

Evolution of interfaces for the non-linear parabolic p -Laplacian type reaction-diffusion equations. II. Fast diffusion vs. absorption

UGUR G. ABDULLA AND ROQIA JELI

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MELBOURNE, FLORIDA 32901

Abstract

We present a full classification of the short-time behaviour of the interfaces and local solutions to the nonlinear parabolic p -Laplacian type reaction-diffusion equation of non-Newtonian elastic filtration

$$u_t - (|u_x|^{p-2}u_x)_x + bu^\beta = 0, \quad 1 < p < 2, \beta > 0$$

If the interface is finite, it may expand, shrink, or remain stationary as a result of the competition of the diffusion and reaction terms near the interface, expressed in terms of the parameters p, β , sign b , and asymptotics of the initial function near its support. In some range of parameters, strong domination of the diffusion causes infinite speed of propagation and interfaces are absent. In all cases with finite interfaces we prove the explicit formula for the interface and the local solution with accuracy up to constant coefficients. We prove explicit asymptotics of the local solution at infinity in all cases with infinite speed of propagation. The methods of the proof are based on nonlinear scaling laws, and a barrier technique using special comparison theorems in irregular domains with characteristic boundary curves. A full description of small-time behaviour of the interfaces and local solutions near the interfaces for slow diffusion case when $p > 2$ is presented in a recent paper *Abdulla & Jeli, Europ. J. Appl. Math.* 28, 5(2017), 827-853.

1 Introduction

Consider the Cauchy problem (CP) for the p -Laplacian type reaction-diffusion equation

$$(1.1) \quad Lu \equiv u_t - (|u_x|^{p-2}u_x)_x + bu^\beta = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < t < T,$$

with

$$(1.2) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $1 < p < 2$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta > 0$, $0 < T \leq +\infty$ and u_0 is non-negative and continuous. Throughout the paper we assume that either $b \geq 0$ or $b < 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$ (see Remark 1). (1.1) is called an equation of non-Newtonian elastic filtration with absorption or reaction [8, 18]. The goal of the paper is to present a full classification of the short-time behavior of the interfaces and local solutions near the interfaces and at infinity in a CP with a compactly supported initial function. The key ingredient of the equation (1.1) is to model competition between the fast diffusion force with infinite speed of propagation property ([8, 9]) and absorption or reaction term. Without loss of generality, it is assumed that $\eta(0) = 0$, where

$$\eta(t) = \sup \{x : u(x, t) > 0\}.$$

More precisely, in all cases with finite interfaces we are interested in the short-time behavior of the interface function $\eta(t)$ and of the local solution near the interface. In all cases with infinite speed of propagation, we aim to classify the asymptotics of the solution at infinity. We use the notation

$$f(y) \sim g(y), \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow y_0$$

instead of

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow y_0} \frac{f(y)}{g(y)} = 1.$$

Furthermore, unless otherwise stated, we shall assume that

$$(1.3) \quad u_0 \sim C(-x)_+^\alpha \text{ as } x \rightarrow 0^- \text{ for some } C > 0, \alpha > 0,$$

where $(\cdot)_+ = \max(\cdot; 0)$. The behaviour of u_0 as $x \rightarrow -\infty$ has no influence on our results. Accordingly, we may suppose that u_0 either is bounded or unbounded with growth condition as $x \rightarrow -\infty$, which is suitable for existence, uniqueness, and comparison results. In some cases we will consider the special case

$$(1.4) \quad u_0(x) = C(-x)_+^\alpha, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Precisely, that will be done in all cases when the solution to the CP (1.1), (1.4) is of self-similar form. In these cases our estimations will be global in time.

A full classification of the small-time behavior of $\eta(t)$ and of the local solution near $\eta(t)$ depending on the parameters p, b, β, C , and α in the case of slow diffusion ($p > 2$) is presented in a recent paper [6]. A similar classification for the reaction-diffusion equation

$$(1.5) \quad u_t - (u^m)_{xx} + bu^\beta = 0$$

is presented in [2] for the slow diffusion case ($m > 1$), and in [3] for the fast diffusion case ($0 < m < 1$). The semilinear case ($p = 2$ in (1.1)) was analyzed in [16, 17]. It should be noted that as in the case of PDE (1.5), the semilinear case is a singular limit of the general case. For instance, if $0 < \beta < 1$, $p - 1 > \beta$, $C > 0$, $\alpha < \frac{p}{p-1-\beta}$, then the interface initially expands and if $p > 2$ then [6]

$$\eta(t) \sim C_1 t^{1/(p-\alpha(p-2))} \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

while if $p < 2$, we prove below that

$$\eta(t) \sim C_2 t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)} \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Formally, as $p \rightarrow 2$ both estimates yield a false result, and from [17] it follows that if $p = 2$, then

$$\eta(t) \sim C_3 (t \log 1/t)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

($C_i, i = \overline{1, 3}$ are positive constants).

The mathematical theory of nonlinear p-Laplacian type degenerate parabolic equations is well developed (see [14]). Throughout this paper we shall follow the definition of weak solutions and of supersolutions (or subsolutions) of the CP (1.1),(1.2) in the following sense:

Definition 1.1. A measurable function $u \geq 0$ is a weak solution (respectively sub- or supersolution) of the CP (1.1), (1.2) in $\mathbb{R} \times [0, T]$ if

- $u \in C_{loc}(0, T; L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R})) \cap L_{loc}^p(0, T; W_{loc}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L_{loc}^{1+\beta}(\mathbb{R}))$
- For \forall subinterval $[t_0, t_1] \subset (0, T)$ and for $\forall \mu_i \in C^1[t_0, t_1]$, $i = 1, 2$ such that $\mu_1(t) < \mu_2(t)$ for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{\mu_1(t)}^{\mu_2(t)} u \phi dx \Big|_{t_0}^{t_1} + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int_{\mu_1(t)}^{\mu_2(t)} (-u \phi_t + |u_x|^{p-2} u_x \phi_x + bu^\beta \phi) dx dt = 0 \text{ (resp. } \leq \text{ or } \geq 0)$$

where $\phi \in C_{x,t}^{2,1}(\overline{D})$ is an arbitrary function (respectively nonnegative function) that equals zero when $x = \mu_i(t)$, $t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$, $i = 1, 2$, and

$$D = \{(x, t) : \mu_1(t) < x < \mu_2(t), t_0 < t < t_1\}$$

- $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} u(x, t) = u_0(x)$, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$

The questions of existence and uniqueness of initial boundary value problems for (1.1), comparison theorems, and regularity of weak solutions are known due to [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 19, 20, 22] etc. Qualitative properties of free boundaries for the quasilinear degenerate parabolic equations were studied via energy methods in [10, 7]. It is proved in [13] that existence, uniqueness, and comparison theorems are valid for the CP (1.1),(1.2) with $b = 0$, $1 < p < 2$ without any growth condition on the initial function u_0 at infinity. In particular, $\alpha > 0$ is arbitrary in (1.4). The same results are true of the CP (1.1),(1.2) with $b > 0$ ([14]). This follows from the fact that the solution of the CP (1.1),




Figure 1: Classification of different cases in the (α, β) plane for interface development in problem (1.1)-(1.4).

(1.2) with $b = 0$ is a supersolution of the CP with $b > 0$, and hence it becomes a global locally bounded uniform upper bound for the increasing sequence of approximating bounded solutions of the CP with $b > 0$.

The organization of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we outline the main results. Section 3 describes some further technical details of the main results. In Section 4, we then apply scale of variables methods for some preliminary estimations which are necessary for using our barrier technique. Finally in Section 5 we prove the results of Section 2. To avoid difficulties for the reader we give explicit values of some of constants which appear in Sections 2, 3 and 5 in the appendix.

Remark 1. We are not considering the case $b < 0, 0 < \beta < 1$ in this paper due to the fact that in general, uniqueness and comparison theorems don't hold for the solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.1), (1.2). Although the methods of this paper can be applied to identify asymptotic properties of the minimal solution at infinity in this case. The methods of this paper can be applied to similar problem for the non-homogeneous reaction-diffusion equations with space and time variable dependent power type coefficients ([21]). It should be also mentioned that modification of the method can be applied to radially symmetric solutions of the multidimensional p -Laplacian type reaction-diffusion equation

$$u_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) + b u^\beta.$$

2 Main Results

Throughout this section we assume that u is a unique weak solution of the CP (1.1)-(1.3). There are five different subcases, as shown in Fig. 1. The main results are outlined below in Theorems 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 corresponding directly to the cases *I, II, III, IV* and *V* in Fig. 1.

Theorem 1. Let $b > 0, 0 < \beta < p - 1, 0 < \alpha < p/(p - 1 - \beta)$. Then, the interface initially expands and for some positive $\delta > 0$

$$(2.1) \quad \zeta_1 t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)} \leq \eta(t) \leq \zeta_2 t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)}, \quad 0 < t \leq \delta,$$

(see Appendix for explicit values of ζ_1, ζ_2). Moreover, for arbitrary $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a positive number $f(\rho)$ depending on C, p and α such that

$$(2.2) \quad u(\xi_\rho(t), t) \sim f(\rho) t^{\frac{\alpha}{p+\alpha(2-p)}} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+$$

where $\xi_\rho(t) = \rho t^{1/(p+\alpha(2-p))}$.

