

NONCONFORMING VIRTUAL ELEMENT METHOD FOR $2m$ -TH ORDER PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN \mathbb{R}^n

LONG CHEN AND XUEHAI HUANG

ABSTRACT. A uniform construction of the H^m -nonconforming virtual elements of any order k is developed on any shape of polytope in \mathbb{R}^n with constraints $m \leq n$ and $k \geq m$. As a vital tool in the construction, a generalized Green's identity for H^m inner product is derived. The H^m -nonconforming virtual element methods are then used to solve the m -harmonic equation. After establishing a bound on the jump related to the weak continuity, the optimal error estimate of the canonical interpolation, and the norm equivalence of the stabilization term, the optimal error estimates are derived for the H^m -nonconforming virtual element methods.

1. INTRODUCTION

We intend to construct H^m -nonconforming virtual elements on a very general polytope $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in any dimension and any order with constraints $m \leq n$ and $k \geq m$. The virtual element was described as a generalization of the finite element on a general polytope in [7, 8], thus it is helpful to recall the definition of the finite element first.

A finite element on K was defined as a triple (K, V_K, \mathcal{N}_K) in [18], where V_K is the finite-dimensional space of shape functions, and \mathcal{N}_K the set of degrees of freedom (d.o.f.). The set \mathcal{N}_K forms a basis of $(V_K)'$ the dual space of the space of shape functions. The shape functions of the finite element are usually polynomials, and their basis functions being dual to the degrees of freedom \mathcal{N}_K have to be explicitly constructed for the implementation, which is painful for high order cases (either k, m , or n is large).

We can also represent the virtual element as a triple (K, \mathcal{N}_K, V_K) . Here we reorder V_K and \mathcal{N}_K to emphasize that the set of the degrees of freedom \mathcal{N}_K is crucial in the construction of the virtual element, and the space of shape functions V_K is virtual. Indeed after having the degrees of freedom \mathcal{N}_K , we may attach different spaces. The space of shape functions V_K is only required to include all polynomials of the total degree up to k for the approximation property. Different from the finite element, one advantage of the virtual element is that the basis functions of V_k are not explicitly required in the implementation. When forming the linear system of the virtual element method, the computation of all the to-be-required quantities can be transferred to the computation of the degrees of freedom.

Construction of H^m -conforming or nonconforming elements is an active topic in the field of the finite element method in recent years. Some H^m -conforming finite elements with polynomial shape functions were designed on the simplices

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65N30; 65N12; 65N22;

The second author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China Project 11771338, Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China Project LY17A010010.

in [4, 25, 10, 2, 32] and on the hyperrectangles in [33, 22, 20]. For general m , nonconforming elements on the simplices are easier to construct than conforming ones. In [28, 27], Wang and Xu constructed the minimal H^m -nonconforming elements in any dimension with $m \leq n$. Recently Wu and Xu extended these minimal H^m -nonconforming elements to $m = n + 1$ by enriching the space of shape functions with bubble functions in [31], and to arbitrary m and n by using the interior penalty technique in [30]. In two dimensions, Hu and Zhang designed the H^m -nonconforming elements on the triangle for any m in [23]. On the other hand, the H^2 -conforming virtual element, the C^0 -type H^2 -nonconforming virtual element and the fully H^2 -nonconforming virtual element on the polygon with any shape in two dimensions were developed in [14], [34] and [3, 35] respectively. And in [29], a nonconforming Crouzeix-Raviart type, i.e., H^1 -nonconforming finite element was advanced on the polygon.

We shall construct the H^m -nonconforming virtual element in any order on the polytope with any shape in any dimension. The vital tool is the following generalized Green's identity for the H^m space

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla^m u, \nabla^m v)_K &= ((-\Delta)^m u, v)_K \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda_j \\ |\alpha| \leq m-j}} \left(D_{F, \alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(u), \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha} \right)_F, \end{aligned}$$

which is proved by the mathematical induction and integration by parts. Imagining u in the Green's identity (1.1) as a polynomial of degree k temporarily, we acquire the degrees of freedom $\mathcal{N}_k(K)$ from the right hand side of the Green's identity (1.1). And the space $V_k(K)$ of shape functions is defined inherently by requiring the first terms to be in polynomial spaces. Namely the right hand side of (1.1) provides a natural duality of $V_k(K)$ and $\mathcal{N}_k(K)$. As a result we construct the fully H^m -nonconforming virtual element $(K, \mathcal{N}_k(K), V_k(K))$ completely based on the Green's identity (1.1). If K is a simplex and $k = m$, the virtual element $(K, \mathcal{N}_k(K), V_k(K))$ is reduced to the nonconforming finite element in [28], hence we generalize the nonconforming finite element in [28] to high order $k > m$ and arbitrary polytopes. In two dimensions, we recover the fully H^2 -nonconforming virtual element in [3, 35].

After introducing the local H^m projection Π^K and a stabilization term using d.o.f., we propose H^m -nonconforming virtual element methods for solving the m -harmonic equation. We assume the mesh \mathcal{T}_h admits a virtual quasi-uniform triangulation, and each element in \mathcal{T}_h is star-shaped. A bound on the jump $[\![\nabla_h^s v_h]\!]_s$ is derived using the weak continuity and the trace inequality, with which we show the discrete Poincaré inequality for the global virtual element space. The optimal error estimate of the canonical interpolation $I_h u$ is achieved after establishing a Galerkin orthogonality of $u - I_h u$. By employing the bubble function technique which was frequently used in proving the efficiency of the a posteriori error estimators, the inverse inequality for polynomials, the generalized Green's identity and the trace inequality, we acquire the norm equivalence of the stabilization term on $\ker(\Pi^K)$. The optimal error estimates are derived for the H^m -nonconforming virtual element methods by further estimate the consistency error.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present some notations and the construction of the fully H^1 - and H^2 -nonconforming virtual elements. The general fully H^m -nonconforming virtual element is designed in Section 3. The

corresponding H^m -nonconforming virtual element method and its error estimate are shown in Section 4 and Section 5 respectively. In the Appendix, we prove the norm equivalence.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation. Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n \geq 1$) is a bounded polytope. For any nonnegative integer r and $1 \leq \ell \leq n$, denote the set of r -tensor spaces over \mathbb{R}^ℓ by $\mathbb{T}_\ell(r) := (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^r = \prod_{j=1}^r \mathbb{R}^\ell$. Given a bounded domain $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a nonnegative integer k , let $H^k(G; \mathbb{T}_\ell(r))$ be the usual Sobolev space of functions over G taking values in the tensor space $\mathbb{T}_\ell(r)$. The corresponding norm and semi-norm are denoted respectively by $\|\cdot\|_{k,G}$ and $|\cdot|_{k,G}$. It is customary to rewrite $H^k(G; \mathbb{T}_\ell(0))$ as $H^k(G)$. Define $H_0^k(G)$ as the closure of $C_0^\infty(G)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_{k,G}$, and define $H_0^1(G; \mathbb{T}_\ell(r))$ in a similar way. Let $(\cdot, \cdot)_G$ be the standard inner product on $L^2(G; \mathbb{T}_\ell(r))$. If G is Ω , we abbreviate $\|\cdot\|_{k,G}$, $|\cdot|_{k,G}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)_G$ by $\|\cdot\|_k$, $|\cdot|_k$ and (\cdot, \cdot) , respectively. Notation $\mathbb{P}_k(G)$ stands for the set of all polynomials over G with the total degree no more than k . And denote by $\mathbb{P}_k(G; \mathbb{T}_\ell(r))$ the tensorial version space of $\mathbb{P}_k(G)$. Let Q_k^G be the L^2 -orthogonal projection onto $\mathbb{P}_k(G; \mathbb{T}_\ell(r))$. For any $F \subset \partial G$, denote by $\nu_{G,F}$ the unit outward normal to ∂G . Without causing any confusion, we will abbreviate $\nu_{G,F}$ as ν for simplicity.

For an n dimensional multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}$, define $|\alpha| := \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$. For $0 \leq \ell \leq n$, let A_ℓ be the set consisting of all multi-indexes α with $\sum_{i=\ell+1}^n \alpha_i = 0$, i.e., non-zero index only exists for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. For any nonnegative integer k , define the scaled monomial $\mathbb{M}_k(G)$ on an ℓ -dimensional domain G

$$\mathbb{M}_k(G) := \left\{ \left(\frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_G}{h_G} \right)^\alpha, \alpha \in A_\ell, |\alpha| \leq k \right\},$$

where h_G is the diameter of G and \mathbf{x}_G is the centroid of G .

Let $\{\mathcal{T}_h\}$ be a regular family of partitions of Ω into nonoverlapping simple polytopal elements with $h := \max_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} h_K$. Let \mathcal{F}_h^r be the set of all $(n-r)$ -dimensional faces of the partition \mathcal{T}_h for $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and its boundary part

$$\mathcal{F}_h^{r,\partial} := \{F \in \mathcal{F}_h^r : F \subset \partial\Omega\},$$

and interior part $\mathcal{F}_h^{r,i} := \mathcal{F}_h^r \setminus \mathcal{F}_h^{r,\partial}$. Moreover, we set for each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$

$$\mathcal{F}^r(K) := \{F \in \mathcal{F}_h^r : F \subset \partial K\}.$$

The subscript r in \mathcal{F}_h^r represents the co-dimension of an $(n-r)$ -dimensional face F as we shall show later the degree of freedom will be associated to the r -normal vectors of F . Similarly, we define

$$\mathcal{F}^j(F) := \{e \in \mathcal{F}_h^{r+j} : e \subset \overline{F}\}.$$

Here j is the co-dimension relative to the face F . Apparently $\mathcal{F}^0(F) = F$.

For any $F \in \mathcal{F}_h^r$ and nonnegative integer $j \leq n-r$, let $\nu_{F,1}, \dots, \nu_{F,r}$ be its mutually perpendicular unit normal vectors, and define the surface gradient on F as

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla_F v := \nabla v - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{F,i}} \nu_{F,i},$$

namely the projection of ∇v to the face F , which is independent of the choice of the normal vectors. And denote by div_F the corresponding surface divergence. For any $F \in \mathcal{F}_h^r$ and $\alpha \in A_r$ for $r = 1, \dots, m$, set

$$\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha} := \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_{F,1}^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial \nu_{F,r}^{\alpha_r}}.$$

For any $(n-2)$ -dimensional face $e \in \mathcal{F}_h^2$, denote

$$\partial^{-1} e := \{F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1 : e \subset \partial F\}.$$

Similarly for any $(n-1)$ -dimensional face $F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1$, let

$$\partial^{-1} F := \{K \in \mathcal{T}_h : F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)\}.$$

For non-negative integers m and k , let

$$H^m(\mathcal{T}_h) := \{v \in L^2(\Omega) : v|_K \in H^m(K) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\},$$

$$\mathbb{P}_k(\mathcal{T}_h) := \{v \in L^2(\Omega) : v|_K \in \mathbb{P}_k(K) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h\}.$$

For a function $v \in H^m(\mathcal{T}_h)$, equip the usual broken H^m -type norm and semi-norm

$$\|v\|_{m,h} := \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} \|v\|_{m,K}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad |v|_{m,h} := \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} |v|_{m,K}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We introduce jumps on $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces. Consider two adjacent elements K^+ and K^- sharing an interior $(n-1)$ -dimensional face F . Denote by ν^+ and ν^- the unit outward normals to the common face F of the elements K^+ and K^- , respectively. For a scalar-valued or tensor-valued function v , write $v^+ := v|_{K^+}$ and $v^- := v|_{K^-}$. Then define the jump on F as follows:

$$[[v]] := v^+ \nu_{F,1} \cdot \nu^+ + v^- \nu_{F,1} \cdot \nu^-.$$

On a face F lying on the boundary $\partial\Omega$, the above term is defined by

$$[[v]] := v \nu_{F,1} \cdot \nu.$$

Throughout this paper, we also use “ $\lesssim \dots$ ” to mean that “ $\leq C \dots$ ”, where C is a generic positive constant independent of h , which may take different values at different appearances. And $A \approx B$ means $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$. Hereafter, we always assume $k \geq m$.

