

Reynolds' Limit Formula for Dorodnitzyn's Atmospheric Boundary Layer Model in Convective Conditions

C. V. Valencia-Negrete ¹, C. Gay-García ², A. A. Carsteanu ³

^{1 3} Superior School of Physics and Mathematics (ESFM-IPN)

National Polytechnic Institute
Mexico City - 07738, MEXICO
e-mail: ohbicarla@gmail.com

² Centre of Atmospheric Sciences (CCA-UNAM)

National Autonomous University of Mexico
Mexico City - 04510 MÉXICO
e-mail: cgay@unam.mx

November 9, 2018

Abstract

Atmospheric convection is an essential aspect of atmospheric movement, and it is a source of errors in Climate Models. Being able to generate approximate limit formulas and compare the estimations they produce, could give a way to reduce them. In this article, it is shown that it is enough to assume that the velocity's L^2 -norm is bounded, has locally integrable, L^1_{loc} , weak partial derivatives up to order two, and a negligible variation of its first velocity's coordinate in direction parallel to the surface, to obtain a

Reynolds' limit formula for a Dorodnitsyn's compressible gaseous Boundary Layer in atmospheric conditions.

MSC 2010: 35Q30, 76N15, 76N20.

Key Words: Gas dynamics, Boundary-layer theory, Navier-Stokes equations, Reynold's limit formulas.

1 Introduction

A suitable approximate model for the air near the Earth's surface could tie both the free-stream velocity and the no slip condition. In the Theorem 19, it will be shown that there is a Reynolds' limit formula:

$$f \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y},$$

for a Dorodnitsyn's compressible Boundary Layer, where u is the first velocity's component, $f = [1 - (u^2/2i_0)]^{-6/25}$, y denotes the height, and i_0 is constant. In order to do so, we find an estimate, independent of the domain's scale:

$$\|\nabla F^\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \frac{c_2 U^3}{2C}.$$

for the L^2 -norm of a corresponding incompressible vector field F^ϵ , where U is the air's velocity over the Boundary Layer, and C is a constant set out by the rest of the boundary conditions given to the initial problem.

The solution procedure consists of three main steps. First, the application of Bayada and Chambat's change of variables transforms the original problem to an adimensional model where the effect of the small parameter of proportion,

$$\epsilon = \max \{h(x) \mid x \in [0, L]\} / L,$$

on each term, is explicit. Then, an adaptation of Dodordnitsyn's technique is applied to present it in an incompressible form, where

Majda's Energy Method is used to obtain a bound that is independent of ϵ for the L^2 -norm of the incompressible gradient. Finally, we show that the family of solutions to the adimensional problem, indexed by the small parameter ϵ is contained in a bounded set of a Sobolev space. Consequently, the Rellich-Kondrachov Compactness Theorem implies that the sequence of solutions has a subsequence that converges uniformly in the space $L^2(\Omega)$ when the parameter ϵ tends to zero.

1.1 Motivation

There is a need to lower biases in continental warmth to obtain better atmosphere models G. M. Martin et al. [14, p. 725]. The release of energy to the atmosphere by convective parcels contributes to these errors. Its calculation has historically been a way to reduce inaccuracies in surface temperature descriptions K. Stüwe [25, p. 59]. A temperature difference between a specific surface in contact with a gas and its surrounding neighborhood is the origin of a vertical draft of air, a natural convection air parcel. A sudden expansion of the gas in touch with the increased temperature gives a drop in its density, which in turn makes it lighter B. R. Morton et al. [19] and A. Bouzinaoui et al. [4]. However, ascending air acceleration is modeled by compressible Navier-Stokes equations P.-L. Lions [15] and F. Boyer et al. [3]. The suggestion of this work is to overcome this difficulty by looking for Reynolds' limit formulas, deduced from compressible Boundary Layer models. In this article, a first Reynolds' limit formula is found for the Dorodnitsyn's ideal gas and constant total energy Boundary Layer model, which admits an incompressible adimensional presentation where the evolution parameter problem is stated and the convective non linear term estimated through its *free-stream* velocity value.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

An atmospheric gas is a *newtonian fluid*, which implies the use of compressible Navier-Stokes equations P.-L. Lions [15]. If, instead of considering a Boundary Layer, a two-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes model is applied to study the behaviour of a liquid in contact with a solid surface, then there exist a smooth solution for each given viscosity value. For a fixed initial condition, a set of viscosity values has a corresponding family of well defined classical solutions. When the viscosity tends to zero, this family of solutions converges to an Euler's Equations solution with the same initial condition A. J. Majda et al. [13].

However, even in the simplest case of an incompressible flow whose vorticity is zero everywhere on its domain, an Euler's solution satisfying the condition of null velocity at Γ_0 , has a null velocity throughout the whole domain C. V. Valencia [27, p. 19]. Therefore, there are no two-dimensional Euler solutions with zero vorticity that comply with both the positive horizontal component of velocity at the top of the domain and the no slip condition at its bottom H. Schlichting et al. ([23] p. 145). This motivates the statement of a Boundary Layer model to more appropriately depict this phenomenon. Moreover, numerical approximations of boundary layer solutions describe velocity profiles similar to those found in reality H. Schlichting [22, p. 143].

In 1935, Adolf Busemann [6] proposed the first compressible Boundary Layer model to represent the behaviour of a gas with upper outflow velocity smaller than the velocity of sound, and Prandtl number equal to one. In his model, pressure terms are discarded, but temperature, viscosity, and density vary in accordance with ideal gas empirical properties to more accurately describe an atmospheric boundary layer moving over a surface. He presents temperature as a function of velocity, and employs it to describe the rest of the state variables in terms of velocity as well.

Busemann's model considered a power-law between viscosity and temperature whose exponent was later corrected in Theodore

von Kármán and Hsue-Shen Tsien [26] 1938's article, where they developed a different method of solution for the same problem. Less than a decade later, in 1942, Anatoly Alekseevich Dorodnitsyn [9] postulated a similar model, but allowed pressure to vary with x , which could imply the Boundary Layer to be separated from the surface. In this work, he defined several changes of variables. The first one of these allowed him to write the compressible model as an incompressible system. Here, we adapt this coordinates' change to a similar but not rectangular adimensional domain that will be obtained from Ω_h , and defined in Theorem 15.

Limit formulas for a small parameter of proportion have their origin in Osborne Reynolds' [20] article "*On the Theory of Lubrication and Its Application to Mr. Beauchamp Tower's Experiments, Including an Experimental Determination of the Viscosity of Olive Oil*", published in 1886. Reynolds' Formula was extensively used without a formal proof that it was indeed Navier-Stokes Equations' limit when the small parameter of proportion between the domain's height and its length tends to zero. This was accomplished a hundred years later by Guy Bayada and Michèle Chambat [1] for Stokes' Equations.

In 2009, Laurent Chupin and Remy Sart [8] successfully showed, through an application of Didier Bresch and Benoît Desjardin's Entropy Methods, that the compressible Reynolds equation is an approximation of compressible Navier-Stokes equations. For a thin domain filled with gas, the authors mention that there appears to be only one result of this type of problem. This is due to Eduard Marusic-Paloka and Maja Starcevic [17] [18]. Marusic-Paloka and Starcevic show the convergence of a two-dimensional compressible Stokes Equations.

