

# Global existence, blowup phenomena, and asymptotic behavior for quasilinear Schrödinger equations

Xianfa Song<sup>a\*</sup> and Zhi-Qiang Wang<sup>b,c†</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, School of Mathematics, Tianjin University,  
Tianjin, 300072, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup> Center of Applied Mathematics, School of Mathematics, Tianjin University,  
Tianjin, 300072, P. R. China

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Utah State University,  
Logan, UT 84322, USA

November 14, 2018

## Abstract

In this paper, we deal with the Cauchy problem of the quasilinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} iu_t = \Delta u + 2uh'(|u|^2)\Delta h(|u|^2) + F(|u|^2)u & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

Here  $h(s)$  and  $F(s)$  are some real-valued functions, with various choices for models from mathematical physics. We examine the interplay between the quasilinear effect of  $h$  and nonlinear effect of  $F$  for the global existence and blowup phenomena. We provide sufficient conditions on the blowup in finite time and global existence of the solution. In some cases, we can deduce the watershed from these conditions. In the focusing case, we construct the sharp threshold for the blowup in finite time and global existence of the solution and lower bound for blowup rate of the blowup solution. Moreover, we establish the pseudo-conformal conservation law and some asymptotic behavior results on the global solution.

**Keywords:** Quasilinear Schrödinger equation; Global existence; Blow up; Asymptotic behavior.

**2000 MSC:** 35Q55.

---

\*E-mail: songxianfa@tju.edu.cn(X.F. Song)

†Corresponding author, Email: zhi-qiang.wang@usu.edu(Z. Q. Wang)

# 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} iu_t = \Delta u + 2uh'(|u|^2)\Delta h(|u|^2) + F(|u|^2)u & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $h(s)$  and  $F(s)$  are some real functions,  $h(s) \geq 0$  for  $s \geq 0$ . (1.1) often appears in plasma physics and fluid mechanics, in the theory of Heisenberg ferromagnet and magnons, and in condensed matter theory, see [2, 21, 29, 33]. It has been used in many models of physical phenomena. For example, if it models the self-channelling of a high-power ultra short laser in matter with  $h(s) = \sqrt{1+s}$ , the superfluid film equation in plasma physics with  $h(s) = s$  ([26, 27]) (so-called modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation), and while with  $h(s) = \sqrt{s}$ , it illustrates the physical phenomenon in dissipative quantum mechanics, see [4, 5, 23, 36].

The local well-posedness of the problem has been established by many authors, see [11, 12, 25, 34] and the references therein. Let

$$X = \{w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|w|^2)|^2 dx < +\infty\}. \quad (1.2)$$

By the known results, we have the following result.

**Theorem A** (Local well-posedness) *Assume that  $u_0 \in H^{L+2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap X$  and  $h(s), F(s) \in C^{L+2}(\mathbb{R}^+)$  for some  $L \geq N+2$ . Then there exist a  $T_L > 0$  and a unique solution to (1.1) satisfying*

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u \in L^\infty([0, T_L]; H^{L+2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap X) \cap C([0, T_L]; H^L(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap X).$$

In order to review other results on (1.1), we state the precise definition of global existence and finite time blowup of solutions.

**Definition 1.** *Assume that  $u(x, t)$  is the solution of (1.1). If the maximum existence interval of  $u(x, t)$  for  $t$  is  $[0, +\infty)$ , we say that  $u(x, t)$  is of global existence. On the other hand, we say that  $u(x, t)$  blows up in finite time if there exists a time  $0 < T < +\infty$  such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [ |u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla h(|u(x, t)|^2)|^2 ] dx = +\infty. \quad (1.3)$$

One of main goals of this paper is to establish the global existence and blowup phenomena for the general problem (1.1). About the topic on the global existence and blowup phenomena of the classical nonlinear Schrödinger equation, in his seminal paper [20] of 1977, Glassey considered the following Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} iu_t = \Delta u + F(|u|^2)u & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

By his result, the key condition on the blowup of the solution to (1.4) is that there exists a constant  $c_N > 1 + \frac{2}{N}$  such that  $sF(s) \geq c_N G(s)$  for all  $s \geq 0$ , where  $G(s) = \int_0^s F(\eta) d\eta$ . In 1981, Berestycki and Cazenave, Cazenave and Lions established a sharp condition on the blowup of the solution and the result on the instability of standing wave solution to (1.4) in [3, 8]. We also can refer to [13, 38] and the references therein. In [22], Guo, Chen and Su, studied the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} i\varphi_t + \Delta\varphi + 2(\Delta|\varphi|^2)\varphi + |\varphi|^{q-2}\varphi = 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t > 0 \\ \varphi(x, 0) = \bar{u}_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Letting  $\bar{\varphi} = u$ , we find that (1.5) is a special case of (1.1) with  $h(s) = s$  and  $F(s) = s^{\frac{q-2}{2}}$ . They obtained that the solution of (1.5) will blow up in finite time if  $4 + \frac{4}{N} \leq q < 2 \cdot 2^*$  under some assumptions. Here  $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$ .

About the existence of standing wave solution to (1.1), we can refer to [9, 12, 14, 15, 24, 30, 31, 32, 35, 37] and the references therein. Recently, the stability and instability of the standing wave solution of (1.1) with  $h(s) = s^\alpha$  and  $F(s) = s^{\frac{q-1}{2}}$  was respectively studied by Colin, Jeanjean and Squassina in [12] (where  $\alpha = 1$ ), Chen, Li and Wang in [9] (where  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ ). Letting  $\varphi = \bar{u}$ , the models in [12] and [9] can be written as

$$\begin{cases} i\varphi_t + \Delta\varphi + 2\alpha(\Delta|\varphi|^{2\alpha})|\varphi|^{2\alpha-2}\varphi + |\varphi|^{q-2}\varphi = 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t > 0 \\ \varphi(x, 0) = \bar{u}_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

By their results, the standing wave solution of (1.6) is stable if  $2 < q < 4\alpha + \frac{4}{N}$  and unstable if  $4\alpha + \frac{4}{N} \leq q < 2\alpha \cdot 2^*$ . Chen and Rocha in [10] studied the equation with a harmonic potential

$$\begin{cases} i\varphi_t + \Delta\varphi + 2(\Delta|\varphi|^2)\varphi - |x|^2\varphi + |\varphi|^{q-2}\varphi = 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t > 0 \\ \varphi(x, 0) = \bar{u}_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

They proved the standing wave solution of (1.7) is stable if  $2 < q < 4 + \frac{4}{N}$  and unstable if  $4 + \frac{4}{N} \leq q < 2 \cdot 2^*$ .

Motivated by these studies, we will establish the conditions on the blowup in finite time and global existence of the solution to the more general equation (1.1).

Before we state our results, we define the mass and energy of (1.1) as follows.

(i) Mass:

$$m(u) = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} := [M(u)]^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

(ii) Energy :

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 - G(|u|^2)] dx.$$

We will prove the conservations of mass and energy in Section 2.

In the sequels, we will use  $C, C', c_j, c'_j$ , and so on, to denote the constants which are independent of  $x$  and  $t$ , the values of them may vary line to line.

We use  $C_s$  to denote the best constant in the Sobolev's inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} w^{2^*} dx \leq C_s \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2}} \quad \text{for any } w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (1.8)$$

Our first result establishes sufficient conditions on the global existence of the solution to (1.1).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $u(x, t)$  be the solution to (1.1) with  $u_0 \in X$ . Assume  $F(s) = F_1(s) - F_2(s)$ , and denote*

$$G_1(s) = \int_0^s F_1(\eta) d\eta, \quad G_2(s) = \int_0^s F_2(\eta) d\eta.$$

*Then  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|u|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2] dx$  is uniformly bounded for all  $t > 0$  (i.e.,  $u$  is of global existence) in one of the following three cases:*

*Case (1)  $F_1(s) \equiv 0, F(s) \equiv -F_2(s) \leq 0$  for  $s \geq 0$ ;*

*Case (2)  $F_2(s) \equiv 0, F(s) \equiv F_1(s)$ . Suppose that  $F_1(s) \geq 0$  for  $s \geq 0$  or  $F_1(s)$  changes sign for  $s \geq 0$ , and there exist  $0 < \theta_1 < 1, 0 < \theta_2 < 1, q_1 > 1$  and  $q_2 > 1$  such that*

$$(2^* - 2)\theta_1 + 2q_1 \geq 2^*, \quad (2^* - 2)\theta_2 + 2q_2 \geq 2^*, \quad (1.9)$$

$$[|G_1(s)|]^{\theta_1} \leq c_1 s, \quad [|G_1(s)|]^{q_1} \leq c'_1 s + \epsilon_1 [s^{\frac{1}{2}} + h(s)]^{2^*} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 1, \quad (1.10)$$

$$[|G_1(s)|]^{\theta_2} \leq c_2 s, \quad [|G_1(s)|]^{q_2} \leq c'_2 s + \epsilon_2 [s^{\frac{1}{2}} + h(s)]^{2^*} \quad \text{for } s > 1 \quad (1.11)$$

*for some positive constants  $c_1, c'_1, c_2, c'_2, \epsilon_1$ . Moreover, the initial value  $u_0$  satisfies*

(i)

$$2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_1}} c_1^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} (\epsilon_1 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_1}} < 1$$

*if*

$$(2^* - 2)\theta_1 + 2q_1 = 2^*, \quad (2^* - 2)\theta_2 + 2q_2 > 2^*, \quad (1.12)$$

(ii)

$$2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_2}} c_2^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} (\epsilon_2 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_2}} < 1$$

*if*

$$(2^* - 2)\theta_1 + 2q_1 > 2^*, \quad (2^* - 2)\theta_2 + 2q_2 = 2^*, \quad (1.13)$$

(iii)

$$2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_1}} c_1^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} (\epsilon_1 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_1}} + 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_2}} c_2^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} (\epsilon_2 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_2}} < 1$$

if

$$(2^* - 2)\theta_1 + 2q_1 = 2^*, \quad (2^* - 2)\theta_2 + 2q_2 = 2^*. \quad (1.14)$$

Here

$$\frac{1}{\tau_j} = \frac{q_j - 1}{q_j - \theta_j}, \quad \frac{1}{\tau_j'} = \frac{1 - \theta_j}{q_j - \theta_j}, \quad j = 1, 2;$$

Case (3)  $F_1(s) \geq 0, \neq 0$  or  $F_1(s)$  changes sign for  $s \geq 0$ ,  $F_2(s) \geq 0, \neq 0$  for  $s \geq 0$ .

Suppose that

(iv)  $F_1(s)$  satisfies the assumptions of Case (2) or

(v) there exists  $c$  such that

$$|G_1(s)| \leq cs + G_2(s) \quad \text{for } s \geq 0 \quad (1.15)$$

or

(vi) there exist  $0 < \tilde{\alpha}_1 < 1$ ,  $0 < \tilde{\alpha}_2 < 1$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}_1 > 1$  and  $\tilde{\beta}_2 > 1$  such that

$$[|G_1(s)|]^{\tilde{\alpha}_1} \leq \tilde{c}_1 s, \quad [G_1(s)]^{\tilde{\beta}_1} \leq \tilde{c}_2 G_2(s) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 1, \quad (1.16)$$

$$[|G_1(s)|]^{\tilde{\alpha}_2} \leq \tilde{c}_1' s, \quad [G_1(s)]^{\tilde{\beta}_2} \leq \tilde{c}_2' G_2(s) \quad \text{for } s > 1, \quad (1.17)$$

for some constants  $\tilde{c}_1 > 0$ ,  $\tilde{c}_1' > 0$ ,  $\tilde{c}_2 > 0$  and  $\tilde{c}_2' > 0$ .

**Remark 1.1.** If  $h(s) \equiv 0$ ,  $F(s) = bs^{\tilde{q}}(b > 0)$ , we can take  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \frac{1}{\tilde{q}+1}$ ,  $q_1 = \frac{(\tilde{q}+1) \cdot 2^* + 1}{\tilde{q}+1}$ ,  $q_2 = \frac{2^*}{2(\tilde{q}+1)}$ , and the solution is global existence when  $\tilde{q} < \frac{2}{N}$ . Our result meets with the classic ones on semilinear Schrödinger equation in [18, 19].  $\square$

Our second result will establish the sufficient conditions on the blowup in finite time for the solutions to (1.1).

**Theorem 2.** Let  $u(x, t)$  be the solution to (1.1) with  $u_0 \in X$ . Assume that there exist constants  $k$ ,  $c_N$  and  $c_M$  such that

(i)  $sh''(s) \leq kh'(s)$  if  $h'(s) \geq 0$  or  $sh''(s) \geq kh'(s)$  if  $h'(s) \leq 0$ ;

(ii)  $c_M \geq 0$ ,  $c_N > \max\{1 + \frac{2}{N}, 2(k+1) + \frac{2}{N}\}$  and  $c_N G(s) \leq sF(s) + c_M s$ .

