
CONVEX FOLIATIONS OF DEGREE 4 ON THE COMPLEX PROJECTIVE PLANE

by

Samir BEDROUNI & David MARÍN

Abstract. — We show that up to automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ there are 5 homogeneous convex foliations of degree four on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Using this result, we give a partial answer to a question posed in 2013 by D. MARÍN and J. PEREIRA about the classification of reduced convex foliations on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 37F75, 32S65, 32M25.

Introduction

The set $\mathbf{F}(d)$ of foliations of degree d on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ can be identified with a ZARISKI open subset of the projective space $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{(d+2)^2-2}$. The group of automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ acts on $\mathbf{F}(d)$. The orbit of an element $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{F}(d)$ under the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2) = \text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ will be denoted by $O(\mathcal{F})$. Following [9] we will say that a foliation in $\mathbf{F}(d)$ is *convex* if its leaves other than straight lines have no inflection points. The subset $\mathbf{FC}(d)$ of $\mathbf{F}(d)$ consisting of all convex foliations is ZARISKI closed in $\mathbf{F}(d)$.

By [2, Proposition 2, page 23] every foliation of degree 0 or 1 is convex, *i.e.* $\mathbf{FC}(0) = \mathbf{F}(0)$ and $\mathbf{FC}(1) = \mathbf{F}(0)$. For $d \geq 2$, $\mathbf{FC}(d)$ is a proper closed subset of $\mathbf{F}(d)$ and it contains the FERMAT foliation \mathcal{F}_0^d of degree d , defined in the affine chart (x, y) by the 1-form (*see* [9, page 179])

$$\bar{\omega}_0^d = (x^d - x)dy - (y^d - y)dx.$$

The closure inside $\mathbf{F}(d)$ of the orbit of \mathcal{F}_0^d contains the foliations \mathcal{H}_0^d , *resp.* \mathcal{H}_1^d , *resp.* \mathcal{F}_1^d (necessarily convex) defined by the 1-forms (*see* [3, Example 6.5] and [4, page 75])

$$\omega_0^d = (d-1)y^d dx + x(x^{d-1} - dy^{d-1})dy, \quad \text{resp. } \omega_1^d = y^d dx - x^d dy, \quad \text{resp. } \bar{\omega}_1^d = y^d dx + x^d(xdy - ydx).$$

In other words, we have the following inclusions

$$(0.1) \quad O(\mathcal{H}_0^d) \cup O(\mathcal{H}_1^d) \cup O(\mathcal{F}_0^d) \cup O(\mathcal{F}_1^d) \subset \overline{O(\mathcal{F}_0^d)} \subset \mathbf{FC}(d).$$

Key words and phrases. — convex foliation, homogeneous foliation, singularity, inflection divisor.

D. Marín acknowledges financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, through grant MTM2015-66165-P and the "María de Maeztu" Programme for Units of Excellence in R&D (MDM-2014-0445).

The foliations \mathcal{H}_0^d and \mathcal{H}_1^d are *homogeneous*, *i.e.* they are invariant by homotheties; moreover, they are linearly conjugated for $d = 2$, but not for $d \geq 3$, *see* [3]. The dimension of the orbit of \mathcal{F}_1^d is 6 [4], which is the least possible dimension in any degree d greater or equal to 2 ([6, Proposition 2.3]). Notice (*see* [4]) that this bound is also attained by the non convex foliation \mathcal{F}_2^d defined by the 1-form

$$\overline{\omega}_2^d = x^d dx + y^d (x dy - y dx).$$

The classification of the elements of $\mathbf{FC}(2)$ has been established by C. FAVRE and J. PEREIRA [8, Proposition 7.4]: up to automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, the foliations \mathcal{H}_0^2 , \mathcal{F}_0^2 and \mathcal{F}_1^2 are the only convex foliations of degree 2 on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. This classification implies that in degree 2 the inclusions (0.1) are equalities:

$$(0.2) \quad \mathbf{FC}(2) = \overline{O(\mathcal{F}_0^2)} = O(\mathcal{H}_0^2) \cup O(\mathcal{F}_0^2) \cup O(\mathcal{F}_1^2).$$

For dimensional reasons the orbits $O(\mathcal{F}_1^d)$ and $O(\mathcal{F}_2^d)$ are closed; combining equalities (0.2) with [6, Theorem 3], we see, in particular, that the only closed orbits in $\mathbf{F}(2)$ by the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ are those of \mathcal{F}_1^2 and \mathcal{F}_2^2 .

Convex foliations of degree 3 has been classified by the first author in his thesis [4, Corollary C]: every foliation $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{FC}(3)$ is linearly conjugated to one of the four foliations \mathcal{H}_0^3 , \mathcal{H}_1^3 , \mathcal{F}_0^3 or \mathcal{F}_1^3 . This implies that the inclusions (0.1) for $d = 3$ are also equalities:

$$(0.3) \quad \mathbf{FC}(3) = \overline{O(\mathcal{F}_0^3)} = O(\mathcal{H}_0^3) \cup O(\mathcal{H}_1^3) \cup O(\mathcal{F}_0^3) \cup O(\mathcal{F}_1^3).$$

For $d \geq 4$, the classification of the elements of $\mathbf{FC}(d)$ modulo $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2)$ remains open and the topological structure of $\mathbf{FC}(d)$ is not yet well understood. In the sequel we will focus on the case $d = 4$. Notice (*see* [9, page 181]) that the set $\mathbf{FC}(4)$ contains the foliation \mathcal{F}_H^4 , called HESSE pencil of degree 4, defined by

$$\omega_H^4 = (2x^3 - y^3 - 1)y dx + (2y^3 - x^3 - 1)x dy;$$

furthermore $O(\mathcal{F}_H^4) \neq O(\mathcal{F}_0^4)$ and $\dim O(\mathcal{F}_H^4) = \dim O(\mathcal{F}_0^4) = 8$. So that the inclusion $\overline{O(\mathcal{F}_0^4)} \subset \mathbf{FC}(4)$ is strict, in contrast to the previous cases of degrees 2 and 3.

In this paper we propose to classify, up to automorphism, the foliations of $\mathbf{FC}(4)$ which are homogeneous. More precisely, we establish the following theorem.