We summarize important notation in the following tables.

TABLE 1. Notation of the mesh, element, and faces.

m	order of differentiation H^m	n	dimension of space \mathbb{R}^n	$m \leq n, k \geq m$
k	degree of polynomial \mathbb{P}_k	r	co-dimension of a face	$0 \leq r \leq n$
\mathcal{T}_h	a mesh of Ω	K	a polytope element	$K \in \mathcal{T}_h$
\mathcal{F}_h^r	$(n-r)$ -dimensional face	F	a typical face	$F \in \mathcal{F}_h^r$
$\partial^{-1}e$	all faces surrounding e	$\partial^{-1}F$	elements containing F	$e \in \mathcal{F}_h^2, F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1$

TABLE 2. Notation for differentiation

$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ A_r set of multi-index $\nu_{F,1}, \dots, \nu_{F,r}$ $\nabla_F v := \nabla v - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{F,i}} \nu_{F,i}$ $D_{F,\alpha}^j(v)$ $\frac{\partial^{ \alpha } v}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha} := \frac{\partial^{ \alpha } v}{\partial \nu_{F,1}^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial \nu_{F,r}^{\alpha_r}}$	an n -dimensional multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r, 0, \dots, 0)$ for $\alpha \in A_r$ r linearly independent unit normal vectors for $F \in \mathcal{F}_h^r$ surface gradient on F a j -th order derivative of v on F a multi-indexed normal derivative on F
---	--

2.2. H^1 -nonconforming virtual element. To drive the H^m -nonconforming virtual element in a unified framework, we first revisit the simplest case for the purpose of discovering the underlying mechanism. Taking any $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, let $u \in H^2(K)$ and $v \in H^1(K)$. Applying the integration by parts, it holds

$$(2.2) \quad (\nabla u, \nabla v)_K = -(\Delta u, v)_K + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{K,F}}, v \right)_F.$$

Imaging $u \in \mathbb{P}_k(K)$, we are inspired by the Green's identity (2.2) to advance the following local degrees of freedom (dofs) $\mathcal{N}_k(K)$ of the H^1 nonconforming virtual element:

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{|F|} (v, q)_F \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{M}_{k-1}(F) \text{ on each } F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{|K|} (v, q)_K \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{M}_{k-2}(K).$$

The local space of the H^1 -nonconforming virtual element is

$$V_k(K) := \left\{ u \in H^1(K) : \Delta u \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(K), \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_{K,F}}|_F \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}(F) \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K) \right\}$$

for $k \geq 1$. This is the H^1 -nonconforming virtual element constructed in [5].

2.3. H^2 -nonconforming virtual element. Then we consider the case $m = 2$. For each $F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)$ and any function $v \in H^4(K)$, set

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\nu\nu}(v) &:= \nu_{F,1}^\top (\nabla^2 v) \nu_{K,F}, \\ M_{\nu t}(v) &:= (\nabla^2 v) \nu_{K,F} - M_{\nu\nu}(v) \nu_{F,1}, \\ Q_\nu(v) &:= \nu_{K,F}^\top \operatorname{div}(\nabla^2 v) + \operatorname{div}_F M_{\nu t}(v). \end{aligned}$$

In two dimensions, $M_{\nu\nu}(v)$, $M_{\nu t}(v)$ and $Q_\nu(v)$ are called normal bending moment, twisting moment and effective transverse shear force respectively when v is the deflection of the thin plate in the context of elastic mechanics [19, 24].

Lemma 2.1. *For any $u \in H^4(K)$ and $v \in H^2(K)$, it holds*

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla^2 u, \nabla^2 v)_K &= (\Delta^2 u, v)_K + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)} \left[(M_{\nu\nu}(u), \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{F,1}})_F - (Q_\nu(u), v)_F \right] \\ &+ \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^2(K)} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K) \cap \partial^{-1}e} (\nu_{F,e}^\top M_{\nu t}(u), v)_e. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using integration by parts, we get

$$(\operatorname{div}(\nabla^2 u), \nabla v)_K = -(\Delta^2 u, v)_K + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)} (\nu_{K,F}^\top \operatorname{div}(\nabla^2 u), v)_F,$$

and for each $F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)$,

$$(M_{\nu t}(u), \nabla_F v)_F = -(\operatorname{div}_F M_{\nu t}(u), v)_F + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^1(F)} (\nu_{F,e}^\top M_{\nu t}(u), v)_e.$$

Then we acquire from the two equalities

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^2 u, \nabla^2 v)_K &= -(\operatorname{div}(\nabla^2 u), \nabla v)_K + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)} ((\nabla^2 u) \nu_{K,F}, \nabla v)_F \\ &= -(\operatorname{div}(\nabla^2 u), \nabla v)_K + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)} (M_{\nu\nu}(u), \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{F,1}})_F \\ &\quad + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)} (M_{\nu t}(u), \nabla_F v)_F \\ &= (\Delta^2 u, v)_K + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)} \left[(M_{\nu\nu}(u), \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{F,1}})_F - (Q_\nu(u), v)_F \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^1(F)} (\nu_{F,e}^\top M_{\nu t}(u), v)_e, \end{aligned}$$

which ends the proof. \square

Inspired by the Green's identity (2.5), for any element $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and integer $k \geq 2$, the local degrees of freedom $\mathcal{N}_k(K)$ of the H^2 nonconforming virtual element are given as follows:

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{|e|} (v, q)_e \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{M}_{k-2}(e) \text{ on each } e \in \mathcal{F}^2(K),$$

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{|F|} (v, q)_F \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{M}_{k-3}(F) \text{ on each } F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K),$$

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{|F|^{(n-2)/(n-1)}} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{F,1}}, q \right)_F \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{M}_{k-2}(F) \text{ on each } F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K),$$

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{1}{|K|} (v, q)_K \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{M}_{k-4}(K).$$

The local space of the H^2 nonconforming virtual element as

$$\begin{aligned} V_k(K) &:= \{u \in H^2(K) : \Delta^2 u \in \mathbb{P}_{k-4}(K), M_{\nu\nu}(u)|_F \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(F), Q_\nu(u)|_F \in \mathbb{P}_{k-3}(F), \\ &\quad \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K) \cap \partial^{-1}e} \nu_{F,e}^\top M_{\nu t}(u)|_e \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}(e) \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K), e \in \mathcal{F}^2(K)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2. In two dimensions, the degrees of freedom (2.6) will be reduced to the function values on the vertices of K . Then the virtual element $(K, \mathcal{N}_k(K), V_k(K))$ is the same as that in [3, 35].

Remark 2.3. If the element $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ is a simplex and $k = 2$, the degrees of freedom (2.7) and (2.9) disappear, and the degrees of freedom (2.6) and (2.8) are the same as the Morley-Wang-Xu element's degrees of freedom in [27]. Indeed the virtual element $(K, \mathcal{N}_k(K), V_k(K))$ coincides with the Morley-Wang-Xu element in [27] when $k = 2$ and K is a simplex.

3. H^m -NONCONFORMING VIRTUAL ELEMENT WITH $1 \leq m \leq n$

In this section, we will construct the H^m -nonconforming virtual element. It has been illustrated in Sections 2.2-2.3 that the Green's identity plays a vital role in deriving the H^1 and H^2 nonconforming virtual elements. To this end, we shall derive a generalized Green's identity for the H^m space first.

3.1. Generalized Green's identity. For any scalar or tensor-valued smooth function v , nonnegative integer j , $F \in \mathcal{F}_h^r$ with $1 \leq r \leq n$, and $\alpha \in A_r$, we use $D_{F,\alpha}^j(v)$ to denote some j -th order derivative of v restrict on F , which may take different expressions at different appearances.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, positive integer $s \leq m - 1$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K)$ with $1 \leq r \leq n - s$. There exist differential operators $D_{e,\alpha}^{s-j-|\alpha|}$ for $j = 0, \dots, s$, $e \in \mathcal{F}^j(F)$ and $\alpha \in A_{r+j}$ with $|\alpha| \leq s - j$ such that for any $\tau \in H^{s+1}(F; \mathbb{T}_n(s))$ and $(\nabla^s v)|_F \in L^2(F; \mathbb{T}_n(s))$, it holds*

$$(3.1) \quad (\tau, \nabla^s v)_F = \sum_{j=0}^s \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^j(F)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_{r+j} \\ |\alpha| \leq s-j}} \left(D_{e,\alpha}^{s-j-|\alpha|}(\tau), \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_e^\alpha} \right)_e.$$

Proof. We adopt the mathematical induction to prove the identity (3.1). When $s = 1$, we get from (2.1) and integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau, \nabla v)_F &= \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\tau, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{F,i}} \nu_{F,i} \right)_F + (\tau, \nabla_F v)_F \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\nu_{F,i}^T \tau, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{F,i}} \right)_F - (\operatorname{div}_F \tau, v)_F + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^1(F)} \left(\nu_{F,e}^T \tau, v \right)_e. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the identity (3.1) holds for $s = 1$.

Next assume the identity (3.1) is true for $s = \ell - 1$ with $2 \leq \ell \leq m - 1$, then let us prove it is also true for $s = \ell$. We get from (2.1) and integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau, \nabla^\ell v)_F &= \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\tau \nu_{F,i}, \nabla^{\ell-1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{F,i}} \right)_F + (\tau, \nabla_F \nabla^{\ell-1} v)_F \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r \left(\tau \nu_{F,i}, \nabla^{\ell-1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{F,i}} \right)_F - (\operatorname{div}_F \tau, \nabla^{\ell-1} v)_F + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^1(F)} \left(\tau \nu_{F,e}, \nabla^{\ell-1} v \right)_e. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the assumption with $s = \ell - 1$ to the right hand side of the last equation term by term, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tau\nu_{F,i}, \nabla^{\ell-1} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{F,i}})_F &= \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^j(F)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_{r+j} \\ |\alpha| \leq \ell-1-j}} \left(D_{e,\alpha}^{\ell-1-j-|\alpha|} (\tau\nu_{F,i}), \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \nu_e^\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{F,i}} \right) \right)_e, \\ (\operatorname{div}_F \tau, \nabla^{\ell-1} v)_F &= \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^j(F)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_{r+j} \\ |\alpha| \leq \ell-1-j}} \left(D_{e,\alpha}^{\ell-1-j-|\alpha|} (\operatorname{div}_F \tau), \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \nu_e^\alpha} v \right)_e, \\ (\tau\nu_{F,e}, \nabla^{\ell-1} v)_e &= \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{\tilde{e} \in \mathcal{F}^j(\tilde{e})} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_{r+1+j} \\ |\alpha| \leq \ell-1-j}} \left(D_{\tilde{e},\alpha}^{\ell-1-j-|\alpha|} (\tau\nu_{F,e}), \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \nu_{\tilde{e}}^\alpha} v \right)_{\tilde{e}}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we conclude (3.1) for $s = \ell$ by combining the last four equations and the fact that $\nu_{F,i}$ is a linear combination of $\nu_{e,1}, \dots, \nu_{e,r+j}$ if $e \in \mathcal{F}^j(F)$. \square

For each term in the right hand side of (3.1), the total number of differentiation of the integrand is $s - j$. In view of Stokes theorem, $e \in \mathcal{F}^j(F)$ can be thought as $e \in \partial^j(F)$ so that the total number of differentiation is s which matches that of the left hand side.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $1 \leq m \leq n$. There exist differential operators $D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$, $F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)$ and $\alpha \in A_j$ with $|\alpha| \leq m - j$ such that it holds for any $u \in H^{2m}(K)$ and $v \in H^m(K)$*

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla^m u, \nabla^m v)_K &= ((-\Delta)^m u, v)_K \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_j \\ |\alpha| \leq m-j}} \left(D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|} (u), \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha} v \right)_F. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We still use the mathematical induction to prove the identity (3.2). The identity (3.2) for $m = 1$ and $m = 2$ is just the identities (2.2) and (2.5) respectively. Assume the identity (3.2) is true for $m = \ell - 1$ with $3 \leq \ell \leq n$, then let us prove the identity (3.2) is also true for $m = \ell$.