Theorem 2. Let $b > 0, 0 < \beta < p - 1, \alpha = p/(p - 1 - \beta)$ and

$$(2.3) \quad C_* = \left[(b|p - 1 - \beta|^p) / ((1 + \beta)(p - 1)p^{p-1}) \right]^{1/(p-1-\beta)}.$$

Then the interface expands or shrinks accordingly as $C > C_*$ or $C < C_*$ and

$$(2.4) \quad \eta(t) \sim \zeta_* t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)}, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where $\zeta_* \leq 0$ if $C \leq C_*$, and for arbitrary $\rho < \zeta_*$ there exists $f_1(\rho) > 0$ satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad u(\zeta_\rho(t), t) \sim f_1(\rho) t^{1/(1-\beta)} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where $\zeta_\rho(t) = \rho t^{\frac{p-1-\beta}{p(1-\beta)}}$.

Theorem 3. Let $b > 0$, $0 < \beta < p-1$, $\alpha > p/(p-1-\beta)$. Then interface shrinks and

$$(2.6) \quad \eta(t) \sim -\ell_* t^{1/\alpha(1-\beta)} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where $\ell_* = C^{-1/\alpha}(b(1-\beta))^{1/\alpha(1-\beta)}$. For arbitrary $\ell > \ell_*$, we have

$$(2.7) \quad u(\eta_\ell(t), t) \sim [C^{1-\beta} \ell^{\alpha(1-\beta)} - b(1-\beta)]^{1/(1-\beta)} t^{1/(1-\beta)} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where $\eta_\ell(t) = -\ell t^{1/\alpha(1-\beta)}$.

Theorem 4. Let $b > 0$, $0 < \beta = p-1 < 1$, $\alpha > 0$. Then there is an infinite speed of propagation and $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(2.8) \quad t^{1/(2-p)} \phi(x) \leq u(x, t) \leq (t + \epsilon)^{1/(2-p)} \phi(x) \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \infty, 0 \leq t \leq \delta_\epsilon,$$

where $\phi(x)$ solves the ODE problem

$$(2.9a) \quad (|\phi'(x)|^{p-2} \phi'(x))' = \frac{1}{2-p} \phi(x) + b \phi^{p-1}(x)$$

$$(2.9b) \quad \phi(0) = 1, \phi(\infty) = 0.$$

Solution u satisfies the asymptotic formula

$$(2.10) \quad \log u(x, t) \sim -\left(\frac{b}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} x \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Theorem 5. Let either $b > 0, \beta > p-1$ or $b < 0, \beta \geq 1$ or $b = 0$ and

$$(2.11) \quad D = \left(2(p-1)p^{p-1}(2-p)^{1-p}\right)^{1/(2-p)}.$$

Then there is an infinite speed of propagation and (2.2) is valid. If either $b > 0, \beta \geq 2/p$ or $b < 0, \beta \geq 1$ or $b = 0$ then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that for \forall fixed $t \in (0, \delta]$

$$(2.12) \quad u(x, t) \sim Dt^{1/(2-p)} x^{p/(p-2)} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

If $b > 0, 1 \leq \beta < 2/p$, then

$$(2.13) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} ut^{1/(p-2)} x^{\frac{p}{2-p}} = D.$$

If $b > 0, p-1 < \beta < 1$ then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that for arbitrary fixed $t \in (0, \delta]$

$$(2.14) \quad u(x, t) \sim C_* x^{p/(p-1-\beta)} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

3 Further Details of the Main Results

In this section we outline some essential details of the main results described in Theorems 1-5.

Further details of Theorem 1. Solution u satisfies the estimation

$$(3.1) \quad C_1 t^{1/(1-\beta)} (\zeta_1 - \zeta)_+^{p/(p-1-\beta)} \leq u \leq C_* t^{1/(1-\beta)} (\zeta_2 - \zeta)_+^{p/(p-1-\beta)}, \quad 0 < t \leq \delta,$$

where $\zeta = xt^{-(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)}$ and the left-hand side of (3.1) is valid for $0 \leq x < +\infty$, while the right-hand side is valid for $x \geq \ell_0 t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)}$ and the constants C_* , C_1 , ζ_1 , ζ_2 and ℓ_0 are positive and depend only on p, β and b (see Appendix).

A function f is a shape function of the self-similar solution of (1.1),(1.4) with $b = 0$ (see Lemma 7) and

$$(3.2) \quad f(\rho) = C \frac{p}{p+\alpha(2-p)} f_0(C \frac{2-p}{p+\alpha(2-p)} \rho), \quad f_0(\rho) = \omega(\rho, 1),$$

where w is a solution of (1.1), (1.4) with $b = 0$, $C = 1$. Lower and upper estimations for f are given in (3.18), (3.19). If u_0 is defined as in (1.4), then the right-hand sides of (3.1), (2.1) are valid for $0 < t < +\infty$. The explicit formula (2.2) means that the local behavior of the solution along the curves $x = \xi_\rho(t)$ approaching the origin coincides with that of the problem (1.1), (1.4) with $b = 0$. In other words, diffusion completely dominates in this region. However, domination of diffusion over the reaction fails along the curves $x = \zeta_\rho(t) = \rho t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)}$, $\rho > 0$ approaching the origin and the balance between diffusion and reaction in this region governs the interface, as expressed in estimations (3.1), (2.1). We stress the fact that the constants C_1, ζ_1, ζ_2 and ℓ_0 in (3.1), (2.1) do not depend on C and α .

Further details of Theorem 2. Assume that u_0 is defined by (1.4). If $C = C_*$ then u_0 is a stationary solution to (1.1),(1.4). If $C \neq C_*$ the solution to (1.1),(1.4) is of self-similar form

$$(3.3) \quad u(x, t) = t^{1/(1-\beta)} f_1(\zeta), \quad \zeta = xt^{-\frac{p-1-\beta}{p(1-\beta)}}, \quad u(\zeta, 1)$$

$$(3.4) \quad \eta(t) = \zeta_* t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)}, \quad 0 \leq t < +\infty.$$

If $C > C_*$ then the interface expands, $f_1(0) = A_1 > 0$ (see Lemma 9) and

$$(3.5a) \quad C' (\zeta' t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)} - x)_+^{p/(p-1-\beta)} \leq u(x, t) \leq C'' (\zeta'' t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)} - x)_+^{p/(p-1-\beta)},$$

$$(3.5b) \quad \zeta' \leq \zeta_* \leq \zeta'',$$

where $0 \leq x < +\infty, 0 < t < +\infty$ and $C' = C_2$, $C'' = C_*$, $\zeta' = \zeta_3$, $\zeta'' = \zeta_4$ (see Appendix).

If $0 < C < C_*$ then the interface shrinks. There exists a constant $\ell_1 > 0$ such that for arbitrary $\ell \leq -\ell_1$, there exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad u(\ell t^{\frac{p-1-\beta}{p(1-\beta)}}, t) = \lambda t^{1/(1-\beta)}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Moreover, u and ζ_* satisfy (3.5) with $C' = C_*$, $C'' = C_3$, $\zeta' = -\zeta_5 = -\ell_1 + (\lambda/C_*)^{(p-1-\beta)/p} < 0$, $\zeta'' = -\zeta_6$ and the left-hand side of (3.5a) is valid for $x \geq -\ell_1 t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)}$, while the right-hand side is valid for $x \geq -\ell_2 t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)}$ (see Appendix, Lemma 9 and (4.1)).

In general the precise value ζ_* can be found only by solving the similarity ODE $\mathcal{L}^0 f_1 = 0$ (see (5.3b) below) and by calculating $\zeta_* = \sup\{\zeta : f_1(\zeta) > 0\}$.

The right-hand side of (2.5) (respectively (2.4)) relates to the self-similar solution (3.3), for which we have lower and upper bounds via (3.5). If u_0 satisfies (1.3) with $\alpha = p/(p-1-\beta)$, $C = C_*$ then the small-time behavior of the interface and the local solution depends on the terms smaller than $C_* (-x)^{p/(p-1-\beta)}$ in the expansion of u_0 as $x \rightarrow 0^-$.

It should be noted that if $C > C_*$, then the estimation (3.5) coincides with the estimation (2.18) from [6], proved for the case $\beta(p-1) < 1$, $p > 2$. If $0 < C < C_*$ then the right-hand side of estimation (3.5) coincides with (2.18) from [6] proved for the case $\beta(p-1) < 1$, $p > 2$, while the left-hand side of (3.5) is new. It should also be noted that the left-hand side of the estimation (2.18) from [6], proved there for the case $\beta(p-1) < 1$, $p > 2$, is still valid if $p \geq 2 - \beta$.

