

Boundedness and decay for the Teukolsky system of spin ± 2 on Reissner-Nordström spacetime: the case $|Q| \ll M$

Elena Giorgi*

September 4, 2020

Abstract

We prove boundedness and decay statements for solutions to the spin ± 2 generalized Teukolsky system on a Reissner–Nordström background with very small charge. The first equation of the system is the generalization of the Teukolsky equation in Schwarzschild for the extreme component of the curvature α . The second equation, coupled with the first one, is a new equation for a new gauge-invariant quantity involving the electromagnetic curvature components. The proof is based on the use of derived quantities, introduced in previous works on linear stability of Schwarzschild [16]. These derived quantities are shown to verify a generalized coupled Regge–Wheeler system.

These equations are the ones verified by the extreme null curvature and electromagnetic components of a gravitational and electromagnetic perturbation of the Reissner–Nordström spacetime. Consequently, as in the Schwarzschild case, these bounds provide the first step in proving the full linear stability of the Reissner–Nordström metric for small charge to coupled gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	The Teukolsky-type equations	5
1.2	The main result and first comments on the proof	7
1.3	Outline of the paper	10
2	The Einstein–Maxwell equations in null frames	11
2.1	Preliminaries	11
2.2	Ricci coefficients, curvature and electromagnetic components	12
2.3	The Einstein–Maxwell equations	13
3	The Reissner–Nordström spacetime	17
3.1	Differential structure and metric	17
3.2	Null frames: Ricci coefficients and curvature components	20
3.3	Killing fields of the Reissner–Nordström metric	22
3.4	Reissner–Nordström background operators and commutation identities	22

*Gravity Initiative, Princeton University, egiorgi@princeton.edu

4	The linearized Einstein-Maxwell equations	23
4.1	Linearised null structure equations	24
4.2	Linearised Maxwell equations	25
4.3	Linearised Bianchi identities	25
5	Gauge-invariant quantities	26
6	Generalized spin ± 2-Teukolsky and Regge-Wheeler systems	27
6.1	Generalized spin ± 2 Teukolsky system	28
6.2	Generalized Regge-Wheeler system	28
6.3	Well-posedness	29
7	Chandrasekhar transformation into Regge-Wheeler	30
7.1	Relation with the higher order quantities defined in Schwarzschild	31
7.2	Relation with the linear stability of Reissner-Nordström spacetime	32
8	Energy quantities and statements of the main theorem	32
8.1	Definition of weighted energies	32
8.2	Precise statement of the Main Theorem	37
8.3	The logic of the proof	39
9	Estimates for the Regge-Wheeler equations separately	40
9.1	Preliminaries	40
9.2	Morawetz estimates	44
9.3	Red-shift estimate	53
9.4	Energy estimates	54
9.5	Improved Morawetz estimate	56
9.6	The r^p -hierarchy estimates	57
9.7	The inhomogeneous term	60
9.8	Higher order estimates	61
10	Combined estimates for the system of spin $+2$	62
10.1	Estimates for the coupling terms	63
10.2	Estimates for the lower order terms	70
11	Proof of the Main Theorem	77
11.1	Proof of estimate (118)	78
11.2	Proof of estimate (119)	78
11.3	Proof of estimates (120) and (121)	78
11.4	Proof of estimate (122)	79
11.5	The case spin -2	80
A	Derivation of the generalized Teukolsky system	82
A.1	Preliminaries	82
A.2	Spin ± 1 Teukolsky equations	83
A.3	Spin ± 2 Teukolsky equations	84

B Derivation of the generalized Regge-Wheeler system	87
B.1 Wave equation for q^F	89
B.2 Wave equation for q	90

1 Introduction

The problem of stability of the Kerr family in the context of the Einstein vacuum equations occupies a center stage in mathematical General Relativity, see for example the introductions of [16] and [37] for the formulation of the problem and discussions of the main difficulties.

An essential step in the program of settling the stability of Kerr conjecture is to understand the behavior of solutions to the so-called *Teukolsky equations*. These are wave equations verified by the extreme null components of the curvature tensor which decouple, to second order, from all other curvature components.

In linear theory, the Teukolsky equation, combined with cleverly chosen gauge conditions, allows one to prove the weakest version of stability, i.e the lack of exponentially growing modes for all curvature components. Extensive literature by the physics community covers these results (see [49], [6], [11], [50]). This weak version of stability is however not sufficient to prove boundedness and decay of the solution to the Teukolsky equation; one needs instead to derive sufficiently strong decay estimates to hope to apply them in the nonlinear framework.

The first important breakthrough in this direction was made by [16] in the context of the linear stability of the Schwarzschild metric. In their work, Dafermos, Holzegel and Rodnianski derive the first quantitative decay estimates for the Teukolsky equations in Schwarzschild and use them to prove the first quantitative stability result of the full linearized gravitational system around a fixed Schwarzschild solution. Different results and proofs of the linear stability of the Schwarzschild spacetime have followed, using the original Regge–Wheeler approach of metric perturbations (see [32]), and using wave gauge (see [33], [34]) and generalized wave gauge (see [35], [36]). The nonlinear stability of the Schwarzschild metric, for restricted polarized perturbations, was recently proved in [37].

The results on boundedness and decay for the Teukolsky equations were extended to slowly rotating Kerr in [17] and in [39]. The analysis of the full linearized equations near slowly rotating Kerr recently appeared in [1] and [29]. In the positive cosmological setting, the stability of Kerr-de Sitter with small angular momentum was obtained in [31].

The approach of [16] and [17] to derive boundedness and quantitative decay for the Teukolsky equations relies on the following ingredients:

1. A map which takes a solution to the Teukolsky equation to a solution of a wave equation which is simpler to analyze. In the case of Schwarzschild, this equation is known as the so called *Regge–Wheeler equation*. The first such transformation was discovered by Chandrasekhar (see [11]) in the context of mode decompositions. The physical version of this transformation first appears in [16].
2. A vector field-type method to get quantitative decay for the new wave equation.
3. A method by which we can derive estimates for solutions to the Teukolsky equation from those of solutions to the transformed Regge–Wheeler equation.

Analogous problems appear in the mathematical study of stability of charged black holes, which are solutions of the coupled Einstein–Maxwell system in general relativity. The problem of stability of charged black holes has as a final goal the proof of non-linear stability of the Kerr–Newman family $(\mathcal{M}, g_{M,Q,a})$ as solutions to the Einstein–Maxwell equation

$$Ric(g)_{\mu\nu} = T(F)_{\mu\nu} := 2F_{\mu\lambda}F_{\nu}{}^{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}F^{\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta} \quad (1)$$

where F is a 2-form satisfying Maxwell’s equations

$$D_{[\alpha}F_{\beta\gamma]} = 0, \quad D^{\alpha}F_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \quad (2)$$

The Einstein–Maxwell system describes coupled gravitational and electromagnetic fields. The presence of a right hand side in the Einstein equation (1) and the Maxwell’s equations add new difficulties to the analysis of the problem, presenting coupling between the gravitational and the electromagnetic perturbations of solutions. In the positive cosmological setting, the stability of Kerr–Newman–de Sitter with small angular momentum was proved in [30].

An intermediate step towards the proof of non-linear stability of charged black holes is the linear stability of the simplest non-trivial solution of the Einstein–Maxwell equations, the Reissner–Nordström spacetime.

The Reissner–Nordström family of spacetimes $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g}_{M,Q})$ describe an electrically charged, non-rotating, spherically symmetric black hole and can be expressed in local coordinates as

$$\mathbf{g}_{M,Q} = - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (3)$$

where M and Q are two real parameters, which can be interpreted as the mass and the charge of the black hole, for $|Q| < M$. This solution corresponds to the Kerr–Newman solution for $a = 0$ (like the Schwarzschild solution corresponds to Kerr for $a = 0$). Observe that Schwarzschild also corresponds to the Reissner–Nordström solution for $Q = 0$.

To extend the results on the linear of Schwarzschild to Reissner–Nordström, a key step is to understand the analogous Teukolsky equation. The gauge-independent quantities involved, and the structure of the equations that they verify in physical space, were not fully understood up to this point. Indeed, we need to first find equations in physical space similar to the Teukolsky equations, for which one can prove quantitative decay.

The aim of this paper is to generalize the method of [16] to the case of a charged black hole with a perturbed electromagnetic and gravitational field and very small charge. More precisely, we derive the relevant Teukolsky system for linear perturbations of the Einstein–Maxwell system, which did not seem to exist, at least in the form used in this paper, previously in the literature.

We rely on the following ingredients:

1. Computations in physical space which show the Teukolsky-type equations verified by the extreme null curvature components in Reissner–Nordström spacetime. We obtain a system of two coupled Teukolsky-type equations.
2. A map which takes solutions to the Teukolsky-type equation to solutions to a Regge–Wheeler-type equation. We obtain a system of two coupled Regge–Wheeler-type equations. As in the rotating case, in the charged case the Chandrasekhar transformation leaves lower order terms in the main equations. In addition, in the charged case, we also see coupling terms appear.

3. A vector field method to get quantitative decay for the system. The analysis is highly affected by the fact that we are dealing with a system, as opposed to a single equation.
4. A method by which we can derive estimates for solutions to the Teukolsky-type system from those of solutions to the transformed Regge–Wheeler-type system.

We give a rough statement of the main result in Section 1.2.

The spin ± 2 system arises in the study of linearized Einstein–Maxwell equations on a fixed Reissner–Nordström solution and it is composed by the equations satisfied by certain gauge-invariant combinations of the null-decomposed linearized Weyl curvature tensor and linearized Maxwell 2-form. Establishing decay estimates for solutions to this system can thus be seen as a first step in establishing the linear stability of the Reissner–Nordström family. Indeed, the results of this paper, combined with [25], are used as a key step to prove the decay of the linearized metric of the perturbation for $|Q| \ll M$ in [26].

1.1 The Teukolsky-type equations

Just as in the vacuum case, the original approach to linear stability of the Reissner–Nordström spacetime are the metric perturbations, leading to a generalization of the Regge–Wheeler and Zerilli equations. See for example [13], where the two pairs of one-dimensional wave equations which govern the odd and the even-parity perturbations of the Reissner–Nordström black hole are derived directly from a treatment of its metric perturbations. See also references in [11].

Another widely studied approach in the physics community is the Newman–Penrose formalism. See for example [12] and [8].

These results rely on the derivation of the equations in separated forms, with a decomposition in modes. This is enough to prove that there are no exponentially growing modes, but does not prove boundedness or decay of the solution. To prove boundedness and decay, a physical space analysis has to be done.

In this paper, we use the formalism of null frames and derive the Teukolsky equations for Reissner–Nordström spacetime in physical space. Suppose that $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{F})$ is a solution to the Einstein–Maxwell equations such that the manifold \mathcal{M} can be foliated by 2-spheres. Define then the symmetric traceless 2-covariant S -tensors α and \mathfrak{f} defined relative to a null frame $\{e_3, e_4, e_A\}_{A=1,2}$ as

$$\alpha_{AB} = \mathbf{W}(e_4, e_A, e_4, e_B), \quad \mathfrak{f}_{AB} = \mathcal{D}_2^*(F)\beta_{AB} + {}^{(F)}\rho\hat{\chi}_{AB}$$

where \mathbf{W} is the Weyl curvature, $\mathcal{D}_2^*(F)\beta_{AB} = -\mathbf{D}_{(A}{}^{(F)}\beta_{B)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}_{AB}d\text{iv}{}^{(F)}\beta$ with \mathbf{D} the Levi-Civita connection of \mathbf{g} , ${}^{(F)}\beta = \mathbf{F}(e_A, e_4)$, ${}^{(F)}\rho = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{F}(e_3, e_4)$ and $\hat{\chi}$ is the traceless part of the S -tensor $\chi_{AB} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_A e_4, e_B)$.

One may wonder what is the heuristic reason to define such a \mathfrak{f} as above. The particular combination of electromagnetic and Ricci coefficients which constitutes the definition of \mathfrak{f} appears in the right hand side of the Bianchi identity for α ¹, and represents the additional part with respect to the vacuum Einstein equation in Schwarzschild. Since from the linear stability of Schwarzschild [16] we know that the equation for α plays a central role, the presence of such quantity on the right hand side of the Bianchi identity for α motivates the definition of \mathfrak{f} .

¹See equation (87).

In the gravitational perturbation of Schwarzschild, the Bianchi and Ricci identities imply that the extreme curvature component α , which is a gauge-invariant quantity, verifies the Teukolsky equation. A fundamental good property of this equation is that it decouples, at the linear level, from any other curvature components. In Schwarzschild, it can be schematically written as:

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}_M} \alpha + c_1(r) \nabla_4 \alpha + c_2(r) \nabla_3 \alpha + \tilde{V}_1(r) \alpha = 0$$

where $\square_{\mathbf{g}_M}$ is the d'Alembertian of the Schwarzschild metric, ∇_4, ∇_3 are the projection on the sphere of $\mathbf{D}_{e_4}, \mathbf{D}_{e_3}$ respectively, and c_i, \tilde{V}_1 are smooth functions of an area radius function r .

In the case of the Einstein–Maxwell equations, the Bianchi and Maxwell's equations imply that α satisfy a Teukolsky-type equation in Reissner–Nordström spacetime (derived in Appendix A), which can be schematically written as:

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}_{M,Q}} \alpha + c_1(r) \nabla_4 \alpha + c_2(r) \nabla_3 \alpha + \tilde{V}_1(r) \alpha = Q \cdot c_3(r) \nabla_4 \mathfrak{f} \quad (4)$$

where $\square_{\mathbf{g}_{M,Q}}$ is the d'Alembertian the Reissner–Nordström metric, c_i, \tilde{V}_1 are smooth functions of r , Q is the charge of the Reissner–Nordström metric $\mathbf{g}_{M,Q}$, and \mathfrak{f} is a new gauge-invariant quantity which depends on the electromagnetic tensor. Observe that the Teukolsky-type equation in Reissner–Nordström for α is coupled with the new quantity \mathfrak{f} . This makes the previous analysis of the Teukolsky equation in Schwarzschild not applicable to this case.

It is remarkable that the quantity \mathfrak{f} verifies itself a Teukolsky-type equation in Reissner–Nordström spacetime (derived in Appendix A), which can be schematically written as:

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}_{M,Q}} \mathfrak{f} + d_1(r) \nabla_4 \mathfrak{f} + d_2(r) \nabla_3 \mathfrak{f} + \tilde{V}_2(r) \mathfrak{f} = -Q \cdot d_3(r) \nabla_3 \alpha \quad (5)$$

where d_i, \tilde{V}_2 are smooth functions of r . Observe that equation (5) for \mathfrak{f} is coupled back to the curvature component α .

The two equations above form what we shall call *the generalized Teukolsky system* for spin +2 in Reissner–Nordström spacetime, which governs the coupled gravitational and electromagnetic perturbation of Reissner–Nordström. Completely analogous equations are verified by the spin -2 components $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$, which is obtained after swapping ∇_3 and ∇_4 derivatives. The interaction of these two quantities cannot be decoupled, as opposed to the analogous system in the metric perturbations, see [40], [41].

The main result of this paper concerns the quantities (α, \mathfrak{f}) verifying such a system.

1.1.1 Previous works on boundedness and decay for Teukolsky-type equations

We briefly review here some previous works on quantitative decay for Teukolsky-type equations in different backgrounds. Recall that the $s = 0$ Teukolsky equation reduces to the scalar linear wave equation, while the $s = \pm 1$ Teukolsky equation is verified by the one-form extreme component of the electromagnetic tensor governed by the Maxwell's equations.

- **The case $s = 0$ in vacuum:** Numerous advances on boundedness and decay for solutions to the Cauchy problem for the scalar wave equation on Kerr have been obtained in recent years. From an early result for boundedness of the solutions to the scalar wave equation in Schwarzschild [42], to more robust techniques introduced in [18] and [19], there are now complete results on boundedness and decay in Schwarzschild (see [10]), in Kerr for very small angular momentum (see [20], [21], [48], [22], [4], [45]), and in the full subextremal range of Kerr parameters $|a| < M$ (see [23]).

- **The case $s = 0$ in electrovacuum:** The main techniques introduced in the case of scalar wave equations in vacuum spacetimes can be easily generalized to electrovacuum solutions. In Reissner–Nordström spacetime, more general results about the wave equation on spherically symmetric, stationary spacetimes can be applied ([2], [3], [43]). Some properties of the scalar wave equation in the Kerr family have been extended to the Kerr–Newman family (see [15]).
- **The case $s = \pm 1$ in vacuum:** The boundedness and polynomial decay for the Maxwell equations in Schwarzschild, verifying the spin ± 1 Teukolsky equation, has been proved in [9] and [44]. Similarly, results in Kerr spacetime with $|a| \ll M$ are obtained in [5] and [38].
- **The case $s = \pm 1$ in electrovacuum:** For the Maxwell equations in Reissner–Nordström spacetime, more general results about spherically symmetric spacetimes can be applied (see [46]).
- **The case $s = \pm 2$ in vacuum:** The spin ± 2 Teukolsky equation in Schwarzschild has been studied in [16] in the context of linearized gravity. Boundedness and decay statements for the Teukolsky equations in Kerr spacetimes with $|a| \ll M$ are proved in [39] and [17].

1.2 The main result and first comments on the proof

A rough version of our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *(Rough version) Smooth solutions to the generalized Teukolsky system of spin ± 2 on Reissner–Nordström exterior spacetimes $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g}_{M,Q})$ with $|Q| \ll M$ arising from smooth initial data which is prescribed on a Cauchy hypersurface Σ_0 and finite when measured in a higher order and weighted Sobolev norm satisfy energy boundedness, integrated local energy decay and a hierarchy of r -weighted energy estimates.*

We also obtain higher order versions of the above theorem concerning ∇_T and ∇ derivatives, where T is the asymptotically timelike Killing field of $(\mathcal{M}, g_{M,Q})$ and ∇ denotes derivatives in directions tangent to spheres. We also remark that this hierarchy of estimates is such that, using a pigeonhole principle argument as introduced in [19], one obtains for $\delta > 0$ the pointwise decay estimates, for a time function $\tau > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |r^{\frac{5+\delta}{2}}\alpha| &\leq C\tau^{-1+\frac{\delta}{2}}, & |r^{\frac{5+\delta}{2}}\mathfrak{f}| &\leq C\tau^{-1+\frac{\delta}{2}} \\ |r\underline{\alpha}| &\leq C\tau^{-1+\frac{\delta}{2}}, & |r^2\underline{\mathfrak{f}}| &\leq C\tau^{-1+\frac{\delta}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

where C is some constant depending on an appropriate Sobolev norm of the data.

The precise statement will be given as Theorem 8.1 in Section 8.2.

1.2.1 The Chandrasekhar transformation and the Regge–Wheeler system

A direct analysis of equations of the form (4) or (5) is prevented by the presence of the first order terms. We rely instead on the introduction of two derived quantities \mathfrak{q} and $\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, obtained from α and \mathfrak{f} respectively, which verify a system of what we shall call *generalized Regge–Wheeler equations*, coupled together. Similar derived quantities were introduced in [16] in the Schwarzschild case, and in [17] in the Kerr case.

As observed in the case of the Regge–Wheeler-type equation obtained in Kerr in [17], the complete decoupling of the equation is not necessary in the derivation of the estimates. A new

important feature appearing in the Einstein–Maxwell equations, which is not present in the vacuum case, are the estimates involving coupling terms of curvature and electromagnetic tensor, which are independent quantities. In addition to those, the coupling of the Regge–Wheeler equations involve lower order terms, as in Kerr ([17], [39]). In order to take into account this whole structure in the estimates, the two equations have to be considered as one system, together with transport estimates for the lower order terms.

We define the derived quantities \mathfrak{q} and $\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ as (defined in (109) and (110))

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{q} &= \frac{1}{\underline{\kappa}} \nabla_3 \left(\frac{r}{\underline{\kappa}} \nabla_3 (r^3 \underline{\kappa}^2 \alpha) \right) \\ \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} &= \frac{1}{\underline{\kappa}} \nabla_3 (r^3 \underline{\kappa} f)\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

where $\underline{\kappa} := \text{tr}\chi$ is the trace of the null second fundamental form. They correspond to physical space versions of transformations first considered by Chandrasekhar [11]. Similar physical versions of the Chandrasekhar transformation were first introduced in [16] (see Section 7.1 for a comparison of the derived quantities). This transformation has the remarkable property of turning the Teukolsky-type equations (4) and (5) into a system of generalized Regge–Wheeler equations.

A lengthy computation, carried out in Appendix B, reveals that \mathfrak{q} and $\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ verify a system of the following schematic form:

$$\begin{cases} \square_{\mathbf{g}_{M,Q}} \mathfrak{q} - V_1(r) \mathfrak{q} = Q \cdot (r^{-1} \Delta \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \text{l.o.t.}(\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}, \alpha, f)), \\ \square_{\mathbf{g}_{M,Q}} \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - V_2(r) \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} = Q \cdot (-r^{-3} \mathfrak{q} + \text{l.o.t.}(f)) \end{cases}\tag{7}$$

where V_i are positive on the exterior and l.o.t. denotes lower order with respect to derivatives. An analogous system holds in the spin -2 case. See Propositions B.1.1 and B.2.2 for the exact form.

In the case of $Q = 0$, as in Schwarzschild spacetime, the system reduces to the first equation, with trivial right hand side. We therefore recover the Regge–Wheeler equations obtained in the context of linear stability of Schwarzschild in [16].

We emphasize that the particular structure of the coupling terms on the right hand side allows the estimates to be derived as in this paper. In particular, the difference in sign in the highest terms on the right hand side of the system allows the cancellation for the most troubling terms at the photon sphere. See Remark 10.1.

We outline here the procedure for the proof of boundedness and decay for the Teukolsky system in the case of small charge.

1.2.2 Estimates for the two equations

We write system (7) in the following concise form:

$$\begin{cases} \left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - V_1 \right) \mathfrak{q} = \mathbf{M}_1[\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] := Q \cdot \mathbf{C}_1[\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] + Q \cdot \mathbf{L}_1[\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] + Q^2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_1[\mathfrak{q}], \\ \left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - V_2 \right) \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} = \mathbf{M}_2[\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] := Q \cdot \mathbf{C}_2[\mathfrak{q}] + Q^2 \cdot \mathbf{L}_2[\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \end{cases}$$

The terms \mathbf{C} s and \mathbf{L} s are respectively the coupling and the lower order terms. In particular:

- The terms \mathbf{C}_1 and \mathbf{C}_2 represent the coupling between the Weyl curvature and the Ricci curvature. In the wave equation for \mathfrak{q} the coupling term $\mathbf{C}_1 = \mathbf{C}_1[\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ is an expression in terms of $\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, while in the wave equation for $\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ the coupling term $\mathbf{C}_2 = \mathbf{C}_2[\mathfrak{q}]$ is an expression in terms of \mathfrak{q} .

- The terms \mathbf{L}_1 and \mathbf{L}_2 collect the lower order terms: in particular $\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}]$ are lower order terms with respect to \mathbf{q} (i.e. they contain α or one derivative of α), while $\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ and $\mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ are lower terms with respect to $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ (i.e. they contain \mathbf{f}). The index 1 or 2 denotes if they appear in the first or in the second equation.

We first derive separated estimates for the two equations of the form

$$\left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - V_i\right)\Psi_i = \mathbf{M}_i$$

for $i = 1, 2$, $\Psi_1 = \mathbf{q}$, $\Psi_2 = \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, keeping the right hand side as it is in the computations. We apply to both equations separately the standard procedures used to derive energy and Morawetz estimates. Using the Morawetz vector field as multiplier, the redshift estimates and the Dafermos–Rodnianski r^p -method, we derive Morawetz estimates and r^p -weighted estimates, as in (155), as well as higher derivative estimates, as in (156), for the two separated equations. This is done in Section 9.

Notice that these separated estimates will contain on the right hand side terms involving \mathbf{M}_1 and \mathbf{M}_2 that at this stage are not controlled. In particular \mathbf{M}_1 and \mathbf{M}_2 contain both the coupling terms \mathbf{C} and the lower order terms \mathbf{L} .

1.2.3 Estimates for the coupling terms

In deriving estimates for the coupling terms, the main obstacle we encounter is to obtain, due to trapping at the photon sphere, the T -energy estimate. We observe that the structure of the right hand side in the two equations of the system is not symmetric. In particular, the coupling term $\mathbf{C}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ in the first equation involves up to two derivatives of $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, while the coupling term $\mathbf{C}_2[\mathbf{q}]$ in the second equation contains 0th-order derivative of \mathbf{q} . Regularity considerations mean that one must try and obtain the T -energy estimate for \mathbf{q} and the T -energy estimate for $\nabla_T \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, $\nabla \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ at the same time.

In order to take into account the difference in the presence of derivatives, we consider the 0th-order Morawetz and r^p -weighted estimate for the first equation and the 1st-order estimate for the second equation, and we add them together. This operation will create a combined estimate, where the Morawetz bulks on the left hand side of each equations ought to absorb the coupling term on the right hand side of the other equation. This means that we should control, at the top order, spacetime integrals of $C_1 \Delta \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \nabla_T \mathbf{q} + C_2 \nabla_T \nabla^2_T \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + C_3 \nabla \mathbf{q} \nabla_T \nabla \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ by the initial energies of \mathbf{q} and $\nabla_T \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, $\nabla \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$. Here C_i are freely chosen constants coming from choosing $C_i T$ as multiplier.

Since the Morawetz bulk energies are degenerate at the photon sphere for the angular and the T derivative, obtaining such bounds for any constants would be impossible. However, we show that, after integrating by parts and using the wave equation for $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, a clever choice of constants (normalized relative to the photon sphere) actually allows these troublesome terms to be expressed in terms of either bulk integrals concerning derivatives controlled without degeneracy at the photon sphere, or pure boundary terms which can be absorbed into the energy. Such a choice of constant and the special structure of the coupling terms $\mathbf{C}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ and $\mathbf{C}_2[\mathbf{q}]$ imply a cancellation of problematic terms in the trapping region. This is done in Section 10.1.

1.2.4 Estimates for the lower order terms

The lower order terms $\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}]$, $\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ and $\mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ contain expressions in α and \mathbf{f} , which are not contained in the Morawetz estimates, and for this reason we need transport estimates for α and

f. This is achieved by viewing the relations (6) between the derived quantities and the original quantities as transport equations along the null cones generated by the vectorfields e_3 and e_4 . Using these estimates, we invert the transformation theory so as to upgrade the estimates on the generalized Regge–Wheeler system to the spin ± 2 system. This is done in Section 10.2.

Summing the separated estimates and absorbing the coupling terms and the lower order terms on the right hand side we obtain a combined estimate for the system as in the Main Theorem.

1.2.5 The full subextremal range $|Q| < M$

During the preparation of this paper, boundedness and decay for the gauge-invariant quantities governing the gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations of Reissner–Nordström have been obtained by the author in the full subextremal range $|Q| < M$ (see [27]). The extension to the full subextremal range has been possible by considering a different system of Regge–Wheeler equations as the one here used.

The system analyzed in [27] is composed of the Regge–Wheeler-type equation for $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ here derived (i.e. the second equation in (7)) and of another Regge–Wheeler equation obtained from a gauge-invariant quantity \mathbf{p} of spin 1 introduced in [25]. The gauge-invariant quantity \mathbf{q} can be expressed in terms of $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ and the new quantity \mathbf{p} , and therefore the dependence on \mathbf{q} can be altogether eliminated. Through this elimination, the system of equations becomes diagonalizable and presents right hand sides which are symmetric. This is in contrast with the system (7) here analyzed, which has non symmetric right hand sides, and for which the analysis can be obtained for very small Q only. Such symmetry is used in [27] to define a combined energy-momentum tensor of the system which allows for a cancellation of the highest order terms, without recurring to smallness of the charge.

1.3 Outline of the paper

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we introduce the general framework of null frames, and we write the Einstein–Maxwell equations in such null frames. In Section 3, we introduce the Reissner–Nordström metric $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g}_{M,Q})$ and recall its main properties. In Section 4, we derive the linearization of the Einstein–Maxwell equations around the Reissner–Nordström solution.

In Section 5, we describe the gauge-invariant quantities α and \mathbf{f} which verify the generalized Teukolsky system, presented in Section 6, together with the generalized Regge–Wheeler system verified by \mathbf{q} and $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$.

In Section 7, we present the Chandrasekhar transformation, relating the generalized Teukolsky system and the generalized Regge–Wheeler system. In Section 8, we define the main weighted energies and bulks used in the estimates and state the theorem. In Section 9, the estimates for the separated equations are carried out, and in Section 10 the estimates for the coupling and the lower order terms are derived. Finally, in Section 11, we summarize the previous steps into the proof of the Main Theorem.

In Appendix A, we collect the computations in the derivation of the generalized Teukolsky system, and in Appendix B, we show the derivation of the generalized Regge–Wheeler system through the Chandrasekhar transformation.

Acknowledgements The author is grateful to Sergiu Klainerman and Mu-Tao Wang for comments and suggestions.

2 The Einstein–Maxwell equations in null frames

In this section, we review the general form of the Einstein–Maxwell equations (1) and (2) written with respect to a local null frame of a Lorentzian manifold. In this section, we will derive the main equations in their full generality.

2.1 Preliminaries

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ be a 3 + 1-dimensional Lorentzian manifold, and let \mathbf{D} be the covariant derivative associated to \mathbf{g} .

2.1.1 Local null frames

Suppose that the Lorentzian manifold $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ can be foliated by spacelike 2-surfaces (S, \mathcal{g}) , where \mathcal{g} is the pullback of the metric \mathbf{g} to S . To each point of \mathcal{M} , we can associate a null frame $\mathcal{N} = \{e_A, e_3, e_4\}$, with $\{e_A\}_{A=1,2}$ being tangent vectors to (S, \mathcal{g}) , such that the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}(e_3, e_3) &= 0, & \mathbf{g}(e_4, e_4) &= 0, & \mathbf{g}(e_3, e_4) &= -2 \\ \mathbf{g}(e_3, e_A) &= 0, & \mathbf{g}(e_4, e_A) &= 0, & \mathbf{g}(e_A, e_B) &= \mathcal{g}_{AB}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

2.1.2 S-tensor algebra

In Section 2.2, we will express the Ricci coefficients, curvature and electromagnetic components with respect to a null frame \mathcal{N} associated to a foliation of surfaces S . These objects are therefore S -tangent tensors. We will use the standard notations for operations on S -tangent tensor. See for example Section 3.1.3. of [16].

We recall the definition of the projected covariant derivatives and the angular operator on S -tensors. We denote $\nabla_3 = \nabla_{e_3}$ and $\nabla_4 = \nabla_{e_4}$ the projection to S of the spacetime covariant derivatives \mathbf{D}_{e_3} and \mathbf{D}_{e_4} respectively.

We define the following angular operator on S -tensors (see [14]). Let ξ be an arbitrary one-form and θ an arbitrary symmetric traceless 2-tensor on S .

- ∇ denotes the covariant derivative associated to the metric \mathcal{g} on S .
- \mathcal{D}_1 takes ξ into the pair of functions $(\mathcal{d}\text{iv}\xi, \text{curl}\xi)$, where

$$\mathcal{d}\text{iv}\xi = \mathcal{g}^{AB}\nabla_A\xi_B, \quad \text{curl}\xi = \mathcal{e}^{AB}\nabla_A\xi_B$$

- \mathcal{D}_1^* is the formal L^2 -adjoint of \mathcal{D}_1 , and takes any pair of functions (ρ, σ) into the one-form $-\nabla_A\rho + \mathcal{e}_{AB}\nabla^B\sigma$.
- \mathcal{D}_2 takes θ into the one-form $(\mathcal{d}\text{iv}\theta)_C = \mathcal{g}^{AB}\nabla_A\theta_{BC}$.
- \mathcal{D}_2^* is the formal L^2 -adjoint of \mathcal{D}_2 , and takes ξ into the symmetric traceless two tensor

$$(\mathcal{D}_2^*\xi)_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2} \left(\nabla_B\xi_A + \nabla_A\xi_B - (\mathcal{d}\text{iv}\xi)\mathcal{g}_{AB} \right)$$

We recall the relations between the angular operators and the laplacian Δ on S :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_1^* &= -\Delta_0, & \mathcal{D}_1^* \mathcal{D}_1 &= -\Delta_1 + K, \\ \mathcal{D}_2 \mathcal{D}_2^* &= -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_1 - \frac{1}{2}K, & \mathcal{D}_2^* \mathcal{D}_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_2 + K\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

where Δ_0 and Δ_1 are the laplacian on scalars and on 1-form respectively, and K is the Gauss curvature of the surface S .

2.2 Ricci coefficients, curvature and electromagnetic components

We now define the Ricci coefficients, curvature and electromagnetic components associated to the metric \mathbf{g} with respect to the null frame $\mathcal{N} = \{e_A, e_3, e_4\}$, where the indices A, B take values 1, 2.

2.2.1 Ricci coefficients

We define the Ricci coefficients associated to the metric \mathbf{g} with respect to the null frame \mathcal{N} in the following way (see [14]):

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{AB} &:= \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_A e_4, e_B), & \underline{\chi}_{AB} &:= \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_A e_3, e_B) \\ \eta_A &:= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_3 e_4, e_A), & \underline{\eta}_A &:= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_4 e_3, e_A), \\ \xi_A &:= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_4 e_4, e_A), & \underline{\xi}_A &:= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_3 e_3, e_A) \\ \omega &:= \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_4 e_4, e_3), & \underline{\omega} &:= \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_3 e_3, e_4) \\ \zeta_A &:= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{D}_A e_4, e_3),\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

It is natural to decompose the 2-tensor χ_{AB} into its tracefree part $\widehat{\chi}_{AB}$, a symmetric traceless 2-tensor on S , and its trace $\kappa := \text{tr}\chi$. In particular we write $\chi_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}\kappa \not\phi_{AB} + \widehat{\chi}_{AB}$, with $\not\phi^{AB}\widehat{\chi}_{AB} = 0$ and $\kappa = \not\phi^{AB}\chi_{AB}$. Similarly for $\underline{\chi}_{AB}$.

It follows from (10) that we have the following relations for the commutators of the null frame:

$$\begin{aligned}[e_3, e_A] &= \nabla_3 e_A + (\eta_A - \zeta_A)e_3 + \underline{\xi}_A e_4 - \underline{\chi}_{AB} e^B, \\ [e_4, e_A] &= \nabla_4 e_A + (\underline{\eta}_A + \zeta_A)e_4 + \xi_A e_3 - \chi_{AB} e^B, \\ [e_3, e_4] &= -2\omega e_3 + 2\underline{\omega} e_4 + 2(\eta^A - \underline{\eta}^A)e_A\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

2.2.2 Curvature components

Let \mathbf{W} denote the Weyl curvature of \mathbf{g} and let ${}^*\mathbf{W}$ denote the Hodge dual on $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ of \mathbf{W} . We define the null curvature components in the following way (see [14]):

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{AB} &:= \mathbf{W}(e_A, e_4, e_B, e_4), & \underline{\alpha}_{AB} &:= \mathbf{W}(e_A, e_3, e_B, e_3) \\ \beta_A &:= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}(e_A, e_4, e_3, e_4), & \underline{\beta}_A &:= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{W}(e_A, e_3, e_3, e_4) \\ \rho &:= \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{W}(e_3, e_4, e_3, e_4) & \sigma &:= \frac{1}{4}{}^*\mathbf{W}(e_3, e_4, e_3, e_4)\end{aligned}\tag{12}$$

The remaining components of the Weyl tensor are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{W}_{AB34} &= 2\sigma\epsilon_{AB}, & \mathbf{W}_{ABC3} &= \epsilon_{AB} \star\beta_{\underline{C}}, & \mathbf{W}_{ABC4} &= \epsilon_{AB} \star\beta_C, \\ \mathbf{W}_{A3B4} &= -\rho\delta_{AB} + \sigma\epsilon_{AB}, & \mathbf{W}_{ABCD} &= -\epsilon_{AB}\epsilon_{CD}\rho\end{aligned}$$

2.2.3 Electromagnetic components

Let \mathbf{F} be a 2-form in $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$, and let $\star\mathbf{F}$ denote the Hodge dual on $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ of \mathbf{F} . We define the null electromagnetic components in the following way (see [7] and [44]):

$$\begin{aligned}({}^F)\beta_A &:= \mathbf{F}(e_A, e_4), & ({}^F)\beta_{\underline{A}} &:= \mathbf{F}(e_A, e_3) \\ ({}^F)\rho &:= \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{F}(e_3, e_4), & ({}^F)\sigma &:= \frac{1}{2}\star\mathbf{F}(e_3, e_4)\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

The only remaining component of \mathbf{F} is given by $\mathbf{F}_{AB} = -\epsilon_{AB}({}^F)\sigma$.

Remark 2.1. *The notation in both [7] and [44] differs to ours. In those previous works, the extreme components of \mathbf{F} are denoted by $\alpha(F)$, as opposed to $\beta(F)$. By using our notation, we want to stress the fact that $({}^F)\beta$ and $({}^F)\underline{\beta}$ are not gauge-invariant quantities in the gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations of Reissner-Nordström, leading to major differences in the treatment of the estimates. See Section 5.*

2.3 The Einstein-Maxwell equations

If $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g})$ satisfies the Einstein-Maxwell equations

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mu\nu} = 2\mathbf{F}_{\mu\lambda}\mathbf{F}_\nu{}^\lambda - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta},\tag{14}$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{[\alpha}\mathbf{F}_{\beta\gamma]} = 0, \quad \mathbf{D}^\alpha\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta} = 0.\tag{15}$$

the Ricci coefficients, curvature and electromagnetic components defined in (10), (12) and (13) satisfy a system of equations, which is presented in this section.

