

On conjugates and the asymptotic distortion of 1-dimensional C^{1+bv} diffeomorphisms

Andrés Navas

Abstract: We show that a C^{1+bv} circle diffeomorphism with absolutely continuous derivative and irrational rotation number can be conjugated into diffeomorphisms that are C^{1+bv} arbitrary close of the corresponding rotation. This improves a theorem of M. Herman, who established the same result but starting with a C^2 diffeomorphism. We prove that the same holds for Abelian groups of diffeomorphisms acting freely on the circle, a result which is new even in the C^∞ context. Related results and examples concerning the asymptotic distortion of diffeomorphisms are presented.

Keywords: Herman's theorem, invariant distribution, distortion of maps, conjugacy.

Mathematical Subject Classification: 37E05, 37E10, 37E45.

In memory of Jean-Christophe Yoccoz

Since the seminal work of Poincaré and Denjoy, circle diffeomorphisms became a central object of study in dynamics. One of the most celebrated results of the theory is the linearization theorem of M. Herman [12], which establishes the differentiability of the conjugating map to the corresponding rotation in the case of an irrational rotation number satisfying a Diophantine condition. The key idea of Herman's proof consists in conjugating the diffeomorphism into one that is arbitrarily close to the rotation in a good topology, and finally applying local linearization results coming from standard KAM techniques (see also [11]).

Herman's theorem was later extended via different approaches by many people, including Yoccoz [29], Katznelson and Orstein [15], and Khanin and Teplinsky [16]. However, the problem of approximation by conjugation raised by Herman in Chapter VII of his seminal work [12] is interesting by itself. In this regard, just a couple of results that apply to diffeomorphisms of arbitrary irrational rotation number are known. On the one hand, it was shown by the author in [21] that every C^1 circle diffeomorphism f of irrational rotation number $\rho = \rho(f)$ has a sequence of conjugates that converges in the C^1 topology to the rotation R_ρ ; actually, there is a continuous path of C^1 conjugates that starts at f and finishes at R_ρ . On the other hand, Herman proved in [12] that if f is of class C^2 , then there exists a sequence of conjugates of f that converges to R_ρ in the C^{1+bv} sense; that is, they converge to f in the uniform topology, their derivatives uniformly converge to 1, and the total variation of the logarithm of these derivatives converge to 0. The first goal of this paper

is to relax the hypothesis of regularity of f for this result.

Theorem A. *Given a C^{1+bv} (orientation-preserving) circle diffeomorphism with absolutely continuous derivative and having an irrational rotation number, there exists a continuous path of C^{1+bv} diffeomorphisms g_t , $t \in [0, 1[$, that starts at $g_0 = id$ such that the conjugates $g_t f g_t^{-1}$ converge to the rotation of angle $\rho = \rho(f)$ in the C^{1+bv} sense as t goes to 1.*

By adapting a classical and clever construction of J. Mather, we will show that the hypothesis of absolute continuity for the derivative is necessary for the validity of this result.

The method of proof of Theorem A is similar to that of [21]; namely, we look for a reduction of the problem of approximation by conjugates into a problem of finding approximate solutions to a certain cohomological equation. In the C^1 case, this is relatively easy, since the associated equation concerns the logarithmic derivative, and it is hence linear. In the present setting, however, the equation that arises deals with the affine derivative, and it is hence twisted by the action of the (classical) derivative. A key role is then played by a (slight variation and simplification of a) result established by the author and Triestino in [24], which establishes the existence of approximate solutions to these twisted cohomological equations in the most general C^{1+bv} setting. (The main result of [24] is stated in terms of invariant 1-distributions, but it is equivalent to the previous formulation via a standard Hahn-Banach type argument.)

It is worth comparing this method of proof with that of the weaker statement of Herman for C^2 diffeomorphisms. On the one hand, Herman shows his theorem of approximation by conjugates via quite intricate estimates for certain ergodic averages which, as a byproduct, yield the ergodicity of the diffeomorphism with respect to the Lebesgue measure. (He needs the C^2 –or, at least, a C^{1+Lip-} – hypothesis for making this procedure work.) On the other hand, as we already mentioned, our approach morally uses the uniqueness of the invariant 1-distribution, which essentially follows from the uniqueness of the 1-conformal measure established by Douady and Yoccoz in [7] and which, in its turn, strongly relies on Katok’s geometric proof of the ergodicity of C^{1+bv} circle diffeomorphisms with irrational rotation number (see [14]). In summary, in both approaches, a key role is played by the ergodicity of the diffeomorphism, which is essentially a one-dimensional phenomenon. (Compare [5].)

Although our approach still applies in the C^2 setting, a key technical point (concerning the “size” of the conjugating map) at the end of the proof fails, so that the question of whether conjugates of C^2 circle diffeomorphisms of arbitrary irrational rotation number can approximate the corresponding rotation in the C^2 topology remains open. (This is Question 25 of [22]; it should be pointed out that A. Avila and R. Krikorian have recently announced a result in this direction but for C^∞ conjugates of C^∞ diffeomorphisms.) We provide a result in this direction, namely Proposition 2, which as the case of Theorem B below, also holds for commuting diffeomorphisms. We stress, however, that the hypothesis we assume (namely, C^1 linearizability) is a very strong one.

On the positive side, since our approach uses the affine derivative as a cocycle in L^1 , it is suitable for a generalization to Abelian group actions, which have deserved much attention over the last years (see for instance [6, 10, 17]). The result in this context, which is new in

the C^∞ setting (and even in the real-analytic context), reads as follows.

Theorem B. *Let Γ be a finitely-generated, Abelian group of C^{1+bv} (orientation-preserving) circle diffeomorphisms with absolute continuous derivative. If the action of Γ is free, then there exists a path g_t , $t \in [0, 1[$, of C^{1+bv} diffeomorphisms starting at $g_0 = id$ such that, for each $f \in \Gamma$, the conjugates $g_t f g_t^{-1}$ converge to the rotation $R_{\rho(f)}$ in the C^{1+bv} sense as t goes to 1.*

In another direction, there is a direct consequence of Theorem A relying on a deep theorem obtained by Yoccoz in his PhD thesis (that solved in the affirmative a conjecture of Herman [12]): linearizable circle diffeomorphisms of irrational rotation number are dense (in the C^∞ topology).

Corollary. Conjugacy classes of C^{1+bv} circle diffeomorphisms of irrational rotation number and absolute continuous derivative are C^{1+bv} dense.

More precisely, given any two C^{1+bv} circle diffeomorphisms f, g with the same irrational rotation number and having absolutely continuous derivative, there exists a sequence of C^{1+bv} diffeomorphisms h_n such that the conjugates $h_n f h_n^{-1}$ converge to g in the C^{1+bv} sense (namely, $h_n f h_n^{-1}$ converges to g in the C^1 topology and the total variation of the logarithm of the derivative of both $g^{-1}(h_n f h_n^{-1})$ and $(h_n f h_n^{-1})g^{-1}$ go to zero as n goes to infinite).

To show this, first notice that the diffeomorphism g is C^{1+bv} close to a C^∞ diffeomorphism \hat{g} of the same rotation number α . (This is easy to check for a C^2 diffeomorphism, but it is slightly trickier –and it is left as an exercise– for a C^{1+bv} diffeomorphism with absolute continuous derivative.) By Yoccoz’ theorem (see [28, Chapitre 3]), \hat{g} is C^∞ close to a diffeomorphism \tilde{g} that is C^∞ linearizable, say $\tilde{g} = h R_\alpha h^{-1}$ for a C^∞ diffeomorphism h . By Theorem A, there exists a sequence of C^{1+bv} diffeomorphisms h_n such that $h_n f h_n^{-1}$ converges to R_α in the C^{1+bv} sense. It is then easy to see that $h(h_n f h_n^{-1})h^{-1}$ converges to $h R_\alpha h^{-1} = \tilde{g}$ in the C^{1+bv} sense. Since \tilde{g} can be taken arbitrarily close to \hat{g} and this last map can be taken arbitrarily close to g , this gives the desired sequence of conjugates of f converging to g .

We will close this work with a discussion on the notion of asymptotic distortion of diffeomorphisms of one-dimensional manifolds, which corresponds to the linear growth rate of the total variation of the logarithm of the derivative:

$$\text{dist}_\infty(f) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{var}(\log(Df^n))}{n}.$$

We show that the vanishing of this number is equivalent to that the diffeomorphism f can be conjugated to maps that are arbitrarily close to isometries in the C^{1+bv} sense. Some results and examples are exhibited: it is proved that, in $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, only the hyperbolic elements have positive asymptotic distortion, though there exist C^∞ diffeomorphisms of the interval with positive asymptotic distortion but without hyperbolic fixed points. Actually, this is the typical behavior of diffeomorphisms with no hyperbolic fixed points.

