

Noise in gene expression may be a choice of cellular system

Rajesh Karmakar*

November 20, 2018

Ramakrishna Mission Vidyamandira, Belur Math, Howrah -711202, West Bengal, India

Abstract

Gene expression and its regulation is a nonequilibrium stochastic process. Different molecules are involved in several biochemical steps in this process. It is observed that the stochasticity in biochemical processes is mainly due to the low copy number of the molecules present in the system. Several studies also show that the nonequilibrium biochemical processes require energy cost. But cellular system has developed itself through natural evolution by minimizing energy cost for optimum output. Here we study the origin of stochasticity qualitatively in a network of two genes using stochastic simulation method and argued that stochasticity in gene expression may be a choice of cellular system for optimum output with minimum energy cost.

Keywords: Gene expression and regulation, nonequilibrium biochemical processes, stochastic simulation

1 Introduction

Gene expression (GE) is a basic cellular process whereby proteins are synthesized according to the nucleotide sequences in the gene. Gene expression involved several biochemical reactions, the kinetics of which determine how the number of participating biomolecules changes as a function of time. There are two major steps in gene expression, transcription and translation. In the process of transcription mRNAs are synthesized. During the process of translation, the sequence of mRNA molecule is translated into the proteins [1]. Regulation is ubiquitous in complex living system. Gene expression is a regulatory process which can take place either at transcription, translation or degradation levels. Many regulatory molecules are involved in the gene expression process. There are two major types of regulatory molecules: activator and repressor. Both the regulatory molecules are also proteins and therefore synthesized from some other gene. The regulatory molecule which regulates the transcription process is called the transcription factor

*Electronic address: rkarmakar@vidyamandira.ac.in

(TF). They bind the specific binding site/sites on the gene and drive the gene into a state called the “ON” state. The unbound state is called the “OFF” state. Therefore, under the regulation by transcription factor, the gene can be either in the ON or OFF state depending on whether the TF/TFs are bound to the gene or not [1]. If the gene is in the ON state then only the transcription process can take place and mRNAs are synthesized. From the newly born transcripts/mRNAs, proteins are synthesized and the process is called the translation. In the synthesis of proteins ribosomes play the important roles. There are specific binding sites on the newly born mRNAs where ribosomes can bind and synthesize proteins. Newly born proteins have a specific degradation rate and the molecules called RNase do that job. Each and every biomolecule does not exist forever to work rather they have a specific degradation rate. They do die after completing their specific job. It is widely accepted that the biochemical events are probabilistic in nature [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. This is due to the low copy number of biomolecules taking part in the biochemical processes of protein synthesis. Due to their low copy number, the time evolution is not deterministic, rather probabilistic [2, 3]. That is, the timing of the biochemical events, cannot be predicted with certainty. This probabilistic nature of the biochemical reactions may be ignored in the limit of large numbers of biomolecules. The probabilistic or more appropriately, stochastic nature of biochemical events leads to the fluctuations in mRNA and protein levels about a mean value. That fluctuation is called the noise in mRNA/protein level. Generally, the term noise is used to specify an unwanted part of a signal and therefore undesirable. The presence of noise or fluctuation in protein level gives rise to different phenomena in biological system and therefore created a lot of curiosity to the science community [3, 4]. Proteins are the functional molecules in the cells, i.e., they do everything in biological system. The protein level has to stay above a critical level to complete its specific job. So, there is a chance that the protein level may fall below the critical level because of the noise present in it. Then it may not work properly and problems may arise into the cellular system.

The biological system or rather living system is basically a non-equilibrium system. To maintain the state of non-equilibrium in such system, they need to intake energy from external sources. That is, for the formation of complex cellular structure and to maintain its activity, living cell has a cost [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. It is also expected that living system tries to optimize its function by minimizing the cellular cost through evolution and natural selection [12, 13, 14]. The important cellular process like gene expression consists of several biochemical events e.g., gene activation-inactivation, transcription, translation, degradation etc., which is not equilibrium rather nonequilibrium process [10, 17]. Therefore, cells need energy to maintain or to run such nonequilibrium process. It is obvious that the cellular system must develop some way to carry out the nonequilibrium process with minimum cost of energy. In this work, we qualitatively study the origin of stochasticity in cellular functions on the basis of minimization of energy cost in protein synthesis. We consider a stochastic model of a simple network with two genes and study the time evolution of protein’s number from each gene. We argued that cellular system may reduce the cost of energy consumption by creating noisy gene expression rather than the constant protein level. It is obvious to consider that the stochasticity or noise has a detrimental role in cellular functions as in the electronic system. But in this study we find that the stochasticity can play the beneficial role in the




Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of gene network consisting of two genes: TF gene and Functional gene, Protein S (TF) from TF gene is regulating the synthesis of functional protein P from functional gene.


cellular functions by saving energy and therefore, may be a choice of cellular system.