2.3.1 The null structure equations

The first equations for χ and $\underline{\chi}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_3\underline{\chi}_{AB} + \underline{\chi}_A^C\underline{\chi}_{CB} + 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\chi}_{AB} &= 2\nabla_B\underline{\xi}_A + 2\underline{\eta}_B\underline{\xi}_A + 2\underline{\eta}_A\underline{\xi}_B - 4\underline{\zeta}_B\underline{\xi}_A + \mathbf{R}_{A33B}, \\ \nabla_4\underline{\chi}_{AB} + \underline{\chi}_A^C\underline{\chi}_{CB} + 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\chi}_{AB} &= 2\nabla_B\underline{\xi}_A + 2\underline{\eta}_B\underline{\xi}_A + 2\underline{\eta}_A\underline{\xi}_B + 4\underline{\zeta}_B\underline{\xi}_A + \mathbf{R}_{A44B}\end{aligned}$$

and separating in the symmetric traceless part and in the trace part, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_3\widehat{\chi} + \underline{\kappa}\widehat{\chi} + 2\underline{\omega}\widehat{\chi} &= -2\mathcal{D}_2^*\underline{\xi} - \underline{\alpha} + 2(\underline{\eta} + \underline{\eta} - 2\underline{\zeta})\widehat{\otimes}\underline{\xi}, \\ \nabla_4\widehat{\chi} + \kappa\widehat{\chi} + 2\underline{\omega}\widehat{\chi} &= -2\mathcal{D}_2^*\underline{\xi} - \alpha + 2(\underline{\eta} + \underline{\eta} + 2\underline{\zeta})\widehat{\otimes}\underline{\xi}\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_3\underline{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}^2 + 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} &= 2\mathcal{D}\text{iv}\underline{\xi} - (\widehat{\chi}, \widehat{\chi}) + 2(\underline{\eta} + \underline{\eta} - 2\underline{\zeta}) \cdot \underline{\xi} - 2({}^F)\underline{\beta}, ({}^F)\underline{\beta}), \\ \nabla_4\underline{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}^2 + 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} &= 2\mathcal{D}\text{iv}\underline{\xi} - (\widehat{\chi}, \widehat{\chi}) + 2(\underline{\eta} + \underline{\eta} + 2\underline{\zeta}) \cdot \underline{\xi} - 2({}^F)\beta, ({}^F)\beta)\end{aligned}\tag{17}$$

The second equations for χ and $\underline{\chi}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4 \underline{\chi}_{AB} &= 2\nabla_B \eta_A + 2\underline{\omega} \underline{\chi}_{AB} - \chi_B^C \underline{\chi}_{AC} + 2(\xi_B \underline{\xi}_A + \underline{\eta}_B \eta_A) + \mathbf{R}_{A34B}, \\ \nabla_3 \chi_{AB} &= 2\nabla_B \eta_A + 2\underline{\omega} \chi_{AB} - \underline{\chi}_B^C \chi_{AC} + 2(\underline{\xi}_B \xi_A + \eta_B \eta_A) + \mathbf{R}_{A43B},\end{aligned}$$

and separating in the symmetric traceless part and in the trace part, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_3 \widehat{\chi} + \frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \widehat{\chi} - 2\underline{\omega} \widehat{\chi} &= -2\mathcal{D}_2^* \eta - \frac{1}{2} \kappa \widehat{\chi} + (\eta \widehat{\otimes} \eta) + (\underline{\xi} \widehat{\otimes} \xi) - ({}^{(F)}\beta \widehat{\otimes} ({}^{(F)}\beta)) \\ \nabla_4 \widehat{\chi} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \widehat{\chi} - 2\omega \widehat{\chi} &= -2\mathcal{D}_2^* \underline{\eta} - \frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \widehat{\chi} + (\underline{\eta} \widehat{\otimes} \underline{\eta}) + (\xi \widehat{\otimes} \xi) - ({}^{(F)}\beta \widehat{\otimes} ({}^{(F)}\beta)),\end{aligned}\tag{18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_3 \kappa + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega} \kappa &= 2\text{div} \eta - (\widehat{\chi}, \widehat{\chi}) + 2(\xi, \underline{\xi}) + 2(\eta, \eta) + 2\rho \\ \nabla_4 \underline{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \underline{\kappa} - 2\omega \underline{\kappa} &= 2\text{div} \underline{\eta} - (\widehat{\chi}, \widehat{\chi}) + 2(\xi, \underline{\xi}) + 2(\underline{\eta}, \underline{\eta}) + 2\rho\end{aligned}\tag{19}$$

while the antisymmetric part is given by

$$\text{curl} \eta = -\frac{1}{2} \chi \wedge \underline{\chi} + \sigma, \quad \text{curl} \underline{\eta} = \frac{1}{2} \chi \wedge \underline{\chi} - \sigma\tag{20}$$

The equations for ζ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_3 \zeta &= -2\nabla \underline{\omega} - \underline{\chi} \cdot (\zeta + \eta) + 2\underline{\omega}(\zeta - \eta) + \chi \cdot \underline{\xi} + 2\underline{\omega} \underline{\xi} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}_{A334}, \\ -\nabla_4 \zeta &= -2\nabla \omega - \chi \cdot (-\zeta + \underline{\eta}) + 2\omega(-\zeta - \underline{\eta}) + \underline{\chi} \cdot \xi + 2\underline{\omega} \xi - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}_{A443}\end{aligned}$$

and therefore reducing to

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_3 \zeta &= -2\nabla \underline{\omega} - \underline{\chi} \cdot (\zeta + \eta) + 2\underline{\omega}(\zeta - \eta) + \chi \cdot \underline{\xi} + 2\underline{\omega} \underline{\xi} - \underline{\beta} + ({}^{(F)}\sigma \epsilon_A^C ({}^{(F)}\beta)_C - ({}^{(F)}\rho ({}^{(F)}\beta)), \\ \nabla_4 \zeta &= 2\nabla \omega + \chi \cdot (-\zeta + \underline{\eta}) + 2\omega(\zeta + \underline{\eta}) - \underline{\chi} \cdot \xi - 2\underline{\omega} \xi - \beta - ({}^{(F)}\sigma \epsilon \cdot ({}^{(F)}\beta) - ({}^{(F)}\rho ({}^{(F)}\beta))\end{aligned}\tag{21}$$

The equations for ξ and $\underline{\xi}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4 \underline{\xi} - \nabla_3 \underline{\eta} &= 4\underline{\omega} \underline{\xi} + -\underline{\chi} \cdot (\eta - \underline{\eta}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}_{A334}, \\ \nabla_3 \xi - \nabla_4 \eta &= 4\underline{\omega} \xi + \chi \cdot (\eta - \underline{\eta}) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R}_{A443}\end{aligned}$$

and therefore reducing to

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4 \underline{\xi} - \nabla_3 \underline{\eta} &= -\underline{\chi} \cdot (\eta - \underline{\eta}) + 4\underline{\omega} \underline{\xi} - \underline{\beta} + ({}^{(F)}\sigma \epsilon \cdot ({}^{(F)}\beta) - ({}^{(F)}\rho ({}^{(F)}\beta)), \\ \nabla_3 \xi - \nabla_4 \eta &= \chi \cdot (\eta - \underline{\eta}) + 4\underline{\omega} \xi + \beta + ({}^{(F)}\sigma \epsilon \cdot ({}^{(F)}\beta) + ({}^{(F)}\rho ({}^{(F)}\beta))\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

The equation for ω and $\underline{\omega}$ is given by

$$\nabla_4 \underline{\omega} + \nabla_3 \omega = 4\underline{\omega} \underline{\omega} + \xi \cdot \underline{\xi} + \zeta \cdot (\eta - \underline{\eta}) - \eta \cdot \underline{\eta} + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{R}_{3434}$$

and therefore reducing to

$$\nabla_4 \underline{\omega} + \nabla_3 \omega = 4\omega \underline{\omega} + \zeta \cdot (\underline{\eta} - \underline{\eta}) + \xi \cdot \underline{\xi} - \underline{\eta} \cdot \underline{\eta} + \rho + {}^{(F)}\rho^2 - {}^{(F)}\sigma^2 \quad (23)$$

The spacetime equations that generate Codazzi equations are

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_C \underline{\chi}_{AB} + \zeta_B \underline{\chi}_{AC} &= \nabla_B \underline{\chi}_{AC} + \zeta_C \underline{\chi}_{AB} + \mathbf{R}_{A3CB}, \\ \nabla_C \chi_{AB} - \zeta_B \chi_{AC} &= \nabla_B \chi_{AC} - \zeta_C \chi_{AB} + \mathbf{R}_{A4CB} \end{aligned}$$

and, taking the trace in C, A we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d\text{iv} \widehat{\chi}_B &= (\widehat{\chi} \cdot \zeta)_B - \frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \zeta_B + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_B \underline{\kappa}) + \underline{\beta}_B + {}^{(F)}\sigma \epsilon_B^C {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}_C - {}^{(F)}\rho {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}_B, \\ d\text{iv} \widehat{\chi}_B &= -(\widehat{\chi} \cdot \zeta)_B + \frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \zeta_B + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_B \underline{\kappa}) - \beta_B + {}^{(F)}\sigma \epsilon_B^C {}^{(F)}\beta_C + {}^{(F)}\rho {}^{(F)}\beta_B \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The spacetime equation that generates Gauss equation is

$$g^{AC} g^{BD} \mathbf{R}_{ABCD} = 2K + \frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \underline{\kappa} - \widehat{\chi} \cdot \widehat{\chi}$$

therefore reducing to

$$K = -\frac{1}{4} \underline{\kappa} \underline{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} (\widehat{\chi}, \widehat{\chi}) - \rho + {}^{(F)}\rho^2 - {}^{(F)}\sigma^2 \quad (25)$$

2.3.2 The Maxwell equations

We derive the null decompositions of Maxwell equations (15).

The equation $\mathbf{D}_{[\alpha} \mathbf{F}_{\beta\gamma]} = 0$ gives three independent equations. The first one is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3 {}^{(F)}\beta_A - \nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}_A &= -\left(\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega}\right) {}^{(F)}\beta_A + \left(\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} - 2\omega\right) {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}_A + 2\nabla_A {}^{(F)}\rho + 2(\eta_A + \underline{\eta}_A) {}^{(F)}\rho \\ &\quad - 2(\eta^B - \underline{\eta}^B) \epsilon_{AB} {}^{(F)}\sigma + (\widehat{\chi} \cdot {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta})_A - (\widehat{\chi} \cdot {}^{(F)}\beta)_A \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The second and third equation are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3 {}^{(F)}\sigma + \underline{\kappa} {}^{(F)}\sigma &= -\text{curl} {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} - (\zeta - \underline{\eta}) \wedge {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} + \underline{\xi} \wedge {}^{(F)}\beta, \\ \nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\sigma + \kappa {}^{(F)}\sigma &= \text{curl} {}^{(F)}\beta + (\zeta + \underline{\eta}) \wedge {}^{(F)}\beta + \xi \wedge {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The equation $\mathbf{D}^\mu \mathbf{F}_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{g}^{BC} \mathbf{D}_B \mathbf{F}_{C\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}_4 \mathbf{F}_{3\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}_3 \mathbf{F}_{4\nu} = 0$ gives three more independent equations. The first one is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3 {}^{(F)}\beta_A + \nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}_A &= -\left(\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega}\right) {}^{(F)}\beta_A - \left(\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} - 2\omega\right) {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}_A + 2(\eta_A - \underline{\eta}_A) {}^{(F)}\rho \\ &\quad - 2\epsilon_{AC} \nabla^C {}^{(F)}\sigma - 2(\eta^B + \underline{\eta}^B) \epsilon_{AB} {}^{(F)}\sigma + (\widehat{\chi} \cdot {}^{(F)}\beta)_A + (\widehat{\chi} \cdot {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta})_A \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Summing and subtracting (26) and (28) we obtain respectively

$$\nabla_3 {}^{(F)}\beta_A + \left(\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega}\right) {}^{(F)}\beta_A = -\mathcal{P}_1^*({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma) + 2\eta_A {}^{(F)}\rho - 2\eta^B \epsilon_{AB} {}^{(F)}\sigma + (\widehat{\chi} \cdot {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta})_A, \quad (29)$$

$$\nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}_A + \left(\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} - 2\omega\right) {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}_A = \mathcal{P}_1^*({}^{(F)}\rho, -{}^{(F)}\sigma) - 2\underline{\eta}_A {}^{(F)}\rho - 2\underline{\eta}^B \epsilon_{AB} {}^{(F)}\sigma + (\widehat{\chi} \cdot {}^{(F)}\beta)_A \quad (30)$$

The last two equations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4^{(F)}\rho + \kappa^{(F)}\rho &= \text{div}^{(F)}\beta + (\zeta + \underline{\eta}) \cdot {}^{(F)}\beta - \xi \cdot {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}, \\ \nabla_3^{(F)}\rho + \underline{\kappa}^{(F)}\rho &= -\text{div}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} + (\zeta - \underline{\eta}) \cdot {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} - \underline{\xi} \cdot {}^{(F)}\beta\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

2.3.3 The Bianchi equations

The Bianchi identities for the Weyl curvature are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{D}^\alpha \mathbf{W}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{D}_\gamma \mathbf{R}_{\beta\delta} - \mathbf{D}_\delta \mathbf{R}_{\beta\gamma}) =: J_{\beta\gamma\delta} \\ \mathbf{D}_{[\sigma} \mathbf{W}_{\gamma\delta]\alpha\beta} &= \mathbf{g}_{\delta\beta} J_{\alpha\gamma\sigma} + \mathbf{g}_{\gamma\alpha} J_{\beta\delta\sigma} + \mathbf{g}_{\sigma\beta} J_{\alpha\delta\gamma} + \mathbf{g}_{\delta\alpha} J_{\beta\sigma\gamma} + \mathbf{g}_{\gamma\beta} J_{\alpha\sigma\delta} + \mathbf{g}_{\sigma\alpha} J_{\beta\gamma\delta} := \tilde{J}_{\sigma\gamma\delta\alpha\beta}\end{aligned}$$

The Bianchi identities for α and $\underline{\alpha}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_3\alpha_{AB} + \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\alpha_{AB} - 4\underline{\omega}\alpha_{AB} &= -2(\mathcal{P}_2^*\beta)_{AB} - 3(\widehat{\chi}_{AB}\rho + {}^*\widehat{\chi}_{AB}\sigma) + ((\zeta + 4\underline{\eta})\widehat{\otimes}\beta)_{AB} + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{J}_{3A4B4} + \tilde{J}_{3B4A4} + J_{434}\mathfrak{g}_{AB}) \\ \nabla_4\underline{\alpha}_{AB} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa\underline{\alpha}_{AB} - 4\underline{\omega}\underline{\alpha}_{AB} &= 2(\mathcal{P}_2^*\underline{\beta})_{AB} - 3(\widehat{\chi}_{AB}\rho + {}^*\widehat{\chi}_{AB}\sigma) - ((-\zeta + 4\underline{\eta})\widehat{\otimes}\underline{\beta})_{AB} + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{J}_{4A3B3} + \tilde{J}_{4B3A3} + J_{343}\mathfrak{g}_{AB})\end{aligned}$$

Using that $\tilde{J}_{3A4B4} = -\mathfrak{g}_{AB}J_{434} + 2J_{BA4}$, it is reduced to

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_3\alpha_{AB} + \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\alpha_{AB} - 4\underline{\omega}\alpha_{AB} &= -2(\mathcal{P}_2^*\beta)_{AB} - 3(\widehat{\chi}_{AB}\rho + {}^*\widehat{\chi}_{AB}\sigma) + ((\zeta + 4\underline{\eta})\widehat{\otimes}\beta)_{AB} + \\ &\quad + J_{BA4} + J_{AB4} - \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{g}_{AB}J_{434}, \\ \nabla_4\underline{\alpha}_{AB} + \frac{1}{2}\kappa\underline{\alpha}_{AB} - 4\underline{\omega}\underline{\alpha}_{AB} &= 2(\mathcal{P}_2^*\underline{\beta})_{AB} - 3(\widehat{\chi}_{AB}\rho + {}^*\widehat{\chi}_{AB}\sigma) - ((-\zeta + 4\underline{\eta})\widehat{\otimes}\underline{\beta})_{AB} + \\ &\quad + J_{BA3} + J_{AB3} - \frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{g}_{AB}J_{343}\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

The Bianchi identities for β and $\underline{\beta}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4\beta_A + 2\kappa\beta_A + 2\underline{\omega}\beta_A &= \text{div}\alpha_A + ((2\zeta + \underline{\eta}) \cdot \alpha)_A + 3(\xi_A\rho + {}^*\xi_A\sigma) - J_{4A4}, \\ \nabla_3\underline{\beta}_A + 2\underline{\kappa}\underline{\beta}_A + 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\beta}_A &= -\text{div}\underline{\alpha}_A + ((2\zeta - \underline{\eta}) \cdot \underline{\alpha})_A - 3(\underline{\xi}_A\rho + {}^*\underline{\xi}_A\sigma) + J_{3A3}\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_3\beta_A + \kappa\beta_A - 2\underline{\omega}\beta_A &= \mathcal{P}_1^*(-\rho, \sigma)_A + 2(\widehat{\chi} \cdot \underline{\beta})_A + \xi \cdot \alpha + 3(\eta_A\rho + {}^*\eta_A\sigma) + J_{3A4}, \\ \nabla_4\underline{\beta}_A + \underline{\kappa}\underline{\beta}_A - 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\beta}_A &= \mathcal{P}_1^*(\rho, \sigma)_A + 2(\widehat{\chi} \cdot \beta)_A - \xi \cdot \underline{\alpha} - 3(\underline{\eta}_A\rho - {}^*\underline{\eta}_A\sigma) - J_{4A3}\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

The Bianchi identity for ρ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4\rho + \frac{3}{2}\kappa\rho &= \text{div}\beta + (2\underline{\eta} + \zeta) \cdot \beta - \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{\chi} \cdot \alpha) - 2\underline{\xi} \cdot \underline{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}J_{434}, \\ \nabla_3\rho + \frac{3}{2}\underline{\kappa}\rho &= -\text{div}\underline{\beta} - (2\underline{\eta} - \zeta) \cdot \underline{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}(\widehat{\chi} \cdot \underline{\alpha}) + 2\underline{\xi} \cdot \beta - \frac{1}{2}J_{343}\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

The Bianchi identity for σ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4\sigma + \frac{3}{2}\kappa\sigma &= -\text{curl}\beta - (2\underline{\eta} + \zeta) \wedge \beta + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\chi} \wedge \alpha - \frac{1}{2}{}^*J_{434}, \\ \nabla_3\sigma + \frac{3}{2}\underline{\kappa}\sigma &= -\text{curl}\underline{\beta} - (2\underline{\eta} - \zeta) \wedge \underline{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\chi} \wedge \underline{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}{}^*J_{343}\end{aligned}$$

and writing ${}^*J_{434} = \frac{1}{2}J_{4\mu\nu}\epsilon^{\mu\nu}{}_{34} = -J_{4AB}\epsilon_{AB} = (J_{AB4} - J_{BA4})\epsilon^{AB}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4\sigma + \frac{3}{2}\kappa\sigma &= -\text{curl}\beta - (2\underline{\eta} + \zeta) \wedge \beta + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\chi} \wedge \alpha - \frac{1}{2}(J_{AB4} - J_{BA4})\epsilon^{AB}, \\ \nabla_3\sigma + \frac{3}{2}\underline{\kappa}\sigma &= -\text{curl}\underline{\beta} - (2\underline{\eta} - \zeta) \wedge \underline{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\widehat{\chi} \wedge \underline{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}(J_{AB3} - J_{BA3})\epsilon^{AB}\end{aligned}\tag{36}$$

3 The Reissner-Nordström spacetime

In this section, we introduce the Reissner-Nordström exterior metric, as well as relevant background structure. We collect here standard coordinate transformations relevant to the study of Reissner-Nordström spacetime. See for example [28].

We first fix in Section 3.1 an ambient manifold-with-boundary \mathcal{M} on which we define the Reissner-Nordström exterior metric $\mathbf{g}_{M,Q}$ with parameters M and Q verifying $|Q| < M$. We shall then pass to more convenient sets of coordinates, like the double null coordinates, the outgoing and ingoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates. Finally we will show how these sets of coordinates relate to the standard form of the metric as given in (3).

We will follow closely Section 4 of [16], where the main features of the Schwarzschild metric and differential structure are easily extended to the Reissner-Nordström solution.

3.1 Differential structure and metric

We define in this section the underlying differential structure and metric in terms of the Kruskal coordinates.

3.1.1 Kruskal coordinate system

Define the manifold with boundary

$$\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{D} \times S^2 := (-\infty, 0] \times (0, \infty) \times S^2\tag{37}$$

with coordinates $(U, V, \theta^1, \theta^2)$. We will refer to these coordinates as *Kruskal coordinates*. The boundary

$$\mathcal{H}^+ := \{0\} \times (0, \infty) \times S^2$$

will be referred to as the *horizon*. We denote by $S_{U,V}^2$ the 2-sphere $\{U, V\} \times S^2 \subset \mathcal{M}$ in \mathcal{M} .

3.1.2 The Reissner-Nordström metric

We define the Reissner-Nordström metric on \mathcal{M} as follows. Fix two parameters $M > 0$ and Q , verifying $|Q| < M$. Let the function $r : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \left[M + \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}, \infty \right)$ be given implicitly as a

function of the coordinates U and V by

$$-UV = \frac{4r_+^4}{(r_+ - r_-)^2} \left| \frac{r - r_+}{r_+} \right| \left| \frac{r - r_-}{r - r_-} \right| \left(\frac{r_-}{r_+} \right)^2 \exp \left(\frac{r_+ - r_-}{r_+^2} r \right),$$

where

$$r_{\pm} = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2} \quad (38)$$

We will also denote

$$r_{\mathcal{H}} = r_+ = M + \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2} \quad (39)$$

Define also

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_K(U, V) &= \frac{r_- r_+}{4r(U, V)^2} \left(\frac{r(U, V) - r_-}{r_-} \right)^{1 + \left(\frac{r_-}{r_+} \right)^2} \exp \left(- \frac{r_+ - r_-}{r_+^2} r(U, V) \right) \\ \gamma_{AB} &= \text{standard metric on } S^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Reissner-Nordström metric $\mathbf{g}_{M, Q}$ with parameters M and Q is defined to be the metric:

$$\mathbf{g}_{M, Q} = -4\Upsilon_K(U, V) dU dV + r^2(U, V) \gamma_{AB} d\theta^A d\theta^B. \quad (40)$$

Note that the horizon $\mathcal{H}^+ = \partial\mathcal{M}$ is a null hypersurface with respect to $\mathbf{g}_{M, Q}$. We will use the standard spherical coordinates $(\theta^1, \theta^2) = (\theta, \phi)$, in which case the metric γ takes the explicit form

$$\gamma = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2. \quad (41)$$

The above metric (40) can be extended to define the maximally-extended Reissner-Nordström solution on the ambient manifold $(-\infty, \infty) \times (\infty, \infty) \times S^2$. In this paper, we will only consider the manifold-with-boundary \mathcal{M} , corresponding to the exterior of the spacetime.

The Reissner-Nordström family of spacetimes $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g}_{M, Q})$ is the unique electrovacuum spherically symmetric spacetime. It is a static and asymptotically flat spacetime. The parameter Q may be interpreted as the charge of the source. This metric clearly reduces to Schwarzschild spacetime when $Q = 0$, therefore M can be interpreted as the mass of the source.

Using definition (40), the metric $\mathbf{g}_{M, Q}$ is manifestly smooth in the whole domain. We will now describe different sets of coordinates for which smoothness breaks down, but which are nevertheless useful for computations.

3.1.3 Double null coordinates u, v

We define another double null coordinate system that covers the interior of \mathcal{M} , modulo the degeneration of the angular coordinates. This coordinate system, $(u, v, \theta^1, \theta^2)$, is called *double null coordinates* and are defined via the relations

$$U = -\frac{2r_+^2}{r_+ - r_-} \exp \left(-\frac{r_+ - r_-}{4r_+^2} u \right) \quad \text{and} \quad V = \frac{2r_+^2}{r_+ - r_-} \exp \left(\frac{r_+ - r_-}{4r_+^2} v \right). \quad (42)$$

Using (42), we obtain the Reissner-Nordström metric on the interior of \mathcal{M} in $(u, v, \theta^1, \theta^2)$ -coordinates:

$$\mathbf{g}_{M,Q} = -4\Upsilon(u, v) du dv + r^2(u, v) \gamma_{AB} d\theta^A d\theta^B \quad (43)$$

with

$$\Upsilon := 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \quad (44)$$

and the function $r : (-\infty, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty) \rightarrow (M + \sqrt{M^2 - Q^2}, \infty)$ defined implicitly via the relations between (U, V) and (u, v) . In $(u, v, \theta^1, \theta^2)$ -coordinates, the horizon \mathcal{H}^+ can still be formally parametrised by $(\infty, v, \theta^2, \theta^2)$ with $v \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\theta^1, \theta^2) \in S^2$.

Note that u, v are regular optical functions. Their corresponding null geodesic generators are

$$\underline{L} := -g^{ab} \partial_a v \partial_b = \frac{1}{\Upsilon} \partial_u, \quad L := -g^{ab} \partial_a u \partial_b = \frac{1}{\Upsilon} \partial_v, \quad (45)$$

They verify

$$g(L, L) = g(\underline{L}, \underline{L}) = 0, \quad g(L, \underline{L}) = -2\Upsilon^{-1}, \quad D_L L = D_{\underline{L}} \underline{L} = 0.$$

3.1.4 Standard coordinates t, r

Recall the form of the metric (43) in double null coordinates. Setting

$$t = u + v$$

we may rewrite the above metric in coordinates (t, r, θ, ϕ) in the usual form (3):

$$\mathbf{g}_{M,Q} = -\Upsilon(r) dt^2 + \Upsilon(r)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (46)$$

which covers the interior of \mathcal{M} . Observe that

$$\Upsilon(r) := 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} = \frac{(r - r_-)(r - r_+)}{r^2}$$

where r_- and r_+ are defined in (38).

The photon sphere of Reissner-Nordstrom corresponds to the hypersurface in which null geodesics are trapped. It is given by

$$\Upsilon'(r) - \frac{2}{r} \Upsilon(r) = \frac{1}{r^3} (r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2) = 0. \quad (47)$$

In particular, the photon sphere is realized at the hypersurface given by $\{r = r_P\}$ where r_P is given by

$$r_P = \frac{3M + \sqrt{9M^2 - 8Q^2}}{2} \quad (48)$$

The null vectors L and \underline{L} defined in (45), in (t, r) coordinates can be written as

$$\underline{L} = \Upsilon^{-1} \partial_t - \partial_r, \quad L = \Upsilon^{-1} \partial_t + \partial_r,$$

3.1.5 Ingoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates v, r

We define another coordinate system that covers the interior of \mathcal{M} . This coordinate system, $(v, r, \theta^1, \theta^2)$ is called *ingoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates* and makes use of the above defined functions v and r . The Reissner-Nordström metric on the interior of \mathcal{M} in (v, r, θ, ϕ) -coordinates is given by

$$\mathbf{g}_{M,Q} = -\Upsilon(r)dv^2 + 2dvdr + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \quad (49)$$

3.1.6 Outgoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates u, r

We define another coordinate system that covers the interior of \mathcal{M} . This coordinate system, $(u, r, \theta^1, \theta^2)$ is called *outgoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates* and makes use of the above defined functions u and r . The Reissner-Nordström metric on the interior of \mathcal{M} in (u, r, θ, ϕ) -coordinates is given by

$$\mathbf{g}_{M,Q} = -\Upsilon(r)du^2 - 2dudr + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2). \quad (50)$$

Observe that the Reissner-Nordström metric is foliated by spheres S , as appears from any coordinate system written above. The spheres S can be obtained as intersections of levels of coordinate functions.

3.2 Null frames: Ricci coefficients and curvature components

We define in this section three normalized null frames associated to Reissner-Nordström. We can use the null geodesic generators L, \underline{L} defined in (45) to define the following canonical null pairs.

1. The null frame (e_3^*, e_4^*) for which e_3 is geodesic (which is regular towards the future along the event horizon) is given by

$$e_3^* = \underline{L}, \quad e_4^* = \Upsilon L. \quad (51)$$

All Ricci coefficients vanish except,

$$\kappa = \frac{2\Upsilon}{r} = \frac{2}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \right), \quad \underline{\kappa} = -\frac{2}{r}, \quad \omega = -\frac{M}{r^2} + \frac{Q^2}{r^3}, \quad \underline{\omega} = 0.$$

2. The null frame (e_3, e_4) for which e_4 is geodesic is given by

$$e_3 = \Upsilon \underline{L}, \quad e_4 = L \quad (52)$$

All Ricci coefficients vanish except,

$$\kappa = \frac{2}{r}, \quad \underline{\kappa} = -\frac{2\Upsilon}{r} = -\frac{2}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \right), \quad \omega = 0, \quad \underline{\omega} = \frac{M}{r^2} - \frac{Q^2}{r^3}$$

3. The symmetric null frame (e_3^s, e_4^s) is given by

$$e_4^s = \Omega L, \quad e_3^s = \Omega \underline{L}. \quad (53)$$

where $\Omega = \sqrt{\Upsilon}$. In this case,

$$\kappa = -\underline{\kappa} = \frac{2\Omega}{r}, \quad \omega = -\underline{\omega} = -\frac{M}{2\Omega r^2} + \frac{Q^2}{2\Omega r^3}$$

Observe that all null frames above defined, (51), (52) and (53), verify conditions (8) of local null frames. They verify the relations:

$$e_3 = \Upsilon e_3^*, \quad e_4 = \Upsilon^{-1} e_4^* \quad (54)$$

We denote $\mathcal{N} = \{e_3, e_4, e_A\}$ the null frame with e_4 geodesic, and $\mathcal{N}^* = \{e_3^*, e_4^*, e_A\}$ the null frame which is regular towards the horizon. In particular, \mathcal{N}^* extends regularly to a non-vanishing null frame on \mathcal{H}^+ .

The curvature and electromagnetic components which are non-vanishing do not depend on the particular null frame. They are given by

$${}^{(F)}\rho = \frac{Q}{r^2}, \quad \rho = -\frac{2M}{r^3} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^4}$$

We also have that

$$K = \frac{1}{r^2} \quad (55)$$

for the Gauss curvature of the round S^2 -spheres.

3.2.1 Foliation Σ_τ

For all values $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the hypersurfaces $\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau = \{t = \tau\}$ are spacelike. For polynomial decay following the method of [19] and [43], we will require hypersurfaces Σ_τ which connect the event horizon and null infinity. We define such a foliation in the following way.

Recall the definitions of $r_{\mathcal{H}}$ and r_P given by (39) and (48). We divide the exterior of Reissner-Nordström spacetime \mathcal{M} in the following regions:

1. The red shift region $\mathcal{M}_{red} := \{r_{\mathcal{H}} \leq r \leq \frac{11}{10}r_{\mathcal{H}}\}$
2. The trapping region $\mathcal{M}_{trap} := \{\frac{5}{6}r_P \leq r \leq \frac{7}{6}r_P\}$
3. The far-away region $\mathcal{M}_{far} := \{r \geq R_0\}$ with R_0 a fixed number $R_0 \gg 2r_P$.

For fixed R we denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\leq R}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}$ the regions defined by $r \leq R$ and $r \geq R$.

We foliate \mathcal{M} by hypersurfaces Σ_τ which are:

1. Incoming null in \mathcal{M}_{red} , with e_3^* as null incoming generator (which is regular up to horizon). We denote this portion Σ_{red} . This is realized by a portion of $\{v = \text{const}\}$ in the ingoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates.
2. Strictly spacelike in \mathcal{M}_{trap} . We denote this portion by Σ_{trap} . This is realized by a portion of $\tilde{\Sigma}_\tau$.
3. Outgoing null in \mathcal{M}_{far} with e_4 as null outgoing generator. We denote this portion by Σ_{far} . This is realized by a portion of $\{u = \text{const}\}$ in the outgoing Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates.

We denote $\mathcal{M}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \subset \mathcal{M}$ the spacetime region in the past of $\Sigma(\tau_2)$ and in the future of $\Sigma(\tau_1)$. We also denote

$$\mathcal{H}^+(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \mathcal{M}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cap \mathcal{H}^+, \quad \mathcal{I}^+(\tau_1, \tau_2) = \mathcal{M}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cap \mathcal{I}^+$$




Figure 1: Foliation Σ_τ in the Penrose diagram of Reissner-Nordström spacetime

3.3 Killing fields of the Reissner-Nordström metric

We discuss now the Killing fields associated to the metric $\mathbf{g}_{M,Q}$. Notice that the Reissner-Nordström metric possesses the same symmetries as the ones possessed by Schwarzschild spacetime.

We define the vectorfield T to be the timelike Killing vector field ∂_t of the (t, r) coordinates in (46), which in double null coordinates is given by

$$T = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_u + \partial_v)$$

The vector field extends to a smooth Killing field on the horizon \mathcal{H}^+ , which is moreover null and tangential to the null generator of \mathcal{H}^+ .

In terms of the null frames defined in Section 3.2, the Killing vector field T can be written as

$$T = \frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon e_3^* + e_4^*) = \frac{1}{2}(e_3 + \Upsilon e_4) \quad (56)$$

Notice that at on the horizon, T corresponds up to a factor with the null vector of \mathcal{N}^* frame, $T = \frac{1}{2}e_4^*$.

We can also define a basis of angular momentum operator Ω_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$. Fixing standard spherical coordinates on S^2 , we have

$$\Omega_1 = \partial_\phi, \quad \Omega_2 = -\sin\phi\partial_\theta - \cot\theta\cos\phi\partial_\phi, \quad \Omega_3 = \cos\phi\partial_\theta - \cot\theta\sin\phi\partial_\phi$$

The Lie algebra of Killing vector fields of $\mathbf{g}_{M,Q}$ is then generated by T and Ω_i , for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

3.4 Reissner-Nordström background operators and commutation identities

In this section, we specialize the operators discussed in Section 2.1.2 to the Reissner-Nordström metric.

Adapting the commutation formulae (11) to the Reissner-Nordström metric, we obtain the following commutation formulae. For projected covariant derivatives for $\xi = \xi_{A_1 \dots A_n}$ any n -covariant

$S_{u,s}^2$ -tensor in Reissner-Nordström metric $(\mathcal{M}, \mathbf{g}_{M,Q})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathring{\nabla}_3 \mathring{\nabla}_B \xi_{A_1 \dots A_n} - \mathring{\nabla}_B \mathring{\nabla}_3 \xi_{A_1 \dots A_n} &= -\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \mathring{\nabla}_B \xi_{A_1 \dots A_n}, \\
\mathring{\nabla}_4 \mathring{\nabla}_B \xi_{A_1 \dots A_n} - \mathring{\nabla}_B \mathring{\nabla}_4 \xi_{A_1 \dots A_n} &= -\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \mathring{\nabla}_B \xi_{A_1 \dots A_n}, \\
\mathring{\nabla}_3 \mathring{\nabla}_4 \xi_{A_1 \dots A_n} - \mathring{\nabla}_4 \mathring{\nabla}_3 \xi_{A_1 \dots A_n} &= -2\omega \mathring{\nabla}_3 \xi_{A_1 \dots A_n} + 2\underline{\omega} \mathring{\nabla}_4 \xi_{A_1 \dots A_n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

In particular, we have

$$[\mathring{\nabla}_4, r\mathring{\nabla}_A] \xi = 0 \quad , \quad [\mathring{\nabla}_3, r\mathring{\nabla}_A] \xi = 0. \tag{58}$$

We summarize here the commutation formulae for the angular operators defined in Section 2.1.2. Let ρ, σ be scalar functions, ξ be a 1-tensor and θ be a symmetric traceless 2-tensor in Reissner-Nordström manifold. Then:

$$[\mathring{\nabla}_4, \mathcal{D}_1] \xi = -\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \mathcal{D}_1 \xi, \quad [\mathring{\nabla}_3, \mathcal{D}_1] \xi = -\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \mathcal{D}_1 \xi \tag{59}$$

$$[\mathring{\nabla}_4, \mathcal{D}_1^*](\rho, \sigma) = -\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \mathcal{D}_1^*(\rho, \sigma), \quad [\mathring{\nabla}_3, \mathcal{D}_1^*](\rho, \sigma) = -\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \mathcal{D}_1^*(\rho, \sigma), \tag{60}$$

$$[\mathring{\nabla}_4, \mathcal{D}_2] \theta = -\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \mathcal{D}_2 \theta, \quad [\mathring{\nabla}_3, \mathcal{D}_2] \theta = -\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \mathcal{D}_2 \theta \tag{61}$$

$$[\mathring{\nabla}_4, \mathcal{D}_2^*] \xi = -\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \mathcal{D}_2^* \xi, \quad [\mathring{\nabla}_3, \mathcal{D}_2^*] \xi = -\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \mathcal{D}_2^* \xi \tag{62}$$

4 The linearized Einstein-Maxwell equations

We collect here the equations for linearized gravitational and electromagnetic perturbation of Reissner-Nordström metric. Recall that in Reissner-Nordström metric the following Ricci coefficients, curvature and electromagnetic components vanish:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\widehat{\chi}, & \widehat{\underline{\chi}}, & \eta, & \underline{\eta}, & \zeta, & \xi, & \underline{\xi} \\
\alpha, & \beta, & \sigma, & \underline{\beta}, & \underline{\alpha} \\
{}^{(F)}\beta, & {}^{(F)}\sigma, & & {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}
\end{array}$$

In particular, in writing the linearization of the equations of Section 2, we will neglect the quadratic terms, i.e. product of terms above which vanish in Reissner-Nordström background.

We remark that these equations are linear once one understands the quantities of order ϵ to be the unknowns, and the quantities of order 1 to be the known Reissner-Nordström values upon a gauge choice.

4.1 Linearised null structure equations

The linearization of equations (16)-(25) are the following:

$$\nabla_3 \widehat{\chi} + (\underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega}) \widehat{\chi} = -2 \mathcal{D}_2^* \underline{\xi} - \underline{\alpha}, \quad (63)$$

$$\nabla_4 \widehat{\chi} + (\kappa + 2\omega) \widehat{\chi} = -2 \mathcal{D}_2^* \xi - \alpha, \quad (64)$$

$$\nabla_3 \widehat{\chi} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega} \right) \widehat{\chi} = -2 \mathcal{D}_2^* \underline{\eta} - \frac{1}{2} \kappa \widehat{\chi} \quad (65)$$

$$\nabla_4 \widehat{\chi} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \kappa - 2\omega \right) \widehat{\chi} = -2 \mathcal{D}_2^* \eta - \frac{1}{2} \kappa \widehat{\chi}, \quad (66)$$

$$\nabla_3 \zeta + \left(\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega} \right) \zeta = 2 \mathcal{D}_1^*(\underline{\omega}, 0) - \left(\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega} \right) \underline{\eta} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \kappa + 2\omega \right) \underline{\xi} - \underline{\beta} - {}^{(F)}\rho {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}, \quad (67)$$

$$\nabla_4 \zeta + \left(\frac{1}{2} \kappa - 2\omega \right) \zeta = -2 \mathcal{D}_1^*(\omega, 0) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \kappa + 2\omega \right) \underline{\eta} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega} \right) \underline{\xi} - \beta - {}^{(F)}\rho {}^{(F)}\beta, \quad (68)$$

$$\nabla_4 \underline{\xi} - \nabla_3 \underline{\eta} = -\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} (\underline{\eta} - \underline{\eta}) + 4\underline{\omega} \underline{\xi} - \underline{\beta} - {}^{(F)}\rho {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}, \quad (69)$$

$$\nabla_3 \xi - \nabla_4 \eta = \frac{1}{2} \kappa (\eta - \eta) + 4\omega \xi + \beta + {}^{(F)}\rho {}^{(F)}\beta, \quad (70)$$

$$\nabla_3 \underline{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa}^2 + 2\underline{\omega} \underline{\kappa} = 2 \text{div} \underline{\xi}, \quad (71)$$

$$\nabla_4 \kappa + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 + 2\omega \kappa = 2 \text{div} \xi, \quad (72)$$

$$\nabla_3 \kappa + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega} \kappa = 2 \text{div} \eta + 2\rho, \quad (73)$$

$$\nabla_4 \underline{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa \underline{\kappa} - 2\omega \underline{\kappa} = 2 \text{div} \underline{\eta} + 2\rho, \quad (74)$$

$$\text{div} \widehat{\chi} = -\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \zeta - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_1^*(\underline{\kappa}, 0) + \underline{\beta} - {}^{(F)}\rho {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}, \quad (75)$$

$$\text{div} \widehat{\chi} = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \zeta - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_1^*(\kappa, 0) - \beta + {}^{(F)}\rho {}^{(F)}\beta \quad (76)$$

$$\nabla_4 \underline{\omega} + \nabla_3 \omega = 4\underline{\omega} \underline{\omega} + \rho + {}^{(F)}\rho^2, \quad (77)$$

$$\text{curl} \eta = \sigma, \quad (78)$$

$$\text{curl} \underline{\eta} = -\sigma \quad (79)$$

$$K = -\frac{1}{4} \kappa \underline{\kappa} - \rho + {}^{(F)}\rho^2 \quad (80)$$

4.2 Linearised Maxwell equations

The linearisation of equations (26)-(31) are the following:

$$\nabla_3 {}^{(F)}\beta + \left(\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega}\right) {}^{(F)}\beta = -\mathcal{D}_1^*({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma) + 2{}^{(F)}\rho\eta, \quad (81)$$

$$\nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega}\right) {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} = \mathcal{D}_1^*({}^{(F)}\rho, -{}^{(F)}\sigma) - 2{}^{(F)}\rho\underline{\eta} \quad (82)$$

$$\nabla_3 {}^{(F)}\rho + \underline{\kappa} {}^{(F)}\rho = -\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{iv} {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} \quad (83)$$

$$\nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\rho + \kappa {}^{(F)}\rho = \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{iv} {}^{(F)}\beta \quad (84)$$

$$\nabla_3 {}^{(F)}\sigma + \underline{\kappa} {}^{(F)}\sigma = -\mathfrak{cu}\mathfrak{rl} {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} \quad (85)$$

$$\nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\sigma + \kappa {}^{(F)}\sigma = \mathfrak{cu}\mathfrak{rl} {}^{(F)}\beta \quad (86)$$

4.3 Linearised Bianchi identities

The linearisation of the equations (32)-(36) are the following:

$$\nabla_3 \alpha + \left(\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa} - 4\underline{\omega}\right) \alpha = -2\mathcal{D}_2^* \beta - 3\rho\widehat{\chi} - 2{}^{(F)}\rho \left(\mathcal{D}_2^* {}^{(F)}\beta + {}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi}\right), \quad (87)$$

$$\nabla_4 \underline{\alpha} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa} - 4\underline{\omega}\right) \underline{\alpha} = 2\mathcal{D}_2^* \underline{\beta} - 3\rho\widehat{\underline{\chi}} + 2{}^{(F)}\rho \left(\mathcal{D}_2^* {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} - {}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\underline{\chi}}\right), \quad (88)$$

$$\nabla_3 \beta + (\underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega}) \beta = \mathcal{D}_1^*(-\rho, \sigma) + 3\rho\eta + {}^{(F)}\rho \left(\mathcal{D}_1^*(-{}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma) - \kappa {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa} {}^{(F)}\beta\right), \quad (89)$$

$$\nabla_4 \underline{\beta} + (\kappa - 2\underline{\omega}) \underline{\beta} = \mathcal{D}_1^*(\rho, \sigma) - 3\rho\underline{\eta} + {}^{(F)}\rho \left(\mathcal{D}_1^*({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma) - \underline{\kappa} {}^{(F)}\beta - \frac{1}{2}\kappa {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}\right), \quad (90)$$

$$\nabla_3 \underline{\beta} + (2\underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega}) \underline{\beta} = -\mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{iv} \underline{\alpha} - 3\rho\underline{\xi} + {}^{(F)}\rho \left(\nabla_3 {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} + 2\underline{\omega} {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} + 2{}^{(F)}\rho \underline{\xi}\right), \quad (91)$$

$$\nabla_4 \beta + (2\kappa + 2\underline{\omega}) \beta = \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{iv} \alpha + 3\rho\xi + {}^{(F)}\rho \left(\nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\beta + 2\underline{\omega} {}^{(F)}\beta - 2{}^{(F)}\rho\xi\right) \quad (92)$$

$$\nabla_3 \rho + \frac{3}{2}\underline{\kappa}\rho = -\underline{\kappa} {}^{(F)}\rho^2 - \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{iv} \underline{\beta} - {}^{(F)}\rho \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{iv} {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}, \quad (93)$$

$$\nabla_4 \rho + \frac{3}{2}\kappa\rho = -\kappa {}^{(F)}\rho^2 + \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{iv} \beta + {}^{(F)}\rho \mathfrak{d}\mathfrak{iv} {}^{(F)}\beta, \quad (94)$$

$$\nabla_3 \sigma + \frac{3}{2}\underline{\kappa}\sigma = -\mathfrak{cu}\mathfrak{rl} \underline{\beta} - {}^{(F)}\rho \mathfrak{cu}\mathfrak{rl} {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}, \quad (95)$$

$$\nabla_4 \sigma + \frac{3}{2}\kappa\sigma = -\mathfrak{cu}\mathfrak{rl} \beta - {}^{(F)}\rho \mathfrak{cu}\mathfrak{rl} {}^{(F)}\beta \quad (96)$$

5 Gauge-invariant quantities

The Teukolsky equations we shall consider are wave equations for gauge-invariant quantities for linear gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations of Reissner-Nordström spacetime. In the context of spin ± 2 Teukolsky-type equations, we will consider in particular two quantities which are gauge-invariant: α and \mathfrak{f} for spin $+2$, and $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$ for spin -2 .

