).

A strong Gröbner basis $\{\theta^{\lambda_1}g_1, \theta^{\lambda_2}g_2, \dots, \theta^{\lambda_u}g_u\}$ for the code C as described above is not necessarily unique. However, if k_i is the degree of g_i , then $u, (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_u)$ and $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_u)$ are uniquely determined by the algebraic structure of the code C . Therefore the type of C is $(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{s-1})$ where $k_t = k_{i-1} - k_i$ if $\lambda_i = t$ for some i , $k_t = 0$ otherwise, and $|C| = \prod_{i=1}^u p^{m(s-\lambda_i)(k_{i-1}-k_i)}$.

Remark 3. Let C be a non-free, non-zero \mathbf{a} -cyclic code over S such that $\{\theta^{\lambda_1}g_1, \theta^{\lambda_2}g_2, \dots, \theta^{\lambda_u}g_u\}$ is one of its strong Gröbner bases. Let us denote by $k_i = \text{deg}(g_i)$ for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, u\}$. Then a generator matrix for C is

$$(6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \theta^{\lambda_0}M_{k_0-k_1}(g_1) \\ \theta^{\lambda_1}M_{k_1-k_2}(g_2) \\ \vdots \\ \theta^{\lambda_u}M_{k_u-k_{u-1}}(g_u) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 3.1. Consider the ring $S = \mathbb{Z}_4[\alpha]$ where $\alpha^2 = 3\alpha + 3$ and its residue field $\mathbb{F}_4 = \mathbb{F}_2(\beta)$ where $\beta^2 = \beta + 1$. The factorization of $X^5 - \beta$ is the product of irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_4[X]$ given by

$$X^5 - \beta = (X - \beta^2)(X^2 + X + \beta)(X^2 + \beta X + \beta).$$

Let us take $g_2 = X^2 + X + \alpha$, $g_1 = X^4 + \alpha^2 X^3 + \alpha X^2 + X + \alpha^2$ and $g_0 = (X - \alpha^2)g_1 + 2(X + 3)g_2 = X^5 + 2X^3 + 2\alpha^2 X + \alpha$ in $S[X]$. Since $2g_1 = 2g_2(X^2 + \alpha X + \alpha)$ it follows that the code C with Gröbner basis $\{g_1, 2g_2\}$ is an \mathbf{a} -cyclic code of length 5 whose $\mathbf{a} := (\alpha, 2\alpha^2, 0, 2)$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & 1 & \alpha & \alpha^2 & 1 \\ 2\alpha & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\alpha & 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is one of its generator matrices.

3.3. Annihilator dual of a linear code. The Euclidian product on S^n is $\langle \mathbf{x}; \mathbf{y} \rangle = \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}^{\text{tr}}$, where $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S^n$. and \mathbf{y}^{tr} , the transpose of $\mathbf{y} \in S^n$. The Euclidean orthogonal code C^\perp of an S -linear code C of length n , is defined as $C^\perp := \{\mathbf{y} \in S^n : \langle \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{c} \rangle = 0_S \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in C\}$.

C^\perp is an S -linear code of length n and from [14, Theorem 3.10(iii)], $(C^\perp)^\perp = C$ and $|C^\perp| \times |C| = |S|^n$.

Definition 3.3. An S -linear code C is \mathbf{a} -sequential if $C \cdot D_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{tr}} = C$ where $C \cdot D_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{tr}} = \{\mathbf{c}D_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{tr}} : \mathbf{c} \in C\}$ and $D_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{tr}}$ is the transpose of $D_{\mathbf{a}}$.

Proposition 2. Any S -linear code C of length n is \mathbf{a} -cyclic if and only if C^\perp is \mathbf{a} -sequential.