In the literature, it doesn't seem to exist a small parameter asymptotic analysis for a compressible gaseous Boundary Layer model with a convective non linear term, such as Dorodnitsyn's Model, nor an adaptation of Dorodnitsyn's change of variables to this particular domain's shape to find a limit formula for a compressible case in terms of an incompressible expression. The main




Figure 1: The Domain Ω_h

result of this study is stated in Theorem 18 and proved in Subsection 2.3. Meanwhile, it can be expressed by the following assertion: Dorodnitsyn's Model may be approximated by a limit formula.

1.3 The Domain

Laminarity —and therefore two-dimensionality of the domain— in the liquid's movement when it is in contact with a solid surface is a supposition based on experimental observations T. von Kármán et al. [26] and S. Goldstein [12], and it is still regarded as a good assumption to describe it at an initial stage of a Boundary Layers' motion K. Gersten [11, p. 11] and S. Goldstein [12]. Here, the Boundary Layer is represented as a two-dimensional slice where the convective bubble is beginning to form although it has not yet separated from the surface, and it is slightly different from the rectangle that constitutes the domain in Dorodnitsyn's model.

Definition 1. Let $h: [0, L] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a smooth function such that $h(0) = h(L) = \delta$. The curve h is assumed to be twice differentiable in the interval $(0, L)$ with well defined continuous extensions for itself and its derivatives to $\{0\}$ and $\{L\}$, *i.e.*

$h \in C^2([0, L]; (0, \infty))$, and to have only one critical point which is a maximum. Moreover, suppose $L > 0$. Then, the domain is denoted as:

$$\Omega_h := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid 0 < x < L \ \& \ 0 < y < h(x)\}.$$

The domain's topological boundary, $\partial\Omega_h$, is drawn by the union of the segments: $\Gamma_0 = \{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ ; \ 0 \leq x \leq L\}$, $\Lambda_0 = \{(0, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2; 0 \leq y \leq \delta\}$, $\Lambda_L = \{(L, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ ; \ 0 \leq y \leq \delta\}$, and the curve

$$\Gamma_h = \{(x, h(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ ; \ 0 \leq x \leq L\}.$$

Remark 2. The vector $-\mathbf{e}_1 = (-1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ depicts the wind's direction above the Boundary Layer Ω_h . Likewise, $\mathbf{e}_3 = (0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ portrays the direction from the Earth's surface to its atmosphere. Similarly, the length $L > 0$ is a fixed real number which represents the distance covered by the *free-stream* in direction $-\mathbf{e}_1 = (-1, 0)$ over Γ_h . On the other hand, continuation of trajectories in the Boundary Layer is broken if for some $x \in [0, L]$ there is a pressure drop that generates a lift, a separation of the volume of the air from the surface. At that moment, the phenomenon's description in terms of a fixed domain is no longer possible.

1.4 Dorodnitzyn's Model Equations

Definition 3. Let Ω_h be as in Definition 1, $\rho \in L^1(\Omega_h; (0, \infty))$ be the *density*; the *velocity*, $\mathbf{v} = (u, v) \in L^2(\Omega_h; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^1_{loc}(\Omega_h; \mathbb{R}^2)$; the *absolute temperature*, $T \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega_h; (0, \infty))$; the *pressure*, $p \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega_h)$; the *dynamic viscosity*, $\mu \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega_h)$; and the *thermal conductivity* be $\kappa \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega_h)$; all with well defined first order weak partial derivatives, locally integrable in the Lebesgue sense, *i.e.* in $L^1_{loc}(\Omega_h)$.

Dorodnitzyn's model is formed by seven equations given for the seven variables $\rho, u, v, T, p, \kappa, \mu$, described above. The first three come from the conservation laws of Newtonian fluids: the stationary Conservation of Mass Law F. Boyer et al. [3], Eq. (1), the

compressible Boundary Layer Conservation of Momentum Law A. Dorodnitsyn [9], Eq. (2), and the simplified Conservation of Energy per Unit Mass Law, Eq. (9), that is obtained in Proposition 5 from an application of Luigi Crocco's [7] procedure to the, stationary and approximated, Conservation of Energy Law stated below as Eq. (3).

Consider:

$$\frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho v)}{\partial y} = 0; \quad (1)$$

$$\rho \left(u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) = - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right); \text{ and} \quad (2)$$

$$\rho \left[u \frac{\partial (c_p T)}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial (c_p T)}{\partial y} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[\kappa \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right] + \mu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}, \quad (3)$$

where c_p is the *specific heat transfer coefficient at constant pressure*.

The next four are Ideal Gases properties and empirical laws. In general, the dynamic viscosity μ satisfies the proportionality relation

$$Pr = \frac{c_p \mu}{\kappa}$$

for a *thermal conductivity* κ and a Prandtl number Pr . In this case, assume $Pr = 1$. This is:

$$1 = \frac{c_p \mu}{\kappa}; \quad (4)$$

and, the *Equation of State* K. Saha [21, p. 24],

$$pV = n R^* T; \quad (5)$$

where R^* is the *Universal Gas Constant*, n is the number of moles in a volume V , and $V = V(B_r) = \iiint_{B_r} d\mathbf{x}$ where

$$B_r = \{ \mathbf{x} = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 ; \|\mathbf{x} - \hat{\mathbf{x}}\| < r \} \subset \mathbb{R}^3,$$

for a given point $\hat{\mathbf{x}} \in \Omega_h \cap \mathbb{R}^3$ and a value $r > 0$ such that $\Omega_h \subset B_r$. This last equation is also used by Dorodnitsyn in the form:

$$\rho = \frac{p}{RT}, \quad (6)$$

for $R = R^*/M$, where M is the *molecular weight* of the gas.

The *adiabatic polytropic atmosphere* O. G. Tietjens [28, p. 35] is a relation:

$$pV^b = c; \quad (7)$$

where $b \cong 1.405$, c are fixed constants, and V has the value described above.

Finally, given two values μ_0 and T_0 of μ and T at the same point $(x_0, y_0) \in \Omega_h$, there is a *Power-Law* A. J. Smits et al. [24, p. 46]:

$$\frac{\mu}{\mu_0} = \left(\frac{T}{T_0} \right)^{\frac{19}{25}}. \quad (8)$$

Remark 4. First of all, when the air flow moves over a plane surface, has a velocity lower than the velocity of sound, and the surface has a homogeneous temperature, the Prandtl number is equal to 1 H. Schlichting et al. [23, p. 215], Eq. (4), and $c_p \mu$ replaces κ in Eq. (3). Second, a gas in the range of temperatures and densities found in the Earth's atmosphere fulfills the premises discovered for an Ideal Gas P.-L. Lions [15, p. 8], such as the Equation of State, Eq. (5). Moreover, when air moves in a convective parcel, the process is fast enough to expect that there will not be a heat transfer between the gas within the convective draft and its environment. Thus, adiabatic conditions imply another association, known as an adiabatic polytropic atmosphere O. G. Tietjens [28, p. 35]. Additionally, in a temperature range of [150, 500] Kelvin, there is a Power-Law between dynamic viscosity and T A. J. Smits et al. [24, p. 46].