In order to identify the gauge-invariant quantities we consider null frame transformations, i.e. linear transformations which take null frames into null frames.

We recall here a generalisation of Lemma 2.3.1. of [37].

Lemma 5.0.1. *[Lemma 2.3.1. in [37]] A linear null transformation can be written in the form*

$$\begin{aligned} e'_4 &= e^a (e_4 + f^A e_A), \\ e'_3 &= e^{-a} (e_3 + \underline{f}^A e_A), \\ e'_A &= O_A{}^B e_B + \frac{1}{2} \underline{f}_A e_4 + \frac{1}{2} f_A e_3 \end{aligned}$$

where a is a scalar function, f and \underline{f} are $S_{u,s}$ -tensors and $O_A{}^B$ is an orthogonal transformation of $(S_{u,s}, \mathfrak{g})$, i.e. $O_A{}^B \mathfrak{g}_{BC} = \mathfrak{g}_{AC}$.

Observe that the identity transformation is given by $a = f_A = \underline{f}_A = 0$ and $O_A{}^B = \delta_A^B$. Therefore, a linear perturbation of a null frame is one for which $a = f_A = \underline{f}_A = O(\epsilon)$ and $O_A{}^B = \delta_A^B + O(\epsilon)$.

We recall here Proposition 2.3.4 of [37]. We write the transformations for some of the Ricci coefficients and curvature components under a general null transformation of this type.

Proposition 5.0.1. *[Proposition 2.3.4 of [37]] Under a general transformation of the type given in Lemma 5.0.1, the linear transformations of Ricci coefficients and curvature components are the following:*

$$\widehat{\chi}' = \widehat{\chi} - \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{z}f, \quad \widehat{\underline{\chi}}' = \widehat{\underline{\chi}} - \mathcal{D}\mathfrak{z}\underline{f} \quad (97)$$

$$\alpha' = \alpha, \quad \underline{\alpha}' = \underline{\alpha} \quad (98)$$

$$\beta' = \beta + \frac{3}{2}\rho f, \quad \underline{\beta}' = \underline{\beta} - \frac{3}{2}\rho \underline{f} \quad (99)$$

$$\rho' = \rho \quad (100)$$

$${}^{(F)}\beta' = {}^{(F)}\beta + f {}^{(F)}\rho, \quad {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}' = {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} - \underline{f} {}^{(F)}\rho \quad (101)$$

$${}^{(F)}\rho' = {}^{(F)}\rho. \quad (102)$$

The extreme curvature components α and $\underline{\alpha}$ are gauge-invariant, as implied by Proposition 5.0.1, equation (98).

These curvature components are extremely important because in the case of the Einstein vacuum equation they verify a decoupled wave equation, the celebrated Teukolsky equation, first discovered in the Schwarzschild case in [6] and generalized to the Kerr case in [49].

In the case of Einstein-Maxwell equation, the presence of electromagnetic perturbations modifies the decoupling of the Teukolsky equations for α and $\underline{\alpha}$. Indeed, they do not verify a wave equation which is decoupled by all other curvature components, but instead a Teukolsky-type equation with a non-trivial right hand side.

By Proposition 5.0.1, equation (101), the extreme electromagnetic components ${}^{(F)}\beta$ and ${}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}$ are not gauge-invariant if ${}^{(F)}\rho$ is not zero in the background. In the case of the Maxwell equations in Schwarzschild, the components ${}^{(F)}\beta$ and ${}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}$ are gauge-invariant, and satisfy a spin ± 1 Teukolsky equation. In [44], the author proves a boundedness and decay statement for solutions ${}^{(F)}\beta$ and ${}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}$ of the spin ± 1 Teukolsky equation. In the case of coupled gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations of Reissner-Nordström spacetime, the spin ± 1 Teukolsky equations verified by ${}^{(F)}\beta$ and ${}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}$ (derived in Proposition A.2.1) cannot be used, since they are not gauge-invariant. It turns out that we will make use of different gauge-invariant quantities related to the electromagnetic components ${}^{(F)}\beta$ and ${}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}$.

We define the following symmetric traceless 2-tensors

$$\mathfrak{f} := \mathcal{D}_2^* {}^{(F)}\beta + {}^{(F)}\rho \widehat{\chi}, \quad \underline{\mathfrak{f}} := \mathcal{D}_2^* {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} - {}^{(F)}\rho \widehat{\underline{\chi}}$$

We summarize in the following lemma a fundamental property of the 2-tensors \mathfrak{f} and $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$.

Lemma 5.0.2. *The tensors \mathfrak{f} and $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$ are invariant to any linear null transformation.*

Proof. Consider any linear null transformation described by f . Using (97) and (101), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}' &= \mathcal{D}_2^* {}^{(F)}\beta' + {}^{(F)}\rho' \widehat{\chi}' = \mathcal{D}_2^* ({}^{(F)}\beta + f {}^{(F)}\rho) + {}^{(F)}\rho (\widehat{\chi} - \mathcal{D}_2^* f) \\ &= \mathcal{D}_2^* {}^{(F)}\beta + {}^{(F)}\rho' \widehat{\chi} + {}^{(F)}\rho \mathcal{D}_2^* f - {}^{(F)}\rho \mathcal{D}_2^* f = \mathfrak{f} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$. □

According to Lemma 5.0.2, we say that \mathfrak{f} and $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$ are gauge-invariant.

Notice that the quantities \mathfrak{f} and $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$ appear in the Bianchi identities for α and $\underline{\alpha}$. The equations (87) and (88) can therefore be rewritten as

$$\nabla_3 \alpha + \left(\frac{1}{2} \kappa - 4\underline{\omega} \right) \alpha = -2 \mathcal{D}_2^* \beta - 3\rho \widehat{\chi} - 2 {}^{(F)}\rho \mathfrak{f}, \quad (103)$$

$$\nabla_4 \underline{\alpha} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \kappa - 4\omega \right) \underline{\alpha} = 2 \mathcal{D}_2^* \underline{\beta} - 3\rho \widehat{\underline{\chi}} + 2 {}^{(F)}\rho \underline{\mathfrak{f}} \quad (104)$$

By this rewriting of the Bianchi identities (87) and (88) it is clear why \mathfrak{f} and $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$ shall appear on the right hand side of the wave equation verified by α and $\underline{\alpha}$.

The quantities \mathfrak{f} and $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$ verify themselves Teukolsky-type equations, which are coupled with α and $\underline{\alpha}$ respectively. The equations for α and \mathfrak{f} and for $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$ constitute the generalized spin ± 2 Teukolsky system obtained in Section 6.

6 Generalized spin ± 2 -Teukolsky and Regge-Wheeler systems

In this section, we will introduce a generalization of the celebrated spin ± 2 Teukolsky equations and the Regge-Wheeler equation, and explain the connection between them.

6.1 Generalized spin ± 2 Teukolsky system

The generalized spin ± 2 Teukolsky system concern symmetric traceless 2-tensors in Reissner-Nordström spacetime, which we denote (α, \mathfrak{f}) and $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\mathfrak{f}})$ respectively.

Definition 6.1. *Let α and \mathfrak{f} be two smooth symmetric traceless $S_{u,s}^2$ 2-tensors defined on a subset $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{M}$. We say that (α, \mathfrak{f}) satisfy the **generalized Teukolsky system of spin $+2$** if they satisfy the following coupled system of PDEs:*

$$\begin{aligned}\square_{\mathbf{g}}\alpha &= -4\underline{\omega}\nabla_4\alpha + 2(\kappa + 2\omega)\nabla_3\alpha + \left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa\underline{\kappa} - 4\rho + 4^{(F)}\rho^2 + 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} - 10\underline{\omega}\kappa - 8\underline{\omega}\omega - 4\nabla_4\underline{\omega}\right)\alpha \\ &\quad + 4^{(F)}\rho(\nabla_4\mathfrak{f} + (\kappa + 2\omega)\mathfrak{f}), \\ \square_{\mathbf{g}}(r\mathfrak{f}) &= -2\underline{\omega}\nabla_4(r\mathfrak{f}) + (\kappa + 2\omega)\nabla_3(r\mathfrak{f}) + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa\underline{\kappa} - 3\rho + \omega\underline{\kappa} - 3\underline{\omega}\kappa - 2\nabla_4\underline{\omega}\right)r\mathfrak{f} \\ &\quad - r^{(F)}\rho(\nabla_3\alpha + (\underline{\kappa} - 4\underline{\omega})\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

where $\square_{\mathbf{g}} = \square_{\mathbf{g}_{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{Q}}} = \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}\mathbf{D}_\mu\mathbf{D}_\nu$ denotes the wave operator in Reissner-Nordström spacetime, and the coefficients (in terms of $\kappa, \underline{\kappa}, \omega, \underline{\omega}, \rho, {}^{(F)}\rho$) are the corresponding background values in Reissner-Nordström².

Let $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$ be two smooth symmetric traceless $S_{u,s}^2$ 2-tensor defined on a subset $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{M}$. We say that $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\mathfrak{f}})$ satisfy the **generalized Teukolsky system of spin -2** if they satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\square_{\mathbf{g}}\underline{\alpha} &= -4\underline{\omega}\nabla_3\underline{\alpha} + 2(\underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega})\nabla_4\underline{\alpha} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa\underline{\kappa} - 4\rho + 4^{(F)}\rho^2 + 2\underline{\omega}\kappa - 10\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} - 8\underline{\omega}\omega - 4\nabla_3\underline{\omega}\right)\underline{\alpha} \\ &\quad - 4^{(F)}\rho(\nabla_3\underline{\mathfrak{f}} + (\underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega})\underline{\mathfrak{f}}), \\ \square_{\mathbf{g}}(r\underline{\mathfrak{f}}) &= -2\underline{\omega}\nabla_3(r\underline{\mathfrak{f}}) + (\underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega})\nabla_4(r\underline{\mathfrak{f}}) + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa\underline{\kappa} - 3\rho + \omega\underline{\kappa} - 3\underline{\omega}\kappa - 2\nabla_3\underline{\omega}\right)r\underline{\mathfrak{f}} \\ &\quad + r^{(F)}\rho(\nabla_4\underline{\alpha} + (\kappa - 4\underline{\omega})\underline{\alpha})\end{aligned}$$

Remark 6.1. *When the electromagnetic tensor vanishes, i.e. if ${}^{(F)}\beta = {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta} = {}^{(F)}\rho = {}^{(F)}\sigma = 0$, the generalized Teukolsky system of spin ± 2 reduces to the first equation, since $\mathfrak{f} = 0$. Moreover, the first equation reduces to the Teukolsky equation of spin ± 2 in Schwarzschild.*

Given a linear gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations of Reissner-Nordström spacetime, the quantities (α, \mathfrak{f}) and $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\mathfrak{f}})$ given by the gauge-invariant quantities defined in Section 5 satisfy the generalized Teukolsky system of spin ± 2 respectively. The equations are implied by the linearized Einstein-Maxwell equations in Section 4, as showed in Proposition A.3.1 and Proposition A.3.2 in Appendix A.

6.2 Generalized Regge-Wheeler system

The other generalized system to be defined here is the generalized Regge-Wheeler system, to be satisfied again by symmetric traceless $S_{u,s}$ tensors $(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}})$.

²The generalized Teukolsky system as defined here is gauge-invariant. In particular, the coefficients of the equations can be evaluated in any gauge.

Definition 6.2. Let \mathbf{q} and $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ be two smooth symmetric traceless $S_{u,s}^2$ 2-tensor on \mathcal{D} . We say that $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}})$ satisfy the **generalized Regge–Wheeler system for spin +2** if they satisfy the following coupled system of PDEs:

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{q} + \left(\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 10 \text{}^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \mathbf{q} &= \text{}^{(F)}\rho \left(4r\Delta_2\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - 4r\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\nabla_4(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) - 4r\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\nabla_3(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + r \left(6\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 16\rho + 8 \text{}^{(F)}\rho^2 \right) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \\ &\quad + \text{}^{(F)}\rho(l.o.t.)_1, \\ \square_{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + (\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 3\rho) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} &= \text{}^{(F)}\rho \left(-\frac{1}{r}\mathbf{q} \right) + \text{}^{(F)}\rho^2(l.o.t.)_2 \end{aligned} \tag{105}$$

where $(l.o.t.)_1$ and $(l.o.t.)_2$ are lower order terms with respect to \mathbf{q} and $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$. Schematically³ $\nabla_3^{\leq 2}(l.o.t.) = \mathbf{q}$.

Let $\underline{\mathbf{q}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ be two smooth symmetric traceless $S_{u,s}^2$ 2-tensor on \mathcal{D} . We say that $(\underline{\mathbf{q}}, \underline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{F}})$ satisfy the **generalized Regge–Wheeler system for spin -2** if they satisfy the following coupled system of PDEs:

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{\mathbf{g}}\underline{\mathbf{q}} + \left(\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 10 \text{}^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \underline{\mathbf{q}} &= -\text{}^{(F)}\rho \left(4r\Delta_2\underline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{F}} - 4r\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\nabla_3(\underline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{F}}) - 4r\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\nabla_4(\underline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{F}}) + r \left(6\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 16\rho + 8 \text{}^{(F)}\rho^2 \right) \underline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \\ &\quad - \text{}^{(F)}\rho(l.o.t.)_1, \\ \square_{\mathbf{g}}\underline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{F}} + (\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 3\rho) \underline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{F}} &= -\text{}^{(F)}\rho \left(-\frac{1}{r}\underline{\mathbf{q}} \right) + \text{}^{(F)}\rho^2(l.o.t.)_2 \end{aligned} \tag{106}$$

Observe that the coupled system of PDEs in (106) is obtained from the system (105) by interchanging ∇_3 with ∇_4 and underlined quantities with non-underlined ones. Observe that the generalized Regge-Wheeler system of spin ± 2 differ from each other only by the sign in front of the right hand side, since in interchanging the e_3 and e_4 , the quantity $\text{}^{(F)}\rho = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{F}(e_3, e_4)$ changes sign. The analysis of the two system will be completely analogous.

In Section 7, we will show that given a solution (α, \mathbf{f}) and $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\mathbf{f}})$ of the spin ± 2 Teukolsky equations, respectively, we can derive two solutions $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}})$ and $(\underline{\mathbf{q}}, \underline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{F}})$, respectively, of the generalized Regge-Wheeler system. In view of the above considerations, it follows that we can associate to a linear gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations of Reissner-Nordström, solutions to the Regge-Wheeler system.

In the context of the proof of Main Theorem, we will do estimates directly at the level of the tensorial system (105).

6.3 Well-posedness

For completeness, we state here a standard well-posedness theorem for the generalized Teukolsky systems.

Proposition 6.3.1 (Well-posedness for the generalized Teukolsky system). *Let $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \in H_{loc}^j(\Sigma_0) \times H_{loc}^{j-1}(\Sigma_0)$ and $(\mathbf{f}_0, \mathbf{f}_1) \in H_{loc}^j(\Sigma_0) \times H_{loc}^{j-1}(\Sigma_0)$ be symmetric traceless 2-tensor along Σ_0 , with $j \geq 1$. Then there exists a unique pair (α, \mathbf{f}) of symmetric traceless 2-tensors on $\mathcal{M}(0, \tau)$, for any*

³The exact form of the equations is obtained in Proposition B.1.1 and Proposition B.2.2.

$\tau > 0$, satisfying the generalized Teukolsky system of spin +2, with $(\alpha, \mathfrak{f}) \in H_{loc}^j(\Sigma_\tau) \times H_{loc}^j(\Sigma_\tau)$, $(n_{\Sigma_\tau}\alpha, n_{\Sigma_\tau}\mathfrak{f}) \in H_{loc}^{j-1}(\Sigma_\tau) \times H_{loc}^{j-1}(\Sigma_\tau)$, such that $(n_{\Sigma_0}\alpha, n_{\Sigma_0}\mathfrak{f})|_{\Sigma_0} = (\alpha_1, \mathfrak{f}_1)$.

A similar result holds for the generalized Teukolsky system for spin -2 .

7 Chandrasekhar transformation into Regge-Wheeler

We now describe a transformation theory relating solutions of the generalized Teukolsky system to solutions of the generalized Regge-Wheeler system. We emphasize that a physical space version of the Chandrasekhar transformation was first introduced in [16], for the Schwarzschild spacetime.

We introduce the following operators for a n -rank S -tensor Ψ :

$$\underline{P}(\Psi) = \frac{1}{\underline{\kappa}} \nabla_3(r\Psi), \quad P(\Psi) = \frac{1}{\kappa} \nabla_4(r\Psi) \quad (107)$$

Observe that the operators P and \underline{P} above preserve the signature⁴ of the tensor Ψ as well as its rank. These operators can be thought of as rescaled derivatives: \underline{P} is a rescaled version of ∇_3 , while P is a rescaled version of ∇_4 .

Recall that in a spherically symmetric spacetime $\nabla_3 r = \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}r$ and $\nabla_4 r = \frac{1}{2}\kappa r$, therefore

$$\underline{P}(\Psi) = \frac{1}{2}r\Psi + \frac{1}{\underline{\kappa}}r\nabla_3(\Psi), \quad P(\Psi) = \frac{1}{2}r\Psi + \frac{1}{\kappa}r\nabla_4(\Psi) \quad (108)$$

Given a solution (α, \mathfrak{f}) of the generalized Teukolsky system of spin +2, we can define the following *derived* quantities for (α, \mathfrak{f}) :

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0 &= r^2 \underline{\kappa}^2 \alpha, \\ \psi_1 &= \underline{P}(\psi_0), \\ \psi_2 &= \underline{P}(\psi_1) = \underline{P}(\underline{P}(\psi_0)) =: \mathfrak{q}, \\ \psi_3 &= r^2 \underline{\kappa} \mathfrak{f}, \\ \psi_4 &= \underline{P}(\psi_3) =: \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

Similarly, given a solution $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\mathfrak{f}})$ of the generalized Teukolsky system of spin -2 , we can define the following *derived* quantities for $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\mathfrak{f}})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\psi}_0 &= r^2 \kappa^2 \underline{\alpha}, \\ \underline{\psi}_1 &= P(\underline{\psi}_0), \\ \underline{\psi}_2 &= P(\underline{\psi}_1) = P(P(\underline{\psi}_0)) =: \underline{\mathfrak{q}}, \\ \underline{\psi}_3 &= r^2 \kappa \underline{\mathfrak{f}}, \\ \underline{\psi}_4 &= P(\underline{\psi}_3) =: \underline{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbf{F}} \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

These quantities are again symmetric traceless S 2-tensors.

⁴As in [14], the signature of a tensor is given by the number of contraction with e_4 minus the number of contraction with e_3 in its definition. In the definition of \underline{P} , the derivative ∇_3 lowers the signature of Ψ of 1, and the division by $\underline{\kappa}$ (which has itself signature -1) raises the signature of 1.

Remark 7.1. Observe that, even if \mathfrak{f} is a symmetric traceless 2-tensor, we apply the Chandrasekhar transformation only once to obtain the quantity $\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ which verifies a Regge-Wheeler-type equation (as opposed to α , for which the Chandrasekhar transformation is applied twice). This is because \mathfrak{f} by definition is constructed from the one-form ${}^{(F)}\beta$, which verifies a spin +1 Teukolsky-type equation.

The following proposition is proven in Appendix B.

Proposition 7.0.1. Let (α, \mathfrak{f}) be a solution of the generalized Teukolsky system of spin +2 on $D^+(\Sigma_0)$. Then the symmetric traceless tensors $(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}})$ as defined through (109) satisfy the generalized Regge-Wheeler system of spin +2 on $D^+(\Sigma_0)$.

Similarly, let (α, \mathfrak{f}) be a solution of the generalized Teukolsky system of spin -2 on $D^+(\Sigma_0)$. Then the symmetric traceless tensors $(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}})$ as defined through (110) satisfy the generalized Regge-Wheeler system of spin -2 on $D^+(\Sigma_0)$.

Proof. In Lemma B.0.1, we compute the wave equation verified by a derived quantity of the form $\underline{P}(\Psi)$. We use this lemma to derive the wave equation for $\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ in Proposition B.1.1, from the Teukolsky equation for \mathfrak{f} . The wave equation for \mathfrak{q} in Proposition B.2.2 is obtained from the Teukolsky equation for α . See Appendix B. \square

The fact that the derived quantities $(\mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}})$ satisfy the generalized Regge-Wheeler system, together with the transport relations (109), will be the key to estimating the generalized Teukolsky equations.

7.1 Relation with the higher order quantities defined in Schwarzschild

In the linear and non-linear stability of Schwarzschild, similar derived quantities are defined.

In the work of linear stability of Schwarzschild [16], the transformation theory is defined in the following way (see Section 7.3 in [16]):

$$\psi : = -\frac{1}{2r\Omega^2} \nabla_3 (r\Omega^2 \alpha), \quad P := \frac{1}{r^3 \Omega} \nabla_3 (\psi r^3 \Omega)$$

and the quantity that verifies the Regge-Wheeler equation is $\Psi = r^5 P$, given therefore by

$$\Psi = \frac{r^2}{\Omega} \nabla_3 \left(-\frac{r^2}{2\Omega} \nabla_3 (r\Omega^2 \alpha) \right) \quad (111)$$

Recall that in double null coordinates used in [16], $\underline{\kappa} = \text{tr} \underline{\chi} = -\frac{2}{r} \Omega$. Substituting $\Omega = -\frac{r}{2} \underline{\kappa}$ in (111), we obtain

$$\Psi = -2 \frac{r}{\underline{\kappa}} \nabla_3 \left(\frac{r}{\underline{\kappa}} \nabla_3 \left(\frac{1}{4} r^3 \underline{\kappa}^2 \alpha \right) \right) = -\frac{1}{2} r \mathfrak{q}$$

which relate the Ψ in [16] to our \mathfrak{q} .

In the work of non-linear stability of Schwarzschild in [37], the derived quantities are defined in the following way at the linear level (see Appendix in [37])⁵:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_0 &= r^2 \underline{\kappa}^2 \alpha, \\ \Phi_1 &= \underline{P}(\Phi_0), \\ \Phi_2 &= \underline{P}(\Phi_1) \end{aligned}$$

⁵In the derivation of the non-linear terms, a different definition of the derived quantities is used, which is fundamental in the treatment of the non-linear terms. The two definitions coincide at the linear level.

In particular, in the case of vanishing electromagnetic tensor, i.e. $\underline{f} = \underline{\bar{f}} = 0$, the quantities defined in (109) coincide with the above. The Regge-Wheeler system reduces to the equation:

$$\left(\square_{\mathbf{g}_M} + \kappa \underline{\kappa}\right) \Phi_2 = O(\epsilon^2)$$

which is the main equation used in [37] to derive decay estimates for \mathbf{q} , and subsequently for α and all other curvature and connection coefficients quantities.

7.2 Relation with the linear stability of Reissner-Nordström spacetime

We will now finally relate the equations presented above to the full system of linearized gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations of Reissner-Nordström spacetime in the context of linear stability of Reissner-Nordström.

Consider a solution to the linearized Einstein-Maxwell equations around Reissner-Nordström spacetime, as presented in Section 4. Then, the quantities α_{AB} , $\underline{\alpha}_{AB}$ and the quantities $\underline{f}_{AB} = \mathcal{D}_2^*(F)\beta_{AB} + {}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi}_{AB}$ and $\underline{\bar{f}}_{AB} = \mathcal{D}_2^*(F)\beta_{AB} - {}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi}_{AB}$ verify the generalized Teukolsky system of spin ± 2 . We obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 7.1. *Let α , $\underline{\alpha}$, \underline{f} , $\underline{\bar{f}}$ be the curvature components of a solution to the linearized Einstein-Maxwell equations around Reissner-Nordström spacetime as in Section 4. Then (α, \underline{f}) satisfy the generalized Teukolsky system of spin $+2$, and $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\bar{f}})$ satisfy the generalized Teukolsky system of spin -2 .*

Proof. See Proposition A.3.1 and Proposition A.3.2 in Appendix A for the derivation of the generalized Teukolsky system for (α, \underline{f}) . \square

Using Proposition 7.0.1, we can therefore associate to any solution to the linearized Einstein-Maxwell equations around Reissner-Nordström spacetime, two symmetric traceless 2-tensors which verify the generalized Regge-Wheeler system of spin ± 2 . The result in this paper will therefore imply boundedness and decay results for \mathbf{q} , \mathbf{q}^F , α , \underline{f} , and $\underline{\bar{q}}$, $\underline{\bar{q}}^F$, $\underline{\alpha}$, $\underline{\bar{f}}$.

8 Energy quantities and statements of the main theorem

We first give the definitions of weighted energy quantities in Section 8.1, and then we provide the precise statement of the Main Theorem in Section 8.2.

8.1 Definition of weighted energies

We define in this section a number of weighted energies. Recall the notation described in Section 3.2.1. Recall the vectorfield T defined by (56) in terms of the null frames (e_3, e_4) and (e_3^*, e_4^*) . We define in addition the following vectorfield:

$$R = \frac{1}{2}(-\Upsilon e_3^* + e_4^*) = \frac{1}{2}(-e_3 + \Upsilon e_4)$$

Note that in coordinates $T = \partial_t$ and $R = \Upsilon \partial_r$, and

$$g(T, T) = -g(R, R) = -\Upsilon, \quad g(T, R) = 0, \quad T(r) = 0, \quad R(r) = \Upsilon \quad (112)$$

Notice that T and R are both parallel to e_4^* at the horizon. To control all the derivatives at the horizon, we define the following modified T and R . Let θ a smooth bump function equal 1 on \mathcal{M}_{red} , vanishing for $r \geq \frac{12}{10}r_{\mathcal{H}}$ and define the vectorfields

$$\begin{aligned}\check{R} &:= \theta \frac{1}{2}(e_4^* - e_3^*) + (1 - \theta)\Upsilon^{-1}R \\ \check{T} &:= \theta \frac{1}{2}(e_4^* + e_3^*) + (1 - \theta)\Upsilon^{-1}T\end{aligned}\tag{113}$$

We also introduce the following notation:

$$\check{\nabla}_4(\Psi) := \nabla_4(\Psi) + \frac{1}{r}\Psi$$

which will be used in Section 9.6 in the context of the r^p -estimates. We also denote $\check{\nabla}_4^* = \nabla_{e_4^*}$, $\check{\nabla}_3^* = \nabla_{e_3^*}$.

8.1.1 Weighted energies for \mathfrak{q} , \mathfrak{q}^F , $\underline{\mathfrak{q}}$, $\underline{\mathfrak{q}}^F$

The energies in this section will in general be applied to $\Psi = \mathfrak{q}$, \mathfrak{q}^F , $\underline{\mathfrak{q}}$ or $\underline{\mathfrak{q}}^F$. Let p be a free parameter, which will eventually take the values $\delta \leq p \leq 2 - \delta$.

We introduce the following weighted energies for Ψ . To simplify the notations, we suppress the volume form, and write $\int_{\mathcal{M}} d\text{vol}_{\mathcal{M}} = \int_r \int_t \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} r^2 \sin\theta dt dr d\theta d\phi$ for the spacetime integrals and $\int_{\Sigma} d\text{vol}_{\Sigma} = \int r^2 \sin\theta dr d\theta d\phi$ for integrals along spacelike hypersurfaces.

1. Energy quantities on Σ_τ :

- Basic energy quantity⁶

$$\begin{aligned}E[\Psi](\tau) &:= \int_{\Sigma_{red}} |\check{\nabla}_3^* \Psi|^2 + |\check{\nabla} \Psi|^2 + r^{-2} |\Psi|^2 \\ &+ \int_{\Sigma_\tau \setminus (\Sigma_{red} \cup \Sigma_{far})} |\check{\nabla}_4 \Psi|^2 + |\check{\nabla}_3 \Psi|^2 + |\check{\nabla} \Psi|^2 + r^{-2} |\Psi|^2 \\ &+ \int_{\Sigma_{far}} |\check{\nabla}_4 \Psi|^2 + |\check{\nabla} \Psi|^2 + r^{-2} |\Psi|^2\end{aligned}\tag{114}$$

Notice that the energy $E[\Psi](\tau)$ contains regular derivative close to the horizon.

- Weighted energy quantity in the far away region

$$E_{p;R}[\Psi](\tau) := \int_{\Sigma_{\geq R}(\tau)} r^p |\check{\nabla}_4 \Psi|^2$$

- Weighted energy quantity

$$E_p[\Psi](\tau) := E[\Psi](\tau) + E_{p;R}[\Psi](\tau)$$

⁶The definition of the energy is divided in three regions because the foliation Σ_τ is defined to be null near \mathcal{H}^+ and null near \mathcal{I}^+ , and therefore along null cones not all derivatives can be controlled.

2. Energy quantities on the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ :

$$E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\Psi](\tau_1, \tau_2) : = \int_{\mathcal{H}^+(\tau_1, \tau_2)} |\check{\nabla}_4^* \Psi|^2 + |\check{\nabla} \Psi|^2 + |\Psi|^2$$

3. Energy quantities on null infinity \mathcal{I}^+ :

$$E_{\mathcal{I}^+, p}[\Psi](\tau_1, \tau_2) : = \int_{\mathcal{I}^+(\tau_1, \tau_2)} |\check{\nabla}_3 \Psi|^2 + r^p |\check{\nabla} \Psi|^2 + r^{p-2} |\Psi|^2$$

4. Weighted spacetime bulk energies in $\mathcal{M}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$:

- Basic Morawetz bulk

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mor}[\Psi](\tau_1, \tau_2) := & \int_{\mathcal{M}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \frac{M^2}{r^3} |R(\Psi)|^2 + \frac{M}{r^4} |\Psi|^2 \\ & + \int_{\mathcal{M}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} \frac{(r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)^2}{r^5} \left(|\check{\nabla} \Psi|^2 + \frac{M^2}{r^2} |T\Psi|^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

Notice that the Morawetz bulk $\text{Mor}[\Psi](\tau_1, \tau_2)$ is degenerate at the photon sphere $r = r_P$.

- Red-shifted Morawetz bulk

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\Psi](\tau_1, \tau_2) := \int_{\mathcal{M}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} |\check{R}(\Psi)|^2 + |\check{T}\Psi|^2 + |\check{\nabla} \Psi|^2 + M^{-2} |\Psi|^2$$

- Improved Morawetz bulk

$$\text{Morr}[\Psi](\tau_1, \tau_2) := \text{Mor}[\Psi](\tau_1, \tau_2) + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} r^{-1-\delta} |\check{\nabla}_3(\Psi)|^2$$

- Weighted bulk norm in the far away region

$$\mathcal{M}_{p; R}[\Psi](\tau_1, \tau_2) : = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} r^{p-1} \left(p |\check{\nabla}_4(\Psi)|^2 + (2-p) (|\check{\nabla} \Psi|^2 + r^{-2} |\Psi|^2) \right) \quad (116)$$

- Weighted bulk norm

$$\mathcal{M}_p[\Psi](\tau_1, \tau_2) : = \text{Morr}[\Psi](\tau_1, \tau_2) + \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\Psi](\tau_1, \tau_2) + \mathcal{M}_{p; R}[\Psi](\tau_1, \tau_2)$$

8.1.2 Weighted energies for $\alpha, \psi_1, \mathfrak{f}$ and $\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\psi}_1, \underline{\mathfrak{f}}$

The quantities in this section will be applied to $\alpha, \psi_1, \mathfrak{f}$ and to $\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\psi}_1, \underline{\mathfrak{f}}$.

1. Weighted energy quantities on Σ_τ :

- Basic energy quantities

$$\begin{aligned}
E[\mathfrak{f}](\tau) &:= \int_{\Sigma_{red}} |\nabla_3^* \mathfrak{f}|^2 + |\nabla \mathfrak{f}|^2 + r^{-2} |\mathfrak{f}|^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma_\tau \setminus (\Sigma_{red} \cup \Sigma_{far})} |\mathfrak{f}|^2 + |T\mathfrak{f}|^2 + |R\mathfrak{f}|^2 + |\nabla \mathfrak{f}|^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma_{far}} |\nabla_4 \mathfrak{f}|^2 + |\nabla \mathfrak{f}|^2 + r^{-2} |\mathfrak{f}|^2 \\
E[\psi_1](\tau) &:= \int_{\Sigma_{red}} |\nabla_3^* \psi_1|^2 + |\nabla \psi_1|^2 + r^{-2} |\psi_1|^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma_\tau \setminus (\Sigma_{red} \cup \Sigma_{far})} |\psi_1|^2 + |T\psi_1|^2 + |R\psi_1|^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma_{far}} |\nabla_4 \psi_1|^2 + |\nabla \psi_1|^2 + r^{-2} |\psi_1|^2 \\
E[\alpha](\tau) &:= \int_{\Sigma_{red}} |\nabla_3^* \alpha|^2 + |\nabla \alpha|^2 + r^{-2} |\alpha|^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma_\tau \setminus (\Sigma_{red} \cup \Sigma_{far})} |\alpha|^2 + |T\alpha|^2 + |R\alpha|^2 + |\nabla \alpha|^2 \\
&\quad + \int_{\Sigma_{far}} |\nabla_4 \alpha|^2 + |\nabla \alpha|^2 + r^{-2} |\alpha|^2
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$, $\underline{\psi_1}$ and $\underline{\alpha}$.

- Weighted energy quantities in the far away region

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{p;R}[\mathfrak{f}](\tau) &:= \int_{\Sigma_{\geq R}(\tau)} r^{2+p} |\mathfrak{f}|^2 + r^{p+4} |\check{\nabla}_4 \mathfrak{f}|^2 + r^{4+p} |\nabla \mathfrak{f}|^2 \\
E_{p;R}[\psi_1](\tau) &:= \int_{\Sigma_{\geq R}(\tau)} r^p |\psi_1|^2 + r^{p+2} |\check{\nabla}_4 \psi_1|^2 + r^{2+p} |\nabla \psi_1|^2 \\
E_{p;R}[\alpha](\tau) &:= \int_{\Sigma_{\geq R}(\tau)} r^{2+p} |\alpha|^2 + r^{p+4} |\check{\nabla}_4 \alpha|^2 + r^{4+p} |\nabla \alpha|^2
\end{aligned}$$

- Weighted energy quantities

$$\begin{aligned}
E_p[\mathfrak{f}](\tau) &:= E[\mathfrak{f}](\tau) + E_{p;R}[\mathfrak{f}](\tau), \\
E_p[\psi_1](\tau) &:= E[\psi_1](\tau) + E_{p;R}[\psi_1](\tau), \\
E_p[\alpha](\tau) &:= E[\alpha](\tau) + E_{p;R}[\alpha](\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

2. Energy quantities on the event horizon \mathcal{H}^+ :

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\mathfrak{f}](\tau_1, \tau_2) &:= \int_{\mathcal{H}^+(\tau_1, \tau_2)} |\nabla_4^* \mathfrak{f}|^2 + |\nabla \mathfrak{f}|^2 + |\mathfrak{f}|^2 \\
E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\psi_1](\tau_1, \tau_2) &:= \int_{\mathcal{H}^+(\tau_1, \tau_2)} |\nabla_4^* \psi_1|^2 + |\nabla \psi_1|^2 + |\psi_1|^2 \\
E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\alpha](\tau_1, \tau_2) &:= \int_{\mathcal{H}^+(\tau_1, \tau_2)} |\nabla_4^* \alpha|^2 + |\nabla \alpha|^2 + |\alpha|^2
\end{aligned}$$

3. Non-degenerate bulk norms on $\mathcal{M}(\tau_1, \tau_2)$:

- Basic non-degenerate bulk norms

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}[\mathfrak{f}](\tau_1, \tau_2) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} |\mathfrak{f}|^2 + |T\mathfrak{f}|^2 + |R\mathfrak{f}|^2 + |\nabla\mathfrak{f}|^2 \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}[\psi_1](\tau_1, \tau_2) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} |\psi_1|^2 + |T\psi_1|^2 + |R\psi_1|^2 \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}[\alpha](\tau_1, \tau_2) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} |\alpha|^2 + |T\alpha|^2 + |R\alpha|^2 + |\nabla\alpha|^2\end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$, $\underline{\psi}_1$ and $\underline{\alpha}$.

