

# ON WIELANDT-MIRSKY'S CONJECTURE FOR MATRIX POLYNOMIALS

CÔNG-TRÌNH LÊ

ABSTRACT. In matrix analysis, the *Wielandt-Mirsky's conjecture* states that

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) \leq \|A - B\|,$$

for any normal matrices  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and any operator norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ . Here  $\text{dist}(\sigma(A), \sigma(B))$  denotes the optimal matching distance between the spectra of the matrices  $A$  and  $B$ . It was proved by A.J. Holbrook (1992) that this conjecture is *false* in general. However it is true for the Frobenius distance and the Frobenius norm (the Hoffman-Wielandt's inequality). The main aim of this paper is to study the Hoffman-Wielandt's inequality and some weaker versions of the Wielandt-Mirsky's conjecture for matrix polynomials.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The Hoffman-Wielandt's inequality for monic matrix polynomials	4
3. Some weaker versions of the Wielandt-Mirsky's conjecture for matrix polynomials	6
References	10

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  denote the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices whose entries in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  be complex matrices whose spectra are  $\sigma(A) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  and  $\sigma(B) = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$ , respectively. The *optimal matching distance* between  $\sigma(A)$  and  $\sigma(B)$  is defined by

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) := \min_{\theta} \max_{j=1, \dots, n} |\alpha_j - \beta_{\theta(j)}|,$$

where the minimum is taken over all permutations  $\theta$  on the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

One of the interesting conjectures in matrix analysis is the *Wielandt-Mirsky's conjecture* [2] which states that for any normal matrices  $A, B \in$

---

*Date:* November 9, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A18, 15A42, 15A60, 65F15.

*Key words and phrases.* Wielandt-Mirsky's conjecture; Hoffman-Wielandt's inequality; matrix polynomial; spectral variation.

$\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  we have

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) \leq \|A - B\|, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the operator bound norm.

This conjecture has been proved to be true in the following special cases (cf. [9]):

- (1)  $A$  and  $B$  are Hermitian (Weyl, 1912);
- (2)  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $A - B$  are normal (Bhatia, 1982);
- (3)  $A$  is Hermitian and  $B$  is skew-Hermitian (Sunder, 1982);
- (4)  $A$  and  $B$  are constant multiples of unitaries (Bhatia and Holbrook, 1985).

It has been proven by Holbrook (1992, [9]) that this conjecture is *false* in general. However, if we replace the optimal matching distance  $\text{dist}(\sigma(A), \sigma(B))$  by the *Frobenius distance* between the spectra of the matrices  $A$  and  $B$

$$\text{dist}_F(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) := \min_{\theta} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j - \beta_{\theta(j)}|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

we have the following *Hoffman-Wielandt's inequality* [8]

$$\text{dist}_F(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) \leq |A - B|_F \quad (1.2)$$

for any normal matrices  $A$  and  $B$ . Here  $|A - B|_F$  denotes the *Frobenius norm*<sup>1</sup> of the matrix  $A - B$ .

It is clear that

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) \leq \text{dist}_F(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) \leq \sqrt{n} \cdot \text{dist}(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)).$$

Therefore it follows from the Hoffman-Wielandt's inequality that *the Wielandt-Mirsky's conjecture is true for the Frobenius norm*.

A weaker version of the Wielandt-Mirsky's conjecture was proved by R. Bhatia, C. Davis and A. McIntosh (1983, [3]) that there exists a universal constant  $c$  such that for any normal matrices  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  we have

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) \leq c \|A - B\|. \quad (1.3)$$

If we don't require the universality of the constant  $c$  in the above inequality, for any normal matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and for any  $B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , we have (cf. [2, p. 4])

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) \leq (2n - 1) \|A - B\|. \quad (1.4)$$

If  $A$  is Hermitian and  $B$  is arbitrary, we have the following inequality of W. Kahan ([10, p.166]):

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) \leq (\gamma_n + 2) \|A - B\|, \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\gamma_n$  is a constant depending on the size  $n$  of the matrices.

<sup>1</sup>For a matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , the *Frobenius norm* of  $A$  is defined by

$$|A|_F := \sqrt{\text{trace}(AA^*)} = \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is easy to see that  $|A|_F^2 = |AA^*|_F$ .

