

**ON THE APPROXIMATION PROPERTIES OF CESÀRO
MEANS OF NEGATIVE ORDER OF DOUBLE
VILENKIN-FOURIER SERIES**

TSITSINO TEPNADZE

ABSTRACT. In this paper we establish approximation properties of Cesàro $(C, -\alpha, -\beta)$ means with $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ of Vilenkin-Fourier series. This result allows one to obtain a condition which is sufficient for the convergence of the means $\sigma_{n,m}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(x, y, f)$ to $f(x, y)$ in the L^p -metric.

Let N_+ denote the set of positive integers, $N := N_+ \cup \{0\}$. Let $m := (m_0, m_1, \dots)$ denote a sequence of positive integers not less than 2. Denote by $Z_{m_k} := \{0, 1, \dots, m_k - 1\}$ the additive group of integers modulo m_k . Define the group G_m as the complete direct product of the groups Z_{m_j} , with the product of the discrete topologies of Z_{m_j} 's.

The direct product of the measures

$$\mu_k(\{j\}) := \frac{1}{m_k} \quad (j \in Z_{m_k})$$

is the Haar measure on G_m with $\mu(G_m) = 1$. If the sequence m is bounded, then G_m is called a bounded Vilenkin group. In this paper we will consider only bounded Vilenkin group. The elements of G_m can be represented by sequences $x := (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots)$, $(x_j \in Z_{m_j})$. The group operation $+$ in G_m is given by

$$x + y = ((x_0 + y_0) \bmod m_0, \dots, (x_k + y_k) \bmod m_k, \dots),$$

where $x := (x_0, \dots, x_k, \dots)$ and $y := (y_0, \dots, y_k, \dots) \in G_m$. The inverse of $+$ will be denoted by $-$.

It is easy to give a base for the neighborhoods of G_m :

$$I_0(x) := G_m,$$

$$I_n(x) := \{y \in G_m \mid y_0 = x_0, \dots, y_{n-1} = x_{n-1}\}$$

for $x \in G_m, n \in N$. Define $I_n := I_n(0)$ for $n \in N_+$. Set $e_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots) \in G_m$ the $n+1$ th coordinate of which is 1 and the rest are zeros ($n \in N$).

If we define the so-called generalized number system based on m in the following way: $M_0 := 1, M_{k+1} := m_k M_k$ ($k \in N$), then every $n \in N$ can be

⁰2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 42C10 .

Key words and phrases: Vilenkin system, Cesàro means, Convergence in norm

uniquely expressed as $n = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} n_j M_j$, where $n_j \in Z_{m_j}$ ($j \in N_+$) and only a finite number of n_j 's differ from zero. We use the following notation. Let $|n| := \max\{k \in N : n_k \neq 0\}$ (that is, $M_{|n|} \leq n < M_{|n|+1}$).

Next, we introduce of G_m an orthonormal system which is called Vilenkin system. At first define the complex valued functions $r_k(x) : G_m \rightarrow C$. the generalized Rademacher functions in this way

$$r_k(x) := \exp \frac{2\pi i x_k}{m_k} \quad (i^2 = -1, x \in G_m, k \in N).$$

Now define the Vilenkin system $\psi := (\psi_n : n \in N)$ on G_m as follows.

$$\psi_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} r_k^{n_k}(x), \quad (n \in N).$$

In particular, we call the system the Walsh-Paley if $m = 2$. The Dirichlet kernels is defined by

$$D_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \psi_k, \quad (n \in N_+).$$

Recall that (see [3] or [14])

$$(1) \quad D_{M_n}(x) = \begin{cases} M_n, & \text{if } x \in I_n, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin I_n. \end{cases}$$

The Vilenkin system is orthonormal and complete in $L^1(G_m)[1]$.

Next, we introduce some notation with respect to the theory of two-demonsional Vilenkin system. Let \tilde{m} be a sequence like m . The relation between the sequences (\tilde{m}_n) and (\tilde{M}_n) is the same as between sequences (m_n) and (M_n) . The group $G_m \times G_{\tilde{m}}$ is called a two-dimensional Vilenkin group. The normalized Haar measure is denoted by μ as in the one-dimensional case. We also suppose that $m = \tilde{m}$ and $G_m \times G_{\tilde{m}} = G_m^2$.

The norm of the space $L^p(G_m^2)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_p := \left(\int_{G_m^2} |f(x, y)|^p d\mu(x, y) \right)^{1/p}, \quad (1 \leq p < \infty).$$

Denote by $C(G_m^2)$ the class of continuous functions on the group G_m^2 , endowed with the supremum norm.

For the sake of brevity in notation, we agree to write $L^\infty(G_m^2)$ instead of $C(G_m^2)$.

