

Thermodynamics of Charged, Rotating, and Accelerating Black Holes

Andrés Anabalón,^{1,*} Finnian Gray,^{2,3,†} Ruth Gregory,^{4,2,‡}

David Kubizňák,^{2,3,§} and Robert B. Mann^{3,2,¶}

¹*Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez; Dep. de Ciencias,
Facultad de Artes Liberales - Viña del Mar, Chile.*

²*Perimeter Institute, 31 Caroline St.,
Waterloo, Ontario, N2L 2Y5, Canada*

³*Department of Physics and Astronomy,
University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, N2L 3G1*

⁴*Centre for Particle Theory, Durham University,
South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK*

(Dated: November 14, 2018)

Abstract

We show how to obtain a consistent thermodynamic description of accelerating asymptotically AdS black holes, extending our previous results by including charge and rotation. We find that the key ingredient of consistent thermodynamics is to ensure that the system is not over-constrained by including the possibility of varying the ‘string’ tensions that are responsible for the acceleration of the black hole, yielding a first law of full cohomogeneity. The first law assumes the standard form, with the entropy given by one quarter of the horizon area and other quantities identified by standard methods. In particular we compute the mass in two independent ways: through a Euclidean action calculation and by the method of conformal completion. The ambiguity in the choice of the normalization of the timelike Killing vector can be fixed by explicit coordinate transformation (in the case of rotation) to the standard AdS form or by holographic methods (in the case of charge). This resolves a long-standing problem of formulating the thermodynamics of accelerating black holes, opening the way to detailed studies of their phase behaviour.

* andres.anabalon@uai.cl

† fgray@perimeterinstitute.ca

‡ R.A.W.Gregory@durham.ac.uk

§ dkubiznak@perimeterinstitute.ca

¶ rbmann@uwaterloo.ca

Black holes provide us with an invaluable and unique tool for probing the relationship between quantum physics and the gravitational force. From the early discoveries that black hole area and surface gravity respectively behave as thermodynamic entropy [1, 2] and temperature [3], a rich and varied range of thermodynamic behaviour of these objects has been uncovered [4], providing new insight into the underlying microscopic degrees of freedom that may be associated with quantum gravity.

Amongst the panoply of black hole solutions, accelerating black holes have been somewhat less well understood. Described by the so-called *C-metric* [5–8], they have a conical singularity along one, or both, polar axes. Replacing this with a stress-energy tensor of a finite width cosmic string [9], or magnetic flux tube [10], gives a concrete interpretation of the force that accelerates the black holes. Although they have been used in a variety of settings to demonstrate that the pair creation rate of black holes is proportional to their entropy [9–15], their thermodynamics has remained perplexing, particularly when charge and rotation are included. Conflicting results have appeared in the literature [16–20] concerning the relationship between the conserved mass and its associated thermodynamic quantity, the role of conical deficits in the first law, and the relationship between the action and the free energy. Recently these inconsistencies were resolved for the non-rotating uncharged accelerating black hole in [21], by exploring the holographic properties of the accelerating black hole in AdS with no acceleration horizon, the *slowly accelerating* black hole [22]. Since there is only one horizon, the system has a unique temperature and thermodynamics is straightforward to define.

Here we consider the full thermodynamics of slowly accelerating black holes, including charge and rotation, and find all relevant thermodynamic variables showing that both the extended first law [17]

$$\delta M = T\delta S + \Phi\delta Q + \Omega\delta J - \lambda_+\delta\mu_+ - \lambda_-\delta\mu_- + V\delta P, \quad (1)$$

and the Smarr relation [23]

$$M = 2(TS + \Omega J - PV) + \Phi Q, \quad (2)$$

hold in all cases. We emphasize that both the string tensions μ_{\pm} , as well as the cosmological constant, $\Lambda = -8\pi P$, are allowed to vary, and we derive λ_{\pm} and V as their respective conjugate quantities. The scaling properties of μ_{\pm} prevent their appearance in the Smarr

relation (2). As we shall see, the inclusion of the tension terms is absolutely crucial for the thermodynamics to take a ‘simple form’.

