

# Imprints of local lightcone projection effects on the galaxy bispectrum IV: Second-order vector and tensor contributions

Sheean Jolicoeur,<sup>1,\*</sup> Alireza Allahyari,<sup>2,†</sup> Chris Clarkson,<sup>3,1,4,‡</sup>  
Julien Larena,<sup>4,§</sup> Obinna Umeh,<sup>5,1,¶</sup> and Roy Maartens<sup>1,5,\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of the Western Cape, Cape Town 7535, South Africa*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran, and School of Astronomy, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P. O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran*

<sup>3</sup>*School of Physics & Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, UK*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch 7701, South Africa*

<sup>5</sup>*Institute of Cosmology & Gravitation, University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth PO1 3FX, United Kingdom*

(Dated: February 20, 2019)

The galaxy bispectrum on scales around and above the equality scale receives contributions from relativistic effects. Some of these arise from lightcone deformation effects, which come from local and line-of-sight integrated contributions. Here we calculate the local contributions from the generated vector and tensor background which is formed as scalar modes couple and enter the horizon. We show that these modes are sub-dominant when compared with other relativistic contributions.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The galaxy bispectrum will help differentiate between cosmological models in future surveys [1–10], test the equivalence principle on large scales [11], further constrains the modified gravity models [12] and will help constrain primordial non-Gaussianity [13–15]. On large scales the bispectrum is affected by relativistic effects, mainly from lightcone projection effects on the observed number density [16–25]. These effects can mimic some aspects of primordial non-Gaussianity, and need to be fully understood to extract the primordial signal from further surveys. This paper forms part of a series investigating these effects [23–25], which is complimentary to other approaches [15, 21].

In this work we extend the previous studies of the bispectrum to include local vector and tensor perturbations. These vector and tensor perturbations are inevitably generated by scalar modes at second order [26–32]. In fact the change to the metric from these contributions can reach nearly 1% in the case of vectors [29], which has been confirmed in numerical simulations [33, 34]. Frame dragging, like tensor perturbations, doesn't couple strongly to matter, but it can change gravitational lensing [35, 36] and other lightcone distortion effects in the galaxy correlation function [37–39], for example. Our analysis, while focused on these secondary modes, can also be adapted to other sources of vectors and tensors.

## II. GALAXY BISPECTRUM WITH LOCAL VECTORS AND TENSORS

Assuming a flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) background, we write the metric in the Poisson gauge and conformal time  $\eta$  as

$$ds^2 = a^2 \left[ - \left( 1 + 2\Phi^{(1)} \right) d\eta^2 + \omega_i^{(2)} dx^i d\eta + \left[ \left( 1 - 2\Psi^{(1)} \right) \delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2} h_{ij}^{(2)} \right] dx^i dx^j \right], \quad (1)$$

where we only keep first order scalar perturbations sourcing the second order vector and tensor perturbations,  $\omega_i^{(2)}$  and  $h_{ij}^{(2)}$  respectively; the first order vector and tensor modes, which are purely decaying modes, are neglected [36, 40, 41].

Hereafter we drop the (2) superscript on  $\omega_i$  and  $h_{ij}$ , and we also have  $\Phi^{(1)} = \Psi^{(1)} = \Phi$ . Vector and tensor modes are characterised by being divergence-free.

\* beautifulheart369@gmail.com

† allahyari@physics.sharif.edu

‡ chris.clarkson@qmul.ac.uk

§ julien.larena@uct.ac.za

¶ umeobinna@gmail.com

\*\* roy.maartens@gmail.com

The solution for  $\omega_i(\mathbf{x})$  is given by [31],

$$\nabla^2 \omega_i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{16f}{3\Omega_m \mathcal{H}} \left[ \nabla^2 \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \partial_i \Phi(\mathbf{x}) \right]^V, \quad (2)$$

where  $V$  denotes the vector part (i.e, the part of a 3-vector which cannot be written as the gradient of a scalar). Here,  $f = d \ln D / d \ln a$  is the derivative of the linear growth rate, and  $\Omega_m = \Omega_{m0} H_0^2 / (a \mathcal{H}^2)$ .

The other contribution at second-order is the generation of tensor modes as structure forms, considered in [26–28, 30, 35, 36, 42–48]. These are found from projecting out the tensor part of the  $ij$  part of the EFE. This gives (adapted from [30] to include a cosmological constant)

$$h''_{ij} + 2\mathcal{H}h'_{ij} - \nabla^2 h_{ij} = S_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = 8 \left[ \partial_i \Phi \partial_j \Phi + \frac{1}{4\pi G a^2 \rho} \partial_i (\Phi' + \mathcal{H}\Phi) \partial_j (\Phi' + \mathcal{H}\Phi) \right]^T, \quad (3)$$

$$= 8 \left[ 1 + \frac{2f^2}{3\Omega_m} \right] [\partial_i \Phi \partial_j \Phi]^T, \quad (4)$$

where  $T$  denotes a tensor projection. Note we have used  $[\Phi \partial_i \partial_j \Phi]^T = -[\partial_i \Phi \partial_j \Phi]^T$ .

### II.1. Second order galaxy number density

We expand the observed galaxy number density to second order as  $\Delta_g = \Delta_g^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta_g^{(2)}$ . The expression for  $\Delta_g$  comprising all the relativistic terms to second order is derived in Ref. [49–52]. Further, the second order galaxy number density is expanded in terms of Newtonian and relativistic parts as

$$\Delta_g^{(2)} = \Delta_{gN}^{(2)} + \Delta_{gS}^{(2)} + \Delta_{gV}^{(2)} + \Delta_{gT}^{(2)}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\Delta_{gV}^{(2)}$  and  $\Delta_{gT}^{(2)}$  are the contribution to the number count from second order (generated by scalars) vector and tensor perturbations respectively. The expression for the Newtonian part,  $\Delta_{gN}^{(2)}$ , can be found in [23], and the local parts of the second-order non-Newtonian scalar contribution,  $\Delta_{gS}^{(2)}$ , have been investigated in [24, 25].

