WASP-166b: a bloated super-Neptune transiting a V = 9 star

Coel Hellier¹, D.R. Anderson¹, A.H.M.J. Triaud^{2,3}, F. Bouchy², A. Burdanov⁴, A. Collier Cameron⁵, L. Delrez^{4,6}, D. Ehrenreich², M. Gillon⁴, E. Jehin⁴, M. Lendl^{7,2}, E. Linder⁸, L.D. Nielsen², P.F.L. Maxted¹, F. Pepe², D. Pollacco⁹, D. Queloz⁶, D. Ségransan², B. Smalley¹, J. J. Spake¹⁰, L. Y. Temple¹, S. Udry², R.G. West⁹, and A. Wyttenbach¹¹

¹Astrophysics Group, Keele University, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK

²Observatoire astronomique de l'Université de Genève 51 ch. des Maillettes, 1290 Sauverny, Switzerland

³School of Physics & Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

- 4S pace sciences, Technologies and Astrophysics Research (STAR) Institute, Université de Liège,
- Allée du 6 Août, 17, Bat. B5C, 4000 Liège, Belgium

⁵SUPA, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of St. Andrews, North Haugh, Fife, KY16 9SS, UK

- ⁶Cavendish Laboratory, J J Thomson Avenue, Cambridge, CB3 0HE, UK
- ⁷Space Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Schmiedlstr. 6, 8042, Graz, Austria
- ⁸ Physikalisches Institut, University of Bern, Sidlerstrasse 5, 3012, Bern, Switzerland

⁹Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Road, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

¹⁰Astrophysics Group, School of Physics, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter, EX4 4QL, UK

¹¹Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, Postbus 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

date

ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of WASP-166b, a super-Neptune planet with a mass of 0.1 M_{Jup} (1.9 M_{Nep}) and a bloated radius of 0.63 R_{Jup} . It transits a V = 9.36, F9V star in a 5.44-d orbit that is aligned with the stellar rotation axis (sky-projected obliquity angle $\lambda = 3 \pm 5$ degrees). Variations in the radial-velocity measurements are likely the result of magnetic activity over a 12-d stellar rotation period. WASP-166b appears to be a rare object within the "Neptune desert".

Key words: Planetary Systems – stars: individual (WASP-166)

1 INTRODUCTION

Planets with low surface gravities have the largest atmospheric scale heights and so are the best targets for atmospheric characterisation by the technique of transmission spectroscopy, in which the planet's atmosphere is projected against the host-star photosphere during transit. Having a bloated radius also means that planets of sub-Saturn mass can still produce deep-enough transits to be found in groundbased surveys. Thus discoveries such as WASP-107b (0.12 M_{Jup} ; 0.94 R_{Jup} ; Anderson et al. 2017) and WASP-127b (0.18 M_{Jup} ; 1.37 R_{Jup} ; Lam et al. 2017) are prime targets for characterisation (e.g. Kreidberg et al. 2018; Spake et al. 2018; Palle et al. 2017; Chen et al. 2018). The importance of such targets, particularly ones transiting bright stars, will increase further with the launch of the *James Webb Space Telescope*.

Planets between the masses of Neptune and Saturn are transitional between ice giants and gaseous giants, and so could help to elucidate why some proto-planets undergo runaway gaseous accretion while others do not. There are also far fewer Neptune-mass systems known, compared to the abundance of super-Earths found by the Kepler mission, and the several-hundred transiting hot Jupiters now found by the ground-based surveys. The absence of Neptunes is particularly pronounced at short orbital periods, leading to the discussion of a "Neptune desert" (Mazeh et al. 2016).

Here we report the discovery of WASP-166b, the lowestmass planet yet found by the WASP survey, at only twice the mass of Neptune.

2 OBSERVATIONS

From 2006 to 2012 WASP-South operated as an array of eight cameras based on 200-mm, f/1.8 Canon lenses backed by $2k \times 2k$ Peltier-cooled CCDs (see Pollacco et al. 2006 for an account of the WASP project). Each field was observed



Figure 1. WASP-166b photometry: (Top) The WASP data folded on the transit period. (Main panel) Photometry from TRAPPIST-South, EulerCAM, and the three TESS transits, together with the fitted MCMC model (the TRAPPIST ingress, green points, and egress, blue points, are from different transits but are shown together).

with typically 10-min cadence. The data were processed into a magnitude for each catalogued star, and the resulting lightcurves accumulated in a central archive. This was then searched for transit signals, with the best candidates sent for followup with the TRAPPIST-South photometer (Gillon et al. 2013) and the Euler/CORALIE spectrograph (Triaud et al. 2013). This combination has resulted in many discoveries of transiting exoplanets (e.g. Hellier et al. 2018) and the techniques and methods used here are continuations of those from previous papers.

WASP-166 was adopted as a candidate in 2014, after



Figure 2. The HARPS (blue) and CORALIE (orange) radial velocities and fitted orbital model (the HARPS data in Fig. 4 are not shown here for clarity).

Table 1. Observations of WASP-166:

WASP-South2006 May-2012 May 33400 pointsCORALIE2014 Feb-2017 Jan41 RVsHARPS (orbit)2016 Apr-2018 Mar27 RVsHARPS (transit)2017 Jan 1475 RVsHARPS (transit)2017 Mar 0452 RVsHARPS (transit)2017 Mar 1566 RVsTRAPPIST-South2014 Mar 05 z bandEulerCAM2016 Feb 05 L band	Facility	Date	Notes
Interform2010 Feb 00 T_c bandTRAPPIST-South2016 Feb 16 z' bandTESS2019 Feb 2–273 transits	WASP-South CORALIE HARPS (orbit) HARPS (transit) HARPS (transit) HARPS (transit) TRAPPIST-South EulerCAM TRAPPIST-South TESS	2006 May–2012 May 2014 Feb–2017 Jan 2016 Apr–2018 Mar 2017 Jan 14 2017 Mar 04 2017 Mar 15 2014 Mar 05 2016 Feb 05 2016 Feb 16 2019 Feb 2–27	$\begin{array}{c} 33400 \text{ points} \\ 41 \text{ RVs} \\ 27 \text{ RVs} \\ 75 \text{ RVs} \\ 52 \text{ RVs} \\ 66 \text{ RVs} \\ z \text{ band} \\ I_c \text{ band} \\ z' \text{ band} \\ 3 \text{ transits} \end{array}$

