

On the Cauchy problem of the Boltzmann equation with a very soft potential

Dingqun Deng

Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong
e-mail: dingqdeng2-c@my.cityu.edu.hk

October 23, 2019

Abstract

The Cauchy problem for the Boltzmann equation with soft potential, in the framework of small perturbation of an equilibrium state, has been studied in many spaces. The method of strongly continuous semigroup has been applied by Caffisch [2] and Ukai-Asano [12] for the case of soft potential, where they obtained the L^∞ solution without requiring any velocity deviation. By generalizing the estimate on linearized collision operator L to the case of very soft potential, we obtain a similar global existence result for $\gamma \in [0, d)$. For soft potential, the spectrum structure of the linearized Boltzmann operator couldn't give spectral gap, so we use the method of integration by parts and consider a weighted velocity space in order to obtain algebraic decay in time.

Keywords: Boltzmann equation, linearized collision operator, global existence, soft potential, strongly continuous semigroup.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Properties of the Linearized Collision Operator	6
3	Estimate on the Linearized Boltzmann Operator	11
4	Eigenvalue Structure near $y = 0$	19
4.1	Eigenvalue Structure of D	20
4.1.1	The Eigenvalue Equation.	23
4.1.2	The Eigenvalues of D	24
4.1.3	Asymptotic Behavior of the Eigenvalues to D	25
4.1.4	The Eigenvalue Projection of D	27
4.2	Eigenvalue Structure of $P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P$	27

5	Estimate on the Semigroup $e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}$	30
5.1	y away from origin	33
5.2	y near origin	33
5.3	Result	36
6	Estimate on the Semigroup e^{tB} and Global Existence	37
7	Appendix	43
7.1	Semigroup Theory	43
7.2	Hilbert-Schmidt Operator	44
7.3	Interpolation	45
7.4	Preliminary Lemmas and Properties of L	47

1 Introduction

Consider the Cauchy problem of the Boltzmann equation in d -dimension:

$$f_t + \xi \cdot \nabla_x f = Q(f, f), \quad f|_{t=0} = f_0. \quad (1)$$

Here $f = f(x, \xi, t)$ is the distribution function of particle at position $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ with velocity $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d$ at time $t \geq 0$, $Q(f, g)$ is the bilinear collision operator defined by

$$Q(f, g) := \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{S^{d-1}} (f'_* g' + f' g'_* - f_* g - f g_*) q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\omega d\xi_* \quad (2)$$

where

$$f'_* = f(x, \xi'_*, t), \quad f' = f(x, \xi', t), \quad f_* = f(x, \xi_*, t), \quad f = f(x, \xi, t),$$

and similarly for g , and

$$\xi' = \xi - ((\xi - \xi_*) \cdot \omega) \omega, \quad \xi'_* = \xi_* + ((\xi - \xi_*) \cdot \omega) \omega, \quad (3)$$

where $\omega \in S^{d-1}$. Here (ξ, ξ_*) are the velocities of two gas particles before collision and (ξ', ξ'_*) are the velocities after collision, while $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ is the angle between the first variable of q and ω . For example, if $q = q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta)$, then

$$\cos \theta = \frac{(\xi - \xi_*) \cdot \omega}{|\xi - \xi_*|}, \quad (4)$$

The function q is the collision kernel determined by the interaction potential model between two colliding particles. In this paper, we will only consider the Grad's angular cut-off assumption. That is,

$$q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) = |\xi - \xi_*|^{-\gamma} b(\cos \theta), \quad (5)$$

with $|b(\cos \theta)| \leq q_0 |\cos \theta|$, for some $q_0 > 0$ and also q is almost everywhere positive. We usually call it hard potential if $\gamma \in [-1, 0)$ and soft potential if $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and very soft potential if $\gamma \in (0, d)$.

We are looking for a solution f near the equilibrium, that is the global Maxwellian \mathbf{M} . Suppose the solution has the form

$$f = \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}g. \quad (6)$$

By a translation and scaling of the velocity variable ξ , without loss of generality, we will take

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|\xi|^2}{2}\right). \quad (7)$$

Substitute (6) into (1), and notice a global Maxwellian is collision invariant, we have

$$g_t + \xi \cdot \nabla_x g = Lg + \Gamma(g, g), \quad (8)$$

where $\Gamma(g, h) := \mathbf{M}^{-1/2}Q(\mathbf{M}^{1/2}g, \mathbf{M}^{1/2}h)$ and L is a linear operator defined by

$$Lg := \mathbf{M}^{-1/2} \left(Q(\mathbf{M}^{1/2}g, \mathbf{M}) + Q(\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}^{1/2}g) \right).$$

Notation We introduce a weighted normed space for finding the solution as follows. Define $H^l(\mathbf{R}_x^d)$ to be the standard Sobolev space and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L_\beta^p} &:= \|(1 + |\xi|)^\beta f\|_{L^p}, \\ L_\beta^p(\mathbf{R}^d) &:= \{f : \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \mid \|f\|_{L_\beta^p} < \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also we denote some multi-variable space.

$$\begin{aligned} L_\beta^p(H^l) &:= L_\beta^p(\mathbf{R}_\xi^d; (H^l(\mathbf{R}_x^d))), \\ L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^p(H^l)) &:= L_\alpha^\infty(\mathbf{R}_t; L_\beta^p(\mathbf{R}_\xi^d; (H^l(\mathbf{R}_x^d)))). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, for any linear operator T acting on normed space X , we denote its resolvent set, spectrum and point spectrum respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : \lambda I - T \text{ is bijective and } (\lambda I - T)^{-1} \text{ is continuous on } X\}, \\ \sigma(T) &:= \mathbf{C} \setminus \rho(T), \\ \sigma_p(T) &:= \{\lambda \in \sigma(T) : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } T\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also we define the a half space in \mathbf{C} by

$$\mathbf{C}_+ := \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : \operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0\}.$$

Let X to be a metric space and Y to be a normed space and define $C(X; Y)$ and $BC(X; Y)$ as the following.

$$\begin{aligned} C(X; Y) &= \{f : X \rightarrow Y \mid f \text{ is continuous from } X \text{ to } Y\}, \\ BC(X; Y) &= \{f \in C(X; Y) \mid \sup_{x \in X} \|f\|_Y < \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

The goal of present paper is to find a solution to the Cauchy problem of equation (8) by applying semigroup theory to operator

$$B = -\xi \cdot \nabla_x + L,$$

where we regard B as an operator acting on $L^p_\beta(H^l)$. The properties of L has been well studied by Caffisch in [1] and by Ukai-Asano in [12]. The linearized collision operator has expression $L = -\nu + K$, where ν is a function satisfying $\nu(\xi) \sim (1 + |\xi|)^\gamma$ and K is an integral operator with kernel k . About the global existence of Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equation (8), some previous good result are established in different situation. Caffisch [2] gives a solution with exponential time decay in the case of $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ on torus \mathbf{T}_x when the initial data has exponential velocity decay. Ukai-Asano [12] proves the existence in space $L^\infty_\alpha(L^\infty_\beta(H^l))$, the same as this paper, when $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and gives algebraic time decay. Guo [8] and Strain-Guo [11] find global solution on torus \mathbf{T}_x for very soft potential $\gamma \in (0, 3)$, but requiring higher order of derivatives in velocity of initial data. They also find the exponential time decay when the initial data has exponential velocity decay.

In the present paper, we will establish the global existence to the Cauchy problem of equation (8) in space $L^\infty_\alpha(L^\infty_\beta(H^l))$ without any velocity derivation, and finally we can give a algebraic time decay.

Firstly, we generalize the estimate of K , defined in 2.1, given by Caffisch [1] and Ukai-Asano [12] from $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ to $\gamma \in [0, d)$. They are:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Kf\|_{L^p_{\beta+\gamma+2}} &\leq C_{\gamma,d,q,p} \|f\|_{L^p_\beta}, \\ \|Kf\|_{L^{q_\theta}_{\beta+\gamma+1}} &\leq C_{\gamma,d,q,p,\theta} \|f\|_{L^{p_\theta}_\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{q_\theta} = \frac{\theta}{\infty} + \frac{1-\theta}{1}$, $\frac{1}{p_\theta} = \frac{\theta}{\frac{d}{d-2} + \frac{d}{\gamma}} + \frac{1-\theta}{1}$. We found that these estimates are still valid for $\gamma \in [0, d)$, by using a slightly different technique. With the good properties of K , we can establish the estimate on semigroup e^{tB} . To do this, we will define $P : L^2 \rightarrow \text{Ker}L$ to be the orthogonal projection from L^2 to the kernel of L and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{B}(y) &:= -2\pi iy \cdot \xi + L, \\ \widehat{B}_0(y) &:= -2\pi iy \cdot \xi + L - P \end{aligned}$$

as two linear unbounded operators acting on $L^2_\beta(\mathbf{R}^d_\xi)$. In order to obtain the estimate of semigroup $e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}$, we need to analyze the behavior of the resolvent $(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1}$ of $\widehat{B}(y)$. When $|y|$ is large, the resolvent of $\widehat{B}(y)$ has a good property obtained in [12]. While $(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1}$ has a singular behavior near $|y| = 0$. Using resolvent identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} \\ &= (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} + (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} P (I - P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} P)^{-1} P (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

So the singularity near $y = 0$ comes from the operator $(I - P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} P)^{-1}$ acting on $\text{Ker}L$. In this paper, we will follow Ukai-Asano's idea in [12] but use a different approach to obtain the eigenvalues of $P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} P$ and its asymptotic behavior. The method in this paper is similar to [9]. Wirte $r = |y|$. We will find in section 4 that the singular points of $(I - P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} P)^{-1}$

near $y = 0$ are $\lambda_j(r) = \sigma_j(r) + i\tau_j(r) \in C^\infty(B(0, r_2))$ for some small r_2 and their asymptotic behavior near $r = 0$ are

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_j(r) &= -\sigma_j^{(2)}r^2 + O(r^3), \\ \tau_j(r) &= \tau_j^{(1)}r + O(r^3),\end{aligned}$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$, where $\tau_j^{(1)} \in \mathbf{R}$, $\sigma_j^{(2)} < 0$. They have the same asymptotic behaviors as the eigenvalues of $(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1}$ given in [4, 14]. So it turns out that the singular behavior of $(I - P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P)^{-1}$ is exactly the same as $(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1}$ near $y = 0$.

With the well-studied properties on operator $(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1}$, one can get the estimate on semigroup $e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}$ by using the inversion formula of semigroup. For the soft potential, there's no spectral gap to get a good decay on time t as in the hard potential case. However, we can use the method of integration by parts to construct an algebraic decay on time t with a stronger assumption on initial data f_0 . So we will use the weighted normed space L_β^2 on velocity to find our solution. Combining the inverse Fourier transform formula as well as the Duhamel formula, we can get a good boundedness on semigroup e^{tB} .

Once we have the estimate on semigroup e^{tB} , we can obtain our global existence result.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume the cross-section q satisfies the angular cut-off assumption (5). Assume $d \geq 3$, $\gamma \in [0, d)$, $l > \frac{d}{2}$, $\beta > \frac{d}{2}$, $p \in [1, 2)$ such that $\frac{d}{4}(\frac{2}{p} - 1) > 1/2$. Let $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, \min(\frac{d}{4}(\frac{2}{p} - 1), 1))$. There exists constants $A_0 < 1, A_1$ such that if the initial data $f_0 \in L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l) \cap L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)$ satisfies*

$$\|f_0\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l)} + \|f_0\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)} \leq A_0. \quad (9)$$

Let $X = \{f \in L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l)) : \|f\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} \leq A_1\}$. Then the Cauchy problem to Boltzmann equation

$$\begin{cases} f_t + \xi \cdot \nabla_x f = Lf + \Gamma(f, f), \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

possesses a unique solution $f = f(t) \in X \cap BC^0([0, \infty); L_\beta^\infty(H^l)) \cap BC^1([0, \infty); L_{\beta-1}^\infty(H^{l-1}))$ and

$$\|f\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} + \|\partial_t f\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_{\beta-1}^\infty(H^{l-1}))} \leq C_{\nu, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, d} \left(\|f_0\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l)} + \|f_0\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)} \right). \quad (11)$$

The uniqueness is taken in the sense that $f \in X$.

Finally, we present the main strategy of analysis in this paper. In section 2, we prove the estimate on K when $\gamma \in [0, d)$. Section 3 presents some boundedness and invertibility result on resolvents of $\widehat{B}(y)$, $\widehat{B}_0(y)$. In section 4, we will analyze the singular behavior of $(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1}$ near $y = 0$. Section 4 and 5 give the main estimate on our semigroup $e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}$ and e^{tB} as well as the global existence result. In appendix, we list some basic theorem on semigroup theory and linearized collision operator L . Also we extend two useful results on the Hilbert-Schmidt operator and interpolation theory in order to make our arguments valid.

2 Properties of the Linearized Collision Operator

In this section, we should firstly list some properties of the linearized collision operator L and derive the new estimate on operator K . Suppose the collision kernel satisfies the Grad's angular cut-off assumption:

$$q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) = |\xi - \xi_*|^{-\gamma} b(\cos \theta), \quad (12)$$

where $|b(\cos \theta)| \leq q_0 |\cos \theta|$, for some constant $q_0 > 0$.

Denote $\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi}$ to be the hyperplane in \mathbf{R}^d which is orthogonal to the vector $\xi_* - \xi$ and contains the origin. Denote

$$a = \frac{\xi + \xi_*}{2} - \left(\frac{\xi + \xi_*}{2} \cdot \frac{\xi_* - \xi}{|\xi_* - \xi|} \right) \frac{\xi_* - \xi}{|\xi_* - \xi|},$$

$$b = \left(\frac{\xi + \xi_*}{2} \cdot \frac{\xi_* - \xi}{|\xi_* - \xi|} \right) \frac{\xi_* - \xi}{|\xi_* - \xi|},$$

where the vector a is the projection of $\frac{\xi + \xi_*}{2}$ onto the hyperplane $\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi}$, while b is its projection onto the direction $\xi_* - \xi$.

The following theorem shows the basic properties of linearized collision operator L . Here I will only give proof of estimate (14), (15) and (16), since the other estimate has been well studied in [1] and I will put them in appendix for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 2.1. *(Properties of L). Assume $\gamma \in [0, d)$, The linear operator L has expression*

$$Lf = Kf - \nu f.$$

(1). Here $\nu(\xi)$ is a real positive function defined by

$$\nu(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}_*^{1/2} q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\omega d\xi_*.$$

For $\gamma \in [0, d)$, there exist constants $\nu_0, \nu_1 > 0$ depending on γ, q, d such that

$$\nu_0(1 + |\xi|)^{-\gamma} \leq \nu(\xi) \leq \nu_1(1 + |\xi|)^{-\gamma}.$$

(2). The operator K is linear continuous operator on $L^2_{\beta}(\mathbf{R}^d)$ defined by

$$Kf(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} k(\xi, \xi_*) f(\xi_*) d\xi_*.$$

The kernel k can be divided as $k(\xi, \xi_*) = k_1(\xi, \xi_*) + k_2(\xi, \xi_*)$, with

$$k_1(\xi, \xi_*) = \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi}} (2\pi)^{-d/2} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} q(x - a + \xi_* - \xi, \theta) dx \exp\left(-\frac{|b|^2}{2} - \frac{|\xi_* - \xi|^2}{8}\right),$$

$$k_2(\xi, \xi_*) = - \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}^{1/2}(\xi_*) \mathbf{M}^{1/2}(\xi) q(\xi_* - \xi, \theta) d\omega.$$

Here k_1, k_2 are symmetric functions. For $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, they satisfy:

$$|k_1(\xi, \xi_*)| \leq C_{\gamma, \varepsilon, d, q} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^{d-2}(1 + |\xi| + |\xi_*|)^{\gamma+1}} \exp\left(- (1 - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{|b|^2}{2} + \frac{|\xi_* - \xi|^2}{8} \right)\right), \quad (13)$$

$$|k_2(\xi, \xi_*)| \leq C_{\gamma, \varepsilon, d, q} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\gamma(1 + |\xi| + |\xi_*|)^{\gamma+1}} \exp\left(- (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{|\xi_*|^2 + |\xi|^2}{4}\right). \quad (14)$$

Consequently, for $p \in [1, \min\{\frac{d}{d-2}, \frac{d}{\gamma}\})$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, we have

$$|k(\xi, \xi_*)| \leq C_{\gamma, \varepsilon, d, q} \left(\frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^{d-2}} + \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\gamma} \right) \frac{\exp\left(- (1 - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{|b|^2}{2} + \frac{|\xi_* - \xi|^2}{8} \right)\right)}{(1 + |\xi| + |\xi_*|)^{\gamma+1}}, \quad (15)$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1 + |\xi_*|)^\beta |k(\xi, \xi_*)|^p d\xi_* \leq C_{\gamma, \varepsilon, d, q} \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|)^{-\beta+p(\gamma+1)+1}}. \quad (16)$$

Proof. 1. The expression of K can be found in appendix. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |k_2(\xi, \xi_*)| &= \left| \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}^{1/2}(\xi_*) \mathbf{M}^{1/2}(\xi) q(\xi_* - \xi, \theta) d\omega \right| \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \exp\left(- \frac{|\xi_*|^2 + |\xi|^2}{4}\right) |\xi_* - \xi|^{-\gamma} \int_{S^{d-1}} b(\cos \theta) d\omega \\ &= C_{d, q_0, \varepsilon} \exp\left(- (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{|\xi_*|^2 + |\xi|^2}{4}\right) |\xi_* - \xi|^{-\gamma} (1 + |\xi| + |\xi_*|)^{-\gamma-1} \\ &\quad \times \sup_{\xi, \xi_* \in \mathbf{R}^d} \exp\left(- \frac{\varepsilon(|\xi_*|^2 + |\xi|^2)}{4}\right) (1 + |\xi| + |\xi_*|)^{\gamma+1} \\ &= C_{d, \gamma, q_0, \varepsilon} \exp\left(- (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{|\xi_*|^2 + |\xi|^2}{4}\right) |\xi_* - \xi|^{-\gamma} (1 + |\xi| + |\xi_*|)^{-\gamma-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (14).

2. Once we get the estimate (13) and (14), by using a trivial inequality that

$$\frac{|\xi_*|^2 + |\xi|^2}{4} - \frac{|\xi_* - \xi|^2}{8} = \frac{|\xi_* + \xi|^2}{8} \geq \frac{|b|^2}{2},$$

we have

$$|k(\xi, \xi_*)| \leq C_{\gamma, \varepsilon, d, q} \left(\frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^{d-2}} + \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\gamma} \right) \frac{\exp\left(- (1 - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{|b|^2}{2} + \frac{|\xi_* - \xi|^2}{8} \right)\right)}{(1 + |\xi| + |\xi_*|)^{\gamma+1}}.$$

Therefore, by (114) in lemma 7.13, for $p \in [1, \min\{\frac{d}{d-2}, \frac{d}{\gamma}\})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1 + |\xi_*|)^\beta |k(\xi, \xi_*)|^p d\xi_* &\leq C_{\gamma, \varepsilon, d, q} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^{p(d-2)}} + \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^{p\gamma}} \right) \frac{(1 + |\xi_*|)^\beta}{(1 + |\xi| + |\xi_*|)^{p(\gamma+1)}} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(- p(1 - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{|b|^2}{2} + \frac{|\xi_* - \xi|^2}{8} \right)\right) d\xi_* \\ &\leq C_{\gamma, \varepsilon, d, q} \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|)^{-\beta+p(\gamma+1)+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 2.2. Similar estimates are valid for $\gamma \in [-1, 0]$, which is the case of hard potential. The only difference is that for hard potential, the term $\frac{1}{|\xi - \xi_*|^\gamma}$ in (14) is not singular any more.

Theorem 2.3. (Properties of K). Let $d \geq 3$ be the dimension, $\gamma \in [0, d)$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$. Then the followings are valid.

(1). For $p \in [1, \infty]$,

$$\|Kf\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma+2}^p} \leq C_{\gamma,d,q,p} \|f\|_{L_\beta^p}. \quad (17)$$

(2). The linear operator $K : L_\alpha^2 \rightarrow L_{\beta+\gamma+2}^2$ is compact for $\alpha > \beta$.

(3). Let $p > \max(\frac{d}{d-\gamma}, \frac{d}{2})$. Then

$$\|Kf\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma+2-1/p}^\infty} \leq C_{\gamma,d,q} \|f\|_{L_\beta^p}. \quad (18)$$

(4). Pick $p_0 > \max(\frac{d}{d-\gamma}, \frac{d}{2})$. For $\theta \in (0, 1)$, K is a linear bounded operator from L^{p_0} to L^{q_θ} with estimate

$$\|Kf\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma+1}^{q_\theta}} \leq C_{\gamma,d,q,p,\theta} \|f\|_{L_\beta^{p_0}}, \quad (19)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{q_\theta} = \frac{\theta}{\infty} + \frac{1-\theta}{1}, \quad \frac{1}{p_\theta} = \frac{\theta}{p_0} + \frac{1-\theta}{1}. \quad (20)$$

Consequently, if f lies in the space $L_\beta^p(H^l)$ or $L_\beta^{p_0}(H^l)$, then we have the following estimate respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} \|Kf\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma+2-1/p}^\infty(H^l)} &\leq C_{\gamma,d,q} \|f\|_{L_\beta^p(H^l)}, \\ \|Kf\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma+1}^{q_\theta}(H^l)} &\leq C_{\gamma,d,q,p_0,\theta} \|f\|_{L_\beta^{p_0}(H^l)}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4. All the estimates are true on $\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |k(\xi, \xi_*)| f(\xi_*) d\xi_*$ instead of $\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} k(\xi, \xi_*) f(\xi_*) d\xi_*$ as well. This property is useful in some special situation.

