

Some new Karamata type inequalities and their applications to some entropies

Shigeru Furuichi¹, Hamid Reza Moradi², and Akram Zardadi³

¹Department of Information Science, College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University,
3-25-40, Sakurajyousui, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo, 156-8550, Japan.

E-mail: furuichi@chs.nihon-u.ac.jp

²Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University (PNU), P.O.Box, 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran.

E-mail: hrmoradi@mshdiau.ac.ir

³Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University (PNU), P.O.Box, 19395-4697, Tehran, Iran.

E-mail: azardadi1990@yahoo.com

Abstract. Some new inequalities of Karamata type are established with a convex function in this paper. The methods of our proof allow us to obtain an extended version of the reverse of Jensen inequality given by Pečarić and Mičić.

Applying the obtained results, we give reverses for information inequality (Shannon inequality) in different types, namely ratio type and difference type, under some conditions. Also, we provide interesting inequalities for von Neumann entropy and quantum Tsallis entropy which is a parametric extension of von Neumann entropy. The inequality for von Neumann entropy recovers the non-negativity and gives a refinement for the weaker version of Fannes's inequality for only special cases. Finally, we estimate bounds for the Tsallis relative operator entropy.

Keywords : Convex functions, Jensen's inequality, Karamata's inequality, information inequality.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary 47A63, 94A17, Secondary 46L05, 47A60, 15A39.

1 Introduction

We start by recalling some well-known notions which will be used in the sequel. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be two (finite) sequences of real numbers, and let $x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_n$, $y_1 \geq \dots \geq y_n$ denote the components of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} in decreasing order, respectively. The n -tuple \mathbf{y} is said to majorize

\mathbf{x} (or \mathbf{x} is to be majorized by \mathbf{y}) in symbols $\mathbf{x} \prec \mathbf{y}$, if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \quad \text{holds for } k = 1, \dots, n-1, \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i.$$

In what follows, \mathcal{H} means a complex Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . We denote by $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_h$ the real subspace of all self-adjoint operators on \mathcal{H} and by $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$ the set of all positive invertible operators in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_h$. Here the symbol $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$ denotes the identity operator on \mathcal{H} . We write $A \geq 0$ to mean that the operator A is positive. If $A - B \geq 0$, then we write $A \geq B$. A linear map $\Phi : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is positive if $\Phi(A) \geq 0$ whenever $A \geq 0$. It is said to be unital if $\Phi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$. For a real-valued function f of a real variable and a self-adjoint operator $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_h$, the value $f(A)$ is understood by means of the functional calculus for self-adjoint operators. We use the symbol J as an interval on \mathbb{R} in the sequel.

We start with an elegant result as part of the motivation for this paper.

Theorem 1.1. (Karamata's inequality [11]) *Let $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be two n -tuples such that $x_i, y_i \in J$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then*

$$\mathbf{x} \prec \mathbf{y} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_i).$$

The following extension of majorization theorem is due to Fuchs [4]:

Theorem 1.2. ([4]) *Let $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ two decreasing n -tuples such that $x_i, y_i \in J$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), and $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be a real n -tuple such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k p_i y_i \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n-1, \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i y_i. \quad (1.1)$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(y_i). \quad (1.2)$$

The conditions (1.1) are sometimes called p -majorization [1]. See also Section A in Chapter 14 in [12].

As an application of Theorem 1.2, we have the following inequality related to m -th moment:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i (x_i - \bar{x})^m \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (y_i - \bar{y})^m,$$

where $\bar{x} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i$ and $\bar{y} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n p_i y_i$. Indeed, this case satisfies the conditions (1.1) and the function $f(x) = (x - \bar{x})^m$ is convex for $m \geq 1$.

In this paper, we obtain a complementary inequality to Karamata's inequality. It is an extension of the inequality due to Pečarić and Mičić. Let f be a convex function on the interval $[m, M]$,

$(A_1, \dots, A_n), (B_1, \dots, B_n)$ be two n -tuples of self-adjoint operators with $m\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \leq A_i, B_i \leq M\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and p_1, \dots, p_n be positive scalars with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. We prove, among other inequalities, if $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i A_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i B_i$, then for a given $\alpha \geq 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(A_i) \leq \beta + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(B_i),$$

where $\beta = \max_{t \in [m, M]} \{a_f t + b_f - \alpha f(t)\}$ with the notations $a_f = \frac{f(M) - f(m)}{M - m}$ and $b_f = \frac{Mf(m) - mf(M)}{M - m}$ which will be used throughout this paper. Some applications and remarks are given as well.

