

SCATTERING MATRICES AND GENERALIZED FOURIER TRANSFORMS IN LONG-RANGE N -BODY PROBLEMS

SOHEI ASHIDA

ABSTRACT. We give a definition of scattering matrices based on the asymptotic behaviors of generalized eigenfunctions and show that these scattering matrices are equivalent to the ones defined by wave-operator approach in long-range N -body problems. We also define generalized Fourier transforms by the asymptotic behaviors of outgoing solutions to nonhomogeneous equations and show that they are equivalent to the definition using wave operators. We also prove that the adjoint operators of the generalized Fourier transforms are given by Poisson operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Scattering matrices play an important role in the study of long-time asymptotic behaviors of the solutions to Schrödinger equations. Scattering matrices are defined in two different ways. In the time dependent viewpoint, the scattering matrices are defined using wave operators and the Fourier transforms. On the other hand, in the stationary viewpoint, they are defined using the asymptotic behaviors of generalized eigenfunctions at infinity. In this paper we prove that both the definitions are equivalent in long-range N -body problems. We also give a definition of the generalized Fourier transforms using the asymptotic behaviors of outgoing solutions to nonhomogeneous equations. We prove that they are equivalent to the ones using wave operators, and that their adjoint operators are given by Poisson operators.

Before we consider the N -body problems, it is instructive to recall the results for two-body problems, that is, the cases with decaying potentials. Let the potential $V(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy

$$|\partial^\gamma V(x)| = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-\mu-|\gamma|}),$$

for $\mu > 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. For short-range potentials, that is, when $\mu > 1$, as time t tends to $\pm\infty$ the asymptotic behaviors of the solutions $e^{-it\tilde{H}}\psi$, $\tilde{H} := -\Delta + V$, $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the Schrödinger equations are given by the free evolution $e^{it\Delta}\psi_\pm$, $\psi_\pm \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where Δ is the Laplacian on \mathbb{R}^n . In other words,

$$\|e^{-it\tilde{H}}\psi - e^{it\Delta}\psi_\pm\| \rightarrow 0,$$

as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$. On the contrary, for any $\psi_\pm \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\|e^{it\Delta}\psi_\pm - e^{-it\tilde{H}}\psi\| \rightarrow 0,$$

as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

The wave operators $W_\pm : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are defined by $W_\pm\psi_\pm := \psi$. The wave operators W_\pm are partial isometries from $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to $\mathcal{H}_{ac}(\tilde{H})$, where $\mathcal{H}_{ac}(\tilde{H})$ is the absolutely continuous subspace of \tilde{H} .

The scattering operator S is defined as a map $S\psi_- := \psi_+$. Let \mathbf{F}_0 be the Fourier transform. Then, $\hat{S} := \mathbf{F}_0 S \mathbf{F}_0^*$ commutes with any bounded Borel functions of $|\xi|^2$, and therefore, there exist $\hat{S}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}), L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$, *a.e.* $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$(\hat{S}f)(\lambda, \omega) = (\hat{S}(\lambda)f(\lambda))(\omega), \quad \xi = \sqrt{\lambda}\omega, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

a.e. $\lambda > 0$ for any $f(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see e.g. Reed-Simon [16]). Here $(f(\lambda))(\omega) := f(\lambda, \omega)$. The operators $\hat{S}(\lambda)$ are called scattering matrices. Thus the scattering matrices give the correspondence between the data as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

On the other hand, there is another definition of the scattering matrix which is known to be equivalent to the definition as above. The another definition is based on the asymptotic behaviors of the generalized eigenfunctions at infinity (see Melrose [15] and Yafaev [18]). The generalized eigenfunctions are solutions u to $(\tilde{H} - \lambda)u = 0$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, in the sense of distributions. For short range potentials it is known that for any $a_- \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ there exists a unique generalized eigenfunction u of \tilde{H} of the form

$$(1.1) \quad |x|^{-(n-1)/2} (e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda}|x|} a_-(\hat{x}) + e^{i\sqrt{\lambda}|x|} a_+(\hat{x})) + \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-(n+1)/2}),$$

as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ with $a_+ \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ uniquely determined by a_- , where $\hat{x} := x/|x|$. The scattering matrix $\Sigma(\lambda)$ is defined as the map

$$\Sigma(\lambda) : a_- \mapsto a_+.$$

The scattering matrices $\hat{S}(\lambda)$ and $\Sigma(\lambda)$ are equivalent in the sense that the following equation holds (see e.g. Reed-Simon [16] and Melrose [15]).

$$(1.2) \quad \hat{S}(\lambda) = i^{n-1} \Sigma(\lambda).$$

In the case of long-range two-body problems, that is, when $1 \geq \mu > 0$, we need to modify both the definitions of $\hat{S}(\lambda)$ and $\Sigma(\lambda)$. In the case of long-range potentials the free evolution $e^{it\Delta}\psi_\pm$, $\psi_\pm \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is replaced by $e^{-iS_\pm(D,t)}\psi_\pm$, where $S_\pm(\xi, t)$ are solutions to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\frac{\partial S_\pm}{\partial t}(\xi, t) = |\xi|^2 + V(\nabla_\xi S_\pm(\xi, t)),$$

and

$$e^{-iS_\pm(D,t)}\psi := \mathbf{F}_0^*(e^{-iS_\pm(\xi,t)}(\mathbf{F}_0\psi)(\xi)).$$

On the other hand $e^{\pm i\sqrt{\lambda}|x|}$ in (1.1) is replaced by $e^{\pm iK(x,\lambda)}$, where $K(x, \lambda)$ is a suitably chosen solution to the eikonal equation

$$|\nabla_x K(x, \lambda)|^2 + V(x) = \lambda.$$

Then, we have the relation (1.2) even in the long-range case (see Gâtel-Yafaev [4]).

We now turn to the N -body problems. We consider the generalized N -body Schrödinger operators. The N -body Schrödinger operator is a special case of the generalized N -body Schrödinger operators.

Set $X := \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\{X_a \subset X : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be a finite family of linear subspaces of X which is closed under intersections, and includes X and $X_{a_{\max}} := \{0\}$. We endow \mathcal{A} with a semi-lattice structure by

$$a \leq b \text{ if } X_a \supset X_b.$$

We denote the orthogonal complement of X_a by X^a . We denote by Π^a and Π_a the orthogonal projections of X onto X^a and X_a respectively. We use the same

notations Π^a and Π_a for the corresponding orthogonal projections of the dual space of X . We define for all $x \in X$, $x_a = \Pi_a x$ and $x^a = \Pi^a x$. If $a \leq b$, we define

$$(1.3) \quad x_a^b := \pi_a \pi^b x.$$

We also define $\nabla_a = \Pi_a \nabla$ and $\nabla^a = \Pi^a \nabla$. The operators $-\Delta_a$ and $-\Delta^a$ denote the Laplacian in X_a and X^a respectively.

We define $C_a := X_a \cap \mathbb{S}^{n_a-1}$. Thus C_a is a sphere of dimension $n_a - 1$. We also define the singular part of C_a by

$$C_{a,\text{sing}} := \bigcup_{b \not\leq a} (C_b \cap C_a).$$

$C_{a,\text{sing}}$ corresponds to the directions in which the particles collide. The regular part C'_a is the complement of $C_{a,\text{sing}}$:

$$C'_a := C_a \setminus C_{a,\text{sing}}.$$

A generalized N -body Schrödinger operator is an operator of the form

$$(1.4) \quad H := -\Delta + \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} V_a(x^a),$$

where Δ is the Laplacian in X and V_a are real-valued functions on X^a satisfying the following condition. There exists $\mu > 0$ such that for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $V_a(x^a) = V_a^s(x^a) + V_a^l(x^a)$, where

- (1) $V_a^s(x^a)$ is compactly supported and $V_a^s(-\Delta^a + 1)^{-1}$ is compact.
- (2) $V_a^l(x^a) \in C^\infty(X^a)$ and for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n_a}$

$$(1.5) \quad |\partial^\gamma V_a(x^a)| = (|x^a|^{-\mu-|\gamma|}),$$

where $n_a := \dim X_a$.

Then, H is a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(X)$.

We also define the operators H^a as

$$(1.6) \quad H^a := -\Delta^a + \sum_{b \leq a} V_b(x^b).$$

The set of thresholds of a subsystem $a \in \mathcal{A}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{T}^a := \bigcup_{b < a} \sigma_{\text{pp}}(H^b),$$

where $\sigma_{\text{pp}}(A)$ is the set of eigenvalues of A , and $b < a$ means $b \leq a$ and $b \neq a$. We also set

$$\mathcal{T}(H) := \mathcal{T}^{a_{\text{max}}}.$$

We label the eigenvalues of H^a counted with multiplicities, by integers m , and we call the pairs $\alpha = (a, m)$ channels. We denote the eigenvalue of the channel α and the corresponding normalize eigenfunction by E_α and u_α respectively. We say that a channel α is a non-threshold channel if $E_\alpha \notin \mathcal{T}^a$. When α is a non-threshold channel, the eigenfunction u_α is a Schwartz function (see Froese-Herbst [2]).

When $\mu > 1$ and α is a non-threshold channel, the following strong limit exists:

$$W_\alpha^\pm := s - \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH} J_\alpha e^{-it(\Delta_a + E_\alpha)},$$

where $(J_\alpha v)(x) := u_\alpha(x^a)v(x_a)$.

When α and β are non-threshold channels corresponding to $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}$ respectively, the scattering operator $S_{\beta\alpha}$ is defined as

$$S_{\beta\alpha} := (W_{\beta}^+)^* W_{\alpha}^-.$$

We also define the Fourier transform F_{α} as

$$F_{\alpha} : L^2(X_a) \rightarrow L^2((E_{\alpha}, \infty); L^2(C_a)),$$

by

$$(1.7) \quad F_{\alpha} u(\lambda, \omega) := (2\pi)^{-n_a/2} 2^{-1/2} \lambda_{\alpha}^{(n_a-2)/2} \int e^{-i\lambda_{\alpha}^{1/2} \omega \cdot x_a} u(x_a) dx_a, \quad \omega \in C_a$$

where $\lambda_{\alpha} := \lambda - E_{\alpha}$. $\hat{S}_{\beta\alpha} := F_{\beta} S_{\beta\alpha} F_{\alpha}^*$ is decomposable, namely for *a.e.* $\lambda > \max\{E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}\}$ there exist bounded operators $\hat{S}_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(C_a); L^2(C_b))$ such that

$$(\hat{S}_{\beta\alpha} f)(\lambda, \hat{x}_b) = (\hat{S}_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda) f(\lambda))(\hat{x}_b), \quad \hat{x}_b := x_b/|x_b| \in C_b,$$

for any $f \in L^2((E_{\alpha}, \infty); L^2(C_a))$ (see e.g. [16]).

The other definition comes from the asymptotic behaviors of generalized eigenfunctions even in the N -body problems.

Let α be a non-threshold channel. When $\mu > 1$ and $V_a^s \equiv 0$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, Vasy [19] proved the following. For $\lambda \in (E_{\alpha}, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{T}(H)$ and $g \in C_0^{\infty}(C'_a)$, for some $s > 1/2$ there exists a unique generalized eigenfunction $u \in H^{\infty, -s}(X)$ of H , and u has the form

$$(1.8) \quad u = u_{\alpha}(x^a) v_{-}(x_a) e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda_{\alpha}} r_a} r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2} + R(\lambda + i0) f,$$

where $r_a := |x_a|$, $v_{-} \in C^{\infty}(X_a)$, $\lim_{r_a \rightarrow \infty} v_{-}(r_a, \hat{x}_a) = g(\hat{x}_a)$, $R(z) := (H - z)^{-1}$ and $H^{\infty, -s}(X)$ is a weighted Sobolev space. Vasy [19] defined the Poisson operator in order that the following holds: $P_{\alpha,+}(\lambda)g = u$.

Let β be a non-threshold channel and set

$$(\pi_{\beta} u)(x_b) := \int_{X^b} u(x^b, x_b) \bar{u}_{\beta}(x^b) dx^b.$$

Vasy [19] also proved that for a generalized eigenfunction $u \in H^{\infty, -s}(X)$, $s > 1/2$ of H and for $\lambda > E_{\beta}$, $\pi_{\beta} u$ has the following distributional asymptotic behavior:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{C_b} (\pi_{\beta} u)(r_b \omega_b) h(\omega_b) d\omega_b \\ &= r_b^{-(n_b-1)/2} (e^{-i\sqrt{\lambda_{\beta}} r_b} Q_{\beta,-}^0(\pi_{\beta} u, h) + e^{i\sqrt{\lambda_{\beta}} r_b} Q_{\beta,+}^0(\pi_{\beta} u, h) + r_b^{-\delta} v), \end{aligned}$$

for $h \in C_0^{\infty}(C'_b)$, where $\delta > 0$, $v \in C^{\infty}((1, \infty)) \cap L^{\infty}((1, \infty))$ and $Q_{\beta,\pm}^0(\pi_{\beta} u, \cdot)$ define a distribution on $C^{\infty}(C'_b)$.

Vasy [19] defined the scattering matrix $\Sigma_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda)$ as

$$\Sigma_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda)(g)(h) := Q_{\beta,+}^0(\pi_{\beta}(P_{\alpha,+}(\lambda)g), h).$$

Vasy [19] also proved the following: if α and β are no-threshold channels, then for $\lambda > \max\{E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}\}$, $\lambda \notin \mathcal{T}(H)$,

$$(1.9) \quad \hat{S}_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda) = C_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda) \Sigma_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda) \mathcal{R}, \quad C_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda) := e^{i\pi(n_a+n_b-2)/4} (\lambda_{\alpha}/\lambda_{\beta})^{1/2},$$

where $\mathcal{R}g(\hat{x}_a) := g(-\hat{x}_a)$ for $g \in C_0^{\infty}(C'_a)$.

Isozaki [10] and Hassell [5] proved similar results for 2-cluster to 3-cluster scattering matrices in three-body problems and for the free channel scattering matrices respectively using different methods.

In this paper we generalize (1.9) for long-range potentials. More precisely, we obtain a result similar to (1.9) for $1 \geq \mu > 1/2$ where, μ is as in (1.5). In the long-range case we use the solutions to Hamilton-Jacobi equations in the definition of wave operators, and use the solutions to eikonal equations in the asymptotic behaviors of generalized eigenfunctions of H . These solutions are related by the Legendre transform.

