

HEXAGONAL STANDING WAVE PATTERNS OF A TWO-DIMENSIONAL BOUSSINESQ SYSTEM

SHENGAO LI, MIN CHEN, BING-YU ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We prove the existence of a large family of two-dimensional standing waves, that are triple periodic solutions, for a Boussinesq system which describes two-way propagation of water waves in a channel. Our proof uses the Lyapunov-Schmidt method to find the bifurcation standing waves.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we study the standing wave patterns of a two-dimensional system

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \eta_t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \nabla \cdot (\eta \mathbf{v}) - \frac{1}{6} \Delta \eta_t = 0, \\ \mathbf{v}_t + \nabla \eta + \frac{1}{2} \nabla |\mathbf{v}|^2 - \frac{1}{6} \Delta \mathbf{v}_t = 0, \end{cases}$$

which was put forward by Bona, Colin and Lannes [3]. The horizontal location $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and time t are scaled by h_0 and $\sqrt{h_0/g}$ with h_0 being the average depth of water in the undisturbed state and g being the acceleration of gravity. The unknown function $\eta(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) = (v_1(\mathbf{x}, t), v_2(\mathbf{x}, t))$, represent the dimensionless deviation of the water surface from its undisturbed state and the horizontal velocity at the level of $\sqrt{2/3}h_0$ of the depth of the undisturbed fluid, scaled by h_0 and $\sqrt{gh_0}$, respectively.

The system (1.1) and its one-dimensional version

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} \eta_t + u_x + (u\eta)_x + au_{xxx} - bu_{xxt} = 0, \\ u_t + \eta_x + uu_x + c\eta_{xxx} - du_{xxt} = 0, \end{cases}$$

named as Boussinesq-type systems or *abcd*-systems, was introduced by Bona, Chen and Saut [1, 2] describe the small-amplitude and long wavelength gravity waves of an ideal, incompressible liquid. The systems (1.1) and (1.2) are the first order approximations to the full Euler equations in the small parameters $\epsilon_1 = A/h_0$ and $\epsilon_2 = h_0^2/\lambda^2$, where A is the typical wave amplitude and λ is the typical wavelength (see Bona, Colin and Lannes [3] for the rigorous proof). For the one-dimensional systems, they are at the same order of accuracy with the well-known KdV (Korteweg-de Vries), BBM (Benjamin-Bona-Mahoney) and Boussinesq equations, but works for a wider range of problems, meaning the wave do not have to be traveling in one direction.

Key words and phrases. standing wave; Boussinesq system;

The water wave patterns such as traveling waves and standing waves have been studied in different modelings in the past (c.f [4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]). In this paper, we aim to continue the study of Chen and Iooss on the 1-D standing wave [4] of the $abcd$ -system (1.2) and the 2-D traveling wave patterns of (1.1) [5, 6]. Here, we look for periodic solutions in (\mathbf{x}, t) , and introduce the scaled variables $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\lambda}\mathbf{x}$ and $\tilde{t} = \frac{\sqrt{6}}{T}t$ with $\lambda/\sqrt{6}$ and $T/\sqrt{6}$ being the wave length and the time period. By dropping the tilde for the re-scaled Boussinesq system of (1.1), one obtains

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \eta_t + \beta \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \beta \nabla \cdot (\eta \mathbf{v}) - \omega \Delta \eta_t = 0, \\ \mathbf{v}_t + \beta \nabla \eta + \frac{1}{2} \beta \nabla |\mathbf{v}|^2 - \omega \Delta \mathbf{v}_t = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\omega = (1/\lambda)^2$ and β is a positive parameter defined as

$$\beta = T/\lambda.$$

We are looking for solution of system (1.3) under the form of 2-dimensional standing waves, i.e. η and \mathbf{v} are periodic functions in both time t and space \mathbf{x} , where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}$.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we set the linearized problem and study its solution near zero. In section 3, we apply the Lyapunov-Schmidt method to obtain the bifurcation standing waves with bifurcating parameter β .

2. LINEARIZED OPERATOR

For fixed $\omega > 0$, we start by studying the linearized system

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \eta_t + \beta \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \omega \Delta \eta_t = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{v}_t + \beta \nabla \eta - \omega \Delta \mathbf{v}_t = \nabla g, \end{cases}$$

and we denote it as

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{L}U = \mathcal{G}F$$

where

$$U = (\eta, \mathbf{v})^T, \quad F = (g, \mathbf{f})^T, \\ \mathcal{L}U = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_t + \beta \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \omega \Delta \eta_t \\ \mathbf{v}_t + \beta \nabla \eta - \omega \Delta \mathbf{v}_t \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{G}F = (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f}, \nabla g)^T.$$

To simplify the problem, we look for solutions η being odd and \mathbf{v} being even in t , it then follows from (2.1) that g is even and \mathbf{f} is odd in time. We now write the Fourier series,

$$(2.3) \quad \eta = \sum_{q \geq 0, \mathbf{p} \in \Gamma} \eta_{\mathbf{p}q} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \cos qt,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \mathbf{v} = \sum_{q > 0, \mathbf{p} \in \Gamma} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}q} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \sin qt,$$

$$(2.5) \quad g = \sum_{q \geq 0, \mathbf{p} \in \Gamma} g_{\mathbf{p}q} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \cos qt,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \mathbf{f} = \sum_{q > 0, \mathbf{p} \in \Gamma} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{p}q} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \sin qt,$$

where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and Γ is a hexagonal lattice of the x_1x_2 -plane defined by two vectors \mathbf{P}_1 and \mathbf{P}_2 such that, for $\mathbf{p} \in \Gamma$, one can write

$$(2.7) \quad \mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2) = n_1 \mathbf{P}_1 + n_2 \mathbf{P}_2, n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We denote θ_1 and $-\theta_2$ to be angle of the two vectors \mathbf{P}_1 and \mathbf{P}_2 with x_1 axis for $0 < \theta_1, \theta_2 < \pi$. Thus, we can write

$$\mathbf{P}_1 := k_1(1, \tau_1), \quad \mathbf{P}_2 := k_2(1, -\tau_2),$$

where $k_j > 0$ and $\tau_j = \tan \theta_j > 0$ with $j = 1, 2$. According to (2.7), one has

$$(2.8) \quad p_1 := p_1(n_1, n_2) = k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2, \quad p_2 := p_2(n_1, n_2) = \tau_1 k_1 n_1 - \tau_2 k_2 n_2.$$

In addition, it is no harm to assume that $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}0} = \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{p}0} = \mathbf{0} := (0, 0)$ for $\mathbf{p} \in \Gamma$ if they are needed in the paper.

We now substitute (2.3)-(2.6) into (2.1) to solve the linear system, and obtain:

- for $q > 0$ and $\mathbf{p} \in \Gamma$

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{cases} -q(1 + \omega|\mathbf{p}|^2)\eta_{\mathbf{p}q} + i\beta\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}q} = i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{p}q}, \\ i\beta\mathbf{p}\eta_{\mathbf{p}q} + q(1 + \omega|\mathbf{p}|^2)\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}q} = i\mathbf{p}g_{\mathbf{p}q}; \end{cases}$$

- for $q = 0$ and $\mathbf{p} \neq (0, 0)$, $\eta_{\mathbf{p}q} = \frac{1}{\beta}g_{\mathbf{p}q}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}q} = (0, 0)$;
- for $q = 0$ and $\mathbf{p} = (0, 0)$, $\eta_{\mathbf{p}q}$ is arbitrary and $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}q} = (0, 0)$.

