

# Ehrhart polynomials of polytopes and spectrum at infinity of Laurent polynomials

ANTOINE DOUAI

Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, LJAD, FRANCE

Email address: antoine.douai@univ-cotedazur.fr

December 12, 2018

## Abstract

Gathering different results from singularity theory, geometry and combinatorics, we show that the spectrum at infinity of a tame Laurent polynomial counts lattice points in polytopes and we deduce an effective algorithm in order to compute the Ehrhart polynomial of a simplex containing the origin as an interior point.

## 1 Introduction

The spectrum at infinity of a tame Laurent polynomial  $f$ , defined by C. Sabbah in [13], is a sequence  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_\mu)$  of rational numbers which is related to various concepts in singularity theory (monodromy, Hodge and Kashiwara-Malgrange filtrations, Brieskorn lattices...) and is in general difficult to handle. However, if  $f$  is convenient and nondegenerate with respect to its Newton polytope in the sense of Kouchnirenko [10] (this is generically the case), this spectrum has a very concrete description: the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} z^{\beta_i}$  is equal to the Hilbert-Poincaré series of the Jacobian ring of  $f$  graded by the Newton filtration, see [7]. It follows from [10] that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} z^{\beta_i} = (1-z)^n \sum_{\nu \in N} z^{\nu(v)}$  where  $\nu$  is the Newton filtration of the Newton polytope  $P$  of  $f$  and  $\mu$  is the normalized volume of  $P$ , see [5], [6]. Because the right hand side depends only on  $P$ , we will also call it the *Newton spectrum of  $P$*  and we will denote it by  $\text{Spec}_P(z)$ . This is recorded in Section 2, where we also recall the basic definitions.

Once we have this description, it follows from the work of A. Stapledon [14] that the spectrum at infinity of a convenient and nondegenerate Laurent polynomial (equivalently, the Newton spectrum of its Newton polytope) counts "weighted" lattice points in its Newton polytope  $P$  and its integer dilates, more precisely that it is a weighted  $\delta$ -vector as defined in *loc. cit.* In particular, according to an observation made in [14], the  $\delta$ -vector  $\delta_P(z) = \delta_0 + \delta_1 z + \dots + \delta_n z^n$  of a lattice polytope  $P$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing the origin as an interior point, hence its Ehrhart polynomial, can be obtained effortlessly from its Newton spectrum  $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} z^{\beta_i}$ : the coefficient  $\delta_i$  is equal to the number of  $\beta_k$ 's such that  $\beta_k \in ]i-1, i]$ . See Section 4.1, where we also recall the definitions of  $\delta$ -vectors and Ehrhart polynomials. It should be emphasized that the Newton spectrum of a polytope  $P$  is somewhat finer than its  $\delta$ -vector: both coincide if and only if  $P$  is reflexive, see Proposition 5.1.

This is particularly fruitful when  $P$  is a reduced simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in the sense of [4] (see Section 3 for the definition of a reduced simplex and its weight) because we have a very simple closed formula

for its Newton spectrum, see Theorem 3.3. This formula only involves the weight of the simplex and is based on [8, Theorem 1] whose proof uses classical tools in singularity theory. This provides an effective algorithm in order to compute the  $\delta$ -vectors and the Ehrhart polynomials of reduced simplices, see Section 4.2. For instance, let us consider the simplex

$$\Delta := \text{conv}((1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1), (-1, -1, -1, -5))$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Using Theorem 3.3, it is readily checked that its Newton spectrum is

$$\text{Spec}_\Delta(z) = 1 + z + z^2 + z^3 + z^4 + z^{16/5} + z^{12/5} + z^{8/5} + z^{4/5}$$

and it follows from Proposition 4.5 that  $\delta_0 = 1$ ,  $\delta_1 = 2$ ,  $\delta_2 = 2$ ,  $\delta_3 = 2$ ,  $\delta_4 = 2$ . Finally, its Ehrhart polynomial is

$$L_\Delta(z) = \frac{1}{24}(9z^4 + 10z^3 + 75z^2 + 50z + 24).$$

See Example 4.7.

Notice that it is also possible to get in a similar way the  $\delta$ -vectors of Newton polytopes of convenient and nondegenerate polynomials from their toric Newton spectrum (as defined in [5, Definition 3.1]). This can be applied for instance to the Mordell-Pommersheim tetrahedron, that is the convex hull of  $(0, 0, 0)$ ,  $(a, 0, 0)$ ,  $(0, b, 0)$ ,  $(0, 0, c)$  where  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  are positive integers, which is the Newton polytope of the Brieskorn-Pham polynomial  $f(u_1, u_2, u_3) = u_1^a + u_2^b + u_3^c$  on  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , a very familiar object in singularity theory. See Section 4.3.

In this context, other questions may arise: for instance it is expected that the variance of the spectrum at infinity of a Laurent polynomial in  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is bounded below by  $n/12$  (this is a global variant of C. Hertling's conjecture [9], see [6] and the references therein). By Proposition 5.1, this would give informations about the distribution of the  $\delta$ -vector in the reflexive case. See Remark 5.3. In the opposite direction, the theory of polytopes is useful in order to get results on the singularity side: for instance, using [12] it is readily seen that the spectrum at infinity of a tame regular function is not always unimodal (this is discussed in Remark 5.2).

**Acknowledgements.** I thank R. Davis for pointing to me the references [3] and [12] about unimodality.

## 2 The Newton spectrum of a polytope

In this section, we first recall some basic facts about polytopes that we will use. We then define the Newton spectrum of a polytope in Section 2.2 and we give a closed formula for the Newton spectrum of simplices in Section 3.

