

# ERROR CORRECTING CODES FROM SUB-EXCEEDING FUNCTIONS

Luc RABEFIHAVANANA <sup>1</sup>  
 Harinaivo ANDRIATAHINY <sup>2</sup>  
 Toussaint Joseph RABEHERIMANANA <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
 Faculty of Sciences, University of Antananarivo

<sup>1</sup> e-mail: lucrabefihavanana@yahoo.fr,

<sup>2</sup> e-mail: aharinaivo@yahoo.fr

<sup>3</sup> e-mail: rabeherimanana.toussaint@yahoo.fr

December 5, 2018

## Abstract

In this paper, we present error-correcting codes which are the results of our research on the sub-exceeding functions. For a short and medium distance data transmission (wifi network, bluetooth, cable, ...), we see that these codes mentioned above present many advantages compared with the Hamming code which is a 1 correcting code.

**Math. Subject Classification:** 94B05; 94B35; 11B34

**Key Words and Phrases:** Error correction code, encoding, decoding, sub-exceeding function

## 1 Introduction

New information and communication technologies or NICTs require today a norm increasingly strict in terms of quality of service. The diversity and the increasing volumes of data exchanged/processed also require increasingly fast and reliable systems.

In these constraints related to information processing, we need to take into account the increased sensitivity of technologies in front of external disruptive sources. It's about especially to protect information against environmental damage during transmission.

In seeking to improve this quality of service in terms of communication, the present article lists the results which can be increase the reliability in the data transmission system. These are the fruits of the in-depth study on our two articles entitled: "Part of a set and sub-exceeding function (encoding and Decoding) [15]" in 2017 and "Encoding of Partition Set Using Sub-out Function [14]" in 2018.

Given a finite-dimensional set  $\Omega$ , the first article discusses the encoding (decoding) of any subset of  $\Omega$ . For the second, it presents the encoding (decoding) of any partition of the set  $\Omega$ .

The purpose of this article is to build new error correcting code using the results in the two above-mentioned articles.

Given an integer  $k$  such that  $k \geq 3$ , we can build two error-correcting codes  $\mathcal{L}_k$  and  $\mathcal{L}_k^+$  which are respectively  $[2k, k]$  and  $[3k, k]$  linear codes.

In the last section of this article, we give the decoding algorithm of these codes using Groebner basis.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $n$  be a positive integer,  $[n]$  denotes the set of positive integers less or equal to  $n$  and the zero element, i.e.

$$[n] = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

### 2.1 The necessary ones on the study of sub-exceeding functions

**Definition 2.1.** (see [15]) Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $f$  be a map from  $[n]$  to  $[n]$ . This function  $f$  is said sub-exceeding if for all  $i$  in  $[n]$ , we have

$$f(i) \leq i.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_n$  the set of all sub-exceeding functions on  $[n]$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{F}_n = \{f : [n] \longrightarrow [n] \mid f(i) \leq i, \forall i \in [n]\}. \quad (2.1)$$

*Remark 2.1.* A sub-exceeding function  $f$  can be represented by the word of  $n+1$  alphabet  $f(0)f(1)f(2)\dots f(n)$ . So, we describe  $f$  by its images  $f = f(0)f(1)f(2)\dots f(n)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** (see [15]) Let  $n$  and  $k$  be two integers such that  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . We define by  $\mathcal{H}_n^k$  the subset of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  such that

$$\mathcal{H}_n^k = \{f \in \mathcal{F}_n \mid f(i) \leq f(i+1) \quad \forall 0 \leq i \leq n \quad \text{and} \quad Im(f) = [k]\}. \quad (2.2)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{H}_n^k$  is the set of all sub-exceeding function of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  with a quasi-increasing sequence of image formed by all elements of  $[k]$ .

**Example 2.1.** Take  $n = 4$  and  $k = 3$ . We find that the function  $f = 01123$  is really in  $\mathcal{H}_4^3$  because  $(f(i))_{0 \leq i \leq 4}$  is a quasi-increasing sequence formed by all the elements of  $[3]$ . But if we take  $f = 01133$ , even if the sequence  $(f(i))_{0 \leq i \leq 4}$  is quasi-increasing,  $f = 01133 \notin \mathcal{H}_4^3$  because  $Im(f) \neq [3]$  (without 2 among the  $f(i)$ ).

Following the definition 2.2, we denote by  $\mathcal{H}_n$  the set defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \mathcal{H}_n^k. \quad (2.3)$$

**Theorem 2.2.** ( See [15])

Let  $n$  and  $k$  be two integers such that  $0 \leq k \leq n$ .

1. For  $k = 0$ , we always find that  $\mathcal{H}_n^0$  is a set of singleton:

$$\mathcal{H}_n^0 = \{f = 000\dots 00_{n+1\text{-terms}}\}.$$

2. For  $k = n$ , we also find that  $\mathcal{H}_n^n$  is a set of singleton:

$$\mathcal{H}_n^n = \{f = 0123\dots(n-1)(n)\}.$$

3. For any integer  $k$  such that  $0 < k < n$ , we can construct all sub-exceeding functions of  $\mathcal{H}_n^k$  as follows:

- (a) Take all the elements of  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}^{k-1}$  and add the integer  $k$  at the end,
- (b) Take all the elements of  $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}^k$  and add the integer  $k$  at the end

To better presentation of this construction, we adopt the following writing:

$$\mathcal{H}_n^k = \{ \mathcal{H}_{n-1}^{k-1} \curvearrowright k \} \cup \{ \mathcal{H}_{n-1}^k \curvearrowright k \}.$$

Here,  $(*) \curvearrowright k$  means that we add the integer  $k$  at the end of all element of  $(*)$ .

From this theorem, we have the iteration table of the elements of  $\mathcal{H}_n^k$ :

$n \setminus k$	0	1	2	3	4	...
0	0					
1	00	01				
2	000	001 011	012			
3	0000	0001 0011 0111	0012 0112 0122	0123		
4	00000	00001 00011 00111 01111	00012 00112 01112 00122 01122 01222	00123 01123 01223 01233	01234	
⋮						

**Proposition 2.1.** See [15]

Let  $n$  and  $k$  be two integers such that  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . So, we have the following relations

1.  $\text{Card } \mathcal{H}_n^k = \text{Card } \mathcal{H}_{n-1}^{k-1} + \text{Card } \mathcal{H}_{n-1}^k$
2.  $\text{Card } \mathcal{H}_n^k = \binom{n}{k}$
3.  $\text{Card } \mathcal{H}_n = 2^n$

From this Proposition, we have the cardinal table of  $\mathcal{H}_n^k$  as

$n \setminus k$	0	1	2	3	4	...
0	1					
1	1	1				
2	1	2	1			
3	1	3	3	1		
4	1	4	6	4	1	
⋮						

Thus constructed, this table is none other than the Pascal triangle.

## 2.2 Some notion about error-correcting codes

When sending a message (data) through a transmission channel (by downloading data from Internet for example), errors can occur. Our goal is to be able to detect or correct these errors. The principle of coding is as follows: after cutting our message into blocks of  $k$  bits, we will apply the same algorithm on each block:

- by adding check bits at the end of all block
- or by completely modifying the blocks, but avoiding that two different blocks are transformed into a single block.

