

Existence of solution of the $p(x)$ -Laplacian problem involving critical exponent and radon measure

Amita Soni and D. Choudhuri

Abstract

In this paper we are proving the existence of a nontrivial solution of the $p(x)$ -Laplacian equation with Dirichlet boundary condition. We will use the variational method and concentration compactness principle involving positive radon measure μ .

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)}u &= |u|^{q(x)-2}u + f(x, u) + \mu \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a smooth bounded domain, $\mu > 0$ and $1 < p^- := \inf_{x \in \Omega} p(x) \leq p^+ := \sup_{x \in \Omega} p(x) < q^- := \inf_{x \in \Omega} q(x) \leq q(x) \leq p^*(x) < N$. The function f satisfies certain conditions. Here, $q'(x) = \frac{q(x)}{q(x)-1}$ is the conjugate of $q(x)$ and $p^*(x) = \frac{Np(x)}{N-p(x)}$ is the Sobolev conjugate of $p(x)$.

Keywords: Radon measure, concentration compactness principle, truncation function.

1. Introduction

Existence results for the problem involving the critical exponent case has been studied by many researchers, for example readers may refer [8], [6], [1], [13], [5] and references therein. In [8] the authors have proved the existence of multiple solutions for critical case with p -Laplacian operator by using manifold technique. In [6] the authors have proved the existence and non-existence of solution of problem involving critical exponent by using concentration-compactness principle for different values of λ . In [1] the authors dealt with $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator with critical exponent and applied concentration-compactness principle for proving existence of solution. Many problems have also been solved with measure term in variable exponent space. For example refer [4], [14] and other references therein. In [4], the authors have shown the existence of a

distributional solution and in [14] the authors have shown the existence of an entropy solution. In [3] we have proved the existence of multiple solution of p-Laplacian problem without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition and with measure term. Motivated by this paper we are considering a similar type of problem. In this paper we are trying to extend the result by proving the existence of a nontrivial solution for $p(x)$ -Laplacian problem involving an exponent $q(x)$ which is allowed to be critical in bounded domains with positive radon measure. In this work we will mainly use variational method and concentration-compactness principle. The problem which we have addressed in this article is as follows.

$$(P) : \quad -\Delta_{p(x)}u = |u|^{q(x)-2}u + f(x, u) + \mu \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where $\mu > 0$ is a Radon measure and $1 < p(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} p(x) := p^+ < q^- := \inf_{x \in \Omega} q(x) \leq q(x) \leq p^*(x) < N$.

The problem (P) is new in the sense that we have tackled the presence of a Radon measure and a variable critical exponent together. The conditions assumed on the function f are as follows.

(f₁) $f(x, 0) = 0$ and f is measurable with respect to first variable and continuous with respect to second variable.

(f₂) $\exists c_1 \in [p^+, q^-)$ s.t. $0 < c_1 \int_{\Omega} F(x, t) dt \leq \int_{\Omega} f(x, t)t$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$ where $F(x, t) := \int_0^t f(x, s) ds$ being the primitive of $f(x, t)$.

(f₃) $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x, t)}{|t|^{q(x)-1}} = 0$ uniformly a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

An example of a function satisfying the above conditions is $f(x, t) = |t|^{r(x)-1}$; where $c_1 < r(x) < q(x)$.

Throughout this article, we will denote the measure of a measurable set E of Ω by $|E|$ and the absolute value of any real number, say a , as $|a|$. We will denote $\| \cdot \|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}} = \| \cdot \|$.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that (f₁) – (f₃) hold. Then problem (P) possesses a nontrivial weak solution.*

2. Preliminaries

2.1 Definitions

Definition 2.1. Let (μ_n) be a bounded sequence of measures in $\mathfrak{M}(\Omega)$. We say that (μ_n) converges to a measure $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}(\Omega)$ in the sense of measure if

$$\int_{\Omega} \phi d\mu_n \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \phi d\mu \quad \forall \phi \in C_0(\bar{\Omega}).$$

We denote this convergence by $\mu_n \rightharpoonup \mu$. The topology defined via this weak convergence is metrizable and a bounded sequence with respect to this topology is pre-compact.