detection of a 5.44-d transit signal. Radial-velocity (RV) observations with CORALIE found orbital motion at the transit period, but also showed additional variations. We thus accumulated more RV data than is usual for WASP planet discoveries in order to look for additional bodies or a longer-term trend. This amounted to 41 RVs with 1.2-m Euler/CORALIE over a three-year period, and 220 RVs with the ESO 3.6-m/HARPS, of which 27 covered the orbit, while 75, 52 and 66 were taken in sequences covering transits on three different nights. The CORALIE and HARPS data were reduced using standard pipelines, as described in Rickman et al. (2019), Udry et al. (2019) and references therein.

Further photometric observations (listed in Table 1) include two partial transit lightcurves from TRAPPIST-South (one ingress and one egress) and a full transit from Euler-CAM (Lendl et al. 2012), which unfortunately has excess red noise owing to poor observing conditions.

In 2019 February, after completion of the initial version of this paper, the TESS satellite observed the sky sector



Figure 3. The spectroscopic bisector spans against orbital phase. The HARPS and CORALIE data are plotted separately since their accuracy is different. The absence of any correlation with radial velocity is a check against transit mimics.



Figure 4. HARPS radial-velocity data through transit along with the fitted R–M model. The colours denote data from different nights (blue: 2017-01-14; green 2017-03-04; orange 2017-03-15).



Figure 5. The host star's effective temperature ($T_{\rm eff}$) versus density (where the dots are outputs of the BAGEMASS MCMC). The blue dotted line is the zero-age main sequence, the green dashed lines are the evolutionary tracks (for the best-fitting mass of 1.18 M_{\odot} and error bounds of 0.03 M_{\odot}), while the red lines are isochrones (for the best-fitting age of 2.1 Gyr and error bars of 0.9 Gyr).

that includes WASP-166. TESS (Ricker et al. 2016) is performing an all-sky transit survey aimed primarily at rocky planets too small to be found by the ground-based surveys. It has four cameras, each with a 10-cm lens backed by four 2048x2048 CCDs covering a field of $24^{\circ}x24^{\circ}$, and observing in a bandpass from 600 to 1000 nm.

We downloaded the public TESS lightcurve for WASP-166 (= TIC 408310006 = TOI-576) from the Mikulski Archive for Space Telescopes (MAST). We used the standard aperture-photometry data products, and extracted sections of the data around the known transit times. Three transits were observed over the 25-d period (a fourth was recorded shortly after recovery from a data gap, and we discard it owing to strong out-of-transit variability).

3 SPECTRAL ANALYSIS

For a spectral analysis of the host star we combined and added the HARPS spectra and adopted the methods of Doyle et al. (2013). The resulting parameters are listed in Table 2. The effective temperature, $T_{\rm eff} = 6050 \pm 50$ K, comes from the H α line, and suggests a spectral type of F9. The surface gravity, $\log g = 4.5 \pm 0.1$ comes from Na I D and Mg I b lines. The metallicity value of [Fe/H] = +0.19 \pm 0.05 comes from equivalent-width measurements of unblended Fe I lines. The Fe I lines were also used to estimate

4 Hellier et al.

Table 2. System parameters for WASP-166.

1SWASP J093930.08–205856.8 2MASS 09393009–2058568 BD–20 2976 RA = 09^h39^m30.09^s, Dec = -20°58′56.9″ (J2000) V mag = 9.36; GAIA G = 9.26; J = 8.35 Rotational modulation: < 1 mmag GAIA DR2 pm (RA) -55.082 ± 0.072 (Dec) 10.927 ± 0.069 mas/yr GAIA DR2 parallax: 8.7301 ± 0.0448 mas Distance = 113 ± 1 pc

Stellar parameters from spectroscopic analysis.			
Spectral type	F9V		
$T_{\rm eff}$ (K)	6050 ± 50		
$\log g$	4.5 ± 0.1		
$v \sin i \; (\mathrm{km s^{-1}})$	4.6 ± 0.8		
[Fe/H]	$+0.19 \pm 0.05$		
log A(Li)	2.68 ± 0.08		
Age (bagemass) (Gyr)	2.1 ± 0.9		

Parameters from MCMC analysis (fitted parameters denoted †).

$P(\mathbf{d})^{\dagger}$	5.443540 ± 0.000004
$T_{\rm c} ({\rm TDB})^{\dagger}$	2457664.3289 ± 0.0006
T_{14} (d) [†]	0.150 ± 0.001
$T_{12} = T_{34}$ (d)	0.0088 ± 0.0012
$\Delta F^{\dagger} = R_{\rm P}^2 / {\rm R}_*^2$	0.00281 ± 0.00007
b^{\dagger}	0.39 ± 0.10
i (°)	88.0 ± 0.7
$K_1 \; (\mathrm{km \; s^{-1}})^{\dagger}$	0.0104 ± 0.0004
$\gamma \; (\mathrm{km \; s^{-1}})^{\dagger}$	23.6285 ± 0.0003
e	0 (adopted) (<0.07 at 2σ)
a/R_*	11.3 ± 0.6
$M_* (M_{\odot})$	1.19 ± 0.06
$R_* (R_{\odot})$	1.22 ± 0.06
$\log g_* $ (cgs)	4.34 ± 0.05
$ ho_*~(ho_\odot)$	0.65 ± 0.10
$M_{\rm P} (M_{\rm Jup})$	0.101 ± 0.005
$R_{\rm P} (R_{\rm Jup})$	0.63 ± 0.03
$\log g_{\rm P} ({\rm cgs})$	2.77 ± 0.05
$ ho_{ m P}~(ho_{ m J})$	0.41 ± 0.07
$\lambda \; (deg)^{\dagger}$	3 ± 5
$v\sin i \; (\mathrm{km} \; \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	5.1 ± 0.3
a (AU)	0.0641 ± 0.0011
Irradiation (W m^{-2})	$6.0 \pm 0.6 \times 10^5$
$T_{\rm P,A=0}$ (K)	1270 ± 30