- Weighted bulk norms in the far away region

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{p;R}[\mathfrak{f}](\tau_1, \tau_2) &:= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} r^{1+p}|\mathfrak{f}|^2 + r^{3+p}|\nabla_3\mathfrak{f}|^2 + r^{p+3}|\check{\nabla}_4\mathfrak{f}|^2 + r^{3+p}|\nabla\mathfrak{f}|^2, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{p;R}[\psi_1](\tau_1, \tau_2) &:= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} r^{-1+p}|\psi_1|^2 + r^{1+p}|\nabla_3\psi_1|^2 + r^{p+1}|\check{\nabla}_4\psi_1|^2 + r^{1+p}|\nabla\psi_1|^2 \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{p;R}[\alpha](\tau_1, \tau_2) &:= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} r^{1+p}|\alpha|^2 + r^{p+3}|\nabla_3\alpha|^2 + r^{p+3}|\check{\nabla}_4\alpha|^2 + r^{3+p}|\nabla\alpha|^2 \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\delta;R}[\underline{\mathfrak{f}}](\tau_1, \tau_2) &:= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} r^{1-\delta}|\underline{\mathfrak{f}}|^2 + r^{1-\delta}|\nabla_3\underline{\mathfrak{f}}|^2 + r^{3+\delta}|\nabla_4\underline{\mathfrak{f}}|^2 + r^{3-\delta}|\nabla\underline{\mathfrak{f}}|^2, \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\delta;R}[\underline{\psi}_1](\tau_1, \tau_2) &:= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} r^{-1-\delta}|\underline{\psi}_1|^2 + r^{-1-\delta}|\nabla_3\underline{\psi}_1|^2 + r^{1+\delta}|\nabla_4\underline{\psi}_1|^2 + r^{1-\delta}|\nabla\underline{\psi}_1|^2 \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\delta;R}[\underline{\alpha}](\tau_1, \tau_2) &:= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} r^{-1-\delta}|\underline{\alpha}|^2 + r^{-1-\delta}|\nabla_3\underline{\alpha}|^2 + r^{3+\delta}|\nabla_4\underline{\alpha}|^2 + r^{3-\delta}|\nabla\underline{\alpha}|^2\end{aligned}$$

- Weighted bulk norms

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\mathfrak{f}](\tau) &:= \widehat{\mathcal{M}}[\mathfrak{f}](\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{p;R}[\mathfrak{f}](\tau), \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\psi_1](\tau) &:= \widehat{\mathcal{M}}[\psi_1](\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{p;R}[\psi_1](\tau), \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\alpha](\tau) &:= \widehat{\mathcal{M}}[\alpha](\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{p;R}[\alpha](\tau) \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\delta}[\underline{\mathfrak{f}}](\tau) &:= \widehat{\mathcal{M}}[\underline{\mathfrak{f}}](\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\delta;R}[\underline{\mathfrak{f}}](\tau), \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\delta}[\underline{\psi}_1](\tau) &:= \widehat{\mathcal{M}}[\underline{\psi}_1](\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\delta;R}[\underline{\psi}_1](\tau), \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\delta}[\underline{\alpha}](\tau) &:= \widehat{\mathcal{M}}[\underline{\alpha}](\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{\delta;R}[\underline{\alpha}](\tau)\end{aligned}$$

8.1.3 Weighted energy for the inhomogeneous term

We introduce the following norm for the right hand side \mathbf{M} of the Regge-Wheeler equation in the far away region:

$$\mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{M}](\tau_1, \tau_2) := \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} r^{1+p}|\mathbf{M}|^2 \quad (117)$$

8.1.4 Higher order energies

To estimate higher order energies we also introduce the following notation, motivated by the fact that the Regge-Wheeler system commutes with T and the angular momentum operators Ω_i . We define

1. Higher derivative energies for $n \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} E^{n,T,\nabla}[\Psi] &= \sum_{i+j \leq n} E[T^i(r\nabla_A)^j \Psi], & E_{p;R}^{n,T,\nabla}[\Psi] &= \sum_{i+j \leq n} E_{p;R}[T^i(r\nabla_A)^j \Psi], \\ E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\Psi] &= E^{n,T,\nabla}[\Psi] + E_{p;R}^{n,T,\nabla}[\Psi] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\mathfrak{f}] &= \sum_{i+j \leq n} E_p[T^i(r\nabla_A)^j \mathfrak{f}], & E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\psi_1] &= \sum_{i+j \leq n} E_p[T^i(r\nabla_A)^j \psi_1] \\ E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\alpha] &= \sum_{i+j \leq n} E_p[T^i(r\nabla_A)^j \alpha] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for the energies at the horizon and at null infinity.

2. Higher derivative Morawetz bulks:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mor}^{n,T,\nabla}[\Psi] &= \sum_{i+j \leq n} \text{Mor}[T^i(r\nabla_A)^j \Psi], & \text{Morr}^{n,T,\nabla}[\Psi] &= \sum_{i+j \leq n} \text{Morr}[T^i(r\nabla_A)^j \Psi], \\ \mathcal{M}_{p;R}^{n,T,\nabla}[\Psi] &= \sum_{i+j \leq n} \mathcal{M}_{p;R}[T^i(r\nabla_A)^j \Psi], & \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\Psi] &= \sum_{i+j \leq n} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[T^i(r\nabla_A)^j \Psi] \\ \mathcal{M}_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\Psi] &= \text{Morr}^{n,T,\nabla}[\Psi] + \mathcal{M}_{p;R}^{n,T,\nabla}[\Psi], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\mathfrak{f}] &= \sum_{i+j \leq n} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[T^i(r\nabla_A)^j \mathfrak{f}], & \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\psi_1] &= \sum_{i+j \leq n} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[T^i(r\nabla_A)^j \psi_1] \\ \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\alpha] &= \sum_{i+j \leq n} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[T^i(r\nabla_A)^j \alpha] \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for the red-shifted Morawetz bulks.

3. Higher derivative norm for \mathcal{M} :

$$\mathcal{I}_{p;R}^{n,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{M}] = \sum_{i+j \leq n} \mathcal{I}_p[T^i(r\nabla_A)^j \mathbf{M}]$$

8.2 Precise statement of the Main Theorem

We are now ready to state the boundedness and decay theorem for solutions (α, \mathfrak{f}) of the generalized Teukolsky system.

In the estimates below we will denote $\mathcal{A} \lesssim \mathcal{B}$ if there exists an universal constant C such that $\mathcal{A} \leq C\mathcal{B}$.

Theorem 8.1. (Spin +2) Let $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \in H_{loc}^j(\Sigma_0) \times H_{loc}^{j-1}(\Sigma_0)$ and $(f_0, f_1) \in H_{loc}^j(\Sigma_0) \times H_{loc}^{j-1}(\Sigma_0)$ be as in the well-posedness Proposition 6.3.1, and let $\alpha, \psi_1, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ be as defined by (109). Then the following estimates hold, for all $\delta \leq p \leq 2 - \delta$ and for any $\tau > 0$:

1. energy and red-shifted boundedness, degenerate integrated local energy decay and r^p hierarchy of estimates for \mathbf{q} and $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+, p}[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+, p}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \\ & + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \\ & \lesssim E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) + E[\mathbf{f}](0) + E_p[\psi_1](0) + E_p[\alpha](0) \end{aligned} \quad (118)$$

2. energy boundedness, integrated local energy decay and r^p hierarchy of estimates for $\alpha, \psi_1, \mathbf{f}$:

$$\begin{aligned} & E_p[\alpha](\tau) + E_p[\psi_1](\tau) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](\tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\alpha](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\psi_1](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](0, \tau) \\ & + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\alpha](0, \tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\psi_1](0, \tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](0, \tau) \\ & \lesssim E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) + E_p[\alpha](0) + E_p[\psi_1](0) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](0) \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

3. higher order energy and integrated decay estimates for \mathbf{q} and $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, for any integer $n \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \\ & \lesssim E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) + E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](0) + E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](0) + E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\alpha](0) \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

4. higher order energy and integrated decay estimates for α, ψ_1 and \mathbf{f} , for any integer $n \geq 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} & E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\alpha](\tau) + E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](\tau) + E_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](\tau) \\ & + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\alpha](0, \tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](0, \tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](0, \tau) \\ & \lesssim E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) + E_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](0) + E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](0) + E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\alpha](0) \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

5. polynomial decay for the energy:

$$\begin{aligned} & E_\delta[\alpha](\tau) + E_\delta[\psi_1](\tau) + E_\delta^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](\tau) + E_\delta[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_\delta^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau^{2-\delta}} \mathbb{D}_{2,2-\delta}[\alpha, \psi_1, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) \end{aligned} \quad (122)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{D}_{2,2-\delta}[\alpha, \psi_1, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) &= E_{2-\delta}^{2,T,\nabla}[\alpha](0) + E_{2-\delta}^{2,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](0) + E_{2-\delta}^{3,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](0) \\ &+ E_{2-\delta}^{2,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_{2-\delta}^{3,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) \end{aligned}$$

As an example of the pointwise estimate which follow from the above theorem, we point out the pointwise estimate for the solutions to the generalized Teukolsky system (α, \mathbf{f}) .

Corollary 8.1. Let (α_0, α_1) and (f_0, f_1) be smooth and of compact support. Then the solution (α, \mathbf{f}) satisfy

$$|r^{\frac{5+\delta}{2}} \alpha| \leq C\tau^{-\frac{2-\delta}{2}}, \quad |r^{\frac{5+\delta}{2}} \mathbf{f}| \leq C\tau^{-\frac{2-\delta}{2}}$$

where C depends on an appropriate higher Sobolev norm.

A similar theorem holds for the spin -2 case, with the corresponding energies defined above. It implies the following pointwise estimate.

Corollary 8.2. *Let $(\underline{\alpha}_0, \underline{\alpha}_1)$ and $(\underline{f}_0, \underline{f}_1^{\mathbf{F}})$ be smooth and of compact support. Then the solution $(\underline{\alpha}, \underline{f})$ satisfy*

$$|r\underline{\alpha}| \leq C\tau^{-\frac{2-\delta}{2}}, \quad |r^2\underline{f}| \leq C\tau^{-\frac{2-\delta}{2}}$$

where C depends on an appropriate higher Sobolev norm.

Observe that the r -weights in these estimates, even though they do not seem to come from a standard application of the r^p -method, are consistent with the decay for α in Schwarzschild (see [16] and [37]). This is because one does not apply the r^p -estimates directly to the quantities α and \underline{f} , but first needs to apply it to \mathfrak{q} and $\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ and then integrate in the e_3 direction. As a result of those transport estimates, exemplified in Lemma 10.2.1, one obtains the above r -weights for α , \underline{f} , $\underline{\alpha}$, \underline{f} .

8.3 The logic of the proof

The remainder of the paper concerns the proof of Main Theorem. We outline here the main steps.

1. We consider the two equations composing the system (105) separately and derive two separated integrated local energy decay for them, with right hand side which is not controlled at this stage. We use standard techniques in order to derive the equations: we apply the vector field method to obtain energy and Morawetz estimates. We also improve the estimates with the red-shift vector field. Finally, we use the r^p -method of Dafermos and Rodnianski to obtain integrated decay in the far-away region. We also obtain higher order estimates by commuting the equations with the Killing vector fields. This is done in Section 9.
2. We analyze the right hand side of the separated estimates, and separate it into coupling terms and lower order terms. We derive estimates for the coupling terms on the right hand side and transport estimates for the lower order terms on the right hand side. Our goal is to absorb the norms of these inhomogeneous terms on the right hand side with the Morawetz bulks of the estimates on the left hand side, using the smallness of the charge. This is done in Section 10.

The coupling terms are particularly problematic because of the degeneracy of the Morawetz bulks at the photon sphere. We present a cancellation of the higher order terms at the photon sphere which allows to close the estimates. This is done in Section 10.1.

The lower order terms are treated using enhanced transport estimates, which make also use of Bianchi identities. This is done in Section 10.2.

3. We put together the above estimates to prove the Main Theorem. This is done in Section 11.

We will show all the details of the proof for the spin $+2$ system. We outline the proof of the case spin -2 and the main differences with the spin $+2$ in Section 11.5.

9 Estimates for the Regge-Wheeler equations separately

In this section, we prove estimates for the two equations separately, keeping the right hand side of the equations unchanged. Schematically, we write the two equations in (105) as a general expression:

$$\left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - V_i\right)\Psi_i = \mathbf{M}_i \quad (123)$$

where \mathbf{M}_i are whatever expressions we have on the left hand side of the equation. The two equations comprising the system are obtained by

$$\Psi_1 = \mathbf{q}, \underline{\mathbf{q}}, \quad V_1 = -\kappa\underline{\kappa} + 10^{(F)}\rho^2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(4 - \frac{8M}{r} + \frac{14Q^2}{r^2}\right), \quad (124)$$

$$\Psi_2 = \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}, \underline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{F}} \quad V_2 = -\kappa\underline{\kappa} - 3\rho = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(4 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^2}\right) \quad (125)$$

Observe that Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 are symmetric traceless 2-tensors, therefore the operator $\square_{\mathbf{g}}$ refers to the wave operator applied to a 2-tensor. We will analyze the general equation without worrying for now of the right hand side \mathbf{M} .

The goal of this section is to prove the following two estimates, for \mathbf{q} and $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} & E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+, p}[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) \\ & \lesssim E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + \mathcal{I}_{p; R}[\mathbf{M}_1[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) \\ & - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0, \tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{2}w\mathbf{q} \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_1[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & E_p^{1, T, \nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}^{1, T, \nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+, p}^{1, T, \nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1, T, \nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \\ & \lesssim E_p^{1, T, \nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) + \mathcal{I}_{p; R}^{1, T, \nabla}[\mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) \\ & - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0, \tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}w\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \\ & - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0, \tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}wT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot T(\mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \\ & - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0, \tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(r\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(r\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}wr\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot r\nabla_A(\mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \end{aligned} \quad (127)$$

where w is defined in (141) and Λ is chosen big enough in Section 9.4. Identical estimates hold for $\underline{\mathbf{q}}$ and $\underline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{F}}$.

In Section 10, we will then combine the two estimates above to a unique estimate for the whole system.

9.1 Preliminaries

We collect in this section some preliminaries lemmas in order to apply the vector field method to equation (123).

Consider the energy-momentum tensor associated to the wave equation (123):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}_{\mu\nu} &:= \mathbf{D}_\mu \Psi \cdot \mathbf{D}_\nu \Psi - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} (\mathbf{D}_\lambda \Psi \cdot \mathbf{D}^\lambda \Psi + V_i \Psi \cdot \Psi) \\ &= \mathbf{D}_\mu \Psi \cdot \mathbf{D}_\nu \Psi - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_i[\Psi]\end{aligned}\tag{128}$$

where \cdot denotes the scalar product induced by \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{D} is the spacetime covariant derivative. The second line of (128) defines the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_i[\Psi]$. Notice that

$$\mathcal{Q}_{33} = |\nabla_3 \Psi|^2, \quad \mathcal{Q}_{44} = |\nabla_4 \Psi|^2, \quad \mathcal{Q}_{34} = |\nabla \Psi|^2 + V_i |\Psi|^2\tag{129}$$

Lemma 9.1.1. *The divergence of the energy-momentum tensor \mathcal{Q} verifies*

$$\mathbf{D}^\nu \mathcal{Q}_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{D}_\mu \Psi \cdot \mathbf{M}_i + \mathbf{D}^\nu \Psi^A \mathbf{R}_{AB\nu\mu} \Psi^B - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}_\mu V_i \Psi \cdot \Psi\tag{130}$$

and its trace is given by

$$\mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{Q}_{\mu\nu} = -\mathcal{L}_i(\Psi) - V_i |\Psi|^2\tag{131}$$

We will make use of the following standard computation.

Proposition 9.1.1. *Consider a S -tensor Ψ verifying the spacetime equation (123). Let $X = a(r)e_3 + b(r)e_4$ be a vectorfield, w a scalar function and M a one form. Defining*

$$\mathcal{P}_\mu^{(X,w,M)}[\Psi] = \mathcal{Q}_{\mu\nu} X^\nu + \frac{1}{2} w \Psi \mathbf{D}_\mu \Psi - \frac{1}{4} \Psi^2 \partial_\mu w + \frac{1}{4} \Psi^2 M_\mu,\tag{132}$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{D}^\mu \mathcal{P}_\mu^{(X,w,M)}[\Psi] &= \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{Q} \cdot {}^{(X)}\pi + \left(-\frac{1}{2} X(V_i) - \frac{1}{4} \square_{\mathbf{g}} w \right) |\Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} w \mathcal{L}_i[\Psi] + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{D}^\mu (\Psi^2 M_\mu) \\ &\quad + \left(X(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2} w \Psi \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_i[\Psi]\end{aligned}\tag{133}$$

Notation. For convenience we introduce the notation,

$$\mathcal{E}[X, w, M](\Psi) := \mathbf{D}^\mu \mathcal{P}_\mu^{(X,w,M)}[\Psi] - \left(X(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2} w \Psi \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_i\tag{134}$$

Thus equation (133) becomes

$$\mathcal{E}[X, w, M](\Psi) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{Q} \cdot {}^{(X)}\pi + \left(-\frac{1}{2} X(V_i) - \frac{1}{4} \square_{\mathbf{g}} w \right) |\Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} w \mathcal{L}_i[\Psi] + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{D}^\mu (\Psi^2 M_\mu)\tag{135}$$

When $M = 0$ we simply write $\mathcal{E}[X, w](\Psi)$.

9.1.1 Main identities for vectorfields $X = a(r)e_3 + b(r)e_4$

Recall that the Ricci coefficients defined with respect to the null frame \mathcal{N} given by (52) have the following values:

$$\kappa = \frac{2}{r}, \quad \underline{\kappa} = -\frac{2\Upsilon}{r}, \quad \omega = 0, \quad \underline{\omega} = \frac{M}{r^2} - \frac{Q^2}{r^3} \quad (136)$$

In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_3 e_3 &= \left(-\frac{2M}{r^2} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^3} \right) e_3, & \mathbf{D}_3 e_4 &= \left(\frac{2M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^3} \right) e_4, & \mathbf{D}_A e_B &= -\frac{\Upsilon}{2r} \not\!{d}_{AB} e_4 + \frac{1}{2r} \not\!{d}_{AB} e_3 \\ \mathbf{D}_4 e_A &= \mathbf{D}_4 e_4 = \mathbf{D}_4 e_3 = \mathbf{D}_3 e_A = 0, & \mathbf{D}_A e_4 &= \frac{1}{r} e_A, & \mathbf{D}_A e_3 &= -\frac{\Upsilon}{r} e_A. \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

Moreover,

$$e_3(r) = -\Upsilon, \quad e_4(r) = 1 \quad (138)$$

and

$$\Upsilon' = \frac{2M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^3} \quad (139)$$

Since we will make large use of vectorfields of the form $X = a(r)e_3 + b(r)e_4$, we summarize here the general computation of its deformation tensor.

Lemma 9.1.2. *Let $X = a(r)e_3 + b(r)e_4$ a vectorfield. The component of its deformation tensor verify*

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{(X)}\pi_{44} &= -4a', & {}^{(X)}\pi_{34} &= 2\Upsilon a' - 2b' + \left(\frac{4M}{r^2} - \frac{4Q^2}{r^3} \right) a, & {}^{(X)}\pi_{3A} &= {}^{(X)}\pi_{4A} = 0, \\ {}^{(X)}\pi_{33} &= 4\Upsilon b' + \left(-\frac{8M}{r^2} + \frac{8Q^2}{r^3} \right) b, & {}^{(X)}\pi_{AB} &= \left(-\frac{2\Upsilon}{r} a + \frac{2}{r} b \right) \not\!{d}_{AB} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that the deformation tensor of a vector field V is defined as ${}^{(V)}\pi_{\alpha\beta} := \mathcal{L}_V \mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathbf{D}_\alpha V_\beta + \mathbf{D}_\beta V_\alpha$. Using (137), we easily compute the components of ${}^{(X)}\pi$. \square

We define the following vectorfields:

- the Morawetz vector field $Y = f(r)R$
- the r^p -hierarchy vector field $Z = l(r)e_4$

As a corollary of Lemma 9.1.2, we compute the deformation tensor of all the vectorfields we will use in the estimates.

Corollary 9.1. *The components of the deformation tensor of T all vanish identically. The only components of the deformation tensor of R which do not vanish are the following:*

$${}^{(R)}\pi_{34} = -\frac{4M}{r^2} + \frac{4Q^2}{r^3}, \quad {}^{(R)}\pi_{AB} = \frac{2\Upsilon}{r} \not\!{d}_{AB}$$

The components of the deformation tensor of $Y = f(r)R$ which do not vanish are the following

$${}^{(Y)}\pi_{33} = 2\Upsilon^2 f', \quad {}^{(Y)}\pi_{44} = 2f', \quad {}^{(Y)}\pi_{34} = \left(-\frac{4M}{r^2} + \frac{4Q^2}{r^3}\right) f - 2f'\Upsilon, \quad {}^{(Y)}\pi_{AB} = \frac{2\Upsilon}{r} f \not\!{g}_{AB}$$

The components of the deformation tensor of $Z = l(r)e_4$ which do not vanish are the following

$${}^{(Z)}\pi_{34} = -2l', \quad {}^{(Z)}\pi_{33} = 4\Upsilon l' + \left(-\frac{8M}{r^2} + \frac{8Q^2}{r^3}\right) l, \quad {}^{(Z)}\pi_{AB} = \frac{2}{r} l \not\!{g}_{AB}$$

In order to apply Proposition 9.1.1, we compute the general expression for $\mathcal{Q} \cdot {}^{(X)}\pi$ for $X = a(r)e_3 + b(r)e_4$.

Lemma 9.1.3. *Let \mathcal{Q} be the energy momentum tensor as defined in (128). For $X = a(r)e_3 + b(r)e_4$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} \cdot {}^{(X)}\pi &= \left(\Upsilon a' - b' + \left(\frac{2M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^3} - \frac{2\Upsilon}{r}\right) a + \frac{2}{r} b\right) |\nabla\Psi|^2 + \left(\Upsilon b' + \left(-\frac{2M}{r^2} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^3}\right) b\right) |\nabla_4\Psi|^2 \\ &\quad - a' |\nabla_3\Psi|^2 + \left(\frac{2\Upsilon}{r} a - \frac{2}{r} b\right) \mathcal{L}_i[\Psi] + \left(\Upsilon a' - b' + \left(\frac{2M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^3}\right) a\right) V_i |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} \cdot {}^{(X)}\pi &= \left(\Upsilon a' - b' + \left(\frac{2M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^3}\right) a\right) |\nabla\Psi|^2 + \left(\Upsilon b' + \left(-\frac{2M}{r^2} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^3}\right) b\right) |\nabla_4\Psi|^2 - a' |\nabla_3\Psi|^2 \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{2\Upsilon}{r} a + \frac{2}{r} b\right) \nabla_3\Psi \cdot \nabla_4\Psi + \left(\Upsilon a' - b' + \left(\frac{2M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^3} + \frac{2\Upsilon}{r}\right) a - \frac{2}{r} b\right) V_i |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The computation is easily deduced using (131) and (129). \square

Corollary 9.2. *For $Y = f(r)R$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} \cdot {}^{(Y)}\pi &= 2f \left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{3M}{r^2} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^3}\right) |\nabla\Psi|^2 + 2f' |R\Psi|^2 + \left(-\frac{2\Upsilon}{r} f - \Upsilon f'\right) \mathcal{L}_i[\Psi] \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{2M}{r^2} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^3}\right) f V_i |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and for $Z = l(r)e_4$ we have

$$\mathcal{Q} \cdot {}^{(Z)}\pi = \left(-l' + \frac{2}{r} l\right) |\nabla\Psi|^2 + \left(\Upsilon l' + \left(-\frac{2M}{r^2} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^3}\right) l\right) |\nabla_4\Psi|^2 - \frac{2}{r} l \mathcal{L}_i[\Psi] - l' V_i |\Psi|^2$$

We recall here an useful lemma.

Lemma 9.1.4. *If $f = f(r)$ then*

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}} f(r) = r^{-2} \partial_r (r^2 \Upsilon \partial_r f)$$

Proof. Using Lemma A.1.1, and the values (136) and (138), we deduce for a function $f = f(r)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\square_{\mathbf{g}}f &= -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_3(f') - \nabla_4(\Upsilon f')) + (\underline{\omega} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa})f' - (\underline{\omega} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa})\Upsilon f' \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(2\Upsilon f'' + \Upsilon' f') + \left(\frac{M}{r^2} - \frac{Q^2}{r^3} + \frac{\Upsilon}{r}\right) f' + \frac{\Upsilon}{r} f'\end{aligned}$$

and using (139), we finally obtain

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}}f = \Upsilon f'' + \left(\frac{2M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^3} + \frac{2\Upsilon}{r}\right) f' = r^{-2}\partial_r(r^2\Upsilon\partial_rf)$$

as desired. \square

9.2 Morawetz estimates

We will derive now the main identity to apply the vector field method to $Y = f(r)R$. We choose w as a function of f .

Proposition 9.2.1. *Let $Y = f(r)R$ and $w = r^{-2}\Upsilon\partial_r(r^2f)$. Then for a solution Ψ to the equation (123), we obtain*

$$\mathcal{E}[Y, w](\Psi) = f\left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^3}\right)|\nabla\Psi|^2 + f'|R\Psi|^2 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_r(\Upsilon V_i)f - \frac{1}{4}r^{-2}\partial_r(r^2\Upsilon\partial_rw)\right)|\Psi|^2$$

Proof. By definition (135) and according to Corollary 9.2 we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}[Y, w](\Psi) &= f\left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{3M}{r^2} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^3}\right)|\nabla\Psi|^2 + f'|R\Psi|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}w - \frac{\Upsilon}{r}f - \frac{\Upsilon}{2}f'\right)\mathcal{L}_i[\Psi] \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{1}{2}Y(V_i) + \left(-\frac{M}{r^2} + \frac{Q^2}{r^3}\right)fV_i - \frac{1}{4}\square_{\mathbf{g}}w\right)|\Psi|^2\end{aligned}$$

and observe that the choice of w cancels out the term involving $\mathcal{L}_i[\Psi]$. Since the potentials are scalar functions of r only, writing $Y(V_i) = \Upsilon f\partial_r V_i$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}[Y, w](\Psi) &= f\left(\frac{1}{r} - \frac{3M}{r^2} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^3}\right)|\nabla\Psi|^2 + f'|R\Psi|^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\left(-\frac{\Upsilon}{2}\partial_r V_i + \left(-\frac{M}{r^2} + \frac{Q^2}{r^3}\right)V_i\right)f - \frac{1}{4}\square_{\mathbf{g}}w\right)|\Psi|^2\end{aligned}$$

Observing that $-\frac{M}{r^2} + \frac{Q^2}{r^3} = -\frac{1}{2}\Upsilon'$ and using Lemma 9.1.4 to write $\square_{\mathbf{g}}w$, we get the desired expression. \square

Remark 9.1. *Observe that the coefficient of the first term of $\mathcal{E}[fR, w](\Psi)$ is given by $\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^3}$, whose zero gives the r -value of photon sphere in Reissner-Nordström, $r_P = \frac{3M + \sqrt{9M^2 - 8Q^2}}{2}$. This term has therefore a degeneracy in the trapping region.*

We separate the expression $\mathcal{E}[Y, w](\Psi)$ into a part involving and one not involving the potential V_i :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}[Y, w](\Psi) &= \mathcal{E}_0[Y, w](\Psi) + \mathcal{E}_{V_i}[Y, w](\Psi), \\ \mathcal{E}_0[Y, w](\Psi) &= f \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^3} \right) |\nabla\Psi|^2 + f' |R\Psi|^2 - \frac{1}{4} r^{-2} \partial_r (r^2 \Upsilon \partial_r w) |\Psi|^2, \\ \mathcal{E}_{V_i}[Y, w](\Psi) &= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_r (\Upsilon V_i) f |\Psi|^2\end{aligned}$$

The goal is to show that there exist choices of f, w verifying the condition $w = r^{-2} \Upsilon \partial_r (r^2 f)$ of Proposition 9.2.1 which make $\mathcal{E}[Y, w](\Psi)$ positive definite.

9.2.1 Construction of the function $f(r)$

We first look for choices of f and w such that the coefficients appearing in $\mathcal{E}_0[Y, w](\Psi)$ are non-negative. We immediately observe the following facts.

1. Observe that $r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2$ is non-negative for $r \geq r_P$ and non-positive for $r \leq r_P$. Therefore, the coefficient of $|\nabla\Psi|^2$ being non-negative implies that $f \leq 0$ in $r < r_P$ and $f \geq 0$ in $r > r_P$, therefore $f = 0$ at $r = r_P$.
2. The coefficient of $|R\Psi|^2$ being non-negative implies that the function f must be increasing as a function of r .
3. To ensure that the coefficient of $|\Psi|^2$ is non-negative we need to choose w such that the following holds: $\partial_r (r^2 \Upsilon \partial_r w) \leq 0$.

Once w is chosen, the function f can be determined by the condition $w = r^{-2} \Upsilon \partial_r (r^2 f)$. In particular we are naturally led to define

$$r^2 f = \int_{r_P}^r \frac{r^2}{\Upsilon} w. \quad (140)$$

Recalling that $\Upsilon \geq 0$ in the exterior region, to ensure that 1. is satisfied we only need a non-negative w . We define w based on the argument given in [47] and applied in [37].

Lemma 9.2.1. *There exists $r_* := 2M + \sqrt{4M^2 - 3Q^2} > r_P$ such that, defining the function w as*

$$w = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{r_*} \Upsilon(r_*) =: w_* & \text{if } r < r_* \\ \frac{2}{r} \Upsilon(r) = \frac{2}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2} \right) & \text{if } r \geq r_* \end{cases} \quad (141)$$

then w is C^1 and non-negative. In addition, in the subextremal Reissner-Nordström spacetime for $|Q| < M$, we have $\partial_r (r^2 \Upsilon \partial_r w) \leq 0$.

Proof. Defining w as in (141), we have that for $r > r_*$,

$$\partial_r w = 2\partial_r \left(\frac{1}{r} \Upsilon \right) = 2 \left(-r^{-2} \Upsilon + r^{-1} \Upsilon' \right) = -2 \frac{r^2 - 4Mr + 3Q^2}{r^4} \quad (142)$$

Since w is constant for $r < r_*$ to ensure that w is C^1 we need to have $\partial_r w(r_*) = 0$, i.e. $r_* = 2M + \sqrt{4M^2 - 3Q^2}$. The function w is clearly non-negative in the exterior region.

For $r > r_*$,

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_r (r^2 \Upsilon \partial_r w) &= 2\partial_r \left(\Upsilon \frac{r^2 - 4Mr + 3Q^2}{r^2} \right) = 2\Upsilon' \left(1 - \frac{4M}{r} + \frac{3Q^2}{r^2} \right) + 2\Upsilon \left(\frac{4M}{r^2} - \frac{6Q^2}{r^3} \right) \\ &= 4 \left(\frac{3M}{r^2} - \frac{8M^2}{r^3} - \frac{4Q^2}{r^3} + \frac{15MQ^2}{r^4} - \frac{6Q^4}{r^5} \right) \\ &= \frac{4}{r^5} \left(r \left(3Mr^2 - (8M^2 + 4Q^2)r + \frac{32}{3}MQ^2 \right) + \frac{13}{3}MQ^2r - 6Q^4 \right). \end{aligned}$$

and the two parts of the polynomial are clearly positive for $r \geq r_*$ in the subextremal range $|Q| < M$. \square

Once w is defined as in Lemma 9.2.1, we can explicitly evaluate f . For simplicity, we just evaluate f for $r \geq r_*$. Denoting by C_* , with $C_* > 0$, the value for $r^2 f$ at $r = r_*$, i.e. $C_* = (r^2 f)(r_*) = \int_{r_P}^{r_*} \frac{r^2}{\Upsilon} w dr \leq 2r_*(r_* - r_P)$, we have

$$r^2 f(r) = \int_{r_P}^r \frac{r^2}{\Upsilon} w dr = (r^2 f)(r_*) + \int_{r_*}^r 2\lambda d\lambda = r^2 + C_* - r_*^2$$

Since $r_*^2 - C_* > 0$ in the subextremal range, the function is increasing for $r \geq r_*$. For $r < r_*$, using (140) written as $\partial_r (r^2 f) = \frac{r^2}{\Upsilon} w$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r^2 \partial_r \left(r \frac{w}{\Upsilon} \right) &= r^2 \left[r^{-1} \partial_r \left(\frac{r^2}{\Upsilon} w \right) - r^{-2} \frac{r^2}{\Upsilon} w \right] = r \partial_r \left(\frac{r^2}{\Upsilon} w \right) - \frac{r^2}{\Upsilon} w \\ &= r \partial_r \partial_r (r^2 f) - \partial_r (r^2 f) = 3r^2 f' + r^3 f'' = \partial_r (r^3 f') \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 9.2.1 and (142), we have

$$\partial_r (r^3 f') = r^2 \partial_r \left(r \frac{w}{\Upsilon} \right) = w_* r^2 \partial_r \left(\frac{r}{\Upsilon} \right) \leq 0$$

We deduce that $r^3 f'$ has a minimum at $r = r_*$. Thus, for all $r \in [r_{\mathcal{H}}, r_*]$,

$$r^3 f'(r) \geq r_*^3 f'(r_*) = 2(r_*^2 - C_*) > 0$$

This proves condition 2., and together with Lemma 9.2.1, this proves that $\mathcal{E}_0[Y, w](\Psi)$ is positive definite.

We summarize the results in the following.

Proposition 9.2.2. *There exist functions $f \in C^2, w \in C^1$ verifying the relation $w = r^{-2} \Upsilon \partial_r (r^2 f)$ and such that for some $C = C(Q, M) > 0$ and all $r \geq r_{\mathcal{H}}$,*

$$f \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^3} \right) \geq C \frac{(r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)^2}{r^5}, \quad f'(r) \geq \frac{C}{r^3}, \quad \frac{1}{4} r^{-2} \partial_r (r^2 \Upsilon \partial_r w) \leq 0$$

In particular $\mathcal{E}_0[Y, w](\Psi)$ is positive definite for $r \geq r_{\mathcal{H}}$.

9.2.2 Improved lower bound away from the horizon

In the previous subsection, we proved that $\mathcal{E}_0[Y, w](\Psi)$ is positive definite in the exterior region. Nevertheless, we are interested in the positivity of $\mathcal{E}[Y, w](\Psi)$, so the term $\mathcal{E}_{V_i}[Y, w](\Psi)$ has to be taken into account. This term depends on the potential, and it can easily be seen that for the potentials we are considering it is not positive in general.

We will control this term with the help of the Poincaré inequality, gaining positivity from the $|\nabla\Psi|^2$ term, and adding a well-chosen one form M to the definition of \mathcal{E} . We will follow the procedure used in [37].

We begin by stating the Poincaré inequality.

Lemma 9.2.2 (Poincaré inequality [14]). *If Ψ is a 2-tensor on S , then*

$$\int_S |\nabla\Psi|^2 \geq \frac{2}{r^2} \int_S |\Psi|^2 \quad (143)$$

Using the Poincaré inequality, for $\mu > 0$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S f \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^3} \right) |\nabla\Psi|^2 &\geq \mu \int_S f \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^3} \right) |\nabla\Psi|^2 \\ &\quad + (1 - \mu) \int_S 2f \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5} \right) |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

According to Proposition 9.2.2, for a sufficiently small $\mu > 0$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \mathcal{E}[Y, w](\Psi) &\gtrsim \int_S f' |R\Psi|^2 + \frac{(r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)^2}{r^5} |\nabla\Psi|^2 \\ &\quad + \left(2 \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_r (\Upsilon V_i) \right) f |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (144)$$

This procedure created extra-positivity in the $|\Psi|^2$ term, but it can be seen that with the given potentials it is not enough to get a positive definite expression in some region of the exterior. To achieve positivity for all values of $r \geq r_{\mathcal{H}^+}$ we shall modify the original energy densities $\mathcal{E}[Y, w](\Psi)$ by considering instead $\mathcal{E}[Y, w, M](\Psi)$, with $M = 2hR$ for a function $h = h(r)$. Then, by formula (135), we have

$$\mathcal{E}[Y, w, M](\Psi) = \mathcal{E}[fR, w](\Psi) + \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{D}^\mu (\Psi^2 M_\mu) = \mathcal{E}[Y, w](\Psi) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D}^\mu (hR_\mu) |\Psi|^2 + h\Psi R(\Psi)$$

Observe that, using (112) and Corollary 9.1:

$$\mathbf{D}^\mu (hR_\mu) = R(h) + h(\mathbf{D}^\mu R_\mu) = \Upsilon h' + \frac{1}{2} h \text{tr} ({}^{(R)}\pi) = \Upsilon h' + h \left(\frac{2M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^3} + \frac{2\Upsilon}{r} \right) = r^{-2} \partial_r (\Upsilon r^2 h)$$

therefore

$$\mathcal{E}[Y, w, 2hR](\Psi) = \mathcal{E}[Y, w](\Psi) + \frac{1}{2} r^{-2} \partial_r (\Upsilon r^2 h) |\Psi|^2 + h\Psi R(\Psi) \quad (145)$$

We present below the construction of the function h that will provide the improved lower bound away from the horizon.

Proposition 9.2.3. *Let Ψ be a 2-tensor solution of (123). Suppose that the potential V_i satisfies the following:*

1. For $r \leq r_P$, then $2 \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_r (\Upsilon V_i) \leq 0$,
2. For $r \geq r_P$, then $A_i := -\frac{1}{2} \partial_r (\Upsilon V_i) - \frac{4\Upsilon}{r^5} (r^2 - 4Mr + 3Q^2) \geq 0$

Then there exists a function $h = h(r)$ with bounded derivative h' , which is supported in $r \geq r_P$, such that $h = O(r^{-2})$, $h' = O(r^{-3})$ for $r \geq r_*$ and such that for $r \geq \frac{5}{6} r_P$

$$\int_S \mathcal{E}[Y, w, 2hR](\Psi) \gtrsim \int_S \left(\frac{1}{r^3} |R(\Psi)|^2 + \frac{(r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)^2}{r^5} |\nabla \Psi|^2 + \frac{\Upsilon}{r^4} |\Psi|^2 \right)$$

Proof. Putting together (144) and (145), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_S \mathcal{E}[Y, w, 2hR](\Psi) \\ & \gtrsim \int_S f' |R\Psi|^2 + \frac{(r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)^2}{r^5} |\nabla \Psi|^2 \\ & \quad + \int_S \left(2 \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r (\underline{\Omega} V_i) \right) f |\Psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} r^{-2} \partial_r (\underline{\Omega} r^2 h) |\Psi|^2 + h \Psi R(\Psi) \end{aligned}$$

where we write $\underline{\Omega} = -\Upsilon$. We now write the function h as $h = -\frac{4}{r^4} \underline{\Omega} \tilde{h}$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} r^{-2} \partial_r (\underline{\Omega} r^2 h) |\Psi|^2 + h \Psi R(\Psi) &= 2r^{-2} \partial_r \left(\frac{\underline{\Omega}^2}{r^2} \tilde{h} \right) |\Psi|^2 - \frac{4}{r^4} \underline{\Omega} \tilde{h} \Psi R(\Psi) \\ &= \left(\underline{\Omega}' - \frac{\underline{\Omega}}{r} \right) \frac{4\underline{\Omega}}{r^4} \tilde{h} |\Psi|^2 + 2 \frac{\underline{\Omega}^2}{r^4} \tilde{h}' |\Psi|^2 - \frac{4}{r^4} \underline{\Omega} \tilde{h} \Psi R(\Psi) \end{aligned}$$

and observe that $\underline{\Omega}' - \frac{\underline{\Omega}}{r} = \frac{1}{r^3} (r^2 - 4Mr + 3Q^2)$. We also express

$$-\frac{4}{r^4} \underline{\Omega} \tilde{h} \Psi R(\Psi) = \frac{2\tilde{h}}{r^3} (R(\Psi) - \underline{\Omega} r^{-1} \Psi)^2 - \frac{2\tilde{h}}{r^3} |R(\Psi)|^2 - \frac{2\tilde{h}}{r^5} \underline{\Omega}^2 |\Psi|^2$$

therefore we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_S \mathcal{E}[Y, w, 2hR](\Psi) \\ & \gtrsim \int_S \left(f' - \frac{2\tilde{h}}{r^3} \right) |R\Psi|^2 + \frac{(r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)^2}{r^5} |\nabla \Psi|^2 + \frac{2\tilde{h}}{r^3} (R(\Psi) - \underline{\Omega} r^{-1} \Psi)^2 \\ & \quad + \int_S \left(2 \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5} \right) f + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r (\underline{\Omega} V_i) f + \frac{4\underline{\Omega}}{r^5} (r^2 - 4Mr + 3Q^2) \frac{\tilde{h}}{r^2} + 2 \frac{\underline{\Omega}^2}{r^4} \tilde{h}' - \frac{2\tilde{h}}{r^5} \underline{\Omega}^2 \right) |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

We write $\frac{1}{2} \partial_r (\underline{\Omega} V_i) = -\frac{4\underline{\Omega}}{r^5} (r^2 - 4Mr + 3Q^2) + A_i$, and we write the coefficient on the right hand side as

$$2 \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5} \right) f - \frac{4\underline{\Omega}}{r^5} (r^2 - 4Mr + 3Q^2) \left(f - \frac{\tilde{h}}{r^2} \right) + A_i f + 2 \frac{\underline{\Omega}^2}{r^4} \tilde{h}' - \frac{2\tilde{h}}{r^5} \underline{\Omega}^2$$

We choose

$$\tilde{h} = \begin{cases} 0 & r \leq r_P \\ r^2 f & r_P \leq r \leq r_* \\ (r_*)^2 f(r_*) & r \geq r_* \end{cases}$$

Since $f \geq 0$ for $r \geq r_P$, we have that $\tilde{h} \geq 0$ everywhere, therefore in the estimates we can ignore the term $\frac{2\tilde{h}}{r^3}(R(\Psi) - \underline{\Omega}r^{-1}\Psi)^2$, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \mathcal{E}[Y, w, 2hR](\Psi) &\gtrsim \int_S \left(f' - \frac{2\tilde{h}}{r^3} \right) |R\Psi|^2 + \frac{(r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)^2}{r^5} |\nabla\Psi|^2 \\ &+ \int_S \left(2 \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5} \right) f - \frac{4\underline{\Omega}}{r^5} (r^2 - 4Mr + 3Q^2) \left(f - \frac{\tilde{h}}{r^2} \right) + A_i f + \frac{2\underline{\Omega}^2}{r^4} \left(\tilde{h}' - \frac{\tilde{h}}{r} \right) \right) |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

We consider the following cases.