Definition 2.2. The Marcinkiewicz space $M^q(\Omega)$ [11] (or the weak $L^q(\Omega)$ space) defined for every $0 < q < \infty$, as the space of all measurable functions $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the corresponding distribution satisfy an estimate of the form

$$|\{x \in \Omega : |f(x)| > t\}| \leq \frac{C}{t^q}, \quad t > 0, C < \infty.$$

For bounded Ω we have $M^q \subset M^{\bar{q}}$ if $q \geq \bar{q}$, for some fixed positive \bar{q} . We recall here the following useful continuous embeddings

$$L^q(\Omega) \hookrightarrow M^q(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q-\epsilon}(\Omega), \quad (2.1)$$

for every $1 < q < \infty$ and $0 < \epsilon < q - 1$.

2.2 Variable exponent Sobolev space

For each open subset $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 2)$, we define $C_+(\overline{\Omega}) = \{p \mid p \in C(\overline{\Omega}), p(x) > 1 \text{ for any } x \in \overline{\Omega}\}$ and $1 < p^- := \inf_{x \in \Omega} p(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} p(x) =: p^+ < N$. The variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid u \text{ is measurable and } \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \right\}$$

endowed with the norm (the Luxemburg norm) $\|u\|_{p(x)} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 \mid \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|}{\lambda}^{p(x)} dx \leq 1\}$.

We will define variable exponent Sobolev space as

$$W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \mid |\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\}$$

with the norm $\|u\|_{1,p(x)} = \|u\|_{p(x)} + \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}$. With these norms, $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ are separable reflexive Banach spaces ([15]). For $p(x) \equiv p$, $p(x)$ -Laplacian reduces to p -Laplacian.

Proposition 2.3. Set $\rho(u) := \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx$. For $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, we have

- $u \neq 0 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} = \lambda$ iff $\rho(\frac{u}{\lambda}) = 1$,
- $\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} < 1 (= 1; > 1) \Leftrightarrow \rho(u) < 1 (= 1; > 1)$,
- $\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} < 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^-}$,

- $\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} > 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^-} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^+}$,
- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} = 0(\infty) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(u_n) = 0(\infty)$.

We state the generalized Hölder inequality and embedding results in the following propositions ([9], [15], [16], [17]).

Proposition 2.4. *For any $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$, where $L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$ is the conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ such that $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{p'(x)} = 1$,*

$$|\int_{\Omega} uv \, dx| \leq \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{p^+} \right) \|u\|_{p(x)} \|v\|_{p'(x)}$$

Proposition 2.5. (i) *If $q \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ and $q(x) < p^*(x)$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact and continuous.*

(ii) *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $\|u\|_{p(x)} \leq c \|\nabla u\|_{p(x)} \forall u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$.*

2.3 Functional analytic setup

We first consider a sequence of problems (P_n) which are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta_{p(x)} u &= |u|^{q(x)-2} u + f(x, u) + \mu_n \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where μ_n are smooth functions such that $\mu_n \rightharpoonup \mu$ in measure in the sense of definition 2.1.

The corresponding energy functional to the sequence of problems (P_n) is given as

$$I_n(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx - \int_{\Omega} u d\mu_n.$$

The Fréchet derivative of I_n is defined as

$$\langle I'_n(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)-2} u v dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_n v dx$$

$\forall u, v \in T$, where $T = W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \cap C_0(\bar{\Omega})$, $C_0(\bar{\Omega}) = \{\varphi \in C(\bar{\Omega}) : \varphi|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$ and $C(\bar{\Omega})$ will denote the space of continuous functions over $\bar{\Omega}$. We now define the corresponding energy functional of the problem (P) as

$$I(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx - \int_{\Omega} u d\mu$$

and its Fréchet derivative as

$$\langle I'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)-2} u v dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v dx - \int_{\Omega} v d\mu$$

for every $u, v \in T'$, where $T' = W^{1,s(x)}(\Omega) \cap C_0(\bar{\Omega})$ and $1 \leq s(x) < s = \min \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{\gamma}, \frac{(\gamma-1)p^-}{2\gamma-1} \right\}$.