Suppose that  $\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}_0(x \cdot \nabla u_0) dx > 0$ ,  $|x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,

$$2(c_N - 1)E(u_0) + c_M M(u_0) \leq 0 \quad \text{if } k \leq -\frac{1}{2}$$

or

$$2[(2k+1)N + 2]E(u_0) + c_M M(u_0) \leq 0 \quad \text{if } k > -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Then there exists a finite time  $T$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla h(|u|^2)(x, t)|^2] dx = +\infty.$$

As direct consequences of Theorem 2, we give two corollaries, which answer the question of how to determine the constants  $k$ ,  $c_N$  and  $c_M$  in relation to  $h(s)$  and  $F(s)$ .

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let  $u(x, t)$  be the solution to (1.1) with  $u_0 \in X$ , and  $F(s) \geq 0$  for  $s \geq 0$  and*

$$k = \inf_{\tilde{k}} \{h'(s) \geq 0, \quad sh''(s) \leq \tilde{k}h'(s) \quad \text{for } s \geq 0\}.$$

*Suppose that*

- (i)  $E(u_0) \leq 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}_0(x \cdot \nabla u_0) dx > 0$ ,  $|x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ;
- (iii) *There exists  $c_N > \max\{1 + \frac{2}{N}, 2(k+1) + \frac{2}{N}\}$  such that  $c_N G(s) \leq sF(s)$  for  $s \geq 0$ .*

*Then there exists a finite time  $T$  such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla h(|u|^2)(x, t)|^2] dx = +\infty.$$

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $u(x, t)$  be the solution to (1.1) with  $u_0 \in X$ , and  $F(s) \geq 0$  for  $s \geq 0$  and*

$$k = \inf_{\tilde{k}} \{h'(s) \geq 0, \quad sh''(s) \leq \tilde{k}h'(s) \quad \text{for } s \geq 0\}.$$

*Assume that there exist  $c_N$  and  $0 \leq c_M < \bar{c}_M$  such that*

$$c_N = \sup\{\bar{c}_N : \bar{c}_N G(s) \leq sF(s) + c_M s \text{ for } s \geq 0\} > \bar{C}(k, N)\}.$$

*Here*

$$\bar{C}(k, N) = \max\{1 + \frac{2}{N}, 2(k+1) + \frac{2}{N}\}$$

*and*

$$\bar{c}_M = \inf\{\tilde{c}_M : \max\{2^*, 2k+1+2^*\}G(s) \leq sF(s) + \tilde{c}_M s\}.$$

*Suppose that  $\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}_0(x \cdot \nabla u_0) dx > 0$ ,  $|x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $2(c_N - 1)E(u_0) + c_M M(u_0) \leq 0$  if  $k \leq -\frac{1}{2}$  or  $2[(2k+1)N + 2]E(u_0) + c_M M(u_0) \leq 0$  if  $k > -\frac{1}{2}$ .*

*Then there exists a finite time  $T$  such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla u(x, t)|^2 + |\nabla h(|u|^2)(x, t)|^2] dx = +\infty.$$

**Remark 1.2.** If  $h(s) \equiv 0$ , we can take  $k = -\frac{1}{2}$  and  $c_M = 0$  in Theorem 2, our result recovers the classic results on semilinear Schrödinger equation in [20].

If  $c_N > \max\{1 + \frac{2}{N}, 2(k+1) + \frac{2}{N}\}$ , then the solution of (1.1) blows up in finite time under  $E(u_0) \leq 0$  and other conditions. Naturally, if  $c_N > \max\{1 + \frac{2}{N}, 2(k+1) + \frac{2}{N}\}$  and  $E(u_0) > 0$ , it is interesting to know whether the solution is of global existence or of blowing up in finite time. The third result answers this question and establishes a sharp threshold on the blowup and global existence of the solution to (1.1).

**Theorem 3.** (Sharp Threshold ) *Let  $u(x, t)$  be the solution of (1.1) with  $u_0 \in X$  and  $F(s) \geq 0$  for  $s \geq 0$ .*

Assume that (i) there exist constants  $k, c_N, 2 \leq L < N(c_N - 1)$  and  $0 < \underline{l} \leq 2$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} sh''(s) &\leq kh'(s) \quad \text{if } h'(s) \geq 0 \quad \text{or } sh''(s) \geq kh'(s) \quad \text{if } h'(s) \leq 0, \\ (L-2) + 4[L - (N+2)][h'(s)]^2 s - 8Nh''(s)h'(s)s^2 &\geq 0, \\ (2-\underline{l}) + 4(N+2-\underline{l})[h'(s)]^2 s + 8Nh''(s)h'(s)s^2 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and  $c_N G(s) \leq sF(s)$  for  $s \geq 0$ ;

(ii) There exist  $0 < \theta_3 < 1, 0 < \theta_4 < 1, q_3 > 1$  and  $q_4 > 1$  such that

$$(2^* - 2)\theta_3 + 2q_3 \leq 2^*, \quad (2^* - 2)\theta_4 + 2q_4 \leq 2^* \quad (1.18)$$

and

$$[sF(s)]^{\theta_3} \leq c_3 s, \quad [sF(s)]^{q_3} \leq c'_3 [s^{\frac{1}{2}} + h(s)]^{2^*} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq 1, \quad (1.19)$$

$$[sF(s)]^{\theta_4} \leq c_4 s, \quad [sF(s)]^{q_4} \leq c'_4 [s^{\frac{1}{2}} + h(s)]^{2^*} \quad \text{for } s > 1. \quad (1.20)$$

with some positive constants  $c_3, c'_3, c_4$  and  $c'_4$ .

Moreover, suppose that there exists  $\omega > 0$  such that

$$d_I := \inf_{\{w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}; Q(w)=0\}} \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \|w\|_2^2 + E(w) \right) > 0, \quad (1.21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q(w) &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^2 dx + (N+2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|w|^2)|^2 dx \\ &\quad + 8N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h''(|w|^2)h'(|w|^2)|w|^4 |\nabla w|^2 dx - N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|w|^2 F(|w|^2) - G(|w|^2)] dx, \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

$$E(w) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla w|^2 + |\nabla h(|w|^2)|^2 - G(|w|^2)] dx, \quad (1.23)$$

and  $u_0$  satisfies

$$\frac{\omega}{2} \|u_0\|_2^2 + E(u_0) < d_I.$$

Then we have:

(1). If  $Q(u_0) > 0$ , the solution of (1.1) exists globally;

(2). If  $Q(u_0) < 0$  and  $\mathfrak{S} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}_0(x \cdot \nabla u_0) dx \geq 0, |x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , the solution of (1.1) blows up in finite time.

**Remark 1.3.** Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, by the results of [11, 14, 37], the minimizer of (1.21) can be achieved at  $w(x)$  which is a weak solution of

$$-\Delta w - 2wh'(w^2)\Delta h(w^2) + \omega w - F(w^2)w = 0$$

for any  $\omega > 0$ . Hence

$$Q(w) = 0, \quad \omega \|w\|_2^2 + E(w) = d_I.$$

Having dealt with the conditions on blowup in finite time and the global existence of the solutions to (1.1), we will consider asymptotic behavior for the solutions. Inspired by [16, 17], we have the pseudo-conformal conservation law below, which is essential for the study of the asymptotic behavior of the global solutions and the lower bound for the blowup rate the blowup solution. Let  $u$  be a solution of (1.1). We set

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} -4N[2h''(|u|^2)h'(|u|^2)|u|^2 + (h'(|u|^2))^2]|u|^2|\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [NF(|u|^2)|u|^2 - (N+2)G(|u|^2)]dx.\end{aligned}\tag{1.24}$$

**Theorem 4. (Pseudo-conformal Conservation Law)**

1. Assume that  $u$  is the global solution of (1.1),  $u_0 \in X$  and  $xu_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Let  $G(s) = \int_0^s F(\eta)d\eta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}P(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(x - 2it\nabla)u|^2 dx + 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx - 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx + 4 \int_0^t \tau\theta(\tau)d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{1.25}$$

2. Assume that  $u$  is the blowup solution of (1.1) with blowup time  $T$ ,  $u_0 \in X$  and  $xu_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}B(t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(x + 2i(T-t)\nabla)u|^2 dx + 4(T-t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx - 4(T-t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(x + 2iT\nabla)u_0|^2 dx + 4T^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u_0|^2)|^2 - G(|u_0|^2)] dx \\ &\quad + 32E(u_0) \int_0^t (T-\tau)d\tau - 4 \int_0^t (T-\tau)\theta(\tau)d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{1.26}$$

As the applications of Theorem 4, in Section 6 we will give some asymptotic behavior results on the global solution of (1.1) and the lower bound for the blowup rate the blowup solution of (1.1) (see Theorem 5).

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we will prove some equalities which will be applied to prove other conclusions later. In Section 3, we will prove Theorem 1, which will establish the sufficient conditions on the global existence of the solution to (1.1). In Section 4, we will prove Theorem 2, which will establish the sufficient conditions on blowup in finite time for the solution to (1.1). In Section 5, we will prove Theorem 3, which will establish a sharp threshold on the blowup in finite time and global existence of the solution to (1.1). In Section 6, we will prove Theorem 4 and Theorem 5, which will give some asymptotic behavior results on the global solution of (1.1).



## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will prove a lemma as follows.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that  $u$  is the solution to (1.1). Then in the time interval  $[0, t]$  when it exists,  $u$  satisfies*

(i) *Mass conservation:*

$$M(u) = \|u(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 = M(u_0) = \|u_0\|_2^2;$$

(ii) *Energy conservation:*

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 - G(|u|^2)] dx = E(u_0);$$

(iii)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u|^2 dx = -4\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx;$$

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - (N+2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \\ &\quad - 8N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h''(|u|^2) h'(|u|^2) |u|^4 |\nabla u|^2 dx + N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|u|^2 F(|u|^2) - G(|u|^2)] dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $G(s) = \int_0^s F(\eta) d\eta$ .

**Proof:** (i) Multiplying (1.1) by  $2\bar{u}$ , taking the imaginary part of the result, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |u|^2 = \Im(2\bar{u}\Delta u) = \nabla \cdot (2\Im\bar{u}\nabla u). \quad (2.1)$$

Integrating it over  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, t]$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^2 dx.$$

(ii) Multiplying (1.1) by  $2\bar{u}_t$ , taking the real part of the result, then integrating it over  $\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, t]$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 - G(|u|^2)] dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u_0|^2 + |\nabla h(|u_0|^2)|^2 - G(|u_0|^2)] dx.$$

(iii) Multiplying (2.1) by  $|x|^2$  and integrating it over  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 \nabla \cdot (2\Im(\bar{u}\nabla u)) dx = -4\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx.$$

(iv) Denote  $u(x, t) = a(x, t) + ib(x, t)$ , i.e.,  $a(x, t) = \Re u(x, t)$  and  $b(x, t) = \Im u(x, t)$ .

Then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Im \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) = \sum_{k=1}^N [x_k(b_t)_{x_k} a - x_k(a_t)_{x_k} b] + \sum_{k=1}^N (x_k b_{x_k} a_t - x_k a_{x_k} b_t).$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{k=1}^N [x_k(b_t)_{x_k} a - x_k(a_t)_{x_k} b] dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{k=1}^N (x_k a_{x_k} \Delta a + x_k b_{x_k} \Delta b) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{k=1}^N x_k (|u|^2)_{x_k} [2h'(|u|^2) \Delta h(|u|^2) + F(|u|^2)] dx \\ &= N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a_t b - a b_t) dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \sum_{k=1}^N (x_k b_{x_k} a_t - x_k a_{x_k} b_t) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{N-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx - \frac{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx \\ &= N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ([a \Delta a + b \Delta b] + 2|u|^2 h'(|u|^2) \Delta h(|u|^2) + |u|^2 F(|u|^2)) dx \\ &\quad + (N-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + (N-2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx - N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - (N+2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \\ &\quad - 8N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h'(|u|^2) h''(|u|^2) |u|^4 |\nabla u|^2 dx + N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|u|^2 F(|u|^2) - G(|u|^2)] dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Lemma 2.1 is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 2.1.** Although there doesn't exist the embrace relationship between the spaces  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $p_1 > p_2 > 2$ , we can obtain the relationship between  $\|u\|_{L^{p_1}}$  and  $\|u\|_{L^{p_2}}$  if  $u$  is the solution of (1.1). In fact, using Hölder's inequality and the conservation law of mass, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p_2} dx &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p_1-p_2}{p_1-2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p_1} dx \right)^{\frac{p_2-2}{p_1-2}} \\ &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{p_1-p_2}{p_1-2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p_1} dx \right)^{\frac{p_2-2}{p_1-2}} \end{aligned}$$

### 3 The proofs of Theorem 1

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1 and establish the sufficient conditions on the global existence of the solution to (1.1).

**Proof of Theorem 1:** Case (1).  $F(s) = -F_2(s) \leq 0$  for  $s \geq 0$ . In this case  $G(s) = -G_2(s) \leq 0$  for  $s \geq 0$ . The global existence of the solution is a direct result of the energy conversation law of Lemma 2.1(ii) because

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G(|u|^2)| dx = 2E(u_0),$$

which implies that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G(|u|^2)| dx$  is uniformly bounded for all  $t > 0$ .

