

Two estimates for the first Robin eigenvalue of the Finsler Laplacian with negative boundary parameter.

Gloria Paoli*, Leonardo Trani†

Abstract

We prove two bounds for the first Robin eigenvalue of the Finsler Laplacian with negative boundary parameter in the planar case. In the constant area problem, we show that the Wulff shape is the maximizer only for values which are close to 0 of the boundary parameter and, in the fixed perimeter case, that the Wulff shape maximizes the first eigenvalue for all values of the parameter.

KEYWORDS: Eigenvalue optimization, Finsler Laplacian, Robin boundary condition, negative parameter, Wulff shape

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATIONS (2010): 58J50, 35P15

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded, open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, with Lipschitz boundary; its Robin eigenvalues related to the Laplacian are the real numbers λ such that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \alpha u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

admits non trivial $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ solutions. We denote by $\partial u/\partial \nu$ the outer normal derivative to u on $\partial\Omega$; α is an arbitrary real constant, which will be referred to as boundary parameter of the Robin problem. We observe that for $\alpha = 0$ we obtain the Neumann problem and for $\alpha = \pm\infty$ we formally obtain the Dirichlet problem. For each fixed Ω and α there is a sequence of eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1(\alpha, \Omega) \leq \lambda_2(\alpha, \Omega) \leq \dots \rightarrow +\infty$$

which depend on α . In particular, the first non trivial Robin eigenvalue of Ω is characterized by the expression

$$\lambda_1(\alpha, \Omega) = \min_{\substack{u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |Du|^2 dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^2 d\mathcal{H}^1}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx}.$$

It can be proved that this infimum is achieved by a function $u_{\alpha} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and since $\lambda_1(\alpha, \Omega)$ is simple, the corresponding eigenfunction can be chosen to be positive in Ω . We refer to [23] for a collection of the eigenvalue properties of the Robin Laplacian and the related proofs.

If we analyse the problem of minimizing the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet problem under volume constraint, the Faber-Krahn inequality tells us that the unique solutions are given by balls

*Università degli studi di Napoli Federico II, Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni “R. Caccioppoli”, Via Cintia, Monte S. Angelo - 80126 Napoli, Italia. Email: gloria.paoli@unina.it

†Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni “R. Caccioppoli”, Via Cintia, Monte S. Angelo - 80126 Napoli, Italia. Email: leonardo.trani@unina.it

(see [15]). For the case of Neumann boundary conditions we can find analogous isoperimetric spectral inequalities in the works of Szegö and Weinberger ([27]).

We consider now the Robin boundary conditions. If α is positive, we have that the ball minimizes $\lambda_1(\alpha, \Omega)$ among all Lipschitz domains of given volume. This fact was proved by Bossel and Daners ([11]) and generalized to the p -Laplacian by Dai and Fu in [10] and by Bucur and Daners in [5]; this result was also shown to hold on general open sets of finite measure by Bucur and Giacomini, see [7]. Moreover this inequality is sharp: if the first Robin eigenvalue of Ω is equal to the first eigenvalue of the ball then Ω is a ball up to a negligible set.

If α is negative and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, with $n > 2$, is a bounded smooth domain, it is not true that

$$\lambda_1(\alpha, \Omega) \leq \lambda_1(\alpha, B), \quad (2)$$

where B is a ball of the same volume as Ω ; a counterexample is provided in [19]. The above fact is true within the class of Lipschitz sets which are close to a ball in a Hausdorff metric sense, see for instance [17].

On the other hand the spectral inequality (2) holds in dimension 2: in [19] is proved that for bounded planar domains of class C^2 and fixed area there exists a negative number α_* , depending only on the area, such that (2) holds for all $\alpha \in [\alpha_*, 0]$. This fact is proved by applying the method of parallel coordinates, introduced by Payne and Weinberger in [25].

In the first part of this work we have found an analogous of inequality (2) in the anisotropic case. Let F be a Finsler norm, i.e. a convex positive C^2 function. We consider the anisotropic version of problem (1), that is

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(F(Du)F_\xi(Du)) = \lambda_F(\alpha, \Omega)u & \text{in } \Omega \\ \langle F(Du)F_\xi(Du), \nu_{\partial\Omega} \rangle + \alpha F(\nu_{\partial\Omega})u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We have the following variational characterization of the first eigenvalue:

$$\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega) = \min_{\substack{u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} = \frac{\int_{\Omega} F^2(Du) dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^2 F(\nu_{\partial\Omega}) d\mathcal{H}^1}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx}.$$

This problem is studied for instance in [12, 13, 14, 20]. Using the method of parallel coordinates, adapted to the anisotropic case, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *For bounded planar domains of class C^2 and fixed area, there exists a negative number α_* , depending only on the area, such that the following inequality holds $\forall \alpha \in [\alpha_*, 0]$:*

$$\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega) \leq \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_\Omega^*),$$

where \mathcal{W}_Ω^* is the Wulff shape of the same area as Ω .