We begin by introducing the generalised AdS C-metric solution as derived from the Plebański–Demiański metric [6] in standard Boyer–Lindquist coordinates [8] to include rotation, charge, cosmological constant $\Lambda = -3/\ell^2$, and the corresponding gauge potential

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{\Omega^2} \left\{ -\frac{f(r)}{\Sigma} \left[\frac{dt}{\alpha} - a \sin^2 \theta \frac{d\varphi}{K} \right]^2 + \frac{\Sigma}{f(r)} dr^2 + \frac{\Sigma r^2}{h(\theta)} d\theta^2 + \frac{h(\theta) \sin^2 \theta}{\Sigma r^2} \left[\frac{adt}{\alpha} - (r^2 + a^2) \frac{d\varphi}{K} \right]^2 \right\}, \quad (3)$$

$$F = dB, \quad B = -\frac{e}{\Sigma r} \left[\frac{dt}{\alpha} - a \sin^2 \theta \frac{d\varphi}{K} \right] + \Phi_t dt, \quad (4)$$

where we choose

$$\Phi_t = \frac{er_+}{(a^2 + r_+^2)\alpha}, \quad (5)$$

so that the gauge potential, defined by $-\xi \cdot B$, where ξ is the generator of the horizon, $\xi = \partial_t + \Omega_H \partial_\varphi$ (where Ω_H is defined in (11) below), vanishes at the horizon.

Ordinarily, the K factor would be absorbed in the azimuthal coordinate, which in turn would have an arbitrary periodicity, usually fixed by a regularity condition at one of the poles. We include the explicit factor of K so that φ is 2π -periodic. We have also anticipated a possible renormalisation of the Killing vector ∂_t by rescaling the time coordinate by a factor $1/\alpha$, as was found in [24]. The conformal factor

$$\Omega = 1 + Ar \cos \theta \quad (6)$$

sets the location of the boundary at $r_{\text{bd}} = -1/A \cos \theta$. The other functions in the metric (3) are given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= (1 - A^2 r^2) \left[1 - \frac{2m}{r} + \frac{a^2 + e^2}{r^2} \right] + \frac{r^2 + a^2}{\ell^2}, \\ h(\theta) &= 1 + 2mA \cos \theta + \left[A^2(a^2 + e^2) - \frac{a^2}{\ell^2} \right] \cos^2 \theta, \\ \Sigma &= 1 + \frac{a^2}{r^2} \cos^2 \theta, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

and the angular velocity of the Zero-Angular-Momentum-Observer at any point r is

$$\omega = -\frac{g_{t\phi}}{g_{\phi\phi}} = \frac{Ka[h(r^2 + a^2) - fr^2]}{\alpha[h(r^2 + a^2)^2 - fa^2r^2 \sin^2 \theta]}. \quad (8)$$

Writing $\Xi = 1 - \frac{a^2}{\ell^2} + A^2(e^2 + a^2)$, the tensions of the deficits on each axis are:

$$\mu_{\pm} = \frac{1}{4} \left[1 - \frac{\Xi \pm 2mA}{K} \right] = \frac{1}{4} \left[1 - \frac{K_{\pm}}{K} \right], \quad (9)$$

where the plus subscript denotes the North pole axis, and the minus the South pole axis. Note these deficits extend from the event horizon to the boundary. Often, one chooses to remove the conical singularity on one of the axes by setting $K = K_+$ (or $K = K_-$); however, we will not require this in what follows.

In order for the metric (3) to be well defined, and correspond physically to a slowly accelerated black hole in the bulk, we must restrict the range of parameters as follows. **A:** For the obvious interpretation of the θ -coordinate, we must have $h(\theta) > 0$ on $[0, \pi]$, which implies

$$mA < \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}\Xi & \text{for } \Xi \in (0, 2], \\ \sqrt{\Xi - 1} & \text{for } \Xi > 2. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

(Other ranges of θ where h is positive yield, for example, hyperbolic black holes [25].) **B:** Since the range of r is constrained by the conformal factor, we have¹ $A \cos \theta \leq 1/r \leq 1/r_+$, and to satisfy the requirement of slow acceleration, we must require that $f(-1/A \cos \theta)$ has no roots. **C:** Finally, we must make sure that the spacetime admits a black hole in the bulk, that is $f(r)$ has to have at least one root in the range $r \in (0, A)$. Once we establish that we are within these allowed parameter ranges, our solution describes a single accelerating black hole that is suspended by the string at a constant radius in asymptotically AdS space.

We begin by stating the thermodynamic parameters of the black hole:

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \frac{m(\Xi + a^2/\ell^2)(1 - A^2\ell^2\Xi)}{K\Xi\alpha(1 + a^2A^2)}, & T &= \frac{f'_+ r_+^2}{4\pi\alpha(r_+^2 + a^2)}, & S &= \frac{\pi(r_+^2 + a^2)}{K(1 - A^2r_+^2)}, \\ Q &= \frac{e}{K}, & \Phi &= \Phi_t = \frac{er_+}{(r_+^2 + a^2)\alpha}, & J &= \frac{ma}{K^2}, & \Omega &= \Omega_H - \Omega_{\infty}, & \Omega_H &= \frac{Ka}{\alpha(r_+^2 + a^2)}, \\ P &= \frac{3}{8\pi\ell^2}, & V &= \frac{4\pi}{3K\alpha} \left[\frac{r_+(r_+^2 + a^2)}{(1 - A^2r_+^2)^2} + \frac{m[a^2(1 - A^2\ell^2\Xi) + A^2\ell^4\Xi(\Xi + a^2/\ell^2)]}{(1 + a^2A^2)\Xi} \right], \\ \lambda_{\pm} &= \frac{r_+}{\alpha(1 \pm Ar_+)} - \frac{m[\Xi + a^2/\ell^2 + \frac{a^2}{\ell^2}(1 - A^2\ell^2\Xi)]}{\alpha(1 + a^2A^2)\Xi^2} \mp \frac{A\ell^2(\Xi + a^2/\ell^2)}{\alpha(1 + a^2A^2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

that satisfy both the first law (1), and the Smarr relation (2), with

$$\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{(\Xi + a^2/\ell^2)(1 - A^2\ell^2\Xi)}}{1 + a^2A^2}, \quad (12)$$

¹ Note that when $\cos \theta < 0$, $1/r$ crosses the origin and so the boundary is situated ‘beyond infinity’.

whose derivation we shall now discuss.

The most straightforward of these parameters are the Hawking temperature of the black hole, T , obtained as usual by regularity of the Euclidean section, and the entropy, S , which is simply given by one quarter of the horizon area [26]. The electric and magnetic charges of the black hole, Q and Q_m , are easily found by the standard Gauss' law integral

$$Q = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Omega=0} *F = \frac{e}{K} \quad Q_m = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Omega=0} F = 0. \quad (13)$$

The conjugate electrostatic potential, Φ , is a little more interesting. Typically, one defines the bulk potential B so that the corresponding electrostatic potential $-\xi \cdot B$ vanishes on the horizon of a black hole, and its value at infinity is the thermodynamic potential. Here, however, the potential and electric field are not constant at the boundary and so we cannot simply read off the potential there. Instead, we define the thermodynamic potential by taking the electrostatic potential $-\xi \cdot B$ to be zero on the horizon, and calculate the thermodynamic electrostatic potential Φ using the Hawking–Ross prescription [27]

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{4\pi Q \beta} \int_{\partial M} \sqrt{h} n_a F^{ab} B_b, \quad (14)$$

where $\beta = 1/T$ is the inverse periodicity in Euclidean time, and n^a is the outward pointing unit normal to ∂M . This potential simply turns out to be the constant Φ_t , given in (5).

The angular momentum J and mass M in (11) can be computed by the conformal method [28, 29]. The idea is to perform a conformal transformation on the metric, $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{\Omega}^2 g_{\mu\nu}$, to remove the divergence near the boundary, then to integrate a conserved current associated with the Killing vector ξ , to get the corresponding conserved charge:

$$Q(\xi) = \frac{\ell}{8\pi} \lim_{\bar{\Omega} \rightarrow 0} \oint \frac{\ell^2}{\bar{\Omega}} N^\alpha N^\beta \bar{C}^\nu{}_{\alpha\mu\beta} \xi_\nu d\bar{S}^\mu, \quad (15)$$

where $\bar{C}^\mu{}_{\alpha\nu\beta}$ is the Weyl tensor of the conformal metric, $d\bar{S}_\mu$ is the spacelike surface element tangent to $\bar{\Omega} = 0$, and the normal to the boundary is $N_\mu = \partial_\mu \bar{\Omega}$. The charge $Q(\xi)$ is independent of the choice of conformal completion even though the conformal completion is not unique. The choice $\bar{\Omega} = \ell \Omega r^{-1}$ provides a smooth conformal completion as $A \rightarrow 0$.

The angular momentum is given by $Q(\partial_\phi)$. As is well known [24], the angular potential of a rotating black hole in AdS is not simply the angular momentum at the horizon in Boyer–Lindquist coordinates, but must be corrected to allow for the relative rotation with

the boundary. In the case of the accelerating black hole, the boundary angular momentum is not constant; however we define

$$\Omega_\infty = -\frac{aK(1 - A^2\ell^2\Xi)}{\ell^2\Xi\alpha(1 + a^2A^2)} \quad (16)$$

by evaluating (8) at conformal infinity with $m = 0$ (and $\cos\theta = 1$ for the charged black hole). The horizon angular momentum, Ω_H , follows directly from (8) by setting $r = r_+$.