Let us mention that the notion of asymptotic distortion should be extendable to diffeomorphisms of higher dimensional manifolds. Although the behavior seems both worth and interesting to study in this setting, it is much less clear. A key difficulty consists in the failure of invariance under coordinates change of the logarithm of the derivative, which holds in more generality for any other reasonable functional [26].

On C^{1+bv} conjugates of circle diffeomorphisms with irrational rotation number

All maps considered in this article will be assumed to be orientation preserving. Before passing to the proof of Theorem A, we will give a variation of one of the main results of [24] (which deals with continuous functions) to an L^1 setting, so that it becomes more suitable for our needs. As the reader will notice, this version is much simpler in that it does not require Douady-Yoccoz' result of uniqueness of the 1-conformal measure, but just Katok-Herman's theorem of ergodicity (with respect to the Lebesgue measure) of C^{1+bv} circle diffeomorphisms with irrational rotation number (see [20] for a discussion on this theorem). To emphasize this point, and also for the reader's convenience, we provide a detailed proof. (It is not hard to see that an alternative but more direct proof can be given using the stronger result of [24].)

Proposition 1. *Let f be a C^{1+bv} circle diffeomorphism of irrational rotation number. If φ is an L^1 function defined on the circle that has zero mean w.r.t Lebesgue measure, then there exists a sequence of L^1 functions ψ_n of zero mean such that the sequence*

$$\psi_n - \psi_n \circ f \cdot Df$$

converges to φ in the L^1 sense as n goes to infinity.

Proof. Assume first that φ cannot be approximated in L^1 by functions of the form

$$\psi - \psi \circ f \cdot Df,$$

where no condition on the mean of $\psi \in L^1$ is imposed. Then, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists a linear functional $L: L^1(\mathbb{S}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that vanishes on the set of functions of the form $\psi - \psi \circ f \cdot Df$ and such that $L(\varphi) = 1$. This functional comes from integration against an L^∞ function ξ :

$$L(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \xi \cdot \phi.$$

Hence, the equality $L(\psi - \psi \circ f \cdot Df) = 0$ becomes

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \xi \cdot \psi = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \xi \cdot \psi \circ f \cdot Df = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \xi \circ f^{-1} \cdot \psi,$$

where the last equality comes from change of variable. Since this holds for every $\psi \in L^1$, this implies that a.e. we have the equality $\xi = \xi \circ f^{-1}$. By the Katok-Herman's ergodicity

theorem, the function ξ is a.e. constant, say equal to c . However, this implies that

$$L(\varphi) = c \int_{S^1} \varphi = 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

Let hence $\tilde{\psi}_n$ be a sequence of L^1 functions such that the sequence

$$\tilde{\psi}_n - \tilde{\psi}_n \circ f \cdot Df$$

converges to φ in L^1 . For each n let

$$c_n := \int \tilde{\psi}_n.$$

Then the function $\tilde{\psi}_n - c_n$ has zero mean, and satisfies

$$(\tilde{\psi}_n - c_n) - (\tilde{\psi}_n - c_n) \circ f \cdot Df + c_n(1 - Df) = \tilde{\psi}_n - \tilde{\psi}_n \circ f \cdot Df \longrightarrow \varphi.$$

To deal with the unpleasant expression $c_n(1 - Df)$, let

$$\eta_k := \frac{1}{q_k} [1 + Df + Df^2 + \dots + Df^{q_k-1}] - 1,$$

where (q_k) is the sequence of denominators in the rational approximation of the rotation number of f . Notice that η_k has zero mean for all $k \geq 1$. Moreover, an easy computation yields

$$1 - Df = (\eta_k - \eta_k \circ f \cdot Df) - \frac{1}{q_k} [Df^{q_k} - 1].$$

By Denjoy's inequality [20], the last expression on the right uniformly converges to zero, hence

$$\eta_k - \eta_k \circ f \cdot Df$$

uniformly converges to $1 - Df$. The desired sequence ψ_n is then obtained by letting

$$\psi_n := \tilde{\psi}_n - c_n + c_n \eta_{k_n}$$

for a well-chosen sequence k_n . □

Proof of Theorem A. For a diffeomorphism f , belonging to the class C^{1+bv} with an absolutely continuous derivative is equivalent to being C^1 and having a second derivative a.e. defined so that the function D^2f/Df is integrable. The total variation of the logarithm of the derivative of f then equals

$$\text{var}(\log(Df); S^1) = \int_{S^1} \left| \frac{D^2f}{Df} \right| = \left\| \frac{D^2f}{Df} \right\|_{L^1}.$$

Notice that $D \log(Df) = D^2f/Df$. Therefore, if f is a C^{1+bv} diffeomorphism with an absolutely continuous derivative, then

$$\int_{S^1} \frac{D^2f}{Df} = 0.$$

By Proposition 1 above, there exists a sequence of L^1 functions ψ_n , all of which have zero mean, such that the sequence

$$\psi_n - (\psi_n \circ f) \cdot Df$$

converges to D^2f/Df in the L^1 topology. Let h_n be defined by

$$h_n(x) := \frac{\int_0^x \exp\left(\int_0^s \psi_n(r) dr\right) ds}{\int_0^1 \exp\left(\int_0^s \psi_n(r) dr\right) ds}.$$

Since ψ_n has zero mean, this map h_n is a C^1 circle diffeomorphism. (The condition on the mean translates into that $Dh_n(0) = Dh_n(1)$.) Besides, in the L^1 sense, we have the equality

$$\frac{D^2h_n}{Dh_n} = D(\log(Dh_n)) = \psi_n.$$

Since the cocycle relation

$$\frac{D^2(g_1g_2)}{D(g_1g_2)} = \frac{D^2g_1}{Dg_1} \circ g_2 \cdot Dg_2 + \frac{D^2g_2}{Dg_2} \quad (1)$$

implies

$$\frac{D^2(h_nfh_n^{-1})}{D(h_nfh_n^{-1})} = \frac{D^2h_n}{Dh_n} \circ (fh_n^{-1}) \cdot D(fh_n^{-1}) + \frac{D^2f}{Df} \circ h_n^{-1} \cdot Dh_n^{-1} - \frac{D^2h_n}{Dh_n} \circ h_n^{-1} \cdot Dh_n^{-1},$$

we have

$$\frac{D^2(h_nfh_n^{-1})}{D(h_nfh_n^{-1})} = \left[\psi_n \circ f \cdot Df + \frac{D^2f}{Df} - \psi_n \right] \circ h_n^{-1} \cdot Dh_n^{-1}.$$

Besides, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, for all $n \geq N$, we have

$$\left\| \psi_n \circ f \cdot Df + \frac{D^2f}{Df} - \psi_n \right\|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon.$$

As a consequence, by change of variable,

$$\left\| \left[\psi_n \circ f \cdot Df + \frac{D^2f}{Df} - \psi_n \right] \circ h_n^{-1} \cdot Dh_n^{-1} \right\|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{var}(\log(D(h_nfh_n^{-1}))) = \left\| \frac{D^2(h_nfh_n^{-1})}{D(h_nfh_n^{-1})} \right\|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon,$$

which implies that $h_n f h_n^{-1}$ converges to the rotation of angle $\rho(f)$ in the C^{1+bv} sense.

Finally, to build the desired path of conjugating maps g_t , just interpolate the functions ψ_n above. More precisely, let $u : [0, 1[\rightarrow [0, \infty[$ be a homeomorphism. For $t \in [0, 1[$, let n be the integer such that $n \leq u(t) < n + 1$, and define

$$\psi^t := (n + 1 - u(t)) \psi_n + (u(t) - n) \psi_{n+1},$$

where $\psi_0 \equiv 0$. Finally, define the diffeomorphism g_t by letting

$$g_t(x) := \frac{\int_0^x \exp\left(\int_0^s \psi^t(r) dr\right) ds}{\int_0^1 \exp\left(\int_0^s \psi^t(r) dr\right) ds}.$$

We leave to the reader the task of checking that the sequence of conjugates $g_t f g_t^{-1}$ satisfies the desired properties. \square

In order to treat the case of Abelian groups of diffeomorphisms, it is worth coming back to the proof of the proposition above and provide explicit approximating coboundaries.

