

Comments on the left-right entanglement entropy for crosscap states in Rational Conformal Field Theory

Hugo García-Compeán^{a1}, Norma Quiroz^{b2}

^a*Departamento de Física, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN
P.O. Box 14-740, CP. 07000, México D.F., México*

^b*División de Ciencias Exactas, Naturales y Tecnológicas
CuSur, Universidad de Guadalajara*

Enrique Arreola Silva No. 883, Centro, 49000 Cd Guzman, Jal, México

We compute the left-right entanglement entropy for crosscap states in unoriented rational conformal field theories. The crosscaps considered here preserve a diagonal subalgebra of the full symmetry algebra. The entropy for some minimal models is explicitly given.

¹e-mail address: compean@fis.cinvestav.mx

²e-mail address: norma.quiroz@cusur.udg.mx

1 Introduction

Entanglement is a quantum feature that has become to be very important in the study of diverse phenomena in string theory and condensed matter physics. In particular, the measure of entanglement by the entropy has been a relevant idea from the theoretical point of view. Left-right entanglement entropy (LREE) in $2d$ -Conformal Field Theory (CFT) is the entanglement entropy between the left(holomorphic) - and right(antiholomorphic) modes defining the boundary state in a boundary CFT [1–3]. It seems that this measure of entanglement allows one to compute in a simplest way the spatial entanglement entropy of a bulk theory by means of a bulk-boundary correspondence. In particular the LREE seems to detect the topological entropy of the system in question. This fact has been explored in [3, 4], where the LREE of boundary states in $(1 + 1)$ - d RCFT was studied. Specially, the LREE for an Ishibashi state of a diagonal CFT over a circle of circumference ℓ was found to be $S = \pi c\ell/24\epsilon + \log(d_j/\mathcal{D})$, where ϵ is an UV cut-off and d_j and \mathcal{D} are the quantum dimensions of the theory. Using the bulk-boundary correspondence, this LREE is equivalent to the spatial entanglement entropy in $(2 + 1)$ - d Topological Quantum Field Theory (TQFT). In this equivalence, the first term in the right-hand side of this equation corresponds to the spacial EE. The second term, $\log(d_j/\mathcal{D})$ is associated to the topological entanglement entropy of anyons of type j . For the case of Chern-Simons theory in a general oriented 3-manifold the LREE is defined by introducing a new regularization for the boundary states. The results obtained with LREE surprisingly agree with the spatial entropy computed using path integral in $3d$ [5].

Until now, the LREE has been studied on CFT in the cylinder which is an oriented surface. By gauging the CFT by parity symmetries (preserving conformal invariance) on the two-dimensional space-time one obtains a CFT on unoriented surfaces, such as the Klein bottle. In such case, there are physical states called crosscap states associated to the parity symmetries, such that they contain the information on the non-orientability of the space-time. There is one crosscap state for each parity symmetry [6]. Such theories are usually referred to as unoriented CFT. Such theories have a lot interest in string phenomenology (see for instance [7]) and recently in modern advances in condensed matter physics [8–11].

In this article, we are interested in to study the LREE of crosscaps. Our motivation is given by the relation of the LREE with the topological entropy described above. Since the $3d$ -Chern-Simons theory on a \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifold is related to a $(1 + 1)d$ CFT of an unoriented surface [12], one could expect that the spacial entanglement entropy of the $3d$ -theory could correspond to a LREE for some crosscap state on the $2d$ unoriented surface. So far, none of these entropies has been computed and such correspondence

between these entropies has been not explored yet.

The organization of the article is as follows. In Section 2, we give a short review of crosscap states on unoriented surfaces, where the relation between the parity symmetries and crosscap states in the simplest case is presented. Next, in Section 3, the LREE for crosscap states is computed. Some examples are described such as the Ising model, the Tricritical Ising model and the $SU(2)_k$ WZW model. Finally, the conclusions are given in Section 4.