2 Main Results

In order to prove our main result we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, $A_i \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_h$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) with the spectra in J , and let $\Phi_i : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be positive linear mappings such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$,*

$$f\left(\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i) x, x \right\rangle\right) \leq \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(f(A_i)) x, x \right\rangle. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. It is well-known that if f is a convex function on an interval J , then for each point $(s, f(s))$, there exists a real number C_s such that

$$f(s) + C_s(t - s) \leq f(t), \quad \text{for all } t \in J. \quad (2.2)$$

(Of course, if f is differentiable at s , then $C_s = f'(s)$.)

Fix $s \in J$. Since J contains the spectra of the A_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$, we may replace t in the above inequality by A_i , via a functional calculus to get

$$f(s)\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} + C_s A_i - C_s s \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \leq f(A_i).$$

Applying the positive linear mappings Φ_i and summing on i from 1 to n , this implies

$$f(s)\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} + C_s \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i) - C_s s \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(f(A_i)). \quad (2.3)$$

The inequality (2.3) easily implies, for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$,

$$f(s) + C_s \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i) x, x \right\rangle - C_s s \leq \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(f(A_i)) x, x \right\rangle. \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand, since $\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$ we have $\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i) x, x \rangle \in J$ where $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$. Therefore, we may replace s by $\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i) x, x \rangle$ in (2.4). This yields (2.1). \square

Remark 2.1. It is worth to remark that the inequality (2.1) is the extension of [3, Theorem 1].

We now state our first result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, $A_i, B_i \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_h$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) with the spectra in $[m, M]$, and let $\Phi_i : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) be positive linear mappings such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}) = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(B_i)$, then for a given $\alpha \geq 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(f(A_i)) \leq \beta \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(f(B_i)),$$

where

$$\beta = \max_{t \in [m, M]} \{a_f t + b_f - \alpha f(t)\}. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. Since f is a convex function, for any $t \in [m, M]$ we can write

$$f(t) \leq a_f t + b_f. \quad (2.6)$$

It follows from the inequality (2.6) that

$$f(A_i) \leq a_f A_i + b_f \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Applying a positive linear mapping Φ_i and summing, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(f(A_i)) \leq a_f \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i) + b_f \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

So for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$, we have

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(f(A_i))x, x \right\rangle \leq a_f \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i)x, x \right\rangle + b_f.$$

Whence for a given $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(f(A_i))x, x \right\rangle - \alpha \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(f(B_i))x, x \right\rangle \\ & \leq a_f \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i)x, x \right\rangle + b_f - \alpha f \left(\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(B_i)x, x \right\rangle \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

$$= a_f \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i)x, x \right\rangle + b_f - \alpha f \left(\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i)x, x \right\rangle \right) \quad (2.8)$$

$$\leq \beta,$$

where for the inequality (2.7) we have used Lemma 2.1, and the equality (2.8) follows from the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(B_i)$. Consequently,

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(f(A_i))x, x \right\rangle \leq \beta + \alpha \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(f(B_i))x, x \right\rangle$$

for any $x \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\|x\| = 1$. □

Remark 2.2. If $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is concave function, then the reverse inequality is valid in Theorem 2.1 with $\beta = \min_{t \in [m, M]} \{a_f t + b_f - \alpha f(t)\}$.

Remark 2.3. In Theorem 2.1, we put $m = 0$, $M = 1$, $f(t) = t \log t$, $n = 1$ and $\Phi_i = \frac{1}{\dim \mathcal{H}} \text{Tr}$. $\text{Tr} : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a usual trace. Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t \log t = 0$, we use the usual convention $f(0) = 0$ in standard information theory [2]. Then, we have $a_{t \log t} = b_{t \log t} = 0$ and $\beta = \max_{0 < t \leq 1} (-\alpha t \log t) = \frac{\alpha}{e}$ by easy computations. Therefore, for two positive operators A, B satisfying $\text{Tr}[A] = \text{Tr}[B] = 1$ (then the condition $\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i(B_i)$ is trivially satisfied), we have the following interesting inequality:

$$\alpha H(B) \leq H(A) + \frac{\alpha}{e} \dim \mathcal{H}, \quad (\alpha \geq 0) \quad (2.9)$$

where $H(X) \equiv -\text{Tr}[X \log X]$ is von Neumann entropy (quantum mechanical entropy) [13] for a self-adjoint positive operator X with unit trace. The inequality recovers the non-negativity $H(A) \geq 0$, which is a fundamental property of von Neumann entropy, by taking $\alpha = 0$. Also we obtain the inequality:

$$|H(A) - H(B)| \leq \frac{\dim \mathcal{H}}{e}, \quad (2.10)$$

by taking $\alpha = 1$ in (2.9) and performing a replacement A and B . It may be interesting to compare the inequality given (2.10) and the weaker version of Fannes's inequality [13, (11.45)]:

$$|H(A) - H(B)| \leq \text{Tr}[|A - B|] \log \dim \mathcal{H} + \frac{1}{e}. \quad (2.11)$$

If the dimension of Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is largethen the upper bound of (2.11) is trivially tighter than that of (2.10). When $\dim \mathcal{H} = 1$, both upper bounds coincide. For example, for the simple case $\text{Tr}[|A - B|] = 1$, the upper bound of (2.10) is tighter than that of (2.11) when $\dim \mathcal{H} \leq 5$, while the upper bound of (2.11) is tighter than that of (2.10) when $\dim \mathcal{H} \geq 6$. As a conclusion, the inequality (2.11) gives tighter upper bound than ours in almost cases. That is, our inequality (2.10) gives a refinement for the weaker version of Fannes's inequality for only special cases.

The method given in Remark 2.3 is applicable to a generalized function in the following.

Remark 2.4. We use same setting in Remark 2.3 except for the function $f_r(t) = \frac{t-t^{1-r}}{r}$ for $t > 0$ and $0 < r \leq 1$. Note that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} f_r(t) = t \log t$ so $f_r(t)$ is a parametric generalization of the function $t \log t$ used in Remark 2.3. We easily find $f_r''(t) = (1-r)t^{-r-1} \geq 0$ and $a_{f_r} = b_{f_r} = 0$. Then $\beta = \max_{0 < t \leq 1} g_{r,\alpha}(t)$, where $g_{r,\alpha}(t) \equiv \frac{\alpha}{r}(t^{1-r} - t)$. By easy computations, we have $g'_{r,\alpha}(t) = \frac{\alpha}{r} \{(1-r)t^{-r} - 1\}$ and $g''_{r,\alpha}(t) = -\alpha(1-r)t^{-r-1} \leq 0$. Thus $g_{r,\alpha}$ takes maximum at $t = (1-r)^{\frac{1}{r}}$ and then $\beta = g_{r,\alpha}((1-r)^{\frac{1}{r}}) = \alpha(1-r)^{\frac{1-r}{r}}$. By Theorem 2.1, we thus have

$$\alpha H_r(B) \leq H_r(A) + \alpha(1-r)^{\frac{1-r}{r}} \dim \mathcal{H}, \quad (\alpha \geq 0, 0 < r \leq 1) \quad (2.12)$$

where $H_r(X) \equiv \frac{1}{r} \text{Tr}[X^{1-r} - X] = -\text{Tr}[X^{1-r} \ln_r X]$ defined for a self-adjoint positive operator X with a unit trace, is often called quantum Tsallis entropy. See [6, 7], for example. Note that the function

$\ln_r t \equiv \frac{t^r - 1}{r}$ defined for $t > 0$ is often called r -logarithmic function and uniformly converges to standard logarithmic function $\log t$ in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$. Therefore, the inequality (2.12) recovers the inequality (2.9) in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$, since $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} H_r(A) = H(A)$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (1-r)^{\frac{1-r}{r}} = \frac{1}{e}$. Thus we have the non-negativity $H_r(A) \geq 0$ by taking $\alpha = 0$ and the inequality:

$$|H_r(A) - H_r(B)| \leq (1-r)^{\frac{1-r}{r}} \dim \mathcal{H} \quad (2.13)$$

by taking $\alpha = 1$ and performing a replacement A and B . The inequality (2.13) recovers the inequality (2.10), taking the limit of $r \rightarrow 0$.