The two-dimensional Fourier coefficients, the rectangular partial sums of the Fourier series, the Dirichlet kernels with respect to the two-dimensional Vilenkin system are defined as follow:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{f}(n_1, n_2) &:= \int_{G_m^2} f(x, y) \bar{\psi}_{n_1}(x) \bar{\psi}_{n_2}(y) d\mu(x, y), \\ S_{n_1, n_2}(x, y, f) &:= \sum_{k_1=0}^{n_1-1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{n_2-1} \widehat{f}(k_1, k_2) \psi_{k_1}(x) \psi_{k_2}(y), \\ D_{n_1, n_2}(x, y) &:= D_{n_1}(x) D_{n_2}(y),\end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned}S_n^{(1)}(x, y, f) &:= \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \widehat{f}(l, y) \psi_l(x), \\ S_m^{(2)}(x, y, f) &:= \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \widehat{f}(x, r) \psi_r(y),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widehat{f}(l, y) = \int_{G_m} f(x, y) \psi_l(x) d\mu(x)$$

and

$$\widehat{f}(x, r) = \int_{G_m} f(x, y) \psi_r(y) d\mu(y).$$

The $(c, -\alpha, -\beta)$ means of the two-dimensional Vilenkin-Fourier series are defined as

$$\sigma_{n,m}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(x, y, f) = \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \widehat{f}(i, j) \psi_i(x) \psi_j(y),$$

where

$$A_0^\alpha = 1, \quad A_n^\alpha = \frac{(\alpha + 1) \dots (\alpha + n)}{n!}.$$

It is well Known that [18]

$$(2) \quad A_n^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k^{\alpha-1}.$$

$$(3) \quad A_n^\alpha - A_{n-1}^\alpha = A_n^{\alpha-1}.$$

$$(4) \quad A_n^\alpha \sim n^\alpha.$$

The dyadic partial moduli of continuity of a function $f \in L^p(G_m^2)$ in the L^p -norm are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_1\left(f, \frac{1}{M_n}\right)_p &= \sup_{u \in I_n} \|f(\cdot - u, \cdot) - f(\cdot, \cdot)\|_p, \\ \omega_2\left(f, \frac{1}{M_n}\right)_p &= \sup_{v \in I_n} \|f(\cdot, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)\|_p,\end{aligned}$$

while the dyadic mixed modulus of continuity is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{1,2}\left(f, \frac{1}{M_n}, \frac{1}{M_m}\right)_p \\ = \sup_{(u,v) \in I_n \times I_m} \|f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot - u, \cdot) - f(\cdot, \cdot - v) + f(\cdot, \cdot)\|_p,\end{aligned}$$

it is clear that

$$\omega_{1,2}\left(f, \frac{1}{M_n}, \frac{1}{M_m}\right)_p \leq \omega_1\left(f, \frac{1}{M_n}\right)_p + \omega_2\left(f, \frac{1}{M_m}\right)_p.$$

The dyadic total modulus of continuity is defined by

$$\omega\left(f, \frac{1}{M_n}\right)_p = \sup_{(u,v) \in I_n \times I_n} \|f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)\|_p$$

The problems of summability of partial sums and Cesàro means for Walsh-Fourier series were studied in [2], [4]-[13],[16]. In his monography [17] Zhizhinashvili investigated the behavior of Cesàro method of negative order for trigonometric Fourier series in detail. Goginava [5] studied analogical question in case of the Walsh system. In particular, the following theorem is proved.

Theorem G. [5] *Let f belong to $L^p(G_2)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then for any $2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}$ ($k, n \in N$) the inequality*

$$\|\sigma_n^{-\alpha}(f) - f\|_p \leq c(p, \alpha) \left\{ 2^{k\alpha} \omega\left(1/2^{k-1}, f\right)_p + \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} 2^{r-k} \omega\left(1/2^r, f\right)_p \right\}$$

holds true.

The present author in [15] investigated analogous question in the case of Vilenkin system.

Theorem T. *Let f belong to $L^p(G_m)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then for any $M_k \leq n < M_{k+1}$ ($k, n \in N$) the inequality*

$$\|\sigma_n^{-\alpha}(f) - f\|_p \leq c(p, \alpha) \left\{ M_k^\alpha \omega\left(1/M_{k-1}, f\right)_p + \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \frac{M_r}{M_k} \omega\left(1/M_r, f\right)_p \right\}$$

holds true.

Gognava in [7] studied approximation properties of Cesàro $(c, -\alpha, -\beta)$ means with $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ question in the case of double Walsh-Furier series. The following theorem was proved.