Theorem A. — *Up to automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ there are five homogeneous convex foliations of degree four $\mathcal{H}_1, \dots, \mathcal{H}_5$ on the complex projective plane. They are respectively described in affine chart by the following 1-forms*

1. $\omega_1 = y^4 dx - x^4 dy;$
2. $\omega_2 = y^3(2x - y)dx + x^3(x - 2y)dy;$
3. $\omega_3 = y^2(6x^2 + 4xy + y^2)dx - x^3(x + 4y)dy;$
4. $\omega_4 = y^3(4x + y)dx + x^3(x + 4y)dy;$
5. $\omega_5 = y^2(6x^2 + 4xy + y^2)dx + 3x^4 dy.$

By [10] we know that every foliation of degree $d \geq 1$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ can not have more than $3d$ (distinct) invariant lines. If this bound is reached for $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbf{F}(d)$, then \mathcal{F} necessarily belongs to $\mathbf{FC}(d)$; in this case we say that \mathcal{F} is *reduced convex*. To our knowledge the only reduced convex foliations known in the literature are those presented in [9, Table 1.1]: the FERMAT foliation \mathcal{F}_0^d in any degree, the HESSE pencil \mathcal{F}_H^4 and the foliations given by the 1-forms

$$\begin{aligned} &(y^2 - 1)(y^2 - (\sqrt{5} - 2)^2)(y + \sqrt{5}x)dx - (x^2 - 1)(x^2 - (\sqrt{5} - 2)^2)(x + \sqrt{5}y)dy, \\ &(y^3 - 1)(y^3 + 7x^3 + 1)ydx - (x^3 - 1)(x^3 + 7y^3 + 1)x dy, \end{aligned}$$

which have degrees 5 and 7 respectively. D. MARÍN and J. PEREIRA [9, Problem 9.1] asked the following question: are there other reduced convex foliations? The answer in degree 2, resp. 3, to this question is negative, by [8, Proposition 7.4], resp. [3, Corollary 6.9]. Theorem A allows us to show that the answer to [9, Problem 9.1] in degree 4 is also negative.

Theorem B. — *Up to automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, the FERMAT foliation \mathcal{F}_0^4 and the HESSE pencil \mathcal{F}_H^4 are the only reduced convex foliations of degree four on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$.*

1. Preliminaries

1.1. Singularities and inflection divisor of a foliation on the projective plane. — A degree d holomorphic foliation \mathcal{F} on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is defined in homogeneous coordinates $[x : y : z]$ by a 1-form

$$\omega = a(x, y, z)dx + b(x, y, z)dy + c(x, y, z)dz,$$

where a , b and c are homogeneous polynomials of degree $d + 1$ without common factor and satisfying the EULER condition $i_{\mathbb{R}}\omega = 0$, where $\mathbb{R} = x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ denotes the radial vector field and $i_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the interior product by \mathbb{R} . The *singular locus* $\text{Sing}\mathcal{F}$ of \mathcal{F} is the projectivization of the singular locus of ω

$$\text{Sing}\omega = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid a(x, y, z) = b(x, y, z) = c(x, y, z) = 0\}.$$

Let us recall some local notions attached to the pair (\mathcal{F}, s) , where $s \in \text{Sing}\mathcal{F}$. The germ of \mathcal{F} at s is defined, up to multiplication by a unity in the local ring O_s at s , by a vector field $X = A(u, v)\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + B(u, v)\frac{\partial}{\partial v}$. The *vanishing order* $v(\mathcal{F}, s)$ of \mathcal{F} at s is given by

$$v(\mathcal{F}, s) = \min\{v(A, s), v(B, s)\},$$

where $v(g, s)$ denotes the vanishing order of the function g at s . The *tangency order* of \mathcal{F} with a generic line passing through s is the integer

$$\tau(\mathcal{F}, s) = \min\{k \geq v(\mathcal{F}, s) : \det(J_s^k X, \mathbb{R}_s) \neq 0\},$$

where $J_s^k X$ denotes the k -jet of X at s and \mathbb{R}_s is the radial vector field centered at s . The *MILNOR number* of \mathcal{F} at s is the integer

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}, s) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} O_s / \langle A, B \rangle,$$

where $\langle A, B \rangle$ denotes the ideal of O_s generated by A and B .

The singularity s is called *radial of order* $n - 1$ if $v(\mathcal{F}, s) = 1$ and $\tau(\mathcal{F}, s) = n$.

The singularity s is called *non-degenerate* if $\mu(\mathcal{F}, s) = 1$, or equivalently if the linear part $J_s^1 X$ of X possesses two non-zero eigenvalues λ, μ . In this case, the quantity $\text{BB}(\mathcal{F}, s) = \frac{\lambda}{\mu} + \frac{\mu}{\lambda} + 2$ is called the *BAUM-BOTT invariant* of \mathcal{F} at s (see [1]). By [5] there is at least a germ of curve C at s which is invariant by \mathcal{F} . Up to local diffeomorphism we can assume that $s = (0, 0)$, $T_s C = \{v = 0\}$ and $J_s^1 X = \lambda u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + (\varepsilon u + \mu v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v}$, where we can take $\varepsilon = 0$ if $\lambda \neq \mu$. The quantity $\text{CS}(\mathcal{F}, C, s) = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$ is called the *CAMACHO-SAD index* of \mathcal{F} at s along C .

Let us also recall the notion of inflection divisor of \mathcal{F} . Let $Z = E\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + F\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + G\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ be a homogeneous vector field of degree d on \mathbb{C}^3 non collinear to the radial vector field describing \mathcal{F} , *i.e.* such that $\omega = i_{\mathbb{R}} i_Z dx \wedge dy \wedge dz$. The *inflection divisor* of \mathcal{F} , denoted by $I_{\mathcal{F}}$, is the divisor of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ defined by the homogeneous equation

$$\begin{vmatrix} x & E & Z(E) \\ y & F & Z(F) \\ z & G & Z(G) \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

This divisor has been studied in [10] in a more general context. In particular, the following properties has been proved.

