

SOME REMARKS ON POHOZAEV-TYPE IDENTITIES

FRANCESCA DA LIO

ABSTRACT. The aim of this note is to discuss in more detail the Pohozaev-type identities that have been recently established by the author, P. Laurain and T. Rivière in [3] in the framework of half-harmonic maps defined either on \mathbb{R} or on the sphere S^1 with values into a closed manifold $\mathcal{N}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^m$. Weak half-harmonic maps are critical points of the following nonlocal energy

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1/2}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(-\Delta)^{1/4} u|^2 dx \quad \text{or} \quad \mathcal{L}_{S^1}^{1/2}(u) := \int_{S^1} |(-\Delta)^{1/4} u|^2 d\theta.$$

If u is a sufficiently smooth critical point of (1) then it satisfies the following equation of stationarity

$$(2) \quad \frac{du}{dx} \cdot (-\Delta)^{1/2} u = 0 \quad \text{a.e in } \mathbb{R} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \cdot (-\Delta)^{1/2} u = 0 \quad \text{a.e in } S^1.$$

As it was announced in [3], by using the invariance of (2) in S^1 with respect to the trace of the Möbius transformations of the 2 dimensional disk we derive a countable family of relations involving the Fourier coefficients of weak half-harmonic maps $u: S^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^n$. In the same spirit we also provide as many Pohozaev-type identities in 2-D for stationary harmonic maps as conformal vector fields in \mathbb{R}^2 generated by holomorphic functions.

2010 MSC. 35R11, 58E20, 30C20, 42A16.

KEYWORDS. Fractional harmonic maps, harmonic maps, Möbius transformations, Pohozaev formulas, Fourier coefficients.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of weak $1/2$ -harmonic maps into a n -dimensional closed (compact without boundary) manifold $\mathcal{N}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ has been introduced by Tristan Rivière and the author in [7, 8]. Since then the theory of fractional harmonic maps have received a lot of attention in view of their application to important geometrical problems (see e.g [2, 6] for an overview of the theory).

These maps are critical points of the fractional energy on \mathbb{R}^k

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{L}^{1/2}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} |(-\Delta)^{1/4} u|^2 dx^k$$

within

$$\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{N}^n) := \left\{ u \in \dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^m) ; u(x) \in \mathcal{N}^n \text{ for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^k \right\}.$$

Precisely they satisfy

$$(4) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{L}^{1/2}(\Pi(u + t\varphi)) \right|_{t=0} = 0,$$

where $\varphi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^m)$, $\Pi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^n$ is any fixed C^2 projection, defined on some tubular neighborhood \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{N}^n .

The homogeneous fractional Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^m)$ can be defined as follows

$$\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^k) := \left\{ u \in L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^k) : \|u\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^k)}^2 := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2k}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^2} dx^k dy^k < \infty \right\}.$$

The fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{1/4}u$ can be defined by

$$(-\Delta)^{1/4}u := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\epsilon^2 + 4\pi^2|\xi|^2)^{1/4} \mathcal{F}u],$$

provided that the limit exists in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$.⁽¹⁾

We observe that if $u \in \dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^m)$, then $(-\Delta)^{1/4}u$ exists, lies in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^k)$ and is given by

$$(-\Delta)^{1/4}u = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[(2\pi|\xi|)^{1/2} \widehat{u} \right],$$

(see for instance Lemma B.5 in [5] and the references therein).

Weak 1/2-harmonic maps satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$(5) \quad \nu(u) \wedge (-\Delta)^{1/2}u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^k),$$

⁽¹⁾We denote respectively by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ the spaces of (real or complex) Schwartz functions. Given a function $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, we denote either by $\hat{\varphi}$ or by $\mathcal{F}\varphi$ the Fourier transform of φ , i.e.

$$\hat{\varphi}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x) e^{-2\pi i \xi x} dx.$$

where $\nu(z)$ is the Gauss Map at $z \in \mathcal{N}^n$ taking values into the grassmannian $\tilde{G}r_{m-n}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ of oriented $m - n$ planes in \mathbb{R}^m which is given by the oriented normal $m - n$ -plane to $T_z \mathcal{N}^n$.

