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#### Abstract

A shift-periodic map is a one-dimensional map from the real line to itself which is periodic up to a linear translation and allowed to have singularities. It is shown that iterative sequences $x_{n+1}=F\left(x_{n}\right)$ generated by such maps display rich dynamical behaviour. The integer parts $\left\lfloor x_{n}\right\rfloor$ give a discrete-time random walk for a suitable initial distribution of $x_{0}$ and converge in certain limits to Brownian motion or more general Lévy processes. Furthermore, for certain shift-periodic maps with small holes on $[0,1]$, convergence of trajectories to a continuous-time random walk is shown in a limit.


## 1 Introduction

Dynamical systems and their stochastic properties have been studied for more than a hundred years, starting with the pioneering works of Poincaré. He first connected probabilistic concepts with dynamics, conjecturing the Poincaré recurrence theorem [1]. Major advances in the field were made in the 1930s by Birkhoff [2] and von Neumann [3], via the proof of so called ergodic theorems, concerning time averages of functions along trajectories. Birkhoff also first used topological methods for the study of dynamical systems. In these early years differential equations were often the main focus of the study of dynamical systems. However, since the 1970s attention also turned to simple dynamical systems, generated iteratively from a map $F: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ via an equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=F\left(x_{n}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ has been taken to be a low-dimensional set [4] such as interval $[0,1]$. It has been observed that even very simple maps and systems can give rise to complicated, seemingly random behaviour of trajectories, a phenomenon Yorke and Li named "chaos" in their seminal paper [5]. A wellstudied example of this phenomenon is given by the logistic map $F(x ; r)=r x(1-x), x \in[0,1]$, where $r \in(0,4]$ is a parameter. Depending on the value of $r$, it displays a wide array of behaviour of trajectories, highly sensitive to the initial value [6]. Another interesting function is the climbing sine map, defined by $F(x ; a)=x+a \sin (2 \pi x), x \in \mathbb{R}$, where $a>0$ is a parameter. Due to being defined on an unbounded set, it can display diffusive behaviour for large enough values of $a$. Varying its parameter $a$, the dynamics of the climbing sine map can range from localized orbits to ballistic dynamics and chaotic diffusion [7, 8].

The climbing sine map is an example of a one-dimensional map satisfying $F(x+1)=F(x)+1$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Such maps have been studied in the context of dynamical systems since the 1980s, when diffusion constants, drift velocities, bifurcations and cycles were first investigated for specific maps and parameter families of such maps [9, 10, 11, 12. In discussions of different types of dynamical behaviour on the real line, a number of authors take the approach of defining a map $F$ first on a unit length interval, and then extending it to the real line by relation $F(x+1)=F(x)+1$. A notable example is the Pomeau-Manneville map, which first appears in [13] as a model exhibiting intermittency, apparently periodic dynamics interrupted by chaotic behaviour. Defined on interval $[0,1 / 2)$ by $F(x)=(1+\varepsilon) x+a x^{b}-1$, where $a, b \geq 1, \varepsilon \geq 0$ are parameters, it is extended to the real line by requiring $F(-x)=-F(x)$ and $F(x+1)=F(x)+1$. The trajectories display anomalous

[^0]diffusion and can be analysed in terms of Lévy walks 14, 15, 16. A different viewpoint give the works of Misiurewicz, who used maps of the type $F(x+1)=F(x)+1$ to study cycles of functions on the circle, particularly circle versions of Sharkovskii's theorem [17, 18. More recently, a number of papers have been dedicated to computation of diffusion constants using Green-Kubo relations, particularly of the aforementioned climbing sine map and Pomeau-Manneville map [21, 19, 20]. Maps $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ following a more general pattern on each interval $[i, i+1]$, more complicated than the discussed integer shift, have also been constructed in the literature to illustrate subdiffusive and superdiffusive dynamics, see [22] for a recent discussion in the context of polygonal billiards. However, we restrict our attention to maps satisfying $F(x+1)=F(x)+1$. There seems to be no consensus on the name of such functions, so we will subsequently call them shift-periodic, provided they additionally satisfy a minor technical restriction introduced in Section 2

This paper is dedicated to studying stochastic processes generated by repeated application of a shift-periodic map, and particularly the random walk-like behaviour of the resulting trajectories. Although the sequence $\left(x_{n}\right)$ generated from equation (1.1) is fully deterministic for given $x_{0}$, it can be viewed as a discrete-time stochastic process when its initial value $x_{0}$ is chosen according to a probability distribution on domain $\Omega$ of the underlying map $F$. A common choice is an invariant distribution with respect to $F$, which allows us to study equilibrium behaviour of trajectories. However, the shift-periodicity of our maps leads to another natural choice of initial distribution, namely one invariant with respect to the fractional parts of $F$ on $[0,1]$. This is the initial distribution we will work with in most of the paper. We will also consider the behaviour of continuous-time stochastic processes appearing as a limit under a suitable scaling in time and space, explained in Section 3 Motivation for this is the well-known result that Brownian motion is obtained as a limit after an appropriate scaling for a certain class of maps, as demonstrated by Beck and Roepstorff in [23, 24], and further investigated by various other authors, for example by Mackey and Tyran-Kamińska 25, 26.

The paper is structured as follows: We first introduce in Section 2 shift-periodic maps using a couple of examples important for later discussions. In Section 3 we then move on to considering a certain class of shift-periodic maps which admit an infinite Markov partition and show that their trajectories behave like discrete-time random walks for initial distributions invariant with respect to the fractional parts of the original map. We also point out conditions on these shift-periodic maps which ensure that certain continuous-time stochastic processes arise in a scaling limit. Finally, in Section 4 we study shift-periodic maps with small holes and show that in an appropriate scaling limit we obtain the behaviour of a continuous-time random walk.

Notation. We denote $\mathbb{R} \cup\{\infty\} \cup\{-\infty\}$ by $\mathbb{R}_{\infty}$ and $\mathbb{Z} \cup\{\infty\} \cup\{-\infty\}$ by $\mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ let $\{x\}$ denote the fractional part of $x$ and $\lfloor x\rfloor$ denote the integer part of $x$. For any Lebesgue measurable set $A$ we denote its Lebesgue measure by $\lambda(A)$. As it is common in the literature, the symbol $\sim$ will be used in two different contexts. First, for functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ we write $f \sim g$ if $f(x) / g(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Second, we also use symbol $\sim$ to specify the distribution of a random variable, for example, $X \sim N(0,1)$ means that random variable $X$ is normally distributed with zero mean and unit variance. In Section 4 we also make use of the sup-norm on the space of bounded functions from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$, defined by $\|f\|_{\infty}=\sup _{x \in[0,1]}|f(x)|$.

## 2 Shift-periodic maps

This paper studies the behaviour of iterative sequences given by (1.1) for functions $F$ defined on the real line, which are periodic up to integer shifts. The key property of maps $F$ is a shift-periodic formula given in the next definition as condition (i), together with a minor technical restriction (ii) on discontinuities of $F$. Note that, unlike in other works on this topic in the literature, we allow $F$ to have singularities.

Definition 2.1. A shift-periodic map is a map $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\infty}$ with the following properties:
(i) $F(x)=F(\{x\})+\lfloor x\rfloor$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$;
(ii) There exist $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k}=1$ so that for $i=1,2, \ldots, k$ map $F$ is continuous and monotonic on $\left(t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right)$.


Figure 1: (a) Two examples of shift-periodic maps. Continuous piecewise linear map $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ given in Example 2.2 for $\delta=\varepsilon=10^{-2}$ (red solid line) and shift-periodic map with singularities $F(x ; \kappa)$ given in Example 2.3 for $\kappa=1$ (black solid line).
(b) Illustrative dynamics of shift-periodic map $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ from Example 2.2 for $\delta=\varepsilon=10^{-2}$. The first $10^{4}$ iterations $x_{n+1}=F\left(x_{n} ; 10^{-2}, 10^{-2}\right)$ are plotted for initial condition $x_{0}=0.9$.

Points $t_{i}, i=0,1,2, \ldots, k$, in Definition 2.1(ii) are local extrema, discontinuities or singularities of map $F$, where by singularities we mean $F\left(t_{i}\right)=\infty$ or $F\left(t_{i}\right)=-\infty$.

Example 2.1. A well-studied example of a shift-periodic map [9, 10, 12, is the climbing sine map mentioned in Section 1, defined by

$$
F(x ; a):=x+a \sin (2 \pi x) .
$$

For parameter values $a>0.732644 \ldots$, the image of $[0,1]$ under $F(x ; a)$ will not be contained in $[0,1]$, allowing jumps between intervals $[i, i+1), i \in \mathbb{Z}$, and diffusion on the unbounded domain. Its dynamical properties have been studied extensively by variours authors, particularly its diffusion coefficient $D(a)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[x_{n}^{2}\right] /(2 n)$, where $x_{n}$ is a random variable generated via equation (1.1), with initial value $x_{0}$ distributed according to the invariant density with respect to fractional parts $\{F(x ; a)\}$. Diffusion coefficient $D(a)$ has a complicated structure, fractal in nature, demonstrated by Korabel and Klages in [7, 8].

More generally, the periodicity of sine and cosine functions gives us an easy way to construct a wide variety of shift-periodic maps. Take two polynomials $p(x)$ and $q(x)$, the latter non-zero, and set

$$
F(x)=x+\frac{p(\sin (2 \pi x))}{q(\cos (2 \pi x))}
$$

$F$ will satisfy both of the conditions of Definition 2.1. For example, taking $p(x)=q(x)=x$, we get $F(x)=x+\tan (2 \pi x)$. In Figure 2(b) a sample trajectory of this map is plotted in red.

The non-linearity of the climbing sine map and other climbing trigonometric functions however complicates the discussion of invariant densities and the behaviour of iterates in general. So below, in Example 2.2 we discuss a piecewise linear map $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ of a similar structure.

Example 2.2. We consider piecewise linear map $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with parameters $\delta>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$, which is defined on $[0,1]$ by

$$
[0,1] \text { by }, \begin{array}{ll}
(4+\varepsilon) x, & \text { if } \\
F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta):=\left\{0, \frac{1}{4}\right) \\
(-2-\varepsilon) x+\frac{(3+\varepsilon)}{2}, & \text { if } \\
(-2-\delta) x+\frac{(3+\delta)}{2}, & \text { if } \quad x \in\left[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
(4+\delta) x-(3+\delta), & \text { if } \quad x \in\left[\frac{3}{4}, 1\right]
\end{array}
$$

and with $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)=F(\{x\} ; \varepsilon, \delta)+\lfloor x\rfloor$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.


Figure 2: Illustrative dynamics of shift-periodic map $F(x ; \kappa)$ from Example 2.3. The first $10^{4}$ iterations $x_{n+1}=F\left(x_{n} ; \kappa\right)$ are plotted (a) for $\kappa=10$ and initial condition $x_{0}=0.4$;
(b) for $\kappa=1$ and initial condition $x_{0}=0.2$ (blue dots). Panel (b) additionally includes a plot of a sample trajectory of map $F(x)=x+\tan (2 \pi x)$, with $x_{0}=0.2$ (red dots).

