

New Factorization Relations for Non-Linear Sigma Model Amplitudes

N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr¹, Humberto Gomez^{1,2}, Andreas Helset¹

¹*Niels Bohr International Academy and Discovery Center
Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen
Blegdamsvej 17, DK-2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark*

²*Facultad de Ciencias, Basicas Universidad
Santiago de Cali, Calle 5 N° 62-00 Barrio Pampalinda
Cali, Valle, Colombia*

We obtain novel factorization identities for non-linear sigma model amplitudes using a new integrand in the CHY double-cover prescription. We find that it is possible to write very compact relations using only longitudinal degrees of freedom. We discuss implications for on-shell recursion.

INTRODUCTION

Cachazo, He and Yuan invented in ref. [1] a new method for calculating S-matrix elements. This formalism has numerous applications and many interesting connections, see for instance refs. [2–4]. The CHY construction was formally proven by Dolan and Goddard in ref. [5].

The main ingredients are the n -point scattering equations

$$0 = S_a \equiv \sum_{b=1, b \neq a}^n \frac{s_{ab}}{z_{ab}}, \quad z_{ab} \equiv z_a - z_b, \quad s_{ab} \equiv 2k_a \cdot k_b, \quad (1)$$

where z_a are auxiliary variables on the Riemann sphere and k_a are momenta. In the CHY formalism one has to integrate over a contour containing the $(n - 3)!$ independent solutions of the scattering equations.

As computations in the CHY formalism grow factorially in complexity with n , integration rules have been developed at tree [6–9] and loop level [10], so that analytical results for amplitudes can be derived without solving the scattering equations explicitly.

Recently, the CHY formalism was reformulated by one of us in the context of a double cover [11] (called the ‘ Λ -formalism’ in refs. [11, 12]). Here, the basic variables are elements of \mathbb{CP}^2 , and not \mathbb{CP}^1 as in the original CHY formalism. One advantage of the extra machinery is that amplitudes in the double-cover formulation naturally factorize into smaller \mathbb{CP}^1 pieces, and this is a useful laboratory for deriving new amplitude identities.

We will start by reviewing the CHY formalism for the non-linear sigma model (NLSM) and provide an alternative formulation that employs a new integrand. Next, we will show how the double-cover formalism naturally factorizes this new CHY formulation in a surprising way.

A NEW CHY INTEGRAND

As explained in ref. [13], the flavor-ordered partial $U(N)$ non-linear sigma model amplitude in the scatter-

ing equation framework is given by the contour integral

$$A_n(\alpha) = \int d\mu_n H_n(\alpha), \quad (2)$$

$$d\mu_n \equiv (z_{ij} z_{jk} z_{ki})^2 \prod_{\substack{a=1 \\ a \neq \{i,j,k\}}}^n \frac{dz_a}{S_a},$$

where $(\alpha) = (\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(n))$ denotes a partial ordering. The integrand is given by

$$H_n(\alpha) = \text{PT}(\alpha) \times (\text{Pf}'\mathbf{A})^2, \quad (3)$$

$$\text{PT}(\alpha) \equiv \frac{1}{z_{\alpha(1)\alpha(2)} z_{\alpha(2)\alpha(3)} \cdots z_{\alpha(n)\alpha(1)}}, \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Pf}'\mathbf{A} \equiv \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{z_{ij}} \text{Pf}[(\mathbf{A})_{ij}^{ij}]. \quad (5)$$

Here $\text{PT}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Pf}'\mathbf{A}$ are the Parke-Taylor (PT) factor and the reduced Pfaffian of matrix \mathbf{A} , respectively. The $n \times n$ anti-symmetric matrix, \mathbf{A} , is defined as,

$$A_{ab} \equiv \frac{s_{ab}}{z_{ab}} \quad \text{for } a \neq b, \quad \text{and } A_{ab} \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } a = b. \quad (6)$$

In general, $(\mathbf{A})_{j_1 \dots j_p}^{i_1 \dots i_p}$ denotes the reduced matrix obtained by removing the rows, $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$, and columns, $\{j_1, \dots, j_p\}$, from \mathbf{A} . Note that when the number of external particles n is odd, $\text{Pf}'\mathbf{A} = 0$, and $A_n(\alpha)$ vanishes.