**Notation 2.3.** A block sequence of  $k$  bits will be indifferently called word or vector and will be denoted  $m_1m_2\dots m_k$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [A numerical code](see [1])

- A binary linear code is the image of an injective linear application  $\phi$  from  $\{0, 1\}^k$  to  $\{0, 1\}^n$ . The parameter  $k$  is called the **dimension** and  $n$  is called the **length** of this code. So, we say that  $\phi$  is a code of parameters  $[n, k]$  or  $[n, k]$ -linear code.
- The set  $\mathcal{C} = \{\phi(m) \mid m \in \{0, 1\}^k\}$  is called the image of  $\phi$  and the elements of  $\mathcal{C}$  are called the codewords(as opposed to the original elements of  $\{0, 1\}^k$  which are called messages).

*Remark 2.2.* A binary linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  of parameters  $[n, k]$  or  $[n, k]$  linear code is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  of dimension  $k$ .

**Definition 2.4.** (see [1])

- The minimum distance  $d$  of a code  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined as,

$$d = \min \{d(x, y) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}; y \in \mathcal{C}; x \neq y\}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here  $d(x, y)$  presents the Hamming distance between  $x$  and  $y$ .

- A linear code with length  $n$ , dimension  $k$  and minimum distance  $d$  is called a  $[n, k, d]$ -linear code.

**Definition 2.5.** (see [1])

- We define by error detection capacity for the code  $\mathcal{C}$ , the maximum number of errors which we can be detected for a wrong code word. This integer is denoted by  $e_d$ .
- We call by correction capacity of the code  $\mathcal{C}$  the maximum number of errors which we can be corrected for a wrong code word. This integer is denoted by  $e_c$

**Theorem 2.4.** (see [13]) For an  $[n, k, d]$ -linear code, that is to say a code of length  $n$  and of dimension  $k$  with a minimal distance  $d$ , we have:

$$e_d = d - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad e_c = \lfloor \frac{d - 1}{2} \rfloor \quad (2.5)$$

**Theorem 2.5.** (see [13]) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a linear code, we have

$$d = \min \{w(c) \mid c \in \mathcal{C} \text{ with } c \neq 0\}.$$

Here  $w(c)$  is the weight of the codeword  $c$ , i.e. the number of non-zero bits of  $c$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** (see [13]) Let  $C$  be a  $[n, k, d]$ -linear code. There is a linear application  $\phi$  from  $\mathbb{F}_2^k$  to  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  such that the image of  $\phi$  is  $C$ . So, we can represent the application  $\phi$  by  $\phi(m) = m \times G$  where  $G$  is the representative matrix of  $\phi$  by the canonical bases of  $\mathbb{F}_2^k$ . Here  $G$  is called the generating matrix of  $C$ .

**Definition 2.6.** (see [13]) A  $[n, k, d]$ -linear code  $C$  is called systematic if the encoding consists in adding  $n - k$  bits at the end of the message. For a linear code, The generating matrix  $G$  is of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} I_k & G' \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $I_k$  is the unit matrix with  $k$  rows and  $k$  columns and  $G'$  a matrix with  $k$  rows and  $n - k$  columns.

**Definition 2.7.** (see [1]) A parity check matrix for a binary  $[n, k, d]$ -linear code  $C$  is the matrix  $H \in \mathcal{M}_{n, n-k}(\mathbb{F}_2)$  such that  $H \times {}^t c = 0$  if and only if  $c \in C$ .

### 3 Main result: error-correcting code from the study on the sub-exceeding function

In this section, we present our linear error-correcting code from sub-exceeding function.

Recall that for a positive integer  $n$ , a function  $f$  from  $[n]$  to  $[n]$  is said to be sub-exceeding if for any integer  $i$  in  $[n]$ , we always have the inequality  $f(i) \leq i$ .

Thus, the sub-exceeding term amounts to saying that the image of an integer  $i$  by an application  $f$  is always an integer smaller or equal to this one.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $k$  be a positive integer and let  $f$  be an application from  $[k]$  to  $\mathbb{F}_2^{k+1}$ . Then the application  $f$  is a sub-exceeding function if and only if  $f(0) = 0$ .

This theorem tells us that all message of  $k$  bits on  $\mathbb{F}_2$  which begins with 0 is a sub-exceeding function.

*Proof.* We say that the image of an integer  $i$  in  $[k]$  by the application  $f$  is always equal to 0 or 1. Thus, by the condition  $f(0) = 0$ , we have  $f(i) \leq i$  for all  $i$ . So,  $f$  is a sub-exceeding function.  $\square$

Now, let's examine the subset  $\mathcal{H}_k$  for the set of sub-exceeding functions in all application from  $[k]$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2^{k+1}$ . That is to say the subset  $\mathcal{H}_k$  for the set of  $k$  bits messages on  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Referring to the theorem (2.2), we can have all the elements of  $\mathcal{H}_k$  (see the table below). Moreover, from the proposition (2.1), we find

$$\text{Card}(\mathcal{H}_k^0) = 1 \text{ and that } \text{Card}(\mathcal{H}_k^1) = k. \quad (3.1)$$

The table below shows the elements of  $\mathcal{H}_k^i$  for each value of  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  and some integer

$k$ .

$n \setminus k$	0	1
0	0	
1	00	01
2	000	001 011
3	0000	0001 0011 0111
4	00000	00001 00011 00111 01111
5	000000	000001 000011 000111 001111 011111
$\vdots$		

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $k$  be a positive integer and denote by  $T_k$  the matrix of  $k + 1$  row and  $k$  column such that

$$T_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \diagup & \diagdown & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.2)$$

Reminding that  $\mathcal{H}_k^1$  is the set of sub-exceeding functions  $f_i$  of length  $k + 1$  such that

$$f_i = 000 \dots \underbrace{011\dots 1}_{i \text{ - times}}, \text{ with } i \in \{1, \dots, k\}.$$

Then, the product  $f_i \times T_k$  gives the word  $g_i$  such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_1 = 0111\dots 111 \\ g_2 = 1011\dots 111 \\ g_3 = 1101\dots 111 \\ \vdots \\ g_{k-1} = 1111\dots 101 \\ g_k = 1111\dots 110 \end{array} \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

**Notation 3.2.** Now let's denote by  $G_k$  the matrix

$$G_k = \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ g_2 \\ g_3 \\ \vdots \\ g_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0111\dots 111 \\ 1011\dots 111 \\ 1101\dots 111 \\ \vdots \\ 1111\dots 101 \\ 1111\dots 110 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

**Example 3.3.** For  $k = 3$ , we have:

$$G_3 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_1 = 011 \\ g_2 = 101 \\ g_3 = 110 \end{array} \right\}, T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } \mathcal{H}_3^1 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_1 = 0001 \\ f_2 = 0011 \\ f_3 = 0111 \end{array} \right\}$$

For  $k = 4$ , we have:

$$G_4 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g_1 = 0111 \\ g_2 = 1011 \\ g_3 = 1101 \\ g_4 = 1110 \end{array} \right\}, T_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } \mathcal{H}_4^1 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_1 = 00001 \\ f_2 = 00011 \\ f_3 = 00111 \\ f_4 = 01111 \end{array} \right\}$$

**Theorem 3.4. (Main theorem: The codes  $\mathcal{L}_k$ )**

Let  $k$  be a positive integer and let  $\psi$  be the linear application from  $\mathbb{F}_2^k$  to  $\mathbb{F}_2^{2k}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \mathbb{F}_2^k &\longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^{2k} \\ m &\longmapsto \psi(m) = m \times G \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where  $m$  is the message of  $k$  bits such that  $m = m_1 m_2 \dots m_k$  and  $G$  is the matrix  $G = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & G_k \end{pmatrix}$  where  $G_k$  is the matrix defined in the equation (3.3).

