

About the Cauchy problem in Stelle's quadratic gravity

Juliana Osorio Morales ^{*}and Osvaldo P. Santillán [†]

Abstract

The present work is focused on the Cauchy problem for the quadratic gravity models of [1]-[2] which are renormalizable higher order derivative models of gravity, but at cost of the presence of ghostly states propagating in the phase space. There exist a previous work about the subject [3]. The techniques employed here slightly differ from those in that reference, but the main conclusions agree [3]. Furthermore, the analysis of the initial value formulation is enlarged and the use of harmonic coordinates is clarified. In particular, it is shown that there is a redundant constraint in the ones found in [3], in the sense that it is immediately satisfied when the equations of motion are taken into account. Furthermore, some terms that are not specified in [3] are derived explicitly. This procedure allows the use of some theorems of the mathematical literature, in particular the ones of [4], in order to show the existence of C^∞ solutions of the model and the existence of a maximal global hyperbolic development. The derived equations may be relevant for an stability analysis of the solutions under small perturbations of the initial data.

1. Introduction

The present paper studies the Cauchy problem for the quadratic gravity scenarios introduced in [1]-[2]. The equations of motion for these models are of fourth order. There exists a pioneer work about the Cauchy problem for these theories [3], and the purpose of our work is to enlarge the analysis of that reference.

The quadratic gravity scenarios [1]-[2] are well motivated from the mathematical and the physical point of view. These scenarios are relevant for issues related to renormalization of gravity. As is well known, Einstein theory is non renormalizable. However, it is widely believed that a consistent quantum gravity theory should contain in the lagrangian terms with derivatives of the metrics with order larger than two. These terms are expected to play an insignificant role at low energies, but at high energy they may play a central role and may stabilize the divergent structure of the theory.

Historically, the reference [6] was a pioneer in the study of higher derivative theories in the context of Quantum Field Theory. This suggested that higher derivative terms may stabilize the divergent behaviour of GR, including the interaction with matter. Following these ideas, the references [1]-[2] presented a concrete model including terms of the form R^2 and $R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}$ realizing these features. Later on it was noticed that an euclidean version of these models is asymptotically free [7]-[10].

The renormalizability of the higher derivative models considered in [1]-[2] is attractive from the theoretical point of view. However, these scenarios posses the so called Ostrogradski ghost [5]. The

^{*}Departamento de Matemáticas Luis Santaló (IMAS), UBA CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina juli.osorio@gmail.com.

[†]Departamento de Matemáticas Luis Santaló (IMAS), UBA CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina firenzecita@hotmail.com and osantil@dm.uba.ar.

Hamiltonian is linear in the momenta of higher derivative field, and it is not bounded from below. This creates negative norm states when quantizing the theory. These modes propagate on the phase space and produce instabilities. In fact, when expanded around the flat Minkowski background the theory is renormalizable, but the graviton and the massive spin two degrees of freedom of the theory possess kinetic terms with opposite signs. This suggests that one of these states is a ghost.

Some references which attempt to avoid the problems related to the ghosts described above are [11]-[14]. Attempts to avoid the ghost instabilities by reducing the phase space were considered in [15]. These ideas were pursued further in [16] and [17]. Additional aspects related to unitarity were studied in [19]-[21]. The high energy limit of these theories in which the mass of the graviton is sent to zero was studied in certain detail by use of the Stueckelberg trick in [18].

There are also several classical aspects of higher derivative gravity models which are of physical importance. Black hole solutions for higher dimensions were considered in [22]-[23]. A precise numerical analysis of the asymptotic of these solutions was performed in [26]-[27]. The effect of the addition of a cosmological constant in the model was considered in [32] -[33]. In addition, it is known that a new branch of black hole solutions occurs along with the standard Schwarzschild branch in these models. The standard and new branches cross at a point determined by a static negative-eigenvalue eigenfunction of the Lichnerowicz operator. The role of these Lichnerowicz modes were studied in detail recently in [34]. The stability of black holes solutions was studied in [26]-[30]. Furthermore, the first law of thermodynamics for black holes in these theories was considered in [31]. Further aspects are described in [35].

It should be stressed that an important role for the presented work is played by the quasi linear hyperbolic systems, which are of the form

$$g^{\mu\nu}(x, t, u_i) \frac{\partial u_q}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\nu} = f_q(u_i, \partial u_i), \quad (1.1)$$

where u_q with $q = 1, \dots, n$ is a vector constituted by the n -unknowns [36]-[38]. Here the matrix g^{pq} is the same for all the equations $q = 1, \dots, n$ and it is of normal hyperbolic type, that is $g_{44} \leq 0$ and $g_{ij}x^i x^j$ is a positive definite form, with the latin indices indicating spatial directions. The explicit form of the non linearity $f_q(u_i, \partial_j u_i)$ is of interest as some mathematical properties of the solution may be deduced from it. Equations of this type will appear repeatedly in the text.

The structure of the present work is as follows. In section 2 the main equations of the model are derived, and the initial value formulation is outlined. In particular, the constraints equations are characterized. In section 3 the use of harmonic coordinates is clarified, and it is shown that they are locally consistent if some initial conditions are imposed. This system of initial conditions contains two equations which are not present in GR due to the higher order nature of the equations. The set of constraints found agree with the ones in [3], although the technique is not completely the same. On the other hand, it is shown that there is one constraint which is redundant, as it follows directly from the equations of motion. In section 4 the degrees of freedom of the theory are clarified. This material is not new, but it contains some intuitions that are helpful for understanding the procedure

employed in section 5. The reader interested in the formal aspects may skip this section and still be able to understand our mathematical procedure. In section 5 the evolution equations for the model are analyzed, and a reduction of order procedure is employed in order to convert the system into one of the form (1.1). Once this is achieved, the non linearity is characterized and it is shown that it satisfy a technical condition namely, x-compactness. This condition allows to make statements about the mathematical nature of the solution, which are collected in the conclusions at section 6. Some technical details employed throughout the text are outlined in the appendix.

2. The equations of motion of the Stelle quadratic gravity model

2.1 The main equations

The action of the higher derivative models considered in references [1]-[2] is the following

$$S = \int \left[\frac{1}{16\pi G_N} R + \alpha R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} + \beta R^2 \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4x + S_m. \quad (2.2)$$

Here S_m is the matter lagrangian and α and β are parameters whose values are fixed by the unknown physics at high energy scales. The equation of motions that are derived from this action are given by [1]-[2]

$$H_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} G_{\mu\nu} + E_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.3)$$

Here $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the standard Einstein tensor

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.4)$$

and the quantity $E_{\mu\nu}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mu\nu} = & (\alpha - 2\beta) \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu R - \alpha \square R_{\mu\nu} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha - 2\beta \right) g_{\mu\nu} \square R + 2\alpha R^{\alpha\beta} R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta} \\ & - 2\beta R R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} (\alpha R_{\alpha\beta} R^{\alpha\beta} - \beta R^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

In addition the identity $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ implies that $\nabla_\mu H^{\mu\nu} = 0$. This is an important identity for proving that harmonic coordinates are consistent, as it will be discussed below.

For the present discussion, it will be convenient to express the equations of motion (2.3) in several equivalent forms. The following discussion is focused on the vacuum case $T_{\mu\nu}=0$, although several aspects may be generalized when matter fields are present. First of all, it is not difficult to prove that equations (2.3) may be expressed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} (R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu}) - 2\beta R (R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu}) + (2\beta - \alpha) (g_{\mu\nu} \square - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu) R \\ & + \alpha \square (R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu}) + 2\alpha (R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} R_{\rho\sigma}) (R^{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\sigma} R) \\ & + \alpha (R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R) R + \frac{1}{4} (\alpha - 4\beta) g_{\mu\nu} R^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

It may be still convenient to write the last expression in terms of the Einstein tensor (2.4) as much as possible. A convenient expression is as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \square G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} G_{\mu\nu} + (\alpha - 2\beta) R G_{\mu\nu} + (2\beta - \alpha)(g_{\mu\nu} \square - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu) R \\ + 2\alpha(R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu} G_{\rho\sigma})G^{\rho\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - 2\beta)g_{\mu\nu}R^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The Einstein tensor is an expression of second order in terms of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Thus, the equations (2.7) are of fourth order for the unknowns $g_{\mu\nu}$.

2.2 The initial constraints of the model

In standard GR, some of the Einstein equations, when projected over an initial spatial surface, become of first order and are interpreted as constraints for the initial data. The task now is to see that this situation holds for Stelle gravity and to identify the initial constraints.

Assume that a globally hyperbolic solution (M, g) of (2.7) has been constructed. Then the space-time M can be foliated by spatial hypersurfaces Σ_t parametrized by a global time function t , which has a never vanishing gradient [36]-[42]. Let n_a a unit vector orthogonal to the hypersurfaces Σ_t . Then the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ induces an spatial metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ in Σ_t given by

$$h_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} + n_\mu \otimes n_\nu.$$

The vector t^μ defined by the condition $t^\mu \nabla_\mu t = 1$ represents the flow of time t in the space time (M, g) . Its spatial and time components are

$$N_\mu = h_{\mu\nu} t^\nu, \quad N = -t^\mu n_\mu,$$

respectively. The quantity N_μ is known as the shift vector and N as the lapse function. Given a generic vector A^μ , it can be decomposed as $A^\mu = n^\mu A_o + A_t$ where the first part is orthogonal to Σ and A_t is the tangent part. The quantity h_ν^μ is a projector over the tangent space $T\Sigma$, that is, $h_\nu^\mu A^\nu = A_t^\mu$. An analogous form holds for tensor fields $A_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_l}$. As is well known, the quantity $G_{\mu\nu} n^\nu|_{t=0}$ is of first order in time derivatives [39]. More precisely, the spatial and time components of this contracted quantity at Σ are given by

$$G_{\mu\nu} n^\mu h_\alpha^\nu = D_\mu k_\alpha^\mu - D_\alpha k_\mu^\mu, \quad G_{\mu\nu} n^\mu n^\nu = \frac{1}{2}[R^{(3)} + (k_\mu^\mu)^2 - k_{\mu\nu} k^{\mu\nu}]. \quad (2.8)$$

Here the following quantity

$$k_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_n h_{\mu\nu},$$

has been introduced, with \mathcal{L}_n the standard Lie derivative along n . In addition $R^{(3)}$ is the curvature corresponding to $h_{\mu\nu}$ and D_α is the corresponding three dimensional covariant derivative. These objects are defined on the tangent space $T\Sigma$ of the surface Σ corresponding to the time $t = 0$, are of first order with respect with the time derivative ∂_t . Details can be found in the standard textbooks

[36]-[42], or in the extensive reference [43]. Given these expressions, it is tempting to consider the projection of (2.7) on the customary directions of GR. Consider for instance the Stelle's equations projected on the nn directions