Definition 2.6. $u \in W_0^{1,s(x)}$ is said to be a weak solution of the problem (P) if

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi dx dy - \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q-2} u \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi dx - \int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu = 0,$$

$$\forall \varphi \in T'.$$

3. Existence Results

To prove the main result of this paper which is given in form of Theorem 1.1, we need to first prove few lemmas related to the mountain pass theorem and Palais-Smale condition. It is clear that I_n is C^1 functional $\forall n \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.1. *The functional I_n satisfies mountain pass geometry in the sense that:*

- $I_n(0) = 0$
- $\exists r, \eta > 0$ such that $I_n(u) \geq \eta$ if $\|u\| > r$.
- $\exists u, \|u\| > r$ such that $I_n(u) \leq 0$.

Proof. $I_n(0) = 0$ is obvious. For proving 2., we need the assumptions (f₂) and (f₃). From these assumptions we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) u dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |f(x, u) u| dx \\ &\leq \epsilon \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx + m(\epsilon) \\ &\leq (\epsilon + m(\epsilon)) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) \leq \left(\frac{\epsilon + m(\epsilon)}{c_1} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx$. Choose $\|u\| = r$ sufficiently small so that $\int_{\Omega} |u|^{q(x)} dx \leq \|u\|_{q(x)}^{q^-}$ since $\|u\| = r < 1$. Now using the Poincaré inequality, Hölder

inequality and continuous embedding of $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ into $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_n(u) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_n u dx \\
&\geq \frac{c}{p^+} |r|^{p^+} - \frac{1}{q^-} |r|^{q^-} - \left(\frac{\epsilon + m(\epsilon)}{c_1} \right) |r|^{q^-} - \|\mu_n\|_{q'(x)} \|u\|_{q(x)} \\
&\geq \frac{c}{p^+} |r|^{p^+} - \frac{1}{q^-} |r|^{q^-} - \left(\frac{\epsilon + m(\epsilon)}{c_1} \right) |r|^{q^-} - \|\mu_n\|_{q'(x)} |r|^{q^-} \\
&= \frac{cr^{p^+}}{p^+} - r^{q^-} \left\{ \frac{1}{q^-} - \left(\frac{\epsilon + m(\epsilon)}{c_1} \right) - \|\mu_n\|_{q'(x)} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $q^- > p^+$ so $I_n(u) \geq \eta$ for some $\eta > 0$. We can prove the 3. by using the assumption (f_2) , for $t > 0$ and $u \neq 0$ consider,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_n(tu) &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla tu|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |tu|^{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, tu) dx - \int_{\Omega} tud\mu_n \\
&= \int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{p(x)}}{p(x)} |\nabla tu|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{q(x)}}{q(x)} |tu|^{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, tu) dx - \int_{\Omega} tud\mu_n \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{p(x)}}{p(x)} |\nabla tu|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{t^{q(x)}}{q(x)} |tu|^{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} tud\mu_n
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$I_n(tu) \leq t^{p^+} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - t^{q^-} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx - t \int_{\Omega} \mu_n u dx. \quad (3.1)$$

On dividing (3.1) by t^{p^+} and passing the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ we get, $I_n(tu) \rightarrow -\infty$ since $q^- > p^+$.

