

# Affine Lie algebras and tensor categories

Yi-Zhi Huang

## Abstract

We review briefly the existing vertex-operator-algebraic constructions of various tensor category structures on module categories for affine Lie algebras. We discuss the results that were first conjectured in the work of Moore and Seiberg and led us to the construction of the modular tensor category structure in the positive integral level case. Then we review the existing constructions and results in the following three cases: (i) the level plus the dual Coxeter number is not a nonnegative rational number, (ii) the level is a positive integer and (iii) the level is an admissible number. We also present several open problems.

## 1 Introduction

In 1988, Moore and Seiberg [MS1] [MS2] discovered that rational conformal field theories have properties analogous to the properties of tensor categories satisfying additional conditions. The results of Moore and Seiberg were obtained based on the operator product expansion and modular invariance of chiral vertex operators (intertwining operators). Mathematically, these two fundamental properties had been deep and important conjectures for many years. But it was the Verlinde formula and modular tensor category structure in the case of affine Lie algebras at positive integral levels (the two most well-known consequences of these two conjectures mentioned above) that first attracted the attentions of mathematicians.

To use the tensor category structures to study the representation theory of affine Lie algebras and to solve related problems in algebra, topology, mathematical physics and other related areas, the first mathematical problem is to give constructions of these tensor categories. Kazhdan and Lusztig [KL1]–[KL5] first constructed a rigid braided tensor category structure on a category  $\mathcal{O}_\ell$  of modules for the affine Lie algebra  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  of a finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  in the case that the level  $\ell$  plus the dual Coxeter number is not a nonnegative rational number. Then several works, including those of Beilinson, Mazur and Feigin [BFM] and Lepowsky and the author [HL7], constructed a braided tensor category structure using different approaches on a category  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\ell$  of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules in the case that the level  $\ell$  is a positive integer. The modular tensor category structure on  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\ell$  in the case that  $\ell$  is a positive integer was constructed by the author [H5] as a special case of a general construction of the modular tensor category structures conjectured by Moore and Seiberg [MS2] for vertex operator algebras corresponding to rational conformal field theories. After a gap was filled

later in [Fi3] using the Verlinde formula proved by Faltings [Fa], Teleman [Te] and the author [H4], Finkelberg's work [Fi1]–[Fi3] can also be reinterpreted as giving a construction of the modular tensor category structure on  $\tilde{O}_\ell$  except for a few cases, including the important case of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{e}_8$  and  $\ell = 2$ . In the case that the level  $\ell$  is admissible, Creutzig, Yang and the author [CHY] constructed a braided tensor category structure on a semisimple category  $\tilde{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules. In the special case of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ , the rigidity of  $\tilde{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  was proved and the problem of determining when  $\tilde{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  is a modular tensor category was solved completely in [CHY]. Recently, Creutzig proved in [C] that when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple-laced and the level  $\ell$  is admissible, the braided tensor category structure on  $\tilde{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  is rigid and thus  $\tilde{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  is a ribbon tensor category.

These constructions are all implicitly or explicitly vertex-operator-algebraic. In the case that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$  and the level is a positive integer, Kawahigashi, Longo and Müger [KLM] gave a construction of the modular tensor category structure on  $\tilde{O}_\ell$  using the approach of conformal nets.

In all the cases discussed above, not only have the braided tensor category structures been constructed, but also the underlying vertex tensor category structures or modular vertex tensor category structures (see [HL1]–[HL5], [H1], [HL7], [HLZ1]–[HLZ9], [H5], [Z], [H6] and [CHY]). We would like to emphasize that from a vertex tensor category, we obtain naturally a braided tensor category (see [HL2] and [HL6]) but in general, a braided tensor category alone is not enough to construct a vertex tensor category. This fact corresponds to the fact that from a two-dimensional conformal field theory, we obtain naturally a three-dimensional topological field theory but in general, a three-dimensional topological field theory alone is not enough to construct a two-dimensional conformal field theory. Vertex tensor categories (see [HL2]), rigid vertex tensor categories (see [H5]) or modular vertex tensor categories (see [H5]) contain much more information than braided tensor categories, ribbon tensor categories or modular tensor categories, respectively.

In this paper, we review briefly the existing vertex-operator-algebraic constructions of tensor category structures on module categories for affine Lie algebras. We also present several open problems in this paper. For a review on the approach of conformal nets, including in particular the work [KLM], we refer the reader to [K].