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha n^\mu n^\nu \square G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} n^\mu n^\nu G_{\mu\nu} + (\alpha - 2\beta) n^\mu n^\nu G_{\mu\nu} R + (2\beta - \alpha) n^\mu n^\nu (g_{\mu\nu} \square - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu) R \\ + 2\alpha (n^\mu n^\nu R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} - \frac{1}{4} n^\mu n^\nu g_{\mu\nu} G_{\rho\sigma}) G^{\rho\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha - 2\beta) n^\mu n^\nu g_{\mu\nu} R^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Since $G_{\mu\nu}$ and R are expressions with at most two derivatives, the only terms that may be dangerous are the ones related to the D Alambertian \square or to the covariant derivatives ∇_α . However, from the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta (G_{\mu\nu} n^\mu n^\nu) = n^\mu n^\nu \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta G_{\mu\nu} + (n^\nu \nabla_\alpha n^\mu + n^\nu \nabla_\alpha n^\mu) \nabla_\beta G_{\mu\nu} + (n^\nu \nabla_\beta n^\mu + n^\nu \nabla_\beta n^\mu) \nabla_\alpha G_{\mu\nu} \\ + (n^\mu \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta n^\nu + \nabla_\alpha n^\mu \nabla_\beta n^\nu + \nabla_\alpha n^\nu \nabla_\beta n^\mu + n^\nu \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta n^\mu) G_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned}$$

it can be deduced easily that

$$\begin{aligned} n^\mu n^\nu \square G_{\mu\nu} = \square G_{\mu\nu} n^\mu n^\nu - g^{\alpha\beta} (n^\nu \nabla_\alpha n^\mu + n^\nu \nabla_\alpha n^\mu) \nabla_\beta G_{\mu\nu} - g^{\alpha\beta} (n^\nu \nabla_\beta n^\mu + n^\nu \nabla_\beta n^\mu) \nabla_\alpha G_{\mu\nu} \\ - (n^\mu \square n^\nu + g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha n^\mu \nabla_\beta n^\nu + g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha n^\nu \nabla_\beta n^\mu + n^\nu \square n^\mu) G_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Since $G_{\mu\nu} n^\mu n^\nu$ contains no second time derivatives, the first term in the left in expression (2.10) contains at most third time derivatives. The other terms are clearly also of order lower than four in time derivatives. In addition one has that

$$\begin{aligned} (n^\nu n^\mu g_{\mu\nu} \square - n^\mu n^\nu \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu) R = (\square - n^\mu n^\nu \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu) R \\ = [(h^{\alpha\beta} + n^\alpha n^\beta) \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta - n^\mu n^\nu \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu] R = h^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta R = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

which clearly does not contains second time derivatives of R and therefore is at most of third order. From (2.10)-(2.11) it follows that (2.9) is an expression of third order with respect to time derivatives, and therefore it is a constraint.

Consider now the projection nh

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha n^\mu h^\nu_\alpha \square G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} n^\mu h^\nu_\alpha G_{\mu\nu} + (\alpha - 2\beta) n^\mu h^\nu_\alpha G_{\mu\nu} R + (2\beta - \alpha) n^\mu h^\nu_\alpha (g_{\mu\nu} \square - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu) R \\ + 2\alpha (n^\mu h^\nu_\alpha R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} - \frac{1}{4} n^\mu h^\nu_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} G_{\rho\sigma}) G^{\rho\sigma} + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha - 2\beta) n^\mu h^\nu_\alpha g_{\mu\nu} R^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

By use of an argument similar to the one leading to (2.10) it can be deduced that

$$\begin{aligned} n^\mu h^\nu_\alpha \square G_{\mu\nu} = \square G_{\mu\nu} n^\mu h^\nu_\alpha - g^{\gamma\beta} (n^\mu \nabla_\gamma h^\nu_\alpha + h^\nu_\alpha \nabla_\gamma n^\mu) \nabla_\beta G_{\mu\nu} - g^{\gamma\beta} (h^\nu_\alpha \nabla_\beta n^\mu + h^\nu_\alpha \nabla_\beta n^\mu) \nabla_\gamma G_{\mu\nu} \\ - (n^\mu \square h^\nu_\alpha + g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha n^\mu \nabla_\beta h^\nu_\alpha + g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_\alpha h^\nu_\alpha \nabla_\beta n^\mu + h^\nu_\alpha \square n^\mu) G_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

which, by taking into account that $n^\mu h_\alpha^\nu G_{\mu\nu}$ is an expression involving first time derivatives, shows that $n^\mu h_\alpha^\nu \square G_{\mu\nu}$ is at most of third order. In addition, as $h_\mu^\alpha g_{\alpha\nu} = h_{\mu\nu}$ and $n^\mu h_{\mu\nu} = 0$ by the orthogonality condition, it follows that

$$n^\mu h_\alpha^\nu (g_{\mu\nu} \square - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu) R = -n^\mu h_\alpha^\nu \nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu R = n^\mu D_\alpha^{(3)} \nabla_\mu R,$$

where in the last step the fact that $\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu = \nabla_\nu \nabla_\mu$ acting on scalar functions has been taken into account. The operator $D_\alpha^{(3)}$ contains no time derivatives and therefore the last is an expression at most of third order in time derivatives. Thus (2.12) is also a constraint. Its explicit form is

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha n^\mu h_\alpha^\nu \square G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} n^\mu h_\alpha^\nu G_{\mu\nu} + (\alpha - 2\beta) n^\mu h_\alpha^\nu G_{\mu\nu} R \\ - (2\beta - \alpha) n^\mu D_\alpha^{(3)} \nabla_\nu R + 2\alpha n^\mu h_\alpha^\nu R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} G^{\rho\sigma} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Thus, the initial conditions for the equations (2.7) are composed as follows. First, define an spatial hypersurface Σ corresponding to the time $t = 0$. On this surface introduce an initial metric $g_{(0)\mu\nu} = h_{(0)\mu\nu} + n_{(0)\mu} \otimes n_{(0)\nu}$ together with three symmetric quantities $k_{(0)\mu\nu}$, $G_{(0)\mu\nu}$ and $K_{(0)\mu\nu}$, in such a way that ¹

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} &= g_{(0)\mu\nu}, & \mathcal{L}_n h_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} &= k_{\mu\nu}, \\ G_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} &= G_{(0)\mu\nu}, & n^\alpha \nabla_\alpha G_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} &= K_{(0)\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

The first two formulas in (2.15) are the same as in GR, the last two are new and define the second and the third time derivatives of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ respectively. Note that the value of R on Σ is defined by this information, since R is proportional to the trace of $G_{\mu\nu}$. The quantities $g_{(0)\mu\nu} = h_{(0)\mu\nu} + n_{(0)\mu} \otimes n_{(0)\nu}$, $k_{(0)\mu\nu}$, $G_{(0)\mu\nu}$ and $K_{(0)\mu\nu}$ are not arbitrary, but related by the constraints (2.9) and (2.14). These quantities are the initial data for constructing a globally hyperbolic solution (M, g) .

3. The equations of motion in harmonic coordinates

The dynamical equations of the model are the six spatial components of (2.7). The remaining ones, as shown in the previous section are simply constraints. The degrees of freedom are the ten components $g_{\mu\nu}$, which shows that the system is undetermined. This reflects the invariance of the model under diffeomorphisms. In order to remove this ambiguity one is forced to make a choice of coordinates. One choice that is successful in GR are the harmonic coordinates. Their advantage is that, in these coordinates, the Einstein equations take the form (1.1). Of course, this conclusion does not follow directly for the present case, since the equations are of higher order. However, as it will be seen below, by use of these coordinates and by use of the known procedure of order reduction, the resulting equations will be of the type (1.1). Once this is understood, several properties of the solution will follow.

¹The last condition may be replaced by $\mathcal{L}_n G_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} = K'_{(0)\mu\nu}$. But from the known expression $\mathcal{L}_n T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_2} = n^\mu \nabla_\nu T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_l} + \sum_{i=1}^k T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_l} \nabla_{\mu_i} n^\sigma - \sum_{i=1}^k T_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k}^{\nu_1 \dots \nu_l} \nabla_\sigma n^{\mu_i}$, it follows that both data give the same information.

3.1 The consistency of the use of harmonic coordinates

Recall that, for a given space time (M, g) with a generic coordinate system x^μ for which the components of the metric are $g_{\mu\nu}$, the Ricci tensor is given by the general formula

$$R_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu} + Q_{\mu\nu}(g, \partial g) + \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\beta}\partial_\nu F^\beta + g_{\nu\beta}\partial_\mu F^\beta), \quad (3.16)$$

where $Q_{\mu\nu}(g, \partial g)$ is a quantity which depends on the metric and its first derivatives. Its explicit form is

$$Q^{\mu\nu} = g^{\alpha\beta}[\Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\mu\partial_\beta g^{\nu\gamma} + \Gamma_{\alpha\gamma}^\nu\partial_\beta g^{\mu\gamma} - 2\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma\partial_\gamma g^{\nu\mu}].$$

In addition

$$F^\alpha = g^{\mu\nu}\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}\left(\sqrt{-g}g^{\alpha\beta}\right), \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (3.17)$$

The so named harmonic coordinates are those for which $F^\alpha = 0$, and these are the ones to be employed in the following. It is perfectly clear from the previous formulas that the Ricci tensor in harmonic coordinates is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu}^F &= -\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu} + Q_{\mu\nu}(g, \partial g), & R^F &= -\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\sigma\rho}\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta g_{\sigma\rho} + Q(g, \partial g). \\ G_{\mu\nu}^F &= -\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\sigma\rho}\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta g_{\sigma\rho} + Q_{\mu\nu}(g, \partial g) - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}Q(g, \partial g) \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

From these formulas it follows that

$$R_{\mu\nu}^F = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\beta}\partial_\nu F^\beta + g_{\nu\beta}\partial_\mu F^\beta), \quad R^F = R - \partial_\alpha F^\alpha \quad (3.19)$$

$$G_{\mu\nu}^F = G_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\beta}\partial_\nu F^\beta + g_{\nu\beta}\partial_\mu F^\beta - g_{\mu\nu}\partial_\alpha F^\alpha). \quad (3.20)$$

The previous discussion shows that the Ricci tensor in harmonic coordinates ($F^\alpha = 0$) becomes a quasi-diagonal second-order operator for the components of g , since it has the form $2R_{\mu\nu} = -g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu} + 2Q_{\mu\nu}$, where the last contains only first order terms. If the harmonic condition $F^\alpha = 0$ would not be fulfilled, then further second order terms will appear, the expression for $R_{\mu\nu}$ won't be quasi-diagonal and the application of the techniques derived from (1.1) will be obstructed.

The previous discussion suggest that to impose the condition $F^\alpha = 0$ may be of practical convenience. However, it may not be legitimate to assume that the harmonic condition $F^\alpha = 0$ holds. It may happen that the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ obtained as a solution of the equations of motion (2.7) is such that $F^\alpha \neq 0$ holds during the evolution of the system, even if initially $F^\alpha|_{t=0} = 0$. If this is so, the choice $F^\alpha = 0$ is clearly inconsistent. Thus, one should derive the equations that describe the evolution of the quantity F^α in order to understand that, given suitable initial conditions, the solution is $F^\alpha = 0$ for all future times.

The evolution equations for F^α are derived as follows. The equation (2.3) in any coordinate system is given by

$$H_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu} + (\alpha - 2\beta)RG_{\mu\nu} + (2\beta - \alpha)(g_{\mu\nu}\square - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu)R$$

$$+ \alpha \square G_{\mu\nu} + \left[2\alpha R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} - \frac{\alpha}{2} g_{\mu\nu} G_{\sigma\rho} \right] G^{\sigma\rho} + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha - 2\beta) g_{\mu\nu} R^2 = T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.21)$$

while in harmonic coordinates the equation that one solves is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mu\nu}^F &= G_{\mu\nu}^F + (\alpha - 2\beta) R^F G_{\mu\nu}^F + (2\beta - \alpha) (g_{\mu\nu} \square^F - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu) R^F \\ &+ \alpha \square^F G_{\mu\nu}^F + \left[2\alpha R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} - \frac{\alpha}{2} g_{\mu\nu} G_{\sigma\rho}^F \right] G^{F\sigma\rho} + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha - 2\beta) g_{\mu\nu} (R^F)^2 = T_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Here the notation \square^F requires a short explanation. The laplacian acting on any scalar function, in particular on R , is given in local coordinates by

$$\square R = g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta R + F^\alpha R.$$

On the other hand if the harmonic coordinate condition (3.17) is imposed, then the second term is zero. Thus, one has the simple formula

$$\square^F R^F = g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta R^F.$$

Analogous considerations follow for $\square^F G_{\mu\nu}^F$, but taking into account the action of the D' Alambertian on a tensor like $G_{\mu\nu}$ is slightly more complicated than for scalar fields. However, an inspection of the relevant formulas shows that, even for this situation, $\square^F T_{\mu\nu} = \square T_{\mu\nu} - F^\alpha \partial_\alpha T_{\mu\nu}$.