Hence, $I_n(u)$ satisfies the hypothesis of mountain pass theorem. \square

Lemma 3.2. *The functional I_n satisfies Palais-Smale condition.*

Proof. Let $(u_{m,n})$ be a Palais-Smale sequence such that $I(u_{m,n}) \rightarrow c$ and $I'(u_{m,n}) \rightarrow 0$ in $(W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega))'$. We first show that $(u_{m,n})$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. We will prove it

by contradiction. Let $\|u_{m,n}\| \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_n(u_{m,n}) - \frac{1}{c_1} \langle I'(u_{m,n}), u_{m,n} \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx - \frac{1}{c_1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_{m,n}|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{c_1} \int_{\Omega} |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_{m,n}) dx - \int_{\Omega} u_{m,n} \mu_n dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{c_1} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{m,n}) u_{m,n} dx + \frac{1}{c_1} \int_{\Omega} u_{m,n} \mu_n dx \\
&\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)} dx - \frac{1}{c_1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)} dx - \frac{1}{q^-} \int_{\Omega} |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{c_1} \int_{\Omega} |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_{m,n}) dx - \int_{\Omega} u_{m,n} \mu_n dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{c_1} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{m,n}) u_{m,n} dx + \frac{1}{c_1} \int_{\Omega} u_{m,n} \mu_n dx \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{c_1} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)} dx + \left(\frac{1}{c_1} - \frac{1}{q^-} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} dx \\
&+ \frac{1}{c_1} \left(\int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{m,n}) u_{m,n} dx - c_1 \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_{m,n}) dx \right) \\
&- \left(1 - \frac{1}{c_1} \right) \int_{\Omega} u_{m,n} \mu_n dx
\end{aligned}$$

Using the assumption (f_2) , we get

$$I_n(u_{m,n}) - \frac{1}{c_1} \langle I'(u_{m,n}), u_{m,n} \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{c_1} \right) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)} dx - A \int_{\Omega} u_{m,n} \mu_n dx$$

where $A = \left(1 - \frac{1}{c_1} \right) > 0$. Furthermore on applying the Poincaré inequality, Hölder inequality, embedding of $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ into $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and the fact that $\|\nabla u\|_{p(x)}$ and $\|u\|_{1,p(x)}$ are equivalent norm on $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ we get

$$I_n(u_{m,n}) - \frac{1}{c_1} \langle I'(u_{m,n}), u_{m,n} \rangle \geq c' \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{c_1} \right) \|u_{m,n}\|^{p^-} - A \|\mu_n\|_{p'(x)} \|u_{m,n}\| \quad (3.2)$$

Now on dividing both sides of (3.2) by $\|u_{m,n}\|$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ we get $0 \geq \infty$ as $p^- > 1$ which is absurd. Hence $(u_{m,n})$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Since this is a reflexive space, there exists a subsequence say $(u_{m,n})$ which converges weakly to u_n in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. To prove this convergence to be a strong convergence we will use the concentration compactness principle for variable exponents (refer [2], Theorem 1.1) from which we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} \rightharpoonup \nu &= |u_n|^{q(x)} + \sum_{i \in J} \nu_i \delta x_i \\
|\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)} \rightharpoonup \mu &\geq |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} + \sum_{i \in J} \mu_i \delta x_i
\end{aligned}$$

$S\nu_i^{\frac{1}{p^*(x_i)}} \leq \mu_i^{\frac{1}{p(x_i)}} \forall i \in J$, where $S := \inf_{\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)} \frac{\|\nabla \phi\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}}{\|\phi\|_{L^{q(x)}(\Omega)}}$ and J is a finite set. $(\nu_i)_{i \in J}$ and $(\mu_i)_{i \in J}$ are positive numbers and points $(x_i)_{i \in J}$ belongs to the critical set $A = \{x \in \Omega : q(x) = p^*(x)\}$.

Claim. J is empty.