Priors were $M_* = 1.18 \pm 0.03 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ and $R_* = 1.23 \pm 0.06 \text{ R}_{\odot}$ Errors are 1σ ; Limb-darkening coefficients were: r band: a1 = 0.512, a2 = 0.337, a3 = -0.138, a4 = -0.015 I band: a1 = 0.579, a2 = 0.039, a3 = 0.104, a4 = -0.101 z band: a1 = 0.599, a2 = -0.076, a3 = 0.191, a4 = -0.131

a rotation speed of $v \sin i = 4.6 \pm 0.8 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, after convolving with the HARPS instrumental resolution ($R = 120\,000$), and also accounting for an estimate of the macroturbulence take from Doyle et al. (2014). We also report a value for the lithium abundance of log A(Li) = 2.68 ± 0.08.

According to Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018), WASP-166 has a relatively high proper motion of 56 mas/yr, which at the DR2 distance gives a transverse velocity of 30.0 ± 0.3 km s⁻¹, which complements the DR2 radial velocity of 24.0 ± 0.4 km s⁻¹. WASP-166 is relatively isolated, with the nearest DR2 star being 8 arcsecs away and 9 magnitudes fainter. There is no excess astrometric noise reported (such noise can indicate an unresolved binary).

-0.0020 -0.0015 -0.0010 -0.0005 0.0000 0.0005 0.0010 0.0015



Figure 6. The line profiles through transit. The white lines show (x-axis) the mean γ velocity of the system and the $v \sin i$ line width, and (y-axis) the beginning and end of transit. The Doppler shadow of the planet moves from blue to red over the transit. The mean profile has been subtracted, resulting in a reduced level elsewhere in transit.

4 SYSTEM ANALYSIS

As is standard for WASP discovery papers, we combined the photometry and radial-velocity datasets into a Markov-chain Monte-Carlo (MCMC) analysis (e.g. Collier Cameron et al. 2007). This fits parameters including T_c (the epoch of midtransit), P (the orbital period), ΔF (the transit depth that would be observed in the absence of limb-darkening), T_{14} (duration from first to fourth contact), b (the impact parameter) and K_1 (the stellar reflex velocity). For fitting the photometry we adopted the 4-parameter, non-linear limb darkening of Claret (2000), interpolating coefficients for the appropriate stellar temperature and metallicity.

We allowed for radial-velocity offsets between different datasets, treating the CORALIE data before and after a November 2014 upgrade, the HARPS data round the orbit, and the three HARPS transit observations, all as independent sets (the RV values are listed in Table A1). The γ velocity of the system given in Table 2 is that for the 27 HARPS datapoints around the orbit.

We adopted a zero-eccentricity fit for WASP-166b, as is usually the case for lower-mass, short-period planets. It is clear, though, that there are additional radial-velocity deviations from the fitted model; indeed the fit to the HARPS RVs around the orbit has a χ^2 of 217 for 27 datapoints. Allowing an eccentric solution did not significantly improve the fit (producing a not-significant value of $e = 0.03 \pm 0.02$, with a 2- σ upper limit of 0.07), nor did allowing a longterm drift in the RVs. Adding a second sinusoid, as could be caused by a second planet, also failed to model the additional variability, with tells us that it is not a coherent modulation.

The MCMC process accounts for the additional RV variability, and indeed any red noise in the transit lightcurves, by inflating each dataset's errors to give $\chi^2_{\nu} = 1$; this balances the different datasets' influence on the final result and inflates the errors on the output parameters.

As is usual in WASP discovery papers we also constrained the stellar mass by adopting a prior based on the measured effective temperature and metallicity values, together with the stellar density obtained by an initial fit to the transit. For this we used the BAGEMASS code described in Maxted et al. (2015). This resulted in a mass estimate of $1.18 \pm 0.03 M_{\odot}$, and also an age estimate of 2.1 ± 0.9 Gyr. The measured lithium abundance is consistent with this age estimate, though does not constrain the age further.

Lastly, we include a constraint on the stellar radius derived from Gaia DR2 (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2018). The DR2 parallax of 8.730 \pm 0.045 mas implies a distance of 113 \pm 1 pc (where we have applied the correction suggested by Stassun & Torres 2018). Using the Infra-Red Flux Method (Blackwell & Shallis 1977) this implies a stellar radius of 1.23 \pm 0.06 R_{\odot}, which we adopt as a prior. The initial version of this paper lacked the TESS data and so, with only limited photometry, the parameters were less secure. However, the Gaia input tied down the stellar radius, and hence the impact parameter, and thus, after including the TESS data, the values have changed by less than an error bar.

The adopted system parameters are listed in Table 2, while the data and fit are shown in Figs. 1 to 4. We also show a modified H–R diagram for the star in Fig. 5.

4.1 The Rossiter–McLaughlin effect

The above MCMC fit included fitting the in-transit radial velocities, where we use the parameterisation of Hirano et al. (2011) to model the RV deviations caused by the Rossiter–McLaughlin effect (Fig. 4). Any differences between the data on the three different nights of data could be caused by the planet crossing starspots or faculae regions.

The sky-projected obliquity angle, λ , is measured as 3 ± 5 degrees, and thus the planet's orbit is aligned with the stellar rotation. The fitted $v \sin i$ of 5.1 ± 0.3 km s⁻¹ is consistent with the spectroscopic value of 4.6 ± 0.8 km s⁻¹.