Further details of Theorem 3. The interface initially coincides with that of the solution

$$\bar{u}(x, t) = [C^{1-\beta} (-x)_+^{\alpha(1-\beta)} - b(1-\beta)t]_+^{1/(1-\beta)}$$

to the problem

$$\bar{u}_t + b\bar{u}^\beta = 0, \quad \bar{u}(x, 0) = C(-x)_+^\alpha.$$

Further details of Theorem 4. The solution of (2.9) is

$$(3.7) \quad \phi(x) = F^{-1}(x), \quad 0 \leq x < +\infty,$$

where $F^{-1}(\cdot)$ is an inverse function of

$$(3.8) \quad F(z) = \int_z^1 \frac{dy}{y[\frac{b}{p-1} + \frac{p}{2(p-1)(2-p)}y^{2-p}]^{1/p}}, \quad 0 < z \leq 1.$$

ϕ satisfies

$$(3.9) \quad \log \phi(x) \sim -\left(\frac{b}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} x \text{ as } x \rightarrow +\infty.$$

and the global estimation

$$(3.10) \quad 0 < \phi(x) \leq e^{-\left(\frac{b}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} x}, \quad 0 \leq x < +\infty.$$

Therefore, for any $\gamma > \left(\frac{b}{p-1}\right)^{1/p}$ we have

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\phi(x)}{e^{-\gamma x}} = +\infty.$$

Respectively, the solution u satisfies

$$(3.12) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u(x, t) e^{\left(\frac{b}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} x} = 0,$$

and for any $\gamma > \left(\frac{b}{p-1}\right)^{1/p}$

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{u(x, t)}{e^{-\gamma x}} = +\infty, \quad 0 < t \leq \delta.$$

Further details of Theorem 5. Let $\beta \geq 1$. Then for an arbitrary sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(3.14) \quad C_5 t^{\alpha/(p+\alpha(2-p))} (\xi_1 + \xi)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \leq u \leq C_6 t^{\alpha/(p+\alpha(2-p))} (\xi_2 + \xi)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \quad x \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \delta,$$

where $\xi = xt^{-1/(p+\alpha(2-p))}$ (see Appendix for the relevant constants). If $b > 0$, $\beta \geq 1$, then the following upper estimation is also valid

$$(3.15) \quad u(x, t) \leq Dt^{1/(2-p)} x^{p/(p-2)} \quad 0 < x < +\infty, \quad 0 < t < +\infty,$$

Let $b < 0$, $\beta \geq 1$. Then for an arbitrary sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(3.16) \quad u(x, t) \leq D(1-\epsilon)^{1/(2-p)} t^{1/(2-p)} x^{p/(p-2)} \quad \text{for } \mu t^{1/(p+\alpha(2-p))} < x < +\infty, \quad 0 < t \leq \delta,$$

with

$$\mu = (D^{-1}(A_0 + \epsilon))^{(p-2)/p} (1-\epsilon)^{-1/p}.$$

From (3.14) and (3.16), (2.12) again follows.

Let $b > 0$, $p-1 < \beta < 1$. Then there exists a number $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(3.17) \quad C_* (1-\epsilon) t^{1(1-\beta)} (\zeta_8 + \zeta)_+^{p/(p-1-\beta)} \leq u(x, t) \leq C_* x^{p/(p-1-\beta)} \quad 0 < x < +\infty, \quad 0 < t \leq \delta.$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is an arbitrary sufficiently small number

As in the case I, the explicit formula (2.2) expresses the domination of diffusion over the reaction. If $\beta \geq 1$, then from (3.14), (2.12), (2.13) it follows that domination of diffusion is the case for $x \gg 1$ as well, and the asymptotic behavior as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ coincides with that of the solution to problem (1.1), (1.4) with $b = 0$ (see below). However, if $p - 1 < \beta < 1$ then domination of the diffusion fails for $x \gg 1$ and there is a solution of (1.1) on the right-hand side of (2.14).

Let $b = 0$. In this case there is an infinite speed of propagation. First, assume that u_0 is defined by (1.4). Then the solution to (1.1), (1.4) has the self-similar form

$$(3.18) \quad u(x, t) = t^{\alpha/(p+\alpha(2-p))} f(\xi), \quad \xi = xt^{-1/(p+\alpha(2-p))},$$

where f satisfies (3.2). Moreover, we have

$$(3.19) \quad Dt^{\alpha/(p+\alpha(2-p))}(\xi_3 + \xi)^{p/(p-2)} \leq u \leq C_7 t^{\alpha/(p+\alpha(2-p))}(\xi_4 + \xi)^{p/(p-2)}, \quad 0 \leq x, t < +\infty.$$

(see Appendix). The right-hand side of (3.19) is not sharp enough as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ and the required upper estimation is provided by an explicit solution to (1.1), as in (3.15). From (3.19) and (3.15) it follows that, for arbitrary fixed $0 < t < +\infty$, the asymptotic result (2.12) is valid. Now assume that u_0 satisfies (1.3) with $\alpha > 0$. Then (2.2) is valid and for an arbitrary sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that the estimation (3.19) is valid for $0 < t \leq \delta$, except that in the left-hand side (respectively in the right-hand side) of (3.19) the constant A_0 should be replaced by $A_0 - \epsilon$ (respectively $A_0 + \epsilon$). Moreover, there exists a number $\delta > 0$ (which does not depend on ϵ) such that, for arbitrary $t \in (0, \delta]$, the asymptotic result (2.12) is valid.

4 Preliminary Results

The following is the standard comparison result ([14])

Lemma 6. *Let either $b \geq 0$ or $b < 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$. Assume that g be a nonnegative and continuous function in \overline{Q} , where*

$$Q = \{(x, t) : \eta_0(t) < x < +\infty, 0 < t < T \leq +\infty\},$$

f is in $C_{x,t}^{2,1}$ in Q outside a finite number of curves $x = \eta_j(t)$, which divide Q into a finite number of subdomains Q^j , where $\eta_j \in C[0, T]$; for arbitrary $\delta > 0$ and finite $\delta_1 \in (\delta, T]$ the function η_j is absolutely continuous in $[\delta, \delta_1]$. Let g satisfy the inequality

$$Lg \equiv g_t - (|g_x|^{p-2} g_x)_x + b g^\beta \geq 0, \quad (\leq 0)$$

at the points of Q , where $g \in C_{x,t}^{2,1}$. Assume also that the function $|g_x|^{p-2} g_x$ is continuous in Q and $g \in L^\infty(Q \cap (t \leq T_1))$ for any finite $T_1 \in (0, T]$. Then g is a supersolution (subsolution) of (1.1). If, in addition we have

$$g \Big|_{x=\eta_0(t)} \geq (\leq) u \Big|_{x=\eta_0(t)}, \quad g \Big|_{t=0} \geq (\leq) u \Big|_{t=0}$$

then

$$g \geq (\leq) u, \quad \text{in } \overline{Q}$$

In the next two lemmas, we establish some preliminary estimations of the solution to CP, the proof of these estimations being based on scale of variables.

Lemma 7. *If $b = 0$ and $1 < p < 2$, $\alpha > 0$, then the solution u of the CP (1.1), (1.4) has the self-similar form (3.18), where the self-similarity function f satisfies (3.2). If u_0 satisfies (1.3) then the solution to the CP (1.1), (1.2) satisfies (2.2).*

The proof of the lemma coincides with the proof of Lemma 5 from [6].

Lemma 8. *Let u be a solution of the (1.1), (1.2) and let u_0 satisfy (1.3). Let one of the following conditions be valid:*

- (a) $b > 0$, $0 < \beta < p - 1 < 1$, $0 < \alpha < \frac{p}{p-1-\beta}$;
- (b) $b > 0$, $0 < p - 1 < 1$, $\beta \geq p - 1$, $\alpha > 0$;

- (c) $b < 0$, $\beta \geq 1$, $0 < p-1 < 1$, $\alpha > 0$.

Then u satisfies (2.2) with the same function f as in Lemma 7.

Lemma 9. Let u be a solution to the CP (1.1), (1.4) with $b > 0$, $0 < \beta < 1$, $p-1 > \beta$, $\alpha = p/(p-1-\beta)$, $C > 0$. Then the solution u has the self-similar form (3.3). There is a constant $\ell_1 > 0$ such that for arbitrary $\ell \in (-\infty, -\ell_1]$ there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that (3.6) is valid. If $0 < C < C_*$ then

$$(4.1) \quad 0 < \lambda < C_* (-\ell)^{p/(p-1-\beta)}.$$

If $C > C_*$ then $f_1(0) = A_1 > 0$ where A_1 depends on p , β , C and b .

Lemma 10. Let u be a solution to the CP (1.1)-(1.3) with $b > 0$, $0 < \beta < 1$, $p-1 > \beta$, $\alpha = p/(p-1-\beta)$, $C > 0$. Then for arbitrary $\ell \in (-\infty, -\ell_1]$ we have

$$(4.2) \quad u(\ell t^{(p-1-\beta)/(p(1-\beta))}, t) \sim \lambda t^{1/(1-\beta)} \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where $\ell_1 > 0$, $\lambda > 0$ are the same as in Lemma 9 and if $0 < C < C_*$ then (4.1) is also valid. If $C > C_*$ then u satisfies

$$(4.3) \quad u(0, t) \sim A_1 t^{1/(1-\beta)} \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where $A_1 = f_1(0) > 0$ (see Lemma 9).