One of the main result in [8] is the following Theorem

Theorem 1.1. *Let \mathcal{N}^n be a C^2 closed submanifold of \mathbb{R}^m and let $u \in \dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N}^n)$ be a weak $1/2$ -harmonic map into \mathcal{N}^n . Then $u \in \bigcap_{0 < \delta < 1} C_{loc}^{0, \delta}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N}^n)$. \square*

Finally a bootstrap argument leads to the following result (see [5] for the details of this argument).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{N}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be a C^k closed submanifold of \mathbb{R}^m , with $k \geq 2$, and let $u \in \dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N}^n)$ be a weak $\frac{1}{2}$ -harmonic. Then*

$$u \in \bigcap_{0 < \delta < 1} C_{loc}^{k-1, \delta}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N}^n).$$

In particular, if \mathcal{N}^n is C^∞ then $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N}^n)$. \square

We remark that if $\mathcal{P}_{-i}: S^1 \setminus \{-i\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{P}_{-i}(\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta)) = \frac{\cos(\theta)}{1 + \sin(\theta)}$ is the classical stereographic projection whose inverse is given by

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{P}_{-i}^{-1}(x) = \frac{2x}{1 + x^2} + i \left(-1 + \frac{2}{1 + x^2} \right),$$

then the following relation between the $1/2$ -Laplacian in \mathbb{R} and in S^1 holds:

Proposition 1.1. *Given $u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, we set $v := u \circ \mathcal{P}_{-i}: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. Then $u \in L_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R})^{(2)}$ if and only if $v \in L^1(S^1)$. In this case*

$$(7) \quad (-\Delta)_{S^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} v(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{((-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} u)(\mathcal{P}_{-i}(e^{i\theta}))}{1 + \sin \theta} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(S^1 \setminus \{-i\}).$$

Observe that $(1 + \sin(\theta))^{-1} = |\mathcal{P}'_{-i}(\theta)|$, hence we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} (-\Delta)_{S^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} v(e^{i\theta}) \varphi(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-\Delta)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\frac{1}{2}} u(x) \varphi(\mathcal{P}_{-i}^{-1}(x)) dx \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(S^1 \setminus \{-i\}).$$

⁽²⁾We recall that $L_{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}) := \left\{ u \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}) : \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|u(x)|}{1+x^2} dx < \infty \right\}$

For the proof of Proposition 1.1 we refer for instance to [2] or [4].

From Proposition 1.1 it follows that $u \in \dot{H}^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})$ is a $1/2$ -harmonic map in \mathbb{R} if and only if $v := u \circ \mathcal{P}_{-i} \in \dot{H}^{1/2}(S^1)$ is a $1/2$ harmonic map in S^1 .

In the study of quantization properties of half-harmonic maps we established in [3] new **Pohozaev-type identities** for the half Laplacian in one dimension, that we are going to present below.

We first consider the fundamental solution G of the fractional heat equation:

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t G + (-\Delta)^{1/2} G = 0 & x \in \mathbb{R}, t > 0 \\ G(0, x) = \delta_0 & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is given by

$$G(t, x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{t}{x^2 + t^2}.$$

The following equalities hold

$$\partial_t G = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{x^2 - t^2}{(t^2 + x^2)^2}, \quad \partial_x G = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{2xt}{(t^2 + x^2)^2}.$$

Theorem 1.3. [Pohozaev Identity in \mathbb{R}] *Let $u \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be such that*

$$(9) \quad \frac{du}{dx} \cdot (-\Delta)^{1/2} u = 0 \quad \text{a.e in } \mathbb{R}.$$

Assume that for some $u_0 \in R$

$$(10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |u - u_0| dx < +\infty, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{du}{dx}(x) \right| dx < +\infty.$$