In Fig. 6 we show the HARPS line profiles through transit, in a tomographic display following the methods in Temple et al. (2018). This figure shows the averaged data from all three transits, with the mean profile subtracted to better show variations. The planet trace can be seen moving prograde from blue to red over the transit. Fitting the tomogram directly (e.g. Temple et al. 2018), as opposed to fitting the modelled RVs, produces parameters consistent with those in Table 2, where again the uncertainties are currently dominated by the quality of the photometry.

4.2 Possible magnetic activity

The RV data clearly show deviations about the orbital model (Fig. 2). To illustrate this we plot in Fig. 7 some of the residual RV values as a function of time (omitting the in-transit R–M sequences). The residuals appear to be correlated from night to night.



Figure 7. The residuals of the RV data to the orbital model, plotted as a function of time. Blue and green symbols are HARPS and CORALIE data respectively. The red line shows portions of sinusoid (not phase coherent) that illustrate the putative 12.1-day stellar rotation period.

Given the transit and the fact that the planet's orbit is aligned we can presume that the stellar rotation axis is perpendicular to the line of sight, and thus combining the $v \sin i$ fitted to the R–M effect with the fitted stellar radius we obtain a rotational period of 12.1 ± 0.9 days. To guide the eye we plot in Fig. 7 portions of 12.1-d sinusoid (amplitude 7 m s⁻¹), and conclude that the RV deviations might result from magnetic activity.

We have also investigated the variability by modelling the HARPS RVs using a gaussian-process (GP) analysis following the method detailed in Haywood et al. (2014). To model the residuals, this adds to the orbital motion three hyperparameters (with uniform priors), namely an amplitude a, a period $P_{\rm rot}$ (taken to be the rotational period), and an exponential decay timescale, $t_{\rm decay}$ (being the timescale on which magnetic activity would lose coherence). We set the last to ~30 d, which is physically realistic, but is not constrained by the data since we have few observations separated by that timescale. The "corner plot" of the GP output is shown in Fig. 8.

The analysis produces a clear preference for a rotational period of 12.3 ± 1.9 days, consistent with that from the R–M $v \sin i$, and in line with the magnetic-activity hypothesis. The resulting values of $K_1 = 0.0100 \pm 0.0006 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ and $\gamma = 23.6288 \pm 0.0012 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ are consistent with those in Table 2.

Having found evidence of a 12-d rotational period we then searched the WASP lightcurve for any rotational mod-



Figure 8. Parameter distributions from the gaussian-process analysis of the correlated RV residuals. The decay timescale t_{decay} is not constrained by the data, but there is a clear preference for a rotational period, $P_{\text{rot}} = 12.3 \pm 1.9$ day.

ulation, using the methods of Maxted et al. (2011). The WASP data amount to 33 000 data points spanning ~ 150 nights of coverage in each of six consecutive seasons from 2007 to 2012. We found no significant modulation to a 95% limit of 1 mmag. Given the lack of a photometric modulation, the correlated RV residuals might be attributable to magnetic suppression of photospheric convection in spot-free facular active regions (e.g. Milbourne et al. 2019).

5 DISCUSSION

With a mass of 1.9 Neptunes, WASP-166b is the lowestmass planet yet discovered by the WASP survey. It also has a bloated radius of 0.63 ± 0.03 R_{Jup}. The "super-Neptune" region of the mass–radius diagram for known, short-period transiting exoplanets is plotted in Fig. 9, showing that WASP-166b is near the upper size bound for a planet of its mass. The empirically observed upper bound (from WASP-127b to WASP-107b to HAT-P-26b) is falling rapidly in this mass range, which may be telling us about radius-inflation mechanisms and the ability of a lower-mass planet to hold onto its envelope under the effects of irradiation.

For example, Mazeh et al. (2016) have shown that there is a "Neptune desert" at short orbital periods, with almost no hot-Neptune planets at periods < 5 d and fewer at periods < 10 d, when compared to abundant hot Jupiters and super-Earths (see also Thorngren & Fortney 2018 on the lack of inflated sub-Saturns). To illustrate the "Neptune" or "sub-Jovian desert" we highlight the location of WASP-166b on a plot of planet mass against insolation (Fig. 10).



Figure 9. Masses and radii of transiting "hot" super-Neptune planets (with orbital periods < 10 d). The symbols are coloured according to the planet's equilibrium temperature. The labelled planets are WASP-107b (Anderson et al. 2017), WASP-127b (Lam et al. 2017), WASP-139b (Hellier et al. 2017), WASP-156b (Demangeon et al. 2018), HAT-P-11b (Bakos et al. 2010), HAT-P-26b (Hartman et al. 2011), HAT-P-48b (Bakos et al. 2016), HATS-8b (Bayliss et al. 2015), KELT-11b (Pepper et al. 2017) and K2-39b (Van Eylen et al. 2016; Petigura et al. 2017). The location of Neptune is marked with an N.

The desert is likely the result of photo-irradiation of inwardly migrating planets (e.g. Sestovic et al. 2018; Owen & Lai 2018; Szabó & Kálmán 2019). Jupiter-mass planets are able to resist photo-evaporation, and continue to migrate inwards by tidal orbital decay, whereas a lowsurface-gravity Neptune such as WASP-166b could not. A hot Neptune could instead be captured into a short-period orbit from a high-eccentricity-migration pathway, and so, being new to its orbit, would not have undergone the photoevaporation that would have occurred had it migrated there by the slower process of orbital decay. However, such capture only occurs for a narrow range of parameter space (Owen & Lai 2018), and so such systems would be rare.

With a period of 5.4 d and orbiting an F star, WASP-166b has a relatively high irradiation of 6×10^5 W m⁻² (440 times Earth's insolation) for such a low-surface-gravity planet. Thus it appears to be a rare object, with a radius bloated by irradiation, on the boundary of the Neptune desert.