Theorem G2. *Let f belong to $L^p(G_2^2)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$. Then for any $2^k \leq n < 2^{k+1}, 2^l \leq m < 2^{l+1}$ ($k, n \in N$) the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sigma_{n,m}^{-\alpha,-\beta}(f) - f \right\|_p &\leq c(\alpha, \beta) \left(2^{k\alpha} \omega_1 \left(f, 1/2^{k-1} \right)_p + 2^{l\beta} \omega_2 \left(f, 1/2^{l-1} \right)_p + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2^{k\alpha} 2^{l\beta} \omega_{1,2} \left(f, 1/2^{k-1}, 1/2^{l-1} \right)_p + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} 2^{r-k} \omega_1 \left(f, 1/2^r \right)_p + \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} 2^{s-l} \omega_2 \left(f, 1/2^s \right)_p \right) \end{aligned}$$

holds true.

In this paper, we establish analogous question in the case of double Vilenkin-Fouries series.

Theorem 1. *Let f belong to $L^p(G_m^2)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then for any $M_k \leq n < M_{k+1}, M_l \leq m < M_{l+1}$ ($k, n, m, l \in N$) the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sigma_{n,m}^{-\alpha,-\beta}(f) - f \right\|_p &\leq c(\alpha, \beta) \left(\omega_1 \left(f, 1/M_{k-1} \right)_p M_k^\alpha + \omega_2 \left(f, 1/M_{l-1} \right)_p M_l^\beta + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \omega_{1,2} \left(f, 1/M_{k-1}, 1/M_{l-1} \right)_p M_k^\alpha M_l^\beta + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \frac{M_r}{M_k} \omega_1 \left(f, 1/M_r \right)_p + \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} \frac{M_s}{M_l} \omega_2 \left(f, 1/M_s \right)_p \right) \end{aligned}$$

holds true.

Corollary 1. *Let f belong to L^p for some $p \in [1, \infty]$. If*

$$\begin{aligned} M_k^\alpha \omega_1 \left(f, \frac{1}{M_k} \right)_p &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (0 < \alpha < 1), \\ M_l^\beta \omega_1 \left(f, \frac{1}{M_l} \right)_p &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow \infty \quad (0 < \beta < 1), \\ M_k^\alpha M_l^\beta \omega_{1,2} \left(f, \frac{1}{M_k}, \frac{1}{M_l} \right)_p &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k, l \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\left\| \sigma_{n,m}^{-\alpha,-\beta}(f) - f \right\|_p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Corollary 2. *Let f belong to L^p for some $p \in [1, \infty]$ and let $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha + \beta < 1$. If*

$$\omega\left(f, \frac{1}{M_n}\right)_p = o\left(\left(\frac{1}{M_n}\right)^{\alpha+\beta}\right),$$

then

$$\left\|\sigma_{n,m}^{-\alpha,-\beta}(f) - f\right\|_p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

The following theorem shows that Corollary 2 cannot be improved.

Theorem 2. *For every $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha + \beta < 1$, there exists a function $f_0 \in C(G_m^2)$ for which*

$$\omega\left(f, \frac{1}{M_n}\right)_C = O\left(\left(\frac{1}{M_n}\right)^{\alpha+\beta}\right),$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\|\sigma_{M_n, M_n}^{-\alpha,-\beta}(f) - f\right\|_1 > 0.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1 we need the following lemmas

Lemma 1. [1] *Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be real numbers. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n} \int_{G_m} \left| \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k D_k(x) \right| d\mu(x) \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

where c is an absolute constant.

Lemma 2. *Let $f \in L^p(G_m^2)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then for every $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ the following estimations holds*

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \left\| \int_{G_n^2} \sum_{i=0}^{M_{k-1}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M_{l-1}-1} A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \times \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p \leq \\ &\leq c(\alpha, \beta) \left(\sum_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{M_r}{M_k} \omega_1(f, 1/M_r)_p + \sum_{s=0}^{l-1} \frac{M_s}{M_l} \omega_2(f, 1/M_s)_p \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $M_k \leq n < M_{k+1}$, $M_l \leq m < M_{l+1}$.

Proof of Lemma 2. Applying Abel's transformation, from (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(5) \quad I &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=1}^{M_{k-1}-1} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{l-1}-1} A_{n-i+1}^{-\alpha-1} A_{m-j+1}^{-\beta-1} D_i(u) D_j(v) \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p \\
&+ \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} A_{m-M_{l-1}+1}^{-\beta} D_{M_{l-1}}(v) \sum_{i=1}^{M_{k-1}-1} A_{n-i+1}^{-\alpha-1} D_i(u) \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p \\
&+ \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} A_{n-M_{k-1}+1}^{-\alpha} D_{M_{k-1}}(u) \sum_{j=1}^{M_{l-1}-1} A_{m-j+1}^{-\beta-1} D_j(v) \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p \\
&+ \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} A_{n-M_{k-1}+1}^{-\alpha} A_{m-M_{l-1}+1}^{-\beta} D_{M_{k-1}}(u) D_{M_{l-1}}(v) \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.
\end{aligned}$$