One can show that the second-order local contribution of vectors to the galaxy number count is given by [51]:

$$\Delta_{gV}^{(2)}(z, \mathbf{x}) = \left[ -b_e + 2\mathcal{Q} + \frac{2(1-\mathcal{Q})}{\chi \mathcal{H}} + \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{\parallel} \right] \omega_{\parallel}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (6)$$

In (6), the radial comoving distance is denoted by  $\chi = \eta_0 - \eta$ . The evolution bias is  $b_e = d \ln(a^3 n_g) / d \ln a$  where  $n_g$  is the galaxy number over-density, and  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the magnification bias. The direction of observation is  $\mathbf{n}$  and  $\omega_{\parallel} = n^i \omega_i^{(2)}$  is the longitudinal component of the vector perturbation. Throughout the paper, a prime denotes a (partial) derivative with respect to  $\eta$ . Similarly, for the local tensor contribution, one finds [51]:

$$\Delta_{gT}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2}(1-\mathcal{Q})h_{\parallel}(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{1}{2\mathcal{H}}h'_{\parallel}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (7)$$

with  $h_{\parallel} = h_{ij}^{(2)} n^i n^j$ . In Fourier space, we may write (6) and (7) in terms of integrals over kernels which we derive in Appendix A:

$$\Delta_{gV}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_3) = \int d(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) \mathcal{K}_V^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3), \quad (8)$$

$$\Delta_{gT}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_3) = \int d(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) \mathcal{K}_T^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3), \quad (9)$$

where we define the operator,

$$\int d(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 k_2 \delta^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) \delta^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2) \delta^D(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_3). \quad (10)$$

Here,  $\delta^{(1)}(\eta, \mathbf{k})$  is the first-order dark matter overdensity defined in the total-matter (T) gauge and  $\delta^D$  is the 3-dimensional Dirac-delta function. The explicit forms for the kernels in (8) and (A26) are derived in Appendix A, and all geometric quantities associated with the coordinate system we use are defined in Appendix B. For vectors we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_V^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) &= 12\Omega_m \mathcal{H}^2 f \left( \frac{\mu_3}{k_3} - i \frac{\mathcal{H}}{k_3^2} \left[ -b_e + 2\mathcal{Q} + \frac{2(1-\mathcal{Q})}{\chi\mathcal{H}} + \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right] \right) \\ &\times \left[ \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3) \left( \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3)}{k_1^2} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3)}{k_2^2} \right) + \mathbf{n} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_3) \left( \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_3)}{k_1^2} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_3)}{k_2^2} \right) \right], \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{e}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}$  are the 2 polarisation vectors associated with the vector mode. The kernel is complex and symmetric on  $\mathbf{k}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathbf{k}_2$ . The  $k$ -dependence of the real part is  $\mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$  and that of the imaginary part is  $\mathcal{O}(k^{-3})$ . We can see that for the equilateral configuration i.e.,  $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k$ , this kernel is zero, on using  $\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3) = -\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3)$ . Physically this is because the bispectrum in the equilateral configuration probes vector modes generated by scalar perturbations of the same wavelength. It was shown in [29] that vectors modes are only generated by 2 scalar modes when the scalar modes have differing wavelength, otherwise no angular momentum is generated by the interaction (this is not the case for the tensors).

For the tensors the kernel is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_T^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) &= 3\Omega_m \mathcal{H}^4 \left\{ \left[ -3\Omega_m(1+\mathcal{Q}) - 2f^2\mathcal{Q} - (3\Omega_m - 2f^2) \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} + 4 \frac{f f'}{\mathcal{H}} \right] \mathcal{G}(\eta, k_3) \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{3\Omega_m + 2f^2}{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{G}'(\eta, k_3) \right\} \frac{e^{ij}(\mathbf{k}_3) k_{1i} k_{2j} n^l n^m e_{lm}(\mathbf{k}_3) + \bar{e}^{ij}(\mathbf{k}_3) k_{1i} k_{2j} n^l n^m \bar{e}_{lm}(\mathbf{k}_3)}{k_1^2 k_2^2 k_3^2}, \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{G}(\eta, k)$  arises from solving the tensor wave equation and is given in the Appendix (A24). Here,  $e_{ij}$  and  $\bar{e}_{ij}$  are orthogonal polarization tensors. For both kernels the products of the polarizations with  $k$  vectors is complicated and needs to be done in a coordinate system. This results in different expressions for each permutation – see the Appendices for full details.

## II.2. Bispectrum

We can now give an expression for the local vector and tensor contributions to the galaxy bispectrum in terms of the respective kernels presented above. We drop the time dependence of  $\Delta_g$  as we only calculate the equal-time bispectrum. At second order, the bispectrum is defined after symmetrizing over external momenta:

$$\frac{1}{2} \langle \Delta_g^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) \Delta_g^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2) \Delta_g^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_3) \rangle + 2 \text{cyc. perm.} = (2\pi)^3 B_g(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) \delta^D(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3). \quad (13)$$

Using Wick's theorem, we can write the bispectrum in terms of the kernels as,

$$B_g(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = \mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2) \mathcal{K}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) P(k_1) P(k_2) + 2 \text{cyc. perm.}, \quad (14)$$

where  $P$  is the linear dark matter power spectrum. The first-order kernel has only scalars (S) and is given by [23]:

$$\mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) = b_1 + f\mu_1^2 + i\mu_1 \frac{\gamma_1}{k_1} + \frac{\gamma_2}{k_1^2}. \quad (15)$$

The Newtonian part is given by the bias plus redshift-space distortion term,  $\mathcal{K}_N^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) = b_1 + f\mu_1^2$ . The  $\gamma$ -coefficients arise from GR contributions and are given by [24]:

$$\frac{\gamma_1}{\mathcal{H}} = f \left[ b_e - 2\mathcal{Q} - \frac{2(1-\mathcal{Q})}{\chi\mathcal{H}} - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right], \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\gamma_2}{\mathcal{H}^2} = f(3 - b_e) + \frac{3}{2}\Omega_m \left[ 2 + b_e - f - 4\mathcal{Q} - 2 \frac{(1-\mathcal{Q})}{\chi\mathcal{H}} - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right]. \quad (17)$$

whilst the second-order kernel has scalars, vectors (V) and tensors (T) i.e.,

$$\mathcal{K}^{(2)} = \mathcal{K}_S^{(2)} + \mathcal{K}_V^{(2)} + \mathcal{K}_T^{(2)}. \quad (18)$$

The scalar contribution has both Newtonian and scalar GR projection effects [23]. For Gaussian initial conditions the galaxy bispectrum is induced by second order perturbations only. The computation of the galaxy bispectrum from scalars including first- and second-order GR contributions has already been done in [23–25]. Here, we focus on the vector and tensor contributions which are given as follows:

$$B_{gV}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = \mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1)\mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2)\mathcal{K}_V^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)P(k_2)P(k_1) + 2 \text{ cyc. perm.} , \quad (19)$$

$$B_{gT}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = \mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1)\mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2)\mathcal{K}_T^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)P(k_2)P(k_1) + 2 \text{ cyc. perm.} . \quad (20)$$

Similarly, the full scalar contribution to the bispectrum is defined by:

$$B_{gS}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = \mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1)\mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2)\mathcal{K}_S^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)P(k_2)P(k_1) + 2 \text{ cyc. perm.} . \quad (21)$$

In each of these expressions we keep the full  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  GR kernel. For reference we also plot the pure Newtonian bispectrum.