One can follow L. Crocco's [7] procedure to find a Conservation of Energy Law from which Dorodnitsyn's model equation Eq. (9) is deduced, and find that it is equivalent to Eq. (3) when the Prandtl number is equal to 1, Eq. (4), as it is outlined in the following paragraph.

Proposition 5. Let $\rho, u, v, T, p, \kappa, \mu$ be as they were described

in Definition 3. Then, they satisfy Eq. (3) if and only:

$$\rho \left[u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right] \left(c_p T + \frac{u^2}{2} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(c_p T + \frac{u^2}{2} \right) \right]. \quad (9)$$

Proof. First, Eq. (4) allows to make the substitution $\kappa = c_p \mu$ in the right side of Eq. (3). This way one can arrive at:

$$\rho \left[u \frac{\partial (c_p T)}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial (c_p T)}{\partial y} \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[\mu \frac{\partial (c_p T)}{\partial y} \right] + \mu \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}. \quad (10)$$

Also, the product of the first velocity coordinate u and Eq. (2) gives:

$$\rho \left[u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{u^2}{2} \right) + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{u^2}{2} \right) \right] = u \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\mu \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) - u \frac{\partial p}{\partial x}. \quad (11)$$

Finally, Eq. (9) is obtained from the addition of Eq. (10) and (11) because $\partial p / \partial t = (\partial p / \partial x) (\partial x / \partial t) = u (\partial p / \partial x)$. \square

Remark 6. It is possible to notice in Eq. (9) that in Dorodnitsyn's model, the *kinetic energy* generated by the velocity coordinate v in the orthogonal direction to the surface is taken as negligible; the *total energy per unit mass*, $E = c_p T + u^2/2$, is considered the addition of the *kinetic energy per unit mass* $u^2/2$ and the *internal energy* in terms of *specific enthalpy* $e = c_p T$.

1.5 Dorodnitsyn's Model Boundary Conditions

The velocity at the upper top Γ_h of $\partial\Omega_h$ is called the *free-stream velocity*. Let:

$$\mathbf{v}|_{\Gamma_h} = (-U, 0), \quad (12)$$

for a strictly positive constant real value $U > 0$. Also, the velocity value at the lower lid Γ_0 is:

$$\mathbf{v}|_{\Gamma_0} = (0, 0). \quad (13)$$

Similarly, a constant *free-stream temperature*,

$$T|_{\Gamma_h} = T_h > 0, \quad (14)$$

and a homogeneous *free-stream dynamic viscosity* value

$$\mu|_{\Gamma_h} = \mu_h > 0, \quad (15)$$

are given in Γ_h .

Furthermore, there are periodic velocity conditions at the vertical segments of the boundary, Λ_0 and Λ_L , described in the Definition 1. This is: For all $y \in (0, \delta)$,

$$(u(0, y), 0) = (u(L, y), 0). \quad (16)$$

Finally, we have a Neumann condition for T : For all $x \in [0, L]$,

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial y}(x, 0) = 0. \quad (17)$$

Remark 7. This last condition represents an adiabatic wall in the surface Γ_0 . If the wind's velocity is less than the velocity of sound, the gas adheres to the solid surface T. von Kármán et al. [26] and A. J. Smits et al. [24, p. 52]. This is called the *no slip* condition, as seen in Eq. (13). On the other hand, there is a logarithmic wind velocity profile on the Earth's troposphere that depends on the type of atmosphere, and is not valid close to the Earth's surface, but provides a boundary condition U at the upper top Γ_h of Ω_h . For example, the classical Fleagle and Businger's [10, p. 274] Atmospheric Physics book reports a horizontal velocity measurement of 4 m/s at a height of 0.4 m, $\mathbf{v}(0, 0.4) = (4, 0)$, in an *unstable* atmosphere at O'Neill, Nebraska on 19 August 1953. Moreover, this value and the free-stream temperature determine that of the surface temperature, as will be shown in the following Lemma 8, Eq. (19). Similarly, the pressure $p|_{\Gamma_0} = p_0$ can be known from U and T_h through Eq. (5) and (19). Once the density is expressed in terms of the velocity u , as in Lemma 11, $\rho|_{\Gamma_0} = \rho_0$ can be calculated. Finally, Eq. (4) provides a way to obtain μ_h from a surface value of κ_h given by the material.

2 Limit Formula

2.1 Adimensional Model

Lemma 8. Let $\rho, u, v, T, p, \kappa, \mu$ be as in Definition 3. If the no slip condition (13) is satisfied, then Eq. (9) has a constant solution $E = c_p T_h + U^2/2$ in the domain Ω_h , described in Definition 1, that fulfills the remaining boundary conditions (12), (14), and (17) given for u, v , and T .

Proof. It is enough to substitute the constant value $E = c_p T_h + U^2/2$ in Eq. (9) to see that both sides become zero. Because $E = c_p T + u^2/2$, this allows us to express the absolute temperature in the form

$$T(u) = T_h + \frac{1}{2c_p} (U^2 - u^2). \quad (18)$$

The boundary conditions (12) and (14) are verified by construction. If $y = 0$, Eq. (18) and the no slip condition (13) imply that:

$$T|_{\Gamma_0} = T_h + \left(1 - \frac{U^2}{2c_p}\right). \quad (19)$$

Thus, $T|_{\Gamma_0} = T_0 > 0$, and the boundary condition (17) is fulfilled. \square

Corollary 9. Under the same assumptions, where the free-stream temperature $T_h > 0$, as is stated in (14), T can be seen in terms of T_0 as:

$$T(u) = T_0 \left(1 - \frac{u^2}{2c_p T_0}\right). \quad (20)$$

Proof. The previous Lemma 8 shows that the total energy E has a constant value throughout the domain. We can use the no slip condition (13) in the expression $E = c_p T + u^2/2$ to obtain a new way to calculate it as $E = c_p T_0$. Hence, $c_p T_0 = c_p T + u^2/2$, and we get Eq. (20). \square

Remark 10. By Definition 3, the absolute temperature $T > 0$ in the domain Ω_h as described in Definition 1. Additionally $c_p > 0$. In consequence, the total energy per mass unit $i_0 := c_p T_0 = c_p T + u^2/2$ is strictly bigger than the kinetic energy $u^2/2$ generated by the first velocity's component. Therefore the difference $1 - (u^2/2i_0) \neq 0$ in Ω_h .

Lemma 11. Once again, let $\rho, u, v, T, p, \kappa, \mu$ be as in Definition 3. Suppose that Eq. (3), (4), (5), (7) and (8) are satisfied by ρ, u, v, T, p, κ , and μ in Ω_h with the boundary conditions (12), (13), (14), and (15). Then:

$$p(u) = c_1 [1 - (u^2/2i_0)]^{\frac{b}{(b-1)}}; \quad (21)$$

$$\rho(u) = c_2 \frac{[1 - (u^2/2i_0)]^{\frac{b}{(b-1)}}}{[1 - (u^2/2i_0)]}; \quad \text{and} \quad (22)$$

$$\mu(u) = c_3 [1 - (u^2/2i_0)]^{\frac{19}{25}}; \quad (23)$$

where $c_1 = p_0 T_0^{\frac{2b}{(b-1)}}$, $c_2 = c_1 R^{-1} T_0^{-1}$, and $c_3 = \mu_h T_h^{-\frac{19}{25}} T_0^{\frac{19}{25}}$.