1. On $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \text{Sing}\mathcal{F}$, $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ coincides with the curve described by the inflection points of the leaves of \mathcal{F} ;
2. If C is an irreducible algebraic curve invariant by \mathcal{F} then $C \subset I_{\mathcal{F}}$ if and only if C is an invariant line;
3. $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ can be decomposed into $I_{\mathcal{F}} = I_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{inv}} + I_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{tr}}$, where the support of $I_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{inv}}$ consists in the set of invariant lines of \mathcal{F} and the support of $I_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{tr}}$ is the closure of the isolated inflection points along the leaves of \mathcal{F} ;
4. The degree of the divisor $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ is $3d$.

The foliation \mathcal{F} will be called *convex* if its inflection divisor $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ is totally invariant by \mathcal{F} , *i.e.* if $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ is a product of invariant lines.

1.2. Geometry of homogeneous foliations. — A foliation of degree d on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is said to be *homogeneous* if there is an affine chart (x, y) of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ in which it is invariant under the action of the group of homotheties $(x, y) \mapsto \lambda(x, y)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Such a foliation \mathcal{H} is then defined by a 1-form

$$\omega = A(x, y)dx + B(x, y)dy,$$

where A and B are homogeneous polynomials of degree d without common factor. This 1-form writes in homogeneous coordinates as

$$zA(x, y)dx + zB(x, y)dy - (xA(x, y) + yB(x, y)) dz.$$

Thus the foliation \mathcal{H} has at most $d + 2$ singularities whose origin O of the affine chart $z = 1$ is the only singular point of \mathcal{H} which is not situated on the line at infinity $L_{\infty} = \{z = 0\}$; moreover $v(\mathcal{H}, O) = d$.

In the sequel we will assume that d is greater than or equal to 2. In this case the point O is the only singularity of \mathcal{H} having vanishing order d .

We know from [3] that the inflection divisor of \mathcal{H} is given by $zC_{\mathcal{H}}D_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$, where $C_{\mathcal{H}} = xA + yB \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{d+1}$ denotes the *tangent cone* of \mathcal{H} at the origin O and $D_{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{\partial A}{\partial x} \frac{\partial B}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial y} \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_{2d-2}$. From this we deduce that:

- (i) the support of the divisor $I_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{inv}}$ consists of the lines of the tangent cone $C_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ and the line at infinity L_{∞} ;
- (ii) the divisor $I_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{tr}}$ decomposes as $I_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{tr}} = \prod_{i=1}^n T_i^{\rho_i - 1}$ for some number $n \leq \deg D_{\mathcal{H}} = 2d - 2$ of lines T_i passing through O , $\rho_i - 1$ being the inflection order of the line T_i .

Proposition 1.1 ([3], Proposition 2.2). — *With the previous notations, for any point $s \in \text{Sing}\mathcal{H} \cap L_{\infty}$, we have*

1. $v(\mathcal{H}, s) = 1$;
2. *the line joining the origin O to the point s is invariant by \mathcal{H} and it appears with multiplicity $\tau(\mathcal{H}, s) - 1$ in the divider $D_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$, *i.e.**

$$D_{\mathcal{H}} = I_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{tr}} \prod_{s \in \text{Sing}\mathcal{H} \cap L_{\infty}} L_s^{\tau(\mathcal{H}, s) - 1}.$$

Definition 1.2 ([3]). — Let \mathcal{H} be a homogeneous foliation of degree d on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ having a certain number $m \leq d + 1$ of radial singularities s_i of order $\tau_i - 1$, $2 \leq \tau_i \leq d$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. The support of the divisor $I_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{tr}}$ consists of a certain number $n \leq 2d - 2$ of transverse inflection lines T_j of order $\rho_j - 1$, $2 \leq \rho_j \leq d$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We define the *type of the foliation* \mathcal{H} by

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{i=1}^m R_{\tau_i - 1} + \sum_{j=1}^n T_{\rho_j - 1} = \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} (r_k \cdot R_k + t_k \cdot T_k) \in \mathbb{Z}[R_1, R_2, \dots, R_{d-1}, T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{d-1}].$$

Example 1.3. — Let us consider the homogeneous foliation \mathcal{H} of degree 5 on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ defined by

$$\omega = y^5 dx + 2x^3(3x^2 - 5y^2)dy.$$

A straightforward computation leads to

$$C_{\mathcal{H}} = xy(6x^4 - 10x^2y^2 + y^4) \quad \text{and} \quad D_{\mathcal{H}} = 150x^2y^4(x-y)(x+y).$$

We see that the set of radial singularities of \mathcal{H} consists of the two points $s_1 = [0 : 1 : 0]$ and $s_2 = [1 : 0 : 0]$; their orders of radially are equal to 2 and 4 respectively. Moreover the support of the divisor $I_{\mathcal{H}}^{\text{tr}}$ is the union of the two lines $x - y = 0$ and $x + y = 0$; they are transverse inflection lines of order 1. Therefore the foliation \mathcal{H} is of type $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = 1 \cdot R_2 + 1 \cdot R_4 + 2 \cdot T_1$.

Following [3] to every homogeneous foliation \mathcal{H} of degree d on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is associated a rational map from the RIEMANN sphere $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ to itself of degree d denoted by $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and defined as follows: if \mathcal{H} is described by $\omega = A(x, y)dx + B(x, y)dy$, with A and B being homogeneous polynomials of degree d without common factor, the image of the point $[x : y] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ by $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is the point $[-A(x, y) : B(x, y)] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. It is clear that this definition does not depend on the choice of the homogeneous 1-form ω describing the foliation \mathcal{H} . Notice that the map $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ has the following properties (see [3]):

1. the fixed points of $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ correspond to the tangent cone of \mathcal{H} at the origin O (i.e. $[a : b] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ is fixed by $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ if and only if the line $by - ax = 0$ is invariant by \mathcal{H});
2. the point $[a : b] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ is a fixed critical point of $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ if and only if the point $[b : a : 0] \in L_{\infty}$ is a radial singularity of \mathcal{H} . The multiplicity of the critical point $[a : b]$ of $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is exactly equal to the the radially order of the singularity at infinity;
3. the point $[a : b] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ is a non-fixed critical point of $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ if and only if the line $by - ax = 0$ is a transverse inflection line of \mathcal{H} . The multiplicity of the critical point $[a : b]$ of $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is precisely equal to the inflection order of this line.