Then the following identity holds

$$(11) \quad \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_t G(t, x)(u(x) - u_0) dx \right|^2 = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x G(t, x)(u(x) - u_0) dx \right|^2 \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad \square$$

We get an analogous formula in S^1 . By identifying S^1 with $[-\pi, \pi)$ we consider the following problem

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t F + (-\Delta)^{1/2} F = 0 & \theta \in [-\pi, \pi), t > 0 \\ F(0, \theta) = \delta_0(x) & \theta \in [-\pi, \pi]. \end{cases}$$

The solution of (12) is given by

$$F(\theta, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-t|n|} e^{in\theta} = \frac{e^{2t} - 1}{e^{2t} - 2e^t \cos(\theta) + 1}.$$

In this case we have

$$\partial_t F(t, \theta) = -2e^t \frac{e^{2t} \cos(\theta) - 2e^t + \cos(\theta)}{(e^{2t} - 2e^t \cos(\theta) + 1)^2}$$

and

$$\partial_\theta F(t, \theta) = -2e^t \frac{\sin(\theta)(e^{2t} - 1)}{(e^{2t} - 2e^t \cos(\theta) + 1)^2}.$$

Then the following holds

Theorem 1.4. [Pohozaev Identity on S^1] *Let $u \in W^{1,2}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^m)$ be such that*

$$(13) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \cdot (-\Delta)^{1/2} u = 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } S^1.$$

Then the following identity holds

$$(14) \quad \left| \int_{S^1} u(z) \partial_t F(z) d\theta \right|^2 = \left| \int_{S^1} u(z) \partial_\theta F(z) d\theta \right|^2.$$

From (14) one deduces in particular that

$$(15) \quad \left| \int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\theta}) \cos(\theta) d\theta \right|^2 = \left| \int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\theta}) \sin(\theta) d\theta \right|^2. \quad \square$$

For the proof of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 we refer the reader to [3].

We could have solved (8) by requiring $G(0, x) = \delta_{x_0}$, with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and we would have obtained as many corresponding Pohozaev-type formulas.

We observe that if u is a smooth critical point of (3) in \mathbb{R} then it is *stationary* as well, namely it is critical with respect to the variation of the domain:

$$(16) \quad \left(\frac{d}{da} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(-\Delta)^{1/4} \Pi(u(x + aX(x)))|^2 dx \right) \Big|_{a=0} = \left(\frac{d}{da} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(-\Delta)^{1/4} (u(x + aX(x)))|^2 dx \right) \Big|_{a=0} = 0$$

where $X: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is a $C_c^1(R^2)$ vector field.

Actually any variation the form $u(x + aX(x)) = u(x) + a \frac{du(x)}{dx} X(x) + o(a^2)$ can be interpreted as being a variation in the target with $\varphi(x) = \frac{du(x)}{dx} X(x)$.

From (16) we get the so-called equation of stationarity:

$$0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} [(-\Delta)^{1/2}(u(x+aX(x))) \cdot \frac{d}{da}(u(x+aX(x)))] \Big|_{a=0} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-\Delta)^{1/2}(u(x)) \cdot \frac{du(x)}{dx} X(x) dx.$$

By the arbitrariness of X and the smoothness of u from (17) we deduce that

$$(17) \quad (-\Delta)^{1/2}u(x) \cdot \frac{du}{dx}(x) = 0 \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In an analogous way if u is a smooth critical point of the fractional energy (3) in S^1 , it also satisfies

$$(18) \quad \left(\frac{d}{da} \int_{S^1} |(-\Delta)^{1/4}(u(z+aX(z)))|^2 d\sigma(z) \right) \Big|_{a=0} = 0$$

where $X: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is $C^1(S^1)$ vector field.

$$(19) \quad (-\Delta)^{1/2}(u(z)) \cdot \partial_z u(z) = 0 \quad z \in S^1.$$

Therefore the assumptions of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 are satisfied by sufficiently smooth 1/2-harmonic maps.