Proof. 1. Let $r \in \mathbf{R}$ to be arbitrary, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, $p \in [1, \infty)$. Applying Hölder's inequality, (16) and noticing $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Kf(\xi)| &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |k(\xi, \xi_*)| (1 + |\xi_*|)^{-r} (1 + |\xi_*|)^r |f(\xi_*)| d\xi_* \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |k(\xi, \xi_*)| (1 + |\xi_*|)^{-rp'} d\xi_* \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |k(\xi, \xi_*)| (1 + |\xi_*|)^{rp} |f(\xi_*)|^p d\xi_* \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \frac{C_{\gamma,d,q,r,p}}{(1 + |\xi|)^{r+(\gamma+2)(p-1)/p}} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |k(\xi, \xi_*)| (1 + |\xi_*|)^{rp} |f(\xi_*)|^p d\xi_* \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus using (16) again,

$$\|Kf\|_{L_\beta^p(\mathbf{R}^d)}^p \leq C_{\gamma,d,q,r,p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1 + |\xi|)^{p\beta - pr - (\gamma+2)(p-1)} |k(\xi, \xi_*)| d\xi \right) (1 + |\xi_*|)^{rp} |f(\xi_*)|^p d\xi_*$$

$$\leq C_{\gamma,d,q,r,p} \|f\|_{L_{\beta-\gamma-2}^p}^p.$$

If $p = \infty$, then

$$\|Kf\|_{L_{\beta}^{\infty}} \leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d} (1 + |\xi|)^{\beta} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1 + |\xi_*|)^{\gamma+2-\beta} |k(\xi, \xi_*)| d\xi_* \|f\|_{L_{\beta-\gamma-2}^{\infty}} \leq C_{d,\gamma,q,p} \|f\|_{L_{\beta-\gamma-2}^{\infty}}.$$

2. Now we prove that $K : L_{\alpha}^2 \rightarrow L_{\beta}^2$ is compact for $\alpha > \beta - \gamma - 2$. Similar to the estimates in step 1, for $R > 1/2 > \varepsilon$, $r \geq 0$, we have

$$|K(\chi_{|\xi-\cdot| \leq \varepsilon} \chi_{|\cdot| \geq R} f)(\xi)| \leq \frac{C_{\gamma,d,q,r,p}}{(1 + |\xi|)^{r+(\gamma+2)(p-1)/p}} \left(\int_{\substack{|\xi_*| \geq R \\ |\xi-\xi_*| \leq \varepsilon}} |k(\xi, \xi_*)| (1 + |\xi_*|)^{rp} |f(\xi_*)|^p d\xi_* \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We claim that K is the limit of compact operators $K(\chi_{|\xi-\cdot| \leq \varepsilon} \chi_{|\cdot| \geq R})$ under the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow L_{\beta}^p}$. The term $\chi_{|\xi-\cdot| \leq \varepsilon}$ is used to eliminate the singularity of $k(\xi, \xi_*)$ near $\xi = \xi_*$. Pick $r \in \mathbf{R}$ and notice that $|\xi - \xi_*| \leq \varepsilon < 1/2$ implies $\frac{1}{2}(1 + |\xi_*|) \leq (1 + |\xi|) \leq \frac{3}{2}(1 + |\xi_*|)$. Thus by (15),

$$\begin{aligned} & \|K(\chi_{|\xi-\cdot| \geq \varepsilon} \chi_{|\cdot| \leq R} f)(\xi) - K(\chi_{|\cdot| \leq R} f)(\xi)\|_{L_{\beta}^p}^p \\ & \leq C_{\gamma,d,q,r,p} \int_{|\xi_*| \leq R} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1 + |\xi|)^{p\beta - pr - (\gamma+2)(p-1)} |k(\xi, \xi_*)| \chi_{|\xi-\xi_*| \leq \varepsilon} d\xi \right) (1 + |\xi_*|)^{rp} |f(\xi_*)|^p d\xi_* \\ & \leq C_{\gamma,d,q,r,p,\beta} \int_{|\xi_*| \leq R} \left(\int_{|\xi-\xi_*| \leq \varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{|\xi-\xi_*|^{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{|\xi-\xi_*|^{d-2}} \right) d\xi \right) (1 + |\xi_*|)^{p\beta - (\gamma+2)p+1} |f(\xi_*)|^p d\xi_* \\ & \leq C_{\gamma,d,q,r,p,\beta} \int_{|\xi| \leq \varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{|\xi|^{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{|\xi|^{d-2}} \right) d\xi \min\{1, (1+R)^{p\beta - (\gamma+2)p+1-p\alpha}\} \|f\|_{L_{\alpha}^p}^p \\ & \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, for any fixed $R > 1/2$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|K(\chi_{|\cdot| \leq R} f)(\xi) - Kf(\xi)\|_{L_{\beta}^p}^p \\ & \leq C_{\gamma,d,q,r,p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1 + |\xi|)^{p\beta - pr - (\gamma+2)(p-1)} |k(\xi, \xi_*)| d\xi \right) (1 + |\xi_*|)^{rp} |f(\xi_*)|^p \chi_{|\xi_*| \geq R} d\xi_* \\ & \leq C_{\gamma,d,q,r,p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1 + |\xi_*|)^{p\beta - (\gamma+2)p} |f(\xi_*)|^p \chi_{|\xi_*| \geq R} d\xi_* \\ & = C_{\gamma,d,q,r,p} (1+R)^{p\beta - (\gamma+2)p - p\alpha} \|f\|_{L_{\alpha}^p}^p, \end{aligned}$$

provided $\beta - (\gamma + 2) - \alpha \leq 0$. Thus

$$\|K(\chi_{|\cdot| \geq R} f)(\xi)\|_{L_{\beta}^p} \leq C_{\gamma,d,q,r,p} (1+R)^{\beta - (\gamma+2) - \alpha} \|f\|_{L_{\alpha}^p} \rightarrow 0,$$

if $\beta - (\gamma + 2) - \alpha < 0$. This proves that K can be approximated by $K(\chi_{|\xi-\cdot| \leq \varepsilon} \chi_{|\cdot| \geq R})$ under the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_{\alpha}^p \rightarrow L_{\beta}^p}$.

Let $p = 2$, it remains to show that $K\chi_{|\xi-\cdot| \geq \varepsilon} \chi_{|\cdot| \leq R}$ is compact in $L(L_{\alpha}^2, L_{\beta}^2)$ when $\beta - (\gamma+2) - \alpha < 0$. By 7.9 in appendix, it suffices to prove that $K\chi_{|\xi-\cdot| \geq \varepsilon} \chi_{|\cdot| \leq R}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. That is to show that $k(\xi, \xi_*) \chi_{|\xi-\xi_*| \geq \varepsilon} \chi_{|\xi_*| \leq R} \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R}^d, (1 + |\xi_*|)^{2\alpha} d\xi_* \otimes (1 + |\xi|)^{2\beta} d\xi)$.

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |k(\xi, \xi_*)|^2 \chi_{|\xi-\xi_*| \geq \varepsilon} \chi_{|\xi_*| \leq R} (1 + |\xi|)^{2\beta} d\xi (1 + |\xi_*|)^{2\alpha} d\xi_*$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_{\gamma,\varepsilon,d,q} \int_{|\xi_*| \leq R} \int_{|\xi - \xi_*| \geq \varepsilon} \left(\frac{1}{|\xi - \xi_*|^\gamma} + \frac{1}{|\xi - \xi_*|^{d-2}} \right)^2 \frac{(1 + |\xi|)^{2\beta} (1 + |\xi_*|)^{2\alpha}}{(1 + |\xi| + |\xi_*|)^{2\gamma+2}} \\
&\quad \times \exp(-2(1 - \varepsilon)(|b|^2/2 + |\xi_* - \xi|^2/8)) \, d\xi \, d\xi_* \\
&\leq C_{\gamma,\varepsilon,d,q} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^\gamma} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{d-2}} \right)^2 \int_{|\xi_*| \leq R} (1 + |\xi_*|)^{2\alpha+2\beta-2\gamma-3} \, d\xi_* \\
&< \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that $K\chi_{|\xi - \cdot| \geq \varepsilon} \chi_{|\cdot| \leq R} : L_\alpha^2 \rightarrow L_{\beta+\gamma+2}^2$ is compact for $\alpha > \beta$, and then $K : L_\alpha^2 \rightarrow L_{\beta+\gamma+2}^2$ is compact.

3. For $f \in L_\beta^p$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, $p \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|Kf(\xi)| &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |k(\xi, \xi_*)| |f(\xi_*)| \, d\xi_* \\
&\leq \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |k(\xi, \xi_*)|^{p'} (1 + |\xi_*|)^{-p'\beta} \, d\xi_* \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1 + |\xi_*|)^{p\beta} |f(\xi_*)|^p \, d\xi_* \right)^{1/p} \\
&\leq C_{\gamma,\varepsilon,d,q,p} \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|)^{(\beta+\gamma+1)+1/p'}} \|f\|_{L_\beta^p},
\end{aligned}$$

provided $p'\gamma < d$ and $(d-2)p' < d$, that is $p > \frac{d}{d-\gamma}$ and $p > \frac{d}{2}$. Thus for $p \in (\max(\frac{d}{d-\gamma}, \frac{d}{2}), \infty)$, we have

$$\|Kf\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma+1+1/p'}^\infty} \leq C_{\gamma,d,q,p} \|f\|_{L_\beta^p}. \quad (21)$$

4. To prove (4), we only need a weaker result than (21). That is for $p \in (\max(\frac{d}{d-\gamma}, \frac{d}{2}), \infty)$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\|Kf\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma+1}^\infty} \leq C_{\gamma,d,q,p} \|f\|_{L_\beta^p}.$$

Also step 1 gives that for $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, $\|Kf\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma+1}^1} \leq C_{\gamma,d,q} \|f\|_{L_\beta^1}$. Pick $p_0 > \max(\frac{d}{d-\gamma}, \frac{d}{2})$, $p_1 = 1$. Applying Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem to p_0 and p_1 , we obtain that for $\theta \in (0, 1)$, K is a linear bounded operator from L^{p_θ} to L^{q_θ} with

$$\|Kf\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma+1}^{q_\theta}} \leq C_{\gamma,d,q,p,\theta} \|f\|_{L_\beta^{p_\theta}},$$

where $\frac{1}{q_\theta} = \frac{\theta}{\infty} + \frac{1-\theta}{1}$, $\frac{1}{p_\theta} = \frac{\theta}{p_0} + \frac{1-\theta}{1}$. For the last assertion, it suffices to notice that if $f \in L_\beta^{p_\theta}(H^l)$,

$$\|Kf\|_{H^l} \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} |k(\xi, \xi_*)| \|f(\cdot, \xi_*)\|_{H^l} \, d\xi_*.$$

This completes the theorem. \square

The following theorem is well studied in many literature such as [3, 13] and I will put the proof in appendix.

Theorem 2.5. (Properties of L). Assume $\gamma \in [0, d)$. Then $L : L^2(\mathbf{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ is a linear unbounded operator satisfying the following properties.

- (a). L is a self-adjoint non-positive linear operator on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$.
- (b). $\text{Ker}L = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \xi_1 \mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \dots, \xi_d \mathbf{M}^{1/2}, |\xi|^2 \mathbf{M}^{1/2}\}$.

By orthogonal decomposition, we can decompose $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ by

$$L^2(\mathbf{R}^d) = \text{Ker}L \oplus (\text{Ker}L)^\perp.$$

Denote $\varphi_0 = \mathbf{M}^{1/2}$, $\varphi_i = \xi_i \mathbf{M}^{1/2}$ ($i = 1, \dots, d$), $\varphi_{d+1} = |\xi|^2 \mathbf{M}^{1/2}$. Then we can define projection P from $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ onto $\text{Ker}L$ by

$$Pf := \sum_{i=0}^{d+1} (f, \varphi_i) \varphi_i.$$

3 Estimate on the Linearized Boltzmann Operator

In this section, we will compute some basic estimate on operator $\widehat{B}(y)$, $\widehat{B}_0(y)$, $\widehat{A}(y)$ as well as their resolvents. In order to make the subsequent arguments rigorous, we need to verify the existence of the resolvent in some specific space.

Suppose $\gamma \in [0, d)$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{B}(y) &:= -2\pi i y \cdot \xi + L, \\ \widehat{B}_0(y) &:= -2\pi i y \cdot \xi + L - P, \\ \widehat{A}(y) &:= -2\pi i y \cdot \xi - \nu, \end{aligned}$$

with domain depending on β :

$$D_\beta := \{f \in L^2_\beta(\mathbf{R}^d) : y \cdot \xi f \in L^2_\beta(\mathbf{R}^d)\}.$$

Also we define

$$K_0 = K - P.$$

Remark 3.1. *It's important to notice that the resolvent set and spectrum of these operators depend on the space, i.e β .*

The following theorem gives some spectrum structures of operators $\widehat{B}(y)$ and $\widehat{B}_0(y)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume $\gamma \in [0, d)$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$. Then the following statements are valid.*

(1). $(\widehat{B}(y), D_0)$ generates a contraction semigroup on $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d_\xi)$. Consequently,

$$\rho(\widehat{B}(y)) \supset \{\text{Re}\lambda > 0\}. \quad (22)$$

(2). $(\widehat{B}(y), D_\beta)$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup on L^2_β with

$$\|e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}\|_{L(L^2_\beta)} \leq e^{t\|K\|_{L(L^2_\beta)}}. \quad (23)$$

(3). There are two cases about $\sigma_p(\widehat{B}(y)) \cap \{\text{Re}\lambda = 0\}$, where $\widehat{B}(y)$ is considered acting on L^2 .

$$\sigma_p(\widehat{B}(y)) \cap \{\text{Re}\lambda = 0\} = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & \text{if } y \neq 0, \\ \{0\}, & \text{if } y = 0. \end{cases}$$

(4). On L^2 , for $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$,

$$\sigma_p(\widehat{B}(y) - P) \subset \{\text{Re}\lambda < 0\}.$$

Proof. 1. Since L is self-adjoint non-positive, we know $\widehat{B}(y)$ is dissipative on D_0 . We claim that $(2\pi iy \cdot \xi + L, D_\beta)$ is the adjoint of $\widehat{B}(y)$ by using definition 7.1. It suffices to show that $D(\widehat{B}(y)^*) = D_0$. For any $u, v \in D_0$, we have

$$\langle \widehat{B}(y)u, v \rangle_{L^2} = \langle -2\pi iy \cdot \xi u + Lu, v \rangle_{L^2} = \langle u, 2\pi iy \cdot \xi v + Lv \rangle_{L^2},$$

since L is self-adjoint. Thus $u \mapsto \langle \widehat{B}(y)u, v \rangle_{L^2}$ is continuous and hence $v \in D(\widehat{B}(y)^*)$. On the other hand, for any $u \in D_0, v \in D(\widehat{B}(y)^*)$, we have

$$\langle \widehat{B}(y)u, v \rangle_{L^2} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} u \overline{(2\pi iv \cdot \xi u + \nu v)} d\xi + \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} K u \bar{v} d\xi.$$

Also, K is linear continuous on L^2 , thus $u \mapsto \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} u \overline{(2\pi iv \cdot \xi v + \nu v)} d\xi$ is linear continuous on D_0 , hence has a unique bounded extension on L^2 . By Riesz representation, $2\pi iv \cdot \xi v + \nu v \in L^2$ and so $v \in D_0$. In a conclusion, $D(\widehat{B}(y)^*) = D_0$.

Now we have $(\widehat{B}(y)^*)^* = \widehat{B}(y)$, so $\widehat{B}(y)$ is closed. Also $\widehat{B}(y)^*$ is dissipative on D_0 . Thus by theorem 7.6, $\widehat{B}(y)$ generates a contraction semigroup on L^2 .

2. For $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, the semigroup generated by $\widehat{A}(y)$ on L_β^2 is defined by

$$e^{t\widehat{A}(y)}u := e^{(-2\pi iy \cdot \xi - \nu)t}u,$$

for any $u \in L_\beta^2$ and so $\|e^{t\widehat{A}(y)}\|_{L(L_\beta^2)} \leq 1$. Indeed, $\|(e^{t\widehat{A}(y)}u - u)/t - \widehat{A}(y)u\|_{L_\beta^2} \rightarrow 0$, by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem.

Applying theorem 7.7, $\widehat{B}(y) = \widehat{A}(y) + K$ generates a semigroup on L_β^2 and

$$\|e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}\|_{L(L_\beta^2)} \leq e^{t\|K\|_{L(L_\beta^2)}}.$$

3. Consider L^2 to be the whole space. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_p(\widehat{B}(y))$, then $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \leq 0$ by (22). Suppose $\operatorname{Re}\lambda = 0$ and let $f \neq 0, f \in L^2$ be an eigenfunction of $\widehat{B}(y)$ corresponding to λ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{B}(y)f &= -2\pi iy \cdot \xi f + Lf = \lambda f, \\ \operatorname{Re}(\widehat{B}(y)f, f)_{L^2} &= (Lf, f)_{L^2} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then $f \in \operatorname{Ker}L, Lf = 0$. If $y = 0$, then $\lambda = 0$. If $y \neq 0$, then $2\pi iy \cdot \xi f = \operatorname{Im}\lambda f$, which contradicts to $f \neq 0$. Thus such λ doesn't exist when $y \neq 0$.

4. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_p(\widehat{B}(y) - P)$ and $f \neq 0$ to be an eigenfunction of $\widehat{B}(y) - P$ corresponding to λ in L^2 , then

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{B}(y) - P)f &= -2\pi iy \cdot \xi f + Lf - Pf = \lambda f, \\ \operatorname{Re}((\widehat{B}(y) - P)f, f)_{L^2} &= (Lf, f)_{L^2} - \|Pf\|_{L^2}^2 = \operatorname{Re}\lambda \|f\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since L is non-positive, we have $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \leq 0$. If $\operatorname{Re}\lambda = 0$, then $(Lf, f)_{L^2} = \|Pf\|_{L^2}^2 = 0$, and $f \in \operatorname{Ker}L \cap (\operatorname{Ker}L)^\perp$, and thus $f = 0$. This is a contradiction and we complete the proof. \square

The following theorem gives the boundedness estimate on resolvent of $\widehat{A}(y)$.

Theorem 3.3. Assume $\gamma \in [0, d)$, $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq 0$, $p \in (1, \infty)$. Fix $R > 0$, $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$ and $y_1 > 0$. Then the followings are valid.

(1). $\lambda \in \rho(\widehat{A}(y))$ and $(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} : L_{\beta+\gamma}^p \rightarrow L_{\beta}^p$ is linear continuous with

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^p \rightarrow L_{\beta}^p} \leq \frac{1}{\nu_0}, \quad (24)$$

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}\chi_{|\xi| \geq R}u\|_{L_{\beta}^p} \leq C_{\nu}\|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^p(|\xi| \geq R)}. \quad (25)$$

(2). If $|y| \leq y_1$, $|\lambda| \geq 4\pi y_1 R$, then

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}\chi_{|\xi| \leq R}u\|_{L_{\beta}^p} \leq C\|u\|_{L_{\beta}^p} \frac{1}{|\lambda|}. \quad (26)$$

Consequently,

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}u\|_{L_{\beta}^p} \leq C_{\nu}\|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^p(|\xi| \geq R)} + C\|u\|_{L_{\beta}^p} \frac{1}{|\lambda|}, \quad (27)$$

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}Ku\|_{L_{\beta}^p} \leq C_{\nu}\|u\|_{L_{\beta-1}^p} (1+R)^{-1} + C\|u\|_{L_{\beta-\gamma-2}^p} \frac{1}{|\lambda|}. \quad (28)$$

(3). If $|y| \geq y_1$, then

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}\chi_{|\xi| \leq R}u\|_{L_{\beta}^p} \leq C_{\nu}\|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^p(|\xi| \leq R, |\operatorname{Im}\lambda + 2\pi y \cdot \xi| \leq \frac{|y|}{\sqrt{\theta_1}})} + C_{\nu}\|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^p(|\xi| \leq R)} \frac{1}{y_1^{\frac{p}{4}}}. \quad (29)$$

Consequently,

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}Ku\|_{L_{\beta}^2} \leq C_{\nu, d, q}\|u\|_{L_{\beta-2}^2(|\xi| \geq R)} + C_{\nu, d, q, \gamma}\|u\|_{L_{\beta-1}^2} \left(\frac{R^{\frac{\delta(d-1)}{2(2+\delta)}}}{y_1^{\frac{\delta}{4(2+\delta)}}} + \frac{R^{\frac{d\delta}{2(2+\delta)}}}{y_1^{\frac{p}{4}}} \right), \quad (30)$$

where $\delta = \frac{2}{p_0-2} \in (0, 1)$ and $p_0 := \frac{d}{d-\gamma} + \frac{d}{2}$.