Proof. Let $J \neq \emptyset$. Define $\psi_{i,\epsilon}(x) = \psi\left(\frac{x-x_i}{\epsilon}\right)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \langle I'(u_{m,n}), u_{m,n}\psi_{i,\epsilon} \rangle \\
&= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{m,n} \nabla (u_{m,n}\psi_{i,\epsilon}) dx - \int_{\Omega} |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)-2} u_{m,n} u_{m,n} \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{m,n}) u_{m,n} \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_n u_{m,n} \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx \\
&= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)} \nabla \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{m,n} \nabla \psi_{i,\epsilon}) u_{m,n} dx \\
&\quad - \int_{\Omega} |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{m,n}) u_{m,n} \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_n u_{m,n} \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx
\end{aligned}$$

In addition to this we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle I'(u_{m,n}), \phi \rangle \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{m,n} \nabla \phi dx - \int_{\Omega} |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)-2} u_{m,n} \phi dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{m,n}) \phi dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_n \phi dx \right] \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{m,n} &\rightharpoonup |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \text{ in } L^{p'(x)}(\Omega) \\
|u_{m,n}|^{q(x)-2} u_{m,n} &\rightharpoonup |u_n|^{q(x)-2} u_n \text{ in } L^{q'(x)}(\Omega)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Since, $u_{m,n} \rightharpoonup u_n$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ hence $u_{m,n} \rightarrow u_n$ in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$. By the Egoroff's theorem, $u_{m,n} \rightarrow u_n$ a.e. in Ω upto a subsequence. Also, by the continuity of f with respect to the second variable we conclude $f(x, u_{m,n}) \rightarrow f(x, u_n)$ which implies $\int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{m,n}) \phi \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) \phi$ by the Dominated convergence theorem.

Hence from (3.3),

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \phi dx - \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{q(x)-2} u_n \phi dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) \phi dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_n \phi dx = 0$$

implying that u_n is a weak solution to the sequence of problems (P_n) . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \langle I'(u_n), u_n \psi_{i,\epsilon} \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} \nabla \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \psi_{i,\epsilon}) u_n dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{q(x)} \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) u_n \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_n u_n \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Substituting $\phi = u_{m,n} \psi_{i,\epsilon}$ in (3.3) and then subtracting (3.3) from (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle I'(u_{m,n}), u_{m,n} \psi_{i,\epsilon} \rangle - \langle I'(u_n), u_n \psi_{i,\epsilon} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)} \nabla \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{m,n} \nabla \psi_{i,\epsilon}) u_{m,n} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{m,n}) \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_n u_{m,n} \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx \right] \\ &\quad - \left[\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} \nabla \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_n u_n \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx - \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{q(x)} \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) u_n \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx + \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \psi_{i,\epsilon}) u_n dx \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

From (f_3) we have, $|f(x, t)t| < \frac{\epsilon}{2\tilde{c}} t^{q(x)} + m(\epsilon) \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. in Ω . Let $\|u\|_{q(x)}^{q^+} = \tilde{c}$. Choose $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2m(\epsilon)} > 0$ and $F \subseteq \Omega$ such that $|F| < \delta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_F f(x, u_{m,n}) u_{m,n} dx \right| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |f(x, u_{m,n}) u_{m,n}| dx \\ &\leq \int_F m(\epsilon) dx + \frac{\epsilon}{2\tilde{c}} \int_F |u|^{q(x)} dx \\ &\leq m(\epsilon) |F| + \frac{\epsilon}{2\tilde{c}} \|u\|_{q(x)}^{q^+} \\ &< m(\epsilon) \frac{\epsilon}{2m(\epsilon)} + \frac{\epsilon}{2\tilde{c}} \tilde{c} \\ &= \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\{f(x, u_{m,n}) u_{m,n} dx : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equiabsolutely continuous and therefore by the Vitali convergence theorem $\int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{m,n}) u_{m,n} dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) u_n dx$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. This implies $\int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{m,n}) u_{m,n} \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) u_n \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. We further have from (3.4) and weak convergence of $(u_{m,n})$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{m,n} \nabla \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx &\rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx \\ \int_{\Omega} \mu_n \psi_{i,\epsilon} u_{m,n} dx &\rightarrow \int_{\Omega} \mu_n \psi_{i,\epsilon} u_n dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using these results in (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} & \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)} \nabla \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx - \int_{\Omega} |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx \right) \\
& - \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} \nabla \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx - \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{q(x)} \psi_{i,\epsilon} dx \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Now on applying concentration compactness principle in (3.7), we have

$\psi_{i,\epsilon} d\mu - \psi_{i,\epsilon} d\nu = 0$. As $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\mu_i = \nu_i$.