Lemma 11. Let u be a solution to the CP (1.1)-(1.3) with $b > 0$, $0 < \beta < 1$, $p-1 > \beta$, $\alpha = p/(p-1-\beta)$, $C > 0$. Then for arbitrary $\ell > \ell_*$ (see (2.6)), the asymptotic formula (2.7) is valid.

Proof of Lemma 8. The proof for cases (a) and (b) coincides with the proof for case (a) and (b) with $b > 0$ in Lemma 8 of [6]. The proof for (c) coincides (with some modifications) with the proof for case (b) with $b < 0$ in the Lemma 6 for [6]; namely, instead of zero boundary condition on the line $x = -x_\epsilon$ and $x = -k^{1/\alpha} x_\epsilon$ (see (3.17) and (3.18) in [6]) we take

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\pm\epsilon}(-x_\epsilon, t) &= u(-x_\epsilon, t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \delta, \\ u_k^{\pm\epsilon}(-k^{1/\alpha} x_\epsilon, t) &= k u(-x_\epsilon, k^{(\alpha(p-2)-p)/\alpha} t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq k^{\frac{p-\alpha(p-2)}{\alpha}} \delta, \end{aligned}$$

which are used to imply (3.9) from [6]. Moreover, if $\beta > 1$ then to prove uniform boundedness of the sequence $\{u_k^{\pm\epsilon}\}$ we choose

$$g(x, t) = (C+1)(1+x^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (1-\nu t)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_0 = \frac{\nu^{-1}}{2},$$

where ν, h_* are chosen as in [6] and

$$h(x) = (\beta-1)\alpha^{p-1}(C+1)^{p-2}(1+x^2)^{\frac{(\alpha-2)(p-1)-2-\alpha}{2}} x^2 |x|^{p-2} \left(\frac{1+x^2}{x^2} + (p-2) \frac{1+x^2}{|x|^2} + (\alpha-2)(p-1) \right)$$

Then, we have

$$L_{kg} \equiv g_t - (|g_x|^{p-2} g_x)_x + b k^{\frac{\alpha(p-\beta-1)-p}{\alpha}} g^\beta = (C+1)(\beta-1)^{-1} (1+x^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} (1-\nu t)^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} S,$$

where

$$S = \nu - h(x) + b(\beta-1)(C+1)^{\beta-1} k^{\frac{\alpha(p-\beta-1)-p}{\alpha}} (1+x^2)^{\frac{\alpha(\beta-1)}{2}}.$$

Let $R = b(\beta-1)(C+1)^{\beta-1} k^{\frac{\alpha(p-\beta-1)-p}{\alpha}} (1+x^2)^{\frac{\alpha(\beta-1)}{2}}$.

$$(4.4) \quad S \geq 1 + R \text{ in } D_{0\epsilon}^k = D_\epsilon^k \cap \{0 < t \leq t_0\},$$

where

$$R = O(k^{p-2-p/\alpha}) \text{ uniformly for } (x, t) \in D_{0\epsilon}^k \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

while if $\beta = 1$ we take

$$g = (C+1) \exp(\nu t) (1+x^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$$

where

$$\nu = 1 + \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} h(x).$$

$$h(x) = (C+1)^{p-2} \alpha^{p-1} (1+x^2)^{\frac{(\alpha-2)(p-1)-2-\alpha}{2}} x^2 |x|^{p-2} \left(\frac{1+x^2}{x^2} + (p-2) \frac{1+x^2}{|x|^2} + (\alpha-2)(p-1) \right).$$

Then, we have

$$L_{kg} \equiv g_t - (|g_x|^{p-2} g_x)_x + bk^{\frac{\alpha(p-2)-p}{\alpha}} g = (C+1)(1+x^2)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \exp(\nu t) S,$$

where

$$S = \nu - h(x) + bk^{\frac{\alpha(p-2)-p}{\alpha}}.$$

Let $R = bk^{\frac{\alpha(p-2)-p}{\alpha}}$. Since $\alpha(p-2) - p < 0$, then $R \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$.

$$R = O\left(k^{\frac{\alpha(p-2)-p}{\alpha}}\right) \text{ uniformly for } (x, t) \in D_{0\epsilon}^k \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover, we have for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$

$$(4.5a) \quad g(x, 0) \geq u_k^{\pm\epsilon}(x, 0) \text{ for } |x| \leq k^{1/\alpha} |x_\epsilon|,$$

$$(4.5b) \quad g(\pm k^{1/\alpha} x_\epsilon, t) \geq u_k^{\pm\epsilon}(\pm k^{1/\alpha} x_\epsilon, t) \text{ for } 0 \leq t \leq t_0.$$

Hence, $\exists k_0 = k_0(\alpha; p)$ such that for $\forall k \geq k_0$ the comparison theorem implies

$$(4.6) \quad 0 \leq u_k^{\pm\epsilon}(x, t) \leq g(x, t) \text{ in } \bar{D}_{0\epsilon}^k.$$

Let G be an arbitrary fixed compact subset of

$$P = \{(x, t) : x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < t \leq t_0\}.$$

We take k_0 so large that $G \subset D_{0\epsilon}^k$ for $k \geq k_0$. From (4.6), it follows that the sequences $\{u_k^{\pm\epsilon}\}$, $k \geq k_0$, are uniformly bounded in G . As before, from the results of [14, 22] it follows that the sequence of non-negative and locally bounded solutions $\{u_k^{\pm\epsilon}\}$ is locally uniformly Hölder continuous, and weakly pre-compact in $W_{loc}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R} \times (0, T))$. It follows that for some subsequence k'

$$(4.7) \quad \lim_{k' \rightarrow +\infty} u_{k'}^{\pm\epsilon}(x, t) = v_{\pm\epsilon}(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in P.$$

Since $\alpha(p-1-\beta) - p < 0$, passing to limit as $k' \rightarrow +\infty$, from (1.6) for $u_{k'}^{\pm\epsilon}$ it follows that $v_{\pm\epsilon}$ is a solution to the CP (1.1), (1.2) with $b = 0, T = t_0, u_0 = (C \pm \epsilon)(-x)_\pm^\alpha$. From Lemma 7, the required estimation (3.2) follows. \square

Proof of Lemma 9. The first assertion of the lemma is known when $p-1 \geq 1$ (see Lemma 9 of [6]). The proof is similar if $\beta < p-1 < 1$. If we consider a function

$$(4.8) \quad u_k(x, t) = ku(k^{-\frac{p-1-\beta}{p}} x, k^{\beta-1} t), \quad k > 0,$$

It may easily be checked that this satisfies (1.1), (1.4). Since under the conditions of the lemma there exists a unique global solution to (1.1), (1.4) we have

$$(4.9) \quad u(x, t) = ku(k^{-\frac{p-1-\beta}{p}} x, k^{\beta-1} t), \quad k > 0.$$

If we choose $k = t^{1/(1-\beta)}$ then (4.9) implies then (3.3) with $f_1(\zeta) = u(\zeta, 1)$.

To prove the second assertion of the lemma, take an arbitrary $x_1 < 0$. Since u is continuous, there exists $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$(4.10a) \quad (C/2)(-x_1)^{p/(p-1-\beta)} \leq u(x_1, \delta) \text{ for } \delta \in [0, \delta_1]$$

If $C \in (0, C_*)$ then we also choose $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$(4.10b) \quad u(x_1, \delta) < C_* (-x_1)^{p/(p-1-\beta)} \text{ for } \delta \in [0, \delta_1]$$

Choose $k = (t/\delta)^{1/(1-\beta)}$ in (4.9) and then taking

$$x = -\ell t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)}, \quad \ell = \ell(\delta) = x_1 \delta^{-(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)}, \quad \delta \in (0, \delta_1]$$

we obtain (3.6) with

$$\ell_1 = -x_1 \delta_1^{-(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)}, \quad \lambda = \lambda(\delta) = \delta^{1/(\beta-1)} u(x_1, \delta), \quad \delta \in (0, \delta_1].$$

If $0 < C < C_*$, then (4.1) follows from (4.10b). Let $C > C_*$, to prove that $f_1(0) = A_1 > 0$ it is enough to prove that there exists a $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$(4.11) \quad u(0, t_0) > 0.$$

If $p \geq 2$, (4.11) is a known result (see Lemma 9 of [6]). To prove (4.11) when $\beta < p - 1 < 1$, Consider the function

$$g(x, t) = C_1 (-x + t)_+^{p/(p-1-\beta)}$$

where $C_1 \in (C_*, C)$. If $x < t$ we have

$$Lg = b g^\beta S, \quad S = 1 - \left(\frac{C_1}{C_*}\right)^{p-1-\beta} + \frac{p}{b(p-1-\beta)} C_1^{1-\beta} (-x+t)^{(\beta(1-p)+1)/(p-1-\beta)}.$$

we can choose $x_1 < 0$ and $t_1 > 0$ such that

$$S \leq 0 \quad \text{if } x_1 \leq x \leq t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_1.$$

Since u is continuous, we can also choose $t_1 > 0$ sufficiently small that

$$g(x_1, t) \leq u(x_1, t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq t_1.$$

Moreover

$$g(x, 0) \leq u_0(x) \quad \text{for } x \geq x_1$$

Applying comparison Lemma 6 we have

$$u(x, t) \geq g(x, t) \quad \text{for } x \geq x_1, \quad 0 \leq t \leq t_1,$$

which implies (4.11). The lemma is proved. \square

Lemma 10 may be proved by localization of the proof given in Lemma 9. The proof of Lemma 11 coincides with the proof of Lemma 8 from [6].