Based on these facts, the evolution equations for F^α are derived as follows. Assume that a particular solution $g_{\mu\nu}$ of (3.22) has been found. The tensor $H_{\mu\nu}$ is divergence free, this is a geometrical identity, the analogous of $\nabla^\mu G_{\mu\nu} = 0$ in GR for the present model. The energy momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ is divergence free (in particular, the tensor $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$). Therefore $\nabla^\mu H_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and, from (3.22), it also follows that $\nabla^\mu H_{\mu\nu}^F = 0$. The difference therefore must satisfy $\nabla^\mu (H_{\mu\nu} - H_{\mu\nu}^F) = 0$. This difference is explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \delta H_{\mu\nu} &= H_{\mu\nu} - H_{\mu\nu}^F = \delta G_{\mu\nu} + \alpha \square \delta G_{\mu\nu} - \alpha F^\delta \partial_\delta G_{\mu\nu}^F + (2\beta - \alpha) (\partial_\alpha F^\alpha G_{\mu\nu} + R^F \delta G_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\alpha F^\alpha \delta G_{\mu\nu}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (\alpha - 2\beta) g_{\mu\nu} (2R^F \partial_\alpha F^\alpha + \partial_\alpha F^\alpha \partial_\beta F^\beta) + \left[2\alpha R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} - \frac{\alpha}{2} g_{\mu\nu} G_{\sigma\rho}^F \right] \delta G^{\sigma\rho} - \frac{\alpha}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \delta G_{\sigma\rho} G^{F\sigma\rho} \\ &- \frac{\alpha}{2} g_{\mu\nu} \delta G_{\sigma\rho} \delta G^{\sigma\rho} + (2\beta - \alpha) (g_{\mu\nu} \square - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu) (\partial_\alpha F^\alpha) + (2\beta - \alpha) g_{\mu\nu} F^\alpha \partial_\alpha R^F. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

The expression for $\delta G_{\mu\nu}$ in the last expression can be read off from (3.20), the result is

$$\delta G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{\mu\beta} \partial_\nu F^\beta + g_{\nu\beta} \partial_\mu F^\beta - g_{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha F^\alpha). \quad (3.24)$$

If the last definition is introduced into the expression for $\delta H_{\mu\nu}$ derived above, then after imposing that $\nabla^\mu \delta H_{\mu\nu} = 0$ a fourth order equation for F^α is obtained, which may be difficult to deal with.

The previous drawback may be sorted out by converting the previous system into a larger system of lower order. The idea is to add new variables, denoted as η_α , in order to convert the system in some of the form

$$g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial^2 \eta_q}{\partial x^\mu \partial x^\nu} = f_q(\eta_l, \partial_\mu \eta_l). \quad (3.25)$$

It is also important to insure that the non linearity in the right hand side is such $f_q(0,0) = 0$. If this is so, then the second derivatives will also be zero. If the non linearity $f_q(\eta_l, \partial_\mu \eta_l)$ is suitable enough, then by taking further derivatives in (3.25) one may deduce that all the derivatives of n_α are zero and thus in particular, $F^\mu = 0$. In order to achieve this, take the divergence of $\delta G_{\mu\nu}$ in (3.24) in order to obtain that

$$g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu F^\alpha + A_\gamma^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta F^\gamma = g^{\alpha\nu} \nabla_\mu \delta G_\nu^\mu. \quad (3.26)$$

Here the quantities $A_\gamma^{\alpha\beta}$ are local functions of the space time coordinates, whose explicit expression will not be important in the following. The condition $\nabla^\mu \delta H_{\mu\nu} = 0$ is translated into

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \square \nabla_\mu (\delta G_\nu^\mu) + L_\nu(R_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha, \partial_\alpha F^\beta, \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta F^\gamma) + \nabla_\mu (\delta G_\nu^\mu) + (2\beta - \alpha) \left[G_\nu^\beta \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta F^\alpha + \delta G_\nu^\beta \partial_\beta R^F \right. \\ & \left. + R^F \nabla_\mu (\delta G_\nu^\mu) + \delta G_\nu^\beta \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta F^\alpha + (\partial_\alpha F^\alpha) \nabla_\mu (\delta G_\nu^\mu) \right] + (\alpha - 2\beta) (R^F \partial_\alpha \partial_\nu F^\alpha + \partial_\alpha F^\alpha \partial_\nu R^F + \partial_\beta F^\beta \partial_\alpha \partial_\nu F^\alpha) \\ & + \delta G^{\sigma\rho} \nabla^\mu \left[2\alpha R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} - \frac{\alpha}{2} g_{\mu\nu} G_{\sigma\rho}^F \right] + \left[2\alpha R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} - \frac{\alpha}{2} g_{\mu\nu} G_{\sigma\rho}^F \right] \nabla^\mu \delta G^{\sigma\rho} - \frac{\alpha}{2} G^{F\sigma\rho} \nabla_\nu \delta G_{\sigma\rho} - \frac{\alpha}{2} \delta G_{\sigma\rho} \nabla_\nu G^{F\sigma\rho} \\ & - \alpha \delta G_{\sigma\rho} \nabla_\nu \delta G^{\sigma\rho} + (2\beta - \alpha) R_\nu^\delta \partial_\delta \partial_\alpha F^\alpha + (2\beta - \alpha) (\partial_\nu F^\alpha) \partial_\alpha R^F + (2\beta - \alpha) F^\alpha \nabla_\nu \partial_\alpha R^F = 0. \quad (3.27) \end{aligned}$$

When taking the divergence $\nabla^\mu \delta H_{\mu\nu}$ one has to remind that $\nabla^\mu \square G_{\mu\nu} \neq \square \nabla^\mu G_{\mu\nu}$. This induces in (3.27) the terms $L_\nu(R_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha, \partial_\alpha F^\beta, \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta F^\gamma)$, which are linear in combinations in both $\partial_\alpha F^\beta$ and $\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta F^\gamma$. The explicit form of these terms is not important, but it is important that $L_\nu(R_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha, 0, 0) = 0$. Note that the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ is not an unknown, since it is constructed in terms of the solutions of $H_{\mu\nu}^F = T_{\mu\nu}$. Therefore, the curvature in $L_\nu(R_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha, \partial_\alpha F^\beta, \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta F^\gamma)$ is not an unknown. In addition, one has to use the formula (3.20) and to replace F^α and its first derivatives $\partial_\alpha F^\beta$ into expressions such as $\nabla_\mu \delta G_{\rho\sigma}$, but not into the divergence $\nabla_\mu (\delta G_\nu^\mu)$. The last is interpreted as a new variable. The resulting system composed by the equations (3.26)-(3.27) is of second order in the variables $\nabla_\mu G_\nu^\mu$ and F^α , which is the desired feature.

However, there is a apparent problem with the previous procedure. In the system (3.26)-(3.27) there are still terms such as $\partial_\beta F^\beta \partial_\alpha \partial_\nu F^\alpha$ obstructing this system to be of the form (3.25). This problem can be avoided by adding new independent variables and equations to the given system. For this, one has to introduce the new set of variables $\Phi_\beta^\alpha = \partial_\beta F^\alpha$ namely, the partial derivatives of F^α . After the introduction of these variables one should derive (3.26) and add the result to the system. The resulting equations are now

$$g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu F^\alpha = -A_\gamma^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta F^\gamma + g^{\alpha\nu} \nabla_\mu \delta G_\nu^\mu, \quad (3.28)$$

$$g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \Phi_\gamma^\alpha = -(\partial_\gamma g^{\mu\nu}) \partial_\mu \Phi_\nu^\alpha - A_\delta^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \Phi_\gamma^\delta - A_{\delta\gamma}^{\alpha\beta} \Phi_\beta^\gamma + (\partial_\gamma g^{\alpha\nu}) \nabla_\mu \delta G_\nu^\mu + g^{\alpha\nu} \partial_\gamma (\nabla_\mu \delta G_\nu^\mu), \quad (3.29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \square \nabla_\mu (\delta G_\nu^\mu) = -L_\nu(R_{\beta\gamma\delta}^\alpha, \Phi_\alpha^\beta, \partial_\alpha \Phi_\beta^\gamma) - \nabla_\mu (\delta G_\nu^\mu) - (2\beta - \alpha) \left[G_\nu^\beta \partial_\alpha \Phi_\beta^\alpha + \delta G_\nu^\beta \partial_\beta R^F \right. \\ & \left. + R^F \nabla_\mu (\delta G_\nu^\mu) + \delta G_\nu^\beta \partial_\alpha \Phi_\beta^\alpha + \Phi_\alpha^\alpha \nabla_\mu (\delta G_\nu^\mu) \right] - (\alpha - 2\beta) (R^F \partial_\alpha \Phi_\nu^\alpha + \Phi_\alpha^\alpha \partial_\nu R^F + \Phi_\beta^\beta \partial_\alpha \Phi_\nu^\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\delta G^{\sigma\rho}\nabla^\mu\left[2\alpha R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma}-\frac{\alpha}{2}g_{\mu\nu}G_{\sigma\rho}^F\right]-\left[2\alpha R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma}-\frac{\alpha}{2}g_{\mu\nu}G_{\sigma\rho}^F\right]\nabla^\mu\delta G^{\sigma\rho}+\frac{\alpha}{2}G^{F\sigma\rho}\nabla_\nu\delta G_{\sigma\rho} \\
& +\frac{\alpha}{2}\delta G_{\sigma\rho}\nabla_\nu G^{F\sigma\rho}+\alpha\delta G_{\sigma\rho}\nabla_\nu\delta G^{\sigma\rho}-(2\beta-\alpha)[R^\delta{}_\nu\partial_\delta\Phi_\alpha^\alpha+\Phi_\nu^\alpha\partial_\alpha R^F+F^\alpha\nabla_\nu\partial_\alpha R^F]. \tag{3.30}
\end{aligned}$$

As before, one has to express expressions such as $\nabla_\mu\delta G_{\rho\sigma}$ or δG_ρ^σ in (3.27) in terms of F^α and Φ_α^β , but leaving $\nabla_\mu(\delta G_\nu^\mu)$ untouched. Once this prescription is used, define the vector composed by the unknowns

$$\eta_\alpha=(F^\beta,\Phi_\gamma^\beta,\nabla_\mu\delta G_\nu^\mu). \tag{3.31}$$

Then the system becomes of the form (3.25) with $f_q(0,0)=0$. The non linearity can be read from the right hand of the expressions (3.28)-(3.30). For instance, from (3.28) one sees that

$$f_1(\eta_\alpha,\partial_\mu\eta_\alpha)=-A_\gamma^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta F^\gamma+g^{\alpha\nu}\nabla_\mu\delta G_\nu^\mu,$$

and that $f_1(0,0)=0$. The same argument follows from the other non linearities. In addition, it can be seen that all the non linearities are multipolynomials in the variables η_α and $\partial_\mu\eta_\alpha$, with well behaved derivatives. From there it can be seen that if initially $\eta_\alpha|_{t=0}=\partial_t\eta_\alpha|_{t=0}=0$, then all the derivatives of η_α will be zero and the solution will be zero, at least locally.