Using eq. (5), we have

$$(\text{Pf}'\mathbf{A})^2 = \frac{(-1)^{i+j+m+p}}{z_{ij} z_{mp}} \text{Pf}[(\mathbf{A})_{ij}^{ij}] \times \text{Pf}[(\mathbf{A})_{mp}^{mp}]. \quad (7)$$

With the choice $\{i, j\} = \{m, p\}$, this product of Pfaffians becomes a determinant,

$$(\text{Pf}'\mathbf{A})^2 = -\text{PT}(m, p) \det[(\mathbf{A})_{mp}^{mp}]. \quad (8)$$

We will now discuss the following new matrix identities. On the support of the scattering equations and the massless condition, $\{S_a = 0, k_a^2 = 0\}$, we find when $m \neq p \neq q$

$$\text{Pf}[(\mathbf{A})_{mp}^{mp}] \times \text{Pf}[(\mathbf{A})_{pq}^{pq}] = \det[(\mathbf{A})_{pq}^{mp}], \quad (9)$$

$$\det[(\mathbf{A})_{pq}^{mp}] = 0 \quad \text{if } n \text{ odd.} \quad (10)$$

A proof of these identities will be provided in ref. [14]. Using the non-antisymmetric matrix, $(\mathbf{A})_{jk}^{ij}$, we define the objects (with $i < j < k$)

$$A'_n(\alpha) = \int d\mu_n \text{PT}(\alpha) \frac{(-1)^{i+k}}{z_{ij} z_{jk}} \det[(\mathbf{A})_{jk}^{ij}], \quad (11)$$

$$A_n^{(ij)}(\alpha) = \int d\mu_n \text{PT}(\alpha) \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{z_{ij}} \det[(\mathbf{A})_j^i]. \quad (12)$$

Note that in eqs. (11) and (12) we have reduced the \mathbf{A} matrix with the indices $\{i, j, k\}$ associated with the Faddeev-Popov determinant. This gauge choice will be convenient later. We now have the following equality,

$$A'_n(\alpha) = A_n(\alpha), \quad (13)$$

when all particles are on-shell. When there are off-shell particles, the identity is true only if the number of particles is even. When the number of particles is odd and there are off-shell particles, one has $A_n(\alpha) = 0$ while $A'_n(\alpha) \neq 0$. Since the \mathbf{A} matrix has co-rank 2 on the support of the scattering equations and the massless condition, $\{S_a = 0, k_a^2 = 0\}$, $A_n^{(ij)}(\alpha)$ vanishes trivially. However, when there are off-shell particles the amplitude $A_n^{(ij)}(\alpha)$ is no longer zero.

These observations will be crucial in obtaining the new factorization relations.

THE DOUBLE-COVER REPRESENTATION

In the double-cover version of the CHY construction, the n -point amplitude is given as a contour integral on the double-covered Riemann sphere with n punctures. The pairs $(\sigma_1, y_1), (\sigma_2, y_2), \dots, (\sigma_n, y_n)$ provide the new set of doubled variables restricted to the curves

$$0 = C_a \equiv y_a^2 - \sigma_a^2 + \Lambda^2 \quad \text{for } a = 1, \dots, n. \quad (14)$$

A translation table has been worked out in detail in ref. [11]. The double-cover formulation of the NLSM is given by the integral

$$A_n(\alpha) = \int_{\Gamma} d\mu_n^{\Lambda} \frac{(-1) \Delta(ijk) \Delta(ijk|r)}{S_{\tau}^{\tau}} \times \mathcal{I}_n(\alpha),$$

$$d\mu_n^{\Lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{2^2} \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda} \prod_{a=1}^n \frac{y_a dy_a}{C_a} \times \prod_{\substack{d=1 \\ d \neq i, j, k, r}}^n \frac{d\sigma_d}{S_d^{\tau}}, \quad (15)$$

$$\tau(a, b) \equiv \frac{1}{2 \sigma_{ab}} \left(\frac{y_a + y_b + \sigma_{ab}}{y_a} \right), \quad S_a^{\tau} \equiv \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ b \neq a}}^n s_{ab} \tau(a, b),$$

$$\Delta(ijk) \equiv (\tau(i, j) \tau(j, k) \tau(k, i))^{-1}, \quad (16)$$

$$\Delta(ijk|r) \equiv \sigma_i \Delta(jkr) - \sigma_r \Delta(ijk) + \sigma_k \Delta(rij) - \sigma_j \Delta(kri).$$

The Γ contour is defined by the $2n - 3$ equations

$$\Lambda = 0, \quad S_d^{\tau}(\sigma, y) = 0, \quad C_a = 0, \quad (17)$$

for $d \neq \{i, j, k, r\}$ and $a = 1, \dots, n$.