Proof. 1. For $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq 0$, $p \in (1, \infty)$, we have for $u \in L_{\beta+\gamma}^p$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\lambda + \nu(\xi) + 2\pi i y \cdot \xi}, \\ \|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}u\|_{L_{\beta}^p}^p &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{|(1+|\xi|)^{\beta}u(\xi)|^p}{|\nu(\xi)|^p} d\xi \leq \frac{1}{\nu_0^p} \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda \in \rho(\widehat{A}(y))$ and $(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} : L_{\beta+\gamma}^2 \rightarrow L_{\beta}^2$ is linear continuous. For $R > 0$, similarly, we have

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}\chi_{|\xi| \geq R}u\|_{L_{\beta}^p} \leq C_{\nu}\|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^p(|\xi| \geq R)}.$$

2. Fix $y_1 > 0$. If $|y| \leq y_1$, $|\xi| \leq R$, then $|2\pi y \cdot \xi| \leq 2\pi y_1 R$. Thus for $|\lambda| \geq 4\pi y_1 R$,

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}\chi_{|\xi| \leq R}u\|_{L_{\beta}^p} \leq \|u\|_{L_{\beta}^p} \sup_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{1}{|\lambda + \nu(\xi) + 2\pi i y \cdot \xi|}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sqrt{2} \|u\|_{L_\beta^p} \sup_{|\xi| \leq R} \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda + |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| - 2\pi i y_1 R} \\
&\leq C \|u\|_{L_\beta^p} \frac{1}{|\lambda|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for $\alpha > \beta + \gamma$, using (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} u\|_{L_\beta^p} &\leq C_\nu \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^p(|\xi| \geq R)} + C \|u\|_{L_\beta^p} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \\
&\leq C_\nu \|u\|_{L_\alpha^p} (1+R)^{\beta+\gamma-\alpha} + C \|u\|_{L_\beta^p} \frac{1}{|\lambda|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Pick $\alpha = \beta + \gamma + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} K u\|_{L_\beta^p} &\leq C_\nu \|K u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma+1}^p} (1+R)^{-1} + C \|K u\|_{L_\beta^p} \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \\
&\leq C_\nu \|u\|_{L_{\beta-1}^p} (1+R)^{-1} + C \|u\|_{L_{\beta-\gamma-2}^p} \frac{1}{|\lambda|}.
\end{aligned}$$

3. If $|y| \geq y_1$, we will use another method. For $R > 0$,

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} \chi_{|\xi| \leq R} u\|_{L_\beta^p}^p \leq C_\nu \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1+|\xi|)^{p\beta+p\gamma} |u(\xi)|^p \left(\frac{|\nu(\xi)|^2}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda + \nu|^2 + |\operatorname{Im} \lambda + 2\pi y \cdot \xi|^2} \right)^{p/2} d\xi.$$

We divide this integral into two parts:

$$\int_{\substack{|\xi| \leq R \\ |\operatorname{Im} \lambda + 2\pi y \cdot \xi| \leq \frac{|y|}{\sqrt{y_1}}}} + \int_{\substack{|\xi| \leq R \\ |\operatorname{Im} \lambda + 2\pi y \cdot \xi| > \frac{|y|}{\sqrt{y_1}}}}.$$

Notice if $\xi \in \{\xi : |\operatorname{Im} \lambda + 2\pi y \cdot \xi| \leq \frac{|y|}{\sqrt{y_1}}\}$, we have $\frac{|\nu(\xi)|^2}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda + \nu|^2 + |\operatorname{Im} \lambda + 2\pi y \cdot \xi|^2} \leq 1$, and if $\xi \in \{\xi : |\operatorname{Im} \lambda + 2\pi y \cdot \xi| > \frac{|y|}{\sqrt{y_1}}\}$, $|y| \geq y_1$, we have $\frac{|\nu(\xi)|^2}{|\operatorname{Re} \lambda + \nu|^2 + |\operatorname{Im} \lambda + 2\pi y \cdot \xi|^2} \leq \frac{\nu_1 \sqrt{y_1}}{|y|} \leq \frac{C_\nu}{\sqrt{y_1}}$. Therefore if $|y| \geq y_1$,

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} \chi_{|\xi| \leq R} u\|_{L_\beta^p} \leq C_\nu \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^p(|\xi| \leq R, |\operatorname{Im} \lambda + 2\pi y \cdot \xi| \leq \frac{|y|}{\sqrt{y_1}})} + C_{\nu,p} \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^p(|\xi| \leq R)} \frac{1}{y_1^{\frac{p}{4}}}. \quad (31)$$

4. Suppose $|y| \geq y_1$. To prove the last assertion, we need to use the properties of K from 2.3. Pick $p_0 = \frac{d}{d-\gamma} + \frac{d}{2}$, $\theta = \frac{p_0}{2(p_0-1)}$ and let $\delta = \frac{1}{1-\theta} - 2 > 0$. Then $p_\theta = 2$, $q_\theta = 2 + \delta$ satisfy (20) and

$$\|K f\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma+1}^{2+\delta}} \leq C_{\gamma,d,q,p,\theta} \|f\|_{L_\beta^2}, \quad (32)$$

Pick $p = 2$ in (31), then using the fact $\left| \{|\xi| \leq R, |\operatorname{Im} \lambda + 2\pi y \cdot \xi| \leq \frac{|y|}{\sqrt{y_1}}\} \right| \leq \frac{C_d R^{d-1}}{\sqrt{y_1}}$ and Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} \chi_{|\xi| \leq R} u\|_{L_\beta^2} \\
&\leq C_\nu \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^{2+\delta}} \left(\int_{\substack{|\xi| \leq R \\ |\operatorname{Im} \lambda + 2\pi y \cdot \xi| \leq \frac{|y|}{\sqrt{y_1}}}} d\xi \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2(2+\delta)}} + C_\nu \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^{2+\delta}(|\xi| \leq R)} \left(\int_{|\xi| \leq R} d\xi \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2(2+\delta)}} \frac{1}{y_1^{\frac{p}{4}}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= C_{\nu,d,\gamma} \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^{2+\delta}} \left(R^{\frac{\delta(d-1)}{2(2+\delta)}} y_1^{-\frac{\delta}{4(2+\delta)}} + R^{\frac{d\delta}{2(2+\delta)}} y_1^{-\frac{p}{4}} \right).$$

Thus

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} \chi_{|\xi| \leq R} K u\|_{L_{\beta}^2} \leq C_{\nu,d,q,\gamma} \|u\|_{L_{\beta-1}^2} \left(R^{\frac{\delta(d-1)}{2(2+\delta)}} y_1^{-\frac{\delta}{4(2+\delta)}} + R^{\frac{d\delta}{2(2+\delta)}} y_1^{-\frac{p}{4}} \right).$$

With (25), we can get (3). \square

The following theorem gives the existence of inverse $(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} K)^{-1}$ and $(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} K_0)^{-1}$ on L_{β}^2 .

Theorem 3.4. *Fixed $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, we consider L_{β}^2 to be the whole space.*

(1). *For $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$, if $y \neq 0$ or $\lambda \neq 0$, then*

$$1 \in \rho((\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} K). \quad (33)$$

(2). *For $y \in \mathbf{R}^d$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$, we have*

$$1 \in \rho((\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} K_0). \quad (34)$$

Proof. 1. If not, we suppose $1 \in \sigma((\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} K)$. Since $(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} : L_{\beta+\gamma}^2 \rightarrow L_{\beta}^2$ is linear continuous and $K : L_{\beta}^2 \rightarrow L_{\beta+\gamma+1}^2$ is compact, we know $(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} K : L_{\beta+\gamma}^2 \rightarrow L_{\beta+\gamma}^2$ is compact, for $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus by Fredholm alternative, on $L_{\beta+\gamma}^2$, we have

$$1 \in \sigma_p((\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} K).$$

Thus for some $0 \neq u \in L_{\beta+\gamma}^2$,

$$u = (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} K u, \quad (35)$$

and hence $u \in L_{\beta+\gamma+2}^2$. By using (35) inductively, we have $u \in \cap_{\beta \in \mathbf{R}} L_{\beta}^2 \subset D_0$ and

$$(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y)) u = 0. \quad (36)$$

Thus λ is an eigenvalue of $\widehat{B}(y)$ and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda = 0$ by theorem 3.2 (1). But $(y, \lambda) \neq 0$, so equation (36) contradicts to theorem 3.2 (3).

2. The proof of the second assertion is similar, but using the theorem 3.2 (4). \square

The following lemma is used for proving the uniformly boundedness of $(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1}$ and $(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}$. Here we consider variable $(\lambda, y) \in \overline{\mathbf{C}}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d$.

Lemma 3.5. *Assume $\gamma \in [0, d)$.*

(1). *For $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$, $\alpha > \beta + \gamma$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} &\in C(\overline{\mathbf{C}}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d; L(L_{\alpha}^2, L_{\beta}^2)), \\ (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} K &\in C(\overline{\mathbf{C}}_+ \times \mathbf{R}^d; L(L_{\alpha}^2, L_{\beta+\gamma+2}^2)) \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

(2). For $r > 0$,

$$(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K)^{-1} \in BC(\overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus B_r); L(L_\beta^2)) \cap BC((\overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \setminus B_r) \times \mathbf{R}^d; L(L_\beta^2)),$$

where $B_r \in \mathbf{R}^d$ is the closed ball in \mathbf{R}^d with center 0 and radius r .
(3).

$$(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K_0)^{-1} \in BC(\overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \times \mathbf{R}^d; L(L_\beta^2)).$$

Proof. 1. Let $\alpha > \beta + \gamma$. For $(\lambda_1, y_1), (\lambda_2, y_2) \in \overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \times \mathbf{R}^d$, we have

$$(\lambda_1 I - \widehat{A}(y_1))^{-1} - (\lambda_2 I - \widehat{A}(y_2))^{-1} = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \nu(\xi) + 2\pi i y_1 \cdot \xi} - \frac{1}{\lambda_2 + \nu(\xi) + 2\pi i y_2 \cdot \xi}$$

On one hand, by using (24) in 3.3, we have

$$\left\| [(\lambda_1 I - \widehat{A}(y_1))^{-1} - (\lambda_2 I - \widehat{A}(y_2))^{-1}] \chi_{|\xi| \geq R} \right\|_{L(L_{\beta+\gamma}^2, L_\beta^2)} \leq C_\nu. \quad (38)$$

On the other hand,

$$\left| \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \nu(\xi) + 2\pi i y_1 \cdot \xi} - \frac{1}{\lambda_2 + \nu(\xi) + 2\pi i y_2 \cdot \xi} \right| \leq C_\nu (1 + |\xi|)^{2\gamma} (|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1| + 2\pi |y_2 - y_1| |\xi|).$$

Thus

$$\left\| [(\lambda_1 I - \widehat{A}(y_1))^{-1} - (\lambda_2 I - \widehat{A}(y_2))^{-1}] \chi_{|\xi| \leq R} \right\|_{L(L_\beta^2, L_\beta^2)} \leq C_\nu (1 + R)^{2\gamma} (|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1| + R |y_2 - y_1|). \quad (39)$$

Combining equation (38) and (39), we have for $\alpha > \beta + \gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\lambda_1 I - \widehat{A}(y_1))^{-1} - (\lambda_2 I - \widehat{A}(y_2))^{-1}\|_{L(L_\alpha^2, L_\beta^2)} \\ & \leq C_\nu (1 + R)^{\beta+\gamma-\alpha} + C_\nu (1 + R)^{2\gamma} (|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1| + R |y_2 - y_1|). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|(\lambda_1 I - \widehat{A}(y_1))^{-1} - (\lambda_2 I - \widehat{A}(y_2))^{-1}\|_{L(L_\alpha^2, L_\beta^2)} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $|(\lambda_1, y_1) - (\lambda_2, y_2)| \rightarrow 0$ and $(\lambda_1, y_1), (\lambda_2, y_2) \in \overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \times \mathbf{R}^d$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} \in C(\overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \times \mathbf{R}^d; L(L_\alpha^2, L_\beta^2)), \\ & (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K \in C(\overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \times \mathbf{R}^d; L(L_\alpha^2, L_{\beta+\gamma+2}^2)). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

2. Pick $\alpha = \beta + \gamma + 2$ in (40), then we have, for any $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K \in C(\overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \times \mathbf{R}^d; L(L_\beta^2)). \quad (41)$$

Also theorem 3.4 shows that $(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K)^{-1}$ exists on L_β^2 for $(\lambda, y) \neq (0, 0)$. Firstly we prove the resolvent $(\eta I - T)^{-1}$ is continuously depending on T . For any bounded linear operators T_1, T_2 defined on the same Banach space, if $\eta \in \rho(T_1) \cap \rho(T_2)$, then whenever $\|T_1 - T_2\| \leq \frac{1}{2\|(\eta I - T_1)^{-1}\|}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta I - T_1)^{-1} - (\eta I - T_2)^{-1} &= (\eta I - T_1)^{-1}(T_1 - T_2)(\eta I - T_2)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ((\eta I - T_2)^{-1}(T_1 - T_2))^n (\eta I - T_2)^{-1}, \\ \|(\eta I - T_1)^{-1} - (\eta I - T_2)^{-1}\| &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|T_1 - T_2\| \|(\eta I - T_2)^{-1}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

And so the resolvent $(\eta I - T)^{-1}$ of T is continuous with respect to T . Now $(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K)^{-1}$ exists on L_β^2 for $(\lambda, y) \neq (0, 0)$, thus using (41), we have

$$(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K)^{-1} \in C(\overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}); L(L_\beta^2)) \cap C((\overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \setminus \{0\}) \times \mathbf{R}^d; L(L_\beta^2)).$$

Similarly, $(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K_0)^{-1}$ exists on L_β^2 for $(\lambda, y) \in \overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \times \mathbf{R}^d$ and

$$(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K_0)^{-1} \in C(\overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \times \mathbf{R}^d; L(L_\beta^2, L_\beta^2)).$$

These prove the continuity.

3. Fix $y_1 > 0, R > 0$, we shall use theorem 3.3 to prove the boundedness. If $|y| \leq y_1, \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0$ with $|\lambda| \geq 4\pi y_1 R$, then

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K\|_{L_\beta^2 \rightarrow L_\beta^2} \leq C_{\nu, d}[(1 + R)^{-1} + \frac{1}{|\lambda|}]. \quad (42)$$

If $|y| \geq y_1$,

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K\|_{L_\beta^2 \rightarrow L_\beta^2} \leq C_{\nu, d, q, \gamma} \left((1 + R)^{-1} + R^{\frac{\delta(d-1)}{2(2+\delta)}} y_1^{-\frac{\delta}{4(2+\delta)}} + R^{\frac{d\delta}{2(2+\delta)}} y_1^{-\frac{p}{4}} \right). \quad (43)$$

Write $C_0 = \max(C_{\nu, d}, C_{\nu, d, q, \gamma})$. Firstly we pick a sufficiently large $R_1 = R_1(\nu, d, q, \gamma)$ s.t.

$$C_0(1 + R_1)^{-1} < 1/4.$$

Then with this R_1 , we pick $y_1 = y_1(R_1)$ so large that

$$C_0 \left(R^{\frac{\delta(d-1)}{2(2+\delta)}} y_1^{-\frac{\delta}{4(2+\delta)}} + R^{\frac{d\delta}{2(2+\delta)}} y_1^{-\frac{p}{4}} \right) < 1/4.$$

Let $r_1 = \max(4\pi y_1 R_1, 1 + R_1)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} B_0(r, r_1, y_1) &:= \{(\lambda, y) : \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0, |\lambda| \leq r_1, |y| \leq y_1, |y| \geq r\}, \\ B_1(r, r_1, y_1) &:= \{(\lambda, y) : \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0, |y| \geq r\} \setminus B_0(r, r_1, y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K)^{-1} \in C(\overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \times \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}; L(L_\beta^2, L_\beta^2))$, and $B_0(r, r_1, y_1)$ is a compact set, we have

$$\sup_{(\lambda, y) \in B_0(r, r_1, y_1)} \|(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K)^{-1}\|_{L(L_\beta^2)} < \infty. \quad (44)$$

For $(\lambda, y) \in B_1(r, r_1, y_1)$, we have $|\lambda| > r_1$ or $|y| > y_1$. If $|y| > y_1$, then by (43), we have

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K\|_{L(L_\beta^2)} \leq 1/2.$$

If $|y| \leq y_1$, then $|\lambda| > r_1$ and then by (42),

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K\|_{L(L_\beta^2)} \leq 1/2.$$

Thus for $(\lambda, y) \in B_1(r, r_1, y_1)$, we have $(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K)^{-1}$ exists on L_β^2 and

$$\|(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K)^{-1}\|_{L(L_\beta^2)} \leq 2. \quad (45)$$

Combining (44) and (45), we have for $r > 0$.

$$(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K)^{-1} \in BC(\overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus B_r); L(L_\beta^2)).$$

4. By digging out a ball near $\lambda = 0$ instead of $y = 0$, we can get the second boundedness for $(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K)^{-1}$.

5. The proof of the last assertion is similar to step 3, but in this case we don't need to dig out the ball B_r , since $\widehat{B}(y) - P$ has no zero eigenvalue at $y = 0$. \square

Noticing

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} &= (I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K)^{-1}(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}, \\ \lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y) &= (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K_0). \end{aligned}$$

we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *For any $r > 0$, we have*

$$(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} \in BC(\overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \times (\mathbf{R}^d \setminus B_r); L(L_{\beta+\gamma}^2, L_\beta^2)) \cap BC((\overline{\mathbf{C}_+} \setminus B_r) \times \mathbf{R}^d; L(L_{\beta+\gamma}^2, L_\beta^2))$$

Consequently,

$$\left(\sup_{\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq 0, y \in \mathbf{R}^d, |y| \geq r} + \sup_{\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq 0, |\lambda| \geq r, y \in \mathbf{R}^d} \right) \|(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1}\|_{L(L_{\beta+\gamma}^2, L_\beta^2)} < \infty.$$

Also,

$$\sup_{\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq 0, y \in \mathbf{R}^d} \|(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^2 \rightarrow L_\beta^2} < \infty. \quad (46)$$

Furthermore, we need the following invertibilities.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq 0$, $y \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$.*

(1). *The inverse $(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P)^{-1}$ exists on L_β^2 .*

(2). *The inverse $(I - P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P)^{-1}$ exists on $\operatorname{Ker}L \subset L_\beta^2$.*

Proof. 1. If not, we suppose $1 \in \sigma((\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P)$. Since $(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} : L_{\beta+\gamma}^2 \rightarrow L_{\beta}^2$ is linear continuous and $P : L_{\beta}^2 \rightarrow L_{\beta+\gamma}^2$ is compact, we have $(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P : L_{\beta+\gamma}^2 \rightarrow L_{\beta+\gamma}^2$ is compact, for $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$. Thus by Fredholm alternative, on $L_{\beta+\gamma}^2$, we have $1 \in \sigma_p((\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P)$. Thus for some $0 \neq u \in L_{\beta+\gamma}^2$,

$$u = (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}Pu,$$

and hence $u \in \cap_{\beta \in \mathbf{R}} L_{\beta}^2$ with

$$(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))u = 0. \quad (47)$$

Thus λ is an eigenvalue of $\widehat{B}(y)$ and $\operatorname{Re}\lambda = 0$ by theorem 3.2 (1). But $y \neq 0$, so equation (47) contradicts to theorem 3.2 (3).

2. The proof existence of $(I - P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P)^{-1}$ is similar to step 1. \square

4 Eigenvalue Structure near $y = 0$

In this section, we will give the proof of the existence of eigenvalues to operator $P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P$ as well as the asymptotic behavior of the singular points of $(I - P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P)^{-1}$ as $y \rightarrow 0$. These theorems are necessary for the estimate on semigroup $e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}$ due to inversion formula of semigroup and (49) below.

Using resolvent identities:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} &= (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}(I - P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} - (\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1}P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} = (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} - (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}(I - P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1})^{-1}P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}. \quad (48)$$

Then applying lemma 4.1 below with $A = (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} \\ &= (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} + (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P(I - P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P)^{-1}P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Here $\|P\|_{L_{\beta}^2 \rightarrow L_{\beta+\gamma}^2} < \infty$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq 0, y \in \mathbf{R}^d} \|(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P\|_{L(L_{\beta}^2, L_{\beta}^2)} &< \infty, \\ \sup_{\operatorname{Re}\lambda \geq 0, y \in \mathbf{R}^d} \|P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}\|_{L(L_{\beta}^2, L_{\beta}^2)} &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P$ and $P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}$ are bounded on L_{β}^2 , so the singularity of resolvent $(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1}$ near $y = 0$ comes from $(I - P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P)^{-1} : \operatorname{Ker}L \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}L$. So in the following subsections we will study the behavior of this operator.

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be a linear continuous operator from $L_{\beta+\gamma}^2$ to L_{β}^2 , for any $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$. If the inverse in the following statement exists, then they are valid.*

(1). *For $f \in L_{\beta}^2$, we have $(I - PA)^{-1}Pf \in \text{Ker}L$. Consequently,*

$$(I - PA)^{-1}Pf = P(I - PA)^{-1}Pf. \quad (50)$$

(2). *On L_{β}^2 , for any $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, we have*

$$(I - PA)^{-1}P = (I - PAP)^{-1}P. \quad (51)$$

Proof. 1. For $f \in L_{\beta}^2$, then $Pf \in \cap_{\beta \in \mathbf{R}} L_{\beta}^2$. Let $g = (I - PA)^{-1}Pf$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (I - PA)g &= Pf, \\ g &= PAg + Pf \in \text{Ker}L. \end{aligned}$$

2. Let $f \in L_{\beta}^2$, then by (50),

$$\begin{aligned} Pf &= (I - PA)(I - PA)^{-1}Pf = (I - PAP)(I - PA)^{-1}Pf, \\ (I - PAP)^{-1}Pf &= (I - PA)^{-1}Pf. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *For $\text{Re}\lambda \geq 0$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, for $f \in L_{\beta}^2$,*

$$P(\lambda I - L + P)^{-1}f = (\lambda I - L + P)^{-1}Pf = \frac{Pf}{\lambda + 1}. \quad (52)$$

Proof. Let $f \in L_{\beta}^2$ and $g := (\lambda I - L + P)^{-1}f$, then $(\lambda I - L + P)g = f$, and $Pg = \frac{Pf}{\lambda + 1}$. For the second equality, it suffices to show that $(\lambda I - L + P)^{-1}Pf \in \text{Ker}L$. Let $h := (\lambda I - L + P)^{-1}Pf$, then $(\lambda I - L + P)h = Pf$. Taking inner product with $P^{\perp}h$, we have

$$\lambda \|P^{\perp}h\|_{L^2}^2 + (-Lh, P^{\perp}h) = 0$$

But L is a non-positive operator, thus $(-Lh, h) = 0$ and $h \in \text{Ker}L$. □

4.1 Eigenvalue Structure of D

Formally by the second resolvent identity, on $\text{Ker}L$, we have

$$(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} = (\lambda I - L + P)^{-1} + (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}(-2\pi iy \cdot \xi)(\lambda I - L + P)^{-1}.$$

It is valid only on $\text{Ker}L$, so here we check this identity carefully. Indeed for $f \in \text{Ker}L$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))f &= (\lambda I + 2\pi iy \cdot \xi - L + P)f = (\lambda + 1 + 2\pi iy \cdot \xi)f, \\ f &= (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}(\lambda + 1 + 2\pi iy \cdot \xi)f, \\ (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}f &= \frac{1}{\lambda + 1}(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}(2\pi iy \cdot \xi))f, \end{aligned}$$

and then by using lemma 4.2, we complete the checking.

Write $y = r\omega$, with $\omega \in S^{d-1}$, $r = |y|$ and write $\lambda = \sigma + i\tau$. Define

$$D(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega) = P((\sigma + i\tau + 2\pi ir\omega \cdot \xi)I - L + P)^{-1}(\omega \cdot \xi)P. \quad (53)$$

Then on L^2_β , we have

$$P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P = \frac{1}{\lambda + 1}(P - 2\pi irD(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)). \quad (54)$$

Here we can assume $r \in \mathbf{R}$ instead of $r > 0$.

Remark 4.3. When considering operator $D(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)$, we can assume $r \in \mathbf{R}$, but when we go back to $(I - P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P)^{-1}$, we should assume $y \neq 0$.