It is therefore concluded that $F^\alpha=0$ during the space time evolution if the initial constraints described above are satisfied. Nevertheless, as it will be discussed below, these constraints are too restrictive and some of them can be relaxed without spoiling the harmonic behaviour.

3.2 The initial conditions for harmonic coordinates

The previous discussion sets the initial conditions for the use of harmonic coordinates. Consider the space time (M,g) , assumed to be globally hyperbolic. In other words, it is foliated by a Cauchy surfaces Σ_t determined in terms of a regular scalar function t , where regular means that its gradient is never vanishing. Denote the initial surface at $t=0$ as Σ . At Σ the initial conditions $\eta_\alpha|_{t=0}=\partial_t\eta_\alpha|_{t=0}=0$ for (3.31) are translated into

$$\begin{aligned}
F^\alpha|_{t=0}=0, & \quad \dot{F}^\alpha|_{t=0}=0, \\
\nabla_\nu\delta G_\mu^\nu|_{t=0}=0, & \quad (\nabla_\nu\dot{\delta G}_\mu^\nu)|_{t=0}=0. \tag{3.32}
\end{aligned}$$

It is important to remark that the constraints related to the quantity $\Phi_\alpha^\beta=\partial_\alpha F^\beta$ defined in (3.29) has not been included. These constraints are $\Phi_\alpha^\beta|_{t=0}=0$ and $\dot{\Phi}_\alpha^\beta|_{t=0}=0$. Since the spatial derivatives of F^α on the initial surface Σ are all zero, $\Phi_\alpha^\beta|_{t=0}=0$ gives the same information as the second (3.32) and $\dot{\Phi}_\alpha^\beta|_{t=0}=0$ gives the same information as the third (3.32), thus they can be omitted.

The numbers of constraints (3.32) is larger than in GR, in fact the last three conditions (3.32) are not present in the Einstein theory. This reflects the fact that the Stelle model is of higher order, and further initial conditions should be imposed. In order to see their meaning, recall the formula (3.26) for $\nabla_\nu\delta G_\mu^\nu$. As initially $\partial_i F^\alpha$ and $\partial_t F^\alpha=0$ the third constraint in (3.32) shows that $\partial_t^2 F^\alpha=0$ at $t=0$. The remaining constraint shows that $\partial_t^3 F^\alpha=0$. Once these constraints are satisfied, then

$F^\alpha = 0$ during the evolution. However, there is an odd feature in these constraints. The quantities F^α contains first time derivatives of the metric g and therefore the last condition $\partial_t^3 F^\alpha = 0$ may contain fourth time derivatives of the metric. But the equations of motion (2.7) are of fourth order, and this may indicate an inconsistency of the use of harmonic coordinates, unless it follows from directly as a consequence of the equations of motion.

The problem raised above would be solved if, given the initial conditions $F^\alpha = \partial_t F^\alpha = \partial_t^2 F^\alpha = 0$ at Σ , the condition $\partial_t^3 F^\alpha = 0$ comes out as a consequence of (2.7). In order to analyse this point, recall that the equation that one solves is $H_{\mu\nu}^F = 0$, with the constraints (2.9)-(2.14). Thus the equations of motion are equivalent to $H_{\mu\nu} = \delta H_{\mu\nu}$. The initial constraints are $n^\mu n^\nu H_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} = 0$ together with $n^\mu h_\alpha^\nu H_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} = 0$. Thus, the conditions to be employed are

$$n^\mu n^\nu \delta H_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} = 0, \quad n^\mu h_\alpha^\nu \delta H_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} = 0. \quad (3.33)$$

In order to impose this conditions, one should the explicit expression $\delta H_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0}$. If initially $F^\alpha = 0$ and $\partial_\mu F^\alpha = 0$, then it follows from (3.23) that

$$\delta H_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} = \alpha \square \delta G_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} + (2\beta - \alpha)(g_{\mu\nu} \square - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu)(\partial_\alpha F^\alpha)|_{t=0}.$$

Since

$$\nabla_\nu \nabla_\nu \partial_\alpha F^\alpha = \partial_\nu \partial_\mu \partial_\alpha F^\alpha + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\beta \partial_\beta \partial_\alpha F^\alpha = \delta_{\mu t} \delta_{\nu t} \partial_t^3 F^t + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^t \partial_t^2 F^\alpha,$$

it follows from the harmonic condition $F^\alpha = \Gamma^\alpha = 0$ at $t = 0$ that

$$\square \partial_\alpha F^\alpha|_{t=0} = g^{\gamma\delta} \partial_\gamma \partial_\delta \partial_\alpha F^\alpha|_{t=0} = g^{00} \partial_t^3 F^0|_{t=0}.$$

Furthermore, it is seen that

$$\nabla_\alpha \nabla_\beta G_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\alpha [\partial_\beta G_{\mu\nu} + \Gamma_{\beta\mu}^\gamma G_{\gamma\nu} + \Gamma_{\beta\nu}^\gamma G_{\gamma\mu} + \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\gamma G_{\gamma\beta}] = \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta G_{\mu\nu} + L_1(G_{\gamma\delta}) + L_2(\partial_\epsilon G_{\gamma\delta}),$$

where L_i are homogeneous and of first order in the quantities related to $G_{\gamma\delta}$. Since

$$\delta G_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(g_{\mu\beta} \partial_\nu F^\beta + g_{\nu\beta} \partial_\mu F^\beta - g_{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha F^\alpha),$$

it follows that $\delta G_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} = 0$.

Assume that $\partial_t^2 F^\alpha|_{t=0} = 0$, the task is to show that $\partial_t^3 F^\alpha|_{t=0} = 0$ due to the initial constraints for the equation of motion. First of all, note that this condition implies that $\partial_t \delta G_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} = 0$. Furthermore

$$\nabla_\nu \nabla_\nu \partial_\alpha F^\alpha|_{t=0} = \delta_{\mu 0} \delta_{\nu 0} \partial_t^3 F^0|_{t=0}.$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta H_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} &= \alpha \square \delta G_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} + (2\beta - \alpha)(g_{\mu\nu} \square - \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu)(\partial_\alpha F^\alpha)|_{t=0} = g^{00} \partial_t^2 \delta G_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} \\ &+ (2\beta - \alpha)(g_{\mu\nu} g^{00} \partial_t^3 - \delta_{\mu 0} \delta_{\nu 0} \partial_t^3) F^t|_{t=0}. \end{aligned}$$

After deriving this formula, the next step is to impose (3.33). Since the quantities F^α are only time dependent in Σ , it is easy to see that it is enough to show that $\delta H_{0\mu}|_{t=0} = 0$. Suppose first that $g_{0i} = 0$. Then the projection of this equation into $0i$, taking into account the already assumed initial conditions, gives that

$$g^{00}\partial_t^2\delta G_{0i}|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}g^{00}\partial_t^2(g_{ij}\partial_t F^j - g_{0i}\partial_t F^0)|_{t=0} = \frac{1}{2}g^{00}g_{ij}\partial_t^3 F^j|_{t=0} = 0.$$

These are three homogeneous equations and, if the determinant of the spatial metric g_{ij} is non zero, then $\partial_t^3 F^j = 0$. The projection over 00 is

$$\begin{aligned} g^{00}\partial_t^2\delta G_{00}|_{t=0} + (2\beta - \alpha)(g_{00}g^{00}\partial_t^3 - \partial_t^3)F^t|_{t=0} &= g^{00}\partial_t^2\delta G_{\mu\nu}|_{t=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}g^{00}\partial_t^2(2g_{0\beta}\partial_t F^\beta - g_{00}\partial_t F^0)|_{t=0} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{00}g_{00}\partial_t^3 F^0|_{t=0} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where initial conditions up to second order have been taken into account. Thus, the condition $\partial_t^3 F^\alpha|_{t=0} = 0$ is just a consequence of the equations of motion and can be safely ignored if $g_{0i}|_{t=0} = 0$.

Consider now a situation for which $g_{0i}|_{t=0} \neq 0$. Then the equations 00 are

$$\begin{aligned} g^{00}\partial_t^2\delta G_{00}|_{t=0} + (2\beta - \alpha)(g_{00}g^{00}\partial_t^3 - \partial_t^3)F^t|_{t=0} &= \frac{1}{2}g^{00}(g_{00}\partial_t^3 F^0 - g_{0i}\partial_t^3 F^i)|_{t=0} \\ &+ (2\beta - \alpha)(g_{00}g^{00}\partial_t^3 - \partial_t^3)F^t|_{t=0} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The projection on $0i$ gives instead

$$\begin{aligned} g^{00}\partial_t^2\delta G_{0i}|_{t=0} + (2\beta - \alpha)g_{0i}g^{00}\partial_t^3 F^t|_{t=0} &= \frac{1}{2}(g_{i\beta}\partial_t^3 F^\beta - g_{0i}\partial_t^3 F^t)|_{t=0} \\ &+ (2\beta - \alpha)g_{0i}g^{00}\partial_t^3 F^t|_{t=0} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This system of equations is homogeneous and, for a generic initial metric, with non zero determinant. Therefore $\partial_t^3 F^\alpha|_{t=0} = 0$ when $g_{0i} \neq 0$.

It is concluded from the discussion above that the initial conditions for the harmonic gauge are

$$F^\alpha|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \dot{F}^\alpha|_{t=0} = 0, \quad \nabla_\nu \delta G_\mu^\nu|_{t=0} = 0, \quad (3.34)$$

or equivalently $F^\alpha|_{t=0} = \partial_t F^\alpha|_{t=0} = \partial_t^2 F^\alpha|_{t=0} = 0$ for $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$. The condition $\partial_t^3 F^\alpha|_{t=0} = 0$ is therefore redundant.

4. The degrees of freedom of the theory

The equations of motion (2.7) of the Stelle's model are non linear of fourth order for the unknown metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. A possible approach for dealing with these equations is to convert them into a larger system of lower order. Before to employ this procedure, it may be convenient to identify the degrees of freedom of the Stelle's model, since they may give a hint about which variables should be taken as independent. The material of this section is not mandatory, the non interested reader may skip to the next section. But the intuition of the mathematical procedures of the next sections are inspired from

the present analysis. The degrees of freedom were already classified in the well known reference [1]. But in the present section, the reference will be followed closely [18] since it is suited for our purposes.