As the bispectrum is a complex function of several variables it has many properties which cannot be captured on a single graph. In the Newtonian case it is a purely real function. To compare with this we compute the monopole of the bispectrum,

$$B_g^0(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu_1 B_g(k_1, k_2, k_3, \mu_1, \phi, \phi_n) . \quad (22)$$

This function gives the mean bispectrum averaged over the sky and some angles in Fourier space, and the sign of it tells us about whether over-densities appear more or less clustered relative to voids than in a Gaussian random field. The monopole is a purely real function by symmetry of the bispectrum, so in computing the monopole we automatically ignore new relativistic contributions which arise only in the imaginary part of the bispectrum, and signal odd parity symmetries in apparent clustering. In order to see the importance of these we also compute the monopole of the absolute value of the bispectrum,

$$B_g^{\text{abs},0}(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{-1}^{+1} d\mu_1 |B_g(k_1, k_2, k_3, \mu_1, \phi, \phi_n)| . \quad (23)$$

In the Newtonian case this has contributions from even multipoles of the bispectrum, as well as summing up the total bispectrum amplitude. Because this includes contributions from all multipoles, this function gives a better overall picture of the amplitude of the bispectrum than the monopole itself. In the case of the relativistic bispectrum, this will indicate where new relativistic contributions will be important. To isolate the effects of vector and tensor modes on the galaxy bispectrum, and to compare them with the Newtonian contributions, we construct the analogues of the monopole (22) and of the monopole of the absolute value (23) of the whole bispectrum by replacing the bispectrum  $B_g(k_1, k_2, k_3, \mu_1, \phi, \phi_n)$  in Eq. (22) and Eq. (23) by the expressions (19)-(21) or by the Newtonian bispectrum. We consider the monopoles at  $z = 1.0$ , and we use the following numerical values for the other parameters for illustration purposes [25]:

- $b_1 = \sqrt{1+z}$ ,  $b_2 = -0.1\sqrt{1+z}$  and  $b_e = \mathcal{Q} = 0$ .
- We include tidal bias with  $b_s = -4(b_1 - 1)/7$ .
- $\Omega_{m0} = 1 - \Omega_{\Lambda0} = 0.308$  and  $h = 0.678$ .
- For the shape of the galaxy bispectrum, we consider the moderately squeezed triangle ( $k_1 = k_2 = k$  and  $k_3 \approx k/16$ ).

In Figs. 1 and 2 we show the vector and tensor contributions to the bispectrum, for both monopoles. For reference we also show the scalar contribution, and the pure Newtonian bispectrum. Finally we show in black the total bispectrum with all contributions, given by

$$B_{g \text{ full GR}}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = \mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1)\mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2)\mathcal{K}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)P(k_1)P(k_2) + 2 \text{ cycl. perm.} . \quad (24)$$

First consider Fig. 1. These show that the vectors and tensors are small on all scales as expected, only growing towards the Hubble scale, because their leading behaviour scales as  $(\mathcal{H}/k)^2$ . We see that while the vector contribution to the pure monopole is significantly larger than the tensors, it remains about 3 orders of magnitude below the scalar GR contribution. When the long mode is outside the Hubble radius (and unobservable), the vector part does become larger than the Newtonian contribution, but this is only because of the effect of tidal bias making the Newtonian




FIG. 1. The monopole at  $z = 1.0$  for the moderately squeezed configuration. Dashed lines indicate negative.

monopole negative for very large modes. The scalar GR effect on the monopole is negative on all scales, making the full bispectrum negative on sub-Hubble scales – in contrast the Newtonian result is positive in this region. Note that the tensors oscillate on small scales, picking up the baryon acoustic oscillations.

Turning now to Fig 2, we see the effect of the vectors and tensors are relatively enhanced compared to the scalar and Newtonian (though still sub-dominant). In fact the vectors are enhanced by over an order of magnitude because their dominant contribution is in the anti-symmetric imaginary part (which has no monopole).

### III. CONCLUSIONS

We have considered for the first time the relativistic contributions of vectors and tensors on the observed galaxy bispectrum. We have derived our results using a geometry suitable for any source of vectors and tensors, and then specialised to the case of vectors and tensors generated by scalar coupling at first order which simplifies the geometry of the kernels (azimuthal angles only appear as  $\phi_n - \phi$  meaning the polarizations do not need integrated out for such a source). We present our results for a moderately squeezed configuration, finding that the local vectors and tensors (as defined in the Poisson gauge) are small and can safely be neglected in future bispectrum studies. We have not included the integrated contributions from these sources which may alter this conclusion. In contrast, the scalars cannot be neglected.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

CC was supported by STFC Consolidated Grant ST/P000592/1. JL is supported by the National Research Foundation (South Africa). OU is supported by STFC grant ST/N000668/1. RM is supported by STFC Consolidated Grant ST/N000668/1, and by the South African Radio Astronomy Observatory (SARAO) and the National Research Foundation (Grant No. 75415).




FIG. 2. The monopole of the absolute value of the bispectrum at  $z = 1.0$  for the moderately squeezed configuration.

## Appendix A: Derivation of the kernels for local vectors and tensors

### 1. Vector bispectrum

Let us consider an observer receiving a photon along the direction  $\mathbf{n}$  in its rest frame. Neglecting integrated terms and terms at the observation point, we obtain the contribution of second order local vector modes to the observed galaxy number density as:

$$\Delta_{gV}^{(2)}(z, \mathbf{x}) = \left[ b_e - 2\mathcal{Q} - \frac{2(1-\mathcal{Q})}{\chi\mathcal{H}} - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right] \hat{v}_{\parallel}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{\parallel} \hat{v}_{\parallel}^{(2)}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where  $\hat{v}_{\parallel}^{(2)} = n^i \hat{v}_i^{(2)}$  is the longitudinal component of the vector perturbations. All the expressions are implicitly evaluated at the observed redshift. Note that we have  $\hat{v}_i^{(2)} = -\omega_i^{(2)}$  in a  $\Lambda$ CDM Universe and hence

$$\Delta_{gV}^{(2)}(z, \mathbf{x}) = \left[ -b_e + 2\mathcal{Q} + \frac{2(1-\mathcal{Q})}{\chi\mathcal{H}} + \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \partial_{\parallel} \right] \omega_{\parallel}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}). \quad (\text{A2})$$

where  $\omega_{\parallel}^{(2)} = n^i \omega_i^{(2)}$ . The Fourier transform of a pure vector can be represented in terms of two independent polarization vectors as [31]:

$$\omega_i^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} [\omega(\mathbf{k}) e_i(\mathbf{k}) + \bar{\omega}(\mathbf{k}) \bar{e}_i(\mathbf{k})] e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

with,

$$\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \int d^3x \omega_i(\mathbf{x}) e^i(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \quad \bar{\omega}(\mathbf{k}) = \int d^3x \omega_i(\mathbf{x}) \bar{e}^i(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \quad (\text{A4})$$