From the generalized Minkowski inequality, and by (1) and (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(6) \quad I_4 &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \int_{G_m^2} \left| A_{n-M_{k-1}+1}^{-\alpha} A_{m-M_{l-1}+1}^{-\beta} D_{M_{k-1}}(u) D_{M_{l-1}}(v) \right| \times \\
&\quad \times \|f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(x, y)\|_p d\mu(u, v) \\
&\leq c(\alpha, \beta) M_{k-1} M_{l-1} \int_{I_{k-1} \times I_{l-1}} \|f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)\|_p d\mu(u, v) \\
&= O(\omega_1(f, 1/M_{k-1})_p + \omega_2(f, 1/M_{l-1})_p).
\end{aligned}$$

It is evident that

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) \quad I_1 &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=M_r}^{M_{r+1}-1} \sum_{j=M_s}^{M_{s+1}-1} A_{n-i+1}^{-\alpha-1} A_{m-j+1}^{-\beta-1} D_i(u) D_j(v) \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p \\
&\leq \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=M_r}^{M_{r+1}-1} \sum_{j=M_s}^{M_{s+1}-1} A_{n-i+1}^{-\alpha-1} A_{m-j+1}^{-\beta-1} D_i(u) D_j(v) \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - S_{M_r, M_s}(\cdot - u, \cdot - v, f)] d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p \\
&+ \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=M_r}^{M_{r+1}-1} \sum_{j=M_s}^{M_{s+1}-1} A_{n-i+1}^{-\alpha-1} A_{m-j+1}^{-\beta-1} D_i(u) D_j(v) \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times [S_{M_r, M_s}(\cdot - u, \cdot - v, f) - S_{M_r, M_s}(\cdot, \cdot, f)] d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p \\
&+ \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=M_r}^{M_{r+1}-1} \sum_{j=M_s}^{M_{s+1}-1} A_{n-i+1}^{-\alpha-1} A_{m-j+1}^{-\beta-1} D_i(u) D_j(v) \times \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times [S_{M_r, M_s}(\cdot, \cdot, f) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p = I_{11} + I_{12} + I_{13}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that

$$(8) \quad I_{12} = 0.$$

Using Lemma 1 for I_{11} we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad I_{11} &\leq \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} \left| \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=M_r}^{M_{r+1}-1} \sum_{j=M_s}^{M_{s+1}-1} A_{n-i+1}^{-\alpha-1} A_{m-j+1}^{-\beta-1} D_i(u) D_j(v) \right| \times \\
&\quad \times \left\| f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - S_{M_r, M_s}(\cdot - u, \cdot - v, f) \right\|_p d\mu(u, v) \\
&\leq c(\alpha, \beta) n^\alpha m^\beta \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} (\omega_1(f, 1/M_r)_p + \omega_2(f, 1/M_s)_p) \times
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \left(\int_{G_m} \left| \sum_{i=M_r}^{M_{r+1}-1} A_{n-i+1}^{-\alpha-1} D_i(u) \right| d\mu(u) \right) \left(\int_{G_m} \left| \sum_{j=M_s}^{M_{s+1}-1} A_{m-j+1}^{-\beta-1} D_j(v) \right| d\mu(v) \right) \\
& \leq c(\alpha, \beta) n^\alpha m^\beta \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} (\omega_1(f, 1/M_r)_p + \omega_2(f, 1/M_s)_p) \times \\
& \quad \times \left(\sqrt{M_{r+1}} \left(\sum_{i=M_r}^{M_{r+1}-1} (n-i+1)^{-2\alpha-2} \right)^{1/2} \right) \times \\
& \quad \times \left(\sqrt{M_{s+1}} \left(\sum_{j=M_s}^{M_{s+1}-1} (m-j+1)^{-2\beta-2} \right)^{1/2} \right) \\
& \leq c(\alpha, \beta) n^\alpha m^\beta \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} (\omega_1(f, 1/M_r)_p + \omega_2(f, 1/M_s)_p) \times \\
& \quad \times \left(\sqrt{M_{r+1}} (n - M_{r+1})^{-\alpha-1} \sqrt{M_{r+1}} \right) \left(\sqrt{M_{s+1}} (n - M_{s+1})^{-\beta-1} \sqrt{M_{s+1}} \right) \\
& \leq c(\alpha, \beta) n^\alpha m^\beta \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} \frac{M_{r+1}}{M_k^{\alpha+1}} \frac{M_{s+1}}{M_l^{\beta+1}} (\omega_1(f, 1/M_r)_p + \omega_2(f, 1/M_s)_p) \\
& \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \left(\sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \frac{M_r}{M_k} \omega_1(f, 1/M_r)_p + \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} \frac{M_s}{M_l} \omega_2(f, 1/M_s)_p \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$(10) \quad I_{13} \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \left(\sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \frac{M_r}{M_k} \omega_1(f, 1/M_r)_p + \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} \frac{M_s}{M_l} \omega_2(f, 1/M_s)_p \right).$$