Set

$$\Delta(\mathbf{p}, q) = q^2(1 + \omega|\mathbf{p}|^2)^2 - \beta^2|\mathbf{p}|^2.$$

For $\Delta(\mathbf{p}, q) \neq 0$, according to (2.9), we have

$$(2.10) \quad \eta_{\mathbf{p}q} = -\Delta^{-1}[iq(1 + \omega|\mathbf{p}|^2)(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{p}q}) + \beta|\mathbf{p}|^2g_{\mathbf{p}q}],$$

$$(2.11) \quad \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}q} = -\Delta^{-1}[-iq(1 + \omega|\mathbf{p}|^2)\mathbf{p}g_{\mathbf{p}q} + \beta\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{p}q})].$$

For $\Delta(\mathbf{p}, q) = 0$, according to the second equation of (2.9) we have

$$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}q} = \frac{-i\beta\mathbf{p}\eta_{\mathbf{p}q} + i\mathbf{p}g_{\mathbf{p}q}}{q(1 + \omega|\mathbf{p}|^2)},$$

then it follows from the first equation of (2.9) that

$$-\eta_{\mathbf{p}q} (q^2(1 + \omega|\mathbf{p}|^2)^2 - \beta^2|\mathbf{p}|^2) = iq(1 + \omega|\mathbf{p}|^2)\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{p}q} + \beta|\mathbf{p}|^2g_{\mathbf{p}q}.$$

Hence, based on $q^2(1 + \omega|\mathbf{p}|^2)^2 - \beta^2|\mathbf{p}|^2 = 0$, i.e. $q(1 + \omega|\mathbf{p}|^2) - \beta|\mathbf{p}| = 0$, we have the compatibility condition for the system (2.9) in the form

$$iq(1 + \omega|\mathbf{p}|^2)\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{p}q} + \beta|\mathbf{p}|^2g_{\mathbf{p}q} = 0,$$

or

$$(2.12) \quad |\mathbf{p}|g_{\mathbf{p}q} + i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{p}q} = 0.$$

If such condition is satisfied, one has the solution of (2.9) as,

$$(2.13) \quad \eta_{\mathbf{p}q} = c|\mathbf{p}| + \frac{1}{\beta}g_{\mathbf{p}q} = c\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} + \frac{1}{\beta}g_{\mathbf{p}q},$$

$$(2.14) \quad \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}q} = -ic\mathbf{p} = -ic(p_1, p_2)$$

where c is arbitrary in \mathbb{C} .

For $q > 0$, the linearized operator \mathcal{L} defined in (2.2) has a non-trivial kernel if there exists a pair of (\mathbf{p}, q) satisfying $\Delta(\mathbf{p}, q) = 0$. The following lemmas provide the detail information on (\mathbf{p}, q) when a kernel exists.

Lemma 2.1. *Given $\beta > 0$, let*

$$p_1 := k_1n_1 + k_2n_2, \quad p_2 := \tau_1k_1n_1 - \tau_2k_2n_2,$$

then the set

$$\Omega_\beta := \{(n_1, n_2, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^+, q(1 + \omega(p_1^2 + p_2^2)) - \beta\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} = 0\}$$

is either empty or finite.

Proof. For β positive, $(n_1, n_2, q) \in \Omega_\beta$ implies

$$q = \frac{\beta\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}}{1 + \omega(p_1^2 + p_2^2)} \leq \frac{\beta}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}} + \omega\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}} \leq \frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{\omega}}.$$

Hence, the only possible values are $q = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{\beta}{2\sqrt{\omega}} \rfloor$. For each q such that $(n_1, n_2, q) \in \Omega_\beta$, it implies

$$(2.15) \quad \omega q \left(\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} \right)^2 - \beta \left(\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} \right) + q = 0.$$

Thus, there are at most two possible values of $\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}$.

Now, for given $K > 0$, we consider the number of pairs for $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ satisfying:

$$(2.16) \quad K = p_1^2 + p_2^2 = (k_1n_1 + k_2n_2)^2 + (\tau_1k_1n_1 - \tau_2k_2n_2)^2.$$

- If $n_1 \cdot n_2 \geq 0$, according to (2.16) and $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, one has

$$(k_1|n_1| + k_2|n_2|)^2 \leq K,$$

which follows that the number of pairs of (n_1, n_2) is finite.

- If $n_1 \cdot n_2 < 0$, according to (2.16) and $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, one has

$$(\tau_1k_1|n_1| + \tau_2k_2|n_2|)^2 \leq K,$$

which follows that the number of pairs of (n_1, n_2) is finite.

Therefore, for each value of $\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}$ (which is finite), we have finite choices of $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. The proof is now complete. \square

We now study the norm of the operator \mathcal{L} .

Lemma 2.2. *Given $\beta > 0$, there exists a constant $M > 0$, depending only on β , such that for any $(n_1, n_2, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^+$, $(n_1, n_2, q) \notin \Omega_\beta$ with (p_1, p_2) defined in (2.8), we have*

$$\frac{\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}}{\left|q(1 + \omega(p_1^2 + p_2^2)) - \beta\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}\right|} \leq M.$$

Proof. We first consider the pairs $(n_1, n_2, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $(n_1, n_2, q) \notin \Omega_\beta$ satisfying $q\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} > 2\beta/\omega$, then

$$q(1 + \omega(p_1^2 + p_2^2)) - \beta\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} \geq q + \beta\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} > 0,$$

therefore,

$$\frac{\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}}{\left|q(1 + \omega(p_1^2 + p_2^2)) - \beta\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}\right|} \leq \frac{\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}}{q + \beta\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}} \leq \frac{1}{\beta}.$$

Next, we consider the case for $(n_1, n_2, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^+$, $(n_1, n_2, q) \notin \Omega_\beta$ and $(n_1, n_2) \neq (0, 0)$ satisfies

$$(2.17) \quad q\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} \leq 2\beta/\omega.$$

We claim that, in such a case, there are finite pairs of (n_1, n_2, q) .

(A): We notice that $\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} = 0$ implies that $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 0)$. According to (2.8),

$$p_1 = k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2 = 0, \quad p_2 = \tau_1 k_1 n_1 - \tau_2 k_2 n_2 = 0$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} k_1 & k_2 \\ \tau_1 k_1 & \tau_2 k_2 \end{bmatrix} = -(\tau_1 + \tau_2)k_1 k_2 \neq 0,$$

it follows that the only solution is the trivial solution. Therefore, $\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} > 0$ for any $(n_1, n_2) \neq (0, 0)$.

(B): There are finite (n_1, n_2) satisfying (2.17) for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. This can be seen by noticing $q \geq 1$ and

$$\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} \leq \frac{\beta}{2\omega} \cdot \frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{\beta}{2\omega},$$

along with the process in (2.16).