Case (2).  $F(s) = F_1(s) \geq 0$  or  $F(s) = F_1(s)$  changes sign for  $s \geq 0$ .  $G(s) = G_1(s)$ . Denote

$$\frac{1}{\tau_j} = \frac{q_j - 1}{q_j - \theta_j}, \quad \frac{1}{\tau'_j} = \frac{1 - \theta_j}{q_j - \theta_j}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

Using the energy conversation law of Lemma 2.1(ii), using Höder's inequality, Young's inequality, then Sobolev's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \\ &= 2E(u_0) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx = 2E(u_0) + \int_{\{|u| \leq 1\}} G_1(|u|^2) dx + \int_{\{|u| > 1\}} G_1(|u|^2) dx \\ &\leq C + \int_{\{|u| \leq 1\}} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx + \int_{\{|u| > 1\}} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \\ &\leq C + \left( \int_{\{|u| \leq 1\}} [|G_1(|u|^2)|]^{\theta_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \left( \int_{\{|u| \leq 1\}} [|G_1(|u|^2)|]^{q_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_{\{|u| > 1\}} [|G_1(|u|^2)|]^{\theta_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \left( \int_{\{|u| > 1\}} [|G_1(|u|^2)|]^{q_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \\ &\leq C + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} c_j |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (c'_j |u|^2 + \epsilon_j [|u| + h(|u|^2)]^{2^*}) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} \\ &\leq C + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} c_j |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \times \left\{ \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} c'_j |u|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \epsilon_j [|u| + h(|u|^2)]^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} \right\} \\ &\leq C + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} c'_j{}^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} \|u_0\|_2^2 + 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau'_j}} c_1^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \epsilon_j^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau'_j}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [h(|u|^2)]^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} \right) \\ &\leq C + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} c'_j{}^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} \|u_0\|_2^2 + 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau'_j}} c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (\epsilon_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau'_j}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2\tau'_j}} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^2 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau'_j}} c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (\epsilon_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau'_j}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2\tau'_j}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Now we discuss (3.1) in four subcases.

Subcase (a)  $(2^* - 2)\theta_1 + 2q_1 > 2^*$ ,  $(2^* - 2)\theta_2 + 2q_2 > 2^*$ . Using (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \\ & \leq C(c_1, c_2, c'_1, c'_2, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, C_s, q_1, q_2, \theta_1, \theta_2, u_0) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u|^2 dx + |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2] dx, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \leq 2C(c_1, c_2, c'_1, c'_2, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, C_s, q_1, q_2, \theta_1, \theta_2, u_0),$$

where  $C(c_1, c_2, c'_1, c'_2, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, C_s, q_1, q_2, \theta_1, \theta_2, u_0)$  is a positive constant depends on  $c_1, c_2, c'_1, c'_2, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, C_s, q_1, q_2, \theta_1, \theta_2$  and  $u_0$ .

Subcase (b)  $(2^* - 2)\theta_1 + 2q_1 = 2^*$ ,  $(2^* - 2)\theta_2 + 2q_2 > 2^*$ . Using (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \\ & \leq 2E(u_0) + \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} c'_j{}^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \|u_0\|_2^2 + 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_j}} c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (\epsilon_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_j}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2\tau_j}} \right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{j=1}^2 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_j}} c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (\epsilon_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_j}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2\tau_j}} \\ & \leq C + \sum_{j=1}^2 c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} c'_j{}^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \|u_0\|_2^2 \\ & \quad + 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_1}} c_1^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} (\epsilon_1 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right) \\ & \quad + 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_2}} c_2^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} (\epsilon_2 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_2}} \left\{ \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2\tau_2}} + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2\tau_2}} \right\} \\ & \leq C' + \sum_{j=1}^2 c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} c'_j{}^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \|u_0\|_2^2 \\ & \quad + 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_1}} c_1^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} (\epsilon_1 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{4} [1 - 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_1}} c_1^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} (\epsilon_1 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_1}}] \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{4} [1 - 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_1}} c_1^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} (\epsilon_1 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_1}}] \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} c'_j{}^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \|u_0\|_2^2 + C'. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Subcase (c).  $(2^* - 2)\theta_1 + 2q_1 > 2^*$ ,  $(2^* - 2)\theta_2 + 2q_2 = 2^*$ . Similar to Subcase (b), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j'}} \|u_0\|_2^2 + 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_2}} c_2^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} (\epsilon_2 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right) \\ & + C' + \frac{1}{4} \left[ 1 - 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_2}} c_2^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} (\epsilon_2 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_2}} \right] \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{4} \left[ 1 - 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_2}} c_2^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} (\epsilon_2 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_2}} \right] \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j'}} \|u_0\|_2^2 + C'. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Subcase (d)  $(2^* - 2)\theta_1 + 2q_1 = 2^*$ ,  $(2^* - 2)\theta_2 + 2q_2 = 2^*$ . Similar to Subcase (b) and Subcase (c), we can get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_j}} c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (\epsilon_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_j}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right) \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^2 c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j'}} \|u_0\|_2^2 + C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ 1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 2^{\frac{2^*-1}{\tau_j}} c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (\epsilon_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_j}} \right] \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^2 c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau_j'}} \|u_0\|_2^2 + C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

So we can know that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx$  is uniformly bounded for all  $t > 0$  in Case (2).

Case (3).  $F(s) = F_1(s) - F_2(s)$ .

Subcase (iv)  $F_1(s)$  satisfies the assumptions of that in Case 2. Recalling

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \\ & = 2E(u_0) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(|u|^2) dx, \end{aligned}$$

repeat the courses in Case (2), we can prove that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx$  is uniformly bounded for  $t > 0$ .

Subcase (v)  $|G_1(s)| \leq cs + G_2(s)$  for  $s \geq 0$ . Recalling

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \\ &= 2E(u_0) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(|u|^2) dx \leq 2E(u_0) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \\ &\leq 2E(u_0) + c \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx$  is uniformly bounded for  $t > 0$ .

Subcase (vi)  $F_1(s)$  and  $F_2(s)$  satisfy (1.16) and (1.17). Using Young inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \\ &= 2E(u_0) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(|u|^2) dx \\ &\leq 2E(u_0) + \int_{\{|u| \leq 1\}} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx + \int_{\{|u| > 1\}} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \\ &\leq 2E(u_0) + \int_{\{|u| \leq 1\}} \left( C(\tilde{\alpha}_1, \tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{c}_1, \tilde{c}_2) [|G_1(|u|^2)|]^{\tilde{\alpha}_1} + \frac{1}{4\tilde{c}_2} [|G_1(|u|^2)|]^{\tilde{\beta}_1} \right) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\{|u| > 1\}} \left( C(\tilde{\alpha}_2, \tilde{\beta}_2, \tilde{c}'_1, \tilde{c}'_2) [|G_1(|u|^2)|]^{\tilde{\alpha}_2} + \frac{1}{4\tilde{c}'_2} [|G_1(|u|^2)|]^{\tilde{\beta}_2} \right) dx \\ &\leq 2E(u_0) + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

which implies that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx$  is uniformly bounded for all  $t > 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** The assumptions (1.9)–(1.11) are weaker than the following assumption: There exist  $0 < \bar{\theta} < 1$  and  $\bar{q} > 1$  such that  $(2^* - 2)\bar{\theta} + 2\bar{q} > 2^*$  and

$$[|G(s)|]^{\bar{\theta}} \leq c_1 s, \quad [G(s)]^{\bar{q}} \leq c'_1 s + \epsilon_1 [s^{\frac{1}{2}} + h(s)]^{2^*} \quad \text{for } s \geq 0.$$

**Remark 3.2.** Excluding (v) in Case (3), we can obtain  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx$  is uniformly bounded for all  $t > 0$  by the proof of Theorem 1.

**Remark 3.3.** We would like to give some examples to illustrate the results of Theorem 1.

1.  $h(s) = as^p (a > 0)$ ,  $F(s) = bs^{\bar{q}} (b > 0)$ . We can take  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \frac{1}{\bar{q}+1}$ ,  $q_1 = \frac{(\bar{q}+1) \cdot 2^* + 1}{\bar{q}+1}$ ,  $q_2 = \frac{p \cdot 2^*}{\bar{q}+1}$  if  $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $q_2 = \frac{2^*}{2(\bar{q}+1)}$  if  $p \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Meanwhile, the conditions  $q_2 > 1$  and  $(2^* - 2)\theta_2 + 2q_2 > 2^*$  imply that  $0 < \bar{q} < \max\{\frac{2}{N}, 2p - 1 + \frac{2}{N}\}$ .

2.  $h(s) = a_1 s^{p_1} + a_2 s^{p_2} + \dots + a_m s^{p_m}$ ,  $F(s) = b_1 s^{\tilde{q}_1} + b_2 s^{\tilde{q}_2} + \dots + b_n s^{\tilde{q}_n}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} < p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_m$ ,  $a_l > 0$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $0 < \tilde{q}_1 < \tilde{q}_2 < \dots < \tilde{q}_n$ ,  $b_j > 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . we can take  $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{\tilde{q}_1+1}$ ,  $q_1 = \frac{(\tilde{q}_1+1) \cdot 2^*+1}{\tilde{q}_1+1}$ ,  $\theta_2 = \frac{1}{\tilde{q}_n+1}$ ,  $q_2 = \frac{p_m \cdot 2^*}{\tilde{q}_n+1}$ , and the solution is global existence if  $0 < \tilde{q}_n < 2p_m - 1 + \frac{2}{N}$ .

3.  $h(s) = \exp(s)$  or  $h(s) = \exp[M(s)](M(s) \geq c(s + c')^\theta$  for some  $c, c', \theta > 0$ ),  $F(s) = b_1 s^{\tilde{q}_1} + b_2 s^{\tilde{q}_2} + \dots + b_n s^{\tilde{q}_n}$ ,  $0 < \tilde{q}_1 < \tilde{q}_2 < \dots < \tilde{q}_n$ , we always can take  $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{\tilde{q}_1+1}$ ,  $\theta_2 = \frac{1}{\tilde{q}_n+1}$ , arbitrary  $q_1 > \frac{(\tilde{q}_1+1) \cdot 2^*+1}{\tilde{q}_1+1}$  and  $q_2 > \frac{(\tilde{q}_n+1) \cdot 2^*+1}{\tilde{q}_n+1}$  such that (1.9), (1.10) and (1.11) hold, which implies that the solution is always global existence.

4.  $F_1(s) = a_1 e^{k_1 s}$ ,  $F_2(s) = a_2 e^{k_2 s}$ ,  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $a_2 > 0$ , and  $k_2 > k_1 > 0$  satisfy  $\frac{a_1}{k_1} \leq \frac{a_2}{k_2}$ ,  $G_1(s) = \frac{a_1}{k_1} e^{k_1 s}$ ,  $G_2(s) = \frac{a_2}{k_2} e^{k_2 s}$ , while  $h(s) \geq 0$  can be taken different functions, we can verify the assumptions of Subcase (v) in Case (3).

5.  $F(s) = [a_1 s^{q_1} + a_2 s^{q_2}] - [a_3 s^{q_3} + a_4 s^{q_4}] = F_1(s) - F_2(s)$ ,  $a_1 > 0$ ,  $a_2 > 0$ ,  $q_4 > q_3 > q_2 > q_1 > 0$ ,  $G_1(s) = \frac{a_1}{q_1+1} s^{q_1+1} + \frac{a_2}{q_2+1} s^{q_2+1}$ ,  $G_2(s) = \frac{a_3}{q_3+1} s^{q_3+1} + \frac{a_4}{q_4+1} s^{q_4+1}$ , while  $h(s) \geq 0$  can be taken different functions, we can take  $\tilde{\alpha}_1 = \frac{1}{q_1+1}$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \frac{q_3+1}{q_1+1}$  or  $\tilde{\beta}_1 = \frac{q_4+1}{q_1+1}$ , while  $\tilde{\alpha}_2 = \frac{1}{q_2+1}$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}_2 = \frac{q_3+1}{q_2+1}$  or  $\tilde{\beta}_2 = \frac{q_4+1}{q_2+1}$ , and we can verify the assumptions of Subcase (vi) in Case (3).