We recall some basic definitions and constructions in the representation theory of affine Lie algebras in the next section. In Section 3, we review briefly the important work [MS1] [MS2] of Moore and Seiberg. The review of the existing vertex-operator-algebraic constructions of various tensor category structures on module categories for affine Lie algebras are given in Section 4. In Subsection 4.1, we recall some basic terms in the theory of tensor categories. In Subsections 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, we review the existing constructions in the case that the level plus the dual Coxeter number is not a nonnegative rational number, in the case that the level is a positive integer and in the case that the level is an admissible number, respectively. The open problems in these cases are also given in these subsections. We state the open problem for the remaining case in Subsection 4.5.

**Acknowledgments** This paper is an expanded and revised version of the slides of the author's talk at the "10th Seminar on Conformal Field Theory: A conference on Vertex Algebras and Related Topics" held at the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto, April 23–27, 2018. The author is grateful to the organizers Tomoyuki Arakawa, Peter Fiebig, Nils Scheithauer, Katrin Wendland and Hiroshi Yamauchi for their invitation and to Nils Scheithauer for the financial support. The author would also like to thank Thomas Creutzig for comments.

## 2 Affine Lie algebras and modules

We recall the basic definitions and constructions in the representation theory of affine Lie algebras.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra of rank  $r$  and  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  the invariant symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The affine Lie algebra  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the vector space  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}$  equipped with the bracket operation

$$\begin{aligned} [a \otimes t^m, b \otimes t^n] &= [a, b] \otimes t^{m+n} + (a, b)m\delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{k}, \\ [a \otimes t^m, \mathbf{k}] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\pm} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{\pm 1}\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ . Then

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_+.$$

If  $\mathbf{k}$  acts as a complex number  $\ell$  on a  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module, then  $\ell$  is called the level of this module.

Let  $h$  and  $h^\vee$  be the Coxeter number and dual Coxeter number, respectively, of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $M$  be a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module and let  $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ . Assume that  $M$  can be decomposed as a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces of the Casimir operator. This decomposition gives a  $\mathbb{C}$ -grading to  $M$ . In the case that  $\ell + h^\vee \neq 0$ , by using this decomposition and defining the (conformal) weight of the generalized eigenspace of the Casimir operator with eigenvalue  $n$  to be  $\frac{n}{2(\ell + h^\vee)}$ , we obtain a  $\mathbb{C}$ -grading of  $M$  by (conformal) weights. Let  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_+$  act on  $M$  trivially and let  $\mathbf{k}$  act as the scalar multiplication by  $\ell$ . Then  $M$  becomes a  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_+$ -module and we have a  $\mathbb{C}$ -graded induced  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module

$$\widehat{M}_\ell = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k} \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_+)} M,$$

where the  $\mathbb{C}$ -grading is given by the  $\mathbb{C}$ -grading on  $M$  and the grading on  $U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$  induced from the grading on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ , let  $L(\lambda)$  be the irreducible highest weight  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module with the highest weight  $\lambda$ . We use  $M(\ell, \lambda)$  to denote the  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module  $\widehat{L(\lambda)}_\ell$ . Let  $J(\ell, \lambda)$  be the maximal proper submodule of  $M(\ell, \lambda)$  and  $L(\ell, \lambda) = M(\ell, \lambda)/J(\ell, \lambda)$ . Then  $L(\ell, \lambda)$  is the unique irreducible graded  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module such that  $\mathbf{k}$  acts as  $\ell$  and the space of all elements annihilated by  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_+$  is isomorphic to the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $L(\lambda)$ .

Frenkel and Zhu [FZ] gave both  $M(\ell, 0)$  and  $L(\ell, 0)$  natural structures of vertex operator algebras. Moreover, they gave in [FZ] both  $M(\ell, \lambda)$  and  $L(\ell, \lambda)$  structures of  $M(\ell, 0)$ -modules and  $L(\ell, \lambda)$  a structure of an  $L(\ell, 0)$ -module for dominant integral  $\lambda$ .

For  $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\ell + h^\vee \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ , let  $\mathcal{O}_\ell$  be the category of all the  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of level  $\ell$  having a finite composition series all of whose irreducible subquotients are of the form  $L(\ell, \lambda)$  for dominant integral  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ .

For  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , let  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\ell$  be the category of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of level  $\ell$  that are isomorphic to direct sums of irreducible  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of the form  $L(\ell, \lambda)$  for dominant integral  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  such that  $(\lambda, \theta) \leq \ell$ , where  $\theta$  is the highest root of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Admissible modules for affine Lie algebras were studied first by Kac and Wakimoto [KW1] [KW2]. The level of these modules are called admissible numbers. Let  $\ell$  be an admissible number, that is,  $\ell + h^\vee = \frac{p}{q}$  for  $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ ,  $(p, q) = 1$ ,  $p \geq h^\vee$  if  $(r^\vee, q) = 1$  and  $p \geq h$  if  $(r^\vee, q) = r^\vee$ , where  $r^\vee$  is the "lacity" or lacing number of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , that is, the maximum number of edges in the Dynkin diagram of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  be the category of  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules of level  $\ell$  that are isomorphic to direct sums of irreducible modules for the vertex operator algebra  $L(\ell, 0)$ .

### 3 Operator product expansion, modular invariance and Moore-Seiberg equations

The important work [MS1] [MS2] of Moore-Seiberg on two-dimensional conformal field theory in 1988 led to a conjecture: The category  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\ell$  for  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  has a natural structure of a modular tensor category in the sense of Turaev [Tu1] [Tu2]. This conjecture was proved by the author in [H5] in 2005 as a special case of a general construction of modular tensor categories for vertex operator algebras satisfying natural existence-of-nondegenerate-invariant-bilinear form, positive-energy, finiteness and reductivity conditions.

Since there are still a lot of misunderstandings about this work of Moore and Seiberg, here we clarify what were the main assumptions in [MS1] and [MS2] and what were in fact proved in [MS1] and [MS2]. In [MS2], Moore and Seiberg formulated two major conjectures on chiral rational conformal field theories: The operator product expansion of chiral vertex operators (see the end of Page 190 in [MS2]) and the modular invariance of chiral vertex operators (see the beginning of the second paragraph on Page 200 in [MS2]). In [MS2], no proofs or explanations or discussions were given on why the operator product expansion and modular invariance of the chiral vertex operators formulated in [MS2] are true. These were the most fundamental hypotheses, not results, of this work of Moore and Seiberg. Mathematically, chiral vertex operators are called intertwining operators in [FHL]. Conformal field theory can be viewed as the study of intertwining operators. The two major hypotheses above are clearly major mathematical conjectures which turned out to be more difficult to prove than the consequences derived from them in the work [MS1] and [MS2].

From these two conjectures, Moore and Seiberg [MS1] [MS2] derived a set of polynomial equations. The Verlinde conjecture and the Verlinde formula [V] were shown in [MS1] to be consequences of this set of equations but, since these two conjectures above were not proved

in [MS1] [MS2], they remained to be conjectures until they were proved by the author in [H4] in 2004. Moreover, Moore and Seiberg noticed in [MS2] that their set of polynomial equations has properties analogous to those of tensor categories and there are also additional properties that are not satisfied by the usual examples of tensor categories. Later, Turaev [Tu1] [Tu2] formulated the precise notion of modular tensor category. From the set of Moore-Seiberg polynomial equations, it is not difficult to obtain an abstract modular tensor category in the sense of Turaev. But one cannot construct a modular tensor category structure on the category of modules from this set of Moore-Seiberg equations or this abstract modular tensor category alone. Since this set of Moore-Seiberg polynomial equations are consequences of the two conjectures on the operator product expansion and modular invariance of chiral vertex operators (intertwining operators) mentioned above, we see that these two conjectures led to a conjecture that the category of modules for a vertex operator algebra for a rational conformal field theory has a natural structure of modular tensor category. The vertex operator algebra associated to the category  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\ell$  for a finite-dimensional complex simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  was conjectured to be such a vertex operator algebra.

These two conjectures on the operator product expansion and modular invariance of intertwining operators were proved by the author in 2002 [H2] and 2003 [H3], respectively, for vertex operator algebras satisfying suitable positive-energy, finiteness and reductivity conditions.

## 4 Tensor category structures on suitable categories of modules for affine Lie algebras

In this section, we review the constructions of tensor category structures on suitable categories of modules for affine Lie algebras.