Applying the integration by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla^\ell u, \nabla^\ell v)_K &= -(\operatorname{div} \nabla^\ell u, \nabla^{\ell-1} v)_K + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)} ((\nabla^\ell u) \nu_{K,F}, \nabla^{\ell-1} v)_F \\ &= (\nabla^{\ell-1} (-\Delta u), \nabla^{\ell-1} v)_K + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)} ((\nabla^\ell u) \nu_{K,F}, \nabla^{\ell-1} v)_F. \end{aligned}$$

Since the identity (3.2) holds for $m = \ell - 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\nabla^{\ell-1} (-\Delta u), \nabla^{\ell-1} v)_K \\ &= ((-\Delta)^\ell u, v)_K + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_j \\ |\alpha| \leq \ell-1-j}} \left(D_{F,\alpha}^{2(\ell-1)-j-|\alpha|} (-\Delta u), \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha} v \right)_F. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\tau = (\nabla^\ell u)\nu_{K,F}$, $s = \ell - 1$ and $r = 1$ in (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} ((\nabla^\ell u)\nu_{K,F}, \nabla^{\ell-1} v)_F &= \sum_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^j(F)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_{1+j} \\ |\alpha| \leq \ell-1-j}} \left(D_{e,\alpha}^{\ell-1-j-|\alpha|} ((\nabla^\ell u)\nu_{K,F}), \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_e^\alpha} \right)_e \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^{j-1}(F)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_j \\ |\alpha| \leq \ell-j}} \left(D_{e,\alpha}^{\ell-j-|\alpha|} ((\nabla^\ell u)\nu_{K,F}), \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_e^\alpha} \right)_e. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we finish the proof by combining the last three equations. \square

3.2. Virtual element space. Inspired by identity (3.2), for any element $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and integer $k \geq m$, the local degrees of freedom $\mathcal{N}_k(K)$ are given as follows:

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{|K|} (v, q)_K \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{M}_{k-2m}(K),$$

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{1}{|F|^{(n-j-|\alpha|)/(n-j)}} \left(\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha}, q \right)_F \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{M}_{k-(2m-j-|\alpha|)}(F)$$

on each $F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)$, where $j = 1, \dots, m$, $\alpha \in A_j$ and $|\alpha| \leq m - j$.

Again due to the first terms in the inner products of the right hand side of (3.2), and the degrees of freedom (3.3)-(3.4), it is inherent to define the local space of the H^m -nonconforming virtual element as

$$\begin{aligned} V_k(K) &:= \{u \in H^m(K) : (-\Delta)^m u \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2m}(K), \\ &\quad D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(u)|_F \in \mathbb{P}_{k-(2m-j-|\alpha|)}(F) \quad \forall F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K), \\ &\quad j = 1, \dots, m, \alpha \in A_j \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq m - j\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the following we shall prove that $(K, \mathcal{N}_k(K), V_k(K))$ forms a finite element triple in the sense of Ciarlet [18]. Unlike the traditional finite element, in virtual element, only the set of the degrees of freedom $\mathcal{N}_k(K)$ needs to be explicitly known. The ‘virtual’ space $V_k(K)$ is only needed for the purpose of analysis and the specific formulation for $D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}$ is not needed in the definition of $V_k(K)$.

The following property is the direct result of (3.1) and the definition of the degrees of freedom (3.4).

Lemma 3.3. *Let $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, $F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K)$ with $1 \leq r \leq m$, nonnegative integer $s \leq m - r$ satisfying $k \geq 2m - (r + s)$. For any $\tau \in \mathbb{P}_{k-(2m-r-s)}(F; \mathbb{T}_n(s))$ and $(\nabla^s v)|_F \in L^2(F; \mathbb{T}_n(s))$, the term*

$$(\tau, \nabla^s v)_F$$

is uniquely determined by the degrees of freedom $\left(\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_e^\alpha}, q \right)_e$ for all $0 \leq j \leq s$, $e \in \mathcal{F}^j(F)$, $\alpha \in A_{r+j}$ with $|\alpha| \leq s - j$, and $q \in \mathbb{M}_{k-(2m-r-j-|\alpha|)}(e)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$. The degrees of freedom (3.3)-(3.4) are unisolvent for the local virtual element space $V_k(K)$.*

Proof. Consider the local polyharmonic equation with the Neumann boundary condition

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m u = f_1 & \text{in } K, \\ D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(u) = g_j^{F,\alpha} & \text{on each } F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K) \\ & \text{with } j = 1, \dots, m, \alpha \in A_j \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq m-j, \\ (f_1, q)_K \\ + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_j \\ |\alpha| \leq m-j}} \left(g_j^{F,\alpha}, \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} q}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha} \right)_F = 0 \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(K), \end{cases}$$

where $f_1 \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2m}(K)$, $g_j^{F,\alpha} \in \mathbb{P}_{k-(2m-j-|\alpha|)}(F)$. The last equation in (3.5) is the consistency condition for the Neumann problem.

By the identity (3.2), the variational formulation of the local problem (3.5) is to find $u \in H^m(K)/\mathbb{P}_{m-1}(K)$ such that

$$(\nabla^m u, \nabla^m v)_K = (f_1, v)_K + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_j \\ |\alpha| \leq m-j}} \left(g_j^{F,\alpha}, \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha} \right)_F$$

for all $v \in H^m(K)/\mathbb{P}_{m-1}(K)$. The wellposedness of this variational formulation is guaranteed by the Lax-Milgram lemma [6, 18]. Hence the dimension of $V_k(K)$ is same as the number of degrees of freedom (3.3)-(3.4).

On the other hand, let $v \in V_k(K)$ and suppose all the degrees of freedom (3.3)-(3.4) vanish. We get from (3.2)

$$\|\nabla^m v\|_{0,K}^2 = 0.$$

Thus $v \in \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(K)$. By Lemma 3.3 with $s = m - r$, we have for any $F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K)$ with $1 \leq r \leq m$

$$(3.6) \quad (\tau, \nabla^s v)_F = 0 \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{P}_0(F; \mathbb{T}_n(s)).$$

Due to (3.6) with $r = 1$ and the fact $v \in \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(K)$, it follows $v \in \mathbb{P}_{m-2}(K)$. Recursively applying (3.6) with $r = 2, \dots, m$ gives $v = 0$. This ends the proof. \square

Remark 3.5. If the element $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ is a simplex and $k = m$, the degrees of freedom (3.3) disappear, and the degrees of freedom (3.4) are same as those of the nonconforming finite element in [28]. Since $\mathbb{P}_m(K) \subset V_k(K)$, the virtual element $(K, \mathcal{N}_k(K), V_k(K))$ coincides with the nonconforming finite element in [28] when K is a simplex and $k = m$, which is the minimal finite element for the $2m$ -th order partial differential equations in \mathbb{R}^n . In other words, we generalize the nonconforming finite element in [28] to high order $k > m$ and arbitrary polytopes.

3.3. Local projections. For each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, define a local H^m projection $\Pi^K : H^m(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k(K)$ as follows: given $v \in H^m(K)$, let $\Pi_k^K v \in \mathbb{P}_k(K)$ be the solution of the problem

$$(3.7) \quad (\nabla^m \Pi_k^K v, \nabla^m q)_K = (\nabla^m v, \nabla^m q)_K \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_k(K),$$

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K)} Q_0^F(\nabla^{m-r} \Pi_k^K v) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K)} Q_0^F(\nabla^{m-r} v), \quad r = 1, \dots, m.$$

The number of equations in (3.8) is

$$\sum_{r=1}^m C_{n+m-1-r}^{n-1} = C_{n+m-1}^n = \dim(\mathbb{P}_{m-1}(K)).$$

Then the wellposedness of (3.7)-(3.8) can be shown by the similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.4. To simplify the notation, we will write as Π^K .

Obviously we have

$$(3.9) \quad |\Pi^K v|_{m,K} \leq |v|_{m,K} \quad \forall v \in H^m(K).$$

We show the projection $\Pi^K u$ is computable using the degrees of freedom (3.3)-(3.4).

Lemma 3.6. *The operator $\Pi^K : H^m(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k(K)$ is a projector, i.e.*

$$(3.10) \quad \Pi^K v = v \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{P}_k(K).$$

And the projector Π^K can be computed using only the degrees of freedom (3.3)-(3.4).

Proof. We first show that Π^K is a projector. Let $p = \Pi^K v - v \in \mathbb{P}_k(K)$. Taking $q = p$ in (3.7), we get $\nabla^m p = 0$, i.e. $p \in \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(K)$. By (3.8),

$$\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K)} Q_0^F(\nabla^{m-r} p) = 0, \quad r = 1, \dots, m.$$

Therefore $p = 0$, which means Π^K is a projector.

Next by applying the identity (3.2), the right hand side of (3.7)

$$(\nabla^m v, \nabla^m q)_K = (v, (-\Delta)^m q)_K + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_j \\ |\alpha| \leq m-j}} \left(\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha}, D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(q) \right)_F.$$

Hence we conclude from the degrees of freedom (3.3)-(3.4) and Lemma 3.3 with $s = m - r$ that the right hand sides of (3.7)-(3.8) are computable. \square

Remark 3.7. $D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}$ is needed in the computation of Π^K . But since $q \in \mathbb{P}_k(K)$, $\nabla^m q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-m}(K)$ and few terms are left for moderate k .

Let $W_k(K) := V_k(K)$ for $k \geq 3m - 1$ or $m \leq k \leq 2m - 1$. To compute the L^2 projection onto $\mathbb{P}_{m-1}(K)$ for $2m \leq k < 3m - 1$, following [1], define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_k(K) := \{v \in H^m(K) : (-\Delta)^m v \in \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(K), D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)|_F \in \mathbb{P}_{k-(2m-j-|\alpha|)}(F), \\ \forall F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K), j = 1, \dots, m, \alpha \in A_j \text{ and } |\alpha| \leq m - j\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$W_k(K) := \{v \in \tilde{V}_k(K) : (v - \Pi^K v, q)_K = 0 \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(K) \setminus \mathbb{P}_{k-2m}(K)\}.$$

It is apparent that $\mathbb{P}_k(K) \subset W_K$ and the local space W_K shares the same degree of freedoms as V_K . That is for the same $\mathcal{N}_k(K)$, we can associate different ‘virtual’ spaces and thus have different interpretation.