Moving to the thermodynamic mass, we use again the conformal method [28, 29]

$$M = \mathbf{Q}(\partial_t - \Omega_\infty\partial_\phi), \quad (17)$$

to obtain the expression in (11). We can check this result by computing the Gibbs free energy $\mathcal{G} = I/\beta = \mathcal{G}(T, \Omega, \Phi)$ from the action

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_M d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[R + \frac{6}{\ell^2} - F^2 \right] + \frac{1}{8\pi} \int_{\partial M} d^3x \sqrt{h} \left[\mathcal{K} - \frac{2}{\ell} - \frac{\ell}{2} \mathcal{R}(h) \right], \quad (18)$$

where \mathcal{K} and $\mathcal{R}(h)$ are respectively the extrinsic curvature and Ricci scalar of the boundary.

Computing this free energy gives

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{m(1 - a^2A^2 - 2A^2\ell^2\Xi)}{2\alpha K(1 + a^2A^2)} - \frac{r_+(a^2 + r_+^2)}{2\alpha K\ell^2(1 - A^2r_+^2)^2} - \frac{e^2r_+}{2K\alpha(a^2 + r_+^2)}, \quad (19)$$

which satisfies $\mathcal{G} = M - \Omega J - TS - \Phi Q$ [33] with the thermodynamic variables in (11) as required. An integration of the holographic stress tensor in the absence of rotation (see appendix) likewise confirms our value for the mass in (11).

Finally, the crucial step in formulating the correct thermodynamics is to determine the normalization α in (12). For the uncharged and non-rotating black hole, this is straightforward to determine [21]: in the limit of vanishing black hole mass the spacetime becomes pure AdS (with a round S^2) in Rindler coordinates. The coordinate transformation between Rindler and global AdS then determines the rescaling of the time coordinate of the C-metric. For non-zero rotation the same approach applies. Upon setting $m = 0$ in (3) (with $e = 0$), we rewrite the metric in the non-rotating frame by setting $\phi = \tilde{\phi} + \Omega_\infty t$, eliminating the $g_{t\tilde{\phi}}$ component of the metric. The transformation to AdS is then achieved by requiring

$$g_{tt} = 1 + \frac{R^2}{l^2}, \quad g_{\tilde{\phi}\tilde{\phi}} = R^2 \sin^2\Theta. \quad (20)$$

Upon comparing, say, the $g_{rr} = g_{RR}$ components of the metric we obtain $\alpha = \sqrt{1 - A^2\ell^2}$, as in the non-rotating case.

The situation is more complicated once charge is included. To fix α in this case we employ the holographic method, performing a Fefferman–Graham expansion and requiring

$$\delta I = \int_{\partial M} \sqrt{-\gamma} \tau_{ab} \delta \gamma^{ab} d^3x = 0 \quad (21)$$

for generic variations γ^{ab} , which can include boundary diffeomorphisms [30], where γ and τ are the corresponding boundary metric and holographic stress tensor respectively. This yields (see the appendix) the expression for α given in (12) with $a = 0$; we expect this calculation will go through for $a \neq 0$ in the same way, since the expression for α in (12) is necessary to obtain consistency with (1) and (2).

To close, we briefly consider what happens if there is an acceleration horizon. For simplicity, we concentrate on the asymptotically flat limit of the accelerated black holes², obtained by setting $\Lambda = 0$ in (3). It describes a pair of accelerating black holes separated by an acceleration horizon, and we note that its conformal structure is analogous to de Sitter spacetime, but with future/past infinity being null at the poles $\theta = 0, \pi$ and otherwise spacelike [34].

Given this clear physical distinction, finding the thermodynamic variables is not a matter of taking the limit $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ for each quantity in (11); indeed such a limit does not exist. Rather we concentrate on an observer in the static patch of (3), between one black hole and its acceleration horizon. Despite the fact that charged rotating black holes emit gravitational and electromagnetic radiation at infinity [37, 38], observers using the metric (3) should be able to undertake standard thermodynamic investigations, since the radiation escapes into a region inaccessible to this observer [39]. Of course, due to the presence of two horizons such a system is in general out of equilibrium. We expect that similar to the de Sitter case, one may study the thermodynamics of each horizon separately [40].