### 4.1 Tensor categories

Before we discuss the results on the constructions of tensor category structures on suitable categories of modules for affine Lie algebras, we recall briefly here some basic terms we use in the theory of tensor categories to avoid confusions. See [Tu2] and [BK] for details. For definitions and terms in the theory of vertex tensor categories, we refer the reader to [HL2] and [H5].

A tensor category is an abelian category with a tensor product bifunctor, a unit object, an associativity isomorphism, a left unit isomorphism and a right unit isomorphism such that the pentagon and triangle diagram are commutative.

A braided tensor category is a tensor category with a braiding isomorphism such that two hexagon diagrams are commutative.

A braided tensor category is rigid if every object has a two-sided dual object.

A ribbon tensor category is a rigid braided tensor category with a twist satisfying the balancing axioms.

A modular tensor category is a semisimple ribbon tensor category with finitely many simple (irreducible) objects such that the matrix of the Hopf link invariants is invertible.

## 4.2 The case of $\ell + h^\vee \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$

In this case, we have the following major result of Kazhdan and Lusztig [KL1]–[KL5]:

**Theorem 4.1 (Kazhdan-Lusztig)** *Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\ell + h^\vee \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_\ell$  has a natural rigid braided tensor category structure. Moreover, this rigid braided tensor category is equivalent to the rigid braided tensor category of finite-dimensional integrable modules for a quantum group constructed from  $\mathfrak{g}$  at  $q = e^{\frac{i\pi}{\ell+h^\vee}}$ .*

This result was announced in 1991 [KL1] and the detailed constructions and proofs were published in 1993 [KL2] [KL3] and 1994 [KL4] [KL5]. The construction of the rigid braided tensor category structure, especially the rigidity, in this work depends heavily on the results on the quantum group side. In particular, this construction cannot be adapted directly to give constructions for the module categories at other levels.

In 2008, using the logarithmic generalization [HLZ1]–[HLZ9] by Lepowsky, Zhang and the author of the semisimple tensor category theory of Lepowsky and the author [HL1]–[HL6] and of the author [H1] [H2], Zhang [Z] gave a vertex-operator-algebraic construction of the braided tensor category structure in this case, with a mistake corrected by the author in 2017 [H6]. The braided tensor category obtained in this construction can be shown easily to be indeed equivalent to the one constructed in [KL1]–[KL5] (for example, the tensor product bifunctors are in fact the same in these two constructions). In this vertex-operator-algebraic construction, the main work is the proof of the associativity of logarithmic intertwining operators (logarithmic operator product expansion) and the construction of the associativity isomorphism. By using the work [HLZ1]–[HLZ9], this work in fact constructed a vertex tensor category structure and the braided tensor category structure was obtained naturally from this vertex tensor category structure. But in [Z], only the braided tensor category structure was obtained and the rigidity is not proved. Thus we still have the following interesting problem:

**Problem 4.2** *Give a proof of the rigidity in this case in the framework of the logarithmic tensor category theory of Lepowsky, Zhang and the author [HLZ1]–[HLZ9], without using the results for modules for the corresponding quantum group.*

## 4.3 The case of $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

This  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  case is what the original work and conjectures of Moore and Seiberg [MS1] [MS2] were about. The construction of modular tensor category structures in this case has a quite interesting and complicated story.

Recall from Section 3 that the work of Moore and Seiberg [MS1] [MS2] led to a conjecture that the category  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\ell$  has a natural structure of modular tensor category in the sense of Turaev [Tu1] [Tu2].

In 1997, Lepowsky and the author [HL7] gave a construction of the braided tensor category structure on the category  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\ell$  when  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , using the semisimple tensor product bifunctor constructed by Lepowsky and the author [HL1]–[HL6] and the associativity isomorphism constructed by author [H1] in the general setting of the category of modules for a vertex operator algebra satisfying suitable conditions. This work obtained the braided tensor category structure naturally from a vertex tensor category structure constructed in [HL7] using [HL3]–[HL6] and [H1].

In 2001, using the method developed in an early unpublished work [BFM] of Beilinson-Feigin-Mazur in 1991, Bakalov and Kirillov Jr. also gave in a book [BK] a construction of the braided tensor category structure on the category  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\ell$  in this case. Indeed Beilinson and other people knew how to construct the braided tensor category structure in mid 1990’s. But Beilinson informed the author in private discussions in 1996 that he did not know how to prove the rigidity. Bakalov and Kirillov Jr. also did not give a proof of the rigidity and the nondegeneracy property in [BK], though these are stated in [BK] as parts of the main theorem (Theorem 7.0.1 in [BK]). .