The map $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ has one local maximum, one local minimum in interval $[0,1]$ and maps interval $[0,1]$ to a larger interval, $[-\delta / 4,1+\varepsilon / 4]$, for parameters $\delta>0$ and $\varepsilon>0$. It is plotted in Figure 1 (a) (as a red solid line). Under the name sawtooth map similar examples have appeared in the literature, discussing diffusion coefficients, which have a much simpler structure than those of nonlinear maps [11. We are interested in this map for a different reason: It demonstrates behaviour in its iterates (1.1) which closely resembles that of a random walk. Choosing relatively small values $\delta=\varepsilon=10^{-2}$, first $10^{4}$ iterations of map from Example 2.2 are shown in Figure $\mathbb{1}$ (b). Identifying intervals $[i, i+1)$ with integer valued lattice points $\{i\}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we observe that sequence $x_{n}$ can be viewed as a random walk between these lattice points. More precisely, we can map sequence $x_{n}$ to integer-valued sequence by $\left\lfloor x_{n}\right\rfloor$, which gives lattice positions of a random walker that is jumping from site $\{i\}$ to neighbouring sites $\{i-1\}$ and $\{i+1\}$ with certain probabilities. Such behaviour is common among trajectories of shift-periodic maps and under certain conditions on $F$ the jumps between sides are independent, as is traditionally required of random walks. This will be discussed in Section 3. The map in Example 2.2 does not satisfy this independence condition, but attains the structure of a continuous-time random walk with independent waiting times in a suitable limit. Section 4 is dedicated to this result.

Finally, a more general example of a shift-periodic map, in the spirit of the climbing tangent map, is illustrated in Figure (a) as a black solid line and is formally defined as Example 2.3, It has two singularities in $[0,1]$, at one of them approaching $\infty$ and at the other one approaching $-\infty$ and maps interval $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}_{\infty}$.

Example 2.3. We consider $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\infty}$ with parameter $\kappa>0$, defined on $[0,1]$ by

$$
F(x ; \kappa)=\frac{4^{-1 / \kappa}}{2\left(1-3^{-1 / \kappa}\right)}\left(\left|x-\frac{3}{4}\right|^{-1 / \kappa}-\left|x-\frac{1}{4}\right|^{-1 / \kappa}\right)+\frac{1}{2}
$$

and with $F(x ; \kappa)=F(\{x\} ; \kappa)+\lfloor x\rfloor$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The prefactor is chosen so that $F(0 ; \kappa)=0$ and $F(1 ; \kappa)=1$.

In Figure 2 we plot illustrative trajectories for two different values of $\kappa$. For large $\kappa$ (panel (a)), the behaviour of iterations $x_{n+1}=F\left(x_{n} ; \kappa\right)$ resembles Brownian motion, while for small $\kappa$ (panel (b), blue dots) it resembles a Lévy flight. We write "resembles" since we compare discrete dynamics with continuous time stochastic processes. In Section 3(f) we make these statements rigorous. To do this, we identify the index $n$ in $x_{n}$ with time and introduce suitable scaling of time to get convergence to a continuous time process. Before that, we study the random walk behaviour of a certain class of shift-periodic maps when time is left unscaled.

## 3 Discrete-time Random Walks

While the iterative formula (1.1) uniquely determines the next iterate $x_{n+1}$ from the knowledge of $x_{n}$, Figures (b) and 2 suggest that the next value $\left\lfloor x_{n+1}\right\rfloor$ is determined from $\left\lfloor x_{n}\right\rfloor$ only with a certain probability. The goal of this section is to formalise this observation for certain shift-periodic maps by studying the connections between the dynamics of (1.1) and random walks, defined below.

Definition 3.1. Let $\left(Y_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a discrete-time stochastic process and let $Z_{n}=Y_{n}-Y_{n-1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where we assume $Y_{0}=0$. We say $\left(Y_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a discrete-time random walk if $Z_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, are independent and identically distributed.

Now, as hinted on in Section 2 the sequence $\left\lfloor x_{n}\right\rfloor, n \in \mathbb{N}$ does not generally have independent jumps. This is also demonstrated by the map below, which will serve as motivation for later definitions.

Example 3.1. Consider the shift-periodic map $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\infty}$ with $\kappa>0$ and

$$
F(x)= \begin{cases}2 x, & \text { if } x, \in[0,1 /)] \\ 1-2 x, & \text { if } x \in[1 / 4,1 / 2) \\ F(x ; \kappa), & \text { if } x \in[1 / 2,1)\end{cases}
$$

and $F(x)=F(\{x\})+\lfloor x\rfloor$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Here $F(x ; \kappa)$ is the map defined in Example 2.3. This shift-periodic map has a local maximum with value $1 / 2$. Observe that $F([0,1 / 2])=[0,1 / 2]$. It can be seen that the set of $x \in[0,1]$ such that $\left\{F^{n}(x)\right\} \notin[0,1 / 2]$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ has Lebesgue measure zero. But $\left\{F^{n}(x)\right\} \in[0,1 / 2]$ implies $\left\lfloor F^{n}(x)\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor F^{k}(x)\right\rfloor$ for all $k \geq n$. Now recall that a measure $\mu$ on $[0,1]$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure if $\lambda(S)=0$ implies $\mu(S)=0$. So when initial distribution $X_{0}$ is absolutely continuous, sequence $Y_{n}=\left\lfloor F^{n}\left(X_{0}\right)\right\rfloor$ becomes eventually constant with probability one.

A key issue with Example 3.1 is the existence of a non-integer extremal value at $x=1 / 4$, which allows for points to become trapped in interval $[0,1 / 2]$. This motivates the next definition, introducing restriction on shift-periodic maps for which an appropriate distribution of the initial values guarantees that the behaviour of a sequence generated by such a shift-periodic map will be that of a random walk.

Definition 3.2. Let $F$ be a shift-periodic map with $0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k}=1$ such that $F$ is continuous and monotonic on $\left(t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right)$. We then say that $F$ has integer spikes if $F$ additionally satisfies conditions (iii) and (iv) below:
(iii) $|F(x)-F(y)|>|x-y|$ holds for all distinct $x, y \in\left(t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right)$ where $i=1,2, \ldots, k$.
(iv) $\lim _{x \rightarrow t_{i}^{+}} F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty}$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow t_{i}^{-}} F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\infty} \quad$ for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, k$.

Condition (iii) is a technical restriction, which is sometimes called expanding in the literature [27, although this terminology is usually reserved for stronger conditions [28]. It will be important in Lemma 3.2 later. Condition (iv) is motivated by the discussion of Example 3.1 above, for which this condition does not hold. Note that Example 2.3 satisfies the definition of being a shift-periodic map with integer spikes, where $t_{0}=0, t_{1}=1 / 4, t_{2}=3 / 4$ and $t_{3}=1$. On the other hand, Example 2.2 in general does not satisfy Definition 3.2. except when $\varepsilon, \delta$ are both integer multiples of 4. Utilising Definition 3.2, the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.1. Let $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\infty}$ be a shift-periodic map with integer spikes and let $U$ be uniformly distributed on $[0,1]$. Then there exists a homeomorphism $h:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ so that for $Y_{n}=$ $\left\lfloor F^{n}(h(U))\right\rfloor$ and $Y_{0}=0$, the stochastic process $\left(Y_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an integer-valued discrete-time random walk and we have $\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{n}-Y_{n-1}=m\right)=p_{m}$, where $p_{m}$ is a constant satisfying

$$
p_{m}=\lambda(\{x \in[0,1]:\lfloor F(h(x))\rfloor=m\}) \quad \text { for any } m \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

We take two different approaches to proving this statement. First, we consider shift-periodic maps $F$ for which the fractional parts on $[0,1]$ have an absolutely continuous invariant measure $\mu$. Under certain conditions on the map $h$ defined by $h^{-1}(x)=\mu([0, x])$, Theorem 3.1 will be satisfied, see Proposition 3.1. Then we describe a second way to construct a suitable homeomorphism $h:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$, valid for any choice of shift-periodic map $F$ with integer spikes. Our construction will ensure $\lambda(\{x \in[0,1]:\lfloor F(h(x))\rfloor=m\})=\lambda(\{x \in[0,1]:\lfloor F(x)\rfloor=m\})$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that the transition probability $p_{m}$ equals the length of the intervals on which $F$ has integer part $m$. Caution must be taken with the interpretation of the later result, as here the measure of $h(U)$ might not be absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. In that case, typical trajectories might not display the discussed random walk structure.

To investigate random walk behaviour, it will be beneficial to split the map into its fractional and integer parts, considering it as a skew product of maps on $[0,1]$ and $\mathbb{Z}$. We will introduce this concept in the next subsection.

### 3.1 Skew-products

Let $F$ be a shift-periodic map. We first define the "restricted map", $F_{r}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$, given by

$$
F_{r}(x)= \begin{cases}\{F(x)\}, & \text { if } F(x) \notin\{-\infty, \infty\} \\ 0, & \text { if } F(x) \in\{-\infty, \infty\}\end{cases}
$$

We now define a map $\phi:[0,1] \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{F}(x, n)=\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left\lfloor F\left(F_{r}^{k}(x)\right)\right\rfloor, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\left\lfloor F\left(F_{r}^{k}(x)\right)\right\rfloor \notin\{-\infty, \infty\}$ for any $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Else we take $\phi_{F}(x, n)=0$. We call $\phi_{F}$ the cocycle associated with $F$. Note that the conditions placed on shift-periodic map $F$, in particular (i), ensure that whenever $\left\{F(x), F^{2}(x), \ldots, F^{n}(x)\right\}$ does not intersect with $\{\infty,-\infty\}$ then $F_{r}^{n}(x)=\left\{F^{n}(x)\right\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{n}(x)=F_{r}^{n}(x)+\phi_{F}(x, n) \quad \text { for } \quad n \geq 1 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

So for sequence $x_{n}$ defined by iterative formula (1.1) with starting value $x_{0} \in[0,1]$, we have $\left\lfloor x_{n}\right\rfloor=\phi_{F}\left(x_{0}, n\right)$, provided $F^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is never infinite. Condition (ii) of Definition 2.1 ensures that this description of the sequence is valid away from a set of Lebesgue measure 0 . We can rephrase Theorem 3.1 in the following way:

Theorem 3.1.* Let $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\infty}$ be a shift-periodic map with integer spikes and let $U$ be uniformly distributed on $[0,1]$. Then there exists a homeomorphism $h:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ so that for $Y_{n}=\phi_{F}(h(U), n)$ and $Y_{0}=0$, the stochastic process $\left(Y_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an integer-valued discrete-time random walk and we have $\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{n}-Y_{n-1}=m\right)=p_{m}$, where $p_{m}$ is a constant satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{m}=\lambda\left(\left\{x \in[0,1]: \phi_{F}(h(x), 1)=m\right\}\right) \quad \text { for any } m \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark: Cocycle $\phi_{F}(x, n)$ allows us to associate with $F$ a skew-product $F_{s}$ induced by cocycle $\phi_{F}$. Let $F_{s}:[0,1] \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow[0,1] \times \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$
F_{s}(x, m)=\left(F_{r}(x), m+\lfloor F(x)\rfloor\right)=\left(F_{r}(x), m+\phi_{F}(x, 1)\right) .
$$

Using equation (3.1), $F_{s}$ satisfies $F_{s}^{n}(x, m)=\left(F_{r}^{n}(x), m+\phi_{F}(x, n)\right)$ for $x \in[0,1]$. Skew-products in general frequently appear as models in physics, for example, see [29] for a recent discussion of diffusion and Lévy-type behaviour of different systems from the point of view of skew-products, including the Pomeau-Manneville map [13] mentioned in Section [1] Skew-products are also used to investigate recurrence of random walks in [30, 31. Later, in the proof of Lemma 3.2, we will relate the trajectories of piecewise monotone maps to those of piecewise linear maps via conjugacy. Similarly, in [32] skew-products with piecewise monotone fibres are related to those with piecewise linear fibres via a semiconjugacy, though in 32 the map is only allowed to have a finite number of monotonic branches. For more general discussions of cocycles and skew-products see 33 .