The integrand is given by

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\alpha) = -\text{PT}^{\tau}(\alpha) \prod_{a=1}^n \frac{(y\sigma)_a}{y_a} \text{PT}^T(m, p) \det[(\mathbf{A}^{\Lambda})_{mp}^{mp}], \quad (18)$$

where $(y\sigma)_a \equiv y_a + \sigma_a$. To obtain the kinematic matrix and the Parke-Taylor factors we need to do the following replacements

$$\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^{\Lambda}, \quad \text{and } \text{PT} \rightarrow \text{PT}^T \quad \text{for } z_{ab} \rightarrow T_{ab}^{-1}, \quad (19)$$

$$\text{PT} \rightarrow \text{PT}^{\tau} \quad \text{for } z_{ab} \rightarrow \tau(a, b)^{-1}, \quad (20)$$

with $T_{ab} \equiv \frac{1}{(y\sigma)_a - (y\sigma)_b}$. Analogous to eq. (11), we can now write down a new form for the integrand

$$\mathcal{I}'_n(\alpha) = \text{PT}^{\tau}(\alpha) \prod_{a=1}^n \frac{(y\sigma)_a}{y_a} (-1)^{i+k} T_{ij} T_{jk} \det[(\mathbf{A}^{\Lambda})_{jk}^{ij}], \quad (21)$$

where $\{i, j, k\}$ are the same labels as in $\Delta(ijk) \Delta(ijk|r)$. For more details on the double-cover prescription, see refs. [11, 14, 15].

FACTORIZATION

Let us start by considering the four-point amplitude, $A'_4(1, 2, 3, 4)$, with the gauge fixing $(ijk|r) = (123|4)$. We will denote sums of cyclically-consecutive external momenta (modulo the total number of external momenta) by $P_{i:j} \equiv k_i + k_{i+1} + \dots + k_{j-1} + k_j$. For expressions involving only two (not necessarily consecutive) momenta, we are using the shorthand notation $P_{ij} \equiv k_i + k_j$. We focus on the configuration where the sets of punctures (σ_1, σ_2) and (σ_3, σ_4) are respectively on the upper and the lower sheet of the curves

$$(y_1 = +\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 - \Lambda^2}, \sigma_1), \quad (y_2 = +\sqrt{\sigma_2^2 - \Lambda^2}, \sigma_2), \quad (22)$$

$$(y_3 = -\sqrt{\sigma_3^2 - \Lambda^2}, \sigma_3), \quad (y_4 = -\sqrt{\sigma_4^2 - \Lambda^2}, \sigma_4).$$

Expanding all elements in $A'_4(1, 2, 3, 4)$ around $\Lambda = 0$, we obtain (to leading order)

$$\text{PT}^{\tau}(1, 2, 3, 4) \Big|_{3,4}^{1,2} = \frac{\Lambda^2}{2^2} \frac{1}{(\sigma_{12} \sigma_{2P_{34}} \sigma_{P_{34}1})} \frac{1}{(\sigma_{P_{12}3} \sigma_{34} \sigma_{4P_{12}})},$$

$$\frac{\Delta(123) \Delta(123|4)}{S_4^{\tau}} \Big|_{3,4}^{1,2} = \frac{2^5}{\Lambda^4} (\sigma_{12} \sigma_{2P_{34}} \sigma_{P_{34}1})^2 \left(\frac{1}{s_{34}} \right) \times (\sigma_{P_{12}3} \sigma_{34} \sigma_{4P_{12}})^2, \quad (23)$$

$$\prod_{a=1}^4 \frac{(y\sigma)_a}{y_a} T_{12} T_{23} \det[(\mathbf{A}^{\Lambda})_{23}^{12}] \Big|_{3,4}^{1,2} = \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{\Lambda^2}{2^2} \frac{1}{\sigma_{12} \sigma_{2P_{34}}} \frac{s_{14}}{\sigma_{P_{34}1}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{P_{12}3}} \frac{(-1) s_{34}}{\sigma_{34} \sigma_{4P_{12}}},$$