Noticing that  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} = \|u_0\|_{L^2}$ , using the results of Theorem 1, we can get some related results below.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Assume that, excluding (v) in Case (3), the other conditions of Theorem 1 hold and  $u$  is the global solution of (1.1). Suppose that the functions  $f(s)$  and  $g(s)$  satisfying the following conditions: There exist  $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$ ,  $0 < \alpha_2 < 1$ ,  $\beta_1 > 1$  and  $\beta_2 > 1$  such that*

$$(EC) \quad \|f(s)\|^{\alpha_1} \leq c_1 s, \quad \|f(s)\|^{\beta_1} \leq C_1 [h(s)]^{2^*}, \quad \|g(s)\|^{\alpha_2} \leq c_2 s, \quad \|g(s)\|^{\beta_2} \leq C_2 |G(s)|$$

for  $s \geq 0$ , where  $c_1, c_2, C_1$  and  $C_2$  are positive constants. Let

$$\tau_1 = \frac{(\beta_1 - \alpha_1)}{(1 - \alpha_1)}, \quad \tau_2 = \frac{(\beta_2 - \alpha_2)}{(1 - \alpha_2)}. \quad (3.10)$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(|u|^2)| dx \leq C, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |g(|u|^2)| dx \leq C. \quad (3.11)$$

**Proof:** Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(|u|^2)| dx &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|f(|u|^2)\|^{\alpha_1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \|f(|u|^2)\|^{\beta_1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [h(|u|^2)]^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \\ &\leq C \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2\tau_1}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |g(|u|^2)| dx &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|g(|u|^2)|]^{\alpha_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|g(|u|^2)|]^{\beta_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G(|u|^2)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \\
&\leq C \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G(|u|^2)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}}, \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

we can obtain the conclusions.  $\square$

We would like to give an example to illustrate the conclusions of Proposition 3.1.

1.  $h(s) = as^p$  ( $a > 0, p > \frac{1}{2^*}$ ) and  $F(s) = bs^{\tilde{q}}$  ( $b < 0, \tilde{q} > 0$ ), that is,  $h(|u|^2) = a|u|^{2p}$  ( $a > 0, p > \frac{1}{2^*}$ ) and  $|G(|u|^2)| = \frac{-b}{\tilde{q}+1} |u|^{2\tilde{q}+2}$  ( $b < 0, \tilde{q} > 0$ ). Then for any  $1 < r_1 \leq p \cdot 2^*$  and  $1 < r_2 \leq \tilde{q} + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L^{2r_1}}^{2r_1} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2r_1} dx \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2p \cdot 2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla(|u|^{2p})|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2\tau_1}} \\
&\leq C, \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{L^{2r_2}}^{2r_2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2r_2} dx \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2\tilde{q}+2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \\
&\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{2\tilde{q}+2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_2}} \\
&\leq C. \tag{3.15}
\end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.4.** Since there does not exist the embrace relationship between the spaces  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and  $L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for  $p_1 > p_2 > 1$ , the estimates such as (3.12)–(3.15) are meaningful.

Similarly, recalling that  $\|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \leq C$  uniformly for all  $t > 0$ , we have the following propositions.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Assume that, excluding (v) in Case (3), the conditions of Theorem 1 hold and  $u$  is the global solution of (1.1). Suppose that  $f(s)$  satisfying*

$$([f'(s)]^2 s)^{\tilde{\tau}} \leq C [h'(s)]^2 s$$

for  $s \geq 0$ , where the constant  $\tilde{\tau} > 1$ , and  $g(s)$  satisfying

$$[g'(s)]^2 s \leq C |G_1(s)| \quad \text{or} \quad [g'(s)]^2 s \leq C G_2(s).$$



Then

$$\|\nabla f(|u|^2)\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla f(|u|^2)|^2 dx \leq C, \quad \|\nabla g(|u|^2)\|_{L^1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla g(|u|^2)| dx \leq C. \quad (3.16)$$

**Proof:** Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla f(|u|^2)|^2 dx &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ([f'(|u|^2)]^2 |u|^2)^{\tilde{\tau}} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}}} \leq C'. \end{aligned}$$

We only prove the case of  $[g'(s)]^2 s \leq G_1(s)$ , the proof of the other case is similar. Noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla g(|u|^2)| dx &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [4g'(|u|^2)]^2 |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C', \end{aligned}$$

we can get the conclusions.  $\square$

## 4 The Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we will give the proof of Theorem 2 and deal with the sufficient conditions on blowup in finite time for the solution by using the results of Lemma 2.1.

**Proof of Theorem 2:** Wherever  $u$  exists, let

$$y(t) = \Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx.$$

We discuss it in three cases: Case 1.  $h(s) \equiv 0$ ; Case 2.  $h(s) \neq 0$  and  $k \leq -\frac{1}{2}$ ; Case 3.  $h(s) \neq 0$  and  $k > -\frac{1}{2}$ .

First, we deal with it in Case 2. By the result (iv) of Lemma 2.1 and the assumption of

$$2(c_N - 1)E(u_0) + c_M M(u_0) \leq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad k \leq -\frac{1}{2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{y}(t) &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - (N+2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx - 8N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h''(|u|^2)h'(|u|^2)|u|^4 |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&\quad + N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|u|^2 F(|u|^2) - G(|u|^2)] dx \\
&\geq -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - (N+2+2kN) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \\
&\quad + N(c_N - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx - Nc_M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx \\
&= [N(c_N - 1) - 2] \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - 2N(c_N - 1)E(u) - Nc_M M(u) \\
&\quad + [N(c_N - 1) - ((2k+1)N + 2)] \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \\
&\geq [N(c_N - 1) - 2] \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - 2N(c_N - 1)E(u_0) - Nc_M M(u_0) \\
&\geq [N(c_N - 1) - 2] \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \tag{4.1}
\end{aligned}$$

Case 1. Similar to the computations above, (4.1) is still hold in this case.

Case 3. By the result (iv) of Lemma 2.1 and the assumption of

$$2[(2k+1)N + 2]E(u_0) + c_M M(u_0) \leq 0 \quad \text{if } k > -\frac{1}{2},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{y}(t) &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - (N+2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx - 8N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h''(|u|^2)h'(|u|^2)|u|^4 |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&\quad + N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|u|^2 F(|u|^2) - G(|u|^2)] dx \\
&\geq -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - (N+2+2kN) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \\
&\quad + N(c_N - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx - Nc_M \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx \\
&= (2k+1)N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - 2[(2k+1)N + 2]E(u) - Nc_M M(u) \\
&\quad + [N(c_N - 1) - ((2k+1)N + 2)] \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx \\
&\geq (2k+1)N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - 2[(2k+1)N + 2]E(u_0) - Nc_M M(u_0) \\
&\geq (2k+1)N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \tag{4.2}
\end{aligned}$$

In a word,  $\dot{y}(t) \geq 0$  in the three cases above.

Under the conditions of  $y(0) = \Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}_0(x \cdot u_0) dx > 0$ , we know that  $y(t)$  is increasing, which implies that  $y(t) > 0$  wherever  $u$  exists.

On the other hand, by the result (iii) of Lemma 2.1,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u|^2 dx = -4\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx = -4y(t) < 0,$$

which means that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u|^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u_0|^2 dx := d_0^2 < +\infty.$$

Using Schwarz inequality, we get

$$y(t) \leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq d_0 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.3)$$

(4.1) and (4.3) imply that

$$\dot{y}(t) \geq \frac{[N(c_N - 1) - 2]}{d_0^2} y^2(t),$$

while (4.2) and (4.3) imply that

$$\dot{y}(t) \geq \frac{(2k+1)N}{d_0^2} y^2(t)$$

Then we have

$$\dot{y}(t) \geq \frac{\max([N(c_N - 1) - 2], (2k+1)N)}{d_0^2} y^2(t) := \frac{c(k, N)}{d_0^2} y^2(t) \quad (4.4)$$

with  $y(0) > 0$ . Integrating (4.4), we obtain

$$y(t) \geq \frac{y(0)d_0^2}{d_0^2 - y(0)c(k, N)t}, \quad 0 \leq t < \frac{d_0^2}{y(0)c(k, N)}.$$

Consequently,

$$\|\nabla u\|_2 \geq \frac{y(0)d_0}{d_0^2 - y(0)c(k, N)t},$$

and there exist  $T \leq T_0 = \frac{d_0^2}{y(0)c(k, N)}$  such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T^-} \|\nabla u\|_2 = +\infty.$$

Theorem 2 is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** We also give some examples of  $h(s)$  and  $F(s)$  to illustrate the results of Theorem 2 below.

1.  $h(s) = as^p (a > 0)$ ,  $F(s) = bs^{\tilde{q}} (b > 0)$ . If  $0 < p$ , then we can take  $k = (p - 1)$ ,  $c_N = \tilde{q} + 1$ ,  $c_M = 0$  and under the assumptions (i) and (ii), the solution will blow up in

finite time when  $\tilde{q} = c_N - 1 > \max\{\frac{2}{N}, 2p - 1 + \frac{2}{N}\}$  by Theorem 2. Especially, if  $p = 1$ , then we can take  $k = 0$ , and the solution will blow up in finite time when  $\tilde{q} > 1 + \frac{2}{N}$ , our result meets with that of [22]. On the other hand, the solution is global existence for any initial data when  $\tilde{q} = c_N - 1 < \max\{\frac{2}{N}, 2p - 1 + \frac{2}{N}\}$  by Theorem 1.

2.  $h(s) = a_1 s^{p_1} + a_2 s^{p_2} + \dots + a_m s^{p_m}$ ,  $F(s) = b_1 s^{\tilde{q}_1} + b_2 s^{\tilde{q}_2} + \dots + b_n s^{\tilde{q}_n}$ ,  $1 < p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_m$ ,  $a_l > 0$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $0 < \tilde{q}_1 < \tilde{q}_2 < \dots < \tilde{q}_n$ ,  $b_j > 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We can take  $k = p_m - 1$  and  $c_N = \tilde{q}_n + 1 - \epsilon$ ,  $c_M = C(\tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_n, b_1, \dots, b_n, \epsilon)$  for  $0 < \epsilon < \tilde{q}_n - (2p_m - 1 + \frac{2}{N})$ , and under the assumptions (i) and (ii), the solution will blow up in finite time if  $\tilde{q}_n > 2p_m - 1 + \frac{2}{N}$  by Theorem 2. On the other hand, and the solution is global existence if  $0 < \tilde{q}_n < 2p_m - 1 + \frac{2}{N}$  by Theorem 1.

3.  $h(s) = as^p (a > 0)$ ,  $F(s) = b_1 s^{\tilde{q}_1} - b_2 s^{\tilde{q}_2}$ ,  $b_1 > 0$ ,  $b_2 > 0$ ,  $\tilde{q}_1 > \tilde{q}_2 > 0$ ,  $G(s) = \frac{b_1}{\tilde{q}_1 + 1} s^{\tilde{q}_1 + 1} - \frac{b_2}{\tilde{q}_2 + 1} s^{\tilde{q}_2 + 1}$ ,  $(\tilde{q}_1 + 1)G(s) \leq sF(s)$ , then we can take  $k = (p - 1)$ ,  $c_N = \tilde{q} + 1$ ,  $c_M = 0$  and under the assumptions (i) and (ii), the solution will blow up in finite time when  $\tilde{q}_1 = c_N - 1 > \max\{\frac{2}{N}, 2p - 1 + \frac{2}{N}\}$  by Theorem 2. On the other hand, if  $\max\{\frac{2}{N}, 2p - 1 + \frac{2}{N}\} > \tilde{q}_1 > \tilde{q}_2 > 0$  or  $0 < \tilde{q}_1 < \tilde{q}_2$ , the solution is global existence by Theorem 1.

**Remark 4.2.** The condition (i) of Theorem 2 implies that the blowup results not only are true for the functions  $h(s)$  satisfying  $h'(s) \geq 0$ , but also are true for the functions  $h(s)$  satisfying  $h'(s) \leq 0$  if there exists  $k$  such that  $-sh''(s)h'(s) \geq -k[h'(s)]^2$  for  $s \geq 0$ . A typical example of the function is  $h(s) = \frac{A}{1+s^l}$  for  $s \geq 0$ , where  $A > 0$ ,  $0 < l < \frac{1}{2}$ . Obviously,  $h'(s) = -\frac{Als^{l-1}}{(1+s^l)^2}$  and  $sh''(s) = -\frac{Al(l-1)s^{l-1}}{(1+s^l)^2} + \frac{2Al^2s^{2l-1}}{(1+s^l)^3}$  for any  $s \geq 0$  and we can take  $k = (l-1)$ . Then under the assumptions (i) and (ii), the solution will blow up in finite time if  $0 < l < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $F(s) = bs^{\tilde{q}} (b > 0)$  and  $\tilde{q} = c_N - 1 > \frac{2}{N}$  by Theorem 2. On the other hand, the solution is global existence for any  $u_0 \in X$  when  $\tilde{q} = c_N - 1 < \frac{2}{N}$  by Theorem 1.

**Remark 4.3.** By Remarks 4.1 and 4.2, the watershed condition on the blowup in finite time and global existence of the solution is  $c_N = \max\{1 + \frac{2}{N}, 2(k+1) + \frac{2}{N}\}$  for some types of  $h(s)$  and  $F(s)$ .

As a direct result of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we will give a corollary below and compare our results with those of others.