Case 1 ($r \leq r_P$). Since $\tilde{h} = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \mathcal{E}[Y, w, 2hR](\Psi) &\gtrsim \int_S f' |R\Psi|^2 + \frac{(r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)^2}{r^5} |\nabla\Psi|^2 \\ &+ \int_S \left(2 \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5} \right) - \frac{4\underline{\Omega}}{r^5} (r^2 - 4Mr + 3Q^2) + A_i \right) f |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that for $r \leq r_P$, we have $f \leq 0$ and $f' \geq 0$. Therefore if the potential V_i is such that $2 \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \partial_r(\underline{\Omega}V_i) \leq 0$ for $r \leq r_P$, we get positivity.

Case 2 ($r_P \leq r \leq r_*$). Since $\tilde{h} = r^2 f$ and $\tilde{h}' - \frac{\tilde{h}}{r} = r^2 f' + r f$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \mathcal{E}[Y, w, 2hR](\Psi) &\gtrsim \int_S \left(f' - \frac{2f}{r} \right) |R\Psi|^2 + \frac{(r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)^2}{r^5} |\nabla\Psi|^2 \\ &+ \int_S \left(\left(2 \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5} \right) + A_i + \frac{2\underline{\Omega}^2}{r^3} \right) f + \frac{2\underline{\Omega}^2}{r^2} f' \right) |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Recall that for $r \geq r_P$, we have $f \geq 0$ and $f' \geq 0$. Therefore if the potential V_i is such that $2 \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5} \right) + A_i + \frac{2\underline{\Omega}^2}{r^3} \geq 0$ for $r_P \leq r \leq r_*$, we get positivity of the last term. In particular, this is true if $A_i \geq 0$ for $r_P \leq r \leq r_*$. It remains to analyze the first term.

Lemma 9.2.3. *In the interval $[r_P, r_*]$ we have,*

$$f' - \frac{2}{r}f > 0.$$

Proof. Since f vanishes at $r = r_P$ we have,

$$f' - \frac{2}{r}f = r^{-2}(r^2 f)' - 4r^{-3}(r^2 f) = r^{-2}(r^2 f)' - 4r^{-3} \int_{r_P}^r (r^2 f)'$$

Recall that, by Lemma 9.2.1, $w = -r^{-2}\underline{\Omega}(r^2f)' = w_*$ is a positive constant for all $r \leq r_*$, therefore in the interval $[r_P, r_*]$. We deduce,

$$(r^2f)' = -\frac{r^2}{\underline{\Omega}}w_*$$

Using that, for $r > r_P$, $\frac{r^2}{\underline{\Omega}}$ is a decreasing function, we have the bound

$$\begin{aligned} f' - \frac{2}{r}f &= -\frac{1}{\underline{\Omega}}w_* + 4r^{-3} \int_{r_P}^r \frac{r^2}{\underline{\Omega}}w_* = w_* \left(-\frac{1}{\underline{\Omega}} + 4r^{-3} \int_{r_P}^r \frac{r^2}{\underline{\Omega}} \right) \\ &> w_* \left(-\frac{1}{\underline{\Omega}} + 4r^{-3}(r - r_P) \frac{r^2}{\underline{\Omega}} \right) = \frac{w_*}{-\underline{\Omega}} \left(1 - \frac{4}{r}(r - r_P) \right) = \frac{w_*}{-\underline{\Omega}r} (-3r + 4r_P) \end{aligned}$$

Defining $r_*^c := 2M + \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{9M^2 - 8e^2} < r_*$, we have that if $r < r_*^c$, then $(-3r + 4r_P) > 0$ and therefore $f' - \frac{2}{r}f > 0$.

Consider now f for $r > r_*$, i.e. $f(r) = 1 + \frac{C_* - r^2}{r^2}$ and $f'(r) = \frac{2(r^2 - C_*)}{r^3}$. Since f is a C^2 function we can compute

$$(f' - \frac{2}{r}f)(r_*) = \frac{2}{r_*} \left(1 - \frac{2C_*}{r_*^2} \right) = \frac{2}{r_*^3} (r_*^2 - 2C_*)$$

Consider $D_*(Q) = r_*(Q)^2 - 2C_*(Q)$ as a function of Q . Clearly $D_*(Q)$ is a continuous function of Q and for $Q = 0$, we have that $D(0) \approx 1.56M^2 > M^2$. Therefore, for Q small enough $D_*(Q) > \frac{1}{2}M^2 > 0$. This implies that, for such Q , $(f' - \frac{2}{r}f)(r_*) > 0$.

Consider the derivative of $f' - \frac{2}{r}f = w_* \left(-\frac{1}{\underline{\Omega}} + 4r^{-3} \int_{r_P}^r \frac{r^2}{\underline{\Omega}} \right)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(f' - \frac{2}{r}f \right)' &= w_* \left(\frac{\underline{\Omega}'}{\underline{\Omega}^2} - 12r^{-4} \int_{r_P}^r \frac{r^2}{\underline{\Omega}} + 4r^{-3} \frac{r^2}{\underline{\Omega}} \right) \\ &< w_* \left(\frac{\underline{\Omega}'}{\underline{\Omega}^2} - 12r^{-4}(r - r_P) \frac{r^2}{\underline{\Omega}} + 4r^{-3} \frac{r^2}{\underline{\Omega}} \right) = w_* \left(\frac{\underline{\Omega}'}{\underline{\Omega}^2} - \frac{4(2r - 3r_P)}{r^2 \underline{\Omega}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

We have that $\underline{\Omega}' < 0$ for all $r > r_{\mathcal{H}}$ and if $r < r_* < \frac{3}{2}r_P$, we obtain $(f' - \frac{2}{r}f)' < 0$ in the interval $[r_P, r_*]$. This, together with $(f' - \frac{2}{r}f)(r_*) > 0$, implies that $(f' - \frac{2}{r}f)(r) > 0$ in the whole interval, as desired. \square

Case 3 ($r \geq r_*$) Since \tilde{h} is constant we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \mathcal{E}[Y, w, 2hR](\Psi) &\gtrsim \int_S \left(f' - \frac{2\tilde{h}}{r^3} \right) |R\Psi|^2 + \frac{(r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)^2}{r^5} |\nabla\Psi|^2 \\ &+ \int_S \left[\left(2 \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5} \right) - \frac{4\underline{\Omega}}{r^5} (r^2 - 4Mr + 3Q^2) \right) \left(f(r) - \frac{(r_*)^2}{r^2} f(r_*) \right) \right. \\ &\left. + A_i f + \left(2 \left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^2} \right) - 2\underline{\Omega}^2 \right) \frac{\tilde{h}}{r^5} \right] |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

We examine the first term recalling that for $r \geq r_*$ we have $f = 1 + \frac{C_* - r_*}{r^2} = 1 - c_1 r^{-2}$, for $c_1 > 0$. Hence,

$$f' - 2r^{-3}\tilde{h} = 2r^{-3}(c_1 - \tilde{h})$$

Since $(c_1 - \tilde{h})$ is constant it follows that the sign of $(f' - 2r^{-3}\tilde{h})$ does not change for $r \geq r_*$. Thus, since it was positive for $r \leq r_*$, and recall that w and \tilde{h} are continuous functions, it must remain strictly positive for $r \geq r_*$.

Observe that for $r > r_*$, from the definition of r_* and the fact that f is increasing, we have that $f(r) - \frac{(r_*)^2}{r^2}f(r_*) \geq 0$. Moreover, the coefficient $2\left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5}\right) - \frac{4\Omega}{r^5}(r^2 - 4Mr + 3Q^2) \geq 0$ for $r \geq r_*$. The last term is given by

$$2\left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^2}\right) - 2\Upsilon^2 = \frac{2}{r^4}(Mr(r^2 - 4Mr + 3Q^2) + Q^2(Mr - Q^4))$$

which is positive for $r \geq r_*$. Therefore, if $A_i \geq 0$ for $r \geq r_*$, we obtain positivity of this term.

This proves the proposition. \square

Corollary 9.3. *Let $\Psi = \mathbf{q}$ or $\Psi = \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ be solutions of the system (105). Then for $r \geq \frac{5}{6}r_P$*

$$\int_S \mathcal{E}[Y, w, 2hR](\Psi) \gtrsim \int_S \left(\frac{1}{r^3} |R(\Psi)|^2 + \frac{(r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)^2}{r^5} |\nabla\Psi|^2 + \frac{\Upsilon}{r^4} |\Psi|^2 \right)$$

with Y , w and h as defined above.

Proof. It suffices to show that the potentials V_1 and V_2 verify the hypothesis of Proposition 9.2.3.

The potential V_1 verifies

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_r(\underline{\Omega}V_1) = -\frac{4\underline{\Omega}}{r^5}(r^2 - 4Mr + 3Q^2) + \frac{5Q^2}{r^4}\left(\underline{\Omega}' - \frac{4\underline{\Omega}}{r}\right)$$

giving $A_1 = \frac{5Q^2}{r^4}\left(\underline{\Omega}' - \frac{4\underline{\Omega}}{r}\right) = \frac{10Q^2}{r^7}(2r^2 - 5Mr + 3Q^2) \geq 0$ for $r \geq r_P$, which verifies the second condition. To verify the first condition we have

$$2\left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_r(\underline{\Omega}V_1) = \frac{1}{r^7}(6r^4 - 30Mr^3 + (32M^2 + 40Q^2)r^2 - 90MQ^2r + 42Q^4)$$

which is negative for $r \leq r_P$.

The potential V_2 verifies

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_r(\underline{\Omega}V_2) = -\frac{\underline{\Omega}}{r^4}(4r - 7M) - \frac{6}{r^7}(Mr - Q^2)^2$$

giving $A_2 = \frac{1}{r^7}(9Mr^3 - 24M^2r^2 - 12Q^2r^2 + 45MQ^2r - 18Q^4)$ which is positive for $r \geq r_P$. To verify the first condition we have

$$2\left(\frac{r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2}{r^5}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\partial_r(\underline{\Omega}V_2) = \frac{1}{r^7}(6r^4 - 21Mr^3 + (8M^2 + 8Q^2)r^2 + 5MQ^2r - 6Q^4)$$

which is negative for $r \leq r_P$. \square

9.2.3 Correction near the horizon

The main problem about the estimate obtained in Proposition 9.2.3 is that f blows up logarithmically near $r = r_{\mathcal{H}}$. To correct for this we can modify our choice of f and w , introducing a cut-off for f and w , in the same way as in [37].

Proposition 9.2.4. *Let $\Psi = \mathfrak{q}$ or $\Psi = \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ be solutions of the system (105). Given a small parameter $\delta > 0$ there exist functions $f_\delta \in C^2(r > 0)$, $w_\delta \in C^1(r > 0)$ and $h \in C^2(r > 0)$ verifying, for all $r > 0$,*

$$|f_\delta(r)| \lesssim \delta^{-1} r^{-2}, \quad w_\delta \lesssim r^{-1}, \quad h \lesssim r^{-4}$$

and a constant $C > 0$ (independent of δ) such that in the exterior region

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \mathcal{E}[f_\delta R, w_\delta, 2hR](\Psi) &\gtrsim C^{-1} \int_S \frac{1}{r^3} |R(\Psi)|^2 + \frac{(r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)^2}{r^5} \left(|\nabla \Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} |T\Psi|^2 \right) + \frac{\Upsilon}{r^4} |\Psi|^2 \\ &- \int_S \overline{W}_\delta |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where \overline{W}_δ is a non-negative potential supported in the region $r \leq \frac{5}{6} r_P$.

Proof. We sketch here the proof, which appears in [37]. Introducing $u := r^2 f$, we have

$$f = r^{-2} u, \quad w = r^{-2} \Upsilon \partial_r u$$

For a given $\delta > 0$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} u_\delta &:= -\frac{M^2}{\delta} F\left(-\frac{\delta}{M^2} u\right), & f_\delta &:= r^{-2} u_\delta \\ w_\delta &:= r^{-2} \Upsilon \partial_r u_\delta = r^{-2} \Upsilon F'\left(-\frac{\delta}{M^2} u\right) \partial_r u = w F'\left(-\frac{\delta}{M^2} u\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed increasing smooth function such that

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{for } x \leq 1 \\ 2 & \text{for } x \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

Hence, for sufficiently small $\delta > 0$ and constant $C > 0$,

$$f_\delta = \begin{cases} \frac{-2M^2}{\delta r^2} & \text{for } 0 \leq \Upsilon \leq C e^{-\frac{3}{\delta}} \\ f & \text{for } C^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{\delta}} \leq \Upsilon \end{cases}$$

We evaluate $W_\delta = -\frac{1}{4} \partial_r (r^2 \Upsilon \partial_r w_\delta)$. We deduce

$$W_\delta = -\frac{1}{4} \partial_r (r^2 \Upsilon \partial_r w) = W \geq \frac{M}{r^4}, \quad \text{for } r \geq r_P.$$

We introduce $\overline{W}_\delta = 1_{r_{\mathcal{H}} \leq r \leq \frac{5}{6} r_P} |W_\delta|$. Applying Proposition 9.2.3 using the functions f_δ and w_δ defined above we obtain the desired estimates, without the T derivative. To get the improved

estimate we then modify slightly the definition of w , i.e. we set $w = w_0 - \delta' w'$ for a small parameter δ' where w_0 is our previous choice and

$$w' = 2 \frac{D}{r^2} \Upsilon \frac{(r^2 - 3Mr + 2Q^2)^2}{r^5} \zeta(r)$$

where ζ is a suitable smooth, non-negative, function of r vanishing in a neighborhood of $r = r_P$ and equal to the unity outside a slightly larger neighborhood. Evaluate using (135),

$$\mathcal{E}_1[f_\delta R, w_0 - \delta' \Upsilon w', 2hR](\Psi) = \mathcal{E}[f_\delta R, w_0, 2hR] - \frac{1}{2} \delta' w' \mathcal{L}(\Psi) + \delta' |\Psi|^2 \frac{1}{4} \square_{\mathbf{g}}(w')$$

This allows the creation of the T derivative in the degenerate bulk. \square

9.3 Red-shift estimate

Observe that the vectorfields T and R become both proportional to e_3 when $\Upsilon = 0$, i.e. the estimate of Proposition 9.2.4 degenerates along the horizon. Here we make use of the Dafermos-Rodnianski red shift vectorfield to compensate for this degeneracy.

Lemma 9.3.1. *Let $N = a(r)\nabla_3 + b(r)\nabla_4$ be a vectorfield. If the functions $a(r)$ and $b(r)$ verify*

$$a(r_{\mathcal{H}}) = 0, \quad b(r_{\mathcal{H}}) = -1, \quad -a'(r_{\mathcal{H}}) \geq \frac{1}{4M}, \quad -b'(r_{\mathcal{H}}) \geq \frac{1}{8M}$$

then, along the horizon, we have

$$\mathcal{Q}^{\alpha\beta (N)} \pi_{\alpha\beta} \geq \frac{1}{8M} (|\nabla_3 \Psi|^2 + |\nabla_4 \Psi|^2 + |\nabla \Psi|^2) \quad (146)$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}[N, 0](\Psi) \geq \frac{1}{16M} \left(|\nabla_3 \Psi|^2 + |\nabla_4 \Psi|^2 + |\nabla \Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{M^2} |\Psi|^2 \right) \quad (147)$$

Proof. Note that on the horizon we have $\Upsilon = 0$. Using the second formula of Lemma 9.1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} \cdot (N) \pi &= \left(-b' + \left(\frac{2M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^3} \right) a \right) |\nabla \Psi|^2 + \left(\left(-\frac{2M}{r^2} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^3} \right) b \right) |\nabla_4 \Psi|^2 - a' |\nabla_3 \Psi|^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{2}{r} b \right) \nabla_3 \Psi \cdot \nabla_4 \Psi + \left(-b' + \left(\frac{2M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^3} \right) a - \frac{2}{r} b \right) V_i |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $a(r_{\mathcal{H}}) = 0$, $b(r_{\mathcal{H}}) = -1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q} \cdot (N) \pi &= (-b') |\nabla \Psi|^2 + \left(\frac{2M}{r^2} - \frac{2Q^2}{r^3} \right) |\nabla_4 \Psi|^2 - a' |\nabla_3 \Psi|^2 - \frac{2}{r} \nabla_3 \Psi \cdot \nabla_4 \Psi + \left(-b' + \frac{2}{r} \right) V_i |\Psi|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4M} |\nabla_3(\Psi)|^2 + \frac{1}{4M} |\nabla_4(\Psi)|^2 + \frac{1}{8M} |\nabla \Psi|^2 - \frac{2}{r} e_3 \Psi \cdot e_4 \Psi \end{aligned}$$

since $V_i(r_{\mathcal{H}}) \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. The desired lower bound in (146) easily follows.

Using (135), we have along the horizon

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}[N, 0](\Psi) &= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{Q} \cdot {}^{(N)}\pi - \frac{1}{2}N(V_i)|\Psi|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{16M}(|\nabla_3\Psi|^2 + |\nabla_4\Psi|^2 + |\nabla\Psi|^2) + \left(\frac{2}{r}V_i - \frac{1}{2}N(V_i)\right)|\Psi|^2\end{aligned}$$

and since on the horizon $\frac{2}{r}V_i - \frac{1}{2}N(V_i) \geq \frac{1}{10M^3}$ we have the desired bound. \square

Note that the vectorfield $N_{(0)} = ae_3 + be_4 + 2T$ with $a = -1 - \frac{1}{4M}(r - r_{\mathcal{H}})$, $b = -1 - \frac{1}{8M}(r - r_{\mathcal{H}})$ verify the conditions of the second part of the previous lemma. By picking a positive bump function $\kappa = \kappa(r)$, supported in the region in $[-2, 2]$ and equal to 1 for $[-1, 1]$ and define, for sufficiently small $\delta_{\mathcal{H}} > 0$.

$$N_{\mathcal{H}} = \kappa_{\mathcal{H}}N_{(0)}, \quad \kappa_{\mathcal{H}} := \kappa\left(\frac{\Upsilon}{\delta_{\mathcal{H}}}\right)$$

then $N_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a smooth vectorfield supported in the region $|\Upsilon| \leq 2\delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ such that the following estimate holds for both equations

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}[N_{\mathcal{H}}, 0](\Psi) &\geq \frac{1}{20M}1_{|\Upsilon| \leq \delta_{\mathcal{H}}} \left(|\nabla_3\Psi|^2 + |\nabla_4\Psi|^2 + |\nabla\Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{M^2}|\Psi|^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{M}\delta_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}1_{\delta_{\mathcal{H}} \leq |\Upsilon| \leq 2\delta_{\mathcal{H}}} \left(|\nabla_3\Psi|^2 + |\nabla_4\Psi|^2 + |\nabla\Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{M^2}|\Psi|^2 \right)\end{aligned}$$

As done in [37], we consider the combined Morawetz triplet

$$(Y, w, M) := (f_{\delta}R, w_{\delta}, 2hR) + \epsilon_{\mathcal{H}}(N_{\mathcal{H}}, 0, 0),$$

with $\epsilon_{\mathcal{H}} > 0$ sufficiently small to be determined later. Here $(f_{\delta}R, w_{\delta}, 2hR)$ is the triplet given by Proposition 9.2.4. To combine the estimates in the entire region we use the modified vectorfields \check{R}, \check{T} in the horizon region defined by (113). Then, for sufficiently small $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}$, in the region $-\delta_{\mathcal{H}} \leq |\Upsilon|$, $r \leq \frac{5}{6}r_P$, we have with a constant $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1} := C^{-1}\delta_{\mathcal{H}}^4 > 0$

$$\int_S (\mathcal{E}_{\delta} + \epsilon_{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{H}}) \geq M^{-1}\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}^{-1} \int_S \left(|\check{R}(\Psi)|^2 + |\check{T}\Psi|^2 + |\nabla\Psi|^2 + M^{-2}|\Psi|^2 \right) - \int_S \overline{W}_{\delta}|\Psi|^2$$

Finally, we can eliminate the potential \overline{W}_{δ} by a procedure analogous to that used in [37], by adding an additional well-chosen one-form $(0, 0, 2h_2\check{R})$.

9.4 Energy estimates

We add to the previous Morawetz estimates the energy estimates obtained by using the vectorfield T . By Corollary 9.1, ${}^{(T)}\pi = 0$, and since $T(V) = V'T(r) = 0$, we have $\mathcal{E}[T, 0] = 0$. We can then consider the triplet

$$(X, w, M) := (\tilde{X}, w, M) + 2\Lambda(T, 0, 0) \tag{148}$$

for Λ big enough. We apply the divergence theorem to $\mathcal{P}_\mu^{(X,w,M)}$ in the spacetime region bounded by Σ_0 and Σ_τ in the exterior region. Recalling (134), by divergence theorem we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma_\tau} \mathcal{P} \cdot n_\Sigma + \int_{\mathcal{H}^+(0,\tau)} \mathcal{P} \cdot e_4 + \int_{\mathcal{I}^+(0,\tau)} \mathcal{P} \cdot e_3 + \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \mathcal{E} \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_0} \mathcal{P} \cdot n_\Sigma - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} (X(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2}w\Psi) \cdot \mathbf{M}[\Psi] \end{aligned} \quad (149)$$

where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}[X, w, M](\Psi)$. Thus in view of the above estimates we have, for $C \gg \delta^{-1}$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \mathcal{E} \geq C^{-1} (\text{Mor}[\Psi](0, \tau) + \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\Psi](0, \tau)) \quad (150)$$

We now analyze the boundary terms in (149).

9.4.1 Boundary term along the horizon

Along the horizon we have $w, h, h_2 = 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} \cdot e_4 &= \mathcal{Q}(\tilde{X} + 2\Lambda T, e_4) = \mathcal{Q}(f_\delta R + \epsilon_{\mathcal{H}} N_{\mathcal{H}} + 2\Lambda T, e_4) = \mathcal{Q}(-\frac{1}{2}f_\delta e_3 + \epsilon_{\mathcal{H}} e_4 + \Lambda e_3, e_4) \\ &= \epsilon_{\mathcal{H}} |\nabla_4 \Psi|^2 + \left(\Lambda - \frac{1}{2}f_\delta \right) \mathcal{Q}_{34} \end{aligned}$$

and choosing Λ such that $\Lambda - \frac{1}{2}f_\delta \geq 0$, we obtain positivity, and in particular :

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}^+(0,\tau)} \mathcal{P} \cdot e_4 \gtrsim E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\Psi](0, \tau).$$

9.4.2 Boundary terms along null infinity

Along null infinity, we compute

$$\mathcal{P} \cdot e_3 \geq \frac{\Lambda}{4} (\mathcal{Q}_{33} + \mathcal{Q}_{34}) = \frac{\Lambda}{4} (|e_3 \Psi|^2 + |\nabla \Psi|^2 + V|\Psi|^2)$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\mathcal{I}^+(0,\tau)} \mathcal{P} \cdot e_3 \gtrsim E_{\mathcal{I}^+,0}[\Psi](0, \tau)$$

9.4.3 Boundary terms along Σ_0, Σ_τ

Along a hypersurface Σ_τ with timelike unit future normal $n_\Sigma = ae_3 + be_4$, we have,

$$\mathcal{P} \cdot n_\Sigma = \mathcal{Q}(\tilde{X} + 2\Lambda T, n_\Sigma) + \frac{1}{2}w\Psi n_\Sigma(\Psi) - \frac{1}{4}n_\Sigma(w)\Psi^2 + \frac{1}{2}n_\Sigma \cdot (hR + h_2 \check{R})\Psi^2$$

We can consider two regions.

1. In the region where $|\Upsilon| \leq \delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ we have $w = h = 0$ and $h_2 = O(\delta)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} \cdot n_{\Sigma} &= \mathcal{Q}(X + \Lambda T, n_{\Sigma}) + \frac{1}{2} h_2 n \cdot \check{R} \Psi^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mathcal{H}} (a \mathcal{Q}_{44} + b \mathcal{Q}_{33} + (a+b) \mathcal{Q}_{34}) - O(\delta) \Psi^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mathcal{H}} (a |e_3 \Psi|^2 + b |e_4 \Psi|^3 + (a+b)(|\nabla \Psi|^2 + V |\Psi|^2)) - O(\delta) \Psi^2 \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the Poincaré inequality (143), and the fact that δ is much smaller than $\epsilon_{\mathcal{H}}$ we deduce, in this region,

$$\int_{\Sigma(\tau)} \mathcal{P} \cdot n_{\Sigma} \geq \frac{1}{8} \epsilon_{\mathcal{H}} E[\Psi](\tau)$$

2. In the region $|\Upsilon| \geq \delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ we have $w = O(r^{-1})$ thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} \cdot n &\geq \frac{1}{4} \Lambda (a \mathcal{Q}_{44} + b \mathcal{Q}_{33} + (a+b) \mathcal{Q}_{34}) - O(r^{-2} |\Psi|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \Lambda (a |e_3 \Psi|^2 + b |e_4 \Psi|^3 + (a+b)(|\nabla \Psi|^2 + V |\Psi|^2)) - O(r^{-2} |\Psi|^2) \end{aligned}$$

and since $V_i \geq \frac{4\delta_{\mathcal{H}}}{r^2}$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have for $\Lambda \delta_{\mathcal{H}}$ sufficiently large, in this region

$$\int_{\Sigma(\tau)} \mathcal{P} \cdot n \geq E[\Psi](\tau)$$

From (149), we therefore deduce the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} &E[\Psi](\tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\Psi](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+, 0}[\Psi](0, \tau) + \text{Mor}[\Psi](0, \tau) + \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\Psi](0, \tau) \\ &\lesssim E[\Psi](0) - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0, \tau)} (X(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2} w \Psi) \cdot \mathbf{M}_i[\Psi] \end{aligned} \tag{151}$$

9.5 Improved Morawetz estimate

In what follows we derive an improved Morawetz estimate where we replace $\text{Mor}[\Psi](0, \tau)$ by $\text{Morr}[\Psi](0, \tau)$. We apply the vectorfield method to $T^\delta = r^{-\delta} T$.

Proposition 9.5.1. *Let $T^\delta = r^{-\delta} T$. Then for a solution Ψ to the equation (123), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[T^\delta, 0, 0](\Psi) &= -\frac{1}{4} |\nabla_4 \Psi|^2 \delta r^{-1-\delta} \Upsilon^2 + \frac{1}{4} |\nabla_3 \Psi|^2 \delta r^{-1-\delta}, \\ \mathcal{P}^{(T^\delta, 0, 0)} \cdot e_4 &= \frac{1}{2} r^{-\delta} \Upsilon |\nabla_4 \Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} r^{-\delta} (|\nabla \Psi|^2 + V_i |\Psi|^2) \\ \mathcal{P}^{(T^\delta, 0, 0)} \cdot e_3 &= \frac{1}{2} r^{-\delta} |\nabla_3 \Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2} r^{-\delta} \Upsilon (|\nabla \Psi|^2 + V_i |\Psi|^2) \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to derive the improved Morawetz estimates for Ψ .

Theorem 9.1. *Let Ψ be a solution to the equation (123). Consider a fix $\delta > 0$. Then the following improved Morawetz estimates hold*

$$\begin{aligned} & E[\Psi](\tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\Psi](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+, 0}[\Psi](0, \tau) \\ & + \text{Morr}[\Psi](0, \tau) + \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\Psi](0, \tau) - \int_{\mathcal{M}_{r \geq R}(0, \tau)} \frac{1}{4} |\nabla_4 \Psi|^2 \delta r^{-1-\delta} \Upsilon^2 \\ & \lesssim E[\Psi](0) - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0, \tau)} \left(X(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2} w \Psi + \theta(r) r^{-\delta} T(\Psi) \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_i[\Psi] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\theta = \theta(r)$ supported for $r \geq R/2$ with $\theta = 1$ for $r \geq R$. Consider the vectorfield $T_R^\delta = \theta(r) T^\delta$. We apply the divergence theorem to $\mathcal{P}^{(\delta)} := \mathcal{P}^{(T_R^\delta, 0, 0)}$ in the spacetime region bounded by Σ_0 and Σ_τ . By (134), we have

$$\mathbf{D}^\mu \mathcal{P}_\mu^{(T_R^\delta, 0, 0)}[\Psi] = \mathcal{E}[T_R^\delta, 0, 0](\Psi) + \theta(r) r^{-\delta} T(\Psi) \cdot \mathbf{M}_i$$

By divergence theorem we then have:

$$\int_{\Sigma_\tau} \mathcal{P}^{(\delta)} \cdot n_\Sigma + \int_{\mathcal{I}^+(0, \tau)} \mathcal{P}^{(\delta)} \cdot e_3 + \int_{\mathcal{M}(0, \tau)} \mathcal{E}[T_R^\delta, 0, 0] = \int_{\Sigma_0} \mathcal{P}^{(\delta)} \cdot n_\Sigma - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0, \tau)} \theta(r) r^{-\delta} T(\Psi) \cdot \mathbf{M}_i$$

By estimating the region where r is bounded by R by the energies, and using the Morawetz estimates (151) and Proposition 9.5.1, we obtain the desired estimate. \square

Observe that the term $-\int_{\mathcal{M}_{r \geq R}(0, \tau)} \frac{1}{4} |\nabla_4 \Psi|^2 \delta r^{-1-\delta} \Upsilon^2$ in the estimates above will be absorbed in the next subsection by the r^p -hierarchy estimates.

9.6 The r^p -hierarchy estimates

To derive the r^p -estimates, we apply the vector field method to $Z = l(r)e_4$. We choose w as a function of l .

Proposition 9.6.1. *Let $Z = l(r)e_4$ and $w = \frac{2l}{r}$. Then for a solution Ψ to the equation (123), we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[Z, w](\Psi) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-l' + \frac{2}{r} l \right) (|\nabla \Psi|^2 + V_i |\Psi|^2) + \frac{1}{2} l' |\nabla_4 \Psi|^2 - \frac{l''}{2r} |\Psi|^2 \\ &+ O\left(\frac{M}{r^2}, \frac{Q^2}{r^3}\right) |l| |\nabla_4 \Psi|^2 + O\left(\frac{M}{r^4}, \frac{Q^2}{r^5}\right) [|l| + r|l'| + r^2|l''|] |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

We will correct the definition of \mathcal{E} by using a one form M in order to compensate for the term $-\frac{1}{2} r^{-1} l'' |\Psi|^2$ in the above expression.

Proposition 9.6.2. *Let Z and w be as in Proposition 9.6.1, and let $M = \frac{2l'}{r} e_4 = \frac{2l'}{rl} Z$. Then for a solution Ψ to the equation (123), we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[Z, w, M] &= \frac{1}{2} l' |\check{\nabla}_4(\Psi)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(-l' + \frac{2l}{r} \right) (|\nabla \Psi|^2 + V_i |\Psi|^2) \\ &+ O\left(\frac{M}{r^2}, \frac{Q^2}{r^3}\right) |l| |\nabla_4 \Psi|^2 + O\left(\frac{M}{r^4}, \frac{Q^2}{r^5}\right) [|l| + r|l'| + r^2|l''|] |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (135) we get

$$\mathcal{E}[Z, w, M] = \mathcal{E}[Z, w] + \frac{1}{4}(\mathbf{D}^\mu M_\mu)|\Psi|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\Psi \cdot M(\Psi)$$

We compute, using Corollary 9.1

$$\mathbf{D}^\mu M_\mu = \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{D}_\nu M_\mu = \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{D}_\nu \left(\frac{2l'}{rl} Z_\mu \right) = \frac{l'}{rl} \text{tr}^{(Z)} \pi + Z \left(\frac{2l'}{rl} \right) = \frac{l'}{rl} \left(2l' + \frac{4l}{r} \right) + 2le_4 \left(\frac{l'}{rl} \right) = \frac{2l'}{r^2} + \frac{2l''}{r}$$

We deduce,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[Z, w, M] &= \frac{1}{2} \left(-l' + \frac{2}{r} \right) (|\check{\nabla}\Psi|^2 + V_i |\Psi|^2) + \frac{l'}{2} |\check{\nabla}_4 \Psi|^2 + \frac{l'}{2r^2} |\Psi|^2 + \frac{l'}{r} \Psi \cdot e_4(\Psi) \\ &\quad + O \left(\frac{M}{r^2}, \frac{Q^2}{r^3} \right) |l| |\check{\nabla}_4 \Psi|^2 + O \left(\frac{M}{r^4}, \frac{Q^2}{r^5} \right) [|l| + r|l'| + r^2|l''|] |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Writing $\frac{1}{2}l'|e_4\Psi|^2 + \frac{l'}{2r^2}|\Psi|^2 + r^{-1}l'\Psi \cdot e_4(\Psi) = \frac{1}{2}l'(e_4(\Psi) + r^{-1}\Psi)^2 = \frac{1}{2}l'|\check{\nabla}_4\Psi|^2$, we get the desired expression. \square

We now relate the bulk \mathcal{E} with the weighted bulk norm in the far away region.

Corollary 9.4. *Assume that $l(r) = r^p$. Given a fixed $\delta > 0$, for all $\delta \leq p \leq 2 - \delta$ and $R \gg \max(\frac{M}{\delta}, \frac{Q^2}{\delta^2})$, the following estimate holds*

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(0, \tau)} \mathcal{E}[Z, w, M] \geq \frac{1}{4} \dot{\mathcal{M}}_{p; R}[\Psi](0, \tau)$$

Proof. By Proposition 9.6.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}[Z, w, M] &= \frac{p}{2} r^{p-1} |\check{\nabla}_4(\Psi)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} (2-p) r^{p-1} (|\check{\nabla}\Psi|^2 + V_i |\Psi|^2) \\ &\quad + O \left(\frac{M}{r^2}, \frac{Q^2}{r^3} \right) r^p |\check{\nabla}_4 \Psi|^2 + O \left(\frac{M}{r^4}, \frac{Q^2}{r^5} \right) r^p |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

While integrating in $r \geq R$, the two last terms can be absorbed by the first two terms. Thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(0, \tau)} \mathcal{E}[Z, w, M] &\geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} r^{p-1} (p |\check{\nabla}_4(\Psi)|^2 + (2-p) (|\check{\nabla}\Psi|^2 + r^{-2} |\Psi|^2)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \dot{\mathcal{M}}_{p; R}[\Psi](0, \tau) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

We will compute now the current $\mathcal{P}[Z, w]$ associated to the vector field Z .

Lemma 9.6.1. *let Z, w and M as defined in Proposition 9.6.2. The current $\mathcal{P}_\mu[Z, w, M]$ verifies*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} \cdot e_4 &= l |\check{\nabla}_4 \Psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} r^{-2} e_4(rl |\Psi|^2) \\ \mathcal{P} \cdot e_3 &= l (|\check{\nabla}\Psi|^2 + V_i |\Psi|^2) + \frac{1}{2} r^{-2} e_3(rl |\Psi|^2) + O \left(\frac{M}{r^2}, \frac{Q^3}{r^5} \right) |l'| |\Psi|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall the definition of current (132). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P} \cdot e_4 &= l\mathcal{Q}_{44} + \frac{1}{r}l\Psi \cdot e_4\Psi - \frac{1}{2}e_4(r^{-1}l)|\Psi|^2 = l|e_4\Psi + \frac{1}{r}\Psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2}r^{-2}e_4(rl|\Psi|^2), \\
\mathcal{P} \cdot e_3 &= l\mathcal{Q}_{34} + \frac{1}{2}r^{-1}le_3(\Psi^2) - \frac{1}{2}e_3(r^{-1}l)\Psi^2 - r^{-1}l'|\Psi|^2 \\
&= l\mathcal{Q}_{34} + \frac{1}{2}r^{-2}e_3(rl\Psi^2) + r^{-1}f'|\Psi|^2 + O\left(\frac{M}{r^2}, \frac{Q^3}{r^5}\right) |l'|\Psi|^2 - r^{-1}f'|\Psi|^2 \\
&= l\mathcal{Q}_{34} + \frac{1}{2}r^{-2}e_3(rl\Psi^2) + O\left(\frac{M}{r^2}, \frac{Q^3}{r^5}\right) |l'|\Psi|^2
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

We are now ready to derive the r^p -estimates for Ψ .

Theorem 9.2. *Let Ψ be a solution to the equation (123). Consider a fixed $\delta > 0$ and let $R \gg \max(\frac{M}{\delta}, \frac{Q^2}{\delta^2})$. Then for all $\delta \leq p \leq 2 - \delta$ the following r^p -estimates hold*

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{E}_{p;R}[\Psi](\tau) + \int_{\mathcal{I}^+(0,\tau)} (r^p|\nabla\Psi|^2 + r^{p-2}|\Psi|^2) + \dot{M}_{p;R}[\Psi](0,\tau) \\
\lesssim E_p[\Psi](0) - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left(R^p(X(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2}w\Psi) + l_p\check{e}_4\Psi \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_i[\Psi]
\end{aligned} \tag{152}$$

Proof. Let $\theta = \theta(r)$ supported for $r \geq R/2$ with $\theta = 1$ for $r \geq R$ such that $l_p = \theta(r)r^p$, $Z_p = l_p e_4$, $w_p = \frac{2l'_p}{r}$, $M_p = \frac{2l'_p}{r}e_4$. Let $\mathcal{P}^{(p)} := \mathcal{P}[Z_p, w_p, M_p]$. We apply the divergence theorem to $\mathcal{P}^{(p)}$ in the spacetime region bounded by Σ_0 and Σ_τ . We first note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{D}^\mu \mathcal{P}^{(p)} &= \mathcal{E}[Z_p, w_p, M_p] + (Z_p(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2}w_p\Psi) \cdot \mathbf{M}_i[\Psi] = \mathcal{E}[Z_p, w_p, M_p] + (l_p(r)e_4(\Psi) + \frac{l_p}{r}\Psi) \cdot \mathbf{M}_i[\Psi] \\
&= \mathcal{E}[Z_l, w_l, M_l] + l_p(r)\check{e}_4(\Psi) \cdot \mathbf{M}_i[\Psi]
\end{aligned}$$

By divergence theorem we then have:

$$\int_{\Sigma_\tau} \mathcal{P} \cdot N_\Sigma + \int_{\mathcal{I}^+(0,\tau)} \mathcal{P} \cdot e_3 + \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \mathcal{E}[Z_l, w_l, M_l] = \int_{\Sigma_0} \mathcal{P} \cdot N_\Sigma - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} l_p\check{e}_4\Psi \cdot \mathbf{M}[\Psi]$$

We can estimate the integrals where r is bounded by R with the Morawetz energies. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Sigma_{r \geq R}(\tau)} \mathcal{P} \cdot N_\Sigma + \int_{\mathcal{I}^+(0,\tau)} \mathcal{P} \cdot e_3 + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{r \geq R}(0,\tau)} \mathcal{E}[Z_l, w_l, M_l] \\
&\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_{r \geq R}(0)} \mathcal{P} \cdot N_\Sigma + R^p (E[\Psi](0) + E[\Psi](\tau) + R^{-1}\text{Mor}[\Psi](0,\tau)) - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} l_p\check{e}_4\Psi \cdot \mathbf{M}[\Psi]
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Morawetz estimates (151) and Corollary 9.4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Sigma_{r \geq R}(\tau)} \mathcal{P} \cdot N_\Sigma + \int_{\mathcal{I}^+(0,\tau)} \mathcal{P} \cdot e_3 + \dot{M}_{p;R}[\Psi](0,\tau) \\
&\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_{r \geq R}(0)} \mathcal{P} \cdot N_\Sigma + R^p E[\Psi](0) - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left(R^p(X(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2}w\Psi) + l_p\check{e}_4\Psi \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}[\Psi]
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by Lemma 9.6.1, we have the bounds

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Sigma_{r \geq R}(\tau)} \mathcal{P} \cdot N_\Sigma &= \int_{\Sigma_{r \geq R}(\tau)} \mathcal{P} \cdot e_4 = \int_{\Sigma_{r \geq R}(\tau)} \left(r^p |\check{\nabla}_4 \Psi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} r^{-2} e_4(r^{p+1} |\Psi|^2) \right) \gtrsim \frac{1}{2} \dot{E}_{p,R}[\Psi](\tau), \\
\int_{\mathcal{I}^+(0,\tau)} \mathcal{P} \cdot e_3 &= \int_{\mathcal{I}^+(0,\tau)} r^p (|\check{\nabla} \Psi|^2 + V_i |\Psi|^2) + \frac{1}{2} r^{-2} e_3(r l \Psi^2) + O\left(\frac{M}{r^2}, \frac{Q^3}{r^5}\right) |l' \Psi|^2 \\
&\gtrsim \int_{\mathcal{I}^+(0,\tau)} r^p |\check{\nabla} \Psi|^2 + r^{p-2} |\Psi|^2
\end{aligned}$$

by performing the integration by parts for the second terms in the integrals, and absorbing the boundary term. This proves the theorem. \square

We combine Theorem 9.1 and Theorem 9.2. Then the term $-\int_{\mathcal{M}_{r \geq R}(0,\tau)} \frac{1}{4} |\check{\nabla}_4 \Psi|^2 \delta r^{-1-\delta} \Upsilon^2$ can be absorbed by the Morawetz bulk with better decay in $|\check{\nabla}_4 \Psi|^2$. We finally obtain the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_p[\Psi](\tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\Psi](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+, p}[\Psi](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p[\Psi](0, \tau) \\
&\lesssim E_p[\Psi](0) - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left(X(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2} w \Psi + \theta(r) r^{-\delta} T(\Psi) + \theta(r) r^p \check{e}_4 \Psi \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_i[\Psi]
\end{aligned} \tag{153}$$

9.7 The inhomogeneous term

We now analyze the inhomogeneous term

$$-\int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left(X(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2} w \Psi + \theta(r) r^{-\delta} T(\Psi) + \theta(r) r^p \check{e}_4 \Psi \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_i[\Psi].$$

Recall from (148) that the vectorfield X is given by $X = f_\delta R + \epsilon_{\mathcal{H}} Y_{\mathcal{H}} + \Lambda T$. Recall that f vanishes at $r = r_P$, and $\theta(r)$ is supported in the far away region, in particular away from the trapping region. We separate the terms involving R and T with the term involving $l_p \check{e}_4$, which we bound by the absolute value.