Thus, the application  $\psi$  forms a systematic  $[2k, k]$ -linear error-correcting code denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_k$ . The minimum distance of  $\mathcal{L}_3$  is 3 and for  $k \geq 4$  the minimum distance of  $\mathcal{L}_k$  is 4.

*Proof.* First, since  $G = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & G_k \end{pmatrix}$  is a matrix of  $k$  rows and  $2k$  columns whose rows are linearly independent vectors, so the application  $\psi$  is injective from  $\mathbb{F}_2^k$  to  $\mathbb{F}_2^{2k}$ . Thus,  $\psi(\mathbb{F}_2^k)$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  of dimension  $k$ . Then  $\psi$  forms a systematic linear error-correcting code of dimension  $k$  and length  $2k$ .

Now, let  $m$  be the message such as  $m = m_1 m_2 \dots m_k$  and note by  $c$  its image by the application  $\psi$ .

$$c = \psi(m) = m \times G$$

Since  $\psi$  is a systematic code, a codeword  $c$  of length  $2k$  can be distributed into two vector  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . That is to say,  $c = c_1 c_2$ . Here, the vector  $c_1$  is the original message ( $c_1 = m$ ) and  $c_2$  is the vector (control bits) such that  $c_2 = m \times G_k$ .

So, for any integer  $i$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , we have

$$c_2[i] = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^k m_j.$$

So, two cases are possible:

- If the weight of  $m$  is even

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{and that } m_i = 0 \Rightarrow c_2[i] = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^k m_j = 0. \\ \text{and if } m_i = 1 \Rightarrow c_2[i] = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^k m_j = 1. \end{array} \right.$$

In this case, the code word  $c$  is:  $c = m m$ .

(Ex: for  $k = 6$ , if  $m = 011101$  we have  $c = 011101 011101$ )

- If the weight of  $m$  is odd

$$\begin{cases} \text{and that } m_i = 0 \Rightarrow c_2[i] = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^k m_j = 1. \\ \text{and if } m_i = 1 \Rightarrow c_2[i] = \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^k m_j = 0. \end{cases}$$

In this case, the code word  $c$  is:  $c = m \bar{m}$  where  $\bar{m}$  is the opposite of  $m$ .  
(Ex: for  $k = 7$ , if  $m = 0000111$  we have  $c = 0000111 1111000$ )

Now,

1. Take  $k = 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } w(m) = 1 &\longrightarrow w(c) = 3, \\ \text{if } w(m) = 2 &\longrightarrow w(c) = 4, \\ \text{if } w(m) = 3 &\longrightarrow w(c) = 3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Thus, the minimum distance for the code  $\mathcal{L}_3$  is 3.

2. for  $k \geq 4$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } w(m) = 1 &\longrightarrow w(c) = k, \\ \text{if } w(m) = 2 &\longrightarrow w(c) = 4, \\ \text{if } w(m) = 3 &\longrightarrow w(c) = k, \\ &\vdots \\ \text{if } w(m) = p \text{ (even)} &\longrightarrow w(c) = 2p, \\ \text{if } w(m) = q \text{ (odd)} &\longrightarrow w(c) = k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Thus, the minimum distance for the code  $\mathcal{L}_k$  is 4.

□

**Example 3.5.** For  $k = 3$ , from the main theorem (3.4), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 000000 \\ 001110 \\ 010101 \\ 100011 \\ 011101 \\ 101101 \\ 110110 \\ 111000 \end{array} \right\}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad \text{Initial messages: } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 000 \\ 001 \\ 010 \\ 100 \\ 011 \\ 101 \\ 110 \\ 111 \end{array} \right\}.$$

**Example 3.6.** For  $k = 4$ , from the main theorem (3.4), we have

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and } \mathcal{L}_4 = \begin{array}{lll} 00000000 & 00110011 & 01111000 \\ 00011110 & 01010101 & 10110100 \\ 00101101 & 10011001 & 11010010 \\ 01001011 & 01100110 & 11100001 \\ 10100101 & 10101010 & 11111111 \\ 10000111 & 11001100 & \end{array} \tag{3.8}$$

**Theorem 3.7.** (The code  $\mathcal{L}_k^+$ ).

Let  $k$  be an integer such that  $k \geq 4$  and let's take the system  $\{e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_k\}$  where  $e'_i = \psi(g_i)$  (see the equation 3.3). So, we can build a  $[3k, k]$ -linear systematic code with generator matrix

$$G' = \begin{pmatrix} & e'_1 \\ I_k & \vdots \\ & e'_k \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.9}$$



which is denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_k^+$ .

The code  $\mathcal{L}_4^+$  has minimum distance 5 and for  $k \geq 5$ , the code  $\mathcal{L}_k^+$  has minimum distance 6. The generating matrix  $G$  of this code has the form

$$G' = \begin{pmatrix} I_k & G_k & I_k \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.10)$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{L}_k$  is a sub-space over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , any linear combination between the code words  $e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_k$  gives a code in  $\mathcal{L}_k$  of weight equal to 4.

Then, for a message  $m$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2^k$ , the code word  $c$  generated by the matrix  $G'$  (ie  $c = m \times G'$ ) has a weight:

$$\begin{aligned} 1. \text{ Pour } k = 4, \\ \text{if } w(m) = 1 &\longrightarrow w(c') = 5, \\ \text{if } w(m) = 2 &\longrightarrow w(c') = 6, \\ \text{if } w(m) = 3 &\longrightarrow w(c') \geq 7, \\ &\vdots \\ \text{if } w(m) = k &\longrightarrow w(c') \geq k + 4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

So we have a code 2-corrector  $\mathcal{L}_4^+$  with a minimal distance  $d = 5$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 2. \text{ For } k \geq 5, \\ \text{if } w(m) = 1 &\longrightarrow w(c') \geq 6, \\ \text{if } w(m) = 2 &\longrightarrow w(c') = 6, \\ \text{if } w(m) = 3 &\longrightarrow w(c') \geq 7, \\ &\vdots \\ \text{if } w(m) = k &\longrightarrow w(c') \geq k + 4. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

So we have a code 2 -corrector  $\mathcal{L}_k^+$  with a minimal distance  $d = 6$ .

