

Plug-In Stochastic Gradient Method

Yu Sun*, Brendt Wohlberg†, and Ulugbek S. Kamilov*‡

* Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis, MO 63130, USA

† Los Alamos National Laboratory, Theoretical Division, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

‡ Department of Electrical and Systems Engineering, Washington University in St. Louis, MO 63130, USA

Abstract—Plug-and-play priors (PnP) is a popular framework for regularized signal reconstruction by using advanced denoisers within an iterative algorithm. In this paper, we discuss our recent online variant of PnP [1] that uses only a subset of measurements at every iteration, which makes it scalable to very large datasets. We additionally present novel convergence results for both batch and online PnP algorithms.

I. INTRODUCTION

Consider the problem of estimating an unknown signal $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ from a set of noisy measurements $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. This task is often formulated as an optimization problem

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}) \} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{D} is the data-fidelity term and \mathcal{R} is the regularizer promoting solutions with desirable properties. Some popular regularizers in imaging include nonnegativity, sparsity, and self-similarity.

Two common algorithms for solving optimization problem (1) are fast iterative shrinkage/thresholding algorithm (FISTA) [2] and alternating direction method of multipliers (ADMM) [3]. These algorithms are suitable for solving large-scale imaging problems due to their low-computational complexity and ability to handle the non-smoothness of \mathcal{R} . Both ISTA and ADMM have modular structures in the sense that the prior on the image is only imposed via the proximal operator defined as

$$\text{prox}_{\gamma \mathcal{R}}(\mathbf{y}) = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 + \gamma \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}) \right\}. \quad (2)$$

The mathematical equivalence of the proximal operator to regularized image denoising has recently inspired Venkatakrishnan et al. [4] to replace it with a more general denoising operator $\text{denoise}_\sigma(\cdot)$ of controllable strength $\sigma > 0$. The original formulation of this *plug-and-play priors (PnP) framework* relies on ADMM, but it has recently been shown that it can be equally effective when used with other proximal algorithms. For example,

$$\mathbf{x}^k \leftarrow \text{denoise}_\sigma(\mathbf{s}^{k-1} - \gamma \nabla \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{s}^{k-1})) \quad (3a)$$

$$\mathbf{s}^k \leftarrow \mathbf{x}^k + ((q_{k-1} - 1)/q_k)(\mathbf{x}^k - \mathbf{x}^{k-1}), \quad (3b)$$

where $q_k \leftarrow 1$ and $q_k \leftarrow \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4q_{k-1}^2})$ lead to PnP-ISTA and PnP-FISTA, respectively, and $\gamma > 0$ is the step-size [5].

In many applications, the data-fidelity term consists of a large number of component functions

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbf{x}) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \nabla \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \nabla \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbf{x}), \quad (4)$$

where each \mathcal{D}_i and $\nabla \mathcal{D}_i$ depend only on a subset of the measurements \mathbf{y} . Hence, when $k \geq 1$ is large, traditional batch PnP algorithms may become impractical in terms of speed or memory requirements. The central idea of the recent PnP-SGD method [1] is




Fig. 1. Comparison between the batch and online PnP algorithms under a fixed measurement budget of 10 (left) and 30 (right). SNR (dB) is plotted against the number of iterations for three algorithms: PnP-SGD, PnP-FISTA, and PnP-ADMM. For the same per iteration cost, PnP-SGD can significantly outperform its batch counterparts. For a detailed discussion see [1].

to approximate the full gradient in (3a) with an average of $b \ll k$ component gradients

$$\hat{\nabla} \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{b} \sum_{j=1}^b \nabla \mathcal{D}_{i_j}(\mathbf{x}), \quad (5)$$

where i_1, \dots, i_b are independent random variables that are distributed uniformly over $\{1, \dots, k\}$. The *minibatch* size parameter $b \geq 1$ controls the number of per-iteration gradient components.

We denote the denoiser-gradient operator by

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq \text{denoise}_\sigma(\mathbf{x} - \gamma \hat{\nabla} \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})) \quad (6)$$

and its set of fixed points by

$$\text{fix}(\mathbf{P}) \triangleq \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}) \}. \quad (7)$$

The convergence of both batch PnP-FISTA and online PnP-SGD was extensively discussed in [1]. In particular, when \mathcal{D} is convex and smooth, and $\text{denoise}_\sigma(\cdot)$ is an averaged operator (as well as other mild assumptions), it is possible to theoretically establish that the iterates of PnP-ISTA (without acceleration) gets arbitrarily close to $\text{fix}(\mathbf{P})$ with rate $O(1/t)$. Additionally, it is possible to establish that, in expectation, the iterates of PnP-SGD can also be made arbitrarily close to $\text{fix}(\mathbf{P})$ by increasing the minibatch parameter b . As illustrated in Fig. 1, this makes PnP-SGD useful and mathematically sound alternative to the traditional batch PnP algorithms.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Sun, B. Wohlberg, and U. S. Kamilov, "An online plug-and-play algorithm for regularized image reconstruction," arXiv:1809.04693 [cs.CV], 2018.
- [2] A. Beck and M. Teboulle, "Fast gradient-based algorithm for constrained total variation image denoising and deblurring problems," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, 2009.
- [3] M. V. Afonso, J. M. Bioucas-Dias, and M. A. T. Figueiredo, "Fast image recovery using variable splitting and constrained optimization," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, 2010.
- [4] S. V. Venkatakrishnan, C. A. Bouman, and B. Wohlberg, "Plug-and-play priors for model based reconstruction," in *Proc. GlobalSIP*, 2013.
- [5] U. S. Kamilov, H. Mansour, and B. Wohlberg, "A plug-and-play priors approach for solving nonlinear imaging inverse problems," *IEEE Signal. Proc. Lett.*, 2017.