Since there are a lot of confusions, we quote the sentence about rigidity in [BK] after the proof of Corollary 7.9.3 (which is a precise statement of Theorem 7.0.1 in the book): “As a matter of fact, we have not yet proved the rigidity (recall that modular functor only defines weak rigidity); however, it can be shown that this category is indeed rigid.” This is the only place in the whole book where the rigidity of this tensor category is explicitly mentioned. There is also no reference given for a proof or even a sketch of a proof of this rigidity. In 2012, Bakalov and Kirillov Jr. informed the author explicitly in private communications that they do not have a proof of the rigidity.

It was until 2005 (in fact the author already gave a talk on the proof in 2004 in the the Erwin Schrödinger Institute but the paper was posted in the arXiv in 2005), the rigidity and the nondegeneracy property were finally proved by the author in [H5] as a special case of a proof for a vertex operator algebra satisfying natural existence-of-nondegenerate-invariant-bilinear form, positive-energy, finiteness and reductivity conditions. In particular, the conjecture mentioned above was proved by the author in 2005:

**Theorem 4.3 ([H5])** *Let  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then the category  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\ell$  has a natural structure of a modular tensor category.*

The author’s proof of this theorem was based on a formula used by author [H4] to derive the Verlinde formula. This was the first indication that the rigidity is in fact deeply related to the Verlinde formula which in turn is a consequence of the operator product expansion (associativity) and modular invariance of intertwining operators.

Assuming the existence of the rigid braided tensor category structure on  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\ell$ , Finkelberg in his thesis [Fi1] in 1993 and then in a revision [Fi2] in 1996 gave a proof that this rigid braided tensor category is equivalent to a semisimple subquotient of a rigid braided tensor category of modules for a quantum group constructed from  $\mathfrak{g}$ , using the equivalence constructed by Kazhdan and Lusztig [KL1]–[KL5]. This work had been reinterpreted as giving a construction of the rigid braided tensor category structure on  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\ell$ .

But in 2012, the author found a gap in Finkelberg’s paper. Through Ostrik, the author informed Finkelberg this gap and explained that in [H4] the Verlinde formula is needed to prove the rigidity. Then instead of obtaining the Verlinde formula in the affine Lie algebra case as a major consequence, Finkelberg filled the gap in [Fi3] by using this formula whose proofs had been given using other methods by Faltings [Fa], Teleman [Te] and the author [H4].

Even after the correction in 2013, Finkelberg’s proof of the rigidity is not complete. There are a few cases, including the important  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{e}_8$  and  $\ell = 2$  case, that his method does not work. Finkelberg’s equivalence between the modular tensor category  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_\ell$  and a semisimple subquotient of a rigid braided tensor category of modules for a quantum group is also not complete because of the same few cases, including the  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{e}_8$  and  $\ell = 2$  case, in which his method does not work. Thus we have the following open problem:

**Problem 4.4** *Find a direct construction of this equivalence without using the equivalence given by Kazhdan-Lusztig so that this equivalence covers all the cases, including the important  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{e}_8$  and  $\ell = 2$  case.*

## 4.4 The admissible case

In the case that  $\ell$  is an admissible number, Creutzig, Yang and the author proved in 2017 the following result:

**Theorem 4.5** ([CHY]) *Let  $\ell$  be an admissible number. Then the category  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  has a natural structure of a braided tensor category with a twist.*

This theorem was proved using the logarithmic tensor category theory of Lepowsky, Zhang and the author [HLZ2]–[HLZ9], some results of Kazhdan-Lusztig [KL2] and some results of Arakawa [A1]. The logarithmic tensor category theory reduces the construction of such a braided tensor category structure to the verification of several conditions. Since it used [HLZ2]–[HLZ9] to obtain the braided tensor category structure, this work also gave a construction of a vertex tensor category structure.

This is a semisimple category. At first one might want to use the early tensor category theory for semisimple category of modules by Lepowsky and the author [HL1]–[HL6] and by the author [H1] and [H2]. The main result in [H1] in this semisimple theory constructing the associativity isomorphism in this theory needs a convergence and extension property without logarithm. If generalized modules (not necessarily lower bounded) for the affine Lie algebra vertex operator algebras in this case are all complete reducible, a result in [H2] can be applied to this case to conclude that the convergence and extension property without logarithm holds. But in this case, we do not have such a strong complete reducibility theorem and thus we cannot directly use this semisimple theory.