Combining (7)-(10) for I_1 we recive that

$$(11) \quad I_1 \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \left(\sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \frac{M_r}{M_k} \omega_1(f, 1/M_r)_p + \sum_{s=0}^{l-2} \frac{M_s}{M_l} \omega_2(f, 1/M_s)_p \right).$$

For I_2 we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
(12) \quad I_2 & \leq \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} A_{m-M_{l-1}+1}^{-\beta} D_{M_{l-1}}(v) \sum_{i=1}^{M_{k-1}-1} A_{n-i+1}^{-\alpha-1} D_i(u) \times \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot - u, \cdot)] d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} A_{m-M_{l-1}+1}^{-\beta} D_{M_{l-1}}(v) \sum_{i=1}^{M_{k-1}-1} A_{n-i+1}^{-\alpha-1} D_i(u) \times \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p = I_{21} + I_{22}.
\end{aligned}$$

From the generalized Minkowski inequality, and by (1) and (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(13) \quad I_{21} & \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \frac{M_{l-1}}{A_n^{-\alpha}} \int_{I_{l-1}} \left(\int_{G_m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{M_{k-1}-1} A_{n-i+1}^{-\alpha-1} D_i(u) \right| \times \right. \\
& \quad \left. \times \|f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot - u, \cdot)\|_p d\mu(u) \right) d\mu(v) \\
& \leq c(\alpha, \beta) n^\alpha \omega_2(f, 1/M_{l-1}) \left(\int_{G_m} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{M_{k-1}-1} A_{n-i+1}^{-\alpha-1} D_i(u) \right| d\mu(u) \right) \\
& \leq c(\alpha, \beta) n^\alpha \omega_2(f, 1/M_{l-1}) \left(\sqrt{M_{k-1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M_{k-1}-1} (n-i+1)^{-2\alpha-2} \right)^{1/2} \right) \\
& \leq c(\alpha, \beta) n^\alpha \omega_2(f, 1/M_{l-1}) \left(\sqrt{M_{k-1}} (n - M_{k-1})^{-\alpha-1} \sqrt{M_{k-1}} \right) \\
& \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \omega_2(f, 1/M_{l-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

The estimation of I_{22} is analogous to the estimation of I_1 and we have

$$(14) \quad I_{22} \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \frac{M_r}{M_k} \omega_1(f, 1/M_r)_p.$$

So, combining (12)-(14) for I_2 we have

$$(15) \quad I_2 \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \left(\sum_{r=0}^{k-2} \frac{M_r}{M_k} \omega_1(f, 1/M_r)_p + \omega_2(f, 1/M_{l-1}) \right).$$

The estimation I_3 is analogous to the estimation of I_2 and we have

$$(16) \quad I_3 \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \left(\sum_{s=0}^{l-2} \frac{M_s}{M_l} \omega_2(f, 1/M_s)_p + \omega_1(f, 1/M_{k-1}) \right).$$

Combining (5)-(6), (10), (15)-(16) we receive the proof of Lemma 2. \square

Lemma 3. *Let $f \in L^p(G_m^2)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then for every $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ the following estimations holds*

$$II := \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=M_{k-1}}^n \sum_{j=0}^{M_{l-1}-1} A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \times \right. \\ \left. \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u) d\mu(v) \right\|_p \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \omega_1(f, 1/M_{k-1})_p M_k^\alpha,$$

$$III := \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=0}^{M_{k-1}-1} \sum_{j=M_{l-1}}^m A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \times \right. \\ \left. \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u) d\mu(v) \right\|_p \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \omega_2(f, 1/M_{l-1})_p M_l^\beta. \\ \text{where } M_k \leq n < M_{k+1}, M_l \leq m < M_{l+1}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3. From the generalized Minkowski inequality we have

$$(17) \quad II = \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=M_{k-1}}^n \sum_{j=0}^{M_{l-1}-1} A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \times \right. \\ \left. \times f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p \\ = \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=M_{k-1}}^n \sum_{j=0}^{M_{l-1}-1} A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \times \right. \\ \left. \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - S_{M_{k-1}}^{(1)}(\cdot - u, \cdot - v, f)] d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p \\ \leq \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \int_{G_m^2} \left| \sum_{i=M_{k-1}}^{M_k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M_{l-1}-1} A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \right| \times \\ \times \left\| f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - S_{M_{k-1}}^{(1)}(\cdot - u, \cdot - v, f) \right\|_p d\mu(u, v) \\ + \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \int_{G_m^2} \left| \sum_{i=M_k}^n \sum_{j=0}^{M_{l-1}-1} A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \right| \times \\ \times \left\| f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - S_{M_{k-1}}^{(1)}(\cdot - u, \cdot - v, f) \right\|_p d\mu(u) \Big) d\mu(v) = II_1 + II_2.$$