Corollary 2.1. Let $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, $A_i, B_i \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_h$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) with the spectra in $[m, M]$, and p_1, \dots, p_n be positive scalars with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i A_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i B_i$, then for a given $\alpha \geq 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(A_i) \leq \beta \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}} + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(B_i),$$

where β is defined as in (2.5).

Proof. We apply Theorem 2.1 for positive linear mappings $\Phi_i : \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ determined by $\Phi_i : X \mapsto p_i X$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). \square

Apply Corollary 2.1 to the function $f(t) = t^r$ for $r \notin (0, 1)$ and $f(t) = -t^r$ for $r \in (0, 1)$, we have the following.

Remark 2.5. Let $A_i, B_i \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) with the spectra in $[m, M]$ and p_1, \dots, p_n be positive numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. Put $h = M/m$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i A_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i B_i$, then for any $r \notin (0, 1)$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i A_i^r \leq K(h, r) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i B_i^r, \quad (2.14)$$

where the generalized Kantorovich constant [10] is defined by

$$K(h, r) = \frac{(h^r - h)}{(r-1)(h-1)} \left(\frac{r-1}{r} \frac{h^r - 1}{h^r - h} \right)^r.$$

In addition, for any $r \notin (0, 1)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i A_i^r \leq C(h, r) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i B_i^r \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$C(h, r) = m^r \left\{ \frac{h - h^r}{h - 1} + (r-1) \left(\frac{h^r - 1}{r(h-1)} \right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} \right\}.$$

Similarly, we have for $r \in (0, 1)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i A_i^r \geq K(h, r) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i B_i^r, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i A_i^r \geq C(h, r) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i B_i^r. \quad (2.16)$$

Therefore, if we set $n = 1$ and $mZ \leq X, Y \leq MZ$ for self-adjoint positive operators X, Y, Z , then we have the following relations:

- For $r \notin (0, 1)$, we have

$$Z\sharp_r X \leq K(h, r)Z\sharp_r Y, \quad Z\sharp_r X \leq C(h, r)Z + Z\sharp_r Y.$$

- For $r \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$Z\sharp_r X \geq K(h, r)Z\sharp_r Y, \quad Z\sharp_r X \geq C(h, r)Z + Z\sharp_r Y.$$

Where $X\sharp_r Y \equiv X^{1/2}(X^{-1/2}YX^{-1/2})X^{1/2}$ for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and one use the standard symbol \sharp_r for $r \in [0, 1]$ and it is called a weighted geometric mean for two positive operators X and Y , inserting $A = Z^{-1/2}XZ^{-1/2}$ and $B = Z^{-1/2}YZ^{-1/2}$ in the inequalities (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16). Thus we have the following relations.

- (i) For $r \geq 1$, we have

$$S_r(Z||X) \leq \frac{K(h, r)Z\sharp_r Y - Z}{r}, \quad S_r(Z||X) \leq \frac{C(h, r)}{r}Z + S_r(Z||Y).$$

- (ii) For $r < 0$, we have

$$S_r(Z||X) \geq \frac{K(h, r)Z\sharp_r Y - Z}{r}, \quad S_r(Z||X) \geq \frac{C(h, r)}{r}Z + S_r(Z||Y).$$

- (iii) For $0 < r < 1$, we have

$$S_r(Z||X) \geq \frac{K(h, r)Z\sharp_r Y - Z}{r}, \quad S_r(Z||X) + \frac{C(h, r)}{r}Z \geq S_r(Z||Y).$$

Here $S_r(X||Y) \equiv \frac{X\sharp_r Y - X}{r} = X^{1/2} \ln_r(X^{-1/2}YX^{-1/2})X^{1/2}$ defined for $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and a self-adjoint positive operators X and Y , is called Tsallis relative operator entropy. See [8, 9], for example.

Taking the limit as $r \rightarrow 0$ in the inequalities (ii) and (iii) above, we have $S_0(Z||X) \geq 0$ and $S_0(Z||X) + S_0(Z||Y) \geq Z$, since $X\sharp_0 Y = X = X\sharp_0 Y$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} K(h, r) = 1$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} C(h, r) = 0$. Where $S_0(X||Y) \equiv X^{1/2} \log(X^{-1/2}YX^{-1/2})X^{1/2}$ is called relative operator entropy and we have the relation $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} S_r(X||Y) = S_0(X||Y)$ by $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \ln_r x = \log x$.