2 Stochastic model and simulation

In the stochastic model, we consider a simple gene regulatory network consisting of two genes: transcription factor (TF) gene and functional gene (Fig. 1). The proteins from the TF gene activate the protein synthesis from the functional gene [7]. The proteins from the functional gene do some important function in the cell. Each gene of the network has some basic biochemical events. Genes make random transitions between the inactive and active states with specific rate constants. The protein synthesis takes place from, active and inactive, both the states of the gene with different rate constants. Here we lumped the transcription and translation process into a single step. The steps are shown in equations 1 and 2 along with the rate constant for the respective reaction. The proteins from each gene also have some specific degradation rate. The gene activation is a complex process and needs many molecules to accommodate on the promoter site to get activated before transcription [8]. We assumed that the gene activation process of functional gene is modulated by TFs through a regulation function f which is considered to be a hill function as in ref. [7].

The biochemical reactions are considered as follows:

For TF gene:



For Functional gene:



The genes can be in two possible states : Inactive (G_i) or Active (G_i^*) ($i = T$ or F). Protein synthesis takes place from the active state of the gene with higher rate (J_{Pi}) than that from the inactive state (J_{0i}). Both the proteins have some degradation rate constant k_{pi} . k_{aF}^{\prime} (k_{aT}) and k_{dF} (k_{dT}) are the activation and deactivation rate constants respectively for the functional gene (TF gene). The activation rate constant for the functional gene is modulated by the relation $k_{aF}^{\prime} = k_{aF} f$, where f is the regulation function and is given by $f = \frac{(S/K)^n}{1+(S/K)^n}$. S is the TF protein number and at $K = S$, the regulation function is $f = 0.5$, n is the Hill coefficient of the regulation function. The activation of the functional gene by TF proteins is actually occurred by multiple steps and when that multiple steps are converted to an approximate single




Fig. 2. With fast TF activation and deactivation. For (a), the rate constants are $k_{aT} = 80.0$, $k_{dT} = 80.0$, $J_{pT} = 500.0$, $J_{0T} = 50.0$, $k_{pT} = 1.0$, $n = 4$, $k_{aF} = 8.0$, $k_{dF} = 4.0$, $J_{pF} = 5.0$, $J_{0F} = 0.5$, $k_{pF} = 0.005$. For (b) $k_{aT} = 4.0$, $k_{dT} = 4.0$, remaining are same. The solid (dashed) line is the variation of TF (Functional) protein numbers with time.

step, the activation rate constant then comes out to be a Hill function [7].

We simulate the biochemical processes of gene expression using Gillespie algorithm [18]. The rate constants of different biochemical steps determine the dynamics of gene expression. Basically, the dynamics of gene expression is determined by the dynamics of gene activation-deactivation, protein synthesis and degradation dynamics. Again, fast gene activation-deactivation with respect to the degradation rate gives rise to a less noisy protein level for a fixed protein synthesis rate [6][Appendix]. For the functional gene, we choose fast gene activation-deactivation rate constants and low degradation rate constant. The rate constant for protein synthesis determines the mean protein level and therefore chosen appropriately. The rate constants for the functional gene are chosen as follows: $k_{aF}^f = k_{aF} f$, $K = S_{mean}$, $k_{aF} = 8.0$, $k_{dF} = 4.0$, $J_{pF} = 5.0$, $J_{0F} = 0.5$, $k_{pF} = 0.005$. We assume the rate constant $k_{aF} = 2k_{dF}$ to realize the maximum fluctuation effect of TF proteins on functional gene [7]. The value of that rate constants for functional gene are kept fixed throughout the study. Therefore the mean functional protein level is approximately 550. We assume the critical value for the functional protein level is 330 (60% of the steady state mean value) for our study. That is, to complete its specific function properly, the protein level must stay above that critical level for most of the time.

