

CLASSIFICATION OF NONNEGATIVE SOLUTIONS TO STATIC SCHRÖDINGER-HARTREE AND SCHRÖDINGER-MAXWELL EQUATIONS WITH COMBINED NONLINEARITIES

WEI DAI[†], ZHAO LIU^{‡*}

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we are concerned with static Schrödinger-Hartree and Schrödinger-Maxwell equations with combined nonlinearities. We derive the explicit forms for positive solution u in the critical case and non-existence of nontrivial nonnegative solutions in the subcritical cases (see Theorem 1.1 and 1.3). The arguments used in our proof is a variant (for nonlocal nonlinearity) of the direct moving spheres method for fractional Laplacians in [15]. The main ingredients are the variants (for nonlocal nonlinearity) of the maximum principles, i.e., *Narrow region principle* (Theorem 2.3 and 3.1).

Keywords: Fractional Laplacians; Schrödinger-Hartree equations; Schrödinger-Maxwell equations; Non-negative solutions; Nonlocal nonlinearities; Direct method of moving spheres.

2010 MSC Primary: 35R11; **Secondary:** 35B06, 35B53.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we first consider the following static Schrödinger-Hartree equation with combined nonlinearities

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x) = c_1 \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{2\alpha}} * |u|^2 \right) u^{p_1}(x) + c_2 u^{p_2}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u(x) \geq 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, $n \geq 2$, $n > 2\alpha$, $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 > 0$, $0 < p_1 \leq 1$ and $0 < p_2 \leq \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$. We assume $u \in C_{loc}^{1,1} \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $0 < \alpha < 2$, and $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $\alpha = 2$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(y)|}{1 + |y|^{n+\alpha}} dy < \infty \right\}. \quad (1.2)$$

The nonlocal fractional Laplacians $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ with $0 < \alpha < 2$ are defined by (see [3, 12, 15, 44, 47])

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x) = C_{\alpha,n} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy := C_{\alpha,n} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y-x| \geq \epsilon} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+\alpha}} dy \quad (1.3)$$

* Corresponding author: Zhao Liu at liuzhao@mail.bnu.edu.cn.

Wei Dai was supported by the NNSF of China (No. 11501021), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities and the State Scholarship Fund of China (No. 201806025011); Zhao Liu was supported by the NNSF of China (No. 11801237) and the State Scholarship Fund of China (No. 201808360005).

for functions $u \in C_{loc}^{1,1} \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where the constant $C_{\alpha,n} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1 - \cos(2\pi\zeta_1)}{|\zeta|^{n+\alpha}} d\zeta \right)^{-1}$.

In recent years, there has been a great deal of interest in using the fractional Laplacians to model diverse physical phenomena, such as anomalous diffusion and quasi-geostrophic flows, turbulence and water waves, molecular dynamics and relativistic quantum mechanics of stars. However, the non-local feature of the fractional Laplacians makes it difficult to study. In order to overcome this difficulty, Chen, Li and Ou [13] developed the method of moving planes in integral forms. Subsequently, Caffarelli and Silvestre [5] introduced an extension method to overcome this difficulty, which reduced this nonlocal problem into a local one in higher dimensions. This extension method provides a powerful tool and leads to very active studies in equations involving the fractional Laplacians, and a series of fruitful results have been obtained (see [1, 16] and the references therein).

In [12], Chen, Li and Li developed a direct method of moving planes for the fractional Laplacians (see also [18]). Instead of using the extension method of Caffarelli and Silvestre [5], they worked directly on the non-local operator to establish strong maximum principles for anti-symmetric functions and narrow region principles, and then obtained classification and Liouville type results for nonnegative solutions. The direct method of moving planes introduced in [12] has been applied to study more general nonlocal operators with general nonlinearities (see [11, 18]). The methods of moving planes was initially invented by Alexanderoff in the early 1950s. Later, it was further developed by Serrin [44], Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [27, 28], Caffarelli, Gidas and Spruck [4], Chen and Li [7], Li and Zhu [36], Lin [29], Chen, Li and Ou [13], Chen, Li and Li [12], Dai and Qin [22] and many others. For more literatures on the classification of solutions and Liouville type theorems for various PDE and IE problems via the methods of moving planes or spheres, please refer to [2, 3, 10, 15, 6, 17, 19, 20, 21, 25, 30, 38, 45] and the references therein.

Chen, Li and Zhang introduced in [15] another direct method - the method of moving spheres on the fractional Laplacians, which is more convenient than the method of moving planes. The method of moving spheres was initially used by Padilla [41], Chen and Li [8] and Li and Zhu [36]. It can be applied to capture the explicit form of solutions directly rather than going through the procedure of deriving radial symmetry of solutions and then classifying radial solutions. In a recent work [22], Dai and Qin developed the method of scaling spheres, which is essentially a frozen variant of the method of moving spheres and becomes a powerful tool in deriving asymptotic estimates for solutions. The method of scaling spheres can be applied to various fractional or higher order problems without translation invariance or in the cases Kelvin transforms in conjunction with the method of moving planes do not work (see [22, 23, 24] and the references therein).

When $c_2 = 0$ and $p_1 = 1$, PDEs of type (1.1) arise in the Hartree-Fock theory of the nonlinear Schrödinger equations (see [37]). The solution u to problem (1.1) is also a ground state or a stationary solution to the following $\dot{H}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ -critical focusing dynamic Schrödinger-Hartree equation

$$i\partial_t u + (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u = c_1 \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{2\alpha}} * |u|^2 \right) u, \quad (t, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (1.4)$$

The Schrödinger-Hartree equations have many interesting applications in the quantum theory of large systems of non-relativistic bosonic atoms and molecules (see, e.g. [26]). Dynamic equations of the type (1.4) have been quite extensively studied, please refer to [34, 39] and the references therein. The ground state solution can be regarded as a crucial criterion or threshold for global well-posedness and scattering in the focusing case. Therefore, the classification of solutions to (1.1) plays an important and fundamental role in the study of the focusing Schrödinger-Hartree equations (1.4).

There are lots of literatures on the qualitative properties of solutions to Hartree and Choquard equations of fractional or higher order, please see e.g. Cao and Dai [2], Chen and Li [9], Dai, Fang, et al. [17], Dai and Qin [21], Lieb [32], Lei [31], Liu [33], Moroz and Schaftingen [40], Ma and Zhao [38], Xu and Lei [46] and the references therein. Liu proved in [33] the classification results for positive solutions to (1.1) with $\alpha = 2$, $c_2 = 0$ and $p_1 = 1$, by using the idea of considering the equivalent systems of integral equations instead, which was initially used by Ma and Zhao [38]. In [2], Cao and Dai considered the differential equations directly and classified all the positive C^4 solutions to the \dot{H}^2 -critical bi-harmonic equation (1.1) with $\alpha = 4$ and $c_2 = 0$. They also derived Liouville theorem in the subcritical cases. For general $0 < \alpha < \frac{n}{2}$, Dai, Fang, et al. [17] classified all the positive $H^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ weak solutions to (1.1) with $c_2 = 0$ and $p_1 = 1$ by using the method of moving planes in integral forms due to Chen, Li and Ou [13, 14]. They also classified all the $L^{\frac{2n}{n-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ integrable solutions to the equivalent integral equations. For $0 < \alpha < \min\{2, \frac{n}{2}\}$, Dai, Fang and Qin [18] classified all the $C_{loc}^{1,1} \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha$ solutions to (1.1) with $c_2 = 0$ and $p_1 = 1$ by applying a variant (for nonlocal nonlinearity) of the direct method of moving planes for fractional Laplacians. The qualitative properties of solutions to general fractional order or higher order elliptic equations have also been extensively studied, for instance, see Chen, Fang and Yang [3], Chen, Li and Li [12], Chen, Li and Ou [13], Caffarelli and Silvestre [5], Chang and Yang [6], Dai and Qin [21, 22, 23, 24], Fang and Chen [25], Lin [29], Wei and Xu [45] and the references therein.

In this paper, we will apply a variant (for nonlocal nonlinearity) of the direct method of moving spheres for fractional Laplacians due to Chen, Li and Zhang [15] to establish the following complete classification theorem for the Schrödinger-Hartree equation (1.1).

Theorem 1.1. *Assume $n \geq 2$, $n > 2\alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 > 0$, $0 < p_1 \leq 1$ and $0 < p_2 \leq \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$. Suppose u is a nonnegative classical solution of (1.1). If $c_1(1 - p_1) + c_2(\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha} - p_2) = 0$, then we have either $u \equiv 0$ or u must assume the following form*

$$u(x) = C \left(\frac{\mu}{1 + \mu^2|x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} \quad \text{for some } \mu > 0 \text{ and } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where the constant C depends on n, α, c_1, c_2 . If $c_1(1 - p_1) + c_2(\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha} - p_2) > 0$, then $u \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.1 extends the classification results for (1.1) in [18, 33] from $c_2 = 0$ and $p_1 = 1$ to general cases $c_2 \geq 0$, $0 < p_1 \leq 1$ and $0 < p_2 \leq \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$.