We recall that the Wulff shape centered at the point x_0 is defined as

$$\mathcal{W}_r(x_0) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : F^o(\xi - x_0) < r\}.$$

In the second part of the work we generalize to the anisotropic case a result presented in [4]. Here the authors, using again the methods of parallel coordinates, have proved that, if $\alpha < 0$ and for bounded planar domains of class C^2 , then

$$\lambda_1(\alpha, \Omega) \leq \lambda_1(\alpha, \tilde{B}), \quad (3)$$

where \tilde{B} is a disk with the same perimeter as Ω . We obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\alpha \leq 0$. For bounded planar domains of class C^2 , we have*

$$\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega) \leq \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\Omega),$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\Omega$ is the Wulff shape with the same perimeter as Ω .

In conclusion, we recall that in [6] the authors prove that the inequality (3) holds true in \mathbb{R}^n , if it is restricted to the class of convex sets, or more precisely to the class of Lipschitz sets that can be written as $\Omega \setminus K$, with Ω open and convex and K closed. Moreover in [18] the authors prove that the second eigenvalue of the Robin problem related to the Laplacian is maximal for the ball among domains of fixed volume.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic definitions and properties of the Finsler norm F ; in Section 3 we state the Robin problem with negative boundary parameter in the anisotropic case. The main results are contained in Section 4 and 5; in the first one we obtain an isoperimetric estimates with a volume constraint and in the second one with a perimeter constraint, both in dimension 2 and in the anisotropic case.

2 Notation and preliminaries

In the following we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the standard euclidean scalar product in \mathbb{R}^n and by $|\cdot|$ the euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^n , for $n \geq 2$. We denote with \mathcal{L}^n the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^n (sometimes denoted with $V(\cdot)$) and with \mathcal{H}^k , for $k \in [0, n]$, the k -dimensional Hausdorff measure in \mathbb{R}^n . If $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $\text{Lip}(\partial\Omega)$ (resp. $\text{Lip}(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$) is the class of all Lipschitz functions (resp. vector fields) defined on $\partial\Omega$. If Ω has Lipschitz boundary, for \mathcal{H}^{n-1} -almost every $x \in \partial\Omega$, we denote by $\nu_{\partial\Omega}(x)$ the outward unit euclidean normal to $\partial\Omega$ at x and by $T_x(\partial\Omega)$ the tangent hyperplane to $\partial\Omega$ at x .

Let F be a convex, even, 1-homogeneous and non negative function defined in \mathbb{R}^n . Then F is a convex function such that

$$F(t\xi) = |t|F(\xi), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (4)$$

and such that

$$a|\xi| \leq F(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (5)$$

for some constant $a > 0$. The hypotheses on F imply that there exists $b \geq a$ such that

$$F(\xi) \leq b|\xi|, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Moreover, throughout the paper we will assume that $F \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, and

$$[F^p]_{\xi\xi}(\xi) \text{ is positive definite in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

with $1 < p < +\infty$. The polar function $F^\circ: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ of F is defined as

$$F^\circ(v) = \sup_{\xi \neq 0} \frac{\langle \xi, v \rangle}{F(\xi)}.$$

It is easy to verify that also F° is a convex function which satisfies properties (4) and (5). F and F° are usually called Finsler norm. Furthermore,

$$F(v) = \sup_{\xi \neq 0} \frac{\langle \xi, v \rangle}{F^\circ(\xi)}.$$

The above property implies the following anisotropic version of the Cauchy Shwartz inequality

$$|\langle \xi, \eta \rangle| \leq F(\xi)F^\circ(\eta), \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We can then introduce the set

$$\mathcal{W} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : F^o(\xi) < 1\},$$

the so-called Wulff shape centered at the origin. We put $\kappa_n = V(\mathcal{W})$. More generally, we denote by $\mathcal{W}_r(x_0)$ the set $r\mathcal{W} + x_0$, that is the Wulff shape centered at x_0 with measure $\kappa_n r^n$, and $\mathcal{W}_r(0) = \mathcal{W}_r$. In particular, when \mathcal{W} is a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 , we write $|\mathcal{W}| = \kappa$.