Remark 2.6. When $B_1 = B_2 = \dots = B_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i A_i$, Corollary 2.1 reduces to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(A_i) \leq \beta \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} + \alpha f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i A_i\right)$$

which is well-known in [14, Theorem 3.2].

A commutative version for Corollary 2.1 is straightforwardly obtained in the following.

Corollary 2.2. Let $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, $x_i, y_i \in [m, M]$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), and p_1, \dots, p_n be positive numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. If $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i y_i$, then for a given $\alpha \geq 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(y_i) \leq \beta + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i), \quad (2.17)$$

where β is defined as in (2.5).

Remark 2.7.

(i) It is worth emphasizing that we have not used the condition $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k p_i y_i$ ($k = 1, \dots, n-1$) in Corollary 2.2.

(ii) If one chooses $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i y_i$ in Corollary 2.2, then we deduce

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(y_i) \leq \beta + \alpha f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i y_i\right).$$

Actually, Corollary 2.2 can be regarded as an extension of the reverse of scalar Jensen inequality.

By choosing appropriate α and β , we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.3. Let $f : [m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, $x_i, y_i \in [m, M]$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), and p_1, \dots, p_n be positive numbers with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. If $f(t) > 0$ for all $t \in [m, M]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i y_i$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(y_i) \leq K(m, M, f) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i), \quad (2.18)$$

where $K(m, M, f) = \max\left\{\frac{a_f t + b_f}{f(t)} : t \in [m, M]\right\}$. Additionally,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(y_i) \leq C(m, M, f) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i), \quad (2.19)$$

where $C(m, M, f) = \max\{a_f t + b_f - f(t) : t \in [m, M]\}$.

Remark 2.8. We relax the condition $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i y_i$ in Corollaries 2.2 and 2.3 to $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i y_i$. But we impose on the monotone decreasingness to the function f . We keep the other conditions as they are. Then we also have the inequalities (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19).

Let $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. We here set $m = \epsilon$, $M = 1$, $f(t) = -\log t$, $y_i = q_i$ and $x_i = p_i$ in Corollary 2.3. Then $K(\epsilon, 1, -\log) = \max_{\epsilon \leq t < 1} \left(\frac{\log \epsilon - t - 1}{\epsilon - 1 \log t}\right) = \frac{\log \epsilon}{\epsilon - 1} > 0$, since the function $\frac{t-1}{\log t}$ is monotone increasing on $t \in (0, 1)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \frac{t-1}{\log t} = 1$. We also find $C(\epsilon, 1, -\log) = \max_{\epsilon \leq t \leq 1} g(t)$, where $g(t) \equiv \frac{\log \epsilon}{\epsilon - 1}(1-t) + \log t$. By easy computations, we have $g'(t) = \frac{1}{t} - \frac{\log \epsilon}{\epsilon - 1}$ and $g''(t) = -t^{-2} < 0$, we thus find $g(t)$ takes a maximum value at $t = \frac{\epsilon - 1}{\log \epsilon}$ and it is $C(\epsilon, 1, -\log) = g\left(\frac{\epsilon - 1}{\log \epsilon}\right) = -\log \frac{\log \epsilon}{\epsilon - 1} + \frac{\log \epsilon}{\epsilon - 1} - 1 = \log S(\epsilon)$, where we used the property $S(h^{-1}) = S(h)$ for the Specht ratio $S(h) \equiv \frac{1}{e \log h^{h-1}}$ given in [15] with $h = M/m$.

Under the assumption $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_i$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 1$, we thus obtain the inequalities:

$$-\left(\frac{\epsilon-1}{\log \epsilon}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log q_i \leq -\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log p_i, \quad -\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log q_i - \log S(\epsilon) \leq -\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log p_i.$$

Both inequalities give the reverses of information inequality (Shannon inequality) [2]:

$$H(\mathbf{p}) \equiv -\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log p_i \leq -\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log q_i. \quad (2.20)$$

Here $H(\mathbf{p})$ is often called Shannon entropy (information entropy) where $\mathbf{p} = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$. It may be noted that $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\} = \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\}$ and $\{q_1, q_2, q_3\} = \{\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ satisfy the condition $\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 p_i q_i$, and also $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\} = \{\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ and $\{q_1, q_2, q_3\} = \{\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{4}{5}\}$ satisfy the condition $\sum_{i=1}^3 p_i^2 < \sum_{i=1}^3 p_i q_i$, for example.