Lemma 1. *If f is a C^{1+bv} circle diffeomorphism with absolutely continuous derivative and irrational rotation number, then the sequence*

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\| \frac{D^2 f^n}{D f^n} \right\|_{L^1} = \frac{\text{var}(\log(D f^n); S^1)}{n}$$

converges to 0 as n goes to infinite.

Proof. Denote by $U : L^1 \rightarrow L^1$ the linear isometry given by $U(\varphi) = \varphi \circ f \cdot Df$. Then the cocycle relation (1) yields

$$\frac{D^2 f^n}{D f^n} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} U^i \left(\frac{D^2 f}{D f} \right). \quad (2)$$

By Proposition 1, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an L^1 function ψ such that

$$\left\| \frac{D^2 f}{D f} - (\psi - \psi \circ f \cdot Df) \right\|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since U is an isometry, this implies that, for all $i \geq 0$,

$$\left\| U^i \left(\frac{D^2 f}{D f} \right) - (\psi \circ f^i \cdot Df^i - \psi \circ f^{i+1} \cdot Df^{i+1}) \right\|_{L^1} = \left\| U^i \left(\frac{D^2 f}{D f} - (\psi - \psi \circ f \cdot Df) \right) \right\|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Using the triangular inequality together with (2), this gives

$$\left\| \frac{D^2 f^n}{D f^n} - (\psi - \psi \circ f^n \cdot Df^n) \right\|_{L^1} \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left\| U^i \left(\frac{D^2 f}{D f} \right) - (\psi \circ f^i \cdot Df^i - \psi \circ f^{i+1} \cdot Df^{i+1}) \right\|_{L^1} \leq n\varepsilon.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\| \frac{D^2 f^n}{Df^n} \right\|_{L^1} \leq \varepsilon + \frac{1}{n} \left(\|\psi\|_{L^1} + \|(\psi \circ f^n) \cdot Df^n\|_{L^1} \right) = \varepsilon + \frac{2\|\psi\|_{L^1}}{n}.$$

Since this holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we deduce that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left\| \frac{D^2 f^n}{Df^n} \right\|_{L^1} = 0$$

Finally, the cocycle relation (1) easily implies that the sequence $\|D^2 f^n / Df^n\|_{L^1}$ is subadditive, which shows the desired convergence. \square

Remark 2. In the proof above, the crucial property for $D^2 f / Df$ is that it has zero mean. It is hence not hard to check along the very same lines that for every $\varphi \in L^1$ having zero mean, one has the convergence

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\| \varphi + \varphi(f) \cdot Df + \varphi(f^2) \cdot Df^2 + \dots + \varphi(f^{n-1}) \cdot Df^{n-1} \right\|_{L^1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Remark 3. The previous result does not hold without the hypothesis of absolute continuity for the derivative. Indeed, according to Mather [18], there exists a continuous nontrivial group homomorphism M defined in the group of C^1 circle diffeomorphisms with derivative of bounded variation and taking values in \mathbb{R} . Now, if $f \in \text{Diff}_+^{1+bv}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ satisfies $M(f) \neq 0$, then it cannot hold that

$$\frac{1}{n} \text{var}(\log(Df^n)) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3}$$

as n goes to infinity. Indeed, one has $M(f^n)/n = M(f)$ for all n , and the definition of M recalled below directly implies that, if (3) holds, then $M(f^n)/n$ converges to zero.

Mather's construction proceeds as follows: given $f \in \text{Diff}_+^{1+bv}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, let μ_f be the signed measure obtained as the representative (under Riesz representation theorem) of the Riemann-Stieltjes integration with respect to $\log(Df)$. By the Hahn decomposition theorem, this decomposes as the sum of an absolute continuous part μ_f^{ac} and a totally singular part μ_f^s . The value of Mather's homomorphism $M: \text{Diff}_+^{1+bv}(\mathbb{S}^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ at f is then defined as

$$M(f) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} d\mu_f^s.$$

Notice that an $f \in \text{Diff}_+^{1+bv}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ with $M(f) \neq 0$ can be easily constructed with any prescribed rotation number. Besides, notice that Mather's homomorphism can be extended to piecewise-differentiable maps for which the logarithm of the derivative has finite total variation.

Remark 4. In the framework of piecewise-affine homeomorphisms (of irrational rotation number), the previous construction does not apply. More precisely, the measure μ_f induced by the logarithm of the derivative is totally singular, hence Mather's homomorphism is trivial. Nevertheless, the result still fails, and its failure can be completely detected by a single

invariant. Namely, letting Df_+ (resp. Df_-) the right (resp. left) derivative and denoting $J_f(x) := Df_+(x)/Df_-(x)$ the *jump* of f at x , the crucial ingredient in this description is the complete jump along orbits:

$$C_f(x) := \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} J_f(f^n(x)).$$

Notice that this product is well defined, since it involves only finitely many factors that are different from 1.

On the one hand, according to Minakawa [19], if $C_f(x)$ equals 1 at every point, then f is conjugate to the corresponding rotation by either a piecewise-affine homeomorphism or by such a map together with a homeomorphism of the form $x \mapsto \frac{\sigma^x - 1}{\sigma - 1}$, which is piecewise real-analytic with a derivative of finite total variation. In each case, the sequence $\text{var}(\log(Df^n); S^1)$ is bounded. On the other hand, if there exists x for which $C_f(x) \neq 1$, then choosing cut points x_i very close (from the left and from the right) to points of the form $f^{-k}(x)$ (for k large enough so that $J_f(f^{-k-\ell}(x)) = 1$ for all $\ell \geq 0$), one easily checks that, along such a partition of the circle, the variation of the logarithm of the derivative of f^n is larger than $n|\log(C_f(x))|$ minus a constant. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{var}(\log(Df^n); S^1)}{n} \geq |\log(C_f(x_0))| > 0.$$

Following the lines of [12, Chapter IV] (see also [23]), it is not hard to prove that, for a C^{1+bv} circle diffeomorphism (independently of the rotation number), the sequence $\text{var}(\log(Df^n); S^1)$ remains bounded if and only if f is conjugated to the corresponding isometry (the identity, in the case of the interval) by a C^{1+bv} diffeomorphism. A slightly subtler remark is that if, moreover, f has absolutely continuous derivative, then the conjugacy can be taken with absolutely continuous derivative as well. (This follows as an application of the L^1 fixed point theorem from [2], and holds for any group action on the circle along which D^2g/Dg remains uniformly bounded in L^1 along group elements g .) The next question is inspired by the growth gap established in [27].

Question 1. In case of unboundedness, does there exist a constraint for the growth of the sequence $\text{var}(\log(Df^n); S^1)$ that is stronger than sublinearity?

The next lemma is stated in a quite general and conceptual framework for potential use in different contexts. For our purposes, it will be used for the isometry $U: \varphi \mapsto (\varphi \circ f) \cdot Df$ on L^1 introduced above and the associated cocycle

$$c(f) = \frac{D^2f}{Df}.$$

Lemma 2. *Let U be a linear isometric action of a finitely-generated Abelian group Γ on a Banach space \mathbb{B} , and let $c: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be a cocycle, that is, a function that satisfies the relation*

$$c(g_1g_2) = c(g_2) + U(g_2)(c(g_1)). \tag{4}$$

Assume that, for every element g of infinite order in Γ , one has

$$\frac{\|c(g^n)\|_{\mathbb{B}}}{n} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists a sequence of functions $\psi_n \in \mathbb{B}$ such that, for all $f \in \Gamma$, the sequence $\psi_n - U(f)(\psi_n)$ converges to $c(f)$ as n goes to infinite.