### 2.1 Polytopes (basics)

In this text,  $N$  is the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ ,  $M$  is the dual lattice  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the canonical pairing between  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ . A *lattice polytope* is the convex hull of a finite set of  $N$ . If  $P \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a  $n$ -dimensional lattice polytope containing the origin as an interior point there exists, for each facet  $F$  of  $P$ ,  $u_F \in M_{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that

$$P \subset \{n \in N_{\mathbb{R}}, \langle u_F, n \rangle \leq 1\} \text{ and } F = P \cap \{x \in N_{\mathbb{R}}, \langle u_F, x \rangle = 1\}.$$

This provides the hyperplane presentation

$$P = \cap_F \{x \in N_{\mathbb{R}}, \langle u_F, x \rangle \leq 1\}. \quad (1)$$

The set

$$P^\circ := \{y \in M_{\mathbb{R}}, \langle y, x \rangle \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in P\} \quad (2)$$

is the *polar polytope* of  $P$ . It happens that  $P^\circ$  is indeed a rational polytope (the convex hull of a finite set of  $N_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) if  $P$  contains the origin as an interior point: the vertices of  $P^\circ$  are in one-to-one correspondance with the facets of  $P$  by

$$u_F \text{ vertex of } P^\circ \Leftrightarrow F = \{x \in N_{\mathbb{R}}, \langle u_F, x \rangle = 1\}. \quad (3)$$

A lattice polytope  $P$  is *reflexive* if it contains the origin as an interior point and if  $P^\circ$  is a lattice polytope.

If  $P \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a full dimensional lattice polytope containing the origin as an interior point, we get a complete fan  $\Sigma_P$  in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  by taking the cones over the faces of  $P$ . We will denote by  $X_{\Sigma_P}$  the complete toric variety associated with the fan  $\Sigma_P$ . We will always assume that the fan  $\Sigma_P$  is simplicial. The *Newton function* of  $P$  is the function

$$\nu : N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

which takes the value 1 at the vertices of  $P$  and which is linear on each cone of the fan  $\Sigma_P$ . Alternatively,  $\nu(v) = \max_F \langle u_F, v \rangle$  where the maximum is taken over the facets of  $P$  and the vectors  $u_F$  are defined as in (1). The *Milnor number* of  $P$  is

$$\mu_P := n! \text{vol}(P)$$

where the volume  $\text{vol}(P)$  is normalized such that the volume of the cube is equal to 1. If  $P = \text{conv}(b_1, \dots, b_r)$ , the Milnor number  $\mu_P$  is also the global Milnor number of the Laurent polynomial  $f(\underline{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \underline{u}^{b_i}$  for generic complex coefficients  $c_i$ , that is

$$\mu_P = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}[u_1, u_1^{-1}, \dots, u_n, u_n^{-1}]}{(u_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_1}, \dots, u_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_n})}$$

where  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  is equipped with the coordinates  $\underline{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ ,  $\underline{u}^m := u_1^{m_1} \dots u_n^{m_n}$  if  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $(u_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_1}, \dots, u_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_n})$  denotes the ideal generated by the partial derivative  $u_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_1}, \dots, u_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_n}$ . See [10] for details.

## 2.2 The Newton spectrum of a polytope

The main object of this paper is given by Definition 2.3 which is motivated by the description of the spectrum at infinity of a Laurent polynomial in Kouchnirenko's framework, see [5], [6], [7], [13]. We briefly recall the construction and we gather the results that we will use.

Let  $f(\underline{u}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n} a_m \underline{u}^m$  be a Laurent polynomial defined on  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ . The *Newton polytope*  $P$  of  $f$  is the convex hull of  $\text{supp } f := \{m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, a_m \neq 0\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We assume that  $P$  contains the origin as an interior point, so that  $f$  is convenient in the sense of [10]. The Newton function of  $P$  defines a filtration on  $\mathcal{A} := \mathbb{C}[u_1, u_1^{-1}, \dots, u_n, u_n^{-1}]$  and, by projection, a filtration  $\mathcal{N}_\bullet$  on

$\mathcal{A}/(u_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_1}, \dots, u_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_n})$ , see [5, Section 2], [7, Section 4]. The *spectrum at infinity* of  $f$  is a priori a sequence  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\mu_P})$  of nonnegative rational numbers (there may be repeated items), see [13], [7, Section 2.e]. We will denote  $\text{Spec}_f(z) := \sum_{i=1}^{\mu_P} z^{\beta_i}$ . We first quote the following result (for our purpose, one can take equality (4) as the definition of the spectrum at infinity of the Laurent polynomial  $f$ ) which holds if  $f$  is moreover nondegenerate with respect to  $P$  in the sense of [10, Définition 1.19]:

**Theorem 2.1** [7] *Let  $f$  be a convenient and nondegenerate Laurent polynomial defined on  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ . Then*

$$\text{Spec}_f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{gr}_{\alpha}^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{\mathcal{A}}{(u_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_1}, \dots, u_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_n})} z^{\alpha}. \quad (4)$$

*Proof.* By [7, Theorem 4.5], the Newton filtration and the Kashiwara-Malgrange filtration on the Brieskorn lattice of  $f$  coincide if  $f$  is convenient and nondegenerate. The result then follows from the description of the spectrum given in [7, Section 2.e].  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2** [5], [6] *Let  $f$  be a convenient and nondegenerate Laurent polynomial defined on  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ . Then*

$$\text{Spec}_f(z) = (1 - z)^n \sum_{v \in N} z^{\nu(v)} \quad (5)$$

where  $\nu$  is the Newton function of the Newton polytope  $P$  of  $f$ .