In [15] present author showed that the inequality

$$(18) \quad \int_{G_m} \left| \sum_{v=M_{k-1}}^{M_k-1} A_{n-v}^{-\alpha} \psi_v(u) \right| d\mu(u) \leq c(\alpha), \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

holds true.

Using Lemma 1, by (4) and (18) for II_1 we can write

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} II_1 &\leq c(\alpha, \beta) n^\alpha m^\beta \omega_1(f, 1/M_{k-1})_p \left(\int_{G_m} \left| \sum_{i=M_{k-1}}^{M_k-1} A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} \psi_i(u) \right| d\mu(u) \right) \times \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{G_m} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{M_{l-1}} A_{m-j+1}^{-\beta} \psi_{j-1}(v) \right| d\mu(v) \right) \\ &\leq c(\alpha, \beta) n^\alpha m^\beta \omega_1(f, 1/M_{k-1})_p \left(\sqrt{M_{l-1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{M_{l-1}} (m-j+1)^{-2\beta-2} \right)^{1/2} \right) \\ &\leq c(\alpha, \beta) n^\alpha m^\beta \omega_2(f, 1/M_{k-1})_p \left(\sqrt{M_{l-1}} (n - M_{l-1})^{-\beta-1} \sqrt{M_{l-1}} \right) \\ &\leq c(\alpha, \beta) \omega_1(f, 1/M_{k-1})_p M_k^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

The estimation of II_2 is analogous to the estimation of II_1 and we have

$$(20) \quad II_2 \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \omega_1(f, 1/M_{k-1})_p M_k^\alpha.$$

Combining (17)-(20) we have

$$(21) \quad II \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \omega_1(f, 1/M_{k-1})_p M_k^\alpha.$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$(22) \quad III \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \omega_2(f, 1/M_{l-1})_p M_l^\beta.$$

Combining (21)-(22) we receive the proof of Lemma 3. \square

Lemma 4. *Let $f \in L^p(G_m^2)$ for some $p \in [1, \infty]$. Then for every $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1)$ the following estimations holds*

$$IV := \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=M_{k-1}}^n \sum_{j=M_{l-1}}^m A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \times \right. \\ \left. \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u) d\mu(v) \right\|_p \leq c(\alpha, \beta) \omega_{1,2}(f, 1/M_k, 1/M_l)_p M_k^\alpha M_l^\beta, \\ \text{where } M_k \leq n < M_{k+1}, M_l \leq m < M_{l+1}.$$

Proof of Lemma 4. From the generalized Minkowski inequality, and by (1) and (4) we obtain

$$(23) \quad IV = \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=M_{k-1}}^n \sum_{j=M_{l-1}}^m A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \times \right. \\ \left. \times f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p \\ \leq \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \left\| \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=M_{k-1}}^n \sum_{j=M_{l-1}}^m A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \times \right. \\ \left. \times \left[S_{M_{k-1}, M_{l-1}}(\cdot - u, \cdot - v, f) - S_{M_{k-1}}^{(1)}(\cdot - u, \cdot - v, f) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - S_{M_{l-1}}^{(2)}(\cdot - u, \cdot - v, f) + f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) \right] d\mu(u, v) \right\|_p \\ \leq \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \int_{G_m^2} \left| \sum_{i=M_{k-1}}^n \sum_{j=M_{l-1}}^m A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \right| \times \\ \times \left\| S_{M_{k-1}, M_{l-1}}(\cdot - u, \cdot - v, f) - S_{M_{k-1}}^{(1)}(\cdot - u, \cdot - v, f) \right. \\ \left. - S_{M_{l-1}}^{(2)}(\cdot - u, \cdot - v, f) + f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) \right\|_p d\mu(u, v) \\ \leq c(\alpha, \beta) n^\alpha m^\beta \omega_{1,2}(f, 1/M_{k-1}, 1/M_{l-1})_p \\ \times \int_{G_m^2} \left| \sum_{i=M_{k-1}}^n \sum_{j=M_{l-1}}^m A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \right| d\mu(u, v) \\ \leq c(\alpha, \beta) n^\alpha m^\beta \omega_{1,2}(f, 1/M_{k-1}, 1/M_{l-1})_p \\ \leq c(\alpha, \beta) M_k^\alpha M_l^\beta \omega_{1,2}(f, 1/M_{k-1}, 1/M_{l-1})_p.$$