In order to clarify the degrees of freedom of the theory, it is convenient to cast the action (2.2) into the following equivalent form

$$S = M_P^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2}R + \frac{1}{12m^2}R^2 + \frac{1}{4M^2}C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \right]. \quad (4.35)$$

The equivalence follows from the fact that the Gauss-Bonnet term $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2$ does not contribute to the equations of motion. The advantage of expressing the action in the form (4.35) is that the Weyl tensor

$$C_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} + R_{\mu[\alpha}g_{\nu]\beta} - R_{\beta[\alpha}g_{\nu]\mu} + \frac{1}{3}Rg_{\mu[\alpha}g_{\beta]\nu}, \quad (4.36)$$

is conformal invariant. This conformal invariance can be exploited to understand the degrees of freedom of the model. First of all, the last action is equivalent to the following one

$$S = M_P^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\phi}{3m^2} \right) R - \frac{1}{12m^2}\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4M^2}C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \right]. \quad (4.37)$$

The equivalence follows from the fact that the ϕ equation of motion gives that $\phi = R$, which upon substitution into (4.37) returns (4.35). A transformation of the metric of the form $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}$ has no effect on the Weyl invariant $C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ term. The specific choice

$$g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \frac{3m^2}{\phi + 3m^2} g_{\mu\nu},$$

followed by a field redefinition

$$\phi = 3m^2 \left(e^\psi - 1 \right), \quad (4.38)$$

gives that $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow e^{-\psi} g_{\mu\nu}$. The action in the new frame gives that

$$S = M_P^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2}R - \frac{3}{4}(\partial\psi)^2 - \frac{3}{4}m^2 e^{-2\psi} \left(e^\psi - 1 \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4M^2}C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \right].$$

Next, in order to eliminate the Weyl term squared part $C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$, one may introduce a symmetric dimensionless auxiliary tensor field $f_{\mu\nu}$. The action

$$S = M_P^2 \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{2}R - \frac{3}{4}(\partial\psi)^2 - \frac{3}{4}m^2 e^{-2\psi} \left(e^\psi - 1 \right)^2 + f^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}M^2 \left(f_{\mu\nu}f^{\mu\nu} - f_\rho^\rho f_\eta^\eta \right) \right], \quad (4.39)$$

where $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor of $g_{\mu\nu}$, and indices are always moved with $g_{\mu\nu}$. The $\Psi_{\mu\nu}$ equations of motion can be solved to give

$$f_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{M^2} \left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{6}Rg_{\mu\nu} \right).$$

When this is inserted into (4.39) the original action is obtained, up to a term proportional to the Gauss-Bonnet term $R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2$ which do not change the equations of motion.

The formulation in (4.39) is manifestly of second order. However, it is difficult to analyse the Cauchy problem for this action, since the auxiliary field $f_{\mu\nu}$ is not an scalar. However, a hint to deal

with the Cauchy formulation properly comes from the analysis of the degrees of freedom. Equipped with the action (4.39) consider the expansion to second order on the Minkowski background $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, $f_{\mu\nu} = 0$, $\psi = 0$. The perturbation is expressed as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}, \quad f_{\mu\nu} = \Psi_{\mu\nu}, \quad \psi = \chi,$$

where the quantities of the right are considered of first order. The expanded action is given by

$$S_2 = M_P^2 \int d^4x \left[-\frac{3}{4} ((\partial\chi)^2 + m^2\chi^2) + \frac{1}{8} h^{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{E}h)_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \Psi^{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{E}h)_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} M^2 (\Psi_{\mu\nu} \Psi^{\mu\nu} - \Psi_\rho^\rho \Psi_\eta^\eta) \right],$$

where

$$(\mathcal{E}h)_{\mu\nu} \equiv \square h_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu} \square h - 2\partial_{(\mu} \partial^\rho h_{\nu)\rho} + \partial_\mu \partial_\nu h + \eta_{\mu\nu} \partial^\rho \partial^\sigma h_{\rho\sigma}$$

is the graviton kinetic operator. The analogous quantity was introduced for the perturbation $\Psi_{\mu\nu}$. We may diagonalize the tensor kinetic terms with the field redefinition

$$h_{\mu\nu} = 2 (h'_{\mu\nu} + \Psi_{\mu\nu}),$$

after which it is obtained that

$$S = \int d^4x \left[-\frac{3}{4} ((\partial\chi)^2 - m^2\chi^2) + \frac{1}{2} h'^{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{E}h')_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \Psi^{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{E}\Psi)_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} M^2 (\Psi_{\mu\nu} \Psi^{\mu\nu} - \Psi_\rho^\rho \Psi_\eta^\eta) \right].$$

This is the linearized action that was found in [2]. It shows that the degrees of freedom are composed by an scalar field χ , a massless spin two field $h'_{\mu\nu}$ and an spin two massive field $\Psi_{\mu\nu}$ with opposite sign in the kinetic energy. One can switch the sign of the kinetic fields of the other field, but the instabilities would not be avoided.

5. The evolution of the metric in the Stelle quadratic gravity

5.1 Reduction of the equation of motion to a system of lower order

The previous discussion shows that the scalar degree of freedom $\Psi_{\mu\nu}$ corresponds to the combination

$$f_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{6} g_{\mu\nu} R.$$

On the other hand the fact that $\phi = R$ together with (4.38) shows that χ is proportional to the Ricci scalar R for small values. The massless scalar field $h'_{\mu\nu}$ corresponds to the graviton. In the following, it is convenient to make a redefinition and consider the traceless part of the metric

$$\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} R,$$

and the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the scalar curvature R as independent quantities. Knowing this, it may be convenient use the equations of motion (2.3) to derive a system in which the three quantities $g_{\mu\nu}$, R and $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}$ are considered as independent [3]. The equations derived here differ slightly with those of [3]. However, our conclusions agree with this reference. The advantage of our procedure is that we

are going to make explicit some terms which the author [3] do not specify. The explicit form of these terms is of importance for applying modern theorems, and for making statement about the regularity of the solutions of the model. In addition, they may be relevant for stability issues, as discussed below.

Before to derive the desired system, it will be convenient to express the equations of motion (2.7) as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{16\pi G_N}(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu}) - 2\beta R(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}Rg_{\mu\nu}) + (2\beta - \alpha)(g_{\mu\nu}\square - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu)R \\ + \alpha\square(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu}) + 2\alpha(R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}R_{\rho\sigma})R^{\rho\sigma} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

By taking the trace of (2.3) there appear some terms proportional to R^2 and to $R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}$, but all these terms cancel each other. The resulting equation is simply

$$2(3\beta - 2\alpha)\square R - \frac{1}{16\pi G_N}R = 0. \quad (5.41)$$

The equations for $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}$ can be found by subtracting from (5.40) the equation (5.41) multiplied by $g_{\mu\nu}/4$, and expressing everything in terms of $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}$. The result can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{16\pi G_N}(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}Rg_{\mu\nu}) - 2\beta R(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}Rg_{\mu\nu}) + (2\beta - \alpha)(\frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}\square - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu)R \\ + \alpha\square(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}Rg_{\mu\nu}) + 2\alpha(R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}R_{\rho\sigma})R^{\rho\sigma} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

or, alternatively, as this

$$\begin{aligned} (2\beta - \alpha)(\frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}\square - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu)R + \alpha\square\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{16\pi G_N}\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + (\alpha - 2\beta)R\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} \\ + 2\alpha(R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}\tilde{R}_{\rho\sigma})\tilde{R}^{\rho\sigma} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

By taking (5.41) into account, the last expression can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\alpha\square + \frac{1}{16\pi G_N}\right)\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + (2\beta - \alpha)\left[\frac{1}{128\pi G_N(3\beta - 2\alpha)}g_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\right]R + (\alpha - 2\beta)R\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} \\ + 2\alpha(R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}\tilde{R}_{\rho\sigma})\tilde{R}^{\rho\sigma} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.44)$$

Note that the trace of (5.44) is zero.

The equations (5.41)-(5.44) do not take $g_{\mu\nu}$, $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}$ and R as independent variables, which is the desired feature. But such system may be constructed starting with (5.41)-(5.44) as follows. Consider the identity

$$-\frac{1}{2}g^{\eta\delta}g_{\mu\nu,\eta\delta} + Q_{\mu\nu}(g, \partial g) = \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}^F + \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}R^F, \quad (5.45)$$

which is valid for harmonic coordinates. The meaning of this identity is transparent. The left hand side is the expression of the Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}^F$ in terms of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ in harmonic coordinates (3.18)-(3.20), and the right hand is the same quantity expressed in terms of $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}^F$ and R^F . This equation can be interpreted as a second order one for $g_{\mu\nu}$, and the quantities R^F and $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}^F$ are in the right hand side acting as sources. The remaining equations are obtained as follows. Replace in (5.40) the quantities

$2\beta R$ and $4R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} - g_{\mu\nu}R_{\rho\sigma}$ in terms of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, by assuming harmonic coordinates. Replace the other curvature expressions by its R^F or $R_{\mu\nu}^F$ counterparts. Then consider the traceless part and the trace part of the resulting equation by taking into account that $R^F = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}^F$. The result are the two following equations

$$2(3\beta - 2\alpha)\square R^F - \frac{1}{16\pi G_N}R^F - \alpha(g_{\mu\rho}\partial_\sigma F^\mu + g_{\mu\sigma}\partial_\rho F^\mu)(\tilde{R}^{F\rho\sigma} + \frac{1}{4}g^{\rho\sigma}R^F) = 0, \quad (5.46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha\square\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}^F - (2\beta - \alpha)\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu R^F + \frac{1}{16\pi G_N}\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}^F + \frac{(2\beta - \alpha)}{128\pi G_N(3\beta - 2\alpha)}g_{\mu\nu}R^F \\ & + (\alpha - 2\beta)\left[-g^{\alpha\beta}g^{\sigma\rho}g_{\sigma\rho,\alpha\beta} + 2Q(g, \partial g)\right]\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}^F + \frac{\alpha}{4}g_{\mu\nu}\left[-g^{\alpha\beta}g_{\rho\sigma,\alpha\beta} + 2Q_{\rho\sigma}(g, \partial g)\right]g^{\eta\rho}g^{\delta\sigma}\tilde{R}_{\eta\delta}^F \\ & + \alpha\left[g_{\rho\sigma,\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} - g_{\rho\nu,\mu\sigma} - g_{\mu\sigma,\rho\nu} + 2g_{\alpha\beta}(\Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\alpha\Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\beta - \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\alpha\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\beta)\right]g^{\eta\sigma}g^{\delta\rho}\tilde{R}_{\eta\delta}^F = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.47)$$

The term proportional to the derivatives $\partial_\alpha F^\beta$ in (5.46) arises due to the fact that $4R_{\mu\sigma\nu\rho} - g_{\mu\nu}R_{\rho\sigma}$ is traceless with respect to $\mu\nu$ for any coordinate system but instead $4R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma} - g_{\mu\nu}R_{\rho\sigma}^F$ is not. The expression for the trace follows from (3.16) and is the one inducing the last term in (5.46). However, these term may be neglected if the harmonic condition $F^\alpha = 0$ is employed.

The reader may doubt that the system composed by (5.45)-(5.47) corresponds to the true equations of motions (2.7). But in fact it does. The reason is that all the curvature quantities have been replaced by their harmonic coordinate expressions. If one construct the system derived without this restriction, and work out the difference between this and (5.45)-(5.47) then, by applying again arguments completely analogous to those between formulas (3.26) and (3.30), it will follow that the use of harmonic coordinates is legitimate. This implies, in addition, that the terms proportional to $\partial_\alpha F^\beta$ in (5.46) can be neglected.

At this point, it is perhaps important to discuss the subtle differences between the present approach and the one in [3]. That reference constructs a system corresponding to the three variables $g_{\mu\nu}$, $\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}$ and R discussed above. But it is just after deriving the system that the use of the harmonic coordinates is discussed. For this reason, that reference introduces caligraphic variable curvatures $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu}$ and \mathcal{R} , which has to be proven to be equal to the standard ones. In addition, it is not clear that the expected traceless relation $g^{\mu\nu}\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ is satisfied. Thus, the author has to justify that this is the case and that the caligraphic variables coincide with the true curvatures of the model later on. In the present approach instead, the use of harmonic coordinates is justified initially and furthermore, the expected traceless relation is insured from the very beginning, but at cost of the term proportional to the derivatives $\partial_\alpha F^\beta$ in (5.46), which is absent in the system derived in the reference [3], even before justifying the use of harmonic coordinates. However, both methodologies are valid and the conclusions about the Cauchy problem in that reference and the present one agree. Our purpose is to enlarge the results of that reference, and to give an alternative point of view. First, in the present approach all the resulting terms in the second order formulation are explicit. This will allow to make below further statements about the regularity of the solutions. In particular, to show that given C^∞ initial conditions, there

exists a non zero time interval for which the universe evolution is C^∞ . In addition, it can be shown the existence of a maximally hyperbolic development. The derived system may be useful, in addition, for proving the stability of the solution under small perturbations of the initial conditions, although we have not a concrete proof of this fact.