Proof. Assume that $C \cdot D_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{tr}} = C$. If $\mathbf{y} \in C^\perp$ then $\langle \mathbf{y}; (\mathbf{c}D_{\mathbf{a}})^{\text{tr}} \rangle = (\mathbf{y}D_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{tr}}) \cdot \mathbf{c}^{\text{tr}} = 0_S$ for all $\mathbf{c} \in C$ and therefore $\mathbf{y}D_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{tr}} \in C^\perp$ and $C^\perp \cdot D_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{tr}} \subseteq C^\perp$. Since $D_{\mathbf{a}}$ is invertible, we have $C^\perp \cdot D_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{tr}} = C^\perp$. Conversely, we have $(C^\perp \cdot D_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{tr}})^\perp = C$, since $(C^\perp)^\perp = C$. Thus it follows $(C^\perp \cdot D_{\mathbf{a}}^{\text{tr}})^\perp = (C^\perp)^\perp \cdot D_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $C \cdot D_{\mathbf{a}} = C$. \square

Remark 4. According to [9, Theorem 3.5.], an \mathbf{a} -sequential code of length n is identified to an ideal in $S[X]/\langle X^n - \Psi(\mathbf{a}) \rangle$ if and only if it is constacyclic.

For a polynomial $\rho \in S[X]$ we will denote by $\{\rho\}_{\mathbf{a}}$ the remainder of the Euclidian division of ρ by $X^n - \Psi(\mathbf{a})$. We introduce the inner-product on S^n defined by:

$$(7) \quad \langle \mathbf{u}; \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}} = (\{\Psi(\mathbf{u})\Psi(\mathbf{v})\}_{\mathbf{a}}) (0_S).$$

Lemma 3. The inner-product (7) is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form.

Proof. It is clear that it is a symmetry bilinear form. Since $\mathbf{a} \in (S^\times) \times (S^{n-1})$ it follows that 1 is the remainder of the Euclidian division of Xf by $X^n - \Psi(\mathbf{a})$ for some $f \in S[X]$. Thus $(\{\Psi(\mathbf{c})X^i\}_{\mathbf{a}}) (0_S) = 0_S$ for all integer i if and only if $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{0}$. \square

The result in [1, Proposition 1] allows to write the annihilator dual C° of a S -linear code C as follows:

$$C^\circ := \{\mathbf{y} \in S^n : \langle \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{c} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}} = 0_S \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in C\}.$$

Lemma 4. Let $A = (\langle \mathbf{e}_i; \mathbf{e}_j \rangle_{\mathbf{a}})$ be a matrix with respect to a basis $\{\mathbf{e}_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of the S -module S^n and C an S -linear code. Then $C^\circ = (C \cdot A)^\perp$ where $C \cdot A := \{\mathbf{c}A : \mathbf{c} \in C\}$.

Proof. Note that $\langle \mathbf{u}; \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathbf{u}A\mathbf{v}^t = \langle \mathbf{u}; \mathbf{v}A \rangle_{\mathbf{a}}$ where \mathbf{v}^t is the transpose of \mathbf{v} . Thus

$$C^\circ = \{\mathbf{y} \in S^n : \langle \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{c}A \rangle_{\mathbf{a}} = 0_S \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in C\} = \{\mathbf{y} \in S^n : \langle \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{c} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}} = 0_S \text{ for all } \mathbf{c} \in C \cdot A\} = (C \cdot A)^\perp.$$

\square

Corollary 1. Let C be an S -linear code. The following assertions hold.

- (1) C^\perp and C° have the same type;

$$(2) (C^\circ)^\circ = C.$$

Proof. Let $\{\mathbf{e}_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be a basis of the free S -module S^n and $A = (\langle \mathbf{e}_i ; \mathbf{e}_j \rangle_{\mathbf{a}})$.

(1) The matrix A is invertible, since $\langle \mathbf{u} ; \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}}$ is nondegenerate. Hence the S -modules $(CA)^\perp$ and C^\perp are isomorphic and whence C^\perp and C° have the same type.