Our definition of the Poisson operator $P_{\alpha,+}(\lambda)$ is similar to the one in Vasy [19]. However, we obtain the asymptotic behaviors of $u := P_{\alpha,+}(\lambda)g$, $g \in C_0^\infty(C'_a)$ in a way different from Vasy [19]. The reason for that is as follows. For short-range potentials the asymptotic behavior of the part corresponding to a non-threshold channel α of a generalized eigenfunction u is expected to be as

$$u_\alpha(x^a)\Psi_\alpha(\hat{x}_a)e^{\pm i\sqrt{\lambda_\alpha}r_a r_a^{(n_a-1)/2}},$$

where $\Psi_\alpha \in L^2(C_a)$. However, for long-range potentials the factor $e^{\pm i\sqrt{\lambda_\alpha}r_a}$ in the asymptotic behavior as above is replaced by $e^{\pm iK_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)}$, where $K_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)$ is a solution to an eikonal equation. Since $K_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)$ depends not only on r_a but also on \hat{x}_a unlike $\sqrt{\lambda_\alpha}r_a$, we can not reduce the study of the asymptotic behavior of u to an ordinary differential equation for r_a as in Vasy [19]. Instead, we define a distribution $Q_\alpha^\pm(u)$ on $C_0^\infty(C'_a)$ as follows:

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & (Q_\alpha^\pm(u))(h) \\ & := \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x_a| < \rho} e^{\mp iK_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)} r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2} h(\hat{x}_a) (\pi_\alpha u)(x_a) dx_a, \end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in C_0^\infty(C'_a)$. The existence of the limit in (1.10) is not obvious. We prove the existence of the limit in (1.10) and show that $Q_\alpha^\pm(u) \in L^2(C_a)$.

Using Q_β^+ we define the scattering matrix as

$$\Sigma_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda) := Q_\beta^+(P_{\alpha,+}(\lambda)g).$$

Then, we obtain the relation (1.9) in which the definition of $\hat{S}_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda)$ is also modified.

We also give a definition of the generalized Fourier transforms, and show that they are equivalent to the one defined by the wave operator approach. We also prove that the adjoint operators of generalized Fourier transforms are given by the Poisson operators $P_{\alpha,\pm}(\lambda)$.

The content of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we give some preliminaries and the main results. In section 3 we introduce the generalized Fourier transforms for decaying potentials. In section 4 we introduce the wave operators and the scattering matrices for decaying potentials, and give the relation between the scattering matrices and the adjoint operators of the generalized Fourier transforms. In section 5 we define the Poisson operators for N -body Schrödinger operators. In section 6 we study the asymptotic behaviors of the generalized eigenfunctions and the solutions to nonhomogeneous equations for decaying potentials. In section 7 we introduce the outgoing and incoming properties and the uniqueness theorem for nonhomogeneous equations. In section 8 we define the scattering matrices and the generalized Fourier transforms for N -body Schrödinger operators using the asymptotic behaviors of the generalized eigenfunctions, and outgoing or incoming solutions to nonhomogeneous equations respectively. In section 9 we study the asymptotic behaviors of the functions in the range of the resolvent and the Poisson operators. In section 10 we prove the equivalence of the two definitions of the scattering matrices. In section 11 we

prove the equivalence of the two definitions of the generalized Fourier transforms and show that the adjoint operators of the generalized Fourier transforms are given by the Poisson operators.

2. SOME PRELIMINARIES AND THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section we use the notations in section 1. We define

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} X_a^\epsilon &:= \{x \in X : \text{dist}(x, X_a) < \epsilon\}, \\ Z_a &:= X_a \setminus \bigcup_{b \not\leq a} X_b, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.2) \quad Y_a := X \setminus \bigcup_{b \not\leq a} X_b,$$

$$(2.3) \quad Z_a^\epsilon := X_a \setminus \bigcup_{b \not\leq a} X_b^\epsilon,$$

$$(2.4) \quad Y_a^\epsilon := X \setminus \bigcup_{b \not\leq a} X_b^\epsilon.$$

The directions in which the clusters of a collide are removed in Z_a .

We assume the potentials V_a obey the following.

Assumption 2.1. *There exists $1 \geq \mu > 1/2$ such that for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$, $V_a(x^a) = V_a^s(x^a) + V_a^l(x^a)$, where*

- (1) $V_a^s(x^a)$ is compactly supported and $V_a^s(-\Delta^a + 1)^{-1}$ is compact.
- (2) $V_a^l(x^a) \in C^\infty(X^a)$ and for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n_a}$

$$|\partial^\gamma V_a(x^a)| = \mathcal{O}(|x^a|^{-\mu-|\gamma|}).$$

Let H and H^a be as in (1.4) and (1.6) respectively.

Set $I_a(x) := \sum_{b \not\leq a} V_b(x^b)$, $I_a^l(x) := \sum_{b \not\leq a} V_b^l(x^b)$, and let $\chi_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function which is 0 for $x < 1$ and 1 for $x > 2$. We define the modified potentials as follows:

$$\tilde{I}_a(x_a) := I_a^l(x_a) \prod_{a < b} \chi_0 \left(\frac{\langle x_a^b \rangle}{\langle x_a \rangle} \log \langle x_a \rangle \right) \chi_0 \left(\frac{|x_a|}{K} \right),$$

where $K > 0$ is a constant and x_a^b is defined by (1.3). Let μ_0 be a constant such that $\mu_0 \in (0, \mu)$. Then if we choose K large enough, we have

$$\partial_{x_a}^\gamma \tilde{I}_a(x_a) = \mathcal{O}(|x_a|^{-\mu_0-|\gamma|}),$$

as $|x_a| \rightarrow \infty$ for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}^{n_a}$.

In section 4 we show that there exists $S_{a,\pm}(\xi_a, t) \in C^\infty(X_a \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}_\pm)$ satisfying the following : For any compact set $A \in X_a \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $T > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\partial S_{a,\pm}}{\partial t}(\xi_a, t) = |\xi_a|^2 + \tilde{I}_a(\nabla_{\xi_a} S_{a,\pm}(\xi_a, t)).$$

The time-dependent definition of the scattering matrices is based on the wave operators. If α is a non-threshold channel, then there exist wave operators

$$W_\alpha^\pm := s - \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH} J_\alpha e^{-i(S_{a,\pm}(D_a, t) + E_\alpha t)},$$

where $e^{-i(S_{a,\pm}(D_a, t) + E_\alpha t)} f := F_\alpha^*(e^{-i(S_{a,\pm}(\xi_a, t) + E_\alpha t)}(F_\alpha f)(|\xi_a|^2, \hat{\xi}_a)), \hat{\xi}_a := \xi_a/|\xi_a|$.

The scattering operator is defined as

$$S_{\beta\alpha} := (W_{\beta}^+)^* W_{\alpha}^-.$$

Then $\hat{S}_{\beta\alpha} := F_{\beta} S_{\beta\alpha} F_{\alpha}^*$ is decomposable, namely for *a.e.* $\lambda > \max\{E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}\}$ there exist bounded operators $\hat{S}_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(C_a); L^2(C_b))$ such that

$$(\hat{S}_{\beta\alpha} f)(\lambda, \hat{x}_b) = (\hat{S}_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda) f(\lambda))(\hat{x}_b).$$

The stationary definition of the scattering matrices comes from the asymptotic behaviors of generalized eigenfunctions. Let $L^{2,l}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the Hilbert space of all measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n such that

$$\|f\|_l^2 = \int (1 + |x|)^{2l} |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty.$$

We also need the spaces $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{B}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of functions. We set

$$\rho_j := 2^j, \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq 0)$$

$$\Omega_0 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < 1\}, \quad \Omega_j := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{j-1} < |x| < 2^j\}, \quad (j \in \mathbb{N}, j \geq 1).$$

Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the set of functions u such that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho_j^{1/2} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_j)} < \infty.$$

Then the dual space $\mathcal{B}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of functions u such that

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \sup_{j \geq 0} \rho_j^{-1/2} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_j)} < \infty.$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1} \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \left(\sup_{\rho > 1} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x| < \rho} |u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

The relation between $L^{2,l}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{B}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is as follows: when $l > 1/2$

$$L^{2,l}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{2,1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ \subset L^{2,-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{B}^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^{2,-l}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Since we can assume $X_a = \mathbb{R}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can define $L^{2,l}(X_a)$, $\mathcal{B}(X_a)$ and $\mathcal{B}^*(X_a)$ in the same way as above.

Let α be a non-threshold channel. We show in section 5 that for $\lambda \in (E_{\alpha}, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{T}(H)$ and $g \in C_0^{\infty}(C'_a)$ there exists a unique function $u \in \mathcal{B}^*(X)$ such that $(H - \lambda)u = 0$, and $u - e^{-iK_a(x_a, \lambda_{\alpha})} r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2} u_{\alpha}(x^a) g(\hat{x}_a)$ is outgoing, where $K_a(x_a, \lambda_{\alpha})$ is the solution to the eikonal equation $|\nabla_a K_a(x_a, \lambda_{\alpha})|^2 + \tilde{I}_a(x_a) = \lambda_{\alpha}$ in section 3 with V replaced by \tilde{I}_a (for the definition of outgoing and incoming properties see section 7). We define the Poisson operator $P_{\alpha,+}(\lambda) : C_0^{\infty}(C'_a) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*(X)$ by $P_{\alpha,+}(\lambda)g := u$. There is also a unique function $u \in \mathcal{B}^*(X)$ such that $(H - \lambda)u = 0$, and $u - e^{iK_a(x_a, \lambda_{\alpha})} r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2} u_{\alpha}(x^a) g(\hat{x}_a)$ is incoming, and we define $P_{\alpha,-}(\lambda)$ by $P_{\alpha,-}(\lambda)g := u$.

Let β be a non-threshold channel. In section 8 we show that for a generalized eigenfunction $u \in \mathcal{B}^*(X)$ and $h \in C_0^{\infty}(C'_b)$ the limit

$$(2.5) \quad Q_{\beta}^{\pm}(u)(h) := \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x_b| < \rho} h(\hat{x}_b) e^{\mp iK_b(x_b, \lambda_{\beta})} r_b^{-(n_b-1)/2} (\pi_{\beta} u)(x_b) dx_b,$$

exists. We can see that $Q_\beta^\pm(u)$ defines a distribution on C'_b and actually $Q_\beta^\pm(u) \in L^2(C_b)$. We define the scattering matrix $\Sigma_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda) : C_0^\infty(C'_a) \rightarrow L^2(C_b)$ by

$$\Sigma_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda)g := Q_\beta^+(P_{\alpha,+}(\lambda)g).$$

One of our main result is the following

Theorem 2.2. *Let α and β be non-threshold channels. Then,*

$$\hat{S}_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda)g = e^{i\pi(n_a+n_b-2)/4}\lambda_\alpha^{1/4}\lambda_\beta^{-1/4}\Sigma_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda)\mathcal{R}g,$$

for $g \in C_0^\infty(C'_a)$ and a.e. $\lambda > \max\{E_\alpha, E_\beta\}$ where $(\mathcal{R}g)(\hat{x}_a) := g(-\hat{x}_a)$.

We also give the stationary definition of the generalized Fourier transforms. For $\lambda \in \sigma_{ess}(H) \setminus (\sigma_{pp}(H) \cup \mathcal{T}(H))$ the resolvent $R(\lambda \pm i\epsilon) := (H - \lambda \mp i\epsilon)^{-1}$, $\epsilon > 0$ is extended to $R(\lambda \pm i0)$ as an operator in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(X), \mathcal{B}^*(X))$, where $\sigma_{ess}(A)$ is the set of essential spectra of A (see [14]). Let $f \in L^{2,l}(X)$, $l > 1/2$, α be a non-threshold channel, and set $u = R(\lambda + i0)f$ or $u = R(\lambda - i0)f$. Then, the limit $Q_\alpha^\pm(u)(h)$ as (2.5) exits. We define the generalized Fourier transforms $\mathcal{G}_\alpha^\pm(\lambda) : L^{2,l}(X) \rightarrow L^2(C_a)$ by

$$\mathcal{G}_\alpha^+(\lambda)f = D_\alpha^+(\lambda)Q_\alpha^+(R(\lambda + i0)f),$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_\alpha^-(\lambda)f = D_\alpha^-(\lambda)\mathcal{R}Q_\alpha^-(R(\lambda - i0)f),$$

where $D_\alpha^\pm(\lambda) := e^{\pm i\pi(n_a-3)/4}\pi^{-1/2}\lambda_\alpha^{1/4}$.

The generalized Fourier transforms are related to the wave operators and Poisson operators as in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Let α be a non-threshold channel. Then, for $f \in L^{2,l}(X)$, $l > 1/2$ and $h \in C_0^\infty(C'_a)$ we have*

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} (F_\alpha(W_\alpha^+)^*f)(\lambda) &= \mathcal{G}_\alpha^+(\lambda)f, \\ (F_\alpha(W_\alpha^-)^*f)(\lambda) &= \mathcal{G}_\alpha^-(\lambda)f, \end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $\lambda > E_\alpha$.

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathcal{G}_\alpha^+(\lambda))^* &= -\tilde{D}_\alpha^-(\lambda)P_{\alpha,-}(\lambda), \\ (\mathcal{G}_\alpha^-(\lambda))^* &= -\tilde{D}_\alpha^+(\lambda)P_{\alpha,+}(\lambda)\mathcal{R}, \end{aligned}$$

for a.e. $\lambda > E_\alpha$, where $\tilde{D}_\alpha^\pm(\lambda) := 2^{-1}i\pi^{-1/2}\lambda_\alpha^{-1/4}e^{\pm i\pi(n_a-3)/4}$.

3. GENERALIZED FOURIER TRANSFORMS FOR DECAYING POTENTIALS

In this section we suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and that the potential $V(x)$ is a real valued function such that $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and for some $\mu > 0$,

$$(3.1) \quad |\partial^\gamma V(x)| = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-\mu-|\gamma|}) \text{ as } |x| \rightarrow +\infty,$$

for any multi-index γ .

The oscillations in the asymptotic behaviors of the functions $\tilde{R}(\lambda \pm i0)f$, $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are given by the solutions to the eikonal equations, where $\tilde{R}(z) := (\tilde{H} - z)^{-1}$, $\tilde{H} := -\Delta + V$. The solutions to the eikonal equations are given by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 ([13, Lemma 2.1], [8, Theorem 4.1]). *There exists a real valued function $Y(x, \lambda) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+)$, $\mathbb{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following properties:*

(1) For any compact set $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$, there exists a constant $R_0(\Lambda)$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad 2\sqrt{\lambda} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial r}(x, \lambda) = |\nabla_x Y(x, \lambda)|^2 + V(x),$$

for $|x| > R_0(\Lambda)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

(2) For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any compact set $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we have

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right)^k Y(x, \lambda) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, k, \Lambda} \langle x \rangle^{1-|\alpha|-\mu}, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

If we put

$$K(x, \lambda) = \sqrt{\lambda}r - Y(x, \lambda),$$

where $r := |x|$, then by (3.2) we can see $K(x, \lambda)$ satisfies the eikonal equation

$$|\nabla_x K(x, \lambda)|^2 + V(x) = \lambda.$$

Set

$$(3.3) \quad w_\pm := r^{-(n-1)/2} e^{\pm i(K(x, \lambda) - \pi(n-3)/4)}.$$

Then, the asymptotic behavior of $\tilde{R}(\lambda \pm i0)f$, $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 ([4, Theorem 3.5], [8]). *For any $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exist $a_\pm \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ such that, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$(\tilde{R}(\lambda \pm i0)f)(x) = \pi^{1/2} \lambda^{-1/4} a_\pm(\pm \hat{x}) w_\pm(x, \lambda) + o_{av}(|x|^{-(n-1)/2}),$$

where $\hat{x} := x/|x|$, and $f(x) = o_{av}(|x|^{-(n-1)/2})$ means that

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow +\infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x| \leq \rho} |f(x)|^2 dx = 0.$$

We define the mapping $\mathcal{F}_\pm(\lambda) : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ by $(\mathcal{F}_\pm(\lambda)f)(\hat{x}) = a_\pm(\hat{x})$. It is known that $\mathcal{F}_\pm(\lambda)$ is bounded from $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ (see [4]). Set $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}))$. For any $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define the mapping $F_\pm : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ by $(F_\pm f)(\lambda) = \mathcal{F}_\pm(\lambda)f$. Then, F_\pm is uniquely extended to the partial isometry with initial set $\mathcal{H}_{ac}(\hat{H})$ and final set $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$, where $\mathcal{H}_{ac}(\hat{H})$ is the absolutely continuous subspace \hat{H} (see [4, Theorem 5.2] and [13, Theorem 2.5]).