*Proof.* Follows from Theorem 2.1 and [10, Théorème 2.8], as in [5, Theorem 3.2],  $\square$

The right hand side of (5) depends only on  $P$ . This justifies the following definition:

**Definition 2.3** *Let  $P$  be a full dimensional lattice polytope in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ , containing the origin as an interior point, and let  $\nu$  be its Newton function. The Newton spectrum of  $P$  is*

$$\text{Spec}_P(z) := (1 - z)^n \sum_{v \in N} z^{\nu(v)}. \quad (6)$$

Notice that various versions of the right hand of (6) appear in many places, see for instance [1, Theorem 4.3] for a relation with stringy  $E$ -functions.

We have the following geometric description of the Newton spectrum of a polytope  $P$ , which allows to identify the spectrum at infinity of a convenient and nondegenerate polynomial whose Newton polytope is  $P$ . Define, for  $\sigma$  a cone in the fan  $\Sigma_P$  generated by the vertices  $b_1, \dots, b_r$  of  $P$ ,

$$\square(\sigma) := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r q_i b_i, q_i \in [0, 1[, i = 1, \dots, r \right\}$$

and  $\square(\Sigma_P) := \cup_{\sigma \in \Sigma_P} \square(\sigma)$ .

**Proposition 2.4** [5], [6], [14] *Let  $P$  be a full dimensional lattice polytope in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ , containing the origin as an interior point. Then*

$$\text{Spec}_P(z) = \sum_{v \in \square(\Sigma_P) \cap N} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{n - \dim \sigma(v)} \dim H^{2i}(X(\Sigma_P/\sigma(v)), \mathbb{Q}) z^i \right] z^{\nu(v)} \quad (7)$$

where  $X(\Sigma_P/\sigma(v))$  is the toric variety associated with the quotient fan  $\Sigma_P/\sigma(v)$  and  $\sigma(v)$  is the smallest cone containing  $v$ .

*Proof.* Follows from [10, Proposition 2.6], as in [5, Proposition 3.3]. See also [14, Theorem 4.3] for another proof in a slightly different context.  $\square$

**Remark 2.5** *We will write  $\text{Spec}_P(z) := \sum_{i=1}^{\mu_P} z^{\beta_i}$  where  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\mu_P})$  is a sequence of nonnegative rational numbers (counted with multiplicities) and we will freely identify the Newton spectrum of  $P$  with the sequence  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\mu_P})$ .*

We will make a repeated use of the following properties. In what follows,  $\text{Int } P$  denotes the interior of  $P$ ,  $\partial P$  denotes its boundary and, for  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\text{Spec}_P^I(z) := \sum_{\beta_i \in I} z^{\beta_i}$ .

**Proposition 2.6** [5], [6], [7] *Let  $P$  be a full dimensional polytope in  $N_{\mathbb{R}}$  containing the origin in its interior and let  $\nu$  be its Newton function. Then,*

1.  $\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \text{Spec}_P(z) = \mu_P$ ,
2.  $\text{Spec}_P^{[0,1[}(z) = \sum_{v \in \text{Int } P \cap N} z^{\nu(v)}$ ,
3. *the multiplicity of 1 in  $\text{Spec}_P(z)$  is  $\text{Card}(\partial P \cap N) - n$ ,*
4.  $z^n \text{Spec}_P(z^{-1}) = \text{Spec}_P(z)$ .

See [5, Corollary 3.5]. Item 1, 2 and 4 are already contained in [7] and are based on Kouchnirenko's results [10]. The last property means that  $\text{Spec}_P$  is symmetric about  $\frac{n}{2}$  and is a classical specification of a singularity spectrum. In a different setting, these statements can also be found in [14].

### 3 The Newton spectrum of a reduced simplex

We keep the terminology of [4] and we will rather denote a simplex by  $\Delta$  instead of  $P$ . We will say that the polytope  $\Delta := \text{conv}(v_0, \dots, v_n)$  is an *integral  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -simplex* if its vertices  $v_i$  belong to the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  and if it contains the origin as an interior point.

**Definition 3.1** *Let  $\Delta := \text{conv}(v_0, \dots, v_n)$  be an integral  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -simplex. The weight of  $\Delta$  is the tuple  $Q(\Delta) = (q_0, \dots, q_n)$  where*

$$q_i := |\det(v_0, \dots, \widehat{v}_i, \dots, v_n)| \quad (8)$$

for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . The simplex  $\Delta$  is said to be *reduced* if  $\text{gcd}(q_0, \dots, q_n) = 1$ .

**Proposition 3.2** Let  $\Delta := \text{conv}(v_0, \dots, v_n)$  be an integral  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -simplex and let  $Q(\Delta) = (q_0, \dots, q_n)$  be its weight. Then,

1.  $\mu_\Delta = \sum_{i=0}^n q_i$  where  $\mu_\Delta$  is the Milnor number of  $\Delta$ ,
2.  $\sum_{i=0}^n q_i v_i = 0$ ,
3.  $(v_0, \dots, v_n)$  generate  $N$  if and only if  $\Delta$  is reduced.