It follows, in particular, that a homogeneous foliation \mathcal{H} on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is convex if and only if its associated map $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ has only fixed critical points; more precisely, a homogeneous foliation \mathcal{H} of degree d on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ is convex of type $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} r_k \cdot R_k$ if and only if the map $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ possesses r_1 , resp. r_2, \dots , resp. r_{d-1} fixed critical points of multiplicity 1, resp. $2 \dots$, resp. $d - 1$, with $\sum_{k=1}^{d-1} kr_k = 2d - 2$.

2. Proof of Theorem A

Before proving Theorem A, let us recall the next result which follows from Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 of [3]:

Proposition 2.1 ([3]). — Let \mathcal{H} be a convex homogeneous foliation of degree $d \geq 3$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Let v be an integer between 1 and $d - 2$. Then, \mathcal{H} is of type

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = 2 \cdot R_{d-1}, \quad \text{resp.} \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = 1 \cdot R_v + 1 \cdot R_{d-v-1} + 1 \cdot R_{d-1},$$

if and only if it is linearly conjugated to the foliation \mathcal{H}_1^d , resp. $\mathcal{H}_3^{d,v}$ given by

$$\omega_1^d = y^d dx - x^d dy, \quad \text{resp.} \quad \omega_3^{d,v} = \sum_{i=v+1}^d \binom{d}{i} x^{d-i} y^i dx - \sum_{i=0}^v \binom{d}{i} x^{d-i} y^i dy.$$

Proof of Theorem A. — Let \mathcal{H} be a convex homogeneous foliation of degree 4 on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, defined in the affine chart (x, y) , by the 1-form

$$\omega = A(x, y)dx + B(x, y)dy, \quad A, B \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]_4, \quad \gcd(A, B) = 1.$$

By [4, Remark 2.5] the foliation \mathcal{H} can not have $4 + 1 = 5$ distinct radial singularities, in other words it can not be of type $4 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1 + 1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_2$. We are then in one of the following situations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = 2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_3; & & \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = 1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1 + 1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_2 + 1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_3; & & \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = 3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_2; \\ \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = 2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1 + 2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_2; & & \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = 3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1 + 1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_3. \end{aligned}$$

- If $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = 2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_3$, resp. $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = 1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1 + 1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_2 + 1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_3$, then by [3, Propositions 4.1, 4.2], the 1-form ω is linearly conjugated to

$$\omega_1^4 = y^4 dx - x^4 dy = \omega_1,$$

$$\text{resp. } \omega_3^{4,1} = \sum_{i=2}^4 \binom{4}{i} x^{4-i} y^i dx - \sum_{i=0}^1 \binom{4}{i} x^{4-i} y^i dy = y^2(6x^2 + 4xy + y^2)dx - x^3(x + 4y)dy = \omega_3.$$

- Assume that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = 3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_2$. This means that the rational map $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$, $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}(z) = -\frac{A(1, z)}{B(1, z)}$, admits three different fixed critical points of multiplicity 2. By [7, page 79], $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is conjugated by a MÖBIUS transformation to $z \mapsto -\frac{z^3(2-z)}{1-2z}$. As a consequence, ω is linearly conjugated to

$$\omega_2 = y^3(2x - y)dx + x^3(x - 2y)dy.$$

- Assume that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = 2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1 + 2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_2$. Then the rational map $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ possesses four fixed critical points, two of them having multiplicity 1 and the other two having multiplicity 2. This implies, by [7, page 79], that up to conjugation by a MÖBIUS transformation, $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$ writes as

$$z \mapsto -\frac{z^3(2z + 3cz - 4c - 3)}{z + c},$$

where $c = -3/8 \pm \sqrt{5}/8$. Thus, up to linear conjugation

$$\omega = y^3(2y + 3cy - 4cx - 3x)dx + x^3(y + cx)dy, \quad c = -\frac{3}{8} \pm \frac{\sqrt{5}}{8}.$$

In both cases ($c = -3/8 + \sqrt{5}/8$ or $c = -3/8 - \sqrt{5}/8$), the 1-form ω is linearly conjugated to

$$\omega_4 = y^3(4x + y)dx + x^3(x + 4y)dy.$$

Indeed,

$$\omega_4 = \frac{3c+2}{2}\varphi^*\omega, \quad \text{where } \varphi = (2x, 8cy).$$

- Finally, consider the last situation: $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}} = 3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1 + 1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_3$. Up to linear conjugation we can assume that $D_{\mathcal{H}} = cx^3y(y-x)(y-\alpha x)$ and $C_{\mathcal{H}}(0, 1) = C_{\mathcal{H}}(1, 0) = C_{\mathcal{H}}(1, 1) = C_{\mathcal{H}}(1, \alpha) = 0$, for some $c, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $\alpha \neq 1$. The points $\infty = [1 : 0]$, $[0 : 1]$, $[1 : 1]$, $[1 : \alpha] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$ are then fixed and critical for $\underline{G}_{\mathcal{H}}$, having respective multiplicities 3, 1, 1, 1. By [3, Lemma 3.9], there exist constants $a_0, a_2, b \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $a_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$B(x, y) = bx^4, \quad A(x, y) = (a_0x^2 + a_1xy + a_2y^2)y^2, \quad (z-1)^2 \text{ divides } P(z), \quad (z-\alpha)^2 \text{ divides } Q(z),$$

where $P(z) := A(1, z) + B(1, z)$ and $Q(z) := A(1, z) + \alpha B(1, z)$. It follows that

$$\begin{cases} P(1) = 0 \\ P'(1) = 0 \\ Q(\alpha) = 0 \\ Q'(\alpha) = 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + b = 0 \\ 2a_0 + 3a_1 + 4a_2 = 0 \\ a_2\alpha^3 + a_1\alpha^2 + a_0\alpha + b = 0 \\ 4a_2\alpha^2 + 3a_1\alpha + 2a_0 = 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a_0 = 2a_2\alpha \\ a_1 = -\frac{4a_2(\alpha+1)}{3} \\ b = -\frac{a_2(2\alpha-1)}{3} \\ \alpha^2 - \alpha + 1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

By replacing ω by $\frac{3}{a_2}\omega$, we can assume that

$$\omega = y^2(6\alpha x^2 - 4(\alpha+1)xy + 3y^2)dx - (2\alpha-1)x^4dy, \quad \alpha^2 - \alpha + 1 = 0.$$