We conclude this paragraph reporting the following properties of F and F^o :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_\xi F(\xi), \xi \rangle &= F(\xi), & \langle \nabla_\xi F^o(\xi), \xi \rangle &= F^o(\xi), & \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \\ F(\nabla_\xi F^o(\xi)) &= F^o(\nabla_\xi F(\xi)) = 1, & \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \\ F^o(\xi) \nabla_\xi F(\nabla_\xi F^o(\xi)) &= F(\xi) \nabla_\xi F^o(\nabla_\xi F(\xi)) = \xi & \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We recall now some basic definitions and theorems concerning the perimeter in the Finsler norm.

Definition 1. *Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^2 with Lipschitz boundary, the anisotropic perimeter of Ω is defined as*

$$P_F(\Omega) = \int_{\partial\Omega} F(\nu_{\partial\Omega}) d\mathcal{H}^1.$$

Clearly, the anisotropic perimeter of Ω is finite if and only if the usual Euclidean perimeter of Ω , that we denote by $P(\Omega)$, is finite. Indeed, by the quoted properties of F , we obtain that

$$aP(\Omega) \leq P_F(\Omega) \leq bP(\Omega).$$

For example, if $\Omega = \mathcal{W}_R$, then

$$P_F(\mathcal{W}_R) = 2\kappa R.$$

Moreover, an isoperimetric inequality is proved for the anisotropic perimeter, see for instance [2, 7, 9, 14, 16].

Theorem. *Let Ω be a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 with finite perimeter. Then*

$$P_F(\Omega)^2 \geq 4\kappa V(\Omega) \tag{6}$$

and the equality holds if and only if Ω is homothetic to a Wulff shape.

Moreover, if K is a bounded convex subset of \mathbb{R}^2 , and $\delta > 0$, the following Steiner formulas hold (see [3, 26]):

$$V(K + \delta\mathcal{W}) = V(K) + P_F(K)\delta + \kappa\delta^2; \tag{7}$$

$$P_F(K + \delta\mathcal{W}) = P_F(K) + 2\kappa\delta. \tag{8}$$

Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^2 , the anisotropic distance of a point $x \in \Omega$ to the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is defined as

$$d_F(x, \partial\Omega) = \inf_{y \in \partial\Omega} F^o(x - y).$$

By the properties of the Finsler norm F , the distance function satisfies

$$F(Dd_F(x)) = 1 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega \tag{9}$$

For the properties of the anisotropic distance function we refer, for instance, to [8]. We can define also the anisotropic inradius of Ω as

$$r_F(\Omega) = \sup\{d_F(x, \partial\Omega), x \in \Omega\}.$$

We denote by

$$\tilde{\Omega}_t = \{x \in \Omega \mid d_F(x, \partial\Omega) > t\},$$

with $t \in [0, r_F(\Omega)]$. The general Brunn-Minkowski theorem (see [26]) and the concavity of the anisotropic distance function give that the function $P_F(\tilde{\Omega}_t)$ is concave in $[0, r_F(\Omega)]$, hence it is decreasing and absolutely continuous. In [14] the following result is stated.

Lemma 2.1. *For almost every $t \in (0, r_F(\Omega))$,*

$$-\frac{d}{dt}V(\tilde{\Omega}_t) = P_F(\tilde{\Omega}_t).$$

3 The Robin problem in the anisotropic case

Let Ω be a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^2 of class C^2 . We consider the anisotropic eigenvalue problem with Robin boundary conditions.

We fix a negative number α and we study the following problem:

$$\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega) = \min_{\substack{u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \\ u \neq 0}} J(u), \quad (10)$$

where

$$J(u) = \frac{\int_{\Omega} (F(Du))^2 dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^2 F(\nu_{\partial\Omega}) d\mathcal{H}^1}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx}, \quad (11)$$

and $\nu_{\partial\Omega}$ is the outer normal to $\partial\Omega$. Using a constant as test function, we obtain the following inequality

$$\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega) \leq \alpha \frac{P_F(\Omega)}{|\Omega|} \leq 0. \quad (12)$$

The minimizers u of problem (10) satisfy the following eigenvalue

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(F(Du)F_{\xi}(Du)) = \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega)u & \text{in } \Omega \\ \langle F(Du)F_{\xi}(Du), \nu_{\partial\Omega} \rangle + \alpha F(\nu_{\partial\Omega})u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

that is, in the weak sense

$$\int_{\Omega} F(Du) \langle D_{\xi}F(Du), D\varphi \rangle dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} u\varphi F(\nu_{\partial\Omega}) d\mathcal{H}^1 = \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega) \int_{\Omega} u\varphi dx, \quad (13)$$

for all $\varphi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. The following proposition is proved in [13].