The Rossiter-McLaughlin observations show that the orbit is aligned ($\lambda = 3 \pm 5$ degrees). This is consistent with it being on a lengthy inwardly migration, during which it has become bloated owing to irradiation. However, recent capture from high-eccentricity pathways can also produce aligned orbits, so this is not conclusive. Relatively few of the super-Neptune planets in Fig. 9 have had obliquity angles measured, though those that have – HAT-



Figure 10. Exoplanet mass versus incident flux, showing the location of WASP-166b in the "sub-Jovian desert". The symbol area is proportional to the bulk density of the planet. We include only planets with host stars brighter than V = 12. Data are from http://exoplanets.org/

P-11b (Bakos et al. 2010; Winn et al. 2010), WASP-107b (Anderson et al. 2017; Dai & Winn 2017; Močnik et al. 2017) and GJ 436b (Gillon et al. 2007; Bourrier et al. 2018) – are all known to be misaligned.

The bloated nature of WASP-166b combines with a bright host star of V = 9.36 to make it a prime target for atmospheric characterisation. Indeed, recent observations of irradiated low-surface-gravity planets show indications of photo-evaporating atmospheres (e.g. Spake et al. 2018; Mansfield et al. 2018). The expected signal for a transmission spectrum depends on the atmospheric scale height, transit depth, and host-star magnitude (e.g. equation 36 of Winn 2010). In Fig. 11 we compare the signal expected for WASP-166b with other low-mass planets ($M < 0.2 \text{ M}_{\text{Jup}}$). This shows that WASP-166b is likely to be among the best targets for such studies, though this may be more difficult if the star is indeed magnetically active, as indicated by correlated deviations in the RV data. We suggest that WASP-166b is potentially a prime target for the James Webb Space Telescope, and that it should first be observed with HST in order to assess the cloudiness of its atmosphere.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

WASP-South is hosted by the South African Astronomical Observatory and we are grateful for their ongoing support and assistance. Funding for WASP came from consortium universities and from the UK's Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC). ACC acknowledges support from STFC consolidated grant number ST/R000824/1. The Euler Swiss telescope is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation. The HARPS data result from observations made at ESO 3.6 m telescope at the La Silla Observatory under ESO programmes 097.C-0434 and 098.C-0304. TRAPPIST-South is funded by the Belgian Fund for Scientific Research (Fond National de la Recherche Scientifique, FNRS) under the grant FRFC 2.5.594.09.F, with the participation of the Swiss National Science Fundation (SNF). The research leading to these results has received funding from the ARC grant for Concerted Research Actions, financed by the Wallonia-Brussels Federation. M.G. and E.J. are Senior Research Associates at the FNRS-F.R.S. L.D. acknowledges support from a Gruber Foundation Fellowship. This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (project FOUR ACES; grant agreement No 724427). This work has been carried out in the frame of the National Centre for Competence in Research PlanetS supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF). This paper includes data collected with the TESS mission, obtained from the MAST data archive at the Space Telescope Science Institute (STScI). Funding for



Figure 11. An illustration of prime low-mass planets ($< 0.2 M_{Jup}$) for atmospheric characterisation, based on the scale height of the atmosphere, the transit depth, and the host-star brightness.

the TESS mission is provided by the NASA Explorer Program. We thank the many people involved in the creation of TESS data. We acknowledge use of data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission Gaia, as processed by the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium (DPAC).

REFERENCES

- Anderson D. R., et al., 2017, A&A, 604, A110
- Bakos G. Á., et al., 2010, ApJ, 710, 1724
- Bakos G. Á., et al., 2016, preprint, (arXiv:1606.04556)
- Bayliss D., et al., 2015, AJ, 150, 49
- Blackwell D. E., Shallis M. J., 1977, MNRAS, 180, 177
- Bourrier V., et al., 2018, Nature, 553, 477
- Chen G., et al., 2018, A&A, 616, A145
- Claret A., 2000, A&A, **363**, **1081**
- Collier Cameron A., et al., 2007, MNRAS, 375, 951
- Dai F., Winn J. N., 2017, AJ, 153, 205
- Demangeon O. D. S., et al., 2018, A&A, 610, A63
- Doyle A. P., et al., 2013, MNRAS, 428, 3164
- Doyle A. P., Davies G. R., Smalley B., Chaplin W. J., Elsworth Y., 2014, MNRAS, 444, 3592
- Gaia Collaboration et al., 2018, A&A, 616, A1
- Gillon M., et al., 2007, A&A, 472, L13
- Gillon M., et al., 2013, A&A, 552, A82
- Hartman J. D., et al., 2011, ApJ, 728, 138 $\,$
- Haywood R. D., et al., 2014, MNRAS, 443, 2517
- Hellier C., et al., 2017, MNRAS, 465, 3693
- Hellier C., et al., 2018, MNRAS,
- Hirano T., Suto Y., Winn J. N., Taruya A., Narita N., Albrecht S., Sato B., 2011, ApJ, 742, 69
- Kreidberg L., Line M. R., Thorngren D., Morley C. V., Stevenson K. B., 2018, ApJ, 858, L6