We will apply a variant (for nonlocal nonlinearity) of the direct method of moving spheres for fractional Laplacians developed by Chen, Li and Zhang [15] to prove Theorem 1.1. More precisely, let us define the following notation

$$u_{x,\lambda}(y) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y-x|} \right)^{n-\alpha} u \left(\frac{\lambda^2(y-x)}{|y-x|^2} + x \right), \quad \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) = u_{x,\lambda}(y) - u(y),$$

$$B_\lambda^- := \{y \in B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\} \mid \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) < 0\}.$$

The main ingredients in Chen, Li and Zhang's direct method of moving spheres for fractional Laplacians are maximum principles (i.e., *Narrow region principle*) for the following problem

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) + c(y) \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \cap B_\lambda^-, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\Omega \subseteq B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\}$ is a bounded region, $c(y)$ comes from applying mean value theorem to the difference between two nonlinearities defined point-wise and satisfies certain conditions. However, since the nonlinearities in our Schrödinger-Hartree equation (1.1) are nonlocal, the difference between two nonlinearities will become much more complicated and subtle. The differential inequality that one can derive from (1.1) is the following

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) - \mathcal{L}_{x,\lambda}(y) \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) - 2c_1 \left(\int_{\Sigma_\lambda^-} \frac{u(z) \omega_{x,\lambda}(z)}{|y-z|^{2\alpha}} dz \right) u^{p_1}(y) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \cap B_\lambda^-, \quad (1.6)$$

from which we can observe that ω_λ will always appear in the convolution. It is difficult for us to simplify it into the desired form $c(y) \omega_\lambda(y)$. Fortunately, by more careful and refined analysis, we can circumvent this difficulty and establish the variants (for nonlocal nonlinearity) of the *narrow region principle* for the problem (1.6) (see Theorem 2.3 in Section 2). We believe that the methods in this paper can be conveniently applied to study other fractional order equations with various nonlocal nonlinearities.

Through entirely similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we will also classify all the nonnegative solutions to the following Schrödinger-Maxwell equations with combined nonlinearities

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x) = c_1 \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{n-\alpha}} * |u|^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}} \right) u^{q_1}(x) + c_2 u^{q_2}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ u \in C_{loc}^{1,1} \cap \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad u(x) \geq 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, $n \geq 2$, $n > \alpha$, $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 > 0$, $0 < q_1 \leq \frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha}$ and $0 < q_2 \leq \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$. The Schrödinger-Maxwell equations (1.7) are equivalent to the following PDEs systems:

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x) = v(x) u^{q_1}(x) + c_2 u^{q_2}(x), & u(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v(x) = c_1 R_{\alpha,n}^{-1} u^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(x), & v(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

where the Riesz potential's constants $R_{\alpha,n} := \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}$ (see [43]).

Chen and Li [9] classified all the positive solutions to Schrödinger-Maxwell equations (1.7) with $c_2 = 0$ and $q_1 = \frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha}$ (see also [46]). In this paper, we will apply a variant (for nonlocal

nonlinearity) of the direct method of moving spheres for fractional Laplacians due to Chen, Li and Zhang [15] to establish the following complete classification theorem for the Schrödinger-Maxwell equation (1.7).

Theorem 1.3. *Assume $n \geq 2$, $n > \alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 > 0$, $0 < q_1 \leq \frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha}$ and $0 < q_2 \leq \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$. Suppose u is a nonnegative classical solution of (1.7). If $c_1(\frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha} - q_1) + c_2(\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha} - q_2) = 0$, then we have either $u \equiv 0$ or u must assume the following form*

$$u(x) = C \left(\frac{\mu}{1 + \mu^2|x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} \quad \text{for some } \mu > 0 \text{ and } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where the constant C depends on n, α, c_1, c_2 . If $c_1(\frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha} - q_1) + c_2(\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha} - q_2) > 0$, then $u \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 1.3 can be proved in a quite similar way as the proof of Theorem 1.1, thus we will only mention some main ingredients in its proof in Section 3.

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.3 extends the classification results for (1.7) in [9, 46] from $c_2 = 0$ and $q_1 = \frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha}$ to general cases $c_2 \geq 0$, $0 < q_1 \leq \frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha}$ and $0 < q_2 \leq \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.3, we have the following corollary on complete classification results for the Schrödinger-Maxwell systems (1.8).

Corollary 1.5. *Assume $n \geq 2$, $n > \alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 > 0$, $0 < q_1 \leq \frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha}$ and $0 < q_2 \leq \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$. Suppose (u, v) is a pair of nonnegative classical solutions of the system (1.8). Then, we have either $(u, v) \equiv (0, C_0)$ for some $C_0 \geq 0$, or (u, v) must assume the following forms*

$$u(x) = C_1 \left(\frac{\mu}{1 + \mu^2|x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad v(x) = C_2 \left(\frac{\mu}{1 + \mu^2|x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} \quad (1.9)$$

for some $\mu > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where the positive constants C_1 and C_2 depend on n, α, c_1, c_2 . Moreover, if (u, v) assume the form (1.9), then we must have $c_1(\frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha} - q_1) + c_2(\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha} - q_2) = 0$.

The rest of our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will carry out our proof of Theorem 1.1. Section 3 and 4 are devoted to proving our Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.5 respectively.

In the following, we will use C to denote a general positive constant that may depend on $n, \alpha, c_1, c_2, p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2$ and u , and whose value may differ from line to line.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we will use a direct method of moving spheres for nonlocal nonlinearity with the help of Narrow region principle to classify the nonnegative solutions of Schrödinger-Hartree equation (1.1).

2.1. The direct method of moving spheres for nonlocal nonlinearity. Assume $n \geq 2$, $n > 2\alpha$, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, $c_1, c_2 \geq 0$ with $c_1 + c_2 > 0$, $0 < p_1 \leq 1$ and $0 < p_2 \leq \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$. Suppose u is a nonnegative classical solution of (1.1) which is not identically zero. It follows immediately that $u > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^2(x)}{|x|^{2\alpha}} dx < +\infty$. Thus we assume u is actually a positive solution from now on.

For arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$, we define the conformal transforms

$$u_{x,\lambda}(y) := \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y-x|} \right)^{n-\alpha} u(y^{x,\lambda}), \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\},$$

where

$$y^{x,\lambda} = \frac{\lambda^2(y-x)}{|y-x|^2} + x.$$

Then, since u is a positive classical solution of (1.1), one can verify that $u_{x,\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\})$ if $0 < \alpha < 2$ ($u_{x,\lambda} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\})$ if $\alpha = 2$) and satisfies the integral property

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u_{x,\lambda}^2(y)}{\lambda^{2\alpha}} dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^2(x)}{|x|^{2\alpha}} dx < +\infty$$

and a similar equation as u for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$. In fact, without loss of generality, we may assume $x = 0$ for simplicity and get, for $0 < \alpha < 2$ ($\alpha = 2$ is similar),

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{0,\lambda}(y) &= C_{\alpha,n} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{n-\alpha} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{|z|} \right)^{n-\alpha} \right) u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} \right) + \left(\frac{\lambda}{|z|} \right)^{n-\alpha} \left(u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} \right) - u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 z}{|z|^2} \right) \right)}{|y-z|^{n+\alpha}} dz \\ &= u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} \right) (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{n-\alpha} \right] + C_{\alpha,n} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} \right) - u(z)}{\left| y - \frac{\lambda^2 z}{|z|^2} \right|^{n+\alpha}} \frac{\lambda^{n+\alpha}}{|z|^{n+\alpha}} dz \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{n+\alpha}}{|y|^{n+\alpha}} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} \right) \\ &= c_1 \frac{\lambda^{n+\alpha}}{|y|^{n+\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(z)|^2}{\left| \frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} - z \right|^{2\alpha}} dz \cdot u^{p_1}\left(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} \right) + c_2 \frac{\lambda^{n+\alpha}}{|y|^{n+\alpha}} u^{p_2}\left(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} \right) \\ &= c_1 \frac{\lambda^{n+\alpha}}{|y|^{n+\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\lambda^{2n} |z|^{-2n}}{\left| \frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} - \frac{\lambda^2 z}{|z|^2} \right|^{2\alpha}} \left| u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 z}{|z|^2} \right) \right|^2 dz \cdot u^{p_1}\left(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} \right) + c_2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{\tau_2} u_{0,\lambda}^{p_2}(y) \\ &= c_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{\tau_1} \left[\frac{1}{|\cdot|^{2\alpha}} * |u_{0,\lambda}|^2 \right](y) u_{0,\lambda}^{p_1}(y) + c_2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|} \right)^{\tau_2} u_{0,\lambda}^{p_2}(y), \end{aligned}$$

this means, the conformal transforms $u_{x,\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\})$ ($u_{x,\lambda} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\})$ if $\alpha = 2$) satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{x,\lambda}(y) = c_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y-x|} \right)^{\tau_1} \left(\frac{1}{|\cdot|^{2\alpha}} * u_{x,\lambda}^2 \right) u_{x,\lambda}^{p_1}(y) + c_2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y-x|} \right)^{\tau_2} u_{x,\lambda}^{p_2}(y) \quad (2.1)$$

for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}$, where $\tau_1 := (n - \alpha)(1 - p_1) \geq 0$ and $\tau_2 := (n + \alpha) - p_2(n - \alpha) \geq 0$. For any $\lambda > 0$, we denote

$$B_\lambda(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |y - x| < \lambda\},$$

and define

$$P(y) := \left(\frac{1}{|\cdot|^{2\alpha}} * u^2 \right)(y), \quad \tilde{P}_{x,\lambda}(y) := \int_{B_\lambda(x)} \frac{u(z)}{|y - z|^{2\alpha}} dz.$$

Let $\omega_{x,\lambda}(y) = u_{x,\lambda}(y) - u(y)$ for any $y \in B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\}$. By the definition of $u_{x,\lambda}$ and $\omega_{x,\lambda}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) &= u_{x,\lambda}(y) - u(y) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y - x|} \right)^{n-\alpha} u(y^{x,\lambda}) - u(y) \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y - x|} \right)^{n-\alpha} \left(u(y^{x,\lambda}) - \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y^{x,\lambda} - x|} \right)^{n-\alpha} u((y^{x,\lambda})^{x,\lambda}) \right) \\ &= - \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y - x|} \right)^{n-\alpha} \omega_{x,\lambda}(y^{x,\lambda}) = -(\omega_{x,\lambda})_{x,\lambda}(y) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for every $y \in B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\}$.

We will first show that there exists a $\epsilon_0 > 0$ (depending on x) sufficiently small such that, for any $0 < \lambda \leq \epsilon_0$, it holds that $\omega_{x,\lambda}(y) \geq 0$ for every $y \in B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\}$.