*Proof.* The first point follows from the definition of the  $q_i$ 's and the second one follows from Cramer's rule. For the third one notice first that, because  $q_i > 0$ , the submodule generated by  $(v_0, \dots, \widehat{v}_i, \dots, v_n)$  is free of rank  $n$ , hence the module  $N_\Delta$  generated by  $(v_0, \dots, v_n)$  is free of rank  $n$ . By [4, Lemma 2.4] we have  $\det N_\Delta = \gcd(q_0, \dots, q_n)$  and this is the index of  $N_\Delta$  in  $N$  so that  $N_\Delta = N$  if and only if  $\det N_\Delta = 1$ .  $\square$

Let  $\Delta$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -integral simplex and let  $Q(\Delta) = (q_0, \dots, q_n)$  be its weight. Let

$$F := \left\{ \frac{\ell}{q_i} \mid 0 \leq \ell \leq q_i - 1, 0 \leq i \leq n \right\}$$

and let  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  be the elements of  $F$  arranged by increasing order. Define

$$S_{f_i} := \{j \mid q_j f_i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \{0, \dots, n\} \text{ and } d_i := \text{Card } S_{f_i}$$

and let  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{\mu_\Delta - 1}$  be the sequence

$$\underbrace{f_1, \dots, f_1}_{d_1}, \underbrace{f_2, \dots, f_2}_{d_2}, \dots, \underbrace{f_k, \dots, f_k}_{d_k}.$$

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $\Delta$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -integral simplex and let  $Q(\Delta) = (q_0, \dots, q_n)$  be its weight. Assume that  $\Delta$  is reduced. Then the Newton spectrum of  $\Delta$  is

$$\text{Spec}_\Delta(z) = z^{\alpha_0} + z^{\alpha_1} + \dots + z^{\alpha_{\mu_\Delta - 1}}$$

where

$$\alpha_k := k - \mu_\Delta c_k$$

for  $k = 0, \dots, \mu_\Delta - 1$ .

*Proof.* The vertices  $v_0, \dots, v_n$  of  $\Delta$  satisfy  $\sum_{i=0}^n q_i v_i = 0$  and they generate  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  by Proposition 3.2 because  $\gcd(q_0, \dots, q_n) = 1$ . Thus, they define the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n+1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \longrightarrow 0$$

where the map on the right is defined by  $\psi(e_i) = v_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$  (we denote here by  $(e_0, \dots, e_n)$  the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ ) and the map on the left is defined by  $\phi(1) = (q_0, \dots, q_n)$ . It follows that the simplex  $\Delta$  is the Newton polytope of the convenient and nondegenerate Laurent polynomial considered in [8]. Thus, the assertion follows from the definition of the Newton spectrum of a polytope given in Section 2.2 and [8, Theorem 1].  $\square$

**Remark 3.4** The  $\alpha_k$ 's defined in Theorem 3.3 are not necessarily distinct. For instance, let us consider  $\Delta = \text{conv}((1,0), (0,1), (-1,-2))$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We have  $Q(\Delta) = (1,1,2)$ ,  $\mu_\Delta = 4$  and the sequence  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  is  $0, 1, 2, 1$ .

**Example 3.5** Let us consider

$$\Delta = \text{conv}((1,0,0,0), (0,1,0,0), (0,0,1,0), (0,0,0,1), (-1,-1,-1,-5))$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . We have  $Q(\Delta) = (1,1,1,1,5)$  and  $\mu_\Delta = 9$ . The sequence  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_8$  is

$$0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5}$$

and the sequence  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_8$  is

$$0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 - \frac{9}{5}, 6 - \frac{18}{5}, 7 - \frac{27}{5}, 8 - \frac{36}{5}.$$

Theorem 3.3 provides  $\text{Spec}_\Delta(z) = 1 + z + z^2 + z^3 + z^4 + z^{16/5} + z^{12/5} + z^{8/5} + z^{4/5}$ .

**Remark 3.6** Theorem 3.3 is not true if we do not assume that  $\Delta$  is reduced: for instance, let  $\Delta = \text{conv}((2,0), (0,2), (2,2))$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We have  $Q(\Delta) = (4,4,4)$  and  $\Delta$  is not reduced. By Proposition 2.6 we have

$$\text{Spec}_\Delta(z) = 1 + 3z^{1/2} + 4z + 3z^{3/2} + z^2$$

but Theorem 3.3 would give  $4 + 4z + 4z^2$ .

## 4 The spectrum as a weighted $\delta$ -vector and application to the computation of (weighted) Ehrhart polynomials

In the first part of this section, we show that the Newton spectrum of a polytope counts weighted lattice points and in the second part we write down an algorithm in order to compute Ehrhart polynomials of reduced simplices.

### 4.1 The Newton spectrum of a polytope as a weighted $\delta$ -vector

Once the spectrum at infinity of a Laurent polynomial is identified by the formula (5), the results of this subsection can be basically found in [14]. For the convenience of the reader we give self-contained proofs. For the background about Ehrhart theory we refer to the book [2].