Lemma 3.8. *The degrees of freedom (3.3)-(3.4) are unisolvent for the local virtual element space $W_k(K)$.*

Proof. It is enough to only consider the case $2m \leq k < 3m - 1$. Take any $v \in W_k(K)$ all of whose degrees of freedom (3.3)-(3.4) disappear. Then $\Pi^K v = 0$. By the definition of $W_k(K)$, we have

$$(v, q)_K = 0 \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(K) \setminus \mathbb{P}_{k-2m}(K),$$

which together with (3.3) implies

$$(v, q)_K = 0 \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(K).$$

Applying the argument in Lemma 3.4 to the space $\tilde{V}_k(K)$ with vanishing degrees of freedom (3.4) and the last equation, we know that $v = 0$. \square

In the original space $V_k(K)$, the volume moment, cf. (3.3), is only defined up to degree $k - 2m$ which cannot compute the L^2 -projection to \mathbb{P}_{m-1} when k is small. A desirable property of the local virtual element space $W_k(K)$ is that now the L^2 projection Q_{m-1}^K is computable if all the degrees of freedom (3.3)-(3.4) are known: for any $q \in \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(K)$,

$$(Q_{m-1}^K v, q) = \begin{cases} (v, q) & \text{if } q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2m}(K), \\ (\Pi^K v, q) & \text{if } q \in \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(K) \setminus \mathbb{P}_{k-2m}(K). \end{cases}$$

Denote by $I_K : H^m(K) \rightarrow W_k(K)$ the canonical interpolation operator based on the degrees of freedom in (3.3)-(3.4). Namely given a $u \in H^m(K)$, $I_K u \in W_k(K)$ so that $\chi(u) = \chi(I_K u)$ for all $\chi \in \mathcal{N}_k(K)$. As a direct corollary of Lemma 3.6, we have the following identity.

Corollary 3.9. *For any $v \in H^m(K)$, it holds*

$$(3.11) \quad \Pi^K(v) = \Pi^K(I_K v).$$

4. DISCRETE METHOD

We will present the virtual element method for the polyharmonic equation based on the virtual element $(K, \mathcal{N}_k(K), V_k(K))$ or $(K, \mathcal{N}_k(K), W_k(K))$ when L^2 -projection is needed.

4.1. Discretization. Consider the polyharmonic equation with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition

$$(4.1) \quad (-\Delta)^m u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $1 \leq m \leq n$. The primal formulation of the polyharmonic equation (4.1) is to find $u \in H_0^m(\Omega)$ such that

$$(\nabla^m u, \nabla^m v) = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in H_0^m(\Omega).$$

Define the global virtual element space as

$V_h := \{v_h \in L^2(\Omega) : v_h|_K \in W_k(K) \text{ for each } K \in \mathcal{T}_h; \text{ the degrees of freedom}$

$(\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v_h}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha}, q)_F$ are continuous through F for all

$q \in \mathbb{M}_{k-(2m-j-|\alpha|)}(F), \alpha \in A_j$ with $|\alpha| \leq m - j, F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)$,

and $j = 1, \dots, m; (\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v_h}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha}, q)_F = 0$ if $F \subset \partial\Omega\}$.

Define the local bilinear form $a_{h,K}(\cdot, \cdot) : W_k(K) \times W_k(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as

$$a_{h,K}(w, v) := (\nabla^m \Pi^K w, \nabla^m \Pi^K v)_K + S_K(w - \Pi^K w, v - \Pi^K v),$$

where the stabilization term

$$(4.2) \quad S_K(w, v) := h_K^{n-2m} \sum_{i=1}^{N_K} \chi_i(w) \chi_i(v) \quad \forall w, v \in W_k(K),$$

where χ_i is the i th local degree of freedom in (3.3)-(3.4) for $i = 1, \dots, N_K$. The global bilinear form $a_h(\cdot, \cdot) : V_h \times V_h \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

$$a_h(w_h, v_h) := \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} a_{h,K}(w_h, v_h).$$

Define $\Pi_h : V_h \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k(\mathcal{T}_h)$ as $(\Pi_h v)|_K := \Pi^K(v|_K)$ for each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, and let $Q_h^l : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_l(\mathcal{T}_h)$ be the L^2 -orthogonal projection onto $\mathbb{P}_l(\mathcal{T}_h)$: for any $v \in L^2(\Omega)$,

$$(Q_h^l v)|_K := Q_l^K(v|_K) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h.$$

We compute the right hand side according to the following cases

$$\langle f, v_h \rangle := \begin{cases} (f, \Pi_h v_h), & m \leq k \leq 2m - 1, \\ (f, Q_h^{m-1} v_h), & 2m \leq k \leq 3m - 2, \\ (f, Q_h^{k-2m} v_h), & 3m - 1 \leq k. \end{cases}$$

Remark 4.1. When $m \leq k \leq 2m - 1$, which is an important range when m is large as high order methods are harder to implement, there is no need to compute a new projection and no need to modify the local virtual element space. For $k \geq 2m$, however, we need to compute the L^2 -projection to control the consistency error.

With previous preparations, we propose the nonconforming virtual element method for the polyharmonic equation (4.1) in any dimension: find $u_h \in V_h$ such that

$$(4.3) \quad a_h(u_h, v_h) = \langle f, v_h \rangle \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

In the rest of this section, we shall prove the well-posedness of the discretization (4.3) by establishing the coercivity and continuity of the bilinear form $a_h(\cdot, \cdot)$.

4.2. Mesh conditions. We impose the following conditions on the mesh \mathcal{T}_h .

- (A1) Each element $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and each face $F \in \mathcal{F}_h^r$ for $1 \leq r \leq n-1$ is star-shaped with a uniformly bounded star-shaped constant.
- (A2) There exists a quasi-uniform simplicial mesh \mathcal{T}_h^* such that each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ is a union of some simplexes in \mathcal{T}_h^* .

Note that (A1) implies $\text{diam}(F) \approx \text{diam}(K)$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}^r(K), 1 \leq r \leq n-1$.

For a star-shaped domain D , there exists a ball $B_D \subset D$ with radius $\rho_D h_D$ and a Lipschitz isomorphism $\Phi : B_D \rightarrow D$ such that $|\Phi|_{1,\infty,B_D}$ and $|\Phi^{-1}|_{1,\infty,D}$ are bounded by a constant depending only on the chunkiness ρ_D . Then several trace inequalities of $H^1(D)$ can be established with a constant depending only on ρ_D [12, page 3]. In particular, we shall use

$$(4.4) \quad \|v\|_{0,\partial D}^2 \lesssim h_D^{-1} \|v\|_{0,D}^2 + h_D |v|_{1,D}^2 \quad \forall v \in H^1(D).$$

Noting that ∂D is only Lipschitz, higher order trace $H^s(\partial D)$ for $s > 1$ may not be well defined. Instead trace inequalities can be applied to each face F piecewisely. The lifting operator (right inverse of the trace operator) is continuous from $H^{1/2}(\partial D) \rightarrow H^1(D)$ with constant depending only on ρ_D but again may not be even well defined for $H^s(\partial D)$ with $s > 1$.

Also by using the norm equivalence on finite dimension space on B_K and transferring back to K , we can have the inverse inequality

$$\|\nabla v\|_K \lesssim h_K^{-1} \|v\|_K, \quad v \in V_k(K),$$

with constant depending only on ρ_K .

The condition (A2) is inspired by the virtual triangulation condition used in [17, 13]. The simplicial mesh \mathcal{T}_h^* will serve as a bridge to transfer the results from finite element methods to virtual element methods.

Very recently, some geometric assumptions being the relaxation of conditions (A1)-(A2) were suggested in [16, 15] under which a refined error analysis was developed for the linear conforming and nonconforming virtual element methods of the Poisson equation, i.e. H^1 case. For high order $H^m, m > 1$ elements, we will investigate such relaxation in the future work.

4.3. Weak continuity. Based on Lemma 3.3, the space V_h has the weak continuity (cf. [26]), that is for any $F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1$, $v_h \in V_h$ and nonnegative integer $s \leq m-1$

$$(4.5) \quad ([\nabla_h^s v_h], \tau)_F = 0 \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{P}_{k-(2m-1-s)}(F; \mathbb{T}_n(s)),$$

$$(4.6) \quad Q_0^e([\nabla_h^s v_h]|_F) = 0 \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{F}^{m-s-1}(F),$$

where ∇_h is the elementwise gradient with respect to the partition \mathcal{T}_h . We shall derive some bound on the jump $[\nabla_h^s v_h]$ using the weak continuity and the trace inequality.

By the weak continuity (4.5), the mean value of $\nabla_h^s v_h$ over F is continuous only when $s \geq 2m-1-k$. For $s < 2m-1-k$, the mean value of $\nabla_h^s v_h$ is merely continuous over some low-dimensional face of F , cf. (4.6). As a concrete example, consider the Morley element in three dimensions. The mean value of $\nabla_h v_h$ over faces is continuous, but the mean value of v_h is only continuous on edges rather than over faces.

Recall the following error estimates of the L^2 projection.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\ell \geq 0$. For each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and $\mathcal{F}^1(K)$, we have for any $v \in H^{\ell+1}(K)$*

$$(4.7) \quad \|v - Q_\ell^K v\|_{0,K} \lesssim h_K^{\ell+1} |v|_{\ell+1,K},$$

$$(4.8) \quad \|v - Q_\ell^F v\|_{0,F} \lesssim h_K^{\ell+1/2} |v|_{\ell+1,K}.$$

Then recall the Bramble-Hilbert Lemma (cf. [11, Lemma 4.3.8]).

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\ell \geq 0$ and $K \in \mathcal{T}_h \cup \mathcal{T}_h^*$. There exists a linear operator $T_\ell^K : L^1(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_\ell(K)$ such that for any $v \in H^{\ell+1}(K)$,*

$$(4.9) \quad \|v - T_\ell^K v\|_{j,K} \lesssim h_K^{\ell+1-j} |v|_{\ell+1,K} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq \ell+1.$$

Note that the constants in (4.7)-(4.9) depend on the star-shaped constant, i.e. the chunkiness parameter ρ_K .