Define an orthonormal basis $\{\psi_j\}_{j=0}^{d+1}$ of $\text{Ker}L$ in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ as following,

$$\begin{cases} \psi_0 = \mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \\ \psi_j = \xi_j \mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \text{ if } j = 1, \dots, d \\ \psi_{d+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2d}}(|\xi|^2 - d)\mathbf{M}^{1/2}. \end{cases} \quad (55)$$

Fix $\omega \in S^{d-1}$. Define rotation $R \in O(d)$ on \mathbf{R}^d s.t. $R\omega = e_1$, where $e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Now we investigate the eigenvalues of

$$D(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega) = P((\sigma + i\tau + 2\pi ir\omega \cdot \xi)I - L + P)^{-1}(\omega \cdot \xi)P,$$

where $\sigma \geq 0$, $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$, $r \in \mathbf{R}$, $\omega \in S^{d-1}$. Notice D maps $\text{Ker}L$ into $\text{Ker}L$, so under the orthonormal basis $\{R^T \psi_j\}$, we can obtain its matrix representation.

Definition 4.4. For $j, k = 0, \dots, d+1$, define

$$D_{jk}(\sigma, \tau, r) := (D(\sigma, \tau, r, e_1)\psi_j, \psi_k)_{L^2}.$$

Lemma 4.5. For $\sigma \geq 0$, $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$, $r \in \mathbf{R}$, $\omega \in S^{d-1}$, $j, k = 0, \dots, d+1$, we have

$$(D(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)R^T \psi_j, R^T \psi_k)_{L^2} = (D(\sigma, \tau, r, e_1)\psi_j, \psi_k)_{L^2}, \quad (56)$$

and

$$(D(\sigma, \tau, r, e_1)\psi_j, \psi_k)_{L^2} \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbf{R}_+} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}; \mathbf{C}). \quad (57)$$

With this lemma, we have

$$D(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)(R^T \psi_0, \dots, R^T \psi_{d+1}) = (R^T \psi_0, \dots, R^T \psi_{d+1})(D_{kj})_{j,k=0}^{d+1},$$

and $(D_{kj})_{j,k=0}^{d+1}$ is the matrix representation of $D(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)$ under basis $\{R^T \psi_j\}$.

Proof. 1. For any rotation $R \in O(d)$ acting on velocity variable, we know that R commutes with P, I, L , thus for $\sigma \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} RD(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega) &= RP((\sigma + i\tau + 2\pi ir\omega \cdot \xi)I - L + P)^{-1}(\omega \cdot \xi)P \\ &= P((\sigma + i\tau + 2\pi ir\omega \cdot R\xi)I - L + P)^{-1}(\omega \cdot R\xi)PR \\ &= D(\sigma, \tau, r, R^T\omega)R. \end{aligned}$$

Then (56) follows from $R^T\omega = e_1$.

2. Recall theorem 3.6 that $((\sigma + i\tau + 2\pi ir\xi_1)I - L + P)^{-1}$ is a linear bounded operator from $L_{\beta+\gamma}^2$ to L_{β}^2 and is continuous with respect to $\sigma \geq 0, \tau \in \mathbf{R}$, and $r \in \mathbf{R}$. By the second resolvent identity, for any $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{jk}(\sigma_1, \tau, r) - D_{jk}(\sigma_2, \tau, r) &= \left([((\sigma_1 + i\tau + 2\pi ir\xi_1)I - L + P)^{-1} - ((\sigma_2 + i\tau + 2\pi ir\xi_1)I - L + P)^{-1}] \xi_1 \psi_j, \psi_k \right) \\ &= \left(((\sigma_2 + i\tau + 2\pi ir\xi_1)I - L + P)^{-1}(\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)((\sigma_1 + i\tau + 2\pi ir\xi_1)I - L + P)^{-1} \xi_1 \psi_j, \psi_k \right), \end{aligned}$$

and so whenever $\sigma > 0$,

$$\partial_{\sigma} D_{jk}(\sigma, \tau, r) = -\left(((\sigma + i\tau + 2\pi ir\xi_1)I - L + P)^{-2} \xi_1 \psi_j, \psi_k \right)_{L^2}.$$

Inductively,

$$\partial_{\sigma}^n D_{jk}(\sigma, \tau, r) = (-1)^n n! \left(((\sigma + i\tau + 2\pi ir\xi_1)I - L + P)^{-n-1} \xi_1 \psi_j, \psi_k \right)_{L^2},$$

Similarly,

$$\partial_{\tau}^n D_{jk}(\sigma, \tau, r) = (-i)^n n! \left(((\sigma + i\tau + 2\pi ir\xi_1)I - L + P)^{-n-1} \xi_1 \psi_j, \psi_k \right)_{L^2}. \quad (58)$$

For the derivative with respect to r , we need to be more careful. For $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{jk}(\sigma, \tau, r_1) - D_{jk}(\sigma, \tau, r_2) &= \left(((\sigma + i\tau + 2\pi ir_2\xi_1)I - L + P)^{-1} 2\pi i(r_2 - r_1)\xi_1 ((\sigma + i\tau + 2\pi ir_1\xi_1)I - L + P)^{-1} \xi_1 \psi_j, \psi_k \right). \end{aligned}$$

Use the uniform boundedness of $((\sigma + i\tau + 2\pi ir_2\xi_1)I - L + P)^{-1}$ from $L_{\beta+\gamma}^2$ to L_{β}^2 and notice $\psi_j \in \cap_{\beta \in \mathbf{R}} L_{\beta}^2$, we have

$$\partial_r D_{jk}(\sigma, \tau, r) = -2\pi i \left((((\sigma + i\tau + 2\pi ir\xi_1)I - L + P)^{-1} \xi_1)^2 \psi_j, \psi_k \right). \quad (59)$$

Inductively,

$$\partial_r^n D_{jk}(\sigma, \tau, r) = (-2\pi i)^n n! \left((((\sigma + i\tau + 2\pi ir\xi_1)I - L + P)^{-1} \xi_1)^{n+1} \psi_j, \psi_k \right).$$

All these derivatives are right-continuous at $\sigma = 0$ and so $D_{jk}(\sigma, \tau, r) \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbf{R}}_+ \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R})$. \square

Here we need a C^{∞} extension theorem from [10].

Theorem 4.6. (Seeley). Suppose $f(x, \sigma) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d \times \{\sigma \geq 0\})$. Let $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that $\phi = 1$ on $0 \leq |t| \leq 1$ and 0 if $|t| \geq 2$. There exists $\{a_k\}, \{b_k\}$ such that (i). $b_k < 0$; (ii). $\sum |a_k| |b_k|^n < \infty$, for $n = 0, 1, \dots$; (iii). $\sum a_k (b_k)^n = 1$, for $n = 0, 1, \dots$; (iv). $b_k \rightarrow -\infty$. Define for $\sigma < 0$,

$$f(x, \sigma) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \phi(b_k \cdot \sigma) f(x, b_k \sigma).$$

Then $f(x, \sigma) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^d \times \mathbf{R})$.

Applying this C^∞ extension theorem, we can extend D_{jk} to all $\sigma \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$$D_{jk}(\sigma, \tau, r) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}),$$

and for $\sigma < 0$,

$$D_{jk}(\sigma, \tau, r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \phi(b_k \cdot \sigma) D_{jk}(b_k \sigma, \tau, r).$$

4.1.1 The Eigenvalue Equation.

For $\sigma \geq 0$,

$$D(\sigma, \tau, r, e_1) = P((\sigma + i\tau + 2\pi i r \xi_1)I - L + P)^{-1}(\xi_1)P. \quad (60)$$

Thus for $j = 2, \dots, d$, the reflection $r_j : \xi \rightarrow (\xi_1, \dots, -\xi_j, \dots, \xi_d)$ commutes with $D(\sigma, \tau, r, e_1)$. Also for $j = 2, \dots, d$, ψ_j is odd with respect to ξ_j , and for $k \neq j$, ψ_k is even with respect to ξ_j . Thus

$$D_{jk}(\sigma, \tau, r) = 0, \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{if } 2 \leq j \leq d, 0 \leq k \leq d+1, k \neq j \\ \text{or if } 2 \leq k \leq d, 0 \leq j \leq d+1, k \neq j. \end{array}$$

So the eigenvalues equation of operator $D(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)$ under basis $\{R^T \psi_j\}_{j=0}^{d+1}$ is

$$\eta I_{(d+2) \times (d+2)} = (D_{jk})_{j,k=0}^{d+1}.$$

That is

$$\eta I_{(d+2) \times (d+2)} - \begin{pmatrix} D_{00} & D_{01} & 0 & \dots & 0 & D_{0,d+1} \\ D_{10} & D_{11} & 0 & \dots & 0 & D_{1,d+1} \\ 0 & 0 & D_{22} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & D_{dd} & 0 \\ D_{d+1,0} & D_{d+1,1} & 0 & \dots & 0 & D_{d+1,d+1} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (61)$$

where the matrix $(D_{jk})_{j,k=0,\dots,d+1}$ is smooth in $(\sigma, \tau, r) \in \mathbf{R}^3$.

4.1.2 The Eigenvalues of D .

Firstly, we can easily get $(d - 1)$ eigenvalues. That is, for $j = 2, \dots, d$,

$$\eta_j(\sigma, \tau, r) = D_{jj}(\sigma, \tau, r) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}). \quad (62)$$

Also, one can pick the eigenvector corresponding to $\eta_j(\sigma, \tau, r)$ to be the unit vector $e_j \in \mathbf{R}^{d+2}$.

The remaining part is

$$\eta I_{3 \times 3} - \begin{pmatrix} D_{00} & D_{01} & D_{0,d+1} \\ D_{10} & D_{11} & D_{1,d+1} \\ D_{d+1,0} & D_{d+1,1} & D_{d+1,d+1} \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (63)$$

We want to find its eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenvectors. Here we shall use the method in [6].

Theorem 4.7. *There exists $r_1 > 0$ such that the eigenvalues $\eta_j(\sigma, \tau, r)$ and the corresponding right eigenvectors $z_j(\sigma, \tau, r)$ of $(D_{jk})_{j,k=0,1,d+1}$ exist and are smooth in $B(0, r_1) \subset \mathbf{R}^3$. Furthermore, for $j = 0, 1, d + 1$,*

$$\eta_j(0, 0, 0) = \eta_{0,j},$$

where

$$\eta_{0,0} = \sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}, \quad \eta_{0,1} = 0, \quad \eta_{0,d+1} = -\sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}.$$

Proof. 1. Denote matrix $F(\sigma, \tau, r) := (D_{jk})_{j,k=0,1,d+1}$ and define

$$f(\sigma, \tau, r, z, \eta) := ((F - \eta I)z, |z|^2) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^7), \quad (64)$$

with $z \in \mathbf{R}^3$, $\eta \in \mathbf{R}$. We intend to use implicit function theorem near $f(\sigma, \tau, r, z, \eta) = (0, 1) \in \mathbf{R}^4$.

2. If $\sigma = \tau = r = 0$, we have

$$(D_{jk})_{j,k=0,1,d+1} \Big|_{r=\tau=\sigma=0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha_1 & 0 \\ \alpha_1 & 0 & \alpha_2 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha_1 = (\xi_1^2 \mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \mathbf{M}^{1/2})_{L^2}$, $\alpha_2 = (\xi_1^2 \mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \psi_{d+1})_{L^2}$. Thus we can obtain three distinct real eigenvalues of $F(0, 0, 0)$ and their corresponding eigenvectors:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{0,0} &= \sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}, & z_{0,0} &= (-\alpha_2, 0, \alpha_1)^T / \sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}, \\ \eta_{0,1} &= 0, & z_{0,1} &= (\alpha_1, -\sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}, \alpha_2) / \sqrt{2\alpha_1^2 + 2\alpha_2^2}, \\ \eta_{0,d+1} &= -\sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}, & z_{0,d+1} &= (\alpha_1, \sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}, \alpha_2) / \sqrt{2\alpha_1^2 + 2\alpha_2^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for $j = 0, 1, d + 1$,

$$f(0, 0, 0, z_{0,j}, \eta_{0,j}) = (0, 1).$$

3. In order to use implicit function theorem, we need to verify that

$$\det \nabla_{z,\eta} f(0, 0, 0, z_{0,j}, \eta_{0,j}) \neq 0.$$

Here

$$\nabla_{z,\eta} f(\sigma, \tau, r, z, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} F - \eta I & -z \\ 2z^T & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{4 \times 4}.$$

Let $F_\varepsilon = F(0, 0, 0, z_{0,j}, \eta_{0,j}) - \eta_{0,j}I - \varepsilon I$. Then $F_\varepsilon z_{0,j} = -\varepsilon z_{0,j}$ and so

$$\begin{pmatrix} F_\varepsilon & -z_{0,j} \\ 2z_{0,j}^T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} I_{3 \times 3} & -\frac{z_{0,j}}{\varepsilon} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F_\varepsilon & 0 \\ 2z_{0,j}^T & -\frac{2}{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Taking the determinant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} F_\varepsilon & -z_{0,j} \\ 2z_{0,j}^T & 0 \end{vmatrix} &= \det(F(0, 0, 0, z_{0,j}, \eta_{0,j}) - \eta_{0,j}I - \varepsilon I) \left(\frac{-2}{\varepsilon}\right) \\ &= 2 \prod_{k=0,1,d+1,k \neq j} (\eta_{0,k} - \eta_{0,j} - \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\det \nabla_{z,\eta} f(0, 0, 0, z_{0,j}, \eta_{0,j}) = 2 \prod_{k=0,1,d+1,k \neq j} (\eta_{0,k} - \eta_{0,j}) \neq 0.$$

Then we can apply the implicit function theorem to get the smooth eigenvalues and eigenvectors near $(\sigma, \tau, r) = (0, 0, 0)$. \square

Therefore, for $j = 0, 1, d+1$, we can get the eigenvalues $\eta_j(\sigma, \tau, r) \in C^\infty(B(0, r_1); \mathbf{R})$ and eigenvectors $z_j(\sigma, \tau, r) \in C^\infty(B(0, r_1); \mathbf{R}^{d+2})$ to $(D_{jk})_{j,k=0}^{d+1}$, while the eigenvectors is still denoted by z_j by keeping the $0^{th}, 1^{th}, (d+1)^{th}$ component the same and supplementing the 2^{th} to d^{th} to be 0.

4.1.3 Asymptotic Behavior of the Eigenvalues to D .

Here we will investigate the derivatives of η_j with respect to τ and r at $(\sigma, \tau, r) = (0, 0, 0)$.

For $j = 2, \dots, d$, we know

$$\eta_j(\sigma, \tau, r) = D_{jj}(\sigma, \tau, r).$$

Thus from (58), (59) and recall lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_j(0, 0, 0) &= D_{jj}(0, 0, 0) = (\xi_1 \psi_j, \psi_j)_{L^2} = 0, \\ \partial_\tau \eta_j(0, 0, 0) &= -i((-L + P)^{-2} \xi_1 \psi_j, \psi_j)_{L^2} \\ &= -i(\xi_1 \xi_j \mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \xi_j \mathbf{M}^{1/2})_{L^2} = 0, \\ \partial_r \eta_j(0, 0, 0) &= -2\pi i((-L + P)^{-1} \xi_1 (-L + P)^{-1} \xi_1 \psi_j, \psi_j)_{L^2} \\ &= -2\pi i((-L + P)^{-1} \xi_1 \psi_j, \xi_1 \psi_j)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the inner product $((-L + P)^{-1} \xi_1 \psi_j, \xi_1 \psi_j)_{L^2}$, we shall use the following lemma to deal with it.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $f \in \cap_{\beta \in \mathbf{R}} L_{\beta}^2$, then $(-L + P)^{-1}P^{\perp}f \in (\text{Ker}L)^{\perp}$ and so*

$$((-L + P)^{-1}f, f)_{L^2} = (Pf, Pf)_{L^2} + (-L^{-1}P^{\perp}f, P^{\perp}f)_{L^2}, \quad (65)$$

where $(-L^{-1}P^{\perp}f, P^{\perp}f)_{L^2} > 0$ whenever $P^{\perp}f \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $g = (-L + P)^{-1}P^{\perp}f$, then $(-L + P)g = P^{\perp}f$. Taking inner product with any $\psi \in \text{Ker}L$, we have $(g, \psi) = 0$. Thus $g \in (\text{Ker}L)^{\perp}$ and so $-Lg = P^{\perp}f$, $g = -L^{-1}P^{\perp}f$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} ((-L + P)^{-1}f, f)_{L^2} &= ((-L + P)^{-1}Pf, f)_{L^2} + ((-L + P)^{-1}P^{\perp}f, f)_{L^2} \\ &= (Pf, Pf)_{L^2} + (-L^{-1}P^{\perp}f, P^{\perp}f)_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Also if $P^{\perp}f \neq 0$, then $(-L^{-1}P^{\perp}f, P^{\perp}f)_{L^2} = (h, -Lh)_{L^2} > 0$, where $h = L^{-1}P^{\perp}f$. \square

With this lemma and noticing $P(\xi_1\psi_j) = 0$, we have

$$\partial_r \eta_j(0, 0, 0) = 2\pi i (L^{-1}\xi_1\xi_j \mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \xi_1\xi_j \mathbf{M}^{1/2})_{L^2}.$$

For $j = 0, 1, d+1$, in order to obtain the asymptotic behavior of η_j , we shall use the determinant. That is to let

$$f(\sigma, \tau, r, \eta) := \det(\eta I_{3 \times 3} - (D_{jk})_{j,k=0,1,d+1}).$$

Then $f(\sigma, \tau, r, \eta_j(\sigma, \tau, r)) = 0$, for $(\sigma, \tau, r) \in B(0, r_1)$, since η_j is the eigenvalue of $(D_{jk})_{j,k=0,1,d+1}$. Taking the derivatives with respect to τ, r , for $|(\sigma, \tau, r)| < r_1$, we have

$$\partial_{\tau} f + \partial_{\eta} f \cdot \partial_{\tau} \eta_j = 0, \quad (66)$$

$$\partial_r f + \partial_{\eta} f \cdot \partial_r \eta_j = 0. \quad (67)$$

Since $f(\sigma, \tau, r) = \det(\eta I - (D_{jk})_{j,k=0,1,d+1})$, we shall use the Jacobi's formula to calculate the derivative to the determinant of a matrix. Recall that $\alpha_1 = (\xi_1^2 \mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \mathbf{M}^{1/2})_{L^2}$, $\alpha_2 = (\xi_1^2 \mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \psi_{d+1})_{L^2}$ and applying (58), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\tau} f &= \text{tr}(\text{adj}(\eta I - (D_{jk})_{j,k=0,1,d+1})(-\partial_{\tau} D_{jk})_{j,k=0,1,d+1}) \\ \partial_{\tau} f(0, 0, 0, \eta_{0,j}) &= \text{tr} \left(\text{adj} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{0,j} & -\alpha_1 & 0 \\ -\alpha_1 & \eta_{0,j} & -\alpha_2 \\ 0 & -\alpha_2 & \eta_{0,j} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\alpha_1 & 0 \\ i\alpha_1 & 0 & i\alpha_2 \\ 0 & i\alpha_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= 2i(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2)\eta_{0,j}. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

If we define $\alpha_3 = ((-L + P)^{-1}\xi_1\psi_1, \xi_1\psi_1)_{L^2}$, $\alpha_4 = ((-L + P)^{-1}\xi_1\psi_{d+1}, \xi_1\psi_{d+1})_{L^2}$, then similar to (68) and applying (59), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_r f(0, 0, 0, \eta_{0,j}) &= \text{tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \eta_{0,j}^2 - \alpha_2^2 & \alpha_1\eta_{0,j} & \alpha_1\alpha_2 \\ \alpha_1\eta_{0,j} & \eta_{0,j}^2 & \alpha_2\eta_{0,j} \\ \alpha_1\alpha_2 & \alpha_2\eta_{0,j} & \eta_{0,j}^2 - \alpha_1^2 \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 2\pi i\alpha_1 & 0 & 2\pi i\alpha_2 \\ 0 & 2\pi i\alpha_3 & 0 \\ 2\pi i\alpha_2 & 0 & 2\pi i\alpha_4 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= 2\pi i(\alpha_1\eta_{0,j}^2 + \alpha_3\eta_{0,j}^2 + \alpha_4\eta_{0,j}^2 + \alpha_1\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1^2\alpha_4). \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Also one can easily get

$$\partial_{\eta} f(0, 0, 0, \eta_{0,j}) = 3\eta_{0,j}^2 - \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_2^2. \quad (70)$$

Thus from (66), (67) and use (68), (69), (70), we can summarize:

Theorem 4.9. For cases $j = 2, \dots, d$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_j(0, 0, 0) &= \partial_\tau \eta_j(0, 0, 0) = 0, \\ \partial_r \eta_j(0, 0, 0) &= 2\pi i (L^{-1} \xi_1 \xi_j \mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \xi_1 \xi_j \mathbf{M}^{1/2})_{L^2},\end{aligned}$$

where $(L^{-1} \xi_1 \xi_j \mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \xi_1 \xi_j \mathbf{M}^{1/2})_{L^2} < 0$. For cases $j = 0, 1, d+1$, we have

$$\eta_{0,0} = \sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}, \quad \eta_{0,1} = 0, \quad \eta_{0,d+1} = -\sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\tau \eta_j(0, 0, 0) &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ -i\eta_{0,j}, & \text{if } j = 0, d+1, \end{cases} \\ \partial_r \eta_j(0, 0, 0) &= \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi i (\alpha_1 \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1^2 \alpha_4)}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ \frac{-\pi i ((\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2) + \alpha_1 \alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1^2 \alpha_4)}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}, & \text{if } j = 0, d+1. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

4.1.4 The Eigenvalue Projection of D .

In the last section, we obtained $d+2$ smooth eigenvalues $\eta_j(\sigma, \tau, r)$ and $d+2$ smooth right eigenvectors $z_j(\sigma, \tau, r) \in \mathbf{R}^{d+2}$ to $(D_{jk})_{j,k=0}^{d+1}$, when $(\sigma, \tau, r) \in B(0, r_1)$. Notice that the dimension of $\text{Ker} L$ is $d+2$ and $(D_{jk})_{j,k=0,\dots,d+1}$ is the matrix representation of $D(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)$ under the basis $\{R^T \psi_j\}$ of $\text{Ker} L$:

$$D(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)(R^T \psi_0, \dots, R^T \psi_{d+1}) = (R^T \psi_0, \dots, R^T \psi_{d+1})(D_{jk})_{j,k=0}^{d+1}.$$

So we know that $\{\eta_j(\sigma, \tau, r)\}_{j=0,\dots,d+1}$ are the eigenvalues of $D(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)$ and the eigenspace of $D(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)$ is exactly $\text{Ker} L$. Thus $D(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)$ has eigenvectors:

$$\phi_j(\sigma, \tau, r) = \sum_{k=0}^{d+1} z_j^{(k)}(\sigma, \tau, r) R^T \psi_k \in C^\infty(B(0, r_1); L^2),$$

where $(z_j^{(0)}, \dots, z_j^{(d+1)}) = z_j$. Define the smooth eigen-projections of $D(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)$ on L^2 by

$$P_j(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)f := (f, \phi_j)_{L^2} \phi_j \in C^\infty(B(0, r_1); L(L_\beta^2)),$$

for any $f \in L_\beta^2$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$. Then $\sum_{j=0}^{d+1} P_j(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega) = P$.