Instead, we use the logarithmic generalization of the semisimple theory, even though our theory is semisimple. In this theory, we do not need to prove that there is no logarithm in the analytic extension of the product of intertwining operators. We construct the associativity



isomorphism from logarithmic intertwining operators. Finally, since the modules in the category are all semisimple, the logarithmic intertwining operators are all ordinary. In particular, our theory still has no logarithm.

Another condition that needs to be verified is that the category should be closed under a suitable tensor product operation. This condition is verified using a result of Arakawa in [A1].

The most subtle condition is the condition that suitable submodules in the dual space of the tensor product of two modules in  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  should also be in  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$ . The verification of this condition uses the author's modification in 2017 of one main result in [HLZ8] that had been used to correct a mistake in Zhang's construction [Z] in the case of  $\ell + h^\vee \notin \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ . It also uses some results of Kazhdan-Lusztig [KL2] and Arakawa [A1].

Theorem 4.5 gives only a braided tensor category structure with a twist to the semisimple category  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$ . The natural question is whether this category is rigid and modular. In the case of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ , Creutzig, Yang and the author gave a complete answer in the same paper:

**Theorem 4.6** ([CHY]) *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  and  $\ell = -2 + \frac{p}{q}$  with  $p, q$  coprime positive integers. Then the braided tensor category  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  is a ribbon tensor category and is a modular tensor category if and only if  $q$  is odd.*

The idea of the proof of this theorem is roughly speaking the following: First use the theory of vertex operator algebra extensions established by Kirillov Jr., Lepowsky and the author [HKL] and by Creutzig, Kanade and McRae [CKM] to show that this tensor category is braided equivalent to a full tensor subcategory of the braided tensor category of modules for a minimal Virasoro vertex operator algebra. But the braided tensor category of modules for the minimal Virasoro vertex operator algebra in fact has a natural modular tensor category structure constructed by the author [H5] as a special case of a general construction for vertex operator algebras corresponding to rational conformal field theories. Then Theorem 4.6 is proved by using this modular tensor category structure and the braided equivalence mentioned above.

For general complex semisimple  $\mathfrak{g}$ , conjectures have been given in the paper [CHY]. Let  $\ell$  an admissible number. Then in particular,  $\ell = -h^\vee + \frac{p}{q}$  with coprime positive integers  $p, q$ .

**Conjecture 4.7** *The braided tensor category structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  is rigid and thus is a ribbon tensor category.*

In the case that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple-laced, Conjecture 4.7 has been proved recently by Creutzig:

**Theorem 4.8** ([C]) *When  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple-laced, the braided tensor category structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  is rigid and thus is a ribbon tensor category.*

The idea of the proof of this result is similar to the proof in the case of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  discussed above but is much harder because it uses the ribbon tensor category (actually a modular tensor category) of modules for a vertex operator algebra called the rational principle  $W$ -algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , instead of the ribbon tensor category of modules for a minimal Virasoro vertex

operator algebra. Arakawa proved in [A2] that such a  $W$ -algebra satisfies the conditions needed in Theorem 4.6 in [H5] and thus by Theorem 4.6 in [H5], the category of modules for this  $W$ -algebra has a natural structure of a modular tensor category. Using the work [ACL] of Arakawa, Creutzig and Linshaw on principal  $W$ -algebras of simply-laced Lie algebras, the theory of vertex operator algebra extensions in [HKL] and [CKM] mentioned above and suitable fusion rule results proved by Creutzig in [C], Creutzig constructed in [C] a fully faithful braided tensor functor from a subcategory of the modular tensor category of modules for this  $W$ -algebra to a certain braided tensor category with a twist. The rigidity of the tensor category of modules for the  $W$ -algebra gives the rigidity of this braided tensor category with a twist so that it becomes a ribbon tensor category. Since  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  is different from this ribbon tensor category by just the actions of certain simple currents,  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  is also rigid and thus a ribbon tensor category.

**Conjecture 4.9** *The ribbon tensor category structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  is modular except for the following list:*

1.  $\mathfrak{g} \in \{\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}, \mathfrak{so}_{2n}, \mathfrak{e}_7, \mathfrak{sp}_n\}$  and  $q$  even.
2.  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{4n+1}$  and  $q = 0 \pmod{4}$ .
3.  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}_{4n+3}$  and  $q = 2 \pmod{4}$ .