Proof. As previously seen in Proposition 5, Eq. (3) and (4) are equivalent to Eq. (9). If the boundary conditions (12) and (13) are known, the Lemma 8 gives $T_0 > 0$ at Γ_0 , Eq. (19), and the expression of temperature in terms of u , Eq. (20). Then, Eq. (5) provides a value $p|_{\Gamma_0} = p_0 = (n R^* T_0)/V > 0$. Analogously, regarding Eq. (5), (20), and the last Remark 10, we have that $p \neq 0$ in Ω_h . Thus, from Eq. (7), we get $p_0 [(n R^* T_0)/p_0]^b = p [(n R^* T)/p]^b$. This is, $p = p_0 T_0^{2b/(b-1)} T^{b/(b-1)}$. The substitution of Eq. (20) in this last expression conduces to (21). Similarly, Eq. (21), Eq. (20), and Eq. (6), which is equivalent to Eq. (5), conduces to (22). Finally, Eq. (23) is a consequence of Eq. (8), Eq. (20), and the value μ_h of (15). \square

Remark 12. Atmospheric pressure is regarded as the weight impressed by the column of air over a point x at its base O. G. Tietjens [28, p. 18]. Dorodnitzyn assumes p to be dependent only of

x , and that for each $x \in (0, L)$, $p(x, y)$ is given by its corresponding value $p(x, h(x))$ at Γ_h . In the Corollary 13, we emphasize that this can be seen as a consequence of temperature's observed linear decrease with height from the Earth's surface to the troposphere's upper border K. Saha [21, p. 20]. Moreover, this allows us to consider a constant pressure value determined by the free-stream velocity in the Theorem 15 below.

Corollary 13. *Under the same conditions as in Lemma 11, let $p(x, y) = g \int_y^\infty \rho(x, z) dz$ for all $(x, y) \in \Omega_h$, where g is the standard gravity constant. If, additionally, $\beta > 0$ is such that $T(x, y) = T_0 - \beta y \forall (x, y) \in \Omega_h$, then for all $(x, y) \in \Omega_h$:*

$$p(x, y) \cong c_1 [1 - (U^2/2i_0)]^{\frac{b}{(b-1)}}; \text{ and} \quad (24)$$

$$\rho(x, y) \cong c_2 \frac{[1 - (U^2/2i_0)]^{\frac{b}{(b-1)}}}{[1 - (u^2(x, y)/2i_0)]}. \quad (25)$$

Proof. From the Lemma 11, we have $T_0 > 0$, Eq. (21) and (22). If $T(x, y) = T_0 - \beta y$ is substituted in Eq. (16), that is equivalent to the given Eq. (15), and the corresponding density expression is used in the atmospheric pressure's definition $p(x, y) = g \int_y^\infty \rho(x, z) dz$. Then, $\ln(p(x, y)) - \ln(p_0) = g\beta [\ln((T_0 - \beta y)/T_0)]$. For this reason, if y is sufficiently small for the term βy to be discarded, the variation of pressure with height may be negligible. Hence, p can be approximated by its value in each $(x, h(x)) \in \Gamma_h$. The Eq. (21) with values in Γ_h implies Eq. (24). Furthermore, Eq. (25) is inferred from Eq. (24) and (22). \square

Lemma 14. Let h and Ω_h be as in Definition 3, and $\rho, u, v, T, p, \kappa, \mu$ as in Definition 3. For each $L > 0$ and $H := \max\{h(x) \mid x \in [0, L]\}$, there are a parameter $\epsilon := H/L > 0$, and a diffeomorphism $\phi^\epsilon: \Omega_h \rightarrow \Omega_\epsilon$, $\phi^\epsilon(x, y) = (s, \tau) := (x/L, y/(L\epsilon))$ for all $(x, y) \in \Omega_h$. Also, there is a vector field $\mathbf{v}^\epsilon = (u^\epsilon, v^\epsilon) \in L^2(\Omega_\epsilon; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^1_{loc}(\Omega_\epsilon; \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\mathbf{v}^\epsilon(s, \tau) = (u^\epsilon(s, \tau), v^\epsilon(s, \tau))$ with $u^\epsilon(s, \tau) = (1/L)u(Ls, L\epsilon\tau)$, $v^\epsilon(s, \tau) = (1/(L\epsilon))v(Ls, L\epsilon\tau)$; a density $\rho^\epsilon \in L^1(\Omega_\epsilon; (0, \infty))$, $\rho^\epsilon(s, \tau) := c_2 [\sigma_0]^{b/(b-1)} \sigma^{-1}(s, \tau)$,

where σ denotes $\sigma(s, \tau) = 1 - ([Lu^\epsilon(s, \tau)]^2 / 2i_0)$, σ_0 is the number $1 - ([LU^\epsilon]^2 / 2i_0)$, and $U^\epsilon = (1/L)U$ is the free-stream velocity on the curve $h^\epsilon \in C^2([0, 1])$ such that $h^\epsilon(x) = h(Ls)/(L\epsilon)$. Analogously, there is a dynamic viscosity $\mu^\epsilon \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega_\epsilon)$ with $\mu^\epsilon = c_3\sigma^{\frac{19}{25}}$.

Proof. First of all, ϕ^ϵ is linear. Because $Ker(\phi) = \{(0, 0)\}$, it is invertible. Its Jacobian determinant is $|D\phi^\epsilon| = 1/(L^2\epsilon) > 0$. Consequently, by the Inverse Function Theorem, ϕ^ϵ is a diffeomorphism of Ω_h . Second, the vector field is obtained via the Chain Rule: Let $t \in [0, \infty)$ be the time, then $u^\epsilon = \partial s / \partial t = (\partial s / \partial x)(\partial x / \partial t) = (1/L)u$. Similarly, we obtain v^ϵ and the free-stream velocity U^ϵ . Moreover, if $u \in L^2(\Omega_h)$,

$$\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_h)}^2 = \iint_{\Omega_h} u^2(x, y) dx dy = L^2\epsilon \iint_{\Omega_\epsilon} [Lu^\epsilon]^2(s, \tau) ds d\tau.$$

So that,

$$\|u^\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)}^2 = L^4\epsilon \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_h)}^2 < \infty, \quad (26)$$

and $u^\epsilon \in L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)$. In the same way, $u^\epsilon \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega_\epsilon)$, and $v^\epsilon \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)$. Finally, the density ρ^ϵ , the curve h^ϵ , and the dynamic viscosity μ^ϵ are determined by the corresponding commutative diagrams with ϕ^ϵ . \square