We have explicit representations for $\mathcal{F}_\pm^*(\lambda) := (\mathcal{F}_\pm(\lambda))^*$ on $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. Let $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function satisfying the following condition: there exists $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \eta(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & (t < \kappa) \\ 1 & (t > 2\kappa). \end{cases}$$

Then, the functions

$$(3.5) \quad u_\pm(x, \lambda) = \eta(r) a(\pm \hat{x}) w_\pm(x, \lambda),$$

belong to the space $\mathcal{B}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We set $g_\pm(\lambda) = (-\Delta + V - \lambda)u_\pm(\lambda)$. Then, by straightforward calculations we can see $g_\pm(\lambda) \in L^{2,l}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $l > 1/2$.

Lemma 3.3 ([4, Lemma 3.7, Corollary 3.8], [13, Theorem 3.4]). *Let $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$, w_\pm be defined by (3.3) and u_\pm, g_\pm be as above. Then we have*

$$\pm 2i\pi^{1/2} \lambda^{1/4} \mathcal{F}_\pm^*(\lambda)a = u_\pm(\lambda) - \tilde{R}(\lambda \mp i0)g_\pm(\lambda).$$

Moreover, for any $a \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ we have $\mathcal{F}_\pm^*(\lambda)a \in H_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap \mathcal{B}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$(-\Delta + V - \lambda)\mathcal{F}_\pm^*(\lambda)a = 0.$$

We introduce the following class of symbols of pseudodifferential operators. Let $S^{m,l}$, $m, l \in \mathbb{R}$, be the symbol class of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ -functions $p(x, \xi)$ satisfying the following condition: for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ there exists $C_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$ such that

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta p(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta} \langle x \rangle^{l-|\alpha|} \langle \xi \rangle^m, \quad \forall (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n.$$

The corresponding pseudodifferential operators are defined by the Weyl quantization:

$$(Op(p)\psi)(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int \int e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} p((x+y)/2, \xi) \psi(y) dy d\xi,$$

for $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We also define the right quantization of p by

$$(Op^r(p)\psi)(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int \int e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} p(y, \xi) \psi(y) dy d\xi,$$

for $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We need the following micro-local resolvent estimate.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\lambda > 0$, $s > 1/2$, $t > 1$ and $p_\pm \in S^{0,0}$ be a symbol satisfying the following condition: there exists $0 < \epsilon$ such that $p_\pm(x, \xi) = 0$ if $\pm \hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi} < 1 - \epsilon$, where $\hat{x} := x/|x|$ and $\hat{\xi} := \xi/|\xi|$. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|Op(p_\mp)\tilde{R}(\lambda \pm i0)f\|_{s-t} \leq C\|f\|_s.$$

This lemma is essentially due to Skibsted [17] (see also Isozaki [9, Theorem 2.2]). The estimate for $\tilde{R}(\lambda + i0)$ is obtained by the argument similar to the one below [9, Theorem 2.2] for the decaying potential V , with s in [9, Theorem 2.2] replaced by $s - t$. The estimate for $\tilde{R}(\lambda - i0)$ follows from the similar propagation estimate as $t \rightarrow -\infty$. Note that we can assume $s - t > -1/2$ since otherwise there exists $t' > 1$ such that $t > t'$, $s - t' > -1/2$ and $\langle x \rangle^{s-t} = \langle x \rangle^{-(t-t')} \langle x \rangle^{s-t'}$.

We also have the micro-local estimate for $u_\pm(\lambda)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $p_\mp(x, \xi)$ be a symbol such that $p_\mp(x, \xi) = 0$ for (x, ξ) satisfying one of the following conditions for some $\epsilon > 0$*

- (i) $|\xi|^2 - \lambda < \epsilon$,
- (ii) $\pm \hat{x} \cdot \hat{\xi} > 1 - \epsilon$ for some $\epsilon > 0$,
- (iii) $\hat{\xi} \in \text{supp } a(\pm)$.

Then for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a constant C such that

$$\|x\|^m Op(p_\mp)u_\pm \leq C,$$

where u_\pm is defined in (3.5).

Proof. Since a support of a symbol does not change by a choice of quantization except an error in $S^{-\infty, -\infty}$, we can consider the right quantization $Op^r(p_\mp)$ instead of the Weyl quantization. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} & Op^r(p_\mp)u_\pm \\ &= \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0_+} \int \chi_0(\mu\xi) \chi_0(\mu y) e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi \pm iK(y)} p_\mp(y, \xi) a(\pm \hat{y}) \eta(y) |y|^{-(n-1)/2} dy d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Since there exists a constant $\tilde{C} > 0$ such that

$$|\xi \mp \sqrt{\lambda}\hat{y}| > \tilde{C}^{-1}(|\xi| + 1),$$

for $(y, \xi) \in \text{supp } p_{\mp}(y, \xi)a(\pm\hat{y})$ and we have

$$i|\xi \mp \sqrt{\lambda}\hat{y}|^{-2}(\xi \mp \sqrt{\lambda}\hat{y}) \cdot \nabla_y e^{-iy \cdot \xi \pm i\sqrt{\lambda}|y|} = e^{-iy \cdot \xi \pm i\sqrt{\lambda}|y|},$$

noting that $|\partial_y^\alpha(a(\pm\hat{y}))| = \mathcal{O}(|y|^{-|\alpha|})$ as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the result by integration by parts. \square

4. WAVE OPERATORS AND SCATTERING MATRICES FOR DECAYING POTENTIALS

In this section we suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and (3.1) for the potential V and we use the notations in section 3. To define the wave operator we need the solutions $S_{\pm}(\xi, t)$ to the Hamilton-Jacobi equations obtained by the Legendre transformation of $K(x, \lambda)$. As in [13, Lemma 6.1] we have

Lemma 4.1 ([13] Lemma 6.1). *There exist $x_{\pm}(\xi, t)$, $\lambda_{\pm}(\xi, t) \in C^\infty((\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbb{R}_{\pm})$ satisfying the following condition : For any compact set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ there exist positive constants T, C such that for $\xi \in \Lambda$ and $\pm t > T$ we have*

$$\xi = \pm \frac{\partial K}{\partial x}(x_{\pm}(\xi, t), \lambda_{\pm}(\xi, t)), \quad t = \pm \frac{\partial K}{\partial \lambda}(x_{\pm}(\xi, t), \lambda_{\pm}(\xi, t)),$$

$$|x_{\pm}(\xi, t) - 2\xi t| \leq C(1 + |t|)^{1-\mu}, \quad |\lambda_{\pm}(\xi, t) - |\xi|^2| \leq C(1 + |t|)^{-\mu}.$$

Remark 4.2. *Although only $x_+(\xi, t)$ and $\lambda_+(\xi, t)$ are considered in [13], the result for $x_-(\xi, t)$ and $\lambda_-(\xi, t)$ is obtained in the same way.*

Lemma 4.3 ([13]). *Let us define*

$$S_{\pm}(\xi, t) = x_{\pm}(\xi, t)\xi + \lambda_{\pm}(\xi, t)t \mp K(x_{\pm}(\xi, t), \lambda_{\pm}(\xi, t)).$$

Then, for any compact set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $T > 0$ such that $\nabla_{\xi} S_{\pm}(\xi, t) = x_{\pm}(\xi, t)$, $(\partial S_{\pm}/\partial t)(\xi, t) = \lambda_{\pm}(\xi, t)$ and

$$\frac{\partial S_{\pm}}{\partial t}(\xi, t) = |\xi|^2 + V(\nabla_{\xi} S_{\pm}(\xi, t)),$$

for $\xi \in \Lambda$ and $\pm t > T$.

Let $\mathbf{F}_{\pm} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be defined by

$$(\mathbf{F}_{\pm} f)(\xi) = 2^{1/2} |\xi|^{-(n-2)/2} (F_{\pm} f)(|\xi|^2, \xi/|\xi|).$$

Then \mathbf{F}_{\pm} is a partial isometry on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with the initial set $\mathcal{H}_{ac}(\tilde{H})$ and the final set $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Let \mathbf{F}_0 be the ordinary Fourier transformation:

$$(\mathbf{F}_0 f)(\xi) := (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx.$$

Then, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4 ([13, Theorem 7.3]). *The wave operators*

$$W_{\pm} = s - \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{it\tilde{H}} e^{-iS_{\pm}(D, t)},$$

exist and we have $W_{\pm} = \mathbf{F}_{\pm}^ \mathbf{F}_0$. Here $e^{-iS_{\pm}(D, t)f} := \mathbf{F}_0^*[e^{-iS_{\pm}(\xi, t)} \mathbf{F}_0 f]$. Moreover, we have the intertwining property: for any bounded Borel function φ on \mathbb{R} we have $\varphi(\tilde{H})W_{\pm} = W_{\pm}\varphi(-\Delta)$.*

Remark 4.5. *This result was proved in [13] for $S_+(\xi, t)$, but the one for $S_-(\xi, t)$ is obtained in the same way. The intertwining property follows from $\mathbf{F}_\pm \varphi(\tilde{H}) = \varphi(|\xi|^2) \mathbf{F}_\pm$ and $\varphi(|\xi|^2) \mathbf{F}_0 = \mathbf{F}_0 \varphi(-\Delta)$, where $|\xi|^2$ is the multiplication operator by $|\xi|^2$.*

The scattering operator S is defined by $S := W_+^* W_-$. By the intertwining property $\hat{S} := \mathbf{F}_0 S \mathbf{F}_0^*$ is decomposable (see [16]). Denoting the fibers of \hat{S} by $\hat{S}(\lambda)$, Lemma 4.4 implies $\hat{S}(\lambda) : \mathcal{F}_-(\lambda) f \mapsto \mathcal{F}_+(\lambda) f$ for any $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We have the relation between the asymptotic behaviors of the generalized eigenfunctions and the scattering matrices.

Lemma 4.6 ([4]). *Let $w_\pm(x, \lambda)$ be defined by (3.3). Then for any $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{F}_+^*(\lambda)a)(x) \\ &= C(\lambda) \left(a(\hat{x}) w_+(x, \lambda) - (\mathcal{R} \hat{S}^*(\lambda)a)(\hat{x}) w_-(x, \lambda) \right) + o_{av}(|x|^{-(n-1)/2}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{F}_-^*(\lambda)a)(x) \\ &= C(\lambda) \left((\hat{S}(\lambda)a(\hat{x})) w_+(x, \lambda) - (\mathcal{R}a)(\hat{x}) w_-(x, \lambda) \right) + o_{av}(|x|^{-(n-1)/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $C(\lambda) := -i2^{-1}\pi^{-1/2}\lambda^{-1/4}$ and $(\mathcal{R}a)(\hat{x}) := a(-\hat{x})$.

5. POISSON OPERATORS

In this section we use the notations in sections 1 and 2. Let α be a non-threshold channel.

Set $g \in C_0^\infty(C'_\alpha)$ and

$$(5.1) \quad v_\alpha^\pm(\lambda, x_\alpha) := \eta(r_\alpha) g(\hat{x}_\alpha) r_\alpha^{-(n_\alpha-1)/2} e^{\pm i K_\alpha(x_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha)}.$$

Here, η and $K_\alpha(x_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha)$ are defined by (3.4) for some $\kappa > 0$ and

$$K_\alpha(x_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha) := \sqrt{\lambda_\alpha} r_\alpha - Y_\alpha(x_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha),$$

respectively, where $\lambda_\alpha := \lambda - E_\alpha$, $\lambda > E_\alpha$ and $Y_\alpha(x_\alpha, \lambda_\alpha)$ is the function obtained in Lemma 3.1 with λ and $V(x)$ replaced by λ_α and $\tilde{I}_\alpha(x_\alpha)$ respectively.

We can easily see that $(H - \lambda)(J_\alpha v_\alpha^\pm) \in L^{2,l}(X)$, $l > 1/2$. Thus, we can define the Poisson operator $P_{\alpha,\pm}(\lambda) : C_0^\infty(C'_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*(X)$ by

$$P_{\alpha,\pm}(\lambda)\varphi = J_\alpha v_\alpha^\mp - R(\lambda \pm i0)(H - \lambda)(J_\alpha v_\alpha^\mp).$$

6. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIORS OF GENERALIZED EIGENFUNCTIONS AND SOLUTIONS TO NONHOMOGENEOUS EQUATIONS FOR DECAYING POTENTIALS

In this section we suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy (3.1), and use the notations in section 3.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\lambda > 0$. Suppose $u \in \mathcal{B}^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $(\tilde{H} - \lambda)u \in L^{2,l}(U)$, $l > 1/2$. Here U is a conic region, namely there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $c > 1$ and $x \in U$, $|x| > C$ we have $cx \in U$, and $L^{2,l}(U) := \{f : \|f\|_l^2 := \int_U \langle x \rangle^{2l} |f(x)|^2 dx < \infty\}$. Then for $h \in C_0^\infty(U')$ the following limit exists:*

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x| < \rho} e^{\mp i K(x, \lambda)} r^{-(n-1)/2} h(\hat{x}) u(x) dx,$$

where $U' := U \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. The limit is equal to

$$2^{-1}i\lambda^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \{((\tilde{H} - \lambda)u)(x)\bar{v}_\pm(x) - u(x)((\tilde{H} - \lambda)\bar{v}_\pm)(x)\}dx,$$

where $v_\pm(x) := \eta(r)h(\hat{x})e^{\pm iK(x,\lambda)r^{-(n-1)/2}}$ with η defined by (3.4) for κ large enough.

To prove Proposition 6.1 we need some lemmas.

Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function such that $\psi = 1$ near λ . Set $\psi_1(y) := 1 - \psi(y)$ and $\psi_2(y) := \psi_1(y)(y - \lambda)^{-1}$. Then, we can write

$$u = \psi(\tilde{H})u + \psi_1(\tilde{H})u.$$

Lemma 6.2. *We have*

$$(6.1) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x| < \rho} e^{\mp iK(x,\lambda)r^{-(n-1)/2}} h(\hat{x})\eta(r)(\psi_1(\tilde{H})u)(x)dx = 0,$$

$$(6.2) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x| < \rho} e^{\mp iK(x,\lambda)r^{-(n-1)/2}} h(\hat{x})\eta(r)((\psi(\tilde{H}) - \psi(-\Delta))u)(x)dx = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\phi(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a function such that $\phi(x)h(\hat{x}) = h(\hat{x})$ for $|x| > 1$, and $\tilde{\phi}(x) = 1 - \phi(x)$. Then, $h(\hat{x})\eta(r)\psi_1(\tilde{H})(\tilde{\phi}(x)u(x)) \in L^{2,l}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $l > 0$ and $h(\hat{x})\eta(r)\psi_1(\tilde{H})(\phi(x)u(x)) = h(\hat{x})\eta(r)\psi_2(\tilde{H})(\tilde{H} - \lambda)\phi(\tilde{H})u \in L^{2,l}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $l > 1/2$. Thus, (6.1) holds.

We can easily confirm (6.2) using Helffer-Sjöstrand formula:

$$\psi(A) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \bar{\partial}_z \Psi(z)(z - A)^{-1} dz \wedge d\bar{z},$$

where Ψ is the almost analytic extension of ψ (see e.g. [6]).

□

Set $t_0(x, \xi) = \eta(|x|)\psi(|\xi|^2)$. By Lemma 6.2 we only need to prove for $u_0 := Op(t_0)u$ and v_\pm the existence of the limit

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x| < \rho} u_0(x)\bar{v}_\pm(x)dx.$$

We consider the case of v_+ and denote v_+ by v . The case of v_- is similar.

Let $\chi_\pm \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be functions such that $\chi_+(s) = 1$ for $s > \sqrt{\lambda}/2$, $\chi_+(s) = 0$ for $s < -\sqrt{\lambda}/2$, and $\chi_+ + \chi_- = 1$. Set $t_\pm := \chi_\pm(\hat{x} \cdot \xi)t_0(x, \xi)$ and $T_\pm := Op(t_\pm)$.

Then we can decompose u_0 as $u_0 = u_+ + u_-$ where $u_\pm := T_\pm u$.

Set $\mathcal{D}^\pm := -i\partial_r - i(n-1)/2r \mp \partial_r K$.

Lemma 6.3. *There exists $\hat{s}_\pm \in S^{0,0}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{D}^\pm u_\pm = r^{-2}\Delta_0 Op(\hat{s}_\pm)u_\pm + \nabla \cdot \tilde{S}_\pm u_\pm + \hat{u}_\pm,$$

where Δ_0 is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , and $\hat{u}_\pm \in L^{2,1/3}(U)$. Here, \tilde{S}_\pm is an operator written as $\tilde{S}_\pm = P_\perp \hat{\eta}(r)Op(\tilde{s}_\pm)$, where $\hat{\eta}$ is a function satisfying (3.4) with η and κ replaced by $\hat{\eta}$ and $2^{-3}\kappa$ respectively, \tilde{s}_\pm is a n -dimensional vector whose elements are symbols in $S^{0,-1}$ and P is an orthonormal projection onto the tangent space on the sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , that is, $P_\perp A = A - |x|^{-2}x(x \cdot A)$ for any vector A .

Proof. We shall prove the case of \mathcal{D}^+u_+ . The proof for \mathcal{D}^-u_- is similar.

Let $\eta_1(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function such that $\eta_1(t)\eta(t) = \eta(t)$ and $\eta_1(t) = 0$ for $t < \kappa/2$, where κ is as in (3.4). Let η_1 be the multiplication operator by $\eta_1(|x|)$.

Using the the well-known equality

$$(6.3) \quad \Delta = \partial_r^2 + (n-1)r^{-1}\partial_r + r^{-2}\Delta_0,$$

by a straightforward calculation we obtain

$$(6.4) \quad \mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+ = (-\Delta + V - \lambda) + i\partial_r^2 K - (n-1)(n-3)/4r^2 - r^{-2}\Delta_0 + |\nabla Y|^2 - |\partial_r Y|^2.$$

In the following we denote by u_j functions belonging to $L^{2,1/3}(U)$.

By (6.4) we have

$$(6.5) \quad \mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+u_+ = r^{-2}\Delta_0\eta_1u_+ + u_1.$$

Let $\hat{\chi}_+$ be supported in $(-2\sqrt{\lambda}/3, \infty)$ and satisfy $\hat{\chi}_+ = 1$ on $\text{supp } \chi_+$. We take $\hat{\psi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\hat{\psi}\psi = \psi$.

Let $\eta_{j+1}(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be functions such that $\eta_{j+1}(t)\eta_j(t) = \eta_j(t)$ and $\eta_{j+1}(t) = 0$ for $t < 2^{-j-1}\kappa$. Let η_{j+1} be the multiplication operator by $\eta_{j+1}(|x|)$.

Then choosing κ large enough, on $\text{supp } (\hat{\chi}_+(\hat{x} \cdot \xi)\hat{\psi}(|\xi|^2)\eta_2(|x|))$ the principal symbol of $\eta_3\mathcal{D}^-$ is elliptic. Thus, we can construct the parametrix of $\eta_3\mathcal{D}^-$ there, that is, there exists a symbol $s \in S^{0,0}$ such that $s\#d^- = 1 + w$ on $\text{supp } (\hat{\chi}_+(\hat{x} \cdot \xi)\hat{\psi}(|\xi|^2)\eta_2(|x|))$ where d^- is the symbol of $\eta_3\mathcal{D}^-$ and $w \in S^{0,-\infty}$ (for the principal symbol, ellipticity and the construction of the parametrix see e.g. [7]). We set $\hat{s} := s\hat{\chi}_+(\hat{x} \cdot \xi)\hat{\psi}(\xi)\eta_2(|x|)$.

Then applying $Op(\hat{s})$ to the both sides of (6.5) we obtain

$$(6.6) \quad \mathcal{D}^+u_+ = Op(\hat{s})(r^{-2}\Delta_0\eta_1u_+) + u_2,$$

where $u_2 \in L^{2,l}(U)$ $l > 1/6$.

Set $\nabla_\perp := \nabla - |x|^{-2}x \cdot \nabla$. Then we have

$$(6.7) \quad \Delta = \partial_r^2 + (n-1)r^{-1}\partial_r + \nabla_\perp^* \nabla_\perp.$$

Comparing (6.7) and (6.3) we can see that $\nabla_\perp^* \nabla_\perp = r^{-2}\Delta_0$. Thus, a calculation of pseudodifferential operators yields

$$(6.8) \quad Op^w(\hat{s})(r^{-2}\Delta_0\eta_1u_+) = r^{-2}\Delta_0Op^w(\hat{s})\eta_1u_+ + \nabla \cdot \tilde{S}u_+ + u_3,$$

where \tilde{S} is an operator as in the Lemma 6.3.

By (6.6) and (6.8) we obtain the result. \square

Lemma 6.4. *We have*

$$\int_{|x|=r} (\mathcal{D}^+u_+)\bar{v}dS \rightarrow 0$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ where $\int_{|x|=r} f dS$ means the integration of f on $\{x : |x| = r\}$.

Proof. In the following we denote by $F_j(r)$ functions such that $\int_1^\infty |F_j(r)|dr < \infty$. We can easily see that the following holds (for similar calculations see e.g. [8]).

(6.9)

$$\begin{aligned} -i\partial_r \left(\int_{|x|=r} (\mathcal{D}^+ u_+) \bar{v} dS \right) &= \int_{|x|=r} (\mathcal{D}^+ \mathcal{D}^+ u_+) \bar{v} dS + F_1(r) \\ &= \int_{|x|=r} (\mathcal{D}^- \mathcal{D}^+ u_+) \bar{v} dS - 2\sqrt{\lambda} \int_{|x|=r} (\mathcal{D}^+ u_+) \bar{v} dS \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{|x|=r} (\partial_r Y) (\mathcal{D}^+ u_+) \bar{v} dS + F_1(r). \end{aligned}$$

By (6.4) and $|\nabla Y(x)|^2 = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-2\mu})$, $|\partial_r Y(x)|^2 = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-2\mu})$ we have

$$\int_{|x|=r} (\mathcal{D}_r^- \mathcal{D}_r^+ \eta_1 u_+) \bar{v} dS = F_2(r).$$

By Lemma 6.3 we can see that the third term on the right-hand side of (6.9) is integrable with respect to r . As a result, setting

$$\phi(r) := \int_{|x|=r} (\mathcal{D}^+ u_+) \bar{v} dS,$$

we have $\partial_r \phi(r) = -2i\sqrt{\lambda}\phi(r) + F_3(r)$.

Thus, setting $\phi_1(r) = e^{2i\sqrt{\lambda}r}\phi(r)$ there exists a limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \phi_1(r)$. However, since for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$ we have $\int_1^\infty r^{-\epsilon} |\phi(r)| dr < \infty$, we obtain $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \phi_1(r) = 0$, and therefore, $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \phi(r) = 0$ \square

Lemma 6.5. *The limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x|=r} u_+ \bar{v} dS$ exists and the limit is equal to*

$$2^{-1}i\lambda^{-1/2}((\tilde{H} - \lambda)u, v) - (u, (\tilde{H} - \lambda)v),$$

where $(u, v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x)\bar{v}(x)dx$.

Proof. We have by Green's formula for $\{x : |x| \leq r\}$

$$\begin{aligned} (6.10) \quad \int_{|x|<r} \{(\Delta u_+) \bar{v} - u_+(\Delta \bar{v})\} dx &= \int_{|x|=r} \{(i\mathcal{D}^+ u_+) \bar{v} - u_+(\overline{i\mathcal{D}^+ v})\} dS \\ &\quad + 2i \int_{|x|=r} (\partial_r K) u_+ h \bar{v} dS. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.4 and that $\mathcal{D}_r^+ v = 0$ for $|x|$ large enough, the first term on the right-hand side converges to 0 as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

As for the left-hand side we have

$$(6.11) \quad \int_{|x|<r} \{(\Delta u_+) \bar{v} - u_+(\Delta \bar{v})\} dx = \int_{|x|<r} \{((\Delta - V + \lambda)u_+) \bar{v} - u_+((\Delta - V + \lambda)\bar{v})\} dx.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 6.4 by the the form of t_+ we have

$$(6.12) \quad (-\Delta + V - \lambda)T_+ = \nabla \cdot \tilde{S}_1 + S_0 + T_+(-\Delta + V - \lambda),$$

where \tilde{S}_1 has the same property as \tilde{S} in Lemma 6.3 and $S_0 := Op(s_0)$, $s_0 \in S^{0, -3/2}$. Since we also have $\tilde{v} := (-\Delta + V - \lambda)v \in L^{2,l}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $l > 1/2$, the right-hand

side of (6.11) converges to

$$(6.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & -(\nabla \cdot \tilde{S}_1 u, v) - (S_0 u + T_+ \tilde{u}, v) + (u_+, \tilde{v}) \\ & = (u, \tilde{S}_1^* \cdot \nabla_{\perp} v) - (u, S_0 v) - (\tilde{u}, T_+ v) + (u, T_+ \tilde{v}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{u} = (-\Delta + V - \lambda)u$ and (v_1, v_2) is the inner product of v_1 and v_2 . Therefore, the limit $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} 2i \int_{|x|=r} \partial_r K u_+ \bar{v} dS$ exists.

Moreover, taking the adjoint of (6.12) we have

$$(-\Delta + V - \lambda)T_+ = \tilde{S}_1^* \cdot \nabla_{\perp} - S_0 + T_+(-\Delta + V - \lambda).$$

Thus, the right-hand side of (6.13) is written as

$$(6.14) \quad (u, (-\Delta + V - \lambda)T_+ v) - (\tilde{u}, T_+ v).$$

We can remove T_+ in (6.14), because $(1 - T_+)v \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Lemma 3.5, and therefore,

$$(u, (-\Delta + V - \lambda)(1 - T_+)v) = ((-\Delta + V - \lambda)u, (1 - T_+)v).$$

Differentiating $\int_{|x|=r} (\partial_r Y) u_+ \bar{v} dS$ with respect to r and using Lemma 6.3 we can see that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} 2i \int_{|x|=r} (\partial_r Y) u_+ \bar{v} dS$ exists. However, since for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$ we have $\int_{|x|=r} r^{-\epsilon} |\partial_r Y u_+ \bar{v}| dS dr < \infty$, the limit is 0. Thus, taking the limit in (6.10) we obtain

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} 2i\sqrt{\lambda} \int_{|x|=r} u_+ \bar{v} dS = (u, \tilde{v}) - (\tilde{u}, v).$$

□

Lemma 6.6.

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x| < \rho} u_- \bar{v} dx = 0.$$

Proof. We have by a straightforward calculation

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & -i\partial_r \left(\int_{|x|=r} u_- \bar{v} dS \right) \\ & = \int_{|x|=r} (\mathcal{D}^- \eta_1 u_-) \bar{v} dS - 2\sqrt{\lambda} \int_{|x|=r} u_- \bar{v} dS + \int_{|x|=r} (\partial_r Y) u_- \bar{v} dS + F(r), \end{aligned}$$

where $\int_1^{\infty} |F(r)| dr < \infty$.

Setting $\phi(r) := e^{-2i\sqrt{\lambda}r} \int_{|x|=r} u_- \bar{v} dS$, by (6.15) and Lemma 6.3 we can see that

$$\int_1^{\infty} r^{-\epsilon} |\partial_r \phi(r)| dr < \infty,$$

for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Thus there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\phi(r)| < r^{\epsilon} \left(\int_1^r r_1^{-\epsilon} |\partial_{r_1} \phi(r_1)| dr_1 + |\phi(1)| \right) < Cr^{\epsilon}.$$

Integrating both sides of (6.15) we can see that there exists a constant \tilde{C} such that

$$\left| \int_{|x| < \rho} u_- \bar{v} dx \right| \leq \tilde{C} (|\phi(\rho)| + \rho^{\epsilon}) \leq \tilde{C}(C + 1)\rho^{\epsilon},$$

from which the lemma follows. □

Proof of Proposition 6.1. Proposition 6.1 follows immediately from Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6. \square

7. UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR NONHOMOGENEOUS EQUATIONS AND THE OUTGOING (INCOMING) PROPERTY

In the following we use the notations in section 1, 2 and 3. In this section we introduce the Isozaki's uniqueness theorem for nonhomogeneous N -body Schrödinger operators. First, we need the definition of a class of symbols of pseudodifferential operators. For $k > 0$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, we introduce the following.