Similarly, define $T_h : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_k(\mathcal{T}_h)$ as

$$(T_h v)|_K := T_k^K(v|_K) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h.$$

Lemma 4.4. *Given $F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1$ and positive integer $s < m$. Assume for any $e \in \mathcal{F}^r(F)$ with $r = 0, 1, \dots, m-1-s$*

$$(4.10) \quad \|[\nabla_h^s v_h]\|_{0,e} \lesssim \sum_{K \in \partial^{-1}F} h_K^{m-s-(r+1)/2} |v_h|_{m,K} \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

Then we have for any $e \in \mathcal{F}^r(F)$ with $r = 0, 1, \dots, m-s$

$$(4.11) \quad \|\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,e} \lesssim \sum_{K \in \partial^{-1}F} h_K^{m-s-(r-1)/2} |v_h|_{m,K} \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

Proof. We use the mathematical induction to prove (4.11). First consider $r = 0$. Take some $e_i \in \mathcal{F}^i(F)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m-s$ such that $e_i \in \mathcal{F}^1(e_{i-1})$ with $e_0 = F$. Employing the trace inequality (4.4), we get from (4.10) with $r = i-1$

$$\begin{aligned} & h_F^{i/2} \|\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket - Q_0^F(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket)\|_{0,e_i} \\ & \lesssim h_F^{(i-1)/2} \|\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket - Q_0^F(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket)\|_{0,e_{i-1}} + h_F^{(i+1)/2} \|\llbracket \nabla_h^s v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,e_{i-1}} \\ & \lesssim h_F^{(i-1)/2} \|\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket - Q_0^F(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket)\|_{0,e_{i-1}} + \sum_{K \in \partial^{-1}F} h_K^{m-s+1/2} |v_h|_{m,K}. \end{aligned}$$

By this recursive inequality, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & h_F^{(m-s)/2} \|\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket - Q_0^F(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket)\|_{0,e_{m-s}} \\ & \lesssim \|\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket - Q_0^F(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket)\|_{0,F} + \sum_{K \in \partial^{-1}F} h_K^{m-s+1/2} |v_h|_{m,K} \\ & \lesssim h_F \|\llbracket \nabla_h^s v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,F} + \sum_{K \in \partial^{-1}F} h_K^{m-s+1/2} |v_h|_{m,K}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other side, we have from (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,F} = \|\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket - Q_0^{e_{m-s}}(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket)\|_{0,F} \\ & = \|\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket - Q_0^F(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket) - Q_0^{e_{m-s}}(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket - Q_0^F(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket))\|_{0,F} \\ & \leq \|\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket - Q_0^F(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket)\|_{0,F} + \|Q_0^{e_{m-s}}(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket - Q_0^F(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket))\|_{0,F} \\ & \lesssim h_F \|\llbracket \nabla_h^s v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,F} + h_F^{(m-s)/2} \|Q_0^{e_{m-s}}(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket - Q_0^F(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket))\|_{0,e_{m-s}} \\ & \leq h_F \|\llbracket \nabla_h^s v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,F} + h_F^{(m-s)/2} \|\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket - Q_0^F(\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket)\|_{0,e_{m-s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.11) with $r = 0$ follows from the last two inequalities and (4.10) with $r = 0$.

Assume (4.11) holds with $r = j < m-s$. Let $e \in \mathcal{F}^{j+1}(F)$. Take some $e_j \in \mathcal{F}^j(F)$ satisfying $e \in \mathcal{F}^1(e_j)$. Using the trace inequality (4.4) again, we know

$$\|\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,e} \lesssim h_e^{-1/2} \|\llbracket \nabla_h^{s-1} v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,e_j} + h_e^{1/2} \|\llbracket \nabla_h^s v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,e_j},$$

which combined with the assumptions means (4.11) is true with $r = j+1$. \square

Again consider the Morley-Wang-Xu element in three dimensions [27], i.e. $m = 2$ and $s = 1$. The inequality (4.10) is just

$$\|\llbracket \nabla_h v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,F} \lesssim \sum_{K \in \partial^{-1}F} h_K^{1/2} |v_h|_{2,K} \quad \forall v_h \in V_h,$$

for each face $F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1$. Then by Lemma 4.4 we will get from (4.6)

$$\|\llbracket v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,F} + h_K^{1/2} \|\llbracket v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,e} \lesssim \sum_{K \in \partial^{-1}F} h_K^{3/2} |v_h|_{2,K} \quad \forall v_h \in V_h,$$

for any face $F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1$ and any edge $e \in \mathcal{F}_h^2$. We refer to [27, Lemma 5] for these estimates on tetrahedron.

Lemma 4.5. *For each $F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1$ and nonnegative integer $s < m$, it holds*

$$(4.12) \quad \|\llbracket \nabla_h^s v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,F} \lesssim \sum_{K \in \partial^{-1}F} h_K^{m-s-1/2} |v_h|_{m,K} \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that for $s = m-1, m-2, \dots, 0$ and any $e \in \mathcal{F}^r(F)$ with $r = 0, 1, \dots, m-1-s$, it hold

$$\|\llbracket \nabla_h^s v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,e} \lesssim \sum_{K \in \partial^{-1}F} h_K^{m-s-(r+1)/2} |v_h|_{m,K} \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

According to Lemma 4.4 and the mathematical induction, we only need to show

$$\|\llbracket \nabla_h^{m-1} v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,F} \lesssim \sum_{K \in \partial^{-1}F} h_K^{1/2} |v_h|_{m,K} \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

In fact, due to (4.6) and (4.8), we get

$$\|\llbracket \nabla_h^{m-1} v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,F} = \|\llbracket \nabla_h^{m-1} v_h \rrbracket - Q_0^F(\llbracket \nabla_h^{m-1} v_h \rrbracket)\|_{0,F} \lesssim \sum_{K \in \partial^{-1}F} h_K^{1/2} |v_h|_{m,K}.$$

This ends the proof. \square

Given the virtual triangulation \mathcal{T}_h^* , for each nonnegative integer $r < m$, define the tensorial $(m-r)$ -th order Lagrange element space associated with \mathcal{T}_h^*

$$S_h^r := \{\tau_h \in H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{T}_n(r)) : \tau_h|_K \in \mathbb{P}_{m-r}(G; \mathbb{T}_n(r)) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h^*\}.$$

Lemma 4.6. *Let $r = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. For any $v_h \in V_h$, there exists $\tau_r = \tau_r(v_h) \in S_h^r$ such that*

$$(4.13) \quad |\nabla_h^r v_h - \tau_r|_{j,h} \lesssim h^{m-r-j} |v_h|_{m,h} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, m-r.$$

Proof. Let $w_h \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{T}_n(r))$ be defined as

$$w_h|_K = T_{m-r}^K(\nabla^r(v_h|_K)) \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}_h^*.$$

Since w_h is a piecewise tensorial polynomial, by Lemma 3.1 in [28], there exists $\tau_r \in S_h^r$ such that

$$|w_h - \tau_r|_{j,h}^2 \lesssim \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1(\mathcal{T}_h^*)} h_F^{1-2j} \|\llbracket w_h \rrbracket\|_{0,F}^2,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_h^1(\mathcal{T}_h^*)$ is the set of all $(n-1)$ -dimensional faces of the partition \mathcal{T}_h^* . Then it follows from (4.9) and (4.12)

$$\begin{aligned} |w_h - \tau_r|_{j,h}^2 &\lesssim \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1(\mathcal{T}_h^*)} h_F^{1-2j} \|\llbracket w_h - \nabla_h^r v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,F}^2 + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1} h_F^{1-2j} \|\llbracket \nabla_h^r v_h \rrbracket\|_{0,F}^2 \\ &\lesssim h^{2(m-r-j)} |v_h|_{m,h}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that the jump $\llbracket \nabla_h^r v_h \rrbracket$ is zero on $F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1(\mathcal{T}_h^*) \setminus \mathcal{F}_h^1(\mathcal{T}_h)$. Applying (4.9) again gives

$$|\nabla_h^r v_h - w_h|_{j,h} \lesssim h^{m-r-j} |v_h|_{m,h}.$$

Finally combining the last two inequalities indicate (4.13). \square

Lemma 4.7. *We have the discrete Poincaré inequality*

$$(4.14) \quad \|v_h\|_{m,h} \lesssim |v_h|_{m,h} \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

Proof. Due to (4.13), the fact that $\tau_r \in H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{T}_n(r))$, and the Poincaré inequality, we have for $r = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h^r v_h\|_0 &\leq \|\nabla_h^r v_h - \tau_r\|_0 + \|\tau_r\|_0 \lesssim |v_h|_{m,h} + |\tau_r|_1 \\ &\leq |v_h|_{m,h} + |\nabla_h^r v_h - \tau_r|_{1,h} + |\nabla_h^r v_h|_{1,h} \\ &\lesssim |v_h|_{m,h} + \|\nabla_h^{r+1} v_h\|_0, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to (4.14). \square

The discrete Poincaré inequality (4.14) means

$$\|v_h\|_{m,h} \approx |v_h|_{m,h} \quad \forall v_h \in V_h,$$

i.e. $|\cdot|_{m,h}$ is a norm on the space V_h .

4.4. Norm equivalence and well-posedness of the discretization. Denote by $\ker(\Pi^K) \subset W_k(K)$ the kernel space of the operator Π^K . By (3.10) and Lemma 3.8, both $|\cdot|_{m,K}$ and $S_K^{1/2}(\cdot, \cdot)$ are norms on the finite dimensional space $\ker(\Pi^K)$. Then we have the following norm equivalence

$$(4.15) \quad S_K(v, v) \approx |v|_{m,K}^2 \quad \forall v \in \ker(\Pi^K).$$

Using the generalized scaling argument, i.e., applying an affine map $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_K)/h_K$, it is easy to show the norm equivalence constant is independent of the diameter of K .

The constant in (4.15), however, could still depend on the geometry of K and a clear dependence is not easy to characterize. For finite element space defined on simplexes, the shape functions are usually polynomials and there exists an affine map to the reference element \hat{K} . We can apply the norm equivalence on the reference element. Since the Jacobi matrix is constant, the norm $H^m(K)$ and $H^m(\hat{K})$ can be clearly characterized using the geometry of the simplex, e.g., the angles of a triangle in 2D.

Now for a general polytope K , there does not exist an affine-equivalent reference polytope \hat{K} . For star-shaped and Lipschitz continuous domain, one can use the isomorphism $\Phi : K \rightarrow B_K$ but $\Phi \in W^{1,\infty}(B_K)$ only. One can apply the norm equivalence on B_K but how the norm $H^m(K)$ related to $H^m(B_K)$, for $m > 1$, is not clear.

We shall prove the norm equivalence (4.15) with mesh conditions (A1)-(A2) in the appendix. The constant in (4.15) depends only on ρ_K and the shape regularity and quasi-uniform constants of the virtual triangulation \mathcal{T}_h^* .

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the norm equivalence (4.15), we have

$$(4.16) \quad S_K(w, v) \lesssim |w|_{m,K} |v|_{m,K} \quad \forall w, v \in \ker(\Pi^K),$$

which implies the continuity of $a_h(\cdot, \cdot)$

$$(4.17) \quad a_h(w_h, v_h) \lesssim |w_h|_{m,h} |v_h|_{m,h} \quad \forall w_h, v_h \in V_h + \mathbb{P}_k(\mathcal{T}_h).$$

Next we verify the coercivity of $a_h(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Lemma 4.8. *For any $v_h \in V_h$, it holds*

$$(4.18) \quad |v_h|_{m,h}^2 \lesssim a_h(v_h, v_h).$$

Proof. Since Π^K is the H^m -orthogonal projection,

$$|v_h|_{m,K}^2 = |\Pi^K(v_h|_K)|_{m,K}^2 + |v_h - \Pi^K(v_h|_K)|_{m,K}^2.$$

Applying (4.15), we have

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} |v_h|_{m,K}^2 &\lesssim |\Pi^K(v_h|_K)|_{m,K}^2 + S_K(v_h - \Pi^K(v_h|_K), v_h - \Pi^K(v_h|_K)) \\ &= a_{h,K}(v_h, v_h), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.18). \square

Therefore the nonconforming virtual element method (4.3) is uniquely solvable by the Lax-Milgram lemma.

5. ERROR ANALYSIS

In this section, we will develop the error analysis of the nonconforming virtual element method (4.3).

5.1. Interpolation error estimate. We first explore a Galerkin orthogonality of $u - I_h u$ for the nonconforming element. A similar result was given in [21, (3.3)].