We first need to show that the nonnegative solution u to (1.1) also satisfies the following equivalent integral equation

$$u(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y - z|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(\xi)|^2}{|z - \xi|^{2\alpha}} d\xi \right) u^{p_1}(z) dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y - z|^{n-\alpha}} u^{p_2}(z) dz, \quad (2.3)$$

where the Riesz potential's constants $R_{\alpha,n} := \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-\alpha}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^\alpha \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}$ (see [43]).

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose u is a nonnegative solution to (1.1), then u also satisfies the equivalent integral equation (2.3), and vice versa.*

The proof of Lemma 2.1 is similar to [3, 18, 47], so we omit the details here.

Based on Lemma 2.1, we can prove that $\omega_{x,\lambda}$ has a strictly positive lower bound in a small neighborhood of x .

Lemma 2.2. *For each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a $\eta_0 > 0$ (depending on x) sufficiently small such that, if $0 < \lambda \leq \eta_0$, then*

$$\omega_{x,\lambda}(y) \geq 1, \quad y \in \overline{B_{\lambda^2}(x)} \setminus \{x\}.$$

Proof. We will prove Lemma 2.2 using the idea from [15]. Define

$$f(u(y)) := c_1 u^{p_1}(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^2(z)}{|y - z|^{2\alpha}} dz + c_2 u^{p_2}(y).$$

For any $|y| \geq 1$, since $u > 0$ also satisfy the integral equation (2.3), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
u(y) &= R_{\alpha,n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(u(z))}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} dz \\
&\geq R_{\alpha,n} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} \frac{f(u(z))}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} dz \\
&\geq \frac{C}{|y|^{n-\alpha}} \int_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)} f(u(z)) dz \\
&\geq \frac{C}{|y|^{n-\alpha}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

It follows immediately that

$$u_{x,\lambda}(y) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y-x|} \right)^{n-\alpha} u(y^{x,\lambda}) \geq \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y-x|} \right)^{n-\alpha} \frac{C}{|y^{x,\lambda}|^{n-\alpha}} = \frac{C}{\lambda^{n-\alpha}} \tag{2.5}$$

for all $y \in \overline{B_{\lambda^2}(x)} \setminus \{x\}$. Therefore, we have if $0 < \lambda \leq \eta_0$ for some $\eta_0(x) > 0$ small enough, then

$$\omega_{x,\lambda}(y) = u_{x,\lambda}(y) - u(y) \geq \frac{C}{\lambda^{n-\alpha}} - \max_{|y-x| \leq \lambda^2} u(y) \geq 1$$

for any $y \in \overline{B_{\lambda^2}(x)} \setminus \{x\}$, this finishes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \square

For every fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define

$$B_\lambda^- = \{y \in B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\} \mid \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) < 0\}.$$

Now we need the following theorem, which is a variant (for nonlocal nonlinearity) of the *Narrow region principle* (Theorem 2.2 in [15]).

Theorem 2.3. (*Narrow region principle*) *Assume $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is arbitrarily fixed. Let Ω be a narrow region in $B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\}$ with small thickness $0 < l < \lambda$ such that $\Omega \subseteq A_{\lambda,l}(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \lambda - l < |y-x| < \lambda\}$. Suppose $\omega_{x,\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_{loc}^{1,1}(\Omega)$ if $0 < \alpha < 2$ ($\omega_{x,\lambda} \in C^2(\Omega)$ if $\alpha = 2$) and satisfies*

$$\begin{cases}
(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) - \mathcal{L}_{x,\lambda}(y) \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) - 2c_1 \int_{B_\lambda^-} \frac{u(z) \omega_{x,\lambda}(z)}{|y-z|^{2\alpha}} dz u^{p_1}(y) \geq 0 & \text{in } \Omega \cap B_\lambda^-, \\
\text{negative minimum of } \omega_{x,\lambda} \text{ is attained in the interior of } B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\} & \text{if } B_\lambda^- \neq \emptyset, \\
\text{negative minimum of } \omega_{x,\lambda} \text{ cannot be attained in } (B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\}) \setminus \Omega,
\end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{x,\lambda}(y) := c_1 p_1 P(y) u_{x,\lambda}^{p_1-1}(y) + c_2 p_2 \max \{u^{p_2-1}(y), u_{x,\lambda}^{p_2-1}(y)\}$. Then, we have (i) there exists a sufficiently small constant $\delta_0(x) > 0$, such that, for all $0 < \lambda \leq \delta_0$,

$$\omega_{x,\lambda}(y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \Omega; \tag{2.7}$$

(ii) there exists a sufficiently small $l_0(x, \lambda) > 0$ depending on λ continuously, such that, for all $0 < l \leq l_0$,

$$\omega_{x,\lambda}(y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \Omega. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $x = 0$ here for simplicity. Suppose on contrary that (2.7) and (2.8) do not hold, we will obtain a contradiction for any $0 < \lambda < \delta_0$ with constant δ_0 small enough and any $0 < l \leq l_0(\lambda)$ with $l_0(\lambda)$ sufficiently small respectively. By (2.6) and our hypothesis, there exists $\tilde{y} \in (\Omega \cap B_\lambda^-) \subseteq A_{\lambda,l}(0) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \lambda - l < |y| < \lambda\}$ such that

$$\omega_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y}) = \min_{B_\lambda(0) \setminus \{0\}} \omega_{0,\lambda}(y) < 0. \quad (2.9)$$

We first consider the cases $0 < \alpha < 2$. Let $\tilde{\omega}_{0,\lambda}(y) = \omega_{0,\lambda}(y) - \omega_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y})$, then $\tilde{\omega}_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y}) = 0$ and

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \tilde{\omega}_{0,\lambda}(y) = (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \omega_{0,\lambda}(y).$$

By the anti-symmetry property $\omega_{x,\lambda}(y) = -(\omega_{x,\lambda})_{x,\lambda}(y)$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^{n-\alpha} \tilde{\omega}_{0,\lambda}(y^{0,\lambda}) &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^{n-\alpha} \omega_{0,\lambda}(y^{0,\lambda}) - \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^{n-\alpha} \omega_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y}) \\ &= -\omega_{0,\lambda}(y) + \omega_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y}) - \left(1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^{n-\alpha}\right) \omega_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y}) \\ &= -\tilde{\omega}_{0,\lambda}(y) - \left(1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^{n-\alpha}\right) \omega_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y}). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \tilde{\omega}_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y}) &= C_{n,\alpha} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\tilde{\omega}_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y}) - \tilde{\omega}_{0,\lambda}(z)}{|\tilde{y} - z|^{n+\alpha}} dz \\ &= C_{n,\alpha} P.V. \int_{B_\lambda(0)} \frac{-\tilde{\omega}_{0,\lambda}(z)}{|\tilde{y} - z|^{n+\alpha}} dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\lambda(0)} \frac{-\tilde{\omega}_{0,\lambda}(z)}{|\tilde{y} - z|^{n+\alpha}} dz \\ &= C_{n,\alpha} P.V. \left(\int_{B_\lambda(0)} \frac{-\tilde{\omega}_{0,\lambda}(z)}{|\tilde{y} - z|^{n+\alpha}} dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\lambda(0)} \frac{\left(\frac{\lambda}{|z|}\right)^{n-\alpha} \tilde{\omega}_{0,\lambda}(z^{0,\lambda})}{|\tilde{y} - z|^{n+\alpha}} dz \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\lambda(0)} \frac{\left(1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{|z|}\right)^{n-\alpha}\right) \omega_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y})}{|\tilde{y} - z|^{n+\alpha}} dz \right) \\ &= C_{n,\alpha} P.V. \left(\int_{B_\lambda(0)} \frac{-\tilde{\omega}_{0,\lambda}(z)}{|\tilde{y} - z|^{n+\alpha}} dz + \int_{B_\lambda(0)} \frac{\tilde{\omega}_{0,\lambda}(z)}{\left|\frac{|z|\tilde{y}}{\lambda} - \frac{\lambda z}{|z|}\right|^{n+\alpha}} dz \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\lambda(0)} \frac{\left(1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{|z|}\right)^{n-\alpha}\right) \omega_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y})}{|\tilde{y} - z|^{n+\alpha}} dz \right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, for any $z \in B_\lambda(0) \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\left| \frac{|z|\tilde{y}}{\lambda} - \frac{\lambda z}{|z|} \right|^2 - |\tilde{y} - z|^2 = \frac{(|\tilde{y}|^2 - \lambda^2)(|z|^2 - \lambda^2)}{\lambda^2} > 0,$$

combining this with $\omega_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y}) < 0$ gives that

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} \omega_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y}) &\leq C_{n,\alpha} \omega_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\lambda(0)} \frac{1}{|\tilde{y} - z|^{n+\alpha}} dz \\ &\leq C_{n,\alpha} \omega_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y}) \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\lambda(0)) \cap (B_{4l}(\tilde{y}) \setminus B_l(\tilde{y}))} \frac{1}{|\tilde{y} - z|^{n+\alpha}} dz \\ &\leq \frac{C}{l^\alpha} \omega_{0,\lambda}(\tilde{y}) < 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

For $\alpha = 2$, we can also obtain the same estimate as (2.10) at some point $y_0 \in \Omega \cap B_\lambda^-$. To this end, we define

$$\phi(y) := \cos \frac{|y| - \lambda + l}{l}, \quad (2.11)$$

then it follows that $\phi(y) \in [\cos 1, 1]$ for any $y \in \overline{A_{\lambda,l}(0)} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \lambda - l \leq |y| \leq \lambda\}$ and $-\frac{\Delta\phi(y)}{\phi(y)} \geq \frac{1}{l^2}$. Define

$$\overline{\omega_{0,\lambda}}(y) := \frac{\omega_{0,\lambda}(y)}{\phi(y)} \quad (2.12)$$

for $y \in \overline{A_{\lambda,l}(0)}$. Then there exists a $y_0 \in \Omega \cap B_\lambda^-$ such that

$$\overline{\omega_{0,\lambda}}(y_0) = \min_{A_{\lambda,l}(0)} \overline{\omega_{0,\lambda}}(y) < 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Since

$$-\Delta\omega_{0,\lambda}(y_0) = -\Delta\overline{\omega_{0,\lambda}}(y_0)\phi(y_0) - 2\nabla\overline{\omega_{0,\lambda}}(y_0) \cdot \nabla\phi(y_0) - \overline{\omega_{0,\lambda}}(y_0)\Delta\phi(y_0), \quad (2.14)$$

one immediately has

$$-\Delta\omega_{0,\lambda}(y_0) \leq \frac{1}{l^2} \omega_{0,\lambda}(y_0). \quad (2.15)$$