where we have introduced the new fixed punctures $\sigma_{P_{34}} = \sigma_{P_{12}} = 0$. Since we want to arrive at factorization identity for non-linear sigma model amplitudes (inspired by previous work for Yang-Mills theories, see ref. [15]) we are now going to introduce polarizations associated with the punctures, $\sigma_{P_{34}} = \sigma_{P_{12}} = 0$, *i.e.* ϵ_{34}^M and ϵ_{12}^M . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} s_{14} &= 2(k_1 \cdot k_4) = 2(k_{1\mu} \times \eta^{\mu\nu} \times k_{4\nu}) \\ &= \sum_M (\sqrt{2} k_1 \cdot \epsilon_{34}^M) \times (\sqrt{2} k_4 \cdot \epsilon_{12}^M), \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

employing,

$$\sum_M \epsilon_i^M \mu \epsilon_j^M \nu = \eta^{\mu\nu}. \quad (26)$$

After separating the labels $\{1, 2\}$ and $\{3, 4\}$, it is simple to rearrange the eq. (24) as a product of two reduced determinants,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_{12} \sigma_{2P_{34}}} \frac{(\sqrt{2} k_1 \cdot \epsilon_{34}^M)}{\sigma_{P_{341}}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{12} \sigma_{2P_{34}}} \det \left[\frac{\sqrt{2} k_1 \cdot \epsilon_{34}^M}{\sigma_{P_{341}}} \right],$$

$$\frac{(-1)}{\sigma_{P_{123}}} \frac{s_{34} (\sqrt{2} k_4 \cdot \epsilon_{12}^M)}{\sigma_{34} \sigma_{4P_{12}}} = \frac{(-1)}{\sigma_{P_{123}}} \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2} k_3 \cdot \epsilon_{12}^M}{\sigma_{3P_{12}}} & \frac{s_{34}}{\sigma_{34}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2} k_4 \cdot \epsilon_{12}^M}{\sigma_{4P_{12}}} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{a=1}^4 \frac{(y\sigma)_a}{y_a} T_{12} T_{23} \det[(A^\Lambda)_{231}^{12}]_{3,4}^{1,2} &= -\frac{\Lambda^2}{2^2} \times \sum_M \frac{1}{\sigma_{12} \sigma_{2P_{34}}} \times \\ \det \left[\frac{\sqrt{2} k_1 \cdot \epsilon_{34}^M}{\sigma_{P_{341}}} \right] &\times \frac{(-1)}{\sigma_{P_{123}}} \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2} k_3 \cdot \epsilon_{12}^M}{\sigma_{3P_{12}}} & \frac{s_{34}}{\sigma_{34}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2} k_4 \cdot \epsilon_{12}^M}{\sigma_{4P_{12}}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The new matrices in eq. (27) can be obtained from the A matrix by replacing the off-shell momenta, P_{34} and P_{12} , by their corresponding off-shell polarization vectors,

$$\det[(A)_{2P_{34}}^{12}] \rightarrow \det \left[\frac{\sqrt{2} k_1 \cdot \epsilon_{34}^M}{\sigma_{P_{341}}} \right] \text{ for } P_{34} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{34}^M, \quad (28)$$

$$\det[(A)_3^{P_{12}}] \rightarrow \det \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2} k_3 \cdot \epsilon_{12}^M}{\sigma_{3P_{12}}} & \frac{s_{34}}{\sigma_{34}} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2} k_4 \cdot \epsilon_{12}^M}{\sigma_{4P_{12}}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ for } P_{12} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_{12}^M, \quad (29)$$

where the A matrix in eq. (28) is the 3×3 matrix related with the punctures $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_{P_{34}})$, while the matrix in eq. (29) corresponds to the punctures $(\sigma_{P_{12}}, \sigma_3, \sigma_4)$.