### 3.2 Piecewise linear maps

Theorem $3.1^{*}$ is easy to verify for maps which are linear in between integer function values. (In this case map $h$ can simply be taken to be the identity map.) What we mean by "linear between integer values" is made precise in Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.1. Let $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\infty}$ be a shift-periodic map with integer spikes and let $U$ be uniformly distributed on $[0,1]$. Suppose there exists a collection of open, pairwise disjoint intervals $\left\{\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right.$ : $i \in I\}$, I countable, so that $[0,1] \backslash \bigcup_{i \in I}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ is countable, $F_{r}$ is linear on $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ and $F_{r}\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)=$ $(0,1)$. Then Theorem 3.1 holds for $h(x)=x$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Since $F$ has integer spikes, $F_{r}$ linear on $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ with $F_{r}\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)=(0,1)$ implies that $\phi_{F}(x, 1)=\lfloor F(x)\rfloor$ is constant on $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ for each $i \in I$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we set $I_{m}=\left\{i \in I: \phi_{F}(x, 1)=m\right.$ on $\left.\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right\}$. Then $p_{m}=\lambda\left(\cup_{i \in I_{m}}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)=\sum_{i \in I_{m}}\left(b_{i}-a_{i}\right)$.

Let $Z_{1}=\phi_{F}(U, 1)$ and $Z_{j}=\phi_{F}(U, j)-\phi_{F}(U, j-1)=\phi_{F}\left(F_{r}^{j-1}(U), 1\right)$ for $j \geq 2$. We now wish to show that for any $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1}=m_{1}, \ldots, Z_{n}=m_{n}\right)=p_{1} \ldots p_{n}$. For $n=1$ the statement holds by definition of $p_{m}$. For $n>1$ note that $F_{r}$ is linear on $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ with $F_{r}\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)=(0,1)$, so $F_{r}(U)$ is uniformly distributed on the unit interval conditional on $U \in\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$. Then $\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{2}=m_{2}, \ldots, Z_{n}=m_{n} \mid U \in\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{1}=m_{2}, \ldots, Z_{n-1}=m_{n}\right)$.

The statement then follows by induction. This gives us independence of random variables $Z_{n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and further $\mathbb{P}\left(Z_{n}=m\right)=p_{m}$. The lemma holds.

### 3.3 Absolutely continuous invariant measures

As mentioned in our earlier discussion, it is sometimes possible to deduce the behaviour of trajectories of a shift-periodic map $F$ from a given absolutely continuous invariant measure $\mu$ of $F_{r}$. Since $\mu$ is absolutely continuous, $x \mapsto \mu([0, x])$ is continuous, and if this map is additionally strictly increasing, it has an inverse denoted by $h$. Crucial is now the integer spike condition: We may split the unit interval into subintervals $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right), i \in I$ on which $F_{r}$ is monotonic with $F_{r}\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)=(0,1)$. If $h^{-1} \circ F_{r} \circ h$ is additionally linear on $h^{-1}\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)$, our earlier Lemma 3.1 becomes applicable.

Proposition 3.1. Let $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\infty}$ be a shift-periodic map with integer spikes and let $U$ be uniformly distributed on $[0,1]$. Let $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right), i \in I$ be intervals such that $F_{r}$ is monotonic on $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ with $F_{r}\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)=(0,1)$ and $[0,1] \backslash \cup_{i \in I}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ is countable. Suppose that $\mu$ is an absolutely continuous invariant measure for $F_{r}$ and that the map $x \mapsto \mu([0, x])$ is strictly increasing on $[0,1]$. Let $h$ be the inverse of $x \mapsto \mu([0, x])$. Suppose that $h^{-1} \circ F_{r} \circ h$ is linear on each $h^{-1}\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right), i \in I$. Then $Y_{n}=\phi_{F}(h(U), n)$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, gives a random walk with transition probabilities given by equation (3.3).

Proof. Define a shift-periodic map $G: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\infty}$ by $G(x)=\left(h^{-1} \circ F_{r} \circ h\right)(x)+\lfloor F(h(x))\rfloor$. Then $\lfloor G(x)\rfloor=\lfloor F(h(x))\rfloor$ implies that $\left\lfloor F\left(F_{r}^{n-1}(h(x))\right)\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor F\left(h\left(G_{r}^{n-1}(x)\right)\right)\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor G\left(G_{r}^{n-1}(x)\right)\right\rfloor$ and so $\phi_{F}(h(x), n)=\phi_{G}(x, n)$. Applying Lemma 3.1 to $G$, we note that $Y_{n}=\phi_{G}(U, n)=\phi_{G}(h(U), n)$ is a random walk with transition probabilities $p_{m}=\lambda\left(\left\{x \in[0,1]: \phi_{G}(x, 1)=m\right\}\right)=\lambda(\{x \in[0,1]$ : $\left.\left.\phi_{F}(h(x), 1)=m\right\}\right)$.

In Figure 3(a) we present an example of a map $F$ which satisfies the conditions of Proposition 3.1. It is constructed by conjugating the piecewise linear map $G(x)=F(x ; 4,4)$, given in Example 2.2, with homeomorphism $h(x)=x(1+x) / 2$. More precisely, we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\left(h \circ G_{r} \circ h^{-1}\right)(x)+\left\lfloor G\left(h^{-1}(x)\right)\right\rfloor . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its invariant distribution, corresponding to an absolutely continuous invariant measure, is $h(U)$. Although, starting from a piecewise linear map and a given $h$, we can use equation (3.4) to construct many other examples satisfying Proposition 3.1, the statement of Theorem 3.1 is more general, as we instead need to construct $h$.


Figure 3: (a) Map $F(x)$ defined in equation (3.4) for $h(x)=x(1+x) / 2$.
(b) Corresponding fractional parts $F_{r}(x)$ (blue) and map $G_{r}(x)$ (grey) from equation (3.4).
(c) Function $h(x)-x$ for $h$ constructed as in Lemma 3.2 for the map in panel (a), plotted as a red solid line. The blue dashed line shows $x(1+x) / 2-x$ for comparison. The green solid line shows $h(x)-x$ for the Pomeau-Manneville map with $a=6, b=2$ and $\varepsilon=0$.

### 3.4 Topological conjugacy

We will now relate the trajectories generated by an arbitrary shift-periodic map $F$ with integer spikes to those of a shift-periodic map $G$ which is linear between integer function values. Below is a formal definition of topological conjugacy, a construction which has already appeared in Proposition 3.1 and in equation (3.4).

Definition 3.3. Maps $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ and $g:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ are topologically conjugate if there exists a homeomorphism $h:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that $g=h^{-1} \circ f \circ h$.

Baldwin [27] describes all classes of topologically conjugate maps on $[0,1]$ which are continuous and piecewise monotonic. In [27, 32] it is assumed that piecewise monotonic maps have only finitely many monotonic branches. In 34] conjugates between maps with infinitely many monotonic branches are considered, though piecewise differentiability is assumed. A slight adaptation of Baldwin's proof establishes the Lemma below, which is valid for maps with infinitely monotonic branches and does not require differentiability.

Lemma 3.2. Let $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ and suppose there exist pairwise disjoint intervals $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ with $i \in I$, where $I$ is a countable indexing set disjoint from $[0,1]$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) For any $i \in I, f$ is continuous and monotonic on $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ with $f\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)=(0,1)$;
(ii) Inequality $|f(x)-f(y)|>|x-y|$ holds for all distinct $x, y \in\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$, where $i \in I$.
(iii) Set $\Lambda=[0,1] \backslash \cup_{i \in I}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ is countable and $f(\Lambda)=\{0\}$.

Define a corresponding linearised map $g:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ in the following way: $g$ is linear on $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ with $\lim _{x \rightarrow a_{i}^{+}} g(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow a_{i}^{+}} f(x)$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow b_{i}^{-}} g(x)=\lim _{x \rightarrow b_{i}^{-}} f(x)$ for any $i \in I$ and further $g(x)=0$ for any $x \in \Lambda$. Then $f$ and $g$ are topologically conjugate.

Proof. With any $x \in[0,1]$ we associate a sequence $\mathbf{a}_{f}(x)=\left(a_{0}(x), a_{1}(x), \ldots\right)$ where $a_{n}(x)=i$ if $f^{n}(x) \in\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ and $a_{n}(x)=f^{n}(x)$ if $f^{n}(x) \in \Lambda$. Let $\mathbf{b}$ be a finite sequence of length $n$. For sequence $\mathbf{c}$, infinite or finite of length greater or equal $n$, we say $\left.\mathbf{c}\right|_{n}=\mathbf{b}$ if the first $n$ entries of $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$ coincide. For a finite sequence $\mathbf{b}$ of length $n$ with entries in $I \cup \Lambda$ then write

$$
J_{\mathbf{b}}^{f}=\left\{x \in[0,1]:\left.\mathbf{a}_{f}(x)\right|_{n}=\mathbf{b}\right\} .
$$

We now make the following observations: Suppose $x \neq y$. Because of condition (ii) it is clear that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the interval $\left(f^{n}(x), f^{n}(y)\right)$ or $\left(f^{n}(y), f^{n}(x)\right)$ intersects with $\Lambda$. We then easily deduce $\mathbf{a}_{f}(x) \neq \mathbf{a}_{f}(y)$.

Let again $\mathbf{b}$ denote a finite sequence. From above we then note that $J_{\mathbf{b}}^{f}$ is empty or a singleton if at least one of the entries of $\mathbf{b}$ is in $\Lambda$. Otherwise $J_{\mathbf{b}}^{f}$ is an open interval. This can easily be
shown by induction, and is a consequence of $f\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)=(0,1)$ and of monotonicity of $f$ on $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$. Further, for another finite sequence $\mathbf{c}$, if $\left.\mathbf{c}\right|_{n}=\mathbf{b}$ then $J_{\mathbf{c}}^{f} \subseteq J_{\mathbf{b}}^{f}$. The same observations also apply to $g$, where we define $J_{\mathbf{b}}^{g}=\left\{x \in[0,1]:\left.\mathbf{a}_{g}(x)\right|_{n}=\mathbf{b}\right\}$. The construction of $g$, in particular equality to $f$ on $\Lambda$, ensures that $J_{\mathbf{b}}^{g}$ is empty, a singleton or open interval if and only if $J_{\mathbf{b}}^{f}$ is empty, a singleton or an open interval, respectively.

The sets $J_{\mathbf{b}}^{f}$, where $\mathbf{b}$ are sequences of length $n$ in $I \cup \Lambda$, partition $[0,1]$. Now we may define a continuous, monotonically increasing map $h_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n}\left(J_{\mathbf{b}}^{g}\right)=J_{\mathbf{b}}^{f} \quad \text { and } \quad h_{n} \text { linear on } J_{\mathbf{b}}^{f}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{b}$ runs over all finite sequences of length $n$ in $I \cup \Lambda$. Note that the least upper bound on the lengths of intervals $J_{\mathbf{b}}^{f}$, where $\mathbf{b}$ sequence with $n$ entries, goes to 0 as $n$ goes to infinity. $h_{n}$ thus converges uniformly to a continuous, monotonically increasing map $h$. It is in fact strictly increasing: Note that for $x<y$ there must exist $z_{1}, z_{2} \in[0,1]$ with $x<z_{1}<z_{2}<y$ so that $\mathbf{a}_{g}\left(z_{1}\right)$ and $\mathbf{a}_{g}\left(z_{2}\right)$ have some entries contained in $\Lambda$. This implies that $\left(h_{n}\left(z_{1}\right)\right)$ and $\left(h_{n}\left(z_{2}\right)\right)$ have constant tails and so, as $h_{n}(x)<h_{n}\left(z_{1}\right)<h_{n}\left(z_{2}\right)<h\left(z_{2}\right)$, taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $h(x) \leq h\left(z_{1}\right)<h\left(z_{2}\right) \leq h(y)$.