2 Crosscaps in RCFT

We start with a brief review of RCFT, for an extensive study of the subject see [13–15]. A RCFT is a realization of a symmetry algebra $\mathcal{A} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ where the number of primary fields is finite. The holomorphic (chiral) algebra \mathcal{A} is an extension of the Virasoro symmetry algebra. The generators are denoted as $W_n^{(r)}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ label the different fields and where the Virasoro generators can be defined as $L_n = W_n^0$. The antiholomorphic (anti-chiral) algebra $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is given in a similar way. In this work, the isomorphism between \mathcal{A} and $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is assumed. The introduction of crosscaps breaks the symmetry algebra. In this notes we consider conformal field theories on two-dimensional surfaces with crosscaps that preserve a diagonal subalgebra of the $\mathcal{A} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ symmetry.

The Hilbert space is decomposed as $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_i (\mathcal{H}_i \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\bar{i}})$ where \mathcal{H}_i denotes the irreducible representations of the chiral symmetry algebra and \bar{i} is the conjugate representation to i . For a given representation i of the chiral algebra \mathcal{A} , the states are linear combinations of the states of the form $\prod_I W_{-n_I}^{(r_I)} |i, 0\rangle$ with $|i, 0\rangle$ the highest weight state.

We restrict our analysis on LREE to theories with the specialized character which only consider eigenvalues of L_0 . For each representation i of the chiral algebra \mathcal{A} it is defined as

$$\chi_i(\tau) := \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}_i} e^{2\pi i \tau (L_0 - \frac{c}{24})}, \quad (2.1)$$

where τ is the modular parameter describing the shape of the torus. In the next section we will be interested in the modular transformation of the characters. Under the transformation $T : \tau + 1 \rightarrow \tau$ the character in the representation i is transformed as

$$\chi_i(\tau + 1) = \sum_j T_{ij} \chi_j(\tau), \quad (2.2)$$

where $T_{ij} = \delta_{ij} e^{2\pi i (h_i - c/24)}$ and h_i are the conformal dimensions of the primary operators. Under the transformation $S : \tau \rightarrow -1/\tau$ we have

$$\chi_i(\tau) = \sum_j S_{ij} \chi_j(-1/\tau). \quad (2.3)$$

The gluing conditions for the generators of the theory on a crosscap are given by

$$(W_n^{(r)} - (-1)^{n+s_r} \overline{W}_{-n}^{(r)})|C\rangle = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where s_r is the spin of the current $W^{(r)}$.

For a parity symmetry \mathcal{P}_μ with $\mathcal{P}_\mu^2 = 1$ (μ labels the number of parity symmetries), a solution to Eqs. (2.4) is given in terms of Ishibashi states as follows:

$$|C_\mu\rangle = \sum_i \Gamma_\mu^i |C_i\rangle\rangle, \quad (2.5)$$

with

$$|C_i\rangle\rangle = e^{\pi i(L_0 - h_i)} |B_i\rangle\rangle, \quad (2.6)$$

where $|B_i\rangle\rangle = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} |i, N\rangle \otimes U \overline{|i, N\rangle}$ is the Ishibashi boundary state. Here the state, $|i, N\rangle$ denotes the element of an orthonormal basis for this representation i . In a similar way, $\overline{|i, N\rangle}$ denotes the corresponding basis for the representation \bar{i} . The anti-unitary operator U maps the representation i into \bar{i} and satisfies the relation $UW_n^{(r)}U^{-1} = (-1)^{s_r} W_n^{(r)\dagger}$ [16].

The coefficients in (2.5) are constrained by the duality between the one-loop partition functions on the Klein bottle and Möbius strip and their respective tree-channel partition functions. More specifically, the partition function defined on the Klein bottle is equivalent to the partition function between two crosscap states

$$\text{Tr} \mathcal{P}_{g_{\mu\nu}} e^{-\beta H_c(L)} = \langle C_\mu | e^{-\frac{1}{2} H_c(2\beta)} | C_\nu \rangle, \quad (2.7)$$

where the transformation of the fundamental domain of the Klein bottle to tree-channel diagram with two crosscaps is given in [6, 8]. The labels μ and ν denote different parity symmetries and $g_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{P}_\nu^{-1}$ is an internal symmetry that commutes with the chiral algebra. Similarly, the one-loop partition function of a Möbius strip is equivalent to the overlap between one crosscap state and a boundary state, with the characters transformed under the matrix $P = \sqrt{T} S T^2 S \sqrt{T}$.