The 1-form ω is linearly conjugated to

$$\omega_5 = y^2(6x^2 + 4xy + y^2)dx + 3x^4dy.$$

Indeed, the fact that α satisfies $\alpha^2 - \alpha + 1 = 0$ implies that

$$\omega_5 = \frac{1-\alpha}{(\alpha-2)^3}\varphi^*\omega, \quad \text{where } \varphi = ((\alpha-2)x, y).$$

The foliations $\mathcal{H}_i, i = 1, \dots, 5$, are not linearly conjugated because, by construction, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_j} \neq \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_i}$ for each $j \neq i$. This ends the proof of the theorem. \square

A remarkable feature of the classification obtained is that all the singularities of the foliations $\mathcal{H}_i, i = 1, \dots, 5$, on the line at infinity are non-degenerated. In the following section we will need the values of the CAMACHO-SAD indices $\text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_i, L_\infty, s)$, $s \in \text{Sing}\mathcal{H}_i \cap L_\infty$. For this reason, we have computed, for each $i = 1, \dots, 5$, the following polynomial (called CAMACHO-SAD polynomial of the homogeneous foliation \mathcal{H}_i)

$$\text{CS}_{\mathcal{H}_i}(\lambda) = \prod_{s \in \text{Sing}\mathcal{H}_i \cap L_\infty} (\lambda - \text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_i, L_\infty, s)).$$

The following table summarizes the types and the CAMACHO-SAD polynomials of the foliations $\mathcal{H}_i, i = 1, \dots, 5$.

i	$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_i}$	$\text{CS}_{\mathcal{H}_i}(\lambda)$
1	$2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_3$	$(\lambda - 1)^2(\lambda + \frac{1}{3})^3$
2	$3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_2$	$(\lambda - 1)^3(\lambda + 1)^2$
3	$1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1 + 1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_2 + 1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_3$	$(\lambda - 1)^3(\lambda + \frac{13+2\sqrt{13}}{13})(\lambda + \frac{13-2\sqrt{13}}{13})$
4	$2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1 + 2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_2$	$(\lambda - 1)^4(\lambda + 3)$
5	$3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1 + 1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_3$	$(\lambda - 1)^4(\lambda + 3)$

TABLE 1. Types and CAMACHO-SAD polynomials of the homogeneous foliations given by Theorem A.

3. Proof of Theorem B

The proof of Theorem B is based on the classification of convex homogeneous foliations of degree four on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ given by Theorem A and on the three following results which hold in arbitrary degree.

First, notice that if \mathcal{F} is a foliation of degree $d \geq 1$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and if s is a singular point of \mathcal{F} then

$$\sigma(\mathcal{F}, s) \leq \tau(\mathcal{F}, s) + 1 \leq d + 1,$$

where $\sigma(\mathcal{F}, s)$ denotes the number of (distinct) invariant lines of \mathcal{F} passing through s .

The following lemma shows that the left-hand inequality above is an equality in the case where \mathcal{F} is a reduced convex foliation.

Lemma 3.1. — *Let \mathcal{F} be a reduced convex foliation of degree $d \geq 1$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Then, through each singular point s of \mathcal{F} pass exactly $\tau(\mathcal{F}, s) + 1$ invariant lines of \mathcal{F} , i.e. $\sigma(\mathcal{F}, s) = \tau(\mathcal{F}, s) + 1$.*

Proof. — Let s be a singular point of \mathcal{F} . Since the inflection divisor $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ of \mathcal{F} is totally invariant by \mathcal{F} and it is reduced, we deduce that $\mu(\mathcal{F}, s) = 1$ ([3, Lemma 6.8]) and the number $\sigma(\mathcal{F}, s)$ coincides with the vanishing order of $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ at s . On the other hand, an elementary computation, using the equality $\mu(\mathcal{F}, s) = 1$, shows that the vanishing order of $I_{\mathcal{F}}$ at s is equal to $\tau(\mathcal{F}, s) + 1$. Hence the lemma holds. \square

The following result allows us to reduce the study of the convexity to the homogeneous framework:

Proposition 3.2. — *Let \mathcal{F} be a reduced convex foliation of degree $d \geq 1$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ and let ℓ be one of its $3d$ invariant lines. There is a convex homogeneous foliation \mathcal{H} of degree d on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ satisfying the following properties:*

- (i) $\mathcal{H} \in \overline{O(\mathcal{F})}$;
- (ii) ℓ is invariant by \mathcal{H} ;
- (iii) $\text{Sing } \mathcal{H} \cap \ell = \text{Sing } \mathcal{F} \cap \ell$;
- (iv) $\forall s \in \text{Sing } \mathcal{H} \cap \ell, \mu(\mathcal{H}, s) = 1$;
- (v) $\forall s \in \text{Sing } \mathcal{H} \cap \ell, \tau(\mathcal{H}, s) = \tau(\mathcal{F}, s)$;
- (vi) $\forall s \in \text{Sing } \mathcal{H} \cap \ell, \text{CS}(\mathcal{H}, \ell, s) = \text{CS}(\mathcal{F}, \ell, s)$.

Proof. — We take a homogeneous coordinate system $[x : y : z] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ such that $\ell = \{z = 0\}$. Since ℓ is \mathcal{F} -invariant, \mathcal{F} is defined in the affine chart $z = 1$ by a 1-form of the following type

$$\omega = \sum_{i=0}^d (A_i(x, y)dx + B_i(x, y)dy),$$

where A_i, B_i are homogeneous polynomials of degree i . Using the fact that every reduced convex foliation on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ has only non-degenerate singularities ([3, Lemma 6.8]) and arguing as in the proof of [3, Proposition 6.4], we see that the 1-form $\omega_d = A_d(x, y)dx + B_d(x, y)dy$ well defines a homogeneous foliation \mathcal{H} of degree d on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, and that this foliation satisfies the announced properties (i), (ii), (iii), (iv) and (vi). In particular, since \mathcal{F} is convex by hypothesis, property (i) implies that \mathcal{H} is also convex.