Again, using (f_2) , Hölder inequality and embedding theorem (proposition 2.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
c &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(I(u_{m,n}) - \frac{1}{p^+} \langle I'(u_{m,n}), u_{m,n} \rangle \right) \\
&= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u_{m,n}|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_{m,n}) dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_n u_{m,n} dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{p^+} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{m,n}|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_{m,n}) u_{m,n} dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_n u_{m,n} dx \right\} \right] \\
&\geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q(x)} \right) |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} \mu_n u_{m,n} dx + \frac{1}{p^+} \int_{\Omega} \mu_n u_{m,n} dx \\
&\geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q(x)} \right) |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} dx - A' \|\mu_n\|_{p'(x)} \|u_{m,n}\|
\end{aligned}$$

where, $A' = (1 - \frac{1}{p^+}) > 0$. This implies

$$c + A' \|\mu_n\|_{p'(x)} \|u_{m,n}\| \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q(x)} \right) |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} dx$$

We already proved that $(u_{m,n})$ is bounded so let $M > 0$ be an upper bound of $(\|u_{m,n}\|)$

for some fixed n . Define $q_{A_\delta}^- := \inf_{A_\delta} q(x)$ so

$$\begin{aligned}
c + A' \|\mu_n\|_{p'(x)} M &\geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q(x)} \right) |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} dx \\
&\geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_\delta} \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q(x)} \right) |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} dx \\
&\geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_\delta} \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q_{A_\delta}^-} \right) |u_{m,n}|^{q(x)} dx \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q_{A_\delta}^-} \right) \left(\int_{A_\delta} |u_n|^{q(x)} dx + \sum_{i \in J} \nu_i \right) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q_{A_\delta}^-} \right) \nu_i \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q_{A_\delta}^-} \right) S^N
\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote $A' \|\mu_n\|_{p'(x)} M = M'$. Since, $\delta > 0$ is arbitrary and $q(x)$ is continuous we can say $c + M' \geq \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q_A^-} \right) S^N$. This further implies $c \geq \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q_A^-} \right) S^N - M'$.

Hence, for $c < \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q_A^-} \right) S^N - M'$, index set J is empty. \square

We have proved that $u_{m,n} \rightarrow u_n$ in $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\nabla u_{m,n} \rightarrow \nabla u_n$ in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ which were obtained by concentration compactness principle. Since $p(x) < q(x)$ we have embedding of $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$. Thus we get $u_{m,n} \rightarrow u_n$ in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\nabla u_{m,n} \rightarrow \nabla u_n$ in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$. Hence $u_{m,n} \rightarrow u_n$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Therefore, the functional I_n satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. \square

Therefore, from Lemma (3.1) and (3.2) we conclude that there exist critical point $u_n \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ corresponding to each μ_n for the sequence of problems (P_n) .