There is a general solution given by Pradisi-Sagnotti-Stanev (PSS) satisfying these duality conditions [17]. Such solution is true for any theory with charge-conjugate modular invariant partition function. For such case $\mathcal{P}_0 : i \rightarrow \bar{i}$ where $\mu = 0$. This PSS solution is

$$\Gamma_{0i} = \frac{P_{0i}}{\sqrt{S_{0i}}}. \quad (2.8)$$

If the theory has simple currents g , a new parity symmetry can be defined for each simple current as $\mathcal{P}_g = g \mathcal{P}_0$. In such case

$$\Gamma_{gi} = \frac{P_{gi}}{\sqrt{S_{0i}}}. \quad (2.9)$$

3 Left-right entanglement entropy for crosscaps in RCFT

The methodology to compute the LREE for crosscaps is very similar to the LREE for boundary states given in [3], here we rewrite those computations adapted to crosscaps. In the following discussion, the label μ denoting different crosscap states related to the different parity operators is deleted for a while. In order to define the density matrix, one has to consider instead the regularized crosscap state $e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}H(2\ell)}|C\rangle$. This is the same prescription employed in the case of entanglement entropy for boundary states due to the fact that the boundary Ishibashi states are non-normalizable. The parameter ϵ is an UV cutoff and $H(2\ell) = \frac{\pi}{\ell}(L_0 + \bar{L}_0 - c/12)$ is the Hamiltonian defined on a circle of circumference 2ℓ that generates time translations in the tree-channel. In this configuration $\tau = i\ell/2\epsilon$. The density matrix is defined as

$$\rho = \frac{e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}H}|C\rangle\langle C|e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}H}}{\mathcal{N}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where the normalization constant $\mathcal{N} = \sum_j |\Gamma_j|^2 e^{-2\pi i(h_j - c/24)} \chi_j(-1/2\tau + 1)$ is fixed by the condition $\text{Tr}\rho = 1$. After performing the T -transformation of the character, this normalization constant becomes $\mathcal{N} = \sum_j |\Gamma_j|^2 \chi_j(-1/2\tau)$. This constant is in fact the Klein bottle partition function between the same crosscap states [15].

Let us now denote the holomorphic sector by A and the anti-holomorphic sector by B . The reduced density matrix $\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B \rho$, which is obtained by tracing on the antiholomorphic modes is given by

$$\rho_A = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}} \text{Tr}_B(e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}H}|C\rangle\langle C|e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2}H}). \quad (3.2)$$

It is easy to see that this matrix is diagonal. Using the replica trick, and after performing the T -transformation on the characters we find

$$\text{Tr}\rho_A^n = \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}^n} \sum_j |\Gamma_j|^{2n} \chi_j(-n/2\tau). \quad (3.3)$$

After this transformation, the computation of the entanglement entropy is very similar to the boundary states given in [1, 3]. We will not repeat those computations here and we give just the relevant results. One has to perform an S -transformation of the characters and take the limit $\epsilon/\ell \rightarrow 0$ to obtain the leading contribution in the characters which comes from the lowest conformal dimension. In unitary theories, the identity representation has the lowest value and it is zero. We choose to denote the identity representation by 0. Therefore we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_A \rho_A^n = e^{\frac{2\pi\ell c}{24\epsilon}(\frac{1}{n}-1)} \frac{\sum_j |\Gamma_j|^{2n} S_{j0}}{\left[\sum_j |\Gamma_j|^2 S_{j0} \right]^n}. \quad (3.4)$$

Using the definition for the entanglement entropy $S_A = -\lim_{n \rightarrow 1} \partial_n \mathrm{Tr} \rho_A^n$, the LREE for each crosscap state associated to \mathcal{P}_μ is given by

$$S_{|C_{\mathcal{P}_\mu}\rangle} = \frac{\pi\ell c}{6\epsilon} - \frac{\sum_j |\Gamma_{\mu j}|^2 S_{j0} \ln |\Gamma_{\mu j}|^2}{\sum_j |\Gamma_{\mu j}|^2 S_{j0}} + \ln \sum_j |\Gamma_{\mu j}|^2 S_{j0}. \quad (3.5)$$