Let  $P$  be a full dimensional lattice polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and define, for a nonnegative integer  $\ell$ ,  $L_P(\ell) := \text{Card}((\ell P) \cap M)$ . Then  $L_P$  is a polynomial in  $\ell$  of degree  $n$  (this is the *Ehrhart polynomial*) and we have

$$\text{Ehr}_P(z) := 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} L_P(m)z^m = \frac{\delta_0 + \delta_1 z + \dots + \delta_n z^n}{(1-z)^{n+1}} \quad (9)$$

where the  $\delta_j$ 's are nonnegative integers. We will call  $\text{Ehr}_P(z)$  the *Ehrhart series* of  $P$ . We will write  $\delta_P(z) := \delta_0 + \delta_1 z + \dots + \delta_n z^n$ . With a slight abuse of terminology,  $\delta_P(z)$  is called the  $\delta$ -vector

of the polytope  $P$ . The Ehrhart polynomial of a polytope is extract from its  $\delta$ -vector by the means of the formula

$$L_P(z) = \delta_0 \binom{z+n}{n} + \delta_1 \binom{z+n-1}{n} + \cdots + \delta_{n-1} \binom{z+1}{n} + \delta_n \binom{z}{n}. \quad (10)$$

Following [14], one defines a weighted version of the  $\delta$ -vectors. Let  $P$  be full dimensional lattice polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , containing the origin as an interior point, and let  $\nu$  be its Newton function. The *weight* of  $v \in N$  is  $\text{wt}(v) := \nu(v) - \lceil \nu(v) \rceil$  where  $\lceil \cdot \rceil$  denotes the ceiling function. For  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $L_P^\alpha(m)$  be the number of lattice points in  $mP$  of weight  $\alpha$  and define

$$\delta_P^\alpha(z) := (1-z)^{n+1} \sum_{m \geq 0} L_P^\alpha(m) z^m.$$

By the very definition, we have  $\delta_P(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in ]-1, 0]} \delta_P^\alpha(z)$ .

**Definition 4.1** *The weighted  $\delta$ -vector of the polytope  $P$  is  $\delta_P^{\text{wt}}(z) := \sum_{\alpha \in ]-1, 0]} \delta_P^\alpha(z) z^\alpha$ .*

**Theorem 4.2** *Let  $P$  be a full dimensional lattice polytope containing the origin as a interior point. Then,*

$$\text{Spec}_P(z) = \delta_P^{\text{wt}}(z).$$

*In particular, the spectrum at infinity  $\text{Spec}_f(z)$  of a convenient and nondegenerate Laurent polynomial  $f$  is equal to the weighted  $\delta$ -vector  $\delta_P^{\text{wt}}(z)$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $v \in mP \cap N$  if and only if  $\nu(v) \leq m$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_P^{\text{wt}}(z) &= (1-z)^{n+1} \sum_{m \geq 0} \left( \sum_{v \in mP} z^{\text{wt}(v)} \right) z^m = (1-z)^{n+1} \sum_{m \geq 0} \left( \sum_{\nu(v) \leq m} z^{\nu(v) - \lceil \nu(v) \rceil} \right) z^m \\ &= (1-z)^{n+1} \sum_{m \geq 0} \left( \sum_{\lceil \nu(v) \rceil \leq m} z^{\nu(v) - \lceil \nu(v) \rceil} \right) z^m = (1-z)^{n+1} \sum_{v \in N} \left( \sum_{\lceil \nu(v) \rceil \leq m} z^{m - \lceil \nu(v) \rceil} \right) z^{\nu(v)} \\ &= (1-z)^n \sum_{v \in N} z^{\nu(v)} = \text{Spec}_P(z). \end{aligned}$$

The last assertion follows from (5) and (6). □

To sum up, the spectrum at infinity of a Laurent polynomial counts weighted lattice points in its Newton polytope.

**Corollary 4.3** *Let  $\alpha \in ]-1, 0]$  such that  $\delta_P^\alpha(z)$  is not identically equal to zero. Then, with the notations of Proposition 2.4, the rational number  $\alpha$  is equal to  $\nu(v) - \lceil \nu(v) \rceil$  for a suitable  $v \in \square(\Sigma_P) \cap N$  and*

$$\delta_P^\alpha(z) = c_v^0 z^{\lceil \nu(v) \rceil} + \cdots + c_v^{n - \dim \sigma(v)} z^{\lceil \nu(v) \rceil + n - \dim \sigma(v)} \quad (11)$$

where  $c_v^i := \dim H^{2i}(X(\Delta/\sigma(v)), \mathbb{Q})$ .

*Proof.* Follows from Proposition 2.4 and Theorem 4.2. □



**Corollary 4.4** *Let  $\alpha \in ]-1, 0]$ . Then,*

1.  $\delta_P^\alpha(z)$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $n$  in  $z$  whose coefficients are nonnegative integers,
2.  $L_P^\alpha$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  (if not identically equal to zero) and

$$L_P^\alpha(z) = \delta_0^\alpha \binom{z+n}{n} + \delta_1^\alpha \binom{z+n-1}{n} + \cdots + \delta_{n-1}^\alpha \binom{z+1}{n} + \delta_n^\alpha \binom{z}{n} \quad (12)$$

$$\text{if } \delta_P^\alpha(z) = \delta_0^\alpha + \delta_1^\alpha z + \cdots + \delta_n^\alpha z^n.$$

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from Corollary 4.3 because where  $c_v^i := \dim H^{2i}(X(\Delta/\sigma(v)), \mathbb{Q})$  is a nonnegative integer and because  $\lceil \nu(v) \rceil \leq \dim \sigma(v)$  (recall that the Newton spectrum is contained in  $[0, n]$ , see Proposition 2.6). The second one is straightforward.  $\square$

The next observation is borrowed from [14]:

**Proposition 4.5** *Let  $P$  be a full dimensional lattice polytope containing the origin as a interior point. Let  $\delta_P(z) := \sum_{k=0}^n \delta_k z^k$  be the  $\delta$ -vector of the  $P$  and let  $\text{Spec}_P(z) := \sum_{i=1}^{\mu_P} z^{\beta_i}$  be its Newton spectrum. Then, for  $k = 0, \dots, n$ , the coefficient  $\delta_k$  is equal to the number of  $\beta_i$ 's,  $1 \leq i \leq \mu_P$ , such that  $\beta_i \in ]k-1, k]$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows from Corollary 4.3 because  $\delta_P(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in ]-1, 0]} \delta_P^\alpha(z)$ .  $\square$

Using Proposition 2.6, we check that  $\delta_0 = 1$ ,  $\delta_1 = \text{Card}(P \cap N) - (n+1)$  and  $\delta_0 + \cdots + \delta_n = \mu_P$  where  $\mu_P$  is the Milnor number of  $P$ .