**Corollary 4.1.** *Assume that  $u(x, t)$  is the solution to*

$$\begin{cases} iu_t = \Delta u + 2\alpha(\Delta|u|^{2\alpha})|u|^{2\alpha-2}u + |u|^{q-2}u = 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Here  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $q > 2$ ,  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Then  $u(x, t)$  is global existence if  $2 < q < 4 \max\{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\} + \frac{4}{N}$  for any  $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , while it will blow up in finite time if  $4 \max\{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\} + \frac{4}{N} \leq q < 2 \max\{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\} \cdot 2^*$  for  $u_0$  satisfying (i)  $E(u_0) \leq 0$ ; (ii)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}_0(x \cdot u_0) dx > 0$ ,  $|x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

**Proof:** Letting  $h(s) = s^\alpha$ ,  $F(s) = s^{\frac{q-2}{2}}$ , taking  $\theta_1 = \theta_2 = \frac{2}{q}$ ,  $q_1 = \frac{q2^*+2}{q}$ ,  $q_2 = \frac{2^*}{q} \max(2\alpha, 1)$  in Theorem 1 and  $c_M = 0$  and  $c_N = \frac{q}{2}$  in Theorem 2, we can get the conclusions.  $\square$

**Remark 4.4.** Letting  $\varphi = \bar{u}$ , (4.5) becomes (1.6), the watershed of the exponent for the blowup in finite time and global existence is also  $q = 4 \max\{\alpha, \frac{1}{2}\} + \frac{4}{N}$ .

Especially, if  $\alpha = 1$ , (4.5) becomes

$$\begin{cases} iu_t = \Delta u + 2u\Delta(|u|^2) + |u|^{q-2}u = 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

then the watershed of the exponent for the blowup in finite time and global existence is  $q = 4 + \frac{4}{N}$ . Our result meets with that of [22].

## 5 The proof of Theorem 3

In this section, we will prove Theorem 3 and establish a sharp threshold for the blowup and global existence of the solution to (1.1) under certain conditions.

**The proof of Theorem 3.** We proceed in four Steps.

Step 1. We will prove  $d_I > 0$ .

Since  $Q(w) = 0$ ,  $w \neq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{L} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|w|^2)|^2 dx \right) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^2 dx + (N+2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|w|^2)|^2 dx + 8N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h''(|w|^2) h'(|w|^2) |w|^4 |\nabla w|^2 dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(2-\mathbb{L}) + 4(N+2-\mathbb{L})(h'(|w|^2))^2 |w|^2 + 8Nh''(|w|^2)h'(|w|^2)|w|^4] |\nabla w|^2 dx \\ &\leq N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|w|^2 F(|w|^2) - G(|w|^2)] dx \leq N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^2 F(|w|^2) dx \\ &\leq N \left( \int_{\{|w| \leq 1\}} [|w|^2 F(|w|^2)]^{\theta_3} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_3}} \left( \int_{\{|w| \leq 1\}} [|w|^2 F(|w|^2)]^{q_3} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_3}} \\ & \quad + N \left( \int_{\{|w| > 1\}} [|w|^2 F(|w|^2)]^{\theta_4} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_4}} \left( \int_{\{|w| > 1\}} [|w|^2 F(|w|^2)]^{q_4} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_4}} \\ &\leq N \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|w|^2 F(|w|^2)]^{\theta_3} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_3}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|w|^2 F(|w|^2)]^{q_3} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_3}} \\ & \quad + N \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|w|^2 F(|w|^2)]^{\theta_4} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_4}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|w|^2 F(|w|^2)]^{q_4} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_4}} \\ &\leq N \sum_{j=3}^4 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} c_j |w|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (c'_j [|w| + h(|w|^2)]^{2^*}) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} \\ &\leq N \sum_{j=3}^4 \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} c_j |w|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} 2^{2^*} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla w|^2 + |\nabla h(|w|^2)|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2\tau'_j}}, \quad (5.1) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\tau_j} = \frac{q_j - 1}{q_j - \theta_j}, \quad \frac{1}{\tau'_j} = \frac{1 - \theta_j}{q_j - \theta_j}, \quad j = 3, 4.$$

If  $\frac{2^*}{2\tau'_j} = 1$ , i.e.,  $(2^* - 2)\theta_j + 2q_j = 2^*$  ( $j = 3, 4$ ), we get  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^2 dx \geq C$ .

If  $\frac{2^*}{2\tau'_j} > 1$ , i.e.,  $(2^* - 2)\theta_j + 2q_j = 2^*$  ( $j = 3, 4$ ), then (5.1) implies that

$$\underline{l} \leq N \sum_{j=3}^4 2^{2^*} c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla w|^2 + |\nabla h(|w|^2)|^2) dx \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2\tau'_j} - 1}. \quad (5.2)$$

Using Young's inequality to (5.2), we have

$$\underline{l} \leq N \sum_{j=3}^4 2^{2^*} c_j^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau'_j}} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^2 dx + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla w|^2 + |\nabla h(|w|^2)|^2] dx \right)^{\left(\frac{2^*}{2\tau'_j} - 1\right)\tau'_j} \right\}, \quad (5.3)$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|w|^2)|^2 dx \geq C > 0 \quad (5.4)$$

if  $Q(w) = 0$ .

If one of  $\frac{2^*}{2\tau'_3}$  and  $\frac{2^*}{2\tau'_4}$  equals 1, while another is larger than 1, we can use Young's inequality and similarly deal with (5.2) to get (5.4).

On the other hand, using  $Q(w) = 0$  again, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & L \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|w|^2)|^2 dx \right) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^2 dx + (N + 2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|w|^2)|^2 dx + 8N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h''(|w|^2) h'(|w|^2) |w|^4 |\nabla w|^2 dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(L - 2) + 4(L - N - 2)(h'(|w|^2))^2 |w|^2 - 8N h''(|w|^2) h'(|w|^2) |w|^4] |\nabla w|^2 dx \\ &= N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [ |w|^2 F(|w|^2) - G(|w|^2) ] dx \geq N [c_N - 1] \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|w|^2) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E(w) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|w|^2)|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|w|^2) dx \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{L}{N(c_N - 1)} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|w|^2)|^2 dx \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

(5.5) and (5.6) mean that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\omega}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^2 dx + E(w) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \min \left( \omega, 1 - \frac{L}{N(c_N - 1)} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|w|^2)|^2 dx \right) \\
& \geq C > 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Therefore  $d_I > 0$ .

Step 2. Denote

$$K_+ = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}, Q(u) > 0, \frac{\omega}{2} \|u\|_2^2 + E(u) < d_I\}$$

and

$$K_- = \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}, Q(u) < 0, \frac{\omega}{2} \|u\|_2^2 + E(u) < d_I\}.$$

We will prove that  $K_+$  and  $K_-$  are invariant sets of (1.1).

Assume that  $u_0 \in K_+$ , i.e.,  $Q(u_0) > 0$  and  $\frac{\omega}{2} \|u_0\|_2^2 + E(u_0) < d_I$ . It is easy to verify that

$$u(\cdot, t) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}, \quad \frac{\omega}{2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 + E(u(\cdot, t)) < d_I \tag{5.8}$$

because  $\|u\|_2^2$  and  $E(u)$  are conservation quantities for (1.1).

We need to show that  $Q(u(\cdot, t)) > 0$  for  $t \in (0, T)$ . Contradictorily, if there exists  $t_1 \in (0, T)$  such that  $Q(u(\cdot, t_1)) < 0$ , then there exists a  $t_2 \in [0, t_1]$  such that  $Q(u(\cdot, t_2)) = 0$  by the continuity. And

$$\frac{\omega}{2} \|u(\cdot, t_2)\|_2^2 + E(u(\cdot, t_2)) < d_I$$

by (5.8), which is a contradiction to the definition of  $d_I$ . Hence  $Q(u(\cdot, t)) > 0$ . This inequality and (5.8) imply that  $u(\cdot, t) \in K_+$ , which means that  $K_+$  is a invariant set of (1.1).

Similarly, we can prove that  $K_-$  is also a invariant set of (1.1). We omit the details here.

Step 3. Assume that  $Q(u_0) > 0$  and  $\frac{\omega}{2} \|u_0\|_2^2 + E(u_0) < d_I$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is invariant set of (1.1), we have  $Q(u(\cdot, t)) > 0$  and  $\frac{\omega}{2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 + E(u(\cdot, t)) < d_I$ . Using  $Q(u(\cdot, t)) > 0$ ,

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& L \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u(\cdot, t)|^2)|^2 dx \right) \\
&= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + (N+2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + 8N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h''(|u|^2) h'(|u|^2) |u|^4 |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(L-2) + 4(L-N-2)(h'(|u|^2))^2 |u|^2 - 8N h''(|u|^2) h'(|u|^2) |u|^4] |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&\geq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + (N+2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + 8N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h''(|u|^2) h'(|u|^2) |u|^4 |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&\geq N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|u|^2 F(|u(\cdot, t)|^2) - G(|u(\cdot, t)|^2)] dx \geq N(c_N - 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u(\cdot, t)|^2) dx. \quad (5.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (5.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E(u(\cdot, t)) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u(\cdot, t)|^2)|^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u(\cdot, t)|^2) dx \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{L}{N(c_N - 1)} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u(\cdot, t)|^2)|^2 dx \right). \quad (5.10)
\end{aligned}$$

By mass conservation law  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 = \|u_0\|_2^2$ , using (5.9) and (5.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d_I &> \frac{\omega}{2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 + E(u(\cdot, t)) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{L}{N(c_N - 1)} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u(\cdot, t)|^2)|^2 dx \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u(\cdot, t)|^2)|^2 dx \leq C < \infty,$$

i.e., the solution  $u(x, t)$  of (1.1) exists globally.

Step 4. Suppose that  $|x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $Q(u_0) < 0$  and  $\frac{\omega}{2} \|u_0\|_2^2 + E(u_0) < d_I$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}_-$  is a invariant set of (1.1), we have  $Q(u(\cdot, t)) < 0$  and  $\frac{\omega}{2} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_2^2 + E(u(\cdot, t)) < d_I$ .

Let  $J(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u|^2 dx$ . Then

$$J''(t) = 4Q(u(x, t)), \quad J'(t) = -4\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx.$$

Since  $J'(0) = -4\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}_0(x \cdot \nabla u) dx < 0$ , we have

$$J'(t) = J'(0) + \int_0^t J''(\tau) d\tau = J'(0) + 4 \int_0^t Q(u(\cdot, \tau)) d\tau < J'(0) < 0$$

and

$$J(t) = J(0) + \int_0^t J'(\tau) d\tau < J(0) + J'(0)t,$$



which implies that the maximum existence interval for  $t$  is finite, by the proof of Theorem 2, we know that the solution blows up in finite time.  $\square$

**Remark 5.1.** The assumptions (1.18)–(1.20) are weaker than the following assumption: There exist  $0 < \bar{\theta} < 1$  and  $\bar{q} > 1$  such that  $(2^* - 2)\bar{\theta} + 2\bar{q} \leq 2^*$  and

$$F(s) \geq 0, \quad [sF(s)]^{\bar{\theta}} \leq c_3 s, \quad [sF(s)]^{\bar{q}} \leq c'_3 [s^{\frac{1}{2}} + h(s)]^{2^*} \quad \text{for } s \geq 0.$$

**Remark 5.2.** We also some examples to illustrate the result of Theorem 3.

1.  $h(s) = as^p (a > 0)$ ,  $F(s) = bs^q (b > 0)$ . If  $p \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , we can take  $0 < \epsilon < q - (2p - 1 + \frac{2}{N})$  and

$$L = Nq - \epsilon, \quad \underline{l} = 1, \quad k = (p - 1), \quad c_N = q + 1, \\ \theta_3 = \theta_4 = \frac{1}{q + 1}, \quad q_3 = \frac{q \cdot \frac{2^*}{2} + 1}{q + 1}, \quad q_4 = \frac{p \cdot 2^*}{q + 1}.$$

Meanwhile, the conditions  $q_4 > 1$  and  $(2^* - 2)\theta_4 + 2q_4 \leq 2^*$  imply that  $2p - 1 + \frac{2}{N} < q < p \cdot 2^* - 1$ . We establish the sharp threshold when  $2p - 1 + \frac{2}{N} < q < p \cdot 2^* - 1$ .

2.  $h(s) = a_1 s^{p_1} + a_2 s^{p_2} + \dots + a_m s^{p_m}$ ,  $F(s) = b_1 s^{\tilde{q}_1} + b_2 s^{\tilde{q}_2} + \dots + b_n s^{\tilde{q}_n}$ ,  $1 < p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_m$ ,  $a_l > 0$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $0 < \tilde{q}_1 < \tilde{q}_2 < \dots < \tilde{q}_n$ ,  $b_j > 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We can take  $0 < \epsilon < \tilde{q}_1 - (2p_m - 1 + \frac{2}{N})$  and

$$L = N\tilde{q}_1 - \epsilon, \quad \underline{l} = 1, \quad k = p_m - 1, \quad c_N = \tilde{q}_1 + 1 \\ \theta_3 = \frac{1}{\tilde{q}_1 + 1}, \quad \theta_4 = \frac{1}{\tilde{q}_n + 1}, \quad q_3 = \frac{\tilde{q}_1 \cdot \frac{2^*}{2} + 1}{\tilde{q}_1 + 1}, \quad q_4 = \frac{p_m \cdot 2^*}{\tilde{q}_n + 1}.$$

Then the condition  $c_N > \max\{1 + \frac{2}{N}, 2(k + 1) + \frac{2}{N}\}$  implies that  $\tilde{q}_1 > 2p_m - 1 + \frac{2}{N}$ . Meanwhile, the conditions  $q_4 > 1$  and  $(2^* - 2)\theta_4 + 2q_4 \leq 2^*$  imply that  $2p_m - 1 + \frac{2}{N} < \tilde{q}_n < p_m \cdot 2^* - 1$ . We establish the sharp threshold when  $2p_m - 1 + \frac{2}{N} < \tilde{q}_1 < \tilde{q}_2 < \dots < \tilde{q}_n < p_m \cdot 2^* - 1$ .