In conclusion, we have proved that for both $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $\alpha = 2$, there exists some $\hat{y} \in \Omega \cap B_\lambda^-$ such that

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \omega_{0,\lambda}(\hat{y}) \leq \frac{C}{l^\alpha} \omega_{0,\lambda}(\hat{y}) < 0. \quad (2.16)$$

On the other hand, by (2.6), we have at the point \hat{y} ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \omega_{0,\lambda}(\hat{y}) - \mathcal{L}_{0,\lambda}(\hat{y})\omega_{0,\lambda}(\hat{y}) - 2c_1 \int_{B_\lambda^-} \frac{u(z)\omega_{0,\lambda}(z)}{|\hat{y} - z|^{2\alpha}} dz \cdot u^{p_1}(\hat{y}) \\ &\leq (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \omega_{0,\lambda}(\hat{y}) - c_{0,\lambda}(\hat{y})\omega_{0,\lambda}(\hat{y}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$c_{x,\lambda}(y) := \mathcal{L}_{x,\lambda}(y) + 2c_1 \tilde{P}_{x,\lambda}(y) u^{p_1}(y)$$

$$= c_1 p_1 P(y) u_{x,\lambda}^{p_1-1}(y) + c_2 p_2 \max \{u^{p_2-1}(y), u_{x,\lambda}^{p_2-1}(y)\} + 2c_1 \tilde{P}_{x,\lambda}(y) u^{p_1}(y) > 0.$$

Since $\lambda - l < |y| < \lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(y) &\leq \left\{ \int_{|y-z| < \frac{|z|}{2}} + \int_{|y-z| \geq \frac{|z|}{2}} \right\} \frac{u^2(z)}{|y-z|^{2\alpha}} dz \\ &\leq \left[\max_{|y| \leq 2\lambda} u(y) \right]^2 \int_{|y-z| < \lambda} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{2\alpha}} dz + 4^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^2(z)}{|z|^{2\alpha}} dz \\ &\leq C \lambda^{n-2\alpha} \left[\max_{|y| \leq 2\lambda} u(y) \right]^2 + 4^\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^2(x)}{|x|^{2\alpha}} dx =: C'_\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_{0,\lambda}(y) &\leq \int_{|y-z| < 2\lambda} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{2\alpha}} u(z) dz \\ &\leq C \lambda^{n-2\alpha} \left[\max_{|y| \leq 4\lambda} u(y) \right] =: C''_\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

It is obvious that C'_λ and C''_λ depend on λ continuously and monotone increasing with respect to $\lambda > 0$.

Therefore, we infer from (2.5), (2.18) and (2.19) that, for any $\lambda - l \leq |y| \leq \lambda$ and $y \in B_\lambda^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< c_{0,\lambda}(y) = c_1 p_1 P(y) u_{0,\lambda}^{p_1-1}(y) + c_2 p_2 \max \{u^{p_2-1}(y), u_{0,\lambda}^{p_2-1}(y)\} + 2c_1 \tilde{P}_{0,\lambda}(y) u^{p_1}(y) \\ &\leq c_1 p_1 C'_\lambda \left[\min_{|y| \leq \lambda} u_{0,\lambda}(y) \right]^{p_1-1} + c_2 p_2 \max \left\{ \left(\max_{|y| \leq \lambda} u(y) \right)^{p_2-1}, \left(\min_{|y| \leq \lambda} u_{0,\lambda}(y) \right)^{p_2-1} \right\} \\ &\quad + 2c_1 C''_\lambda \left[\max_{|y| \leq \lambda} u(y) \right]^{p_1} =: C_\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

where C_λ depends continuously on λ and monotone increasing with respect to $\lambda > 0$.

As a consequence, it follows from (2.16), (2.17) and (2.20) that

$$0 \leq (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \omega_{0,\lambda}(\hat{y}) - c(\hat{y}) \omega_{0,\lambda}(\hat{y}) \leq \left(\frac{C}{l^\alpha} - C_\lambda \right) \omega_{0,\lambda}(\hat{y}), \quad (2.21)$$

that is,

$$\frac{C}{\lambda^\alpha} \leq \frac{C}{l^\alpha} \leq C_\lambda. \quad (2.22)$$

We can derive a contradiction from (2.22) directly if $0 < \lambda \leq \delta_0$ for some constant δ_0 small enough, or if $0 < l \leq l_0$ for some sufficiently small l_0 depending on λ continuously. This implies that (2.7) and (2.8) must hold. Furthermore, by (2.6), we can actually deduce from $\omega_{x,\lambda}(y) \geq 0$ in Ω that

$$\omega_{x,\lambda}(y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\}. \quad (2.23)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.3. \square

The following lemma provides a start point for us to move the spheres.

Lemma 2.4. *For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists $\epsilon_0(x) > 0$ such that, $u_{x,\lambda}(y) \geq u(y)$ for all $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon_0(x)]$ and $y \in B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\}$.*

Proof. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, recall that

$$B_\lambda^- = \{y \in B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\} \mid \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) < 0\}.$$

Take $\epsilon_0(x) := \min\{\eta_0(x), \delta_0(x)\}$, where $\eta_0(x)$ and $\delta_0(x)$ are defined the same as in Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.3. We will show via contradiction arguments that, for any $0 < \lambda \leq \epsilon_0$,

$$B_\lambda^- = \emptyset. \quad (2.24)$$

Suppose (2.24) does not hold, that is, $B_\lambda^- \neq \emptyset$ and hence $\omega_{x,\lambda}$ is negative somewhere in $B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\}$. For arbitrary $y \in B_\lambda^-$, we deduce from (1.1) and (2.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) \\ & \geq c_1 \left(\left(\frac{1}{|\cdot|^{2\alpha}} * u_{x,\lambda}^2 \right) (y) u_{x,\lambda}^{p_1}(y) - \left(\frac{1}{|\cdot|^{2\alpha}} * u^2 \right) (y) u^{p_1}(y) \right) + c_2 (u_{x,\lambda}^{p_2}(y) - u^{p_2}(y)) \\ & \geq c_1 p_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^2(z)}{|y-z|^{2\alpha}} dz u_{x,\lambda}^{p_1-1}(y) \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) + c_2 p_2 \max \{u^{p_2-1}(y), u_{x,\lambda}^{p_2-1}(y)\} \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) \\ & \quad + c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u_{x,\lambda}^2(z) - u^2(z)}{|y-z|^{2\alpha}} dz u_{x,\lambda}^{p_1}(y) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{x,\lambda}(y) \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) + c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u_{x,\lambda}^2(z) - u^2(z)}{|y-z|^{2\alpha}} dz u_{x,\lambda}^{p_1}(y) \\ & = \mathcal{L}_{x,\lambda}(y) \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) + c_1 u_{x,\lambda}^{p_1}(y) \int_{B_\lambda(x)} \left(\frac{1}{\left| \frac{(y-x)|z-x|}{\lambda} - \frac{\lambda(z-x)}{|z-x|} \right|^{2\alpha}} - \frac{1}{|y-z|^{2\alpha}} \right) (u^2(z) - u_{x,\lambda}^2(z)) dz \\ & \geq \mathcal{L}_{x,\lambda}(y) \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) + c_1 u^{p_1}(y) \int_{B_\lambda^-(x)} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{2\alpha}} (u_{x,\lambda}^2(z) - u^2(z)) dz \\ & \geq \mathcal{L}_{x,\lambda}(y) \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) + 2c_1 \left(\int_{B_\lambda^-} \frac{u(z) \omega_{x,\lambda}(z)}{|y-z|^{2\alpha}} dz \right) u^{p_1}(y), \end{aligned}$$

that is, for all $y \in B_\lambda^-$,

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) - \mathcal{L}_{x,\lambda}(y) \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) - 2c_1 \left(\int_{B_\lambda^-} \frac{u(z) \omega_{x,\lambda}(z)}{|y-z|^{2\alpha}} dz \right) u^{p_1}(y) \geq 0. \quad (2.25)$$

Since $\epsilon_0(x) := \min\{\eta_0(x), \delta_0(x)\}$, by Lemma 2.2, we have, for any $0 < \lambda \leq \epsilon_0$,

$$\omega_{x,\lambda}(y) \geq 1, \quad \forall y \in \overline{B_{\lambda^2}(x)} \setminus \{x\}. \quad (2.26)$$

Therefore, by taking $l = \lambda - \lambda^2$ and $\Omega = A_{\lambda,l}(x)$, then it follows from (2.25) and (2.26) that all the conditions in (2.6) in Theorem 2.3 are fulfilled, we can deduce from (i) in Theorem 2.3 that

$\omega_{x,\lambda} \geq 0$ in $\Omega = A_{\lambda,l}(x)$ for any $0 < \lambda \leq \epsilon_0(x)$. That is, there exists $\epsilon_0(x) > 0$ such that, for all $\lambda \in (0, \epsilon_0(x)]$,

$$\omega_{x,\lambda}(y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.4. \square

For each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define

$$\bar{\lambda}(x) = \sup\{\lambda > 0 \mid u_{x,\mu} \geq u \text{ in } B_\mu(x) \setminus \{x\}, \forall 0 < \mu \leq \lambda\}. \quad (2.27)$$

By Lemma 2.4, $\bar{\lambda}(x)$ is well-defined and $0 < \bar{\lambda}(x) \leq +\infty$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We need the following Lemma, which is crucial in our proof.