## 4.2 An algorithm to compute (weighted) Ehrhart polynomials and $\delta$ -vectors of reduced simplices

Let  $P$  be a full dimensional lattice polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing the origin in its interior. A general recipe in order to compute the  $\delta$ -vector of  $P$  is now clear: compute the Newton spectrum of  $P$  and use Proposition 4.5.

This provides an effective algorithm in order to compute the (weighted)  $\delta$ -vectors and the (weighted) Ehrhart polynomials of a reduced simplex  $\Delta$  containing the origin as an interior point because the Newton spectrum of  $\Delta$  is known:

- compute the weight of  $\Delta$  (use equation (8)) and check that  $\Delta$  is reduced,
- compute the Newton spectrum of  $\Delta$  using Theorem 3.3,
- use Theorem 4.2, Corollary 4.4 and equation (11) in order to compute the weighted  $\delta$ -vectors  $\delta_\Delta^\alpha(z)$  and weighted Ehrhart polynomials  $L_\Delta^\alpha(z)$ ,
- use Proposition 4.5 in order to get the  $\delta$ -vector  $\delta_\Delta(z) := \delta_0 + \delta_1 z + \cdots + \delta_n z^n$  of  $\Delta$  and formula (10) in order to get the Ehrhart polynomial  $L_\Delta(z)$  of  $\Delta$ .

**Example 4.6** *Let*

$$\Delta := \text{conv}((1, 0, 0), (0, 2, 0), (1, 1, 1), (-3, -5, -2))$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Its weight is  $Q(\Delta) = (2, 2, 3, 4)$  and  $\Delta$  is reduced. We have  $\mu_\Delta = 11$  and

$$\text{Spec}_\Delta(z) = 1 + z + z^2 + z^3 + z^{5/4} + z^{4/3} + z^{1/2} + z^{3/2} + z^{5/2} + z^{5/3} + z^{7/4}$$

by Theorem 3.3. Proposition 4.5 provides  $\delta_0 = 1$ ,  $\delta_1 = 2$ ,  $\delta_2 = 6$ ,  $\delta_3 = 2$  and we get

$$L_\Delta(z) = \frac{1}{6}(11z^3 + 6z^2 + 13z + 6).$$

**Example 4.7** (Example 3.5 continued) *Let us consider the simplex*

$$\Delta := \text{conv}((1, 0, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 0, 1), (-1, -1, -1, -5))$$

in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Its weight is  $Q(\Delta) = (1, 1, 1, 1, 5)$  and  $\Delta$  is reduced. We have  $\mu_\Delta = 9$  and

$$\text{Spec}_\Delta(z) = 1 + z + z^2 + z^3 + z^4 + z^{16/5} + z^{12/5} + z^{8/5} + z^{4/5}$$

by Example 3.5. Proposition 4.5 provides  $\delta_0 = 1$ ,  $\delta_1 = 2$ ,  $\delta_2 = 2$ ,  $\delta_3 = 2$ ,  $\delta_4 = 2$  and we get

$$L_\Delta(z) = \frac{1}{24}(9z^4 + 10z^3 + 75z^2 + 50z + 24).$$

We have also  $\delta_\Delta^0(z) = 1 + z + z^2 + z^3 + z^4$ ,  $\delta_\Delta^{-1/5}(z) = z$ ,  $\delta_\Delta^{-2/5}(z) = z^2$ ,  $\delta_\Delta^{-3/5}(z) = z^3$ ,  $\delta_\Delta^{-4/5}(z) = z^4$  and

$$\begin{aligned} L_\Delta^{-1/5}(z) &= \frac{1}{24}(z^4 + 6z^3 + 11z^2 + 6z), & L_\Delta^{-2/5}(z) &= \frac{1}{24}(z^4 + 2z^3 - z^2 - 2z), \\ L_\Delta^{-3/5}(z) &= \frac{1}{24}(z^4 - 2z^3 - z^2 + 2z), & L_\Delta^{-4/5}(z) &= \frac{1}{24}(z^4 - 6z^3 + 11z^2 - 6z), \\ L_\Delta^0(z) &= \frac{1}{24}(5z^4 + 10z^3 + 55z^2 + 50z + 24). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, weighted Ehrhart polynomials may have negative coefficients.

If  $q_0 = 1$  and if  $\Delta$  is reflexive, another formula (with a different proof) for the  $\delta$ -vector of  $\Delta$  in terms of the entries of the vector  $Q(\Delta)$  is given in [3, Theorem 2.2].