Using the measure, $d\mu_4^\Lambda = \frac{1}{2^2} \frac{d\Lambda}{\Lambda}$, we now perform the Λ

integral and the amplitude becomes

$$\begin{aligned} A'_4(1, 2, 3, 4) \Big|_{3,4}^{1,2} &= \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_M \frac{A'_3(1, 2, P_{34}^{\epsilon^M}) \times A_3^{(P_{12}3)}(P_{12}^{\epsilon^M}, 3, 4)}{s_{12}} &= \frac{s_{14}}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where the notation, $P_i^{\epsilon^M}$, means one must make the replacement, $P_i \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \epsilon_i^M$, and use eq. (26). The overall factor $1/2$ cancels out after summing over mirrored configurations, *i.e.*, $A'_4(1, 2, 3, 4) \Big|_{3,4}^{1,2} + A'_4(1, 2, 3, 4) \Big|_{1,2}^{3,4} = s_{14}$. Following the integration rules in ref. [15], we also have the contribution (up to summing over mirrored configurations)

$$\begin{aligned} A'_4(1, 2, 3, 4) \Big|_{2,3}^{4,1} &= \\ \frac{1}{2} \sum_M \frac{A_3^{(1P_{23})}(1, P_{23}^{\epsilon^M}, 4) \times A'_3(P_{41}^{\epsilon^M}, 2, 3)}{s_{14}} &= \frac{s_{12}}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Thus, the final result is

$$\begin{aligned} A'_4(1, 2, 3, 4) &= \\ \sum_M \left[\frac{A'_3(1, 2, P_{34}^{\epsilon^M}) \times A_3^{(P_{12}3)}(P_{12}^{\epsilon^M}, 3, 4)}{s_{12}} \right. & \\ \left. + \frac{A_3^{(1P_{23})}(1, P_{23}^{\epsilon^M}, 4) \times A'_3(P_{41}^{\epsilon^M}, 2, 3)}{s_{14}} \right] &= -s_{13}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The four-point amplitude is factorized in terms of three-point functions. The general three-point functions where some or all particles can be off-shell, are

$$A'_3(P_a, P_b, P_c) = s_{P_c P_a} = -(P_a^2 - P_b^2 + P_c^2), \quad (33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_3^{(P_a P_b)}(P_a, P_b, P_c) &= s_{P_b P_c} s_{P_c P_a} \\ &= (P_c^2 - P_a^2 + P_b^2)(P_a^2 - P_b^2 + P_c^2). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Since the non-linear sigma model is a scalar theory it is an interesting proposition to consider longitudinal degrees of freedom only

$$\sum_L \epsilon_i^{L\mu} \epsilon_j^{L\nu} = \frac{k_i^\mu k_j^\nu}{k_i \cdot k_j}. \quad (35)$$

Doing so we arrive at the equation

$$\begin{aligned} A'_4(1, 2, 3, 4) &= \\ 2 \sum_L \left[(-1)^3 \frac{A'_3(1, 2, P_{34}^{\epsilon^L}) \times A_3^{(P_{12}3)}(P_{12}^{\epsilon^L}, 3, 4)}{s_{12}} \right. & \\ \left. + (-1)^3 \frac{A'_3(P_{41}^{\epsilon^L}, 2, 3) \times A_3^{(1P_{23})}(1, P_{23}^{\epsilon^L}, 4)}{s_{14}} \right] &= -s_{13}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Surprisingly, it is possible to generalize this equation to higher point amplitudes. Here the overall sign of each contribution depends of the number of points of the sub-amplitudes. In ref. [14], we will give more details on this phenomenon.

NEW RELATIONS

As will be shown in great detail elsewhere [14], using the double-cover prescription for a partial non-linear sigma model amplitude one is led to the following general formula where an n -point amplitude is factorized into a product of two (single-cover) lower-point amplitudes:

$$A'_n(1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, n) = \sum_{i=4, M}^n \frac{A'_{n-i+3}(1, 2, P_{3:i}^{\epsilon^M}, i+1, \dots, n) A_{i-1}^{(P_{i+1:2} 3)}(P_{i+1:2}^{\epsilon^M}, 3, \dots, i)}{P_{i+1:2}^2} + \sum_M \frac{A'_3(P_{4:1}, 2, 3) \times A_{n-1}^{(1P_{23})}(1, P_{23}^{\epsilon^M}, 4, \dots, n)}{P_{23}^2}. \quad (37)$$

Here n is an even integer and we have used eq. (26). The above expression is valid using the Möbius and scale-invariance gauge choice $(ijk|r) = (123|4)$.