The activation rate constant of the functional gene is modulated by the TF protein level through the relation $k_{aF}^f = k_{aF} f$. Therefore, the critical or threshold protein level from functional gene depends also on the dynamics of TF proteins along with the rate constants of its own gene expression dynamics. We consider the fixed rate constants for the protein synthesis and degradation for the TF gene and varied only the rate constants of gene activation and deactivation for our study. Because, the gene activation and deactivation plays the crucial role in generating noise in protein level [Appendix]. We assume the protein synthesis and degradation dynamics is fast ($J_{pT} = 500.0$, $J_{0T} = 50.0$, $k_{pT} = 1.0$) for TF gene. We counted the number of transitions n_T (n_F) take place at steady state ($t \geq 500$) between the inactive and active state of TF (Functional) gene for different rate constants. This is shown in Table 1 and 2 for two different values of hill coefficient. Since, for each transition from inactive to active state, many molecules are needed to be accommodate at the promoter sites one by one and that requires an energy cost [10]. Again, to maintain a average protein level cell also has a cost of energy. The energy cost increases with the number of transitions




Fig. 3. With moderate TF activation and deactivation. For (a), the rate constants are $k_{aT} = 0.8$, $k_{dT} = 0.8$, $J_{pT} = 500.0$, $J_{0T} = 50.0$, $k_{pT} = 1.0$, $n = 4$, $k_{aF} = 8.0$, $k_{dF} = 4.0$, $J_{pF} = 5.0$, $J_{0F} = 0.5$, $k_{pF} = 0.005$. For (b) $k_{aT} = 0.2$, $k_{dT} = 0.4$ remaining rate constants are the same. The solid (dashed) line is the variation of TF (Functional) protein numbers with time.

and higher steady protein level [10]. Now we look into the different possible expression profile from the two genes with the different activation and deactivation rate constants of the TF gene. The different responses of the TF gene produces different functional gene responses. We separate the different gene expression responses into four different categories and call them as cellular 'Strategy'. The interesting fact is that the distribution of protein levels from both the genes can be unimodal or bimodal responses depending on the relative values of the activation and deactivation rate constants with respect to the degradation rate constants [6] [Appendix]. That is, the gene expression response does not depend on the absolute value of the rate constants rather depends only on the relative values. That help us to choose the strategies and rate constants for our simulation.

STRATEGY 1: The random transitions between active and inactive state of the TF gene is very fast with respect to TF degradation rate ($k_{aT} = 80.0$, $k_{dT} = 80.0$). Protein level from TF gene is now unimodal in nature with less noisy expression level (Fig. 2a). Because of the less noisy level of regulatory molecules, very low fluctuating functional protein level (with the steady state average value =540) arises from the functional gene and that lies always above the critical level (330). We also found that the number of transitions between inactive to active state n_T is 59924 ($n_F = 2958$). Let us consider that the energy cost for each transition from inactive to active state of both the genes is approximately H units. Let us also assume that the approximate average energy cost to produce per unit mean protein level from functional gene is K units. Therefore, for the fast transition between the states of TF gene and also due to high functional protein level the total energy cost in Strategy 1 is $E_{11} = A + (n_T + n_F)H + \langle p \rangle K = A + 62882H + 540K$. Here, A is the average energy cost for all other processes in protein synthesis of the two genes network and $\langle p \rangle$ is the average functional protein level at the steady state. For $k_{aT} = 4.0$, $k_{dT} = 4.0$ (Fig. 2b), we got the lower value of n_T (2981) ($n_F = 2712$) with low fluctuating functional protein level (with the steady state average value =510). The total energy consumption is $E_{12} = A + 5693H + 510K$. It is seen $E_{11} > E_{12}$ because of the lower number of transitions to active states of TF gene and a bit low value of mean protein level from functional gene. The mean TF protein level remains same (275) in both the figures (Fig. 2a and 2b). In Fig. 2b, the noise in TF protein level is greater than that in Fig. 2a.