4.2 Eigenvalue Structure of $P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P$.

Recall (54) that

$$P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P = \frac{1}{\lambda + 1}(P - 2\pi i r D(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)).$$

We regard $P(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}P$ as an operator on $\text{Ker}L$, then we know its j^{th} ($j = 0, \dots, d+1$) eigenvalue is

$$\mu_j(\sigma, \tau, r) := \frac{1}{\lambda + 1}(1 - 2\pi i r \eta_j(\sigma, \tau, r)) \in C^\infty(B(0, r_1)). \quad (71)$$

Theorem 4.10. *There exists $0 < r_2 \leq r_1$ and $\sigma_j(r), \tau_j(r) \in C^\infty([-r_2, r_2])$, s.t. for $r \leq r_2$,*

$$\mu_j(\sigma_j(r), \tau_j(r), r) = 1. \quad (72)$$

Moreover,

$$\sigma_j(r) = \sigma_j^{(2)} r^2 + O(r^3), \quad (73)$$

$$\tau_j(r) = \tau_j^{(1)} r + O(r^3), \quad (74)$$

as $r \rightarrow 0$, where $\sigma_j^{(2)} < 0$, $\tau_j^{(1)} \in \mathbf{R}$ with explicit expression

$$\tau_j^{(1)} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, d, \\ -2\pi\sqrt{1+2/d}, & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 2\pi\sqrt{1+2/d}, & \text{if } j = d+1, \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_j^{(2)} = \begin{cases} 8\pi^2(L^{-1}\xi_1\psi_j, \xi_1\psi_j)_{L^2}, & \text{if } j = 2, \dots, d, \\ \frac{8\pi^2}{1+2/d}(L^{-1}P^\perp(\xi_1\psi_{d+1}), P^\perp(\xi_1\psi_{d+1}))_{L^2}, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ 4\pi^2(L^{-1}P^\perp(\xi_1\psi_1), P^\perp(\xi_1\psi_1))_{L^2} \\ \quad + \frac{8\pi^2}{d+2}(L^{-1}P^\perp(\xi_1\psi_{d+1}), P^\perp(\xi_1\psi_{d+1}))_{L^2}, & \text{if } j = 0, d+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. 1. Define $f = (\text{Re}\mu_j, \text{Im}\mu_j) : B(0, r_1) \subset \mathbf{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^2$ to be a smooth function. Notice

$$\mu_j(0, 0, 0) = 1, \quad \partial_\sigma \mu_j(0, 0, 0) = -1, \quad \partial_\tau \mu_j(0, 0, 0) = -i. \quad (75)$$

Thus $f(0, 0, 0) = (1, 0)$, $\det \nabla_{\sigma, \tau} f(0, 0, 0) = 1$. By implicit function theorem, there exists $r_2 \in (0, r_1]$ and functions $\sigma_j(r), \tau_j(r) \in C^\infty(|r| \leq r_2)$ such that for $|r| \leq r_2$,

$$\sigma(0) = \tau(0) = 0, \quad \mu_j(\sigma_j(r), \tau_j(r), r) = 1.$$

2. For $|r| \leq r_2$, by (71),

$$1 = \mu_j(\sigma_j(r), \tau_j(r), r) = \frac{1}{\sigma_j(r) + i\tau_j(r) + 1}(1 - 2\pi i r \eta_j(\sigma_j(r), \tau_j(r), r)),$$

$$\sigma_j(r) + i\tau_j(r) = -2\pi i r \eta_j(\sigma_j(r), \tau_j(r), r). \quad (76)$$

Using (76) and applying the behavior of η_j from 4.9, we have

$$\sigma_j'(0) + i\tau_j'(0) = -2\pi i \eta_j(0, 0, 0) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, d, \\ -2\pi i \sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}, & \text{if } j = 0, \\ 2\pi i \sqrt{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}, & \text{if } j = d+1. \end{cases}$$

So $\sigma'_j(0) = 0$ and $\tau'_j(0) = -2\pi\eta_j(0, 0, 0)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma''_j(0) + i\tau''_j(0) &= -4\pi i(\partial_\sigma\eta_j \cdot \sigma'_j + \partial_\tau\eta_j \cdot \tau'_j + \partial_r\eta_j)|_{\sigma=\tau=r=0} \\ &= 8\pi^2 i \partial_\tau \cdot \eta_j(0, 0, 0)\eta_j(0, 0, 0) - 4\pi i \partial_r \eta_j(0, 0, 0).\end{aligned}$$

If $j = 2, \dots, d$, then

$$\sigma''_j(0) + i\tau''_j(0) = 8\pi^2(L^{-1}\xi_1\xi_j\mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \xi_1\xi_j\mathbf{M}^{1/2})_{L^2} < 0.$$

If $j = 0, 1, d+1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma''_j(0) + i\tau''_j(0) &= \begin{cases} \frac{8\pi^2\alpha_1(\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1\alpha_4)}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}, & \text{if } j = 1, \\ 4\pi^2(2(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2) - \frac{((\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4)(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2) + \alpha_1\alpha_2^2 - \alpha_1^2\alpha_4)}{\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2}), & \text{if } j = 0, d+1. \end{cases}\end{aligned}\quad (77)$$

Using Gamma function, we can calculate that

$$\alpha_1 = (\xi_1\mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \xi_1\mathbf{M}^{1/2})_{L^2} = 1, \quad \alpha_2 = (\xi_1\mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \xi_1\psi_{d+1})_{L^2} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{d}},$$

Also by lemma 4.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_3 &= ((-L + P)^{-1}\xi_1\psi_1, \xi_1\psi_1)_{L^2} = \|P(\xi_1\psi_1)\|_{L^2}^2 + (-L^{-1}P^\perp(\xi_1\psi_1), P^\perp(\xi_1\psi_1))_{L^2}, \\ \alpha_4 &= ((-L + P)^{-1}\xi_1\psi_{d+1}, \xi_1\psi_{d+1})_{L^2} = \|P(\xi_1\psi_{d+1})\|_{L^2}^2 + (-L^{-1}P^\perp(\xi_1\psi_{d+1}), P^\perp(\xi_1\psi_{d+1}))_{L^2},\end{aligned}$$

and here

$$\begin{aligned}\|P(\xi_1\psi_1)\|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} |(\xi_1^2\mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \psi_j)_{L^2}|^2 = |(\xi_1^2\mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \mathbf{M}^{1/2})_{L^2}|^2 + |(\xi_1^2\mathbf{M}^{1/2}, \psi_{d+1})_{L^2}|^2 = 1 + \frac{2}{d}, \\ \|P(\xi_1\psi_{d+1})\|_{L^2}^2 &= \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} |(\xi_1\psi_{d+1}, \psi_j)_{L^2}|^2 = |(\xi_1\psi_{d+1}, \xi_1\mathbf{M}^{1/2})_{L^2}|^2 = \frac{2}{d}.\end{aligned}$$

Substitute these values into (77) and we will get the explicit expression of $\tau''_j(0)$ and $\sigma''_j(0)$. \square

Denote

$$H(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega) = \frac{1}{\lambda + 1}(P - 2\pi irD(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)). \quad (78)$$

As an operator defined on finite dimensional space $\text{Ker}L$, for $|r| \leq r_2$, we have

$$I - H(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} (1 - \mu_j(\sigma, \tau, r))P_j(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega).$$

Then we claim that on $\text{Ker}L$,

$$(I - H(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega))^{-1} = \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} (1 - \mu_j(\sigma, \tau, r))^{-1} P_j(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega),$$

here the inverse is taken in $\text{Ker}L$. Indeed, on $\ker L$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (I - H(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega)) \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} (1 - \mu_j(\sigma, \tau, r))^{-1} P_j(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} (1 - \mu_j(\sigma, \tau, r)) P_j(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega) \times \sum_{k=0}^{d+1} (1 - \mu_k(\sigma, \tau, y))^{-1} P_k(\sigma, \tau, y) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} P_j(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega) = P. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for $\text{Re}\lambda \geq 0$, $y \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} \\ &= (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} + (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} P (I - H(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega))^{-1} P (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} \\ &= (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} (1 - \mu_j(\sigma, \tau, r))^{-1} (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} P_j(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega) (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Denote $U_j(\sigma, \tau, y) = (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1} P_j(\sigma, \tau, r, \omega) (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}$. Differentiate (79) with respect to τ , we have

$$(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-n-1} = (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-n-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} \sum_{k=0}^n (1 - \mu_j(\sigma, \tau, r))^{-k-1} U_{j,k}^{(n)}(\sigma, \tau, y), \tag{80}$$

where $U_{j,k}^{(n)}(\sigma, \tau, y) \in C^\infty(\{(\sigma, \tau, y) : |(\sigma, \tau, y)| \leq r_2\}; L(L_\beta^2))$ is given as a linear combination of products of μ_j, U_j and their derivatives with respect to τ , with

$$\sup_{(\sigma, \tau, r) \leq \overline{B}(0, r_2)} \|U_{j,k}^{(n)}(\sigma, \tau, y)\|_{L(L_\beta^2)} < \infty.$$

5 Estimate on the Semigroup $e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}$

In this section, we will give the proof of boundedness of semigroup $e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}$ and its asymptotic behavior when $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Fisrtly we need the resolvent identities and the inversion semigroup formula.

$$(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} = (I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} K)^{-1} (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}, \tag{81}$$

and for $\sigma > 0$,

$$e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}u = \text{s-lim}_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-ia}^{\sigma+ia} e^{\lambda t} (\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} u \, d\lambda, \quad (82)$$

for $u \in D_0$, where the limit is taken with respect to $L^2(\mathbf{R}_\xi^d)$ norm.

Now we investigate the right hand side of (82). Consider $L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$ to be the whole space. For $y \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, we have $\{\text{Re}\lambda \geq 0\} \subset \rho(\widehat{B}(y))$ and thus $(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} : \{\text{Re}\lambda \geq 0\} \rightarrow L(L^2)$ is a holomorphic operator-valued function with respect to λ in $\{\text{Re}\lambda \geq 0\}$. Applying Cauchy theorem, for $u \in L^2$, $\sigma > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-ia}^{\sigma+ia} e^{\lambda t} (\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} u \, d\lambda &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_{-ia}^{ia} + \int_{ia}^{\sigma+ia} + \int_{\sigma-ia}^{-ia} \right) e^{\lambda t} (\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} u \, d\lambda \\ &= I_1 u + I_2 u + I_3 u. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.1. *The whole space really matters, since only in L^2 we can use $\{\text{Re}\lambda \geq 0\} \subset \rho(\widehat{B}(y))$. But later we will assume $u \in L_\beta^2 \subset L^2$, with $\beta \geq 0$.*

Firstly we consider the part I_2 and I_3 . If furthermore $u \in L_{\beta+\gamma}^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 u &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{ia}^{\sigma+ia} e^{\lambda t} (\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} u \, d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{ia}^{\sigma+ia} (I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} K)^{-1} (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} u \, d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Notice here y is fixed, then by theorem 3.5, $\sup_{\lambda \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_+}} \|(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} K)^{-1}\|_{L(L_\beta^2)} \leq C_{y,\beta} < \infty$. Thus by theorem 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2 u\|_{L_\beta^2} &\leq \frac{C_y}{2\pi} \int_{ia}^{\sigma+ia} e^{\sigma t} \|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} u\|_{L_\beta^2} \, d\lambda \\ &\leq C_{y,\nu} e^{\sigma t} \int_{ia}^{\sigma+ia} d\lambda (\|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^2(|\xi| \geq R)} + C_\nu \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^2} \frac{1}{|a|}) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$, and hence $a \geq 2\pi|y|R \rightarrow \infty$. The part I_3 is similar. Thus $I_2 u, I_3 u \rightarrow 0$ in L_β^2 norm as $a \rightarrow \infty$ if $u \in L_{\beta+\gamma}^2$.

To deal with the part I_1 , we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *For any $y_1 > 0$, $\sigma \geq 0$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \|((\sigma + i\tau)I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1} u\|_{L_\beta^2}^2 \, d\tau \leq C_\nu \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma/2}^2}^2.$$

Thus for $|y| \geq y_1$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}} \|((\sigma + i\tau)I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} u\|_{L_\beta^2}^2 \, d\tau \leq C_{\nu,y_1,\beta} \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma/2}^2}^2.$$

Proof. For $\sigma \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \|((\sigma + i\tau)I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}u\|_{L^2_\beta}^2 d\tau &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1 + |\xi|)^{2\beta} |u(\xi)|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{|\sigma + \nu(\xi)|^2 + |\tau + 2\pi y \cdot \xi|^2} d\tau d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1 + |\xi|)^{2\beta} |u(\xi)|^2 \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{1}{|\nu(\xi)|^2 + \tau^2} d\tau d\xi \\ &\leq C_\nu \|u\|_{L^2_{\beta+\gamma/2}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then using the fact that $\sup_{\lambda \in \overline{C_+}, |y| \geq y_1} \|(I - (\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}K)\|_{L(L^2_\beta)} \leq C_{y_1, \beta} < \infty$, and the resolvent identity (81), we get the second assertion. \square

Now for the part I_1 ,

$$I_1 u = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-a}^{+a} e^{i\tau t} (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} u d\tau. \quad (83)$$

Notice for $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$, $y \in \mathbf{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$, we have $i\tau \in \rho(\widehat{B}(y))$, and

$$\frac{d^k}{d\tau^k} ((i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} u(\xi)) = i^k k! (-1)^k (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-k-1} u(\xi). \quad (84)$$

Thus using integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-a}^{+a} e^{i\tau t} (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} u(\xi) d\tau \\ &= \frac{e^{i\tau t}}{it} (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} u(\xi) \Big|_{\tau=-a}^{\tau=a} - \int_{-a}^a \frac{d}{d\tau} ((i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} u(\xi)) \frac{e^{i\tau t}}{it} d\tau \\ &= \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-1} e^{i\tau t}}{(it)^k} \frac{d^{k-1}}{d\tau^{k-1}} ((i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} u(\xi)) \Big|_{\tau=-a}^{\tau=a} \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \int_{-a}^a \frac{d^n}{d\tau^n} ((i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} u(\xi)) \frac{e^{i\tau t}}{(it)^n} d\tau \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{e^{i\tau t}}{it^k} (k-1)! (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-k} u(\xi) \Big|_{\tau=-a}^{\tau=a} + n! \int_{-a}^a (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-n-1} u(\xi) \frac{e^{i\tau t}}{t^n} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Recall theorem 3.3 that for $R > 0$, $\text{Re}\lambda > 0$ with $|\lambda| \geq 4\pi|y|R$,

$$\|(\lambda I - \widehat{A}(y))^{-1}u\|_{L^2_\beta} \leq C_\nu \|u\|_{L^2_{\beta+\gamma}(|\xi| \geq R)} + C_\nu \|u\|_{L^2_{\beta+\gamma}} \frac{1}{|\lambda|}.$$

Without loss of generality, we can let $a \geq 1$. Notice that here $y \neq 0$ is fixed, then applying 3.6 and 3.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(iaI - \widehat{B}(y))^{-k} u(\xi)\|_{L^2_\beta} &\leq C_\nu^{k-1} \|(iaI - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} u(\xi)\|_{L^2_{\beta+(k-1)\gamma}} \\ &\leq C_\nu^k (\|u\|_{L^2_{\beta+k\gamma}(|\xi| \geq R)} + \|u\|_{L^2_{\beta+k\gamma}} \frac{1}{|a|}) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$ and $a \rightarrow \infty$, if $u \in L^2_{\beta+k\gamma}$. Thus it suffices to deal with following term when $a \rightarrow \infty$.

$$n! \int_{-a}^a (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-n-1} u(\xi) \frac{e^{i\tau t}}{t^n} d\tau.$$

5.1 y away from origin

Notice from 3.6, we have for $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, $b > 0$ that

$$C_b := \sup_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0, |y| \geq b} \|(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1}\|_{L(L^2_{\beta+\gamma}, L^2_{\beta})} < \infty.$$

So if $|y| \geq b$, for $u \in L^2_{\beta+n\gamma}$, $v \in L^2_{\beta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(n! \int_{-a}^a (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-n-1} u(\xi) \frac{e^{i\tau t}}{t^n} d\tau, v)_{L^2_{\beta}}| \\ & \leq \frac{n!}{t^n} \int_{-a}^a \|(i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-n} u(\xi)\|_{L^2_{\beta+\gamma/2}} \|(-i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y)^*)^{-1} v(\xi)\|_{L^2_{\beta-\gamma/2}} d\tau \\ & \leq \frac{n!}{t^n} \left(\int_{-a}^a \|(i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-n} u(\xi)\|_{L^2_{\beta+\gamma/2}}^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{-a}^a \|(-i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y)^*)^{-1} v(\xi)\|_{L^2_{\beta-\gamma/2}}^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{C_{r_0} n!}{t^n} \|u\|_{L^2_{\beta+n\gamma}} \|v\|_{L^2_{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice here $\widehat{B}(y)^* = 2\pi i y \cdot \xi + L$ has the same boundedness as $\widehat{B}(y)$. Thus if $u \in L^2_{\beta+n\gamma}$, $\{n! \int_{-a}^a (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-n-1} u(\xi) \frac{e^{i\tau t}}{t^n} d\tau\}_{a=1}^{\infty}$ is a bounded sequence in $(L^2_{\beta})^*$, hence has a weakly * convergent subsequence (denoted by $\{a_k\}$) and its weak limit Iu is controlled by $\frac{n!}{t^n} \|u\|_{L^2_{\beta+n\gamma}}$. That is

$$Iu := \operatorname{weak-lim}_{a_k \rightarrow \infty} I_1 u = \operatorname{weak-lim}_{a_k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{2\pi i t^n} \int_{-a_k}^{a_k} e^{i\tau t} (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-n-1} u(\xi) d\tau, \quad (85)$$

and

$$\|Iu\|_{L^2_{\beta}} = \|\operatorname{weak-lim}_{a_k \rightarrow \infty} I_1 u\|_{L^2_{\beta}} \leq \frac{C_{r_0} n!}{t^n} \|u\|_{L^2_{\beta+n\gamma}}. \quad (86)$$

Remark 5.3. Here the integral region can be replaced by (b, a) , for any $b > 0$.

5.2 y near origin

For y near the origin but $y \neq 0$, we can also use the method of integration by parts to obtain

$$\operatorname{s-lim}_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-ia}^{\sigma+ia} e^{\lambda t} (\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1} u d\lambda = \operatorname{s-lim}_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{2\pi i t^n} \int_{-a}^a e^{i\tau t} (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-n-1} u d\tau,$$

where the limit is taken in L^2_{β} . Then for $b \in (0, a)$, we divide the integral region to be

$$\int_{-a}^a = \int_b^a + \int_{-b}^b + \int_{-a}^{-b}.$$

The first and the last term has a weak limit by same argument as in section 5.1, where we essentially need the uniformly boundedness when $|\text{Im}\lambda| \geq b$ from Corollary 3.6 that

$$C_b := \sup_{\text{Re}\lambda \geq 0, |\text{Im}\lambda| \geq b, y \in \mathbf{R}^d} \|(\lambda I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-1}\|_{L(L_{\beta+\gamma}^2, L_{\beta}^2)} < \infty.$$

So it remains to deal with the integral

$$\int_{-b}^b e^{i\tau t} (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-n-1} u \, d\tau. \quad (87)$$

We will use the identity (80) from section 4. The following lemma is used for controlling the term $(1 - \mu_j(\sigma, \tau, r))^{-1}$ in (80).