5.2 The Stelle's equations as a hyperbolic quasi-linear second order system

Since, as argued below, the use of harmonic coordinates is justified, the system (5.45)-(5.47) derived above equivalent to the following one

$$-\frac{1}{2}g^{\eta\delta}g_{\mu\nu,\eta\delta} + Q_{\mu\nu}(g, \partial g) = \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}R, \quad (5.48)$$

$$\square R - \frac{1}{32\pi G_N(3\beta - 2\alpha)}R = 0, \quad (5.49)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \square \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} - (2\beta - \alpha) \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu R + \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{(2\beta - \alpha)}{128\pi G_N(3\beta - 2\alpha)} g_{\mu\nu} R \\ & + (\alpha - 2\beta) \left[-g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\sigma\rho} g_{\sigma\rho,\alpha\beta} + 2Q(g, \partial g) \right] \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\alpha}{4} g_{\mu\nu} \left[-g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\rho\sigma,\alpha\beta} + 2Q_{\rho\sigma}(g, \partial g) \right] \tilde{R}^{\rho\sigma} \\ & + \alpha \left[g_{\rho\sigma,\mu\nu} + g_{\mu\nu,\rho\sigma} - g_{\rho\nu,\mu\sigma} - g_{\mu\sigma,\rho\nu} + 2g_{\alpha\beta} (\Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\beta - \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\beta) \right] \tilde{R}^{\rho\sigma} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.50)$$

The presence of terms such as $\nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu R$ or $g^{\alpha\beta} g_{\rho\sigma,\alpha\beta}$ in (5.50) spoil the quasi-linearity of the system. In other words, the system derived above is not of the form of (1.1). But this problem can be fixed by introducing the variables $r_\mu = \partial_\mu R$ and $c_{\mu\nu\alpha} = g_{\mu\nu,\alpha}$, and by further deriving the equations (5.48)-(5.49) and adding them to the system. The resulting equations are

$$-\frac{1}{2}g^{\eta\delta}g_{\mu\nu,\eta\delta} = -Q_{\mu\nu}(g, \partial g) + \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}R, \quad (5.51)$$

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta R = \frac{1}{32\pi G_N(3\beta - 2\alpha)} R, \quad (5.52)$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}g^{\eta\delta}c_{\mu\nu\gamma,\eta\delta} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\eta\delta}{}_{,\gamma} c_{\mu\nu\eta,\delta} - Q_{\mu\nu,\gamma}(g, \partial g, c) + \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu,\gamma} + \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu,\gamma}R + \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}r_\gamma, \quad (5.53)$$

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta r_\gamma = \frac{1}{32\pi G_N(3\beta - 2\alpha)} r_\gamma, \quad (5.54)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \square \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} = (2\beta - \alpha) \nabla_\mu r_\nu - \frac{1}{16\pi G_N} \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{(2\beta - \alpha)}{128\pi G_N(3\beta - 2\alpha)} g_{\mu\nu} R \\ & - (\alpha - 2\beta) \left[-g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\sigma\rho} c_{\sigma\rho,\alpha\beta} + 2Q(g, \partial g) \right] \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha}{8} g_{\mu\nu} \left[-g^{\alpha\beta} c_{\rho\sigma,\alpha\beta} + 2Q_{\rho\sigma}(g, \partial g) \right] \tilde{R}^{\rho\sigma} \\ & - \alpha \left[c_{\rho\sigma\mu,\nu} + c_{\mu\nu\rho,\sigma} - c_{\rho\nu\mu,\sigma} - c_{\mu\sigma\rho,\nu} + 2g_{\alpha\beta} (\Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^\beta - \Gamma_{\rho\sigma}^\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\beta) \right] \tilde{R}^{\rho\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.55)$$

In making these terms explicit the fact that $F^\alpha = 0$ has been taken into account. Consider the vector constituted by all the unknowns given by

$$u_\alpha = (g_{\mu\nu}, R, r_\gamma, c_{\mu\nu\alpha}, \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}). \quad (5.56)$$

Then it is clear that, in the system (5.51)-(5.54), the second order derivatives are all of the form $g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu u_\alpha$. Therefore the system (5.51)-(5.54) can be written in the form

$$g^{\mu\nu}(x, t, u)\partial_\mu\partial_\nu u_q(x, t) = f_q(x, t, u_i, \partial_\mu u_j). \quad (5.57)$$

Note that this is of the form anticipated in the introduction (1.1). The quantities $g^{\mu\nu}(x, t, u)$ are the inverse of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, thus, $g^{\mu\nu}(g_{\alpha\beta})$. Assume that suitable initial conditions has been settled, and denote them as

$$u(x, T_0) = U_0, \quad \partial_t u(x, T_0) = U_1. \quad (5.58)$$

Then the explicit form of the non linearity in (5.57) characterize the space of solutions, as shown in the next subsection.

5.3 Characterization of the non linearity

The introduction of the vector (5.56) composed by all the unknowns facilitates the introduction of some relevant definitions and the statement of the proposition given below.

First consider the map $g_{\mu\nu} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{nN+2N+n+1}, L_n)$, where L_n denotes the space of canonical $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ Lorentz matrices. Assume that these quantities satisfy

$$|\partial^\alpha g_{\mu\nu}(x, t, \xi)| \leq h_{I,\alpha}(|\xi|),$$

where (x, t) are local coordinates on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and ξ parametrize the coordinates of \mathbb{R}^{nN+2N} . Here $I = [T_1, T_2]$ is any compact time interval and $h_{I,\alpha} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous increasing functions for every multi index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{nN+2N+n+1})$. Suppose that for any compact interval I there are constants $a_i \geq 0$ with $i = 1, 2, 3$ such that

$$g_{00} \leq -a_1, \quad \det g_{ij} \geq a_2, \quad \sum_{(\mu,\nu)=0}^n |g_{\mu\nu}| \leq a_3.$$

The quantities satisfying the last condition are known as $C_{n,a}$ metrics, and the metrics satisfying all of the aforementioned assumptions are known as $C^\infty N, n$ admissible metrics. Furthermore, for the *non-linearity* f is assumed that

$$|\partial^\alpha f(x, t, \xi)| \leq \bar{h}_{I,\alpha}(|\xi|), \quad (5.59)$$

with $\bar{h}_{I,\alpha}(|\xi|)$ functions of the same type as the $h_{I,\alpha}(|\xi|)$ above, and the time interval I is also compact. In addition $f(x, t, \xi)$ is such that for each compact interval I , there exist a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $f(x, t, 0) = 0$ for any x outside K and $t \in I$. Such functions are known as locally of x -compact support. In these terms the following proposition may be stated, as in chapter 9 of the reference [4].

Proposition 1. *Under conditions stated above, let $U_0, U_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^N)$ and $T_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist two times T_1 and T_2 such that $T_1 < T_0 < T_2$ for which there is a unique C^∞ solution u of the system (5.57) and (5.58). This solution is of x -compact support.*

It should be emphasized that the x -compact support is a rather technical one. Its importance is due to the fact that a function $u : R^{n+1} \rightarrow R^m$ can be viewed as an element of $C^l[R, H^k(n, m)]$ for every value of l and k . This plays an important role in the proof of the proposition, as it can be seen by reading the chapter 8 and 9 of [4].

The last point is to check if the non linearity $f_q(x, t, u_i, \partial_\mu u_j)$ corresponding to the equations (5.51)-(5.55) satisfy the conditions stated above. An apparent problem is the presence of the inverse $g^{\alpha\beta}$ and some of its derivatives in the right hand of (5.51)-(5.55), whose expression involve the inverse of the determinant of the metric $|g_{\mu\nu}|$. This may imply that $f_q(x, t, 0, 0) \neq 0$ and in fact, this quantity may be divergent. However, the negative powers of $|g_{\mu\nu}|$ are finite. Thus, by multiplying the system $|g_{\mu\nu}|$ by the maximal negative power n of $|g_{\mu\nu}|$ present in the non linearity and by redefining $g^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g^{\mu\nu}|g_{\mu\nu}|^n$ in (5.57), the resulting non linearity $\tilde{f}_q(x, t, u_i, \partial_\mu u_j)$ becomes multipolynomial in the variables $(u_i, \partial_\mu u_j)$. Furthermore, the resulting polynomial is such that $f_q(0, 0) = 0$ and there is no explicit dependence on (x, t) in the linearity. The x -compactness condition is satisfied for such multipolynomials, since such functions satisfy bounds of the form (5.59).

On the other hand, the global restrictions on the physical metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ are not always satisfied but, as shown below, this can be solved by finding local solutions in patches and gluing them later to a global one. Therefore, the mathematical methods developed in the book [4] can be applied directly to study this system.

6. Conclusions

The fact that the non linearity of the system (5.51)-(5.55) satisfy the x -compactness condition allows to make several conclusions about the solutions. The type of reasoning that to be used below was employed in the book [4] for the case of GR with a real scalar field φ as matter content. This is of course not the same situation as the Stelle's gravity model. However, the system describing GR coupled to an scalar field and the system (5.51)-(5.55) are both of the form (5.57). For this reason, the argument presented below will be constructed by analogy with the theorems of that book, not making all the proof explicit but instead by indicating which steps are analogous and which steps need to be slightly modified.

A first conclusion is that, for suitable initial conditions, there exists a C^∞ solution for the Stelle's model.

Proposition 2. *There always exist a global hyperbolic development for the quantities $g_{(0)\mu\nu} = h_{(0)\mu\nu} + n_{(0)\mu} \otimes n_{(0)\nu}$, $k_{(0)\mu\nu}$, $G_{(0)\mu\nu}$ and $K_{(0)\mu\nu}$ defined in (2.15) if they satisfy the initial constraints (2.9) and (2.14). The resulting solution is C^∞ .*

Comment about the proof: The proof of Proposition 2 is not that direct. The problem is that Proposition 1 requires the quantity $g_{\mu\nu}$ in (5.57) to be of x -compact support. However, in the present problem $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the space time metric, which can not be of x -compact support. Furthermore, the global restrictions for the metric described in the previous section may not be satisfied for a given solution.

Nevertheless, there is a way to solve this apparent discrepancy, which was clarified in chapter 14.3 of the book [4]. It is important to mention that this method was applied in that book for the problem of pure GR coupled to a real scalar field. But it can be generalized to the present situation, since the equations of motion of GR coupled to an scalar field are also of the form (5.57) with $g^{\mu\nu}$ being the space time metric. The strategy is replace the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ in (5.57) by some quantities $A^{\mu\nu}$ which coincide with the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ in some patches of the space time. A similar procedure is done with the other fields of the model, in this case $R, \tilde{R}_{\mu\nu}, c_{\mu\nu\alpha}, r_\alpha$, together with a suitable modification of the initial and the harmonic coordinate conditions. After that, a solution is obtained, which is interpreted as valid only locally. These local solutions can be glued together in order to obtain a global one. We have checked that the gluing procedure described in the chapter 14.3 of the book [4] can be generalized to the present situation, the reasoning is very close in both cases. The Proposition 2 then follows. \square

The following assertion shows that two different developments of a given data are an extension of a common development.