STRATEGY 2: Here we consider that the random transition rate constants between the active and




Fig. 4. With slow TF gene activation and deactivation. For (a), the rate constants are $k_{aT} = 0.08$, $k_{dT} = 0.08$, $J_{pT} = 500.0$, $J_{0T} = 50.0$, $k_{pT} = 1.0$, $n = 4$, $k_{aF} = 8.0$, $k_{dF} = 4.0$, $J_{pF} = 5.0$, $J_{0F} = 0.5$, $k_{pF} = 0.005$. For (b) $k_{aT} = 0.08$, $k_{dT} = 0.1$ and remaining are same. The solid (dashed) line is the variation of TF (Functional) protein numbers with time.

inactive state of TF gene is moderate with respect to the degradation rate and lies between 0.1 and 1 ($0.1 < (k_{aT} \text{ and } k_{dT}) < 1$), i.e., not so fast like Strategy 1 or not too slow. The protein level from TF gene is now bimodal in nature [6]. The steady state protein level from the functional gene fluctuates about a mean value 442 (Fig. 3a). The number of transitions between inactive to active state n_T is 588 ($n_F = 2364$). The average approximate energy cost of protein synthesis is $E_{21} = A + 2952H + 442K$. Because of the less number of transitions between the active and inactive states of TF gene and lower mean protein level of functional gene the amount of energy cost E_{21} is lower than the E_{11} and E_{12} . The number of transitions n_T become lower here than that in Strategy 1 mainly due to the bimodal nature of protein level of TF gene. In Fig. 3b, we assume $k_{aT} = 0.2$, $k_{dT} = 0.4$ and other rate constants are remaining the same value as in Fig. 3a. Here we got $n_T = 198$ ($n_F = 1878$) with fluctuating functional protein level (with the steady state average value = 395). The average approximate energy cost of protein synthesis is $E_{22} = A + 2076H + 395K$. It is seen that $E_{22} < E_{21} < E_{12} < E_{11}$ mainly because of the decrease in the number of transitions from inactive to active states of TF gene due to gradual decrease in the values of k_{aT} and k_{dT} . The mean TF protein level remains same (275) in both the figures but now the protein level fluctuates between the mean value 50 and 550 the (Fig. 3a and 3b). In this Strategy, the functional protein level always lies above the critical value.

STRATEGY 3: Here we further lowered the random transitions rate constants between the active and inactive state of TF gene ($0.01 < (k_{aT} \text{ and } k_{dT}) \leq 0.1$). At the steady state, the functional protein level is now fluctuating between 300 and 480 with a mean protein level 395 and therefore lies above the critical level at the steady state (Fig. 4a). The protein level from TF gene is now sharply bimodal in nature. The stochastic ON and OFF periods of the TF gene are comparatively greater than that in Strategy 2. Because of the much lower number of transitions between the inactive and active states ($n_T = 53$, $n_F = 1956$) of the TF gene the amount of energy cost ($E_{31} = A + 2099H + 395K$) becomes much lower than that in Strategies 2 and 1. In the Fig. 4b, we assume $k_{aT} = 0.08$, $k_{dT} = 0.1$ and with other rate constants are remaining the same value as in Fig. 4a. Here we got n_T is 66 ($n_F = 1932$) a fluctuating functional protein level between 325 and 480 with a mean level 395. The average cost of energy is $E_{32} = A + 1998H + 395K$. It is seen that, the protein level from TF gene is sharply bimodal for the rate constants considered here. Therefore,




Fig. 5. With slow TF activation and deactivation. For (a), the rate constants are $k_{aT} = 0.01$, $k_{dT} = 0.01$, $J_{pT} = 500.0$, $J_{0T} = 50.0$, $k_{pT} = 1.0$, $n = 4$, $k_{aF} = 8.0$, $k_{dF} = 4.0$, $J_{pF} = 5.0$, $J_{0F} = 0.5$, $k_{pF} = 0.005$. For (b) $k_{aT} = 0.004$, $k_{dT} = 0.004$ and remaining are same. The solid (dashed) line is the variation of TF (Functional) protein numbers with time.

k_{aT}	k_{dT}	n_T	n_F	Mean FP (steady state)	TF Response	Strategy
80	80	59924	2958	540	Unimodal	1
4	4	2981	2712	510	Unomodal	1
0.8	0.8	586	2364	430	Bimodal	2
0.2	0.4	198	1878	395	Bimodal	2
0.08	0.08	53	1956	395	Bimodal	3
0.08	0.1	66	1932	395	Bimodal	3
0.01	0.01	7	1962	390	Bimodal	4
0.004	0.004	1	1950	320	Bimodal	4

Table 1: Data in that table shows number of transitions from inactivate to activate state for TF gene with different values of k_{aT} and k_{dT} . The hill coefficient is set at $n = 4$. The counting is started from $t = 500$. n_T is the number of transitions from the inactive to active state for the TF gene. n_F is the number of transitions from inactive state to active state for the functional gene. The mean functional protein (FP) level for the different Strategy is gradually decreasing.

the gene regulation function is not always ON for the activation of the functional gene. The strategy of the TF gene is similar to the strategy of the modern refrigerator where it automatically switches off its activity whenever not required. In this Strategy, the functional protein level may touches or sometimes come down the critical level but does not stay their long. The energy consumption in Strategy 3 is lowered than that in Strategy 2 but a slight functional risk comes into play due to fluctuation in functional protein level.