Let us show that \mathcal{H} also satisfies property (v). Set $\Lambda := \text{Sing } \mathcal{H} \cap \ell = \text{Sing } \mathcal{F} \cap \ell$; since \mathcal{F} possesses $3d$ invariant lines, we have $\sum_{s \in \Lambda} (\sigma(\mathcal{F}, s) - 1) = 3d - 1$. By Lemma 3.1, this is equivalent to $\sum_{s \in \Lambda} \tau(\mathcal{F}, s) = 3d - 1$. By [3, Proposition 2.2] the convexity of \mathcal{H} implies that $\sum_{s \in \Lambda} \tau(\mathcal{H}, s) = 2d - 2$. Moreover, the already proved property (iv) ensures that $\#\Lambda = d + 1$. It follows that

$$\sum_{s \in \Lambda} (\tau(\mathcal{F}, s) - 1) = (3d - 1) - \#\Lambda = 2d - 2 = \sum_{s \in \Lambda} (\tau(\mathcal{H}, s) - 1).$$

Thus, in order to see that \mathcal{H} satisfies property (v), it is enough to prove that $\tau(\mathcal{F}, s) \leq \tau(\mathcal{H}, s)$ for each $s \in \Lambda$. Let us fix $s \in \Lambda$. Up to conjugating ω by a linear isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2 = (z = 1)$, we can assume that $s = [0 : 1 : 0]$. The foliations \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{H} are respectively defined in the affine chart $y = 1$ by the 1-forms

$$\theta = \sum_{i=0}^d z^{d-i} [A_i(x, 1)(zdx - xdz) - B_i(x, 1)dz] \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_d = A_d(x, 1)(zdx - xdz) - B_d(x, 1)dz.$$

As a consequence

$$\tau(\mathcal{F}, s) = \min \left\{ k \geq 1 : J_{(0,0)}^k \left(\sum_{i=0}^d z^{d-i} B_i(x, 1) \right) \neq 0 \right\} \leq \min \left\{ k \geq 1 : J_0^k(B_d(x, 1)) \neq 0 \right\} = \tau(\mathcal{H}, s).$$

□

Remark 3.3. — If \mathcal{F} is a foliation of degree d on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ then (see [2])

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{s \in \text{Sing} \mathcal{F}} \mu(\mathcal{F}, s) = d^2 + d + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{s \in \text{Sing} \mathcal{F}} \text{BB}(\mathcal{F}, s) = (d + 2)^2.$$

Lemma 3.4. — Every foliation of degree $d \geq 1$ on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ possesses at least a non radial singularity.

This lemma follows from the formulas (3.1) and the obvious following remark: if a foliation \mathcal{F} on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ admits a radial singularity s , then $\mu(\mathcal{F}, s) = 1$ and $\text{BB}(\mathcal{F}, s) = 4$.

Proof of Theorem B. — Let \mathcal{F} be a reduced convex foliation of degree 4 on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Let us denote by Σ the set of non radial singularities of \mathcal{F} . By Lemma 3.4, Σ is nonempty. Since by hypothesis \mathcal{F} is reduced convex, all its singularities have MILNOR number 1 ([3, Lemma 6.8]). The set Σ consists then of the singularities $s \in \text{Sing} \mathcal{F}$ such that $\tau(\mathcal{F}, s) = 1$. Let m be a point of Σ ; by Lemma 3.1, through the point m pass exactly two \mathcal{F} -invariant lines $\ell_m^{(1)}$ and $\ell_m^{(2)}$.

On the other hand, for any line ℓ invariant by \mathcal{F} , Proposition 3.2 ensures the existence of a convex homogeneous foliation \mathcal{H}_{ℓ} of degree 4 on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ belonging to $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{F})$ and such that the line ℓ is \mathcal{H}_{ℓ} -invariant. Therefore \mathcal{H}_{ℓ} , and in particular each $\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(i)}}$, is linearly conjugated to one of the five homogeneous foliations given by Theorem A. Proposition 3.2 also ensures that

- (a) $\text{Sing} \mathcal{F} \cap \ell = \text{Sing} \mathcal{H}_{\ell} \cap \ell$;
- (b) $\forall s \in \text{Sing} \mathcal{H}_{\ell} \cap \ell, \mu(\mathcal{H}_{\ell}, s) = 1$;
- (c) $\forall s \in \text{Sing} \mathcal{H}_{\ell} \cap \ell, \tau(\mathcal{H}_{\ell}, s) = \tau(\mathcal{F}, s)$;
- (d) $\forall s \in \text{Sing} \mathcal{H}_{\ell} \cap \ell, \text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell}, \ell, s) = \text{CS}(\mathcal{F}, \ell, s)$.

Since $\text{CS}(\mathcal{F}, \ell_m^{(1)}, m) \text{CS}(\mathcal{F}, \ell_m^{(2)}, m) = 1$, relation (d) implies that $\text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(1)}}, \ell_m^{(1)}, m) \text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(2)}}, \ell_m^{(2)}, m) = 1$. This equality and Table 1 lead to

$$\{\text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(1)}}, \ell_m^{(1)}, m), \text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(2)}}, \ell_m^{(2)}, m)\} = \{-3, -\frac{1}{3}\} \quad \text{or} \quad \text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(1)}}, \ell_m^{(1)}, m) = \text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(2)}}, \ell_m^{(2)}, m) = -1.$$

At first let us suppose that it is possible to choose $m \in \Sigma$ so that

$$\{\text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(1)}}, \ell_m^{(1)}, m), \text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(2)}}, \ell_m^{(2)}, m)\} = \{-3, -\frac{1}{3}\}.$$

By renumbering the $\ell_m^{(i)}$ we can assume that $\text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(1)}}, \ell_m^{(1)}, m) = -\frac{1}{3}$ and $\text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(2)}}, \ell_m^{(2)}, m) = -3$. Consulting Table 1, we see that

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(1)}}} = 2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_3, \quad \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(2)}}} \in \left\{ 3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1 + 1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_3, 2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_1 + 2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_2 \right\}.$$

Therefore, it follows from relations (a) and (c) that \mathcal{F} possesses two radial singularities m_1, m_2 of order 3 on the line $\ell_m^{(1)}$ and a radial singularity m_3 of order 2 or 3 on the line $\ell_m^{(2)}$.