Lemma 5.1. *For each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, any $v \in H^m(\Omega)$ and $w \in H^m(K)$, it holds*

$$(5.1) \quad a_{h,K}(v - I_h v, w) = 0.$$

Proof. It follows from (3.11) and the definition of $S_K(\cdot, \cdot)$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{h,K}(v - I_h v, w) &= (\nabla^m \Pi^K(v - I_h v), \nabla^m \Pi^K w)_K \\ &\quad + S_K(v - I_h v - \Pi^K(v - I_h v), w - \Pi^K w) \\ &= S_K(v - I_h v, w - \Pi^K w) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

in the last step we use the fact that v and $I_h v$ share the same degrees of freedom, and thus the stabilization $S_K(v - I_h v, w - \Pi^K w)$ using d.o.f. vanishes, cf. (4.2). \square

Lemma 5.2. *For each $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and any $v \in H^s(\Omega)$ with $m \leq s \leq k + 1$, we have*

$$(5.2) \quad |v - I_h v|_{m,K} \lesssim h_K^{s-m} |v|_{s,K}.$$

Proof. Applying (4.19) and (5.1) with $w = (T_h v - I_h v)|_K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T_h v - I_h v|_{m,K}^2 &\lesssim a_{h,K}(T_h v - I_h v, T_h v - I_h v) = a_{h,K}(T_h v - v, T_h v - I_h v) \\ &\lesssim |v - T_h v|_{m,K} |T_h v - I_h v|_{m,K}, \end{aligned}$$

which indicates

$$|T_h v - I_h v|_{m,K} \lesssim |v - T_h v|_{m,K}.$$

Hence

$$|v - I_h v|_{m,K} \leq |v - T_h v|_{m,K} + |T_h v - I_h v|_{m,K} \lesssim |v - T_h v|_{m,K}.$$

Therefore (5.2) follows from (4.9). \square

5.2. Consistency error estimate. Due to (3.10) and (3.7), we have the following k -consistency.

Lemma 5.3. *For any $p \in \mathbb{P}_k(K)$ and any $v \in W_k^K$, it holds*

$$(5.3) \quad a_{h,K}(p, v) = (\nabla^m p, \nabla^m v)_K.$$

To estimate the consistency error of the discretization, we split it into two cases, i.e. $k \geq 2m - 1$ and $m \leq k < 2m - 1$. For the first case, the weak continuity (4.5), that is the projection $Q_{k-(m+i)}^F(\nabla_h^{m-(i+1)} v_h)$ is continuous across $F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1$ for $i = 0, \dots, m - 1$, is sufficient to derive the optimal consistency error estimate.

Lemma 5.4. *Let $u \in H_0^m(\Omega) \cap H^{k+1}(\Omega)$ be the solution of the polyharmonic equation (4.1). Assume $k \geq 2m - 1$. Then it holds*

$$(5.4) \quad (\nabla^m u, \nabla_h^m v_h) - (f, v_h) \lesssim h^{k+1-m} |u|_{k+1} |v_h|_{m,h} \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

Proof. First we notice that

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\nabla^m u, \nabla_h^m v_h) - (f, v_h) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-1)^i \left((\operatorname{div}^i \nabla^m u, \nabla_h^{m-i} v_h) + (\operatorname{div}^{i+1} \nabla^m u, \nabla_h^{m-(i+1)} v_h) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For each term in the right hand side of (5.5), applying integration by parts, (4.5) with $s = m - (i + 1)$, (4.8) and (4.12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^i \left((\operatorname{div}^i \nabla^m u, \nabla_h^{m-i} v_h) + (\operatorname{div}^{i+1} \nabla^m u, \nabla_h^{m-(i+1)} v_h) \right) \\ &= (-1)^i \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}_h} ((\operatorname{div}^i \nabla^m u) \nu, \nabla_h^{m-(i+1)} v_h)_{\partial K} \\ &= (-1)^i \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1} ((\operatorname{div}^i \nabla^m u) \nu_{F,1}, \llbracket \nabla_h^{m-(i+1)} v_h \rrbracket)_F \\ &= (-1)^i \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}_h^1} ((\operatorname{div}^i \nabla^m u) \nu_{F,1} - Q_{k-(m+i)}^F((\operatorname{div}^i \nabla^m u) \nu_{F,1}), \llbracket \nabla_h^{m-(i+1)} v_h \rrbracket)_F \\ &\lesssim h^{k+1-m} |u|_{k+1} |v_h|_{m,h}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

When the order k is not high enough, the mean value of $\nabla_h^s v_h$ is only continuous over some low-dimensional face of F for $s < 2m - 1 - k$. In this case, we divide the consistency error into two parts. The first part is estimated by using the weak continuity (4.5) as the proof of (5.4), while the second part is estimated by using the weak continuity (4.6) through employing the Lagrange element space as a bridge.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $u \in H_0^m(\Omega) \cap H^{2m-1}(\Omega)$ be the solution of the polyharmonic equation (4.1). Assume $m \leq k < 2m - 1$. Then it holds*

$$(5.6) \quad (\nabla^m u, \nabla_h^m v_h) - (f, v_h) \lesssim \left(\sum_{i=k+1-m}^{m-1} h^i |u|_{m+i} + h^m \|f\|_0 \right) |v_h|_{m,h} \quad \forall v_h \in V_h.$$

Proof. Similarly as (5.5), we have

$$(5.7) \quad (\nabla^m u, \nabla_h^m v_h) - (f, v_h) = E_1 + E_2 + E_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &:= \sum_{i=0}^{k-m} (-1)^i \left((\operatorname{div}^i \nabla^m u, \nabla_h^{m-i} v_h) + (\operatorname{div}^{i+1} \nabla^m u, \nabla_h^{m-(i+1)} v_h) \right), \\ E_2 &:= \sum_{i=k-m+1}^{m-2} (-1)^i \left((\operatorname{div}^i \nabla^m u, \nabla_h^{m-i} v_h) + (\operatorname{div}^{i+1} \nabla^m u, \nabla_h^{m-(i+1)} v_h) \right), \\ E_3 &:= ((-\operatorname{div})^{m-1} \nabla^m u, \nabla_h v_h) - (f, v_h). \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.4, we have

$$(5.8) \quad E_1 \lesssim h^{k+1-m} |u|_{k+1} |v_h|_{m,h}.$$

Next let us estimate E_2 and E_3 . By (4.13), for each $k-m+1 \leq i \leq m-1$, there exists $\tau_{m-(i+1)} \in W_h^{m-(i+1)}$ such that

$$(5.9) \quad |\nabla_h^{m-(i+1)} v_h - \tau_{m-(i+1)}|_{j,h} \lesssim h^{i+1-j} |v_h|_{m,h} \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1.$$

Since $\tau_{m-(i+1)} \in H_0^1(\Omega; \mathbb{T}_n(m-(i+1)))$, we get for $i = k-m+1, \dots, m-2$

$$(5.10) \quad (\operatorname{div}^i \nabla^m u, \nabla \tau_{m-(i+1)}) + (\operatorname{div}^{i+1} \nabla^m u, \tau_{m-(i+1)}) = 0,$$

$$(5.11) \quad ((-\operatorname{div})^{m-1} \nabla^m u, \nabla \tau_0) - (f, \tau_0) = 0.$$

For $i = k-m+1, \dots, m-2$, it follows from (5.9)-(5.10)

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^i \left((\operatorname{div}^i \nabla^m u, \nabla_h^{m-i} v_h) + (\operatorname{div}^{i+1} \nabla^m u, \nabla_h^{m-(i+1)} v_h) \right) \\ &= (-1)^i (\operatorname{div}^i \nabla^m u, \nabla_h (\nabla_h^{m-(i+1)} v_h - \tau_{m-(i+1)})) \\ & \quad + (-1)^i (\operatorname{div}^{i+1} \nabla^m u, \nabla_h^{m-(i+1)} v_h - \tau_{m-(i+1)}) \\ & \lesssim h^i |u|_{m+i} |v_h|_{m,h} + h^{i+1} |u|_{m+i+1} |v_h|_{m,h}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$(5.12) \quad E_2 \lesssim \sum_{i=k+1-m}^{m-1} h^i |u|_{m+i} |v_h|_{m,h}.$$

Similarly, employing (5.11) and (5.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} E_3 &= ((-\operatorname{div})^{m-1} \nabla^m u, \nabla_h v_h) - (f, v_h) \\ &= ((-\operatorname{div})^{m-1} \nabla^m u, \nabla_h (v_h - \tau_0)) - (f, v_h - \tau_0) \\ &\lesssim h^{m-1} |u|_{2m-1} |v_h|_{m,h} + h^m \|f\|_0 |v_h|_{m,h}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (5.7)-(5.8) and (5.12) ends the proof. \square

We then consider the perturbation of the right hand side.

Lemma 5.6. *Let $u \in H_0^m(\Omega) \cap H^r(\Omega)$ with $r = \max\{k+1, 2m-1\}$ be the solution of the polyharmonic equation (4.1). Assume $f \in H^\ell(\mathcal{T}_h)$ with $\ell = \max\{0, k+1-2m\}$. Then it holds for any $v_h \in V_h$*

$$(5.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\nabla^m u, \nabla_h^m v_h) - \langle f, v_h \rangle \\ & \lesssim h^{k+1-m} (\|u\|_r + h \|f\|_0 + h^{\max\{0, 2m-k-1\}} |f|_{\ell,h}) |v_h|_{m,h}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It follows from (5.4) and (5.6)

$$(\nabla^m u, \nabla_h^m v_h) - (f, v_h) \lesssim h^{k+1-m} (\|u\|_r + h\|f\|_0) |v_h|_{m,h}.$$

For $m \leq k \leq 2m - 1$, we get from the local Poincaré inequality (A.9)

$$(f, v_h) - \langle f, v_h \rangle = (f, v_h - \Pi_h v_h) \lesssim h^m \|f\|_0 |v_h|_{m,h}.$$

For $k \geq 2m$, it holds from (4.7)

$$\begin{aligned} (f, v_h) - \langle f, v_h \rangle &= \left(f, v_h - Q_h^{\max\{m-1, k-2m\}} v_h \right) \\ &= \left(f - Q_h^{k-2m} f, v_h - Q_h^{\max\{m-1, k-2m\}} v_h \right) \\ &\leq \|f - Q_h^{k-2m} f\|_0 \|v_h - Q_h^{m-1} v_h\|_0 \\ &\lesssim h^{k+1-m} |f|_{k+1-2m, h} |v_h|_{m,h}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude (5.13) from the last three inequalities. \square

5.3. Error estimate. Now we are in a position to present the optimal order convergence of our nonconforming virtual element method.