STRATEGY 4: Here we consider that the random transitions rate between the active and inactive state of TF gene is much lower ($0.001 < (k_{aT} \text{ and } k_{dT}) < 0.01$). The average cost of energy is $E_{41} = A + 1969H + 395K$ and $E_{42} = A + 1951H + 320K$. In Fig. 5a and 5b, the value of n_T is lowest but here the fluctuations in protein level from functional gene is too high and therefore may fall below the critical value and may also stay there for longer time. This should be avoided in cellular processes. Therefore, the Strategy 4 is energetically suitable but functionally unsuitable.

We counted the number of transitions from inactive to active state of both the genes with different values of k_{aT} and k_{dT} for the hill coefficient $n = 4$ (Table 1) and $n = 2$ (Table 2). We found that bimodal level of TF proteins with moderate transition rates are suitable to keep the protein level from functional

k_{aT}	k_{dT}	n_T	n_F	Mean FP (steady state)	TF Response	Strategy
80	80	60105	2950	550	Unimodal	1
4	4	2980	2895	525	Unimodal	1
0.8	0.8	588	2597	450	Bimodal	2
0.2	0.4	200	2275	460	Bimodal	2
0.08	0.08	53	2084	400	Bimodal	3
0.08	0.1	64	2175	400	Bimodal	3
0.01	0.01	7	2032	350	Bimodal	4
0.004	0.004	1	1149	275	Bimodal	4

Table 2: Data in that table are for the hill coefficient $n = 2$. Other parameters are kept at the same value. The counting is started from $t = 500$. n_T is the number of transitions from the inactive to active state for the TF gene. n_F is the number of transitions from inactive state to active state for the functional gene.

gene above a critical value with minimum consumption of energy. We observe from the above figures that as we gradually decrease the value of k_{aT} and k_{dT} , the mean TF protein level does not change but the fluctuation and dynamics changes. The simulation results shown here only for few parameters though the results will remain same over a wide region of parameter values. The independence of a gene expression response on the absolute value of the rate constants made our study easier.

3 Conclusion

The cell has a energy cost for the synthesis of proteins from a gene [10, 11, 12, 13]. The cost has different values for the different steps depending on the complexity of the steps [10]. It is shown by Huang et al. [10] that the gene activation and deactivation is costlier also. Therefore, the energy input is necessary and important to maintain or carryout each step of the GE process. It is also known to us that the cellular system has evolved itself in such a way so that it can minimize the energy cost for its activity and maximize the outcome. That is, the strategy of optimization is followed in cells to carryout its functional activity. It is therefore expected that the cell should choose or adjust the reaction rate constants to save energy expenses during protein synthesis and works reliably.

We studied a simple case of gene regulatory network with two genes qualitatively. One is the transcription factor (TF) gene and the other one is the functional gene. The functional gene is regulated by the proteins from the TF gene. Different expression and noise level of proteins (different strategies) are possible depending on the rate constants of different steps of gene expression of the two genes. We observed the dependence of noisy expression level on the fluctuations of TF proteins. We consider four strategies depending on low to high fluctuations in TF proteins. In the Strategy 1 (Fig. 2a and 2b), we see that low noise level in TF protein gives rise to low noise and high mean protein level from functional gene. This behaviour is the utmost beneficial for the functioning of the network though that requires maximum energy cost. In Strategy 2 (Fig. 3a and 3b), we observe that the fluctuation in functional protein level increases due to bimodal response in TF protein's level and the mean functional protein level decreases. That gives rise to lower energy cost of the cell. Though the functional protein level is fluctuating but always lies