Now consider $\mathbf{b}=\left.\mathbf{a}_{g}(x)\right|_{n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $h$ maps $x$ to $\overline{J_{\mathbf{b}}^{f}}$ for each such $\mathbf{b}, h(x)$ must either have $\mathbf{a}_{g}(x)=\mathbf{a}_{f}(h(x))$ or $\mathbf{a}_{f}(h(x))$ intersects with $\Lambda$. Assume the latter holds. There is a point $y$ with $\mathbf{a}_{g}(y)=\mathbf{a}_{f}(h(x))$ and $h_{n}$ is eventually constant and equal $h$ at $y$. Then $\mathbf{a}_{g}(y)$ and $\mathbf{a}_{f}(h(y))$ agree up to arbitrary length and $\mathbf{a}_{g}(y)=\mathbf{a}_{f}(h(y))$. But $\mathbf{a}_{f}(h(x))=\mathbf{a}_{g}(y)=\mathbf{a}_{f}(h(y))$ contradicts $h$ being strictly increasing, unless $x=y$. So $\mathbf{a}_{g}(x)=\mathbf{a}_{f}(h(x))$

As $h$ is continuous and strictly increasing, it is also a homeomorphism on $[0,1]$ with $\mathbf{a}_{g}(x)=$ $\mathbf{a}_{f}(h(x))$. Then also $\mathbf{a}_{g}(g(x))=\mathbf{a}_{f}(f(h(x)))$ and so $\mathbf{a}_{g}(g(x))=\mathbf{a}\left(\left(h^{-1} \circ f \circ g\right)(x)\right)$. Therefore $\left(h^{-1} \circ f \circ h\right)(x)=g(x)$ and thus $f$ and $g$ are topologically conjugate.

While the topological conjugacy described above sends $f$ to the linearisation $g$ which keeps the endpoints of monotonic branches fixed, the construction itself is valid for any map $g$ which has the same number and orientation of monotonic branches as $f$, or, for an $f$ with infinitely many branches, when $g$ has the same number of limit points of $[0,1] \backslash_{i \in I}\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$, corresponding to singularities.

### 3.5 Proof of Theorem 3.1

The proof of Theorem 3.1 now follows from the previous results: Let $U$ be uniformly distributed on $[0,1]$. Let $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\infty}$ be a shift-periodic map with integer spikes. The conditions placed on $F$, in particular integer spikes, ensure that $F_{r}$ satisfies the requirements of Lemma 3.2, Let $g$ be the corresponding linearised map, so that $F_{r}$ is topologically conjugate to $g$. Define a shiftperiodic map $G: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\infty}$ by setting $G(x)=\lfloor F(x)\rfloor+g(x)$ on $[0,1)$, so that $G_{r}(x)=g(x)$. Then $G_{r}=h^{-1} \circ F_{r} \circ h$ for some homeomorphism $h$.

Next note that $\lfloor F(x)\rfloor=\lfloor G(x)\rfloor$ on $[0,1]$ and that $\lfloor F(x)\rfloor$ is constant on each of the intervals $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ defined for $f=F_{r}$ in Lemma 3.2 Further, by construction, $h\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)=\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$. These observations then show

$$
\left\lfloor F\left(F_{r}^{n-1}(h(x))\right)\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor G\left(F_{r}^{n-1}(h(x))\right)\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor G\left(h\left(G_{r}^{n-1}(x)\right)\right)\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor G\left(G_{r}^{n-1}(x)\right)\right\rfloor .
$$

So $\phi_{F}(h(x), n)=\phi_{G}(x, n)$. But $G$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.1 so random variables $\phi_{G}(U, n), n \in \mathbb{N}$, form a random walk with transition probabilities

$$
p_{m}=\lambda\left\{x \in[0,1]: \phi_{G}(x, 1)=m\right\}=\lambda\left\{x \in[0,1]: \phi_{F}(x, 1)=m\right\}
$$

Hence the same must be true for $Y_{n}=\phi_{F}(h(U), n), n \in \mathbb{N}$ and Theorem 3.1 holds.
Corollary 3.1. Let $U$ be distributed uniformly on $[0,1]$. Let $h$ be the map from Lemma 3.2, Then $h(U)$ is an invariant distribution with respect to $F_{r}$, meaning that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(F_{r}(h(U)) \leq x\right)=\mathbb{P}(h(U) \leq x)
$$

Proof. Let $G$ be as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 Since $G_{r}$ is linear on $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ for any $i \in I$ and $h\left(\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)\right)=(0,1)$, we see that $U$ is an invariant distribution with respect to $G_{r}$. So we deduce that $\mathbb{P}\left(F_{r}(h(U)) \leq x\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(h\left(G_{r}(U)\right) \leq x\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(G_{r}(U) \leq h^{-1}(x)\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(U \leq h^{-1}(x)\right)=\mathbb{P}(h(U) \leq x)$.

As noted below the proof of Lemma 3.2 the choice of $h$ in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is rather arbitrary in the sense that there are infinitely many piecewise linear maps which $F_{r}$ conjugates to, and for any of these maps, Theorem 3.1 is satisfied. The choice of $h$ in Lemma 3.2 has the nice property that $p_{m}=\lambda(\{x \in[0,1]:\lfloor F(x)\rfloor=m\})$. However, $h(U)$ is not necessarily absolutely continuous, and neither is this necessarily the case for any of the alternative conjugacies.

As an example, consider again $F(x)$ defined in equation (3.4) with $h(x)=x(1+x) / 2$. We know that $h$ is a smooth map which conjugates $F_{r}$ to the piecewise linear map $G_{r}$. The map $h(x)-x=x(x-1) / 2$ is plotted in Figure 3(c) as a blue dashed line. However, the construction in Lemma 3.2 gives a much more complicated choice of $h$, shown in Figure 3(c) in red. For this choice of $h$, the measure corresponding to distribution $h(U)$ is no longer absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, and the results of Theorem 3.1do not translate into observations of typical trajectories.

The red line in Figure 3(c) illustrates an example in which Lemma 3.2 fails to give us a more natural choice of $h$, which exists for this example (blue dashed line). For other shift-periodic maps, however, there is no choice of $h$ for which the measure corresponding to $h(U)$ is absolutely continuous. An example is the Pomeau-Manneville map introduced in Section [1. Choosing its parameters so that $F(x)=x+6 x^{2}$ on $[0,1 / 2)$, it satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1, but has derivative equal to 1 at $x=0$ and $x=1$. Whenever a trajectory comes close to one of these points, a long sequence of constant integer parts follows until jumps reoccur. The long term dynamics of a typical trajectory do not display the discussed random walk structure. The map $h$ constructed in Lemma 3.2 is shown in Figure 3 as a green line.

### 3.6 Alpha-stable processes

The results in the previous subsection showed how iterates $Y_{n}=\left\lfloor F^{n}(X)\right\rfloor$ of shift-periodic maps with integer spikes can be viewed as sums of independent and identically distributed random variables $Y_{n}-Y_{n-1}$, for suitable choices of initial distribution $X$. A lot is known about the behaviour of continuous stochastic processes arising as the limit of such partial sum processes under suitable scaling, for a summary see for example 35. We will briefly give an overview of such results for independent random variables and the implications for our shift-periodic maps.

First we make our notion of a scaling, passing from a discrete to a continuous process, precise. Take $Y_{n}=\left\lfloor F^{n}(h(U))\right\rfloor$, choose translation-scaling and space-scaling constants $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$, and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{(n)}(t)=\frac{1}{b_{n}}\left(Y_{\lfloor n t\rfloor}-a_{n} t\right), \quad \text { where } n \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for integer spike maps as in Theorem 3.1 the generated random variables $Y_{\lfloor n t\rfloor}$ behave like a random walk, we can apply Functional Central Limit Theorems (FCLTs) to investigate the behaviour of the limit of $V^{(n)}(t)$. The classical example is Donsker's theorem, which treats the convergence of processes of the form $\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n t\rfloor} Z_{k}-a_{n} t\right) / b_{n}$ to the Wiener process when the independent random variables $Z_{k}$ follow a normal distribution. This convergence is with respect to the Skorohod metric on the space of right-continuous functions with existing left limits 36, 35, We refer to such a space of functions on $[0, \infty)$ as $\mathcal{D}([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$. A key component of the proof of Donsker's Theorem is the standard Central Limit Theorem (CLT), but a generalized version of the CLT due to Kolmogorov and Gnedenko [37] also applies to stable distributions with infinite variance and corresponds to a generalized FCLT for $\alpha$-strictly stable processes, called Lévy motions. To describe such Lévy motions, we first need to define a special class of $\alpha$-strictly stable processes.

Definition 3.4. For $\alpha \in(0,2]$ and $\beta \in[-1,1]$, with $\beta=0$ when $\alpha=1$, we define $\alpha$-strictly stable distribution $S(\alpha, \beta)$ to be the distribution with characteristic function

$$
\phi(t ; \alpha, \beta)=\exp \left(-|t|^{\alpha}\left(1-i \beta \operatorname{sgn}(t) \tan \left(\frac{\pi \alpha}{2}\right)\right)\right)
$$

With Definition 3.4 it can be shown that $\sum_{k=1}^{n} X_{k} \sim n^{1 / \alpha} S(\alpha, \beta)$ where $X_{k} \sim S(\alpha, \beta)$ are independent [38]. In fact, this property is usually used to characterise $\alpha$-strictly stable distributions. Note that $S(2, \beta)$ is a normal distribution with mean 0 for any value of $\beta$, while $S(1,0)$ is a Cauchy distribution.

Definition 3.5. A Lévy motion is a stochastic process $V(t ; \alpha, \beta), t \geq 0$ with $\alpha \in(0,2]$ and $\beta \in$ $[-1,1]$, where $\beta=0$ when $\alpha=1$, satisfying the following properties:
(a) Every sample path of $V(t ; \alpha, \beta)$ is contained in $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$. Also, $V(0)=0$.
(b) The increments $V\left(s_{2} ; \alpha, \beta\right)-V\left(s_{1} ; \alpha, \beta\right), \ldots, V\left(s_{n} ; \alpha, \beta\right)-V\left(s_{n-1} ; \alpha, \beta\right)$ are independent for any $0 \leq s_{1}<\cdots<s_{n}$.
(c) For $s \geq 0, t>0$ we have $V(s+t ; \alpha, \beta)-V(s ; \alpha, \beta)$ equal in distribution to $t^{1 / \alpha} S(\alpha, \beta)$.

A standard example of such a Lévy motion is the Wiener process for $\alpha=2$. Lévy motions allow the following generalisation of Donsker's Theorem.

Theorem 3.2 (FCLT for $\alpha$-stable Lévy motions). Let $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots$ be independent, identically distributed random variables with cumulative distribution $F_{Y}(x)$ satisfying

$$
1-F_{Y}(M) \sim c_{+} M^{-\kappa} \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty \quad \text { and } \quad F_{Y}(M) \sim c_{-}|M|^{-\kappa} \text { as } x \rightarrow-\infty
$$

where $\kappa>0, c_{+} \geq 0$ and $c_{-} \geq 0$ are constants such that $c_{+}$and $c_{-}$are not both zero and $c_{+}=c_{-}$ if $\kappa=1$. Let

$$
\alpha=\min \{\kappa, 2\} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\frac{c_{+}-c_{-}}{c_{+}+c_{-}}
$$

and depending on $\kappa$, choose $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ from the table below.

| $\kappa$ | $a_{n}$ | $b_{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0<\kappa<1$ | 0 | $\left(\pi\left(c_{+}+c_{-}\right)(2 \Gamma(\alpha) \sin (\alpha \pi / 2))^{-1} n\right)^{1 / \alpha}$ |
| $\kappa=1$ | $\beta\left(c_{+}+c_{-}\right) n \log (n)$ | $\pi / 2\left(c_{+}+c_{-}\right) n$ |
| $1<\kappa<2$ | $n \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{i}\right]$ | $\left(\pi\left(c_{+}+c_{-}\right)(2 \Gamma(\alpha) \sin (\alpha \pi / 2))^{-1} n\right)^{1 / \alpha}$ |
| $\kappa=2$ | $n \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{i}\right]$ | $\left(c_{+}+c_{-}\right)^{1 / 2}(n \log (n))^{1 / 2}$ |
| $\kappa>2$ | $n \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{i}\right]$ | $\left(\operatorname{Var}\left(Y_{i}\right) / 2\right)^{1 / 2} n^{1 / 2}$ |

Then the stochastic processes defined by

$$
V^{(n)}(t)=\frac{1}{b_{n}}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n t\rfloor} Y_{k}-a_{n} t\right)
$$

converge, with respect to the Skorohod metric on $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, to the Lévy motion $V(t ; \alpha, \beta)$.
Proof. This is a simple consequence of combining Uchaikin's version of generalized CLT 38 with the discussion of FCLTs arising from CLTs in Whitt [35].