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left(X(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2} w \Psi + \theta(r) r^{-\delta} T(\Psi) + \theta(r) r^p \check{e}_4 \Psi \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}[\Psi] \\
&\leq -\int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P) R(\Psi) + \Lambda T(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2} w \Psi \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}[\Psi] \\
&+ C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(0,\tau)} \left(r^p |\check{\nabla}_4 \Psi| |\mathbf{M}[\Psi]| + r^{-\delta} |T\Psi| |\mathbf{M}[\Psi]| \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{154}$$

The integral in the far-away region can be separated using Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(0,\tau)} r^p |\check{\nabla}_4 \Psi| |\mathbf{M}[\Psi]| &\leq \lambda \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(0,\tau)} r^{p-1} |\check{\nabla}_4 \Psi|^2 + \lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(0,\tau)} r^{p+1} |\mathbf{M}[\Psi]|^2 \\
\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(0,\tau)} r^{-\delta} |T\Psi| |\mathbf{M}[\Psi]| &\leq \lambda \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(0,\tau)} r^{-1-3\delta} |T\Psi|^2 + \lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\geq R}(0,\tau)} r^{1+\delta} |\mathbf{M}[\Psi]|^2
\end{aligned}$$

For λ small enough the first integrals on the right can be absorbed in the Morawetz bulk $\mathcal{M}_p[\Psi]$ in (153), and recalling the definition of $\mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{M}]$ in (117), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E_p[\Psi](\tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\Psi](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+, p}[\Psi](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p[\Psi](0, \tau) \\ & \lesssim E_p[\Psi](0) + \mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{M}_i](0, \tau) - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0, \tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(\Psi) + \Lambda T(\Psi) + \frac{1}{2}w\Psi \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_i[\Psi] \end{aligned} \quad (155)$$

Applying (155) to $\Psi = \mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{M}[\Psi] = \mathbf{M}_1[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$, we finally obtain estimate (126). Similarly, applying it to $\Psi = \underline{\mathbf{q}}$ and $\mathbf{M}[\Psi] = \mathbf{M}_1[\underline{\mathbf{q}}, \underline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{F}}]$, we obtain an identical estimate for the spin -2 quantities.

9.8 Higher order estimates

In this section we extend the above weighted estimates to higher order. We note the trivial fact that the wave operator $\square_{\mathbf{g}}$ commutes with the Lie differentiation with the Killing fields of the Reissner-Nordström metric, i.e. T and Ω_i . In particular, since $T(V_i) = r\mathcal{V}(V_i) = 0$, when applying T or $r\mathcal{V}$ to equation (123), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\square_{\mathbf{g}} - V_i)(T\Psi_i) &= T(\mathbf{M}_i[\Psi]), \\ (\square_{\mathbf{g}} - V_i)(r\mathcal{V}\Psi_i) &= r\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{M}_i[\Psi]) \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the higher order energies defined in Section 8.1, applying (155) to n -commuted $T^i(r\mathcal{V})^j\Psi$, we immediately conclude the following Corollary.

Corollary 9.5. *Let Ψ be a solution to the equation (123). Then the following higher order estimates hold*

$$\begin{aligned} & E_p^{n, T, \mathcal{V}}[\Psi](\tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}^{n, T, \mathcal{V}}[\Psi](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+, p}^{n, T, \mathcal{V}}[\Psi](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{n, T, \mathcal{V}}[\Psi](0, \tau) \\ & \lesssim E_p^{n, T, \mathcal{V}}[\Psi](0) + \mathcal{I}_{p;R}^{n, T, \mathcal{V}}[\mathbf{M}](0, \tau) \\ & - \sum_{i+j \leq n} \int_{\mathcal{M}(0, \tau)} \left((r - r_P)R((T^i)(r\mathcal{V})^j\Psi) + \Lambda T((T^i)(r\mathcal{V})^j\Psi) + \frac{1}{2}w(T^i)(r\mathcal{V})^j\Psi \right) \cdot (T^i)(r\mathcal{V})^j\mathbf{M}[\Psi] \end{aligned} \quad (156)$$

Applying the above estimate to $\Psi = \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, $\mathbf{M}[\Psi] = \mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ with $n = 1$, we finally obtain estimate (127). Similarly, applying it to $\Psi = \underline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{F}}$, $\mathbf{M}[\Psi] = \mathbf{M}_2[\underline{\mathbf{q}}, \underline{\mathbf{q}}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ and $n = 1$, we obtain an identical estimate for the spin -2 quantities.

Observe that applying the above estimate to $\Psi = \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, $\mathbf{M}[\Psi] = \mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ with $n = 0$, we obtain the non-commuted estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+, p}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \\ & \lesssim E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) + \mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) \\ & - \int_{\mathcal{M}(0, \tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}w\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \end{aligned} \quad (157)$$

10 Combined estimates for the system of spin +2

In this section, we obtain the estimates for the generalized Regge-Wheeler system of spin +2. In Section 11.5, we will outline the similar procedure used in the case of the generalized Regge-Wheeler system of spin -2.

We combine estimates (126) and (157) in order to obtain the combined estimate (118) in the Main Theorem.

We sum A times estimate (126) to B times the non-commuted estimate (157), with C times (157) commuted with T and D times estimate (157) commuted with $r\nabla$, where A, B, C, D are positive constants. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+,p}[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+,p}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \\
& + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \leq E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) \\
& + \mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{M}_1[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) + \mathcal{I}_{p;R}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) \\
& - A \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{2}w\mathbf{q} \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_1[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \\
& - B \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}w\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \\
& - C \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}wT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot T(\mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \\
& - D \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(r\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(r\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}wr\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot r\nabla_A(\mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}])
\end{aligned} \tag{158}$$

In the combined estimate (158), the last five lines on the right hand side are not controlled from initial data at this point. Our goal is to study these terms in order to obtain estimates for them. In doing so, the particular structure of the right hand side terms $\mathbf{M}_1[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ and $\mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ will play an important role. To simplify such structure, recalling that ${}^{(F)}\rho = \frac{Q}{r^2}$, we can write the system (105) in the following concise form:

$$\begin{cases} \left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - V_1 \right) \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{M}_1[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] := Q \mathbf{C}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] + Q \mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] + Q^2 \mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}], \\ \left(\square_{\mathbf{g}} - V_2 \right) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} = \mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] := Q \mathbf{C}_2[\mathbf{q}] + Q^2 \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \end{cases} \tag{159}$$

where

$$\mathbf{C}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] = \frac{4}{r} \underline{\Delta}_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{4}{r} \underline{\kappa} \nabla_4 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{4}{r} \kappa \nabla_3 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \frac{1}{r} \left(6\kappa \underline{\kappa} + 16\rho + 8{}^{(F)}\rho^2 \right) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}, \tag{160}$$

$$\mathbf{C}_2[\mathbf{q}] = -\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q}, \tag{161}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}] = -\frac{2}{r^2} \psi_0 - \frac{4}{r^3} \psi_1, \tag{162}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] = -12\rho\psi_3 - Q^2 \frac{40}{r^4} \psi_3, \tag{163}$$

$$\mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] = \frac{4}{r^3} \psi_3 \tag{164}$$

and $|Q| \ll M$ is the charge of the Reissner-Nordström spacetime.

We will first derive estimates for the coupling terms \mathbf{C}_1 and \mathbf{C}_2 , and then for the lower order terms \mathbf{L}_1 and \mathbf{L}_2 .

10.1 Estimates for the coupling terms

Our goal is to absorb the coupling terms on the right hand side of (158) by the Morawetz bulks of \mathbf{q} and $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ on the left hand side of (158). In the trapping region, the analysis is more subtle, because of the degeneracy of the Morawetz bulks.

The goal of this section is to prove the following estimate for the coupling terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{C}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) + \mathcal{I}_{p;R}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{C}_2[\mathbf{q}]](0, \tau) - A \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{2}w\mathbf{q} \right) \cdot \mathbf{C}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \\
& - B \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}w\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{C}_2[\mathbf{q}] \\
& - C \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}wT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot T(\mathbf{C}_2[\mathbf{q}]) \\
& - D \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(r\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(r\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}wr\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot r\nabla_A(\mathbf{C}_2[\mathbf{q}]) \\
& \lesssim \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) \\
& - C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\frac{5}{6}r_P \leq r \leq \frac{7}{6}r_P}} \Lambda(-\Upsilon Q^2 \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \cdot T\left(-\frac{1}{r^3}\mathbf{q}\right) + C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\frac{5}{6}r_P \leq r \leq \frac{7}{6}r_P}} \frac{1}{2}w(-Q^2 \Upsilon \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3}\mathbf{q}\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{165}$$

We separate the proof in three parts: the far-away region, outside the trapping region, and at the photon sphere.

10.1.1 Absorption in the far-away region

The goal of this subsection is to prove the following estimate

$$\mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{C}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) + \mathcal{I}_{p;R}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{C}_2[\mathbf{q}]](0, \tau) \lesssim \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \tag{166}$$

In the far-away region, we will need to keep track of the powers of r . In particular:

$$\mathbf{C}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \simeq \left[\frac{1}{r} \Delta_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}, \frac{1}{r^2} e_4 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}, \frac{1}{r^2} e_3 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}, \frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right], \tag{167}$$

$$\mathbf{C}_2[\mathbf{q}] \simeq \left[\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right] \tag{168}$$

where \simeq denotes the asymptotics in r towards null infinity. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{C}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{1+p} \left| \frac{1}{r} \Delta_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}, \frac{1}{r^2} e_4 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}, \frac{1}{r^2} e_3 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}, \frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right|^2 \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{-5+p} |(r\nabla)^2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2 + r^{-3+p} |\nabla_4 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2 + r^{-3+p} |\nabla_3 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2 + r^{-5+p} |\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Since the powers of r of $\mathcal{I}_p[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{C}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]]$ decay all faster than the respective ones in $\mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$, we have that, for R big enough

$$\mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{C}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) \lesssim \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau)$$

Similarly for $\mathcal{I}_{p;R}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{C}_2[\mathbf{q}]](0, \tau)$, which proves (166).

10.1.2 Absorption outside the photon sphere

The goal of this subsection is to prove the following estimate

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)\setminus r=r_p} \text{integrals in RHS of (158)} \lesssim \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \quad (169)$$

Outside the photon sphere, the Morawetz bulks $\mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau)$ contain the following terms: $|R\mathbf{q}|^2$, $|T\mathbf{q}|^2$, $|r\nabla_A\mathbf{q}|^2$, $|\mathbf{q}|^2$, $|R\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, $|T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, $|r\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, $|\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, $|RT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, $|R(r\nabla_A)\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, $|TT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, $|T(r\nabla_A)\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, $|r^2\nabla_A^2\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, with \tilde{R} and \tilde{T} respectively in the red-shift region. Therefore the integrals on the right hand side of (158) outside the photon sphere can be easily bounded by $\mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau)$ using Cauchy-Schwarz.

10.1.3 Absorption at the photon sphere

The goal of this subsection is to prove the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}(0,\tau)} \text{integrals in RHS of (158)} &\lesssim \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \\ &+ E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) \\ &- C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \Lambda(-\Upsilon Q^2 \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \\ &+ C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \frac{1}{2} w(-Q^2 \Upsilon \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (170)$$

Recall that at the photon sphere, the Morawetz bulks $\mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau)$ contain the following terms with no degeneracy: $|R\mathbf{q}|^2$, $|\mathbf{q}|^2$, $|R\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, $|T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, $|r\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, $|\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, $|RT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, $|R(r\nabla_A)\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, and the following degenerate terms:

$$(r - r_P)^2 (|T\mathbf{q}|^2 + |r\nabla_A\mathbf{q}|^2 + |TT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2 + |T(r\nabla_A)\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2 + |r^2\Delta\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2).$$

We analyze each line of the last four lines of (158) for the coupling terms.

First line Consider the highest order term $\frac{4}{r}\Delta_2\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$. This gives

$$-A \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{2}w\mathbf{q} \right) \cdot \frac{4}{r}\Delta_2\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$$

For the first term, we use Cauchy-Schwarz while moving the degeneracy $r - r_P$ to the laplacian, in order to absorb it by the Morawetz bulk:

$$\begin{aligned} -A \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} ((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q})) \cdot \frac{4}{r} \Delta_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} &\leq \left(\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} |R(\mathbf{q})|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} (r - r_P)^2 |\Delta_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1, T, \nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \end{aligned}$$

We keep for the moment the term with T :

$$-A \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \frac{4}{r} \Delta_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \quad (171)$$

and the term with the zero-th order term:

$$-A \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \frac{1}{2} w \mathbf{q} \cdot \frac{4}{r} \Delta_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \quad (172)$$

Consider the first order terms $-\frac{4}{r} \underline{\kappa} \nabla_4 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{4}{r} \kappa \nabla_3 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$. They can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{4}{r} \underline{\kappa} \nabla_4 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{4}{r} \kappa \nabla_3 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} &= -\frac{4}{r} \frac{\underline{\kappa}}{\Upsilon} (T + R) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{4}{r} \kappa (T - R) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \\ &= -\frac{4}{r} \left(\frac{\underline{\kappa}}{\Upsilon} + \kappa \right) T \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{4}{r} \left(\frac{\underline{\kappa}}{\Upsilon} - \kappa \right) R \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} = \frac{16}{r^2} R \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$-A \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{2} w \mathbf{q} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{16}{r^2} R \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right)$$

Since all first derivatives of $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ compare in the Morawetz bulk without degeneracy, we can apply Cauchy-Schwarz for the terms involving $R\mathbf{q}$ and \mathbf{q} , which are also non-degenerate. To absorb the term in T we need to perform an integration by parts in T , and obtain a spacetime integral for $TR\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, which is not degenerate. The boundary terms can be estimated by the energies.

Consider the zero-th order term $\frac{1}{r} (6\underline{\kappa} + 16\rho + 8^{(F)}\rho^2) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$. Again, the terms involving $R\mathbf{q}$ and \mathbf{q} can be absorbed by the Morawetz bulk. Similarly as before, the term involving $T\mathbf{q}$ can be absorbed upon integration by parts, picking up energies as boundary terms. while we keep the term with T .

To summarize, in the first line of estimate (158) we absorbed all the terms by Morawetz bulks and energies, except terms (171) and (172).

Second line Consider the second line of estimate (158). The coupling term is given by

$$-B \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2} w \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right)$$

All the quantities in $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ appear in the Morawetz bulk as non-degenerate, therefore we can apply Cauchy-Schwarz and absorb the second line by the Morawetz bulks.

Third line Consider the third line of estimate (158). The coupling term is given by

$$-C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \left((r - r_P)R(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2} w T \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right)$$

For the first term, we use Cauchy-Schwarz while moving the degeneracy $r - r_P$ to the $T\mathbf{q}$. We keep for the moment the term with $TT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$:

$$-C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \Lambda T(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \quad (173)$$

and the term with $T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$:

$$-C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \frac{1}{2} w T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \quad (174)$$

To summarize, in the third line of estimate (158) we absorbed all the terms by Morawetz bulks, except (173) and (174).

Fourth line Consider the fourth line of estimate (158). The coupling term is given by

$$-D \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \left((r - r_P) R(r\nabla_A \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(r\nabla_A \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2} w r \nabla_A \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot r \nabla_A \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right)$$

For the first term, we use Cauchy-Schwarz while moving the degeneracy $r - r_P$ to the $r\nabla\mathbf{q}$. We keep for the moment the term with $T\nabla\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$:

$$-D \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \Lambda T(r\nabla_A \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) \cdot r \nabla_A \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \quad (175)$$

and the term with $\nabla\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$:

$$-D \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \frac{1}{2} w r \nabla_A \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot r \nabla_A \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \quad (176)$$

To summarize, in the fourth line of estimate (158) we absorbed all the terms by Morawetz bulks, except (173) and (174).

Consider term (173). Using Lemma A.1.1 and (159), we write:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\Upsilon} TT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} &= -\square_{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \frac{1}{\Upsilon} RR\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \Delta_2\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \frac{2}{r} R\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Upsilon} RR\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \Delta_2\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \frac{2}{r} R\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - V_2\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \frac{Q}{r^3} \mathbf{q} - Q^2 \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \end{aligned} \quad (177)$$

The term (173) therefore becomes

$$\begin{aligned} -C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \Lambda T(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) &= -C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \Lambda (RR\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \Upsilon \Delta_2\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \\ &\quad -C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \Lambda \left(\frac{2}{r} R\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \Upsilon V_2\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \\ &\quad -C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \Lambda \left(\Upsilon \frac{Q}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \\ &\quad -C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \Lambda \left(-\Upsilon Q^2 \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \right) \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The second line of the right hand side of the above identity can be bounded by performing integration by parts in T , and obtaining $TR\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ and $T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ which are non-degenerate in the Morawetz bulk. As boundary terms, we pick up energies. The third line of the right hand side of the above identity can be bounded by the energy. The fourth line will be absorbed in Section 10.2, since it involves the lower order terms.

We concentrate now on the first term on the first line on the right hand side of the above identity. Since we will have to integrate per parts in R as well as in T , it is convenient to consider a cut-off function in R , $\chi(r)$ such that $\chi(r) = 0$ for $r \leq \frac{6}{7}r_P$ and $r \geq \frac{8}{7}r_P$ and $\chi(r) = 1$ for $\frac{7}{8}r_P \leq r \leq \frac{9}{8}r_P$. We first integrate by parts in R :

$$-C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \Lambda \chi(r) R R \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) = C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \Lambda \chi'(r) R \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) + \Lambda \chi(r) R \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot R T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right)$$

The first term on the right hand side vanishes at the photon sphere, therefore it can be bounded by the Morawetz bulks. For the second term we commute TR and perform again integration by parts in T .

Finally, term (173) is bounded by:

$$(173) \lesssim -C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \Lambda \Upsilon \mathbb{A}_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) - C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \Lambda (-\Upsilon Q^2 \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \\ + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0)$$

Consider term (175). Performing integration by parts in T and then in $r\nabla$ we obtain

$$-D \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \Lambda T(r\nabla_A \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) \cdot r\nabla_A \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \lesssim D \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \Lambda(r\nabla_A \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) \cdot r\nabla_A \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} T \mathbf{q} \right) \\ + E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) \\ \lesssim -D \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \Lambda(r^2 \mathbb{A}_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} T \mathbf{q} \right) \\ + E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0)$$

Consider now (171) + (173) + (175). This gives

$$(171) + (173) + (175) \lesssim -A \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}) \cdot \frac{4}{r} \mathbb{A}_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \\ - C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \Lambda \Upsilon \mathbb{A}_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) - C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \Lambda (-\Upsilon Q^2 \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \\ - D \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \Lambda(r^2 \mathbb{A}_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} T \mathbf{q} \right) \\ + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \\ + E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0)$$

Choosing the constant A , C and D such that

$$4Ar^2 - C\Upsilon(r) - Dr^2|_{r=r_P} = 0, \\ (4Ar^2 - C\Upsilon(r) - Dr^2)'|_{r=r_P} = (8Ar - C\Upsilon'(r) - 2Dr)|_{r=r_P} = 0 \tag{178}$$

we obtain a cancellation of second order for the terms involving $T\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbb{A}_2\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ at the photon sphere.

Remark 10.1. *Observe that a choice of positive constants A, C, D verifying conditions (178) is possible. We first remark that the two conditions in (178) reduce to one relation only. Indeed, recall that at the photon sphere relation (47) holds, i.e.*

$$\Upsilon'(r_P) = \frac{2}{r_P} \Upsilon(r_P).$$

Therefore the second condition in (178) for the derivative reads

$$0 = 8Ar_P - C\Upsilon'(r_P) - 2Dr_P = 8Ar_P - C\frac{2}{r_P}\Upsilon(r_P) - 2Dr_P = \frac{2}{r_P}(4Ar_P^2 - C\Upsilon(r_P) - Dr_P^2)$$

which reduces to the first condition in (178). Finally, since $\Upsilon(r_P) \geq 0$, there exists a choice of positive constants A, C, D such that $(4A - D)r_P^2 - C\Upsilon(r_P) = 0$.

This is implied by two facts: the higher order terms in the coupling terms $\mathbf{C}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ (i.e. $\frac{4}{r}\mathbb{A}_2\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$) and $\mathbf{C}_2[\mathbf{q}]$ (i.e. $-\frac{1}{r^3}\mathbf{q}$) have opposite sign, and the higher order term is second order derivatives.

In particular, compare the above cancellations with the terms (172), (174), (176). Even if we still had the freedom of choosing the coefficients A, C and D , we would not be able to normalize positive constants at the photon sphere to cancel those terms, because of a sign issue. Below, we treat them differently.

Creating this second order degeneracy at the photon sphere, we can distribute the degeneracy $(r - r_P)$ to both $T\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbb{A}_2\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, and bound it by Cauchy-Schwarz with the Morawetz bulks. This finally gives

$$\begin{aligned} (171) + (173) + (175) &\lesssim -C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \Lambda(-\Upsilon Q^2 \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1, T, \nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \\ &\quad + E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) \end{aligned}$$

We consider now terms (172), (174), (176). They all end up being bounded by a term of the form

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \frac{1}{2} w \nabla_A \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \nabla_A \mathbf{q} \tag{179}$$

up to multiplication by functions of r which are continuous at the photon sphere. Indeed, integrating by parts (172) in ∇ , we obtain a term of this form, and (176) is already of that form. Consider (174). Performing integration by parts in T we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \frac{1}{2} w T \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) &\lesssim C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \frac{1}{2} w T T \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \\ &\quad + E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the expression for $TT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ using the wave equation for $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ as done before we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \frac{1}{2} w TT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) &= C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \frac{1}{2} w (RR\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \Upsilon \Delta_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \\ &+ C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \frac{1}{2} w \left(\frac{2}{r} \Upsilon R\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - V_2 \Upsilon \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \Upsilon \frac{Q}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \\ &+ C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \frac{1}{2} w (-Q^2 \Upsilon \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The second line can be bounded by the Morawetz bulks and the third line will be bounded in Section 10.2, since it involves lower order terms. For the first term in the first line we perform integration by parts in R as done before, using a cut-off function $\chi(r)$. The remaining term with the laplacian can be brought into one of the form (179) by integration by parts in ∇ . Finally, term (174) is bounded by:

$$\begin{aligned} (174) \lesssim & -C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \frac{1}{2} w (\Upsilon \nabla_A \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \nabla_A \mathbf{q} \right) + C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \frac{1}{2} w (-Q^2 \Upsilon \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \\ & + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) \end{aligned}$$

It remains therefore to estimate (179). Recall that $R(r) = \Upsilon$, therefore we write in the integral $1 = \frac{1}{\Upsilon} R(r - r_P)$ in order to introduce the degeneracy at the photon sphere, allowing for taking an extra derivative in R :

$$\int_{\Sigma_{\text{trap}}(\tau)} \chi(r) \nabla \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{q} = \int_{\Sigma_{\text{trap}}(\tau)} \frac{1}{\Upsilon} R(r - r_P) \chi(r) \nabla \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{q}$$

We integrate by parts in R :

$$\begin{aligned} &= - \int_{\Sigma_{\text{trap}}(\tau)} R \left(\frac{1}{\Upsilon} \right) (r - r_P) \chi(r) \nabla \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{q} - \int_{\Sigma_{\text{trap}}(\tau)} (r - r_P) \chi'(r) \nabla \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{q} \\ &\quad - \int_{\Sigma_{\text{trap}}(\tau)} \frac{1}{\Upsilon} (r - r_P) \chi(r) R \nabla \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{q} - \int_{\Sigma_{\text{trap}}(\tau)} \frac{1}{\Upsilon} (r - r_P) \chi(r) \nabla \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot R \nabla \mathbf{q} \end{aligned}$$

Upon integration in τ , each term can be bounded in the following way. Since Υ' is bounded at the photon sphere, the first term is easily bounded by throwing the degeneracy into $\nabla \mathbf{q}$. The second term vanishes at the photon sphere. The third term can be bounded by throwing the degeneracy into $\nabla \mathbf{q}$ as above, since $R \nabla \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ appears with no degeneracy on the Morawetz bulk. The last term can be bounded by performing an integration by parts in ∇ , and throwing the degeneracy into $\nabla^2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, since $R \mathbf{q}$ appears with no degeneracy in the Morawetz bulks. This finally gives

$$\begin{aligned} (172) + (174) + (176) \lesssim & C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \frac{1}{2} w (-Q^2 \Upsilon \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) \\ & + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \\ & + E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) \end{aligned}$$

which bounds all the coupling terms at the photon sphere.

Putting all the above estimates together, we proved estimate (165).

Observe that the procedure of the absorption of the coupling terms in the case of spin -2 quantities is completely similar. Conditions (178) can still be verified because the right hand sides of the generalized Regge-Wheeler system of spin -2 still have opposite signs.

10.2 Estimates for the lower order terms

We will absorb the lower order terms by the Morawetz bulks of \mathbf{q} and $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, and by allowing a necessary bound by the initial energy of the lower order terms quantities ψ_0 , ψ_1 and ψ_3 .

The goal of this section is to prove the following estimate for the lower order terms:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) + \mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}]](0, \tau) + \mathcal{I}_{p;R}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) \\
& - A \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{2}w\mathbf{q} \right) \cdot \mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \\
& - A \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{2}w\mathbf{q} \right) \cdot \mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}] \\
& - B \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}w\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \\
& - C \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}wT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot T(\mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \\
& - D \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R(r\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(r\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}wr\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot r\nabla_A(\mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \\
& - C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \Lambda(-\Upsilon Q^2\mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \cdot T\left(-\frac{1}{r^3}\mathbf{q}\right) + C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \frac{1}{2}w(-Q^2\Upsilon\mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3}\mathbf{q}\right) \\
& \lesssim \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) \\
& + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{f}](0) + E_p[\psi_1](0) + E_p[\alpha](0)
\end{aligned} \tag{180}$$

Notice that in the seventh line of (180), we inserted the additional terms involving the lower order terms obtained in the coupling estimates in the previous section.

We first derive transport estimates for the lower order terms, and then we estimate the lower order terms of the main estimates above.

10.2.1 Transport estimates for lower order terms

We derive transport estimates for ψ_0 , ψ_1 and ψ_3 using their differential relations. In order to simplify the derivation of the transport estimates, applied few times, we summarize in the following lemma the main computation.

Lemma 10.2.1. *Let Φ_1 and Φ_2 be two symmetric traceless 2-tensor which verify the differential relation*

$$\nabla_3(r^n \underline{\kappa}^m \Phi_1) = \underline{\kappa} \Phi_2 \tag{181}$$

for n, m integers. Then, in the far away region, for all $l > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma_{far}(\tau)} r^{2n-2m+l-2} |\Phi_1|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{2n-2m+l-3} |\Phi_1|^2 &\lesssim \int_{\Sigma_{far}(0)} r^{2n-2m+l-2} |\Phi_1|^2 \\ &+ \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{l-3} |\Phi_2|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (181), we obtain

$$\nabla_3(r^{2n} \underline{\kappa}^{2m} |\Phi_1|^2) = 2r^n \underline{\kappa}^{m+1} \Phi_1 \Phi_2$$

Multiplying by r^{l-2} and recalling that $\nabla_3 r = \frac{1}{2} r \underline{\kappa}$ and $\underline{\kappa} \leq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3(r^{2n+l-2} \underline{\kappa}^{2m} |\Phi_1|^2) + \left(\frac{l}{2} - 1\right) r^{2n+l-2} (-\underline{\kappa})^{2m+1} |\Phi_1|^2 \\ = 2r^{n+l-2} \underline{\kappa}^{m+1} \Phi_1 \Phi_2 \leq \frac{l}{4} r^{2n+l-2} (-\underline{\kappa})^{2m+1} |\Phi_1|^2 + \frac{4}{l} r^{l-2} (-\underline{\kappa}) |\Phi_2|^2 \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$\nabla_3(r^{2n+l-2} \underline{\kappa}^{2m} |\Phi_1|^2) + \left(\frac{l}{4} - 1\right) r^{2n+l-2} (-\underline{\kappa})^{2m+1} |\Phi_1|^2 \leq \frac{4}{l} r^{l-2} (-\underline{\kappa}) |\Phi_2|^2$$

Since $\operatorname{div} e_3 = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \pi^{(3)} = \underline{\kappa} - \frac{2M}{r^2} + \frac{2Q^2}{r^3}$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(r^{2n+l-2} \underline{\kappa}^{2m} |\Phi_1|^2 e_3) + \left(\frac{l}{4} - 1\right) r^{2n+l-2} (-\underline{\kappa})^{2m+1} |\Phi_1|^2 \\ = \nabla_3(r^{2n+l-2} \underline{\kappa}^{2m} |\Phi_1|^2) + \left(\frac{l}{4} - 1\right) r^{2n+l-2} (-\underline{\kappa})^{2m+1} |\Phi_1|^2 + r^{2n+l} \underline{\kappa}^{2m} |\Phi_1|^2 \operatorname{div} e_3 \\ \leq \frac{4}{l} r^{l-2} (-\underline{\kappa}) |\Phi_2|^2 + r^{2n+l} \underline{\kappa}^{2m+1} |\Phi_1|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\operatorname{div}(r^{2n+l-2} \underline{\kappa}^{2m} |\Phi_1|^2 e_3) + \frac{l}{4} r^{2n+l-2} (-\underline{\kappa})^{2m+1} |\Phi_1|^2 \leq \frac{4}{l} r^{l-2} (-\underline{\kappa}) |\Phi_2|^2$$

Integrating the above inequality on $\mathcal{M}_{far}(0, \tau)$ and using divergence theorem on the left hand side, we obtain the desired estimate, where we allow for a constant on the right hand side depending on R_0 . \square

We will make use of Lemma 10.2.1 to obtain the control, and the optimal decay, of the lower order terms through transport equations. The differential relations given by (109) are not sufficient to gain control of all derivatives of the lower order terms. In order to do so, we will make use of Bianchi identities and commutation formulas.

Proposition 10.2.1. *[Transport estimates for α, ψ_1 and \mathfrak{f}] Let $\alpha, \psi_1, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ be defined as in (109). Then, for all $\delta \leq p \leq 2 - \delta$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} E_p[\alpha](\tau) + E_p[\psi_1](\tau) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathfrak{f}](\tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\alpha](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\psi_1](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathfrak{f}](0, \tau) \\ + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\alpha](0, \tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\psi_1](0, \tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathfrak{f}](0, \tau) \\ \lesssim \mathcal{M}_p[\mathfrak{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + E_p[\alpha](0) + E_p[\psi_1](0) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathfrak{f}](0) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We separate the transport estimates into the three regions: the redshift region, the trapping region and the far-away region.

In the far-away region: In this region, we want to get the best decay in r . We will apply Lemma 10.2.1, being careful to exploit the best decay on the left hand side.

By (109), \mathfrak{f} and $\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ verify relation (181) with $n = 3$, $m = 1$. Since $\int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{-3+p} |\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$ is controlled by the Morawetz bulk in the far away region by definition (116), by Lemma 10.2.1, for $l = p$,

$$\int_{\Sigma_{far}(\tau)} r^{2+p} |\mathfrak{f}|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{1+p} |\mathfrak{f}|^2 \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_{far}(0)} r^{2+p} |\mathfrak{f}|^2 + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0,\tau)$$

By (109), ψ_1 and \mathfrak{q} verify relation (181) with $n = 1$, $m = 0$. As before, applying Lemma 10.2.1, for $l = p$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma_{far}(\tau)} r^p |\psi_1|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{-1+p} |\psi_1|^2 \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_{far}(0)} r^p |\psi_1|^2 + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathfrak{q}](0,\tau)$$

By (109), α and ψ_1 verify relation (181) with $n = 3$, $m = 2$. As before, applying Lemma 10.2.1, for $l = 2 + p$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma_{far}(\tau)} r^{2+p} |\alpha|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{1+p} |\alpha|^2 \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_{far}(0)} r^{2+p} |\alpha|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{-1+p} |\psi_1|^2$$

We estimated the zero-th order terms of the non-degenerate Morawetz bulks for α , ψ_1 and \mathfrak{f} . We can estimate the ∇_3 derivative, by making use of the differential relations (109). Indeed, we immediately obtain bounds on $\int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{p+3} |\nabla_3 \mathfrak{f}|^2$, $\int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{p+1} |\nabla_3 \psi_1|^2$ and $\int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{p+3} |\nabla_3 \alpha|^2$.

To estimate the angular derivative, we can commute relations (109) with $r\nabla$. We obtain $\nabla_3(r^4 \underline{\kappa} \nabla \mathfrak{f}) = r \underline{\kappa} \nabla \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, and therefore we can apply Lemma 10.2.1 to $\nabla \mathfrak{f}$ and $\nabla \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ with $n = 4$, $m = 1$ and $l = p$. We can similarly commute the relations for ψ_1 and α . To estimate the ∇_4 derivative, we take $\check{\nabla}_4$ of relations (109). For example we compute:

$$\nabla_3(\Upsilon \nabla_4(r\psi_1)) = \underline{\kappa} \Upsilon \check{\nabla}_4 \mathfrak{q} - \Upsilon \left(\frac{2}{r} \underline{\kappa} - 2\rho \right) \mathfrak{q}$$

Writing $\Upsilon = \frac{r}{2}(-\underline{\kappa})$, we can apply Lemma 10.2.1 to $\nabla_4 \psi_1$ and $\check{\nabla}_4 \mathfrak{q}$ and obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma_{far}(\tau)} r^{2+p} |\check{\nabla}_4 \psi_1|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{1+p} |\check{\nabla}_4 \psi_1|^2 \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_{far}(0)} r^{2+p} |\check{\nabla}_4 \psi_1|^2 + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathfrak{q}](0,\tau)$$

Similarly for α , and for \mathfrak{f} we can in addition commute with T and $r\nabla$, and still be able to bound by $\mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0,\tau)$.

Putting all the above together we obtain in the far-away region:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{p;R}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathfrak{f}](\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{p;R}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathfrak{f}](0,\tau) &\lesssim E_{p;R}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathfrak{f}](0) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0,\tau), \\ E_{p;R}[\psi_1](\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{p;R}[\psi_1](0,\tau) &\lesssim E_{p;R}[\psi_1](0) + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathfrak{q}](0,\tau), \\ E_{p;R}[\alpha](\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{p;R}[\alpha](0,\tau) &\lesssim E_{p;R}[\alpha](0) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_{p;R}[\psi_1](0,\tau) \end{aligned}$$

In the trapping region: In this bounded region, we don't keep track of the powers of r , but we have to be careful about the degeneracy of the T and ∇ derivatives. The zero-th order terms of α and ψ_1 are straightforwardly bounded by the Morawetz bulks using Lemma 10.2.1 applied to (109). For \mathfrak{f} , commuting with T and $r\nabla$, we can use Lemma 10.2.1 to also bound those derivatives in terms of the Morawetz bulk.

Since the Morawetz bulk $\mathcal{M}_p[\mathfrak{q}](0, \tau)$ contains derivatives T and ∇ which are degenerate at the photon sphere, we don't commute with those Killing vector fields. On the contrary, since R appears with no degeneracy on the bulk, we commute with R instead. Recalling that $\underline{\omega} = \frac{1}{2}\Upsilon'$, we obtain

$$[R, e_3] = \left[\frac{1}{2}(-e_3 + \Upsilon e_4), e_3\right] = \frac{1}{2}[\Upsilon e_4, e_3] = \frac{1}{2}(\Upsilon[e_4, e_3] - e_3(\Upsilon)e_4) = \frac{1}{2}(-2\underline{\omega}\Upsilon e_4 + \Upsilon'\Upsilon e_4) = 0$$

Commuting (109) with R and applying Proposition 10.2.1 we obtain

$$\int_{\Sigma_{\text{trap}}(\tau)} |R\psi_1|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}(0, \tau)} |R\psi_1|^2 \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_{\text{trap}}(0)} |R\psi_1|^2 + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathfrak{q}](0, \tau)$$

Since $T\psi_1 = \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\mathfrak{q} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\psi_1 + R\psi_1$, we can bound $|T\psi_1|^2$ by the bulk in \mathfrak{q} and initial data. Recalling the definition of $E[\psi_1]$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}[\psi_1]$ we have obtained

$$E[\psi_1](\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}[\psi_1](0, \tau) \lesssim E[\psi_1](0) + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathfrak{q}](0, \tau)$$

A similar computation done for α gives

$$\int_{\Sigma_{\text{trap}}(\tau)} |R\alpha|^2 + |T\alpha|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}(0, \tau)} |R\alpha|^2 + |T\alpha|^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}(0, \tau)} |R\psi_1|^2 + \int_{\Sigma_{\text{trap}}(0)} |R\alpha|^2 + |T\alpha|^2$$

It only remains to control the angular derivative of α . Using Corollary A.2, we can write the laplacian of α in terms of first and zero-th order derivatives of ψ_1 , in terms of α and in terms of first null derivatives of \mathfrak{f} , which are controlled by the Morawetz bulks. Indeed, using elliptic estimates, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^2 \int_{\Sigma_{\text{trap}}(\tau)} |\nabla^i \alpha|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}(0, \tau)} |\nabla^i \alpha|^2 \lesssim \mathcal{M}_p[\mathfrak{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1, T, \nabla}[\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + \sum_{i=0}^2 \int_{\Sigma_{\text{trap}}(0)} |\nabla^i \alpha|^2$$

Commuting $\underline{\kappa}\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} = \nabla_3(r^3\underline{\kappa}\mathfrak{f})$ with R , T and $r\nabla$ and applying Proposition 10.2.1 we obtain bounds for $R\mathfrak{f}$, $RT\mathfrak{f}$ and $R\nabla\mathfrak{f}$. Since $RT\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ and $R\nabla\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ appear with no degeneracy on $\mathcal{M}_p^{1, T, \nabla}[\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau)$, we can use it to bound the desired norms of \mathfrak{f} . Observe that we can write

$$T\psi_3 = \nabla_3\psi_3 + R\psi_3 = \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\psi_3 + R\psi_3 \quad (182)$$

Commuting (182) with T , we obtain $TT\psi_3 = \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}T\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}T\psi_3 + RT\psi_3$ which therefore gives control on $TT\mathfrak{f}$ on the trapping region. Similarly, commuting (182) with $r\nabla$, we obtain $r\nabla T\psi_3 = \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}r\nabla\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}r\nabla\psi_3 + r\nabla R\psi_3$ which therefore gives control on $T\nabla\mathfrak{f}$ on the trapping region. Using Corollary A.4, we can write the laplacian of \mathfrak{f} in terms of first derivative of $\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ and zero-th order terms for \mathfrak{f} , ψ_1 , α , which are controlled by the bulk norms. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=0}^2 \int_{\Sigma_{\text{trap}}(\tau)} |\nabla^i \mathfrak{f}|^2 + \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}(0, \tau)} |\nabla^i \mathfrak{f}|^2 \lesssim \mathcal{M}_p[\mathfrak{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1, T, \nabla}[\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + \sum_{i=0}^2 \int_{\Sigma_{\text{trap}}(0)} |\nabla^i \mathfrak{f}|^2$$

This proves the desired bound in the trapping region:

In the red-shift region: In red-shift region, we can get estimates for the ∇_3 derivatives of α , ψ_1 , f again using the definitions (109). At the horizon T becomes parallel to ∇_4 , therefore in order to control the ∇_4 derivatives it suffices to commute (109) by T . By applying the divergence theorem, we get the desired bound near the horizon.