Proposition 3.1. *There exist a function $u \in C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ which realizes the minimum in (10) and satisfies the anisotropic Robin problem. Moreover, $\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega)$ is the first eigenvalue of the Robin problem and the first eigenfunctions are positive (or negative) in Ω .*

4 Isoperimetric estimates with a volume constraint

In the following we are fixing a Finsler norm F .

Theorem 4.1. *For bounded planar domains of class C^2 and fixed area, there exists a negative number α_* , depending only on the area, such that the following inequality holds $\forall \alpha \in [\alpha_*, 0]$:*

$$\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega) \leq \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_{\Omega}^*),$$

where \mathcal{W}_{Ω}^* is the Wulff shape of the same area as Ω .

In order to prove Theorem 4.1 we adapt in the anisotropic case the proof of Freitas and Krejcirik contained in [19]. This proof makes use of the classical method of parallel coordinates, developed for the Euclidean case in [25] and for the Riemannian case in [26].

We assume that $\partial\Omega$ is composed by a finite union of C^2 Jordan curves $\Gamma_0, \dots, \Gamma_N$, where Γ_0 is the outer boundary of Ω , i.e. Ω lies in the interior Ω_0 of Γ_0 . We observe that, if $N = 0$, then Ω is simply connected and $\Omega = \Omega_0$. We denote by

$$L_0^F := P_F(\Omega_0)$$

the outer anisotropic perimeter. Therefore, by the anisotropic isoperimetric inequality, we have

$$(L_0^F)^2 \geq 4\kappa A_0, \quad (14)$$

where $A_0 = V(\Omega)$ denotes the area of Ω (not of Ω_0).

We now introduce the **anisotropic parallel coordinate method** based at the outer boundary Γ_0 . Let $\rho_F : \Omega_0 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be the anisotropic distance function from the outer boundary Γ_0 :

$$\rho_F(x) = d_F(x, \Gamma_0).$$

Let

$$A_F(t) = V(\{x \in \Omega \mid 0 < \rho_f(x) < t\})$$

denote the area of $\Omega_t = \Omega \setminus \tilde{\Omega}_t$. and let

$$L_F(t) = \int_{\rho_F^{-1}(t) \cap \Omega} F(\nu_{\partial\Omega}(x)) d\mathcal{H}^1(x).$$

Remark 4.2. By lemma 2.1, we obtain that, for almost every $t \in [0, r_F(\Omega_0)]$,

$$A'_F(t) = L_F(t). \quad (15)$$

4.1 Step 1: use of the anisotropic parallel coordinates.

Let $\phi : [0, r_F(\Omega)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function and consider the test function

$$u = \phi \circ A_F \circ \rho_F,$$

which is Lipschitz in Ω . Using the anisotropic parallel coordinates, the coarea formula and the fact that $F(D\rho_F) = 1$, we obtain the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \int_{\Omega} u^2(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} (\phi \circ A_F \circ \rho_F(x))^2 dx = \\ &= \int_0^{r_F(\Omega)} \left(\int_{\{\rho_F(x)=t\}} (\phi \circ A_F \circ \rho_F(x))^2 \frac{1}{|D\rho_F(x)|} d\mathcal{H}^1(x) \right) dt \\ &= \int_0^{r_F(\Omega)} \phi(A_F(t))^2 P_F(\{\rho_F(x) < t\}) dt = \\ &= \int_0^{r_F(\Omega)} \phi(A_F(t))^2 A'_F(t) dt; \\ \\ \int_{\Omega} (F^2(Du(x))) dx &= \int_{\Omega} F^2(\phi'(A_F \circ \rho_F(x)) A'_F(\rho_F(x)) D\rho_F(x)) dx = \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\phi'(A_F \circ \rho_F(x)))^2 (A'_F(\rho_F(x)))^2 dx = \int_0^{r_F(\Omega)} (\phi'(A_F(t)))^2 (A'_F(t))^3 dt; \\ \\ \int_{\partial\Omega} |u(x)|^2 F(\nu_{\partial\Omega}(x)) d\mathcal{H}^1(x) &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (\phi \circ A_F \circ \rho_F(x))^2 F(\nu_{\partial\Omega}(x)) d\mathcal{H}^1(x) = \\ &= (\phi \circ A_F(0))^2 P_F(\Omega) \geq \phi^2(0) L_0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have that

$$\lambda(\Omega) \leq \frac{\int_0^{r_F(\Omega)} (\phi'(A_F(t)))^2 (A'_F(t))^3 dt + \alpha \phi^2(0) L_0^F}{\int_0^{r_F(\Omega)} \phi(A_F(t))^2 A'_F(t) dt}. \quad (16)$$

4.2 Step 2: from domains to annuli.

We adapt in the anisotropic case the idea contained in [25]. We consider the following change of variables:

$$R(t) := \frac{\sqrt{(L_0^F)^2 - 4\kappa A_F(t)}}{2\kappa} \quad (17)$$

on the interval $[r_1, r_2]$, where

$$r_1 := R(r_F(\Omega)) = \frac{\sqrt{(L_0^F)^2 - 4\kappa A_0}}{2\kappa}, \quad r_2 := R(0) = \frac{L_0^F}{2\kappa}. \quad (18)$$

Remark 4.3. Thanks to (6), the transformation (17) is well defined on the set $[0, r_F(\Omega)]$.