Leaving the thermodynamics of the accelerated horizon for future study (see [35, 36]),

² Alternatively, one could consider the ‘fast accelerating’ AdS black holes. Such spacetimes have both accelerated and cosmological horizons extending all the way to conformal infinity and the standard holographic, or conformal methods are not so readily applicable for their study.

with this in mind we find

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= \frac{m(1 - a^2 A^2)}{K\alpha(1 + a^2 A^2)}, & T &= \frac{f'_+ r_+^2}{4\pi\alpha(r_+^2 + a^2)}, & S &= \frac{\pi(r_+^2 + a^2)}{K(1 - A^2 r_+^2)}, \\
\alpha &= \frac{\sqrt{(1 - a^2 A^2)\Xi}}{1 + a^2 A^2}, & Q &= \frac{e}{K}, & \Phi &= \frac{er_+}{(a^2 + r_+^2)\alpha}, \\
J &= \frac{ma}{K^2}, & \Omega &= \Omega_H - \Omega_\infty = \frac{aK}{\alpha(r_+^2 + a^2)} - \frac{aA^2 K}{\alpha(1 + a^2 A^2)}, \\
\lambda_\pm &= \frac{r_+}{\alpha(1 \pm Ar_+)} - \frac{MK}{\Xi} \mp \frac{a^2 A}{\alpha(1 + a^2 A^2)},
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

form a consistent set of thermodynamic parameters for the $\Lambda = 0$ charged accelerating black hole, satisfying (with $P = 0$) both the first law (1) and the Smarr relation (2) at the black hole horizon, where $\Xi = (1 + a^2 A^2 + e^2 A^2)$. The quantity M was computed via a Komar-like integral over θ and φ at conformal infinity, using a Killing vector ∂_t in a rotation subtracted frame $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \Omega_\infty t$. Note that it is not possible to compute V in this case, as no smooth limit exists for V as $P \rightarrow 0$, similar to what happens for black holes in $d = 7$ gauged supergravity [41].

A much greater measure of caution should be taken before the formulae in (22) are accepted as thermodynamic quantities. In computing the mass the Killing vector ∂_t was used; this is a boost rotation Killing vector rather than the static vector at infinity. Moreover, spatial infinity is not well described in the static coordinates—it only corresponds to $\theta = \pi/2$ in these coordinates, calling into question the validity of the Komar integration. Third, the above quantities satisfy the first law only provided this Killing vector is normalized by α above. Whereas there is a good reason to do this in the asymptotically AdS case studied in the main text, such a normalization remains a question in the asymptotically flat case. Finally, the physical status of the thermodynamic relations is not clear given the presence of radiation [37, 38]; indeed even in the slowly accelerating AdS case radiation patterns have been computed [37].

To summarize, we have formulated the consistent thermodynamics of slowly accelerating AdS black holes with charge and rotation. The crucial aspects of our result are the computation of the thermodynamic mass and the normalization of the timelike Killing vector. We computed the mass directly via the conformal method, and backed up this result by checking it against the free energy from a calculation of the action and (for zero rotation) by requiring the variation of the action (including boundary counterterms) to vanish. The

mutual agreement of these methods is not obvious, as the Dirichlet boundary conditions no longer apply to the case in question [31].

The key insight in our approach is to consider the extended first law (1) with the additional terms that allow us to vary the tension. This is in direct contrast to the approach presented in [19] (see also [32]) where one tension is fixed (at zero) and all remaining parameters are permitted to vary. This is perplexing, as a first law without varying tension then has reduced cohomogeneity, i.e. fewer thermodynamic parameters than the number of parameters being varied in the geometry. Given this mismatch of parameter space, we suspect a lack of uniqueness, or a hidden constraint.

We have also proposed a set of thermodynamic parameters for the $\Lambda = 0$ charged and accelerating black hole spacetime. This spacetime has an acceleration horizon, but (as is the case with other black holes) the first law and Smarr formula hold at the black hole horizon.

Our results pave the way for a proper analysis of the phase behaviour of accelerating black holes and raise a number of interesting questions for future study. Standard thermodynamic analysis seems to be possible for observers using the metric (3) (e.g. [43]) despite the fact that charged rotating black holes emit gravitational and electromagnetic radiation at infinity [37, 38], since the coordinates from which we obtained our thermodynamic parameters are analogous to those of an observer comoving with an accelerating charged object, who sees no radiation because it escapes into a region inaccessible to this observer [39]. It is an interesting future project to obtain a deeper understanding of this relationship. Similar remarks apply to the $\Lambda \geq 0$ cases as well as the fast accelerating AdS case, though the loss of thermodynamic equilibrium (due to the presence of additional horizons) introduces new complications. The quantities in (22) were with regard to one accelerated black hole in the static patch. It remains an interesting question whether one should not rather treat the system of two black holes as a whole, reminiscent of the mass dipole, and consider the associated boost mass [44].

It is also of interest to obtain a better understanding of these black holes from a holographic perspective. While we have checked our expression for the mass using this approach, a full understanding of the dual fluid interpretation for the charged and rotating case remains to be found. It likewise would be preferable to obtain a clear understanding of the conserved mass for the $\Lambda \geq 0$ cases, since standard holographic methods do not directly apply. It would also be interesting to see how changes in the boundary metric affect the

shape of these AdS black holes [45].