□

**Example 3.8.** Le code  $\mathcal{L}_4^+$ .

Now take the four (4) vectors in  $\mathcal{L}_4$  which are:

$$\begin{aligned} e'_1 &= 01111000 \\ e'_2 &= 10110100 \\ e'_3 &= 11010010 \\ e'_4 &= 11100001 \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

The code  $\mathcal{L}_4^+$  is as follows:

$$G' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.14)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4^+ = \begin{array}{lll} & 0011 & 0011 & 0011 \\ & 0101 & 0101 & 0101 \\ & 1001 & 1001 & 1001 \\ 0000 & 0000 & 0000 & 0111 & 1000 & 0111 \\ 0001 & 1110 & 0001 & 1011 & 0100 & 1011 \\ 0010 & 1101 & 0010 & 1101 & 0010 & 1101 \\ 0100 & 1011 & 0100 & 1110 & 0001 & 1110 \\ 1000 & 0111 & 1000 & 1111 & 1111 & 1111 \\ & 1100 & 1100 & 1100 & & \end{array}$$

**Example 3.9.** The code  $\mathcal{L}_5^+$ .

Now take the five (5) vectors in  $\mathcal{L}_5$  which are:

$$\begin{aligned} e'_1 &= 01111 10000 \\ e'_2 &= 10111 01000 \\ e'_3 &= 11011 00100 \\ e'_4 &= 11101 00010 \\ e'_5 &= 11110 00001 \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

The code  $\mathcal{L}_5^+$  is thus as follows:

$$G' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.16)$$

The code words of  $\mathcal{L}_5^+$  are:

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} 00011 & 00011 & 00011 & 11100 & 00011 & 11100 & & \\ 00101 & 00101 & 00101 & 11010 & 00101 & 11010 & & \\ 00000 & 00000 & 00000 & 00110 & 00110 & 00110 & 11001 & 00110 & 11001 & 01111 & 01111 & 01111 & & \\ 00001 & 11110 & 00001 & 01001 & 01001 & 01001 & 10110 & 01001 & 10110 & 10111 & 10111 & 10111 & & \\ 00010 & 11101 & 00010 & 01010 & 01010 & 01010 & 10101 & 01010 & 10101 & 11011 & 11011 & 11011 & & \\ 00100 & 11011 & 00100 & 01100 & 01100 & 01100 & 10011 & 01100 & 10011 & 11101 & 11101 & 11101 & & \\ 01000 & 10111 & 01000 & 10001 & 10001 & 10001 & 01110 & 10001 & 01110 & 11110 & 11110 & 11110 & & \\ 10000 & 01111 & 10000 & 10010 & 10010 & 10010 & 01101 & 10010 & 01101 & 11111 & 00000 & 11111 & & \\ & & & 10100 & 10100 & 10100 & 01011 & 10100 & 01011 & & & & & \\ & & & 11000 & 11000 & 11000 & 00111 & 11000 & 00111 & & & & & \end{array} \quad (3.17)$$

## 4 Study of the Weight of the codes $\mathcal{L}_k$ and $\mathcal{L}_k^+$

Denote respectively by  $\mathcal{L}_k|_{w=p}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_k^+|_{w=p}$  the set of all elements of the code  $\mathcal{L}_k$  and  $\mathcal{L}_k^+$  who have a weight equal to  $p$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** For  $\mathcal{L}_k$ , the set of the weight of the words of this code form the set

$$W(\mathcal{L}_k) = \{4i, i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 4i \leq 2k\} \cup \{k\}. \quad (4.1)$$

- For all weight in  $\{4i, i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 4i \leq 2k\} \setminus \{k\}$ ,

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_k|_{w=4i} = \binom{k}{2i}$$

- For the integer  $k$  such that  $k \notin \{4i, i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 4i \leq 2k\}$ , The set  $\mathcal{L}_k|_{w=k}$  have cardinality,

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_k|_{w=k} = \sum_{i, 2i+1 \leq k} \binom{k}{2i+1}$$

- For the integer  $k$  such that  $k \in \{4i, i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 4i \leq 2k\}$ , the set  $\mathcal{L}_k|_{w=k}$  have cardinality

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_k|_{w=k} = \sum_{i \mid 2i+1 \leq k} \binom{k}{2i+1} + \binom{k}{k/2}$$

*Proof.* As defined above, a received code word  $c$  in  $\mathcal{L}_k$  can be split into two word, ie  $c = m_1 m_2$  where  $m_1$  is the message sent and  $m_2$  which is the control code. Furthermore

$$m_2 = \begin{cases} m_1 & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is even} \\ \overline{m_1} & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

So for a  $m_1$  such that  $w(m_1) = 2i$ , we have  $w(c) = 4i$  and

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_k|_{w=4i} = \text{card} \left\{ m_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^k \mid w(m_1) = 2i \right\} = \binom{k}{2i}.$$

Furthermore, for a  $m_1$  such that  $w(m_1) = 2i + 1$ , we have  $w(c) = k$  because  $w(m_1) + w(m_2) = k$ . then

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_k|_{w=k} = \sum_{i|2i+1 \leq k} \text{card} \left\{ m_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^k \mid w(m_1) = 2i + 1 \right\} = \sum_{i|2i+1 \leq k} \binom{k}{2i+1}.$$

For the integer  $k$  such that  $k \in \{4i, i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 4i \leq 2k\}$ , we have,

$$\mathcal{L}_k|_{w=k} = \cup_{i|2i+1 \leq k} \left\{ m_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^k \mid w(m_1) = 2i + 1 \right\} \cup \left\{ m_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^k \mid w(m_1) = 4i, k = 4i \right\}$$

So, the set  $\mathcal{L}_k|_{w=k}$  have cardinality

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_k|_{w=k} = \binom{k}{k/2} + \sum_{i|2i+1 \leq k} \binom{k}{2i+1}$$

□

**Example 4.2.** Take the code  $\mathcal{L}_4$ , The set  $W(\mathcal{L}_4)$  is

$$W(\mathcal{L}_4) = \{4i, i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 4i \leq 8\} \cup \{4\}.$$

So,  $W(\mathcal{L}_4) = \{0, 4, 8\}$ . We have

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_4|_{w=0} = \binom{4}{0} = 1$$

As  $4 \in \{4i, i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 2i \leq 4\}$ , we have

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_4|_{w=4} = \binom{4}{2} + \binom{4}{1} + \binom{4}{3} = 14$$

Finally,

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_4|_{w=8} = \binom{4}{4} = 1$$

Refer to (3.8) to see the code  $\mathcal{L}_4$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** For  $\mathcal{L}_k^+$ , the set of the weight of the words of this code form the set

$$W(\mathcal{L}_k^+) = \{6i, i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 6i \leq 3k\} \cup \{k + (2i + 1), i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 2i + 1 \leq k\}. \quad (4.2)$$

- For all weight in  $\{6i, i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 6i \leq 3k\} \setminus \{k + (2i + 1), i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 2i + 1 \leq k\}$ ,

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_k|_{w=4i} = \binom{k}{2i}$$

- For all weight in  $\{k + (2i + 1), i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 2i + 1 \leq k\} \setminus \{6i, i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 6i \leq 3k\}$ , the set  $\mathcal{L}_k|_{w=k+(2i+1)}$  have cardinality,

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_k|_{w=k+(2i+1)} = \binom{k}{2i+1}$$

- For all weight  $p$  in  $\{6i, i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 6i \leq 3k\} \cap \{k + (2j + 1), j \in \mathbb{N} \mid 2j + 1 \leq k\}$ , the set  $\mathcal{L}_k|_{w=p}$  have cardinality

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_k|_{w=p} = \binom{k}{2i} + \binom{k}{2j+1}$$

where  $p = 6i = k + 2j + 1$ .