Putting together the estimates above, we prove the Proposition. \square

We shall use Proposition 10.2.1 to obtain estimates for the lower order terms in the main combined estimate (158).

10.2.2 Absorption in the far-away region

The goal of this subsection is to prove the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) + \mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}]](0, \tau) + \mathcal{I}_{p;R}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) \\ \lesssim \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + E_p[f](0) + E_p[\psi_1](0) + E_p[\alpha](0) \end{aligned} \quad (183)$$

In the far-away region, we will need to keep track of the powers of r . In particular:

$$\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}] \simeq \left[\frac{1}{r^3}\psi_1, \frac{1}{r^2}\psi_0 \right], \quad (184)$$

$$\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}], \mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \simeq \left[\frac{1}{r^3}\psi_3 \right] \quad (185)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{1+p} \left| \frac{1}{r^3}\psi_3 \right|^2 = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{-5+p} |\psi_3|^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{-3+p} |f|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which decays faster than the non-degenerate bulk norm, therefore

$$\mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) + \mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) \lesssim \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[f](0, \tau)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{p;R}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{1+p} \left| \frac{1}{r^3}\psi_3, \frac{1}{r^3}T\psi_3, \frac{1}{r^3}(r\nabla)\psi_3 \right|^2 \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{-3+p} |f|^2 + r^{-3+p} |Tf|^2 + r^{-1+p} |\nabla f|^2 \lesssim \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[f](0, \tau) \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}]](0, \tau) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{1+p} \left| \frac{1}{r^3}\psi_1, \frac{1}{r^2}\psi_0 \right|^2 = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(\tau_1,\tau_2)} r^{-5+p} |\psi_1|^2 + r^{-3+p} |\alpha|^2 \\ &\lesssim \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\psi_1](0, \tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\alpha](0, \tau) \end{aligned}$$

The above estimates give

$$\mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) + \mathcal{I}_{p;R}[\mathbf{L}_1[\mathbf{q}]](0, \tau) + \mathcal{I}_{p;R}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{L}_2[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) \lesssim \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[f](0, \tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\psi_1](0, \tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\alpha](0, \tau)$$

and using Proposition 10.2.1, we finally get (183).

10.2.3 Absorption outside the photon sphere

The goal of this subsection is to prove the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)\setminus r=r_p} \text{integrals in LHS of (180)} &\lesssim \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0,\tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0,\tau) \\ &+ E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{f}](0) + E_p[\psi_1](0) + E_p[\alpha](0) \end{aligned} \quad (186)$$

As for the coupling terms, the integrals outside the photon sphere can be easily bounded by $\mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0,\tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0,\tau)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\mathbf{f}](0,\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\psi_1](0,\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\alpha](0,\tau)$ using Cauchy-Schwarz. This can be done for all the terms in the integrals in the left hand side of (180), and using again Proposition 10.2.1, we obtain (186).

10.2.4 Absorption at the photon sphere

The goal of this subsection is to prove the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}(0,\tau)} \text{integrals in LHS of (180)} \\ \lesssim \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0,\tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0,\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) \\ + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{f}](0) + E_p[\psi_1](0) + E_p[\alpha](0) \end{aligned} \quad (187)$$

We analyze each line of the six lines of integrals on the left hand side of (180). Recall that the Morawetz bulks for the lower order terms α , ψ_1 and \mathbf{f} have no degeneracy. This simplifies the analysis with respect to the coupling terms.

First line Consider the first line of integrals in (180). This gives

$$-A \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{2}w\mathbf{q} \right) \cdot (-12\rho - 40^{(F)}\rho^2)\psi_3$$

The terms involving $R\mathbf{q}$ and \mathbf{q} can be absorbed by the Morawetz bulk in \mathbf{q} by Cauchy-Schwarz. The term involving T can be absorbed by integrating by parts in T , and $T\psi_3$ is controlled by the non-degenerate bulk norm in \mathbf{f} .

Second line Consider the second line of integrals in (180). This gives

$$-A \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{2}w\mathbf{q} \right) \cdot \left(-\frac{2}{r^2}\psi_0 - \frac{4}{r^3}\psi_1 \right)$$

The terms involving $R\mathbf{q}$ and \mathbf{q} can be again absorbed by the Morawetz bulks by Cauchy-Schwarz. For the term involving $T\mathbf{q}$, we integrate by parts in T , and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} -A \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} (\Lambda T(\mathbf{q})) \cdot (\psi_0 + \psi_1) &\lesssim A \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} (\Lambda \mathbf{q}) \cdot (T\psi_0 + T\psi_1) \\ + E_p[\alpha](\tau) + E_p[\alpha](0) + E_p[\psi_1](\tau) + E_p[\psi_1](0) &+ E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) \end{aligned}$$

We now make use of the fact that ψ_0 and ψ_1 are lower order terms with respect to \mathbf{q} . Therefore we can write

$$\begin{aligned} T\psi_0 &= \nabla_3\psi_0 + R\psi_0 = \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\psi_0 + R\psi_0, \\ T\psi_1 &= \nabla_3\psi_1 + R\psi_1 = \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\mathbf{q} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\psi_1 + R\psi_1 \end{aligned}$$

We therefore obtain, ignoring the powers of r :

$$A \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} (\Lambda\mathbf{q}) \cdot (T\psi_0 + T\psi_1) = A \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} (\Lambda\mathbf{q}) \cdot (\psi_1 + \psi_0 + R\psi_0 + \mathbf{q} + R\psi_1)$$

The term given by $\psi_1 + \psi_0 + \mathbf{q}$ can be bounded by the Morawetz bulks directly. The terms of the form $\mathbf{q} \cdot (R\psi_0 + R\psi_1)$ can be bounded by integrating per parts in R , using a cut-off function $\chi(r)$, and therefore using the Morawetz bulk in \mathbf{q} which is non-degenerate for $R\mathbf{q}$.

Third line Consider the third line of integrals in (180). This gives

$$-B \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \left((r - r_P)R(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}w\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{4}{r^3}\psi_3 \right)$$

Each term can directly be bounded by the Morawetz bulks and the non-degenerate bulk norm.

Fourth line Consider the fourth line of integrals in (180). This gives

$$-C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \left((r - r_P)R(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}wT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot T \left(\frac{4}{r^3}\psi_3 \right)$$

The terms involving $R(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}})$ and $T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ can be absorbed by the Morawetz bulk in $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ by Cauchy-Schwarz. For the terms involving $TT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, we can use the fact that ψ_3 is a lower order term with respect to $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$. Using (182), we obtain a term of this form

$$-C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} (\Lambda T(T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}})) \cdot (\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \psi_3 + R\psi_3)$$

Integrating by parts in T the first product, we obtain $\int |T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, which is bounded by the Morawetz bulk. Integrating by parts in T the second product, we obtain $\int T\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot T\psi_3$, which can be bounded by Morawetz bulks. Finally, integrating by parts in R and in T the last product, we obtain $\int RT\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot T\psi_3$, which can be bounded in the same way. In the integrating by parts process we pick up some energies as boundary terms.

Fifth line Consider the fifth line of integrals in (180). This gives

$$-D \int_{\mathcal{M}_{trap}} \left((r - r_P)R(r\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T(r\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}wr\nabla_A\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot r\nabla_A \left(\frac{4}{r^3}\psi_3 \right)$$

As above, the terms involving $R\nabla\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ and $\nabla\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ can be bounded by the Morawetz bulk using Cauchy-Schwarz. For the term involving $T\nabla\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ we perform integration by parts in T and ∇ :

$$\begin{aligned}
-D \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} (\Lambda T(r\nabla_A \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}})) \cdot r\nabla_A \left(\frac{4}{r^3} \psi_3 \right) &\lesssim D \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} (\Lambda(r\nabla_A \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}})) \cdot rT\nabla_A \left(\frac{4}{r^3} \psi_3 \right) \\
&\quad + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{f}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{f}](0) \\
&\lesssim -D \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} (\Lambda(r^2\nabla_A^2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}})) \cdot T \left(\frac{4}{r^3} \psi_3 \right) \\
&\quad + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{f}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{f}](0)
\end{aligned}$$

We write $T\psi_3 = \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\psi_3 + R\psi_3$ and therefore obtain

$$-D \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} (\Lambda(r^2\nabla_A^2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}})) \cdot T(\psi_3) = -D \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} (\Lambda(r^2\nabla_A^2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}})) \cdot (\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \psi_3 + R\psi_3)$$

Integrating by parts in $r\nabla$ the first product, we obtain $\int |(r\nabla)\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}|^2$, which is bounded by the Morawetz bulk. Integrating by parts in $r\nabla$ the second product, we obtain $\int r\nabla\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot r\nabla\psi_3$, which can be bounded by Morawetz bulks. Finally, integrating by parts in ∇ and in R the last product, we obtain $\int R\nabla\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \cdot \nabla\psi_3$, which can be bounded in the same way.

Sixth line Consider the sixth line of integrals in (180). This gives

$$-C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \Lambda(-\Upsilon Q^2 \left(\frac{4}{r^3} \psi_3 \right)) \cdot T \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right) + C \int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}} \frac{1}{2} w(-Q^2 \Upsilon \left(\frac{4}{r^3} \psi_3 \right)) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{q} \right)$$

Integrating the first term by parts in T , we can write it as $\int T\psi_3 \cdot \mathbf{q}$ which can be bounded by the Morawetz bulks and non-degenerate bulk. The second term can be bounded using Cauchy-Schwarz by the Morawetz bulks.

To summarize all the cases above we obtained

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{trap}}(0,\tau)} \text{integrals in LHS of (180)} &\lesssim \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0,\tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0,\tau) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) \\
&\quad + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{f}](0) + E_p[\psi_1](0) + E_p[\alpha](0) \\
&\quad + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\mathbf{f}](0,\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\alpha](0,\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p[\psi_1](0,\tau) \\
&\quad + E_p[\alpha](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{f}](\tau) + E_p[\psi_1](\tau)
\end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 10.2.1, we finally proved (186).

Putting together the estimates for the lower order terms in the far away region, outside the photon sphere and at the photon sphere, we obtain the proof of estimate (180).

11 Proof of the Main Theorem

We prove here the Main Theorem for spin +2.

11.1 Proof of estimate (118)

We derive here estimate (118) for the system.

Recall estimate (158) which depends on positive constants A, B, C, D . Pick A, C and D verifying conditions (178). Recalling the definition of $\mathbf{M}_1[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ and $\mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$ in (159), then estimate (158) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+,p}[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+,p}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \\ & + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \\ \lesssim & E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) + Q (\text{LHS of (165)} + \text{LHS of (180)}) \end{aligned}$$

By estimate (165) and (180), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{H}^+}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+,p}[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + E_{\mathcal{I}^+,p}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \\ & + \mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) \\ \lesssim & E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) \\ & + Q \left(\mathcal{M}_p[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + E_p[\mathbf{q}](\tau) \right. \\ & \left. + E_p[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) + E_p[\mathbf{f}](0) + E_p[\psi_1](0) + E_p[\alpha](0) \right) \end{aligned}$$

For $Q \ll M$ we can absorb the second line of the right hand side of the above estimate on the left hand side, and finally obtain (118).

11.2 Proof of estimate (119)

Combining Proposition 10.2.1 and estimate (118), we immediately obtain (119).

11.3 Proof of estimates (120) and (121)

Here we extend the above weighted estimates to higher order.

We first derive higher order transport estimates for the lower order terms. We can revisit the proof of Proposition 10.2.1, commuting first (109) k times with T and $r\nabla$. We will then obtain the equivalent higher order estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} & E_p^{k,T,\nabla}[\alpha](\tau) + E_p^{k,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](\tau) + E_p^{k+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](\tau) \\ & + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{k,T,\nabla}[\alpha](0, \tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{k,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](0, \tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{k+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](0, \tau) \\ \lesssim & \mathcal{M}_p^{k,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](0, \tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{k+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau) + E_p^{k,T,\nabla}[\alpha](0) + E_p^{k,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](0) + E_p^{k+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](0) \end{aligned} \tag{188}$$

We now derive the higher order estimates for \mathbf{q} and $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$. We apply Corollary 9.5 to

1. $\Psi = \mathbf{q}$, $n = k$, $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_1[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$,
2. $\Psi = \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, $n = k + 1$, $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]$,

We sum the resulting estimates, allowing multiplication by positive constants. We therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_p^{k,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p^{k+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{k,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](0,\tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{k+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0,\tau) \\
& \lesssim E_p^{k,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{k+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) \\
& + \mathcal{L}_{p;R}^{k,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{M}_1[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0,\tau) + \mathcal{L}_{p;R}^{k+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0,\tau) \\
& - \sum_{i+j \leq k} \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R((T^i)(r\nabla)^j \mathbf{q}) + \Lambda T((T^i)(r\nabla)^j \mathbf{q}) + \frac{1}{2}w(T^i)(r\nabla)^j \mathbf{q} \right) \cdot (T^i)(r\nabla)^j \mathbf{M}_1[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}] \\
& - \sum_{i+j \leq k+1} \int_{\mathcal{M}(0,\tau)} \left((r - r_P)R((T^i)(r\nabla)^j \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \Lambda T((T^i)(r\nabla)^j \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \frac{1}{2}w(T^i)(r\nabla)^j \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \cdot (T^i)(r\nabla)^j \mathbf{M}_2[\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}]
\end{aligned}$$

The absorption follows a very similar pattern than the estimates for the coupling terms and the lower order terms analyzed in detail in Sections 10.1 and 10.2, making use of the higher order terms transport estimates (188). This proves (120).

Combining (188) and (120) we obtain (121).

11.4 Proof of estimate (122)

We combine the r^p -weighted hierarchy estimates derived above to obtain polynomial decay of the energy.

We sum (120) and (121) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\alpha](\tau) + E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](\tau) + E_p^{n+1,T}[\mathbf{f}](\tau) + E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) \\
& + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\alpha](0,\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](0,\tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_p^{n+1,T}[\mathbf{f}](0,\tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](0,\tau) + \mathcal{M}_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0,\tau) \quad (189) \\
& \lesssim E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\alpha](0) + E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](0) + E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](0) + E_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0)
\end{aligned}$$

Estimating the degenerate Morawetz bulks by the higher order non-degenerate bulks we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\alpha](\tau) + E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](\tau) + E_p^{n+1,T}[\mathbf{f}](\tau) + E_p^{n,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) \\
& \lesssim E_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\alpha](0) + E_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](0) + E_p^{n+1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](0) + E_p^{n+2,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](0) + E_p^{n+2,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) \quad (190)
\end{aligned}$$

We denote the right hand side of estimate (190) by $\mathbb{D}_{n+1,p}[\alpha, \psi_1, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0)$.

Applying (190) for $n = 1$ and $p = 2 - \delta$ implies along a dyadic sequence τ_n , of the form $\tau_n = 2\tau_{n-1}$ the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{1-\delta}^{1,T,\nabla}[\alpha](\tau_n) + E_{1-\delta}^{1,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](\tau_n) + E_{1-\delta}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](\tau_n) + E_{1-\delta}^{2,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](\tau_n) + E_{1-\delta}^{2,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau_n) \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau_n} \mathbb{D}_{2,2-\delta}[\alpha, \psi_1, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above and applying (189) for $p = 1 - \delta$ and $n = 1$ between the time τ_n and any $\tau \in (\tau_n, \tau_{n+1})$ yields the previous estimate for any τ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& E_{1-\delta}^{1,T,\nabla}[\alpha](\tau) + E_{1-\delta}^{1,T,\nabla}[\psi_1](\tau) + E_{1-\delta}^{1,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}](\tau) + E_{1-\delta}^{2,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{f}](\tau) + E_{1-\delta}^{2,T,\nabla}[\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau) \\
& \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau} \mathbb{D}_{2,2-\delta}[\alpha, \psi_1, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0)
\end{aligned}$$

Turning back to (190) with $n = 0$ and $p = 1 - \delta$, along a dyadic sequence and using the previous estimate, we can produce the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & E_\delta[\alpha](\tau_n) + E_\delta[\psi_1](\tau_n) + E_\delta^{1,T,\nabla}[f](\tau_n) + E_\delta[q](\tau_n) + E_\delta^{1,T,\nabla}[q^{\mathbf{F}}](\tau_n) \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{\tau_n^{2-\delta}} \mathbb{D}_{2,2-\delta}[\alpha, \psi_1, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}](0) \end{aligned}$$

Using the above and applying (189) with $p = \delta$ and $n = 0$ between the time τ_n and any $\tau \in (\tau_n, \tau_{n+1}]$, yields the previous estimate for any τ , therefore proving (122).

11.5 The case spin -2

We will outline here the proof of the case spin -2 , remarking the differences with the proof of Main Theorem. As remarked in Section 9 and Section 10.1, the separated estimates and the estimates for the coupling terms are identical in the case of spin -2 . The first main difference is in the derivation of Proposition 10.2.1, for transport estimates. Indeed, in the case of spin -2 , the transport estimates have to be integrated along the e_4 direction, with a consequent loss of decay in r in the equivalent of Lemma 10.2.1. We show the version of the Lemma in spin -2 .

Lemma 11.5.1. *Let Φ_1 and Φ_2 be two symmetric traceless 2-tensor which verify the differential relation*

$$\nabla_4(r^n \kappa^m \Phi_1) = \kappa \Phi_2 \quad (191)$$

for n, m integers. Then, in the far away region, for all $l > 0$

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{2n-2m-l-3} |\Phi_1|^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0,\tau)} r^{-l-3} |\Phi_2|^2$$

Proof. From (191), we obtain

$$\nabla_4(r^{2n} \kappa^{2m} |\Phi_1|^2) = 2r^n \kappa^{m+1} \Phi_1 \Phi_2$$

Multiplying by r^{-l-2} for $l > 0$ and recalling that $\nabla_4 r = \frac{1}{2} r \kappa$ and $\kappa \geq 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_4(r^{2n} \kappa^{2m} |\Phi_1|^2 \cdot r^{-l-2}) + \left(1 + \frac{l}{2}\right) r^{2n-l-2} \kappa^{2m+1} |\Phi_1|^2 &= 2r^{n-l-2} \kappa^{m+1} \Phi_1 \Phi_2 \\ &\leq \frac{l}{4} r^{2n-l-2} \kappa^{2m+1} |\Phi_1|^2 + \frac{4}{l} r^{-l-2} \kappa |\Phi_2|^2 \end{aligned}$$

giving

$$\nabla_4(r^{2n} \kappa^{2m} |\Phi_1|^2 \cdot r^{-l-2}) + \left(1 + \frac{l}{4}\right) r^{2n-l-2} \kappa^{2m+1} |\Phi_1|^2 \leq \frac{4}{l} r^{-l-2} \kappa |\Phi_2|^2$$

Since $\text{div } e_4 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \pi^{(4)} = \kappa$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{div} (r^{2n-l-2} \kappa^{2m} |\Phi_1|^2 e_4) + \left(1 + \frac{l}{4}\right) r^{2n-l-2} \kappa^{2m+1} |\Phi_1|^2 \\ &= \nabla_4(r^{2n} \kappa^{2m} |\Phi_1|^2 \cdot r^{-l-2}) + \left(1 + \frac{l}{4}\right) r^{2n-l-2} \kappa^{2m+1} |\Phi_1|^2 + r^{2n-l-2} \kappa^{2m} |\Phi_1|^2 \text{div } e_4 \\ &\leq \frac{4}{l} r^{-l-2} \kappa |\Phi_2|^2 + r^{2n-l-2} \kappa^{2m+1} |\Phi_1|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which therefore gives

$$\operatorname{div} (r^{2n-l-2} \kappa^{2m} |\Phi_1|^2 e_4) + \frac{l}{4} r^{2n-l-2} \kappa^{2m+1} |\Phi_1|^2 \leq \frac{4}{l} r^{-l-2} \kappa |\Phi_2|^2$$

Integrating the above inequality on $\mathcal{M}_{far}(0, \tau)$ and using divergence theorem on the left hand side (recalling that e_4 is normal to Σ_{far} , we obtain:

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0, \tau)} r^{2n-2m-l-3} |\Phi_1|^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0, \tau)} r^{-l-3} |\Phi_2|^2$$

where we allow for a constant on the right hand side depending on R_0 . \square

Using the above Lemma for the spin -2 lower order quantities $\underline{\alpha}$, $\underline{\psi}_1$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$, we have a loss of decay in r for the spin -2 quantities. Indeed, by (110), $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbf{F}}$ verify relation (191) with $n = 3$, $m = 1$. Since for $l > 0$, $r^{-3-l} \leq r^{-3+p}$, we get for $l = \delta$:

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0, \tau)} r^{1-\delta} |\underline{\mathfrak{f}}|^2 \lesssim \mathcal{M}_p^{1, T, \nabla}[\underline{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbf{F}}](0, \tau)$$

By (110), $\underline{\psi}_1$ and $\underline{\mathfrak{q}}$ verify relation (191) with $n = 1$, $m = 0$. As before, for $l = \delta$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0, \tau)} r^{-1-\delta} |\underline{\psi}_1|^2 \lesssim \mathcal{M}_p[\underline{\mathfrak{q}}](0, \tau)$$

By (110), $\underline{\alpha}$ and $\underline{\psi}_1$ verify relation (191) with $n = 3$, $m = 2$. As before, for $l = \delta$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0, \tau)} r^{-1-\delta} |\underline{\alpha}|^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0, \tau)} r^{-1-\delta} |\underline{\psi}_1|^2$$

The above bounds motivate the definitions of energies for the negative spin case. To bound the higher derivatives, we follow a similar pattern as in proof of Proposition 10.2.1.

The absorption for the lower order terms differ from the case of spin $+2$ only in the far-away region. Observe that

$$\mathcal{I}_{p; R}[\mathbf{L}_1[\underline{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0, \tau)} r^{-3+p} |\underline{\mathfrak{f}}|^2$$

which still decays faster than the non-degenerate bulk norm for $\underline{\mathfrak{f}}$. Similarly,

$$\mathcal{I}_{p; R}^{1, T, \nabla}[\mathbf{L}_2[\underline{\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbf{F}}]](0, \tau) = \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(0, \tau)} r^{-3+p} |\underline{\mathfrak{f}}|^2 + r^{-3+p} |T\underline{\mathfrak{f}}|^2 + r^{-1+p} |\nabla \underline{\mathfrak{f}}|^2 \lesssim \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\delta[\underline{\mathfrak{f}}](0, \tau)$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{p; R}[\mathbf{L}_1[\underline{\mathfrak{q}}]](0, \tau) &= \int_{\mathcal{M}_{far}(\tau_1, \tau_2)} r^{-5+p} |\underline{\psi}_1|^2 + r^{-3+p} |\underline{\alpha}|^2 \\ &\lesssim \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\delta[\underline{\psi}_1](0, \tau) + \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_\delta[\underline{\alpha}](0, \tau) \end{aligned}$$

The absorption for the lower order terms in the trapping region and in the bounded redshift region, where powers of r do not matter, is identical to the spin $+2$ case. Putting all this together we prove the Main Theorem for spin -2 .

A Derivation of the generalized Teukolsky system

The derivation of the equations below were derived in [24] in the context of axially symmetric polarized perturbations. Here, the computations are done in full generality, with no gauge assumption or symmetry. To derive them we will use the equations in Section 4.

A.1 Preliminaries

We compute the wave operator $\square_{\mathbf{g}}$, where \mathbf{g} is a linear perturbation of the Reissner-Nordström metric, applied to a n -tensor Ψ . In particular, for \mathbf{g} the Ricci coefficients and curvature components $\widehat{\chi}, \widehat{\underline{\chi}}, \eta, \underline{\eta}, \zeta, \underline{\xi}, \underline{\xi}$ and $\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \underline{\beta}, \underline{\alpha}, {}^{(F)}\beta, {}^{(F)}\sigma, {}^{(F)}\underline{\beta}$ are $O(\epsilon)$. We will keep this in mind while performing the computations at the linear level.

Lemma A.1.1. *The wave operator of a p -rank S -tensor Ψ is given by*

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}}\Psi = -\nabla_3\nabla_4\Psi + \underline{\Delta}_p\Psi + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega}\right)\nabla_4\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\nabla_3\Psi + 2\underline{\eta}^C\nabla_C\Psi, \quad (192)$$

$$= -\nabla_4\nabla_3\Psi + \underline{\Delta}_p\Psi + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa + 2\omega\right)\nabla_3\Psi - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4\Psi + 2\underline{\eta}^C\nabla_C\Psi \quad (193)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\Upsilon}TT\Psi + \frac{1}{\Upsilon}RR\Psi + \underline{\Delta}_p\Psi + \frac{2}{r}R\Psi \quad (194)$$

where $\underline{\Delta}_n\Psi = \mathbf{g}^{CD}\nabla_C\nabla_D\Psi$ is the Laplacian for p -tensors.

In the derivation of the equations, we will rescale the quantities using powers of r and $\underline{\kappa}$. We collect here an useful general lemma.

Lemma A.1.2. *Consider a rescaled tensor $r^n\underline{\kappa}^m\Psi$, for n and m two numbers. Then*

$$r^n\underline{\kappa}^m\nabla_3(\Psi) = \nabla_3(r^n\underline{\kappa}^m\Psi) + \left(\frac{m-n}{2}\underline{\kappa} + 2m\underline{\omega}\right)r^n\underline{\kappa}^m\Psi, \quad (195)$$

$$r^n\underline{\kappa}^m\nabla_4(\Psi) = \nabla_4(r^n\underline{\kappa}^m\Psi) + \left(\frac{m-n}{2}\kappa - 2m\underline{\omega} - 2m\rho\underline{\kappa}^{-1}\right)r^n\underline{\kappa}^m\Psi \quad (196)$$

Moreover, it verifies the following wave equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{\mathbf{g}}(r^n\underline{\kappa}^m\Psi) &= \left(-\frac{n(n+1) + m(m-1) - 2nm}{4}\kappa\underline{\kappa} + m(m-n-1)\omega\underline{\kappa} + (m^2 + 2m - n - nm)\rho + 2m{}^{(F)}\rho^2\right. \\ &\quad \left.- m(m-n-1)\underline{\omega}\kappa + 4m(m+1)\omega\underline{\omega} + 4m^2\rho\underline{\omega}\kappa^{-1} - 2m\nabla_3\omega\right)r^n\underline{\kappa}^m\Psi + r^n\underline{\kappa}^m\square_{\mathbf{g}}(\Psi) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{m-n}{2}\underline{\kappa} + 2m\underline{\omega}\right)r^n\underline{\kappa}^m\nabla_4(\Psi) + \left(\frac{m-n}{2}\kappa - 2m\underline{\omega} - 2m\rho\underline{\kappa}^{-1}\right)r^n\underline{\kappa}^m\nabla_3(\Psi) \end{aligned}$$

We collect here the commutation formulas with the wave operator $\square_{\mathbf{g}}$ and the angular operators.

Lemma A.1.3. *Let Ψ be a symmetric traceless 2-tensor, Φ be a 1-form and ϕ a scalar function. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (-r\mathcal{P}_1\underline{\Delta}_1 + \underline{\Delta}_0r\mathcal{P}_1)\Phi &= -Kr\mathcal{P}_1\Phi, & (-r\mathcal{P}_1^*\underline{\Delta}_0 + \underline{\Delta}_1r\mathcal{P}_1^*)\phi &= Kr\mathcal{P}_1^*\phi \\ (-r\mathcal{P}_2\underline{\Delta}_2 + \underline{\Delta}_1r\mathcal{P}_2)\Psi &= -3Kr\mathcal{P}_2\Psi, & (-r\mathcal{P}_2^*\underline{\Delta}_1 + \underline{\Delta}_2r\mathcal{P}_2^*)\Phi &= 3Kr\mathcal{P}_2^*\Phi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (-r \mathcal{D}_1 \square_1 + \square_0 r \mathcal{D}_1) \Phi &= -Kr \mathcal{D}_1 \Phi, & (-r \mathcal{D}_1^* \square_0 + \square_1 r \mathcal{D}_1^*) \phi &= Kr \mathcal{D}_1^* \phi \\ (-r \mathcal{D}_2 \square_2 + \square_1 r \mathcal{D}_2) \Psi &= -3Kr \mathcal{D}_2 \Psi, & (-r \mathcal{D}_2^* \square_1 + \square_2 r \mathcal{D}_2^*) \Phi &= 3Kr \mathcal{D}_2^* \Phi \end{aligned}$$

A.2 Spin ± 1 Teukolsky equations

In what follows, we treat the case of spin +1 Teukolsky equations. The equivalent equations for spin -1 are obtained in a similar way.

A.2.1 Spin +1 Teukolsky equation for ${}^{(F)}\beta$

We derive here the spin +1 Teukolsky equation for the electromagnetic component ${}^{(F)}\beta$. Notice that ${}^{(F)}\beta$ is a gauge-dependent quantity, so is its wave equation.

Proposition A.2.1. *[Spin +1 Teukolsky equation for ${}^{(F)}\beta$] Let \mathcal{S} be a linear gravitational and electromagnetic perturbation around Reissner-Nordström. Consider the (gauge-dependent) curvature component ${}^{(F)}\beta$, which is part of the solution \mathcal{S} . Then ${}^{(F)}\beta$ satisfies the following equation:*

$$\begin{aligned} \square_g {}^{(F)}\beta &= -2\omega \nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\beta + (\kappa + 2\omega) \nabla_3 {}^{(F)}\beta + \left(\frac{1}{4} \kappa \underline{\kappa} + \omega \underline{\kappa} - 3\omega \kappa + {}^{(F)}\rho^2 - 2\nabla_4 \omega \right) {}^{(F)}\beta \\ &\quad + {}^{(F)}\rho (2\text{div} \hat{\chi} + 4\beta - 2\nabla_3 \xi + (\underline{\kappa} + 8\omega)\xi) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying ∇_4 to (81), and using (74), (70) and (84), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_4 \nabla_3 {}^{(F)}\beta &= - \left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla_4 \underline{\kappa} - 2\nabla_4 \omega \right) {}^{(F)}\beta - \left(\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} - 2\omega \right) \nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\beta - \nabla_4 \mathcal{D}_1^* ({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma) + 2\nabla_4 \eta {}^{(F)}\rho + 2\eta \nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\rho \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{4} \kappa \underline{\kappa} - \omega \underline{\kappa} - \rho - 2 {}^{(F)}\rho^2 + 2\nabla_4 \omega \right) {}^{(F)}\beta + \left(-\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} + 2\omega \right) \nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\beta - \nabla_4 \mathcal{D}_1^* ({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma) \\ &\quad + {}^{(F)}\rho (-2\beta - 3\kappa\eta + \kappa\underline{\eta} + 2\nabla_3 \xi - 8\omega\xi) \end{aligned}$$

We simplify the term $\nabla_4 \mathcal{D}_1^* ({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma)$ using the commutation formulas (11). Using (84), (83) and (86) and using that $\mathcal{D}_1^*(\text{div}, \text{curl}) = -\underline{\Delta}_1 + K$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_4 \mathcal{D}_1^* ({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma) &= \mathcal{D}_1^* (-\kappa {}^{(F)}\rho + \text{div} {}^{(F)}\beta, -\kappa {}^{(F)}\sigma + \text{curl} {}^{(F)}\beta) - \frac{1}{2} \kappa \mathcal{D}_1^* ({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma) \\ &\quad - (\underline{\eta} + \zeta) (-\kappa {}^{(F)}\rho) - \xi (-\underline{\kappa} {}^{(F)}\rho) \\ &= \nabla (\kappa) {}^{(F)}\rho + \mathcal{D}_1^* (\text{div} {}^{(F)}\beta, \text{curl} {}^{(F)}\beta) - \frac{3}{2} \kappa \mathcal{D}_1^* ({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma) + \kappa (\underline{\eta} + \zeta) {}^{(F)}\rho + \underline{\kappa} \xi {}^{(F)}\rho \\ &= \nabla (\kappa) {}^{(F)}\rho + (-\underline{\Delta}_1 {}^{(F)}\beta + K {}^{(F)}\beta) - \frac{3}{2} \kappa \mathcal{D}_1^* ({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma) + \kappa (\underline{\eta} + \zeta) {}^{(F)}\rho + \underline{\kappa} \xi {}^{(F)}\rho \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $\mathcal{D}_1^* ({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma)$ using again (81) and using (76) and (80), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_4 \nabla_3 {}^{(F)}\beta &= \left(-\frac{1}{4} \kappa \underline{\kappa} - \omega \underline{\kappa} + 3\omega \kappa - {}^{(F)}\rho^2 + 2\nabla_4 \omega \right) {}^{(F)}\beta + \left(-\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} + 2\omega \right) \nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\beta - \frac{3}{2} \kappa \nabla_3 {}^{(F)}\beta + \underline{\Delta}_1 {}^{(F)}\beta \\ &\quad + {}^{(F)}\rho (-2\text{div} \hat{\chi} - 4\beta + 2\nabla_3 \xi - \underline{\kappa} \xi - 8\omega \xi) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using Lemma A.1.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Box_{\mathbf{g}} {}^{(F)}\beta &= -\left(\left(-\frac{1}{4}\kappa\underline{\kappa} - \omega\underline{\kappa} + 3\underline{\omega}\kappa - {}^{(F)}\rho^2 + 2\underline{\nabla}_4\underline{\omega} \right) {}^{(F)}\beta + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega} \right) \nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\beta - \frac{3}{2}\kappa\underline{\nabla}_3 {}^{(F)}\beta + \underline{\Delta}_1 {}^{(F)}\beta \right. \\
&\quad \left. + {}^{(F)}\rho (-2\underline{\text{d}}\text{iv}\widehat{\chi} - 4\beta + 2\underline{\nabla}_3\xi - \underline{\kappa}\xi - 8\underline{\omega}\xi) \right) + \underline{\Delta}_1 {}^{(F)}\beta + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa + 2\underline{\omega} \right) \nabla_3 {}^{(F)}\beta - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\beta \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{4}\kappa\underline{\kappa} + \omega\underline{\kappa} - 3\underline{\omega}\kappa + {}^{(F)}\rho^2 - 2\underline{\nabla}_4\underline{\omega} \right) {}^{(F)}\beta - 2\underline{\omega}\nabla_4 {}^{(F)}\beta + (\kappa + 2\underline{\omega}) \nabla_3 {}^{(F)}\beta \\
&\quad + {}^{(F)}\rho (2\underline{\text{d}}\text{iv}\widehat{\chi} + 4\beta - 2\underline{\nabla}_3\xi + \underline{\kappa}\xi + 8\underline{\omega}\xi)
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

A.3 Spin ± 2 Teukolsky equations

In this section, we derive the case of spin $+2$ Teukolsky equations. The equivalent equations for spin -2 are obtained in a similar way.

A.3.1 Spin $+2$ Teukolsky equation for α

We derive here the spin $+2$ Teukolsky equation for the gauge independent curvature component α . This generalizes the celebrated Teukolsky equation in Schwarzschild.

Proposition A.3.1. *[Generalized spin $+2$ Teukolsky equation for α] Let \mathcal{S} be a linear gravitational and electromagnetic perturbation around Reissner-Nordström. Consider the gauge-invariant curvature component α , which is part of the solution \mathcal{S} . Then α satisfies the following equation:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Box_{\mathbf{g}}\alpha &= -4\underline{\omega}\nabla_4\alpha + (2\kappa + 4\underline{\omega}) \nabla_3\alpha + \left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa\underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} - 10\underline{\omega}\kappa - 8\underline{\omega}\underline{\omega} - 4\underline{\nabla}_4\underline{\omega} - 4\rho + 4 {}^{(F)}\rho^2 \right) \alpha \\
&\quad + 4 {}^{(F)}\rho \left(\nabla_4\mathfrak{f} + (\kappa + 2\underline{\omega})\mathfrak{f} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Applying ∇_4 to (87), we obtain

$$\nabla_4\nabla_3\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_4\underline{\kappa}\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4\alpha + 4\underline{\nabla}_4\underline{\omega}\alpha + 4\underline{\omega}\nabla_4\alpha - 2\underline{\nabla}_4(\mathcal{P}_2^*\beta) - 3\underline{\nabla}_4\widehat{\chi}\rho - 3\widehat{\chi}\nabla_4\rho - 2\underline{\nabla}_4 {}^{(F)}\rho\mathfrak{f} - 2 {}^{(F)}\rho\nabla_4\mathfrak{f}$$

and using (71), (63), (94) and (84), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_4\nabla_3\alpha &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa\underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} + 2\rho \right) \alpha - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4\alpha + 4\underline{\nabla}_4\underline{\omega}\alpha + 4\underline{\omega}\nabla_4\alpha - 2\underline{\nabla}_4(\mathcal{P}_2^*\beta) \\
&\quad - 3 \left(-(\kappa + 2\underline{\omega})\widehat{\chi} - 2\mathcal{P}_2^*\xi - \alpha \right) \rho - 3\widehat{\chi} \left(-\frac{3}{2}\kappa\rho - \kappa {}^{(F)}\rho^2 \right) - 2 \left(-\kappa {}^{(F)}\rho \right) \mathfrak{f} - 2 {}^{(F)}\rho\nabla_4\mathfrak{f} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{4}\kappa\underline{\kappa} - \omega\underline{\kappa} + 2\rho + 4\underline{\nabla}_4\underline{\omega} \right) \alpha - \left(\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa} - 4\underline{\omega} \right) \nabla_4\alpha - 2\underline{\nabla}_4(\mathcal{P}_2^*\beta) + 3\rho \left(\left(\frac{5}{2}\kappa + 2\underline{\omega} \right) \widehat{\chi} + 2\mathcal{P}_2^*\xi \right) \\
&\quad + {}^{(F)}\rho \left(3\kappa {}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi} - 2\underline{\nabla}_4\mathfrak{f} + 2\kappa\mathfrak{f} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the commutation formula (62) and Bianchi identity (92), and the identity $\mathcal{D}_2^* \mathfrak{d}iv \alpha = (-\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A} + K)\alpha$, we simplify the term $\nabla_4(\mathcal{D}_2^* \beta)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_4(\mathcal{D}_2^* \beta) &= \mathcal{D}_2^*(\nabla_4 \beta) - \frac{1}{2}\kappa \mathcal{D}_2^* \beta \\ &= \left(-\frac{5}{2}\kappa - 2\omega\right) \mathcal{D}_2^* \beta + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{A} + K\right) \alpha + 3\rho \mathcal{D}_2^* \xi + {}^{(F)}\rho \left(\nabla_4(\mathcal{D}_2^* {}^{(F)}\beta) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa + 2\omega\right) \mathcal{D}_2^* {}^{(F)}\beta - 2 {}^{(F)}\rho \mathcal{D}_2^* \xi\right) \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma A.1.1, and using (87) to substitute $-2\mathcal{D}_2^* \beta = \nabla_3 \alpha + (\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa} - 4\underline{\omega})\alpha + 3\rho\widehat{\chi} + 2 {}^{(F)}\rho\mathfrak{f}$ and the definition of \mathfrak{f} to substitute $\mathcal{D}_2^* {}^{(F)}\beta = \mathfrak{f} - {}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi}$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{\mathbf{g}} \alpha &= \left(-\frac{3}{4}\kappa\underline{\kappa} + \omega\underline{\kappa} - 4\rho + 2 {}^{(F)}\rho^2 - 4\nabla_4\underline{\omega}\right) \alpha - 4\underline{\omega} \nabla_4 \alpha + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa + 2\omega\right) \nabla_3 \alpha \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{5}{2}\kappa + 2\omega\right) \left(\nabla_3 \alpha + \left(\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa} - 4\underline{\omega}\right) \alpha + 3\rho\widehat{\chi} + 2 {}^{(F)}\rho\mathfrak{f}\right) - 3\rho \left(\frac{5}{2}\kappa + 2\omega\right) \widehat{\chi} \\ &\quad + {}^{(F)}\rho \left(2\nabla_4 \left(\mathfrak{f} - {}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi}\right) + (\kappa + 4\omega) \left(\mathfrak{f} - {}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi}\right) - 4 {}^{(F)}\rho \mathcal{D}_2^* \xi - 3\kappa {}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi} + 2\nabla_4 \mathfrak{f} - 2\kappa\mathfrak{f}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa\underline{\kappa} + 2\omega\underline{\kappa} - 10\underline{\omega}\kappa - 8\omega\underline{\omega} - 4\rho + 4 {}^{(F)}\rho^2 - 4\nabla_4\underline{\omega}\right) \alpha - 4\underline{\omega} \nabla_4 \alpha + (2\kappa + 4\omega) \nabla_3 \alpha \\ &\quad + 4 {}^{(F)}\rho (\nabla_4 \mathfrak{f} + (\kappa + 2\omega) \mathfrak{f}) \end{aligned}$$

where we used (25). We get the desired equation. \square

Corollary A.1. *The derived quantity $\psi_0 = r^2 \underline{\kappa}^2 \alpha$ verifies the following wave equation:*

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}} \psi_0 = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa\underline{\kappa} - 4\rho + 4 {}^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \psi_0 + \frac{1}{r} (2\kappa\underline{\kappa} - 4\rho) \psi_1 + 4 {}^{(F)}\rho \left(\underline{\kappa} \nabla_4(\psi_3) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa\underline{\kappa} - 2\rho\right) \psi_3\right)$$

Proof. Using Lemma A.1.2 and Proposition A.3.1, we obtain the desired equation. \square

Corollary A.2. *The Teukolsky equation for α can be rewritten as*

$$\mathbb{A}_2 \psi_0 = \frac{1}{r} \underline{\kappa} \nabla_4 \psi_1 + \frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{3}{2}\kappa\underline{\kappa} - 2\rho\right) \psi_1 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa\underline{\kappa} - 5\rho + 4 {}^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \psi_0 + 4 {}^{(F)}\rho \left(\underline{\kappa} \nabla_4(\psi_3) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa\underline{\kappa} - 2\rho\right) \psi_3\right)$$

Proof. We have

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}} \psi_0 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa\underline{\kappa} - 2\rho\right) \frac{1}{r} \psi_1 - \frac{1}{r} \underline{\kappa} \nabla_4 \psi_1 + \rho \psi_0 + \mathbb{A}_2 \psi_0 \quad (197)$$

Using Corollary A.1, we obtain the desired formula. \square

A.3.2 Spin +2 Teukolsky equation for \mathfrak{f}

We derive here the spin +2 Teukolsky equation for the gauge independent curvature component \mathfrak{f} , which is part of the generalized system.