### 4.3 Ehrhart polynomials of Newton polytopes of polynomials

We give a few words about Newton polytopes of *polynomials* (and not Laurent polynomials). We briefly recall the framework. The *support* of a polynomial  $g = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_m u^m \in \mathbb{C}[u_1, \dots, u_n]$ , where we write  $u^m := u_1^{m_1} \cdots u_n^{m_n}$  if  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , is  $\text{supp}(g) = \{m \in \mathbb{N}^n, a_m \neq 0\}$  and the *Newton polytope* of the polynomial  $g$  is the convex hull of  $\{0\} \cup \text{supp}(g)$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ . The *toric Newton spectrum* of  $g$  (or the toric Newton spectrum of its Newton polytope) is

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \text{gr}_\alpha^{\mathcal{N}} \frac{\mathbb{C}[u_1, \dots, u_n]}{(u_1 \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_1}, \dots, u_n \frac{\partial g}{\partial u_n})} z^\alpha,$$

see [5, Definition 3.1]. Thanks to [5, Theorem 3.2], Theorem 4.2 and its corollaries (in particular Proposition 4.5) still hold, with the same proofs, for the toric Newton spectrum of the Newton polytope of a convenient and nondegenerate polynomials. This gives a recipe in order to calculate Ehrhart polynomials of Newton polytopes of polynomials from their toric Newton spectrum. We give some examples.

1. Let

$$\Delta := \text{conv}((0, 0, 0), (a, 0, 0), (0, b, 0), (0, 0, c))$$

where  $a, b$  and  $c$  are positive integers. It is the Newton polytope of  $f(u_1, u_2, u_3) = u_1^a + u_2^b + u_3^c$ . Its toric Newton spectrum is the sequence of the following rational numbers:

- 0,
- $\frac{i}{a}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq a - 1$ ,  $\frac{j}{b}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq b - 1$ ,  $\frac{k}{c}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq c - 1$ ,
- $\frac{i}{a} + \frac{j}{b}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq a - 1$  and  $1 \leq j \leq b - 1$ ,  $\frac{i}{a} + \frac{k}{c}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq a - 1$  and  $1 \leq k \leq c - 1$ ,  
 $\frac{j}{b} + \frac{k}{c}$  for  $1 \leq j \leq b - 1$  and  $1 \leq k \leq c - 1$ ,
- $\frac{i}{a} + \frac{j}{b} + \frac{k}{c}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq a - 1$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq b - 1$  and  $1 \leq k \leq c - 1$ .

Its  $\delta$ -vector is then given by Proposition 4.5. For instance, if  $a = 2, b = 3$  and  $c = 3$  we get  $\delta_0 = 1, \delta_1 = 10, \delta_2 = 7, \delta_3 = 0$ . If  $a = 1, b = 1$  and  $c = 1$  (this corresponds to the standard simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ) we get  $\delta_0 = 1, \delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = 0$ .

2. Let us consider the polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$P := \text{conv}((0, 0, 0), (1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 1, h))$$

where  $h$  is an integer greater or equal to 2. This is a variation of Reeve's tetrahedron, see [2, Example 3.22]. It is the Newton polytope of  $f(u_1, u_2, u_3) = u_1 + u_2 + u_3 + u_1 u_2 u_3^h$  whose toric Newton spectrum is equal to  $1 + z + z^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} z^{1+\frac{i}{h}}$ . Thus,  $\delta_0 = 1, \delta_1 = 1, \delta_2 = h, \delta_3 = 0$  and

$$L_P(z) = \frac{1}{6}[(h+2)z^3 + 9z^2 + (13-h)z + 6].$$

Notice that the coefficient of  $z$  is negative if  $h \geq 14$ .

## 5 Reflexive polytopes and their Newton spectrum

We give in this section various characterizations of reflexive polytopes involving their Newton spectrum. We show in particular that the spectrum of a polytope is equal to its  $\delta$ -vector if and only if  $P$  is reflexive.

**Proposition 5.1** *Let  $P$  be a polytope containing the origin in its interior and let  $\delta_P(z) = \delta_0 + \delta_1 z + \dots + \delta_n z^n$  be its  $\delta$ -vector. Then the following are equivalent:*

1.  $\text{Spec}_P(z)$  is a polynomial,
2.  $P$  is reflexive,
3.  $\text{Spec}_P(z) = \delta_P(z) := \delta_0 + \delta_1 z + \dots + \delta_n z^n$ .

*Proof.*  $1 \Leftrightarrow 2$ . Assume that  $P$  is reflexive: if  $n$  belongs to the cone  $\sigma_F$  supported by the facet  $F$ , the Newton function of  $P$  is defined by  $\nu : n \mapsto \langle u_F, n \rangle$  where  $u_F \in M$  by (3). By Proposition 2.4,  $\text{Spec}_P(z)$  is a polynomial. Conversely, assume that  $\text{Spec}_P(z)$  is a polynomial. Let  $F$  be a facet of  $P$ . Again by Proposition 2.4, the Newton function  $\nu$  of  $P$  takes integral values on the fundamental

domain  $\square(\sigma_F)$ . It follows that  $\nu$  takes integral values on  $\sigma_F \cap N$  and, by linearity, we get a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map  $\nu_{\sigma_F} : N_{\sigma_F} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  where  $N_{\sigma_F}$  is the sublattice of  $N$  generated by the points of  $\sigma_F \cap N$ . Because we have the isomorphism  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N_{\sigma_F}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong M/\sigma_F^\perp \cap M$  induced by the dual pairing between  $M$  and  $N$ , there exists  $u_F \in M$  such that  $\nu(n) = \langle u_F, n \rangle$  when  $n \in \sigma_F$ . In particular, the equation of  $F$  is  $\langle u_F, x \rangle = 1$  for  $u_F \in M$ . Thus,  $P$  is reflexive.