- Lam K. W. F., et al., 2017, A&A, 599, A3
- Lendl M., et al., 2012, A&A, 544, A72
- Mansfield M., et al., 2018, ApJ, 868, L34
- Maxted P. F. L., et al., 2011, PASP, 123, 547
- Maxted P. F. L., Serenelli A. M., Southworth J., 2015, A&A, 575, A36
- Mazeh T., Holczer T., Faigler S., 2016, A&A, 589, A75
- Milbourne T. W., et al., 2019, ApJ, 874, 107
- Močnik T., Hellier C., Anderson D. R., Clark B. J. M., Southworth J., 2017, MNRAS, 469, 1622
- Owen J. E., Lai D., 2018, MNRAS, 479, 5012
- Palle E., et al., 2017, A&A, 602, L15
- Pepper J., et al., 2017, AJ, 153, 215
- Petigura E. A., et al., 2017, AJ, 153, 142
- Pollacco D. L., et al., 2006, PASP, 118, 1407
- Ricker G. R., et al., 2016, in Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2016: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave. p. 99042B, doi:10.1117/12.2232071
- Rickman E. L., et al., 2019, arXiv e-prints,
- Sestovic M., Demory B.-O., Queloz D., 2018, A&A, 616, A76
- Spake J. J., et al., 2018, Nature, 557, 68
- Stassun K. G., Torres G., 2018, ApJ, 862, 61
- Szabó G. M., Kálmán S., 2019, arXiv e-prints,
- Temple L. Y., et al., 2018, MNRAS, 480, 5307
- Thorngren D. P., Fortney J. J., 2018, AJ, 155, 214
- Triaud A. H. M. J., et al., 2013, A&A, 551, A80
- Udry S., et al., 2019, A&A, 622, A37
- Van Eylen V., et al., 2016, AJ, 152, 143
- Winn J. N., 2010, preprint, (arXiv:1001.2010)
- Winn J. N., et al., 2010, ApJ, 723, L223

This paper has been typeset from a $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{X}/\mathrm{I}\!\mathrm{A}\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{E}}\mathrm{X}$ file prepared by the author.

WASP-166b 9

 Table A1. WASP-166 Radial velocities.

$BJD - 2400\ 000$	RV	$\sigma_{ m RV}$	Bisector
(UTC)	$({\rm km \ s^{-1}})$	$({\rm km \ s^{-1}})$	$({\rm km \ s^{-1}})$
	, ,		
CORALIE:			
56715.69870	23.60674	0.00420	-0.00118
56718.61401	23.60476	0.00449	0.00282
57186.46596	23.59798	0.00571	0.00657
57189.46427	23.61726	0.00466	0.00915
57379.74611	23.60818	0.00352	-0.00198
57420 73070	23 58513	0.00363	-0.00060
57421 63001	23 59524	0.00374	0.00681
57421.05031	20.00024	0.00314	0.00001
57422.00015	23.00160	0.00347	-0.00721
57423.69579	23.60940	0.00350	0.00762
57426.68531	23.58764	0.00402	0.01747
57427.68034	23.60654	0.00423	-0.01649
57430.58045	23.61098	0.00540	0.01034
57431.68932	23.59771	0.00449	-0.01920
57432.65318	23.59465	0.00399	0.00542
57433.64406	23.60229	0.00431	0.00174
57434.76630	23.60306	0.00587	0.01239
57435 56404	23 59491	0.00532	0.00404
57451 62007	23 61025	0.00300	0.00104
57459 60009	23.61120	0.000000	0.00020
07402.00000 E7459.50001	20.00100	0.00420	0.00904
07403.59001 57450 50010	23.00351	0.00427	-0.00305
57458.58216	23.59830	0.00395	0.00568
57462.58709	23.60401	0.00398	0.00156
57488.57829	23.61223	0.00397	0.00506
57512.56673	23.62081	0.00901	0.02664
57558.45880	23.59931	0.00409	0.00181
57559.47429	23.61804	0.00467	0.01462
57560.45947	23.60978	0.00461	-0.00190
57561.46060	23.60891	0.00490	0.00154
57566.45959	23.61192	0.00541	0.01347
57568 46079	23 59210	0.00522	-0.00197
57716 80525	23 62126	0.00707	-0.00107
57791 78118	23.60734	0.00450	0.00042
57722.70110	23.00754	0.00490	0.00035
57792 70421	23.01239	0.00420	-0.00933
07720779401	23.01300	0.00480	0.00999
07724.700U2	23.00758	0.00470	-0.00170
57727.82177	23.60882	0.00479	0.00605
57730.78526	23.59711	0.00498	0.00324
57749.79985	23.61638	0.00480	0.00369
57758.72952	23.59302	0.00425	0.00237
57759.71655	23.61154	0.00464	0.01173
57762.68238	23.60114	0.00523	-0.00550
HARPS:			
57486.54275	23.61872	0.00217	0.01679
57487.53361	23.62463	0.00197	0.02873
57523.49754	23.62104	0.00324	0.02185
57526.48623	23.63539	0.00168	0.02013
57536.54610	23.63749	0.00174	0.03079
57577.46098	23.63466	0.00242	0.02971
57728.82037	23.63863	0.00157	0.02209
57720 78720	23.62030	0.00181	0.02200
57730 89154	20.02200	0.00187	0.02032
57799 05000	20.02020 99.69/9/	0.00107	0.022/4
01102.0090U	20.00404 00.60700	0.00402	0.00762
57754 00041	23.63792	0.00185	0.02763
57734.80841	23.63362	0.00142	0.02294
57735.83008	23.62436	0.00144	0.02777
57751.77429	23.62868	0.00152	0.02248
57752.73009	23.62116	0.00160	0.02288
57753.78115	23.63266	0.00194	0.02552
57754.80284	23.64190	0.00182	0.01799
57755.78101	23.63761	0.00173	0.03517
57756.85892	23.62748	0.00163	0.02527