Theorem 15 (Adimensional Model). *Let $\rho, u, v, T, p, \kappa, \mu$ be as in Definition 3. Suppose they satisfy the Dorodnitsyn's Boundary Layer Model given by equations (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (7), (8) with boundary conditions (12), (13), (14), (15), (16), (17). Additionally, assume $p = c_1 [1 - (U^2/2i_0)]^{\frac{b}{(b-1)}}$ in Ω_h . Then, u^ϵ , and v^ϵ , as defined in the Lemma 14 above, verify the following system in Ω_ϵ :*

$$div(\rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon, \rho^\epsilon v^\epsilon) = 0; \text{ and} \quad (27)$$

$$L^2\epsilon^2\rho^\epsilon \left(u^\epsilon \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial s} + v^\epsilon \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial \tau} \right) = c_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left[\sigma^{\frac{19}{25}} \frac{\partial u^\epsilon}{\partial \tau} \right], \quad (28)$$

with boundary conditions:

$$(u^\epsilon, v^\epsilon)|_{\phi^\epsilon(\Gamma_0)} = (0, 0); \quad (29)$$

$$(u^\epsilon, v^\epsilon)|_{\phi^\epsilon(\Gamma_h)} = (-LU^\epsilon, 0); \text{ and} \quad (30)$$

$$(u^\epsilon(0, \tau), 0) = (u^\epsilon(1, \tau), 0), \forall \tau \in [0, \delta/(L\epsilon)]; \quad (31)$$

where ρ^ϵ and σ depend of u^ϵ , in the way described in Lemma 14.

Proof. Considering the new directions, the generalized partial derivatives $\partial u/\partial x = \partial u^\epsilon/\partial s$; $\partial u/\partial y = (1/\epsilon)\partial u^\epsilon/\partial \tau$; $\partial v/\partial y = \partial v^\epsilon/\partial \tau$; $u(\partial u/\partial x) = Lu^\epsilon(\partial u^\epsilon/\partial s)$; and $v(\partial u/\partial y) = Lv^\epsilon(\partial u^\epsilon/\partial \tau)$. The weak derivative $\partial p/\partial x = 0$ because U is constant. Similarly, p allows us to see

$$\rho = c_2 [1 - (U^2/2i_0)]^{\frac{b}{(b-1)}} / [1 - (u^2(x, y)/2i_0)].$$

Therefore, $\partial/\partial y [\mu(\partial u/\partial y)] = L^{-1}\epsilon^{-2}c_3 \partial/\partial \tau [\sigma^{\frac{19}{25}}(\partial u^\epsilon/\partial \tau)]$. Finally, each term is substituted on each side of Eq. (1) and (2) to obtain Eq. (27) and (28). \square

2.2 Incompressible Model

The domain's shape Ω_h described in Definition 1 is different from the rectangular one in the original Dorodnitsyn's article. In addition, there is no domain Ω_ϵ in Dorodnitsyn's work, because this was obtained with the application of Bayada and Chambat's diffeomorphism ϕ^ϵ . Therefore, it is necessary to make an adjustment on Dorodnitsyn's change of variables to take into account the points $(s, \tau) \in \Omega_\epsilon$ over a height $\phi^\epsilon(0, \delta) = (0, \delta/(L\epsilon))$, as is done in Eq. (33) below. This new diffeomorphism allows us to take the Adimensional Model into an incompressible form.

Lemma 16. Let $h \in C^2([0, L], (0, \infty))$ have only one critical point which is a maximum. Let Ω_ϵ and ρ^ϵ be as described in Lemma 14. Suppose that the weak derivative $\partial u/\partial x = 0$ *a.e.* in

Ω_h . Then, there is a diffeomorphism $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2): \Omega_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\forall s \in [0, 1]$:

$$\eta_1(s, \tau) = \int_0^s \frac{1}{\rho^\epsilon(\zeta, \tau)} d\zeta, \quad \forall \tau \in [0, \delta/(L\epsilon)]; \quad (32)$$

$$\eta_1(s, \tau) = \int_{\tilde{s}}^s \frac{1}{\rho^\epsilon(\zeta, \tau)} d\zeta, \quad \forall \tau \in h^\epsilon([0, 1]); \quad \text{and} \quad (33)$$

$$\eta_2(s, \tau) = \int_0^\tau \rho^\epsilon(s, \xi) d\xi; \quad (34)$$

where \tilde{s} is the preimage of $\tau = h^\epsilon(\tilde{s}) \in h^\epsilon([0, 1])$ such that the slope $\partial h^\epsilon / \partial s(\tilde{s}) \geq 0$.

Proof. By definition, $\forall (s, \tau) \in \Omega_\epsilon$, $\rho^\epsilon(s, \tau) = \rho(Ls, L\epsilon\tau) > 0$. From the Remark 10, we know that σ is positive and bounded by 1. In addition, if h has one unique critical maximum in its domain, h^ϵ does as well. In fact, the top cover of Ω_ϵ is given by the curve h^ϵ , where each image $\tau = h^\epsilon(s)$, different from its cusp, has exactly two preimages, one of them on the ascending part of the curve where $\partial h^\epsilon / \partial s(\tilde{s}) \geq 0$. So that the horizontal segment $(\tilde{s}, s) \times \{\tau\}$ is contained in Ω_ϵ . Thus, each Riemann integral $\eta_1(s, \tau)$ is the limit of an of increasing and bounded sequence of Darboux sums which add positive values taken by the function σ over a horizontal and bounded segment contained in Ω_ϵ . As a result, for each $(s, \tau) \in \Omega_\epsilon$, the sequence of sums converges and η_1 is well defined. In addition, the Remark 10 implies that σ is strictly positive. Then, η_2 is a well defined function in Ω_ϵ . Two of its partial derivatives are $\partial \eta_1 / \partial s = 1/\rho^\epsilon$, and $\partial \eta_2 / \partial \tau = \rho^\epsilon$. By the Monotone Convergence Theorem, if $(\partial u / \partial x) = 0$ a. e. in Ω_h , we calculate the product $(\partial \eta_1 / \partial \tau)(\partial \eta_2 / \partial s) = 0$. Then, the Jacobian determinant $|D\eta| = 1$. Hence, by the Inverse Function Theorem, η is a diffeomorphism of Ω_ϵ . \square

Theorem 17 (Incompressible Model). *Let $\rho, u, v, T, p, \kappa, \mu$ be as in Definition 3. Suppose they satisfy the Dorodnitsyn's Boundary Layer Model given by equations (1), (2), (3), (4), (5),*

(7), (8) with boundary conditions (12), (13), (14), (15), (16), (17), $p(x, y) = p(x, h(x)) \forall (x, y) \in \Omega_h$, and $\partial u / \partial x = 0$ a.e. in Ω_h . Consider $u^\epsilon, v^\epsilon, \rho^\epsilon, \sigma$, and σ_0 as in Lemma 14, and the domain $\eta(\Omega_\epsilon) = \Omega$ as defined in Lemma 16. Then, there exists a stream-function ψ such that $\partial\psi/\partial s = -\rho^\epsilon v^\epsilon, \partial\psi/\partial\tau = \rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon$; and a vector field $F^\epsilon = (F_1^\epsilon, F_2^\epsilon) \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap L^1_{loc}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$, $F_1^\epsilon = \partial\psi/\partial\eta_2$ and $F_2^\epsilon = -\partial\psi/\partial\eta_1$, that satisfies:

$$\operatorname{div}(F_1^\epsilon, F_2^\epsilon) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (35)$$

$$L^2 \epsilon^2 \left\{ F_1^\epsilon \frac{\partial F_1^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_1} + F_2^\epsilon \frac{\partial F_1^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_2} \right\} = C \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_2} \left[\tilde{\sigma}^{-\frac{6}{25}} \frac{\partial F_1^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_1} \right], \quad (36)$$

where η^{-1} is the inverse function of η , $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma \circ \eta^{-1}$, and $C = c_3 c_2^2 \sigma_0^{\frac{2b}{b-1}}$ as denoted in Lemma 11. Moreover, the boundary conditions are given, for all $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \partial\Omega$, by:

$$F^\epsilon|_{\partial\Omega}(\eta_1(s, \tau), \eta_2(s, \tau)) = (u^\epsilon|_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon}(s, \tau), 0). \quad (37)$$