We can now rephrase this theorem in terms of our sequences of iterates of shift-periodic maps. The following statement is a direct corollary of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.2. Let $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\infty}$ be a shift-periodic map with integer spikes. Suppose that
$\lambda\{y \in[0,1]:\lfloor F(y)\rfloor>M\} \sim c_{+} M^{-\kappa}$ and $\quad \lambda\{y \in[0,1]:\lfloor F(y)\rfloor<-M\} \sim c_{-} M^{-\kappa}$ as $M \rightarrow \infty$,
where $\kappa>0, c_{+} \geq 0$ and $c_{-} \geq 0$ are constant with $c_{+}$and $c_{-}$not both zero and $c_{+}=c_{-}$if $\kappa=1$. Choose $\alpha, \beta, a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ as in Theorem 3.2. Let $h$ be as described in Theorem 3.1, so that $h(U)$, with $U$ uniformly distributed on $[0,1]$, is an invariant distribution of $F_{r}$. Define $V^{(n)}(t)$ by (3.6). Then these stochastic processes converge, with respect to the Skorohod metric on $D([0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$, to the Lévy motion $V(t ; \alpha, \beta)$.

Note that while we required $c_{+}>0$ or $c_{-}>0$ in Corollary 3.2, effectively excluding continuous shift-periodic maps, the random variables $Y_{n}=\left\lfloor F^{n}(h(U))\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor F^{n-1}(h(U))\right\rfloor$ generated by a continuous shift-periodic map $F$ with integer spikes have finite second moments, so that Donsker's Theorem conveniently covers this case and we get Brownian motion upon an appropriate scaling in time and space.

Let us briefly return to map $F(x ; \kappa)$ in Example 2.3. Simple calculations show that the constant $\kappa$ in Corollary 3.2 is the same as parameter $\kappa$ of map $F(x ; \kappa)$. The Corollary then tells us that for appropriately chosen $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ the stochastic process $V^{(n)}(t)$, as defined in equation (3.6), behaves in a limit like a Lévy motion $Y(t ; \alpha, \beta)$. Here $\alpha=\min \{\kappa, 2\}$. In particular, we get a Wiener process for $\kappa \geq 2$. This can also be observed in Figure 2

More general results concerning generalized CLTs and convergence to Lévy motions can be found in the literature, relaxing the independence condition to various mixing conditions. For recent results see, for example, [39, 40, 41, 42, 43]. It is possible to extend statements like in Corollary 3.2 to sequences of iterates generated from more general shift-periodic maps, lacking the integer spike condition which ensures independence. However, conditions from papers 39, 40, 41, 42, 43] translate to technical restrictions on shift-periodic maps.

In the next section, we look at continuous stochastic processes from a different point of view. Instead of scaling a sequence of iterates by multiplication with a space-scaling constant, we scale the parameters $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$ of map $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ from Example 2.2. The resulting process is still confined to $\mathbb{Z}$, but continuous in time, giving dynamical behaviour different from what we have seen in this subsection.

## 4 Continuous-time random walks

In Section 2 our investigation of shift-periodic maps was motivated by the parameter-dependent map $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ from Example 2.2, see Figure 1 For most choices of parameters $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$, sequence $\left\lfloor x_{n}\right\rfloor$ cannot have two consecutive jumps, so does not strictly behave like a random walk according to our definition. However, it does display apparent similarities. We will describe in this section in what sense random walk behaviour appears in trajectories generated by this map.

Before we give a precise statement of Theorem4.1, we discuss the invariant density of $F_{r}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$. This will be necessary both for the motivation of the theorem, and some later parts of the proof.

### 4.1 Invariant density

While it was relatively straightforward to find an invariant density of $F_{r}$ in the case of maps $F$ with integer spikes, by using topological conjugacy to a set of maps with a particularly nice invariant density (Lemma 3.2), this approach cannot be used for more general shift-periodic maps. We instead apply more general results on invariant densities for piecewise linear maps due to Góra 44 to maps $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ defined in Example 2.2.

Lemma 4.1. Consider shift-periodic map $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ defined in Example 2.2, where $\varepsilon>0$ and $\delta>0$. For parameters $A \in[0,1]$ and $B \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$ define the indicator function $\mathbb{1}:[0,1] \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ by

$$
\mathbb{1}(x ; A, B)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } \quad x \in[0, A], B>0 \quad \text { or } x \in[A, 1], B<0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We define the cumulative derivative $\beta(x, n)$ iteratively by

$$
\beta(x, n)=\beta(x, n-1) \cdot F_{r}^{\prime}\left(F_{r}^{n-1}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta) ; \varepsilon, \delta\right), \quad \text { for } n \geq 2, \quad \text { and } \quad \beta(x, 1)=F_{r}^{\prime}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta),
$$

where we leave function $\beta(x, n)$ undefined when the derivatives do not exist. This is the case only for finitely many points in $(0,1)$ for each $n$. We further define two cumulative derivatives at $c_{1}=1 / 4$ and $c_{2}=3 / 4$ by

$$
\beta^{L}\left(c_{i}, n\right)=\lim _{x \rightarrow c_{i}^{+}} \beta(x, n) \quad \text { and } \quad \beta^{R}\left(c_{i}, n\right)=\lim _{x \rightarrow c_{i}^{-}} \beta(x, n) \quad \text { for } i=1,2
$$

The invariant density of $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)=\frac{1}{K}(1 & +\sum_{j=1}^{2} D_{j}^{L} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{1}\left(x ; F_{r}^{n}\left(c_{j}\right),-\beta^{L}\left(c_{j}, n\right)\right)}{\left|\beta^{L}\left(c_{j}, n\right)\right|} \\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1}^{2} D_{j}^{R} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{1}\left(x ; F_{r}^{n}\left(c_{j}\right), \beta^{R}\left(c_{j}, n\right)\right)}{\left|\beta^{R}\left(c_{j}, n\right)\right|}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $K$ is a normalisation constant, chosen so that $f_{i}$ integrates to 1 over $[0,1]$ and $D_{1}^{L}, D_{2}^{L}$, $D_{1}^{R}, D_{2}^{R}$ are constants dependent on $\varepsilon, \delta$ with $D_{i}^{R} \rightarrow 1$ and $D_{i}^{L} \rightarrow 1$ as $\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0$, for $i \in\{1,2\}$.

Proof. This is just an application of Góra's results on invariant densities of eventually expanding maps in 44. Here $D=\left(D_{1}^{L}, D_{1}^{R}, D_{1}^{R}, D_{2}^{R}\right)$ is the solution of $\left(-S^{T}+I\right) D^{T}=(1,1,1,1)^{T}$ where $S=\left(S_{i, j}\right)$ is a matrix with entries dependent on $F_{r}^{n}(c)$ and $\beta(c, n), c \in\left\{c_{1}^{L}, c_{1}^{R}, c_{2}^{L}, c_{2}^{R}\right\}$, converging to 0 as the parameter $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$ of $F_{r}^{n}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ converge to 0 . For more details on $S$ see page 7 of 44 .

For small choices of parameters $\varepsilon, \delta$, say $\varepsilon, \delta<10^{-6}$, numerical calculations tell us that

$$
f_{i}(x ; \varepsilon, \varepsilon) \approx 1+1 / 4^{n} \quad \text { for } x \in I_{n}
$$

where $I_{n}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}=(0, F(1 / 4 ; \varepsilon, \varepsilon)) \cup(1-F(1 / 4 ; \varepsilon, \varepsilon), 1) \\
& I_{n}=\left(F^{n}(1 / 4 ; \varepsilon, \varepsilon), F^{n+1}(1 / 4 ; \varepsilon, \varepsilon)\right) \cup\left(1-F^{n+1}(1 / 4 ; \varepsilon, \varepsilon), 1-F^{n}(1 / 4 ; \varepsilon, \varepsilon)\right) \text { for } n \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

This approximation is accurate up to three decimal digits. In Figure 1 we used larger values of parameters, $\varepsilon=\delta=10^{-2}$. Table 4.1 gives the invariant density $f_{i}\left(x ; 10^{-2}, 10^{-2}\right)$ on intervals $I$ up to three decimal digits.
For $\varepsilon, \delta=0 \operatorname{map} F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ is linear between grid lines, so of the type discussed in Section3, and has invariant density equal 1 . So one might expect that $f_{i}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow 1$ as $\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0$. This convergence is not uniform on $[0,1]$, but we can make the important observation below:

Corollary 4.1. Let $f_{i}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ be the invariant density of $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$, described in Lemma 4.1. For any $d>0$ we have $f_{i}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow 1$ as $\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $[d, 1-d]$.

Proof. Let $d>0$. For any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $F_{r}^{n}\left(c_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0$ and $F_{r}^{n}\left(c_{2}\right) \rightarrow 1$ as $\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0$, so that the length of the interval on which $\mathbb{1}\left(x ; F_{r}^{n}\left(c_{j}\right),-\beta^{L}\left(c_{j}, n\right)\right) \neq 0$ or $\mathbb{1}\left(x ; F_{r}^{n}\left(c_{j}\right), \beta^{R}\left(c_{j}, n\right)\right) \neq 0$ also goes to zero, for $j=1,2$. Noting that $\left|\beta^{L}\left(c_{j}, n\right)\right| \geq 2^{n}$ and $\left|\beta^{R}\left(c_{j}, n\right)\right| \geq 2^{n}$, then the integral of the weighted sum of four infinite sums in equation (4.1) over $[0,1]$ goes to 0 as $\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0$. Adding 1 to this integral, we get $K$. So we have $K \rightarrow 1$. By the same argument, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$ and $\delta>0$ we have $F_{r}^{n}\left(c_{1}\right)<d$ and $F_{r}^{n}\left(c_{2}\right)>1-d$ for all $n \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$, so that we achieve bound

$$
\frac{1}{K} \leq f_{i}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta) \leq \frac{1}{K}\left(1+\frac{D_{1}^{L}+D_{2}^{L}+D_{1}^{R}+D_{2}^{R}}{2^{N}}\right)
$$

valid on $[d, 1-d]$ for small $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$. But $N$ was chosen arbitrarily. Recall from Lemma 4.1 that also $D_{i}^{R}, D_{i}^{L} \rightarrow 1$ for $i=1,2$. Combining these results, we find that $f_{i}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow 1$ uniformly on $[d, 1-d]$.