The main goal of this paper is to give some versions of the Wielandt-Mirsky's conjecture for matrix polynomials.

For a *matrix polynomial* we mean the matrix-valued function of a complex variable of the form

$$P(z) = A_m z^m + \cdots + A_1 z + A_0, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $A_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  for all  $i = 0, \dots, m$ . If  $A_m \neq 0$ ,  $P(z)$  is called a matrix polynomial of *degree*  $m$ . When  $A_m = I$ , the identity matrix in  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , the matrix polynomial  $P(z)$  is called *monic*.

A number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is called an *eigenvalue* of the matrix polynomial  $P(z)$ , if there exists a nonzero vector  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $P(\lambda)x = 0$ . Then the vector  $x$  is called, as usual, an *eigenvector* associated to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Note that each eigenvalue of  $P(z)$  is a root of the *characteristic polynomial*  $\det(P(z))$ .

For an  $(m+1)$ -tuple  $\mathbf{A} = (A_0, \dots, A_m)$  of matrices  $A_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , the matrix polynomial

$$P_{\mathbf{A}}(z) := A_m z^m + \cdots + A_1 z + A_0$$

is called the *matrix polynomial associated to*  $\mathbf{A}$ .

The *spectrum* of the matrix polynomial  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z)$  is defined by

$$\sigma(\mathbf{A}) := \sigma(P_{\mathbf{A}}(z)) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \det(P_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)) = 0\},$$

which is the set of all its eigenvalues. We should observe that for a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , its usual spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  is actually the spectrum of the monic matrix polynomial  $Iz - A$ . Interested readers may refer to the book of I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster and L. Rodman [5] for the theory of matrix polynomials and applications.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give a version of the Hoffman-Wielandt's inequality for monic matrix polynomials. In Section 3 we give some weaker versions of the Wielandt-Mirsky's conjecture for monic matrix polynomials and for matrix polynomials whose leading coefficients are non-singular.

**Notation and conventions.** Throughout this paper, by a positive integer  $p$  we mean  $p \geq 1$  or  $p = \infty$ .

For a matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , a positive integer  $p$ , and a vector  $p$ -norm  $|\cdot|_p$  on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , the *matrix  $p$ -norm* of  $A$  is defined by

$$|A|_p := \begin{cases} \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & (1 \leq p < \infty) \\ \max_{i,j=1,\dots,n} |a_{ij}| & (p = \infty). \end{cases}$$

In particular,  $|A|_2 = |A|_F$ , the Frobenius norm.

The *operator  $p$ -norm* of  $A$  is defined by

$$\|A\|_p := \max\{|Ax|_p : |x|_p = 1\}.$$

Note that

$$\|A\|_1 := \max_{j=1, \dots, n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij},$$

$$\|A\|_\infty := \max_{i=1, \dots, n} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}.$$

There are many relations between operator and matrix  $p$ -norms. Interested readers may refer to the paper of A. Tonge [12] and the references therein for more details.

## 2. THE HOFFMAN-WIELANDT'S INEQUALITY FOR MONIC MATRIX POLYNOMIALS

In this section we give some versions of the Hoffman-Wielandt's inequality for monic matrix polynomials.

For a monic matrix polynomial  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + A_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \dots + A_1z + A_0$  with  $A_i \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , the  $(mn \times mn)$ -matrix

$$C_{\mathbf{A}} := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I \\ -A_0 & -A_1 & -A_2 & \cdots & -A_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

is called the *companion matrix* of the matrix polynomial  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z)$  or of the tuple  $(A_0, \dots, A_{m-1}, I)$ .

Note that the spectrum  $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$  of  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z)$  coincides to the spectrum  $\sigma(C_{\mathbf{A}})$  of  $C_{\mathbf{A}}$  (cf. [5]).