Proof. First, under these conditions, u^ϵ and v^ϵ verify the system described in Theorem 15, and, according to Lemma 16, η is a diffeomorphism of Ω_ϵ . Second, Eq. (35) allows the definition of a stream-function given a fixed point $(s_0, \tau_0) \in \Omega_\epsilon$. Third, Eq. (36) is written in terms of its partial derivatives. Then, these partial derivatives are calculated in the new coordinates η_1 and η_2 . Finally, the left side and right side of the new equation for the stream-function's original partial derivatives is presented in the new directions, and substituted by the field's F^ϵ coordinate functions. The boundary conditions are determined as a direct result of the vector field's definition, where it can be seen that it satisfies the relations: For all $(\eta_1, \eta_2) \in \Omega$ such that $\eta(s, \tau) = (\eta_1, \eta_2)$,

$$F_1^\epsilon(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\eta_2}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \frac{1}{\rho^\epsilon} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\tau}(s, \tau) = u^\epsilon(s, \tau); \quad \text{and} \quad (38)$$

$$F_2^\epsilon(\eta_1, \eta_2) = -\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\eta_1}(\eta_1, \eta_2) = -\rho^\epsilon \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial s}(s, \tau) = -(\rho^\epsilon)^2 v^\epsilon(s, \tau). \quad (39)$$

In particular, the repeated argument made for Eq. (25) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the L^2 -norm implies that the vector $F^\epsilon \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$. Moreover, $F^\epsilon \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ and has inherited locally integrable weak partial derivatives.

If Eq. (38), for each fixed point $(s_0, \tau_0) \in \Omega_\epsilon$ and each $(s, \tau) \in \Omega_\epsilon$, the Poincaré's Lemma implies that the integral

$$\psi(s, \tau) := \int_\gamma (-\rho^\epsilon v^\epsilon) ds + (\rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon) d\tau,$$

has the same real value for every $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}_\epsilon$ such that $\gamma(0) = (s_0, \tau_0)$ and $\gamma(1) = (s, \tau)$. This is, the streamfunction ψ is well defined on Ω_ϵ .

In order to calculate its derivatives, it is enough to pick a trajectory built by pieces where one variable is fixed. Substitution of u^ϵ and v^ϵ in terms of the streamfunction's derivatives, $\partial\psi/\partial s = -\rho^\epsilon v^\epsilon$ and $\partial\psi/\partial\tau = \rho^\epsilon u^\epsilon$, and the hypothesis that ρ^ϵ is not null at any point of its domain, allows us to write Eq. (36) in terms of ψ as:

$$L^2\epsilon^2 \left[\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \left[\frac{1}{\rho^\epsilon} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\tau} \right] - \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial s} \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} \left[\frac{1}{\rho^\epsilon} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\tau} \right] \right] = c_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} \left[\sigma^{\frac{19}{25}} \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^\epsilon} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\tau} \right) \right].$$

Additionally, there is a new domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ where:

$$\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\tau} = \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\eta_2} \frac{\partial\eta_2}{\partial\tau} = \rho^\epsilon \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\eta_2} \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial s} = \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\eta_1} \frac{\partial\eta_1}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{\rho^\epsilon} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\eta_1}. \quad (40)$$

Once again, substitution of identities in Eq. (40) in the left side of the equation above and the definition of F^ϵ give a new expression for the nonlinear term as:

$$L^2\epsilon^2 \left[\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\eta_2} \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\eta_1\partial\eta_2} - \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\eta_1} \frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\eta_2^2} \right] = L^2\epsilon^2 \left[F_1^\epsilon \frac{\partial F_1^\epsilon}{\partial\eta_1} + F_2^\epsilon \frac{\partial F_1^\epsilon}{\partial\eta_2} \right].$$

Similarly, by the second identity in Eq. (40) and the definition of

F_1^ϵ , the right side of the same equation is:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_3 \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left[\sigma^{\frac{19}{25}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left(\frac{1}{\rho^\epsilon} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \tau} \right) \right] &= c_3 \frac{\partial \eta_2}{\partial \tau} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_2} \left[\sigma^{\frac{19}{25}} \rho^\epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \eta_2^2} \right], \\
&= c_3 \rho^\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_2} \left[\sigma^{\frac{19}{25}} \rho^\epsilon \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \eta_2^2} \right], \\
&= c_3 c_1^2 \sigma_0^{\frac{2b}{(b-1)}} \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_2} \left[\sigma^{\frac{19}{25}-1} \frac{\partial F_1^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_2} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the vector field $F^\epsilon \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2) \cap L_{loc}^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$, and its locally integrable weak partial derivatives, satisfy the incompressible system of Eq. (35) and (36) with boundary conditions given by Eq. (37). \square

2.3 Dorodnitzyn Boundary Layer Limit Formula

Alberto Bressan's [5] book *Lecture Notes on Functional Analysis: With Applications to Linear Partial Differential Equations* provides an excellent account of Sobolev Embedding Theorems, as they will be used in this section.

Theorem 18. *Under the same hypothesis of Theorem 17, there is an estimate:*

$$\|\nabla F^\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \frac{c_2 U^3}{2C} \quad (41)$$

Proof. From Theorem 17, the vector field $F^\epsilon = (F_1^\epsilon, F_2^\epsilon)$ verifies the system of Eq. (35) and (36) in Ω with boundary conditions determined by (37). In particular, there is an underlying assumption that the Laplacian

$$\Delta F_2^\epsilon = \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{\partial^2 F_2^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_i^2} = 0, \quad (42)$$

because the conservation of momentum equation for F_2^ϵ is considered null. Furthermore, from $\partial u / \partial x = 0$ *a.e.* in Ω_h and Eq. (38),

it can be seen that:

$$\frac{\partial^2 F_1^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_1^2} = 0. \quad (43)$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_1(F^\epsilon)$ denote the inner product in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ of F^ϵ and the vector $(F^\epsilon \cdot \nabla) F^\epsilon = \left(\sum_{i=1,2} F_i^\epsilon \frac{\partial F_j^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_i} \right)_{j=1,2}$ in the space $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$. Namely,

$$\mathcal{F}_1(F^\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1,2} F_i^\epsilon \left(\sum_{j=1,2} \frac{\partial (F_j^\epsilon)^2}{\partial \eta_i} \right) d\eta.$$