Similarly, we set $m = \epsilon$, $M = 1$, $f(t) = -\log t$, $y_i = p_i$ and $x_i = q_i$ in Corollary 2.3. Then we obtain the following inequalities:

$$-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log p_i \leq -\left(\frac{\log \epsilon}{\epsilon-1}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log q_i, \quad -\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log p_i \leq \log S(\epsilon) - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log q_i, \quad (2.21)$$

under the assumption $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$. Since $\frac{\log \epsilon}{\epsilon-1} > 1$ for $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $\log S(\epsilon)$ is decreasing on $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $\log S(\epsilon) > 0$ with $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \log S(\epsilon) = \infty$ and $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 1} \log S(\epsilon) = 0$, both inequalities (2.21) above do not refine the information inequality given in (2.20).

3 Conclusion and discussion

We studied Karamata type inequality in both commutative case and non-commutative case. Firstly, in commutative case, we gave the generalized Karamata type inequality by the use of convexity without the condition $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k p_i y_i$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ as one of main results. As a corollary, we obtained two different type inequalities. By relaxing the condition $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i y_i$ to $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i y_i$ but imposing the monotone decreasingness on the function f , then we obtained two different type inequalities for information inequality (Shannon inequality). We actually showed the existence the probability distributions satisfying the condition $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_i$. Secondly in non-commutative case, we gave Karamata type inequality for a self-adjoint operators and positive linear mappings by the use of convexity, as one of main results. As applications of this result, we gave interesting inequalities for von Neumann entropy and quantum Tsallis entropy. These inequalities interpolate the non-negativity for their entropies and their refinements for the weaker version of Fannes's type inequality. Finally, we estimated the bounds for Tsallis relative operator entropy.

Concluding this article, we try to obtain parametric extended results for Remark 2.8, by the similar application to a generalized function. It is known that we have r -parametric extended information

inequality in the form [5]:

$$H_r(\mathbf{p}) \equiv - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{1-r} \ln_r p_i \leq - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{1-r} \ln_r q_i$$

which can be proven by

$$0 = - \ln_r \sum_{i=1}^n \left(p_i \frac{q_i}{p_i} \right) \leq - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{q_i}{p_i} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i - p_i^{1-r} q_i^r}{r} = - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{1-r} \ln_r q_i + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{1-r} \ln_r p_i.$$

By simple computations, we have Tsallis relative entropy can be deformed as

$$H_r(\mathbf{p}) = - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{1-r} \ln_r p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{p_i}.$$

However the following inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{p_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{q_i} \quad (3.1)$$

does not hold in general, since $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{q_i} \neq - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{1-r} \ln_r q_i$ for $r > 0$, in general.

Therefore it is natural to find constants $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{p_i} \leq c_1 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{q_i}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{p_i} \leq c_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{q_i}.$$

Actually, we can analyze our aimed inequality such as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{p_i} = - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{1-r} \ln_r p_i \leq - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{1-r} \ln_r q_i \leq c_1 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{q_i}.$$

The last inequality in the above holds if there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that $q_i \leq c_1^{\frac{1}{r}} p_i$ for any $r > 0$ and any $i = 1, \dots, n$. Similarly we can analyze our aimed inequality such as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{p_i} = - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{1-r} \ln_r p_i \leq - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{1-r} \ln_r q_i \leq c_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{q_i}.$$

The last inequality in the above holds if there exists c_2 such that $0 < c_2 < \frac{1}{r}$ and $q_i \leq (1 - rc_2)^{\frac{1}{r}} p_i$ for any $r > 0$ and any $i = 1, \dots, n$. For these cases, we can not give the explicit forms for constants c_1 and c_2 and need a condition on two probability distributions. For the cases of c_1 , it is necessary to satisfy $p_i > 0$, at least. For the case c_2 , it is also necessary to satisfy $q_i > 0$, at least. And the constants c_1 and c_2 have to vary to satisfy the corresponding conditions. They are no longer constants. In order to give the explicit forms for constants c_1 and c_2 under a certain condition of the probability distributions $\mathbf{p} = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ and $\mathbf{q} = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$, we apply the method in Remark 2.8. We set $m = \epsilon$, $M = 1$, $y_i = p_i$, $x_i = q_i$ and the function $f_r(t) = \ln_r t^{-1} = \frac{t^{-r}-1}{r}$ for $0 < t < 1$ and $r > 0$. Since the function $\ln_r t \equiv \frac{t^r-1}{r}$ uniformly converges to the usual logarithmic function $\log t$ in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$, $f_r(t)$ converges to $-\log t$ in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$. We easily find $f_r'(t) = -t^{-r-1} \leq 0$, $f_r''(t) = (r+1)t^{-r-2} \geq 0$,