We have now to give some explanations why these identities belong to the *Pohozaev identities* family. These identities are produced by the conformal invariance of the highest order derivative term in the Lagrangian from which the Euler Lagrange is issued. For instance the Dirichlet energy

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{L}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u|^2 dx^2$$


is conformal invariant in 2-D, whereas the following fractional energy

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}^{1/2}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(-\Delta)^{1/4}u|^2 dx$$

is conformal invariant in 1-D. The infinitesimal perturbations issued from the dilations produce in (20) and (21) respectively the following infinitesimal variations of these highest order terms

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 x_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \Delta u \quad \text{in 2-D} \quad \text{and} \quad x \frac{du}{dx} \cdot (-\Delta)^{1/2}u \quad \text{in 1-D}$$

Such kind of perturbations play an important role in establishing Pohozaev-type identities.



$$\int_{\partial B(0,r) \cap R_+^2} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \theta} d\theta = (u(r) - u(0)) - (u(-r) - u(0)) = (u - u(0))^- (r)$$

$$\int_{\partial B(0,r) \cap R_+^2} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial r} dr = u(r) + u(-r) - 2u(0) = (u - u(0))^+ (r)$$

FIGURE 1. Link between the symmetric and antisymmetric part of u and the integral of the radial and tangential derivative of any extension \tilde{u} of u on upper half plane R_+^2

In two dimensions, integrating the identity (17) on a ball $B(x_0, r)$ ($x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2, r > 0$) gives the following *balancing law* between the *radial* part and the *angular* part of the energy classically known as **Pohozaev identity**.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $u \in W_{loc}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that*

$$(22) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \Delta u = 0 \quad \text{a.e in } B(0, 1)$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Then it holds

$$(23) \quad \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} \left| \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right|^2 d\theta = \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right|^2 d\theta$$

for all $r \in [0, 1]$.

In 1 dimension one might wonder what corresponds to the 2 dimensional dichotomy between *radial* and *angular* parts. Figure 1 is intended to illustrate the following correspondence of dichotomies respectively in 1 and 2 dimensions.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
2\text{-D} & \longleftrightarrow & 1\text{-D} \\
\text{radial : } \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} & \longleftrightarrow & \text{symmetric part of } u : u^+(x) := \frac{u(x)+u(-x)}{2} \\
\text{angular : } \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} & \longleftrightarrow & \text{antisymmetric part of } u : u^-(x) := \frac{u(x)-u(-x)}{2}
\end{array}$$

In this note we make the observation that by exploiting the invariance of the equation (13) with respect to the trace of Möbius transformations of the disk in \mathbb{R}^2 of the form $M_{\alpha,a}(z) := e^{i\alpha} \frac{z-a}{1-\bar{a}z}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, a \in (-1, 1)$ ⁽³⁾ we can derive from (15) a countable family of relations involving the Fourier coefficients of solutions of (13). This fact has been already announced in the paper [3]. We heard that the proof of this property has been recently obtained also in the work of preparation [1] by using a different approach.

Given $u: S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ we define its Fourier coefficients for every $k \geq 0$:

$$\begin{cases} a_k := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\theta}) \cos k\theta \, d\theta \\ b_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\theta}) \sin k\theta \, d\theta. \end{cases}$$

The following result holds.

Proposition 1.2. [Relations of the Fourier coefficients on S^1] *Let $u \in W^{1,2}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfy (13). Then for every $n \geq 2$ it holds*

$$(25) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)k(a_k a_{n-k} - b_k b_{n-k}) = 0$$

and

$$(26) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)k(a_k b_{n-k} + b_k a_{n-k}) = 0. \quad \square$$