We briefly also note that this invariant density additionally gives us a description of how the fractional parts $F_{r}^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$ of sequence $x_{n}$ behave long-term, by a simple application of Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem.

| $I$ | $f_{i}(x)$ | $I$ | $f_{i}(x)$ | $I$ | $f_{i}(x)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(0, \varepsilon / 4)$ | 1.959 | $(0.206,0.354)$ | 0.986 | $(0.794,0.839)$ | 0.984 |
| $(0.0025,0.01)$ | 1.224 |  |  | $(0.839,0.96)$ | 0.995 |
| $(0.01,0.04)$ | 1.041 |  | $0.354,0.646)$ | 0.988 | $(0.96,0.99)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $(0.04,0.161)$ | 0.995 |  | $(0.99,0.9975)$ | 1.224 |  |
| $(0.161,0.206)$ | 0.984 | $(0.646,0.794)$ | 0.986 |  | $(1-\varepsilon / 4,1)$ |

Table 1: The values of $f_{i}(x ; \varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon=10^{-2}$ up to three decimal digits.

### 4.2 From maps to continuous-time random walks

Now consider $X$ distributed according to the invariant distribution of $F_{r}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ on $[0,1]$ and $X_{n}=\left\lfloor F^{n}(X ; \varepsilon, \delta)\right\rfloor$. For now we say a jump occurs when $X_{n} \neq X_{n+1}$. By Corollary 4.1 the invariant density of $F_{r}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ is close to 1 at the spikes $1 / 4$ and $3 / 4$ of the map for small parameters $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$. Further, direct calculation shows that the length of the subset of $[0,1]$ mapped outside the unit interval by $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(\varepsilon)+\ell(\delta) \quad \text { where } \quad \ell(x)=\frac{x(3+x)}{2(x+2)(x+4)} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This calculation suggests that the probability of a jump is about $3(\varepsilon+\delta) / 16$. However, successive jump probabilities are not independent, since for small parameters successive jumps are impossible. We fix this issue by introducing a scaling in time, together with a scaling of the parameters. This scaling gives us behaviour resembling a continuous-time random walk, defined below.

Definition 4.1. Consider a continuous-time stochastic process $Y(t), t \geq 0$ with $Y(0)=0$ which takes values in $\mathbb{Z}$ and is right-continuous. Let $T_{0}=0$. For $j \geq 1$ define the time of the $j$-th jump by

$$
T_{j}=\min \left\{t \in\left(T_{j-1}, \infty\right): Y(t) \neq Y\left(T_{j-1}\right)\right\}
$$

Suppose $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots$ are independent. Then we say $Y(t)$ is a continuous-time random walk.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\delta, \varepsilon>0$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $X_{m}$ be distributed according to the invariant distribution, $f_{i}(x ; \varepsilon / m ; \delta / m)$, with respect to $F(x ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m)$. Define

$$
Y_{m}(t)=\left\lfloor F^{\lfloor m t\rfloor}\left(X_{m} ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m\right)\right\rfloor .
$$

Let $T_{m, 1}, T_{m, 2}, \ldots$ denote the jump times of $Y_{m}(t)$, that is,

$$
T_{m, j}=\min \left\{t \in\left(T_{m, j-1}, \infty\right): Y_{m}(t) \neq Y_{m}\left(T_{m, j-1}\right)\right\}
$$

where $T_{m, 0}=0$. Then for any $k \geq 0$ we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left.T_{m, k+1} \leq \frac{\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor}{m}+\tau \right\rvert\, T_{m, k}=\frac{\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor}{m}, \ldots, T_{m, 1}=\frac{\left\lfloor m t_{1}\right\rfloor}{m}\right) \rightarrow 1-\exp (-\gamma \tau)
$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{3(\delta+\varepsilon)}{16} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Y(t)$ be a continuous-time random walk with waiting times, $T_{j}-T_{j-1}$, exponentially distributed with mean $1 / \gamma$. Then Theorem 4.1 says that for $Y_{m}(t)$ the probability of the $(k+1)$-th jump occurring at time $\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor / m+\tau$, given that the first $k$ jumps occurred at times $\left\lfloor m t_{1}\right\rfloor / m,\left\lfloor m t_{2}\right\rfloor / m$, $\ldots,\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor / m$, converges to the probability of the $(k+1)$-th jump of $Y(t)$ occurring at time $t_{k}+\tau$, given that the first $k$ jumps occurred at $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{k}$.

### 4.3 Conditionally invariant distribution

While we discussed invariant distributions of $F_{r}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ above, to prove Theorem 4.1 it will often be more convenient to work with conditional distributions on $[0,1]$ which are invariant with respect to $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ conditioned on the event that the iterative sequence stays in $[0,1]$. The use of conditionally invariant densities is common in investigations of dynamical systems with holes, that is, trajectories generated by maps $F: \Omega \rightarrow F(\Omega)$ with $\Omega \varsubsetneqq F(\Omega)$ until the point of escape from $\Omega$. Early results are due to Pianigiani and Yorke [45, who motivated the discussion with the example of a billiard table with chaotic trajectories, and introduced the concept of conditionally invariant measures. This idea has been investigated further by other authors, for example, Demers and Young studied escape rates through the small holes in 46].

In our case it will be useful to work from the point of view of a dynamical system with holes whenever no jump is occurring. For a probability density $f$ of a distribution on $[0,1]$, the density after application of $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$, conditional on not mapping outside $[0,1]$, is given by the FrobeniusPerron operator 45]

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}(f)(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{C}\left(\frac{f\left(F_{1}^{-1}(t)\right)}{4+\varepsilon}+\frac{f\left(F_{3}^{-1}(t)\right)}{2+\delta}+\frac{f\left(F_{4}^{-1}(t)\right)}{4+\delta}\right), & \text { if } t \in(0,1 / 2)  \tag{4.4}\\ \frac{1}{C}\left(\frac{f\left(F_{1}^{-1}(t)\right)}{4+\varepsilon}+\frac{f\left(F_{2}^{-1}(t)\right)}{2+\varepsilon}+\frac{f\left(F_{4}^{-1}(t)\right)}{4+\delta}\right), & \text { if } t \in(1 / 2,1)\end{cases}
$$

where $F_{1}^{-1}, F_{2}^{-1}, F_{3}^{-1}, F_{4}^{-1}$ denote the inverses of $F(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ restricted to $(0,1 / 4)$, $(1 / 4,1 / 2)$, $(1 / 2,3 / 4),(3 / 4,1)$, respectively, and normalisation constant $C$ is chosen so that $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}$ integrates to 1 over the unit interval.

Lemma 4.2. There exists a unique density $f_{c}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ which satisfies $f_{c}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)=\nu$ on $(0,1 / 2)$ and $f_{c}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)=2-\nu$ on $(1 / 2,1)$ such that $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}\left(f_{c}\right)=f_{c}$. We will subsequently call this the conditionally invariant density. It satisfies $f_{c}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow 1$ as $\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. Suppose $f$ is a density which is constant equal $\nu$ on $(0,1 / 2)$ and $1-\nu$ on $(1 / 2,1)$. It satisfies $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}(f)=f$ if and only if $\nu$ satisfies the following equation

$$
\nu\left(\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\nu}{4+\varepsilon}+\frac{2-x}{2+\delta}+\frac{2-\nu}{4+\delta}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\nu}{4+\varepsilon}+\frac{\nu}{2+\varepsilon}+\frac{2-\nu}{4+\delta}\right)\right)=\left(\frac{\nu}{4+\varepsilon}+\frac{2-\nu}{2+\delta}+\frac{2-\nu}{4+\delta}\right)
$$

This equation is obtained from the first line of equation (4.4), the left corresponds to normalisation constant $C$ multiplied by $\nu$. Solving this quadratic equation, we obtain for all $\varepsilon, \delta \geq 0$ a unique solution $\nu$ with both $\nu \geq 0$ and $2-\nu \geq 0$, and also the unique conditionally invariant density $f(x ; \varepsilon, \delta)$ described in Lemma 4.2. This solution linearises to

$$
f_{c}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta) \approx \begin{cases}1+\varepsilon / 12-\delta / 12, & \text { if } x \in(0,1 / 2) \\ 1-\varepsilon / 12+\delta / 12, & \text { if } x \in(1 / 2,1)\end{cases}
$$

for small $\varepsilon, \delta$. In particular $f_{c}(x ; \varepsilon, \delta) \rightarrow 1$ as $\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0$.

### 4.4 Convergence to the conditionally invariant density

In this subsection we make a first step towards proving Theorem 4.1. We show for some initial densities $k$ that $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(k)$ does not only converge to the corresponding conditionally invariant density $f_{c}$, but that there is an upper bound on the convergence speed which works for all $\varepsilon>0$ and $\delta>0$.

Pianigiani and Yorke extensively studied existence of and convergence to conditionally invariant densities for expanding maps in [45]. While their approach does not give us the desired bound on convergence speed, one of their results, the lemma stated below, will be very useful in our proof.

Lemma 4.3 (Pianigiani-Yorke). Let $\mathcal{P}_{F}$ be the Frobenius-Perron operator corresponding to a map $F$ on $[0,1]$. Suppose $f, g$ are Lebesgue integrable over $[0,1]$ with $\int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{0}^{1} g(x) \mathrm{d} x=1$, $\inf _{[0,1]} f(x)>0, \sup _{n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{F}^{n}(g)\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$ and $\sup _{n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{F}^{n}(1)\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$. Then there exists some $L$ such that for $n \geq 1$

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{F}^{n}(f)-\mathcal{P}_{F}^{n}(g)\right\|_{\infty} \leq L\|f-g\|_{\infty}
$$

is satisfied. More precisely, we may take

$$
L=\frac{1}{\inf _{[0,1]} f}\left(\sup _{n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{F}^{n}(1)\right\|_{\infty}+\sup _{n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{F}^{n}(g)\right\|_{\infty}\right)
$$

Proof. We can apply [45, Proposition 1]. The original statement requires that $f, g \in K$ where $K=\left\{f \in C([0,1]): \sup _{[0,1]} f(x)<\infty, \inf _{0}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} x>0, \int_{0}^{1} f(x) \mathrm{d} x=1\right\}$, but the proof also works for the assumptions of Lemma 4.3 Note that in this case, we define the infinum by $\inf _{[0,1]} f=$ $\inf \{s \in \mathbb{R}: \lambda(\{x \in[0,1]: f(x)<s\})=0\}$.
We now have all the necessary tools to prove Theorem4.1. The key idea is to approximate densities by piecewise constant densities.

Lemma 4.4. Let $\mu>0$. Then there exists $\omega>0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any piecewise constant density $k:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,2]$ with

$$
k(t)= \begin{cases}x, & \text { if } t \in(0,1 / 2)  \tag{4.5}\\ 2-x, & \text { if } t \in(1 / 2,1)\end{cases}
$$

whenever $n \geq N, x \in[0,2]$ and $0<\varepsilon, \delta<\omega$, then $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(k)-f_{c}(\cdot ; \varepsilon, \delta)\right\|_{\infty}<\mu$, where the map $f_{c}(\cdot ; \varepsilon, \delta):[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the conditionally invariant density.