Lemma 5.4. *Let $f(x, y, z) \in C^1(\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^3 : |(x, y, z)| \leq r\})$. Suppose $\nabla_{(x, y, z)} f(0, 0, 0) = -(1, i, a)$, with some constant $a \in \mathbf{C}$. Then for $|(x_1, y_1)| \leq r_1$, $|(x_2, y_2)| \leq r_1$,*

$$\frac{1}{2}|(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2)| \leq |f(x_1, y_1, z) - f(x_2, y_2, z)| \leq \frac{3}{2}|(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2)|.$$

Proof. By mean value theorem,

$$f(x_1, y_1, z) - f(x_2, y_2, z) = \nabla_{(x, y, z)} f(x_\theta, y_\theta, 0) \cdot (x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2, 0),$$

where $x_\theta = \theta x_1 + (1 - \theta)x_2$, $y_\theta = \theta y_1 + (1 - \theta)y_2$, with $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Take $r_1 \in (0, r)$ so small that for $|(x_\theta, y_\theta)| \leq r_1$,

$$|\nabla_{(x, y, z)} f(x_\theta, y_\theta, 0) - \nabla_{(x, y, z)} f(0, 0, 0)| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, y_1, z) - f(x_2, y_2, z) &= \nabla_{(x, y, z)} f(0, 0, 0) \cdot (x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2, 0) \\ &\quad + (\nabla_{(x, y, z)} f(x_\theta, y_\theta, 0) - \nabla_{(x, y, z)} f(0, 0, 0)) \cdot (x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2, 0), \\ |f(x_1, y_1, z) - f(x_2, y_2, z)| &\geq |(1, i, a) \cdot (x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2, 0)| - \frac{1}{2}|(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2, 0)| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}|(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2)|, \end{aligned}$$

and the second inequality is similar. □

Write $y = r\omega$, with $r \in \mathbf{R}_+$, $\omega \in \mathbf{S}^{d-1}$. Applying theorem 4.10, for $r \leq r_2$,

$$(1 - \mu_j(\sigma, \tau, r))^{-1} = (\mu_j(\sigma_j(r), \tau_j(r), r) - \mu_j(\sigma, \tau, r))^{-1}.$$

Thus by lemma 5.4,

$$\frac{2}{3}|(\sigma_j(r) - \sigma, \tau_j(r) - \tau)| \leq |1 - \mu_j(\sigma, \tau, r)|^{-1} \leq 2|(\sigma_j(r) - \sigma, \tau_j(r) - \tau)|.$$

By asymptotic behavior of $\sigma(r)$ and $\tau(r)$ in 4.10, there exists $\eta_0 > 0$, and $r_3 \in (0, r_2)$ such that for $r \leq r_3$,

$$\sigma_j(r) \leq -2\eta_0 r^2, \quad |\tau_j(r) - \tau_j^{(1)} r| \leq \eta_0 r^2.$$

Thus for $r \leq r_3$, the equation (87) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-b}^b e^{i\tau t} (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-n-1} u(\xi) d\tau \\ &= \int_{-b}^b e^{i\tau t} \left((\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-n-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} \sum_{k=0}^n (1 - \mu_j(\sigma, \tau, r))^{-k-1} U_{j,k}^{(n)}(\sigma, \tau, y) \right) u(\xi) d\tau \\ &= \int_{-b}^b e^{i\tau t} (\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-n-1} u(\xi) d\tau + \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \int_{-b}^b e^{i\tau t} (1 - \mu_j(\sigma, \tau, r))^{-k-1} U_{j,k}^{(n)}(\sigma, \tau, y) u(\xi) d\tau \\ &=: I_0 u + \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} \sum_{k=0}^n I_{j,k}^{(n)} u. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $\sup_{\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0, y \in \mathbf{R}^d} \|(\lambda I - \widehat{B}_0(y))^{-1}\|_{L(L_{\beta+\gamma}^2, L_{\beta}^2)} < \infty$, we have

$$\|I_0 u\|_{L_{\beta}^2} \leq C_{b,\beta} \|u\|_{L_{\beta+n\gamma}^2}. \quad (88)$$

On the other hand, since $U_{j,k}^{(n)}(0, \tau, y)$ is smooth in $\{(\tau, y) \in [-r_3, r_3] \times \mathbf{R}^d\}$, we have

$$\sup_{|\tau| \leq r_3, y \in \mathbf{R}^d} \|U_{j,k}^{(n)}(0, \tau, y)\|_{L(L_{\beta}^2)} = C_{\beta} < \infty.$$

and then if $b \in (0, r_3]$

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{j,k}^{(n)} u\|_{L_{\beta}^2} &\leq \left\| \int_{-b}^b e^{i\tau t} (1 - \mu_j(0, \tau, r))^{-k-1} U_{j,k}^{(n)}(0, \tau, y) u(\xi) d\tau \right\|_{L_{\beta}^2} \\ &\leq C_{\beta,n} \|u(\xi)\|_{L_{\beta}^2} \int_{-b}^b |1 - \mu_j(0, \tau, r)|^{-k-1} d\tau \\ &\leq C_{\beta,n} \|u(\xi)\|_{L_{\beta}^2} \int_{-b}^b \frac{1}{(|\sigma_j(r)| + |\tau - \tau_j(r)|)^{k+1}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Now for $r \leq r_3$, we have $\sigma_j(r) \leq -2\eta_0 r^2$, $|\tau_j(r) - \tau_j^{(1)} r| \leq \eta_0 r^2$. Thus for $k \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-b}^b \frac{1}{(|\sigma_j(r)| + |\tau - \tau_j(r)|)^{k+1}} d\tau &\leq \int_{-b}^b \frac{1}{(\eta_0 r^2 + |\tau - \tau_j^{(1)} r|)^{k+1}} d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^{b+|\tau_j^{(1)} r|} \frac{2}{(\eta_0 r^2 + \tau)^{k+1}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

If $k = 0$, then

$$\int_{-b}^b \frac{1}{(|\sigma_j(r)| + |\tau - \tau_j(r)|)^{k+1}} d\tau \leq 2 \log \left(\frac{b + |\tau_j^{(1)}|r + \eta_0 r^2}{\eta_0 r^2} \right) \leq C \log(r^{-1} + e).$$

If $k > 0$, then

$$\int_{-b}^b \frac{1}{(|\sigma_j(r)| + |\tau - \tau_j(r)|)^{k+1}} d\tau \leq \frac{2}{-k} \left[(\eta_0 r^2 + b + |\tau_j^{(1)}|r)^{-k} - (\eta_0 r^2)^{-k} \right] \leq \frac{C_k}{r^{2k}}.$$

Denote

$$\rho_n(y) := \begin{cases} \log(|y|^{-1} + e), & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \frac{1}{|y|^{2n}}, & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then for $k = \mathbf{N}$, $r \leq r_3 < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{j,k}^{(n)} u\|_{L_\beta^2} &\leq C_\beta \rho_k(y) \|u(\xi)\|_{L_\beta^2}, \\ \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} \sum_{k=0}^n I_{j,k}^{(n)} u \right\|_{L_\beta^2} &\leq C_{\beta,d} \rho_n(y) \|u\|_{L_\beta^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

For $|y|, b \in (0, r_3]$, combining (88) and (89), we have

$$\left\| \int_{-b}^b e^{i\tau t} (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-n-1} u(\xi) d\tau \right\|_{L_\beta^2} \leq C_{r_3,b,\beta} \|u\|_{L_{\beta+n\gamma}^2} + C_{\beta,n,d} \rho_n(y) \|u(\xi)\|_{L_\beta^2}.$$

Thus for $u \in D_\beta$, $\beta \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{t\widehat{B}(y)} u\|_{L_\beta^2} &= \left\| \text{s-lim}_{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{2\pi i t^n} \left(\int_b^a + \int_{-b}^{-a} + \int_{-a}^{-b} \right) e^{i\tau t} (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-n-1} u(\xi) d\tau \right\|_{L_\beta^2} \\ &\leq \left\| \text{s-lim}_{a \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_b^a + \int_{-a}^{-b} \right) e^{i\tau t} (i\tau I - \widehat{B}(y))^{-n-1} u(\xi) d\tau \right\|_{L_\beta^2} + \|I_0 u + \sum_{j=0}^{d+1} \sum_{k=0}^n I_{j,k}^{(n)} u\|_{L_\beta^2} \\ &\leq \frac{C_{n,\beta,d,b}}{t^n} \left(\|u\|_{L_{\beta+n\gamma}^2} + \rho_n(y) \|u\|_{L_\beta^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

5.3 Result

Now for $|y| \geq r_3$, we have (86). Together with the estimate (90) for $|y| \leq r_3$, we have

$$\|e^{t\widehat{B}(y)} u\|_{L_\beta^2} \leq \frac{C_{r_3,n,\beta,d}}{t^n} \left(\|u\|_{L_{\beta+n\gamma}^2} + \rho_n(y) \chi_{|y| \leq r_3} \|u\|_{L_\beta^2} \right).$$

But also the semigroup estimate on $e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}$ gives $\|e^{t\widehat{B}(y)} u\|_{L_\beta^2} \leq e^{t\|K\|_{L(L_\beta^2)}} \|u\|_{L_\beta^2}$. Thus for $n \in \mathbf{N}$,

$$\|e^{t\widehat{B}(y)} u\|_{L_\beta^2} \leq \frac{C_{r_3,n,\beta,d}}{(1+t)^n} \left(\|u\|_{L_{\beta+n\gamma}^2} + \rho_n(y) \chi_{|y| \leq r_3} \|u\|_{L_\beta^2} \right).$$

But here in order to use interpolation theorem, we can only use a weaker result:

$$\|e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}u\|_{L_\beta^2} \leq \frac{C_{r_3,\beta,d}(1+\rho_n(y))\chi_{|y|\leq r_3}}{(1+t)^n} \|u\|_{L_{\beta+n\gamma}^2}.$$

Notice for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 + \rho_n(y)\chi_{|y|\leq r_3})^\theta (1 + \rho_{n+1}(y)\chi_{|y|\leq r_3})^{1-\theta} \\ & \leq C_\theta (1 + \rho_n^\theta(y)\rho_{n+1}^{1-\theta}(y)\chi_{|y|\leq r_3}) \\ & \leq C_\theta + C_\theta \chi_{|y|\leq r_3} \begin{cases} |y|^{-2(\theta n + (1-\theta)(n+1))}, & \text{if } n \geq 1, \\ C_\varepsilon |y|^{-2(1-\theta)} \log^\theta(|y|^{-1} + e), & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\rho_\alpha(y) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{|y|^{2\alpha}} \log(|y|^{-1} + e) & \text{if } \alpha \in [0, 1), \\ \frac{1}{|y|^{2\alpha}} & \text{if } \alpha \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then by a interpolation theorem in appendix 7.11, we have the final result:

Theorem 5.5. *Assume $\gamma \in [0, d)$, $\alpha \in [0, \infty)$, $\beta \geq 0$, then there exists $r_3 \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\|e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}u\|_{L_\beta^2} \leq \frac{C_{\alpha,d,r_3,\beta}(1+\rho_\alpha(y)\chi_{|y|\leq r_3})}{(1+t)^\alpha} \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^2}. \quad (91)$$

6 Estimate on the Semigroup e^{tB} and Global Existence

In this section, we will give the proof on estimate on e^{tB} and the proof of our main existence theorem 1.1. Define

$$\begin{aligned} B &= -\xi \cdot \nabla_x + L, \\ A &= -\xi \cdot \nabla_x - \nu, \end{aligned}$$

with domain $D_p(B) := \{f \in L_\beta^p(H_x^1) : \xi \cdot \nabla_x f \in L_\beta^p(H_x^1)\}$. One can prove that $(B, D_p(B))$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup on $L_\beta^p(H_x^1)$ by regarding it as a bounded perturbation K on operator $-\xi \cdot \nabla_x - \nu$, whose semigroup has explicit expression

$$e^{t(-\xi \cdot \nabla_x - \nu)}u(x, \xi) := e^{-\nu t}u(x - t\xi, \xi).$$

Also Fourier transform \mathcal{F}_x on $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ is an isomorphism on $L^2(\mathbf{R}_x^d)$ and $\mathcal{F}B = \widehat{B}(y)\mathcal{F}$, hence for $u \in D_p(B)$, $p \in [1, \infty]$,

$$e^{tB}u = \mathcal{F}^{-1}e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}\mathcal{F}u,$$

where the equality "=" means the almost everywhere equality on $\mathbf{R}_\xi^d \times \mathbf{R}_x^d$.

Theorem 6.1. Let $\gamma \in [0, d)$, $p \in [1, 2]$, $\alpha \in [0, \frac{d}{4}(\frac{2}{p} - 1))$, $\beta, l \geq 0$. Suppose $u \in L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(H^l) \cap L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(L^p)$, then

$$\|e^{tB}u\|_{L^\infty_\alpha(L^2_\beta(H^l))} \leq C_{\alpha, r_3, d, \beta, p} \left(\|u\|_{L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(H^l)} + \|u\|_{L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(L^p)} \right). \quad (92)$$

Proof. For $l \geq 0$,

$$\|e^{tB}u\|_{L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(H^l)} = \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1+|\xi|)^{2\beta} (1+|y|)^{2l} |e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}\widehat{u}(y, \xi)|^2 dy d\xi \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $r_3 > 0$ be chosen in section 5.2. We split the integral on y into two parts: $|y| \geq r_3$ and $|y| \leq r_3$. Then on one hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{|y| \geq r_3} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1+|\xi|)^{2\beta} (1+|y|)^{2l} |e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}\widehat{u}(y, \xi)|^2 d\xi dy \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C_{r_3, d, \beta} \left(\int_{|y| \geq r_3} (1+|y|)^{2l} (1+t)^{-2\alpha} \|\widehat{u}(y, \xi)\|_{L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}}^2 d\xi dy \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq C_{r_3, d, \beta} (1+t)^{-\alpha} \|u(x, \xi)\|_{L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(H^l)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let $(2q)' = p \in [1, \infty]$, by Hölder's inequality and Hausdorff-Young inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{|y| \leq r_3} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1+|\xi|)^{2\beta} (1+|y|)^{2l} |e^{t\widehat{B}(y)}\widehat{u}(y, \xi)|^2 d\xi dy \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{C_{r_3, \beta, d}}{(1+t)^\alpha} \left(\int_{|y| \leq r_3} (1+|y|)^{2l} \left(\|\widehat{u}\|_{L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}} + |y|^{-2\alpha} \log(|y|^{-1} + e) \|\widehat{u}\|_{L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}} \right)^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \frac{C_{r_3, \beta, d}}{(1+t)^\alpha} \|u\|_{L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(H^l)} + \frac{C_{r_3, \beta, d}}{(1+t)^\alpha} \| |y|^{-2\alpha} \log(|y|^{-1} + e) \|_{L^{2q'}} \left(\int_{|y| \leq r_3} \|\widehat{u}(y, \xi)\|_{L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}}^{2q} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2q}} \\ & \leq \frac{C_{r_3, \beta, d}}{(1+t)^\alpha} \|u\|_{L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(H^l)} + \frac{C_{r_3, \beta, d}}{(1+t)^\alpha} C_{q', \alpha} \|u(x, \xi)\|_{L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(L^p)}, \end{aligned}$$

provided $4\alpha q' \in [0, d)$. That is $\alpha \in [0, \frac{d}{4q'}) = [0, \frac{d}{4}(\frac{2}{p} - 1))$. Therefore, for any $t \geq 0$,

$$(1+t)^\alpha \|e^{tB}u\|_{L^2_\beta(H^l)} \leq C_{\alpha, r_3, d, \beta, p} \left(\|u\|_{L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(H^l)} + \|u\|_{L^2_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(L^p)} \right).$$

□

Futhermore, we need a estimate on the semigroup generated by A on L^p_β .

Lemma 6.2. For $\alpha, l \geq 0$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\|e^{tA}u\|_{L^p_\beta(H^l)} \leq C_{\nu_0} (1+t)^{-\alpha} \|u\|_{L^p_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(H^l)}.$$

Proof. The semigroup generated by A with domain $\{f \in L^p_\beta(L^2_x) : \xi \cdot \nabla_x f \in L^p_\beta(L^2_x)\}$ is $e^{tA}u(x, \xi) = e^{-t\nu(\xi)}u(x - t\xi, \xi)$. For $u \in D(A) \subset L^p_\beta(H^l)$, we have

$$(1+|\xi|)^{-\alpha\gamma} |\mathcal{F}_x e^{tA}u(x, \xi)| \leq e^{-t\nu_0(1+|\xi|)^{-\gamma}} (1+|\xi|)^{-\alpha\gamma} |\mathcal{F}_x u(x - t\xi, \xi)|$$

$$\leq C_{\nu_0} (1+t)^{-\alpha} |e^{-2\pi i y \cdot \xi t} u(y, \xi)|,$$

since for $t, \alpha \geq 0$, $\sup_{x \geq 0} (xt)^\alpha e^{-\nu_0 t x} \leq C_{\nu_0}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{tA} u\|_{L_\beta^p(H^l)} &= \left\| \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} (1+|y|)^{2l} |\mathcal{F}_x e^{tA} u(x, \cdot)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L_\beta^p} \\ &\leq C_{\nu_0} (1+t)^{-\alpha} \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^p(H^l)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 6.3. This lemma shows that the weighted normed space $L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^2$ is essential for our analysis.

Lemma 6.4. For $0 \leq \alpha < 1 < \alpha_0$,

$$\int_0^t \frac{1}{(1+t-s)^\alpha (1+s)^{\alpha_0}} ds \leq C_{\alpha, \alpha_0} \frac{1}{(1+t)^\alpha}. \quad (93)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \frac{1}{(1+t-s)^\alpha (1+s)^{\alpha_0}} ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1+t/2)^\alpha} \int_0^{t/2} \frac{1}{(1+s)^{\alpha_0}} ds + \frac{1}{(1+t/2)^{\alpha_0}} \int_{t/2}^t \frac{1}{(1+t-s)^\alpha} ds \\ &\leq C_{\alpha, \alpha_0} \left(\frac{1}{(1+t)^\alpha} + \frac{1}{(1+t)^{\alpha_0+\alpha-1}} \right) \leq C_{\alpha, \alpha_0} \frac{1}{(1+t)^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Recall that e^{tB} can be viewed as a semigroup on $L_\beta^p(H^l)$, for $p \in [1, 2]$. Then by the Duhamel principle, we can have another boundedness of semigroup e^{tB} .

Theorem 6.5. Let $\gamma \in [0, d]$, $l \geq 0$, $p \in [1, 2]$, $\alpha \in [0, \frac{d}{4}(\frac{2}{p} - 1)]$, $\beta > \frac{d}{2}$. Suppose $u \in L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^2(H^l) \cap L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)$, then

$$\|e^{tB} u\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} \leq C_{\nu, \gamma, \alpha, r_3, d, \beta, p} \left(\|u\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l)} + \|u\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)} \right). \quad (94)$$

Proof. Let $u \in L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^2(H^l) \cap L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p) \subset L^2(\mathbf{R}^{2d})$, and $v = e^{tB} u$. Recall that $B = A + K$, by bounded perturbation, we have for $t \geq 0$,

$$v(t) = e^{tA} u + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A} K e^{sB} u ds. \quad (95)$$

Thus for $p \in [1, \infty]$, $\alpha_0 > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t)\|_{L_\beta^p(H^l)} &\leq \|e^{tA} u\|_{L_\beta^p(H^l)} + \int_0^t \|e^{(t-s)A} K e^{sB} u\|_{L_\beta^p(H^l)} ds \\ &\leq C_{\nu_0} (1+t)^{-\alpha} \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^p(H^l)} + \int_0^t (1+t-s)^{-\alpha_0} \|K v(s)\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha_0\gamma}^p(H^l)} ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C_{\nu_0}(1+t)^{-\alpha} \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^p(H^l)} + C_{\alpha,\alpha_0}(1+t)^{-\alpha} \|Kv\|_{L_{\alpha}^{\infty}(L_{\beta+\alpha_0\gamma}^p(H^l))}.$$

Thus when $p = \infty$,

$$\|v\|_{L_{\alpha}^{\infty}(L_{\beta}^{\infty}(H^l))} \leq C_{\nu_0,\gamma,\alpha,\alpha_0} \left(\|u\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^{\infty}(H^l)} + \|v\|_{L_{\alpha}^{\infty}(L_{\beta+\alpha_0\gamma-\gamma-2}^{\infty}(H^l))} \right). \quad (96)$$

Pick $\alpha_0 \in (1, \frac{\gamma+2}{\gamma})$, then $\alpha_0\gamma - \gamma - 2 < 0$. Using equation (96) inductively, we have

$$\|v\|_{L_{\alpha}^{\infty}(L_{\beta}^{\infty}(H^l))} \leq C_{\nu_0,\gamma,\alpha,\alpha_0} \left(\|u\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^{\infty}(H^l)} + \|v\|_{L_{\alpha}^{\infty}(L_0^{\infty}(H^l))} \right).$$

Recall the important property of K from 2.3 that for $p > \max(\frac{d}{d-\gamma}, \frac{d}{2})$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\|Kf\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma+1}^{\infty}(H^l)} \leq C_{\gamma,d,q} \|f\|_{L_{\beta}^p(H^l)}, \quad (97)$$

$$\|Kf\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma+1}^{q\theta}} \leq C_{\gamma,d,q,p,\theta} \|f\|_{L_{\beta}^{p\theta}}, \quad (98)$$

with $\frac{1}{q\theta} = \frac{\theta}{\infty} + \frac{1-\theta}{1}$, $\frac{1}{p\theta} = \frac{\theta}{p_0} + \frac{1-\theta}{1}$, where $p_0 = \frac{d}{d-\gamma} + \frac{d}{2}$. Pick a sequence $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^n \in (1, \infty)$ by letting

$$p_1 = 2, \quad p_{j+1} = p_j + \frac{p_j(p_j - 1)}{p_0 - p_j}, \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots). \quad (99)$$

Then $\{p_j\}$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{p_{j+1}} = \frac{\theta}{\infty} + \frac{1-\theta}{1}, \quad \frac{1}{p_j} = \frac{\theta}{p_0} + \frac{1-\theta}{1}, \quad (100)$$

where $\theta = \frac{1-1/p_i}{1-1/p_0}$. One can observe from (99) that $p_{j+1} - p_j \geq \frac{2}{p_0-2}$. Thus there exists a finite $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $p_{n-1} \leq \max(\frac{d}{d-\gamma}, \frac{d}{2}) < p_n$, so we can apply (97) to p_n . (Be careful that we can't use p_{n+1} , since p_n may be larger than p_0 and we won't have (100) with $\theta > 0$). Thus using Duhamel's formula (95) and the boundedness of K (97)(98) inductively,

$$\|v\|_{L_{\alpha}^{\infty}(L_{\beta}^{\infty}(H^l))} \leq C_{\nu_0,\gamma,\alpha,\alpha_0,n} \left(\|u\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^{\infty}(H^l)} + \sum_{j=0}^n \|u\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^{p_j}(H^l)} + \|v\|_{L_{\alpha}^{\infty}(L_0^2(H^l))} \right).$$

Pick $\beta > \frac{d}{2}$ to get that $\|u\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^{p_j}(H^l)} \leq \|u\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^{\infty}(H^l)}$, for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Then by theorem 6.1, we have

$$\|v\|_{L_{\alpha}^{\infty}(L_{\beta}^{\infty}(H^l))} \leq C_{\nu_0,\gamma,\alpha,\alpha_0,n,r_3,d,\beta,p} \left(\|u\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^{\infty}(H^l)} + \|u\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)} \right).$$

□

The following lemma is well-studied in [12] and I will put the proof in appendix.