Now, choose a test function $v = T_k(u_n)$, where T_k is a truncation operator defined as

$$T_k(t) = \begin{cases} t, & |t| < k \\ k \text{sign}(t), & |t| \geq k. \end{cases}$$

Clearly $T_k(u_n) \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\{|\nabla u_n| > t\} &= \{|\nabla u_n| > t, |u_n| \leq k\} \cup \{|\nabla u_n| > t, |u_n| > k\} \\
&\subset \{|\nabla u_n| > t, |u_n| \leq k\} \cup \{|u_n| > k\} \subset \Omega.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the subadditivity of Lebesgue measure, we have

$$|\{|\nabla u_n| > t\}| \leq |\{|\nabla u_n| > t, |u_n| \leq k\}| + |\{|u_n| > k\}|. \quad (3.8)$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)} dx &\leq \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{q(x)-2} u_n T_k(u_n) dx + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) T_k(u_n) dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu_n T_k(u_n) dx \\
&\leq k |\Omega|^{1/q(x)} \|u_n\|_{q(x)}^{q(x)/q'(x)+\epsilon} \int_{\{|u_n|>T\}} |u_n|^{q(x)-1} T_k(u_n) dx + \int_{\Omega \times [-T, T]} f(x, u_n) T_k(u_n) dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} \mu_n T_k(u_n) dx \\
&\leq C_1(q(x), \Omega) k + C_2(\epsilon, \Omega) k + k \int_{\Omega} \mu_n dx \\
&\leq Ck,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the condition (f_3) to bound the second integral and the L^1 -bound of the sequence (μ_n) to bound the third integral. Thus, $\|\nabla T_k(u_n)\|_{p(x)}^{\gamma} \leq Ck \forall k > 1$, where

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} p^+, & \|\nabla T_k(u_n)\|_{p(x)} < 1 \\ p^-, & \|\nabla T_k(u_n)\|_{p(x)} > 1. \end{cases}$$

Define $A_1 = \{x \in \Omega : |u_n(x)| > k\}$. On using the Poincaré and the generalized Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
k |\{|u_n| > k\}| &= \int_{\{|u_n| > k\}} |T_k(u_n)| dx \\
&\leq \int_{\Omega} |T_k(u_n)| dx \leq \left(\frac{1}{p^-} - \frac{1}{p'^-} \right) (|\Omega| + 1)^{\frac{1}{p'^-}} \|T_k(u_n)\|_{p(x)} \leq C_3 k^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}
\end{aligned}$$

From this we get, $|\{|u_n| > k\}| \leq \frac{C_3}{k^{1-\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \forall k > 1$. Hence, (u_n) is bounded in $M^{1-\frac{1}{\gamma}}(\Omega)$.

Now again on restricting the integral over the set defined as $A_2 = \{x \in \Omega : |u_n(x)| \leq k\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^{p(x)} dx &= \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} |\nabla(u_n)|^{p(x)} dx \\
&\geq \int_{\{|\nabla u_n| > t, |u_n| \leq k\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} dx \\
&\geq \int_{\{|\nabla u_n| > t, |u_n| \leq k\}} |t|^{p(x)} dx \\
&\geq t^{p^-} |\{|\nabla u_n| > t, |u_n| \leq k\}|
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $Ck \geq t^{p^-} |\{|\nabla u_n| > t, |u_n| \leq k\}|$ which implies $|\{|\nabla u_n| > t, |u_n| \leq k\}| \leq \frac{Ck}{t^{p^-}}$. Hence, from (3.8) we have $|\{|\nabla u_n| > t\}| \leq \frac{Ck}{t^{p^-}} + \frac{C_3}{k^{1-\frac{1}{\gamma}}} \forall k > 1$.

On choosing $k = t^{\frac{\gamma p^-}{2\gamma-1}}$ we obtain $|\{|\nabla u_n| > t\}| \leq \frac{C_4}{t^{\frac{(\gamma-1)p^-}{2\gamma-1}}} \forall t \geq 1$, where $C_4 =$

$\max\{C, C_3\}$. This implies that (∇u_n) is bounded in $M^{\frac{(\gamma-1)p^-}{2\gamma-1}}(\Omega)$. Then (u_n) is bounded in $W_0^{1,s(x)}(\Omega)$ for $s(x) < s$, where $s = \min\left\{1 - \frac{1}{\gamma}, \frac{(\gamma-1)p^-}{2\gamma-1}\right\}$. We know that $W_0^{1,s(x)}(\Omega)$ is again a reflexive space. Hence on repeating the arguments used to prove $u_{m,n} \rightarrow u_n$ in $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ we find that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,s(x)}(\Omega)$. This limit u is a nontrivial weak solution of problem (P) in $W_0^{1,s(x)}(\Omega)$.