These conjectures follow from the following conjecture on the equivalence of these braided tensor categories with the braided tensor categories coming from module categories for quantum groups constructed from the same finite-dimensional simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ :

**Conjecture 4.10** *The category  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  and the semi-simplification  $\mathcal{C}_{\ell}(\mathfrak{g})$  of the category of tilting modules for  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  are equivalent as braided tensor categories, where  $q = e^{\frac{\pi i}{r^{\vee}(\ell+h^{\vee})}}$ .*

Since the rigidity and modularity of the braided tensor category of tilting modules for  $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$  were established by Sawin in 2003 [S], we see that Conjectures 4.7 and 4.9 are indeed consequences of Conjecture 4.10.

The results and conjectures in [CHY] are all about the subcategory  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell, \text{ord}}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ . We still have the following open problem:

**Problem 4.11** *Let  $\ell$  be an admissible number. Is there a braided tensor category structure on  $\mathcal{O}_{\ell}$ ? If there is, is it rigid or even modular in a suitable sense?*

## 4.5 The remaining case: an open problem

For the remaining case, we have only an open problem:

**Problem 4.12** *Let  $\ell$  be a rational number larger than or equal to  $-h^{\vee}$  but is not admissible. What tensor category structures can we construct? Are they rigid, semisimple or modular?*

## References

- [A1] T. Arakawa, Rationality of admissible affine vertex algebras in the category  $\mathcal{O}$ , *Duke Math. J.* **165** (2016), 67–93.
- [A2] T. Arakawa, Rationality of W-algebras: principal nilpotent cases, *Ann. Math.* **182** (2015), 565–694.
- [ACL] T. Arakawa, T. Creutzig and A. R. Linshaw, W-algebras as coset vertex algebras, to appear, arXiv:1801.03822.
- [BK] B. Bakalov and A. Kirillov, Jr., *Lectures on tensor categories and modular functors*, University Lecture Series, Vol. 21, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [BFM] A. Beilinson, B. Feigin and B. Mazur, Introduction to algebraic field theory on curves, preprint, 1991 (provided by A. Beilinson, 1996).
- [C] T. Creutzig, Fusion categories for affine vertex algebras at admissible levels, to appear, arXiv:1807.00415.
- [CHY] T. Creutzig, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Yang, Braided tensor categories of admissible modules for affine Lie algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **362** (2018), 827–854.
- [CKM] T. Creutzig, R. McRae and S. Kanade, Tensor categories for vertex operator super-algebra extensions, arXiv:1705.05017.
- [Fa] G. Faltings, A proof for the Verlinde formula, *J. Alg. Geom.* **3** (1994), 347–374.
- [Fi1] M. Finkelberg, Fusion categories, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University, 1993.
- [Fi2] M. Finkelberg, An equivalence of fusion categories, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **6** (1996), 249–267.
- [Fi3] M. Finkelberg, Erratum to: An Equivalence of Fusion Categories, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **23** (2013), 810–811.
- [FHL] I. B. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, preprint, 1989; *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.
- [FZ] I. B. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123–168.
- [H1] Y.-Z. Huang, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, IV, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **100** (1995) 173–216.
- [H2] Y.-Z. Huang, Differential equations and intertwining operators, *Comm. Contemp. Math.* **7** (2005), 375–400.