From the decomposition obtained by the double-cover method in eq. (37), we are able to write down a new factorization relation, where only longitudinal degrees of freedom contribute,

$$A'_n(1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, n) = 2 \left[\sum_{i=4, L}^n (-1)^{i-1} \times \frac{A'_{n-i+3}(1, 2, P_{3:i}^{\epsilon^L}, i+1, \dots, n) \times A_{i-1}^{(P_{i+1:2} 3)}(P_{i+1:2}^{\epsilon^L}, 3, \dots, i)}{P_{i+1:2}^2} + \sum_L (-1)^3 \frac{A'_3(P_{4:1}, 2, 3) \times A_{n-1}^{(1P_{23})}(1, P_{23}^{\epsilon^L}, 4, \dots, n)}{P_{23}^2} \right], \quad (38)$$

where eq. (35) was used. We checked this formula up to ten points.

Since the above factorization relation includes only longitudinal contributions, we can rewrite it in a more elegant form, involving only the A'_q amplitudes. Using the definitions given in eqs. (11) and (12) and under the gauge fixing (ijk) , with $i < j < k$, we have the following two identities [14]

$$A_q^{(ij)}(\dots, P_i, \dots) = P_i^2 A'_q(\dots, P_i, \dots), \quad q = 2m + 1$$

$$A_q^{(ij)}(\dots, P_i, \dots) = -P_i^2 A'_q(\dots, P_i, \dots), \quad q = 2m, \quad (39)$$

where $P_i^2 \neq 0$. In addition, $A_q^{(ij)}$ satisfies the useful

identities

$$A_q^{(ij)}(1, \dots, i, \dots, P_j, \dots, k \dots q) = A_q^{(jk)}(1, \dots, i, \dots, P_j, \dots, k \dots q),$$

$$A_q^{(ij)}(1, \dots, i, \dots, P_j, \dots, k \dots q) = A_q^{(ij)}(2 \dots i \dots P_j \dots k \dots q, 1) = \dots = A_q^{(jk)}(\dots, P_j \dots k \dots q \dots i) = A_q^{(ij)}(\dots, k \dots q, 1, \dots, i \dots P_j \dots).$$
(40)

Applying the identities eqs. (39) and (40), it is straightforward to obtain

$$A'_n(1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, n) = \sum_{i=4}^n \frac{A'_{n-i+3}(1, 2, P_{3:i}, i+1, \dots, n) \times A'_{i-1}(P_{i+1:2}, 3, \dots, i)}{P_{i+1:2}^2} + \frac{A'_3(P_{4:1}, 2, 3) \times A'_{n-1}(P_{23}, 4, \dots, n, 1)}{P_{23}^2}, \quad (41)$$

where the factorization formula has been written in terms of the generalized amplitude A'_q . Other gauge choices will naturally lead to alternative factorization formulas.

BCFW recursion

It is interesting to analyse the new factorization identities in comparison with expressions originating from Britto-Cachazo-Feng-Witten (BCFW) recursion [16]. We introduce the momentum deformation

$$k_2^\mu(z) = k_2^\mu + z q^\mu, \quad k_3^\mu(z) = k_3^\mu - z q^\mu, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (42)$$

where q^μ satisfies $k_2 \cdot q = k_3 \cdot q = q \cdot q = 0$. Deformed momenta are conserved and on-shell: $k_1 + k_2(z) + k_3(z) + k_4 + \dots + k_n = 0$ and $k_2^2(z) = k_3^2(z) = 0$. We consider the general amplitude, $A_n(1, \dots, n)$, where n is an even integer. From eq. (41) using Cauchy's theorem we have

$$A_n(1, 2, \dots, n) = \sum_{i=3}^{n/2} \text{Res}_{P_{2i:2}(z)=0} \left[A'_{n-2i+4}(1, 2, P_{3:2i-1}, 2i, \dots, n) \times \frac{A'_{2i-2}(P_{2i:2}, 3, \dots, 2i-1)}{z P_{2i:2}^2(z)} \right] - \text{Res}_{z=\infty} \left[\frac{A'_n(1, 2, \dots, n)(z)}{z} \right]. \quad (43)$$

Only the even amplitudes, namely A'_{2q} , contribute to the physical residues. This is simple to understand as we have the identity, $A_{2q}(1, \dots, 2q) = A'_{2q}(1, \dots, 2q)$, so only sub-amplitudes with an even number of particles produce physical factorization channels. On the other hand, when the number of particles is odd, the off-shell ($P_i^2 \neq 0$) amplitude, $A'_{2q+1}(\dots, P_i, \dots)$, is proportional to P_i^2 , since it must vanish when all particles are on-shell. So, the poles, $P_{2i-1:2}^2$, $i = 3, \dots, \frac{n}{2} + 1$ and P_{23} , are all spurious and the sub-amplitudes with an odd number of particles only contribute the boundary term at $z = \infty$.