5 Proofs of the Main Results

Proof of Theorem 1. The asymptotic estimations (2.2) and (3.2) follow from Lemma 8. Take an arbitrary sufficiently small number $\epsilon > 0$; from (2.2) it follows that there exists a number $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad (A_0 - \epsilon) t^{\frac{\alpha}{p-\alpha(p-2)}} \leq u(0, t) \leq (A_0 + \epsilon) t^{\frac{\alpha}{p-\alpha(p-2)}}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \delta_1,$$

where $A_0 = f(0) > 0$. Consider a function

$$(5.2) \quad g(x, t) = t^{1/(1-\beta)} f_1(\zeta), \quad \zeta = x t^{-(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)}.$$

We have

$$(5.3a) \quad Lg = t^{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}} \mathcal{L}^0 f_1,$$

$$(5.3b) \quad \mathcal{L}^0 f_1 = \frac{1}{1-\beta} f_1(\zeta) - \frac{p-1-\beta}{p(1-\beta)} \zeta f_1'(\zeta) - (|f_1'(\zeta)|^{p-2} f_1'(\zeta))' + b f_1^\beta.$$

For the function f_1 , we take

$$f_1(\zeta) = C_0(\zeta_0 - \zeta)_+^{p/(p-1-\beta)}, \quad 0 < \zeta < +\infty$$

where C_0, ζ_0 are some positive constants. From (5.3b), we then have

$$(5.4) \quad \mathcal{L}^0 f_1 = bC_0^\beta (\zeta_0 - \zeta)_+^{\frac{p\beta}{p-1-\beta}} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{C_0}{C_*} \right)^{p-1-\beta} + \frac{C_0^{1-\beta}}{b(1-\beta)} \zeta_0 (\zeta_0 - \zeta)_+^{\frac{\beta(1-p)+1}{p-1-\beta}} \right\}.$$

To prove a lower estimation, we take $C_0 = C_1, \zeta_0 = \zeta_1$ (see Appendix). Then we have

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{L}^0 f_1 \leq bC_1^\beta (\zeta_1 - \zeta)_+^{\frac{p\beta}{p-1-\beta}} \left\{ 1 - \left(\frac{C_1}{C_*} \right)^{p-1-\beta} + \frac{C_1^{1-\beta}}{b(1-\beta)} \zeta_1^{\frac{p(1-\beta)}{p-1-\beta}} \right\} = 0.$$

From (5.3), it follows that

$$(5.6a) \quad Lg \leq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \zeta_1 t^{\frac{p-1-\beta}{p(1-\beta)}}, \quad 0 < t < +\infty,$$

$$(5.6b) \quad Lg = 0 \quad \text{for } x > \zeta_1 t^{\frac{p-1-\beta}{p(1-\beta)}}, \quad 0 < t < +\infty.$$

Lemma 6 implies that g is a subsolution of (1.1) in $\{(x, t) : x > 0, t > 0\}$. Since $1/(1-\beta) > \alpha/(p-\alpha(p-2))$, it follows from (5.1) that there exists a $\delta_2 > 0$, which does not depend on ϵ , such that

$$(5.7a) \quad g(0, t) \leq u(0, t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \delta_2.$$

We also have

$$(5.7b) \quad g(x, 0) = u(x, 0) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x < +\infty.$$

Now we can fix a particular value of $\epsilon = \epsilon_0$ and take $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$. From (5.6), (5.7) and Lemma 6, the left-hand sides of (3.1), (2.1) follow. To prove an upper estimation, we first use the rough estimation (3.15). The estimation (3.15) is obvious, since by the comparison theorem, $u(x, t)$ may be upper estimated by the solution of (1.1) with $b = 0$. Using (3.15), we can now establish a more accurate estimation. For that, consider a function g with $C_0 = C_*$, $\zeta_0 = \zeta_2$ in $G_{\ell_0, \delta}$, where

$$G_{\ell_0, \delta} = \{(x, t) : \zeta_{\ell_0}(t) = \ell_0 t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)} < x < +\infty, 0 < t \leq \delta\}.$$

From (5.3), (5.4) it follows that

$$(5.8a) \quad Lg \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \zeta_2 t^{\frac{p-1-\beta}{p(1-\beta)}}, \quad 0 < t < +\infty,$$

$$(5.8b) \quad Lg = 0 \quad \text{for } x > \zeta_2 t^{\frac{p-1-\beta}{p(1-\beta)}}, \quad 0 < t < +\infty.$$

Moreover, from (3.15) we have

$$(5.9) \quad u(\zeta_{\ell_0}(t), t) \leq D \ell_0^{p/(p-2)} t^{1/(1-\beta)} = C_* (\zeta_2 - \ell_0)_+^{p/(p-1-\beta)} t^{1/(1-\beta)} = g(\zeta_{\ell_0}(t), t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \delta.$$

By applying Lemma 6 in $G_{\ell_0, \delta}$, the right hand side of (2.1) follows from (5.8), (5.9) and (5.7b).

If u_0 is defined as in (1.4), then the CP (1.1), (1.4) has a global solution and from comparison theorem it follows that the solution may be globally upper estimated by the solution to the CP (1.1), (1.4) with $b = 0$. Hence (5.9) and the right-hand side of (3.1) are valid for $0 < t < +\infty$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. First, assume that u_0 is defined by (1.4). The self-similar form (3.3) follows from Lemma 9. The proof of the estimation (3.5a) when $C > C_*$ and the proof of the right-hand side of (3.5a) when $0 < C < C_*$ (and of the corresponding local ones when u_0 satisfies (1.3)) fully coincides with the proof given in [6] for the case $1 < (p-1) < \beta^{-1}$ (see (2.16) and (2.19) in [6]). To prove the left-hand side of (3.5a), consider a function g from (5.2) with

$$f_1(\zeta) = C_* (-\zeta_5 - \zeta)_+^{p/(p-1-\beta)}, \quad -\infty < \zeta < +\infty$$

From (5.3),(5.4) it follows that

$$(5.10) \quad Lg \leq 0 \quad \text{in } G_{-\ell_1, \infty}$$

Moreover, we have

$$(5.11a) \quad \begin{aligned} u(-\ell_1 t^{(p-1-\beta)/(p(1-\beta))}, t) &= \lambda t^{1/(1-\beta)} = C_*(\ell_1 - \zeta_5)_+^{p/(p-1-\beta)} t^{1/(1-\beta)} \\ &= g(-\ell_1 t^{(p-1-\beta)/(p(1-\beta))}, t), \quad 0 \leq t < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.11b) \quad u(x, 0) = g(x, 0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq x \leq x_0,$$

$$(5.11c) \quad u(x_0, t) = g(x_0, t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq t < +\infty,$$

where $x_0 > 0$ is an arbitrary fixed number. By using (5.10) and (5.11), we can apply Lemma 6 in

$$G'_{-\ell_1, \infty} = G_{-\ell_1, \infty} \cap \{x < x_0\}.$$

Since $x_0 > 0$ is an arbitrary number, the desired lower estimation from (3.5a) follows .