⁽³⁾We recall that since $M_{\alpha,a}(z)$ is conformal with $M'_{\alpha,a}(z) \neq 0$ we have

$$(24) \quad (-\Delta)^{1/2}(u \circ M_{\alpha,a}(z)) = (-\Delta)^{1/2}u_a = e^{\lambda_{\alpha,a}}((-\Delta)^{1/2}u) \circ M_{\alpha,a}(z),$$

where $\lambda_{\alpha,a}(z) = \log(|\frac{\partial M_{\alpha,a}}{\partial \theta}(z)|)$, $z \in S^1$

We conclude this introduction by mentioning that in the paper [9] the authors obtains a different Pohozaev identity for bounded weak solutions to the following problem

$$(27) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s u = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \end{cases}$$

where $s \in (0, 1)$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain. As a consequence of their Pohozaev identity they get nonexistence results for problem (27) with supercritical nonlinearity in star-shaped domains.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we prove Proposition 1.2 and in section 3 we provide infinite many Pohozaev formulas for stationary harmonic maps in 2-D in correspondence to conformal vector fields in \mathbb{C} generated by the holomorphic functions. In particular we will prove a generalization of Theorem 1.5. This can be useful for different purposes.

2. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.2.

From Theorem 1.4 it follows that u satisfies in particular

$$(28) \quad \left| \int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\theta}) \cos(\theta) d\theta \right|^2 = \left| \int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\theta}) \sin(\theta) d\theta \right|^2$$

We can rewrite (28) as follows

$$(29) \quad \left| \int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\theta}) \Re(de^{i\theta}) \right|^2 = \left| \int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\theta}) \Im(de^{i\theta}) \right|^2.$$

Given $a \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|a| < 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we consider the Möbius map $M_{\alpha,a}(z) := e^{i\alpha} \frac{z-a}{1-az}$ and we define

$$u_{a,\alpha}(e^{i\theta}) := u \circ M_{\alpha,a}(z).$$

Since the condition (13) is invariant with respect to Möbius transformations for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and for every $a \in (-1, 1)$ we get

$$(30) \quad \left| \int_0^{2\pi} u \left(e^{i\alpha} \frac{z-a}{1-az} \right) \Re(de^{i\theta}) \right|^2 = \left| \int_0^{2\pi} u \left(e^{i\alpha} \frac{z-a}{1-az} \right) \Im(de^{i\theta}) \right|^2.$$

or equivalently

$$(31) \quad \left| \Re \left(\int_0^{2\pi} u \left(e^{i\alpha} \frac{e^{i\theta}-a}{1-ae^{i\theta}} \right) de^{i\theta} \right) \right|^2 = \left| \Im \left(\int_0^{2\pi} u \left(e^{i\alpha} \frac{e^{i\theta}-a}{1-ae^{i\theta}} \right) de^{i\theta} \right) \right|^2.$$

We set

$$e^{i\varphi} := e^{i\alpha} \frac{e^{i\theta} - a}{1 - ae^{i\theta}},$$

which implies that

$$(32) \quad e^{i\theta} = \frac{e^{i(\varphi-\alpha)} + a}{1 + ae^{i(\varphi-\alpha)}}$$

$$(33) \quad d(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{1 - a^2}{(1 + ae^{i(\varphi-\alpha)})^2} d(e^{i(\varphi-\alpha)})$$

By plugging (32) and (33) into (31) and dividing by $(1 - a^2)$ we get

$$(34) \quad \left| \Re \left(\int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\varphi}) \frac{e^{-i\alpha}}{(1 + ae^{i(\varphi-\alpha)})^2} d(e^{i\varphi}) \right) \right|^2 = \left| \Im \left(\int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\varphi}) \frac{e^{-i\alpha}}{(1 + ae^{i(\varphi-\alpha)})^2} d(e^{i\varphi}) \right) \right|^2.$$

Observe that for all $|z| < 1$ we have

$$\frac{z}{(1+z)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(-1)^{n-1} z^n$$