Lemma 4 is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. It is evident that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{n,m}^{-\alpha,-\beta}(f, x, y) - f(x, y) &= \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \int_{G_n^2} \sum_{i=0}^{M_{k-1}-1} \sum_{j=0}^{M_{l-1}-1} A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \times \\
&\quad \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u, v) \\
&+ \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=M_{k-1}}^n \sum_{j=0}^{M_{l-1}-1} A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \times \\
&\quad \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u, v) \\
&+ \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \int_{G_n^2} \sum_{i=0}^{M_{k-1}-1} \sum_{j=M_{l-1}}^m A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \times \\
&\quad \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u, v) \\
&+ \frac{1}{A_n^{-\alpha} A_m^{-\beta}} \int_{G_m^2} \sum_{i=M_{k-1}}^n \sum_{j=M_{l-1}}^m A_{n-i}^{-\alpha} A_{m-j}^{-\beta} \psi_i(u) \psi_j(v) \times \\
&\quad \times [f(\cdot - u, \cdot - v) - f(\cdot, \cdot)] d\mu(u) d\mu(v) = I + II + III + IV.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left\| \sigma_{n,m}^{-\alpha,-\beta}(f, x) - f(x) \right\|_p \leq \|I\|_p + \|II\|_p + \|III\|_p + \|IV\|_p$$

From Lemmas 2-4 the proof of theorem is complete. \square

Proof of Corollary 2. Since

$$\omega_i \left(f, \frac{1}{M_n} \right) \leq \omega \left(f, \frac{1}{M_n} \right), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$\omega_{1,2} \left(f, \frac{1}{M_n}, \frac{1}{M_m} \right) \leq 2\omega_1 \left(f, \frac{1}{M_n} \right)$$

and

$$\omega_{1,2} \left(f, \frac{1}{M_n}, \frac{1}{M_m} \right) \leq 2\omega_2 \left(f, \frac{1}{M_m} \right),$$

we obtain

$$\omega_{1,2} \left(f, \frac{1}{M_n}, \frac{1}{M_m} \right) = \left(\omega_{1,2} \left(f, \frac{1}{M_n}, \frac{1}{M_m} \right) \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}} \left(\omega_{1,2} \left(f, \frac{1}{M_n}, \frac{1}{M_m} \right) \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq 2 \left(\omega_1 \left(f, \frac{1}{M_n} \right) \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}} \left(\omega_2 \left(f, \frac{1}{M_m} \right) \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}} \\ &\leq 2 \left(\omega \left(f, \frac{1}{M_n} \right) \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}} \left(\omega \left(f, \frac{1}{M_m} \right) \right)^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

The validity of Corollary 2 follows immediately from Corollary 1. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. First, we set

$$f_j(x) = \rho_j(x) = \exp \frac{2\pi i x_j}{m_j}.$$

Then we define the function

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M_j^{(\alpha+\beta)}} f_j(x) f_j(y).$$

First, we prove that

$$(24) \quad \omega \left(f, \frac{1}{M_n} \right)_C = O \left(\left(\frac{1}{M_n} \right)^{\alpha+\beta} \right).$$

Since

$$|f_j(x-t) - f_j(x)| = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1, \quad t \in I_n$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x-t, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{M_j^{(\alpha+\beta)}} |f_j(x-t) - f_j(x)| \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \frac{2}{M_j^{(\alpha+\beta)}} \leq \frac{c}{M_n^{(\alpha+\beta)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(25) \quad \omega_1 \left(f, \frac{1}{M_n} \right) = O \left(\left(\frac{1}{M_n} \right)^{\alpha+\beta} \right).$$

Analogously, we have

$$(26) \quad \omega_2 \left(f, \frac{1}{M_m} \right) = O \left(\left(\frac{1}{M_m} \right)^{\alpha+\beta} \right).$$

Now, by (25) and (26), we obtain (24).