BJD - 2400000	RV	$\sigma_{ m RV}$	Bisector
(UTC)	$(\mathrm{km}\ \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$(\mathrm{km}\ \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$(\mathrm{km}\ \mathrm{s}^{-1})$
57767.58760	23.63394	0.00303	0.01446
57767.59207	23.62533	0.00387	0.00627
57767.60060	23.62550	0.00393	0.02186
57767.60469	23.62297	0.00386	0.00775
57767.60832	23.61950	0.00287	0.02241
57767.61151	23.60388	0.00724	0.00137
57767.61645	23.63063	0.00339	0.02259
57767.61977	23.62539	0.00270	0.02510
57767.62353	23.62773	0.00259	0.02354
57767.62751	23.62473	0.00255	0.01744
57767 63503	23.02443	0.00249	0.02952
57767 63901	23.02001 23.62367	0.00303	0.03180
57767.64282	23.62850	0.00266	0.00989
57767.64666	23.62716	0.00240	0.02489
57767.65047	23.62837	0.00244	0.02723
57767.65421	23.62679	0.00287	0.01658
57767.65819	23.62576	0.00267	0.01895
57767.66193	23.62528	0.00299	0.02379
57767.66586	23.62671	0.00315	0.02334
57767.66970	23.62449	0.00263	0.02682
57767.67342	23.63029	0.00278	0.02365
57767.67732	23.62687	0.00279	0.02616
57767.68104	23.62274	0.00312	0.02647
57767 68007	23.02800	0.00326	0.02931
57767 69275	23.03029	0.00339	0.03003
57767 69634	23.62200	0.00345	0.01030
57767.70049	23.63575	0.00401	0.02519
57767.70436	23.62679	0.00364	0.01344
57767.70827	23.63266	0.00397	0.01581
57767.71208	23.63109	0.00336	0.01289
57767.71589	23.62978	0.00322	0.02351
57767.71979	23.63458	0.00335	0.01285
57767.72350	23.63067	0.00344	0.00116
57767.72728	23.62371	0.00414	0.01412
57767.73115	23.63412	0.00569	0.01239
57767.73531	23.62115	0.00512	0.02570
57767 74961	23.02702	0.00385	0.00477
57767 74670	23.02008	0.00432 0.00344	0.05001
57767.75044	23.62675	0.00344	0.01318
57767.75431	23.61709	0.00378	0.03052
57767.75819	23.62384	0.00345	0.03771
57767.76202	23.62485	0.00329	0.01941
57767.76605	23.62461	0.00340	0.02824
57767.76967	23.61390	0.00337	0.02390
57767.77348	23.61676	0.00333	0.02542
57767.77738	23.61591	0.00350	0.02449
57767.78119	23.61169	0.00320	0.02376
57767.78500	23.60689	0.00326	0.02685
57767.78895	23.61830	0.00339	0.02571
57767 70650	23.01151	0.00309	0.02777
57767 80027	20.01000 23.61020	0.00319	0.01576
57767 80498	23.01029 23.61641	0.00323	0.01117
57767 80822	23.61041 23.60975	0.00314 0.00364	0.01905
57767.81189	23.61252	0.00336	0.02892
57767.81577	23.61281	0.00348	0.00388
57767.81957	23.61239	0.00349	0.01962
57767.82342	23.62330	0.00302	0.01296
57767.82726	23.62029	0.00311	0.01471
57767.83121	23.61806	0.00296	0.03654
57767.83504	23.62090	0.00278	0.01999
57767.83881	23.62567	0.00272	0.02272

MNRAS **000**, range (0000)

10 Hellier et al.

D ID 9400.000	DV		Disastan
BJD = 2400000	RV	$\sigma_{\rm RV}$	$(1 \text{ m} \text{ s}^{-1})$
(010)	(kiii s)	(KIII S)	(kiii s)
57767.84263	23.62769	0.00276	0.03642
57767.84646	23.62409	0.00290	0.02824
57767.85041	23.62141	0.00297	0.02191
57767.85429	23.62807	0.00285	0.02369
57767.85802	23.61976	0.00308	0.02249
57767.86187	23.62543	0.00292	0.03571
57767.86566	23.61653	0.00328	0.01006
57767.86958	23.62595	0.00320	0.03263
57767.87338	23.62471	0.00306	0.02682
57767.87724	23.62356	0.00284	0.02180
57769.80714	23.61499	0.00219	0.02590
57770.75537	23.62649	0.00281	0.02549
57816 65537	23 63010	0.00210	0.02144
57816 66032	23.03013	0.00210	0.02144
57816.67017	23.02317	0.00204	0.01475
57816 67507	23.02857	0.00244 0.00262	0.01715
57816 67886	23.03013	0.00202	0.01374
57816 68966	20.00092 23 63/60	0.00201	0.02071
57816 68659	23.03409	0.00200	0.01012
57816 60049	20.00990 93 63950	0.00200	0.01331
57816 60410	⊿J.UJ0JU 23.63015	0.00260 0.00271	0.02004
57816 60701	23.03910	0.00271	0.02200
57816 70188	⊿J.UJ940 23 63340	0.00279	0.01020
57816 70578	23.03349	0.00323	0.03297
57816 70061	23.03700	0.00310	0.02489
57816 71334	23.03137	0.00300	0.01375
57816 71791	23.03334	0.00289	0.01285
57816 72007	23.03607	0.00281	0.02064
57816 72400	23.03001	0.00292	0.02302
57816 72856	20.00209 02.62060	0.00297	0.01309
57610.72630	23.03902	0.00312	0.01000
57816 72622	23.03212	0.00354 0.00217	0.01200
57610.75055	23.02190	0.00317	0.02410
57610.74019	23.02111	0.00323	0.02676
57610.74404	23.02030	0.00312	0.02500
57610.74767	23.02304	0.00333	0.03302
57816 75556	23.02932	0.00324	-0.00855
57816 75020	23.02013	0.00320	0.03007
57816 76336	23.02212	0.00303	0.03031
57816 76706	23.02100	0.00344	0.02300 0.01721
57816 77099	20.02109 93.61991	0.00314	0.01721
57816 77461	20.01001 03 60000	0.00301	0.04720
57816 77964	20.02000	0.00303	0.01420
57816 78997	23.01910 23.61025	0.00347	0.03044 0.03907
57816 78610	23.01923	0.00350	0.05251
57816 70007	23 69194	0.00305	0.02491
57816 70383	23 61770	0.00328	0.02503
57816 70766	23 61668	0.00337	0.02000
57816 80145	23 60814	0.00384	0.05755
57816 80537	23 61670	0.00352	0.02013
57816 80000	23 61204	0.00302	0.02001
57816 81303	23 62676	0.00325	0.01905
57816 81689	23 62610	0.00385	0.01207
57816 89069	23.02019	0.00301	0.01007
57816 89440	23 62186	0.00393	0.02124
57816 89839	23.62100	0.00330	0.05071
57816 82010	20.02400 23.62562	0.00410	0.02101
57816 82500	20.02002 93.61709	0.00439	0.02000
57816 02000	20.01/00 22.60/00	0.00442	0.00742
57816 019502	20.02400 93.69699	0.00471	0.02442
57816 81799	20.02022 23.61020	0.00400	_0.01301
57816 95191	20.01920 93.61671	0.00012	0.02649
07010.00131 57816 95511	⊿J.010/1 23.62202	0.00493	0.02048
57816 95909	20.02290 92.61911	0.00002	0.02190
01010.00090	⊿ე.01311	0.00498	0.00120