In particular

$$(35) \quad \frac{e^{i(\varphi-\alpha)}}{(1 + ae^{i(\varphi-\alpha)})^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(-1)^{n-1} a^{n-1} e^{in(\varphi-\alpha)}$$

and

$$(36) \quad \Re \left(\frac{e^{i(\varphi-\alpha)}}{(1 + ae^{i(\varphi-\alpha)})^2} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(-1)^{n-1} a^{n-1} \cos(n(\varphi - \alpha))$$

$$(37) \quad \Im \left(\frac{e^{i(\varphi-\alpha)}}{(1 + ae^{i(\varphi-\alpha)})^2} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(-1)^{n-1} a^{n-1} \sin(n(\varphi - \alpha))$$

We can write

$$(38) \quad \left| \Re \left(\int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\varphi}) \frac{e^{i(\varphi-\alpha)}}{(1 + ae^{i(\varphi-\alpha)})^2} d\varphi \right) \right|^2 \\ = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} a^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)k \left(\int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\varphi}) \cos(k(\varphi - \alpha)) d\varphi \right) \left(\int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\varphi}) \cos((n-k)(\varphi - \alpha)) d\varphi \right)$$

and

(39)

$$\left| \Im \left(\int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\varphi}) \frac{e^{i(\varphi-\alpha)}}{(1 + ae^{i(\varphi-\alpha)})^2} d\varphi \right) \right|^2$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n a^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)k \left(\int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\varphi}) \sin(k(\varphi-\alpha)) d\varphi \right) \left(\int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\varphi}) \sin((n-k)(\varphi-\alpha)) d\varphi \right)$$

The identity (34) and the relations (38), (39) imply that for every $n \geq 2$ we obtain the following identities

(40)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)k \left(\int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\varphi}) \cos(k(\varphi-\alpha)) d\varphi \right) \left(\int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\varphi}) \cos((n-k)(\varphi-\alpha)) d\varphi \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)k \left(\int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\varphi}) \sin(k(\varphi-\alpha)) d\varphi \right) \left(\int_0^{2\pi} u(e^{i\varphi}) \sin((n-k)(\varphi-\alpha)) d\varphi \right).$$

From (40) we can deduce a countable family of relations between the Fourier coefficients of the map u . Precisely if we set for every $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{cases} a_n := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(e^\theta) \cos n\theta \, d\theta \\ b_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(e^\theta) \sin n\theta \, d\theta, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)k [(\cos(k\alpha)a_k + \sin(k\alpha)b_k) (\cos((n-k)\alpha)a_{n-k} + \sin((n-k)\alpha)b_{n-k})$$

$$- (\cos(k\alpha)b_k - \sin(k\alpha)a_k) (\cos((n-k)\alpha)b_{n-k} - \sin((n-k)\alpha)a_{n-k})] = 0$$

The identity (41) can be rewritten as follows

(42)

$$\cos(n\alpha) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)k (a_k a_{n-k} - b_k b_{n-k}) \right) + \sin(n\alpha) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k)k (a_k b_{n-k} + b_k a_{n-k}) \right) = 0.$$

The relation (42) yields (25) and (26) because of the linear dependence of $\cos(n\alpha)$ and $\sin(n\alpha)$.

We observe that for $n = 2$ we obtain:

$$(43) \quad (|a_1|^2 - |b_1|^2) \cos(2\alpha) - 2a_1 \cdot b_1 \sin(2\alpha) = 0.$$

Since $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is arbitrary we get

$$\begin{cases} |a_1| = |b_1| \\ a_1 \cdot b_1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

If $n = 3$ we get

$$(44) \quad 4(a_1 \cdot a_2 - b_1 \cdot b_2) \cos(3\alpha) - 4(a_1 \cdot b_2 + b_1 \cdot a_2) \sin(3\alpha) = 0.$$

The relation (44) gives

$$\begin{cases} a_1 \cdot a_2 = b_1 \cdot b_2 \\ a_1 \cdot b_2 = -a_2 \cdot b_1. \end{cases}$$