Lemma 2.5. *If $\bar{\lambda}(\bar{x}) < +\infty$ for some $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then*

$$u_{\bar{x},\bar{\lambda}(\bar{x})}(y) = u(y), \quad \forall y \in B_{\bar{\lambda}(\bar{x})}(\bar{x}) \setminus \{\bar{x}\}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $x = 0$ for simplicity. Since u is a positive solution to integral equation (2.3), one can verify that $u_{0,\lambda}$ also satisfies a similar integral equation as (2.3) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. In fact, by (2.3) and direct calculations, we have, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{0,\lambda}(y) &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^{n-\alpha} u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2}\right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{n-\alpha}}{|y|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{\left|\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} - z\right|^{n-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^2(\xi)}{|z-\xi|^{2\alpha}} d\xi u^{p_1}(z) dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{\left|\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} - z\right|^{n-\alpha}} u^{p_2}(z) dz \right) \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{n-\alpha}}{|y|^{n-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{\left|\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} - \frac{\lambda^2 z}{|z|^2}\right|^{n-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^2\left(\frac{\lambda^2 \xi}{|\xi|^2}\right)}{\left|\frac{\lambda^2 z}{|z|^2} - \frac{\lambda^2 \xi}{|\xi|^2}\right|^{2\alpha}} \frac{\lambda^{2n}}{|\xi|^{2n}} d\xi u^{p_1}\left(\frac{\lambda^2 z}{|z|^2}\right) \frac{\lambda^{2n}}{|z|^{2n}} dz \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda^{n-\alpha}}{|y|^{n-\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{\left|\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} - \frac{\lambda^2 z}{|z|^2}\right|^{n-\alpha}} u^{p_2}\left(\frac{\lambda^2 z}{|z|^2}\right) \frac{\lambda^{2n}}{|z|^{2n}} dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} \left[c_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{|z|}\right)^{\tau_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u_{0,\lambda}^2(\xi)}{|z-\xi|^{2\alpha}} d\xi \right) u_{0,\lambda}^{p_1}(z) + c_2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{|z|}\right)^{\tau_2} u_{0,\lambda}^{p_2}(z) \right] dz, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tau_1 := (n-\alpha)(1-p_1) \geq 0$ and $\tau_2 := (n+\alpha) - p_2(n-\alpha) \geq 0$.

Suppose on the contrary that $\omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}} \geq 0$ but $\omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}}$ is not identically zero in $B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0) \setminus \{0\}$, then we will get a contradiction with the definition (2.27) of $\bar{\lambda}$. We first prove that

$$\omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(y) > 0, \quad \forall y \in B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0) \setminus \{0\}. \quad (2.28)$$

Indeed, if there exists a point $y^0 \in B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(y^0) > 0$, by continuity, there exists a small $\delta > 0$ and a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$B_\delta(y^0) \subset B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0) \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(y) \geq c_0 > 0, \quad \forall y \in B_\delta(y^0).$$

For any $y \in B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0) \setminus \{0\}$, one can derive that

$$\begin{aligned} u(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} P(z) u^{p_1}(z) dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} u^{p_2}(z) dz \\ &= \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} P(z) u^{p_1}(z) dz + \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{\left| \frac{y|z|}{\bar{\lambda}} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}z}{|z|} \right|^{n-\alpha}} P(z^{\bar{\lambda}}) \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{2\alpha+\tau_1} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{p_1}(z) dz \\ &\quad + \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} u^{p_2}(z) dz + \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{\left| \frac{y|z|}{\bar{\lambda}} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}z}{|z|} \right|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\tau_2} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{p_2}(z) dz, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\tau_1} \bar{P}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{p_1}(z) dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\tau_2} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{p_2}(z) dz \\ &= \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\tau_1} \bar{P}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{p_1}(z) dz \\ &\quad + \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{\left| \frac{y|z|}{\bar{\lambda}} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}z}{|z|} \right|^{n-\alpha}} \bar{P}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z^{\bar{\lambda}}) \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{2\alpha} u^{p_1}(z) dz \\ &\quad + \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\tau_2} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{p_2}(z) dz + \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{\left| \frac{y|z|}{\bar{\lambda}} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}z}{|z|} \right|^{n-\alpha}} u^{p_2}(z) dz, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{P}_{x,\lambda}(y) := \left(\frac{1}{|\cdot|^{2\alpha}} * u_{x,\lambda}^2 \right)(y).$$

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) &= R_{\alpha,n} \left(\frac{1}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{\left| \frac{y|z|}{\bar{\lambda}} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}z}{|z|} \right|^{n-\alpha}} \right), \\ K_{2,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) &= R_{\alpha,n} \left(\frac{1}{|y-z|^{2\alpha}} - \frac{1}{\left| \frac{y|z|}{\bar{\lambda}} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}z}{|z|} \right|^{2\alpha}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) > 0$, $K_{2,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) > 0$, and

$$\bar{P}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) = P(z^{\bar{\lambda}}) \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{2\alpha}, \quad P(z) = \bar{P}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z^{\bar{\lambda}}) \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{2\alpha},$$

and furthermore,

$$\bar{P}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) - P(z) = \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{2,\bar{\lambda}}(z, \xi) (u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^2(\xi) - u^2(\xi)) d\xi > 0.$$

As a consequence, it follows immediately that, for any $y \in B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0) \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(y) &= c_1 \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y,z) P(z) \left(\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\tau_1} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{p_1}(z) - u^{p_1}(z) \right) dz \\
 &\quad + c_1 \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y,z) (\bar{P}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) - P(z)) \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\tau_1} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{p_1}(z) dz \\
 &\quad + c_2 \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y,z) \left(\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\tau_2} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{p_2}(z) - u^{p_2}(z) \right) dz \\
 &\geq c_1 p_1 \int_{B_{\delta}(y^0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y,z) P(z) u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{p_1-1}(z) (u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) - u(z)) dz \\
 &\quad + c_2 p_2 \int_{B_{\delta}(y^0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y,z) \min\{u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{p_2-1}(z), u^{p_2-1}(z)\} (u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) - u(z)) dz > 0,
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

thus we arrive at (2.28). Furthermore, (2.29) also implies that there exists a $0 < \eta < \bar{\lambda}$ small enough such that, for any $y \in \overline{B_{\eta}(0)} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(y) \geq c_1 p_1 \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(y^0)} c_6 c_5 c_4^{p_1-1} c_0 dz + c_2 p_2 \int_{B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(y^0)} c_6 c_3^{p_2-1} c_0 dz =: \tilde{c}_0 > 0. \tag{2.30}$$

Now we define

$$\tilde{l}_0 := \min_{\lambda \in [\bar{\lambda}, 2\bar{\lambda}]} l_0(0, \lambda) > 0, \tag{2.31}$$

where $l_0(0, \lambda)$ is given by Theorem 2.3. For a fixed small $0 < r_0 < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\tilde{l}_0, \bar{\lambda}\}$, by (2.28) and (2.30), we can define

$$m_0 := \inf_{y \in \overline{B_{\bar{\lambda}-r_0}(0)} \setminus \{0\}} \omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(y) > 0. \tag{2.32}$$

Since u is uniformly continuous on arbitrary compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (say, $K = \overline{B_{4\bar{\lambda}}(0)}$), we can deduce from (2.32) that, there exists a $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\tilde{l}_0, \bar{\lambda}\}$ sufficiently small, such that, for any $\lambda \in [\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda} + \varepsilon_0]$,

$$\omega_{0,\lambda}(y) \geq \frac{m_0}{2} > 0, \quad \forall y \in \overline{B_{\bar{\lambda}-r_0}(0)} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{2.33}$$

In order to prove (2.33), one should observe that (2.32) is equivalent to

$$|y|^{n-\alpha} u(y) - \bar{\lambda}^{n-\alpha} u(y^{0,\bar{\lambda}}) \geq m_0 \bar{\lambda}^{n-\alpha}, \quad \forall |y| \geq \frac{\bar{\lambda}^2}{\bar{\lambda} - r_0}. \tag{2.34}$$

Since u is uniformly continuous on $\overline{B_{4\bar{\lambda}}(0)}$, we infer from (2.34) that there exists a $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \frac{1}{2} \min\{\tilde{l}_0, \bar{\lambda}\}$ sufficiently small, such that, for any $\lambda \in [\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda} + \varepsilon_0]$,

$$|y|^{n-\alpha} u(y) - \lambda^{n-\alpha} u(y^{0,\lambda}) \geq \frac{m_0}{2} \lambda^{n-\alpha}, \quad \forall |y| \geq \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda - r_0}, \tag{2.35}$$

which is equivalent to (2.33), hence we have proved (2.33).

For any $\lambda \in [\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda} + \varepsilon_0]$, let $l := \lambda - \bar{\lambda} + r_0 \in (0, \tilde{l}_0)$ and $\Omega := A_{\lambda, l}(0)$, then it follows from (2.25) and (2.33) that all the conditions (2.6) in Theorem 2.3 are fulfilled, hence we can deduce from (ii) in Theorem 2.3 that

$$\omega_{0, \lambda}(y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \Omega = A_{\lambda, l}(0). \quad (2.36)$$

Therefore, we get from (2.33) and (2.36) that, $B_{\bar{\lambda}}^- = \emptyset$ for all $\lambda \in [\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda} + \varepsilon_0]$, that is,

$$\omega_{0, \lambda}(y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in B_{\lambda}(0) \setminus \{0\}, \quad (2.37)$$

which contradicts with the definition (2.27) of $\bar{\lambda}(0)$. As a consequence, in the case $0 < \bar{\lambda}(0) < +\infty$, we must have $\omega_{0, \bar{\lambda}} \equiv 0$ in $B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0) \setminus \{0\}$, that is,

$$u_{0, \bar{\lambda}(0)}(y) \equiv u(y), \quad \forall y \in B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0) \setminus \{0\}. \quad (2.38)$$

This finishes our proof of Lemma 2.5. \square

We also need the following property about the limiting radius $\bar{\lambda}(x)$.