Proof. By 45, Theorem 3], densities $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(k)$ converge to invariant density $f_{c}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Lemma 4.4 will now show that this convergence is uniform over all choices of $k$. So let $k$ be an arbitrary function satisfying the conditions of the Lemma. Density $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(k)$ is constant for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ on both $(0,1 / 2)$ and $(1 / 2,1)$. First we bound ratio

$$
r(x, \varepsilon, \delta)=\frac{k-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}(k)}{\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}(k)-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{2}(k)}
$$

where $x$ is the value of $k$ appearing in equation (4.5). Note that on $(0,1 / 2)$

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}(k)=\frac{1}{c_{1}(x)}\left(\frac{x}{4+\varepsilon}+\frac{2-x}{2+\delta}+\frac{2-x}{4+\delta}\right)=\frac{a_{1}(x)}{c_{1}(x)}
$$

where $c_{1}(x)$ is the normalisation constant $C$ from formula (4.4). Moreover, we obtain $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}(k)=$ $2-a_{1}(x) / c_{1}(x)$ on $(1 / 2,1)$ from normalisation. Further, on $(0,1 / 2)$,

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{2}(k)=\frac{1}{c_{2}(x)}\left(\frac{a_{1}(x) / c_{1}(x)}{4+\varepsilon}+\frac{2-a_{1}(x) / c_{1}(x)}{2+\delta}+\frac{2-a_{1}(x) / c_{1}(x)}{4+\delta}\right)=\frac{a_{2}(x)}{c_{1}(x) c_{2}(x)}
$$

where $c_{2}(x)$ is again the normalisation constant $C$ from formula (4.4) and $a_{2}(x)$ is a linear polynomial equal to $c_{1}(x) c_{2}(x) \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{2}(k)$. Note that for fixed parameters $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$ denominators $c_{1}(x)$ and $c_{1}(x) c_{2}(x)$ can also be written as linear polynomials of $x$ and are non-zero. With this notation $r$ can be expressed as

$$
r(x, \varepsilon, \delta)=\frac{c_{1}^{2}(x) c_{2}(x) x-c_{1}(x) c_{2}(x) a_{1}(x)}{c_{1}(x) c_{2}(x) a_{1}(x)-c_{1}(x) a_{2}(x)}
$$

a quotient of two polynomials. As a quotient of a cubic and quadratic polynomial, an explicit calculation shows that denominator and enumerator have the same positive root and that this root has multiplicity 1 and is equal to the value of the conditionally invariant density $\nu$ from Lemma 4.2, So $r$ can be extended to a continuous function in $x, \varepsilon$ and $\delta$ for $x \geq 0, \varepsilon \geq 0, \delta \geq 0$. For $\varepsilon=\delta=0$ a
calculation gives $r(x, 0,0)=-2$. By continuity we can choose some $\omega>0$ such that $\varepsilon, \delta<\omega$ and $x \in[0,2]$ implies $|r(x, \varepsilon, \delta)|>3 / 2$. By repeatedly applying this result,

$$
\left|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(k)(y)-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n+1}(k)(y)\right|<(2 / 3)^{n}\left|k(y)-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}(k)(y)\right| \leq 2(2 / 3)^{n}, \text { for } y \in(0,1 / 2) \cup(1 / 2,1)
$$

But as each $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(k)$ is constant on $(0,1 / 2)$ and equal to $2-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(k)(1 / 4)$ on $(1 / 2-1)$, it follows that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(k)-f_{c}(\cdot ; \varepsilon, \delta)\right\|_{\infty} \leq 6(2 / 3)^{n}
$$

for each $n$.
Densities with three constant pieces are more convenient for approximating a density conditional on a jump having just occurred, something we will look at in the later parts of the proof of Theorem 4.1. So we now focus our attention on such densities.

Definition 4.2. For $S \geq 0$, we define set $K_{S}$ of piecewise constant densities $k:[0,1] \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with $\int_{0}^{1} k(t) \mathrm{d} t=1$, which can be written in one of the following two forms

$$
k(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
k_{1} & \text { if } t \in(0, b) ;  \tag{4.6}\\
k_{2} & \text { if } t \in(b, 1 / 2) ; \\
k_{3} & \text { if } t \in(1 / 2,1),
\end{array} \quad \text { where } \quad\left|k_{1}-k_{2}\right| \leq S \quad \text { for } b \in(0,1 / 2),\right.
$$

or

$$
k(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
k_{1} & \text { if } t \in(0,1 / 2) ;  \tag{4.7}\\
k_{2} & \text { if } t \in(1 / 2, b) ; \\
k_{3} & \text { if } t \in(b, 1),
\end{array} \quad \text { where } \quad\left|k_{2}-k_{3}\right| \leq S \quad \text { for } b \in(1 / 2,1)\right.
$$

where $k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}$ are non-negative constants. We define further map $\Psi: K_{S} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ with

$$
\Psi(k)= \begin{cases}\left|k_{1}-k_{2}\right| & \text { for } b \in(0,1 / 2) ;  \tag{4.8}\\ \left|k_{2}-k_{3}\right| & \text { for } b \in(1 / 2,1),\end{cases}
$$

where $b$ is as defined in equations (4.6)-4.7).
Lemma 4.5. Let $S>0$. Then there exist $\omega>0$ and $B \in[0,1)$ such that for $0<\varepsilon, \delta<\omega$ and any $k \in K_{S}$ we have $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}(k)=k^{\prime} \in K_{S}$ with $\Psi\left(k^{\prime}\right) \leq B \Psi(k)$.

Proof. Let $k \in K_{S}$. Let $b$ be as defined in equations 4.6) 4.7). First, assume $b(4+\varepsilon)<1 / 2$. A direct calculation leads to

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}(k)(t)= \begin{cases}k_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{C}\left(\frac{k_{1}}{4+\varepsilon}+\frac{k_{3}}{2+\delta}+\frac{k_{3}}{4+\delta}\right), & \text { if } t \in(0, b(4+\varepsilon)) \\ k_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{C}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{4+\varepsilon}+\frac{k_{3}}{2+\delta}+\frac{k_{3}}{4+\delta}\right), & \text { if } t \in(b(4+\varepsilon), 1 / 2) \\ k_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{C}\left(\frac{k_{2}}{4+\varepsilon}+\frac{k_{2}}{2+\varepsilon}+\frac{k_{3}}{4+\delta}\right), & \text { if } t \in(1 / 2,1)\end{cases}
$$

where $C$ is the normalisation constant. The difference between the values of $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}(k)$ on $(0,1 / 2)$ is given by

$$
\left|k_{1}^{\prime}-k_{2}^{\prime}\right|=\frac{1}{C} \frac{\left|k_{1}-k_{2}\right|}{4+\varepsilon} .
$$

Proceeding in the same way for all other possible choices of $b$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi\left(k^{\prime}\right) \leq \Psi(k) /(2 C) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we want to bound $C$ below. Say $b>1 / 2$. If $k_{2}>m$, then $k_{3}>m-S$ and as the density is non-negative, $\int_{0}^{1} k(t) \mathrm{d} t>(m-S) / 2$. But as $\int_{0}^{1} k(t) \mathrm{d} t=1$ we get a contradiction for $m \geq 2+S$. Similarly if instead $k_{3}>m$. We also need $k_{1} \leq 2$. For $k$ constant on $(1 / 2,1)$ we proceed in the same way. So all functions in $K_{S}$ are bounded above by $2+S$. Let $\ell(\varepsilon)+\ell(\delta)$ be the Lebesgue measure of the subset of $[0,1]$ which is mapped outside the unit interval by $F(t ; \varepsilon, \delta)$, as in equation (4.2). Then $\ell(\varepsilon)+\ell(\delta) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0$. For sufficiently small parameters, say $0<\varepsilon, \delta<\omega$, we will have normalisation constant $C \geq 1-(\ell(\varepsilon)+\ell(\delta))(2+S) \geq 2 / 3$. Then substituting into inequality (4.9), we obtain inequality (4.9).

Next we note how Lemma 4.3 can be applied to densities of this piecewise constant form.
Corollary 4.2. Let $S \geq 0$ and $s>0$. Let $K_{S}$ be as described in Lemma 4.5. There exists $L>0$ and $\omega>0$ such that for any $0<\varepsilon, \delta<\omega, g \in K_{S}$, density $f$ with $\inf _{[0,1]} f>s$ and $n \geq 1$

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(f)-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(g)\right\|_{\infty} \leq L\|f-g\|_{\infty} .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.5 there exists $\omega>0$ such that $0<\varepsilon, \delta<\omega$ and $g \in K_{S}$ imply $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(g) \in K_{S}$ for each $n \geq 1$. Using the last paragraph of the proof of Lemma 4.5, then $\sup _{n}\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(g)\right\|_{\infty}^{\varepsilon, \delta} \leq 2+S$. Also, since $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}(1)$ is constant on both $(0,1 / 2)$ and $(1 / 2,1)$, we have $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(1)\right\|_{\infty} \leq 2$. By applying Lemma 4.3. we find that for $0<\varepsilon, \delta<\omega$, density $f$ with $\inf _{[0,1]} f>s$ satisfies

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(f)-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(g)\right\|_{\infty} \leq L\|f-g\|_{\infty}
$$

where $L=(4+S) / s$.
Lemma 4.6. Let $\mu>0$ and $S>0$. There exist $\omega>0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq N$, $0<\varepsilon, \delta<\omega$ and $k \in K_{S}$

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(k)-f_{c_{\varepsilon, \delta}}\right\|_{\infty}<\mu
$$

Proof. First, use Lemma 4.5 to observe that for any $S_{1} \in(0, S)$ there exist $\omega_{1}>0$ and $N_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $k \in K_{S}$ and $0<\varepsilon, \delta<\omega_{1}$ we have $\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{N_{1}}(k) \in K_{S_{1}}$. But $S_{1}$ can be chosen small enough that there is some $s>0$ such that for any $k \in K_{S}$ and $N_{2} \geq N_{1}+1$ we have $\inf _{[0,1]} \mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{N_{2}}(k)>s$. Apply Corollary 4.2 with $f=\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{N_{2}}(k)$ to find some $L>0$ and $\omega_{2}>0$ such that when $0<\varepsilon, \delta<\omega_{2}$, $N_{2} \geq N_{1}+1$ and $g \in K_{S}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{N_{2}+n}(k)-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(g)\right\|_{\infty} \leq L\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{N_{2}}(k)-g\right\|_{\infty} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also by Lemma 4.5we can choose $N_{2}$ such that for any $k \in K_{S}$ and $0<\varepsilon, \delta<\min \left\{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right\}$, there exists a piecewise constant density $g \in K_{S}$ such that $g$ is constant on $(0,1 / 2), g$ is constant on $(1 / 2,1)$ and $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{N_{2}}(k)-g\right\|_{\infty}<\mu /(2 L)$. Then by equation (4.10), we get

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{N_{2}+n}(k)-\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(g)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\mu}{2}
$$

for $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 4.4 we also find $N_{3} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega_{3}>0$ such that for $0<\varepsilon, \delta<\omega_{3}$ and $n \geq N_{3}$

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon, \delta}^{n}(g)-f_{c_{\varepsilon, \delta}}\right\|<\frac{\mu}{2}
$$

Now take $N=N_{2}+N_{3}$ and $\omega=\min \left\{\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{3}\right\}$ to complete the proof.

### 4.5 Proof of Theorem 4.1

Recall from Corollary 4.1 that invariant density $f_{i, m}(x)$ converges uniformly to 1 on $[d, 1-d]$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for any $d>0$. In our proof we need this convergence to be extended to all of $[0,1]$. Therefore we first prove an alternate version of Theorem 4.1 in which we do not start with the invariant density $f_{i}$, but a related density $f_{i}^{\prime}$ and process $Y_{m}^{\prime}$, defined below.

Definition 4.3. Fix some $0<d<1 / 2$ and define

$$
f_{i}^{\prime}(x ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m)= \begin{cases}f_{i}(x ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m), & \text { if } x \in[d, 1-d] ;  \tag{4.11}\\ k_{m}, & \text { elsewhere },\end{cases}
$$

where $k_{m}$ is chosen so that $f_{i}^{\prime}(x, \varepsilon / m, \delta / m)$ integrates to 1 over $[0,1]$. Define

$$
Y_{m}^{\prime}(t)=\left\lfloor F^{\lfloor m t\rfloor}\left(X_{m}^{\prime} ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m\right)\right\rfloor
$$

where $X_{m}^{\prime}$ is distributed according to $f_{i}^{\prime}(x ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m)$. Let $T_{m, 1}^{\prime}, T_{m, 2}^{\prime}, \ldots$ denote the jump times of $Y_{m}^{\prime}(t)$, that is,

$$
T_{m, j}^{\prime}=\min \left\{t \in\left[T_{m, j-1}^{\prime}, \infty\right): Y_{m}^{\prime}(t) \neq Y_{m}^{\prime}\left(T_{m, j-1}^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

where $T_{m, 0}^{\prime}=0$.