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Michael Appels, Pavel Krtouš, Rob Myers, and Kostas Skenderis for useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the Alexander von Humboldt foundation (AA), a Chilean FONDECYT [Grant No. 1181047 (AA), 1170279 (AA), 1161418 (AA)], CONYCT-RCUK [Newton-Picarte Grants DPI20140053 (AA) and DPI20140115 (AA)], by the STFC [consolidated grant ST/P000371/1 (RG)], the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (DK and RM), and by the Perimeter Institute (FG, DK and RG). Research at Perimeter Institute is supported by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Research and Innovation. RG would also like to thank the Simons Foundation for support and the Aspen Center for Physics for hospitality while this work was being completed.

Appendix A: Holographic stress tensor

In this appendix we gather the formulae for the holographic stress tensor and boundary metric variations, concentrating entirely on the slowly accelerating charged (non-rotating) AdS C-metric.

In the fixed potential ensemble (without the I_Q term), the action (18) yields the following boundary energy momentum tensor:

$$8\pi\mathcal{T}_{ab} = \ell\mathcal{G}_{ab}(h) - \frac{2}{\ell}h_{ab} - \mathcal{K}_{ab} + h_{ab}\mathcal{K}. \quad (\text{A1})$$

To compute these terms we transform the metric (3) to the Fefferman–Graham form,

$$ds^2 = \frac{\ell^2}{\rho^2}d\rho^2 + \rho^2 \left(\gamma_{ab}^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\rho^2}\gamma_{ab}^{(2)} + \dots \right) dx^a dx^b, \quad (\text{A2})$$

using the following asymptotic expansion

$$\frac{1}{Ar} = -x - \sum F_n(x) \rho^{-n}, \quad \cos\theta = x + \sum G_n(x) \rho^{-n}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

The functions F_n and G_n are fixed by the required fall-off properties of (A2), apart from F_1 , that we choose to write as

$$F_1(x) = -\frac{\Upsilon^3}{\alpha A \omega(x)}, \quad \Upsilon \equiv \sqrt{1 - A^2 \ell^2 X}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

in order to elucidate the conformal degree of freedom in the boundary metric, ω , with $X = (1 - x^2)(1 + 2mA x + e^2 A^2 x^2)$, related to $h(\theta)$. Computing this boundary metric, $ds_{(0)}^2 = \gamma_{ab}^{(0)} dx^a dx^b$, we find it sufficient to truncate the series (A3) at $n = 4$ and find:

$$ds_{(0)}^2 = -\frac{\omega^2 d\tau^2}{\ell^2} + \frac{\omega^2 \alpha^2 dx^2}{X \Upsilon^4} + \frac{X \omega^2 \alpha^2 d\phi^2}{K^2 \Upsilon^2}. \quad (\text{A5})$$

Note that the transformation (A3) is valid in general only when $A^2 \ell^2 X < 1$, which is precisely a special case of the constraint $f(-1/A \cos \theta) > 0$ that acceleration horizons are absent.

In coordinates (t, x, ϕ) , the expectation value of the energy momentum of the CFT₃ reads

$$\langle \mathcal{T}_b^a \rangle = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho}{\ell} \mathcal{T}_b^a = -\frac{X'''(x) \Upsilon^3}{96\pi A \alpha^3 \omega^3} \text{diag} \left[-(2 - 3A^2 \ell^2 X), 1, (1 - 3A^2 \ell^2 X) \right]. \quad (\text{A6})$$

This can be interpreted as an energy momentum tensor of a thermal perfect fluid with no dissipation terms plus a non-hydrodynamic correction [21, 42]. With respect to the static observer at infinity, $U = \omega^{-1} \partial_\tau$, this yields the following energy density:

$$\rho_E = \frac{(m + 2e^2 A x)}{8\pi \ell^2 \alpha^3 \omega^3} \Upsilon^3 (2 - 3A^2 \ell^2 X), \quad (\text{A7})$$

which upon integration, yields the holographic mass

$$M = \int \rho_E \ell^3 \sqrt{-\gamma^{(0)}} dx d\phi = \frac{m(1 - A^2 \ell^2 - A^4 e^2 \ell^2)}{K \alpha}, \quad (\text{A8})$$

in agreement with (11). Finally, we find the variation of the boundary metric with respect to the parameters,

$$\delta \gamma^{ab} = \frac{\partial \gamma^{ab}}{\partial K} \delta K + \frac{\partial \gamma^{ab}}{\partial A} \delta A + \frac{\partial \gamma^{ab}}{\partial m} \delta m + \frac{\partial \gamma^{ab}}{\partial e} \delta e, \quad (\text{A9})$$

keeping ℓ and μ 's constant, and calculate

$$\delta I = \int_{\partial M} \sqrt{-\gamma} \tau_{ab} \delta \gamma^{ab} d^3 x. \quad (\text{A10})$$

Imposing that the variation vanishes we find that the unknown parameter α must be

$$\alpha = \sqrt{(1 + A^2 e^2)(1 - A^2 \ell^2 - A^4 \ell^2 e^2)}, \quad (\text{A11})$$

which agrees with the thermodynamic one (12) in the case $a = 0$.