The proof of the claim now follows from combining

$$q \leq \frac{\beta}{2\omega} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}}, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

since $\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} > 0$ for $(n_1, n_2) \neq (0, 0)$ (see (A)) and the conclusion in (B). Because the number of pairs of (n_1, n_2, q) is finite and the denominator

$$\left|q(1 + \omega(p_1^2 + p_2^2)) - \beta\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}\right| \neq 0,$$

due to $(n_1, n_2, q) \notin \Omega_\beta$, one can obtain the upper bound, M , depending on β .

Finally, for $(n_1, n_2, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^+$, $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 0)$ and $(n_1, n_2, q) \notin \Omega_\beta$, we can check the bound is 0. The proof is now complete. \square

Now, recall that

$$p_1 = k_1 n_1 + k_2 n_2, \quad p_2 = \tau_1 k_1 n_1 - \tau_2 k_2 n_2, \quad \text{with } n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and set

$$\mathcal{H}^s := H^s\{(\mathbb{R}/(2\pi/p_1)) \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi/p_2)) \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\pi)\mathbb{Z})\},$$

we introduce the Sobolev spaces

$$H_{\natural,e}^s = \left\{ u = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^2, q \geq 0} u_{\mathbf{n}q} e^{ip_1 x_1} e^{ip_2 x_2} \cos qt \in \mathcal{H}^s, \text{ where } q \in \mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

$$H_{\natural,o}^s = \left\{ u = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}^2, q > 0} u_{\mathbf{n}q} e^{ip_1 x_1} e^{ip_2 x_2} \sin qt \in \mathcal{H}^s, \text{ where } q \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

We define an operator \mathcal{T} :

$$U = \mathcal{T}F$$

acting in $Q_s := H_{\natural,e}^s \times H_{\natural,o}^s \times H_{\natural,o}^s$, for any $s \geq 0$, solving

$$\mathcal{L}U = \mathcal{G}F,$$

provided the compatibility condition

$$(2.18) \quad |\mathbf{p}|g_{\mathbf{n}q} + i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{n}q} = 0, \quad \text{for } \mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2), \quad \mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2)$$

is satisfied, such that,

- for (n_1, n_2, q) satisfying $q \neq 0$ and $\Delta(n_1, n_2, q) \neq 0$,

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{T}F_{\mathbf{n}q} = U_{\mathbf{n}q} = (\eta_{\mathbf{n}q}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}q}),$$

with $\eta_{\mathbf{n}q}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}q}$ given by (2.10) and (2.11), that is,

$$(2.20) \quad \eta_{\mathbf{n}q} = -\Delta^{-1}(p_1, p_2) \cdot [iq(1 + \omega(p_1^2 + p_2^2))\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{n}q} + \beta(p_1, p_2)g_{\mathbf{n}q}],$$

$$(2.21) \quad \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}q} = -\Delta^{-1}(p_1, p_2) [-iq(1 + \omega(p_1^2 + p_2^2))g_{\mathbf{n}q} + \beta(p_1, p_2) \cdot \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{n}q}];$$

- for (n_1, n_2, q) satisfying $\Delta(n_1, n_2, q) = 0$ or $q = 0$ but $(n_1, n_2, q) \neq (0, 0, 0)$,

$$(2.22) \quad \mathcal{T}F_{\mathbf{n}q} = \left(\frac{1}{\beta}g_{\mathbf{n}q}, 0, 0\right);$$

- for $(n_1, n_2, q) = (0, 0, 0)$

$$(2.23) \quad \mathcal{T}F_{\mathbf{n}q} = (0, 0, 0).$$

Notice that the operator \mathcal{T} is defined here, even for $F = (g, \mathbf{f})$ not satisfying the compatibility condition.

Lemma 2.3. *Given positive numbers β , if Ω_β has finite elements and \mathcal{T} is defined as in (2.19)-(2.23), then the operator \mathcal{T} is bounded from $H_{\natural,e}^s \times H_{\natural,o}^s \times H_{\natural,o}^s$ to $H_{\natural,e}^s \times H_{\natural,o}^s \times H_{\natural,o}^s$ for any $s \geq 0$.*

Proof. According to (2.20) and (2.21) for $\Delta(\mathbf{n}, q) \neq 0$ and $q \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\eta_{\mathbf{n}q}| + |\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}q}| &\leq \frac{C(|q(p_1, p_2)|(1 + \omega(p_1^2 + p_2^2)) + \beta(p_1^2 + p_2^2))}{q^2(1 + \omega(p_1^2 + p_2^2))^2 - \beta^2(p_1^2 + p_2^2)} (|g_{\mathbf{n}q}| + |\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{n}q}|) \\ &\leq \frac{C\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}}{|q(1 + \omega(p_1^2 + p_2^2)) - \beta\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2}|} (|g_{\mathbf{n}q}| + |\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{n}q}|). \end{aligned}$$

Then, it follows from Lemma 2.2

$$|\eta_{\mathbf{n}q}| + |\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}q}| \leq CM(|g_{\mathbf{n}q}| + |\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{n}q}|).$$

The proof of case in (2.22) and (2.23) can also be readily checked. \square

We now move on to consider the kernel of $\mathcal{L}_0 := \mathcal{L}_{\beta_0}$, assume that β_0 is given such that Ω_{β_0} has finite elements. We denote the finite pairs of $(n_1, n_2, q) \in \Omega_{\beta_0}$ as $(n_1^{(j)}, n_2^{(j)}, q^{(l)})$, for $n_1^{(j)}, n_2^{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $q^{(l)} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, N_1$, $l = 1, 2, \dots, N_2$. In addition, we shall notice that if $(n_1, n_2, q) \in \Omega_{\beta_0}$, then $(-n_1, -n_2, q) \in \Omega_{\beta_0}$. Let

$$\xi_{j,l} := \xi_{(n_1^{(j)}, n_2^{(j)}, q^{(l)})}, \quad \overline{\xi_{j,l}} := \xi_{(-n_1^{(j)}, -n_2^{(j)}, q^{(l)})},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{(n_1^{(j)}, n_2^{(j)}, q^{(l)})} &= \left(\sqrt{(p_1^{(j)})^2 + (p_2^{(j)})^2} \cos q^{(l)}t, -ip_1^{(j)} \sin q^{(l)}t, \right. \\ &\quad \left. - ip_2^{(j)} \sin q^{(l)}t \right) e^{ip_1^{(j)}x_1} e^{ip_2^{(j)}x_2} \end{aligned}$$

with $p_1^{(j)} = k_1 n_1^{(j)} + k_2 n_2^{(j)}$ and $p_2^{(j)} = \tau_1 k_1 n_1^{(j)} + \tau_2 k_2 n_2^{(j)}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N_1$ and $l = 1, 2, \dots, N_2$. It then follows from (2.13) and (2.14) that

$$\mathcal{L}_0 \xi_{j,l} = 0, \quad \mathcal{L}_0 \overline{\xi_{j,l}} = 0.$$

Therefore, the kernel of \mathcal{L}_0 is spanned by

$$\{\zeta_0, \xi_{j,l}, \overline{\xi_{j,l}}, j = 1, 2, \dots, N_1, l = 1, 2, \dots, N_2\}$$

where $\zeta_0 := (1, 0, 0)$ is the ‘‘trivial’’ solution of (2.1).