Lemma 2.6. *If $\bar{\lambda}(\bar{x}) = +\infty$ for some $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\bar{\lambda}(x) = +\infty$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. Since $\bar{\lambda}(\bar{x}) = +\infty$, recalling the definition of $\bar{\lambda}$, we get

$$u_{\bar{x}, \lambda}(y) \geq u(y), \quad \forall y \in B_{\lambda}(\bar{x}) \setminus \{\bar{x}\}, \quad \forall 0 < \lambda < +\infty.$$

That is,

$$u(y) \geq u_{\bar{x}, \lambda}(y), \quad \forall |y - \bar{x}| \geq \lambda, \quad \forall 0 < \lambda < +\infty.$$

It follows immediately that

$$\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} |y|^{n-\alpha} u(y) = +\infty. \quad (2.39)$$

On the other hand, if we assume $\bar{\lambda}(x) < +\infty$ for some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then by Lemma 2.5, one arrives at

$$\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} |y|^{n-\alpha} u(y) = \lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} |y|^{n-\alpha} u_{x, \bar{\lambda}(x)}(y) = (\bar{\lambda}(x))^{n-\alpha} u(x) < +\infty,$$

which contradicts with (2.39). This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.6. \square

In the following two subsections, we will carry out the proof of Theorem 1.1 by discussing the critical cases and subcritical cases separately.

2.2. Classification of positive solutions in the critical case $c_1(1 - p_1) + c_2(\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha} - p_2) = 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $c_1 > 0$ and $c_2 > 0$, that is, $p_1 = 1$ and $p_2 = \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$. The Schrödinger-Hartree equation (1.1) is conformally invariant in such cases.

We carry out the proof by discussing two different possible cases.

Case (i). $\bar{\lambda}(x) = +\infty$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 < \lambda < +\infty$, we have

$$u_{x, \lambda}(y) \geq u(y), \quad \forall y \in B_{\lambda}(x) \setminus \{x\}, \quad \forall 0 < \lambda < +\infty.$$

By a calculus Lemma (Lemma 11.2 in [35]), we must have $u \equiv C > 0$, which contradicts with the equation (1.1).

Case (ii). By *Case (i)* and Lemma 2.6, we only need to consider the cases that

$$\bar{\lambda}(x) < \infty \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

From Lemma 2.5, we infer that

$$u_{x, \bar{\lambda}(x)}(y) = u(y), \quad \forall y \in B_{\bar{\lambda}(x)}(x) \setminus \{x\}. \quad (2.40)$$

Since equation (1.1) is conformally invariant, from a calculus lemma (Lemma 11.1 in [35]) and (2.40), we deduce that, there exists some $\mu > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$u(x) = C \left(\frac{\mu}{1 + \mu^2 |x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where the constant C depends on n, α, c_1, c_2 .

2.3. Nonexistence of positive solutions in the subcritical case $c_1(1 - p_1) + c_2(\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha} - p_2) > 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $c_1(1 - p_1) > 0$ and $c_2(\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha} - p_2) \geq 0$, that is, $c_1 > 0, c_2 \geq 0, 0 < p_1 < 1$ and $0 < p_2 \leq \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$. The Schrödinger-Hartree equation (1.1) involves at least one subcritical nonlinearities in such cases.

We will obtain a contradiction in both the following two different possible cases.

Case (i). $\bar{\lambda}(x) = +\infty$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 < \lambda < +\infty$, we have

$$u_{x, \lambda}(y) \geq u(y), \quad \forall y \in B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\}, \quad \forall 0 < \lambda < +\infty.$$

By a calculus Lemma (Lemma 11.2 in [35]), we must have $u \equiv C > 0$, which contradicts with the equation (1.1).

Case (ii). By *Case (i)* and Lemma 2.6, we only need to consider the cases that

$$\bar{\lambda}(x) < \infty \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

From Lemma 2.5, we infer that

$$u_{x, \bar{\lambda}(x)}(y) = u(y), \quad \forall y \in B_{\bar{\lambda}(x)}(x) \setminus \{x\}. \quad (2.41)$$

Consider $x = 0$, one can derive from (2.29) and (2.41) that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(y) &= c_1 \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) P(z) \left(\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\tau_1} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{p_1}(z) - u^{p_1}(z) \right) dz \\
&+ c_1 \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) (\bar{P}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) - P(z)) \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\tau_1} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{p_1}(z) dz \\
&+ c_2 \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) \left(\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\tau_2} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{p_2}(z) - u^{p_2}(z) \right) dz \\
&= c_1 \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) P(z) \left(\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\tau_1} - 1 \right) u^{p_1}(z) dz \\
&+ c_2 \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) \left(\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\tau_2} - 1 \right) u^{p_2}(z) dz,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.42}$$

where

$$\bar{P}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) - P(z) = \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{2,\bar{\lambda}}(z, \xi) (u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^2(\xi) - u^2(\xi)) d\xi = 0,$$

and $\tau_1 = (n - \alpha)(1 - p_1) > 0$, $\tau_2 = (n + \alpha) - p_2(n - \alpha) \geq 0$. As a consequence, it follows immediately that

$$0 \geq c_1 \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) P(z) \left(\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\tau_1} - 1 \right) u^{p_1}(z) dz > 0,$$

which is absurd.

Thus we have ruled out both the *Case (i)* and *Case (ii)*, and hence (1.1) does not admit any positive solutions. Therefore, the unique nonnegative solution to (1.1) is $u \equiv 0$.

This concludes our proof of Theorem 1.1.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Theorem 1.3 can be proved in a quite similar way as the proof of Theorem 1.1, thus we will only mention some main ingredients in its proof.

First, Suppose u is a nonnegative classical solution of the Schrödinger-Maxwell equation (1.7) which is not identically zero. It follows immediately that $u > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(x)}{|x|^{n-\alpha}} dx < +\infty$. Then, one can verify that $u_{x,\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\})$ if $0 < \alpha < 2$ ($u_{x,\lambda} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\})$ if $\alpha = 2$) satisfies the integral property

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u_{x,\lambda}^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(y)}{\lambda^{n-\alpha}} dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(x)}{|x|^{n-\alpha}} dx < +\infty$$

and a similar equation as u for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda > 0$. In fact, without loss of generality, we may assume $x = 0$ for simplicity and get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{0,\lambda}(y) &= \frac{\lambda^{n+\alpha}}{|y|^{n+\alpha}} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2}\right) \\
 &= c_1 \frac{\lambda^{n+\alpha}}{|y|^{n+\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(z)|^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}}{\left|\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} - z\right|^{n-\alpha}} dz \cdot u^{q_1}\left(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2}\right) + c_2 \frac{\lambda^{n+\alpha}}{|y|^{n+\alpha}} u^{q_2}\left(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2}\right) \\
 &= c_1 \frac{\lambda^{n+\alpha}}{|y|^{n+\alpha}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\lambda^{2n} |z|^{-2n}}{\left|\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2} - \frac{\lambda^2 z}{|z|^2}\right|^{n-\alpha}} \left|u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 z}{|z|^2}\right)\right|^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}} dz \cdot u^{q_1}\left(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2}\right) + c_2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^{\sigma_2} u_{0,\lambda}^{q_2}(y) \\
 &= c_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^{\sigma_1} \left[\frac{1}{|\cdot|^{n-\alpha}} * |u_{0,\lambda}|^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}} \right](y) u_{0,\lambda}^{q_1}(y) + c_2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^{\sigma_2} u_{0,\lambda}^{q_2}(y),
 \end{aligned}$$

this means, the conformal transforms $u_{x,\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\})$ ($u_{x,\lambda} \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\})$ if $\alpha = 2$) satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{x,\lambda}(y) = c_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y-x|}\right)^{\sigma_1} \left(\frac{1}{|\cdot|^{n-\alpha}} * u_{x,\lambda}^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}\right) u_{x,\lambda}^{q_1}(y) + c_2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y-x|}\right)^{\sigma_2} u_{x,\lambda}^{q_2}(y) \quad (3.1)$$

for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}$, where $\sigma_1 := 2\alpha - q_1(n - \alpha) \geq 0$ and $\sigma_2 := (n + \alpha) - q_2(n - \alpha) \geq 0$. Similar to Lemma 2.1, we can also show that the nonnegative solution u to (1.7) also satisfies the following equivalent integral equation

$$u(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(\xi)|^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}}{|\xi-z|^{n-\alpha}} d\xi \right) u^{q_1}(z) dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} u^{q_2}(z) dz, \quad (3.2)$$

and vice versa.

Second, we define

$$Q(y) := \left(\frac{1}{|\cdot|^{n-\alpha}} * u^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}\right)(y), \quad \tilde{Q}_{x,\lambda}(y) := \int_{B_\lambda(x)} \frac{u^{\frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(z)}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} dz.$$

We can prove the following *Narrow region principle* through a quite similar way as the proof of Theorem 2.3 in Section 2.