Proof. Let us first assume that Γ has no torsion, say $\Gamma \sim \mathbb{Z}^k$. Let $\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ be a (minimal) system of generators of Γ . For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let us denote by $B(n)$ be the *positive square ball* of radius n , that is,

$$B(n) = \{g_1^{n_1} g_2^{n_2} \cdots g_k^{n_k} : 0 \leq n_i \leq n\}.$$

For each $n \geq 1$, define

$$\psi_n := \frac{1}{|B(n-1)|} \sum_{g \in B(n-1)} c(g). \quad (5)$$

Then, for each $f \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} U(f)(\psi_n) &= \frac{1}{|B(n-1)|} \sum_{g \in B(n-1)} U(f)(c(g)) \\ &= \frac{1}{|B(n-1)|} \sum_{g \in B(n-1)} [c(gf) - c(f)] \\ &= -c(f) + \frac{1}{|B(n-1)|} \sum_{g \in B(n-1)} c(gf) \\ &= -c(f) + \frac{1}{|B(n-1)|} \sum_{g \in B(n-1)} c(fg), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the cocycle identity (4) in the second equality above. Therefore, for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$,

$$\left\| c(g_i) - (\psi_n - U(g_i)(\psi_n)) \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} \leq \frac{1}{|B(n-1)|} \left\| \sum_{g \in B(n-1)} [c(g_i g) - c(g)] \right\|_{\mathbb{B}},$$

and the last expression equals

$$\frac{1}{|B(n-1)|} \left\| \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n_j \leq n-1 \\ j \neq i}} [c(g_i g_1^{n_1} \cdots g_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} g_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots g_k^{n_k}) - c(g_1^{n_1} \cdots g_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} g_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots g_k^{n_k})] \right\|_{\mathbb{B}}.$$

By the cocycle relation, this reduces to

$$\frac{1}{|B(n-1)|} \left\| \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n_j \leq n-1 \\ j \neq i}} U(g_1^{n_1} \cdots g_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} g_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots g_k^{n_k})(c(g_i^n)) \right\|_{\mathbb{B}},$$

which, by the triangular inequality, is smaller than or equal to

$$\frac{1}{B(n-1)} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n_j \leq n-1 \\ j \neq i}} \|U(g_1^{n_1} \cdots g_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} g_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots g_k^{n_k})(c(g_i^n))\|_{\mathbb{B}} = \frac{1}{B(n-1)} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n_j \leq n-1 \\ j \neq i}} \|c(g_i^n)\|_{\mathbb{B}}.$$

A simple counting argument yields the following upper bound for the last expression:

$$\frac{1}{n^k} n^{k-1} \|c(g_i^n)\|_{\mathbb{B}} = \frac{\|c(g_i^n)\|_{\mathbb{B}}}{n},$$

According to the hypothesis, this goes to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$\|c(g_i) - (\psi_n - U(g_i)(\psi_n))\|_{\mathbb{B}}$$

converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To show that this holds changing g_i by any $f \in \Gamma$, just write f as a product of generators, apply the previous conclusion to each of these generators, and use the triangular inequality.

Finally, assume that Γ has torsion, say $\Gamma \sim \mathbb{Z}^k \times T$, where T is a finite group. Let ψ_n^* be a sequence for which $\|c(f) - (\psi_n^* - U(f)(\psi_n^*))\|_{\mathbb{B}}$ converges to zero for every $f \in \mathbb{Z}^k$. Replace ψ_n^* by

$$\psi_n := \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{g \in T} [U(g)(\psi_n^*) + c(g)]. \quad (6)$$

For each $f \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(f)\psi_n &= \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{g \in T} [U(f)U(g)(\psi_n^*) + U(f)c(g)] \\ &= \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{g \in T} [U(f)U(g)(\psi_n^*) + c(gf) - c(f)] \\ &= -c(f) + \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{g \in T} [U(gf)(\psi_n^*) + c(gf)]. \end{aligned}$$

If f belongs to T , then the last expression reduces to $-c(f) + \psi_n$, thus showing that we actually have an equality:

$$c(f) = \psi_n - U(f)(\psi_n).$$

Assume otherwise that f belongs to \mathbb{Z}^k . Then

$$U(f)\psi_n = -c(f) + \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{g \in T} [U(gf)(\psi_n^*) + c(gf)] = -c(f) + \psi_n + \frac{1}{|T|} \sum_{g \in T} [U(gf)(\psi_n^*) + c(gf)] - \psi_n,$$

hence the value of

$$\|c(f) - (\psi_n - U(f)\psi_n)\|_{\mathbb{B}}$$

is bounded from above by

$$\frac{1}{|T|} \left\| \sum_{g \in T} [U(gf)(\psi_n^*) + c(gf)] - [U(g)(\psi_n^*) + c(g)] \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} = \frac{1}{|T|} \left\| \sum_{g \in T} U(g)[U(f)(\psi_n^*) - \psi_n^*] + [c(fg) - c(g)] \right\|_{\mathbb{B}},$$

that is, by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|T|} \left\| \sum_{g \in T} U(g)[U(f)(\psi_n^*) - \psi_n^*] + U(g)(c(f)) \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} &= \frac{1}{|T|} \left\| \sum_{g \in T} U(g)[U(f)(\psi_n^*) - \psi_n^* + c(f)] \right\|_{\mathbb{B}} \\ &= \left\| U(f)(\psi_n^*) - \psi_n^* + c(f) \right\|_{\mathbb{B}}, \end{aligned}$$

which, by construction, converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, to deal with an arbitrary $f \in \Gamma$, just write $f = h_1 h_2$, with $h_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ and $h_2 \in T$, and use the cocycle relation and the triangular inequality to show the desired convergence for the function ψ_n . \square

Remark 5. The proof above mimics one of the key arguments of [21]. (Compare [3].) Actually, some of the ideas therein allow extending it to nilpotent group actions.

Proof of Theorem B. Let us again consider the isometries $U : \varphi \mapsto (\varphi \circ f) \cdot Df$ defined on the space L_0^1 made of the L^1 functions with zero mean, as well as the associated cocycle

$$c(f) = \frac{D^2 f}{Df} \in L_0^1.$$

Lemma 1 establishes the hypothesis of Lemma 2, which yields a sequence of functions $\psi_n \in L_0^1$ such that, for all $f \in \Gamma$, the value of

$$\|c(f) - (\psi_n - \psi_n \circ f \cdot Df)\|_{L^1}$$

converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, as in the proof of Theorem A, this function ψ_n can be seen as the affine derivative of a circle diffeomorphism h_n (that is, $D^2 h_n / Dh_n = \psi_n$) such that, for all $f \in \Gamma$, the conjugates $h_n f h_n^{-1}$ converge to the rotation $R_{\rho(f)}$ in the C^{1+bv} sense. To produce the path of approximating conjugates, it suffices to linearly interpolate the functions ψ_n , as it was done at the end of the proof of Theorem A. We leave the verification of the details as a task to the reader. \square

Remark 6. Following the lines of the proofs above, the conjugating maps become explicit, even for the case of single maps (*i.e.* for actions of $\mathbb{Z} = \langle f \rangle$). Indeed, in this setting, definition (5) becomes

$$\psi_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{D^2 f^k}{Df^k},$$

and the associated conjugating maps h_n are given by

$$h_n(x) = \frac{\int_0^x \exp\left(\int_0^s \psi_n(r) dr\right) ds}{\int_0^1 \exp\left(\int_0^s \psi_n(r) dr\right) ds}.$$

A simple computation then shows that

$$Dh_n(x) = \frac{1}{\int_0^1 \left[\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{Df^k(s)}{Df^k(0)}\right]^{1/n} ds} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{Df^k(x)}{Df^k(0)} \right)^{1/n} = \frac{\left[\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} Df^k(x)\right]^{1/n}}{\int_0^1 \left[\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} Df^k(s)\right]^{1/n} ds}.$$

The reader will notice that these coincide with the conjugating maps considered in [21]. Also notice that these are quite different from those used by Herman, which are given by

$$h_n^*(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (f^k - k\rho(f)),$$

where, on the right, f denotes a lift to the real-line of the original map and $\rho(f)$ is its corresponding translation number. It is worth mentioning that certain analogs of Herman's conjugating maps h_n^* still work for Abelian (and even nilpotent) group actions in the continuous framework, yet providing explicit estimates for the derivatives of the conjugate action in the smooth case seems out of reach. (Herman managed to control derivatives for \mathbb{Z} -actions.)

An application to rigidity of circle diffeomorphisms and the Denjoy-Kocsmas inequality

Recall that a map f is said to be *rigid* if there exists an increasing sequence of integers q_n such that f^{q_n} converges to the identity (in a prescribed topology). A fundamental result of Herman [12] establishes that every C^2 circle diffeomorphism f of irrational rotation number is C^1 rigid, with q_n being the sequence of denominators for the rational approximations of the rotation number of f . Below we combine Proposition 1 with an argument from [1, 24] to extend this result to C^1 diffeomorphisms with absolutely continuous derivative.