Next, we rewrite the compatibility conditions (2.18). According to the scalar product in $H_{\natural,e}^0 \times H_{\natural,o}^0 \times H_{\natural,o}^0$, the compatibility condition of \mathcal{L}_0 can read as

$$(2.24) \quad \langle F, \xi_{(\mathbf{n},q)} \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for } \Delta(\mathbf{n}, q) = 0,$$

where $F \in Q_s$ and

$$F = \sum_{(\mathbf{n},q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{N}_0} F_{\mathbf{n}q} e^{ip_1 x_1} e^{ip_2 x_2},$$

with

$$F_{\mathbf{n}q} = (g_{\mathbf{n}q} \cos qt, (\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{n}q})_1 \sin qt, (\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{n}q})_2 \sin qt),$$

for $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Hence, for $\xi_{j,l} \in Q_s$ with any s . It follows that the compatibility conditions (2.24) can now be reduced to

$$\langle F, \xi_{j,l} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle F, \overline{\xi_{j,l}} \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, N_1, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, N_2.$$

Finally, according to the previous settings, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that β_0 is such that Ω_{β_0} have finite elements*

$$(n_1^{(j)}, n_2^{(j)}, q^{(l)})$$

in $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $j = 1, 2, \dots, N_1$ and $l = 1, 2, \dots, N_2$. Then, for any given

$$F = (g, \mathbf{f}) \in Q_s := H_{\natural,e}^s \times H_{\natural,o}^s \times H_{\natural,o}^s, \quad s \geq 0,$$

such that it satisfies the following compatibility conditions

$$\langle F, \xi_{j,l} \rangle = 0, \quad \langle F, \overline{\xi_{j,l}} \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, N_1, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, N_2,$$

the general solution $U = (\eta, \mathbf{v}) \in Q_s$ of the system

$$L_0 U = \mathcal{G} F$$

is given by

$$U = \mathcal{T} F + \sum_{j=1}^{N_1} \sum_{l=1}^{N_2} (A_{j,l} \xi_{j,l} + \overline{A_{j,l} \xi_{j,l}}) + C \zeta_0$$

where

$$\zeta_0 = (1, 0, 0),$$

$$\xi_{j,l} = \left(\sqrt{(p_1^{(j)})^2 + (p_2^{(j)})^2} \cos q^{(l)} t, -ip_1^{(j)} \sin q^{(l)} t, -ip_2^{(j)} \sin q^{(l)} t \right) e^{ip_1^{(j)} x_1} e^{ip_2^{(j)} x_2},$$

with $p_1^{(j)} = k_1 n_1^{(j)} + k_2 n_2^{(j)}$ and $p_2^{(j)} = \tau_1 k_1 n_1^{(j)} + \tau_2 k_2 n_2^{(j)}$, $A_{j,l} \in \mathbb{C}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, N_1$ and $l = 1, 2, \dots, N_2$.

Remark 2.5. *For simplicity, in the next section we only consider the case for β_0 such that we only have $(0, \pm 1, q)$ and $(\pm 1, 0, q)$ in Ω_{β_0} . However, the same strategy can be carried out for more kernels.*

3. BIFURCATION PROBLEM

The aim of this section is to use the Lyapunov-Schmidt method to obtain bifurcation standing waves. Assume that, for given $\beta_0 > 0$, $(\pm 1, 0, q)$ and $(0, \pm 1, q)$ are the only elements in Ω_{β_0} . We denote the relative kernel for \mathcal{L}_0 as ζ_0 , ξ_1 , $\bar{\xi}_1$, ξ_2 and $\bar{\xi}_2$. Let us consider (1.3) for parameters $\beta = \beta_0 + \mu$ with μ close to 0. We look for nontrivial triple periodic solution (η, \mathbf{v}) in $H_{\natural,e}^s \times H_{\natural,o}^s \times H_{\natural,o}^s$. One shall notice that for $k \geq 2$ (Sobolev imbedding theorem)

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{v}|^2, \eta \mathbf{v} \right) \in H_{\natural,e}^s \times H_{\natural,o}^s \times H_{\natural,o}^s,$$

hence, we write the full system as

$$\mathcal{L}_0 U = \mathcal{G} F$$

where $F = \begin{pmatrix} g \\ \mathbf{f} \end{pmatrix}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} g &= -\mu\eta - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_0 + \mu)|\mathbf{v}|^2, \\ \mathbf{f} &= -\mu\mathbf{v} - (\beta_0 + \mu)\eta\mathbf{v}. \end{aligned}$$

Then F has the properties required by Theorem 2.4 once the compatibility conditions are satisfied.

Let $U = \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \mathbf{v} \end{pmatrix} \in Q_s$, we can write the system as

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{L}_0 U = \mathcal{G} [-\mu U + (\beta_0 + \mu)B(U, U)],$$

where

$$B(U, U) = \left(\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{v}|^2, \eta\mathbf{v}\right)^T.$$

Recall that the kernel of $\mathcal{L}_0 = \text{span}\{\zeta_0, \xi_1, \bar{\xi}_1, \xi_2, \bar{\xi}_2\}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_0 &= (1, 0, 0), \\ \xi_1 &= \left(k_1\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} \cos qt, -ik_1 \sin qt, -i\tau_1 k_1 \sin qt\right) e^{ik_1 x_1} e^{i\tau_1 k_1 x_2}, \\ \xi_2 &= \left(k_2\sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2} \cos qt, -ik_2 \sin qt, i\tau_2 k_2 \sin qt\right) e^{ik_2 x_1} e^{-i\tau_2 k_2 x_2}. \end{aligned}$$

We now decompose $U \in Q_s$ as

$$(3.2) \quad U = X + V := A_1 \xi_1 + \bar{A}_1 \bar{\xi}_1 + A_2 \xi_2 + \bar{A}_2 \bar{\xi}_2 + C \zeta_0 + V.$$

The unknown A_1, A_2, V are functions of parameter μ . In addition, V has the same properties as $\mathcal{T}F$ defined in (2.22)-(2.23), more precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} V_{\mathbf{n}q} &= (0, 0, 0), \quad \text{for } (\mathbf{n}, q) = (0, 0, 0); \\ V_{\mathbf{n}q} &= (\eta_{\mathbf{n}q}, 0, 0), \quad \text{for } \Delta(\mathbf{n}, q) = 0 \quad \text{or } q = 0 \quad \text{but } (\mathbf{n}, q) \neq (0, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

According to Theorem 2.4, if the compatibility conditions

$$(3.3) \quad \langle \mu U + (\beta_0 + \mu)B(U, U), \xi_1 \rangle = 0$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \langle \mu U + (\beta_0 + \mu)B(U, U), \xi_2 \rangle = 0$$

are satisfied, we can substitute (3.2) into the nonlinear system (3.1) and obtain

$$(3.5) \quad V + \mu \mathcal{T}U + (\beta_0 + \mu) \mathcal{T}B(U, U) = 0,$$

Here, the Lyapumov-Schmidt reduction is applied and (3.5) is the projected equation. We seek for a “solution” from the “projection” equation (3.5) and the compatibility conditions will lead to relations between A_1, A_2, C and μ .