Definition 7.1 ([11, Definition 1.1]). *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\mathcal{R}_{\pm}^k(\tau)$ is the set of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ -functions $p(x, \xi)$ such that*

$$|\partial_x^\gamma \partial_\xi^{\gamma_0} p(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha\beta} \langle x \rangle^{-|\gamma|} \langle \xi \rangle^{-k},$$

for $0 \leq |\gamma| \leq k$, $0 \leq |\gamma_0| \leq k$ and on $\text{supp } p(x, \xi)$

$$\inf_{x, \xi} \pm \hat{x} \cdot \xi > \pm \tau.$$

In Isozaki's uniqueness theorem, outgoing and incoming properties are the conditions of the uniqueness. We define the outgoing and incoming properties as follows.

Definition 7.2. *Let $1/2 < l \leq 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

- (1) *A function $u \in L^{2, -l}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is outgoing (resp., incoming), if there exist $k_0 > 0$, $0 \leq l_0 < 1/2$ and $\epsilon > 0$ such that $Op(p)u \in L^{2, -l_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $p \in \mathcal{R}_-^{k_0}(\epsilon)$ (resp., $p \in \mathcal{R}_+^{k_0}(-\epsilon)$).*
- (2) *A function $u \in L^{2, -l}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is strictly outgoing (resp., strictly incoming), if there exists $k_0 > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $0 \leq l_0 < 1/2$ satisfying the following condition: $Op(p)u \in L^{2, -l_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $p \in \mathcal{R}_-^{k_0}(1 - \epsilon)$ (resp., $p \in \mathcal{R}_+^{k_0}(1 + \epsilon)$).*

Remark 7.3. *Since we can assume $X_a = \mathbb{R}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can define the outgoing and incoming properties for $\tilde{v} \in L^{2, -l}(X_a)$, $1/2 < l \leq 1$ in the same way as above. When we consider operators for X_a , we write as $\mathcal{R}_{+,a}^k(\tau)$. By Lemma 3.4, we can see that $\tilde{R}_a(\lambda + i0)v := (\tilde{H}_a - \lambda - i0)^{-1}v$ (resp., $\tilde{R}_a(\lambda - i0)v := (\tilde{H}_a - \lambda + i0)^{-1}v$), $v \in L^{2, l}(X_a)$, $l > 1/2$ is strictly outgoing (resp., strictly incoming), where $\tilde{H}_a := -\Delta_a + \tilde{I}_a$.*

The outgoing and incoming properties can be written using the Graf's vector field. Let us introduce the following class of functions.

Definition 7.4 ([3]). (1) *Let \mathcal{V} be the set of $C^\infty(X)$ -functions v on X such that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{\dim X}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C_{\alpha, k}$ satisfying the following inequality:*

$$|\partial_x^\alpha (x \cdot \nabla)^k v(x)| \leq C_{\alpha, k}.$$

- (2) *Let \mathcal{V}_+^1 be the set of positive $C^\infty(X)$ -functions r on X such that*

$$r(x)^2 - |x|^2 \in \mathcal{V}.$$

We need the following differential operator.

Lemma 7.5 ([3, Lemma 2.1]). *Let $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H) \setminus (\sigma_{\text{pp}}(H) \cap \mathcal{T}(H))$ and $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exist an open neighborhood N_λ of λ and $r \in \mathcal{V}_+^1$ such that with A given as the self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H} := L^2(X)$ by*

$$A := (\omega \cdot D + D \cdot \omega)/2, \quad \omega = r\nabla r, \quad D := -i\nabla,$$

- (1) $i[H, A]$ defined as a form on $\mathcal{D}(H) \cap \mathcal{D}(A)$ extends to a symmetric operator on $\mathcal{D}(H)$, and in fact

$$i[H, A] = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} v_a \nabla^a, \quad v_a \in \mathcal{V}.$$

- (2) $\varphi(H)i[H, A]\varphi(H) \geq 2d(\lambda)(1 - \epsilon)\varphi(H)^2$ for all real-valued $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(N_\lambda)$, where $d(\lambda) := \inf\{\lambda - t : t \in \mathcal{T}(H), t < \lambda\}$.

Let Y be the operator of multiplication by $Y(x) := \langle x \rangle$ on \mathcal{H} .

Definition 7.6. *With B given as the self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{H}*

$$B := r^{-1/2}Ar^{-1/2} = (\nabla \cdot D + D \cdot \nabla r)/2,$$

we let \mathcal{D} be the domain

$$\mathcal{D} := \bigcap \mathcal{D}(Q),$$

where the intersection is over all polynomials Q in Y and B .

Definition 7.7. *We define for any $m \in \mathbb{R}$ the class $\mathcal{O}p^m(Y)$ of operators P with the properties*

- 1) $\mathcal{D}(P)$ and $\mathcal{D}(P^*)$ contain \mathcal{D} , and P and P^* restricted to \mathcal{D} map into itself.
- 2) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha + \beta = n - m$, $Y^\alpha \text{ad}_n(P, B)Y^\beta$ extends to a bounded operator on \mathcal{H} .

Here $\text{ad}_0(P, B) := P$, $\text{ad}_n(P, B) := [\text{ad}_{n-1}(P, B), B]$, $n \geq 1$.

Let for any $m \in \mathbb{R}$, \mathcal{F}^m be the class of C^∞ -functions on \mathbb{R} such that

$$|f^{(k)}(t)| \leq C_k(1 + |t|)^{m-k}, \quad \forall k \geq 0.$$

As in [3, Lemma 2.3], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 7.8. *If $f \in \mathcal{F}^m$ for some $m < 0$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, we have $f(H^a) \in \mathcal{O}p^0(Y)$.*

Lemma 7.9 ([12]). *Let A and B be lower-semibounded self-adjoint operators, $\mathcal{D}(A) = \mathcal{D}(B)$, and $(A - B)(A + i)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator. Then, we have*

$$\|F(A < R_1)F(B > R_2)\| = \mathcal{O}(R_2^{-1/2}),$$

as $R_2 \rightarrow \infty$, where $F(t \gtrless r)$ is a function such that $F(t \gtrless r) = 1$ for $t \gtrless r$ and $F(t \gtrless r) = 0$ for $t \lesseqgtr r$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Set

$$G(t, R_2) := e^{-tB}F(B > R_2)F(A < R_1)F(B > R_2)e^{-tB}.$$

Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{d}{dt}G(t, R_2) &= 2\text{Re} e^{-tB}F(B > R_2)(B - A)F(A < R_1)F(B > R_2)e^{-tB} \\ (7.1) \quad &\quad + 2e^{-tB}F(B > R_2)(B - A)AF(A < R_1)F(B > R_2)e^{-tB} \\ &\leq Ce^{-2tR_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing $G(0, R_2) = F(B > R_2)F(A < R_1)F(B > R_2)$ and integrating (7.1) with respect to t , we obtain the result. \square

Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_+^m(a) &:= \{f \in \mathcal{F}^m : \text{supp } f \subset (a, \infty)\}, \\ \mathcal{F}_-^m(a) &:= \{f \in \mathcal{F}^m : \text{supp } f \subset (-\infty, a)\}\end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of [3, Theorem 2.12], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 7.10. *Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for any $F_\pm \in \mathcal{F}_\pm^0(\tau)$, $Op(p_\pm)$, $p_\pm \in \mathcal{R}_\pm(\tau)$ and $s > 0$ we have*

$$X^s P_\mp F_\pm(B) X^s \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}).$$

The outgoing and incoming properties can be stated using B in Definition 7.6.

Lemma 7.11. *Let $0 \leq l_0 < 1/2 < l \leq 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in L^{2,-l}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume that there exists $q \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $(1 - q(-\Delta))u \in L^{2,-l_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then u is outgoing (resp., incoming) if and only if there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and $0 \leq l_1 < 1/2$ such that $F_-(B)u \in L^{2,-l_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp., $F_+(B)u \in L^{2,-l_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$) for any $F_- \in \mathcal{F}_-^0(\epsilon)$ (resp., $F_+ \in \mathcal{F}_+^0(-\epsilon)$).*

Proof. We prove that there exists $\epsilon' > 0$ such that $Op(p)u \in L^{2,-l_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $p \in \mathcal{R}_-^{k_0}(\epsilon')$, assuming that $F_-(B)u \in L^{2,-l_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $F_- \in \mathcal{F}_-^0(\epsilon)$ and $k_0 > 0$. The proofs for the incoming case and the converse statements are similar.

Let $\epsilon' > 0$ satisfy $\epsilon' < \epsilon$ and let $\sigma > 0$ be a number such that $\epsilon' + 3\sigma < \epsilon$. Let $f_+ \in \mathcal{F}_+^0(\epsilon' + \sigma)$ and $f_- \in \mathcal{F}_-^0(\epsilon' + 2\sigma)$ satisfy $f_+(t) + f_-(t) = 1$. Then we have

$$Op(p)u = Op(p)f_+(B)u + Op(p)f_-(B)u.$$

By Lemma 7.10 we have $Op(p)f_+(B)u \in L^{2,-l_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By the assumption we can also see that $Op(p)f_-(B)u \in L^{2,-l_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which completes the proof. \square

The following lemma is the Isozaki's uniqueness theorem.

Lemma 7.12 ([11, Theorem 1.3]). *Let $1/2 < l \leq 1$ and $\lambda \in \sigma_{ess}(H) \setminus (\sigma_p(H) \cup \mathcal{T}(H))$. Suppose that $u \in L^{2,-l}(X)$ satisfies $(H - \lambda)u = 0$ and u is outgoing or incoming. Then $u = 0$.*

The following Lemma is useful to confirm the outgoing and incoming properties.

Lemma 7.13. *Let $0 \leq l_0 < 1/2 < l \leq 1$ and $u_\alpha(x^a)$ be an eigenfunction of H^a corresponding to a non-threshold channel α . If $v \in L^{2,-l}(X_a)$ is outgoing (resp., incoming) and there exists $q \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that $(1 - q(-\Delta_a))v \in L^{2,-l_0}(X_a)$. Then $(J_\alpha v)(x)$ is outgoing.*

Proof. We prove only the outgoing case. The incoming case is proved in the same way.

Let $k_0 > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$, $0 \leq l_1 < 1/2$ and $Op(p)v \in L^{2,-l_1}(X_a)$ for any $p \in \mathcal{R}_{+,a}^{k_0}(\epsilon)$. Let $\epsilon' > 0$ a number such that $\epsilon' < \epsilon$, and $\sigma > 0$ a number such that $\epsilon' + 4\sigma < \epsilon$. We shall prove that $F_-(B)(J_\alpha v) \in L^{2,-l_2}(X)$ for any $F_- \in \mathcal{F}_-^0(\epsilon')$, where $l_2 := \max\{l_0, l_1\}$. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function such that $\varphi(t) = 1$ near E_α , where E_α is the eigenvalue corresponding to the channel α . Then we have $\varphi(H^a)u_\alpha = u_\alpha$. Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function such that $\psi\varphi = \varphi$. Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $f(t) = 1$ for $t > 2$ and $f(t) = 0$ for $t < 1$.

By Lemma 7.9, for $C > 0$ large enough $K_C := f(-\Delta^a/C)\psi(H^a)$ on $L^2(X^a)$ satisfies $\|K_C\| < 1/2$. Setting $f_0 := 1 - f$ we have $\varphi(H^a) = (K_C + f_0(-\Delta^a/C))\varphi(H^a)$, and therefore, $\varphi(H^a) = (1 - K_C)^{-1}f_0(-\Delta^a/C)\varphi(H^a)$. Thus we obtain

$$u_\alpha = (1 - K_C)^{-1}f_0(-\Delta^a/C)u_\alpha.$$

We denote by w_j functions such that $w_j \in L^{2,-l_2}(X)$.

Since by Lemma 7.8 we have $K_C \in \mathcal{O}p^0(Y)$, we have $(1 - K_C)^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}p^0(Y)$. Therefore, there exist $F'_{j,-} \in \mathcal{F}_-(\epsilon')$, $j = 1, 2$ such that

$$(7.2) \quad F_-(B)(1 - K_R)^{-1}f_0(-\Delta^a/R)(J_\alpha v) = \sum_{j=1}^2 K'_j F'_{j,-}(B)f_0(-\Delta^a/R)(J_\alpha v) + w_1.$$

Let us prove that the first term in the right-hand side of (7.2) belongs to $L^{2,-l_2}(X)$. By the assumption we can see that

$$u_\alpha v = q(-\Delta_a)(J_\alpha v) + w_2,$$

where $q \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is as in the assumption.

We can easily see that there exist $p_+ \in \mathcal{R}_+^{k_0}(\epsilon' + \sigma)$ and $p_- \in \mathcal{R}_-^{k_0}(\epsilon' + 2\sigma)$ such that the following holds: there exists $C' > 0$ such that $|\xi| < C'$ on $\text{supp } p_\pm$, and

$$f_0(-\Delta^a/C)q(-\Delta_a)(J_\alpha v) = Op(p_+)(J_\alpha v) + Op(p_-)(J_\alpha v) + w_3.$$

By Lemma 7.10 we have $F'_{j,-}(B)Op(p_+)(J_\alpha v) \in L^{2,-l_2}(X)$. Thus, we only need to prove $Op(p_-)(J_\alpha v) \in L^{2,-l_2}(X)$, in order to prove that the right-hand side of (7.2) belongs to $L^{2,-l_2}(X)$.

Let $\chi \in C^\infty(X)$ be a homogeneous function of degree 0 for $|x| > 1$ such that the following holds: there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\chi(x) = 1$ for $x \in \{x : |x^a| > 2\delta|x|, |x| > 1\}$ and $\chi(x) = 0$ for $x \in \{x : |x^a| < \delta|x|, |x| > 1\}$. Then it is easy to see that

$$Op(p_-)(J_\alpha v) = Op(p_-(x, \xi)\chi(x))(J_\alpha v) + w_4.$$

Choosing δ sufficiently small, we can assume $(x^a \cdot \xi^a)/|x| < \sigma$ on

$$\text{supp}(p_-(x, \xi)\chi(x)).$$

Thus, there exists $\tilde{p}_-(x_a, \xi_a) \in \mathcal{R}_-^{k_0}(\epsilon' + 3\sigma)$ such that

$$Op(p_-(x, \xi)\chi(x))(J_\alpha v) = Op(p_-(x, \xi)\chi(x))Op(\tilde{p}_-)(J_\alpha v) + w_5.$$

By the assumption that $Op(p)v \in L^{2,-l_2}(X_a)$ for any $p \in \mathcal{R}_{+,a}^{k_0}(\epsilon)$, we have $Op(\tilde{p}_-)v \in L^{2,-l_2}(X_a)$, and therefore, $Op(\tilde{p}_-)(J_\alpha v) \in L^{2,-l_2}(X)$. Thus, we obtain $Op(p_-)(J_\alpha v) \in L^{2,-l_2}(X)$ which completes the proof. \square

8. SCATTERING MATRICES AND GENERALIZED FOURIER TRANSFORMS

In the following we use the notations in section 1, 2 and 3. In this section we define the scattering matrices and the generalized Fourier transforms.