BJD - 2400000	RV	$\sigma_{ m RV}$	Bisector
(UTC)	$({\rm km \ s^{-1}})$	$({\rm km \ s^{-1}})$	$({\rm km \ s^{-1}})$
57827.53425	23.62930	0.00280	0.02204
57827.53872	23.63603	0.00290	0.02670
57827.54345	23.63423	0.00285	0.02590
57827.54786	23.62957	0.00259	0.02816
57827 55227	23 63447	0.00278	0.01380
57927 55676	22.03447	0.00218	0.01503
57627.55070	23.03000	0.00297	0.02514
57827.56098	23.63909	0.00305	0.02265
57827.56519	23.63729	0.00373	0.02886
57827.57028	23.64419	0.00428	0.02696
57827.57457	23.64038	0.00364	0.02522
57827.57890	23.65067	0.00382	0.02796
57827.58327	23.64449	0.00309	0.02050
57827.58769	23.64204	0.00295	0.02194
57827 59202	23 64369	0.00286	0.03653
57827 59643	23.64428	0.00285	0.02834
57827.53045	23.04428	0.00285	0.02834
57627.00064	25.04191	0.00207	0.03241
57827.60533	23.63830	0.00263	0.01467
57827.60976	23.64247	0.00262	0.02718
57827.61409	23.64307	0.00264	0.01784
57827.61850	23.63863	0.00266	0.04245
57827.62295	23.63842	0.00252	0.01951
57827.62728	23.63858	0.00241	0.02096
57827 63170	23 63444	0.00238	0.02134
57927 62615	20.00444	0.00200	0.02104
57627.05015	23.03524	0.00238	0.01069
5/82/.04050	23.03139	0.00264	0.01744
57827.64497	23.63212	0.00243	0.02347
57827.64938	23.62593	0.00239	0.02557
57827.65372	23.62390	0.00251	0.02587
57827.65821	23.62888	0.00241	0.02687
57827.66262	23.62513	0.00246	0.02387
57827 66703	23 61826	0.00244	0.03302
57827 67144	23 62351	0.00237	0.01251
57927 67526	22.60601	0.00261	0.01266
57627.07520	23.00091	0.00708	-0.01300
57627.06065	25.01597	0.00550	0.02234
57827.68469	23.62346	0.00271	0.01181
57827.68917	23.61960	0.00271	0.01719
57827.69354	23.62385	0.00272	0.01891
57827.69801	23.62317	0.00337	0.03128
57827.70243	23.62472	0.00259	0.02089
57827.70685	23.62682	0.00261	0.01774
57827 71126	23 63026	0.00259	0.02072
57827 71550	23.620020	0.00200	0.00473
57027.71005	20.02002	0.00212	0.00413
57627.71960	23.02264	0.00418	0.02865
57827.72448	23.63551	0.00267	0.03343
57827.72884	23.62753	0.00266	0.02473
57827.73326	23.63365	0.00270	0.02559
57827.73771	23.62836	0.00282	0.02362
57827.74242	23.62463	0.00315	0.02299
57827.74657	23.63381	0.00288	0.02348
57827.75090	23.62604	0.00292	0.01722
57827.75536	23.62729	0.00298	0.03213
57827 75977	23 62853	0.00294	0.02042
57897 76467	20.02000	0.00294	0.02042
57007 76060	20.00000 99.69404	0.00999	0.02000
01021.10808	23.03494	0.00308	0.04233
57827.77297	23.61858	0.00347	0.02458
57827.77742	23.63191	0.00324	0.01560
57827.78183	23.63586	0.00356	0.01705
57827.78629	23.62552	0.00359	0.02208
57827.79066	23.63287	0.00373	0.01926
57827.79507	23.62353	0.00402	0.02713
57827 70044	23 63074	0.00404	0 02180
57897 80990	20.00014	0.00404	0.02103
01021.80389	23.03272	0.00406	0.01771
57827.80837	23.62434	0.00435	-0.00767
57827.81284	23.62679	0.00437	0.02713
57827.81780	23.62169	0.00599	0.03090
57827.82180	23.63132	0.00444	0.01595
-		NANTA	*

MNRAS 000, range (0000)

$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{BJD-2400\ 000}\\ \mathrm{(UTC)} \end{array}$	$ m RV \ (km \ s^{-1})$	$\sigma_{ m RV} \ ({ m km~s^{-1}})$	Bisector $(\rm km \ s^{-1})$
$\begin{array}{c} 58198.71811\\ 58199.67572\\ 58201.64627\\ 58202.70438\\ 58203.61226\\ 58204.60885\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.61212\\ 23.61200\\ 23.63373\\ 23.63717\\ 23.62522\\ 23.61929\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00130\\ 0.00111\\ 0.00139\\ 0.00119\\ 0.00141\\ 0.00145 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02011\\ 0.02893\\ 0.02105\\ 0.01943\\ 0.01834\\ 0.02213\end{array}$

Bisector errors are twice RV errors. Lines denote an

instrument upgrade, or separate through-transit sequences