We will see that the radially order of the singularity m_3 of \mathcal{F} is necessarily 3, *i.e.* $\tau(\mathcal{F}, m_3) = 4$. By [2, Proposition 2, page 23], the fact that $\tau(\mathcal{F}, m_1) + \tau(\mathcal{F}, m_3) \geq 4 + 3 > \deg \mathcal{F}$ implies the invariance by \mathcal{F} of the line $\ell = (m_1 m_3)$; if $\tau(\mathcal{F}, m_3)$ were equal to 3, then relations (a), (b) and (c), combined with the convexity of the foliation \mathcal{H}_ℓ , would imply that $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_\ell} = 1 \cdot R_1 + 1 \cdot R_2 + 1 \cdot R_3$ so that (see Table 1) \mathcal{H}_ℓ would possess a

singularity m' on the line ℓ satisfying $\text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_\ell, \ell, m') \in \left\{ -\frac{13+2\sqrt{13}}{13}, -\frac{13-2\sqrt{13}}{13} \right\}$ which is not possible.

By construction, the three points m_1, m_2 and m_3 are not aligned. We have thus shown that \mathcal{F} admits three non-aligned radial singularities of order 3. By [3, Proposition 6.3] the foliation \mathcal{F} is linearly conjugated to the FERMAT foliation \mathcal{F}_0^4 .

Let us now consider the eventuality $\text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(1)}}, \ell_m^{(1)}, m) = \text{CS}(\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(2)}}, \ell_m^{(2)}, m) = -1$ for any choice of $m \in \Sigma$.

In this case, Table 1 leads to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{H}_{\ell_m^{(i)}}} = 3 \cdot R_2$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then, as before, by using relations (a), (b) and (c),

we obtain that \mathcal{F} possesses exactly three radial singularities of order 2 on each line $\ell_m^{(i)}$. Moreover, every line joining a radial singularity of order 2 of \mathcal{F} on $\ell_m^{(1)}$ and a radial singularity of order 2 of \mathcal{F} on $\ell_m^{(2)}$ must contain necessarily a third radial singularity of order 2 of \mathcal{F} . We can then choose a homogeneous coordinate system $[x : y : z] \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ so that the points $m_1 = [0 : 0 : 1]$, $m_2 = [1 : 0 : 0]$ and $m_3 = [0 : 1 : 0]$ are radial singularities of order 2 of \mathcal{F} . Moreover, in this coordinate system the lines $x = 0$, $y = 0$, $z = 0$ must be invariant by \mathcal{F} and there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that the points $m_4 = [x_0 : 0 : 1]$, $m_5 = [1 : y_0 : 0]$, $m_6 = [0 : 1 : z_0]$ are radial singularities of order 2 of \mathcal{F} . The equalities $\nu(\mathcal{F}, m_1) = 1$, $\tau(\mathcal{F}, m_1) = 3$ and the invariance of the line $z = 0$ by \mathcal{F} ensure that every 1-form ω defining \mathcal{F} in the affine chart $z = 1$ is of type

$$\begin{aligned} \omega = & (xdy - ydx)(\gamma + c_0x + c_1y) + (\alpha_0x^3 + \alpha_1x^2y + \alpha_2xy^2 + \alpha_3y^3)dx + (\beta_0x^3 + \beta_1x^2y + \beta_2xy^2 + \beta_3y^3)dy \\ & + (a_0x^4 + a_1x^3y + a_2x^2y^2 + a_3xy^3 + a_4y^4)dx + (b_0x^4 + b_1x^3y + b_2x^2y^2 + b_3xy^3 + b_4y^4)dy, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i, b_i, c_j, \alpha_k, \beta_k \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

In the affine chart $x = 1$, resp. $y = 1$, the foliation \mathcal{F} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta = & z^3(\gamma z + c_0 + c_1y)dy - (\alpha_0z + \alpha_1yz + \alpha_2y^2z + \alpha_3y^3z + a_0 + a_1y + a_2y^2 + a_3y^3 + a_4y^4)dz \\ & - (\beta_0z + \beta_1yz + \beta_2y^2z + \beta_3y^3z + b_0 + b_1y + b_2y^2 + b_3y^3 + b_4y^4)(ydz - zdy), \\ \text{resp. } \eta = & -z^3(\gamma z + c_0x + c_1)dx - (\beta_0x^3z + \beta_1x^2z + \beta_2xz + \beta_3z + b_0x^4 + b_1x^3 + b_2x^2 + b_3x + b_4)dz \\ & + (\alpha_0x^3z + \alpha_1x^2z + \alpha_2xz + \alpha_3z + a_0x^4 + a_1x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_3x + a_4)(zdx - xdz). \end{aligned}$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(J_{(y,z)=(0,0)}^2 \theta \right) \wedge (ydz - zdy) &= -zP(y, z)dy \wedge dz, & \left(J_{(x,z)=(0,0)}^2 \eta \right) \wedge (zdx - xdz) &= zQ(x, z)dx \wedge dz, \\ \left(J_{(x,y)=(x_0,0)}^2 \omega \right) \wedge ((x - x_0)dy - ydx) &= x_0R(x, y)dx \wedge dy, & \left(J_{(y,z)=(y_0,0)}^2 \theta \right) \wedge ((y - y_0)dz - zdy) &= -zS(y, z)dy \wedge dz, \\ \left(J_{(x,z)=(0,z_0)}^2 \eta \right) \wedge ((z - z_0)dx - xdz) &= T(x, z)dx \wedge dz \end{aligned}$$