Namely, given $\varepsilon > 0$, Proposition 1 yields a zero-mean function $\psi \in L^1$ such that the L^1 function ξ defined by

$$\xi := \frac{D^2 f}{Df} - (\psi - (\psi \circ f) \cdot Df)$$

has L^1 -norm at most $\varepsilon/2$. Notice that ξ also has zero mean. By integrating, we can view ψ and ξ as the derivatives of well-defined functions Ψ and Ξ on the circle, respectively. Equation above then reads as

$$D\Xi = D \log(Df) - (D\Psi - D(\Psi \circ f)).$$

By adding a constant to Ξ if necessary, this implies

$$\Xi = \log(Df) - (\Psi - \Psi \circ f). \tag{7}$$

A telescopic sum then yields

$$\log(Df^{q_n}) = \sum_{i=0}^{q_n-1} \Xi \circ f^i + (\Psi - \Psi \circ f^{q_n}). \tag{8}$$

Recall that $\log(Df)$ has zero-mean with respect to the unique f -invariant probability measure [12]. By (7), this is also the case of Ξ . Therefore, by the Denjoy-Kocsmas inequality [12, 20],

$$\left\| \sum_{i=0}^{q_n-1} \Xi \circ f^i \right\|_{C^0} \leq \text{var}(\Xi) = \|D\Xi\|_{L^1} = \|\xi\|_{L^1} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Now, since Ψ is a continuous function and f^{q_n} converges to the identity in the C^0 topology (because of Denjoy's theorem), for a large-enough n we have $\|\Psi - \Psi \circ f^{q_n}\|_{C^0} \leq \varepsilon/2$. By (8), a triangular inequality yields, for a large n ,

$$\|\log(Df^{q_n})\|_{C^0} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since this holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$, this shows that $\log Df^{q_n}$ uniformly converges to zero. Together with Denjoy's theorem, this implies the announced convergence $f^{q_n} \rightarrow id$ in the C^1 topology.

Remark 7. In the argument above, the only properties we used for the function $\log(Df)$ are that it is absolutely continuous and its mean with respect to the unique f -invariant probability measure μ is zero. If we choose another absolutely continuous function φ , the conclusion is that, in the C^0 -topology, we have the convergence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\varphi + \varphi \circ f + \dots + \varphi \circ f^{q_n-1} - \int_{S^1} \varphi d\mu \right] = 0.$$

This extends a result from [24] (established for C^1 functions φ), and may be seen as a complement to the Denjoy-Kocsmas inequality for absolutely continuous functions.

A partial result on C^2 conjugates of commuting diffeomorphisms

Theorems A and B give no information on the C^2 closure of the conjugacy class of C^2 circle diffeomorphisms. Whether or not they contain the corresponding rotation in case of irrational rotation number seems to be a difficult question. Here we provide a partial result in this direction which is worth to include (despite the argument of proof is rather standard).

Proposition 2. *If Γ is a finitely generated Abelian group of C^2 circle diffeomorphisms that is conjugate to a group of rotations by a C^1 diffeomorphism, then there exists a sequence h_n of C^2 diffeomorphisms such that, for all $f \in \Gamma$, the sequence of conjugates $g_n f g_n^{-1}$ converges to a rotation in the C^2 topology as n goes to infinite.*

Proof. We first reduce the general case to that of torsion-free groups. To do this, notice that torsion elements of Γ form a finite cyclic subgroup, say generated by an element f of order n . If we conjugate Γ by the diffeomorphism h defined as

$$h := \frac{1}{n} [Id + f + \dots + f^{n-1}],$$

then this finite group becomes a group Γ_* of Euclidean rotations. The quotient of S^1 under the action of Γ_* is a smooth circle endowed with a Γ -action in which torsion elements act trivially. After proving the claim of the theorem for this action, a lift of the conjugating maps to S^1 will commute with the elements of Γ_* , thus providing the desired conjugacies.

Assume hence that Γ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^d . Let $\{f_1, \dots, f_d\}$ be a generating system of Γ , and for each n denote $B(n)$ the set of elements of the form $f_1^{n_1} f_2^{n_2} \dots f_d^{n_d}$ for which $0 \leq n_i \leq n$. Following the strategy above, for each $n \geq 1$ we let h_n be a diffeomorphism satisfying

$$Dh_n(\cdot) = \frac{\left[\prod_{f \in B(n-1)} Df(\cdot) \right]^{1/|B(n-1)|}}{\int_{S^1} \left[\prod_{f \in B(n-1)} Df(\theta) \right]^{1/|B(n-1)|} d\theta}. \quad (9)$$

(Notice that h_n is well defined up to right composition with a rotation.) For each θ , letting $\xi = \varphi_n^{-1}(\theta)$, a direct computation yields, for each $1 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned} D(h_n \circ f_i \circ h_n^{-1})(\theta) &= Dh_n(f_i(\xi)) \cdot Df_i(\xi) \cdot D\varphi_n^{-1}(\theta) \\ &= Df_i(\xi) \cdot \frac{Dh_n(f_i(\xi))}{D\varphi_n(\xi)} \\ &= Df_i(\xi) \cdot \left[\frac{\prod_{f \in B(n-1)} Df(f_i(\xi))}{\prod_{f \in B(n-1)} Df(\xi)} \right]^{1/|B(n-1)|} \\ &= Df_i(\xi) \cdot \left[\frac{\prod_{0 \leq n_j < n} D(f_1^{n_1} \dots f_d^{n_d})(f_i(\xi))}{\prod_{0 \leq n_j < n} D(f_1^{n_1} \dots f_d^{n_d})(\xi)} \right]^{1/|B(n-1)|} \\ &= \left[\frac{\prod_{0 \leq n_j < n} D(f_1^{n_1} \dots f_d^{n_d})(f_i(\xi)) \cdot Df_i(\xi)}{\prod_{0 \leq n_j < n} D(f_1^{n_1} \dots f_d^{n_d})(\xi)} \right]^{1/|B(n-1)|} \end{aligned}$$

Using the chain rule, a telescopic multiplication yields

$$D(h_n \circ f_i \circ h_n^{-1})(\theta) = \left[\frac{\prod_{0 \leq n_j < n, j \neq i} D(f_1^{n_1} \dots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_i^n f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \dots f_d^{n_d})(\xi)}{\prod_{0 \leq n_j < n, j \neq i} D(f_1^{n_1} \dots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_i^{n_{i+1}} \dots f_d^{n_d})(\xi)} \right]^{1/|B(n-1)|}.$$

Using the identity $D^2(g)/D(g) = D(\log(D(g)))$, we obtain that

$$\frac{D^2(h_n \circ f_i \circ h_n^{-1})(\theta)}{D(h_n \circ f_i \circ h_n^{-1})(\theta)}$$

equals

$$\frac{1}{|B(n-1)|} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n_j < n \\ j \neq i}} \left[\frac{D^2(f_1^{n_1} \dots f_i^n \dots f_d^{n_d})(\xi)}{D(f_1^{n_1} \dots f_i^n \dots f_d^{n_d})(\xi)} \cdot Dh_n^{-1}(\theta) - \frac{D^2(f_1^{n_1} \dots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \dots f_d^{n_d})(\xi)}{D(f_1^{n_1} \dots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \dots f_d^{n_d})(\xi)} \cdot Dh_n^{-1}(\theta) \right].$$

The cocycle relation for the logarithmic derivative

$$\frac{D^2(g_2 g_1)}{D(g_2 g_1)} = \frac{D^2 g_1}{Dg_1} + \frac{D^2 g_2}{Dg_2} \circ g_1 \cdot Dg_1$$

allows to transform the last expression into

$$\frac{Dh_n^{-1}(\theta)}{|B(n-1)|} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n_j < n \\ j \neq i}} \frac{D^2 f_i(f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots f_d^{n_d}(\xi))}{D f_i(f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots f_d^{n_d}(\xi))} \cdot D(f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots f_d^{n_d})(\xi),$$

which, in its turn, transform into

$$\frac{Dh_n^{-1}(\theta)}{|B(n-1)|} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq n_j < n \\ j \neq i}} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{D^2 f_i(f_i^k f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots f_d^{n_d}(\xi))}{D f_i(f_i^k f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots f_d^{n_d}(\xi))} \cdot D(f_i^k f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots f_d^{n_d})(\xi). \quad (10)$$

Remind that we are assuming that Γ is C^1 conjugate to a group of rotations. Let hence φ be a C^1 diffeomorphism such that, for all $f \in \Gamma$,

$$f = \varphi \circ R_\rho \circ \varphi^{-1}, \quad \rho = \rho(f). \quad (11)$$