We introduce now the function

$$\psi(r) := \phi\left(\frac{(L_0^F)^2}{4\kappa} - \kappa r^2\right)$$

and we obtain the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} u^2(x) dx &= 2\kappa \int_{r_1}^{r_2} (\psi(r))^2 r dr; \\ \int_{\Omega} (F^2(Du(x))) dx &= 2\kappa \int_{r_1}^{r_2} (\psi'(r))^2 (R'(r))^2 r dr; \\ \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 F(\nu_{\partial\Omega}(x)) dx &\geq L_0^F \psi(r_2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.4. The radii in (18) are such that the F -annulus $A_{r_1, r_2}^F := \mathcal{W}_{r_2} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{W}_{r_1}}$ has the same area A_0 as the original domain Ω . We observe that the transformation (17) maps $\partial\Omega_t$ into the Wulff shape of radius $R(t)$; so Γ_0 is mapped into the Wulff shape of equal anisotropic perimeter. Moreover, Ω_t is mapped in the anisotropic annulus of area $A_F(t)$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let Ω be a bounded planar domain of class C^2 , then*

$$|R'(t)| \leq 1,$$

where R is defined in (17).

Proof. From (15) follows that, for almost every $t \in [0, r_F(\Omega)]$ we have

$$R'(t) = -\frac{L_F(t)}{\sqrt{(L_0^F)^2 - 4\kappa A_F(t)}}. \quad (19)$$

Using the Steiner formula we obtain for almost every $t \in [0, r_F(\Omega)]$

$$\begin{aligned} L_F(t) &\leq L_0^F - 2\kappa t; \\ A_F(t) &= \int_0^t L_F(v) dv \leq L_0^F t - \kappa t^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$L_F(t)^2 \leq (L_0^F)^2 - 4\kappa A_F(t),$$

and putting this in (19) the thesis follows. \square

We obtain this upper bound

$$\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega) \leq \inf_{\psi \neq 0} \frac{\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \psi'(r)^2 r \, dr + \alpha r_2 \psi(r_2)^2}{\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \psi(r)^2 r \, dr} := \mu(\alpha, A_{r_1, r_2}^F), \quad (20)$$

so the infimum is attained for the first eigenfunction of the Laplacian in A_{r_1, r_2}^F , with anisotropic Robin boundary condition on $\partial\mathcal{W}_2$ and anisotropic Neumann boundary conditions on $\partial\mathcal{W}_1$. Therefore we have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $\alpha \leq 0$. For any bounded planar domain Ω of class C^2 ,*

$$\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha; \Omega) \leq \mu(\alpha, A_{r_1, r_2}^F),$$

where A_{r_1, r_2}^F is the anisotropic annulus of the same area as Ω with radii (18).

4.3 Step 3: from annuli to disks.

Let \mathcal{W}_{r_1, r_2} be the Wulff shape of the same area as the anisotropic annulus A_{r_1, r_2}^F , which has the same area A_0 as Ω . So, we have that

$$r_3 = \sqrt{\frac{A_0}{\kappa}}, \quad (21)$$

where r_3 is the radius of \mathcal{W}_{r_1, r_2} . In [19] we find the following asymptotics as $\alpha \rightarrow +\infty$:

$$\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_{r_1, r_2}) = 2\alpha \frac{r_3}{r_3^2} + O(\alpha^2) \quad (\text{Robin Wulff}); \quad (22)$$

$$\mu^\alpha(A_{r_1, r_2}^F) = 2\alpha \frac{r_2}{r_3^2} + O(\alpha^2) \quad (\text{Neumann-Robin annulus}). \quad (23)$$

Using them we can prove that, for $\alpha < 0$ small enough,

$$\mu(\alpha, A_{r_1, r_2}^F) \leq \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_{r_1, r_2}), \quad (24)$$

where \mathcal{W}_{r_1, r_2} is the Wulff shape of the same area as the anisotropic annulus A_{r_1, r_2}^F . Thus, we have proved the following theorem.