For more details of the Lyapunov-Schmidt method, the reader may refer to [7].

Now, It follows from (3.5) and the implicit function theorem for A_1 , A_2 , C and μ close enough to 0 that

$$V = V(A_1, A_2, C, \mu) \in Q_s,$$

where

$$V = -\mu\mathcal{T}X - \beta_0\mathcal{T}B(X, X) + O(|\mu|^2\|X\| + |\mu|\|X\|^2 + \|X\|^3).$$

Let us denote

$$(3.6) \quad U = X + V := X - V_1 - V_2 + O(|\mu|^2\|X\| + |\mu|\|X\|^2 + \|X\|^3),$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad V_1 = \mu\mathcal{T}X, \quad V_2 = \beta_0\mathcal{T}B(X, X) := V_3 + V_4.$$

Then, with simple but tedious computations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= \frac{1}{\beta_0}\mu \left(A_1 k_1 \sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} e^{ik_1 x_1} e^{ik_1 \tau_1 x_2} + \bar{A}_1 k_1 \sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} e^{-ik_1 x_1} e^{-ik_1 \tau_1 x_2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + A_2 k_2 \sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2} e^{ik_2 x_1} e^{-ik_2 \tau_2 x_2} + \bar{A}_2 k_3 \sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2} e^{-ik_2 x_1} e^{ik_2 \tau_2 x_2} \right) \zeta_0 \cos qt, \\ V_3 &= -\frac{1}{4} \left[k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2) (A_1^2 e^{2ik_1 x_1} e^{2ik_1 \tau_1 x_2} + \bar{A}_1^2 e^{-2ik_1 x_1} e^{-2ik_1 \tau_1 x_2}) + k_2^2 (1 + \tau_2^2) (A_2^2 \right. \\ &\quad \cdot e^{2ik_2 x_1} e^{-2ik_2 \tau_2 x_2} + \bar{A}_2^2 e^{-2ik_2 x_1} e^{2ik_2 \tau_2 x_2}) + 2k_1 k_2 (1 - \tau_1 \tau_2) (A_1 A_2 e^{i(k_1 + k_2) x_1} \\ &\quad \cdot e^{i(k_1 \tau_1 - k_2 \tau_2) x_2} + \bar{A}_1 \bar{A}_2 e^{-i(k_1 + k_2) x_1} e^{-i(k_1 \tau_1 - k_2 \tau_2) x_2} - A_1 \bar{A}_2 e^{i(k_1 - k_2) x_1} e^{i(k_1 \tau_1 + k_2 \tau_2) x_2} \\ &\quad \left. - \bar{A}_1 A_2 e^{-i(k_1 - k_2) x_1} e^{-i(k_1 \tau_1 + k_2 \tau_2) x_2}) \right] \zeta_0, \\ V_4 &= \beta_0 \left[A_1^2 e^{2ik_1 x_1} e^{2ik_1 \tau_1 x_2} \delta_1 + \bar{A}_1^2 e^{-2ik_1 x_1} e^{-2ik_1 \tau_1 x_2} \bar{\delta}_1 + A_2^2 e^{2ik_2 x_1} e^{-2ik_2 \tau_2 x_2} \delta_2 \right. \\ &\quad + \bar{A}_2^2 e^{-2ik_2 x_1} e^{2ik_2 \tau_2 x_2} \bar{\delta}_2 + A_1 A_2 e^{i(k_1 + k_2) x_1} e^{i(k_1 \tau_1 - k_2 \tau_2) x_2} \delta_3 + \bar{A}_1 \bar{A}_2 e^{-i(k_1 + k_2) x_1} \\ &\quad \cdot e^{-i(k_1 \tau_1 - k_2 \tau_2) x_2} \bar{\delta}_3 + A_1 \bar{A}_2 e^{i(k_1 - k_2) x_1} e^{i(k_1 \tau_1 + k_2 \tau_2) x_2} \delta_4 + \bar{A}_1 A_2 e^{-i(k_1 - k_2) x_1} \\ &\quad \left. \cdot e^{-i(k_1 \tau_1 + k_2 \tau_2) x_2} \bar{\delta}_4 \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= (a_1 \cos 2qt, ia_2 \sin 2qt, i\tau_1 a_2 \sin 2qt), \quad \delta_2 = (a_3 \cos 2qt, ia_4 \sin 2qt, -i\tau_2 a_4 \sin 2qt), \\ \delta_3 &= (b_1 \cos 2qt, ib_2 \sin 2qt, ib_3 \sin 2qt), \quad \delta_4 = (b_4 \cos 2qt, ib_5 \sin 2qt, ib_6 \sin 2qt), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= -\frac{\beta_0 k_1^4 (1 + \tau_1^2)^2 + 2qk_1^3 (1 + \tau_1^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} (1 + 4\omega k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2))}{4q^2 (1 + 4\omega k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2))^2 - 4\beta_0^2 k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2)}, \\ a_2 &= \frac{2\beta_0 k_1^4 (1 + \tau_1^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} + qk_1^3 (1 + \tau_1^2) (1 + 4\omega k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2))}{4q^2 (1 + 4\omega k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2))^2 - 4\beta_0^2 k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2)}, \\ a_3 &= -\frac{\beta_0 k_2^4 (1 + \tau_2^2)^2 + 2qk_2^3 (1 + \tau_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} (1 + 4\omega k_2^2 (1 + \tau_2^2))}{4q^2 (1 + 4\omega k_2^2 (1 + \tau_2^2))^2 - 4\beta_0^2 k_2^2 (1 + \tau_2^2)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$a_4 = \frac{2\beta_0 k_2^4 (1 + \tau_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} + q k_2^3 (1 + \tau_2^2) (1 + 4\omega k_2^2 (1 + \tau_2^2))}{4q^2 (1 + 4\omega k_2^2 (1 + \tau_2^2))^2 - 4\beta_0^2 k_2^2 (1 + \tau_2^2)},$$

$$b_1 = \frac{1}{2d_1} \left\{ 2q [1 + \omega ((k_1 + k_2)^2 + (k_1\tau_1 - k_2\tau_2)^2)] \left[-k_1 k_2 (k_1 + k_2) (\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} + \sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2}) + k_1 k_2 (k_1\tau_1 - k_2\tau_2) (\tau_2 \sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} - \tau_1 \sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2}) \right] - \beta_0 k_1 k_2 (1 - \tau_1\tau_2) [(k_1 + k_2)^2 + (k_1\tau_1 - k_2\tau_2)^2] \right\},$$

$$b_2 = \frac{k_1 + k_2}{2d_1} \left\{ 2q k_1 k_2 (1 - \tau_1\tau_2) [1 + \omega ((k_1 + k_2)^2 + (k_1\tau_1 - k_2\tau_2)^2)] + \beta_0 \left[k_1 k_2 (k_1 + k_2) (\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} + \sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2}) - k_1 k_2 (k_1\tau_1 - k_2\tau_2) \cdot (\tau_2 \sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} - \tau_1 \sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2}) \right] \right\},$$