For two  $(m+1)$ -tuples  $\mathbf{A} = (A_0, \dots, A_{m-1}, I)$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{A}} = (\bar{A}_0, \dots, \bar{A}_{m-1}, I)$ , the relation between the operator norms of their difference and those of their companion matrices is given in the following key lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{A} = (A_0, \dots, A_{m-1}, I)$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{A}} = (\bar{A}_0, \dots, \bar{A}_{m-1}, I)$  be  $(m+1)$ -tuples. Then for any integer  $p > 0$ , we have*

$$(1) \quad |C_{\mathbf{A}} - C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}|_p = |\mathbf{A} - \bar{\mathbf{A}}|_p = \begin{cases} (\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |A_i - \bar{A}_i|_p^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} & (1 \leq p < \infty) \\ \max_{i=0, \dots, m} |A_i|_\infty & (p = \infty). \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \quad \|C_{\mathbf{A}} - C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}\|_p = \|\mathbf{A} - \bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_p \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|A_i - \bar{A}_i\|_p.$$

$$(3) \quad \|C_{\mathbf{A}} - C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}\|_1 = \max_{i=0, \dots, m-1} \|A_i - \bar{A}_i\|_1.$$

*Proof.* We have the following expression of the difference of companion matrices

$$C_{\mathbf{A}} - C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \bar{A}_0 - A_0 & \bar{A}_1 - A_1 & \bar{A}_2 - A_2 & \cdots & \bar{A}_{m-1} - A_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that the matrix (resp. operator) norm of  $C_{\mathbf{A}} - C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}$  is the same of that of the  $m$ -tuple  $(\bar{A}_0 - A_0, \dots, \bar{A}_{m-1} - A_{m-1})$ , i.e. we have the first equalities in (1) and (2).

The second equality in (2) follows from the subadditivity of the operator  $p$ -norm. On the other hand, for an  $(m+1)$ -tuple  $\mathbf{A} = (A_0, \dots, A_m)$  of matrices in  $\mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , by a direct computation, we have

$$|\mathbf{A}|_p := \begin{cases} \left( \sum_{i=0}^m |A_i|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & (1 \leq p < \infty) \\ \max_{i=0, \dots, m} |A_i|_{\infty} & (p = \infty), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

thus we get the second equality of (1). Moreover, we have

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_1 = \max_{i=0, \dots, m} \|A_i\|_1. \quad (2.2)$$

Thus we get (3).  $\square$

As a consequence of Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following Hoffman-Wielandt's inequality for matrix polynomials.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + A_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \dots + A_1z + A_0$  and  $P_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + \bar{A}_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \dots + \bar{A}_1z + \bar{A}_0$  be monic matrix polynomials whose corresponding companion matrices  $C_{\mathbf{A}}$  and  $C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}$  are normal. Then we have*

$$\text{dist}_F(\sigma(\mathbf{A}), \sigma(\bar{\mathbf{A}})) \leq |\mathbf{A} - \bar{\mathbf{A}}|_F.$$

*Proof.* Applying the Hoffman-Wielandt's inequality (1.2) for two normal matrices  $C_{\mathbf{A}}$  and  $C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}$  we get

$$\text{dist}_F(\sigma(C_{\mathbf{A}}), \sigma(C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}})) \leq |C_{\mathbf{A}} - C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}|_F.$$

Then the theorem follows from Lemma 2.1 (applying for  $p = 2$ ) with the observation that  $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) = \sigma(C_{\mathbf{A}})$  and  $\sigma(\bar{\mathbf{A}}) = \sigma(C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}})$ .  $\square$

We should observe that

$$C_{\mathbf{A}} \text{ is normal if and only if } A_0 \text{ is unitary and } A_1 = \dots = A_{m-1} = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

Therefore, Theorem 2.2 yields the following consequence.

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + A_0$  and  $P_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + \bar{A}_0$  be monic matrix polynomials with  $A_0$  and  $\bar{A}_0$  unitary. Then we have*

$$\text{dist}_F(\sigma(\mathbf{A}), \sigma(\bar{\mathbf{A}})) \leq |A_0 - \bar{A}_0|_F.$$

### 3. SOME WEAKER VERSIONS OF THE WIELANDT-MIRSKY'S CONJECTURE FOR MATRIX POLYNOMIALS

In this section we give some estimations for the optimal matching distance between the spectra of matrix polynomials.