Taking (A3) we write

$$\omega_{\parallel}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) = n^i \omega_i^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} [\omega(\mathbf{k}) n^i e_i(\mathbf{k}) + \bar{\omega}(\mathbf{k}) n^i \bar{e}_i(\mathbf{k})] e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

The Fourier transform of (2) gives,

$$\omega_i(\mathbf{k}_3) = -i \frac{6\Omega_m \mathcal{H}^3 f}{k_3^2} \int d(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) \left[ \frac{k_{1i}}{k_1^2} + \frac{k_{2i}}{k_2^2} \right]. \quad (\text{A6})$$

Then,

$$\omega(\mathbf{k}_3) = e^{i(\mathbf{k}_3)} \omega_i(\mathbf{k}_3) = -i \frac{6\Omega_m \mathcal{H}^3 f}{k_3^2} \int d(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) \left[ \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3)}{k_1^2} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3)}{k_2^2} \right]. \quad (\text{A7})$$

The other parity  $\bar{\omega}(\mathbf{k})$ , has similar Fourier solutions with  $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k})$  being replaced by  $\bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k})$ . We also have

$$\Delta_{gV}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 k_3}{(2\pi)^3} \Delta_{gV}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_3) e^{i\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{x}} \quad (\text{A8})$$

where

$$\Delta_{gV}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_3) = \int d(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) \mathcal{K}_V^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3), \quad (\text{A9})$$

and  $\mathcal{K}_V^{(2)}$  is the kernel for the vector perturbations. These can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_V^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) &= 12\Omega_m \mathcal{H}^2 f \frac{\mu_3}{k_3} \mathcal{V}_{123} - 12i\Omega_m \mathcal{H}^3 f \left[ -b_e + 2\mathcal{Q} + \frac{2(1-\mathcal{Q})}{\chi\mathcal{H}} + \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} \right] \frac{\mathcal{V}_{123}}{k_3^2} \\ &= 12\Omega_m \mathcal{H}^2 \left[ f\mu_3 - i\frac{\gamma_1}{k_3} \right] \frac{\mathcal{V}_{123}}{k_3}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

where we define

$$\mathcal{V}_{123} = \left[ \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3) \left( \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3)}{k_1^2} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3)}{k_2^2} \right) + \mathbf{n} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_3) \left( \frac{\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_3)}{k_1^2} + \frac{\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_3)}{k_2^2} \right) \right] \quad (\text{A11})$$

and  $\gamma_1$  is defined below. At this stage we need to introduce coordinates in Fourier space – we specify how we do this in Appendix B. This breaks the algebraic cyclic symmetry of the kernel, so we work out the exact form of the kernels, giving,

$$\mathcal{V}_{123} = \left( \frac{k_2^2 - k_1^2}{k_3^2 k_1} \right) \sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2} \left[ \mu_1 \sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2} - \left( \frac{k_1}{k_2} + \mu_{12} \right) \sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2} \cos(\phi_n - \phi) \right], \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{231} = \left( \frac{k_3^2 - k_2^2}{k_3^2 k_2} \right) \sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2} \sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2} \cos(\phi_n - \phi), \quad (\text{A13})$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{312} = \left( \frac{k_3^2 - k_1^2}{k_3^2 k_1} \right) \sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2} \left[ \mu_1 \sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2} - \mu_{12} \sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2} \cos(\phi_n - \phi) \right] \quad (\text{A14})$$

Finally, for Gaussian initial conditions, we obtain the vector contribution to the bispectrum:

$$B_{gV}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = \mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1) \mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2) \mathcal{K}_V^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) P(k_1) P(k_2) + 2 \text{ cyc. perm.} . \quad (\text{A15})$$

## 2. Tensor bispectrum

We expand in Fourier space using the polarization tensors  $e_{ij}$  and  $\bar{e}_{ij}$  which are defined as [28, 32],

$$e_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [e_i(\mathbf{k}) e_j(\mathbf{k}) - \bar{e}_i(\mathbf{k}) \bar{e}_j(\mathbf{k})], \quad \bar{e}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [e_i(\mathbf{k}) \bar{e}_j(\mathbf{k}) + \bar{e}_i(\mathbf{k}) e_j(\mathbf{k})]. \quad (\text{A16})$$

Then,

$$h_{ij}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}) = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} [h(\mathbf{k}) e_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) + \bar{h}(\mathbf{k}) \bar{e}_{ij}(\mathbf{k})] e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}}. \quad (\text{A17})$$

with,

$$h(\mathbf{k}) = \int d^3x h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) e^{ij}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \quad \bar{h}(\mathbf{k}) = \int d^3x h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \bar{e}^{ij}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \quad (\text{A18})$$

In Fourier space we then have

$$h_{\parallel}(\mathbf{k}) = h(\mathbf{k}) e_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) n^i n^j + \bar{h}(\mathbf{k}) \bar{e}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) n^i n^j, \quad (\text{A19})$$

which obeys

$$h''(\eta, \mathbf{k}) - 2\mathcal{H}h'(\eta, \mathbf{k}) + k^2 h(\eta, \mathbf{k}) = S(\eta, \mathbf{k}), \quad (\text{A20})$$

$S(\mathbf{k})$  is the source term in the evolution equation of  $h(\mathbf{k})$  which is obtained from the transverse, trace-free part of the Einstein field equations (4). The galaxy density fluctuations become

$$\Delta_{g\Gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \mathcal{Q} + \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \left[ h(\mathbf{k}) e_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) n^i n^j + \bar{h}(\mathbf{k}) \bar{e}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) n^i n^j \right]. \quad (\text{A21})$$

For simplicity we give the solution for the tensors using the approximation that we are in the matter era. In general, the solution for the second order tensor is written as an integral over the Green's function written in terms of the spherical Bessel functions, [30],

$$h(\eta, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{a} \int_a^\eta d\tilde{\eta} \frac{\eta \tilde{\eta}}{k^2} [j_1(k\eta) y_1(k\tilde{\eta}) - j_1(k\tilde{\eta}) y_1(k\eta)] a(\tilde{\eta}) S(\tilde{\eta}, \mathbf{k}). \quad (\text{A22})$$