(2) Using the equality $C^\circ = (C \cdot A)^\perp$ and the fact that A is invertible it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (C^\circ)^\circ &= (C^\circ \cdot A)^\perp \\ &= (C^\circ)^\perp (A^{-1}) \\ &= ((C \cdot A)^\perp)^\perp \cdot (A^{-1}) = (CA)A^{-1} = C. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3. *If C be an \mathbf{a} -cyclic code over S then C° is an \mathbf{a} -cyclic code.*

Proof. $X\Psi(\mathbf{y}) - \Psi(\mathbf{y}D_{\mathbf{a}}) \in \langle X^n - \Psi(\mathbf{a}) \rangle$ for all $\mathbf{y} \in S^n$ therefore it follows that $C^\circ \cdot D_{\mathbf{a}} = (C \cdot D_{\mathbf{a}})^\circ$. By hypothesis $C \cdot D_{\mathbf{a}} = C$ and hence we have that $C^\circ \cdot D_{\mathbf{a}} = C^\circ$. □

Proposition 4. *Let $C := \mathcal{P}(S; n; g)$ be a nonzero \mathbf{a} -cyclic code and h a monic polynomial such that $gh = X^n - \Psi(\mathbf{a})$. Then $(\mathcal{P}(S; n; g))^\circ = \mathcal{P}(S; n; h)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{y} \in S^n$, there exist $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha(X) \in (S[X])^\times$ and $\mathbf{y}^* \in S^n$ such that $\Psi(\mathbf{y}) = \theta^t \alpha(X) \Psi(\mathbf{y}^*)$ and $\Psi(\mathbf{y}^*)$ is monic (see [10, Theorem XIII.6]). If $\mathbf{y} \in (\mathcal{P}(S; n; g))^\circ$, then $\Psi(\mathbf{y}^*)g \in \langle gh \rangle$ since $gh = X^n - \Psi(\mathbf{a})$. h divides $\Psi(\mathbf{y}^*)$ thus $(\mathcal{P}(S; n; g))^\circ \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S; n; h)$. Conversely $\mathcal{P}(S; n; h) \subseteq (\mathcal{P}(S; n; g))^\circ$ since $gh = X^n - \Psi(\mathbf{a})$. □

4. GALOIS VARIANCE OF POLYCYCLIC CODES

In this section the integers r and d are two divisors of m such that $m = dr$.

4.1. Galois images of polycyclic codes. Let σ be a generator of $\text{Aut}(S)$. This generator σ naturally induces on the one hand an automorphism of $S[X]$ as follows:

$$\sigma(f) = \sum_i \sigma(f_i) X^i,$$

for any $f = \sum_i f_i X^i \in S[X]$ and the other hand an automorphism of S^n of the following way:

$$\sigma(\mathbf{c}) = (\sigma(c_0), \sigma(c_1), \dots, \sigma(c_{n-1})),$$

for any $\mathbf{c} := (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in S^n$ respectively. Given a positive integer i , the S -linear code $\sigma^i(C) := \{\sigma^i(\mathbf{c}) : \mathbf{c} \in C\}$ is called *image* of C under σ^i .

Definition 4.1. *Let C be an S -linear code of length n .*

- (1) The code C is $\langle \sigma^r \rangle$ -disjoint if $\sigma^{ir}(C) \cap C = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, for all $1 \leq i < d$.
(2) The code C is complete $\langle \sigma^r \rangle$ -disjoint if $S^n = C \oplus \sigma^r(C) \oplus \dots \oplus \sigma^{r(d-1)}(C)$.

Note that any complete $\langle \sigma^r \rangle$ -disjoint code over S is $\langle \sigma^r \rangle$ -disjoint, on the other hand if an S -linear code C is $\langle \sigma^r \rangle$ -disjoint then all subcodes and $\langle \sigma^i \rangle$ -images of C are also $\langle \sigma^i \rangle$ -disjoint and from Remark 3 we have the following result.