Theorem 3.1. (*Narrow region principle*) *Assume $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is arbitrarily fixed. Let Ω be a narrow region in $B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\}$ with small thickness $0 < l < \lambda$ such that $\Omega \subseteq A_{\lambda,l}(x) := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \lambda - l < |y - x| < \lambda\}$. Suppose $\omega_{x,\lambda} \in \mathcal{L}_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_{loc}^{1,1}(\Omega)$ if $0 < \alpha < 2$ ($\omega_{x,\lambda} \in C^2(\Omega)$ if $\alpha = 2$) and satisfies*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) - \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{x,\lambda}(y) \omega_{x,\lambda}(y) - c_1 \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha} \int_{B_\lambda^-} \frac{u^{\frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(z) \omega_{x,\lambda}(z)}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} dz u^{q_1}(y) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \cap B_\lambda^-, \\
 \text{negative minimum of } \omega_{x,\lambda} \text{ is attained in the interior of } B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\} \text{ if } B_\lambda^- \neq \emptyset, \\
 \text{negative minimum of } \omega_{x,\lambda} \text{ cannot be attained in } (B_\lambda(x) \setminus \{x\}) \setminus \Omega,
 \end{array} \right. \quad (3.3)$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{x,\lambda}(y) := c_1 q_1 Q(y) \max \{u^{q_1-1}(y), u_{x,\lambda}^{q_1-1}(y)\} + c_2 q_2 \max \{u^{q_2-1}(y), u_{x,\lambda}^{q_2-1}(y)\}$. Then, we have

(i) there exists a sufficiently small constant $\delta_0(x) > 0$, such that, for all $0 < \lambda \leq \delta_0$,

$$\omega_{x,\lambda}(y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \Omega; \quad (3.4)$$

(ii) there exists a sufficiently small $l_0(x, \lambda) > 0$ depending on λ continuously, such that, for all $0 < l \leq l_0$,

$$\omega_{x,\lambda}(y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in \Omega. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $x = 0$ here for simplicity. Theorem 3.1 can be proved in a quite similar way as the proof of Theorem 2.3, thus we will only mention the following key estimates for $Q(y)$ and $\tilde{Q}_{0,\lambda}(y)$ for any $y \in A_{\lambda,l}(0)$.

Indeed, since $\lambda - l < |y| < \lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(y) &\leq \left\{ \int_{|y-z| < \frac{|z|}{2}} + \int_{|y-z| \geq \frac{|z|}{2}} \right\} \frac{u^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(z)}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} dz \\ &\leq \left[\max_{|y| \leq 2\lambda} u(y) \right]^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}} \int_{|y-z| < \lambda} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} dz + 2^{n-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(z)}{|z|^{n-\alpha}} dz \\ &\leq C\lambda^\alpha \left[\max_{|y| \leq 2\lambda} u(y) \right]^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}} + 2^{n-\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(x)}{|x|^{n-\alpha}} dx =: \tilde{C}'_\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_{0,\lambda}(y) &\leq \int_{|y-z| < 2\lambda} \frac{1}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} u^{\frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(z) dz \\ &\leq C\lambda^\alpha \left[\max_{|y| \leq 4\lambda} u(y) \right]^{\frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha}} =: \tilde{C}''_\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

It is obvious that \tilde{C}'_λ and \tilde{C}''_λ depend on λ continuously and monotone increasing with respect to $\lambda > 0$.

The rest of the proof is completely similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3, so we omit the details. This finishes our proof of Theorem 3.1. \square

Third, for each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define the limiting radius by

$$\bar{\lambda}(x) = \sup \{ \lambda > 0 \mid u_{x,\mu} \geq u \text{ in } B_\mu(x) \setminus \{x\}, \forall 0 < \mu \leq \lambda \} \in (0, +\infty]. \quad (3.8)$$

Then, similar to Lemma 2.5 in Section 2, we also need the following Lemma, which is crucial in our proof.

Lemma 3.2. *If $\bar{\lambda}(\bar{x}) < +\infty$ for some $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then*

$$u_{\bar{x},\bar{\lambda}(\bar{x})}(y) = u(y), \quad \forall y \in B_{\bar{\lambda}(\bar{x})}(\bar{x}) \setminus \{\bar{x}\}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $x = 0$ for simplicity. Since u is a positive solution to integral equation (3.2), one can verify that $u_{0,\lambda}$ also satisfies a similar integral equation as (3.2) in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. In fact, by (3.2) and direct calculations, we have, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{0,\lambda}(y) &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^{n-\alpha} u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2}\right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} \left[c_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{|z|}\right)^{\sigma_1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u_{0,\lambda}^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(\xi)}{|z-\xi|^{n-\alpha}} d\xi \right) u_{0,\lambda}^{q_1}(z) + c_2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{|z|}\right)^{\sigma_2} u_{0,\lambda}^{q_2}(z) \right] dz, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where $\sigma_1 := 2\alpha - q_1(n - \alpha) \geq 0$ and $\sigma_2 := (n + \alpha) - q_2(n - \alpha) \geq 0$.

Suppose on the contrary that $\omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}} \geq 0$ but $\omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}}$ is not identically zero in $B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0) \setminus \{0\}$, then we will get a contradiction with the definition (3.8) of $\bar{\lambda}$.

We first prove that

$$\omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(y) > 0, \quad \forall y \in B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0) \setminus \{0\}. \quad (3.10)$$

Indeed, if there exists a point $y^0 \in B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(y^0) > 0$, by continuity, there exists a small $\delta > 0$ and a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$B_\delta(y^0) \subset B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0) \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(y) \geq c_0 > 0, \quad \forall y \in B_\delta(y^0).$$

For any $y \in B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0) \setminus \{0\}$, by (3.2), (3.9) and direct calculations, one can derive that

$$\begin{aligned} u(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} Q(z) u^{q_1}(z) dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} u^{q_2}(z) dz \\ &= \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} Q(z) u^{q_1}(z) dz + \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{\left|\frac{|y|z|}{\bar{\lambda}} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}z}{|z|}\right|^{n-\alpha}} Q(z^{\bar{\lambda}}) \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|}\right)^{n-\alpha+\sigma_1} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{q_1}(z) dz \\ &\quad + \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} u^{q_2}(z) dz + \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{\left|\frac{|y|z|}{\bar{\lambda}} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}z}{|z|}\right|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|}\right)^{\sigma_2} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{q_2}(z) dz, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(y) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|}\right)^{\sigma_1} \bar{Q}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{q_1}(z) dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|}\right)^{\sigma_2} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{q_2}(z) dz \\ &= \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|}\right)^{\sigma_1} \bar{Q}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{q_1}(z) dz \\ &\quad + \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_1 R_{\alpha,n}}{\left|\frac{|y|z|}{\bar{\lambda}} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}z}{|z|}\right|^{n-\alpha}} \bar{Q}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z^{\bar{\lambda}}) \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|}\right)^{n-\alpha} u^{q_1}(z) dz \\ &\quad + \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|}\right)^{\sigma_2} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{q_2}(z) dz + \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} \frac{c_2 R_{\alpha,n}}{\left|\frac{|y|z|}{\bar{\lambda}} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}z}{|z|}\right|^{n-\alpha}} u^{q_2}(z) dz, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\bar{Q}_{x,\lambda}(y) := \left(\frac{1}{|\cdot|^{n-\alpha}} * u_{x,\lambda}^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}} \right)(y).$$

Let us recall that

$$K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) := R_{\alpha,n} \left(\frac{1}{|y-z|^{n-\alpha}} - \frac{1}{\left| \frac{y|z|}{\bar{\lambda}} - \frac{\bar{\lambda}z}{|z|} \right|^{n-\alpha}} \right).$$

It is easy to check that $K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) > 0$, and

$$\bar{Q}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) = Q(z^{\bar{\lambda}}) \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{n-\alpha}, \quad Q(z) = \bar{Q}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z^{\bar{\lambda}}) \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{n-\alpha},$$

and furthermore,

$$\bar{Q}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) - Q(z) = \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(z, \xi) (u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(\xi) - u^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(\xi)) d\xi > 0.$$

As a consequence, it follows immediately that, for any $y \in B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0) \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(y) &= c_1 \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) Q(z) \left(\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\sigma_1} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{q_1}(z) - u^{q_1}(z) \right) dz \\ &\quad + c_1 \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) (\bar{Q}_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) - Q(z)) \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\sigma_1} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{q_1}(z) dz \\ &\quad + c_2 \int_{B_{\bar{\lambda}}(0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) \left(\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|z|} \right)^{\sigma_2} u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{q_2}(z) - u^{q_2}(z) \right) dz \\ &\geq c_1 q_1 \int_{B_{\delta}(y^0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) Q(z) \min\{u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{q_1-1}(z), u^{q_1-1}(z)\} (u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) - u(z)) dz \\ &\quad + c_2 q_2 \int_{B_{\delta}(y^0)} K_{1,\bar{\lambda}}(y, z) \min\{u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}^{q_2-1}(z), u^{q_2-1}(z)\} (u_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(z) - u(z)) dz > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

thus we arrive at (3.10). Furthermore, (3.11) also implies that there exists a $0 < \hat{\eta} < \bar{\lambda}$ small enough such that, for any $y \in \overline{B_{\hat{\eta}}(0)} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\omega_{0,\bar{\lambda}}(y) \geq c_1 q_1 \int_{B_{\frac{\hat{\eta}}{2}}(y^0)} c_6 c_5 c_4^{q_1-1} c_0 dz + c_2 q_2 \int_{B_{\frac{\hat{\eta}}{2}}(y^0)} c_6 c_3^{q_2-1} c_0 dz =: \hat{c}_0 > 0. \tag{3.12}$$

The rest of the proof is entirely similar to the proof of Lemma 2.5, by using (3.10) and (3.12), we can show that there exists a $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ small enough such that, for all $\lambda \in [\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\lambda} + \varepsilon_1]$,

$$\omega_{0,\lambda}(y) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in B_{\lambda}(0) \setminus \{0\}, \tag{3.13}$$

which contradicts with the definition (3.8) of $\bar{\lambda}(0)$, so we omit the details. This completes our proof of Lemma 3.2. \square

The rest of the proof is completely similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, so we omit the details. This concludes our proof of Theorem 1.3.

4. PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.5

In this section, we will give a brief proof of Corollary 1.5 by using Theorem 1.3.

First, we can prove that the nonnegative solution (u, v) to PDEs system (1.8) also satisfies the following equivalent IEs system

$$\begin{cases} u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{R_{\alpha, n}}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} (v(y)u^{q_1}(y) + c_2u^{q_2}(y)) dy, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ v(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{c_1}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} u^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(y) dy + C_0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where $C_0 \geq 0$ is a nonnegative real number. The proof is similar to [3, 18, 47], so we omit the details here.

Therefore, u satisfies the following equation

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(x) = c_1 \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{n-\alpha}} * |u|^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}} \right) u^{q_1}(x) + C_0 u^{q_1}(x) + c_2 u^{q_2}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.2)$$

In the following, we will discuss two different possible cases respectively.