However, since  $S(\eta, \mathbf{k})$  is nearly constant in time in the matter era at high redshift, we can approximate this as [32]

$$h(\eta, \mathbf{k}) \approx \frac{G(\eta, k)}{k^2} S(\mathbf{k}), \quad (\text{A23})$$

where  $G(\eta, k)$  is given by ,

$$G(\eta, k) = 1 + \frac{3[k\eta \cos(k\eta) - \sin(k\eta)]}{(k\eta)^3}. \quad (\text{A24})$$

The source appearing in the Fourier transformed tensor equation becomes,

$$S(\mathbf{k}) = -6\Omega_m \mathcal{H}^4 \left( 3\Omega_m + 2f^2 \right) \int d(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}) e^{ij}(\mathbf{k}) \frac{k_{1i} k_{2j}}{k_1^2 k_2^2}. \quad (\text{A25})$$

In this approximation, we have ignored the weak time dependence (from  $g$ ) in the source when integrating over the Green's function to obtain (A23). At high redshift this should be a very good approximation. The other parity is given by the same expression with the other polarisation tensor.

As with the vectors, in Fourier space we write the contribution to the galaxy density contrast as an integral over a kernel,

$$\Delta_{g\Gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_3) = \int d(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) \mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3), \quad (\text{A26})$$

and we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\Gamma}^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = & 3\Omega_m \mathcal{H}^4 \left\{ \left[ -3\Omega_m(1 + \mathcal{Q}) - 2f^2 \mathcal{Q} - (3\Omega_m - 2f^2) \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} + 4 \frac{f f'}{\mathcal{H}} \right] \mathcal{G}(\eta, k_3) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{3\Omega_m + 2f^2}{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{G}'(\eta, k_3) \right\} \frac{\mathcal{T}_{123}}{k_3^2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A27})$$

where we defined

$$\mathcal{T}_{123} = \frac{1}{k_1^2 k_2^2} \left[ e^{ij}(\mathbf{k}_3) k_{1i} k_{2j} n^l n^m e_{lm}(\mathbf{k}_3) + \bar{e}^{ij}(\mathbf{k}_3) k_{1i} k_{2j} n^l n^m \bar{e}_{lm}(\mathbf{k}_3) \right]. \quad (\text{A28})$$

As with the vectors, the 123 symmetry is broken on choosing a coordinate system in Fourier space, so we give the permutations explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{123} = \frac{1}{4k_3^4} (1 - \mu_{12}^2) & \left[ k_3^2 - k_1^2 + (k_1^2 - 2k_2^2 - k_3^2)\mu_1^2 + (2k_1k_2\mu_1^2 - 2k_1k_2)\mu_{12} + (3k_2^2\mu_1^2 - k_2^2)\mu_{12}^2 \right. \\ & + 4k_2(k_1 + k_2\mu_{12})\mu_1\sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2}\sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2}\cos(\phi_n - \phi) \\ & \left. - (1 - \mu_1^2)(k_1^2 + 2k_1k_2\mu_{12} + k_2^2\mu_{12}^2 + k_3^2)\cos 2(\phi_n - \phi) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A29})$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{231} = -\frac{1}{2k_3^2} (1 - \mu_1^2)(1 - \mu_{12}^2)\cos 2(\phi_n - \phi), \quad (\text{A30})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{312} = \frac{1}{4k_3^2} (1 - \mu_{12}^2) & \left[ (1 - \mu_{12}^2)(1 - 3\mu_1^2) + 4\mu_1\mu_{12}\sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2}\sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2}\cos(\phi_n - \phi) \right. \\ & \left. - (1 - \mu_1^2)(1 + \mu_{12}^2)\cos 2(\phi_n - \phi) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A31})$$

The tensor contribution to the bispectrum then reads:

$$B_{gT}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) = \mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_1)\mathcal{K}^{(1)}(\mathbf{k}_2)\mathcal{K}_T^{(2)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3)P(k_1)P(k_2) + 2 \text{ cyc. perm.} . \quad (\text{A32})$$

### Appendix B: Geometry

Here we define the coordinates in Fourier space in which our Fourier space polarisation vectors are decomposed, which we show in Fig. 3.

First we consider the relations among the  $\mathbf{k}$ -vectors, the direction vector  $\mathbf{n}$ , and the polarisation vectors and tensors we use:

- The cosines of the angles  $\theta_i$  and  $\theta_{ij}$  are defined as

$$\cos \theta_i = \mu_i = \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}, \quad \cos \theta_{ij} = \mu_{ij} = \hat{\mathbf{k}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_j. \quad (\text{B1})$$

- The wave vectors form a triangle,  $\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{k}_3 = 0$ , and by taking the dot product with  $\mathbf{n}$  we have

$$\mu_1 k_1 + \mu_2 k_2 + \mu_3 k_3 = 0. \quad (\text{B2})$$

- Now using  $k_3^2 = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + 2k_1k_2\mu_{12}$  and if we define  $r = k_2/k_1$ , we have  $k_3 = k_1\sqrt{1 + r^2 + 2r\mu_{12}}$ . Using the cosine rule on the other sides of the triangle we have

$$\mu_{23} = \frac{-(r + \mu_{12})}{\sqrt{1 + r^2 + 2r\mu_{12}}}, \quad \mu_{31} = \frac{-(1 + r\mu_{12})}{\sqrt{1 + r^2 + 2r\mu_{12}}}. \quad (\text{B3})$$

- Taking dot-products of the polarisation vectors with the triangle of  $\mathbf{k}$ -vectors, we have

$$\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3) = -\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3), \quad \mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_1) = -\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_1), \quad \mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_2) = -\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_2), \quad (\text{B4})$$

$$\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_3) = -\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_3), \quad \mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_1) = -\mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_1), \quad \mathbf{k}_3 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_2) = -\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_2). \quad (\text{B5})$$

- The polarization tensors  $e_{ij}$  and  $\bar{e}_{ij}$  are defined as [28, 32],

$$e_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [e_i(\mathbf{k})e_j(\mathbf{k}) - \bar{e}_i(\mathbf{k})\bar{e}_j(\mathbf{k})], \quad \bar{e}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [e_i(\mathbf{k})\bar{e}_j(\mathbf{k}) + \bar{e}_i(\mathbf{k})e_j(\mathbf{k})]. \quad (\text{B6})$$

Now we set up our coordinate system.