From Eq. (38) and the boundary conditions (29), (30), and (31) for v^ϵ , we have:

$$F_2^\epsilon|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (44)$$

If $\text{div}(F_1^\epsilon, F_2^\epsilon) = 0$, by the Gauss-Ostrogradsky Theorem and Eq. (44):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1(F^\epsilon) &= -\frac{1}{2} \iint_{\Omega} \{ (F_1^\epsilon)^2 + (F_2^\epsilon)^2 \} \text{div}(F_1^\epsilon, F_2^\epsilon) d\eta \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} ((F_1^\epsilon)^2, 0) \cdot \mathbf{n} dS, \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} ((F_1^\epsilon)^3, 0) \cdot \mathbf{n} dS, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{n} is the outward pointing unitary normal vector field of the topological boundary $\partial\Omega$. Because $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is a diffeomorphism, $\boldsymbol{\eta}(\partial\Omega_\epsilon) = \partial\Omega$. This is, $\partial\Omega = \boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Gamma_0)) \cup \boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Lambda_0)) \cup \boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Lambda_L)) \cup \boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Gamma_h))$. The no-slip boundary condition for F_1^ϵ in $\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Gamma_0))$ is inherited from u^ϵ by Eq. (29). This way,

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Gamma_0))} ((F_1^\epsilon)^3, 0) \cdot \mathbf{n} dS = 0.$$

The periodic boundary conditions of u^ϵ established in Eq. (31) imply that $\forall \tau \in [0, \delta/L\epsilon]$, or for all $(1, \tau) \in \boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Lambda_L))$:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_1(1, \tau) &= c_2^{-1} \sigma_0^{-b/(b-1)} (1 - [[u^\epsilon(1, \tau)]^2 - [u^\epsilon(0, \tau)]^2]) \\ &= c_2^{-1} \sigma_0^{-b/(b-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

In addition, $\eta_1(0, \tau) = 0 \forall \tau \in [0, \delta/L\epsilon]$, *i.e.* $\forall (0, \tau) \in \boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Lambda_0))$. Thus, the partial derivatives $\partial\eta_1/\partial\tau(0, \tau) = \partial\eta_1/\partial\tau(1, \tau) = 0 \forall \tau \in [0, \delta/L\epsilon]$, and the boundary's sections $\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Lambda_0))$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Lambda_L))$ are vertical. Consequently, Eq. (38) implies that:

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Lambda_0))} ((F_1^\epsilon)^3, 0) \cdot \mathbf{n} dS = - \int_0^{\frac{\delta}{L\epsilon}} [u^\epsilon(0, \tau)]^3 \frac{\partial\eta_1}{\partial\tau}(0, \tau) d\tau = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Lambda_L))} ((F_1^\epsilon)^3, 0) \cdot \mathbf{n} dS = 0.$$

As a result, the product $\mathcal{F}_1(F^\epsilon)$ is determined only by the free-stream velocity:

$$\mathcal{F}_1(F^\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Gamma_h))} ([-LU^\epsilon]^3, 0) \cdot \mathbf{n} dS. \quad (45)$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_2(F^\epsilon)$ designate the product of F^ϵ and the vector corresponding to the right side of Eq. (36) in the space $L^2(\boldsymbol{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^2)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_2(F^\epsilon) &= \iint_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} (F_1^\epsilon, F_2^\epsilon) \cdot \left(C \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_2} \left[\tilde{\sigma}^{-\frac{6}{25}} \frac{\partial F_1^\epsilon}{\partial\eta_2} \right], 0 \right) d\boldsymbol{\eta}, \\ &= C \iint_{\boldsymbol{\Omega}} F_1^\epsilon \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial\eta_2} \left[\tilde{\sigma}^{-\frac{6}{25}} \frac{\partial F_1^\epsilon}{\partial\eta_2} \right] d\boldsymbol{\eta}.\end{aligned}$$

In fact, $\tilde{\sigma}^{-1} > 1$ in $\bar{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}$. Then, by the Gauss-Ostrogradsky Theorem,

Eq. (38), and the boundary conditions (29), (30) and (31), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_2(F^\epsilon) &\geq C \iint_{\Omega} F_1^\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_2} \left[\tilde{\sigma}^{-\frac{6}{25}} \frac{\partial F_1^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_2} \right] d\boldsymbol{\eta}, \\
&\geq -C \left[\iint_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial F_1^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_2} \right)^2 d\boldsymbol{\eta} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(0, \frac{\partial (F_1^\epsilon)^2}{\partial \eta_2} \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} dS \right] \\
&= -C \iint_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial F_1^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_2} \right)^2 d\boldsymbol{\eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

This is because the restriction of F_1^ϵ to $\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Gamma_h))$ is constant, the derivative $\partial F_1^\epsilon / \partial \eta_2|_{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Gamma_h))} = 0$, and the periodic boundary condition (31) makes vertical the sections $\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Lambda_0))$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Lambda_L))$, so that the normal $\mathbf{n}|_{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Lambda_0)) \cup \boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Lambda_L))} = (\pm 1, 0)$.

In similar fashion, given Eq. (43) and $\partial F_1^\epsilon / \partial \eta_1|_{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Gamma_h))} = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\iint_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial F_1^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_1} \right)^2 d\boldsymbol{\eta} &= - \iint_{\Omega} F_1^\epsilon \frac{\partial^2 F_1^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_1^2} d\boldsymbol{\eta} \\
&\quad + \int_{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Gamma_h))} \left(F_1^\epsilon \frac{\partial F_1^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_1}, 0 \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} dS = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

And, in the same way, Eq. (42) and (44) imply that:

$$\sum_{i=1,2} \iint_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial F_2^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_i} \right)^2 d\boldsymbol{\eta} = - \iint_{\Omega} F_2^\epsilon \Delta F_2 d\boldsymbol{\eta} = 0.$$

Therefore, if Eq. (36) is satisfied by F^ϵ , then $\mathcal{F}_1(F^\epsilon) = \mathcal{F}_2(F^\epsilon)$, and Eq. (45) gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla F^\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)} &= \iint_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial F_1^\epsilon}{\partial \eta_2} \right)^2 d\boldsymbol{\eta} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2C} \int_{\boldsymbol{\eta}(\phi^\epsilon(\Gamma_h))} ([LU^\epsilon]^3, 0) \cdot \mathbf{n} dS.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, each density value $\rho = \rho^\epsilon \leq c_2$, and $\partial \eta_1 / \partial s = \rho^\epsilon$ in Ω .

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2C} \int_{\eta(\phi^\epsilon(\Gamma_h))} ([LU^\epsilon]^3, 0) \cdot \mathbf{n} dS &\leq \frac{U^3}{2C} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \eta_1}{\partial s}(s, h^\epsilon(s)) ds \\ &\leq \frac{c_2 U^3}{2C}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 19. *Without loss of generality, assume $L, H > 1$. Under the same hypothesis of Theorem 17, and the additional existence of locally integrable generalized derivatives up to order 2 for u , we obtain that u is a weak solution to the limit formula:*

$$f \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \quad (46)$$

in $L^2(\Omega_h; \mathbb{R}^2)$, where $f = [1 - (u^2(x, y)/2i_0)]^{-\frac{6}{25}}$.