$1 \Leftrightarrow 3$ . Assume that  $\text{Spec}_P(z)$  is a polynomial: by Proposition 4.5,  $\delta_i = \text{Card}\{\alpha \in \text{Spec}_P, \alpha = i\}$  thus  $\text{Spec}_P(z) = \delta_P(z)$ . Conversely, if  $\text{Spec}_P(z) = \delta_P(z)$  the Newton spectrum is obviously a polynomial.  $\square$

**Remark 5.2** Recall that a polynomial  $a_0 + a_1z + \dots + a_nz^n$  is unimodal if there exists an index  $j$  such that  $a_i \leq a_{i+1}$  for all  $i < j$  and  $a_i \geq a_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq j$ . It follows from Corollary 2.2, Proposition 5.1 and [11], [12] that the spectrum at infinity of a Laurent polynomial is not always unimodal (and this corrects a misguided assertion made in [6]). This can be directly checked: let

$$\Delta := \text{conv}(e_1, \dots, e_9, -\sum_{i=1}^9 q_i e_i)$$

where  $(e_1, \dots, e_9)$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^9$  and  $(q_1, \dots, q_9) := (1, \dots, 1, 3)$  (this example is borrowed from [12], see also [3, Section 2]). Then  $\mu_\Delta = 12$  and  $Q(\Delta) = (1, \dots, 1, 3)$  where 1 is counted 9-times: the simplex  $\Delta$  is reduced and reflexive. We get from Theorem 3.3

$$\text{Spec}_\Delta(z) = 1 + z + z^2 + 2z^3 + z^4 + z^5 + 2z^6 + z^7 + z^8 + z^9$$

and this polynomial is not unimodal. It follows that the spectrum at infinity of the Laurent polynomial

$$f(u_1, \dots, u_9) = u_1 + \dots + u_9 + \frac{1}{u_1 \dots u_8 u_9^3}$$

(see Section 2.2) is not unimodal.

**Remark 5.3** Let  $P$  be a reflexive polytope and let  $\text{Spec}_P(z) = \delta_P(z) := \delta_0 + \delta_1z + \dots + \delta_nz^n$  be its  $\delta$ -vector. The mean of the  $\delta$ -vector is  $\frac{1}{\mu_P} \sum_{i=0}^n i\delta_i$  (and this is equal to  $\frac{n}{2}$  because  $\delta_i = \delta_{n-i}$  if  $P$  is reflexive, as it could be deduced from Proposition 5.1) and its variance is  $\frac{1}{\mu_P} \sum_{i=0}^n \delta_i(i - \frac{n}{2})^2$ . After Corollary 2.2, Proposition 5.1 and the version of Hertling's conjecture mentioned in the introduction (see [6] for details), the inequality  $\frac{1}{\mu_P} \sum_{i=0}^n \delta_i(i - \frac{n}{2})^2 \geq \frac{n}{12}$  is expected.

## References

- [1] Batyrev, V.: *Stringy Hodge numbers of varieties with Gorenstein canonical singularities*, In: M-H Saito (ed.) et al., *Integrable Systems and Algebraic Geometry*, Proceedings of the 41st Taniguchi Symposium, Japan 1997, World Scientific, 1998, p. 1-32.
- [2] Beck, M. , Robbins, S.: *Computing the continuous discretely*, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [3] Braun, B., Davis, R., Solus, L.: *Detecting the integer decomposition property and Ehrhart unimodality in reflexive simplices*, *Advances in Applied Math.*, **100**, 2018, p. 122-142.

- [4] Conrads, H. : *Weighted projective spaces and reflexive simplices*, Manuscripta Math., **107** 2002, p. 215-227.
- [5] Douai, A.: *A note on the Newton spectrum of a polynomial*, arXiv:1810.03901.
- [6] Douai, A.: *Global spectra, polytopes and stacky invariants*, Math. Z., **288** (3), 2018, p. 889-913.
- [7] Douai, A., Sabbah, C.: *Gauss-Manin systems, Brieskorn lattices and Frobenius structures I*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, **53** (4), 2003, p. 1055-1116.
- [8] Douai, A., Sabbah, C.: *Gauss-Manin systems, Brieskorn lattices and Frobenius structures II*, In : Frobenius Manifolds, C. Hertling and M. Marcolli (Eds.), Aspects of Mathematics E 36 (2004).
- [9] Hertling, C.: *Frobenius manifolds and variance of the spectral numbers*, In : New Developments in Singularity Theory, D. Siersma, C.T.C Wall, V. Zakalyukin (Eds.), NATO Science Series (Series II: Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry), **21**, Springer, Dordrecht.
- [10] Kouchnirenko, A.G.: *Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor*, Invent. Math., **32**, 1976, p. 1-31.
- [11] Mustașă, M., Payne, S.: *Ehrhart polynomials and stringy Betti numbers*, Mathematische Annalen, **333**(4), 787-795 (2005).
- [12] Payne, S.: *Ehrhart series and lattice triangulations*, Discrete Comput. Geom., **40-3**, 2008, p. 365-376.
- [13] Sabbah, C.: *Hypergeometric periods for a tame polynomial*, Portugalia Mathematica, **63**, 2006, p. 173-226.
- [14] Stapledon, A.: *Weighted Ehrhart Theory and Orbifold Cohomology*, Adv. Math., **219**, 2008, p. 63-88.