The derivative

$$Df(\mu) = \frac{D\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\mu) + \rho)}{D\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\mu))} \quad (12)$$

is uniformly bounded by $M := \max D\varphi / \min D\varphi$ and, by definition (9), the same holds for the derivative of h_n . Passing to the inverse, we obtain the same upper bound for Dh_n^{-1} , which allows to control the numerator of the left factor of (10). Concerning the sum in (10), notice that it is made by sums of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{D^2 f_i(f_i^k f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots f_d^{n_d}(\xi))}{D f_i(f_i^k f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots f_d^{n_d}(\xi))} \cdot D(f_i^k f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots f_d^{n_d})(\xi)$$

in a number which equals the cardinal of sets of integers n_j satisfying $0 \leq n_j < n, j \neq i$. Since this cardinal is obviously equal to $|B(n-2)|$ and

$$\frac{|B(n-2)|}{|B(n-1)|} = \frac{1}{n},$$

the proof will be finished if we show that the expressions

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{D^2 f_i(f_i^k f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots f_d^{n_d}(\xi))}{D f_i(f_i^k f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots f_d^{n_d}(\xi))} \cdot D(f_i^k f_1^{n_1} \cdots f_{i-1}^{n_{i-1}} f_{i+1}^{n_{i+1}} \cdots f_d^{n_d})(\xi)$$

uniformly converge to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, denoting ρ_i the rotation number of f_i and letting $\eta := \varphi^{-1}(\xi)$, relations (11) and (12) allow to transform the last mean into

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{D^2 f_i(\varphi(\eta + k\rho_i + \sum_{j \neq i} n_j \rho_j))}{D f_i(\varphi(\eta + k\rho_i + \sum_{j \neq i} n_j \rho_j))} \cdot \frac{D\varphi(\eta + k\rho_i + \sum_{j \neq i} n_j \rho_j)}{D\varphi(\xi)}.$$

By Weil's equidistribution theorem, this converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to

$$\frac{1}{D\varphi(\xi)} \int_{S^1} \frac{D^2 f_i(\varphi(\eta))}{Df_i(\varphi(\eta))} \cdot D\varphi(\eta) d\eta = \frac{1}{D\varphi(\xi)} \int_{S^1} \frac{D^2 f_i(\xi)}{Df_i(\xi)} d\xi = 0,$$

which closes the proof. □

Remark 8. As in the end of the proof of Theorem A, it is not hard to extend the previous result to obtain paths of conjugate actions rather than sequences of conjugates converging to rotations. Details are left to the reader.

On the asymptotic distortion of diffeomorphisms

Given a C^{1+bv} diffeomorphism of a compact one-dimensional manifold M , let us define its asymptotic distortion as

$$\text{dist}_\infty(f) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{var}(\log(Df^n); M)}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{var}(\log(Df^n))}{n},$$

where, on the right and henceforth, we drop the reference to the manifold M to simplify the notation. Notice that the existence of the limit follows from subadditivity:

$$\text{var}(\log(Df^{m+n})) \leq \text{var}(\log(Df^m)) + \text{var}(\log(Df^n)).$$

In a certain sense, dist_∞ is a “stable version” of the variation of the logarithm of the derivative, in the sense that it satisfies, for all $k \geq 1$,

$$\text{dist}_\infty(f^k) = k \cdot \text{dist}_\infty(f).$$

Moreover, it is a dynamical invariant. Indeed, the subadditivity relation

$$\text{var}(\log(D(fg))) \leq \text{var}(\log(Df)) + \text{var}(\log(Dg))$$

easily implies that dist_∞ is invariant under C^{1+bv} conjugacy, since

$$\text{var}(\log(Df^k)) + 2\text{var}(\log(Dh)) \leq \text{var}(\log(D((hfh^{-1})^k))) \leq \text{var}(\log(Df^k); M) + 2\text{var}(\log(Dh)).$$

It should become evident from the previous paragraph that the vanishing of the asymptotic distortion is closely related to approximating (in the C^{1+bv} sense) an isometry (either a rotation for the circle case or the identity for the interval case) by a sequence of conjugates. We explicitly record this fact in the statement below. Notice that, in this theorem, no assumption on absolute continuity for the derivative is made.

Proposition 3. Let f be a C^{1+bv} diffeomorphism of a compact one-dimensional manifold M . If there exists a sequence h_n of C^{1+bv} diffeomorphisms such that the conjugates $h_n f h_n^{-1}$ converge to an isometry in the C^{1+bv} sense, then the asymptotic distortion of f vanishes.

Conversely, if f has zero asymptotic distortion, then there exists a sequence of conjugates of f converging to an isometry in the C^{1+bv} sense.

Proof. If $h_n f h_n^{-1}$ converges to an isometry then, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an integer N such that, for all $n \geq N$,

$$\text{var}(\log(D(h_n f h_n^{-1}))) < \varepsilon.$$

This yields, for every integer k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(\log(D(h_n f^k h_n^{-1}))) &= \text{var}(\log(D((h_n f h_n^{-1})^k))) \\ &\leq k \text{var}(\log(D(h_n f h_n^{-1}))) \\ &< k\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{var}(\log(Df^k)) &\leq \text{var}(\log(D(h_n f^k h_n^{-1}))) + 2 \text{var}(\log(Dh_n)) \\ &< k\varepsilon + 2 \text{var}(\log(Dh_n)), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\frac{\text{var}(\log(Df^k))}{k} < \varepsilon + \frac{2 \text{var}(\log(Dh_n))}{k}.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{var}(\log(Df^k))}{k} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since this holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$, the asymptotic distortion of f vanishes.

The converse statement is proved following the lines of the proof of Theorem B. However, instead of following the functional analytical approach, we use the explicit conjugating maps introduced in Remark 6. Namely, let h_n be a circle diffeomorphism whose derivative satisfies

$$Dh_n(x) = \frac{[\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} Df^k(x)]^{1/n}}{\int_0^1 [\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} Df^k(s)]^{1/n} ds}. \quad (13)$$

Letting $y := h_n^{-1}(x)$, a straightforward computation using the chain rule yields

$$\begin{aligned} D(h_n f h_n^{-1})(x) &= \frac{Dh_n(f(y))}{Dh_n(y)} \cdot Df(y) \\ &= \frac{[\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} Df^k(f(y))]^{1/n}}{[\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} Df^k(y)]^{1/n}} \cdot Df(y) \\ &= \frac{[\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} Df^k(f(y)) \cdot Df(y)]^{1/n}}{[\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} Df^k(y)]^{1/n}} \\ &= \frac{[\prod_{k=1}^n Df^k(y)]^{1/n}}{[\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} Df^k(y)]^{1/n}} \\ &= Df^n(y)^{1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{var}(\log(D(h_n f h_n^{-1}))) = \text{var}(\log((Df^n)^{1/n})) = \frac{1}{n} \text{var}(\log(Df^n)),$$

and the right-hand-side expression converges to zero because of the hypothesis of vanishing of the asymptotic distortion. \square

Remark 9. The preceding proposition has a version for piecewise-affine homeomorphisms. Namely, if f is a such a map with vanishing asymptotic distortion, then there exists a sequence h_n of piecewise-affine homeomorphisms such that the total variation of the logarithm of the derivative of $h_n f h_n^{-1}$ converges to zero (just follow the same arguments of proof and notice that the explicit formula (13) yields piecewise-affine conjugating maps). Remind that, for the case of the circle, the vanishing of the asymptotic distortion is equivalent to that either the map has finite order or, in case of irrational rotation number, that the complete jump along orbits is always 1 (see Remark 4 and notice that no nontrivial piecewise-affine homeomorphism of the interval can have zero asymptotic distortion due to the presence of semi-hyperbolic fixed points, which obviously forces positive asymptotic distortion).

Remark 10. It is not hard to see that the asymptotic distortion is upper semicontinuous (as a function defined on the space of C^{1+bv} diffeomorphisms). Together with the invariance under conjugacy, this easily yields Proposition 3.

We have showed that the asymptotic distortion of a C^{1+bv} circle diffeomorphism with absolute continuous derivative and irrational rotation number is equal to zero. In case of rational rotation number, this is no longer true since, as we have noticed, hyperbolic periodic points force positive asymptotic distortion. Actually, as we next see, hyperbolicity is the only obstruction to zero asymptotic distortion for maps in $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, yet it is not the only one in general.