Finally, it is important to remark that after evaluating the residues, $P_{2i:2}^2(z) = 0$, in eq. (43), one obtains extra non-physical contributions, which cancel out combining with terms associated with the residue at $z = \infty$. Therefore, the effective boundary contribution is just given by the sub-amplitudes with an odd number of particles

$$\text{Res}_{z=\infty} \left[\frac{A'_n(1, 2, \dots, n)(z)}{z} \right]^{\text{Effective}} = \quad (44)$$

$$\partial_z \left[\sum_{i=3}^{n/2+1} A'_{n-2i+5}(1, 2, P_{3:2i-2}, 2i-1, \dots, n) \right.$$

$$\times \frac{A'_{2i-3}(P_{2i-1:2}, 3, \dots, 2i-2)}{z P_{2i-1:2}^2(z)} +$$

$$\left. \frac{A'_3(P_{4:1}, 2, 3) \times A'_{n-1}(P_{23}, 4, \dots, n, 1)}{z P_{23}^2} \right]_{z=\infty}.$$

CONCLUSIONS

We have proposed a new CHY integrand for the $U(N)$ non-linear sigma model. For this new integrand, the kinematic matrix, $(A)_{jk}^{ij}$, is no longer anti-symmetric. We have found two new factorization identities, eq. (37) and eq. (38). We have written the second factorization formula in an elegant way, which only involves the generalized amplitude, A'_q . This formula turns out to be surprisingly compact (we have checked agreement of the soft-limit of this formula with ref.[17]).

This has implications for BCFW recursion since the two new factorization formulas can be split among even and odd sub-amplitudes, for example $A'_{2q} \times A'_{2m}$ and $A'_{2q+1} \times A'_{2m+1}$ respectively. Using this we are able to give a physical meaning to the odd sub-amplitudes as boundary contributions under such recursions.

Work in progress [14] is going to present a new recurrence relation and investigate its connection to Berends-Giele [18–22] currents and Bern-Carrasco-Johansson (BCJ) numerators [23–25]. Similar relations for others effective field theories [13, 17, 22] are expected and will be another focus.

Despite similarities between the three-point amplitudes with the Feynman vertices obtained in ref. [26], the construction presented here is different. For example, the numerators found in eq. (32) are not reproduced by the Feynman rules found in ref. [26]. Understanding the relationship between the formalisms would be interesting.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: Numerous discussions with J. Bourjaily and P. H. Damgaard are gratefully acknowledged. We thank C. Vergu for pointing out a useful identity. This work was supported in part by the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF91) and H. G. in part

by the University Santiago de Cali (USC).