Proposition 5. *Let C be a non-free, non-zero \mathbf{a} -cyclic code over S whose $\{\theta^{\lambda_1}g_1, \theta^{\lambda_2}g_2, \dots, \theta^{\lambda_u}g_u\}$ is one of its strong Gröbner bases. Then $\sigma^i(C)$ is $\sigma^i(\mathbf{a})$ -cyclic, and $\{\theta^{\lambda_i}\sigma^i(g_i) : 1 \leq i \leq u\}$ is a Gröbner basis for $\sigma^i(C)$, for all $0 \leq i < m$.*

Proposition 6. *The code $C := \mathcal{P}(S; n; g)$ is $\langle \sigma^r \rangle$ -disjoint if and only if $\mathbf{deg}(\mu(g, \sigma^{ir}(g))) \geq n$, for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.*

Proof. Note that C is $\langle \sigma^r \rangle$ -disjoint if and only if $\sigma^{ir}(C) \cap C = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ for all $1 \leq i < d$. By part 2. of Lemma 1 this is possible if and only if $\mathcal{P}(S; n; \mu(g, \sigma^{ir}(g))) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, which happens if and only if $\mathbf{deg}(\mu(g, \sigma^{ir}(g))) \geq n$. \square

Note that if $S^n = C \oplus \sigma^r(C) \oplus \dots \oplus \sigma^{r(d-1)}(C)$, and since S^n is a free S -module, therefore C is a free S -module. We have the following result.

Lemma 5. *Let C be an S -linear code over S of length n . If C is complete $\langle \sigma^r \rangle$ -disjoint then C is free.*

The following example gives a $\langle \sigma^r \rangle$ -disjoint code which is non-free.

Example 4.1. *Let σ be a generator of $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_4[\alpha])$ defined by $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha^2$. Consider the \mathbf{a} -cyclic code C over $\mathbb{Z}_4[\alpha]$ with Gröbner basis $\{g_1; 2g_2\}$, given in Example 3.1. A generator matrix of $\sigma(C)$ is*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 3\alpha & 3 & 3\alpha^2 & \alpha^2 + 3 & 1 \\ 2\alpha^2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha^2 & 2 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\sigma(C)$ is an $\sigma(\mathbf{a})$ -cyclic code of length 5 with $\mathbf{a} = (3\alpha^2, 2\alpha^2, 2\alpha^2, 2\alpha^2, 2\alpha^2)$. A generating set of $C \cap \sigma(C)$ is $C \cap (\mathbb{Z}_4)^5$ (see [5]), but $C \cap (\mathbb{Z}_4)^5 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Hence C is Galois disjoint.

Theorem 1. *The code $C = \mathcal{P}(S; n; g)$ is complete $\langle \sigma^r \rangle$ -disjoint if and only if $\mathbf{deg}(g) = (d-1)\frac{n}{d}$ and $\mathbf{deg}(\mu(g, \sigma^{ir}(g))) \geq n$, for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$.*

Proof. We note that C is complete $\langle \sigma^r \rangle$ -disjoint if and only if C is $\langle \sigma^r \rangle$ -disjoint and $\mathbf{rank}_S(C) = \frac{n}{d}$. Now $\mathbf{rank}_S(C) = n - \mathbf{deg}(g)$ and by Proposition 6 it follows that C is complete $\langle \sigma^r \rangle$ -disjoint if and only if $\mathbf{deg}(g) = (d-1)\frac{n}{d}$ and $\mathbf{deg}(\mu(g, \sigma^{ir}(g))) \geq n$ for $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. \square

4.2. Trace and restriction of polycyclic codes. Let C be an S -linear code of length n . The *restriction code* $\text{Res}_r(C)$ of C to S_r is defined to be $\text{Res}_r(C) := C \cap (S_r)^n$, and the *trace code* $\text{Tr}_d(C)$ of C to S_r is defined as

$$\text{Tr}_d(C) := \left\{ (\text{Tr}_d(c_0), \text{Tr}_d(c_1), \dots, \text{Tr}_d(c_{n-1})) : (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in C \right\},$$

where $\text{Tr}_d = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sigma^{ir}$. The following result describes the restriction code and trace code of any free polycyclic code.