**Proposition 3.1.** *There exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for every positive integer  $p$  and monic matrix polynomials  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + A_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \cdots + A_1z + A_0$  and  $P_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + \bar{A}_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \cdots + \bar{A}_1z + \bar{A}_0$  whose corresponding companion matrices  $C_{\mathbf{A}}$  and  $C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}$  are normal we have*

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(\mathbf{A}), \sigma(\bar{\mathbf{A}})) \leq c \|\mathbf{A} - \bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_p \leq c \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|A_i - \bar{A}_i\|_p.$$

*Proof.* It follows from the result of R. Bhatia, C. Davis and A. McIntosh (see the inequality (1.3)) that there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for every monic matrix polynomials  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z)$  and  $P_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}(z)$  whose corresponding companion matrices  $C_{\mathbf{A}}$  and  $C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}$  are normal, we have

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(C_{\mathbf{A}}), \sigma(C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}})) \leq c \|C_{\mathbf{A}} - C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}\|_p.$$

Then the theorem follows from Lemma 2.1 (2) with the observation that  $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) = \sigma(C_{\mathbf{A}})$  and  $\sigma(\bar{\mathbf{A}}) = \sigma(C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}})$ .  $\square$

Using again the observation (2.3) we obtain the following consequence.

**Corollary 3.2.** *There exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for every positive integer  $p$  and monic matrix polynomials  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + A_0$  and  $P_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + \bar{A}_0$  with  $A_0$  and  $\bar{A}_0$  unitary, we have*

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(\mathbf{A}), \sigma(\bar{\mathbf{A}})) \leq c \|A_0 - \bar{A}_0\|_p.$$

Similarly, applying the inequality (1.4) and Lemma 2.1 (2) with the observation (2.3) we obtain the following results.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + A_0$  and  $P_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + \bar{A}_0$  be matrix polynomials with  $A_0$  and  $\bar{A}_0$  unitary. Then for every positive integer  $p$  we have*

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(\mathbf{A}), \sigma(\bar{\mathbf{A}})) \leq (2mn - 1) \|A_0 - \bar{A}_0\|_p.$$

A version of the result of W. Kahan (1.5) for monic matrix polynomials is given as follows, whose proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.2.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + A_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \cdots + A_1z + A_0$  and  $P_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + \bar{A}_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \cdots + \bar{A}_1z + \bar{A}_0$  be monic matrix polynomials. Assume that  $C_{\mathbf{A}}$  is Hermitian. Then for every positive integer  $p$  we have*

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(\mathbf{A}), \sigma(\bar{\mathbf{A}})) \leq (\gamma_{m,n} + 2) \|\mathbf{A} - \bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_p \leq (\gamma_{m,n} + 2) \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|A_i - \bar{A}_i\|_p,$$

where  $\gamma_{m,n}$  is a constant depending on  $m$  and  $n$ .

**Remark 3.5.** The constant  $\gamma_{m,n}$  have the following properties (cf. [2, p. 3]):

- (1)  $\frac{2}{\pi} \ln(mn) - 0(1) \leq \gamma_{m,n} \leq \log_2(mn) + 0.038.$
- (2) A. Pokrzywa (1981, [11]) proved that

$$\gamma_{m,n} = \frac{2}{mn} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor mn/2 \rfloor} \cot \frac{2j-1}{2mn} \pi.$$

One of the condition for the companion matrix  $C_{\mathbf{A}}$  to be Hermitian is given as follows.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + A_0$  and  $P_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + \bar{A}_0$  be matrix polynomials with  $A_0$  unitary. Assume that  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z)$  has only real eigenvalues. Then for every positive integer  $p$  we have*

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(\mathbf{A}), \sigma(\bar{\mathbf{A}})) \leq (\gamma_{m,n} + 2) \|A_0 - \bar{A}_0\|_p.$$

*Proof.* It is well-known that a normal matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  is Hermitian if and only if it has only real eigenvalues. Therefore, the normal matrix  $C_{\mathbf{A}}$  is Hermitian if and only if it, whence the matrix polynomial  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z)$ , has only real eigenvalues. Note also that in this case,  $C_{\mathbf{A}}$  is normal if and only if  $A_0$  is unitary. Then the result follows from Proposition 3.4.  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** There are some characterization for matrix polynomials to have only real eigenvalues. These kinds of matrix polynomials are sometime called *weakly hyperbolic*. The readers can refer to the work of M. Al-Ammari and F. Tisseur (2012, [1, Theorem 3.4]) and the references therein for more details characterization.