- We choose our coordinates to coincide with the  $\mathbf{k}_1$  system, with  $\mathbf{k}_1$  lying along the  $z$ -axis, and  $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_1)$  along  $x$ . Then

$$\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_1) = (1, 0, 0), \quad \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_1) = (0, 1, 0), \quad \hat{\mathbf{k}}_1 = (0, 0, 1) \quad (\text{B7})$$




FIG. 3. The geometry of the galaxy bispectrum without (*left*) and with (*right*) polarizations.

- We define the azimuthal angle  $\mathbf{n}$  makes with the  $x$ -axis as  $\phi_n$ , which implies, using  $\theta_1$  as its polar angle,

$$\mathbf{n} = (\sin \theta_1 \cos \phi_n, \sin \theta_1 \sin \phi_n, \cos \theta_1) \quad (\text{B8})$$

- We define the azimuthal angle  $\mathbf{k}_2$  makes with the  $x$ -axis as  $\phi$ , implying

$$\mathbf{k}_2 = k_2 (\sin \theta_{12} \cos \phi, \sin \theta_{12} \sin \phi, \cos \theta_{12}) \quad (\text{B9})$$

This implies  $\mu_2$  is given by

$$\mu_2 = \mu_1 \mu_{12} + \sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2} \sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2} \cos(\phi_n - \phi). \quad (\text{B10})$$

- For  $\mathbf{k}_3$  we write

$$\mathbf{k}_3 = k_3 (-\sin \theta_{31} \cos \phi, -\sin \theta_{31} \sin \phi, \cos \theta_{31}) \quad (\text{B11})$$

and using the fact that the wavevectors form a triangle, we find  $\sin \theta_{31} = \frac{k_2}{k_3} \sin \theta_{12}$ , giving

$$\mathbf{k}_3 = -k_2 \left( \sin \theta_{12} \cos \phi, \sin \theta_{12} \sin \phi, \frac{k_1}{k_2} + \cos \theta_{12} \right), \quad (\text{B12})$$

- To define  $(\mathbf{e}, \bar{\mathbf{e}})(\mathbf{k}_2)$  we choose the triplet  $[\mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_2), \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_2)]$  to coincide with  $[\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_1), \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_1)]$  when  $\theta_{12} = \phi = 0$  (refer to Fig. 1). This implies

$$\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_2) = (\cos \theta_{12} \cos \phi, \cos \theta_{12} \sin \phi, -\sin \theta_{12}), \quad (\text{B13})$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_2) = (-\sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0), \quad (\text{B14})$$

where the direction is chosen so that  $(\mathbf{e}, \bar{\mathbf{e}})(\mathbf{k}_2)$  has the same handedness as  $(\mathbf{e}, \bar{\mathbf{e}})(\mathbf{k}_1)$  (with respect to their  $\mathbf{k}$  vectors).

- We specify  $(\mathbf{e}, \bar{\mathbf{e}})(\mathbf{k}_3)$  in the same way, giving

$$\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3) = -\frac{1}{k_3} \left( [k_1 + k_2 \cos \theta_{12}] \cos \phi, [k_1 + k_2 \cos \theta_{12}] \sin \phi, -k_2 \sin \theta_{12} \right), \quad (\text{B15})$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_3) = (-\sin \phi, \cos \phi, 0). \quad (\text{B16})$$

This implies

$$\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3) = -\frac{k_1 k_2}{k_3} \sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2}. \quad (\text{B17})$$

- Gathering these results together, for the dot products between the mode vectors and polarization vectors we have,

$$\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_2) = -k_1 \sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2}, \quad (\text{B18})$$

$$\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_2) = 0, \quad (\text{B19})$$

$$\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_1) = k_2 \sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2} \cos \phi, \quad (\text{B20})$$

$$\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_1) = k_2 \sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2} \sin \phi, \quad (\text{B21})$$

$$\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3) = -\frac{k_1 k_2}{k_3} \sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2}, \quad (\text{B22})$$

$$\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_3) = 0. \quad (\text{B23})$$

- For the tensors we require

$$e^{ij}(\mathbf{k}_3) k_{1i} k_{2j} = -\frac{k_1^2 k_2^2}{\sqrt{2} k_3^2} (1 - \mu_{12}^2), \quad (\text{B24})$$

$$\bar{e}^{ij}(\mathbf{k}_3) k_{1i} k_{2j} = 0, \quad (\text{B25})$$

$$e^{ij}(\mathbf{k}_2) k_{1i} k_{3j} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} k_1^2 (1 - \mu_{12}^2), \quad (\text{B26})$$

$$\bar{e}^{ij}(\mathbf{k}_2) k_{1i} k_{3j} = 0, \quad (\text{B27})$$

$$e^{ij}(\mathbf{k}_1) k_{2i} k_{3j} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} k_2^2 (1 - \mu_{12}^2) \cos 2\phi, \quad (\text{B28})$$

$$\bar{e}^{ij}(\mathbf{k}_1) k_{2i} k_{3j} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} k_2^2 (1 - \mu_{12}^2) \sin 2\phi. \quad (\text{B29})$$

$$(\text{B30})$$

- We then have relations with the observation direction

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_1) = \sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2} \cos \phi_n, \quad (\text{B31})$$

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_1) = \sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2} \sin \phi_n, \quad (\text{B32})$$

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_2) = \mu_{12} \sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2} \cos(\phi_n - \phi) - \mu_1 \sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2}, \quad (\text{B33})$$

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_2) = \sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2} \sin(\phi_n - \phi), \quad (\text{B34})$$

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{k}_3) = \frac{k_2}{k_3} \left[ -\sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2} \left( \frac{k_1}{k_2} + \mu_{12} \right) \cos(\phi_n - \phi) + \mu_1 \sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2} \right], \quad (\text{B35})$$

$$\mathbf{n} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{e}}(\mathbf{k}_3) = \sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2} \sin(\phi_n - \phi). \quad (\text{B36})$$