If $n = 4$ we get

$$\begin{cases} |a_2|^2 - |b_2|^2 = \frac{3}{2}(b_1 \cdot b_3 - a_1 \cdot a_3) \\ a_2 \cdot b_2 = -\frac{3}{4}(a_1 \cdot b_3 + b_1 \cdot a_3). \end{cases}$$

We can conclude the proof. \square

3. POHOZAEV IDENTITIES FOR THE LAPLACIAN IN \mathbb{R}^2

In this section we derive Pohozaev identities in 2-D in the same spirit of the previous section. In the following Theorem 3.1 we combine ideas from [10] and [11]. Precisely we multiply the equation satisfied for instance by sufficiently smooth harmonic maps by the fundamental solution of the heat equation and a holomorphic vector field $X: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

We mention that the use of the fundamental solution to get Pohozaev-type identities and monotonicity formulas has been performed in [11] to study the heat flow. In Chapter 9 of [10] the authors derived in the context of Ginzburg-Landau equation generalized Pohozaev identities for the so-called ρ -conformal vector fields $X = (X^1, \dots, X^n)$, where ρ is a given function defined in a 2 dimensional domain. In the case $\rho \equiv 1$ then the ρ -conformal vector fields are exactly conformal vector fields generated by the holomorphic functions.

We recall that the fundamental solution of the heat equation

$$(45) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t G + (-\Delta)G = 0 & t > 0 \\ G(0, x) = \delta_{x_0} & t = 0. \end{cases}$$

is given by $G(x, t) = (4\pi t)^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}}$.

Theorem 3.1. *[Pohozev in \mathbb{R}^2] Let $u \in W_{loc}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that*

$$(46) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \Delta u = 0 \quad a.e \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Assume that

$$(47) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx < +\infty.$$

Then for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $t > 0$ and every $X = X_1 + iX_2: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic function the following identity holds

$$(48) \quad 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} |x - x_0| \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \cdot \frac{\partial u}{\partial X} \right) dx = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} ((x - x_0) \cdot X) |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

In the particular case where $X = x - x_0$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ then for all $t > 0$ the following identity holds

$$(49) \quad \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} |x - x_0|^2 \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 dx^2 = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right|^2 dx^2.$$

Proof. We multiply the equation (46) by $X_i e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}}$ and we integrate⁽⁴⁾

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{k,i=1}^2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} X_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2} dx \\
&= \sum_{k,i=1}^2 \frac{1}{2t} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} (x_k - x_{k,0}) X_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} dx \\
&\quad - \sum_{k,i=1}^2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} dx \\
&\quad - \sum_{k,i=1}^2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} X_i \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k \partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} dx \\
(50) \quad &= \frac{1}{2t} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} (X \cdot \nabla u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} |x - x_0| dx \\
&\quad - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} \left[\underbrace{\frac{\partial X_1}{\partial x_1}}_{\frac{\partial X_1}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial x_2}} |\nabla u|^2 + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\partial X_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial X_2}{\partial x_1} \right)}_{=0} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_2} \right) \right] \\
&\quad - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} X_i \frac{1}{2} \partial_{x_i} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2t} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} (X \cdot \nabla u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} |x - x_0| dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{4t} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} (x - x_0) \cdot X |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&\quad - \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} \frac{\partial X_1}{\partial x_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial x_i} |\nabla u|^2 dx
\end{aligned}$$

By using the fact that

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial x_i} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} \frac{\partial X_1}{\partial x_1} |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

⁽⁴⁾We use the Einstein summation convention

we obtain from (50) that

$$(51) \quad 2 \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} (X \cdot \nabla u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} |x - x_0| dx = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} (x - x_0) \cdot X |\nabla u|^2 dx.$$

In particular if $X = (x - x_0)$ by using that $\nabla u = (\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}, |x - x_0|^{-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta})$, from (51) we get the identity

$$(52) \quad \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} |x - x_0|^2 \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 dx = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{-\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{4t}} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right|^2 dx$$

and we conclude. \square

In Theorem 3.2 we get infinite many Pohozaev identities over balls in correspondence to holomorphic vector fields $X = X_1 + iX_2: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for maps $u \in W_{loc}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^m)$ satisfying (46)