Acknowledgement

The author Amita Soni thanks the Department of Science and Technology (D. S. T), Govt. of India for financial support. Both the authors also acknowledge the facilities received from the Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Rourkela.

References

- [1] J.F.Bonder, N.Saintier and A.Silva, Existence of solution to a critical equation with variable exponent, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.*, 37 (2012), 579-594.
- [2] J.F.Bonder and A.Silva, Concentration-Compactness principle for variable exponent spaces and applications, *Elec. Jour. of Diff. Eqn.*, 141(2010), 1-18.
- [3] A.Soni and D.Choudhuri, Existence of multiple solutions to an elliptic problem with measure data, accepted for publication in *Jour. of Ellip. and Par. Equa.*
- [4] M. Bendahmane and F. Mokhtari, Nonlinear elliptic systems with variable exponents and measure data, *Moro. J. Pure and App. Anal.*, 1(2), 2015, 108-125.
- [5] A.Silva, Multiple solutions for the $p(x)$ -Laplace operator with critical growth, *Adv. Nonlinear stud.*, 11(2011), 63-75.
- [6] J.G.Azorero and I.P.Alonso, Multiplicity of solutions for elliptic problems with critical exponent or with a nonsymmetric term, *Trans. of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, 2(323),1991, 877-895.
- [7] P.L.Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case, Part I, 1(1), 1985, 145-201.
- [8] P.L.De Nápoli, P.Bonder and J.F.Silva, Multiple solutions of p -Laplace operator with critical growth, *Nonlin. Anal., TMA*, 71(2009), 6283-6289.

- [9] X.L.Fan and D.Zhao, On the spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{m,p(x)}$, *J. Math. Ana. Appl.*, 263(2001), 424-446.
- [10] D.E.Edmunds and J.Rákosník, Sobolev embeddings with variable exponent, *Studia Math.* 143(3), 2000, 267-293.
- [11] P. Bénilan, L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, R. Gariepy, M. Pierre, J.L. Vazquez, An L^1 theory of existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, 22, (1995), 241-273.
- [12] H.Brezis, M.Marcus and A.Ponce, Nonlinear elliptic equations with measures revisited, (arXiv:1312.6495 [math.AP])
- [13] X.Zhang, X.Zhang and Q.Y. Fu, Multiple solutions for a class of $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations involving the critical exponent, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, 98(2010), 91-102.
- [14] E.Azroul, M.B.Benboubker and M. Rhoudaf, On some $p(x)$ -quasilinear problem with right-hand side measure, *Math. Comput. Simul.*, 102(2014), 117-130.
- [15] O.Kováčik and J.Rákosník, On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{1,p(x)}$, *J. Czechoslovak Math.*, 41(116), 1991, 592-618.
- [16] D.Zhao, W.J.Qiang and X.L.Fan, On generalized Orlicz spaces $L^{p(x)}$, *J. Gansu Sci*, 9(2), 1997, 1-7.
- [17] L.Diening, P.Hästö, P.Harjulehto and M.Ružička, *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents* Springer, 2010.
- [18] G.BACHMAN AND L.NARICI, *Functional Analysis*(Dover Publications, Mineola, New York, 1966).
- [19] L.C. EVANS, *Partial Differential Equations* (Amer. Math. Soc., 2009).
- [20] KESAVAN, *Topics in Functional Analysis and applications* (New age international pvt. ltd., 2003).

AMITA SONI and D. CHOUDHURI

Department of Mathematics,

National Institute of Technology Rourkela, Rourkela - 769008, India

e-mails: soniamita72@gmail.com and dc.iit12@gmail.com.