$$b_3 = \frac{k_1\tau_1 - k_2\tau_2}{k_1 + k_2} b_2,$$

$$b_4 = \frac{1}{2d_2} \left\{ 2q [1 + \omega ((k_1 - k_2)^2 + (k_1\tau_1 + k_2\tau_2)^2)] \left[k_1 k_2 (k_1 - k_2) (\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} - \sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2}) - k_1 k_2 (k_1\tau_1 + k_2\tau_2) (\tau_2 \sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} + \tau_1 \sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2}) \right] + \beta_0 k_1 k_2 (1 - \tau_1\tau_2) [(k_1 - k_2)^2 + (k_1\tau_1 + k_2\tau_2)^2] \right\},$$

$$b_5 = \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2d_2} \left\{ 2q k_1 k_2 (\tau_1\tau_2 - 1) [1 + \omega ((k_1 - k_2)^2 + (k_1\tau_1 + k_2\tau_2)^2)] - \beta_0 \left[k_1 k_2 (k_1 - k_2) (\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} - \sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2}) - k_1 k_2 (k_1\tau_1 + k_2\tau_2) \cdot (\tau_2 \sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} + \tau_1 \sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2}) \right] \right\},$$

$$b_6 = \frac{k_1\tau_1 + k_2\tau_2}{k_1 - k_2} b_5,$$

$$d_1 = 4q^2 [1 + \omega ((k_1 + k_2)^2 + (k_1\tau_1 - k_2\tau_2)^2)]^2 - \beta_0^2 ((k_1 + k_2)^2 + (k_1\tau_1 - k_2\tau_2)^2),$$

$$d_2 = 4q^2 [1 + \omega ((k_1 - k_2)^2 + (k_1\tau_1 + k_2\tau_2)^2)]^2 - \beta_0^2 ((k_1 - k_2)^2 + (k_1\tau_1 + k_2\tau_2)^2).$$

Now, we substitute (3.6) into (3.3) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mu U + (\beta_0 + \mu) B(U, U), \xi_1 \rangle \\ &= \mu \langle X - V_1 - V_2, \xi_1 \rangle + (\beta_0 + \mu) \langle B(X + V, X + V), \xi_1 \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$B(X + V, X + V) = B(X - V_1 - V_2, X - V_1 - V_2)$$

$$=B(X, X) + B(V_1, V_1) + B(V_2, V_2) - 2B(X, V_1) - 2B(X, V_2) + 2B(V_1, V_2),$$

where the terms of $O(|\mu|^2\|X\| + |\mu|\|X\|^2 + \|X\|^3)$ can be neglected. Observe that, $2B(U_1, U_2) = (\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2, \eta_1 \mathbf{v}_2 + \eta_2 \mathbf{v}_1)^T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\langle X, \xi_1 \rangle &= \mu\langle A_1 \xi_1, \xi_1 \rangle = A_1 \mu k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2), \\ \mu\langle V_1, \xi_1 \rangle &= O(\mu^2 \|X\|), \quad \mu\langle V_2, \xi_1 \rangle = O(\mu \|X\|^2), \\ \mu\langle B(X + V, X + V), \xi_1 \rangle &= O(\mu \|X\|^2), \\ \langle B(X, X), \xi_1 \rangle &= \langle 2B(A_1 \xi_1, C\zeta_0), \xi_1 \rangle = C A_1 k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2), \\ \langle 2B(X - V_1 - V_2, V_1), \xi_1 \rangle &= O(\mu \|X\|^2), \\ \langle 2B(V_2, V_2), \xi_1 \rangle &= 0, \quad \langle 2B(C\zeta_0, V_2), \xi_1 \rangle = \langle 2B(A_1 \xi_1, V_2), \xi_1 \rangle = 0, \\ \langle 2B(\bar{A}_1 \bar{\xi}_1, V_2), \xi_1 \rangle &= A_1 |A_1|^2 \left(\frac{1}{4} k_1^4 (1 + \tau_1^2)^2 - \beta_0 a_2 k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 a_1 k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2) \right), \\ \langle 2B(A_2 \xi_2, V_2), \xi_1 \rangle &= A_1 |A_2|^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} k_1^2 k_2^2 (1 - \tau_1 \tau_2)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 b_4 k_1 k_2 (1 - \tau_1 \tau_2) + \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 b_5 k_1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot k_2 (\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} - \sqrt{1 + \tau_2}) - \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 b_6 k_1 k_2 (\tau_1 \sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2} + \tau_2 \sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2}) \right), \\ \langle 2B(\bar{A}_2 \bar{\xi}_2, V_2), \xi_1 \rangle &= A_1 |A_2|^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} k_1^2 k_2^2 (1 - \tau_1 \tau_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 b_1 k_1 k_2 (1 - \tau_1 \tau_2) - \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 b_2 k_1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot k_2 (\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} + \sqrt{1 + \tau_2}) - \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 b_3 k_1 k_2 (\tau_1 \sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2} - \tau_2 \sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, one has a equation in the form

$$A_1 P_1(|A_1|^2, |A_2|^2, C, \mu) = 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \mu k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2) + C \beta_0 k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2) - \beta_0 l_1 |A_1|^2 - \beta_0 l_2 |A_2|^2 \\ &\quad + O\{(|\mu| + |C| + |A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2)^2\} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} l_1 &= \frac{1}{4} k_1^4 (1 + \tau_1^2)^2 - \beta_0 a_2 k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 a_1 k_1^2 (1 + \tau_1^2), \\ l_2 &= k_1^2 k_2^2 (1 - \tau_1 \tau_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 (b_1 - b_4) k_1 k_2 (1 - \tau_1 \tau_2) - \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 b_2 k_1 k_2 \left(\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{1 + \tau_2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 b_3 k_1 k_2 \left(\tau_1 \sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2} - \tau_2 \sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 b_5 k_1 k_2 \left(\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sqrt{1 + \tau_2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \beta_0 b_6 k_1 k_2 \left(\tau_1 \sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2} + \tau_2 \sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, substituting (3.6) into (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mu U + (\beta_0 + \mu) B(U, U), \xi_2 \rangle \\ &= \mu \langle X - V_1 - V_2, \xi_2 \rangle + (\beta_0 + \mu) \langle B(X + V, X + V), \xi_2 \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu\langle X, \xi_2 \rangle &= \mu\langle A_2\xi_2, \xi_2 \rangle = A_2\mu k_2^2(1 + \tau_2^2), \\
\mu\langle V_1, \xi_2 \rangle &= O(\mu^2\|X\|), \quad \mu\langle V_2, \xi_2 \rangle = O(\mu\|X\|^2), \\
\mu\langle B(X + V, X + V), \xi_2 \rangle &= O(\mu\|X\|^2), \\
\langle B(X, X), \xi_2 \rangle &= \langle 2B(A_2\xi_2, C\zeta_0), \xi_2 \rangle = CA_2k_2^2(1 + \tau_2^2), \\
\langle 2B(X - V_1 - V_2, V_1), \xi_2 \rangle &= O(\mu\|X\|^2), \\
\langle 2B(V_2, V_2), \xi_2 \rangle &= 0, \quad \langle 2B(C\zeta_0, V_2), \xi_2 \rangle = \langle 2B(A_2\xi_2, V_2), \xi_2 \rangle = 0, \\
\langle 2B(\bar{A}_2\bar{\xi}_2, V_2), \xi_2 \rangle &= A_2|A_2|^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}k_2^4(1 + \tau_2^2)^2 - \beta_0a_4k_2^2(1 + \tau_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}\beta_0a_3k_2^2(1 + \tau_2^2) \right), \\
\langle 2B(A_1\xi_1, V_2), \xi_2 \rangle &= A_2|A_1|^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}k_1^2k_2^2(1 - \tau_1\tau_2)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\beta_0b_4k_1k_2(1 - \tau_1\tau_2) + \frac{1}{2}\beta_0b_5k_1 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot k_2(\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} - \sqrt{1 + \tau_2}) - \frac{1}{2}\beta_0b_6k_1k_2(\tau_1\sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2} + \tau_2\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2}) \right), \\
\langle 2B(\bar{A}_1\bar{\xi}_1, V_2), \xi_2 \rangle &= A_2|A_1|^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}k_1^2k_2^2(1 - \tau_1\tau_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_0b_1k_1k_2(1 - \tau_1\tau_2) - \frac{1}{2}\beta_0b_2k_1 \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot k_2(\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2} + \sqrt{1 + \tau_2}) - \frac{1}{2}\beta_0b_3k_1k_2(\tau_1\sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2} - \tau_2\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows one equation in the form