Theorem 3.2. *[Pohozev in \mathbb{R}^2 - Ball Case] Let $u \in W_{loc}^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathbb{R}^m)$ such that*

$$(53) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \cdot \Delta u = 0 \quad a.e \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^2$$

for $i = 1, 2$. Then for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $r > 0$ and every $X = X_1 + iX_2: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic function the following identity holds

$$(54) \quad 2 \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \nabla u \cdot X dx = \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} X \cdot \nu |\nabla u|^2 dx$$

In the particular case where $X = x - x_0$ with $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then for all $r > 0$ the following identity holds

$$(55) \quad 2 \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 d\sigma = \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} |\nabla u|^2 d\sigma.$$

or

$$(56) \quad \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 d\sigma = \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right|^2 d\sigma$$

Proof. We multiply the equation (53) by X_i and we integrate over $B(x_0, r)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (57) \quad 0 &= \int_{B(x_0, r)} X_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_k^2} dx \\
 &= \int_{B(x_0, r)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(X_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right) dx \\
 &\quad - \int_{B(x_0, r)} \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} dx \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(x_0, r)} X_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
 &= \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} (X \cdot \nabla u) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right) d\sigma \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2r} \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} X \cdot (x - x_0) |\nabla u|^2 dx \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(x_0, r)} \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial x_i} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{B(x_0, r)} \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

By using the Cauchy Riemann equations one deduces that the last term in (57) is zero and therefore

$$\int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} (X \cdot \nabla u) (\nabla u \cdot (x - x_0)) d\sigma = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial B(x_0, r)} X \cdot (x - x_0) |\nabla u|^2 d\sigma.$$

and we conclude. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] X. Cabré, A. Mas, J. Solà-Morales, *Periodic solutions of integro-differential and dispersive equations I: variational and Hamiltonian structure*, Work in preparation.
- [2] F. Da Lio, *Fractional Harmonic Maps*, Recent Developments in Nonlocal Theory Ed. by Palatucci, Giampiero / Kuusi, Tuomo, De Gruyter, 2018.
- [3] F. Da Lio, P. Laurain, T. Rivière, *A Pohozaev-type Formula and Quantization of Horizontal Half-Harmonic Maps*, arXiv:1607.05504.
- [4] F. Da Lio, L. Martinazzi, T. Rivière, *Blow-up analysis of a nonlocal Liouville-type equation*, arXiv:1503.08701, APDE Vol.8, no 7 (2015), 1757-1805.
- [5] F. Da Lio, A. Pigati, *Free Boundary Surfaces: A nonlocal Approach*, arXiv:1712.04683.
- [6] F. Da Lio, T. Riviere, A. Schikorra, *Regularity theory for harmonic maps into manifolds. Local, Nonlocal and Applications*, book in preparation.

- [7] F. Da Lio , T. Rivière, *3-Commutators Estimates and the Regularity of 1/2-Harmonic Maps into Spheres*, APDE 4-1 (2011), 149–190. DOI 10.2140/apde.2011.4.149.
- [8] F. Da Lio, T. Rivière, *Sub-criticality of non-local Schrödinger systems with antisymmetric potentials and applications to half-harmonic maps*, Advances in Mathematics 227, (2011), 1300-1348.
- [9] X. Ros-Oton, J. Serra, *The Pohozaev identity for the fractional Laplacian*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 213 (2014), no. 2, 587628.
- [10] F. Pacard, T. Rivière, *Linear and nonlinear aspects of vortices. The Ginzburg-Landau model*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 39. Birkhäuser Boston.
- [11] M. Struwe, *On the evolution of harmonic maps in higher dimensions*. J. Differential Geom. Volume 28, Number 3 (1988), 485–502.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ETH ZÜRICH, RÄMISTRASSE 101, 8092 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND
E-mail address: fdalio@math.ethz.ch