**Remark 5.3.** (i) By the results of Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3, we find that if there exist  $c_N > 0$ ,  $0 < \bar{\theta} < 1$  and  $\bar{q} > 1$  such that  $sF(s) \geq c_N G(s) \geq 0$  and

$$[sF(s)]^{\bar{\theta}} \leq cs, \quad [sF(s)]^{\bar{q}} \leq c'[s^{\frac{1}{2}} + h(s)]^{2^*} \quad \text{for } s \geq 0,$$

then the watershed condition on the blowup in finite time and global existence of the solution is  $(2^* - 2)\bar{\theta} + 2\bar{q} = 2^*$ . Roughly, if  $(2^* - 2)\bar{\theta} + 2\bar{q} > 2^*$ , then the solution with any initial data is global existence, while if  $(2^* - 2)\bar{\theta} + 2\bar{q} \leq 2^*$ , then dichotomy appears, the solutions with initial data which has small mass will exist globally and others will blow up in finite time.

(ii) We find that sometimes the equality  $(2^* - 2)\bar{\theta} + 2\bar{q} = 2^*$  meets with the equality  $c_N = \max\{1 + \frac{2}{N}, 2(k + 1) + \frac{2}{N}\}$ . For example, if  $h(s) = as^p (a > 0, p > 0)$ ,  $F(s) = bs^{\tilde{q}} (b > 0, \tilde{q} > 0)$ , the watershed is  $\tilde{q} = c_N - 1 = \max\{\frac{2}{N}, 2p - 1 + \frac{2}{N}\}$ . It is an interesting open problem that how we can find the relationship between the equality  $(2^* - 2)\bar{\theta} + 2\bar{q} = 2^*$  and  $c_N = \max\{1 + \frac{2}{N}, 2(k + 1) + \frac{2}{N}\}$  for general  $h(s)$  and  $F(s)$ .

**Remark 5.4.** An interesting problem is that: Which one, among the two terms  $\Delta u$  and  $2uh'(|u|^2)\Delta h(|u|^2)$ , plays a major role versus the term of  $F(|u|^2)u$ ? We cannot give the answer for general  $h(s)$  and  $F(s)$ . Yet in the case of  $h(s) = as^p$  and  $F(s) = bs^{\tilde{q}}$ , we find that  $p = \frac{1}{2}$  can be looked as a borderline in the following sense: If  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $\Delta u$  takes the leading role over that of  $2uh'(|u|^2)\Delta h(|u|^2)$ , so the watershed exponent of the term  $F(|u|^2)u = b|u|^{2\tilde{q}}u$  is  $\tilde{q} = \frac{2}{N}$ . If  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ , then  $2uh'(|u|^2)\Delta h(|u|^2)$  takes the leading role over that of  $\Delta u$ , so the watershed exponent of the term  $F(|u|^2)u = b|u|^{2\tilde{q}}u$  is  $\tilde{q} = 2p - 1 + \frac{2}{N}$ . And they agree with when  $p = \frac{1}{2}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.5.** The assumptions

$$[G(s)]^{q_1} \leq c'_1 s + \epsilon_1 [s^{\frac{1}{2}} + h(s)]^{2^*} \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq 1, \quad [G(s)]^{q_2} \leq c'_2 s + \epsilon_2 [s^{\frac{1}{2}} + h(s)]^{2^*} \text{ for } s > 1,$$

$$[sF(s)]^{q_3} \leq c'_3 [s^{\frac{1}{2}} + h(s)]^{2^*} \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq 1, \quad [sF(s)]^{q_4} \leq c'_4 [s^{\frac{1}{2}} + h(s)]^{2^*} \text{ for } s > 1,$$

$q_1 > 1$ ,  $q_2 > 1$ ,  $q_3 > 1$  and  $q_4 > 1$  imply that the term  $F(|u|^2)u$  is “sub-Sobolev-critical”. Naturally, we will consider the following problem in another paper: What’s about conditions on the blowup and global existence of the solution in the critical case

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{G(s)}{s^{\frac{2^*}{2}} + (h(s))^{2^*}} = a > 0, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(s)s}{s^{\frac{2^*}{2}} + (h(s))^{2^*}} = b > 0?$$

We also give a corollary of Theorem 3 as follows.

**Corollary 5.1.** *Assume that  $u(x, t)$  is the solution to (4.5),  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $4\alpha + \frac{4}{N} < q < 2\alpha \cdot 2^*$ . Moreover suppose that there exists  $\omega > 0$  such that*

$$d_I := \inf_{\{w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}; Q(w)=0\}} \left( \frac{\omega}{2} \|w\|_2^2 + E(w) \right) > 0, \quad (5.11)$$

where

$$Q(w) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w|^2 dx + [(2\alpha - 1)N + 2] \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla w^{2\alpha}|^2 dx - \frac{(q-2)N}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^q dx, \quad (5.12)$$

$$E(w) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla w|^2 + |\nabla w^{2\alpha}|^2] dx - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w|^q dx \quad (5.13)$$

and  $u_0$  satisfies

$$\frac{\omega}{2} \|u_0\|_2^2 + E(u_0) < d_I.$$

Then we have:

- (1). If  $Q(u_0) > 0$ , the solution of (1.1) exists globally;
- (2). If  $Q(u_0) < 0$  and  $|x|u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}_0(x \cdot \nabla u_0) dx > 0$ , the solution of (1.1) blows up in finite time.

**Proof:** Letting  $h(s) = s^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $F(s) = s^{\frac{q-2}{2}}$ ,  $q > 2$ , we can verify the conditions of Theorem 3, which implies the conclusions of this corollary are true.  $\square$

## 6 The Pseudo-conformal Conservation Law and Asymptotic Behavior for the Global Solution

In this section, we will prove the pseudo-conformal conservation law and consider asymptotic behavior for the global solution of (1.1).

**Proof of Theorem 4:** 1. Assume that  $u$  is the global solution of (1.1),  $u_0 \in X$  and  $xu_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Since

$$E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 - G(|u|^2)] dx = E(u_0),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu|^2 dx + 4t\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx + 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &\quad + 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx - 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu|^2 dx + 4t\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx + 8t^2 E(u_0). \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

Recalling that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x|^2 |u|^2 dx = -4\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} P'(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu|^2 dx + 4\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx + 4t \frac{d}{dt} \Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx + 16tE(u_0) \\ &= 4t \frac{d}{dt} \Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx + 16tE(u_0) \\ &= 4t \left\{ -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - (N+2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 8N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} h''(|u|^2) h'(|u|^2) |u|^4 |\nabla u|^2 dx + N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [ |u|^2 F(|u|^2) - G(|u|^2) ] dx \right\} \\ &\quad + 8t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [ |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 - G(|u|^2) ] dx \\ &= 4t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} -4N [ 2h''(|u|^2) h'(|u|^2) |u|^2 + (h'(|u|^2))^2 ] |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &\quad + 4t \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [ N |u|^2 F(|u|^2) - (N+2) G(|u|^2) ] dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Integrating (6.2) from 0 to  $t$ , we have

$$P(t) = P(0) + 4 \int_0^t \tau \theta(\tau) d\tau = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx + 4 \int_0^t \tau \theta(\tau) d\tau.$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(x - 2it\nabla)u|^2 dx + 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx - 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx + 4 \int_0^t \tau \theta(\tau) d\tau,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.3}$$

where  $\theta(\tau)$  is defined by (1.24).

2. Assume that  $u$  is the blowup solution of (1.1),  $u_0 \in X$  and  $xu_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Using  $E(u) = E(u_0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B(t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(x + 2i(T-t)\nabla)u|^2 dx + 4(T-t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx - 4(T-t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu|^2 dx - 4(T-t) \Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx + 4(T-t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&\quad + 4(T-t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx - 4(T-t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu|^2 dx - 4(T-t) \Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx + 8(T-t)^2 E(u_0)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
B'(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu|^2 dx + 4 \Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx \\
&\quad - 4(T-t) \frac{d}{dt} \Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx + 16(T-t) E(u_0) \\
&= -4(T-t) \frac{d}{dt} \Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}(x \cdot \nabla u) dx + 16(T-t) E(u_0) \\
&= 4(T-t) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} 4N[2h''(|u|^2)h'(|u|^2)|u|^2 + (h'(|u|^2))^2]|u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 dx \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(N+2)G(|u|^2) - NF(|u|^2)|u|^2] dx \right\} + 32(T-t) E(u_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Integrating (6.5) from 0 to  $t$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B(t) &= B(0) + 32E(u_0) \int_0^t (T-\tau) d\tau - 4 \int_0^t (T-\tau) \theta(\tau) d\tau \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(x + 2iT\nabla)u_0|^2 dx + 4T^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u_0|^2)|^2 - G(|u_0|^2)] dx \\
&\quad + 32E(u_0) \int_0^t (T-\tau) d\tau - 4 \int_0^t (T-\tau) \theta(\tau) d\tau,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta(\tau)$  is defined by (1.24). □

Using Theorem 4 we derive the following result on asymptotic behaviors of the solution to (1.1).

**Theorem 5.** 1. Assume that  $u$  is the global solution of (1.1),  $u_0 \in X$  and  $xu_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Suppose that  $F(s) = F_1(s) - F_2(s)$ ,  $F_1(s) \geq 0$  or  $F_1(s)$  changes sign for  $s \geq 0$ ,  $F_2(s) \geq 0$  for  $s \geq 0$ , and there exist  $c_1, c'_1, c_2, c'_2 > 0$ ,  $0 < \gamma_1, \tilde{\gamma}_1 < 1$  and  $\gamma_2, \tilde{\gamma}_2 > 1$  such that

$$\frac{2^*(1-\gamma_1)}{2(\gamma_2-\gamma_1)} = 1, \quad \frac{2^*(1-\tilde{\gamma}_1)}{2(\tilde{\gamma}_2-\tilde{\gamma}_1)} = 1, \quad (6.6)$$

$$[|G_1(s)|]^{\gamma_1} \leq c_1 s, \quad [|G_1(s)|]^{\gamma_2} \leq c'_1 [h(s)]^{2^*} \text{ for } 0 \leq s \leq 1, \quad (6.7)$$

$$[|G_1(s)|]^{\tilde{\gamma}_1} \leq c_2 s, \quad [|G_1(s)|]^{\tilde{\gamma}_2} \leq c'_2 [h(s)]^{2^*} \text{ for } s > 1. \quad (6.8)$$

Moreover, assume that

$$\sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_j}} < 1. \quad (6.9)$$

Here

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_1} = \frac{(\gamma_2-1)}{(\gamma_2-\gamma_1)}, \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_1} = \frac{(1-\gamma_1)}{(\gamma_2-\gamma_1)}, \quad (6.10)$$

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_2} = \frac{(\tilde{\gamma}_2-1)}{(\tilde{\gamma}_2-\tilde{\gamma}_1)}, \quad \frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_2} = \frac{(1-\tilde{\gamma}_1)}{(\tilde{\gamma}_2-\tilde{\gamma}_1)}. \quad (6.11)$$

Then the following properties hold:

(1) If  $2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 \geq 0$ ,  $NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq 0$  and  $NF_2(s)s - (N+2)G_2(s) \geq 0$ , then there exists  $C$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + |G_1(|u|^2)| + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \leq Ct^{-2} \quad \text{for } t \geq 1. \quad (6.12)$$

(2) If  $2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 \geq 0$ ,  $NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq 0$  and  $-k_1G_2(s) \leq NF_2(s)s - (N+2)G_2(s) < 0$  for some  $0 < k_1 < 2$ , then there exists  $C$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + |G_1(|u|^2)| + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \leq \frac{C}{t^{2-k_1}} \quad \text{for } t \geq 1. \quad (6.13)$$

(3) If  $2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 \geq 0$ ,  $0 < NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq \tilde{k}_1|G_1(s)|$  for some

$$0 < \tilde{k}_1 < \frac{2[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_j}}]}{\sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_j}}} := \tilde{K} \quad (6.14)$$

and  $NF_2(s)s - (N+2)G_2(s) \geq 0$ , then there exists  $C$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + |G_1(|u|^2)| + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \leq \frac{C}{t^{2-l_3}} \quad \text{for } t \geq 1, \quad (6.15)$$

where

$$l_3 = \frac{\tilde{k}_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]} < 2.$$