$$A_2P_2(|A_1|^2, |A_2|^2, C, \mu) = 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
P_2 &= \mu k_2^2(1 + \tau_2^2) + C\beta_0k_2^2(1 + \tau_2^2) - \beta_0l_3|A_2|^2 - \beta_0l_2|A_1|^2 \\
&\quad + O((|\mu| + |C| + |A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2)^2)
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$l_3 = \frac{1}{4}k_2^4(1 + \tau_2^2)^2 - \beta_0a_4k_2^2(1 + \tau_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} + \frac{1}{2}\beta_0a_3k_2^2(1 + \tau_2^2).$$

Applying Cramer's rule will lead to (dropping the term of $O((|\mu| + |C| + |A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2)^2)$):

$$(3.8) \quad |A_1|^2 = \frac{(\mu + C\beta_0) [l_3k_1^2(1 + \tau_1^2) - l_2k_2^2(1 + \tau_2^2)]}{\beta_0(l_1l_3 - l_2^2)},$$

$$(3.9) \quad |A_2|^2 = \frac{(\mu + C\beta_0) [l_1k_2^2(1 + \tau_2^2) - l_2k_1^2(1 + \tau_1^2)]}{\beta_0(l_1l_3 - l_2^2)},$$

provided that the followings are satisfied

$$(3.10) \quad l_1l_3 - l_2^2 \neq 0,$$

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{(\mu + C\beta_0) [l_3k_1^2(1 + \tau_1^2) - l_2k_2^2(1 + \tau_2^2)]}{\beta_0(l_1l_3 - l_2^2)} \geq 0,$$

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{(\mu + C\beta_0) [l_1k_2^2(1 + \tau_2^2) - l_2k_1^2(1 + \tau_1^2)]}{\beta_0(l_1l_3 - l_2^2)} \geq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$U = C\zeta_0 + A_1\xi_1 + \bar{A}_1\bar{\xi}_1 + A_2\xi_2 + \bar{A}_2\bar{\xi}_2 - V_1(\mu, C, A_1, A_2) - V_2(\mu, C, A_1, A_2) \\ + O((|\mu| + |C| + |A_2|^2 + |A_1|^2)^2)$$

where C are respectively the averages of the elevation η . In summary, we provide the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *For positive Ω , k_1 , k_2 , τ_1 and τ_2 , we consider $\beta_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\Omega_{\beta_0} := \{(n_1, n_2, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^+, q(1 + \omega(p_1^2 + p_2^2)) - \beta_0\sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} = 0\}$$

only contains elements $(\pm 1, 0, q)$ and $(0, \pm 1, q)$ with $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, for μ , C close enough to 0 and satisfying conditions (3.10) (3.11) and (3.12) where C is the average of the evolution η , there exists a family of bifurcation standing waves in the form

$$U = U(\mathbf{x} + \vec{\sigma}, t) \in H_{\vec{u},e}^s \times H_{\vec{u},o}^s \times H_{\vec{u},o}^s,$$

for $s \geq 2$ with $\vec{\sigma}$ being a space shift, where

$$U(\mathbf{x}, t) = (\eta^0(\mathbf{x}, t), \mathbf{v}^0(\mathbf{x}, t)),$$

with

$$\eta^0(\mathbf{x}, t) = C + 2k_1\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2}|A_1| \cos(k_1x_1 + k_1\tau_1x_2) \cos qt + 2k_2\sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2}|A_2| \\ \cdot \cos(k_2x_2 - k_2\tau_2x_2) \cos qt + V^{(1)}(\mu, C, A_1, A_2),$$

$$\mathbf{v}_1^0(\mathbf{x}, t) = -2k_1|A_1| \sin(k_1x_1 + k_1\tau_1x_2) \sin qt - 2k_2|A_2| \sin(k_2x_1 - k_2\tau_2x_2) \\ \cdot \sin qt + V^{(2)}(\mu, C, A_1, A_2),$$

$$\mathbf{v}_2^0(\mathbf{x}, t) = -2k_1\tau_1|A_1| \sin(k_1x_1 + k_1\tau_1x_2) \sin qt + 2k_2\tau_2|A_2| \sin(k_2x_1 - k_2\tau_2x_2) \\ \cdot \sin qt + V^{(3)}(\mu, C, A_1, A_2).$$

for $|A_1|$ and $|A_2|$ are shown in (3.8) and (3.9) and

$$V(\mu, C, A_1, A_2) = (V^{(1)}, V^{(2)}, V^{(3)}) = -V_1 - V_2 + O((|\mu| + |C| + |A_1|^2 + |A_2|^2)^2)$$

with V_1 and V_2 given in (3.7).

Furthermore, we are also able to calculate higher order terms according to (3.6) and (3.7).