$a_{f_r} = \frac{1-\epsilon^{-r}}{r(1-\epsilon)}$ and $b_{f_r} = \frac{\epsilon^{-r}-1}{r(1-\epsilon)}$. Then $K(\epsilon, 1, f_r) = \max_{\epsilon \leq t < 1} g_r(t)$, where $g_r(t) \equiv \frac{(1-\epsilon^{-r})(t-1)}{(1-\epsilon)(t^{-r}-1)}$. Then we calculate $g'_r(t) = \frac{(1-\epsilon^{-r})t^{r-1}h_r(t)}{(1-\epsilon)(t^{-r}-1)^2}$, where $h_r(t) \equiv r(t-1) - t(t^r-1)$ for $0 < t < 1$ and $r > 0$. Since $h'_r(t) = r+1 - (r+1)t^r$ and $h''_r(t) = -r(r+1)t^{r-1} < 0$ for $r > 0$, we have $h'_r(t) \geq h'_r(1) = 0$ which implies $h_r(t) \leq h_r(1) = 0$. According to the fact $\frac{1-\epsilon^{-r}}{1-\epsilon} \leq 0$ for $\epsilon \ll 1$ and $r > 0$, we find $g'_r(t) \geq 0$. Thus we obtain $K(\epsilon, 1, f_r) = g_r(1) = \frac{\ln_r \epsilon^{-1}}{1-\epsilon} > 0$. We also calculate $C(\epsilon, 1, f_r) = \max_{\epsilon \leq t < 1} g_r(t)$, where $g_r(t) \equiv \frac{\ln_r \epsilon^{-1}}{1-\epsilon}(1-t) - \ln_r t^{-1}$. We easily find $g'_r(t) = \frac{\ln_r \epsilon^{-1}}{\epsilon-1} + t^{-r-1}$ and $g''_r(t) = -(r+1)t^{-r-2} \leq 0$ so that $g_r(t)$ takes a maximum at $t = \left(\frac{1-\epsilon}{\ln_r \epsilon^{-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}}$ and

$$C(\epsilon, 1, f_r) = g\left(\left(\frac{1-\epsilon}{\ln_r \epsilon^{-1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}}\right) = \frac{\ln_r \epsilon^{-1}}{1-\epsilon} - \left(\frac{\ln_r \epsilon^{-1}}{1-\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{r}{r+1}} - \ln_r \left(\frac{\ln_r \epsilon^{-1}}{1-\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{1}{r+1}} \equiv ls_r(\epsilon).$$

Thus we have the following inequalities with $c_1 = \frac{\ln_r \epsilon^{-1}}{1-\epsilon}$ and $c_2 = ls_r(\epsilon)$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{p_i} \leq \left(\frac{\ln_r \epsilon^{-1}}{1-\epsilon}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{q_i}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{p_i} \leq ls_r(\epsilon) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{q_i}, \quad (3.2)$$

under the assumption $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2$. Thus we obtained two parametric extended inequalities (difference type and ratio type) under a certain assumption, with two constants c_1 and c_2 , although the inequalities do not hold in general for the cases $c_1 = 1$ or $c_2 = 0$. This means our established mathematical tool, namely Corollary 2.2 is applicable to obtain some results in natural science. These inequalities (3.2) recover the inequalities (2.21) in the limit $r \rightarrow 0$. The reverse inequalities for a kind of the inequality (3.1) are similarly obtained in the following:

$$\left(\frac{1-\epsilon}{\ln_r \epsilon^{-1}}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{q_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{p_i}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{q_i} - ls_r(\epsilon) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \ln_r \frac{1}{p_i},$$

under the assumption $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_i$.