Proposition 4. *A Möbius transformation has positive asymptotic distortion if and only if it is hyperbolic.*

Proof. There are two ways to prove this. First, since hyperbolic Möbius transformations have hyperbolic fixed points and, hence, positive asymptotic distortion, we need to check that both elliptic and parabolic Möbius transformations have zero asymptotic distortion. This can be showed using Proposition 3. Namely, elliptic Möbius transformations are conjugate to Euclidean rotations, and parabolic Möbius maps admit sequences of conjugates that converge to the identity, as it readily follows by letting $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ in the identity

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\lambda \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda^2 t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The starting observation for the second proof is the following: consider a Möbius transformation $f \in \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ both as an isometry of the Poincaré disk (endowed with the hyperbolic distance dist_h) and as a circle diffeomorphism. Then the following equality holds:

$$\text{var}(\log(Df); S^1) = 4 \text{dist}_h(f(0), 0). \tag{14}$$

Assuming this equality, we conclude that the rate of $\text{var}(\log(Df^n); S^1)$ is linear if and only if the same happens for $\text{dist}_h(f^n(0), 0)$, and it is very well known that the behavior of the last sequence classifies Möbius maps: this sequence is bounded, unbounded but sublinear, or linear, in the elliptic, parabolic, or hyperbolic case, respectively.

We are hence left to prove (14), which is just a computation. Namely, if we write f in complex form as

$$f(e^{i\theta}) = e^{i\alpha} \cdot \frac{e^{i\theta} - a}{1 - \bar{a}e^{i\theta}}, \quad a = re^{i\xi}, \quad |r| < 1,$$

then, as a circle diffeomorphism, $df/d\theta$ is the norm of the derivative of this expression, which equals

$$\left\| \frac{e^{i\alpha} [ie^{i\theta}(1 - re^{i(\theta-\xi)}) + (e^{i\theta} - re^{i\alpha})rie^{i(\theta-\alpha)}]}{(1 - re^{i(\theta-\xi)})^2} \right\| = \frac{1 - r^2}{1 - 2r \cos(\theta - \xi) + r^2}.$$

The last expression attains its maximum at $\theta = \xi + \pi/2$ and its minimum at $\theta = \xi - \pi/2$, and it is monotone (as a function of θ) on both intervals with these endpoints. Using this, one easily concludes that

$$\text{var}(\log(Df); S^1) = 2 \left[\log \left(\frac{1 - r^2}{(1 + r)^2} \right) - \log \left(\frac{1 - r^2}{(1 - r)^2} \right) \right] = 4 \log \left(\frac{1 - r}{1 + r} \right).$$

Finally, it is well known that the last expression corresponds to $4 \text{dist}_h(0, -e^{i\alpha}a)$, which closes the proof since $f(0) = -e^{i\alpha}a$. \square

Despite Proposition 4 above, the naive conjecture that, for general diffeomorphisms, positive asymptotic distortion implies the existence of hyperbolic periodic points is false. Indeed, below we provide an example of a C^∞ diffeomorphism of $[0, 1]$ having no fixed point in the interior and with positive asymptotic distortion yet the endpoints are parabolic fixed points. This slightly extends a claim by Farinelli [9]: there exist C^{1+vb} diffeomorphisms of the interval that do not approach the identity by C^{1+bv} conjugates despite their fixed points are all parabolic (Farinelli's claim concerns C^2 regularity, where a similar statement follows as a direct application of Mather's invariant [28]).

Example 1. Start with a parabolic Möbius transformation, and denote \hat{f} its restriction to a fixed interval having no fixed point inside. After conjugacy by an affine map, we may assume that this interval is $[0, 1]$ and (passing to the inverse if necessary) that $\hat{f}(x) > x$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$. By Proposition 4 above, the asymptotic distortion of \hat{f} vanishes.

Fix $a < b < \hat{f}(a)$ in $(0, 1)$, and let f be a C^∞ diffeomorphism with no fixed point in the interior that coincides with \hat{f} on a neighborhood of $[0, a] \cup [f(a), 1]$ and such that $f(b) = \hat{f}(b)$ but $Df(b) \neq D\hat{f}(b)$. We claim that f has nonzero asymptotic distortion. Indeed, since the asymptotic distortion of \hat{f} is zero, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists N such that, for all $n \geq N$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\log(D\hat{f}^n(\hat{f}^{-n+i}(b))) - \log(D\hat{f}^n(\hat{f}^{-n+i}(a)))| \leq \text{var}(\log(D\hat{f}^n); [0, 1]) \leq n\varepsilon.$$

Fix $\varepsilon < |\log(D\hat{f}(b)) - \log(Df(b))|/2$. The preceding inequality may be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log(D\hat{f}(\hat{f}^{-n+i+j}(b))) - \log(D\hat{f}(\hat{f}^{-n+i+j}(a))) \right| \leq n\varepsilon.$$

In the left-hand side expression, we may replace \hat{f} by f at each occurrence except for that, for each index i , the value of Df is different from that of $D\hat{f}$ at the point $f^{-n+i+j}(b) = \hat{f}^{-n+i+j}(b)$ for $j = n - i$. This yields the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left| [\log(D\hat{f}(b)) - \log(Df(b))] + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log(Df(f^{-n+i+j}(b))) - \log(Df(f^{-n+i+j}(a))) \right| \leq n\varepsilon.$$

By the triangle inequality $|y| \geq |x| - |x + y|$, this implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \log(Df(f^{-n+i+j}(b))) - \log(Df(f^{-n+i+j}(a))) \right| \geq n |\log(D\hat{f}(b)) - \log(Df(b))| - n\varepsilon,$$

Since the left-hand side expression above may be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \log(Df^n(f^{-n+i}(b))) - \log(Df^n(f^{-n+i}(a))) \right|,$$

the choice of ε implies that

$$\text{var}(\log(Df^n); [0, 1]) \geq \frac{n |\log(D\hat{f}(b)) - \log(Df(b))|}{2}.$$

Letting n go to infinite, this shows that

$$\text{dist}_\infty(f) \geq \frac{|\log(D\hat{f}(b)) - \log(Df(b))|}{2}.$$

Remark 11. The maps \hat{f} and f cannot be conjugated by a C^{1+bv} diffeomorphism, since one of them has vanishing asymptotic distortion and the other one does not. A less obvious claim is that they are non C^1 conjugate, as we show below. The reader will find in [8] a more general result in this direction.

Assume that h is a C^1 diffeomorphism satisfying $h\hat{f}h^{-1} = f$. Since $\hat{f} = f$ close to the endpoints, the germs of h and f at these endpoints commute. By Kopell's lemma (see [20]), if we denote by \hat{f}^t the flow associated to \hat{f} , there exist t_0, t_1 such that, close to the origin (resp. close to 1), one has $h = \hat{f}^{t_0}$ (resp. $h = \hat{f}^{t_1}$). If we change h by $h(\hat{f}^{t_0})^{-1}$, we still have the conjugacy relation $h\hat{f}h^{-1} = f$, but now we have that h equals the identity close to the origin. Since \hat{f} and f coincide on $[0, a] \cup [g(a), 1]$ and both have the same action on b , it is easy to check the following:

- h equals the identity on $[0, a]$;
- if we denote by \bar{h} the restriction of $f\hat{f}^{-1}$ to $[a, f(a)]$, then on each interval $f^n([a, b])$, the map h equals $\hat{f}^{n-1}\bar{h}\hat{f}^{-(n-1)}$;
- h fixes all points of the form $\hat{f}^n(a) = f^n(a)$ and $\hat{f}^n(b) = f^n(b)$.

Now notice that, on the one hand,

$$Dh(1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(1) - h(f^n(b))}{1 - f^n(b)} = 1.$$

On the other hand, using the chain rule we obtain that, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$Dh(f^n(b)) = D\bar{h}(b) = \frac{Df(b)}{D\hat{f}(b)} \neq 1.$$

However, these conclusions are incompatible with the continuity of the derivative of h at 1.

Starting with arbitrary nontrivial diffeomorphisms of zero asymptotic distortion, the technique above easily shows that the set of diffeomorphisms with positive asymptotic distortion is dense in the (closed) set of diffeomorphisms having only parabolic fixed points. Since the former set coincides with

$$\bigcup_m \bigcap_n \left\{ f : \frac{\text{var}(\log(Df^n); S^1)}{n} \geq \frac{1}{m} \right\},$$

this shows that positive asymptotic distortion is a generic property in the latter set. However, the construction reveals even more than this: zero asymptotic distortion seems to force a very particular structure for the diffeomorphism. Despite this, we were not able to settle the question below:

Question 2. Does there exist a real-analytic diffeomorphism of the interval with no hyperbolic fixed point and having positive asymptotic distortion?¹

Many other natural questions concerning the value of the asymptotic distortion for specific types of diffeomorphisms arise.

Question 3. Can the asymptotic distortion of a nontrivial piecewise-projective homeomorphism that is not piecewise projectively conjugate to a parabolic Möbius map vanish?

Remind that the asymptotic distortion of every nontrivial piecewise-affine homeomorphism of the interval is positive, due to the presence of semi-hyperbolic fixed points.