- For the polarisation tensors contracted along the line of sight, we have,

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}_1)n^i n^j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \mu_1^2) \cos 2\phi_n, \quad (\text{B37})$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}_1)n^i n^j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1 - \mu_1^2) \sin 2\phi_n, \quad (\text{B38})$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}_2)n^i n^j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ (1 - \mu_{12}^2) \sin^2(\phi_n - \phi) - \mu_{12}^2(1 - \mu_1^2) \cos^2(\phi_n - \phi) + 2\mu_1\mu_{12}\sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2}\sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2} \cos(\phi_n - \phi) - \mu_1^2(1 - \mu_{12}^2) \right], \quad (\text{B39})$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}_2)n^i n^j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \mu_{12}(1 - \mu_1^2) \sin 2(\phi_n - \phi) - 2\mu_1\sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2}\sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2} \sin(\phi_n - \phi) \right], \quad (\text{B40})$$

$$\mathbf{e}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}_3)n^i n^j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left\{ (1 - \mu_1^2) \sin^2(\phi_n - \phi) - \frac{k_2^2}{k_3^2} \left[ \left( \frac{k_1}{k_2} + \mu_{12} \right)^2 (1 - \mu_1^2) \cos^2(\phi_n - \phi) + \mu_1^2(1 - \mu_{12}^2) \right] - 2 \left( \frac{k_1}{k_2} + \mu_{12} \right) \mu_1 \sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2} \sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2} \cos(\phi_n - \phi) \right\}, \quad (\text{B41})$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{e}}_{ij}(\mathbf{k}_3)n^i n^j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{k_2}{k_3} \left[ - (1 - \mu_1^2) \left( \frac{k_1}{k_2} + \mu_{12} \right) \sin 2(\phi_n - \phi) + 2\mu_1\sqrt{1 - \mu_1^2}\sqrt{1 - \mu_{12}^2} \sin(\phi_n - \phi) \right]. \quad (\text{B42})$$

- 
- [1] E. Sefusatti, M. Crocce, S. Pueblas, and R. Scoccimarro, *Cosmology and the Bispectrum*, *Phys. Rev.* **D74** (2006) 023522, [[astro-ph/0604505](#)].
- [2] E. Sefusatti and E. Komatsu, *The bispectrum of galaxies from high-redshift galaxy surveys: Primordial non-Gaussianity and non-linear galaxy bias*, *Phys. Rev.* **D76** (2007) 083004, [[arXiv:0705.0343](#)].
- [3] E. Komatsu, *Hunting for Primordial Non-Gaussianity in the Cosmic Microwave Background*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **27** (2010) 124010, [[arXiv:1003.6097](#)].
- [4] M. Liguori, E. Sefusatti, J. R. Fergusson, and E. P. S. Shellard, *Primordial non-Gaussianity and Bispectrum Measurements in the Cosmic Microwave Background and Large-Scale Structure*, *Adv. Astron.* **2010** (2010) 980523, [[arXiv:1001.4707](#)].
- [5] A. P. S. Yadav and B. D. Wandelt, *Primordial Non-Gaussianity in the Cosmic Microwave Background*, *Adv. Astron.* **2010** (2010) 565248, [[arXiv:1006.0275](#)].
- [6] T. Baldauf, U. Seljak, and L. Senatore, *Primordial non-Gaussianity in the Bispectrum of the Halo Density Field*, *JCAP* **1104** (2011) 006, [[arXiv:1011.1513](#)].
- [7] S. Yokoyama, T. Matsubara, and A. Taruya, *Halo/galaxy bispectrum with primordial non-Gaussianity from integrated perturbation theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014), no. 4 043524, [[arXiv:1310.4925](#)].
- [8] S. Camera, M. G. Santos, and R. Maartens, *Probing primordial non-Gaussianity with SKA galaxy redshift surveys: a fully relativistic analysis*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **448** (2015), no. 2 1035–1043, [[arXiv:1409.8286](#)].
- [9] L. R. Abramo and D. Bertacca, *Disentangling the effects of Doppler velocity and primordial non-Gaussianity in galaxy power spectra*, *Phys. Rev.* **D96** (2017), no. 12 123535, [[arXiv:1706.01834](#)].
- [10] D. Karagiannis, A. Lazanu, M. Liguori, A. Raccanelli, N. Bartolo, and L. Verde, *Constraining Primordial non-Gaussianity with Bispectrum and Power Spectrum from Upcoming Optical and Radio Surveys*, [arXiv:1801.09280](#).
- [11] P. Creminelli, J. Gleyzes, L. Hui, M. Simonovi, and F. Vernizzi, *Single-Field Consistency Relations of Large Scale Structure. Part III: Test of the Equivalence Principle*, *JCAP* **1406** (2014) 009, [[arXiv:1312.6074](#)].
- [12] I. Hashimoto, Y. Rasera, and A. Taruya, *Precision cosmology with redshift-space bispectrum: a perturbation theory based model at one-loop order*, *Phys. Rev.* **D96** (2017), no. 4 043526, [[arXiv:1705.02574](#)].
- [13] M. Tellarini, A. J. Ross, G. Tasinato, and D. Wands, *Non-local bias in the halo bispectrum with primordial non-Gaussianity*, *JCAP* **1507** (2015), no. 07 004, [[arXiv:1504.00324](#)].
- [14] M. Tellarini, A. J. Ross, G. Tasinato, and D. Wands, *Galaxy bispectrum, primordial non-Gaussianity and redshift space distortions*, *JCAP* **1606** (2016), no. 06 014, [[arXiv:1603.06814](#)].
- [15] E. Di Dio, H. Perrier, R. Durrer, G. Marozzi, A. Moradinezhad Dizgah, J. Norea, and A. Riotto, *Non-Gaussianities due to Relativistic Corrections to the Observed Galaxy Bispectrum*, *JCAP* **1703** (2017), no. 03 006, [[arXiv:1611.03720](#)].
- [16] A. Challinor and A. Lewis, *The linear power spectrum of observed source number counts*, *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 043516, [[arXiv:1105.5292](#)].
- [17] C. Bonvin and R. Durrer, *What galaxy surveys really measure*, *Phys. Rev.* **D84** (2011) 063505, [[arXiv:1105.5280](#)].
- [18] C. Bonvin, *Isolating relativistic effects in large-scale structure*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **31** (2014), no. 23 234002, [[arXiv:1409.2224](#)].