Proposition 3. *Consider a given data $g_{(0)\mu\nu} = h_{(0)\mu\nu} + n_{(0)\mu} \otimes n_{(0)\nu}$, $k_{(0)\mu\nu}$, $G_{(0)\mu\nu}$ and $K_{(0)\mu\nu}$ and two hyperbolic developments (M_a, g_a) and (M_b, g_b) with corresponding embeddings $i_a : \Sigma \rightarrow M_a$ and $i_b : \Sigma \rightarrow M_b$. Then there exist a global hyperbolic development (M, g) with a corresponding embedding $i : \Sigma \rightarrow M$ and an smooth orientation preserving maps $\psi_a : M \rightarrow M_a$ and $\psi_b : M \rightarrow M_b$, which are diffeomorphisms onto their images, such that $\psi_a^* g_a = g$ and $\psi_b^* g_b = g$. In addition $\psi_a \circ i = i_a$ and $\psi_b \circ i = i_b$.*

Comments about the proof: This proposition is analogous to the one in chapter 14.4 of the book [4]. But to work out the proof by analogy is a bit more difficult than in the previous situation. The first problem is that harmonic coordinates play an important role in the proof given in that book. However, in the Stelle gravity, the harmonic conditions are more restrictive than in standard GR coupled to an scalar field ϕ , due to the higher derivative nature of the former. These features were discussed in the section 3 above. Furthermore, the description of harmonic coordinates the book [4] employs is given in terms of a reference metric $h_{\mu\nu}$, which is also employed in the classic reference [40]. For this reason, in the appendix A given below, the description of harmonic coordinates in terms of a reference metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ was worked out explicitly. The resulting conditions are composed by the standard ones arising in GR, together with the ones given in (1.67) and (1.70)-(1.71). These last ones are not required in the GR context, they are specific for the Stelle's quadratic gravity. The presence of the new constraints is an apparent complication for making the proof by analogy.

However, all these problems can be sorted out. Roughly speaking, the assumption to be implemented is to assume that (g, M) satisfy the harmonic condition of the appendix, but is not known at the beginning if this is the case for (M_a, g_a) or (M_b, g_b) . But it is important to emphasize that both (M_a, g_a) or (M_b, g_b) are assumed to induce the same data on the initial surface Σ . The idea is then to construct a local diffeomorphism between g and g_a or g_b and then to glue it to a global one.

Assume that a solution (M, g) has been constructed on an open set $D \subseteq R \times \Sigma$, and assume furthermore that this solution satisfies the harmonic conditions described in the appendix. Then, as shown in the formula (1.63) of the appendix below, the relation $\Gamma_\mu = g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^\nu$ holds, being $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^\mu$ the Christoffel symbols of the reference metric h in a region $V \subseteq D$. This equality holds in any local coordinate system, since the difference $D_\mu = \Gamma_\mu - g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^\nu$ is a 1-form and, if it is zero in one system, it is zero in another one. So, the first task is to make a choice of coordinates. Following the affirmation 12.5 of the book [4] consider a point p in the embedding $i_a(\Sigma)$. As $i_a(\Sigma)$ is an spatial surface in M_a there exists coordinates x in a region U such that $x(p) = 0$ and such that $q \in U \cap \Sigma$ if and only if $x^0(q) = 0$. Furthermore $\partial_{x^0}|_q$ is a unit future director vector normal to Σ for $q \in U \cap \Sigma$. This is a local result and, more importantly, it is independent on the gravity model employed. Define $\hat{x}^i = x^i|_{U \cap i_a(\Sigma)}$, then \hat{x}^i are coordinates on $U \cap i_a(\Sigma)$. Consider $\hat{y}^i = \hat{x}^i \circ i_a(\Sigma)$, then these are coordinates in $U_\Sigma = i_a^{-1}(U)$. Define $y^0 = t$ and $y^i = \hat{y}^i$, then these are coordinates in $R \times U_\Sigma$. For the equations of motion in $V = R \times U_\Sigma \cap D$ one can make the replacement $\Gamma_\mu - g_{\mu\nu} g^{\alpha\beta} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^\nu$. In addition one has that $g_{00} = -1$ and $g_{0i} = 0$ for these coordinates.

Consider now the metric g_a . Following the equations 14.20 to 14.22 of the book [4] it follows that there exists a local coordinate system \tilde{x}^μ such that with respect of these coordinates $\Gamma^{(a)\mu} = \tilde{g}_a^{\alpha\beta} \Theta_{\alpha\beta}^\nu$ where $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{(a)\mu}$ are the Christoffel symbols with respect to the metric g_a , and $\tilde{g}_a^{\alpha\beta}$ are the inverse components metrics of $g_{\mu\nu}^a$, both referred to these \tilde{x}^μ coordinates. In addition $\Theta_{\alpha\beta}^\nu$ are the Christoffel symbols of h with respect to the x coordinates. These coordinates are valid in a region W specified in that reference. Its deduction does not include the new features (1.67) and (1.70)-(1.71) and therefore apply in the present context.

At this point, everything works by analogy with the chapter 14.4 of the book [4]. However, care should be taken with the initial conditions. In the case of GR, one defines $W_\Sigma = i_a^{-1}(W \cap \Sigma)$. The formulas of GR that are valid in these region are the following. For any point q in this region $y(q) = i_a \circ x(q) = i_a \circ \tilde{x}(q)$. These imply the following identity for the inclusions

$$i_{a^*} \partial_{y^i}|_q = \partial_{\tilde{x}^i}|_{i_a(q)}.$$

From this it follows that

$$g_{ij}(q) = \tilde{g}_{aij}(i_a(q)), \quad g_{\mu\nu} \circ y^{-1} = \tilde{g}_{a\mu\nu} \circ x^{-1}.$$

In addition for these coordinates

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_\mu^a \circ x^{-1} = \Gamma_\mu \circ y^{-1}, \tag{6.60}$$

and the second fundamental form satisfies

$$k_{ij}(q) = \tilde{k}_{aij}(i_a(q)).$$

This implies that $\partial_t g_{ij}(q) = \partial_{\tilde{x}^0} \tilde{g}_{aij}(i_a(q))$. All together shows that

$$g_{\mu\nu} \circ y^{-1} = \tilde{g}_{a\mu\nu} \circ x^{-1}, \quad k_{\mu\nu} \circ y^{-1} = \tilde{k}_{a\mu\nu} \circ x^{-1}.$$

In addition $(\partial_{y^k} g_{\mu\nu}) \circ y^{-1} = (\partial_{\tilde{x}^k} \tilde{g}_{a\mu\nu}) \circ x^{-1}$, from where it follows that

$$(\partial_t g_{\mu\nu}) \circ y^{-1} = (\partial_{\tilde{x}^0} \tilde{g}_{a\mu\nu}) \circ x^{-1}.$$

The relations described above were used in the GR relativity context. They show that both metrics g and g_a satisfy the same equations computed with their respective coordinates, and by use of uniqueness results for second order systems, it follows that the metric g_a considered as a function of x have to coincide with g considered as a function of y , in the region W . However, as shown in the appendix below, the use of harmonic coordinates for the Stelle's model requires the implementation of the new conditions (1.67) and (1.70)-(1.71). These conditions involve up to the second time derivative \dot{k}_{ij} and \ddot{k}_{ij} of the second fundamental form k_{ij} . A solution may be to work out further the identities given above to show that, for example, that $\dot{k}_{ij}(q) = \tilde{k}_{aij}(i_a(q))$, that $\partial_t k_{ij}(q) = \partial_{\tilde{x}^0} \tilde{k}_{aij}(i_a(q))$ and furthermore that $(\partial_t k_{\mu\nu}) \circ y^{-1} = (\partial_{\tilde{x}^0} \tilde{k}_{a\mu\nu}) \circ x^{-1}$. Analogous formulas should be found for \ddot{k}_{ij} . After this, one may conclude that with respect to the coordinates \tilde{x} the metric g_a will satisfy the same equations that g with respect to the y^μ coordinates and the initial data coincide when computed with their respective coordinates.

This procedure just described has a flaw, which comes from the fact that the Stelle's equations (2.7) are of fourth order and thus, the second order arguments of the book [4] do not apply directly. The solution of this problem comes from the formula (6.60). This, together with the independence of coordinates of the harmonic description in terms of the reference metric h shows that both g and g_a satisfy the harmonic condition in W . This allows to show that, in the region W , both metrics g and \tilde{g}_a can be described by the second order quasi-linear system (5.51)-(5.55) with the remaining quantities composing the vector u_α in (5.56) computed with respect to their respective coordinates. The uniqueness arguments of the book then apply for this system. From this reasoning one can deduce the existence of an isometry in an open neighborhood of $i_a^{-1}(p)$ to some neighborhood of p . The resulting map will have the property that $\psi_a^* g_a = g$. After some lengthy work following the steps of the book it can be shown that these local isometry can be glued to a global one, and the proposition will follow. \square

The global hyperbolic development of the previous proposition may not be unique. Thus, it is of fundamental importance the notion of an maximal hyperbolic development. An hyperbolic development (M, g, φ) is called maximal if, for any other global hyperbolic development (M', g', φ') , there is an embedding $i' : \Sigma \rightarrow M'$ and an smooth orientation preserving maps $\psi : M' \rightarrow M$ such that $\psi^* g = g'$, $\psi^* \varphi = \varphi'$ and $\psi \circ i' = i$.

Proposition 4. *Given a data $g_{(0)\mu\nu} = h_{(0)\mu\nu} + n_{(0)\mu} \otimes n_{(0)\nu}$, $k_{(0)\mu\nu}$, $G_{(0)\mu\nu}$ and $K_{(0)\mu\nu}$ there exist a maximal global hyperbolic development, which is unique up to an isometry.*

Comment about the proposition: These result is non trivial, but the analogy with the chapter 16 of the book [4] is not difficult to follow up, since the proof involves abstract mathematical notions such

as partially ordered sets, Zorn lemma or topology topics. These arguments are not very sensible to the details of the proof of the previous propositions, except for their statements. Based on this, the proposition then follows. \square

Finally, by analogy of the chapter 15 of the book [4] the following conjecture may be formulated.

Proposition 5. *(Conjecture) Let $(M = \Sigma \times I, g)$ a background solution of the vacuum Stelle gravity model. By denoting by $(g_{(0)\mu\nu}, k_{(0)\mu\nu}, G_{(0)\mu\nu}, K_{(0)\mu\nu})$ the data induced on $\{0\} \times \Sigma$ by the full solution, consider a sequence $(g_{(0)j\mu\nu}, k_{(0)j\mu\nu}, G_{(0)j\mu\nu}, K_{(0)j\mu\nu})$ of initial conditions converging to $(g_{(0)\mu\nu}, k_{(0)\mu\nu}, G_{(0)\mu\nu}, K_{(0)\mu\nu})$ for a suitable Sobolev norm, and satisfying the corresponding constraint equations. Then there exist t_{1j} and t_{2j} such that on $M_j = \Sigma \times (t_{1j}, t_{2j})$ there exist a Lorentzian metric g_j which satisfy the Stelle's equation (2.7), and such that the initial data is $(g_{(0)j\mu\nu}, k_{(0)j\mu\nu}, G_{(0)j\mu\nu}, K_{(0)j\mu\nu})$. The surface $\tau \times \Sigma$ is a Cauchy one when $\tau \in (t_{1j}, t_{2j})$. Furthermore, when $\tau \in I$, the data on such Cauchy hyper surface induced by h_j converges to the one induced by g for large j .*

The conjecture stated above may be plausible sounding, but it may be a non easy task to prove it, since it appears that its proof is sensible to the details of the theory. In GR coupled to a real scalar field φ , the suitable Sobolev norm is H^{l+1} , with $2l > n + 2$ with $n + 1$ the space time dimension. But in the present context, the suitable norm has to be found independently. Hopefully the derived system (5.51)-(5.55) may be helpful for these purposes. It would be a relevant task to come out with a proof or a counterexample of this assertion in a future work.