In the following we will give an estimation for the optimal matching distance between spectra of two arbitrary monic matrix polynomials.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $N$  be any positive number and  $p$  any positive integer. Let  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + A_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \dots + A_1z + A_0$  and  $P_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}(z) = I \cdot z^m + \bar{A}_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \dots + \bar{A}_1z + \bar{A}_0$  be monic matrix polynomials such that  $\|\mathbf{A}\|_p \leq N$  and  $\|\bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_p \leq N$ . Then there exists a constant  $c$  such that*

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(\mathbf{A}), \sigma(\bar{\mathbf{A}})) \leq c \|\mathbf{A} - \bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_p^{\frac{1}{mn}}.$$

*Proof.* We use the following estimation given by Bhatia and Friedland (1981), Elsner (1982, 1985).

**Lemma 3.9** ([2, Theorem 20.4]). *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any  $k \times k$ -matrices. Then the optimal matching distance between their eigenvalues are bounded as*

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(A), \sigma(B)) \leq c(k) \cdot k^{\frac{1}{k}} \cdot (2M)^{1-\frac{1}{k}} \cdot \|A - B\|_k^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

where  $M = \max\{\|A\|, \|B\|\}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|$  any operator norm, and  $c(k) = k$  or  $k-1$  according to whether  $k$  is odd or even.

Applying Lemma 3.9 for the companion matrices  $C_{\mathbf{A}}$  and  $C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}$  with the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$  we obtain

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(C_{\mathbf{A}}), \sigma(C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}})) \leq c(mn) \cdot (mn)^{\frac{1}{mn}} \cdot (2M)^{1-\frac{1}{mn}} \cdot \|C_{\mathbf{A}} - C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}\|_p^{\frac{1}{mn}},$$

where  $M = \max\{\|C_{\mathbf{A}}\|_p, \|C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}\|_p\}$ . Then it follows from Lemma 2.1 (2) and the equalities  $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) = \sigma(C_{\mathbf{A}})$  and  $\sigma(\bar{\mathbf{A}}) = \sigma(C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}})$  that

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(\mathbf{A}), \sigma(\bar{\mathbf{A}})) \leq c(mn) \cdot (mn)^{\frac{1}{mn}} \cdot (2M)^{1-\frac{1}{mn}} \cdot \|\mathbf{A} - \bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_p^{\frac{1}{mn}}.$$

Now we need to estimate  $\|C_{\mathbf{A}}\|_p$  and  $\|C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}\|_p$ . By a comparison of the operator  $p$ -norm  $\|C_{\mathbf{A}}\|_p$  and the matrix  $p$ -norm  $|C_{\mathbf{A}}|_p$  given by M. Gohberg [6] (see also in [12], we have

$$\|C_{\mathbf{A}}\|_p \leq (mn)^{\max\{1/p'-1/p, 0\}} |C_{\mathbf{A}}|_p. \quad (3.1)$$

It is easy to see that for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$|C_{\mathbf{A}}|_p^p = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |A_i|_p^p + (m-1)n = |\mathbf{A}|_p^p + (m-1)n \leq N^p + (m-1)n, \quad (3.2)$$

and for  $p = \infty$ ,

$$|C_{\mathbf{A}}|_{\infty} = \max\{|\mathbf{A}|_{\infty}, 1\} \leq \max\{N, 1\}. \quad (3.3)$$

It follows from the inequalities (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$\|C_{\mathbf{A}}\|_p \leq M' := \begin{cases} (mn)^{\max\{1/p'-1/p, 0\}} (N^p + (m-1)n)^{\frac{1}{p}} & (1 \leq p < \infty) \\ mn \max\{N, 1\} & (p = \infty). \end{cases}$$