Theorem 5.7. *Let $u \in H_0^m(\Omega) \cap H^r(\Omega)$ with $r = \max\{k+1, 2m-1\}$ be the solution of the polyharmonic equation (4.1), and $u_h \in V_h$ be the nonconforming virtual element method (4.3). Assume the mesh \mathcal{T}_h satisfies conditions (A1) and (A2). Assume $f \in H^\ell(\mathcal{T}_h)$ with $\ell = \max\{0, k+1-2m\}$. Then it holds*

$$(5.14) \quad |u - u_h|_{m,h} \lesssim h^{k+1-m} (\|u\|_r + h\|f\|_0 + h^{\max\{0, 2m-k-1\}} |f|_{\ell, h}).$$

Proof. Let $v_h = I_h u - u_h$. From (4.17), (5.2) and (4.9), it holds

$$\begin{aligned} &a_h(I_h u - T_h u, v_h) + (\nabla_h^m(T_h u - u), \nabla_h^m v_h) \\ &\lesssim |I_h u - T_h u|_{m,h} |v_h|_{m,h} + |u - T_h u|_{m,h} |v_h|_{m,h} \\ (5.15) \quad &\lesssim (|u - I_h u|_{m,h} + |u - T_h u|_{m,h}) |v_h|_{m,h} \lesssim h^{k+1-m} |u|_{k+1} |v_h|_{m,h}. \end{aligned}$$

Employing (4.18), (4.3) and (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_h u - u_h|_{m,h}^2 &\lesssim a_h(I_h u - u_h, v_h) = a_h(I_h u, v_h) - \langle f, v_h \rangle \\ &= a_h(I_h u - T_h u, v_h) + a_h(T_h u, v_h) - \langle f, v_h \rangle \\ &= a_h(I_h u - T_h u, v_h) + (\nabla_h^m T_h u, \nabla_h^m v_h) - \langle f, v_h \rangle \\ &= a_h(I_h u - T_h u, v_h) + (\nabla_h^m(T_h u - u), \nabla_h^m v_h) \\ &\quad + (\nabla_h^m u, \nabla_h^m v_h) - \langle f, v_h \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get from (5.15) and (5.13)

$$|I_h u - u_h|_{m,h} \lesssim h^{k+1-m} (\|u\|_r + h\|f\|_0 + h^{\max\{0, 2m-k-1\}} |f|_{\ell, h}).$$

Finally we derive (5.14) by combining the last inequality and (5.2). \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Dr. Shuhao Cao for the insightful discussion.

APPENDIX A. NORM EQUIVALENCE

As mentioned before, it is difficult to derive the norm equivalence (4.15) directly from the norm equivalence of the finite-dimensional space due to the absence of an affine-equivalent reference polytope. We shall prove the norm equivalence (4.15) in this appendix by assuming that the mesh \mathcal{T}_h satisfies conditions (A1) and (A2). For $m = 1$, proofs on the norm equivalence for H^1 conforming VEM can be found in [9, 12, 17].

With the help of the virtual triangulation \mathcal{T}_h^* , we can prove the inverse inequality of polynomial spaces on K following the proof in [17, Lemma 3.1]

$$(A.1) \quad \|g\|_{0,K} \lesssim h_K^{-i} \|g\|_{-i,K} \quad \forall g \in \mathbb{P}_k(K), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where the constant depends only on the shape regularity and quasi-uniformity of the virtual triangulation $\mathcal{T}_h^*(K)$.

On the polynomial space, we have the normal equivalence of the L^2 -norm of g and l^2 -norm of its d.o.f. Let $g = \sum_i g_i m_i$ be a polynomial on F , where $F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)$ with $j \geq 1$. Denote by $\mathbf{g} = (g_i)$ the coefficient vector. Then the following norm equivalence holds (cf. [17, Lemma 4.1])

$$(A.2) \quad h_F^{(n-j)/2} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{l^2} \lesssim \|g\|_{0,F} \lesssim h_F^{(n-j)/2} \|\mathbf{g}\|_{l^2}.$$

Take an element $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$. For any $F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)$ with $j \geq 1$, let \mathbb{R}_F^{n-j} be the $(n-j)$ -dimensional affine space passing through F , $\mathcal{F}_F^j(K) := \{e \in \mathcal{F}^j(K) : e \subset \mathbb{R}_F^{n-j}\}$, and

$$\lambda_{F,i} := \nu_{F,i}^T \frac{\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_F}{h_F}, \quad i = 1, \dots, j.$$

Apparently $\lambda_{F,i}|_F = 0$, i.e. the $(n-1)$ -dimensional face $\lambda_{F,i} = 0$ passes through F . If K is a simplex and $F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)$, $\lambda_{F,1}$ is just the barycenter coordinate when h_F represents the height of K corresponding to the base F . And $\mathcal{F}_F^j(K) = \{F\}$ if K is strictly convex. Define bubble functions

$$b_K := \prod_{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K)} \lambda_{F,1}, \quad b_e := \prod_{\substack{F \in \mathcal{F}^1(K) \\ e \in \mathcal{F}_F^1(K)}} \lambda_{F,1},$$

for each $e \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$. Note that b_K and b_e are polynomials.

Lemma A.1. *Let $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$. It holds*

$$(A.3) \quad h_K^m \|(-\Delta)^m v\|_{0,K} \lesssim \|\nabla^m v\|_{0,K} \quad \forall v \in V_k(K) \cup W_k(K).$$

Proof. Let $\phi_K := b_K^{2m} (-\Delta)^m v \in H_0^m(K)$, then $\|\phi_K\|_{0,K} \approx \|(-\Delta)^m v\|_{0,K}$. Using the integration by parts and the inverse inequality for polynomials (A.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-\Delta)^m v\|_{0,K}^2 &\lesssim ((-\Delta)^m v, \phi_K)_K = (\nabla^m v, \nabla^m \phi_K)_K \\ &\leq \|\nabla^m v\|_{0,K} \|\nabla^m \phi_K\|_{0,K} \lesssim h_K^{-m} \|\nabla^m v\|_{0,K} \|\phi_K\|_{0,K} \\ &\lesssim h_K^{-m} \|\nabla^m v\|_{0,K} \|(-\Delta)^m v\|_{0,K}, \end{aligned}$$

which induces the required inequality. \square

Lemma A.2. *Let $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$. For any positive integer $j \leq m$, $F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)$, and $\alpha \in A_j$ with $|\alpha| \leq m - j$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_F^j(K)} h_K^{m-|\alpha|-j/2} \|D_{F',\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)\|_{0,F'} \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla^m v\|_{0,K} + h_K^m \|(-\Delta)^m v\|_{0,K} \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^\ell(K)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in A_\ell \\ |\beta| \leq m-\ell}} h_K^{m-|\beta|-\ell/2} \|D_{e,\beta}^{2m-\ell-|\beta|}(v)\|_{0,e} \\
\text{(A.4)} \quad & + \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_F^j(K)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in A_j \\ |\alpha| < |\beta| \leq m-j}} h_K^{m-|\beta|-\ell/2} \|D_{F',\beta}^{2m-j-|\beta|}(v)\|_{0,F'}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in V_k(K) \cup W_k(K)$.

Proof. Since $D_{F',\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)|_{F'}$ is a polynomial for each $F' \in \mathcal{F}_F^j(K)$, we can regard $D_{F',\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)|_{F'}$ as the function on the $(n-j)$ -dimensional affine space \mathbb{R}_F^{n-j} . Then we extend the polynomial $D_{F',\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)|_{F'}$ to \mathbb{R}^n . For any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let \mathbf{x}_F^P is the projection of \mathbf{x} on \mathbb{R}_F^{n-j} . Define

$$E_K(D_{F',\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v))(\mathbf{x}) := D_{F',\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)(\mathbf{x}_F^P).$$

Let $\mathbb{R}_{F'}^n := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathbf{x}_F^P \in F'\}$, and ϕ_F be a piecewise polynomial defined as

$$\phi_F(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\alpha!} h_F^{|\alpha|} b_{F'}^{2m} E_K(D_{F',\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)) \prod_{i=1}^j \lambda_{F',i}^{\alpha_i}, & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{F'}^n, F' \in \mathcal{F}_F^j(K), \\ 0, & \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_F^j(K)} \mathbb{R}_{F'}^n, \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha! = \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_j!$, then we have

$$\text{(A.5)} \quad \|\phi_F\|_{0,K} \lesssim \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_F^j(K)} h_{F'}^{|\alpha|+j/2} \|D_{F',\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)\|_{0,F'},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left. \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} \phi_F}{\partial \nu_{F'}^\alpha} \right|_{F'} &= \frac{1}{\alpha!} h_{F'}^{|\alpha|} b_{F'}^{2m} E_K(D_{F',\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)) \prod_{i=1}^j \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} (\lambda_{F',i}^{\alpha_i})}{\partial \nu_{F'}^{\alpha_i}} \\
&= b_{F'}^{2m} E_K(D_{F',\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\text{(A.6)} \quad \|D_{F',\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)\|_{0,F'}^2 \approx \left(D_{F',\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v), \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} \phi_F}{\partial \nu_{F'}^\alpha} \right)_{F'}.$$

For each $e \in \mathcal{F}^\ell(K)$ with $\ell = j+1, \dots, m$, it follows from the fact $b_{F'}|_e = 0$ that

$$\left. \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} \phi_F}{\partial \nu_e^\beta} \right|_e = 0 \quad \forall \beta \in A_\ell \text{ with } |\beta| \leq m - \ell.$$

Similary we have for each $e \in \mathcal{F}^j(K) \setminus \mathcal{F}_F^j(K)$

$$\left. \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} \phi_F}{\partial \nu_e^\beta} \right|_e = 0 \quad \forall \beta \in A_j \text{ with } |\beta| \leq m - j.$$

For any $\beta \in A_j$, $|\beta| < |\alpha|$, since $\frac{\partial^{|\beta|}}{\partial \nu_{F'}^\beta} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j \lambda_{F',i}^{\alpha_i} \right) \Big|_{F'} = 0$, it yields $\frac{\partial^{|\beta|} \phi_F}{\partial \nu_{F'}^\beta} \Big|_{F'} = 0$. For any $\beta \in A_j$, $|\beta| = |\alpha|$, but $\beta \neq \alpha$, noting that $\frac{\partial \lambda_{F',i}}{\partial \nu_{F',\ell}} = 0$ for $i \neq \ell$, we also have $\frac{\partial^{|\beta|} \phi_F}{\partial \nu_{F'}^\beta} \Big|_{F'} = 0$. Based on the previous discussion, we obtain from (A.6), the generalized Green's identity (3.2) and the density argument

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_F^j(K)} \|D_{F',\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)\|_{0,F'}^2 &\simeq (\nabla^m v, \nabla^m \phi_F)_K - ((-\Delta)^m v, \phi_F)_K \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^\ell(K)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in A_\ell \\ |\beta| \leq m-\ell}} \left(D_{e,\beta}^{2m-\ell-|\beta|}(v), \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} \phi_F}{\partial \nu_e^\beta} \right)_e \\ &\quad - \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_F^j(K)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in A_j \\ |\alpha| < |\beta| \leq m-j}} \left(D_{F',\beta}^{2m-j-|\beta|}(v), \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} \phi_F}{\partial \nu_{F'}^\beta} \right)_{F'}. \end{aligned}$$

Employing the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the inverse inequality for polynomials, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_F^j(K)} \|D_{F',\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)\|_{0,F'}^2 \\ &\lesssim h_K^{-m} \|\nabla^m v\|_{0,K} \|\phi_F\|_{0,K} + \|(-\Delta)^m v\|_{0,K} \|\phi_F\|_{0,K} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^{j-1} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^\ell(K)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in A_\ell \\ |\beta| \leq m-\ell}} h_K^{-|\beta|-\ell/2} \|D_{e,\beta}^{2m-\ell-|\beta|}(v)\|_{0,e} \|\phi_F\|_{0,K} \\ &\quad + \sum_{F' \in \mathcal{F}_F^j(K)} \sum_{\substack{\beta \in A_j \\ |\alpha| < |\beta| \leq m-j}} h_K^{-|\beta|-\ell/2} \|D_{F',\beta}^{2m-j-|\beta|}(v)\|_{0,F'} \|\phi_F\|_{0,K}, \end{aligned}$$

which combined with (A.5) implies (A.4). \square

Lemma A.3. *For any $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, it holds*

$$(A.7) \quad \|\nabla^m v\|_{0,K}^2 \lesssim S_K(v, v) \quad \forall v \in \ker(\Pi^K).$$

Proof. By the generalized Green's identity (3.2),

$$(A.8) \quad \|\nabla^m v\|_{0,K}^2 = ((-\Delta)^m v, v)_K + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_j \\ |\alpha| \leq m-j}} \left(D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v), \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha} \right)_F.$$

Since $v \in W_k(K)$, we have $D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)|_F \in \mathbb{P}_{k-(2m-j-|\alpha|)}(F)$ for any $F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)$. Let N_F be the dimension of the space $\mathbb{P}_{k-(2m-j-|\alpha|)}(F)$. Then there exist constants c_i , $i = 1, \dots, N_F$ such that

$$\left(D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v), \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha} \right)_F = h_K^{n-j-|\alpha|} \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} c_i \chi_i(v)$$

Applying the norm equivalence on the polynomial space $\mathbb{P}_{k-(2m-j-|\alpha|)}(F)$, cf. (A.2), we get

$$\|D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)\|_{0,F}^2 \approx h_K^{n-j} \sum_{i=1}^{N_F} c_i^2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left(D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v), \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha} \right)_F &\lesssim h_K^{(n-j)/2-|\alpha|} \|D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)\|_{0,F} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N \chi_i^2(v)} \\ &\lesssim h_K^{m-|\alpha|-j/2} \|D_{F,\alpha}^{2m-j-|\alpha|}(v)\|_{0,F} \sqrt{S_K(v,v)}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (A.4) recursively, we derive from (A.3) and (A.8)

$$\|\nabla^m v\|_{0,K}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla^m v\|_{0,K} \sqrt{S_K(v,v)},$$

which induce (A.7). \square

We then prove another side of the norm equivalence (4.15).