Finally, we study elementary properties on two constants c_1 and c_2 . In the sequel, we often denote c_1 and c_2 for simplicity, although they are the functions of ϵ such as $c_1 := c_1(\epsilon)$ and $c_2 := c_2(c_1(\epsilon))$. Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $r > 0$. We firstly find that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} c_1 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\ln_r \epsilon^{-1}}{1-\epsilon} = \frac{\log \epsilon}{\epsilon-1} = K(\epsilon, 1, -\log)$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} c_2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} ls_r(\epsilon) = \log S(\epsilon) = C(\epsilon, 1, -\log)$. The constant $ls_r(\epsilon)$ can be regarded as a parametric extension of $\log S(\epsilon)$. We find $\frac{dc_1(\epsilon)}{d\epsilon} = \frac{\epsilon^{-r-1} \{-\epsilon^{r+1} + (r+1)\epsilon - r\}}{r(\epsilon^r - 1)^2} \leq 0$ so that we have $c_1 = c_1(\epsilon) > c_1(1) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 1} = 1$. The proof of $\frac{dc_1}{d\epsilon} \leq 0$ can be done by putting $k_r(\epsilon) \equiv -\epsilon^{r+1} + (r+1)\epsilon - r$ and calculating $k'_r(\epsilon) = (r+1)(1-\epsilon^r) \geq 0$ with $k_r(\epsilon) \leq k_r(1) = 0$. Since $ls_r(\epsilon) = c_1 - c_1^{\frac{r}{r+1}} - \ln_r c_1^{\frac{1}{r+1}}$, we consider the function $l(c_1) = c_1 - c_1^{\frac{r}{r+1}} - \ln_r c_1^{\frac{1}{r+1}}$ for $c_1 > 1$. Since $\frac{dl(c_1)}{dc_1} = 1 - c_1^{-\frac{r}{r+1}} \geq 0$ for $r > 0$ and $c_1 > 1$. Thus we have $l(c_1) \geq l(1) = 0$ and we have $\lim_{c_1 \rightarrow 0} l(c_1) = \frac{1}{r}$ for $0 < r < \infty$. Therefore we have $0 \leq ls_r(\epsilon) \leq \frac{1}{r}$.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee for helping them to clarify the presentation of this paper. The author (S.F.) was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16K05257.

References

- [1] K. W. Cheng, *Majorization: Its extensions and preservation theorems*, Tech. Rep., No.121, Department of Statistics, Stanford University, 1977.
- [2] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of information theory*, Wiley and Sons, Second edition, 2006.
- [3] S. S. Dragomir, *A weakened version of Davis–Choi–Jensen’s inequality for normalised positive linear maps*, *Proyecciones.*, **36**,81 (2017).
- [4] L. Fuchs, *A new proof of an inequality of Hardy–Littlewood–Pólya*, *Mat. Tidssker.*, **13**, 53(1947).
- [5] S. Furuichi, *Fundamental properties of Tsallis relative entropy*, *J. Math. Phys.*, **45**, 4868(2004).
- [6] S. Furuichi, *Trace inequalities in nonextensive statistical mechanics*, *Linear Alg. Appl.*, **418**, 821(2006).
- [7] S. Furuichi, *Matrix trace inequalities on the Tsallis entropy*, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **9**, 1(2008).
- [8] S. Furuichi, K. Yanagi and K. Kuriyama, *A note on operator inequalities of Tsallis relative operator entropy*, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **407**, 19(2005).
- [9] S. Furuichi and N. Minculete, *Inequalities for relative operator entropies and operator means*, *Acta Math. Vietnam.*, **43**, 607(2018).
- [10] T. Furuta, J. Mičić, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Mond–Pečarić method in operator inequalities*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [11] J. Karamata, *Sur une inégalité relative aux fonctions convexes*, *Publ. Math. Univ. Belgrade.*, **1**, 145(1932).
- [12] A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, *Inequalities: Theory of majorization and its applications*, Academic Press, 1979.
- [13] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum computation and quantum information*, Cambridge University Press, 2000.

- [14] J. Pečarić and J. Mičić, *Some functions reversing the order of positive operators*, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **396**, 175(2005).
- [15] W. Specht, *Zur Theorie der elementaren Mittel*, *Math. Z.*, **74**, 91(1960).