These results seems very similar to the LREE of boundary state. The first term detects the central charge of the theory and it diverges as ϵ goes to zero, the difference is determined by the last two terms which contain the coefficients $\Gamma_{\mu j}$. Some of these coefficients are projected out by parity symmetry. Also, these two terms contain the topological data of the theory. For the particular case in which $\Gamma_{\mu i} = \delta_{\mu i}$, the crosscap state is reduced to the Ishibashi crosscap state and the LREE is given in terms of the topological entropy

$$S_{|C_j\rangle} = \frac{\pi\ell c}{6\epsilon} + \ln d_j - \ln \mathcal{D}, \quad (3.6)$$

where we have used the fact that $S_{0j} = \frac{d_j}{\mathcal{D}}$, with d_j the quantum dimension of the representation j and $\mathcal{D} = \sqrt{\sum_j d_j^2} = \frac{1}{s_{00}}$ the total quantum dimension.

For the PSS crosscap state the coefficient is given in (2.8). Substituting this coefficient into (3.5) and using the fact that $P^\dagger P = 1$ and $P^T = P$, the LREE for this solution is

$$S_{|C_{\mathcal{P}_0}\rangle} = \frac{\pi\ell c}{6\epsilon} - \sum_j |P_{0j}|^2 \ln \left(\frac{|P_{0j}|^2}{S_{0j}} \right). \quad (3.7)$$

In terms of the quantum dimension this equation becomes

$$S_{|C_{\mathcal{P}_0}\rangle} = \frac{\pi\ell c}{6\epsilon} - \sum_j |P_{0j}|^2 \ln |P_{0j}|^2 + \sum_j |P_{0j}|^2 \ln \left(\frac{d_j}{\mathcal{D}} \right). \quad (3.8)$$

A similar equation is obtained for the crosscap associates to parity \mathcal{P}_μ . In the following we apply these equations to the LREE for some models: the Ising model, the tri-critical Ising model and $SU(2)_k$ WZW model.

The Ising model : The Ising model has a central charge $c = 1/2$ and three primary operators denoted as $0, \varepsilon, \sigma$ with conformal weights $h = 0, 1/2, 1/16$ respectively. The crosscap coefficients are given in [18] and the P -matrix can be computed from the S -matrix but it has been already given in [17]. In this case there is only a crosscap corresponding to the parity symmetry \mathcal{P}_0

$$|C_{\mathcal{P}_0}\rangle = \Gamma_{00}|C_0\rangle\rangle + \Gamma_{0\varepsilon}|C_\varepsilon\rangle\rangle, \quad (3.9)$$

with

$$\Gamma_{00} = \sqrt{\frac{2 + \sqrt{2}}{2}}, \quad \Gamma_{0\varepsilon} = \sqrt{\frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{2}}, \quad \Gamma_{0\sigma} = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

From (3.7) the LREE is

$$S_{|C_{\mathcal{P}_0}\rangle} = \frac{\pi l c}{6\epsilon} - \frac{2 + \sqrt{2}}{4} \ln(2 + \sqrt{2}) - \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{4} \ln(2 - \sqrt{2}) + \ln(2). \quad (3.11)$$

Tricritical Ising model : This model has a central charge $c = 7/10$ and has six primary fields corresponding to the identity 0 , three energy operators $\varepsilon, \varepsilon', \varepsilon''$, and two Ising spins σ and σ' (we label each representation by $0, \dots, 5$). They have conformal dimension $0, 1/10, 3/5, 3/2, 3/80, 7/16$, respectively.

The matrix P determined from the matrices T and S is given in [19]. As in the previous case, \mathcal{P}_0 is the only parity symmetry acting on the model. Using the relation (2.8) we found

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{00} &= \frac{\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} s_1}{\sqrt{s_2}}, & \Gamma_{01} &= \frac{\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}} s_2}{\sqrt{s_1}}, \\ \Gamma_{02} &= \frac{\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} s_2}{\sqrt{s_1}}, & \Gamma_{03} &= \frac{\sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}} s_1}{\sqrt{s_2}}, \\ \Gamma_{04} &= 0, & \Gamma_{05} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where $s_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sin(\frac{2\pi}{5})$ and $s_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sin(\frac{4\pi}{5})$. These coefficients satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma_{02}}{\Gamma_{00}} &= \sqrt{\sqrt{5} - 2}, & \frac{\Gamma_{03}}{\Gamma_{01}} &= \sqrt{\sqrt{5} + 2}, \\ \frac{\Gamma_{03}}{\Gamma_{00}} &= \sqrt{2} - 1, & \frac{\Gamma_{02}}{\Gamma_{01}} &= \sqrt{2} + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

These relations were found using sewing constrains in [20]. However, the explicit form of the coefficients have not been given previously.