Lemma A.4. *For any $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$ and nonnegative integer $s \leq m$, we have the local Poincaré inequality*

$$(A.9) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{m-s} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)} h_K^{s+j/2} \|\nabla^s v\|_{0,F} \lesssim h_K^m \|\nabla^m v\|_{0,K} \quad \forall v \in \ker(\Pi^K).$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove

$$(A.10) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{m-s} \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)} h_K^{s+j/2} \|\nabla^s v\|_{0,F} \lesssim \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-s-1} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^\ell(K)} h_K^{s+1+\ell/2} \|\nabla^{s+1} v\|_{0,e},$$

for $s = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$. Thanks to (3.8), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} h_K^{j/2} \|\nabla^s v\|_{0,F} &= h_K^{j/2} \left\| \nabla^s v - \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{F}^{m-s}(K)} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^{m-s}(K)} Q_0^e(\nabla^s v) \right\|_{0,F} \\ &\lesssim h_K^{j/2} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^{m-s}(K)} \|\nabla^s v - Q_0^e(\nabla^s v)\|_{0,F} \\ &= h_K^{j/2} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^{m-s}(K)} \|\nabla^s v - Q_0^K(\nabla^s v) - Q_0^e(\nabla^s v - Q_0^K(\nabla^s v))\|_{0,F} \\ &\lesssim h_K^{j/2} \|\nabla^s v - Q_0^K(\nabla^s v)\|_{0,F} + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^{m-s}(K)} h_K^{(m-s)/2} \|Q_0^e(\nabla^s v - Q_0^K(\nabla^s v))\|_{0,e} \\ &\leq h_K^{j/2} \|\nabla^s v - Q_0^K(\nabla^s v)\|_{0,F} + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^{m-s}(K)} h_K^{(m-s)/2} \|\nabla^s v - Q_0^K(\nabla^s v)\|_{0,e}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, applying the trace inequality (4.4) recursively, we get from (4.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
& h_K^{j/2} \|\nabla^s v - Q_0^K(\nabla^s v)\|_{0,F} + \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^{m-s}(K)} h_K^{(m-s)/2} \|\nabla^s v - Q_0^K(\nabla^s v)\|_{0,e} \\
& \lesssim \|\nabla^s v - Q_0^K(\nabla^s v)\|_{0,K} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-s-1} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^\ell(K)} h_K^{1+\ell/2} \|\nabla^{s+1} v\|_{0,e} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-s-1} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^\ell(K)} h_K^{1+\ell/2} \|\nabla^{s+1} v\|_{0,e}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the last two inequalities yields

$$h_K^{j/2} \|\nabla^s v\|_{0,F} \lesssim \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-s-1} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{F}^\ell(K)} h_K^{1+\ell/2} \|\nabla^{s+1} v\|_{0,e},$$

which indicates (A.10). Thus the Poincaré inequality (A.9) holds. \square

Lemma A.5. *For any $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, it holds*

$$(A.11) \quad S_K(v, v) \lesssim \|\nabla^m v\|_{0,K}^2 \quad \forall v \in \ker(\Pi^K).$$

Proof. Due to the definition of the degrees of freedom, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
S_K(v, v) &= h_K^{n-2m} \sum_{i=1}^{N_K} \chi_i^2(v) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_j \\ |\alpha| \leq m-j}} h_K^{2|\alpha|-2m+j} \left\| Q_{k-(2m-j-|\alpha|)}^F \left(\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha} \right) \right\|_{0,F}^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_j \\ |\alpha| \leq m-j}} h_K^{2|\alpha|-2m+j} \left\| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} v}{\partial \nu_F^\alpha} \right\|_{0,F}^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}^j(K)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in A_j \\ |\alpha| \leq m-j}} h_K^{2|\alpha|-2m+j} \|\nabla^{|\alpha|} v\|_{0,F}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which together with the Poincaré inequality (A.9) implies (A.11). \square

At last, combining (A.7) and (A.11) gives the norm equivalence (4.15).

Theorem A.6. *Assume the mesh \mathcal{T}_h satisfies conditions (A1) and (A2). For any $K \in \mathcal{T}_h$, the following norm equivalence holds*

$$S_K(v, v) \approx \|\nabla^m v\|_{0,K}^2 \quad \forall v \in \ker(\Pi^K),$$

where the constant is independent of K .

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Ahmad, A. Alsaedi, F. Brezzi, L. D. Marini, and A. Russo. Equivalent projectors for virtual element methods. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 66(3):376–391, 2013.
- [2] P. Alfeld and M. Sirvent. The structure of multivariate superspline spaces of high degree. *Math. Comp.*, 57(195):299–308, 1991.
- [3] P. F. Antonietti, G. Manzini, and M. Verani. The fully nonconforming virtual element method for biharmonic problems. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 28(2):387–407, 2018.

- [4] J. Argyris, I. Fried, and D. Scharpf. The TUBA family of plate elements for the matrix displacement method. *The Aeronautical Journal of the Royal Aeronautical Society*, 72:701–709, 1968.
- [5] B. Ayuso de Dios, K. Lipnikov, and G. Manzini. The nonconforming virtual element method. *ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal.*, 50(3):879–904, 2016.
- [6] I. Babuška. Error-bounds for finite element method. *Numer. Math.*, 16:322–333, 1971.
- [7] L. Beirão da Veiga, F. Brezzi, A. Cangiani, G. Manzini, L. D. Marini, and A. Russo. Basic principles of virtual element methods. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 23(1):199–214, 2013.
- [8] L. Beirão da Veiga, F. Brezzi, L. D. Marini, and A. Russo. The hitchhiker’s guide to the virtual element method. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 24(8):1541–1573, 2014.
- [9] L. Beirão da Veiga, C. Lovadina, and A. Russo. Stability analysis for the virtual element method. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 27(13):2557–2594, 2017.
- [10] J. H. Bramble and M. s. Zlámal. Triangular elements in the finite element method. *Math. Comp.*, 24:809–820, 1970.
- [11] S. C. Brenner and L. R. Scott. *The mathematical theory of finite element methods*, volume 15 of *Texts in Applied Mathematics*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2008.
- [12] S. C. Brenner and L.-Y. Sung. Virtual element methods on meshes with small edges or faces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.00442*, 2017.
- [13] F. Brezzi, A. Buffa, and K. Lipnikov. Mimetic finite differences for elliptic problems. *M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.*, 43(2):277–295, 2009.
- [14] F. Brezzi and L. D. Marini. Virtual element methods for plate bending problems. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg.*, 253:455–462, 2013.
- [15] S. Cao and L. Chen. Anisotropic error estimates of the linear nonconforming virtual element methods. *arXiv:1806.09054*, pages 1–19, 2018. Submitted.
- [16] S. Cao and L. Chen. Anisotropic error estimates of the linear virtual element method on polygonal meshes. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 56(5):2913–2939, 2018.
- [17] L. Chen and J. Huang. Some error analysis on virtual element methods. *Calcolo*, 55(1):55:5, 2018.
- [18] P. G. Ciarlet. *The finite element method for elliptic problems*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1978.
- [19] K. Feng and Z.-C. Shi. *Mathematical theory of elastic structures*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. Translated from the 1981 Chinese original, Revised by the authors.
- [20] J. Hu, Y. Huang, and S. Zhang. The lowest order differentiable finite element on rectangular grids. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 49(4):1350–1368, 2011.
- [21] J. Hu, Z. Shi, and J. Xu. Convergence and optimality of the adaptive Morley element method. *Numer. Math.*, 121(4):731–752, 2012.
- [22] J. Hu and S. Zhang. The minimal conforming H^k finite element spaces on R^n rectangular grids. *Math. Comp.*, 84(292):563–579, 2015.
- [23] J. Hu and S. Zhang. A canonical construction of H^m -nonconforming triangular finite elements. *Ann. of Appl. Math.*, 33(3):266–288, 2017.
- [24] J. N. Reddy. *Theory and Analysis of Elastic Plates and Shells*. CRC Press, New York, second edition, 2006.
- [25] A. Ženíšek. Interpolation polynomials on the triangle. *Numer. Math.*, 15:283–296, 1970.
- [26] M. Wang. On the necessity and sufficiency of the patch test for convergence of nonconforming finite elements. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 39(2):363–384, 2001.
- [27] M. Wang and J. Xu. The Morley element for fourth order elliptic equations in any dimensions. *Numer. Math.*, 103(1):155–169, 2006.
- [28] M. Wang and J. Xu. Minimal finite element spaces for $2m$ -th-order partial differential equations in R^n . *Math. Comp.*, 82(281):25–43, 2013.
- [29] Y. Wang. A nonconforming Crouzeix-Raviart type finite element on polygonal meshes. *Math. Comp.*, 88(315):237–271, 2019.
- [30] S. Wu and J. Xu. \mathcal{P}_m interior penalty nonconforming finite element methods for $2m$ -th order PDEs in R^n . *arXiv:1710.07678*, 2017.
- [31] S. Wu and J. Xu. Nonconforming finite element spaces for $2m$ -th order partial differential equations on R^n simplicial grids when $m = n + 1$. *Math. Comp.*, 2018.
- [32] S. Zhang. A family of 3D continuously differentiable finite elements on tetrahedral grids. *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 59(1):219–233, 2009.

- [33] S. Zhang. On the full C_1-Q_k finite element spaces on rectangles and cuboids. *Adv. Appl. Math. Mech.*, 2(6):701–721, 2010.
- [34] J. Zhao, S. Chen, and B. Zhang. The nonconforming virtual element method for plate bending problems. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 26(9):1671–1687, 2016.
- [35] J. Zhao, B. Zhang, S. Chen, and S. Mao. The Morley-type virtual element for plate bending problems. *J. Sci. Comput.*, 76(1):610–629, 2018.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT IRVINE, IRVINE, CA 92697,
USA

E-mail address: `chenlong@math.uci.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS, SHANGHAI
200433, CHINA

E-mail address: `huang.xuehai@sufe.edu.cn`