- [H3] Y.-Z. Huang, Differential equations, duality and modular invariance, *Comm. Contemp. Math.* **7** (2005), 649–706.
- [H4] Y.-Z. Huang, Vertex operator algebras and the Verlinde conjecture, *Comm. Contemp. Math.* **10** (2008), 103–154.
- [H5] Y.-Z. Huang, Rigidity and modularity of vertex tensor categories, *Comm. Contemp. Math.* **10** (2008), 871–911.
- [H6] Y.-Z. Huang, On the applicability of logarithmic tensor category theory, arXiv: 1702.00133.
- [HKL] Y.-Z. Huang, A. Kirillov and J. Lepowsky, Braided tensor categories and extensions of vertex operator algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **337** (2015), 1143–1159.
- [HL1] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, Toward a theory of tensor products for representations of a vertex operator algebra, in: *Proc. 20th International Conference on Differential Geometric Methods in Theoretical Physics, New York, 1991*, ed. S. Catto and A. Rocha, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992, Vol. 1, 344–354.
- [HL2] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, Tensor products of modules for a vertex operator algebra and vertex tensor categories, in: *Lie Theory and Geometry, in honor of Bertram Kostant*, ed. R. Brylinski, J.-L. Brylinski, V. Guillemin, V. Kac, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994, 349–383.
- [HL3] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, I, *Selecta Mathematica, New Series*, **1** (1995), 699–756.
- [HL4] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, II, *Selecta Mathematica, New Series*, **1** (1995), 757–786.
- [HL5] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, III, *J. Pure. Appl. Alg.*, **100** (1995), 141–171.
- [HL6] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, V, to appear.
- [HL7] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, Intertwining operator algebras and vertex tensor categories for affine Lie algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **99** (1999), 113–134.
- [HLZ1] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, A logarithmic generalization of tensor product theory for modules for a vertex operator algebra, *Internat. J. Math.* **17** (2006), 975–1012.
- [HLZ2] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, I: Introduction and strongly

graded algebras and their generalized modules, *Conformal Field Theories and Tensor Categories, Proceedings of a Workshop Held at Beijing International Center for Mathematics Research*, ed. C. Bai, J. Fuchs, Y.-Z. Huang, L. Kong, I. Runkel and C. Schweigert, Mathematical Lectures from Beijing University, Vol. 2, Springer, New York, 2014, 169–248.

- [HLZ3] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, II: Logarithmic formal calculus and properties of logarithmic intertwining operators, arXiv: 1012.4196.
- [HLZ4] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, III: Intertwining maps and tensor product bifunctors, arXiv: 1012.4197.
- [HLZ5] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, IV: Construction of tensor product bifunctors and the compatibility conditions, arXiv: 1012.4198.
- [HLZ6] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, V: Convergence condition for intertwining maps and the corresponding compatibility condition, arXiv: 1012.4199.
- [HLZ7] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, VI: Expansion condition, associativity of logarithmic intertwining operators, and the associativity isomorphisms, arXiv: 1012.4202.
- [HLZ8] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, VII: Convergence and extension properties and applications to expansion for intertwining maps, arXiv: 1110.1929.
- [HLZ9] Y.-Z. Huang, J. Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, VIII: Braided tensor category structure on categories of generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, arXiv: 1110.1931.
- [KW1] V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, Modular invariant representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and superalgebras, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **85** (1988), 4956–4960.
- [KW2] V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, Classification of modular invariant representations of affine algebras. In: *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and groups*, Proceedings of the conference held at CIRM, Luminy, edited by Victor. G. Kac, 1988.
- [K] Y. Kawahigashi, Conformal field theory, tensor categories and operator algebras, *J. Phys.* **A48** (2015), 303001.

- [KLM] Y. Kawahigashi, R. Longo and M. Müger, Multi-interval subfactors and modularity of representations in conformal field theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **219** (2001), 631–669.
- [KL1] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Affine Lie algebras and quantum groups, *Duke Math. J., IMRN* **2** (1991), 21–29.
- [KL2] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras, I, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1993), 905–947.
- [KL3] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras, II, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **6** (1993), 949–1011.
- [KL4] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras, III, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **7** (1994), 335–381.
- [KL5] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras, IV, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **7** (1994), 383–453.
- [MS1] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Polynomial equations for rational conformal field theories, *Phys. Lett.* **B212** (1988), 451–460.
- [MS2] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Classical and quantum conformal field theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 177–254.
- [S] S. F. Sawin, Quantum groups at roots of unity and modularity, *J. Knot Theory and its Ramif.* **15(10)** (2016), 1245–1277.
- [Te] C. Teleman, Lie algebra cohomology and the fusion rules, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **173** (1995), 265–311.
- [Tu1] V. Turaev, Modular categories and 3-manifold invariants, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **B6** (1992), 1807–1824.
- [Tu2] V. Turaev, *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-manifolds*, de Gruyter Studies in Math., Vol. 18, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
- [V] E. Verlinde, Fusion rules and modular transformations in 2D conformal field theory, *Nucl. Phys.* **B300** (1988), 360–376.
- [Z] L. Zhang, Vertex tensor category structure on a category of Kazhdan-Lusztig, *New York Jour. Math.* **14** (2008), 261–284.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854  
*E-mail address:* yzhuang@math.rutgers.edu