Lemma 8.1. *Let α be a non-threshold channel and $u \in \mathcal{B}^*(X)$. Then, there exists a constant C such that*

$$\|\pi_\alpha u\|_{\mathcal{B}^*(X_a)} \leq C\|u\|_{\mathcal{B}^*(X)}.$$

Proof. There exist $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\pi_\alpha u\|_{\mathcal{B}^*(X_a)}^2 &\leq C_1 \sup_{\rho > 1} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x_a| < \rho} \int \langle x^a \rangle^{-2} |u(x)|^2 dx^a dx_a \\
&\leq C_2 \sup_{\rho > 1} \rho^{-1} \sup_{j \geq 0} \rho_j^{-1} \int_{|x_a| < \rho} \int_{x^a \in \Omega_j} |u(x)|^2 dx^a dx_a \\
&\leq C_2 \sup_{\rho > 1, j \geq 0} \rho^{-1} \rho_j^{-1} \int_{\substack{|x_a| < \rho \\ x^a \in \Omega_j}} |u(x)|^2 dx \\
&\leq C_2 \sup_{\rho > 1, j \geq 0} (\rho + \rho_j)^{-1} \int_{|x| < 2(\rho + \rho_j)} |u(x)|^2 dx \leq C_3 \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}^*(X)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $\lambda > 0$, $u \in \mathcal{B}^*(X)$, $h \in C_0^\infty(C'_a)$ and α be a non-threshold channel. Then, by Lemma 8.1 there exist $C, C' > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.1) \quad &\left| \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x_a| < \rho} e^{\mp i K_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)} r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2} h(\hat{x}_a) (\pi_\alpha u)(x_a) dx_a \right| \\
&\leq C \|\pi_\alpha u\|_{\mathcal{B}^*(X_a)} \|h\|_{L^2(C'_a)} \\
&\leq C' \|u\|_{\mathcal{B}^*(X)} \|h\|_{L^2(C'_a)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 6.1 and (8.1) we can define a distribution $Q_\alpha^\pm(u)$ on C'_a by

$$\begin{aligned}
&(Q_\alpha^\pm(u))(h) \\
&= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x_a| < \rho} e^{\mp i K_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)} r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2} h(\hat{x}_a) (\pi_\alpha u)(x_a) dx_a,
\end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in C_0^\infty(C'_a)$ and by Riesz theorem we can see that $Q_\alpha^\pm(u) \in L^2(C'_a)$. Since the Lebesgue measure of $C_{a, \text{sing}}$ is 0, we can extend $Q_\alpha^\pm(u)$ to C_a so that $Q_\alpha^\pm(u) \in L^2(C_a)$.

Set

$$(\hat{v}_\alpha^\pm(\lambda))(x_a) = \hat{v}_{\alpha, \pm}(\lambda, x_a) := \eta(r_a) \bar{h}(\hat{x}_a) r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2} e^{\pm i K_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)},$$

with η as in (3.4) for some $\kappa > 0$. Applying Proposition 6.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.2) \quad &\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x_a| < \rho} e^{\mp i K_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)} r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2} h(\hat{x}_a) (\pi_\alpha u)(x_a) dx_a \\
&= 2^{-1} i \lambda_\alpha^{-1/2} \{ (\tilde{H}_a - \lambda_\alpha) (\pi_\alpha u), v_{\alpha, \pm} \}_a \\
&\quad - (\pi_\alpha u, (\tilde{H}_a - \lambda_\alpha) v_{\alpha, \pm} \}_a,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{H}_a := -\Delta_a + \tilde{I}_a$ and $(v_1, v_2)_a := \int_{X_a} v_1(x_a) \bar{v}_2(x_a) dx_a$.

Let $u \in \mathcal{B}^*(X)$ be a generalized eigenfunction of H with an eigenvalue λ . Then by (8.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.3) \quad &\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x_a| < \rho} \int_{X^a} e^{\mp i K_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)} r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2} h(\hat{x}_a) (\pi_\alpha u)(x_a) dx_a \\
&= 2^{-1} i \lambda_\alpha^{-1/2} \{ (\tilde{H}_a - \lambda_\alpha) (\pi_\alpha u), v_{\alpha, \pm} \}_a - (\pi_\alpha u, (\tilde{H}_a - \lambda_\alpha) v_{\alpha, \pm} \}_a \\
&= 2^{-1} i \lambda_\alpha^{-1/2} \{ \pi_\alpha ((\tilde{I}_a - I_a)u), v_{\alpha, \pm} \}_a - (\pi_\alpha u, (\tilde{H}_a - \lambda_\alpha) v_{\alpha, \pm} \}_a \\
&= -2^{-1} i \lambda_\alpha^{-1/2} (u, (H - \lambda) J_\alpha v_{\alpha, \pm} \}_a,
\end{aligned}$$

where $(v_1, v_2) := \int_X v_1(x)\bar{v}_2(x)dx$, and we used that $(H - \lambda)u = 0$ in the second equality.

Let α and β be non-threshold channels. Now we define the scattering matrix as the map

$$\Sigma_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda) : C_0^\infty(C'_\alpha) \rightarrow L^2(C_\beta),$$

given by

$$\Sigma_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda)g := Q_\beta^+(P_{\alpha,+}(\lambda)g).$$

Next we shall define the generalized Fourier transforms. Let $f \in L^{2,l}(X)$ for some $l > 1/2$. In the similar way as (8.3) we obtain

$$(8.4) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x_a| < \rho} e^{\mp iK_\alpha(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)} r_a^{-(n_\alpha-1)/2} h(\hat{x}_a) (\pi_\alpha R(\lambda \pm i0)f)(x_a) dx_a \\ = 2^{-1} i \lambda_\alpha^{-1/2} \{ (f, J_\alpha v_{\alpha,\pm}) - (R(\lambda \pm i0)f, (H - \lambda)J_\alpha v_{\alpha,\pm}) \},$$

For a non-threshold channel α we define the generalized Fourier transform as the map

$$\mathcal{G}_\alpha^\pm(\lambda) : L^{2,l}(X) \rightarrow L^2(C_\alpha),$$

given by

$$\mathcal{G}_\alpha^+(\lambda)f = D_\alpha^+(\lambda)Q_\alpha^\pm(R(\lambda \pm i0)f),$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_\alpha^-(\lambda)f = D_\alpha^-(\lambda)\mathcal{R}Q_\alpha^\pm(R(\lambda \pm i0)f),$$

where $(\mathcal{R}g)(\hat{x}_a) := g(-\hat{x}_a)$ and

$$D_\alpha^\pm(\lambda) := \pi^{-1/2} \lambda_\alpha^{1/4} e^{\pm i\pi(n_\alpha-3)/4}.$$

9. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIORS OF FUNCTIONS IN THE RANGE OF THE RESOLVENT AND POISSON OPERATORS

In the following we use the notations in section 1, 2, 3, 5, 7 and 8. In this section we study the $Q_\beta^\pm(u)$, where $u = P_{\alpha,\pm}g$ for $g \in C_0^\infty(C'_\alpha)$ or $u = R(\lambda + i0)f$ for $f \in L^{2,l}(X)$, $l > 1/2$.

Set for $\epsilon > 0$, $Y_{a,\epsilon} := Y_a \cap \{x \in X : |x^a| < \epsilon|x|\}$, where Y_a is defined as in (2.2). Let $J_{a,\epsilon} \in C^\infty(X)$ be homogeneous of degree zero outside $\{x \in X : |x| = 1\}$ and $\text{supp } J_{a,\epsilon} \in Y_{a,\epsilon}$. Set also $d(\lambda) := \inf\{\lambda - t : t \in \mathcal{T}(H), t < \lambda\}$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 9.1 ([3, Theorem 3.5]). *Let $\lambda \in (\inf \sigma(H^a), \infty) \setminus \mathcal{T}(H)$, where $\sigma(A)$ is the spectra of A . Then, for any $\tau < \sqrt{d(\lambda)}$ (resp., $\tau > -\sqrt{d(\lambda)}$) there exist $\epsilon > 0$, $C > 0$ and a neighborhood N_λ of λ such that the following holds: for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $s' > s > m - 1/2$, $p_- \in \mathcal{R}_{-,a}(\tau)$ (resp., $p_+ \in \mathcal{R}_{+,a}(\tau)$) and $J_{a,\epsilon}$ we have*

$$\|Y^{s-m}Op(p_-)J_{a,\epsilon}R(z)^m Y^{-s'}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} \leq C,$$

$$(resp., \|Y^{s-m}Op(p_+)J_{a,\epsilon}R(z)^m Y^{-s'}\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})} \leq C,)$$

uniformly in $\text{Re } z \in N_\lambda$ and $\text{Im } z > 0$ (resp., $\text{Im } z < 0$).

Proposition 9.2. *Let $f \in L^{2,l}(X)$, $l > 1/2$ and $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H) \setminus (\sigma_{\text{pp}}(H) \cap \mathcal{T}(H))$. Then, for any non-threshold channel α such that $\lambda > E_\alpha$, we have $Q_\alpha^-(R(\lambda + i0)f) = 0$ and $Q_\alpha^+(R(\lambda - i0)f) = 0$.*

Proof. We shall prove only the case of $Q_\alpha^-(R(\lambda+i0)f)$. The case of $Q_\alpha^+(R(\lambda-i0)f)$ is similar.

Set $u := R(\lambda+i0)f$. For some l' and any $\epsilon > 0$ we have $(\tilde{H}_a - \lambda_\alpha)(\pi_\alpha u) \in L^{2,l'}(Z_a^\epsilon)$, where Z_a^ϵ is defined as (2.3). Let $\tilde{\chi}_{\pm, \lambda_\alpha}$ be functions such that $\tilde{\chi}_-(s) = 1$ for $s < \sqrt{d(\lambda)}/3$, $\tilde{\chi}_-(s) = 0$ for $s > 2\sqrt{d(\lambda)}/3$, and $\tilde{\chi}_+ + \tilde{\chi}_- = 1$. We define \tilde{t}_α^\pm by $\tilde{t}_\alpha^\pm := \tilde{\chi}_\pm(\hat{x}_a \cdot \xi_a) \eta(r_a) \psi_\alpha(|\xi_a|^2)$, where $\psi_\alpha = 1$ near λ_α and η is as in (3.4) for some $\kappa > 0$.

Define $u_\pm := \tilde{T}_\alpha^\pm(\pi_\alpha u)$. Then, as in the proof of Proposition 6.1 we obtain

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x_a| < \rho} e^{iK_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)} r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2} h(\hat{x}_a) \cdot (u(x_a) - u_+(x_a) - u_-(x_a)) dx_a = 0, \quad h \in C_0^\infty(C'_a)$$

and

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \rho^{-1} \int_{|x_a| < \rho} e^{iK_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)} r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2} h(\hat{x}_a) u_+(x_a) dx_a = 0, \quad h \in C_0^\infty(C'_a).$$

As for u_- , for any $\epsilon_1 > 0$ let $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in C^\infty(X)$ be functions such that $\chi_1(x) = 1$ on $\{x \in X : |x| > 1, |x^a| < \epsilon_1|x_a|\}$, $\chi_1(x) = 0$ on $\{x \in X : |x| > 1, |x^a| > 2\epsilon_1|x_a|\}$, and $\chi_1 + \chi_2 = 1$. We also denote by χ_j the operator of multiplication by $\chi_j(x)$.

Then, we have

$$u_- = \pi_\alpha(\chi_1 \tilde{T}_\alpha^- u) + \pi_\alpha(\chi_2 \tilde{T}_\alpha^- u).$$

By the exponential decay of u_α we can see that $\pi_\alpha(\chi_2 \tilde{T}_\alpha^- u) \in L^{2, \tilde{l}}(X_a)$ for any $\tilde{l} > 0$.

As for $\pi_\alpha(\chi_1 \tilde{T}_\alpha^- u)$ by Lemma 9.1 for sufficiently small ϵ_1 we have

$$\pi_\alpha(\chi_1 \tilde{T}_\alpha^- u) \in L^{2, -l}(X_a),$$

for some $0 \leq l < 1/2$. Therefore, we have $Q_\alpha^-(u) = 0$ \square

We can see that for $g \in C_0^\infty(C'_a)$ the incoming wave of $P_{\alpha,+}(\lambda)g$ consists only of the wave from the channel α .

Proposition 9.3. *Let α and β be non-threshold channels and $\alpha \neq \beta$. Then, $Q_\alpha^-(P_{\alpha,+}(\lambda)g) = g$ and $Q_\beta^-(P_{\alpha,+}(\lambda)g) = 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 9.2, the part $R(\lambda \pm i0)(H - \lambda)(J_\alpha v_\alpha^\mp)$ of $P_{\alpha,\pm}(\lambda)g$ does not contribute to $Q_\alpha^\mp(P_{\alpha,\pm}(\lambda)g)$.

Since the remaining part is $J_\alpha v_\alpha^\mp$, we can easily see that the proposition holds. \square

10. EQUIVALENCE OF THE SCATTERING MATRICES

In the following we use the notations in section 1, 2, 3 and 8. In this section we prove Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Let $f_1 \in C^\infty(X_a)$ and $f_2 \in C^\infty(X_b)$ satisfy $\hat{f}_1 \in C_0^\infty(X_a)$, $\hat{f}_2 \in C_0^\infty(X_b)$, $\hat{f}_1(\lambda, \cdot) \in C_0^\infty(C'_a)$ for any λ such that $\text{supp } \hat{f}_1(\lambda, \cdot) \neq \emptyset$, and $\hat{f}_2(\lambda, \cdot) \in C_0^\infty(C'_b)$ for any $\lambda > 0$ such that $\text{supp } \hat{f}_2(\lambda, \cdot) \neq \emptyset$. Here $(\hat{f}_1(\lambda))(\omega) = \hat{f}_1(\lambda, \omega) := (F_\alpha f_1)(\lambda, \omega)$, $\omega \in C_a$ and $(\hat{f}_2(\lambda))(\omega_0) = \hat{f}_2(\lambda, \omega_0) := (F_\beta f_2)(\lambda, \omega_0)$, $\omega_0 \in C_b$, where F_α is defined by (1.7).

We only need to prove

$$(10.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\max\{E_\alpha, E_\beta\}}^{\infty} (\hat{S}_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), \hat{f}_2(\lambda))_{\hat{K}_b} d\lambda \\ &= \int_{\max\{E_\alpha, E_\beta\}}^{\infty} e^{i\pi(n_\alpha+n_\beta-2)/4} \lambda_\alpha^{1/4} \lambda_\beta^{-1/4} (\Sigma_{\beta\alpha}(\lambda) \mathcal{R} \hat{f}_1(\lambda), \hat{f}_2(\lambda))_{\hat{K}_b} d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where $K_b := L^2(C_b)$ and $(v_1, v_2)_M$ is the inner product of v_1 and v_2 in a Hilbert space M .

(i) First, we consider the case such that $\alpha = \beta$.