with

$$P(y, z) = a_0 + a_1y + \alpha_0z + a_2y^2 + \alpha_1yz,$$

$$Q(x, z) = b_4 + b_3x + \beta_3z + b_2x^2 + \beta_2xz,$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(x, y) = & -x_0^3(\alpha_0 + 3a_0x_0) + x_0^2(4\alpha_0 + 11a_0x_0)x + (\gamma + \alpha_1x_0^2 + \beta_0x_0^2 + 2a_1x_0^3 + 3b_0x_0^3)y - 2x_0(3\alpha_0 + 7a_0x_0)x^2 \\ & + (c_0 - 3\alpha_1x_0 - 3\beta_0x_0 - 5a_1x_0^2 - 8b_0x_0^2)xy + (c_1 - \alpha_2x_0 - \beta_1x_0 - a_2x_0^2 - 2b_1x_0^2)y^2 + (3\alpha_0 + 6a_0x_0)x^3 \\ & + (2\alpha_1 + 3\beta_0 + 3a_1x_0 + 6b_0x_0)x^2y + (\alpha_2 + 2\beta_1 + a_2x_0 + 3b_1x_0)xy^2 + (\beta_2 + b_2x_0)y^3, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(y, z) = & a_0 + b_0y_0 + a_3y_0^3 + 3a_4y_0^4 + b_3y_0^4 + 3b_4y_0^5 + (a_1 + b_1y_0 - 3a_3y_0^2 - 8a_4y_0^3 - 3b_3y_0^3 - 8b_4y_0^4)y \\ & + (\alpha_0 + \beta_0y_0 - \alpha_2y_0^2 - 2\alpha_3y_0^3 - \beta_2y_0^3 - 2\beta_3y_0^4)z + (a_2 + 3a_3y_0 + b_2y_0 + 6a_4y_0^2 + 3b_3y_0^2 + 6b_4y_0^3)y^2 \\ & + (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2y_0 + \beta_1y_0 + 3\alpha_3y_0^2 + 2\beta_2y_0^2 + 3\beta_3y_0^3)yz, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(x, z) = & -b_4z_0 - z_0(a_4 + b_3 - c_1z_0^2 - 3\gamma z_0^3)x + (b_4 - \beta_3z_0)z - z_0(a_3 + b_2 + \beta_1z_0 + 2c_0z_0^2)x^2 + (\beta_2 + 3c_1z_0 + 6\gamma z_0^2)xz^2 \\ & + (b_3 - \alpha_3z_0 - \beta_2z_0 - 3c_1z_0^2 - 8\gamma z_0^3)xz + \beta_3z^2 - z_0(a_2 + \alpha_1z_0)x^3 + (b_2 - \alpha_2z_0 + \beta_1z_0 + 3c_0z_0^2)x^2z, \end{aligned}$$

so that the equality $\tau(\mathcal{F}, m_2) = 3$ (resp. $\tau(\mathcal{F}, m_3) = 3$, resp. $\tau(\mathcal{F}, m_4) = 3$, resp. $\tau(\mathcal{F}, m_5) = 3$, resp. $\tau(\mathcal{F}, m_6) = 3$) implies that the polynomial P (resp. Q , resp. R , resp. S , resp. T) is identically zero. From $P = Q = 0$ we obtain $a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = \alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = b_4 = b_3 = b_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_2 = 0$. Next, from the equalities $R = S = T = 0$ we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 = 2\gamma y_0 z_0(x_0 y_0 z_0 + 1), \quad c_1 = -2\gamma z_0, \quad \alpha_2 = 2\gamma y_0 z_0^2(x_0 y_0 z_0 + 2), \quad \alpha_3 = -2\gamma z_0^2, \quad \beta_0 = 2\gamma x_0 y_0^3 z_0^3, \\ \beta_1 = -2\gamma y_0 z_0^2(2x_0 y_0 z_0 + 1), \quad a_3 = -2\gamma y_0 z_0^3, \quad a_4 = \gamma z_0^3, \quad b_0 = -\gamma y_0^3 z_0^3, \quad b_1 = 2\gamma y_0^2 z_0^3, \\ (x_0 y_0 z_0)^2 + x_0 y_0 z_0 + 1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us set $\rho = x_0 y_0 z_0$; then $\rho^2 + \rho + 1 = 0$ and ω is of type

$$\begin{aligned} \omega = & \gamma(xdy - ydx) \left(1 + 2y_0 z_0(\rho + 1)x - 2z_0 y\right) + 2\gamma z_0^2 y^2 (y_0(\rho + 2)x - y) dx + \gamma z_0^3 y^3 (y - 2y_0 x) dx \\ & + 2\gamma y_0 z_0^2 x^2 (y_0 \rho x - (2\rho + 1)y) dy + \gamma y_0^2 z_0^3 x^3 (2y - y_0 x) dy. \end{aligned}$$

This 1-form is linearly conjugated to

$$\omega_H^4 = (2x^3 - y^3 - 1)ydx + (2y^3 - x^3 - 1)xdy.$$

Indeed, the fact that ρ satisfies $\rho^2 + \rho + 1 = 0$ implies that

$$\omega_H^4 = \frac{9y_0 z_0^2}{\gamma(\rho - 1)} \varphi^* \omega, \quad \text{where } \varphi = \left(\frac{2\rho + 1 - (\rho + 2)x - (\rho + 2)y}{3y_0 z_0}, \frac{(\rho - 1)x - (2\rho + 1)y + \rho + 2}{3z_0} \right).$$

□

References

- [1] P. Baum and R. Bott. Singularities of holomorphic foliations. *J. Differential Geometry*, 7:279–342, 1972.
- [2] M. Brunella. *Birational geometry of foliations*. IMPA Monographs, 1. Springer, Cham, 2015. xiv+130 pp.
- [3] S. Bedrouni and D. Marín. Tissus plats et feuilletages homogènes sur le plan projectif complexe. To appear in *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 2017.
- [4] S. Bedrouni. *Feuilletages de degré trois du plan projectif complexe ayant une transformée de Legendre plate*. PhD thesis, University of Sciences and Technology Houari Boumediene, 2017. Available on <https://arxiv.org/abs/1712.03895>.

- [5] C. Camacho and P. Sad. Invariant varieties through singularities of holomorphic vector fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 115(3):579–595, 1982.
- [6] D. Cerveau, J. Déserti, D. Garba Belko, and R. Meziani. Géométrie classique de certains feuilletages de degré deux. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 41(2):161–198, 2010.
- [7] K. Cordwell, S. Gilbertson, N. Nuechterlein, K. M. Pilgrim, and S. Pinella. On the classification of critically fixed rational maps. *Conform. Geom. Dyn.*, 19:51–94, 2015.
- [8] C. Favre and J. V. Pereira. Webs invariant by rational maps on surfaces. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)*, 64(3):403–431, 2015.
- [9] D. Marín and J. V. Pereira. Rigid flat webs on the projective plane. *Asian J. Math.* 17(1):163–191, 2013.
- [10] J. V. Pereira. Vector fields, invariant varieties and linear systems. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 51(5):1385–1405, 2001.

December 11, 2018

SAMIR BEDROUNI, Faculté de Mathématiques, USTHB, BP 32, El-Alia, 16111 Bab-Ezzouar, Alger, Algérie
E-mail : sbedrouni@usthb.dz

DAVID MARÍN, Departament de Matemàtiques Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona) Spain
E-mail : davidmp@mat.uab.es