Theorem 2. *Let $C = \mathcal{P}(S; n; g)$. Set $\mu_d(g) := \mu(g, \sigma^r(g), \dots, \sigma^{r(d-1)}(g))$ and $\delta_d(g) = \delta(g, \sigma^r(g), \dots, \sigma^{r(d-1)}(g))$.*

- (1) $\text{Res}_r(C) = \mathcal{P}(S_r; n; \mu_d(g))$.
- (2) $\text{Tr}_d(C) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S_r; n; \delta_d(g))$, with equality if $\sum_{i=0}^{d-d} \sigma^{ir}(C)$ is free and $\text{deg}(\mu_d(g)) \leq n$.

Proof.

- (1) By the first part of Lemma 1 we note that $\mathcal{P}(S_r; n; \mu_d(g)) \subseteq C$ and is in $(S_r)^n$, thus $\mathcal{P}(S_r; n; \mu_d(g)) \subseteq \text{Res}_r(C)$. Conversely, if $\mathbf{a} \in \text{Res}_r(C)$, then $\mathbf{a} = \sigma^{ir}(\mathbf{a})$ for all $0 \leq i \leq d-1$, therefore $\mathbf{a} \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{d-1} \sigma^{ir}(C)$ and from the second part of Lemma 1 it follows that $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{P}(S_r; n; \mu_d(g))$. Hence $\text{Res}_r(C) = \mathcal{P}(S_r; n; \mu_d(g))$.
- (2) From the third part of Lemma 1 it follows that $\sum_{i=0}^{d-d} \sigma^{ir}(C) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S; n; \delta_d(g))$. Now $\text{Tr}_d \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d-d} \sigma^{ir}(C) \right) = \text{Tr}_d(C)$ and $\text{Tr}_d(\mathcal{P}(S; n; \delta_d(g))) = \mathcal{P}(S_r; n; \delta_d(g))$ since $\sigma^r(\delta_d(g)) = \delta_d(g)$. Thus $\text{Tr}_d(C) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(S_r; n; \delta_d(g))$, moreover, if $\sum_{i=0}^{d-d} \sigma^{ir}(C)$ is free and $\text{deg}(\mu_d(g)) \leq n$, then by part 4 of Lemma 1 $\sum_{i=0}^{d-d} \sigma^{ir}(C) = \mathcal{P}(S; n; \delta_d(g))$. Whence it follows the equality $\text{Tr}_d(C) = \mathcal{P}(S_r; n; \delta_d(g))$.

□

Finally the following result gives an analogue Delsarte's theorem relating the trace map of a S -linear code and, in this case, its annihilator dual.

Proposition 7. *For any S -linear code C the following holds*

$$\text{Tr}_d(C^\circ) = (\text{Res}_r(C))^\circ.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Tr}_d(C^\circ)$, there is $\mathbf{y} \in C^\circ$ such that $\mathbf{x} = \text{Tr}_d(\mathbf{y})$. For all $\mathbf{c} \in \text{Res}_r(C)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}} &= \langle \text{Tr}_d(\mathbf{y}), \mathbf{y} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}}, \\ &= \text{Tr}_d(\langle \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}}), \\ &= \text{Tr}_d(0_S), \\ &= 0_S. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\text{Tr}_d(C^\circ) \subseteq (\text{Res}_r(C))^\circ$. On the other hand if $\mathbf{x} \in (\text{Tr}_d(C^\circ))^\circ$ then $\langle \mathbf{x}, \text{Tr}_d(\mathbf{z}) \rangle_{\mathbf{a}} = 0_S$ for all $\mathbf{z} \in C^\circ$. Now $\text{Tr}_d(\langle \mathbf{x}, \lambda \mathbf{z} \rangle) = \langle \mathbf{x}, \text{Tr}_d(\lambda \mathbf{z}) \rangle_{\mathbf{a}} = 0_S$ and $\langle \mathbf{x}, \lambda \mathbf{z} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}} = \lambda(\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}})$ for all λ in S . Since $(x, y) \mapsto \text{Tr}_d(x, y)$ is a symmetry nondegenerate bilinear form it follows that $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle_{\mathbf{a}} = 0_S$. Hence $(\text{Tr}_d(C^\circ))^\circ \subseteq \text{Res}_r(C)$, and so $(\text{Res}_r(C))^\circ \subseteq (\text{Tr}_d(C^\circ))^\circ = \text{Tr}_d(C^\circ)$, by Corollary 1. Therefore the equality $\text{Tr}_d(C^\circ) = (\text{Res}_r(C))^\circ$. \square