well above the critical level. So, the regulatory molecules need not to be present always at the higher level to maintain the functional protein level above the threshold value. That became possible due to the assumption of very low degradation rate constant of the proteins from the functional gene. The protein level from functional gene does not come down to lower level as gene makes transition to the inactive state because of the low degradation rate constant. In Strategy 3 (Fig. 4a and 4b), the fluctuation in functional protein level further increases. Though the mean protein level is higher than the critical value but the fluctuation about mean level produces a chance to come down below the threshold. The energy cost for protein synthesis using this Strategy is lowered than the Strategies 1 and 2. The Strategy 4 (Fig. 5a and 5b) is not suitable for the cellular function as the protein level from functional gene goes down below the threshold level and stays there for longer time due to long time inactivity of the TF gene. In Strategies 2 and 3, it is assumed that the TF proteins satisfy the condition of bimodal responses with slower activation and deactivation rate constants compared to the TF protein's degradation rate constants [4, 5, 6, 19]. The scenario in Strategy 2 and 3 are similar like that of the modern day's refrigerator. The refrigerator automatically switches off its power supply when not required, thereby reducing the power consumption. In gene expression and regulation processes, the transcription factors are shared by multiple genes for their regulation and that kind of sharing also creates noise in mRNA and protein level [20]. The involvement or binding of some TFs for the regulation of one gene means their unavailability for the regulation of some others genes. This is also a kind of 'switch-off' condition of the regulation function of the gene to whom that TFs are essential for its regulation. Employing two different kind of regulatory molecules of opposite nature (activator and repressor) the noise and mean level of functional molecules can also be controlled [21, 22]. Therefore, our important observation is that, the cellular system can make fluctuating protein level well above the critical value employing fluctuating regulatory molecules with minimum consumption of energy. We have studied the problem over the wide region of parameter space. Though the results presented here only for few parameter sets but we observed the similar results in other parameter regions also. Though the confirmation of the idea presented here through the simulation result for a simple gene regulatory network system need experimental verification with actual system.

The cellular system achieved a complex structure by evolution and different kinds of molecules are present there. Therefore one can raise the question that why cellular system has created low copy number of regulatory molecules in the cells? The strategy of energy saving may be the reason. The cell can adjust the protein level from functional gene above the critical level by adjusting the synthesis and degradation rate constants as well as the number or noise in regulatory molecules. By properly adjusting the rate constants for different steps and fluctuation or noise level of TF, the cellular system may have adjusted the fluctuating protein levels from functional gene to have always above the critical or threshold level with the constraint of minimum cost of energy. The cellular system may adopt the optimization (maximum output with minimum consumption of energy) strategy by creating fluctuating protein level with the help of different regulatory mechanism through evolution.

References

- [1] B. Alberts *et al.*, *Molecular Biology of the Cell* (Garland Science, New York, 2002).
- [2] M. B. Elowitz *et al.*, *Science* **297**, 1183 (2002).
- [3] J. M. Raser and E. K. O’Shea, *Science* **309**, 2010 (2005), A. Raj and A. van Oudenaarden, *Cell* **135**, 216 (2008).
- [4] M. Kaern *et al.*, *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **6**, 451 (2005).
- [5] V. Shahrezaei and P. S. Swain, *Current Opinion in Biotechnology* **19**, 369 (2008) and the references therein.
- [6] R. Karmakar and I. Bose, *Phys. Biol.* **1**, 197 (2004) and the references therein.
- [7] R. Karmakar and I. Bose, *Phys. Biol.* **3**, 200 (2006).
- [8] J. F. Haince, M. Rouleau and G. G. Poirier, *Science* **312**, 1752 (2006) and the references therein.
- [9] T. Kalisky, E. Dekel and U. Alon, *Phys. Biol.* **4**, 229 (2007).
- [10] L. Huang *et al.*, *CHAOS* **25**, 123101 (2015).
- [11] M. Kafri *et al.*, *Cell Reports* **14**, 22 (2016).
- [12] I. Frumkin *et al.*, *Molecular Cell* **65**, 142 (2017).
- [13] A. R. Aricescu, W. Lu and E. Y. Jones, *Acta. Cryst.* **D62**, 1243 (2006).
- [14] A. Wagner, *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **22**, 1365 (2005).
- [15] P. Mehta and D. J. Schwab, *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 17978 (2012).
- [16] Y. Cao *et al.*, *Nature Physics* **11**, 772 (2015).
- [17] R. G. Endres, *Scientific Reports* **7**, 14437 (2017).
- [18] D. T. Gillespie, *J. Phys. Chem.* **81**, 2340 (1977).
- [19] L. A. Sepúlveda *et al.*, *Science* **351**, 1218 (2016).
- [20] D. Das *et al.*, *PLoS Comput Biol* **13**, e100549 (2017).
- [21] F. M. V. Rossi *et al.*, *Mol. Cell* **6**, 723 (2000), R. Karmakar, *Phys. Rev. E.* **81**, 021905 (2010).
- [22] W. J. Blake *et al.*, *Nature* **422**, 633 (2003).