Suppose that u_0 satisfies (1.3) with $\alpha = p/(p-1-\beta)$, $0 < C < C_*$. Then from (4.2), it follows that for an arbitrary sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a number $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(\lambda - \epsilon)t^{1/(1-\beta)} \leq u(-\ell_1 t^{(p-1-\beta)/(p(1-\beta))}, t) \leq (\lambda + \epsilon)t^{1/(1-\beta)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \delta.$$

Using this estimation, the left-hand side of (3.5a) may be established locally in time. The proof completely coincides with the proof given above for the global estimations, except that λ should be replaced by $\lambda - \epsilon$. (3.3) and (3.5a) easily imply (3.4) and (3.5b). \square

Proof of Theorem 3. The asymptotic estimation (2.7) follows from Lemma 11. The proof of the asymptotic estimation (2.6) coincides with the proof given in [6]. In particular, the estimations (4.19) and (4.20) from [6] are true in this case as well. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. The asymptotic estimations (2.2) and (3.2) follow from Lemma 8. From (2.2), (5.1) follows, where we fix a particular value of $\epsilon = \epsilon_0$. The function $g(x, t) = t^{1/(2-p)}\phi(x)$ is a solution of (1.1). Since $1/(2-p) > \alpha/(p + \alpha(2-p))$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u(0, t) &= A_0 t^{\frac{\alpha}{p+\alpha(2-p)}} \geq t^{\frac{1}{2-p}} = \phi(0)t^{\frac{1}{2-p}} = g(0, t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \delta. \\ u(x, 0) &= g(x, 0) = 0, \quad 0 \leq x < \infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from Lemma 6, the left-hand side of (2.8) follows. Let us prove the right-hand side of (2.8). As it was mentioned in Section 2, the right-hand side of (2.8) is valid for $0 < t < +\infty$ if the initial data u_0 from (1.2) vanishes for $x \geq 0$. For all $\epsilon > 0$ and consider a function

$$\begin{aligned} g_\epsilon(x, t) &= (t + \epsilon)^{1/(2-p)}\phi(x), \\ g_\epsilon(0, t) &= (t + \epsilon)^{1/(2-p)}\phi(0) = (t + \epsilon)^{1/(2-p)} \geq \epsilon^{1/(2-p)} \geq \\ &\geq (A_0 + \epsilon)t^{\frac{\alpha}{p+\alpha(2-p)}} = u(0, t), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \delta_\epsilon = [(A_0 + \epsilon)^{-1} \epsilon^{1/(2-p)}]^{-\frac{p+\alpha(2-p)}{\alpha}}, \end{aligned}$$

Due to continuity of g_ϵ and u , $\exists \delta_{1\epsilon} > 0$ such that $g_\epsilon(0, t) \geq u(0, t)$. Since g_ϵ is a solution of (1.1), from the Lemma 6 it follows that

$$(5.12) \quad u(x, t) \leq g_\epsilon(x, t) = (t + \epsilon)^{1/(2-p)}\phi(x), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x < +\infty, 0 \leq t \leq \delta_\epsilon.$$

Integration of (2.9) implies (3.7). Global estimation (3.10) (3.11) (3.12) (3.13) By rescaling $x \rightarrow \epsilon^{-1}x$, $\epsilon > 0$ from (3.7) we have

$$\frac{x}{\epsilon} = \int_{\phi(\frac{x}{\epsilon})}^1 y^{-1} \left[\frac{b}{p-1} + \frac{p}{2(p-1)(2-p)} y^{2-p} \right]^{-1/p} dy.$$

Change of variable $z = -\epsilon \log y$ implies

$$(5.13) \quad x = \mathcal{F}[\Phi_\epsilon(x)],$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(y) = \int_0^y \left[\frac{b}{p-1} + \frac{p}{2(p-1)(2-p)} e^{\frac{(p-2)}{\epsilon} z} \right]^{-1/p} dz,$$

$$\Phi_\epsilon(x) = -\epsilon \log \phi\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right).$$

From (5.13) it follows that

$$(5.14) \quad \Phi_\epsilon(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(x),$$

where \mathcal{F}^{-1} is an inverse function of \mathcal{F} . Since $1 < p < 2$ it easily follows that

$$(5.15) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}(y) = \left(\frac{b}{p-1}\right)^{-1/p} y, \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}^{-1}(y) = \left(\frac{b}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} y,$$

for $y \geq 0$ and convergence is uniform in bounded subsets of \mathbb{R}^+ . From (5.14), (5.15) it follows that

$$(5.16) \quad -\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \epsilon \log \phi\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) = \left(\frac{b}{p-1}\right)^{1/p} x, \quad 0 < x < +\infty.$$

By letting $y = x/\epsilon$ from (5.16), (3.9) follows. Global estimation (3.10), and accordingly also (3.12) (3.13) easily follow from (3.7), (3.8). \square

Proof of Theorem 5. Let either $b > 0, \beta > p-1$ or $b < 0, \beta \geq 1$. The asymptotic estimations (2.2) and (3.2) follow from Lemma 8. Take an arbitrary sufficiently small number $\epsilon > 0$. From (2.2), it follows that there exists a number $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\epsilon) > 0$ such that (5.1) is valid. Let $\beta \geq 1$.

Consider a function

$$(5.17) \quad g(x, t) = t^{\alpha/(p+\alpha(2-p))} f(\xi), \quad \xi = xt^{-1/(p+\alpha(2-p))}.$$

We have

$$(5.18a) \quad Lg = t^{(\alpha(p-1)-p)/(p+\alpha(2-p))} \mathcal{L}_t f$$

$$(5.18b) \quad \mathcal{L}_t f = \frac{\alpha}{p+\alpha(2-p)} f - \frac{1}{p+\alpha(2-p)} \xi f' - (|f'|^{p-2} f')' + bt^{(p-\alpha(p-1-\beta))/(p-\alpha(p-2))} f^\beta.$$

As a function f we take

$$(5.19) \quad f(\xi) = C_0(\xi_0 + \xi)^{-\gamma_0}, \quad 0 \leq \xi < +\infty$$

where C_0, ξ_0, γ_0 are some positive constants. Taking $\gamma_0 = p/(2-p)$ from (5.18b) we have

$$(5.20a) \quad \mathcal{L}_t f = (p+\alpha(2-p))^{-1} C_0(\xi_0 + \xi)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \times \left[R(\xi) + bt^{(p-\alpha(p-1-\beta))/(p-\alpha(p-2))} (p+\alpha(2-p)) C_0^{\beta-1} (\xi_0 + \xi)^{\frac{p(1-\beta)}{2-p}} \right]$$

$$(5.20b) \quad R(\xi) = [\alpha - 2(p-1)p^{p-1}(p+\alpha(2-p))(2-p)^{-p} C_0^{p-2} + p(2-p)^{-1} \xi(\xi_0 + \xi)^{-1}].$$

To prove an upper estimation, we take $C_0 = C_6, \xi_0 = \xi_2$ (see Appendix). Then we have

$$(5.21) \quad R(\xi) \geq \alpha(\mu_b - 1)\mu_b^{-1}$$

From (5.20), (5.21) it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}_t f \geq 0 \quad \text{for } \xi \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \delta_2,$$

where

$$\delta_2 = \delta_1 \quad \text{if } b > 0, \quad \delta_2 = \min(\delta_1, \delta_3) \quad \text{if } b < 0$$

and

$$\delta_3 = [\alpha \epsilon (A_0 + \epsilon)^{1-\beta} (-b(p + \alpha(2-p))(1 + \epsilon))^{-1}]^{(p+\alpha(2-p))/(p+\alpha(\beta+1-p))}$$

Hence, from (5.18) we have

$$(5.22) \quad Lg \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x < +\infty, \quad 0 < t \leq \delta_2.$$

From (5.1) and Lemma 6, the right-hand side of (3.14) follows with $\delta = \delta_2$. To prove a lower estimation in this case, we take $C_0 = C_5$, $\xi_0 = \xi_1$. If $b > 0$ and $\beta < 2/p$ we derive from (5.20) that

$$(5.23a) \quad \begin{aligned} R(\xi) &\leq \alpha + p(2-p)^{-1} - 2(p-1)p^{p-1}(p + \alpha(2-p))(p-2)^{-p} C_5^{p-2} \\ &= -(p + \alpha(2-p))((2-p)(1-\epsilon))^{-1} \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.23b) \quad \mathcal{L}_t f \leq 0 \quad \text{for } \xi \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \delta_4,$$

where $\delta_4 = \min(\delta_1, \delta_5)$ and

$$\delta_5 = [(A_0 - \epsilon)^{1-\beta} (b(2-p)(1-\epsilon))^{-1} \epsilon]^{(p+\alpha(2-p))/(p+\alpha(\beta+1-p))}.$$

From (5.18) it follows that

$$(5.24) \quad Lg \leq 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x < +\infty, \quad 0 < t \leq \delta_4.$$

If either $b > 0$, $\beta \geq 2/p$ or $b < 0$, $\beta \geq 1$, from (5.20) we have

$$(5.25a) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_t f &= (p + \alpha(2-p))^{-1} C_5 (\xi_1 + \xi)^{\frac{2}{p-2}} \\ &\times \left[R_1(\xi) + b t^{(p-\alpha(p-1-\beta))/(p-\alpha(p-2))} (p + \alpha(2-p)) C_5^{\beta-1} (\xi_1 + \xi)^{\frac{(2-p\beta)}{2-p}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$(5.25b) \quad \begin{aligned} R_1(\xi) &= [\alpha - 2(p-1)p^{p-1}(p + \alpha(2-p))(2-p)^{-p} C_5^{p-2}] (\xi_1 + \xi) + p(2-p)^{-1} \xi \\ &= -p(2-p)^{-1} \xi_1, \end{aligned}$$

which again imply (5.23b), where $\delta_4 = \delta_1$ if $b < 0$, $\delta_4 = \min(\delta_1, \delta_5)$ if $b > 0$ and

$$\delta_5 = [p(b(p + \alpha(2-p))(2-p))^{-1} (A_0 - \epsilon)^{1-\beta}]^{(p+\alpha(2-p))/(p+\alpha(\beta+1-p))}.$$

As before (5.24) follows from (5.25b). From (5.1), and Lemma 6, the left-hand side of (3.14) follows with $\delta = \delta_4$. Thus we have proved (3.15) with $\delta = \min(\delta_2, \delta_4)$.