Similarly,

$$\|C_{\bar{\mathbf{A}}}\|_p \leq M'.$$

Then the number

$$c := c(mn) \cdot (mn)^{\frac{1}{mn}} \cdot (2M')^{1-\frac{1}{mn}}$$

satisfies the requirement.  $\square$

For matrix polynomials which are not necessarily monic, we have the following estimation, only for operator 1-norm.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $\bar{\mathbf{A}} = (\bar{A}_0, \dots, \bar{A}_m)$  be a fixed  $(m+1)$ -tuple such that  $\bar{A}_m$  non-singular. Then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for every pair of matrix polynomials  $P_{\mathbf{A}}(z) = A_m \cdot z^m + A_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \dots + A_1z + A_0$  and  $P_{\mathbf{A}'}(z) = A'_m \cdot z^m + A'_{m-1}z^{m-1} + \dots + A'_1z + A'_0$  with  $\|\mathbf{A} - \bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_1 < \frac{1}{\|\bar{A}_m^{-1}\|_1}$*

*and  $\|\mathbf{A}' - \bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_1 < \frac{1}{\|\bar{A}_m^{-1}\|_1}$  we have*

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(\mathbf{A}), \sigma(\mathbf{A}')) < c \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}'\|_1^{\frac{1}{mn}}.$$



*Proof.* It follows from the sub-multiplicative property of operator 1-norm and the formula (2.2) that

$$\|\bar{A}_m^{-1}(A_m - \bar{A}_m)\|_1 \leq \|\bar{A}_m^{-1}\|_1 \cdot \|A_m - \bar{A}_m\|_1 \leq \|\bar{A}_m^{-1}\|_1 \cdot \|\mathbf{A} - \bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_1 < 1.$$

Then  $A_m$  is also non-singular (cf. [7, Theorem 2.3.4]), thus each element of  $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$  is finite. Similarly, each element of  $\sigma(\mathbf{A}')$  is also finite.

Since  $\sigma(\mathbf{A}')$  is the set of roots of the polynomial  $\det(P_{\mathbf{A}'}(z)) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$  whose degree is  $mn$ , it is easy to see that

$$\text{dist}(x, \sigma(\mathbf{A}'))^{mn} \leq |\det(P_{\mathbf{A}'}(x))|, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In particular, for any  $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{A})$ , we have

$$\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(\mathbf{A}'))^{mn} \leq |\det(P_{\mathbf{A}'}(\lambda))|. \quad (3.4)$$

Since  $\det(P_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)) = 0$ , we have

$$|\det(P_{\mathbf{A}'}(\lambda))| = |\det(P_{\mathbf{A}'}(\lambda)) - \det(P_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda))|. \quad (3.5)$$

The following inequality is useful for the later estimation (cf. [2, 2007, p.107]): For any matrices  $A, B \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  and for any integer  $p > 0$ , we have

$$|\det(A) - \det(B)| \leq n \max\{\|A\|_p, \|B\|_p\}^{n-1} \cdot \|A - B\|_p. \quad (3.6)$$

Applying the inequality (3.6), we get

$$|\det(P_{\mathbf{A}'}(\lambda)) - \det(P_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda))| \leq n \max\{\|P_{\mathbf{A}'}(\lambda)\|_1, \|P_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)\|_1\}^{n-1} \cdot \|P_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) - P_{\mathbf{A}'}(\lambda)\|_1. \quad (3.7)$$

Using again the sub-multiplicativity of operator 1-norm and the formula (2.2), we have

$$\|P_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) - P_{\mathbf{A}'}(\lambda)\|_1 = \left\| \sum_{i=0}^m (A_i - A'_i) \lambda^i \right\|_1 \leq \sum_{i=0}^m |\lambda|^i \cdot \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}'\|_1.$$

It follows from a matrix version of Cauchy theorem (cf. [4, Theorem 3.4]) that for  $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{A})$ , we have

$$|\lambda| < 1 + \|A_m^{-1}\|_1 \cdot \max\{\|A_i\|_1, i = 0, \dots, m-1\} \leq 1 + \|A_m^{-1}\|_1 \cdot \|\mathbf{A}\|_1.$$