*Proof.* We know that for a code  $c$  in  $\mathcal{L}_k^+$ , this word have the form  $c = m_1 m_2 m_3$  where  $m_1 = m_3$  and that

$$m_2 = \begin{cases} m_1 & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is even} \\ \text{or} \\ \overline{m_1} & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

So for a  $m_1$  such that  $w(m_1) = 2i$ , we have  $w(c) = 6i$  and

$$\mathcal{L}_k|_{w=6i} = \text{card} \{m_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^k \mid w(m_1) = 2i\} = \binom{k}{2i}.$$

Furthermore, for a  $m_1$  such that  $w(m_1) = 2i + 1$ , we have  $w(c) = k + (2i + 1)$  because  $w(m_1) + w(m_2) = k$ . then

$$\mathcal{L}_k|_{w=k+(2i+1)} = \text{card} \{m_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^k \mid w(m_1) = 2i + 1\} = \binom{k}{2i+1}.$$

For all weight  $p$  in  $\{6i, i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 6i \leq 3k\} \cap \{k + (2j + 1), j \in \mathbb{N} \mid 2j + 1 \leq k\}$ , we have,

$$\mathcal{L}_k|_{w=p} = \{m_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^k \mid w(m_1) = 2i + 1, p = k + (2i + 1)\} \cup \{m_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2^k \mid w(m_1) = 2i, p = 6i\}$$

So, the set  $\mathcal{L}_k|_{w=k}$  have cardinality

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_k|_{w=p} = \binom{k}{2i} + \binom{k}{2j+1}$$

□

**Example 4.4.** For  $\mathcal{L}_5^+$ , the set  $W(\mathcal{L}_5^+)$  is

$$W(\mathcal{L}_5^+) = \{6i, i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 6i \leq 15\} \cup \{5 + (2i + 1), i \in \mathbb{N} \mid 2i + 1 \leq 5\}.$$

So,

$$W(\mathcal{L}_5^+) = \{0, 6, 12\} \cup \{6, 8, 10\} = \{0, 6, 8, 10, 12\}.$$

We have

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_5^+|_{w=0} = \binom{5}{0} = 1.$$

As  $6 \in \{0, 6, 12\} \cap \{6, 8, 10\}$ , we have

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_5^+|_{w=6} = \binom{5}{2} + \binom{5}{1} = 15.$$

Finally,

$$\text{Card } \mathcal{L}_5^+|_{w=8} = \binom{5}{3} = 10, \quad \text{Card } \mathcal{L}_5^+|_{w=10} = \binom{5}{5} = 1,$$

$$\text{and Card } \mathcal{L}_5^+|_{w=12} = \binom{5}{4} = 5$$

Refer to (3.17) to see the code  $\mathcal{L}_5^+$ .

## 5 Decoding for the error correcting codes $\mathcal{L}_k$ and $\mathcal{L}_k^+$

After considering the parameters necessary for the study of these codes, we present here the appropriate decoding algorithms.

### 5.1 The dual codes of $\mathcal{L}_k$ and $\mathcal{L}_k^+$

**Theorem 5.1.** (See [9, 12, 16])

If  $C$  is an  $[n, k]$  code over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , then the dual code  $C^\perp$  is given by all words  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  such that  $\langle u, c \rangle = 0$  for each  $c \in C$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the ordinary inner product. The dual code  $C^\perp$  is an  $[n, n - k]$  code. If  $G = (I_k \mid M)$  is a generator matrix for  $C$ , then  $H = (M^T \mid I_{n-k})$  is the generator matrix for  $C^\perp$ .

**Example 5.2.** For the code  $\mathcal{L}_4$  and  $\mathcal{L}_4^+$  the generator matrix is respectively

$$G_{\mathcal{L}_4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$G_{\mathcal{L}_4^+} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the dual code  $\mathcal{L}_4^\perp$  and  $(\mathcal{L}_4^+)^\perp$  have respectively his generator matrix:

$$H_{\mathcal{L}_4^\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$H_{(\mathcal{L}_4^+)^\perp} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As the columns of  $H_{\mathcal{L}_4^\perp}$  (or  $H_{(\mathcal{L}_4^+)^\perp}$ ) are linearly independent, so for a codeword  $c$  that contains exactly one error, the decoding will be easy by looking at the  $H \times {}^t c$  syndrome.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $c$  be an element of linear code  $C$  such that  $c = m_1 m_2 \dots m_n$  where  $m_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$  for all  $i$ . we define the monomial  $X^c$  of  $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1 X_2 \dots X_n]$  by

$$X^c = X_1^{m_1} X_2^{m_2} \dots X_n^{m_n}. \quad (5.1)$$

**Example 5.3.** Take  $c = 101101$  which is a codeword of  $\mathcal{L}_3$ . In  $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1 X_2 \dots X_6]$ , The monomial  $X^c$  was

$$X^c = X_1^1 X_2^0 X_3^1 X_4^1 X_5^0 X_6^1 = X_1 X_3 X_4 X_6.$$

**Theorem 5.4.** (see [4])

Let  $C$  be an  $[n, k]$ -linear systematic code over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Define the binomial ideal of  $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1 X_2 \dots X_n]$  associated with  $C$  the set  $I_C$  such that

$$I_C = \langle X^c - X^{c'} \mid c - c' \in C \rangle + \langle X_i^2 - 1 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \rangle. \quad (5.2)$$

**Theorem 5.5** (Groebner basis of the Binomial ideal  $I_C$ ). (See [12])

Take the lexicographic order on  $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1 X_2 \dots X_n]$ , i.e.  $X_1 \succ \dots \succ X_n$ . An  $[n, k]$  linear code systematic  $C$  of generator matrix  $(I_k \mid M)$  has the reduced Groebner basis

$$\mathcal{B} = \{X_i - X^{m_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{X_i^2 - 1 \mid k+1 \leq i \leq n\}. \quad (5.3)$$

Here  $m_i$  is the  $i^{\text{th}}$  line of the matrix  $M$ .

**Example 5.6.** For the code  $(\mathcal{L}_4^+)$ , the corresponding binomial ideal of this code in  $\mathbb{F}_2[X_1 X_2 \dots X_n]$  has the reduced Groebner basis given by the elements

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= X_1 - X_6 X_7 X_8 X_9 & b_5 &= X_5^2 - 1 \\ b_2 &= X_2 - X_5 X_7 X_8 X_{10} & b_6 &= X_6^2 - 1 \\ b_3 &= X_3 - X_5 X_6 X_8 X_{11} & b_7 &= X_7^2 - 1 \\ b_4 &= X_4 - X_5 X_6 X_7 X_{12} & b_8 &= X_8^2 - 1 \\ & & b_9 &= X_9^2 - 1 \\ & & b_{10} &= X_{10}^2 - 1 \\ & & b_{11} &= X_{11}^2 - 1 \\ & & b_{12} &= X_{12}^2 - 1 \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$G_{\mathcal{L}_4^+} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.5)$$

An immediate consequence of the study of the reduced Groebner basis of the Binomial ideal  $I_C$  is a decoding algorithm for linear codes . This algorithm was given and proved in [4].