Proposition A.3.2. *[Generalized spin +2 Teukolsky equation for \mathfrak{f}] Let \mathcal{S} be a linear gravitational and electromagnetic perturbation around Reissner-Nordström. Consider the gauge-invariant curvature component $\mathfrak{f} = \mathcal{P}_2^*(F)\beta + {}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi}$, which is part of the solution \mathcal{S} . Then \mathfrak{f} satisfies the following equation:*

$$\begin{aligned}\square_{\mathbf{g}}(r\mathfrak{f}) &= -2\underline{\omega}\nabla_4(r\mathfrak{f}) + (\underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega})\nabla_3(r\mathfrak{f}) + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 3\rho - 3\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} + \omega\underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\nabla}_4\underline{\omega}\right)(r\mathfrak{f}) \\ &\quad + r{}^{(F)}\rho(-\nabla_3\alpha - (\underline{\kappa} - 4\underline{\omega})\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition of \mathfrak{f} , we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_3(\mathfrak{f}) + (\underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega})\mathfrak{f} &= \nabla_3(\mathcal{P}_2^*(F)\beta + {}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi}) + (\underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega})(\mathcal{P}_2^*(F)\beta + {}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi}) \\ &= \mathcal{P}_2^*\nabla_3(F)\beta - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\mathcal{P}_2^*(F)\beta + \nabla_3{}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi} + {}^{(F)}\rho\nabla_3\widehat{\chi} + (\underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega})(\mathcal{P}_2^*(F)\beta + {}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi})\end{aligned}$$

and using (81) and (65), we obtain

$$\nabla_3(\mathfrak{f}) + (\underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega})\mathfrak{f} = -\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1^*({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma) - \frac{1}{2}{}^{(F)}\rho(\underline{\kappa}\widehat{\chi} + \underline{\kappa}\widehat{\chi}) \quad (198)$$

Applying ∇_4 to (198), and using (74), (84), (86), (64), (66), (72), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4\nabla_3(\mathfrak{f}) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} - 2\rho + 2\underline{\nabla}_4\underline{\omega}\right)\mathfrak{f} - (\underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega})\nabla_4\mathfrak{f} - \nabla_4\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1^*({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}{}^{(F)}\rho((-3\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} + 2\rho)\widehat{\chi} - 2\underline{\kappa}^2\widehat{\chi} + \underline{\kappa}(-2\mathcal{P}_2^*\xi - \alpha) - 2\underline{\kappa}\mathcal{P}_2^*\eta)\end{aligned}$$

We simplify $\nabla_4\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1^*({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma)$ recalling the formulas in Proposition A.2.1, and using Lemma A.1.3 and $\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathfrak{d}\widehat{\chi} = (-\frac{1}{2}\underline{\Delta} + K)\widehat{\chi}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1^*({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma) &= (2K\widehat{\chi} + 2\mathcal{P}_2^*\beta - 2{}^{(F)}\rho\mathcal{P}_2^*(F)\beta + \underline{\kappa}\mathcal{P}_2^*\eta + \underline{\kappa}\mathcal{P}_2^*\xi){}^{(F)}\rho \\ &\quad - \underline{\Delta}_2(\mathcal{P}_2^*(F)\beta + {}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi}) + 4K\mathcal{P}_2^*(F)\beta - 2\underline{\kappa}\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1^*({}^{(F)}\rho, {}^{(F)}\sigma)\end{aligned}$$

This gives, using (198)

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_4\nabla_3(\mathfrak{f}) - \underline{\Delta}_2(\mathfrak{f}) &= \left(-\frac{3}{2}\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} + 4\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} - 2\rho + 2\underline{\nabla}_4\underline{\omega}\right)\mathfrak{f} - (\underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega})\nabla_4\mathfrak{f} - 2\underline{\kappa}\nabla_3(\mathfrak{f}) \\ &\quad + (\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} + \rho - 2{}^{(F)}\rho^2){}^{(F)}\rho\widehat{\chi} + (\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} + 4\rho - 2{}^{(F)}\rho^2)\mathcal{P}_2^*(F)\beta \\ &\quad + {}^{(F)}\rho(-2\mathcal{P}_2^*\beta + \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

and using (87) to substitute $-2\mathcal{P}_2^*\beta = \nabla_3\alpha + (\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa} - 4\underline{\omega})\alpha + 3\rho\widehat{\chi} + 2{}^{(F)}\rho\mathfrak{f}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\square_{\mathbf{g}}\mathfrak{f} &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 4\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} - 2\rho - 2\underline{\nabla}_4\underline{\omega}\right)\mathfrak{f} + \left(\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega}\right)\nabla_4\mathfrak{f} + \left(\frac{3}{2}\underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega}\right)\nabla_3\mathfrak{f} \\ &\quad - {}^{(F)}\rho(\nabla_3\alpha + (\underline{\kappa} - 4\underline{\omega})\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma A.1.2, we finally have

$$\begin{aligned}\square_{\mathbf{g}}(rf) &= \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 3\underline{\omega}\kappa + \omega_{\underline{\kappa}} - 3\rho - 2\underline{\nabla}_4\underline{\omega}\right) \mathfrak{f} - 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\nabla}_4(rf) + (\kappa + 2\underline{\omega}) \underline{\nabla}_3(rf) \\ &\quad - r^{(F)}\rho(\underline{\nabla}_3\alpha + (\underline{\kappa} - 4\underline{\omega})\alpha)\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Corollary A.3. *The derived quantity $\psi_3 = r^2\underline{\kappa}\mathfrak{f}$ verifies the following wave equation:*

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}}\psi_3 = (-\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 3\rho)\psi_3 + \frac{1}{r}(\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 2\rho)\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + {}^{(F)}\rho\left(-\frac{1}{r}\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_0\right)$$

Proof. Using Lemma A.1.2 for $\psi_3 = r\underline{\kappa}(rf)$ with $n = m = 1$, we obtain the desired equation. \square

Corollary A.4. *The Teukolsky equation for \mathfrak{f} can be rewritten as*

$$\underline{\Delta}_2\psi_3 = \frac{1}{r}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\underline{\nabla}_4\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\right)\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + (-\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 4\rho)\psi_3 + {}^{(F)}\rho\left(-\frac{1}{r}\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_0\right)$$

B Derivation of the generalized Regge-Wheeler system

Since the derived quantities are defined in terms of the operator \underline{P} , we derive a general lemma to compute the wave equation for a derived quantity.

Lemma B.0.1. *Let Ψ be a p -tensor. Then $\underline{P}\Psi$ is a p -tensor which verifies the following wave equation:*

$$\begin{aligned}\square_{\mathbf{g}}(\underline{P}\Psi) &= \frac{1}{r}(-\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 2\rho)\underline{P}(\underline{P}\Psi) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 4\rho - 2{}^{(F)}\rho^2\right)\underline{P}(\Psi) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\rho + {}^{(F)}\rho^2\right)r\Psi \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2}r\square_{\mathbf{g}}(\Psi) + \kappa^{-1}r\underline{\nabla}_3(\square_{\mathbf{g}}(\Psi))\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Writing $\underline{P}\Psi = r\kappa^{-1}\underline{\nabla}_3\Psi + \frac{1}{2}r\Psi$, we have

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}}(\underline{P}\Psi) = \square_{\mathbf{g}}(r\kappa^{-1}\underline{\nabla}_3\Psi) + \frac{1}{2}\square_{\mathbf{g}}(r\Psi)$$

We apply Lemma A.1.2 for both terms. For $n = 1, m = 0$ we have

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}}(r\Psi) = \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - \rho\right)r\Psi + r\square_{\mathbf{g}}(\Psi) + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\right)r\underline{\nabla}_4(\Psi) + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\right)r\underline{\nabla}_3(\Psi)$$

and for $n = 1, m = -1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\square_{\mathbf{g}}(r\kappa^{-1}\underline{\nabla}_3\Psi) &= \left(-\frac{3}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 3\underline{\omega}\kappa - \rho - 2{}^{(F)}\rho^2 - 3\underline{\omega}\kappa + 4\rho\underline{\omega}\kappa^{-1} + 2\underline{\nabla}_3\omega\right)r\kappa^{-1}\underline{\nabla}_3\Psi + r\kappa^{-1}\square_{\mathbf{g}}(\underline{\nabla}_3\Psi) \\ &\quad + (-\kappa - 2\underline{\omega})r\kappa^{-1}\underline{\nabla}_4(\underline{\nabla}_3\Psi) + (-\kappa + 2\underline{\omega} + 2\rho\kappa^{-1})r\kappa^{-1}\underline{\nabla}_3(\underline{\nabla}_3\Psi)\end{aligned}$$

Using that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Box_{\mathbf{g}}(\nabla_3(\Psi)) &= \nabla_3(\Box_{\mathbf{g}}(\Psi)) + [\Box_{\mathbf{g}}, \nabla_3]\Psi = \\
&= \nabla_3(\Box_{\mathbf{g}}(\Psi)) + \underline{\kappa}\Box_{\mathbf{g}}\Psi - 2\omega\nabla_3(\nabla_3(\Psi)) + (\underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega})\nabla_4(\nabla_3(\Psi)) + \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{4}\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 3\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} + \underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} - 8\underline{\omega}\underline{\omega} - \rho - 2^{(F)}\rho^2 + 2\nabla_4(\underline{\omega})\right)\nabla_3(\Psi) + \frac{1}{4}\underline{\kappa}^2\nabla_4(\Psi)
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Box_{\mathbf{g}}(r\underline{\kappa}^{-1}\nabla_3\Psi) &= \left(-\frac{5}{4}\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 2^{(F)}\rho^2 - 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} + 4\rho\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa}^{-1}\right)r\underline{\kappa}^{-1}\nabla_3\Psi + r\underline{\kappa}^{-1}\nabla_3(\Box_{\mathbf{g}}(\Psi)) + r\Box_{\mathbf{g}}\Psi + \frac{1}{4}\underline{\kappa}r\nabla_4(\Psi) \\
&\quad + (-\underline{\kappa} + 2\rho\underline{\kappa}^{-1})r\underline{\kappa}^{-1}\nabla_3(\nabla_3\Psi)
\end{aligned}$$

Putting these two together we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Box_{\mathbf{g}}(P\Psi) &= (-\underline{\kappa} + 2\rho\underline{\kappa}^{-1})r\underline{\kappa}^{-1}\nabla_3\nabla_3\Psi + \left(-\frac{3}{2}\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa} + 4\rho\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa}^{-1} - 2^{(F)}\rho^2\right)r\underline{\kappa}^{-1}\nabla_3\Psi + \left(-\frac{1}{4}\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - \frac{1}{2}\rho\right)r\Psi \\
&\quad + r\underline{\kappa}^{-1}\nabla_3(\Box_{\mathbf{g}}(\Psi)) + \frac{3}{2}r\Box_{\mathbf{g}}\Psi
\end{aligned}$$

Writing

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_3(\Psi) &= \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}(P\Psi) - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\Psi, \\
\nabla_3\nabla_3\Psi &= \frac{1}{r^2}\underline{\kappa}^2P(P\Psi) - \frac{2}{r}(\underline{\kappa}^2 + \underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa})(P\Psi) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}^2 + \underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa}\right)\Psi
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain the desired formula. \square

We derive the following useful lemma to compute the wave equations of the derived quantities.

Lemma B.0.2. *Let Ψ be a p -tensor which verifies a wave equation of the form:*

$$\Box_{\mathbf{g}}\Psi = AP^{-1}(\Psi) + B\Psi + CP(\Psi) + M, \tag{199}$$

where $P^{-1}(\Psi)$ is a p -tensor such that $PP^{-1}(\Psi) = \Psi$, A, B, C are coefficients of the wave equation, and M is the right hand side. Then the p -tensor $P(\Psi)$ verifies the wave equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Box_{\mathbf{g}}(P\Psi) &= (\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\nabla_3(A) + rA)P^{-1}(\Psi) + \left(\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\nabla_3(B) + rB + A + \frac{1}{2}r\rho + r^{(F)}\rho^2\right)\Psi \\
&\quad + \left(B + \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\nabla_3(C) + rC + \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 4\rho - 2^{(F)}\rho^2\right)P(\Psi) + \left(C + \frac{1}{r}(-\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} + 2\rho)\right)P(P(\Psi)) \\
&\quad + \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\nabla_3M + \frac{3}{2}rM
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Substituting the expression (199) for $\Box_{\mathbf{g}}\Psi$ in $\nabla_3(\Box_{\mathbf{g}}(\Psi))$, we compute:

$$\nabla_3(\Box_{\mathbf{g}}(\Psi)) = \nabla_3(A)P^{-1}(\Psi) + A\nabla_3P^{-1}(\Psi) + \nabla_3(B)\Psi + B\nabla_3\Psi + \nabla_3(C)P(\Psi) + C\nabla_3P(\Psi) + \nabla_3M$$

Writing $\nabla_3 f = \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa} \underline{P}f - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}f$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_3(\square_{\mathbf{g}}(\Psi)) &= \left(\nabla_3(A) - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}A \right) \underline{P}^{-1}(\Psi) + \left(\nabla_3(B) - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}B + \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}A \right) \Psi + \left(\frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}B + \nabla_3(C) - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}C \right) \underline{P}\Psi \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}C \underline{P}\underline{P}\Psi + \nabla_3 M \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above in Lemma B.0.1, we obtain the desired equation. \square

We will use the spin +2 Teukolsky equations for ψ_0 and ψ_3 and Lemma B.0.2 to compute the wave equations of \mathbf{q} and $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$.

B.1 Wave equation for $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$

We derive here the wave equation for $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$.

Proposition B.1.1. *Let \mathcal{S} be a linear gravitational and electromagnetic perturbation around Reissner-Nordström. Consider the gauge-invariant derived quantity $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, which is part of the solution \mathcal{S} . Then $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ satisfies the following equation:*

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + (\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} + 3\rho) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} = {}^{(F)}\rho \left(-\frac{1}{r}\mathbf{q} + 4r {}^{(F)}\rho \psi_3 \right)$$

Proof. Recall that $\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} = \psi_4 = \underline{P}(\psi_3)$. By Corollary A.3, we have that ψ_3 verifies a wave equation of the form (199), so we can make use of Lemma B.0.2, with $A = 0$, $B = -\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 3\rho$, $C = \frac{1}{r}(\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 2\rho)$ and $M = {}^{(F)}\rho \left(-\frac{1}{r}\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_0 \right)$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) &= \left(\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r(\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa}^2 + \frac{5}{2}\underline{\kappa}\rho + 3\underline{\kappa} {}^{(F)}\rho^2) + r(-\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 3\rho) + \frac{1}{2}r\rho + r {}^{(F)}\rho^2 \right) \psi_3 \\ &\quad + \left(-\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 3\rho - \frac{1}{2}(\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 2\rho) + \underline{\kappa}^{-1}(-\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa}^2 + 5\underline{\kappa}\rho + 2\underline{\kappa} {}^{(F)}\rho^2) + r\frac{1}{r}(\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 2\rho) + \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 4\rho - 2 {}^{(F)}\rho^2 \right) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \\ &\quad + \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\nabla_3 M + \frac{3}{2}rM \\ &= (-\underline{\kappa}\underline{\kappa} - 3\rho) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + 4r {}^{(F)}\rho^2 \psi_3 + \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\nabla_3 M + \frac{3}{2}rM \end{aligned}$$

We compute $\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\nabla_3 M + \frac{3}{2}rM$:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\nabla_3 M + \frac{3}{2}rM &= \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\nabla_3({}^{(F)}\rho \left(-\frac{1}{r}\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_0 \right)) + \frac{3}{2}r {}^{(F)}\rho \left(-\frac{1}{r}\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_0 \right) \\ &= -r {}^{(F)}\rho \left(-\frac{1}{r}\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_0 \right) + \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r {}^{(F)}\rho \nabla_3 \left(-\frac{1}{r}\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_0 \right) + \frac{3}{2}r {}^{(F)}\rho \left(-\frac{1}{r}\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_0 \right) \\ &= \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r {}^{(F)}\rho \left(r^{-1}\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\psi_1 - \frac{1}{r}\nabla_3\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_3\psi_0 \right) + \frac{1}{2}r {}^{(F)}\rho \left(-\frac{1}{r}\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\psi_0 \right) \end{aligned}$$

and writing $\nabla_3(\psi_0) = \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\psi_0$ and $\nabla_3(\psi_1) = \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\mathbf{q} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\psi_1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\nabla_3 M + \frac{3}{2}rM &= \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r {}^{(F)}\rho \left(-\frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\mathbf{q} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\psi_1\right) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\psi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\psi_0\right) \right) + \frac{1}{2}r {}^{(F)}\rho \left(-\frac{1}{r}\psi_0 \right) \\ &= -{}^{(F)}\rho \left(\frac{1}{r}\mathbf{q} \right) \end{aligned}$$

giving the desired equation. \square

B.2 Wave equation for \mathfrak{q}

We first derive the wave equation for ψ_1 .

Proposition B.2.1. *The derived quantity ψ_1 verifies the following wave equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{\mathbf{g}}\psi_1 &= \left(\frac{3}{2}r\rho + r^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \psi_0 + \left(-\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \psi_1 + \frac{1}{r}(\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 2\rho) \psi_2 \\ &\quad + 2^{(F)}\rho\left(2\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4(\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) - \kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - r\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4\psi_3 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6\rho + 4^{(F)}\rho^2\right)r\psi_3\right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that $\psi_1 = \underline{P}(\psi_0)$. By Corollary A.1, we have that ψ_0 verifies a wave equation of the form (199), so we can make use of Lemma B.0.2, with $A = 0$, $B = -\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 4\rho + 4^{(F)}\rho^2$, $C = \frac{1}{r}(2\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 4\rho)$ and $M = 4^{(F)}\rho\left(\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4(\psi_3) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 2\rho\right)\psi_3\right)$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{\mathbf{g}}(\psi_1) &= \left(\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}^2 + 5\underline{\kappa}\rho - 4\underline{\kappa}^{(F)}\rho^2\right) + r\left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 4\rho + 4^{(F)}\rho^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}r\rho + r^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \psi_0 \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 4\rho + 4^{(F)}\rho^2 + (\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 2\rho) + 2\underline{\kappa}^{-1}(-\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}^2 + 5\underline{\kappa}\rho + 2\underline{\kappa}^{(F)}\rho^2) + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 4\rho - 2^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \psi_1 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{r}(\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 2\rho) \psi_2 + \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\underline{\nabla}_3M + \frac{3}{2}rM \\ &= \left(\frac{3}{2}r\rho + r^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \psi_0 + \left(-\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \psi_1 + \frac{1}{r}(\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 2\rho) \psi_2 + \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\underline{\nabla}_3M + \frac{3}{2}rM \end{aligned}$$

We compute $\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\underline{\nabla}_3M + \frac{3}{2}rM$:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\underline{\nabla}_3M + \frac{3}{2}rM &= 4\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r^{(F)}\rho\left(\underline{\nabla}_3\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4(\psi_3) + \underline{\kappa}\underline{\nabla}_3\underline{\nabla}_4(\psi_3) + \underline{\nabla}_3\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 2\rho\right)\psi_3 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 2\rho\right)\underline{\nabla}_3\psi_3\right) \\ &\quad + 2r^{(F)}\rho\left(\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4(\psi_3) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 2\rho\right)\psi_3\right) \\ &= 4\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r^{(F)}\rho\left(\underline{\kappa}\underline{\nabla}_3\underline{\nabla}_4(\psi_3) + \left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 2\rho\right)\underline{\nabla}_3\psi_3\right) + 2r^{(F)}\rho\left(-4\underline{\omega}\nabla_4(\psi_3) + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6\rho + 4^{(F)}\rho^2\right)\psi_3\right) \end{aligned}$$

Writing $\underline{\nabla}_3(\psi_3) = \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\psi_3$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\nabla}_3\underline{\nabla}_4(\psi_3) &= \underline{\nabla}_4\underline{\nabla}_3(\psi_3) - 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\nabla}_3\psi_3 + 2\underline{\omega}\nabla_4\psi_3 = \underline{\nabla}_4\left(\frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\psi_3\right) - 2\underline{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\psi_3\right) + 2\underline{\omega}\nabla_4\psi_3 \\ &= \underline{\nabla}_4\left(\frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4(\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\nabla}_4\underline{\kappa}\psi_3 - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\underline{\nabla}_4\psi_3 - 2\underline{\omega}\left(\frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}\psi_3\right) + 2\underline{\omega}\nabla_4\psi_3\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{r}(-\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 2\rho)\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \frac{1}{r}\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4(\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + \left(\frac{1}{4}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - \rho\right)\psi_3 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega}\right)\underline{\nabla}_4\psi_3 \end{aligned}$$

which therefore gives

$$\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\underline{\nabla}_3M + \frac{3}{2}rM = 2^{(F)}\rho\left(2\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4(\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) - \kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - r\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4\psi_3 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6\rho + 4^{(F)}\rho^2\right)r\psi_3\right)$$

We get the desired equation. \square

We derive here the wave equation for \mathfrak{q} .

Proposition B.2.2. *Let \mathcal{S} be a linear gravitational and electromagnetic perturbation around Reissner-Nordström. Consider the gauge-invariant derived quantity $\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$, which is part of the solution \mathcal{S} . Then $\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}$ satisfies the following equation:*

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{\mathbf{g}}\mathfrak{q} + \left(\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 10^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \mathfrak{q} &= r^{(F)}\rho \left(4\underline{\Delta}_2\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - 4\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - 4\underline{\kappa}\nabla_3\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \left(6\underline{\kappa}_{\underline{\kappa}} + 16\rho + 8^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}\right) \\ &\quad + r^{(F)}\rho \left(-2r^{(F)}\rho\psi_0 - 4^{(F)}\rho\psi_1 + (-12\rho - 40^{(F)}\rho^2) r\psi_3\right) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Recall that $\mathfrak{q} = \psi_2 = \underline{P}(\psi_1)$. By Proposition B.2.1, we have that ψ_1 verifies a wave equation of the form (199), so we can make use of Lemma B.0.2, with $A = \frac{3}{2}r\rho + r^{(F)}\rho^2$, $B = -\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6^{(F)}\rho^2$, $C = \frac{1}{r}(\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 2\rho)$ and $M = 2^{(F)}\rho \left(2\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4(\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) - \kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - r\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4\psi_3 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6\rho + 4^{(F)}\rho^2\right) r\psi_3\right)$, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \square_{\mathbf{g}}(\mathfrak{q}) &= \left(r^2\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}\rho + {}^{(F)}\rho^2\right) + \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r^2\left(-\frac{9}{4}\underline{\kappa}\rho - \frac{7}{2}\underline{\kappa}^{(F)}\rho^2\right) + r\left(\frac{3}{2}r\rho + r^{(F)}\rho^2\right)\right) \psi_0 \\ &\quad + \left(\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r(\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}^2 - 2\underline{\kappa}\rho - 12\underline{\kappa}^{(F)}\rho^2) + r(-\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6^{(F)}\rho^2) + \frac{3}{2}r\rho + r^{(F)}\rho^2 + \frac{1}{2}r\rho + r^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \psi_1 \\ &\quad + \left(-\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6^{(F)}\rho^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 2\rho) + \underline{\kappa}^{-1}(-\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}^2 + 5\underline{\kappa}\rho + 2\underline{\kappa}^{(F)}\rho^2) + r\frac{1}{r}(\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 2\rho) + \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} - 4\rho - 2^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \mathfrak{q} \\ &\quad + \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\underline{\nabla}_3M + \frac{3}{2}rM \\ &= -2r^2{}^{(F)}\rho^2\psi_0 - 4r^{(F)}\rho^2\psi_1 + \left(-\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \mathfrak{q} + \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\underline{\nabla}_3M + \frac{3}{2}rM \end{aligned}$$

We compute $\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\underline{\nabla}_3M + \frac{3}{2}rM$:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\underline{\nabla}_3M + \frac{3}{2}rM &= 2\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r^{(F)}\rho \left(2\underline{\nabla}_3\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4(\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + 2\underline{\kappa}\nabla_3\underline{\nabla}_4(\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) - \nabla_3(\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}})\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\nabla_3\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \nabla_3(r\underline{\kappa})\nabla_4\psi_3 - r\underline{\kappa}\nabla_3\underline{\nabla}_4\psi_3\right) \\ &\quad + 2\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r^{(F)}\rho \left(\nabla_3\left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6\rho + 4^{(F)}\rho^2\right) r\psi_3 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6\rho + 4^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \nabla_3(r\psi_3)\right) \\ &\quad + r^{(F)}\rho \left(2\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4(\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) - \kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - r\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4\psi_3 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6\rho + 4^{(F)}\rho^2\right) r\psi_3\right) \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\kappa}^{-1}r\underline{\nabla}_3M + \frac{3}{2}rM &= 2\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r^{(F)}\rho \left(2\left(-\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}^2 - 2\underline{\omega}\underline{\kappa}\right)\nabla_4(\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + 2\underline{\kappa}\nabla_3\underline{\nabla}_4(\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) - (-\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}^2 + 2\underline{\kappa}\rho)\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\nabla_3\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}\right. \\ &\quad \left.- (-2\underline{\omega}r\underline{\kappa})\nabla_4\psi_3 - r\underline{\kappa}\nabla_3\underline{\nabla}_4\psi_3\right) \\ &\quad + 2\underline{\kappa}^{-1}r^{(F)}\rho \left(\left(\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}^2 - 10\underline{\kappa}\rho - 14\underline{\kappa}^{(F)}\rho^2\right)r\psi_3 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6\rho + 4^{(F)}\rho^2\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\underline{\kappa}r\psi_3 + r\underline{\nabla}_3\psi_3\right)\right) \\ &\quad + r^{(F)}\rho \left(2\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4(\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) - \kappa_{\underline{\kappa}}\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - r\underline{\kappa}\nabla_4\psi_3 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\underline{\kappa}} + 6\rho + 4^{(F)}\rho^2\right) r\psi_3\right) \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the expression for $\nabla_3 \nabla_4 \psi_3$ and writing $\nabla_3(\psi_3) = \frac{1}{r} \underline{\kappa} \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} \psi_3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\kappa}^{-1} r \nabla_3 M + \frac{3}{2} r M &= r^{(F)} \rho \left(-8 \underline{\omega} \nabla_4(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + 4 \nabla_3 \nabla_4(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + (\underline{\kappa} \underline{\kappa} - 4\rho) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - 2\kappa \nabla_3 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + (-\underline{\kappa} + 4\underline{\omega}) r \nabla_4 \psi_3 - 2r \nabla_3 \nabla_4 \psi_3 \right) \\
&\quad + \underline{\kappa}^{-1} r^{(F)} \rho \left(-\underline{\kappa} \underline{\kappa} + 12\rho + 8^{(F)} \rho^2 \right) r \nabla_3 \psi_3 + r^{(F)} \rho \left(-8\rho - 20^{(F)} \rho^2 \right) r \psi_3 \\
&= r^{(F)} \rho \left(4 \nabla_3 \nabla_4(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) + (-2\underline{\kappa} - 8\underline{\omega}) \nabla_4(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) - 2\kappa \nabla_3 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + (2\underline{\kappa} \underline{\kappa} + 4\rho + 8^{(F)} \rho^2) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \right) \\
&\quad + r^{(F)} \rho \left(-12\rho - 24^{(F)} \rho^2 \right) r \psi_3
\end{aligned}$$

From (192) and Proposition B.1.1, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_3 \nabla_4 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} &= -\square_{\mathbf{g}} \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \underline{\Delta}_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \left(-\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega} \right) \nabla_4 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{1}{2} \kappa \nabla_3 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \\
&= \underline{\Delta}_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \left(-\frac{1}{2} \underline{\kappa} + 2\underline{\omega} \right) \nabla_4 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - \frac{1}{2} \kappa \nabla_3 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + (\underline{\kappa} \underline{\kappa} + 3\rho) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + {}^{(F)}\rho \left(\frac{1}{r} \mathbf{q} - 4r^{(F)} \rho \psi_3 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and substituting in the above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\kappa}^{-1} r \nabla_3 M + \frac{3}{2} r M &= r^{(F)} \rho \left(4 \underline{\Delta}_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + (-2\underline{\kappa} + 8\underline{\omega}) \nabla_4 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - 2\kappa \nabla_3 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + (4\underline{\kappa} \underline{\kappa} + 12\rho) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + 4^{(F)} \rho \left(\frac{1}{r} \mathbf{q} - 4r^{(F)} \rho \psi_3 \right) \right) \\
&\quad + (-2\underline{\kappa} - 8\underline{\omega}) \nabla_4(\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}}) - 2\kappa \nabla_3 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + (2\underline{\kappa} \underline{\kappa} + 4\rho + 8^{(F)} \rho^2) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} \\
&\quad + r^{(F)} \rho \left(-12\rho - 24^{(F)} \rho^2 \right) r \psi_3 \\
&= r^{(F)} \rho \left(4 \underline{\Delta}_2 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - 4\underline{\kappa} \nabla_4 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} - 4\kappa \nabla_3 \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + \left(6\underline{\kappa} \underline{\kappa} + 16\rho + 8^{(F)} \rho^2 \right) \mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{F}} + (-12\rho - 40^{(F)} \rho^2) r \psi_3 \right) \\
&\quad + 4^{(F)} \rho^2 \mathbf{q}
\end{aligned}$$

This finally gives the desired equation. □

References

- [1] Andersson L., Bäckdahl T., Blue P., Ma S. *Stability for linearized gravity on the Kerr spacetime*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.03859 (2019)
- [2] Angelopoulos Y., Aretakis S., Gajic D. *A vectorfield approach to almost-sharp decay for the wave equation on spherically symmetric, stationary spacetimes*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.01565 (2016).
- [3] Angelopoulos Y., Aretakis S., Gajic D. *Late-time asymptotics for the wave equation on spherically symmetric, stationary spacetimes*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.01566 (2016).
- [4] Andersson L., Blue P. *Hidden symmetries and decay for the wave equation on the Kerr spacetime*. Ann. of Math. (2), 182(3):787-853 (2015).
- [5] Andersson L., Blue P. *Uniform energy bound and asymptotics for the Maxwell field on a slowly rotating Kerr black hole exterior*. J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ., 12(4):689-743 (2015).

- [6] Bardeen J. M. , Press W. H. *Radiation fields in the Schwarzschild background.* J. Math. Phys., 14, pp.7-19 (1973).
- [7] Bieri L., Zipser N. *Extensions of the stability theorem of the Minkowski space in General Relativity.* Studies in Advanced Mathematics AMS/IP, Volume 45 (2000).
- [8] Bicák J. *On the theories of the interacting perturbations of the Reissner-Nordström black hole.* Czech. J. Phys. B, 29, 945-80 (1979)
- [9] Blue P. *Decay of the Maxwell field on the Schwarzschild manifold.* J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ., 5(4):807-856 (2008)
- [10] Blue P., Soffer A. *Semilinear wave equations on the Schwarzschild manifold. I. Local decay estimates.* Adv. Differential Equations 8(5):595-614 (2003)
- [11] Chandrasekhar S., *The mathematical theory of black holes.* Oxford University Press (1983)
- [12] Chandrasekhar S., *On the Equations Governing the Perturbations of the Reissner-Nordström Black Hole.* Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A, 365, 453-65 (1979)
- [13] Chandrasekhar S., Xanthopoulos B. C. *On the metric perturbations of the Reissner-Nordström black hole.* Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) A, 367, 1-14 (1979)
- [14] Christodoulou D., Klainerman S., *The global nonlinear stability of the Minkowski space.* Princeton Math. Series 41, Princeton University Press (1993)
- [15] Civin D. *Quantitative mode stability for the wave equation on the Kerr-Newman spacetime.* arXiv preprint arXiv:1405.3620 (2014)
- [16] Dafermos M., Holzegel G., Rodnianski I. *The linear stability of the Schwarzschild solution to gravitational perturbations.* Acta Mathematica, 222: 1-214 (2019)
- [17] Dafermos M., Holzegel G., Rodnianski I. *Boundedness and decay for the Teukolsky equation on Kerr spacetimes I: the case $|a| \ll M$.* Ann. PDE, 5, 2 (2019)
- [18] Dafermos M., Rodnianski I. *The red-shift effect and radiation decay on black hole spacetimes.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math, 62:859-919 (2009)
- [19] Dafermos M., Rodnianski I. *A new physical-space approach to decay for the wave equation with applications to black hole spacetimes.* XVIth International Congress on Mathematical Physics, 421-433, (2009)
- [20] Dafermos M., Rodnianski I. *Decay for solutions of the wave equation on Kerr exterior spacetimes I-II: The cases $|a| \ll m$ or axisymmetry.* arXiv preprint arXiv:1010.5132 (2010)
- [21] Dafermos M., Rodnianski I. *A proof of the uniform boundedness of solutions to the wave equation on slowly rotating Kerr backgrounds.* Invent. Math., 185 (3):467-559 (2011)
- [22] Dafermos M., Rodnianski I. *Lectures on black holes and linear waves.* Evolution equations, Clay Mathematics Proceedings, Vol. 17, pages 97-205 Amer. Math. Soc. (2013)

- [23] Dafermos M., Rodnianski I., Shlapentokh-Rothman Y. *Decay for solutions of the wave equation on Kerr exterior spacetimes III: The full subextremal case $|a| < M$* . Ann. of Math. (2), 183(3):787-913 (2016)
- [24] Giorgi E. *Coupled gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations of Reissner-Nordström spacetime in a polarized setting*. Adv. Theo. Math. Phys., Vol. 24, Number 4 (2020)
- [25] Giorgi E. *Boundedness and decay for the Teukolsky system of spin ± 1 on Reissner-Nordström spacetime: the $\ell = 1$ spherical mode*. Class. Quantum Grav. 36, 205001 (2019)
- [26] Giorgi E. *The linear stability of Reissner-Nordström spacetime for small charge*. Annals of PDE, 6, 8 (2020)
- [27] Giorgi E. *The linear stability of Reissner-Nordström spacetime: the full sub-extremal range $|Q| < M$* . arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.05630 (2019)
- [28] Griffiths J. B. , Podolsky J. *Exact Space-Times in Einstein's General Relativity*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, Cambridge University Press (2009)
- [29] Häfner D., Hintz P., Vasy A. *Linear stability of slowly rotating Kerr black holes*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.00860 (2019)
- [30] Hintz P. *Non-linear stability of the Kerr-Newman-de Sitter family of charged black holes*. Annals of PDE, 4(1):11 (2018)
- [31] Hintz P., Vasy A. *The global non-linear stability of the Kerr-de Sitter family of black holes*. Acta Mathematica, 220:1-206 (2018)
- [32] Hung P.-K. , Keller J. , Wang M.-T. *Linear Stability of Schwarzschild Spacetime: The Cauchy Problem of Metric Coefficients*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.02843 (2017)
- [33] Hung P.-K. *The linear stability of the Schwarzschild spacetime in the harmonic gauge: odd part*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.03881 (2018)
- [34] Hung P.-K. *The linear stability of the Schwarzschild spacetime in the harmonic gauge: even part*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.06733 (2019)
- [35] Johnson T. *On the linear stability of the Schwarzschild solution to gravitational perturbations in the generalised wave gauge*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1803.04012 (2018)
- [36] Johnson T. *The linear stability of the Schwarzschild solution to gravitational perturbations in the generalised wave gauge*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.01337 (2018)
- [37] Klainerman S., Szeftel J. *Global Non-Linear Stability of Schwarzschild Spacetime under Polarized Perturbations*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.07597 (2017)
- [38] Ma S. *Uniform energy bound and Morawetz estimate for extreme component of spin fields in the exterior of a slowly rotating Kerr black hole I: Maxwell field*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.06621 (2017)
- [39] Ma S. *Uniform energy bound and Morawetz estimate for extreme component of spin fields in the exterior of a slowly rotating Kerr black hole II: linearized gravity*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.07385 (2017)

- [40] Moncrief V. *Odd-parity stability of a Reissner-Nordström black hole*. Phys. Rev. D 9, 2707 (1974)
- [41] Moncrief V. *Stability of Reissner-Nordström black holes*. Phys. Rev. D 10, 1057 (1974)
- [42] Moschidis G. *The r^p -weighted energy method of Dafermos and Rodnianski in general asymptotically flat spacetimes and applications*. Annals of PDE, 2(1):6 (2016)
- [43] Kay B. S., Wald R. M. *Linear stability of Schwarzschild under perturbations which are nonvanishing on the bifurcation two sphere*. Class. Quantum Grav., 4:893-898 (1987)
- [44] Pasqualotto F. *The spin ± 1 Teukolsky equations and the Maxwell system on Schwarzschild*. Annales Henri Poincaré 20, 1263-323 (2019)
- [45] Shlapentokh-Rothman Y. *Quantitative mode stability for the wave equation on the Kerr spacetime*. Ann. Henri Poincaré, 16(1):289-345 (2015)
- [46] Sterbenz J., Tataru D. *Local energy decay for Maxwell fields Part I: Spherically symmetric black hole backgrounds*. Int. Math. Res. Not., 11(11):3298-3342 (2015)
- [47] Stogin J. *Global Stability of the Nontrivial Solutions to the Wave Map Problem from Kerr $|a| \ll M$ to the Hyperbolic Plane under Axisymmetric Perturbations Preserving Angular Momentum*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1610.03910 (2016)
- [48] Tataru D., Tohaneanu M. *Local energy estimate on Kerr black hole backgrounds*. Int. Math. Res. Not., 2011:248-292 (2011)
- [49] Teukolsky S. A. *Perturbation of a rotating black hole. I. Fundamental equations for gravitational, electromagnetic, and neutrino-field perturbations*. Astrophys. J., 185, pp. 635-648 (1973)
- [50] Whiting B. F. *Mode stability of the Kerr black hole*. J. Math. Phys., 30 (6):1301-1305 (1989)