Proposition 4.7. *For any bounded domain Ω of class C^2 , there exists a negative number $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(A_0, L_0^F)$ such that*

$$\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega) \leq \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_\Omega^*)$$

holds $\forall \alpha \in [\alpha_0, 0]$, where \mathcal{W}_Ω^* is the Wulff shape of the same area as Ω .

Remark 4.8. Using the above asymptotics we can show that

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega)|_{\alpha=0} = \frac{\mathcal{H}^1(\partial\Omega)}{|\Omega|}.$$

4.4 Step 4: uniform behaviour and conclusion.

In order to complete the proof of the Theorem 4.1, it remains only to show the following fact.

Proposition 4.9. *The constant α_0 of Proposition 4.6 is independent of L_0 .*

Following [19], we need to show that the neighbourhood of zero in which (24) does not degenerate in both cases when $r_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $r_2 \rightarrow +\infty$. So, we are going to prove that α_0 remains bounded away from 0 uniformly in this two instances.

We fix $\epsilon > 0$ and we consider

$$r_1 = \sqrt{(2\epsilon r_3 + \epsilon^2)}, \quad r_2 = r_3 + \epsilon,$$

where r_3 is fixed and equal to $\sqrt{A_0/\kappa}$. In an analogous way to the one reported in [19], it can be proved that there exists $\alpha^* < 0$ such that the curve $\Gamma_A : \alpha \mapsto \mu^\alpha(A_{r_1, r_2}^F)$ stays below the curve $\Gamma_B : \alpha \mapsto \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_{r_3})$ for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $\forall \alpha \in (\alpha^*, 0)$.

Because of the simplicity of the eigenvalues, both the curves are analytic. Moreover, taking into account the asymptotics (22) and (23) we have that

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \mu(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_{r_1, r_2}) \leq \frac{d}{d\alpha} \lambda_{1,\alpha}(\alpha, A_{r_1, r_2}^F).$$

Remark 4.10. We prove that the curves Γ_A are concave in α . Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let ψ be the first eigenfunction $\mu^{\alpha+\epsilon}(A_{r_1, r_2}^F)$ of the Laplacian in the anisotropic annulus: We can choose ψ normalised in to 1, so we have

$$\mu^{\alpha+\epsilon}(A_{r_1, r_2}^F) = \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \psi'(r)^2 r \, dr + (\alpha + \epsilon) r_2 \psi(r_2)^2. \quad (25)$$

Let φ be the first eigenfunction $\mu^\alpha(A_{r_1, r_2}^F)$ normalized to 1:

$$\mu^\alpha(A_{r_1, r_2}^F) = \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \phi'(r)^2 r \, dr + (\alpha) r_2 \phi(r_2)^2. \quad (26)$$

Now, putting ϕ as a test function in the variational formula of $\mu^{\alpha+\epsilon}(A_{r_1, r_2}^F)$ we obtain

$$\mu^{\alpha+\epsilon}(A_{r_1, r_2}^F) \leq \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \phi'(r)^2 r \, dr + (\alpha + \epsilon) r_2 \phi(r_2)^2 = \mu^\alpha(A_{r_1, r_2}^F) + \epsilon r_2 \phi(r_2)^2.$$

In order to prove our claim, we need only to show that

$$\frac{d}{d\alpha} \mu^\alpha(A_{r_1, r_2}^F) = r_2 \phi(r_2)^2.$$

We prove the following more general result.

Lemma 4.11. *Let Ω be a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^2 and let u_α an eigenfunction related to the eigenvalue $\lambda(\alpha, \Omega)$, defined in (10), such that $\|u_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$. Then*

$$\lambda'_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega) := \frac{d\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega)}{d\alpha} = \int_{\partial\Omega} u_\alpha^2 F(\nu_{\partial\Omega}) d\mathcal{H}^1. \quad (27)$$

Proof. From the variational characterization (10) and using the fact that $\|u_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$ we have

$$\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} F^2(Du_\alpha) \, dx + \alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} u_\alpha^2 F(\nu_{\partial\Omega}) \, d\mathcal{H}^1. \quad (28)$$

Deriving both sides of (28) with respect to α , we obtain

$$\lambda'_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega) = 2 \int_{\Omega} F(Du_\alpha) D_\xi F(Du_\alpha) Du'_\alpha \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} u_\alpha^2 F(\nu_{\partial\Omega}) \, d\mathcal{H}^1 + 2\alpha \int_{\partial\Omega} u_\alpha u'_\alpha F(\nu_{\partial\Omega}) \, d\mathcal{H}^1. \quad (29)$$