## 5.2 Other way for error-correction of the code $\mathcal{L}_k$

1. The ideal case is that no error was produced during transmission. We can use two methods to detect the presence of errors:
  - We make the product of the control matrix  $H$  with the received code and we have to find a null vector, which means that there was no error during the transmission.

- Now the second method: as our code  $\mathcal{L}_k$  is a systematic code, the received code word can be split into two, ie  $c = m_1 m_2$  where  $m_1$  is the message sent and  $m_2$  which is the control code.

So, if the weight of  $m_1$  is even and  $m_1 = m_2$  or if the weight of  $m_1$  is odd and  $m_2 = \overline{m_1}$ , in both cases the code has no error during the transmission. Otherwise there are errors.

2. The other case is that errors occur during transmission.

Suppose that an error was produced. so, we find out here how to fix it. The only error must be in  $m_1$  or  $m_2$ . Moreover, if the real message  $m$  sent is of even (odd) weight, the word  $m_1 + m_2$  is of weight 1 (resp  $(k - 1)$ ). As a result, the decoding is as follows:

- If the weight of  $m_1 + m_2$  is 1, it remains to find the only bit that differs  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  and fix it for the weight of  $m_1$  to be even.
- If the weight of  $m_1 + m_2$  is  $k - 1$ , it remains to find the only bit for that  $m_2 = \overline{m_1}$  and fix it for the weight of  $m_1$  to be even.

### Algorithm of Decoding for the code $\mathcal{L}_k$

```

Input  $c$  (received word)

Output  $c'$  (corrected word)

Begin

    Determined  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  such that  $c = m_1 m_2$ ;
    Calculate  $w_1 = w(m_1)$ ,  $w_2 = w(m_2)$  and  $w_{1,2} = w(m_1 + m_2)$ ;
    * If  $w_{1,2} = 0$  or  $w_{1,2} = k$ , so  $c' = c$ ;
    * If  $w_{1,2} = 1$ 
        · and if  $w_1$  is even, so  $c' = m_1 m_1$ ;
        · and if  $w_1$  is odd, so  $c' = m_2 m_2$ ;
    * If  $w_{1,2} = k - 1$ 
        · and if  $w_1$  is odd, so  $c' = m_1 \overline{m_1}$ ;
        · and if  $w_1$  is even, so  $c' = \overline{m_2} m_2$ ;
    * Else print("The message contains more than one error,
        we can not correct them")

End

```

### 5.3 Other way for error correction of the code $\mathcal{L}_k^+$

We try to give here the correction steps for a codeword that contains at most 2 errors. We know that for a code  $c$  in  $\mathcal{L}^+$ , this word have the form  $c = m_1 m_2 m_3$  where  $m_1 = m_3$  and that

$$m_2 = \begin{cases} m_1 & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is even} \\ \text{or} \\ \overline{m_1} & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

In this sub-section, The word  $c$  be the received word and suppose that it's contains at most 2 errors.

**Theorem 5.7.** *If we have  $m_1 = m_2 = m_3$  for a word  $m_1$  which have even weight or if  $m_1 = m_3$  and  $m_2 = \overline{m_1}$  for a word  $m_1$  which have odd weight, So this code word does not contain an error.*

*Proof.* By the construction in the theorem 3.7, the received word  $c$  is an element of  $\mathcal{L}^+$ . If we suppose that  $c$  was wrong (errors less or equal than 2 bits), we have another codeword  $c'$  in  $\mathcal{L}^+$  such that  $c'$  is the exact code who transform into  $c$ . It is impossible because  $w(c + c') \leq 2$  (in contradiction into  $d = 6$ ). So this code word does not contain an error.  $\square$

## Algorithm

```

Input  $c$  (received word)
Output  $c'$  (corrected word)
Begin
  - Determined  $m_1, m_2$  and  $m_3$  such that  $c = m_1 m_2 m_3$ ;
  - Calculate  $w_1 = w(m_1)$  and  $w_{1,2} = w(m_1 + m_2)$ ;
    If  $w_1$  is even and  $m_1 = m_2 = m_3$ , so  $c' = c$ ;
    If  $w_1$  is odd,  $m_1 = m_3$  and  $w_{1,2} = k$ , so  $c' = c$ ;
  - Print("The message does not contain an error");
End
  
```

**Theorem 5.8.** *Suppose that the word  $c$  contain exactly an error.*

1. *If  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 1$  and  $m_2 = m_3$  or if  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 1$  and  $w(m_2 + m_3) = k$ , So an error is in  $m_1$ .  
For a correction, change  $c = m_3 m_2 m_3$ .*
2. *If  $m_1 = m_3$  and  $w(m_1 + m_2) = 1$  or if  $m_1 = m_3$  and  $w(m_1 + m_2) = k - 1$ , so the error is in  $m_2$ .  
For a correction of this word: if the weight of  $m_1$  is even, change  $c = m_1 m_1 m_3$ , else  $c = m_1 \overline{m_1} m_3$ .*
3. *If  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 1$  and  $m_1 = m_2$  or if  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 1$  and  $w(m_1 + m_2) = k$ , so the error is in  $m_3$ .  
For a correction of this word, change  $c = m_1 m_2 m_1$ .*

*Proof.* If an error was present during transmission, three cases are possible.

1. If the error was in  $m_1$ , the exact message is  $m_3$  and  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 1$ . So,  $c = m_3 m_2 m_3$  where

$$m_2 = \begin{cases} m_3 & \text{if the weight of } m_3 \text{ is even} \\ \text{or} \\ \overline{m_3} & \text{if the weight of } m_3 \text{ is odd (here } w(m_3 + m_2) = k \text{)}. \end{cases}$$



2. If the error was in  $m_2$ , it means that the exact message is  $m_1$  and  $m_1 = m_3$ . So

$$w(m_1 + m_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is even} \\ \text{or} \\ k - 1 & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For correction,  $c = m_1 m'_2 m_3$  where

$$m'_2 = \begin{cases} m_1 & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is even} \\ \text{or} \\ \overline{m_1} & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

3. If the error was in  $m_3$ , the exact message is  $m_1$ . So  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 1$  and  $c = m_1 m_2 m_1$  where

$$m_2 = \begin{cases} m_1 & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is even} \\ \text{or} \\ \overline{m_1} & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

□

## Algorithm

<p>Input <math>c</math> (<i>received word</i>)</p> <p>Output <math>c'</math> (<i>corrected word</i>)</p> <p>Begin</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Determined <math>m_1, m_2</math> and <math>m_3</math> such that <math>c = m_1 m_2 m_3</math>;</li> <li>– Calculate <math>w_1 = w(m_1), w_3 = w(m_3), w_{1,2} = w(m_1 + m_2), w_{2,3} = w(m_2 + m_3)</math> and <math>w_{1,3} = w(m_1 + m_3)</math>;</li> <li>* If <math>w_{1,3} = 1</math> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• and if <math>m_2 = m_3</math>, so <math>c' = m_3 m_2 m_3</math>;</li> <li>• and if <math>w_{2,3} = k</math>, so <math>c' = m_3 m_2 m_3</math>;</li> <li>• and if <math>m_2 = m_1</math>, so <math>c' = m_1 m_2 m_1</math>;</li> <li>• and if <math>w_{1,2} = k</math>, so <math>c' = m_1 m_2 m_1</math>;</li> </ul> </li> <li>* If <math>m_1 = m_3</math> and <math>w_{1,2} = 1</math> or <math>m_1 = m_3</math> and <math>w_{1,2} = k</math>, so <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• if <math>w_1</math> is even, <math>c' = m_1 m_1 m_3</math>;</li> <li>• else <math>c' = m_1 \overline{m_1} m_3</math>;</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>End</p>
---

**Theorem 5.9.** Suppose that the word  $c$  contain exactly two errors and the both are in the word  $m_1$  or  $m_2$  or  $m_3$ .