Lemma 6.6. *Assume $\gamma \in [0, d)$, $\alpha \geq 0$.*

(1). *For $l > \frac{d}{2}$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$,*

$$\|\Gamma(f, g)\|_{L_{\beta+\gamma}^{\infty}(H^l)} \leq C_{\nu} \|f\|_{L_{\beta}^{\infty}(H^l)} \|g\|_{L_{\beta}^{\infty}(H^l)}. \quad (101)$$

(2). *For $\beta > \frac{d}{2} - \gamma + \alpha\gamma$, $l \geq 0$,*

$$\|\Gamma(f, g)\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^1)} \leq C_{\nu,\beta_0} \|f\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^{\infty}(H^l)} \|g\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^{\infty}(H^l)}. \quad (102)$$

Theorem 6.7. *Assume the cross-section q satisfies the angular cut-off assumption (5). Assume $d \geq 3$, $\gamma \in [0, d)$, $l > \frac{d}{2}$, $\beta > \frac{d}{2}$, $p \in [1, 2)$ such that $\frac{d}{4}(\frac{2}{p} - 1) > 1/2$. Let $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, \min(\frac{d}{4}(\frac{2}{p} - 1), 1))$. There exists constants $A_0 < 1, A_1$ such that if the initial data $f_0 \in L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l) \cap L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)$ satisfies*

$$\|f_0\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l)} + \|f_0\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)} \leq A_0. \quad (103)$$

Denote $X = \{f \in L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l)) : \|f\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} \leq A_1\}$. Then the Cauchy problem to Boltzmann equation

$$\begin{cases} f_t + \xi \cdot \nabla_x f = Lf + \Gamma(f, f), \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0. \end{cases} \quad (104)$$

posseses a unique solution $f = f(t) \in X \cap BC^0([0, \infty); L_\beta^\infty(H^l)) \cap BC^1([0, \infty); L_{\beta-1}^\infty(H^{l-1}))$ and

$$\|f\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} + \|\partial_t f\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_{\beta-1}^\infty(H^{l-1}))} \leq C_{\nu, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, d} \left(\|f_0\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l)} + \|f_0\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)} \right). \quad (105)$$

The uniqueness is taken in the sense that $f \in X$.

Proof. By semigroup theory, it suffices to find the fixed point of

$$\Phi[f] := e^{tB} f_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)B} \Gamma(f(s), f(s)) ds. \quad (106)$$

Now pick $\alpha \in (0, \frac{d}{4}) \cap (0, 1)$, $\beta > \frac{d}{2}$, then

$$\|\Phi[f]\|_{L_\beta^\infty(H^l)} \leq \|e^{tB} f_0\|_{L_\beta^\infty(H^l)} + \int_0^t \|e^{(t-s)B} \Gamma(f(s), f(s))\|_{L_\beta^\infty(H^l)} ds. \quad (107)$$

For the first term, using (94), we have

$$\|e^{tB} f_0\|_{L_\beta^\infty(H^l)} \leq \frac{C_{\nu, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, d}}{(1+t)^\alpha} \left(\|f_0\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l)} + \|f_0\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)} \right).$$

Pick $\alpha \in (0, \frac{d}{4}) \cap (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, which is non-empty since $d \geq 3$, then the second term in (107) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \|e^{(t-s)B} \Gamma(f(s), g(s))\|_{L_\beta^\infty(H^l)} ds \\ & \leq C_{\nu, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, d} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(1+t-s)^\alpha} \left(\|\Gamma(f(s), g(s))\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l)} + \|\Gamma(f(s), g(s))\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^1)} \right) ds \\ & \leq C_{\nu, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, d} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(1+t-s)^\alpha} \|f(s)\|_{L_\beta^\infty(H^l)} \|g(s)\|_{L_\beta^\infty(H^l)} ds \\ & \leq C_{\nu, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, d} \int_0^t \frac{1}{(1+t-s)^\alpha (1+s)^{2\alpha}} ds \|f\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} \|g\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} \\ & \leq C_{\nu, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, d} (1+t)^{-\alpha} \|f\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} \|g\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))}. \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from (94). Thus

$$\|\Phi[f]\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} \leq C_{\nu, \gamma, \alpha, \beta, d} \left(\|f_0\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l)} + \|f_0\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)} + \|f\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))}^2 \right)$$

$$=: C_1 \left(\|f_0\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l)} + \|f_0\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)} \right) + C_2 \|f\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))}^2.$$

On the other hand, noticing that $\Gamma(f, f) - \Gamma(g, g) = \Gamma(f + g, f - g)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi[f] - \Phi[g]\|_{L_\beta^\infty(H^l)} &\leq \int_0^t \|e^{(t-s)B}\Gamma((f+g)(s), (f-g)(s))\|_{L_\beta^\infty(H^l)} ds \\ &\leq C_{\nu,\gamma,\alpha,\beta,d}(1+t)^{-\alpha} \|f+g\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} \|f-g\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\Phi[f] - \Phi[g]\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} \leq C_2 \|f+g\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} \|f-g\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))},$$

by letting $C_2 > \frac{1}{C_1}$ large enough. Define $C_3 := \frac{1}{4C_1C_2}$, then if $\|f_0\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l)} + \|f_0\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)} < C_3$, we can let

$$C_4 := 1 - 4C_1C_2 \left(\|f_0\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l)} + \|f_0\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)} \right) > 0.$$

Choose $C_5 := \frac{1}{2C_2}(1 - \sqrt{C_4})$, then $C_2C_5^2 - C_5 + C_1(\|f_0\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l)} + \|f_0\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)}) = 0$.

Finally we pick a normed space

$$X := \{f \in L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l)) : \|f\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} \leq C_5\}.$$

Then X is a complete with norm $\|\cdot\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))}$, and for $f \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi[f]\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} &\leq C_1 \left(\|f_0\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l)} + \|f_0\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)} \right) + C_2C_5^2 = C_5, \\ \|\Phi[f] - \Phi[g]\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} &\leq 2C_2C_5 \|f-g\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} = (1 - \sqrt{C_4}) \|f-g\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that Φ is a contraction map on X . Thus there exists a unique fixed point $f \in X$ to Φ , which is the solution of

$$f := e^{tB} f_0 + \int_0^t e^{(t-s)B} \Gamma(f(s), f(s)) ds.$$

with

$$\|f\|_{L_\alpha^\infty(L_\beta^\infty(H^l))} \leq C_5 = \frac{1}{2C_2}(1 - \sqrt{C_4}) = \frac{2C_1}{1 + \sqrt{C_4}} \left(\|f_0\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(H^l)} + \|f_0\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^p)} \right).$$

For the continuity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t+h) - f(t)\|_{L_\beta^\infty(H^l)} &\leq \|(e^{hB} - I)e^{tB} f_0\|_{L_\beta^\infty(H^l)} \\ &\quad + \int_t^{t+h} \|e^{(t+h-s)B} \Gamma(f(s), f(s))\|_{L_\beta^\infty(H^l)} ds \\ &\quad + \|(e^{hB} - I) \int_0^t e^{(t-s)B} \Gamma(f(s), f(s)) ds\|_{L_\beta^\infty(H^l)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can obtain from the continuity with respect to t of semigroup e^{tB} on $L^\infty_\beta(H^l)$ that $f \in C^0([0, \infty); L^\infty_\beta(H^l))$. Also $f_0 \in L^\infty_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(H^l) \subset D_\infty(B)$, so by the time decay estimate on e^{tB} , together with $\|Bu\|_{L^\infty_{\beta-1}(H^{l-1})} \leq C\|u\|_{L^\infty(H^l)}$, we have

$$\partial_t f = Be^{tB}f_0 + \Gamma(f(s), f(s)) + \int_0^t Be^{(t-s)B}\Gamma(f(s), f(s)) ds,$$

$$\|\partial_t f\|_{L^\infty_{\beta-1}(H^{l-1})} \leq \frac{C}{(1+t)^\alpha} \left(\|f_0\|_{L^\infty_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(H^l)} + \|f_0\|_{L^2_{\alpha\gamma}(L^p)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty_{\alpha\gamma}(L^\infty_{\beta-1-\gamma}(H^{l-1}))}^2 + \|f\|_{L^\infty_{\alpha\gamma}(L^\infty_\beta(H^l))}^2 \right).$$

Thus $\partial_t f \in BC^0([0, \infty); L^\infty_{\beta-1}(H^{l-1}))$. Since $\|f_0\|_{L^\infty_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}(H^l)} + \|f_0\|_{L^2_{\alpha\gamma}(L^p)} < 1$, we get the energy estimate on $\partial_t f$ which has time decay $(1+t)^{-\alpha}$. Hence by theorem 7.2, $f|_{t=0} = f_0$ and

$$\partial_t f + \xi \cdot \nabla_x f = Lf + \Gamma(f, f).$$

□

7 Appendix

7.1 Semigroup Theory

Here we give some useful semigroup theory, one may refer to [5, 7] for more details.

Definition 7.1. Let $(A, D(A))$ be a linear unbounded densely defined operator from Hilbert space H_1 into Hilbert space H_2 with domain $D(A)$. Define

$$D(A^*) = \left\{ y \in H_2 \mid \sup_{0 \neq x \in D(A)} \frac{\langle Ax, y \rangle}{\|x\|} \right\}.$$

Take $y \in D(A^*)$, since $\overline{D(A)} = H_1$, the functional $F_y(x) := \langle Ax, y \rangle$ has a unique bounded extension on H_1 . Hence Riesz representation theorem ensures the existence of a unique $z \in H_1$ such that $F_y(x) = \langle x, z \rangle$. Define $A^*y := z$, then

$$\langle Ax, y \rangle = \langle x, A^*y \rangle.$$

The operator $A^*(H_2 \rightarrow H_1)$ is linear and is called the adjoint of A .

Theorem 7.2. Let $A(X \rightarrow X)$ be the generator of the strongly continuous semigroup $T(\cdot)$. Suppose that $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ is continuously differentiable on $[0, \infty)$. Then for each $x \in D(A)$, there exists a unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = Au(t) + f(t), & 0 < t < \infty, \\ u(0) = x. \end{cases}$$

This solution is continuously differentiable and is given by

$$u(t) = T(t)x + \int_0^t T(t-s)f(s)ds, \quad t > 0.$$

Theorem 7.3. (Hille-Yosida Theorem) For a linear operator $(A, D(A))$ on a Banach space X , the following properties are equivalent.

(a) $(A, D(A))$ generates a strongly continuous contraction semigroup.

(b) $(A, D(A))$ is closed, densely defined, and for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}\lambda > 0$ one has $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ and $\|(\lambda I - A)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}\lambda}$.

Definition 7.4. A linear operator $(A, D(A))$ on a Banach space X is called dissipative if $\|(\lambda I - A)x\| \geq \lambda\|x\|$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in D(A)$.

Proposition 7.5. An operator $(A, D(A))$ is dissipative if and only if for every $x \in D(A)$ there exists $j(x) \in \{x' \in X' : \langle x, x' \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x'\|^2\}$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle Ax, j(x) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (108)$$

Theorem 7.6. Let $(A, D(A))$ be a densely defined linear operator on a Banach space X . If both A and its adjoint A^* are dissipative, then the closure \bar{A} of A generates a contraction semigroup on X .

Theorem 7.7. Let $(A, D(A))$ be the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $(T(t))_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X satisfying $\|T(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$ for all $t \geq 0$ and some $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$, $M \geq 1$. If $B \in L(X)$, then $C := A + B$ with $D(C) := D(A)$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{(\omega + M\|B\|)t}$ and

$$S(t)x = T(t)x + \int_0^t T(t-s)BS(s)x ds,$$

for all $t \geq 0$, $x \in X$.

7.2 Hilbert-Schmidt Operator

Let H_1 be a separable Hilbert space, H_2 be a Hilbert space.

Definition 7.8. $T \in L(H_1, H_2)$ is called a Hilbert-Schmidt operator if there exists an orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of H_1 such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|Te_n\|_{H_2}^2 < \infty$.

Theorem 7.9. If $T \in L(H_1, H_2)$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, then T is compact.

Proof. Let $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of H_1 such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|Te_n\|_{H_2}^2 < \infty$. Then for $x \in H_1$,

$$Tx = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (x, e_n)Te_n,$$

since the right hand side is absolutely convergent. Define $T_n : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ by $T_n(x) := \sum_{k=1}^n (x, e_k)Te_k$. Then T_n has finite rank and hence is compact.

On the other hand, for $x \in H_1$ such that $\|x\|_{H_1} \leq 1$, we have

$$\|Tx - T_nx\|_{H_2}^2 \leq \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty (x, e_k)Te_k \right\|_{H_2}^2 \leq \|x\|_{H_1}^2 \cdot \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty \|Te_k\|_{H_2}^2,$$

$$\|T - T_n\| \leq \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^\infty \|Te_k\|_{H_2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus T is compact since it's the limit of a sequence of compact operators. \square

Theorem 7.10. *Suppose $L^2(X, \mu)$ and $L^2(Y, \lambda)$ are two separable Hilbert space. If $k \in L^2(X \times Y, \mu \otimes \lambda)$, then*

$$Kf := \int_Y k(x, y)f(y) d\lambda(y) : L^2(Y, \lambda) \rightarrow L^2(X, \mu) \quad (109)$$

is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

Proof. Let $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis of $L^2(Y, \lambda)$, then so is $\{\overline{e_n}\}_{n=0}^\infty$. Since $k \in L^2(X \times Y, \mu \otimes \lambda)$, we have $k(x, \cdot) \in L^2(Y, \lambda)$, for almost all $x \in X$. Thus Ke_n is well-defined for almost all $x \in X$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Ke_n(x) &= \int_Y k(x, y)e_n(y) d\lambda(y) \\ \sum_{n=0}^\infty \|Ke_n\|_{L^2(X, \mu)}^2 &= \int_X \sum_{n=0}^\infty |(k(x, \cdot), \overline{e_n})_{L^2(Y)}|^2 d\mu(x) = \|k\|_{L^2(X \times Y)}^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

7.3 Interpolation

Define $\|f\|_{L_\beta^p} = \|(1 + |\xi|)^\beta f\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^d)}$, $p \in [1, \infty]$.

Theorem 7.11. *Let $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $p \in [1, \infty]$. Suppose T is a linear operator defined on $L_{\beta+n\cdot\gamma}^p$ such that*

$$\|Tf\|_{L_\beta^p} \leq A_n \|f\|_{L_{\beta+n\cdot\gamma}^p}, \quad (110)$$

for some constants $A_n > 0$, for all $n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $n, m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, pick $\alpha = n \cdot \theta + m \cdot (1 - \theta)$. Then T is bounded linear operator from $L_{\beta+\alpha\cdot\gamma}^p$ to L_β^p , with

$$\|Tf\|_{L_\beta^p} \leq A_n^\theta A_m^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\cdot\gamma}^p}. \quad (111)$$

To prove this theorem, we will need the Hadamard's three lines theorem.

Theorem 7.12. *Let F be an analytic function in the open strip $S = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1\}$. Suppose F is continuous and bounded on \overline{S} with*

$$|F(z)| \leq \begin{cases} A_0, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} z = 0, \\ A_1, & \text{if } \operatorname{Re} z = 1. \end{cases}$$

for some positive constants A_0, A_1 . Then for any $\theta \in [0, 1]$, if $\operatorname{Re} z = \theta$, we have

$$|F(z)| \leq A_0^{1-\theta} A_1^\theta.$$

Proof of Theorem 7.11. 1. With loss of generality, assume $m > n$. Fix $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and Let

$$\alpha = n \cdot \theta + m \cdot (1 - \theta).$$

Notice T is well-defined on $L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^p$, since $L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^p \subset L_{\beta+m\gamma}^p$.

2. Let $f(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^K a_k e^{i\alpha_k} \chi_{A_k}(\xi)$ be any simple complex function on \mathbf{R}^d , with $a_k > 0$, $\alpha_k \in \mathbf{R}$, $\{A_k\}$ are pairwise disjoint bounded measurable subsets of \mathbf{R}^d . We would like to control

$$\|Tf\|_{L_{\beta}^p} = \sup_{\|g\|_{L^{p'}} \leq 1, g \text{ is simple}} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} Tf(\xi)g(\xi)(1+|\xi|)^{\beta} d\xi \right|.$$

Write $g = \sum_{j=1}^J b_j e^{i\beta_j} \chi_{B_j}(\xi)$, with $b_j > 0$, $\beta_j \in \mathbf{R}$, $\{B_j\}$ are pairwise disjoint bounded measurable subsets of \mathbf{R}^d . Suppose $z \in \{z \in \mathbf{C} : 0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1\}$. Define

$$f_z(\xi) := \sum_{k=1}^K a_k e^{i\alpha_k} \chi_{A_k}(\xi) \cdot (1+|\xi|)^{(\alpha-nz-m(1-z))\gamma}.$$

Then $f_{\theta}(\xi) = f(\xi)$, $f_0(\xi) = f(\xi)(1+|\xi|)^{(\alpha-m)\gamma}$, $f_1(\xi) = f(\xi)(1+|\xi|)^{(\alpha-n)\gamma}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &:= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} T(f_z)g(\xi)(1+|\xi|)^{\beta} d\xi \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^J a_k b_j e^{i\alpha_k} e^{i\beta_j} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} T(\chi_{A_k}(\xi)(1+|\xi|)^{(\alpha-nz-m(1-z))\gamma}) \chi_{B_j}(\xi)(1+|\xi|)^{\beta} d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to check F satisfies the assumptions in three-lines theorem 7.12.

(i). Claim: $F(z)$ is continuous and bounded in $\{0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1\}$.

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^J a_k b_j \|T(\chi_{A_k}(1+|\cdot|)^{(\alpha-nz-m(1-z))\gamma})\|_{L_{\beta}^p} \|\chi_{B_j}(\xi)\|_{L^{p'}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^K \|\chi_{A_k}\|_{L_{\beta+(\alpha-nz+mz)\gamma}^p} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Notice A_k is bounded, there exists a open ball $B(0, R)$ with radius $R > 0$ such that $A_k \subset B(0, R)$, for all $1 \leq k \leq K$. Then for $z_1, z_2 \in \{0 \leq \operatorname{Re} z \leq 1\}$, by Hölder's inequality and the boundedness of T , we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|F(z_1) - F(z_2)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^J a_k b_j \left\| \chi_{A_k}(1+|\xi|)^{\beta+\alpha\gamma} \left| (1+|\xi|)^{(m-n)z_1\gamma} - (1+|\xi|)^{(m-n)z_2\gamma} \right| \right\|_{L^p} \|\chi_{B_j}(\xi)\|_{L^{p'}} \\ &\leq C_{\gamma, m, n, g} \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| \chi_{A_k}(1+|\xi|)^{\beta+\alpha\gamma} \min\{1, (1+|\xi|)^{(m-n)\gamma}\} \ln(1+|\xi|) \right\|_{L^p} |z_1 - z_2| \\ &\leq C_{\gamma, m, n, R} |z_1 - z_2| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $|z_1 - z_2| \rightarrow 0$. This proves the claim.

(ii). Claim: $F(z)$ is analytic in $\{0 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1\}$.

Indeed, similarly, for $z, z_0 \in \{0 < \operatorname{Re} z < 1\}$, by Hölder's inequality and the boundedness of T and noticing that $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^K$ is uniformly bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{F(z) - F(z_0)}{z - z_0} - \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^J a_k b_j e^{i\alpha_k} e^{i\beta_j} \right. \\
& \quad \left. \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} T \left(\chi_{A_k} \partial_z \left[(1 + |\cdot|)^{(\alpha - nz - m(1-z))\gamma} \right] \Big|_{z=z_0} \right) \chi_{B_j}(\xi) (1 + |\xi|)^\beta d\xi \right| \\
& \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, K, J} \sum_{k=1}^K \left\| \frac{(1 + |\xi|)^{(m-n)z\gamma} - (1 + |\xi|)^{(m-n)z_0\gamma}}{z - z_0} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - (m-n)\gamma (1 + |\xi|)^{(m-n)z_0\gamma} \ln(1 + |\xi|) \right\|_{L^p(A_k)} \\
& \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, K, J} \sum_{k=1}^K (m-n) \left\| \left((1 + |\xi|)^{(m-n)(z_0 + t(z-z_0))\gamma} - (1 + |\xi|)^{(m-n)z_0\gamma} \right) \ln(1 + |\xi|) \right\|_{L^p(A_k)}, \\
& \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, K, J} \sum_{k=1}^K (m-n)^2 \left\| (1 + |\xi|)^{(m-n)(z_0 + st(z-z_0))\gamma} (\ln(1 + |\xi|))^2 \right\|_{L^p(A_k)} t |z - z_0|,
\end{aligned}$$

for some $s, t \in (0, 1)$. Notice $z \rightarrow z_0$ implies $t, s \rightarrow 0$ and A_k are uniformly bounded, thus the limit $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{F(z) - F(z_0)}{z - z_0}$ exists and hence $F(z)$ is analytic.