Proof. If $\mathbf{v} = (u, v) \in L^2(\Omega_h; \mathbb{R}^2)$, and $L^2\epsilon = LH > 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega} (F_1^\epsilon(\eta_1, \eta_2))^2 d\eta_1 d\eta_2 &= \iint_{\Omega_\epsilon} (u^\epsilon(s, \tau))^2 ds d\tau, \\ &= LH \iint_{\Omega_h} (u(x, y))^2 dx dy, \\ &= LH \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_h)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar manner, the estimate $\rho^\epsilon \leq c_2$ implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Omega} (F_2^\epsilon(\eta_1, \eta_2))^2 d\eta_1 d\eta_2 &= \iint_{\Omega_\epsilon} ((\rho^\epsilon)^2 v^\epsilon(s, \tau))^2 ds d\tau, \\ &\leq c_2^4 LH \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_h)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|F^\epsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \leq L \left\{ H \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega_h)}^2 + c_2^4 H \|v\|_{L^2(\Omega_h)}^2 + \frac{c_2 U^3}{2C} \right\}.$$

Thus, the sequence (F^ϵ) is contained and bounded in the Sobolev Space $W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$ by a constant value independent of the parameter $\epsilon > 0$. As a consequence, the Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem A. Bressan [5, p. 173, 178] implies that it has a subsequence (F^{ϵ_α}) that converges strongly in $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$, and the sequence $\partial F_1^\epsilon / \partial \eta_2$ converges weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$ to the generalized derivative $\partial F_1 / \partial \eta_2$ of the limit $F = (F_1, F_2) \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^2)$. But, $F_1^\epsilon = u^\epsilon = 1/L u$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Then, the horizontal velocity u is a weak solution of the limit formula, Eq. (46), in $L^2(\Omega_h)$ when the parameter ϵ tends to 0. \square

3 Conclusion

The obtained limit formula suggests that there is no separation of the Boundary Layer under this conditions, but it shows that it is possible to study the change of the horizontal velocity of atmospheric wind with height near the surface by means of simpler models. There are two immediate problems to work on: First, to obtain solutions to the Reynolds' limit model by the application of fractional calculus methods. Second, to consider the case where the Neumann condition $\partial T / \partial z|_{z=0} = m$ is a constant $m \neq 0$.

Acknowledgements

The corresponding author, Carla V. Valencia-Negrete, thanks her institution, the *Superior School of Physics and Mathematics* of the *National Polytechnic Institute*, (ESFM-IPN), for the support, under Grant No 306221/275187 by *National Council on Science and Technology* (CONACYT).

References

- [1] G. Bayada, M. Chambat, The transition between the Stokes equations and the Reynolds equation: A mathematical proof, *Appl. Math. and Optim.* **14** No 1 (1986), 73-93.
- [2] H. Blasius, The Boundary Layers in Fluids with Little Friction, *NACA-TM*, **1256** (1950).
- [3] F. Boyer, P. Fabrie, *Mathematical Tools for the Study of the Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations and Related Models*, Springer-Verlag, New York (2013).
- [4] A. Bouzinaoui, R. Devienne, J. R. Fontaine, An experimental study of the thermal plume developed above a finite cylindrical heat source to validate the point source model, *Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science* **31** No 7 (2007), 649-659.
- [5] A. Bressan, *Lecture Notes on Functional Analysis: With Applications to Linear Partial Differential Equations*, AMS, Graduate Studies in Mathematics (2013).
- [6] A. Busemann, Gasströmung mit laminarer Grenzschicht entlang einer Platte, *ZAMM* **15** No 1 – 2 (1935).
- [7] L. Crocco, Transmission of heat from a flat plate to a fluid flowing at high velocity, *NACA* No 690 (1932).
- [8] L. Chupin, R. Sart, Compressible flows: New existence results and justification of the Reynolds asymptotic in thin films, *Asymptot. Anal.* **76** No 3/4 (2012), 193-231.
- [9] A. A. Dorodnitsyn, Laminar Boundary Layer in Compressible Fluid, *C. R. Acad. Sci. de l'URSS (Doklady)* **XXXIV** No 8 (1942), 213-219.
- [10] R. G. Fleagle, J. A. Businger, *An introduction to atmospheric physics*, Academic Press, New York (1980).

- [11] K. Gersten, Hermann Schlichting and the Boundary-Layer Theory, In: *Hermann Schlichting -100 years*, Springer (2009).
- [12] S. Goldstein, Low-Drag and Suction Airfoils, *J. Aeronaut. Sci.* **15** No 4 (1948), 189-214.
- [13] A. J. Majda, A. L. Bertozzi, *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2012).
- [14] G. M. Martin et al., The HadGEM2 family of Met Office Unified Model climate configurations, *Geoscientific Model Development* **4** No 3 (2011), 723-757.
- [15] P.-L. Lions *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Volume 1. Incompressible models*, Oxford lecture series in mathematics and its applications, Clarendon press, Oxford (1998).
- [16] P.-L. Lions *Mathematical topics in fluid mechanics. Volume 2. Compressible models*, Oxford lecture series in mathematics and its applications, Clarendon press, Oxford (1998).
- [17] E. Marušić-Paloka, M. Starčević, Derivation of Reynolds equation for gas lubrication via asymptotic analysis of the compressible NavierStokes system, *Nonlinear Anal.: Real World Applications* **11** No 6 (2010), 4565-4571.
- [18] , E. Marušić-Paloka, M. Starčević, Rigorous justification of the Reynolds equations for gas lubrication, *C. R. Mécanique* **333** No 7 (2005), 534-541.
- [19] B. R. Morton, G. Taylor, J. S. Turner, Turbulent gravitational convection from maintained and instantaneous sources, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **234** No 1196 (1956).
- [20] O. Reynolds, On the Theory of Lubrication and Its Application to Mr. Beauchamp Tower's Experiments, Including an Experimental Determination of the Viscosity of Olive Oil, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **177** (1886), 157-234.

- [21] K. Saha, *The Earth's Atmosphere. Its Physics and Dynamics*, Springer, Berlin (2008).
- [22] H. Schlichting, *Boundary Layer Theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1955).
- [23] H. Schlichting, K. Gersten, *Boundary Layer Theory with contributions from Egon Krause and Herbert Oertel Jr.*, Springer-Verlag (2017).
- [24] A. J. Smits, J.-P. Dussauge, *Turbulent Shear Layers in Supersonic Flow*, Springer-Verlag (2006).
- [25] K. Stüwe, *Geodynamics of the Lithosphere. An Introduction*, Second edition, Springer (2007).
- [26] T. von Kármán, H. S. Tsien, Boundary Layer in Compressible Fluids, *J. Aeronaut. Sci.* **5** No 6 (1938), 227-232.
- [27] C. V. Valencia, *Ecuaciones de Navier-Stokes y Euler en \mathbf{R}^2 y \mathbf{R}^3* , Tesis para obtener el grado de Maestro en Ciencias Fisicomatemáticas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, México (2014).
- [28] O. G. Tietjens, *Fundamentals of Hydro- and Aerodynamics. Based on Lectures by L. Prandtl, Ph. D.*, Dover Publications Inc., New York (1934).