- [19] E. Di Dio, R. Durrer, G. Marozzi, and F. Montanari, *The bispectrum of relativistic galaxy number counts*, *JCAP* **1601** (2016) 016, [[arXiv:1510.04202](#)].
- [20] A. Kehagias, A. Moradinezhad Dizgah, J. Norea, H. Perrier, and A. Riotto, *A Consistency Relation for the Observed Galaxy Bispectrum and the Local non-Gaussianity from Relativistic Corrections*, *JCAP* **1508** (2015), no. 08 018, [[arXiv:1503.04467](#)].
- [21] D. Bertacca, A. Raccanelli, N. Bartolo, M. Liguori, S. Matarrese, and L. Verde, *Relativistic wide-angle galaxy bispectrum on the light-cone*, *Phys. Rev.* **D97** (2018), no. 2 023531, [[arXiv:1705.09306](#)].
- [22] K. Koyama, O. Umeh, R. Maartens, and D. Bertacca, *The observed galaxy bispectrum from single-field inflation in the squeezed limit*, *JCAP* **1807** (2018), no. 07 050, [[arXiv:1805.09189](#)].
- [23] O. Umeh, S. Jolicoeur, R. Maartens, and C. Clarkson, *A general relativistic signature in the galaxy bispectrum: the local effects of observing on the lightcone*, *JCAP* **1703** (2017) 003, [[arXiv:1610.03351](#)].
- [24] S. Jolicoeur, O. Umeh, R. Maartens, and C. Clarkson, *Imprints of local lightcone projection effects on the galaxy bispectrum. II*, *JCAP* **1709** (2017) 040, [[arXiv:1703.09630](#)].
- [25] S. Jolicoeur, O. Umeh, R. Maartens, and C. Clarkson, *Imprints of local lightcone projection effects on the galaxy bispectrum. Part III. Relativistic corrections from nonlinear dynamical evolution on large-scales*, *JCAP* **1803** (2018), no. 03 036, [[arXiv:1711.01812](#)].
- [26] K. Tomita, *Relativistic second-order perturbations of nonzero-lambda flat cosmological models and CMB anisotropies*, *Phys. Rev.* **D71** (2005) 083504, [[astro-ph/0501663](#)].
- [27] S. Mollerach, D. Harari, and S. Matarrese, *CMB polarization from secondary vector and tensor modes*, *Phys. Rev.* **D69** (2004) 063002, [[astro-ph/0310711](#)].
- [28] K. N. Ananda, C. Clarkson, and D. Wands, *The Cosmological gravitational wave background from primordial density perturbations*, *Phys. Rev.* **D75** (2007) 123518, [[gr-qc/0612013](#)].
- [29] T. H.-C. Lu, K. Ananda, and C. Clarkson, *Vector modes generated by primordial density fluctuations*, *Phys. Rev.* **D77** (2008) 043523, [[arXiv:0709.1619](#)].
- [30] D. Baumann, P. J. Steinhardt, K. Takahashi, and K. Ichiki, *Gravitational Wave Spectrum Induced by Primordial Scalar Perturbations*, *Phys. Rev.* **D76** (2007) 084019, [[hep-th/0703290](#)].
- [31] T. H.-C. Lu, K. Ananda, C. Clarkson, and R. Maartens, *The cosmological background of vector modes*, *JCAP* **0902** (2009) 023, [[arXiv:0812.1349](#)].
- [32] J.-C. Hwang, D. Jeong, and H. Noh, *Gauge dependence of gravitational waves generated from scalar perturbations*, *Astrophys. J.* **842** (2017), no. 1 46, [[arXiv:1704.03500](#)].
- [33] M. Bruni, D. B. Thomas, and D. Wands, *Computing General Relativistic effects from Newtonian N-body simulations: Frame dragging in the post-Friedmann approach*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014), no. 4 044010, [[arXiv:1306.1562](#)].
- [34] J. Adamek, D. Daverio, R. Durrer, and M. Kunz, *General relativity and cosmic structure formation*, *Nature Phys.* **12** (2016) 346–349, [[arXiv:1509.01699](#)].
- [35] S. Andrianomena, C. Clarkson, P. Patel, O. Umeh, and J.-P. Uzan, *Non-linear relativistic contributions to the cosmological weak-lensing convergence*, *JCAP* **1406** (2014) 023, [[arXiv:1402.4350](#)].
- [36] S. Saga, D. Yamauchi, and K. Ichiki, *Weak lensing induced by second-order vector mode*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015), no. 6 063533, [[arXiv:1505.02774](#)].
- [37] R. Durrer and V. Tansella, *Vector perturbations of galaxy number counts*, *JCAP* **1607** (2016), no. 07 037, [[arXiv:1605.05974](#)].
- [38] C. Bonvin, R. Durrer, N. Khosravi, M. Kunz, and I. Sawicki, *Redshift-space distortions from vector perturbations*, *JCAP* **1802** (2018), no. 02 028, [[arXiv:1712.00052](#)].
- [39] V. Tansella, C. Bonvin, G. Cusin, R. Durrer, M. Kunz, and I. Sawicki, *Redshift-space distortions from vector perturbations II: Anisotropic signal*, [arXiv:1807.00731](#).
- [40] M. Bojowald and G. M. Hossain, *Cosmological vector modes and quantum gravity effects*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** (2007) 4801–4816, [[arXiv:0709.0872](#)].
- [41] L. Dai, D. Jeong, and M. Kamionkowski, *Anisotropic imprint of long-wavelength tensor perturbations on cosmic structure*, *Phys. Rev.* **D88** (2013), no. 4 043507, [[arXiv:1306.3985](#)].
- [42] S. Matarrese, O. Pantano, and D. Saez, *General relativistic dynamics of irrotational dust: Cosmological implications*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72** (1994) 320–323, [[astro-ph/9310036](#)].
- [43] H. Noh and J.-c. Hwang, *Second-order perturbations of the Friedmann world model*, *Phys. Rev.* **D69** (2004) 104011.
- [44] K. A. Malik and D. Wands, *Cosmological perturbations*, *Phys. Rept.* **475** (2009) 1–51, [[arXiv:0809.4944](#)].
- [45] J.-c. Hwang and H. Noh, *Fully nonlinear and exact perturbations of the Friedmann world model*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **433** (2013) 3472, [[arXiv:1207.0264](#)].
- [46] C. Rampf, *Frame dragging and Eulerian frames in General Relativity*, *Phys. Rev.* **D89** (2014) 063509, [[arXiv:1307.1725](#)].
- [47] B. Osano, *Second Order perturbation Theory: A covariant approach involving a barotropic equation of state*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **34** (2017), no. 12 125004, [[arXiv:1504.01495](#)].
- [48] C. Rampf and A. Wiegand, *Relativistic Lagrangian displacement field and tensor perturbations*, *Phys. Rev.* **D90** (2014) 123503, [[arXiv:1409.2688](#)].
- [49] D. Bertacca, R. Maartens, and C. Clarkson, *Observed galaxy number counts on the lightcone up to second order: I. Main result*, *JCAP* **1409** (2014), no. 09 037, [[arXiv:1405.4403](#)].
- [50] D. Bertacca, R. Maartens, and C. Clarkson, *Observed galaxy number counts on the lightcone up to second order: II. Derivation*, *JCAP* **1411** (2014), no. 11 013, [[arXiv:1406.0319](#)].
- [51] D. Bertacca, *Observed galaxy number counts on the light cone up to second order: III. Magnification bias*, *Class. Quant.*

- Grav.* **32** (2015), no. 19 195011, [[arXiv:1409.2024](#)].
- [52] J. T. Nielsen and R. Durrer, *Higher order relativistic galaxy number counts: dominating terms*, *JCAP* **1703** (2017), no. 03 010, [[arXiv:1606.02113](#)].