¹This was recently affirmatively answered by Cohen in [4]; see also [8] for a more systematic study.

Question 4. What is the range of values of the asymptotic distortion for the C^∞ diffeomorphisms of the interval that arise in the Ghys-Sergiescu's smooth realizations of Thompson's group F (see [13])? Is it possible in this framework to produce (nontrivial) diffeomorphisms with the same asymptotic distortion which are not smoothly conjugate?

Another natural question (inspired by [25]) deals with the behavior of the sequence of distortions along iterates. (Compare Question 1.)

Question 5. Assume that the asymptotic distortion of a diffeomorphism f of $[0, 1]$ with no hyperbolic fixed point vanishes. Can the sequence $\text{var}(\log(Df^n); [0, 1])$ grow faster than logarithmically?

Finally, having established the existence of diffeomorphisms with positive asymptotic distortion, the next generalization of Proposition 3 makes sense. The proof is an easy modification of that of the proposition, hence we leave it to the reader.

Proposition 5 *Let f be a C^{1+bv} diffeomorphism of a compact manifold M . If there is a sequence h_n of C^{1+bv} diffeomorphisms of M such that, for some $d \geq 0$ and all integers $n \geq 1$, one has*

$$\text{var}(\log(D(h_n f h_n^{-1})); M) < d + \frac{1}{n},$$

then $d_\infty(f) \leq d$. Conversely, for each $n \geq 1$ there exists a C^{1+bv} diffeomorphism of M such that

$$\text{var}(\log(D(h_n f h_n^{-1})); M) < d_\infty(f) + \frac{1}{n}.$$

In other words, $\text{dist}_\infty(f)$ is nothing but the infimum of the variation of the logarithm of the derivatives of conjugates of f , that is, a dynamically invariant version of $\text{var}(\log(D(\cdot)))$. We close with a question relating asymptotic distortion and centralizers of C^{1+bv} diffeomorphisms of the interval.²

Question 6. Can a nontrivial C^∞ diffeomorphism f of $[0, 1]$ with no fixed point in the interior and positive asymptotic distortion be centralized by a C^∞ diffeomorphism g of zero asymptotic distortion in a way that $\langle f, g \rangle \sim \mathbb{Z}^2$?

Notice that if finitely many C^{1+bv} commuting diffeomorphisms have all zero asymptotic distortion, then there exists a sequence of conjugating maps h_n such that, for each element f , the sequence $h_n f h_n^{-1}$ converges to an isometry in the C^{1+bv} sense. This can be easily proved using a general explicit formula similar to that of Remark 6. For instance, if Γ acts

²This was recently answered in the negative in [8].

freely and is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^k , then we may let h_n be defined so that

$$Dh_n(x) = \frac{\left[\prod_{f \in B(n-1)} Df(x) \right]^{1/|B(n-1)|}}{\int_M \left[\prod_{f \in B(n-1)} Df(s) \right]^{1/|B(n-1)|} ds}.$$

We leave the details to the reader.

Acknowledgments. I'm strongly indebted to Adolfo Guillot, with whom formula (14) arose in a discussion during a seminar (more than ten years ago). I'm also indebted to Jairo Bochi, Bassam Fayad, Nancy Guelman, Alejandro Kocsard and Raphaël Krikorian for quite stimulating discussions. The preparation of this text was funded by the CONICYT Projects MathAmsud 17-03 "GDAR: geometry, dynamics, and Anosov representations" and FONDECYT 1160541 and 1200114 (in Chile), as well as the Project FORDECYT 265667 and the PREI Program of the DGAPA at UNAM (in México).

References

- [1] AVILA, A., KOCSARD, A. Cohomological equations and invariant distributions for minimal circle diffeomorphisms. *Duke Math. J.* **158** (2011), 501-536.
- [2] BADER, U., GELANDER, T. & MONOD, N. A fixed point theorem for L^1 spaces. *Invent. Math.* **189** (2011), 143-148.
- [3] BOCHI, J. & NAVAS, A. A geometric path from zero Lyapunov exponents to rotation cocycles. *Erg. Theory and Dyn. Systems* **35** (2015), 374-402.
- [4] COHEN, M. Maximal pseudometrics and distortion of circle diffeomorphisms. *J. London Math. Society* **102** (2020), 437-452.
- [5] DEROIN, B., KLEPTSYN, V. & NAVAS, A. On the ergodic theory of free group actions by real-analytic circle diffeomorphisms. *Invent. Math.* **212** (2018), 731-779.
- [6] DEROIN, B., KLEPTSYN, V. & NAVAS, A. Sur la dynamique unidimensionnelle en régularité intermédiaire. *Acta Math.* **199** (2007), 199-262.
- [7] DOUADY, R. & YOCCOZ, J.-C. Nombre de rotation des difféomorphismes du cercle et mesures automorphes. *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.* **4** (1999), 19-38.
- [8] EYNARD-BONTEMPS, H. & NAVAS, A. Mather invariant, distortion, and conjugates for diffeomorphisms of the interval Preprint (2020); arXiv:1912.09305.
- [9] FARINELLI, É. Conjugacy classes of diffeomorphisms of the interval in C^1 regularity. *Fund. Math.* **237** (2017), 201-248.
- [10] FAYAD, B. & KHANIN, K. Smooth linearization of commuting circle diffeomorphisms. *Ann. Math.* **170** (2009), 961-980.
- [11] HERMAN, M. Simple proofs of local conjugacy theorems for diffeomorphisms of the circle with almost every rotation number. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat.* **16** (1985), 45-83.
- [12] HERMAN, M. Sur la conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle à des rotations. *Publ. Math. de l'IHÉS* **49** (1979), 5-234.

- [13] GHYS, É. & SERGIESCU, V. Sur un groupe remarquable de difféomorphismes du cercle. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **62** (1987), 185-239.
- [14] KATOK, A. & HASSELBLATT, B. Introduction to the Modern Theory of Dynamical Systems. Cambridge University Press (1997).
- [15] KATZNELSON, Y. & ORNSTEIN, D. The differentiability of the conjugation of certain diffeomorphisms of the circle. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **9** (1989), 643-680.
- [16] KHANIN, K. & TEPLINSKY, A. Herman's theory revisited. *Invent. Math.* **178** (2009), 333-344.
- [17] KLEPTSYN, V. & NAVAS, A. A Denjoy type theorem for commuting circle diffeomorphisms with derivatives having different Hölder regularity classes. *Moscow Math. J.* **8** (2009), 477-492.
- [18] MATHER, J. Commutators of diffeomorphisms. III. A group which is not perfect. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **60** (1985), 122-124.
- [19] MINAKAWA, H. Classification of exotic circles of $PL_+(S^1)$. *Hokkaido Math. J.* **25** (1997), 685-697.
- [20] NAVAS, A. *Groups of circle diffeomorphisms*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics (2011).
- [21] NAVAS, A. Sur les rapprochements par conjugaison en dimension 1 et classe C^1 . *Compositio Math.* **150** (2014), 1183-1195.
- [22] NAVAS, A. Group actions on 1-manifolds: a list of very concrete open questions. *Proc. of the ICM* (2018).
- [23] NAVAS, A. & PONCE, M. On the projective derivative cocycle and conjugates of C^2 circle diffeomorphisms. Preprint.
- [24] NAVAS, A. & TRIESTINO, M. On the invariant distributions of C^2 circle diffeomorphisms of irrational rotation number. *Math. Z.* **274** (2013), 315-321.
- [25] POLTEROVICH, L. & SODIN, M. A growth gap for diffeomorphisms of the interval. *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique* **92** (2004), 191-209.
- [26] SEVENNEC, B. Normes invariantes par difféomorphismes sur $C^k(X)$. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math.* **7** (1998), 335-355.
- [27] WATANABE, N. Growth sequences for circle diffeomorphisms. *Geometric and Functional Analysis GAFA* **17** (2007), 320-331.
- [28] YOCCOZ, J.-C. Centralisateurs et conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle. Petits diviseurs en dimension 1. *Astérisque* **231** (1995), 89-242.
- [29] YOCCOZ, J.-C. Conjugaison différentiable des difféomorphismes du cercle dont le nombre de rotation vérifie une condition diophantienne. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **17** (1984), 333-359.

Andrés Navas

Dpto de Matemática y C.C., Univ. de Santiago de Chile
Alameda 3363, Estación Central, Santiago, Chile

Unidad Cuernavaca Instituto de Matemáticas
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Campus Morelos

Email address: andres.navas@usach.cl