Using the weak formulation (13) of the problem in the equation (29), remembering that u'_α is the derivative with respect to α and it is in the set of the test functions by standard elliptic regularity theory, we obtain

$$\lambda'_1(\alpha, \Omega) = 2\lambda_1(\alpha, \Omega) \int_{\Omega} u_\alpha u'_\alpha \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} u_\alpha^2 F(\nu_{\partial\Omega}) \, d\mathcal{H}^1, \quad (30)$$

and, having in mind that, from the condition $\|u_\alpha\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$,

$$\int_{\Omega} u_\alpha u'_\alpha \, dx = 0$$

we get, from (30), the equation (27). □

Therefore, since the Γ_A are concave in α and their derivative with respect to α are increasing with ϵ , we have that the tangent to the curve corresponding to a specific anisotropic annulus intersects Γ_B at one and only one point, α_1 , to the left of zero. Thanks to the concavity we can say that, for larger value of ϵ , any Γ_A that intersects Γ_B must do so to the left of α_1 .

As far as the case when ϵ is small, we follow closely the proof presented in [19]. We study the intersection points of the two curves Γ_A and Γ_B , comparing the following two equations; the first equation is the equation of the Wulff shape

$$kI_1(kr_3) + \alpha I_0(kr_3) = 0; \quad (31)$$

the second equation is the one of the Neumann-Robin anisotropic annulus

$$\begin{aligned} & K_1(k\sqrt{2\epsilon r_3 + \epsilon^2}) [kI_1(k(r_3 + \epsilon)) + \alpha I_0(k(r_3 + \epsilon))] - \\ & I_1(k\sqrt{2\epsilon r_3 + \epsilon^2}) [kK_1(k(r_3 + \epsilon)) - \alpha K_0(k(r_3 + \epsilon))] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We denote here with I_ν and K_ν the modified Bessel functions (for their properties we refer to [1]). The solution in α of the intersection is given by

$$\alpha = -k \frac{I_1(kr_3)}{I_0(kr_3)}.$$

The proof that there are no intersections between Γ_A and Γ_B for α close to zero is the same as the one presented in [19]. In this way we have proved Proposition 4.9.

5 Isoperimetric estimates with a perimeter constraint

Using the method of parallel coordinates we are able to prove also the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\alpha \leq 0$ and let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ a bounded domain of class C^2 . Then*

$$\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \Omega) \leq \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\Omega),$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\Omega$ is the Wulff shape with the same perimeter as Ω .

The crucial step in order to prove this theorem is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $\alpha < 0$. For any $0 < r_1 < r_2$ we have*

$$\mu(\alpha, A_{r_1, r_2}) \leq \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_{r_2}).$$

Proof. By symmetry, $\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_{r_2})$ is the smallest eigenvalue of the following one-dimensional problem

$$\begin{cases} -r^{-(d-1)} [r^{d-1} \phi'(r)]' = \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_{r_2}) \phi(r), r \in [0, r_2] \\ \phi'(0) = 0 \\ \phi'(r_2) + \alpha \phi(r_2) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

We can choose the associated function ϕ_1 to be positive and normalised to 1 and this eigenfunction can be used as a test function. Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\mu(\alpha, A_{r_1, r_2}) \leq \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_{r_2}) - r_1 \phi(r_1) \phi'(r_1). \quad (33)$$

Since ϕ_1 satisfies (32), we have for all $r \in [0, r_2]$

$$[r \phi_1(r) \phi_1'(r)]' = -\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_{r_2}) r \phi_1(r)^2 + r \phi_1'(r)^2 \geq 0.$$

and the inequality is due to (12). From the above inequality the function $g(r) := r \phi(r) \phi'(r)$ is non-decreasing and using (33), we obtain the desired result. \square

Remark 5.3. The following monotonicity result holds true. Let be \mathcal{W}_R be a Wulff shape of radius R . If $\alpha < 0$, then

$$R \mapsto \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_R)$$

is strictly increasing. The above result is proven for the disks in [4] and for the annuli in [28].

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Firstly, we observe that the measure of \mathcal{W}_{r_2} is greater than the measure of A_{r_1, r_2}^F and the perimeter of \mathcal{W}_{r_2} , which is equal to L_0 is less than the perimeter of A_{r_1, r_2}^F . Using theorem 4.6 and proposition 5.2 we obtain the thesis for simply connected domains, i.e. when $L_0 = P_F(\Omega)$.