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(C'_b)$ be a function satisfying $\varphi(\hat{\xi}_b) \hat{f}_2(\lambda, \hat{\xi}_a) = \hat{f}_2(\lambda, \hat{\xi}_a)$ for any λ such that $\text{supp } \hat{f}_2(\lambda, \cdot) \neq 0$, and $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function satisfying $\psi(t) = 1$ for any $t > 0$ such that $\text{supp } \hat{f}_2(\sqrt{t}, \cdot) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\eta(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function as in (3.4) for some $\kappa > 0$, and $\theta_+(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function such that $\theta_+(t) = 0$ for $t < 1 - 2\epsilon$ and $\theta_+(t) = 1$ for $t > 1 - \epsilon$, where $1 > \epsilon > 0$ is determined later.

Set $D_a := -i\nabla_a$. Then, we have

$$(10.2) \quad \begin{aligned} W_\beta^+ f_2 &= s - \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{itH} \varphi(D_b) \psi(|D_b|^2) J_\beta e^{-i(S_{\beta, \pm}(D_b, t) + \lambda_\beta t)} f_2 \\ &= s - \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{itH} T_b^+ J_\beta e^{-i(S_{\beta, \pm}(D_b, t) + \lambda_\beta t)} f_2 \\ &= s - \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{itH} T_b^+ J_\beta e^{-ith_\beta} \tilde{W}_\beta^+ f_2 \\ &= T_\beta^+ J_\beta \tilde{W}_\beta^+ f_2 + i \int_0^\infty e^{isH} G_\beta^+ e^{-ish_\beta} \tilde{W}_\beta^+ f_2 ds \\ &= T_\beta^+ J_\beta \tilde{W}_\beta^+ f_2 + i \int_0^\infty e^{isH} G_\beta^+ \tilde{W}_\beta^+ e^{-ish_\beta} f_2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_\beta := \tilde{H}_b + E_\beta$, $\tilde{W}_\beta^\pm := s - \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{it\tilde{H}_b} e^{-iS_{\beta, \pm}(D_b, t)}$, $\tilde{h}_\beta := -\Delta_b + E_\beta$, $T_b^+ := Op(t_b^+)$, $t_b^+ := \varphi(\xi_b) \psi(|\xi_b|^2) \eta(|x_b|) \theta_+(\hat{x}_b \cdot \hat{\xi}_b)$, and $G_\beta^+ := HT_b^+ J_\beta - T_b^+ J_\beta h_\beta$. Here $S_{\beta, \pm}(\xi_b, t)$ is the function in Lemma 4.3 obtained by replacing V by \tilde{I}_b .

Since $\text{supp } \varphi \in C'_b$ and $\hat{x}_b \cdot \hat{\xi}_b > 1 - 2\epsilon$ on $\text{supp } \theta_+(\hat{x}_b \cdot \hat{\xi}_b)$, we have $\hat{x}_b \in C'_b$ on $\text{supp } t_b^+$ for sufficiently small ϵ . We also have $\hat{x}_b \cdot \hat{\xi}_b < 1 - \epsilon$ on $\text{supp } \theta'_+(\hat{x}_b \cdot \hat{\theta}_b)$, where $\theta'_+(t) := \frac{d}{dt} \theta_+(t)$. Therefore, using Lemma 3.4 we can see that if $v \in B^*(X_a)$ is strictly outgoing or incoming, then $G_\beta^+ v \in L^{2,l}(X)$ for some $l > 1/2$.

In the same way we have

$$W_\alpha^- f_1 = T_a J_\alpha \tilde{W}_\alpha^- f_1 - i \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{isH} G_\alpha \tilde{W}_\alpha^- e^{-ish_a} f_1 ds,$$

where $T_a := Op(t_a)$, $t_a := \varphi(\xi_a) \tilde{\psi}(|\xi_a|^2) \eta(|x_a|) (\theta_+(\hat{x}_a \cdot \hat{\xi}_a) + \theta_-(\hat{x}_a \cdot \hat{\xi}_a))$, $\theta_-(t) := \theta_+(-t)$, and $G_\alpha := HT_a J_\alpha - T_a J_\alpha h_\alpha$. Here $\tilde{\psi} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function satisfying $\tilde{\psi}(t) = 1$ for any $t > 0$ such that $\text{supp } \hat{f}_1(\sqrt{t}, \cdot) \neq \emptyset$.

We also have

$$\Omega_\alpha^+ \tilde{W}_\alpha^- f_1 = T_a J_\alpha \tilde{W}_\alpha^- f_1 + i \int_0^\infty e^{isH} G_\alpha \tilde{W}_\alpha^- e^{-ish_a} f_1 ds,$$

where $\Omega_\alpha^\pm := s - \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH} J_\alpha e^{-ith_\alpha} \mathbf{1}_{Z_a}(p_a^\pm)$, with Z_a defined as (2.1) (see [1, Theorem 6.10.1]). Here p_a^\pm is the asymptotic velocity for \tilde{H}_a . Note that $\text{Ran } \tilde{W}_\alpha^- =$

$\mathbf{1}_{Z_a}(p_a^+)$ (see [1, Theorem 6.15.2]). Thus, we obtain

$$(\Omega_\alpha^+ \tilde{W}_\alpha^- - W_\alpha^-) f_1 = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{isH} G_\alpha \tilde{W}_\alpha^- e^{-is\tilde{h}_\alpha} f_1 ds.$$

Since $W_\alpha^+ = \Omega_\alpha^+ \tilde{W}_\alpha^+$, we have

$$S_{\alpha\alpha} - S_\alpha = (W_\alpha^+)^* (W_\alpha^- - \Omega_\alpha^+ \tilde{W}_\alpha^-),$$

where $S_\alpha := (\tilde{W}_\alpha^+)^* \tilde{W}_\alpha^-$. Therefore, by (10.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (S_{\alpha\alpha} f_1, f_2)_{\mathcal{H}} - (S_\alpha f_1, f_2)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= -i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt (e^{itH} G_\alpha \tilde{W}_\alpha^- e^{-it\tilde{h}_\alpha} f_1, W_\alpha^+ f_2)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ (10.3) \quad &= -i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt (G_\alpha \tilde{W}_\alpha^- e^{-it\tilde{h}_\alpha} f_1, T_a^+ J_\alpha \tilde{W}_\alpha^+ e^{-it\tilde{h}_\alpha} f_2)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\quad - \int_0^{\infty} ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt (G_\alpha \tilde{W}_\alpha^- e^{-it\tilde{h}_\alpha} f_1, e^{isH} G_\alpha^+ \tilde{W}_\alpha^+ e^{-i(s+t)\tilde{h}_\alpha} f_2)_{\mathcal{H}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{H} := L^2(X)$.

Here we note $\tilde{W}_\alpha^\pm F_\alpha^* = \tilde{F}_{\alpha,\pm}^*$ where $(\tilde{F}_{\alpha,\pm} f)(\lambda) := (\tilde{F}_{\alpha,\pm} f)(\lambda - E_\alpha)$, Here $\tilde{F}_{\alpha,\pm}$ is the generalized Fourier transform corresponding to F_\pm defined in section 3 with V replaced by \tilde{I}_a . Thus, we have

$$\tilde{W}_\alpha^\pm e^{-it\tilde{h}_\alpha} f_1 = \tilde{F}_{\alpha,\pm}^* e^{-it\lambda} \hat{f}_1.$$

The second term on the right-hand side of (10.3) is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} & (G_\alpha \tilde{W}_\alpha^- e^{-it\tilde{h}_\alpha} f_1, e^{isH} G_\alpha^+ \tilde{W}_\alpha^+ e^{-i(s+t)\tilde{h}_\alpha} f_2)_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= (e^{-it\lambda} \hat{f}_1, \tilde{F}_{\alpha,-}^* G_\alpha^* e^{isH} G_\alpha^+ \tilde{W}_\alpha^+ e^{-i(s+t)\tilde{h}_\alpha} f_2)_{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_a} \\ &= \int_{E_\alpha}^{\infty} e^{-it\lambda} (\hat{f}_1(\lambda), \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}(\lambda) G_\alpha^* e^{isH} G_\alpha^+ \tilde{W}_\alpha^+ e^{-i(s+t)\tilde{h}_\alpha} f_2)_{K_a} d\lambda \\ &= \int_{E_\alpha}^{\infty} e^{-it\lambda} ((G_\alpha^+)^* e^{-isH} G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), \tilde{W}_\alpha^+ e^{-i(s+t)\tilde{h}_\alpha} f_2)_{\mathcal{H}} d\lambda \\ &= \int_{E_\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{E_\alpha}^{\infty} e^{-it\lambda + i(s+t)\lambda'} \\ &\quad \cdot (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}(\lambda') (G_\alpha^+)^* e^{-isH} G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), \hat{f}_2(\lambda'))_{K_a} d\lambda d\lambda' \\ &= \int_{E_\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{E_\alpha}^{\infty} e^{-it\lambda + i(s+t)\lambda'} (e^{-isH} G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), G_\alpha^+ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}^*(\lambda') \hat{f}_2(\lambda'))_{\mathcal{H}} d\lambda d\lambda'. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_a := L^2((E_\alpha, \infty); L^2(C_a))$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,\pm}(\lambda) := \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,\pm}(\lambda - E_\alpha)$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,\pm}(\lambda - E_\alpha)$ is the operator corresponding to $\mathcal{F}_\pm(\lambda)$ in section 3 with V replaced by \tilde{I}_a .

Inserting the convergent factor $e^{-\epsilon s}$, integrating with respect to s and taking the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0_+$ we obtain

$$-i \int_{E_\alpha}^{\infty} \int_{E_\alpha}^{\infty} e^{-it(\lambda - \lambda')} (R(\lambda' + i0) G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), G_\alpha^+ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}^*(\lambda') \hat{f}_2(\lambda')) d\lambda d\lambda',$$

where $(v_1, v_2) := \int_X v_1(x) \bar{v}_2(x) dx$.

We again insert the factor $e^{-\epsilon|t|}$, integrate with respect to t , and take the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0_+$. Then, the second term on the right-hand side of (10.3) is written as

$$2\pi i \int_{E_\alpha}^{\infty} (R(\lambda + i0)G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), G_\alpha^+ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda)) d\lambda.$$

In the similar way the first term on the right-hand side of (10.3) is written as

$$(10.4) \quad -2\pi i \int_{E_\alpha}^{\infty} (G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), T_a^+ J_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda)) d\lambda.$$

Noting $(\tilde{H}_a - \lambda_\alpha) \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) = 0$ we obtain

$$(10.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & G_\alpha^+ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \\ &= \{(H - \lambda)T_a^+ J_\alpha - T_a^+ J_\alpha (\tilde{H}_a - \lambda_\alpha)\} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) = (H - \lambda)T_a^+ J_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(10.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & (S_{\alpha\alpha} f_1, f_2) - (S_\alpha f_1, f_2) \\ &= 2\pi i \int_{E_\alpha}^{\infty} (G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), R(\lambda - i0)(H - \lambda)T_a^+ J_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda)) d\lambda \\ &\quad - 2\pi i \int_{E_\alpha}^{\infty} (G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), T_a^+ J_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda)) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We can replace $T_a^+ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda)$ in (10.6) by $-C_\alpha^+(\lambda)T_a^+ w_\alpha^+(\lambda)$ where

$$(w_\alpha^+(\lambda))(x_a) = w_\alpha^+(\lambda, x_a) := \eta(r_a) \hat{f}_2(\lambda, \hat{x}_a) e^{iK_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)} r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2},$$

and

$$C_\alpha^+(\lambda) := 2^{-1} i \pi^{-1/2} \lambda_\alpha^{-1/4} e^{-i\pi(n_a-3)/4},$$

with η being as in (3.4) for some κ .

To see that, set $F_1^+ := J_\alpha T_a^+ \tilde{R}_a(\lambda_\alpha - i0) \tilde{w}_\alpha^+(\lambda)$, where $\tilde{H}_a := -\Delta_a + \tilde{I}_a$, $\tilde{w}_\alpha^+(\lambda) := (\tilde{H}_a - \lambda_\alpha) w_\alpha^+(\lambda)$ and $\tilde{R}_a(\lambda_\alpha - i0) := (\tilde{H}_a - \lambda + i0)^{-1}$. Then, by Lemma 7.13, F_1^+ is incoming, and therefore, by Lemma 7.12 we have

$$F_1^+ - R(\lambda - i0)F_1^+ = 0.$$

Since we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda) = -C_\alpha^+(\lambda)(w_\alpha^+(\lambda) - F_1^+),$$

$T_a^+ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda)$ in (10.6) can be replaced by $-C_\alpha^+(\lambda)T_a^+ w_\alpha^+(\lambda)$.

Set $\tilde{T}_a^+ := 1 - T_a^+$. Since by Lemma 3.5 we have $\tilde{T}_a^+ w_\alpha^+(\lambda) \in \mathcal{S}(X_a)$, by Lemma 7.13 $F_2^+ := J_\alpha \tilde{T}_a^+ w_\alpha^+(\lambda)$ is incoming, and therefore

$$F_2^+ - R(\lambda - i0)F_2^+ = 0.$$

Thus, we can remove T_a^+ in front of $w_\alpha^+(\lambda)$. Therefore, we can rewrite (10.6) as

$$(10.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & (S_{\alpha\alpha} f_1, f_2) - (S_\alpha f_1, f_2) \\ &= -\tilde{C}_\alpha(\lambda) \int_{E_\alpha}^{\infty} (R(\lambda + i0)G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), (H - \lambda)J_\alpha w_\alpha^+(\lambda)) d\lambda \\ &\quad + \tilde{C}_\alpha(\lambda) \int_{E_\alpha}^{\infty} (G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), J_\alpha w_\alpha^+(\lambda)) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{C}_\alpha(\lambda) := \pi^{1/2} \lambda_\alpha^{-1/4} e^{i\pi(n_a-3)/4}$.

By Lemma 4.6 and (8.3) we can see

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{S}_\alpha(\lambda)\hat{f}_1(\lambda), \hat{f}_2(\lambda)) &= \tilde{C}_\alpha(\lambda)\{(T_a\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda)\hat{f}_1(\lambda), \tilde{w}_\alpha^+(\lambda))_a \\ &\quad - ((\tilde{H}_a - \lambda_\alpha)T_a\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda)\hat{f}_1(\lambda), w_\alpha^+(\lambda))_a\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(v_1, v_2)_a := \int_{X_a} v_1(x_a)v_2(x_a)dx_a$ and $\hat{S}_\alpha(\lambda)$ is the fiber of $F_\alpha S_\alpha F_\alpha^*$ (see [16]).