Let $b > 0$, $\beta \geq 1$. The upper estimation of (3.15) is an easy consequence of Lemma 6, since the right-hand side of it is a solution of Eq.(1.1) with $b = 0$. Let $b > 0$ and $\beta \geq 2/p$. Now we can fix a particular value of $\epsilon = \epsilon_0$ and take $\delta = \delta(\epsilon_0) > 0$ in (3.14). Then from the left-hand side of (3.14) and (3.15), the asymptotic result (2.12) follows. However, if $b > 0$, $1 \leq \beta < 2/p$, from (3.14) and (3.15) it follows that for \forall fixed $t \in (0, \delta(\epsilon))$

$$D(1-\epsilon)^{1/(2-p)} \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u t^{1/(p-2)} x^{\frac{p}{2-p}} \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u t^{1/(p-2)} x^{\frac{p}{2-p}} \leq D,$$

which easily implies (2.13) in view of arbitrariness of ϵ .

We now let $b < 0$, $\beta \geq 1$ and prove (3.16). Consider a function

$$\bar{g}(x, t) = D(1 - \epsilon)^{1/(p-2)} t^{1/(2-p)} x^{p/(p-2)}$$

in $G = \{(x, t) : \mu t^{1/(p+\alpha(2-p))} < x < +\infty, 0 < t \leq \delta\}$, where μ is defined as in (3.16). Let $g(x, t) = \bar{g}(x, t)$ for $(x, t) \in \bar{G} \setminus (0, 0)$ and $g(0, 0) = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} Lg &= D(2-p)^{-1}(1-\epsilon)^{(1/(p-2)} t^{(p-1)/(2-p)} x^{p/(p-2)} \mathcal{G} \quad \text{in } G \\ \mathcal{G} &= \epsilon + b(2-p)D^{\beta-1}(1-\epsilon)^{(\beta-1)/(p-2)} t^{(\beta+1-p)/(2-p)} x^{p(\beta-1)/(p-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

We then derive

$$\mathcal{G} \geq \epsilon + b(2-p)D^{\beta-1}(1-\epsilon)^{(\beta-1)/(p-2)} \mu^{p(\beta-1)/(p-2)} t^{(p+\alpha(\beta+1-p))/(p+\alpha(2-p))} \quad \text{in } G.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &\geq 0 \quad \text{in } G, \quad \text{for } \delta \in (0, \delta_0] \\ \delta_0 &= \left[(-b(2-p))^{-1} D^{1-\beta} (1-\epsilon)^{(1-\beta)/(p-2)} \mu^{p(1-\beta)/(p-2)} \epsilon \right]^{(p+\alpha(2-p))/(p+\alpha(\beta+1-p))}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$(5.26a) \quad Lg \geq 0 \quad \text{in } G.$$

Moreover, we have

$$g|_{x=\mu t^{1/(p+\alpha(2-p))}} = (A_0 + \epsilon) t^{\alpha/(p+\alpha(2-p))} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \delta.$$

From(3.14), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u|_{x=\mu t^{1/(p+\alpha(2-p))}} &\leq C_6(\xi_2 + \mu)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} t^{\alpha/(p+\alpha(2-p))} \\ &\leq (A_0 + \epsilon) t^{\alpha/(p+\alpha(2-p))} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(5.26b) \quad g \geq u \quad \text{on } \bar{G} \setminus G,$$

From (5.26), and Lemma 6, the desired estimation (3.16) follows. Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, from the left-hand side of (3.14) and (3.16) the asymptotic result (2.12) follows as before.

Let $b > 0$, $p-1 < \beta < 1$. The left-hand side of (3.17) may be proved as the left-hand side of (3.1) was earlier. The only difference is that we take $f_1(\zeta) = C_*(1-\epsilon)(\zeta_8 + \zeta_+)^{p/(p-1-\beta)}$ in (5.2), (5.3). The right-hand side of (3.17) is almost trivial, since $C_* x^{p/(p-1-\beta)}$ is a stationary solution of Eq. (1.1). The important point in (3.17) is that $\delta > 0$ does not depend on $\epsilon > 0$. This is clear from the analysis involved in the proof of the similar estimation (3.1). From (3.17), it follows that \forall fixed $t \in (0, \delta]$, we have

$$C_*(1-\epsilon) \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u x^{p/(\beta+1-p)} \leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} u x^{p/(\beta+1-p)} \leq C_*.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, (2.14) easily follows.

Assume now that $b = 0$. First consider the case when u_0 is defined by (1.4). The self-similar form (3.18) and the formula (3.2) follow from Lemma 7. To prove (3.19), consider a function g from (5.17), which satisfies (5.18) with $b = 0$. As a function f we take (5.19) with $\gamma_0 = p/(2-p)$. Then we drive (5.20) with $b = 0$. To prove an upper estimation we take $C_0 = C_7$, $\xi_0 = \xi_4$ and from (5.20b) we have

$$R(\xi) \geq [\alpha - 2p^{p-1}(p-1)(p+\alpha(2-p))(2-p)^{-p} C_7^{p-2}] = 0,$$

which implies (5.22) with $\delta_2 = +\infty$. As before, from (5.22) and Lemma 6, the right-hand side of (3.19) follows. The left-hand side of (3.19) may be established similarly if we take $C_0 = D$, $\xi_0 = \xi_3$. To prove the estimation (3.15), consider

$$g_\mu(x, t) = D(t + \mu)^{1/(2-p)} (x + \mu)^{p/(p-2)}, \quad \mu > 0,$$

which is a solution of Eq.(1.1) for $x > 0$, $t > 0$. Since

$$g_\mu(0,t) \geq D\mu^{(p-1)/(p-2)} \geq u(0,t) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq T(\mu) = [DA_0^{-1}\mu^{(p-1)/(p-2)}]^{(p+\alpha(2-p))/\alpha},$$

the comparison Lemma 6 implies

$$u(x,t) \leq g_\mu(x,t) \quad \text{for } 0 < x < +\infty, 0 \leq t \leq T(\mu).$$

In the limit as $\mu \rightarrow 0+$, we can easily derive (3.15). Finally, from (3.19) and (3.15) it easily follows that for an arbitrary fixed $0 < t < +\infty$, the asymptotic formula (2.12) is valid. If u_0 satisfies (1.3) with $\alpha > 0$, then (2.2) and (5.1) follow from Lemma 7. Similarly, we can then prove that for an arbitrary sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that (3.19) is valid for $0 \leq t \leq \delta(\epsilon)$, except that in the left-hand side (respectively in the right-hand side) of (3.19) the constant A_0 is replaced by $A_0 - \epsilon$ (respectively by $A_0 + \epsilon$). Then we can fix a particular value of $\epsilon = \epsilon_0$ and let $\delta = \delta(\epsilon_0) > 0$. Obviously, from the local analog of (3.19) and (3.15) it follows that, for arbitrary fixed $t \in (0, \delta]$, the asymptotic formula (2.12) is valid. \square

6 Conclusion

This paper presents a full classification of the short-time behavior of the interfaces and local solutions near the interfaces or at infinity in the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear parabolic p -Laplacian type reaction-diffusion equation of non-Newtonian elastic filtration in the fast diffusion regime:

$$u_t = (|u_x|^{p-2}u_x)_x - bu^\beta = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < t < T, 1 < p < 2, \beta > 0; \quad u(x,0) \sim C(-x)_+^\alpha, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow 0^-,$$

and either $b \geq 0$ or $b < 0, \beta \geq 1$. The classification is based on the relative strength of the diffusion and absorption forces. The following are the main results:

- If $b > 0, 0 < \beta < p - 1, 0 < \alpha < p/(p - 1 - \beta)$, then diffusion weakly dominates over the absorption and the interface expands with asymptotics

$$\eta(t) \sim \gamma(C, p, \alpha)t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

- If $b > 0, 0 < \beta < p - 1, \alpha = p/(p - 1 - \beta)$, then diffusion and absorption are in balance, and there is a critical value C_* such that the interface expands or shrinks accordingly as $C > C_*$ or $C < C_*$ and

$$\eta(t) \sim \zeta_*(C, p)t^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)}, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where $\zeta_* \leq 0$ if $C \leq C_*$.

- If $b > 0, 0 < \beta < p - 1, \alpha > p/(p - 1 - \beta)$, then absorption strongly dominates over diffusion and the interface shrinks with asymptotics

$$\eta(t) \sim -\ell_*(C, \alpha, p, \beta)t^{1/\alpha(1-\beta)} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

- $b > 0, 0 < \beta = p - 1 < 1, \alpha > 0$, then domination of the diffusion over absorption is moderate, there is an infinite speed of propagation, and the solution has exponential decay at infinity.