APPENDIX

Exact solution for the probability distribution of protein numbers for a single gene

A gene can be in two possible states. Let k_a and k_d are the activation and deactivation rate constants for the gene. In the active (inactive) state, protein production and degradation occurs with the rate constants $j_p(j_0)$ and k_p respectively. Here transcription and translation are lumped together into a single step [1, 2, 3]. Let $p_1(n, t)$ ($p_0(n, t)$) be the probability that at time t , gene is in the active (inactive) state $G^*(G)$ and the number of protein molecules is n . The rate of change of probability with respect to the time is given by the Master equation

$$\frac{\partial p_0(n, t)}{\partial t} = k_a p_0(n, t) - k_d p_1(n, t) + j_p [p_1(n-1, t) - p_1(n, t)] + k_p [(n+1)p_1(n+1, t) - np_1(n, t)] \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial p_1(n, t)}{\partial t} = k_d p_1(n, t) - k_a p_0(n, t) + j_0 [p_0(n-1, t) - p_0(n, t)] + k_p [(n+1)p_0(n+1, t) - np_0(n, t)] \quad (4)$$

For each rate constant, there is a gain term which adds to the probability and a loss term which subtracts from the probability. The Master Equation is a rate equation in which probability replaces concentration as the relevant variable.

We now use the standard approach in the theory of stochastic processes to determine the average number proteins $\langle n \rangle$ in the steady state and the variances thereof. Define the generating function

$$F_0(z, t) = \sum_n z^n p_0(n, t), \quad F_1(z, t) = \sum_n z^n p_1(n, t), \quad \text{and} \quad F(z, t) = \sum_n z^n p(n, t) \quad (5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(z, t) &= F_0(z, t) + F_1(z, t) \\ p(n, t) &= p_0(n, t) + p_1(n, t) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $F(z, t)$ and $p(n, t)$ are the total generating function and total probability density function respectively.

In terms of the generating functions (5), the Master equations (3) and (4) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial F_0(z, t)}{\partial t} = k_d F_1(z, t) - k_a F_0(z, t) + j_0 (z-1) F_0(z, t) + k_p (1-z) \frac{\partial F_0(z, t)}{\partial z} \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial F_1(z, t)}{\partial t} = k_a F_0(z, t) - k_d F_1(z, t) + j_p (z-1) F_1(z, t) + k_p (1-z) \frac{\partial F_1(z, t)}{\partial z} \quad (8)$$

In the steady state ($\frac{\partial F_0}{\partial t} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial F_1}{\partial t} = 0$), adding equations (7) and (8) we get

$$j_p F_1(z, t) + j_0 F_0(z, t) = k_p \frac{\partial F(z, t)}{\partial z} \quad (9)$$

Solving equations (6) and (9) we get

$$F_1(z, t) = \frac{k_p}{J} \frac{\partial F(z, t)}{\partial z} - \frac{j_0 F(z, t)}{J} \quad (10)$$

$$F_0(z, t) = \frac{j_p F(z, t)}{J} - \frac{k_p}{J} \frac{\partial F(z, t)}{\partial z} \quad (11)$$

where $J = j_p - j_0$.

Now, using equations (10) and (11), equation (7) can be written as in the steady state

$$(a_2 z + b_2) F''(z) + (a_1 z + b_1) F'(z) + (a_0 z + b_0) F(z) = 0 \quad (12)$$

where $a_2 = 1$, $b_2 = -1$, $a_1 = -(r_3 + r_4)$, $b_1 = (r_1 + r_2 + r_3 + r_4)$, $a_0 = r_3 r_4$, $b_0 = -(r_1 r_3 + r_2 r_4 + r_3 r_4)$, $r_1 = \frac{k_a}{k_p}$, $r_2 = \frac{k_d}{k_p}$, $r_3 = \frac{j_p}{k_p}$ and $r_4 = \frac{j_0}{k_p}$.