Next, we shall prove that $\sigma_{M_n, M_n}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(f)$ diverge in the metric of L^1 . It is clear that

(27)

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sigma_{M_n, M_n}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(f) - f \right\|_1 &\geq \left| \int_{G_m^2} [\sigma_{M_n, M_n}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)] \psi_{M_k}(x) \psi_{M_k}(y) d\mu(x, y) \right| \\
&\geq \left| \int_{G_m^2} \sigma_{M_n, M_n}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(f; x, y) \psi_{M_k}(x) \psi_{M_k}(y) dx dy \right| - \left| \widehat{f}(M_k, M_k) \right| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{A_{M_k}^{-\alpha} A_{M_k}^{-\beta}} \sum_{i=0}^{M_{I_k}} \sum_{j=0}^{M_{I_k}} A_{M_k-i}^{-\alpha} A_{M_k-j}^{-\beta} \widehat{f}(i, j) \int_{G_m^2} \psi_i(x) \psi_j(y) \psi_{M_k}(x) \psi_{M_k}(y) d\mu(x, y) \right| \\
&\quad - \left| \widehat{f}(M_k, M_k) \right| = \frac{1}{A_{M_{I_k}}^{-\alpha} A_{M_{I_k}}^{-\beta}} \left| \widehat{f}(M_k, M_k) \right| - \left| \widehat{f}(M_k, M_k) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{f}(M_k, M_k) &= \int_{G_m^2} f(x, y) \psi_{M_k}(x) \psi_{M_k}(y) d\mu(x, y) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M_j^{(\alpha+\beta)}} \int_{G_m^2} \rho_j(x) \rho_j(y) \psi_{M_k}(x) \psi_{M_k}(y) d\mu(x, y) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M_j^{(\alpha+\beta)}} \int_{G_m} \rho_j(x) \psi_{M_k}(x) d\mu(x) \int_{G_m} \rho_j(y) \psi_{M_k}(y) d\mu(y) = \frac{1}{M_k^{(\alpha+\beta)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we can write

$$(28) \quad \left\| \sigma_{M_n, M_n}^{-\alpha, -\beta}(f) - f \right\|_1 \geq c(\alpha, \beta).$$

Theorem 2 is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] G. N. Agaev, N.Ya. Vilenkin, G.M. Dzhafarli, and A.I. Rubinshtejn, Multiplicative systems of functions and harmonic analysis on zero-dimensional groups, Baku, Ehlms, 1981 (in Russian).
- [2] N. J. Fine, Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A* 41 (1995), 558-591.
- [3] B. I. Golubov, A.V. Efimov, and V.A. Skvortsov, "series and transformation of Walsh," Nauka, Moscow, 1987 [In Russian]; English translation, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [4] U. Goginava, On the uniform convergence of Walsh-Fourier series. *Acta Math. Hungar.* 93 (2001), no. 1-2, 59-70.

- [5] U. Goginava, On the approximation properties of Cesàro means of negative order of Walsh-Fourier series. *J. Approx. Theory* 115 (2002), no. 1, 9–20.
- [6] U. Goginava, Uniform convergence of Cesàro means of negative order of double Walsh-Fourier series. *J. Approx. Theory* 124 (2003), no. 1, 96–108.
- [7] U. Goginava, Cesàro means of double Walsh-Fourier series. *Anal. Math.* 30 (2004), 289–304.
- [8] U. Goginava and K. Nagy, On the maximal operator of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fejér means. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 61(136) (2011), no. 3, 673–686.
- [9] G. Gát and U. Goginava, A weak type inequality for the maximal operator of (C, α) -means of Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system. *Acta Math. Hungar.* 125 (2009), no. 1-2, 65–83.
- [10] G. Gát and K. Nagy, Cesàro summability of the character system of the p -series field in the Kaczmarz rearrangement. *Anal. Math.* 28 (2002), no. 1, 1–23.
- [11] K. Nagy, Approximation by Cesàro means of negative order of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series. *East J. Approx.* 16 (2010), no. 3, 297–311.
- [12] P. Simon and F. Weisz, Weak inequalities for Cesàro and Riesz summability of Walsh-Fourier series. *J. Approx. Theory* 151 (2008), no. 1, 1–19.
- [13] F. Schipp, Über gewisse Maximaloperatoren, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Sect. Math.* 18(1975), 189–195.
- [14] F. Schipp, W.R. Wade, P. Simon and J. Pál, "Walsh Series, Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis," Hilger, Bristol, 1990.
- [15] T. Tepnadze, On the approximation properties of Cesàro means of negative order of Vilenkin-Fourier series. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* (to appear).
- [16] V. I. Tevzadze, Uniform $(C, -\alpha)$ summability of Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Paley system. *Acta Math. Acad. Paedagog. Nyházi. (N.S.)* 22 (2006), no. 1, 41–61 (electronic).
- [17] L. V. Zhizhiashvili, *Trigonometric Fourier series and their conjugates*, Tbilisi, 1993 (Russian); English transl.: Kluwer Acad. publ; 1996.
- [18] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*. Vol.1, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1959.

T. TEPNADZE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EXACT AND NATURAL SCIENCES, IVANE JAVAKHISHVILI TBILISI STATE UNIVERSITY, CHAVCHAVADZE STR. 1, TBILISI 0128, GEORGIA

E-mail address: tsitsinotefnadze@gmail.com