On the other hand, by the sub-additivity of operator norm, we have

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_1 \leq \|\mathbf{A} - \bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_1 + \|\bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_1 < \frac{1}{\|\bar{A}_m^{-1}\|_1} + \|\bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_1.$$

Hence for each  $\lambda \in \sigma(\mathbf{A})$  we have

$$|\lambda| < 2 + \|\bar{A}_m^{-1}\|_1 \cdot \|\bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_1.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=0}^m |\lambda|^i < L := \frac{(2 + \|\bar{A}_m^{-1}\|_1 \cdot \|\bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_1)^{m+1} - 1}{1 + \|\bar{A}_m^{-1}\|_1 \cdot \|\bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_1}.$$

This yields

$$\|P_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda) - P_{\mathbf{A}'}(\lambda)\|_1 < L \cdot \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}'\|_1. \quad (3.8)$$

By a similar estimation, we get

$$\|P_{\mathbf{A}}(\lambda)\|_1 < L \cdot \|\mathbf{A}\|_1 \leq L \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\|\bar{A}_m^{-1}\|_1} + \|\bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_1 \right), \quad (3.9)$$

$$\|P_{\mathbf{A}'}(\lambda)\|_1 < L \cdot \|\mathbf{A}'\|_1 \leq L \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\|\bar{A}_m^{-1}\|_1} + \|\bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_1 \right). \quad (3.10)$$

It follows from the inequalities (3.4), (3.5), (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(\mathbf{A}')) < c \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}'\|_1^{\frac{1}{mn}},$$

where

$$c := \left( n \cdot L^{n-1} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\|\bar{A}_m^{-1}\|_1} + \|\bar{\mathbf{A}}\|_1 \right)^{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{mn}}.$$

Similarly, for every  $\lambda' \in \sigma(\mathbf{A}')$ , we have also

$$\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(\mathbf{A})) < c \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}'\|_1^{\frac{1}{mn}}.$$

It follows that

$$\text{dist}(\sigma(\mathbf{A}), \sigma(\mathbf{A}')) < c \|\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}'\|_1^{\frac{1}{mn}}.$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. AL-AMMARI AND F. TISSEUR, *Hermitian matrix polynomials with real eigenvalues of definite type. Part I. Classification*, Linear Algebra Appl. **436**(10) (2012), 3954–3973.
- [2] R. BHATIA, *Perturbation bounds for matrix eigenvalues*, Longman, Harlow, 1987; 2nd ed., SIAM, Philadelphia, 2007.
- [3] R. BHATIA, C. DAVIS AND A. MCINTOSH, *Perturbation of spectral subspaces and solution of linear operator equations*, Linear algebra and its applications **52** (1983), 45-67.
- [4] T.H.B. DU, C.-T. LE AND T.D. NGUYEN, *On the location of eigenvalues of matrix polynomials*, Preprint arXiv:1703.00747v1 (2017).
- [5] I. GOHBERG, P. LANCASTER AND L. RODMAN, *Matrix Polynomials*, Academic Press, New York, 1982.
- [6] M. GOLDBERG, *Equivalence constants for  $l_p$  norms of matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **21** (1987), 173–179.
- [7] G.H. GOLUB, AND C.F. VAN LOAN, *Matrix Computations*, 4th Edition, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 2013.
- [8] A. J. HOFFMAN AND H. W. WIELANDT, *The variation of the spectrum of a normal matrix*, Duke Math. J. **20** (1953), 37-39.
- [9] A.J. HOLBROOK, *Spectral variation of normal matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **174**(1992), 131-144.
- [10] W. KAHAN, *Inclusion theorems for clusters of eigenvalues of Hermitian matrices*, Technical Report, Computer Science Department, University of Toronto, 1967.
- [11] A. POKRZYWA, *Spectra of operators with fixed imaginary parts*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **81**(1981), No. 3 359-364.
- [12] A. TONGE, *Equivalence constants for matrix norms: a problem of Goldberg*, Linear Alg. Appl. **306** (2000), 1-13.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, QUY NHON UNIVERSITY, 170 AN DUONG VUONG,  
QUY NHON, BINH DINH, VIETNAM

*E-mail address:* `lecongtrinh@qnu.edu.vn`