- If either $b > 0, \beta > p - 1$ or $b < 0, \beta \geq 1$, then diffusion strongly dominates over the absorption, and the solution has power type decay at infinity independent of $\alpha > 0$, which coincides with the asymptotics of the fast diffusion equation ($b = 0$).

Acknowledgment

The authors thank anonymous referee for valuable comments and suggestions which improved the paper.

References

- [1] Abdulla, U.G. (2000) Reaction–diffusion in irregular domains. *Journal of Differential Equations*, **164**, 321–354.
- [2] Abdulla, U.G. & King, J.R. (2000) Interface development and local solutions to reaction-diffusion equations. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, **32**, 235–260.
- [3] Abdulla, U.G. (2002) Evolution of interfaces and explicit asymptotics at infinity for the fast diffusion equation with absorption. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, **50**, 541–560.
- [4] Abdulla, U.G. (2001) On the Dirichlet problem for the nonlinear diffusion equation in non-smooth domains. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, **260**, 384–403.
- [5] Abdulla, U.G. (2005) Well-posedness of the Dirichlet problem for the non-linear diffusion equation in non-smooth domains. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **357**, 247–265.
- [6] Abdulla, U.G. & Jeli, R. (2017) Evolution of interfaces for the non-linear parabolic p -Laplacian type reaction-diffusion equations. *European Journal of Applied Mathematics*, **28**, 5, 827–853.
- [7] Antontsev, S. N. & Díaz, J. I. & Shmarev, S. (2012) Energy methods for free boundary problems: Applications to nonlinear PDEs and fluid mechanics. **48**. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [8] Barenblatt, G. I. (1952) On some unsteady motions of a liquid and gas in a porous medium. *Prikl. Mat. Mekh*, **16**, 67–78.
- [9] Barenblatt, G. I. (1996) Scaling, self-similarity, and intermediate asymptotics. *Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics*, **14**, xxii+386. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [10] Diaz, J.I. (1985) Nonlinear partial differential equations and free boundaries. Volume I. *Research Notes in Mathematics*, **106**, Pitman, Boston, 323 pp.
- [11] DiBenedetto, E. (1986) On the local behaviour of solutions of degenerate parabolic equations with measurable coefficients. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, **13**, 487–535.
- [12] DiBenedetto, E. & Herrero, M. A. (1989) On the Cauchy problem and initial traces for a degenerate parabolic equation. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **314**, 187–224.
- [13] DiBenedetto, E. & Herrero, M. A. (1990) Nonnegative solutions of the evolution p -Laplacian equation. Initial traces and Cauchy problem when $1 < p < 2$. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **111**, 225–290.
- [14] DiBenedetto, E. (1993) Degenerate parabolic equations. *Universitext*, xvi+387. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [15] Esteban, J. R. & Vázquez, J. L. (1986) On the equation of turbulent filtration in one-dimensional porous media. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, **10**, 1303–1325.
- [16] Grundy, R. E. & Peletier, L. A. (1987) Short time behaviour of a singular solution to the heat equation with absorption. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **107**, 271–288.
- [17] Grundy, R. E. & Peletier, L. A. (1990) The initial interface development for a reaction-diffusion equation with power-law initial data. *Quarterly journal of mechanics and applied mathematics*, **43**, 535–559.
- [18] Kalashnikov, A. S. (1987) Some problems of the qualitative theory of non-linear degenerate second-order parabolic equations. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, **42**, 169.
- [19] Kalashnikov, A. S. (1978) On a nonlinear equation appearing in the theory of non-stationary filtration. *Trudy Sem. Petrovsk*, **5**, 60–68.
- [20] Kalashnikov, A. S. (1982) Propagation of perturbations in the first boundary value problem for a degenerate parabolic equation with a double nonlinearity. *Trudy Sem. Petrovsk.*, **8**.
- [21] Shmarev, S. & Vdovin, V. & Vlasov, A. (2015) Interfaces in diffusion–absorption processes in nonhomogeneous media. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, **118**, 360–378.

- [22] Tsutsumi, M. (1988) On solutions of some doubly nonlinear degenerate parabolic equations with absorption.
Journal of mathematical analysis and applications, **132**, 187–212.

Appendix

We give here explicit values of the constants used in Section 2 in the outline of the results and later in Section 5 during the proof of these results.

(1) $0 < \beta < p - 1$, $0 < \alpha < p/(p - 1 - \beta)$

$$C_1 = ((1 - \beta)/(2 - p))^{1/(p-1-\beta)} C_*$$

$$\zeta_1 = b \frac{p-2}{p^{p(1-\beta)}} (p^{p-1}(p-1))^{1/p} (1 + \beta)^{1/p} (p-1-\beta)^{\frac{\beta(p-1)-1}{p(1-\beta)}} ((2-p)/(1-\beta))^{(2-p)/p(1-\beta)},$$

$$\zeta_2 = b^{(p-2)/p(1-\beta)} (p-1)^{1/p} p^{(p-1)/p} (1 + \beta)^{(2-p)/p(1-\beta)} 2^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)} (2-p)^{\frac{\beta(p-1)-1}{p(1-\beta)}} (1-\beta)(p-1-\beta)^{-1}$$

$$\ell_0 = \frac{p-1-\beta}{1-\beta} \zeta_2,$$

(2) $b > 0$, $0 < \beta < 1$, $\beta < p - 1 < \beta^{-1}$, $\alpha = p(p - 1 - \beta)^{-1}$

$$\zeta_3 = A_1^{\frac{p-2}{p}} ((1-\beta)(1+\beta)p^{p-1}(p-1))^{\frac{1}{p}} (1 + b(1-\beta)A_1^{\beta-1})^{-\frac{1}{p}} (p-1-\beta)^{-1},$$

$$\zeta_4 = (A_1/C_*)^{\frac{p-1-\beta}{p}}, \quad C_2 = A_1 \zeta_3^{-\frac{p}{p-1-\beta}},$$

$$\zeta_5 = \ell_1 - (\lambda/C_*)^{(p-1-\beta)/p} > 0 \quad (\text{see Lemma 9 and (4.1)})$$

$$\ell_2 = C^{\frac{1+\beta-p}{p}} \left[b(1-\beta)(\delta_*\Gamma)^{-1} \left((1-\delta_*\Gamma) - (1-\delta_*\Gamma)^{1-p} (C/C_*)^{p-1-\beta} \right) \right]^{\frac{p-1-\beta}{p(1-\beta)}},$$

$$\zeta_6 = \delta_*\Gamma \ell_2, \quad \Gamma = 1 - (C/C_*)^{\frac{p-1-\beta}{p}}, \quad C_3 = C(1-\delta_*\Gamma)^{\frac{p}{1+\beta-p}}, \quad \text{where } \delta_* \in (0, 1) \text{ satisfies}$$

$$g(\delta_*) = \max_{[0;1]} g(\delta), \quad g(\delta) = \delta^{\frac{1+\beta(1-p)}{p(1-\beta)}} \left[(1-\delta\Gamma) - (C/C_*)^{p-1-\beta} (1-\delta\Gamma)^{1-p} \right],$$

(5) $\beta > p - 1$

$$D = \left[\frac{2(p-1)p^{p-1}}{(2-p)^{p-1}} \right]^{1/(2-p)}$$

$$\xi_1 = (A_0 - \epsilon)^{(p-2)/p} (1 - \epsilon)^{1/p} D^{(2-p)/p} \text{ if } b > 0, 1 \leq \beta < 2/p,$$

$$\xi_1 = (A_0 - \epsilon)^{(p-2)/p} D^{(2-p)/p} \text{ if either } b > 0, \beta \geq 2/p \text{ or } b < 0, \beta \geq 1,$$

$$C_5 = (A_0 - \epsilon) \xi_1^{p/(2-p)}$$

$$A_0 = f(0) > 0 \quad (\text{see (3.2) and lemma 7})$$

$$\xi_2 = (A_0 + \epsilon)^{(p-2)/p} \left[\frac{2(p-1)p^{p-1}(p+\alpha(2-p))\mu_b}{\alpha(2-p)^p} \right]^{1/p}$$

$$C_6 = \left[\frac{2(p-1)p^{p-1}(p+\alpha(2-p))\mu_b}{\alpha(2-p)^p} \right]^{1/(2-p)}$$

$$\mu_b = 1 \text{ if } b > 0, \quad \mu_b = 1 + \epsilon \text{ if } b < 0,$$

$$\zeta_8 = \left[b(1-\beta)C_*^{\beta-1} (1-\epsilon)^{\beta-1} ((1-\epsilon)^{p-1-\beta} - 1) \right]^{(p-1-\beta)/p(1-\beta)}$$

$$\xi_3 = (A_0/D)^{(p-2)/p}, \quad \xi_4 = \xi_3 (1 + p/\alpha(2-p))^{1/p}$$

$$C_7 = D[1 + p/\alpha(2-p)]^{1/(2-p)}$$