The crosscap state is

$$|C_{\mathcal{P}_0}\rangle = \Gamma_{00}|C_0\rangle + \Gamma_{01}|C_\varepsilon\rangle + \Gamma_{02}|C_{\varepsilon'}\rangle + \Gamma_{03}|C_{\varepsilon''}\rangle, \quad (3.14)$$

The corresponding LREE is given by

$$S = \frac{7\pi\ell}{60\epsilon} - 4 \left[s_2^2 \ln\left(\frac{s_2^2}{s_1}\right) - s_1^2 \ln\left(\frac{s_1^2}{s_2}\right) \right] + (s_1^2 + s_2^2) \left[(2 - \sqrt{2}) \ln(2 - \sqrt{2}) + (2 + \sqrt{2}) \ln(2 + \sqrt{2}) \right]. \quad (3.15)$$

SU(2)_k WZW model : The crosscap states for the $SU(2)_k$ for k even are given in [12] and [6, 21]. In such case there are two different crosscap states, the standard PSS solution denoted as $|\mathcal{C}\rangle$ given by (2.8) and a simple current induced state denoted by $|\mathcal{C}_{k/2}\rangle$ determined by the coefficient (2.9). For the standard crosscap $|\mathcal{C}\rangle$ the LREE is

$$S_{|\mathcal{C}\rangle} = \frac{\pi\ell c}{6\epsilon} - \sum_j \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{k+2}} \right)^2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{2j+1}{2(k+2)} \pi \right) \ln \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{k+2}} \tan \left(\frac{2j+1}{2(k+2)} \pi \right) \right]. \quad (3.16)$$

For $|\mathcal{C}_{k/2}\rangle$ the LREE is

$$S_{|\mathcal{C}_{k/2}\rangle} = \frac{\pi\ell c}{6\epsilon} - \sum_j \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{k+2}} \right)^2 \cos^2 \left(\frac{2j+1}{2(k+2)} \pi \right) \ln \left[\sqrt{\frac{2}{k+2}} \cot \left(\frac{2j+1}{2(k+2)} \pi \right) \right]. \quad (3.17)$$

4 Final Remarks

In the present article we have considered CFT on non-orientable surfaces. Following [3] we have computed the left-right entanglement entropy for crosscap states that preserve a diagonal subalgebra of the complete symmetry algebra $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$. We applied the results to the Ising model, tricritical Ising model and the WZW models. Similarly as in the boundary case, the leading term in the entropy depends on the underlying CFT and it diverges as the UV cutoff goes to zero. The subleading terms in the entropy contain the quantum dimension as well as the information of the crosscap coefficients. It would be interesting to extend these results to crosscaps in orbifolds and crosscaps that preserve a w -diagonal symmetry as described in [6].

Due to the correspondence between the Chern-Simons theory on \mathbb{Z}_2 orbifolds and a 2D CFT on unoriented surfaces established in [12], it is reasonable to propose that, some of the left-right entanglement entropy for the WZW theory discussed here, could be associated to a geometric entropy in the 3d theory. However, in order to check this, some work has to be done in the computations including Wilson lines in these theories.

It is also reasonable that our computation will be related to the topological entangled entropy associated to the AdS/CFT gravitational dual described in [22]. For the extreme minimal models as the Ising and tricritical Ising models it would correspond to a Universal entropy which captures the entanglement of the corresponding three-dimensional gravity theory on a non-orientable bulk. The Hilbert space is precisely constructed by states satisfying relations (2.4). Some of the mentioned problems will be worked out in the near future.

Finally, the LREE for bosonic and supersymmetric Dp -branes were studied [1, 2], however this entropy has been not explored exhaustively in String theory and its role is not clear yet. It is worth to work more in this direction.

Acknowledgments

N. Quiroz would like to thank Cinvestav, IPN for hospitality. The work of N. Quiroz was supported by POA 2008, CuSur, Universidad de Guadalajara.