Corollary 3.2. *With the assumption of the theorem above, the form of the free surface, even in time t , with $C = 0$ is given by $\eta = \eta(\mathbf{x} - \vec{\sigma}, t)$ with*

$$\eta(x_1, x_2, t) \\ = 2k_1\sqrt{1 + \tau_1^2}|A_1| \cos(k_1x_1 + k_1\tau_1x_2) \cos qt + 2k_2\sqrt{1 + \tau_2^2}|A_2| \\ \cdot \cos(k_2x_1 - k_2\tau_2x_2) \cos qt + \left[\frac{1}{2}k_1^2(1 + \tau_1^2)|A_1|^2 \cos(2k_1x_1 + 2k_1\tau_1x_2)\right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2}k_2^2(1 + \tau_2^2)|A_2|^2 \cos(2k_2x_1 - 2k_2\tau_2x_2) \\
& - k_1k_2(1 - \tau_1\tau_2)|A_1A_2| \cos((k_1 + k_2)x_1 + (k_1\tau_1 - k_2\tau_2)x_2) \\
& - k_1k_2(1 - \tau_1\tau_2)|A_1A_2| \cos((k_1 - k_2)x_1 + (k_1\tau_1 + k_2\tau_2)x_2) \Big] \\
& - 2\beta_0a_1|A_1|^2 \cos(2k_1x_1 + 2k_1\tau_1x_2) \cos 2qt - 2\beta_0a_3|A_2|^2 \\
& \cdot \cos(2k_2x_1 - 2k_2\tau_2x_2) \cos 2qt - 2\beta_0b_1|A_1A_2| \cos((k_1 + k_2)x_1 \\
& + (k_1\tau_1 - k_2\tau_2)x_2) \cos 2qt - 2\beta_0b_4|A_1A_2| \cos((k_1 - k_2)x_1 \\
& + (k_1\tau_1 + k_2\tau_2)x_2)) \cos 2qt + O(|\mu|^{\frac{3}{2}}),
\end{aligned}$$

for μ close to zero and A_1 and A_2 being given in (3.8)-(3.9).

We can now plot the standing waves surface in the (x_1, x_2) plane presented in Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2. For fixed $(\omega, k_1, k_2, \tau_1, \tau_2)$, we choose β_0 such that $(\pm 1, 0, 1)$ and $(0, \pm 1, 1)$ are the only four elements in Ω_{β_0} (See Lemma 2.1), that is,

$$(1 + \omega(k_j^2 + k_j^2\tau_j^2)) - \beta_0\sqrt{k_j^2 + k_j^2\tau_j^2} = 0, \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2.$$

When $\tau_1 = \tau_2$, Γ is a symmetric diamond lattice. Figure 1 ,2, 3 and 4 show the standing waves in such symmetric lattice. When $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$, Γ is an asymmetric hexagonal lattice. Figure 5 shows the standing waves in such lattice.




Figure. 1

For

$$(\omega, k_1, k_2, \tau_1, \tau_2) = (1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1, 1)$$

with $(\beta_0, C, \mu) = (2, 0, -0.3)$, one has $|A_1| = |A_2| = 0.539972$. Here, $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 1$ and $k_1 = k_2$ give us a square shape lattice Γ . Figure 1 shows symmetric square shape standing waves at $t = \pi/20$ in the exact same

coordinates. i) is the standing waves with the leading order, ii) is the waves including the second order as in Corollary 3.2.




Figure. 2

For

$$(\omega, k_1, k_2, \tau_1, \tau_2) = \left(1, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}, 2, 2\right)$$

with $(\beta_0, C, \mu) = (2, 0, -0.02)$, one has $|A_1| = |A_2| = 0.397342$. Here, $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 2$ and $k_1 = k_2$ give us a diamond shape lattice Γ . Figure 2 shows symmetric diamond shape standing waves at $t = \pi/20$ in the exact same coordinates. i) is the standing waves with the leading order, ii) is the waves including the second order.




Figure. 3

For

$$(\omega, k_1, k_2, \tau_1, \tau_2) = \left(1, \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{2\sqrt{2}}, 1, 1\right)$$

with $(\beta_0, C, \mu) = (\sqrt{5}, 0, -0.2)$, one has $|A_1| = 0.18089$ and $|A_2| = 0.784643$. Here, $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 1$ and $k_1 \neq k_2$ give us a rectangular shape lattice Γ . Figure 3 shows symmetric rectangular standing waves at $t = \pi/20$ in the exact same coordinates. i) is the standing waves with the leading order, ii) is the waves including the second order.




Figure. 4




Figure. 5

For

$$(\omega, k_1, k_2, \tau_1, \tau_2) = \left(1, \frac{\sqrt{5} + 1}{2\sqrt{3}}, \frac{\sqrt{5} - 1}{2\sqrt{3}}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}\right)$$

with $(\beta_0, C, \mu) = (\sqrt{5}, 0, -0.08)$, one has $|A_1| = 0.186587$ and $|A_2| = 0.730987$. Here, $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 2$ provides a symmetric lattice with $k_1 \neq k_2$. Figure 4 shows a asymmetric parallelogram standing waves at $t = \pi/20$ in the exact same coordinates. i) shows the standing waves with the leading

order, ii) shows the waves including the second order.

For

$$(\omega, k_1, k_2, \tau_1, \tau_2) = (1, 1, 1, \frac{(\sqrt{5} + 1)^2}{4} - 1, \frac{(\sqrt{5} - 1)^2}{4} - 1)$$

with $(\beta_0, C, \mu) = (\sqrt{5}, 0, 0.5)$, one has $|A_1| = 0.47833$ and $|A_2| = 0.620853$. Here, $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$ gives an asymmetric lattice. Figure 5 shows asymmetric parallelogram standing waves at $t = \pi/20$ in the exact same coordinates. i) shows the standing waves with the leading order, ii) shows the waves including the second order.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bona, M. Chen, and J. Saut. Boussinesq equations and other systems for small-amplitude long waves in nonlinear dispersive media. I. derivation and linear theory. *Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 12(4):283–318, 2002.
- [2] J. Bona, M. Chen, and J. Saut. Boussinesq equations and other systems for small-amplitude long waves in nonlinear dispersive media. II. the nonlinear theory. *Nonlinearity*, 17(3):925–952, 2004.
- [3] J. L. Bona, T. Colin, and D. Lannes. Long wave approximations for water waves. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 178(3):373–410, 2005.
- [4] M. Chen and G. Iooss. Standing waves for a two-way model system for water waves. *European Journal of Mechanics-B/Fluids*, 24(1):113–124, 2005.
- [5] M. Chen and G. Iooss. Periodic wave patterns of two-dimensional boussinesq systems. *European Journal of Mechanics-B/Fluids*, 25(4):393–405, 2006.
- [6] M. Chen and G. Iooss. Asymmetric periodic traveling wave patterns of two-dimensional boussinesq systems. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 237(10):1539–1552, 2008.
- [7] M. Haragus and G. Iooss. *Local bifurcations, center manifolds, and normal forms in infinite-dimensional dynamical systems*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [8] G. Iooss and P. Plotnikov. Existence of multimodal standing gravity waves. *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, 7:S349–S364, 2005.
- [9] G. Iooss and P. Plotnikov. Multimodal standing gravity waves: a completely resonant system. *Journal of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics*, 7(1):S110–S126, 2005.
- [10] G. Iooss, P. I. Plotnikov, and J. F. Toland. Standing waves on an infinitely deep perfect fluid under gravity. *Archive for rational mechanics and analysis*, 177(3):367–478, 2005.
- [11] S. Li and M. Chen. Diamond shaped standing wave patterns of a two-dimensional boussinesq system. Accepted.
- [12] P. I. Plotnikov and J. F. Toland. Nash-moser theory for standing water waves. *Archive for rational mechanics and analysis*, 159(1):1–83, 2001.