1. If  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 2$  and  $m_2 = m_3$  or if  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 2$  and  $w(m_2 + m_3) = k$ , the two errors are exactly in  $m_1$ .  
For a correction, change  $c = m_3 m_2 m_3$ .

2. If  $m_1 = m_3$  and  $w(m_1 + m_2) = 2$  or if  $m_1 = m_3$  and  $w(m_1 + m_2) = k - 2$ , the two errors are exactly in  $m_2$ .

For a correction of this word: if the weight of  $m_1$  is even, change  $c = m_1 m_1 m_3$ , else  $c = m_1 \overline{m_1} m_3$ .

3. If  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 2$  and  $m_1 = m_2$  or if  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 2$  and  $w(m_1 + m_2) = k$ , the two errors are exactly in  $m_3$ .

For a correction of this word, change  $c = m_1 m_2 m_1$ .

*Proof.* If two errors are presented during transmission, we have

1. If the both are in  $m_1$ , the exact message is  $m_3$  and  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 2$ . So  $c = m_3 m_2 m_3$  where

$$m_2 = \begin{cases} m_3 & \text{if the weight of } m_3 \text{ is even} \\ \text{or} \\ \overline{m_3} & \text{if the weight of } m_3 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

2. If the both errors are in  $m_2$ , it means that the exact message is  $m_1$  and  $m_1 = m_3$ . Then

$$w(m_1 + m_2) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is even} \\ \text{or} \\ k - 2 & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For correction,  $c = m_1 m'_2 m_3$  where

$$m'_2 = \begin{cases} m_1 & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is even} \\ \text{or} \\ \overline{m_1} & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

3. If the errors are in  $m_3$ , the exact message is  $m_1$ . So  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 2$  and  $c = m_1 m_2 m_1$  where

$$m_2 = \begin{cases} m_1 & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is even} \\ \text{or} \\ \overline{m_1} & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

□

## Algorithm

<p>Input <math>c</math> (received word)</p> <p>Output <math>c'</math> (corrected word)</p> <p>Begin</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>– Determined <math>m_1, m_2</math> and <math>m_3</math> such that <math>c = m_1 m_2 m_3</math>;</li> <li>– Calculate <math>w_1 = w(m_1), w_3 = w(m_3), w_{1,2} = w(m_1 + m_2), w_{2,3} = w(m_2 + m_3)</math> and <math>w_{1,3} = w(m_1 + m_3)</math>;</li> <li>* If <math>w_{1,3} = 2</math> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· and if <math>m_2 = m_3</math>, so <math>c' = m_3 m_2 m_3</math>;</li> <li>· and if <math>w_{2,3} = k</math>, so <math>c' = m_3 m_2 m_3</math>;</li> <li>· and if <math>m_2 = m_1</math>, so <math>c' = m_1 m_2 m_1</math>;</li> <li>· and if <math>w_{1,2} = k</math>, so <math>c' = m_1 m_2 m_1</math>;</li> </ul> </li> <li>* If <math>m_1 = m_3</math> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· and if <math>w_{1,2} = 2</math>, so <math>c' = m_1 m_1 m_3</math>;</li> <li>· and if <math>w_{1,2} = k - 2</math>, so <math>c' = m_1 \overline{m_1} m_3</math>;</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>End</p>
---

**Theorem 5.10.** Suppose that the received code  $c$  contains exactly two errors distributed in  $m_i$  and  $m_j$  ( $i \neq j$ ).

1. If  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 1$  and  $w(m_3 + m_2) = 1$ , or if  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 1$  and  $w(m_3 + m_2) = k - 1$ , the two errors are distributed : one in  $m_1$  and the other in  $m_2$ .
2. If  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 1$  and  $w(m_1 + m_2) = 1$  or if  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 1$  and  $w(m_1 + m_2) = k - 1$ , the two errors are distributed : one in  $m_2$  and the other in  $m_3$ .
3. If  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 2$ 
  - if  $w(m_1 + m_2) = 1$  and  $w(m_2 + m_3) = 1$  (the real message is of even weight)
  - if  $w(m_1 + m_2) = k - 1$  and  $w(m_2 + m_3) = k - 1$  (the real message is odd weight),

Then, the two errors are distributed : one in  $m_1$  and the other in  $m_3$ . the value  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 0$  means that the error positions in  $m_1$  and  $m_3$  are different.

4. If  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 0$ 
  - if  $w(m_1 + m_2) = 1$  and  $w(m_2 + m_3) = 1$  (the original message is of even weight)
  - if  $w(m_1 + m_2) = k - 1$  and  $w(m_2 + m_3) = k - 1$  (the original message is odd weight),

So the two errors are distributed : one in  $m_1$  and the other in  $m_3$ . the value  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 0$  means that the error positions in  $m_1$  and  $m_3$  are the same.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $c$  contains two errors.

1. If the errors are distributed : one in  $m_1$  and the other in  $m_2$ , the exact message is  $m_3$  and  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 1$ . Moreover

$$w(m_2 + m_3) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the weight of } m_3 \text{ is even} \\ \text{or} \\ k - 1 & \text{if the weight of } m_3 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For correction,  $c = m_3 m_2' m_3$  where

$$m_2' = \begin{cases} m_3 & \text{if the weight of } m_3 \text{ is even} \\ \text{or} \\ \overline{m_3} & \text{if the weight of } m_3 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

2. If the errors are distributed : one in  $m_2$  and the other in  $m_3$ , it means that the exact message is  $m_1$  and  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 1$ . Moreover

$$w(m_1 + m_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is even} \\ \text{or} \\ k - 1 & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For correction,  $c = m_1 m_2' m_1$  where

$$m_2' = \begin{cases} m_1 & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is even} \\ \text{or} \\ \overline{m_1} & \text{if the weight of } m_1 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

3. Now suppose that the errors are distributed : one in  $m_1$  and the other in  $m_3$  and the error positions in  $m_1$  and  $m_3$  are different. So we have  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 2$ .

- If the original message is of even weight i.e.  $w(m_1)$  is odd, so  $w(m_1 + m_2) = 1$  and  $w(m_2 + m_3) = 1$ .  
For correction, we have  $c = m_2 m_2 m_2$ .
- If the original message is of odd weight i.e.  $w(m_1)$  is even, so  $w(m_1 + m_2) = k - 1$  and  $w(m_2 + m_3) = k - 1$ .  
For correction, we have  $c = \overline{m_2} m_2 \overline{m_2}$ .