References

- [1] L. A. Pando Zayas and N. Quiroz, “Left-Right Entanglement Entropy of Boundary States,” *JHEP* **1501**, 110 (2015) [arXiv:1407.7057 [hep-th]].
- [2] L. A. Pando Zayas and N. Quiroz, “Left-Right Entanglement Entropy of Dp -branes,” *JHEP* **1611**, 023 (2016) [arXiv:1605.08666 [hep-th]].
- [3] D. Das and S. Datta, “Universal features of left-right entanglement entropy,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, no. 13, 131602 (2015) [arXiv:1504.02475 [hep-th]].
- [4] X. Wen, S. Matsuura and S. Ryu, “Edge theory approach to topological entanglement entropy, mutual information and entanglement negativity in Chern-Simons theories,” *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, no. 24, 245140 (2016) [arXiv:1603.08534 [cond-mat.mes-hall]].
- [5] S. Dong, E. Fradkin, R. G. Leigh and S. Nowling, *JHEP* **0805**, 016 (2008) [arXiv:0802.3231 [hep-th]].
- [6] I. Brunner and K. Hori, “Notes on orientifolds of rational conformal field theories,” *JHEP* **0407**, 023 (2004) [hep-th/0208141].
- [7] L. E. Ibañez and A. M. Uranga, “String theory and particle physics: An introduction to string phenomenology,” Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).
- [8] G. Y. Cho, C. T. Hsieh, T. Morimoto and S. Ryu, “Topological Phases Protected By Reflection Symmetry and Cross-cap States,” *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 195142 (2015)[arXiv:1501.07285 [cond-mat.str-el]].

- [9] A. P. O. Chan, J. C. Y. Teo and S. Ryu, *New J. Phys.* **18**, no. 3, 035005 (2016) [arXiv:1509.03920 [cond-mat.str-el]].
- [10] C. T. Hsieh, O. M. Sule, G. Y. Cho, S. Ryu and R. G. Leigh, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, no. 16, 165134 (2014) [arXiv:1403.6902 [cond-mat.str-el]].
- [11] B. Han, A. Tiwari, C. T. Hsieh and S. Ryu, “Boundary conformal field theory and symmetry protected topological phases in 2 + 1 dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, no. 12, 125105 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.96.125105 [arXiv:1704.01193 [cond-mat.str-el]].
- [12] P. Horava, “Open strings from three-dimensions: Chern-Simons-Witten theory on orbifolds,” *J. Geom. Phys.* **21**, 1 (1996) [hep-th/9404101].
- [13] J. Fuchs, “Lectures on conformal field theory and Kac-Moody algebras,” *Lect. Notes Phys.* **498**, 1 (1997) [hep-th/9702194].
- [14] A. Recknagel and V. Schomerus, “Boundary Conformal Field Theory and the Worldsheet Approach to D-Branes,” doi:10.1017/CBO9780511806476
- [15] R. Blumenhagen and E. Plauschinn, “Introduction to conformal field theory : with applications to String theory,” *Lect. Notes Phys.* **779**, 1 (2009).
- [16] J. L. Cardy, “Boundary Conditions, Fusion Rules and the Verlinde Formula,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **324**, 581 (1989).
- [17] G. Pradisi, A. Sagnotti and Y. S. Stanev, “Planar duality in SU(2) WZW models,” *Phys. Lett. B* **354**, 279 (1995) [hep-th/9503207].
- [18] D. Fioravanti, G. Pradisi and A. Sagnotti, “Sewing constraints and nonorientable open strings,” *Phys. Lett. B* **321**, 349 (1994) [hep-th/9311183].
- [19] A. Castro, M. R. Gaberdiel, T. Hartman, A. Maloney and R. Volpato, “The Gravity Dual of the Ising Model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 024032 (2012) [arXiv:1111.1987 [hep-th]].
- [20] I. Tsiaras, *Study of conformal Minimal Models on non-orientable surfaces and its implications in three-dimensional gravity*, MSc. thesis, McGill University (2016).
- [21] I. Brunner, “On orientifolds of WZW models and their relation to geometry,” *JHEP* **0201**, 007 (2002) [hep-th/0110219].
- [22] A. Maloney and S. F. Ross, “Holography on Non-Orientable Surfaces,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **33**, no. 18, 185006 (2016) [arXiv:1603.04426 [hep-th]].