(iii). If $\operatorname{Re} z = 0$, by using Hölder's inequality and the boundedness of T , we have

$$|F(z)| \leq \|Tf_z\|_{L_\beta^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}} \leq A_n \|f_z\|_{L_{\beta+n\gamma}^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}} = A_n \|f\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}}.$$

If $\operatorname{Re} z = 1$, similarly we have

$$|F(z)| \leq \|Tf_z\|_{L_\beta^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}} \leq A_m \|f_z\|_{L_{\beta+m\gamma}^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}} = A_m \|f\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}}.$$

Therefore we can apply the Hadamard's three-lines theorem. When $\operatorname{Re} z = \theta$,

$$|F(z)| \leq A_n^\theta A_m^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^p} \|g\|_{L^{p'}}.$$

Thus for any simple function f in $L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^p$,

$$\|Tf\|_{L_\beta^p} = \sup_{\|g\|_{L^{p'}} \leq 1, g \text{ is simple}} |F(\theta)| \leq A_n^\theta A_m^{1-\theta} \|f\|_{L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^p}.$$

Since simple functions is dense in $L_{\beta+\alpha\gamma}^p$, we proved the theorem. \square

7.4 Preliminary Lemmas and Properties of L

Lemma 7.13. For $A_1, A_2 > 0$, $d \geq 3$. Denote $b = \left(\frac{\xi + \xi_*}{2} \cdot \frac{\xi_* - \xi}{|\xi_* - \xi|} \right) \frac{\xi_* - \xi}{|\xi_* - \xi|}$. Then

(1). For $\alpha \in (-\infty, d)$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha} e^{-A_1 |\xi_* - \xi|^2 - A_2 |b|^2} d\xi_* \leq C_{\alpha, A_1, A_2, d} \frac{1}{1 + |\xi|}. \quad (112)$$

(2). For $\alpha \in [0, d)$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha} e^{-A_1|\xi_*|^2} d\xi_* = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi_*|^\alpha} e^{-A_1|\xi_* - \xi|^2} d\xi_* \leq C_{\alpha, A_1, A_2, d} \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|)^\alpha}. \quad (113)$$

(3). For $\alpha \in [0, d)$, $\beta \in \mathbf{R}$,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha (1 + |\xi_*|)^\beta} e^{-A_1|\xi_* - \xi|^2 - A_2|b|^2} d\xi_* \leq C_{\alpha, A_1, A_2, d} \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|)^{\beta+1}} \quad (114)$$

Proof. 1. If $\alpha < d$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha} \exp(-A_1|\xi_* - \xi|^2 - A_2|b|^2) d\xi_* \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi_*|^\alpha} \exp(-A_1|\xi_*|^2 - \frac{A_2(|\xi_*|^2 + 2\xi_* \cdot \xi)^2}{4|\xi_*|^2}) d\xi_* \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{r^{\alpha-d+1}} \exp(-A_1r^2) \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \exp(-\frac{A_2(r + 2\xi_* \cdot \xi)^2}{4}) d\sigma(\xi_*) dr. \end{aligned}$$

By integration over sphere,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \exp(-\frac{A_2(r + 2\xi_* \cdot \xi)^2}{4}) d\sigma(\xi_*) &= \frac{2\pi^{\frac{d-1}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d-1}{2})} \int_{-1}^1 \exp(-\frac{A_2(r + 2s|\xi|)^2}{4}) (1 - s^2)^{\frac{d-3}{2}} ds \\ &\leq C_d \int_{-1}^1 \exp(-\frac{A_2(r + 2s|\xi|)^2}{4}) ds. \end{aligned}$$

If $|\xi| \geq 1$, we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 \exp(-\frac{A_2(r + 2s|\xi|)^2}{4}) ds = \frac{1}{2|\xi|} \int_{r-2|\xi|}^{r+2|\xi|} \exp(-\frac{A_2s^2}{4}) ds \leq C_{d, A_2} \frac{1}{1 + |\xi|},$$

and if $|\xi| \leq 1$, we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 \exp(-\frac{A_2(r - 2s|\xi|)^2}{4}) ds \leq 2 \leq \frac{C}{1 + |\xi|}.$$

These estimate are independent of r and we obtain (112).

2. Fix $0 \leq \alpha < d$. If $|\xi| \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha} \exp(-A_1|\xi_*|^2) d\xi_* \\ &= \int_{|\xi_* - \xi| > 2} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha} \exp(-A_1|\xi_*|^2) d\xi_* + \int_{|\xi_* - \xi| \leq 2} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha} \exp(-A_1|\xi_*|^2) d\xi_* \\ &\leq \int_{|\xi_*| > 1} \frac{1}{2^\alpha} \exp(-A_1|\xi_*|^2) d\xi_* + \int_{|\xi_* - \xi| \leq 2} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha} d\xi_* \\ &\leq C_{A_1, d, \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

If $|\xi| \geq 1$, notice $|\xi_* - \xi| \leq \frac{|\xi|}{2}$ implies $|\xi_*| \geq |\xi|/2 \geq |\xi_* - \xi|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha} \exp(-A_1|\xi_*|^2) d\xi_* \\
& \leq \int_{|\xi_* - \xi| > \frac{|\xi|}{2}} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha} \exp(-A_1|\xi_*|^2) d\xi_* + \int_{|\xi_* - \xi| \leq \frac{|\xi|}{2}} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha} \exp(-A_1|\xi_*|^2) d\xi_* \\
& \leq \int_{|\xi_* - \xi| > \frac{|\xi|}{2}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{|\xi|}{2}\right)^\alpha} \exp(-A_1|\xi_*|^2) d\xi_* \\
& \quad + \int_{|\xi_* - \xi| \leq \frac{|\xi|}{2}} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{A_1|\xi_* - \xi|^2}{2}\right) d\xi_* \exp\left(-\frac{A_1|\xi|^2}{8}\right) \\
& \leq C_{A_1, \alpha, d} \left(\frac{1}{|\xi|^\alpha} + \exp\left(-\frac{A_1|\xi|^2}{8}\right) \right) \\
& \leq \frac{C_{A_1, \alpha, d}}{(1 + |\xi|)^\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (113).

3. Notice that $|\xi_*| \leq |\xi|/2$ implies $|\xi_* - \xi| \geq |\xi|/2$. Thus by (112),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha (1 + |\xi_*|)^\beta} \exp(-A_1|\xi_* - \xi|^2 - A_2|b|^2) d\xi_* \\
& \leq \left(\int_{|\xi_*| > \frac{|\xi|}{2}} + \int_{|\xi_*| \leq \frac{|\xi|}{2}} \right) \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha (1 + |\xi_*|)^\beta} \exp(-A_1|\xi_* - \xi|^2 - A_2|b|^2) d\xi_* \\
& \leq \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi|/2)^\beta} \int_{|\xi_*| > \frac{|\xi|}{2}} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha} \exp(-A_1|\xi_* - \xi|^2 - A_2|b|^2) d\xi_* \\
& \quad + \int_{|\xi_*| \leq \frac{|\xi|}{2}} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^\alpha} \exp\left(-\frac{A_1|\xi_* - \xi|^2}{2} - A_2|b|^2\right) d\xi_* \exp\left(-\frac{A_1|\xi|^2}{8}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{C_{\beta, \alpha, A_1, A_2}}{(1 + |\xi|)^\beta} \left(\frac{1}{1 + |\xi|} + \exp\left(-\frac{A_1|\xi|^2}{8}\right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This gives (114). □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. 1. Firstly using $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}_* = \mathbf{M}'\mathbf{M}'_*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Lf &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}_*^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(f'_* \left(\mathbf{M}_*^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)' + f' \left(\mathbf{M}_*^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)' \right) q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\omega d\xi_* \\
& \quad - \mathbf{M}_*^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}_*^{\frac{1}{2}} q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) dnd\xi_* - f \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}_* q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) dnd\xi_*.
\end{aligned}$$

It suffices to deal with the first term, which denoted by I . Consider the unit vector $m \in \text{Span}\{\xi - \xi_*, \omega\}$ such that $m \perp \omega$. Then performing a changing variable from ω to m , (where one need to use polar coordinate on \mathbf{S}^{d-1} to do the change of variable,) we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}_*^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbf{M}_*^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)' f'_* q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\omega d\xi_* = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}_*^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbf{M}_*^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)'_* f'_* q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\omega d\xi_*.$$

Thus by Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= 2 \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \mathbf{M}_*^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)'_* f' q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\xi_* d\omega \\
&= 2 \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\xi_* + \xi) \mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\xi_* + \xi - (\xi_* \cdot \omega)\omega) f(\xi + (\xi_* \cdot \omega)\omega) q(\xi_*, \theta) d\xi_* d\omega \\
&= 4 \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \int_{\mathbf{P}_\omega} \mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x + r\omega + \xi) \mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x + \xi) f(\xi + r\omega) q(x + r\omega, \theta) dx d\sigma(\xi_*) d\omega,
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{P}_ω is the hyperplane in \mathbf{R}^d that is orthogonal to ω and contains the origin. Here we remark that one actually needs the boundedness on K to make sure that Fubini's theorem can be applied. Then by change of variable $\xi_* \mapsto \xi_* - \xi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I &= 4 \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi}} \mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x + \xi_* + \xi) \mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x + \xi) f(\xi + \xi_*) \frac{q(x + \xi_*, \theta)}{|\xi_*|^{d-1}} dx d\xi_* \\
&= 4 \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi}} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{|x + a|^2}{2} - \frac{|b|^2}{2} - \frac{|\xi_* - \xi|^2}{8}\right) \frac{f(\xi_*) q(x + \xi_* - \xi, \theta)}{|\xi_* - \xi|^{d-1}} dx d\xi_* \\
&= 4 \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi}} \frac{e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}}} q(x - a + \xi_* - \xi, \theta) dx \exp\left(-\frac{|b|^2}{2} - \frac{|\xi_* - \xi|^2}{8}\right) \frac{f(\xi_*)}{|\xi_* - \xi|^{d-1}} d\xi_*,
\end{aligned}$$

where θ is angle between $x - a + \xi_* - \xi$ and $\xi_* - \xi$. This gives the expression of k_1 .

2. ν is defined by $\nu(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}_*^{\frac{1}{2}} q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\omega d\xi_*$. Recall the cut-off assumption (5), then we have

$$\nu(\xi) = q_0 (2\pi)^{d/4} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \exp\left(-\frac{|\xi_*|^2}{4}\right) |\xi - \xi_*|^{-\gamma} d\xi_*.$$

On one hand, by (113) in lemma 7.13, we have

$$\nu(\xi) = q_0 (2\pi)^{d/4} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \exp\left(-\frac{|\xi_*|^2}{4}\right) |\xi - \xi_*|^{-\gamma} d\xi_* \leq C_{d,\gamma,q_0} (1 + |\xi|)^{-\gamma}.$$

On the other hand, noticing $|\xi_* - \xi| \leq 1 + |\xi_*| + |\xi| \leq (1 + |\xi_*|)(1 + |\xi|)$, we have

$$\nu(\xi) \geq q_0 (2\pi)^{d/4} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \exp\left(-\frac{|\xi_*|^2}{4}\right) (1 + |\xi_*|)^{-\gamma} d\xi_* (1 + |\xi|)^{-\gamma} \geq C_{d,\gamma,q_0} (1 + |\xi|)^{-\gamma}.$$

This proves statement (i).

3. For the part k_1 , we firstly estimate $J := \int_{\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} q(x - a + \xi_* - \xi, \theta) dx$. Notice $a \in \mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi}$ and integral is taken in $\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J &\leq \int_{\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} |x - a + \xi_* - \xi|^{-\gamma} |\cos \theta| dx \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} |x - a + \xi_* - \xi|^{-\gamma} \frac{|(x - a + \xi_* - \xi) \cdot (\xi_* - \xi)|}{|x - a + \xi_* - \xi| |\xi_* - \xi|} dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} \frac{|\xi_* - \xi|}{(|x - a|^2 + |\xi_* - \xi|^2)^{(\gamma+1)/2}} dx.$$

If $|\xi_* - \xi| \leq 1$, by (113) in lemma 7.13,

$$\frac{J}{|\xi_* - \xi|} \leq \int_{\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} \frac{1}{|x - a|^{\gamma+1}} dx \leq C_{d,\gamma} \frac{1}{(1 + |a|)^{\gamma+1}}.$$

If $|\xi_* - \xi| > 1$, noticing $|x - a| \leq \frac{|a|}{2}$ implies $|x| > \frac{|a|}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{J}{|\xi_* - \xi|} &\leq \left(\int_{\substack{\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi} \\ |x-a| \leq \frac{|a|}{2}}} + \int_{\substack{\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi} \\ |x-a| > \frac{|a|}{2}}} \right) e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} \frac{1}{(|x - a|^2 + |\xi_* - \xi|^2)^{(\gamma+1)/2}} dx \\ &\leq \int_{\substack{\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi} \\ |x-a| \leq \frac{|a|}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4} - \frac{|a|^2}{16}} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^{\gamma+1}} dx + \int_{\substack{\mathbf{P}_{\xi_* - \xi} \\ |x-a| > \frac{|a|}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{2}} \frac{1}{(|a|^2/4 + |\xi_* - \xi|^2)^{(\gamma+1)/2}} dx \\ &\leq C_{d,\gamma} \left(e^{-\frac{|a|^2}{16}} \frac{1}{|\xi_* - \xi|^{\gamma+1}} + \frac{1}{(|a| + |\xi_* - \xi|)^{\gamma+1}} \right) \\ &\leq C_{d,\gamma} \frac{1}{(|a| + |\xi_* - \xi|)^{\gamma+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

by using the fact that

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbf{R}^d, |\xi_* - \xi| \geq 1} e^{-\frac{|a|^2}{16}} \frac{(|a| + |\xi_* - \xi|)^{\gamma+1}}{|\xi_* - \xi|^{\gamma+1}} \leq \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{|a|^2}{16}} (2|a|)^{\gamma+1}, & \text{if } |a| > |\xi_* - \xi|, \\ 2^{\gamma+1}, & \text{if } |a| \leq |\xi_* - \xi|. \end{cases}$$

Thus for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |k_1(\xi, \xi_*)| &\leq \frac{C_{d,\gamma}}{|\xi_* - \xi|^{d-2}} \frac{1}{(1 + |a| + |\xi_* - \xi|)^{\gamma+1}} \exp\left(-\frac{|b|^2}{2} - \frac{|\xi_* - \xi|^2}{8}\right), \\ &\leq \frac{C_{d,\gamma}}{|\xi_* - \xi|^{d-2}} \frac{1}{(1 + |a| + |b| + |\xi_* - \xi|)^{\gamma+1}} \exp\left(-(1 - \varepsilon)\left(\frac{|b|^2}{2} - \frac{|\xi_* - \xi|^2}{8}\right)\right), \\ &\leq \frac{C_{d,\gamma,\varepsilon}}{|\xi_* - \xi|^{d-2}} \frac{1}{(1 + |\xi| + |\xi_*|)^{\gamma+1}} \exp\left(-(1 - \varepsilon)\left(\frac{|b|^2}{2} - \frac{|\xi_* - \xi|^2}{8}\right)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = \frac{|\xi_* + \xi|^2}{4}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.5. 1. Notice ν is a bounded positive function and K is linear continuous integral operator with symmetric kernel $k(\xi, \xi_*)$, so $L = \nu + K$ is self-adjoint.

2. For the non-positiveness, we can use a well-known fact that

$$(Q(f, g), \psi)_{L^2} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} (f'_* g' + f' g'_* - f_* g - f g_*) q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) (\psi + \psi_* - \psi' - \psi'_*) d\omega d\xi_* d\xi,$$

which is valid whenever the integral is absolutely convergent. Then for $f \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^d)$, since K is bounded on L^2 and $\nu \in L^\infty$, we can apply this identity to get

$$(Lf, f)_{L^2} = -\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{d-1}} \left| f'_* \left(\mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)' + f' \left(\mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)'_* - f_* \mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} - f \mathbf{M}_*^{\frac{1}{2}} \right|^2 q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\omega d\xi_* d\xi \leq 0. \quad (115)$$

3. Let $f \in L^2$ such that $Lf = 0$. Then $(Lf, f)_{L^2} = 0$. Using (115) and the fact $\mathbf{M}\mathbf{M}_* = \mathbf{M}'\mathbf{M}'_*$, we have for a.e. $\xi, \xi_* \in \mathbf{R}^d$, $\omega \in \mathbf{S}^{d-1}$ that

$$f'_* \left(\mathbf{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)'_* + f' \left(\mathbf{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)' = f_* \mathbf{M}_*^{-\frac{1}{2}} + f \mathbf{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thus by the theory of collision invariant, $f \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \xi_1 \mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \dots, \xi_d \mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}, |\xi|^2 \mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}}\}$. \square

Proof of lemma 6.6. 1. Firstly we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + |\xi| &\leq (1 + |\xi|)(1 + |\xi_*|), \\ 1 + |\xi| &\leq 1 + (|\xi'|^2 + |\xi_*'|^2)^{1/2} \leq 1 + |\xi'| + |\xi_*'| \leq (1 + |\xi'|)(1 + |\xi_*'|). \end{aligned}$$

Then for $f, g \in L^\infty_\beta(\mathbf{R}^d)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma(f, g)| &= \mathbf{M}^{-1/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{S^{d-1}} \left| \mathbf{M}^{1/2} f' \left(\mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)' g'_* + \left(\mathbf{M}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)' f'_* \mathbf{M}^{1/2} g' \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \mathbf{M}^{1/2} f \mathbf{M}_*^{1/2} g_* - \mathbf{M}_*^{1/2} f_* \mathbf{M}^{1/2} g \right| q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\omega d\xi_* \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}_*^{1/2} (|f' g'_*| + |f'_* g'| + |f g_*| + |f_* g|) q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\omega d\xi_* \\ &=: \Gamma_1(f, g) + \Gamma_2(f, g) + \Gamma_3(f, g) + \Gamma_4(f, g). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Gamma(f, g)\|_{L^\infty_{\beta+\gamma}(\mathbf{R}^d)} \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d} \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}_*^{1/2} (|f' g'_*| + |f'_* g'| + |f g_*| + |f_* g|) (1 + |\xi|)^{\beta+\gamma} q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\omega d\xi_* \\ &\leq \sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d} (1 + |\xi|)^\gamma \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}_*^{1/2} \left(|(1 + |\xi'|)^\beta f'(1 + |\xi_*'|)^\beta g'_*| + |(1 + |\xi_*'|)^\beta f'_*(1 + |\xi'|)^\beta g'| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |(1 + |\xi|)^\beta f(1 + |\xi_*|)^\beta g_*| + |(1 + |\xi_*|)^\beta f_*(1 + |\xi|)^\beta g| \right) q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\omega d\xi_* \\ &\leq 4\|f\|_{L^\infty_\beta} \|g\|_{L^\infty_\beta} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^d} (1 + |\xi|)^\gamma \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}_*^{1/2} q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\omega d\xi_* \\ &\leq 4\nu_1 \|f\|_{L^\infty_\beta} \|g\|_{L^\infty_\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $l > \frac{d}{2}$ implies that the Sobolev space H^l is a Banach algebra. Thus for $f, g \in L^\infty_\beta(H^l)$, $\|\Gamma_j(f, g)\|_{H^l} \leq \Gamma_j(\|f\|_{H^l}, \|g\|_{H^l})$. This proves assertion (1).

2. For $\beta_0 > \frac{d}{2}$, we have

$$\|f\|_{L^2_{\alpha\gamma}} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty_{\beta_0+\alpha\gamma}} \|(1 + |\xi|)^{-2\beta_0}\|_{L^2} \leq C_{\beta_0} \|f\|_{L^\infty_{\beta_0+\alpha\gamma}}.$$

For $f, g \in L^2_{\alpha\gamma}(L^1)$, $\beta_0 > \frac{d}{2}$,

$$\|\Gamma(f, g)\|_{L^2_{\alpha\gamma}(L^1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \left\| \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}_*^{1/2} (|f'g'_*| + |f'_*g'| + |fg_*| + |f_*g|) q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\omega d\xi_* \right\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^1)} \\
&\leq C_{\beta_0} \left\| \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} \int_{S^{d-1}} \mathbf{M}_*^{1/2} (\|f'g'_*\|_{L_x^1} + \|f'_*g'\|_{L_x^1} + \|fg_*\|_{L_x^1} + \|f_*g\|_{L_x^1}) q(\xi - \xi_*, \theta) d\omega d\xi_* \right\|_{L_{\beta_0+\alpha\gamma}^\infty} \\
&\leq C_{\beta_0} \|\Gamma(\|f\|_{L_x^2}, \|g\|_{L_x^2})\|_{L_{\beta_0+\alpha\gamma}^\infty} \\
&\leq C_{\beta_0, \nu_1} \|f\|_{L_{\beta_0-\gamma+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(L_x^2)} \|g\|_{L_{\beta_0-\gamma+\alpha\gamma}^\infty(L_x^2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\beta > \frac{d}{2} - \gamma + \alpha\gamma$, then we can find $\beta_0 \in (\frac{d}{2}, \beta + \gamma - \alpha\gamma)$ to make the above inequality valid. Then

$$\|\Gamma(f, g)\|_{L_{\alpha\gamma}^2(L^1)} \leq C_{\beta_0, \nu_1} \|f\|_{L_{\beta_0}^\infty(L_x^2)} \|g\|_{L_{\beta_0}^\infty(L_x^2)} \leq C_{\beta_0, \nu_1} \|f\|_{L_{\beta_0}^\infty(H^1)} \|g\|_{L_{\beta_0}^\infty(H^1)}.$$

□

Acknowledgment The author would like to thank Professor Yang Tong and the colleagues and reviewers for their valuable comments to this paper.

References

- [1] Russel E. Caflisch. The Boltzmann equation with a soft potential I. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 74(1):71–95, feb 1980.
- [2] Russel E. Caflisch. The Boltzmann equation with a soft potential II. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 74(2):97–109, jun 1980.
- [3] Carlo Cercignani, Reinhard Illner, and Mario Pulvirenti. *The Mathematical Theory of Dilute Gases*, volume 106 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer Science+Business Media New York, 1994.
- [4] Richard S. Ellis and Mark A. Pinsky. The First and Second Fluid Approximations to the Linearized Boltzmann Equation. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 54:125–156, 1975.
- [5] Klaus-Jochen Engel, Rainer Nagel, Rainer Nagel, M. Campiti, and T. Hahn. *One-Parameter Semigroups for Linear Evolution Equations*. Springer New York, 1999.
- [6] Lawrence C. Evans. *Partial Differential Equations: Second Edition*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [7] Israel Gohberg, Seymour Goldberg, and Marinus Kaashoek. *Classes of Linear Operators Vol. I (Operator Theory: Advances and Applications) (v. 1)*. Birkhuser, 1990.
- [8] Yan Guo. Classical Solutions to the Boltzmann Equation for Molecules with an Angular Cutoff. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 169(4):305–353, sep 2003.
- [9] Tai-Ping Liu and Shih-Hsien Y. Solving Boltzmann equation I, Green function. *Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica(New Series)*, pages 115–243, 2011.
- [10] R. T. Seeley. Extension of C^∞ functions defined in a half space. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, pages 625–625, 1964.

- [11] Robert M. Strain and Yan Guo. Exponential Decay for Soft Potentials near Maxwellian. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 187(2):287–339, dec 2007.
- [12] Seiji Ukai and Kiyoshi Asano. On the Cauchy problem of the Boltzmann equation with a soft potential. *Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences*, 18(2):477–519, 1982.
- [13] Seiji Ukai and Tong Yang. Mathematical Theory of Boltzmann Equation. Lecture Notes.
- [14] Tong Yang and Hongjun Yu. Spectrum Analysis of Some Kinetic Equations. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 222(2):731–768, may 2016.