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (S_\alpha f_1, f_2) &= \int_{E_\alpha}^\infty \tilde{C}_\alpha(\lambda)\{(T_a\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda)\hat{f}_1(\lambda), \tilde{w}_\alpha^+(\lambda))_a \\ &\quad - ((\tilde{H}_a - \lambda_\alpha)T_a\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda)\hat{f}_1(\lambda), w_\alpha^+(\lambda))_a\}d\lambda \\ (10.8) \quad &= \int_{E_\alpha}^\infty \tilde{C}_\alpha(\lambda)\{(J_\alpha T_a\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda)\hat{f}_1(\lambda), J_\alpha\tilde{w}_\alpha^+(\lambda)) \\ &\quad - ((\tilde{H}_a - \lambda_\alpha)J_\alpha T_a\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda)\hat{f}_1(\lambda), J_\alpha w_\alpha^+(\lambda))\}d\lambda \\ &= \int_{E_\alpha}^\infty \tilde{C}_\alpha(\lambda)\{(J_\alpha T_a\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda)\hat{f}_1(\lambda), (H - \lambda)J_\alpha w_\alpha^+(\lambda)) \\ &\quad - ((H - \lambda)J_\alpha T_a\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda)\hat{f}_1(\lambda), J_\alpha w_\alpha^+(\lambda))\}d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as in (10.5) we have

$$(10.9) \quad G_\alpha\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) = (H - \lambda)T_a J_\alpha\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda).$$

Thus, by (10.7) and (10.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S_\alpha f_1, f_2) &= \tilde{C}_\alpha(\lambda) \int_{E_\alpha}^\infty \{(J_\alpha T_a\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda)\hat{f}_1(\lambda), (H - \lambda)(J_\alpha w_\alpha^+(\lambda))) \\ &\quad - (R(\lambda + i0)G_\alpha\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda)\hat{f}_1(\lambda), (H - \lambda)(J_\alpha w_\alpha^+(\lambda)))\}d\lambda. \\ &= \tilde{C}_\alpha(\lambda) \int_{E_\alpha}^\infty \{(J_\alpha T_a\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda)\hat{f}_1(\lambda), (H - \lambda)(J_\alpha w_\alpha^+(\lambda))) \\ &\quad - (R(\lambda + i0)(H - \lambda)J_\alpha T_a\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda)\hat{f}_1(\lambda), (H - \lambda)(J_\alpha w_\alpha^+(\lambda)))\}d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.13 $F_1^- := J_\alpha T_a \tilde{R}_a(\lambda_\alpha + i0)(\tilde{H}_a - \lambda_\alpha)w_\alpha^-(\lambda)$ is outgoing, where $(w_\alpha^-(\lambda))(x_a) = w_\alpha^-(\lambda, x_a) := \eta(r_a)\hat{f}_1(\lambda, -\hat{x}_a)e^{-iK_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)}r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2}$. Thus, we have

$$(10.10) \quad F_1^- - R(\lambda + i0)(H - \lambda)F_1^- = 0.$$

Set $\tilde{T}_a := 1 - T_a$. Then, by Lemma 7.13 $F_2^- := J_\alpha \tilde{T}_a w_\alpha^-(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)$ is outgoing. Thus, we have

$$(10.11) \quad F_2^- - R(\lambda + i0)(H - \lambda)F_2^- = 0.$$

By (10.10) and (10.11) we can replace $T_a\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda)\hat{f}_1(\lambda)$ by $C_\alpha^-(\lambda)w_\alpha^-(\lambda)$, where $C_\alpha^-(\lambda) := 2^{-1}i\pi^{-1/2}\lambda_\alpha^{-1/4}e^{i\pi(n_a-3)/4}$. Thus, by (8.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (S_\alpha f_1, f_2) &= (-2^{-1}i\lambda_\alpha^{-1/2})^{-1}C_\alpha^-(\lambda)\tilde{C}_\alpha(\lambda) \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{E_\alpha}^\infty (\Sigma_{\alpha\alpha}(\lambda)\mathcal{R}\hat{f}_1(\lambda), \hat{f}_2(\lambda))d\lambda \\ &= e^{i\pi(n_a-1)/2} \int_{E_\alpha}^\infty (\Sigma_{\alpha\alpha}(\lambda)\mathcal{R}\hat{f}_1(\lambda), \hat{f}_2(\lambda))d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, (10.1) for $\alpha = \beta$.

(ii) Next we consider $S_{\beta\alpha}$, $\beta \neq \alpha$. Since $(\Omega_\beta^+)^*\Omega_\alpha^+ = 0$ (see e.g. [1, Theorem 6.15.3]), we have

$$S_{\beta\alpha} = (W_\beta^+)^*(W_\alpha^- - \Omega_\alpha^+ \tilde{W}_\alpha^-).$$

Hence, in the same way as above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (10.12) \quad & (S_{\beta\alpha} f_1, f_2) \\ &= 2\pi i \int_{\max\{E_\alpha, E_\beta\}}^\infty \{(R(\lambda + i0)G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), G_\beta^+ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda)) \\ & \quad - (G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), T_b^+ J_\beta \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda))\} d\lambda \\ &= 2\pi i \int_{\max\{E_\alpha, E_\beta\}}^\infty \{(R(\lambda + i0)G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), (H - \lambda)T_b^+ J_\beta \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda)) \\ & \quad - (G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), T_b^+ J_\beta \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda))\} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We can replace $T_b^+ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda)$ in (10.12) by $-C_\beta^+(\lambda)w_\beta^+(\lambda)$ where

$$C_\beta^+(\lambda) := 2^{-1}i\pi^{-1/2}\lambda_\beta^{-1/4}e^{-i\pi(n_b-3)/4},$$

and $(w_\beta^+(\lambda))(x_b) = w_\beta^+(\lambda, x_b) := \eta(r_b)\hat{f}_2(\lambda, \hat{x}_b)e^{iK_b(x_b, \lambda_\beta)}r_b^{-(n_b-1)/2}$.

We shall prove

$$\begin{aligned} (10.13) \quad & (G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), J_\beta w_\beta^+(\lambda)) \\ &= ((H - \lambda)T_a J_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), J_\beta w_\beta^+(\lambda)) \\ &= (T_a J_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), (H - \lambda)J_\beta w_\beta^+(\lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

When $a = b$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$, by (10.9), $\pi_\beta J_\alpha = 0$ and $\pi_\beta(-\Delta_a)J_\alpha = 0$, (10.13) holds.

When $a \neq b$, (a) $J_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda)$ exponentially decays on Y_b^ϵ for any $\epsilon > 0$, or (b) $J_\beta \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda)$ exponentially decays on Y_a^ϵ for any $\epsilon > 0$, where Y_a^ϵ is defined as (2.4).

In the case (a) let $\chi_j \in C^\infty(X)$, $j = 1, 2$ be functions satisfying the following: there exists $\epsilon' > 0$ such that $\chi_1 = 1$ on $\bigcup_{c \not\leq b} \{x : |x| > 1, 2\epsilon'|x| > |x^c|\}$, $\chi_1 = 0$ on $\bigcup_{c \not\leq b} \{x : |x| > 1, \epsilon'|x| < |x^c|\}$, and $\chi_1 + \chi_2 = 1$. We also denote by χ_j the multiplication operator by χ_j .

Then, for ϵ' sufficiently small $\chi_1 J_\beta \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda)$ decays exponentially, and $J_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda)$ decays exponentially on $\text{supp } \chi_2$. Thus we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Delta T_a J_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), \chi_j T_b^+ J_\beta \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda)) \\ &= (T_a J_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), \Delta \chi_j T_b^+ J_\beta \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_2(\lambda)), \quad j = 1, 2 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, (10.13) holds.

In the same way we can see that in the case (b) (10.13) holds.

Thus, by (10.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (10.14) \quad & (S_{\beta\alpha} f_1, f_2) = \tilde{C}_\beta^+(\lambda) \int_{\max\{E_\alpha, E_\beta\}}^\infty \{(G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), (H - \lambda)J_\beta w_\beta^+(\lambda)) \\ & \quad - (R(\lambda + i0)G_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda), (H - \lambda)J_\beta w_\beta^+(\lambda))\} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

As in the case of $\hat{S}_{\alpha\alpha}(\lambda)$, we can replace $T_a \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,-}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda)$ by $C_{\alpha}^-(\lambda) w_{\alpha}^-(\lambda)$, and therefore, we obtain (10.1). \square

11. EQUIVALENCE AND ADJOINT OPERATORS OF GENERALIZED FOURIER TRANSFORM

In the following we use the notations in section 1, 2, 3 and 10.

Proof of Theorem 2.3 (1). Let $f \in L^{2,l}(X)$, $l > 1/2$ and $f_1 \in C^\infty(X_a)$ satisfy $\hat{f}_1 \in C_0^\infty(X_a)$ and $\hat{f}_1(\lambda, \cdot) \in C_0^\infty(C'_a)$ for any λ such that $\text{supp } \hat{f}_1(\lambda, \cdot) \neq 0$. Here $(\hat{f}_1(\lambda))(\hat{x}_a) = \hat{f}_1(\lambda, \hat{x}_a) := (F_\alpha f_1)(\lambda, \hat{x}_a)$.

Then, we have

$$(F_\alpha(W_\alpha^+)^* f, \hat{f}_1) = (f_1, W_\alpha^+(F_\alpha)^* \hat{f}_1).$$

By (10.2) the right-hand side is written as

$$(11.1) \quad (f, T_\alpha^+ J_\alpha \tilde{W}_\alpha^+(F_\alpha)^* \hat{f}_1) - i \int_0^\infty (f, e^{isH} G_\alpha^+ \tilde{W}_\alpha^+ e^{-is\tilde{h}_\alpha} (F_\alpha)^* \hat{f}_1) ds.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we can rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_\alpha}^\infty \{(f, T_\alpha^+ J_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda)) - (f, R(\lambda - i0) G_\alpha^+ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda))\} d\lambda, \\ & = \int_{E_\alpha}^\infty \{(f, T_\alpha^+ J_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda)) \\ & \quad - (f, R(\lambda - i0)(H - \lambda) T_\alpha^+ J_\alpha \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda))\} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we can replace $T_\alpha^+ \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha,+}^*(\lambda) \hat{f}_1(\lambda)$ by $-C_\alpha^+(\lambda) \hat{w}_\alpha^+(\lambda)$, where

$$(\hat{w}_\alpha^+(\lambda))(x_a) = \hat{w}_\alpha^+(\lambda, x_a) := \eta(r_a) \hat{f}_1(\lambda, \hat{x}_a) e^{iK_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)} r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$(11.2) \quad (F_\alpha(W_\alpha^+)^* f, \hat{f}_1) = \hat{C}_\alpha^+(\lambda) \int_{E_\alpha}^\infty \{(f_1, J_\alpha w_\alpha^+(\lambda)) - (f_1, R(\lambda - i0)(H - \lambda) J_\alpha w_\alpha^+(\lambda))\} d\lambda,$$

where $\hat{C}_\alpha^+(\lambda) := 2^{-1} i \pi^{-1/2} \lambda_\alpha^{-1/4} e^{i\pi(n_a-3)/4}$.

Therefore, by (8.4) we have $(F_\alpha(W_\alpha^+)^* f)(\lambda) = \mathcal{G}_\alpha^+(\lambda) f$.

In the same way we obtain

$$(11.3) \quad (F_\alpha(W_\alpha^-)^* f, \hat{f}_1) = \hat{C}_\alpha^-(\lambda) \int_{E_\alpha}^\infty \{(f, J_\alpha \hat{w}_\alpha^-(\lambda)) - (f, R(\lambda + i0)(H - \lambda) J_\alpha \hat{w}_\alpha^-(\lambda))\} d\lambda,$$

where $(w_\alpha^-(\lambda))(x_a) = w_\alpha^-(\lambda, x_a) := \eta(r_a) f_1(\lambda, -\hat{x}_a) e^{-iK_a(x_a, \lambda_\alpha)} r_a^{-(n_a-1)/2}$ and

$$\hat{C}_\alpha^-(\lambda) := 2^{-1} i \pi^{-1/2} \lambda_\alpha^{-1/4} e^{-i\pi(n_a-3)/4}.$$

Therefore, by (8.4) we have $(F_\alpha(W_\alpha^-)^* f)(\lambda) = \mathcal{G}_\alpha^-(\lambda) f$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.3 (2). By (11.2) and Theorem 2.3 (1) we can see that

$$(11.4) \quad (\mathcal{G}_\alpha^+(\lambda))^* = \overline{\widehat{C}_\alpha^+(\lambda)} P_{\alpha,-}(\lambda).$$

In the same way by (11.3) and Theorem 2.3 (1) we can see that

$$(11.5) \quad (\mathcal{G}_\alpha^-(\lambda))^* = \overline{\widehat{C}_\alpha^-(\lambda)} P_{\alpha,+}(\lambda) \mathcal{R}.$$

Theorem 2.3 (2) follows from (11.4) and (11.5). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Dereziński and C. Gérard, Scattering theory of classical and quantum N -particle systems, Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1997.
- [2] R.G. Froese and I. Herbst, Exponential bounds and absence of positive eigenvalues of N -body Schrödinger operators, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **87** (1982), 429-447.
- [3] C. Gérard, H. Isozaki and E. Skibsted, N -body resolvent estimates, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **48** (1996), 135-160.
- [4] Y. Gätel and D. Yafaev, On solutions of the Schrödinger equation with radiation conditions at infinity : the long range case, *Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble* **49** (1999), 1581-1602.
- [5] A. Hassell, Scattering matrices for the quantum N body problem, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **352** (2000), 3799-3820.
- [6] B. Helffer and J. Sjöstrand, Équation de Schrödinger avec champ magnétique et équation de Harper, in "Lecture Notes in Physics. 345", Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1989, pp.118-197.
- [7] L. Hörmander, The analysis of linear partial differential operators III. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1985
- [8] H. Isozaki, Eikonal equations and spectral representations for long range Schrödinger Hamiltonians, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **20** (1980), 243-261.
- [9] H. Isozaki, Structures of S-matrices for three body Schrödinger operators, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **146** (1992), 241-258.
- [10] H. Isozaki, Asymptotic properties of generalized eigenfunctions for three body Schrödinger operators, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **153** (1993), 1-21.
- [11] H. Isozaki, A generalization of the radiation condition of Sommerfeld for N -body Schrödinger operators, *Duke Math. J.* **74** (1994), 557-584.
- [12] H. Isozaki, Many-body Schrödinger equations, (Japanese) Springer, Tokyo, 2004.
- [13] T. Ikebe and H. Isozaki, A stationary approach to the existence and completeness of long-range wave operators, *Int. Eq. Op. Theory* **5** (1982), 18-49.
- [14] A. Jensen and P. Perry, Commutator methods and Bezoov space estimates for Schrödinger operators, *J. Operator Theory* **14** (1985), 181-188.
- [15] R. B. Melrose, Spectral and scattering theory for Laplacian on asymptotically Euclidean spaces, Spectral and scattering theory (M. Ikawa, editor), Marcel Dekker, 1994.
- [16] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of modern mathematical physics. III: Scattering theory, Academic Press, New York, 1979.
- [17] E. Skibsted, Propagation estimates for N -body Schroedinger operators, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **142** (1991), 67-98.
- [18] D. R. Yafaev, On solutions of the Schrödinger equation with radiation conditions at infinity, *Advances in Sov. Math.* **7** (1991), 179-204.
- [19] A. Vasy, Scattering matrices in many-body scattering, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **200** (1999), 105-124.