Case (i) $C_0 > 0$. In such cases, noting that $0 < q_1 \leq \frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha} < \frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}$, the Schrödinger-Maxwell equation (4.2) involves at least one subcritical nonlinear term $C_0 u^{q_1}(x)$, thus it is clear from the proof of Theorem 1.3 (see Section 3) that, $u \equiv 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , and hence $(u, v) \equiv (0, C_0)$.

Case (ii) $C_0 = 0$. We will discuss two different possible sub-cases separately.

Sub-case (i). If $c_1(\frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha} - q_1) + c_2(\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha} - q_2) = 0$, by Theorem 1.3 and (4.2), we have either $u \equiv 0$ or u must assume the following form

$$u(x) = C_1 \left(\frac{\mu}{1 + \mu^2|x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} \quad \text{for some } \mu > 0 \text{ and } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (4.3)$$

where the positive constant C_1 depends on n, α, c_1, c_2 .

If $u \equiv 0$, then we have $(u, v) \equiv (0, 0)$.

From Lemma 4.1 in Dai, Fang, et al. [17], we get, for any $0 < s < \frac{n}{2}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{2s}} \left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^2} \right)^{n-s} dy = I(s) \left(\frac{1}{1+|x|^2} \right)^s, \quad (4.4)$$

where $I(s) := \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{n-2s}{2})}{\Gamma(n-s)}$. If u assumes the explicit form (4.3), we can deduce from (4.1) and formula (4.4) that

$$v(x) = C_2 \left(\frac{\mu}{1 + \mu^2|x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} \quad \text{for some } \mu > 0 \text{ and } x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (4.5)$$

where the positive constant C_2 depends on n, α, c_1, c_2 . Thus (u, v) must assume the explicit form (1.9).

Sub-case (ii). If $c_1(\frac{2\alpha}{n-\alpha} - q_1) + c_2(\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha} - q_2) > 0$, by Theorem 1.3 and (4.2), we have $u \equiv 0$, and hence $(u, v) \equiv (0, 0)$.

This concludes our proof of Corollary 1.5.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the referees for their careful reading and valuable comments and suggestions that improved the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Brandle, E. Colorado, A. de Pablo and U. Sanchez, *A concave-convex elliptic problem involving the fractional Laplacian*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh-A: Math., **143** (2013), 39-71.
- [2] D. Cao and W. Dai, *Classification of nonnegative solutions to a bi-harmonic equation with Hartree type nonlinearity*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh-A: Math., **149** (2019), 979-994.
- [3] W. Chen, Y. Fang and R. Yang, *Liouville theorems involving the fractional Laplacian on a half space*, Adv. Math., **274** (2015), 167-198.
- [4] L. Caffarelli, B. Gidas and J. Spruck, *Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **42** (1989), 271-297.
- [5] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. PDEs, **32** (2007), 1245-1260.
- [6] S.-Y. A. Chang and P. C. Yang, *On uniqueness of solutions of n -th order differential equations in conformal geometry*, Math. Res. Lett., **4** (1997), 91-102.
- [7] W. Chen and C. Li, *Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J., **63** (1991), no. 3, 615-622.
- [8] W. Chen and C. Li, *On Nirenberg and related problems - a necessary and sufficient condition*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **48** (1995), 657-667.
- [9] W. Chen and C. Li, *Classification of positive solutions for nonlinear differential and integral systems with critical exponents*, Acta Math. Sci., **29B** (2009), 949-960.
- [10] W. Chen and C. Li, *Methods on Nonlinear Elliptic Equations*, AIMS Book Series on Diff. Equa. and Dyn. Sys., Vol. 4, 2010.
- [11] W. Chen, C. Li and G. Li, *Symmetry of solutions for nonlinear problems involving fully nonlinear nonlocal operators*, Calc. Var. & PDEs, **272** (2017), 4131-4157.
- [12] W. Chen, C. Li and Y. Li, *A direct method of moving planes for the fractional Laplacian*, Adv. Math., **308** (2017), 404-437.
- [13] W. Chen, C. Li and B. Ou, *Classification of solutions for an integral equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **59** (2006), 330-343.
- [14] W. Chen, C. Li and B. Ou, *Classification of solutions for a system of integral equations*, Comm. PDEs, **30** (2005), 59-65.
- [15] W. Chen, Y. Li and R. Zhang, *A direct method of moving spheres on fractional order equations*, J. Funct. Anal., **272** (2017), no. 10, 4131-4157.
- [16] W. Chen and J. Zhu, *Indefinite fractional elliptic problem and Liouville theorems*, J. Differential Equations, **260** (2016), 4758-4785.
- [17] W. Dai, Y. Fang, J. Huang, Y. Qin and B. Wang, *Regularity and classification of solutions to static Hartree equations involving fractional Laplacians*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - A, **39** (2019), no. 3, 1389-1403.
- [18] W. Dai, Y. Fang and G. Qin, *Classification of positive solutions to fractional order Hartree equations via a direct method of moving planes*, J. Differential Equations, **265** (2018), no. 5, 2044-2063.

- [19] W. Dai and Z. Liu, *Classification of positive solutions to a system of Hardy-Sobolev type equations*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, **37** (2017), no. 5, 1415-1436.
- [20] W. Dai, Z. Liu and G. Lu, *Liouville type theorems for PDE and IE systems involving fractional Laplacian on a half space*, Potential Analysis, **46** (2017), 569-588.
- [21] W. Dai and G. Qin, *Classification of nonnegative classical solutions to third-order equations*, Adv. Math., **328** (2018), 822-857.
- [22] W. Dai and G. Qin, *Liouville type theorems for fractional and higher order Hénon-Hardy type equations via the method of scaling spheres*, preprint, submitted for publication, arXiv: 1810.02752.
- [23] W. Dai and G. Qin, *Liouville type theorem for critical order Hénon-Lane-Emden type equations on a half space and its applications*, preprint, submitted for publication, arXiv: 1811.00881.
- [24] W. Dai, G. Qin and Y. Zhang, *Liouville type theorem for higher order Hénon equations on a half space*, Nonlinear Analysis, **183** (2019), 284-302.
- [25] Y. Fang and W. Chen, *A Liouville Type Theorem for Poly-harmonic Dirichlet Problems in a Half Space*, Adv. Math., **229** (2012), 2835-2867.
- [26] J. Frohlich, E. Lenzmann, *Mean-field limit of quantum bose gases and nonlinear Hartree equation*, in: Sminaire E. D. P. (2003-2004), Expos nXVIII. 26p.
- [27] B. Gidas, W. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n* , Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 7a of the book series Advances in Mathematics, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
- [28] B. Gidas, W. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry and related properties via maximum principle*, Comm. Math. Phys., **68** (1979), 209-243.
- [29] C. S. Lin, *A classification of solutions of a conformally invariant fourth order equation in \mathbb{R}^n* , Comment. Math. Helv., **73** (1998), 206-231.
- [30] Z. Liu and W. Dai, *A Liouville type theorem for poly-harmonic system with Dirichlet boundary conditions in a half space*, Advanced Nonlinear Studies, **15** (2015), 117-134.
- [31] Y. Lei, *Qualitative analysis for the static Hartree-type equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **45** (2013), 388-406.
- [32] E. H. Lieb, *Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities*, Ann. of Math., **118** (1983), no. 2, 349-374.
- [33] S. Liu, *Regularity, symmetry, and uniqueness of some integral type quasilinear equations*, Nonlinear Anal., **71** (2009), 1796-1806.
- [34] D. Li, C. Miao and X. Zhang, *The focusing energy-critical Hartree equation*, J. Diff. Equations, **246** (2009), 1139-1163.
- [35] Y. Li and L. Zhang, *Liouville type theorems and Harnack type inequalities for semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Anal. Math, **90** (2003), 27-87.
- [36] Y. Li and M. Zhu, *Uniqueness theorems through the method of moving spheres*, Duke Math. J., **80** (1995), 383-417.
- [37] E. Lieb and B. Simon, *The Hartree-Fock theory for Coulomb systems*, Comm. Math. Phys., **53** (1977), 185-194.
- [38] L. Ma and L. Zhao, *Classification of positive solitary solutions of the nonlinear Choquard equation*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **195** (2010), no. 2, 455-467.
- [39] C. Miao, G. Xu, and L. Zhao, *Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical, focusing Hartree equation in the radial case*, Colloq. Math., **114** (2009), 213-236.
- [40] V. Moroz and J. Van Schaftingen, *Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics*, J. Funct. Anal., **265** (2013), no. 2, 153-184.
- [41] P. Padilla, *On some nonlinear elliptic equations*, Thesis, Courant Institute, 1994.

- [42] L. Silvestre, *Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **60** (2007), 67-112.
- [43] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.
- [44] J. Serrin, *A symmetry problem in potential theory*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **43** (1971), 304-318.
- [45] J. Wei and X. Xu, *Classification of solutions of higher order conformally invariant equations*, Math. Ann., **313** (1999), no. 2, 207-228.
- [46] D. Xu and Y. Lei, *Classification of positive solutions for a static Schrödinger-Maxwell equation with fractional Laplacian*, Applied Math. Letters, **43** (2015), 85-89.
- [47] R. Zhuo, W. Chen, X. Cui and Z. Yuan, *Symmetry and non-existence of solutions for a nonlinear system involving the fractional Laplacian*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. - A, **36** (2016), no. 2, 1125-1141.

[†] SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, BEIHANG UNIVERSITY (BUAA), BEIJING 100083, P. R. CHINA, AND LAGA, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 13 (UMR 7539), PARIS, FRANCE
Email address: weidai@buaa.edu.cn

[‡]SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, JIANGXI SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY NORMAL UNIVERSITY, NANCHANG 330038, P. R. CHINA, AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YESHIVA UNIVERSITY, NEW YORK, NY, USA
Email address: liuzhao@mail.bnu.edu.cn