-
- [1] J. D. Bekenstein, *Black holes and entropy*, Phys. Rev. D **7**, 2333 (1973).
 - [2] J. D. Bekenstein, *Generalized second law of thermodynamics in black hole physics*, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3292 (1974).
 - [3] S. W. Hawking, *Particle Creation by Black Holes*, Commun. Math. Phys. **43**, 199 (1975)
Erratum: [Commun. Math. Phys. **46**, 206 (1976)].
 - [4] D. Kubizňák, R. B. Mann and M. Teo, *Black hole chemistry: thermodynamics with Lambda*, [arXiv:1608.06147 [hep-th]].
 - [5] W. Kinnersley and M. Walker, *Uniformly accelerating charged mass in general relativity*, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 1359 (1970).
 - [6] J. F. Plebanski and M. Demianski, *Rotating, charged, and uniformly accelerating mass in general relativity*, Annals Phys. **98**, 98 (1976).
 - [7] O. J. C. Dias and J. P. S. Lemos, *Pair of accelerated black holes in anti-de Sitter background: AdS C metric*, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 064001 (2003) [hep-th/0210065].
 - [8] J. B. Griffiths and J. Podolsky, *A New look at the Plebanski-Demianski family of solutions*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **15**, 335 (2006) [gr-qc/0511091].
 - [9] R. Gregory and M. Hindmarsh, *Smooth metrics for snapping strings*, Phys. Rev. **D52** (1995) 5598–5605, [gr-qc/9506054].
 - [10] F. Dowker, J. P. Gauntlett, D. A. Kastor and J. H. Traschen, *Pair creation of dilaton black holes*, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 2909 (1994) [hep-th/9309075].
 - [11] S. W. Hawking, G. T. Horowitz and S. F. Ross, *Entropy, Area, and black hole pairs*, Phys. Rev. D **51**, 4302 (1995) [gr-qc/9409013].
 - [12] R. Emparan, *Pair creation of black holes joined by cosmic strings*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3386 (1995) [gr-qc/9506025].
 - [13] D. M. Eardley, G. T. Horowitz, D. A. Kastor and J. H. Traschen, *Breaking cosmic strings without monopoles*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 3390 (1995) [gr-qc/9506041].
 - [14] R. B. Mann and S. F. Ross, *Cosmological production of charged black hole pairs*, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 2254 (1995) [gr-qc/9504015].
 - [15] I. S. Booth and R. B. Mann, *Complex instantons and charged rotating black hole pair*

- creation*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5052 (1998) [gr-qc/9806015].
- [16] M. Appels, R. Gregory and D. Kubizňák, *Thermodynamics of Accelerating Black Holes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, no. 13, 131303 (2016) [arXiv:1604.08812 [hep-th]].
- [17] M. Appels, R. Gregory and D. Kubizňák, *Black Hole Thermodynamics with Conical Defects*, JHEP **1705**, 116 (2017) [arXiv:1702.00490 [hep-th]].
- [18] R. Gregory, *Accelerating Black Holes*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **942**, no. 1, 012002 (2017) [arXiv:1712.04992 [hep-th]].
- [19] M. Astorino, *CFT Duals for Accelerating Black Holes*, Phys. Lett. B **760**, 393 (2016) [arXiv:1605.06131 [hep-th]].
- [20] M. Astorino, *Thermodynamics of Regular Accelerating Black Holes*, [arXiv:1612.04387 [gr-qc]].
- [21] A. Anabalón, M. Appels, R. Gregory, D. Kubizňák, R. B. Mann and A. Övgün, *Holographic Thermodynamics of Accelerating Black Holes*, [arXiv:1805.02687 [hep-th]].
- [22] J. Podolsky, *Accelerating black holes in anti-de Sitter universe*, Czech. J. Phys. **52**, 1 (2002) [gr-qc/0202033].
- [23] L. Smarr, *Mass formula for Kerr black holes*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 71 (1973) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 521 (1973)].
- [24] G. W. Gibbons, M. J. Perry and C. N. Pope, *The First law of thermodynamics for Kerr-anti-de Sitter black holes*, Class. Quant. Grav. **22**, 1503 (2005) [hep-th/0408217].
- [25] V. E. Hubeny, D. Marolf and M. Rangamani, *Black funnels and droplets from the AdS C-metrics*, Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 025001 (2010) [arXiv:0909.0005 [hep-th]].
- [26] C. Herdeiro, B. Kleihaus, J. Kunz and E. Radu, *On the Bekenstein-Hawking area law for black objects with conical singularities*, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 064013 (2010) [arXiv:0912.3386 [gr-qc]].
- [27] S. W. Hawking and S. F. Ross, *Duality between electric and magnetic black holes*, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 5865 (1995) [hep-th/9504019].
- [28] A. Ashtekar and S. Das, *Asymptotically Anti-de Sitter space-times: Conserved quantities*, Class. Quant. Grav. **17** (2000) L17–L30, [hep-th/9911230].
- [29] S. Das and R. Mann, *Conserved quantities in Kerr-anti-de Sitter space-times in various dimensions*, JHEP **0008** (2000) 033, [hep-th/0008028].
- [30] I. Papadimitriou and K. Skenderis, *Thermodynamics of asymptotically locally AdS*