Acknowledgments

Both authors are supported by CONICET, Argentina. O.P.S is supported by the Beca Externa Jovenes Investigadores of CONICET. We gratefully acknowledge discussions with I. Ya. Arefeva and I. V. Volovich. O.P.S warmly acknowledge the Steklov Mathematical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences in Moscow, where part of this work has been done, for their hospitality.

A The description of harmonic coordinates in terms of a reference metric

The use of a reference metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ to describe harmonic coordinates, which was mentioned in the text, goes as follows [40], [4]. The expression for the Ricci tensor corresponding to a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ in an arbitrary coordinate system is given by

$$R_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu} + P_{\mu\nu}(g, \partial g) + \nabla_{(\mu}\Gamma_{\nu)}. \quad (1.61)$$

The quantity $P_{\mu\nu}(g, \partial g)$ in (1.61) is not exactly equal to $Q_{\mu\nu}(g, \partial g)$ in (3.16), but all together both expressions coincide. Define the modified Ricci tensor

$$\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta g_{\mu\nu} + P_{\mu\nu}(g, \partial g) + \nabla_{(\mu}L_{\nu)}. \quad (1.62)$$

The quantities L_μ at the moment are not specified. But from the last two formulas it follows that

$$\hat{R}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} + \nabla_{(\mu}D_{\nu)},$$

where $D_\mu = L_\mu - \Gamma_\mu$. If the quantities L_μ are defined as

$$L_\mu = g_{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta}\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^\nu,$$

with $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^\nu$ the Christoffel symbols of a reference metric $h_{\mu\nu}$, which is not necessarily equal to the physical metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, then the difference $D_\mu = L_\mu - \Gamma_\mu$ is a 1-form. This property is of fundamental importance, since if $D_\mu = 0$ in one coordinate system, then it will be zero in any other one. In other words, the equality

$$\Gamma_\mu = g_{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta}\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^\nu, \quad (1.63)$$

is valid in any local coordinate system. Thus, if given the initial surface Σ there is a domain $\Omega(\Sigma)$ where $D_\mu, \nabla_\mu D_\nu, \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu D_\alpha$ vanish, then they will vanish in the development $D(\Omega)$ described by the Stelle's equations (2.7) and this will be locally coordinate independent.

The point of the previous description is that, the quantity Γ_μ is replaced by L_μ , and the last expression involves second derivatives of the reference metric $h_{\mu\nu}$, not the physical one $g_{\mu\nu}$. Thus, these terms do not spoil the quasi linearity of the modified Ricci tensor $\hat{R}_{\mu\nu}$ which, as a consequence, becomes a quasi linear second order expression for the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. This is the typical property employed during the text.

The reference metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ is not yet specified. Assume that it has the Gaussian (synchronous) form

$$h = -dt^2 + h_{ij}dx^i dx^j. \quad (1.64)$$

Concerning the physical metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, one may fix initially that

$$g_{ij}|_{t=0} = h_{ij}|_{t=0}, \quad g_{0i}|_{t=0} = 0, \quad g_{00}|_{t=0} = 1. \quad (1.65)$$

$$\partial_0 g_{ij}|_{t=0} = k_{ij}|_{t=0}. \quad (1.66)$$

In these terms, it follows that

$$D_0 = L_0 - \Gamma_0 = L_0 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_0 g_{00} + \text{Tr } K.$$

where $K_{ij} = \partial_0 g_{ij}$ and, from the definitions above, $K_{ij}|_{t=0} = k_{ij}|_{t=0}$

$$D_i = L_i - \Gamma_i = L_i + \frac{1}{2}\partial_0 g_{0i} + \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}(\partial_i g_{kl} - 2\partial_k g_{il}).$$

The initial conditions are then $D_\alpha|_{t=0} = 0$, $\partial_0 D_\alpha|_{t=0} = 0$ and $\partial_0^2 D_\alpha|_{t=0} = 0$, as the spatial derivatives are clearly zero initially. From (1.66) it appears natural to impose the new constraints

$$\partial_0^2 g_{ij}|_{t=0} = \partial_0 k_{ij}|_{t=0}, \quad \partial_0^3 g_{ij}|_{t=0} = \partial_0^2 k_{ij}|_{t=0}. \quad (1.67)$$

The last conditions are not employed in GR [4] but they are natural identifications and may be required in the Stelle's model due to the higher order nature of the equations of motion (2.7). Now, with all these assumptions in hand, the constraints $D_\alpha = 0$ at the initial surface Σ imply that

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_0 g_{00}|_{t=0} = -L_0|_{t=0} - \text{Tr } k|_{t=0}, \quad (1.68)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_0 g_{0i}|_{t=0-} = -L_i|_{t=0} - \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} (2\partial_k g_{il} - \partial_i g_{kl})|_{t=0}. \quad (1.69)$$

These equations are standard in GR. Note that (1.65)-(1.66) and (1.68)-(1.69) specify the initial metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ values and their first time derivatives $\partial_t g_{\mu\nu}$. For a second order theory such as GR, these are enough. But for the Stelle model, the new constraints described below are required. The constraints related to the first time derivatives of D_α are

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_0^2 g_{00}|_{t=0} = -\partial_0 L_0|_{t=0} - \partial_0 \text{Tr } k|_{t=0}.$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_0^2 g_{0i}|_{t=0-} = -\partial_0 L_i|_{t=0} - \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} (2\partial_k k_{il} - \partial_i k_{kl})|_{t=0} - \frac{1}{2} k^{kl} (2\partial_k g_{il} - \partial_i g_{kl})|_{t=0} \quad (1.70)$$

These fix the up to the second time derivatives of the metric. The conditions related to the second derivatives of D_α are instead

$$\frac{1}{2} \partial_0^3 g_{00}|_{t=0} = -\partial_0^2 L_0|_{t=0} - \partial_0^2 \text{Tr } k|_{t=0},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \partial_0^3 g_{0i}|_{t=0-} = & -\partial_0^2 L_i|_{t=0} - \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} (2\partial_k \partial_0 k_{il} - \partial_i \partial_0 k_{kl})|_{t=0} - \frac{1}{2} k^{kl} (2\partial_k k_{il} - \partial_i k_{kl})|_{t=0} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} k^{kl} (2\partial_k k_{il} - \partial_i k_{kl})|_{t=0} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_0 k^{kl} (2\partial_k g_{il} - \partial_i g_{kl})|_{t=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.71)$$

The conditions (1.67) and (1.70)-(1.71) are new features arising in the Stelle's gravity model, and fix the initial values of the metric up to third time derivatives. These are the conditions employed in the conclusion in the text. Note that the description given here do not affect the validity of the harmonic coordinates as described in section 3, since the resulting equations are similar to (3.26)-(3.30) with D_μ playing a role analogous to F_μ .

References

- [1] K. S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. D 16 (1977) 953.
- [2] K. S. Stelle, Gen. Rel. Grav. 9 (1978) 353.
- [3] D. Noakes J. Math. Phys. 24 (1983) 7.

- [4] H. Ringstrom *The Cauchy Problem in General Relativity* European Mathematical Society, 2000.
- [5] M.V. Ostrogradski, Mem. Acad. Imper. Sci. St. Petersburg., 6, 385 (1850).
- [6] A. Pais and G.E. Uhlenbeck, Phys. Rev. 79, 145 (1950).
- [7] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, Phys. Lett. 104 B, 377 (1981).
- [8] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B 201 (1982) 469.
- [9] N. H. Barth and S. M. Christensen, Phys. Rev. D 28, 1876 (1983).
- [10] I. G. Avramidi and A. O. Barvinsky, Phys. Lett. 159 B, 269 (1985).
- [11] A. Salam and J. A. Strathdee, Phys. Rev. D 18, 4480 (1978).
- [12] J. Julve and M. Tonin, Nuovo Cim. B 46, 137 (1978).
- [13] E. T. Tomboulis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52 (1984) 1173.
- [14] I. Antoniadis and E. T. Tomboulis, Phys. Rev. D 33 (1986) 2756.
- [15] T. j. Chen, M. Fasiello, E. A. Lim and A. J. Tolley, JCAP 1302, 042 (2013).
- [16] T. Chen and M. Lim JCAP 1405, 010 (2014).
- [17] Y. Akita and T. Kobayashi Modern Physics Letters A 31, 11 (2016) 1650067.
- [18] K. Hinterbichler and M. Saravani Phys. Rev. D 93 (2016) 065006.
- [19] A. V. Smilga, Nucl. Phys. B 706, 598 (2005).
- [20] A. V. Smilga, Phys. Lett. B 632, 433 (2006).
- [21] J. F. Donoghue Phys. Rev. D 96, 044007 (2017).
- [22] H. Lu, A. Perkins, C.N. Pope and K.S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 (2015) 171601.
- [23] H. Lu, A. Perkins, C.N. Pope and K.S. Stelle, Phys. Rev. D 92 (2015) 12, 124019.
- [24] K. Goldstein and J.J. Mashiyane, Phys. Rev. D 97, 024015 (2018).
- [25] A. Perkins, Static spherically symmetric solutions in higher derivative gravity, <https://spiral.imperial.ac.uk:8443/handle/10044/1/44072>.
- [26] B. Whitt, Phys. Rev. D 32 (1985) 379.
- [27] Y.S. Myung, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) 2, 024039.

- [28] W. Nelson, Phys. Rev. D 82 (2010) 104026.
- [29] Y.S. Myung, Phys. Rev. D 88 (2013) no.8, 084006.
- [30] H. Liu, H. Lu and M. Luo, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 21, 1250020 (2012).
- [31] Z.Y. Fan and H. Lu, Phys. Rev. D 91 (2015) no.6, 064009.
- [32] H. Lu and C.N. Pope, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 181302 (2011).
- [33] S. Deser, H. Liu, H. Lu, C.N. Pope, T.C. Sisman and B. Tekin, Phys. Rev. D 83, 061502 (2011).
- [34] H. Lu, A. Perkins, C.N. Pope and K.S. Stelle Phys.Rev. D96 (2017) no.4, 046006.
- [35] A. Salvio Front.in Phys. 6 (2018) 77.
- [36] Y. Choquet-Bruhat. *General Relativity and the Einstein Equations* Oxford Mathematical monographs 2009.
- [37] J. Leray *Hyperbolic Differential Equations* Institute for Advanced Study 1955.
- [38] Y. Choquet-Bruhat Acta Math. 88 (1952) 141.
- [39] R. Wald *General Relativity* Chicago University Press 1984.
- [40] S. Hawking and *The Large Scale Structure of the Space-Time* Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics 1973.
- [41] J. Beem, P. Ehrlich and K. Easley *Global Lorentzian Geometry* CRC press 1981.
- [42] B. O'Neill *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to General Relativity* Academic Press 1983.
- [43] E.ourgoulhon "Basis of numerical relativity" arXiv:gr-qc/0703035. Lectures given at the General Relativity Trimester held in the Institut Henri Poincare (Paris, Sept.-Dec. 2006) and at the VII Mexican School on Gravitation and Mathematical Physics (Playa del Carmen, Mexico, 26. Nov. - 2 Dec. 2006).