(4) If  $2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 \geq 0$ ,  $0 < NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq \tilde{k}_1|G_1(s)|$  for some  $0 < \tilde{k}_1 < \tilde{K}$ , where  $\tilde{K}$  is defined by (6.14), and  $-k_1G_2(s) \leq NF_2(s)s - (N+2)G_2(s) < 0$  for some  $0 < k_1 < 2[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]$ , then there exists  $C$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + |G_1(|u|^2)| + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \leq \frac{C}{t^{2-l_4}} \quad \text{for } t \geq 1, \quad (6.16)$$

where

$$l_4 = \frac{\max[k_1, \tilde{k}_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]} < 2.$$

(5) If  $-k_2(h'(s))^2 < 2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 < 0$  for some

$$0 < k_2 < \frac{2[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]}{N} := K, \quad (6.17)$$

$NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq 0$  and  $NF_2(s)s - (N+2)G_2(s) \geq 0$ , then there exists  $C$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + |G_1(|u|^2)| + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \leq \frac{C}{t^{2-l_5}} \quad \text{for } t \geq 1, \quad (6.18)$$

where

$$l_5 = \frac{Nk_2}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]} < 2.$$

(6) If  $-k_2(h'(s))^2 < 2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 < 0$  for some  $0 < k_2 \leq K$ , where  $K$  is defined by (6.17),  $NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq 0$  and  $-k_1G_2(s) \leq NF_2(s)s - (N+2)G_2(s) < 0$  for some  $0 < k_1 < 2[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]$ , then there exists  $C$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + |G_1(|u|^2)| + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \leq \frac{C}{t^{2-l_6}} \quad \text{for } t \geq 1, \quad (6.19)$$

where

$$l_6 = \frac{\max(Nk_2, k_1)}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]} < 2.$$

(7) If  $-k_2(h'(s))^2 < 2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 < 0$  for some  $0 < k_2 \leq K$ , where  $K$  is defined by (6.17),  $0 < NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq \tilde{k}_1|G_1(s)|$  for some  $0 < \tilde{k}_1 < 2$  and

$NF_2(s)s - (N + 2)G_2(s) \geq 0$ , moreover,

$$l_7 = \frac{[Nk_2 + \tilde{k}_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]},$$

then there exists  $C$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + |G_1(|u|^2)| + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \leq \frac{C}{t^{2-l_7}} \quad \text{for } t \geq 1. \quad (6.20)$$

(8) If  $-k_2(h'(s))^2 < 2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 < 0$  for some  $0 < k_2 \leq K$ , where  $K$  is defined by (6.17),  $0 < NF_1(s)s - (N + 2)G_1(s) \leq \tilde{k}_1|G_1(s)|$  for some  $0 < \tilde{k}_1 < \tilde{K}$ , where  $\tilde{K}$  is defined by (6.14), and  $-k_1G_2(s) \leq NF_2(s)s - (N + 2)G_2(s) < 0$  for some  $0 < k_1 < 2[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]$ , moreover,

$$l_8 = \frac{\max[k_1, Nk_2 + \tilde{k}_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]},$$

then there exists  $C$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + |G_1(|u|^2)| + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \leq \frac{C}{t^{2-l_8}} \quad \text{for } t \geq 1. \quad (6.21)$$

In all cases above, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx = 2E(u_0), \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1}^2 = M(u_0) + 2E(u_0). \quad (6.22)$$

2. Assume that  $u$  is the blowup solution of (1.1),  $u_0 \in X$  and  $xu_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . If  $[(h'(s))^2 + 2h''(s)h'(s)s] \leq 0$ ,  $NF(s)s - (N + 2)G(s) \geq 0$  and  $-4T^2E(u_0) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx - 4T \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}_0(x \cdot \nabla u_0) dx > 0$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx \geq \frac{C}{(T - t)^2}. \quad (6.23)$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u(\cdot, t)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u(\cdot, t)|^2)|^2 dx \geq \frac{C}{(T - t)^2} \quad \text{as } t \text{ close } T. \quad (6.24)$$

**Remark 6.1.** 1. If  $h(s) \equiv 0$ , our results meet with those for semilinear Schrödinger equation.

2. Note that maybe  $F_1(s) \equiv 0$  or  $F_2(s) \equiv 0$ .

Before we prove Theorem 5, we would like to recall the following Gronwall's inequality in differential form:

**Gronwall's inequality** Let  $\xi(t)$  be a nonnegative, absolutely continuous function on  $[a, +\infty)$ , which satisfies

$$\xi'(t) \leq \phi(t)\xi(t) + \psi(t), \quad (6.25)$$

where  $\phi(t)$  and  $\psi(t)$  are nonnegative, summable functions on  $[a, +\infty)$ . Then

$$\xi(t) \leq e^{\int_a^t \phi(\eta) d\eta} [\xi(a) + \int_a^t \psi(\eta) e^{-\int_a^\eta \phi(\xi) d\xi} d\eta] \quad (6.26)$$

for all  $t \in [a, +\infty)$ .

We also point out a fact below

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx &= \int_{\{|u| \leq 1\}} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx + \int_{\{|u| > 1\}} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\{|u| \leq 1\}} |G_1(|u|^2)|^{\gamma_1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_1}} \left( \int_{\{|u| \leq 1\}} |G_1(|u|^2)|^{\gamma_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_1}} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_{\{|u| > 1\}} |G_1(|u|^2)|^{\tilde{\gamma}_1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}} \left( \int_{\{|u| > 1\}} |G_1(|u|^2)|^{\tilde{\gamma}_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)|^{\gamma_1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)|^{\gamma_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_1}} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)|^{\tilde{\gamma}_1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)|^{\tilde{\gamma}_2} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_2}} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_j}} \left( c'_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [h(|u|^2)]^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_j}} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_j}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2\tilde{\gamma}_j}} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx, \end{aligned} \quad (6.27)$$

which means that

$$-4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_1(|u|^2) dx \geq -4t^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_j}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx. \quad (6.28)$$

**Proof of Theorem 5:** 1. Assume that  $u$  is the global solution of (1.1),  $u_0 \in X$  and  $xu_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

(1)  $2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 \geq 0$ ,  $NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq 0$  and  $NF_2(s)s - (N+2)G_2(s) \geq 0$ .



(1.25), (6.27) and (6.28) imply that

$$4\left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}}\right] t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx,$$

i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \leq Ct^{-2}.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \leq Ct^{-2},$$

(6.12) holds.

(2)  $2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 \geq 0$ ,  $NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq 0$  and  $-k_1G_2(s) \leq NF_2(s)s - (N+2)G_2(s) < 0$  for some  $0 < k_1 < 2$ .

By (1.25), (6.27) and (6.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}}\right] t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx + 4k_1 \int_0^t \eta \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \right] d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (6.29)$$

Let

$$A_2(t) := 4 \int_0^t \eta \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \right] d\eta.$$

(6.29) implies

$$A_2'(t) \leq \frac{C_0}{t} + \frac{k_1}{t} A_2(t).$$

Using Gronwell's inequality, we have

$$A_2(t) \leq t^{k_1} [A_2(1) + C - \frac{C}{t^{k_1}}] \leq C't^{k_1}.$$

Using (6.27) and (6.28) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 4\left[1 - (c_1 \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} (c_2 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}\right] t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \\ & \leq C_0 + C't^{k_1} \leq Ct^{k_1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \leq \frac{C}{t^{2-k_1}}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \leq \frac{C}{t^{2-k_1}},$$

(6.13) holds.

(3)  $2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 \geq 0$ ,  $0 < NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq \tilde{k}_1|G_1(s)|$  for some  $0 < \tilde{k}_1 < \tilde{K}$  and  $NF_2(s)s - (N+2)G_2(s) \geq 0$ . Similar to (6.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4\left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}}\right] t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx + 4\tilde{k}_1 \int_0^t \eta \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \right] d\eta \\
& \leq C + 4 \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \tilde{k}_1 \int_0^t \eta \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right] d\eta. \tag{6.30}
\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$A_3(t) := 4 \int_0^t \eta \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right] d\eta.$$

(6.30) implies that

$$A'_3(t) \leq \frac{C_0}{\left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}}\right] t} + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \tilde{k}_1}{\left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}}\right] t} A_3(t).$$

Using Gronwell's inequality, we get

$$A_3(t) \leq [A_3(1) + C'] t \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} \tilde{k}_1}{\left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}}\right]} \right) := Ct^{l_3}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \leq \frac{C}{t^2} + \frac{Ct^{l_3}}{t^2} \leq \frac{C'}{t^{2-l_3}}.$$

Using (6.27) and (6.30) again, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \leq \frac{C}{t^2} + \frac{Ct^{l_3}}{t^2} \leq \frac{C'}{t^{2-l_3}}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \leq \frac{C'}{t^{2-l_3}},$$

and (6.15) holds.

(4)  $2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 \geq 0$ ,  $0 < NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq \tilde{k}_1|G_1(s)|$  for some  $0 < \tilde{k}_1 < \tilde{K}$ , where  $\tilde{K}$  is defined by (6.14), and  $-k_1 G_2(s) \leq NF_2(s)s - (N+2)G_2(s) < 0$  for some  $0 < k_1 < 2\left[1 - (c_1 \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} (c_2 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}\right]$ .

Similar to Case (2) and (3), and using (1.25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}] t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx + 4\tilde{k}_1 \int_0^t \eta \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \right] d\eta + 4k_1 \int_0^t \eta \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \right] d\eta \\
& \leq C_0 + 4 \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} \tilde{k}_1 \int_0^t \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx d\eta \\
& \quad + 4k_1 \int_0^t \eta \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \right] d\eta \\
& \leq C_0 + 4 \max[k_1, \tilde{k}_1] \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} \int_0^t \eta \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \right] d\eta.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

Let

$$A_4(t) = 4 \int_0^t \eta \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \right] d\eta.$$

(6.31) implies that

$$A'_4(t) \leq \frac{C_0}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}] t} + \frac{\max[k_1, \tilde{k}_1] \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}] t} A_4(t).$$

Using Gronwell's inequality, we get

$$A_4(t) \leq [A_4(1) + C'] t \left( \frac{\max[k_1, \tilde{k}_1] \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]} \right) := Ct^{l_4}$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \leq \frac{C}{t^2} + \frac{Ct^{l_4}}{t^2} \leq \frac{C'}{t^{2-l_4}}.$$

Using (6.27) again, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \leq \frac{C'}{t^{2-l_4}},$$

(6.16) holds.

(5)  $-k_2(h'(s))^2 < 2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 < 0$  for some  $0 < k_2 < K$ ,  $NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq 0$  and  $NF_2(s)s - (N+2)G_2(s) \geq 0$ .

Using (1.25), (6.27) and (6.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4\left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}}\right] t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx + 4Nk_2 \int_0^t \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx d\eta.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.32}$$

Let

$$A_5(t) = 4 \int_0^t \eta \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right] d\eta.$$

(6.32) implies that

$$A'_5(t) \leq \frac{C_0}{\left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}}\right] t} + \frac{Nk_2}{\left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}}\right] t} A_5(t).$$

Using Gronwell's inequality, we get

$$A_5(t) \leq [A_5(1) + C'] t \left( \frac{Nk_2}{\left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}}\right]} \right) := Ct^{l_5}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \leq \frac{C}{t^2} + \frac{Ct^{l_5}}{t^2} \leq \frac{C'}{t^{2-l_5}}.$$

Using (6.27) and (6.32) again, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \leq \frac{C}{t^{2-l_5}}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \leq \frac{C}{t^{2-l_5}},$$

and (6.18) holds.

(6)  $-k_2(h'(s))^2 < 2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 < 0$  for some  $0 < k_2 \leq K$ , where  $K$  is defined by (6.17),  $NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq 0$  and  $-k_1G_2(s) \leq NF_2(s)s - (N+2)G_2(s) < 0$  for some  $0 < k_1 < 2\left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}}\right]$ .

Using (1.25), (6.27) and (6.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4\left[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau_j}}\right] t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx + 4Nk_2 \int_0^t \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx d\eta + 4k_1 \int_0^t \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx d\eta \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx + 4 \max(Nk_2, k_1) \int_0^t \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + G_2(|u|^2)] dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.33}$$

Let

$$A_6(t) = 4 \int_0^t \eta \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \right] d\eta.$$

(6.33) implies that

$$A'_6(t) \leq \frac{C_0}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}}] t} + \frac{\max(Nk_2, k_1)}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}}] t} A_6(t).$$

Using Gronwell's inequality, we get

$$A_6(t) \leq [A_6(1) + C] t^{\left( \frac{\max(Nk_2, k_1)}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}}]} \right)} := C' t^{l_6},$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \leq \frac{C}{t^2} + \frac{C t^{l_6}}{t^2} \leq \frac{C'}{t^{2-l_6}}.$$

Using (6.27) again, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \leq \frac{C'}{t^{2-l_6}},$$

(6.19) holds.

(7)  $-k_2(h'(s))^2 < 2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 < 0$  for some  $0 < k_2 \leq K$ , where  $K$  is defined by (6.17),  $0 < NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq \tilde{k}_1|G_1(s)|$  for some  $0 < \tilde{k}_1 < 2$  and  $NF_2(s)s - (N+2)G_2(s) \geq 0$ .