Concerning the general case, when there are multiple connected domains, thanks to remark 5.3, we have that

$$\lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_{r_2}) \leq \lambda_{1,F}(\alpha, \mathcal{W}_{r_3}),$$

where $r_3 = P_F(\Omega)/2\kappa$ for all $\alpha \leq 0$. □

References

- [1] M. S: Abramowitz, I. A. Stegun, Handbook of mathematical functions, Dover, New York, 1965.
- [2] A. Alvino, V. Ferone, P.-L. Lions, G. Trombetti, *Convex symmetrization and applications*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 14. 2. (1997): 275-293.
- [3] B. Andrews, *Volume-preserving anisotropic mean curvature flow*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 50,2 (2001): 783-827.
- [4] P. R. S: Antunes, P. Freitas, D. Krejčířík, *Bounds and extremal domains for Robin eigenvalues with negative boundary parameter*, Adv. Calc. Var. 10 (2017), 357-380.
- [5] D. Bucur, D. Daners, *An alternative approach to the Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin problems*, D. Calc. Var. (2010): 37-75.
- [6] D. Bucur, V. Ferone, C. Nitsch, C. Trombetti, *A sharp estimate for the first Robin-Laplacian eigenvalue with negative boundary parameter*, arXiv:1810.06108v1.
- [7] D. Bucur, A. Giacomini, *A variational approach to the isoperimetric inequality for the Robin eigenvalue problem*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 198 (2010): 927-961.
- [8] G. Crasta, A: Malusa, *The distance function from the boundary in a Minkowski space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359, 12 (2007): 5725-5759.
- [9] B. Dacorogna, C.E. Pfister, *Wulff theorem and best constant in Sobolev inequality*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 71, 2 (1992): 97-118.
- [10] Q. Dai, Y. Fu, *Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin problem involving p-Laplacian*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser. 27, 1 (2011): 13-28.
- [11] D: Daners, *A Faber-Krahn inequality for Robin problems in any space dimension*, Math. Ann. 335 (2006): 767-785.
- [12] F. Della Pietra, N. Gavitone, *Relative isoperimetric inequality in the plane: the anisotropic case*, J. Convex Anal. 20, 1 (2013): 157-180.
- [13] F. Della Pietra, N. Gavitone, *Faber-Krahn Inequality for Anisotropic Eigenvalue Problems with Robin Boundary Conditions*, Potential Anal. 41, 4 (2014): 1147–1166.
- [14] F. Della Pietra, N. Gavitone, *Sharp bounds for the first eigenvalue and the torsional rigidity related to some anisotropic operators*, Mathematische Annalen, 287 (2014): 194-209.

- [15] G. Faber, *Beweis dass unter allen homogenen Membranen von gleicher Fläche und gleichen Spannung die kreiformiger den tiefsten Grundton gibt*, *Sitzungber, Acad. Wiss.* (1923): 169-172.
- [16] I. Fonseca, S. Müller, *A uniqueness proof for the Wulff theorem.*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*.119. 1-2 (1991): 125-136.
- [17] V. Ferone, C. Nitsch, C. Trombetti, *On a conjectured reversed Faber-Krahn inequality for a Steklov-type Laplacian eigenvalue*, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* 14, 1 (2015): 63-82.
- [18] P. Freitas, R. Laugesen, *From Neumann to Steklov and beyond, via Robin: the Weinberger way*, arXiv:1810.07461 (2018).
- [19] P. Freitas, D. Krejčířík, *The first Robin eigenvalue with negative boundary parameter*, *Adv. Math.* 280 (2015): 322-339.
- [20] N. Gavitone, L. Trani, *On the First Robin Eigenvalue of a Class of Anisotropic Operators*, *Milan J. Math.* (2018) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00032-018-0286-0>.
- [21] T. Giorgi, R. G. Smits, *Monotonicity results for the principal eigenvalue of the generalized Robin problem*, *Illinois J. Math.* 49 (2005): 1133-1143.
- [22] A. Henrot, *Extremum problems for eigenvalues of elliptic operators*. *Frontiers in Mathematics*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2006).
- [23] J. Kennedy, *On the isoperimetric problem for the Laplacian with Robin and Wentzell boundary conditions*, doctoral thesis, March 2010.
- [24] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*. Cambridge University Press (1993).
- [25] L. E. Payne, H. F. Weinberger, *Some isoperimetric inequalities for membrane frequencies and torsional rigidity*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2 (1961):210-216.
- [26] A. Savo, *Lower bounds for the nodal length of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian*, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* 16 (2001): 133-151.
- [27] G. Szegő, *Inequalities for certain eigenvalues of a membrane of given area*, *J. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 3 (1954): 343-356.
- [28] L. Trani, *Some Remarks on Robin-Laplacian Eigenvalues*, *Rend. Acc. Sc. Fis. Mat. Napoli.* 84 (2017): 87-96.