- spacetimes* JHEP **0508**, 004 (2005) [[hep-th/0505190](#)].
- [31] S. Hollands, A. Ishibashi and D. Marolf, *Comparison between various notions of conserved charges in asymptotically AdS-spacetimes*, Class. Quant. Grav. **22**, 2881 (2005) [[hep-th/0503045](#)].
- [32] M. Astorino, G. Compre, R. Oliveri and N. Vandevoorde, *Mass of Kerr-Newman black holes in an external magnetic field*, Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 2, 024019 (2016) [[arXiv:1602.08110](#) [[gr-qc](#)]].
- [33] M. M. Caldarelli, G. Cognola and D. Klemm, *Thermodynamics of Kerr-Newman-AdS black holes and conformal field theories*, Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 399 (2000) [[hep-th/9908022](#)].
- [34] J. B. Griffiths, P. Krtous and J. Podolsky, *Interpreting the C-metric*, Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 6745 (2006) [[gr-qc/0609056](#)].
- [35] E. Bianchi and A. Satz, *Mechanical laws of the Rindler horizon*, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 12, 124031 (2013) [[arXiv:1305.4986](#) [[gr-qc](#)]].
- [36] T. De Lorenzo and A. Perez, *Light Cone Thermodynamics*, Phys. Rev. D **97**, no. 4, 044052 (2018) [[arXiv:1707.00479](#) [[gr-qc](#)]].
- [37] J. Podolsky, M. Ortaggio and P. Krtous, *Radiation from accelerated black holes in an anti-de Sitter universe*, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 124004 (2003) [[gr-qc/0307108](#)].
- [38] J. Podolsky and H. Kadlecova, *Radiation generated by accelerating and rotating charged black holes in (anti-)de Sitter space*, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 105007 (2009) [[arXiv:0903.3577](#) [[gr-qc](#)]].
- [39] D. Boulware *Radiation generated by accelerating and rotating charged black holes in (anti-)de Sitter space*, Ann. Phys. **124** 169 (1980).
- [40] B. P. Dolan, D. Kastor, D. Kubizňák, R. B. Mann and J. Traschen, *Thermodynamic Volumes and Isoperimetric Inequalities for de Sitter Black Holes*, Phys. Rev. D **87**, no. 10, 104017 (2013) [[arXiv:1301.5926](#) [[hep-th](#)]].
- [41] M. Cvetič, G. W. Gibbons, D. Kubizňák and C. N. Pope, *Black Hole Enthalpy and an Entropy Inequality for the Thermodynamic Volume*, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 024037 (2011) [[arXiv:1012.2888](#) [[hep-th](#)]].
- [42] G. Bernardi de Freitas and H. S. Reall, *Algebraically special solutions in AdS/CFT*, JHEP **1406**, 148 (2014) [[arXiv:1403.3537](#) [[hep-th](#)]].
- [43] J. Zhang, Y. Li and H. Yu, *Thermodynamics of charged accelerating AdS black holes and*

holographic heat engines, arXiv:1808.10299 [hep-th].

[44] K. Dutta, S. Ray and J. Traschen, *Boost mass and the mechanics of accelerated black holes*,
Class. Quant. Grav. **23**, 335 (2006) [hep-th/0508041].

[45] G. T. Horowitz, J. E. Santos and C. Toldo, *Deforming black holes in AdS*,
arXiv:1809.04081 [hep-th].