-
- [1] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, “Scattering equations and Kawai-Lewellen-Tye orthogonality,” *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 6, 065001; “Scattering of Massless Particles in Arbitrary Dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113** (2014) 17, 171601; “Scattering of Massless Particles: Scalars, Gluons and Gravitons,” *JHEP* **1407** (2014) 033.
 - [2] L. Mason and D. Skinner, “Ambitwistor strings and the scattering equations,” *JHEP* **1407**, 048 (2014).
 - [3] N. Berkovits, “Infinite Tension Limit of the Pure Spinor Superstring,” *JHEP* **1403**, 017 (2014). H. Gomez and E. Y. Yuan, “N-point tree-level scattering amplitude in the new Berkovits’ string,” *JHEP* **1404**, 046 (2014).
 - [4] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard, P. Tourkine and P. Vanhove, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no. 10, 106002 (2014);
 - [5] L. Dolan and P. Goddard, “Proof of the Formula of Cachazo, He and Yuan for Yang-Mills Tree Amplitudes in Arbitrary Dimension,” *JHEP* **1405** (2014) 010.
 - [6] C. Baadsgaard, N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, J. L. Bourjaily and P. H. Damgaard, “Integration Rules for Scattering Equations,” *JHEP* **1509** (2015) 129; “Scattering Equations and Feynman Diagrams,” *JHEP* **1509**, 136 (2015).
 - [7] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, J. L. Bourjaily, P. H. Damgaard and B. Feng, “Analytic representations of Yang-Mills amplitudes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **913**, 964 (2016); “Manifesting Color-Kinematics Duality in the Scattering Equation Formalism,” *JHEP* **1609**, 094 (2016).
 - [8] F. Cachazo and H. Gomez, “Computation of Contour Integrals on $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$,” *JHEP* **1604** (2016) 108,
 - [9] C. Cardona, B. Feng, H. Gomez and R. Huang, “Cross-ratio Identities and Higher-order Poles of CHY-integrand,” *JHEP* **1609** (2016) 133.
 - [10] C. Baadsgaard, N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, J. L. Bourjaily, P. H. Damgaard and B. Feng, “Integration Rules for Loop Scattering Equations,” *JHEP* **1511**, 080 (2015); “New Representations of the Perturbative S-Matrix,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, no. 6, 061601 (2016)
 - [11] H. Gomez, “A scattering equations,” *JHEP* **1606** (2016) 101.
 - [12] C. Cardona and H. Gomez, “Elliptic scattering equations,” *JHEP* **1606**, 094 (2016). C. Cardona and H. Gomez, “CHY-Graphs on a Torus,” *JHEP* **1610**, 116 (2016). H. Gomez, S. Mizera and G. Zhang, “CHY Loop Integrands from Holomorphic Forms,” *JHEP* **1703**, 092 (2017).
 - [13] F. Cachazo, S. He and E. Y. Yuan, “Scattering Equations and Matrices: From Einstein To Yang-Mills, DBI and NLSM,” *JHEP* **1507**, 149 (2015).
 - [14] H. Gomez, A. Helset in preparation.
 - [15] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, P. H. Damgaard and H. Gomez, “New Factorization Relations for Yang Mills Amplitudes,” arXiv:1810.05023 [hep-th]. H. Gomez, “Scattering Equations and a new Factorization for Amplitudes I: Gauge Theories,” arXiv:1810.05407 [hep-th].
 - [16] R. Britto, F. Cachazo, B. Feng and E. Witten, “Direct proof of tree-level recursion relation in Yang-Mills theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94** (2005) 181602
 - [17] F. Cachazo, P. Cha and S. Mizera, “Extensions of Theories from Soft Limits,” *JHEP* **1606**, 170 (2016).

- [18] F. A. Berends and W. T. Giele, “Recursive Calculations for Processes with n Gluons,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **306**, 759 (1988).
- [19] G. Chen and Y. J. Du, “Amplitude Relations in Nonlinear Sigma Model,” *JHEP* **1401**, 061 (2014). Y. J. Du and C. H. Fu, “Explicit BCJ numerators of nonlinear sigma model,” *JHEP* **1609**, 174 (2016).
- [20] I. Low and Z. Yin, “Ward Identity and Scattering Amplitudes for Nonlinear Sigma Models,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, no. 6, 061601 (2018).
- [21] K. Kampf, J. Novotny and J. Trnka, “Tree-level Amplitudes in the Nonlinear Sigma Model,” *JHEP* **1305**, 032 (2013).
- [22] S. Mizera and B. Skrzypek, “Perturbative Methods for Effective Field Theories and the Double Copy,” *JHEP* **1810**, 018 (2018).
- [23] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco and H. Johansson, “New Relations for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 085011 (2008).
- [24] Y. J. Du and Y. Zhang, “Gauge invariance induced relations and the equivalence between distinct approaches to NLSM amplitudes,” *JHEP* **1807**, 177 (2018).
- [25] J. J. M. Carrasco, C. R. Mafra and O. Schlotterer, “Abelian Z-theory: NLSM amplitudes and α' -corrections from the open string,” *JHEP* **1706**, 093 (2017). J. J. M. Carrasco, C. R. Mafra and O. Schlotterer, “Semi-abelian Z-theory: NLSM+ ϕ^3 from the open string,” *JHEP* **1708**, 135 (2017).
- [26] C. Cheung and C. H. Shen, “Symmetry for Flavor-Kinematics Duality from an Action,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, no. 12, 121601 (2017). C. Cheung, G. N. Remmen, C. H. Shen and C. Wen, “Pions as Gluons in Higher Dimensions,” *JHEP* **1804**, 129 (2018).