4. Suppose that the errors are distributed : one in  $m_1$  and the other in  $m_3$  and the error positions in  $m_1$  and  $m_3$  are the same. So we have  $w(m_1 + m_3) = 0$  i.e.  $m_1 = m_3$ .

- If the original message is of even weight i.e.  $w(m_1)$  is odd, so  $w(m_1 + m_2) = 1$  and  $w(m_2 + m_3) = 1$ .  
For correction, we have  $c = m_2 m_2 m_2$ .
- If the original message is of odd weight i.e.  $w(m_1)$  is even, so  $w(m_1 + m_2) = k - 1$  and  $w(m_2 + m_3) = k - 1$ .  
For correction, we have  $c = \overline{m_2} m_2 \overline{m_2}$ .

□

## Algorithm

<p>Input <math>c</math> (<i>received word</i>)</p> <p>Output <math>c'</math> (<i>corrected word</i>)</p> <p>Begin</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Determined <math>m_1</math>, <math>m_2</math> and <math>m_3</math> such that <math>c = m_1 m_2 m_3</math>;</li><li>- Calculate <math>w_1 = w(m_1)</math>, <math>w_3 = w(m_3)</math>, <math>w_{1,2} = w(m_1 + m_2)</math>, <math>w_{2,3} = w(m_2 + m_3)</math> and <math>w_{1,3} = w(m_1 + m_3)</math>;</li><li>* If <math>w_{1,3} = 1</math><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· and if <math>w_{2,3} = 1</math>, so <math>c' = m_3 m_3 m_3</math>;</li><li>· and if <math>w_{2,3} = k - 1</math>, so <math>c' = m_3 \overline{m_3} m_3</math>;</li><li>· and if <math>w_{1,2} = 1</math>, so <math>c' = m_1 m_1 m_1</math>;</li><li>· and if <math>w_{1,2} = k - 1</math>, so <math>c' = m_1 \overline{m_1} m_1</math>;</li></ul></li><li>* If <math>w_{1,3} = 2</math><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· and if <math>w_{1,2} = 1</math> and <math>w_{2,3} = 1</math>, so <math>c' = m_2 m_2 m_2</math>;</li><li>· and if <math>w_{1,2} = k - 1</math> and <math>w_{2,3} = k - 1</math>, so <math>c' = \overline{m_2} m_2 \overline{m_2}</math>;</li></ul></li><li>* If <math>w_{1,3} = 0</math><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>· and if <math>w_{1,2} = 1</math> and <math>w_{2,3} = 1</math>, so <math>c' = m_2 m_2 m_2</math>;</li><li>· and if <math>w_{1,2} = k - 1</math> and <math>w_{2,3} = k - 1</math>, so <math>c' = \overline{m_2} m_2 \overline{m_2}</math>;</li></ul></li></ul> <p>End</p>
--

### 5.4 Comparative analysis between $\mathcal{L}_k^+$ and the code of Hamming

The table below presents a comparative study between the Hamming code and our  $\mathcal{L}_k^+$  for some cases where the two codes are of the same length.

	HAMMING CODE	CODE BUILT FROM SUB-EXCEEDING FONCTION
Form	<p>It is a linear code of the form <math>[2^r - 1, 2^r - r - 1, 3]</math>.</p> <p>For <math>r = 3</math>, we have <math>[15, 11, 3]</math> – linear code.</p> <p>For <math>r = 6</math>, we have <math>[63, 57, 3]</math> – linear code.</p>	<p>It is a linear code of the form <math>[3k, k, 6]</math>.</p> <p>For <math>k = 5</math>, we have <math>[15, 5, 6]</math> – linear code.</p> <p>For <math>k = 21</math>, we have <math>[63, 21, 6]</math> – linear code.</p>
Parements	<p>Minimum distance: <math>d = 3</math></p> <p>Correction capacity: <math>e_c = 1</math></p> <p>For the <math>[15, 11, 3]</math> – code Correction rate is <math>C_r = 1/15</math></p> <p>For the <math>[63, 57, 3]</math> – code Correction rate is <math>C_r = 1/63</math></p>	<p>Minimum distance: <math>d = 6</math></p> <p>Correction capacity: <math>e_c = 2</math></p> <p>For the <math>[15, 5, 6]</math> – code Correction rate is <math>C_r = 2/15</math></p> <p>For the <math>[63, 21, 6]</math> – code Correction rate is <math>C_r = 2/63</math></p>

These codes have the same length but what make the differences are the dimensions. However, the  $[3k, k, 6]$ -linear systematics code has 2 bit for the correction capacity and then the error detection capability was 5 against the Hamming code which only corrects an error and detects only 2 errors over the length  $2^r - 1$ .

## Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading of our manuscript and helpful suggestions.

## Ethics

The authors declare that there is no conflict interests regarding the publication of this manuscript.

## References

- [1] Abbrugiati Pierre , *Introduction aux codes correcteurs d'erreurs*, 23 janvier 2006.

- [2] Adams W., Loustau P., *An Introduction to Groebner Bases*, AMS Lecture Series, Providence, RI, 3 (1994).
- [3] Becker T., Weispfenning V. , *Groebner Bases – A Computational Approach to Commutative Algebra*, Springer, New York (1998).
- [4] Borges-Quintana M. , M.A. Borges-Trenard, P. Fitzpatrick, E. Martinez-Moro, *Groebner bases and combinatorics for binary codes*, AAECC, 19(2008), 393-411.
- [5] Golay M.J.E., *Notes on digital coding*, Proc. IRE, 37 (1949), 657.
- [6] Hall M., Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math. *Combinatorial Analysis*, Vol.6, Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., p.203 and following; 1956.
- [7] Knuth D. *The Art of Computer Programming*, vol. 3. Sorting and Searching, 2nd edn. Addison-Wesley, Reading (1981)
- [8] Lahmer D.H. , *Teaching combinatorial tricks to a computer*, Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math. Combinatorial Analysis, V10, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, 179-193, 1960.
- [9] MacWilliams F.J. , Sloane N.J.A. , *Error Correcting Codes*, North Holland, New York (1977).
- [10] Mantaci R., *Sur la distribution des anti-excédances dans le groupe symétrique et dans ses sous-groupes*, Theoret. Comp. Science A, 117, 243-253, 1993.
- [11] Mantaci R., Rakotondrajao F. , *A permutation that knows what Eulerian means*, Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, May 2001.
- [12] Mehwish Saleemi, Karl-Heinz Zimmermann, *Groebner Bases For Linear Codes*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Volume 62 No. 4 2010, 481-491.
- [13] Melvyn el Kamel- Meurigne, Sous la direction de Benoit Fabrèges, *Code correcteur d'erreurs*, 23 janvier 2006.
- [14] Rabefihavanana L., *Encoding of Partition Set Using Sub-exceeding Function*, International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 13, 2018, no. 2, 63 - 78.
- [15] Rabefihavanana L., *Part of a set and sub-exceeding fonction (Coding and Decoding)*, BULLETIN OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS RESEARCH, Vol.5.Issue.3.2017 (July-Sept) Ky PUBLICATIONS.
- [16] Van Lint J.H. , *Introduction to Coding Theory*, Springer, Berlin (1999).