The exact solution of equation (12) is given by

$$F(z) = N e^{Kz} {}_1F_1(a_3; b_3; (\frac{z - \mu}{\lambda})) \quad (13)$$

where $\mu = -b_2/a_2$, $K = \frac{\sqrt{D - a_1}}{2a_2}$, $D = a_1^2 - 4a_0 a_2$, $a_3 = \frac{b_2 K^2 + b_1 K + b_0}{2a_2 K + a_1}$, $b_3 = (a_2 b_1 - a_1 b_2) a_2^{-1}$ and $\lambda = -\frac{a_2}{2a_2 K + a_1}$. ${}_1F_1(a; b; z)$ is the confluent hypergeometric function and N is the normalization constant. N is determined from the condition $F(1) = 1$ and is given by $N = \{e^K {}_1F_1(a_3; b_3; (\frac{1 - \mu}{\lambda}))\}^{-1}$.

Differentiating equations (5) and (13) n times w.r.t. z at $z = 0$ and then comparing both sides we have the total probability density function

$$p(n) = N \sum_{m=0}^n \frac{K^{n-m} (1/\lambda)^m \Gamma(a_3 + m) \Gamma(b_3)}{(n-m)! m! \Gamma(a_3) \Gamma(b_3 + m)} {}_1F_1(a_3 + m; b_3 + m; -(1/\lambda)) \quad (14)$$

Now with zero basal rate constants ($j_0 = 0$) the equation (14) reduces to

$$p(n) = N \frac{(r_3)^m \Gamma(a_3 + m) \Gamma(b_3)}{\Gamma(a_3) \Gamma(b_3 + m) \Gamma(m + 1)} {}_1F_1(a_3 + m; b_3 + m; -r_3) \quad (15)$$




Fig. A1. Unimodal responses. In Fig. (a) the rate constants are $k_a = 80.0$, $k_d = 80.0$, $J_p = 100.0$, $J_0 = 10.0$, $k_p = 1.0$. In Fig. (b) $k_a = 4.0$, $k_d = 4.0$ and remaining are the same. As the value of rate constants k_a and k_d decreases the width of the distribution increases.




Fig. A2. Bimodal responses. In Fig. (a) the rate constants are $k_a = 0.4$, $k_d = 0.4$, $J_p = 100.0$, $J_0 = 10.0$, $k_p = 1.0$. In Fig. (b) $k_a = 0.04$, $k_d = 0.04$ and remaining are the same. For the bimodal response, the protein level fluctuate between low and high level among with the noise due to random birth and death of the proteins.

Equation (15), a special case of equation (14). Fig. A1 (a) shows the distribution of protein $p(n)$ versus n plot for $r_3 = 100$, $r_4 = 10$ and for $r_1, r_2 > 1$. Fig. A1(b) shows the same plot but with different values of r_1 and r_2 with $r_1, r_2 < 1$.

Using equation (12) one can easily derive the expression for mean ($\langle n \rangle$) and variance (var) and are given by

$$\langle n \rangle = \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2} r_3 + \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} r_4 \quad (16)$$

$$var = \langle n \rangle \left(1 + \frac{r_1 r_2 (r_3 - r_4)^2}{(r_1 + r_2)(r_1 + r_2 + 1)(r_1 r_3 + r_2 r_4)} \right) \quad (17)$$

In equation (17) the first term appears due to the random birth and death of proteins and the second term appears due to the random transitions between the active and inactive states of the gene. The important point is that as r_4 approaches r_3 the variance due to random gene activation-deactivation process decreases. Thus the variance can be minimum by making $(r_3 - r_4)$ minimum.

The conditions of unimodal ($r_1, r_2 > 1$) and bimodal ($r_1, r_2 < 1$) responses are actually given in Ref.[2] with approximate solution of probability distribution function for protein numbers. The bimodal distribution of protein levels is also known as all-or-none phenomena in cellular system and can be observed without any feedback processes also[4, 5]. Figures shows that the gene expression response depends on the relative values of the parameters rather than the absolute values.

References

- [1] J. Peccoud and B. Ycart, Markovian modeling of gene-product synthesis *Theor. Popul. Biol.* **48**, 222 (1995).
- [2] R. Karmakar and I. Bose, Graded and binary responses in stochastic gene expression *Phys. Biol.* **1**, 197 (2004).
- [3] A. Singh, C. S. Vergas and R.Karmakar, Stochastic analysis and inference of a two-state genetic promoter model *IEEE Xplore* 4563 (2013).
- [4] G. Zlokarnik et al., *Science*, **279** 84 (1998).
- [5] R. Karmakar, Conversion of graded to binary response in an activator-repressor system *Phys. Rev. E.* **81**, 021905 (2010).