5. CONCLUSION

A structural theorem for polycyclic codes over S has been established using the concept of strong Gröbner bases. We have explored the action of the Galois group $\text{Aut}(S)$ with generator σ on polycyclic codes over S of length n whose period is relatively prime to p . For any divisor r of m , the complete $\langle \sigma^r \rangle$ -disjoint polycyclic codes have been characterized. Finally an analogue Delsarte's for the annihilator dual has been established. Although the trace codes of free polycyclic codes have been determined, the construction of a strong Gröbner basis for trace codes of non-free polycyclic codes will be the object of further study.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alahmadi, S. Dougherty, A. Leroy and P. Solé, *On the duality and the direction of polycyclic codes*, Adv. Math. Commun., **10**(2016) 921–929.
- [2] T. Blackford, *The Galois variance of constacyclic codes*, Finite Fields Appl., **47**(2017) 286–308.
- [3] W. Cary Huffman and V. Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [4] A. Fotue Tabue and C. Mouaha, *Contraction of cyclic codes over finite chain rings*, Discrete Mathematics, **341** (2018) 1722–1731.
- [5] A. Fotue Tabue, E. Martínez-Moro and C. Mouaha, *Galois correspondence on linear codes over finite chain rings*. Arxiv: abs/1602.01242, 2016.
- [6] X.-dong Hou, S. R. López-Permouth and B. Parra-Avila, *Rational power series, sequential codes and periodicity of sequences*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **213**(2009) 1157–1169.
- [7] T. T. Kasami, *Optimum shortened cyclic codes for burst-error correction*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, **9** (1963), 105–109.

- [8] S. R. López-Permouth, Hakan Ozadam, Ferruh Ozbudak and Steve Szabo, *Polycyclic codes over Galois rings with applications to repeated-root constacyclic codes*, Finite Fields Appl., **19**(2013) 16–38.
- [9] S. R. López-Permouth, B. R. Parra-Avila and S. Szabo, *Dual generalizations of the concept of cyclicity of codes*, Adv. Math. Commun., **3** (2009) 227–234.
- [10] B. R. McDonald, *Finite Rings with Identity*, Marcel Dekker, New York (1974).
- [11] E. Martínez-Moro, A.P. Nicolas, and F. Rúa, *Codes over affine algebras with a finite commutative chain coefficient ring*, Finite Fields Appl., **49**(2018)94–107
- [12] E. Martínez-Moro, A.P. Nicolas, and F. Rúa, *On trace codes and Galois invariance over finite commutative chain rings*, Finite Fields Appl., **22** (2013) 114–121.
- [13] A. A. Nechaev, *Finite rings with applications*, in: Handbook of Algebra, Vol. **5**(2008), Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 213–320.
- [14] G.H. Norton and A. Salagean, *On the structure of linear and cyclic codes over finite chain rings*. Appl Algebra Eng Commun Comput.,**10** (2000) 489-506.
- [15] G.H. Norton, and A. Salagean, *Cyclic codes and minimal strong Gröbner bases over a principal ideal ring*, Finite Fields Appl. **9** (2) (2003) 237–249.
- [16] W. W. Peterson and E. J. Weldon, *Error Correcting Codes*, MIT Press, 1972.
- [17] Z.-X. Wan, *Lectures on Finite Fields and Galois Rings*, World Scientific, **24** 2003.

¹ ALEXANDRE FOTUE TABUE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF YAOUNDÉ 1, YAOUNDÉ, CAMEROON

E-mail address: alexfotue@gmail.com

² EDGAR MARTÍNEZ-MORO, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VALLADOLID, SPAIN

E-mail address: edgar.martinez@uva.es

³THOMAS BLACKFORD, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WESTERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY, 1 UNIVERSITY CIRCLE, MACOMB, IL61455, USA

E-mail address: JT-Blackford@wiu.edu