Using (1.25), (6.27) and (6.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 4[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}}] t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx + 4Nk_2 \int_0^t \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx d\eta + 4\tilde{k}_1 \int_0^t \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx d\eta \\ & \leq C + 4[Nk_2 + \tilde{k}_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}}] \int_0^t \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

Let

$$A_7(t) = 4 \int_0^t \eta \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right] d\eta.$$

(6.34) implies that

$$A'_7(t) \leq \frac{C_0}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}}] t} + \frac{[Nk_2 + \tilde{k}_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}}]}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}}] t} A_7(t).$$

Using Gronwell's inequality, we get

$$A_7(t) \leq [A_7(1) + C'] t^{\left( \frac{[Nk_2 + \tilde{k}_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}}]}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}'_j}}]} \right)} := C' t^{l_7}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \leq \frac{C}{t^2} + \frac{Ct^{l_7}}{t^2} \leq \frac{C'}{t^{2-l_7}}.$$

Using (6.27) and (6.34) again, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \leq \frac{C}{t^{2-l_7}}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \leq \frac{C}{t^{2-l_7}},$$

(6.20) holds.

(8)  $-k_2(h'(s))^2 < 2h''(s)h'(s)s + (h'(s))^2 < 0$  for some  $0 < k_2 \leq K$ , where  $K$  is defined by (6.17),  $0 < NF_1(s)s - (N+2)G_1(s) \leq \tilde{k}_1|G_1(s)|$  for some  $0 < \tilde{k}_1 < \tilde{K}$ , where  $\tilde{K}$  is defined by (6.14), and  $-k_1G_2(s) \leq NF_2(s)s - (N+2)G_2(s) < 0$  for some  $0 < k_1 < 2[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]$ .

Using (1.25), (6.27) and (6.28), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 4[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}] t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx + 4t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx + 4Nk_2 \int_0^t \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx d\eta + 4\tilde{k}_1 \int_0^t \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx d\eta \\ & \quad + 4k_1 \int_0^t \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx d\eta \\ & \leq C_0 + 4 \max[k_1, Nk_2 + \tilde{k}_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}] \int_0^t \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + G_2(|u|^2)] dx. \end{aligned} \tag{6.35}$$

Let

$$A_8(t) = 4 \int_0^t \eta \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \right] d\eta.$$

(6.35) implies that

$$A_8'(t) \leq \frac{C_0}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}] t} + \frac{\max[k_1, Nk_2 + \tilde{k}_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}] t} A_8(t).$$

Using Gronwell's inequality, we get

$$A_8(t) \leq [A_8(1) + C'] t \left( \frac{\max[k_1, Nk_2 + \tilde{k}_1 \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]}{[1 - \sum_{j=1}^2 (c_j \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}} (c'_j C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{\tau}_j}}]} \right) := Ct^{l_8},$$

which implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [|\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 + G_2(|u|^2)] dx \leq \frac{C}{t^2} + \frac{Ct^{l_8}}{t^2} \leq \frac{C'}{t^{2-l_8}}.$$

Using (6.27) again, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx \leq \frac{C}{t^2 - t_8},$$

(6.21) holds.

In all cases above, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |G_1(|u|^2)| dx = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_2(|u|^2) dx = 0.$$

Using energy conservation law  $E(u) = E(u_0)$  and letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(u) = E(u_0).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u\|_{H^1}^2 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) = M(u_0) + 2E(u_0),$$

which prove (6.22).

2. Assume that  $u$  is the blowup solution of (1.1),  $u_0 \in X$  and  $xu_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Using (1.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 4(T-t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(x + 2i(T-t)\nabla)u|^2 dx + 4(T-t)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \\ &+ 4 \int_0^t (T-\tau)\theta(\tau) d\tau - 32E(u_0) \int_0^t (T-\tau) d\tau \\ &- 4T^2 E(u_0) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx - 4T\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}_0(x \cdot \nabla u_0) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.36)$$

If  $[(h'(s))^2 + 2h''(s)h'(s)s] \leq 0$ ,  $NF(s)s - (N+2)G(s) \geq 0$  and

$$E(u_0) \leq 0, \quad -4T^2 E(u_0) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |xu_0|^2 dx - 4T\Im \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \bar{u}_0(x \cdot \nabla u_0) dx > 0,$$

then (6.36) implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx \geq \frac{C}{(T-t)^2}.$$

(6.23) holds.

Using energy conservation law  $E(u) = E(u_0)$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(|u|^2) dx + E(u_0) \geq \frac{C}{(T-t)^2} + E(u_0).$$

As  $t$  close to  $T$  enough, then there exists a constant  $0 < C' < C$  such that

$$\frac{C}{(T-t)^2} + E(u_0) \geq \frac{C'}{(T-t)^2}.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \geq \frac{2C'}{(T-t)^2},$$

which proves (6.24).  $\square$

**Remark 6.1.** We would like to give some examples.

1.  $h(s) = as^p (a > 0, p > 0)$ ,  $F_1(s) \equiv 0$ ,  $F_2(s) = bs^{\tilde{q}} (b > 0, \tilde{q} > 0)$ .
2.  $h(s) = as^p (a > 0, p > 0)$ ,  $F_1(s) = bs^{\tilde{q}_1} (b > 0, \tilde{q}_1 > 0)$ ,  $F_2(s) = cs^{\tilde{q}_2} (c > 0, \tilde{q}_2 > 0)$ , where  $2p = \tilde{q}_1 + \frac{2}{2^*}$ . We can verify the assumptions of Theorem 5 and obtain the corresponding conclusions, we omit the details here.

**Remark 6.2.** We would like to point a special case of Theorem 5 below.

Assume that there exist  $c_1 > 0$ ,  $c_2 > 0$ ,  $0 < \gamma_1 < 1$  and  $\gamma_2 > 1$  such that

$$\frac{2^*(1-\gamma_1)}{2(\gamma_2-\gamma_1)} = 1, \quad \|G_1(s)\|^{\gamma_1} \leq c_1 s, \quad \|G_1(s)\|^{\gamma_2} \leq c_2 [h(s)]^{2^*} \text{ for } s \geq 0.$$

Let

$$\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{(1-\gamma_1)}{(\gamma_2-\gamma_1)}, \quad \frac{1}{\tau'} = \frac{(\gamma_2-1)}{(\gamma_2-\gamma_1)}. \quad (6.37)$$

Moreover, assume that

$$(c_1 \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau'}} (c_2 C_s)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} < 1. \quad (6.38)$$

Under the assumptions in each case of Theorem 5, we can obtain the corresponding asymptotic behavior for the solution of (1.1).

**Remark 6.3.** Parallel to the results Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, in each case of Theorem 5, we can obtain the corresponding asymptotic estimates for the functions  $f(s)$  and  $g(s)$  which satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 3.1,  $|\nabla f(s)|^2$  and  $|\nabla g(s)|^2$  which satisfy the assumptions of Proposition 3.2. For example, suppose that  $f(s)$  satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.1 and  $u$  is the global solution of (1.1) under the conditions of Theorem 5, by (3.12), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(|u|^2)| dx \leq C \|u_0\|_2^{\frac{2}{\tau_1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla h(|u|^2)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{2^*}{2\tau_1}}.$$

Then we can obtain the corresponding asymptotic behavior for  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(|u|^2)| dx$  in each case of Theorem 5. We omit the details here.



## References

- [1] S. Adachi, T. Watanabe, Uniqueness of the ground state solutions of quasilinear Schrödinger equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 75(2012), 819–833.
- [2] F. G. Bass and N. N. Nasanov, Nonlinear electromagnetic spin waves, *Phys. Rep.*, 189(1990), 165–223.
- [3] H. Berestycki and T. Cazenave, Instabilité des états stationnaires dans les équations de Schrödinger et de Klein-Gordon non linéaire, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 293(1981), 489–492.
- [4] A. V. Borovskii and A. L. Galkin, Dynamical modulation of an ultrashort high-intensity laser pulse in matter, *JETP*, 77(1993), 562–573.
- [5] A. de Bouard, N. Hayashi and J. C. Saut, Global existence of small solutions to a relativistic nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 189(1997), 73–105.
- [6] H. Brezis and E. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functions, *Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc.*, 88(1983), 486–490.
- [7] F. E. Browder, Variational methods for nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problems, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 71(1965), 176–183.
- [8] T. Cazenave and P. L. Lions, Orbital stability of standing waves for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 85(1982), 549–561.
- [9] J. Chen, Y. Li and Z.-Q. Wang, Stability of standing waves for a class of quasilinear Schrödinger equations, *Euro. J. Appl. Math.*, 23(2012), 611–633.
- [10] J. Chen and E. M. Rocha, Existence of stable standing waves and instability of standing waves to a class of quasilinear Schrödinger equations with potential, *Dynamics PDE*, 8(2011), 89–112.
- [11] M. Colin, On the local well-posedness of quasilinear Schrödinger equations in arbitrary space dimension, *Commun. Partial Diff. Eqns*, 27(2002), 325–354.
- [12] M. Colin, L. Jeanjean, and M. Squassina, Stability and instability results for standing waves of quasilinear Schrödinger equations, *Nonlinearity*, 23(2010), 1353–1385.
- [13] S. Le Coz, A note on Berestycki-Cazenave’s classical instability result for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, 8(2008), 455–463.
- [14] Y. B. Deng and W. T. Huang, Ground state solutions for generalized quasilinear Schrödinger equations without (AR) condition, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 456(2017), 927–945.

- [15] X. Fang and A. Szulkin, Multiple solutions for a quasilinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Differential Equations*, 254(2013), 2015–2032.
- [16] J. Ginibre and G. Velo, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Funct. Anal.*, 32(1979), 1–71.
- [17] J. Ginibre and G. Velo, On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations with non local interaction, *Math. Z.*, 170(1980), 109–136.
- [18] J. Ginibre and G. Velo, On the global Cauchy problem for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 1(1984), 309–323.
- [19] J. Ginibre and G. Velo, The global Cauchy problem for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation revisited, —it *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 2(1985), 309–327.
- [20] R. T. Glassey, On the blowing up of solutions to the Cauchy problem for nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, 18(1977), 1794–1797.
- [21] M. V. Goldman and M. Porkolab, Upper hybrid solitons and oscillating two-stream instabilities, *Phys. Fluids*, 19(1976), 872–881.
- [22] B. Guo, J. Chen and F. Su, The blow up problem for a quasilinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Math. Phys.*, 46(2005), 073510, 10 pp.
- [23] R. W. Hasse, A general method for the solution of nonlinear soliton and kink Schrödinger equations, *Z. Phys. B*, 37(1980), 83–87.
- [24] L. Jeanjean and K. Tanaka, A remark on least energy solutions in  $R^N$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131 (2003), 2399–2408.
- [25] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce and L. Vega, The Cauchy problem for quasi-linear Schrödinger equations, *Invent. Math.*, 158 (2004), 343–388.
- [26] S. Kurihara, Large-amplitude quasi-solitons in superfluid films, *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, 50 (1981), 3262–3267 .
- [27] E. W. Laedke, K. H. Spatschek, L. Stenflo, Evolution theorem for a class of perturbed envelope soliton solutions, *J. Math. Phys.*, 24 (1983), 2764–2769.
- [28] P. L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. *Analyse Nonlinéaire* 1(1984), 109–145.
- [29] A. G. Litvak and A. M. Sergeev, One dimensional collapse of plasma waves, *JETP Lett.*, 27(1978), 517–520.

- [30] J. Liu, Y. Wang, Z.-Q. Wang, Soliton solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations, II, *J. Differential Equations*, 187(2003), 473–493.
- [31] J. Liu, Y. Wang, Z.-Q. Wang, Solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations via the Nehari method, *Commun. Partial Diff. Eqns*, 29(2004), 879–901.
- [32] J. Liu, Z.-Q. Wang, Soliton solutions for quasilinear Schrödinger equations, I, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(2003), 441–448.
- [33] V. G. Makhankov and V. K. Fedyanin, Non-linear effects in quasi-one-dimensional models of condensed matter theory, *Phys. Rep.*, 104(1984), 1–86.
- [34] M. Poppenberg, On the local well posedness of quasi-linear Schrödinger equations in arbitrary space dimension, *J. Diff. Eqns*, 172(2001), 83–115.
- [35] M. Poppenberg, K. Schmitt and Z.-Q. Wang, On the existence of soliton solutions to quasilinear Schrödinger equations, *Calc. Var. Partial Diff. Eqns*, 14(2002), 329–344.
- [36] B. Ritchie, Relativistic self-focusing and channel formation in laser-plasma interactions, *Phys. Rev. E*, 50(1994), 687–689.
- [37] Y. Shen, Y. Wang, Soliton solutions for generalized quasilinear Schrödinger equations, *Nonlinear Anal.*, 80(2013), 194–201.
- [38] J. Zhang, Sharp conditions of global existence for nonlinear Schrodinger and Klein-Gordon equations, *Nonlinear Analysis, TMA*, 48(2002), 191–207.
- [39] J. Serrin, M. Tang, Uniqueness of ground states for quasilinear elliptic equations, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 49(2000), 897–923.