We now describe the behaviour of the first jump $T_{m, 1}^{\prime}$ for process $Y_{m}^{\prime}(t)$. To simplify our notation, we will subsequently write

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{c, m}(x) & =f_{c}(x ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m), & & F_{m}(x)=F(x ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m) \\
f_{i, m}(x) & =f_{i}(x ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m), & & \mathcal{P}_{m}=\mathcal{P}_{\varepsilon / m, \delta / m} \\
f_{i, m}^{\prime}(x) & =f_{i}^{\prime}(x ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m) & &
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.7. Let $\delta, \varepsilon>0$. Let $0<1 / 2<d$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $Y_{m}^{\prime}(t)$ and $T_{m, 1}^{\prime}$ be as described in Definition 4.11. Then for $\tau>0$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(T_{m, 1}^{\prime} \leq \tau\right) \rightarrow 1-\exp (-\gamma \tau), \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $\gamma$ is given by equation (4.3).
Proof. Apply Corollary 4.2 with $s=1 / 2, S=0$ to find $L>0$ such that for large enough $m$, say $m \geq M_{1}$, and densities $f$ with $\inf _{[0,1]} f>1 / 2$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}(f)-\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}(1)\right\|_{\infty} \leq L\|f-1\|_{\infty} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $\mu>0$ small enough so that $\mu(1+2 d)<d$. Then for large enough $m$, say $m \geq M_{2} \geq M_{1}$, we have $\left|f_{i, m}(x)-1\right|<\mu$ on $[d, 1-d]$ and by considering bounds on $k_{m}$, the value of $f_{i, m}^{\prime}$ on $(0, d) \cup(1-d, 1)$, we get $\left\|f_{i, m}^{\prime}-1\right\|_{\infty} \leq \mu(1+1 /(2 d))$. Since $\mu\left(1+1 /(2 d)<1 / 2\right.$, we have $f_{i, m}^{\prime}$ bounded below by $1 / 2$. Then using equation (4.12) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(f_{i, m}^{\prime}\right)-\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}(1)\right\|_{\infty} \leq L \mu\left(1+\frac{1}{2 d}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq M_{2}$. By Lemma 4.4 there also exists some $M_{3} \geq M_{2}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that when $m \geq M_{3}$ and $n \geq N$ we have $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}(1)-f_{c, m}\right\|_{\infty}<\mu$. Write $B(d)=1+L(1+1 /(2 d))$ and observe, using equation (4.13), that whenever $m \geq M_{3}$ and $n \geq N$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(f_{i, m}^{\prime}\right)-f_{c, m}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \mu B(d) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tau>0$. Then pick $m>\max \left\{M_{3}, N / \tau\right\}$ and consider the probability that no jump occurs until time $\tau$ for $Y_{m}^{\prime}(t)$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(T_{m, 1}^{\prime}>\tau\right)=\prod_{n=1}^{\lfloor m \tau\rfloor} \mathbb{P}\left(F_{m}^{n}\left(X_{m}^{\prime}\right) \in[0,1) \mid F_{m}^{1}\left(X_{m}^{\prime}\right), \ldots, F_{m}^{n-1}\left(X_{m}^{\prime}\right) \in[0,1)\right)
$$

Write $A_{m}$ for the subset of $[0,1]$ mapped outside the unit interval by $F_{m}$. As in equation (4.2), denote by $\ell(\varepsilon / m)+\ell(\delta / m)$ the length of $A_{m}$. Write $f_{c, m}=\nu_{m}$ on $(0,1 / 2)$ and $f_{c, m}=2-\nu_{m}$ on $(1 / 2,2)$. Using equation (4.14), we get the following upper estimate

$$
\begin{gathered}
\prod_{n=N+1}^{\lfloor m \tau\rfloor} \mathbb{P}\left(F_{m}^{n}\left(X_{m}^{\prime}\right) \in[0,1) \mid F_{m}^{1}\left(X_{m}^{\prime}\right), \ldots, F_{m}^{n-1}\left(X_{m}^{\prime}\right) \in[0,1)\right)=\prod_{n=N+1}^{\lfloor m \tau\rfloor} \int_{[0,1] \backslash A_{m}} \mathcal{P}_{m}^{n-1}\left(f_{i, m}^{\prime}\right)(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
\leq\left(1-\left(\nu_{m}-\mu B(d)\right) \ell\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{m}\right)-\left(2-\nu_{m}-\mu B(d)\right) \ell\left(\frac{\delta}{m}\right)\right)^{\lfloor m \tau\rfloor-N}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $m \ell(\varepsilon / m)+m \ell(\delta / m) \rightarrow \gamma$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, where $\gamma$ is given by equation (4.3), and $\nu_{m} \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 4.2 the upper bound converges to $\exp [-\gamma(1-\mu B(d)) \tau]$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. By a similar argument we have a lower bound converging to $\exp [-\gamma(1+\mu B(d)) \tau]$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $A_{m}^{N}$ be the subset of $[0,1]$, which is mapped outside $[0,1]$ within at most $N$ applications of $F_{m}$. The Lebesgue measure of $A_{m}^{N}$ goes to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. From equation (4.1) we notice that $\sup _{m}\left\|f_{i, m}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$ and also $\sup _{m}\left\|f_{i, m}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$. So by integrating over $A_{m}^{N}$, we obtain

$$
\prod_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{P}\left(F_{m}^{n}\left(X_{m}^{\prime}\right) \in[0,1) \mid F_{m}^{1}\left(X_{m}^{\prime}\right), \ldots, F_{m}^{n-1}\left(X_{m}^{\prime}\right) \in[0,1)\right)=1-\int_{A_{m}^{N}} f_{i, m}^{\prime}(x) \mathrm{d} x \rightarrow 1
$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. So $\mathbb{P}\left(T_{m, 1}^{\prime}>\tau\right)$ is bounded above by a product converging to $\exp [-\gamma(1-\mu B(d)) \tau]$ and below by a product converging to $\exp [-\gamma(1+\mu B(d)) \tau]$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. But $\mu>0$ was arbitrary, so $\mathbb{P}\left(T_{m, 1}^{\prime} \leq \tau\right) \rightarrow 1-\exp (-\gamma \tau)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we will prove an alternate version of Theorem 4.1 for $Y_{m}^{\prime}(t)$. Lemma 4.7 established such a statement already for the first jump. The key component in the general proof will be the following lemma, which helps in describing how the densities develop after a jump, conditional on no further jump occurring, provided we start off close to the conditionally invariant density $f_{c, m}$.
Lemma 4.8. Let

$$
A_{m}^{1}=\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\varepsilon / m}{4(4+\varepsilon / m)}, \frac{1}{4}\right)
$$

denote the subset of $(0,1 / 4)$ mapped outside of $[0,1]$ by $F_{m}$. Take $0<\mu<1 / 4$ and let $g_{m}$ be a density with $\left\|g_{m}-f_{c, m}\right\|_{\infty}<\mu$. Let $V_{m}$ be distributed according to that density and $g^{\star}$ denote the density corresponding to the distribution of $F_{r}\left(V_{m} ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m\right)$, conditional on $V_{m} \in A_{m}^{1}$. Then there exists $B>0, M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m \geq M$ and $n \geq N+\lceil\log (m / \varepsilon) / \log (4+\varepsilon / m)\rceil$ and for any sequence of $g_{m}$ satisfying above properties we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)-f_{c, m}\right\|_{\infty}<\mu B \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $V_{m} \in A_{m}^{1}$, we have $F_{r}\left(V_{m} ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m\right) \in[0, \varepsilon /(4 m)]$. On $(\varepsilon /(4 m), 1)$ we then have $g_{m}^{\star}=0$, while we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{m}^{\star}(x)=\frac{1}{c_{0}}\left(g_{m}\left(\frac{1+x}{4+\varepsilon / m}\right)\right), \quad \text { for } x \in\left(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{4 m}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{0}$ is a constant so that $g_{m}^{\star}$ integrates to 1 over $[0,1]$. Let $u_{m}$ denote the smallest integer such that $F_{r}^{u_{m}+1}(1 / 4 ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m)=(4+\varepsilon / m)^{u_{m}} \varepsilon /(4 m) \geq 1 / 4$. Then $u_{m}=\lceil\log (m / \varepsilon) / \log (4+\varepsilon / m)\rceil$. From equation (4.4) we deduce that for $n \leq u_{m}$, density $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)$ is obtained from $g_{m}^{\star}$ via a scaling of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)(x)=\frac{1}{c_{n}} g_{m}^{\star}\left(\frac{x}{(4+\varepsilon / m)^{n}}\right)=\frac{1}{c_{0} c_{n}}\left(g_{m}\left(\frac{1+x /(4+\varepsilon / m)^{n}}{4+\varepsilon / m}\right)\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{n}$ is a constant dependent on $m$, such that $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)(x)$ integrates to 1 over $[0,1]$. By Lemma 4.2 there exists $M_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m \geq M_{1}$ implies $1 / 2<f_{c, m}<3 / 2$. Since $\mu<1 / 4$, we obtain a bound $g_{m}(y) \geq 1 / 4$ and estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)(x) \geq \frac{1}{4 c_{0} c_{u_{m}}} \quad \text { for } x \in I_{u_{m}}=\left(0, \frac{\varepsilon}{4 m}\left(4+\frac{\varepsilon}{m}\right)^{u_{m}}\right) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $(4+\varepsilon / m)^{u_{m}} \varepsilon /(4 m) \geq 1 / 4$ and equation (4.18), we get $c_{0} c_{u_{m}} \geq 1 / 16$, since $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)$ must integrate to 1 over $[0,1]$. But recall that $\left\|g_{m}-f_{c, m}\right\|_{\infty}<\mu$ and $f_{c, m}$ constant on $(0,1 / 2)$. Combining this with equation (4.17) gives us that the values of $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)$ on $I_{u_{m}}$ are contained in a subinterval of $(0, \infty)$ of length $32 \mu$. But applying equation (4.4) again, we see that for $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}+1}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)$ the unit interval can be split into three subintervals $\left(0, b_{1}\right),\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$ and $\left(b_{2}, 1\right)$, where $b_{1}=1 / 2$ or $b_{2}=1 / 2$, on each of which the values of $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}+1}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)$ are contained in an subinterval of $(0, \infty)$ of length $32 \mu / C$. Here $C$ is the normalisation constant from equation (4.4). From $f_{c, m}<3 / 2$ and $\mu<1 / 4$ we get $g_{m}(y)<2$ and $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)(x) \leq 2 /\left(c_{0} c_{u_{m}}\right) \leq 32$, since we deduced earlier from equation (4.18) that $c_{0} c_{u_{m}} \geq 1 / 16$. For $m$ large enough, say $m \geq M_{2}>M_{1}$, we will have $\ell(\varepsilon / m)+\ell(\delta / m)<1 / 64$, where $\ell$ is defined as in equation (4.2). Considering the integral of $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)$ over the subset of $[0,1]$ mapped outside the unit interval by $F_{m}$, we obtain a lower bound of $1-32 / 64=1 / 2$ on $C$. So the values of $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}+1}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)$ on each of $\left(0, b_{1}\right),\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right),\left(b_{2}, 1\right)$ are contained in intervals of length $64 \mu$. Using (4.4), calculations show that we can find a bound, independent of choice of $g_{m}$ with $\left\|g_{m}-f_{c, m}\right\|_{\infty}<1 / 4$, on the range of values of $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}+1}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)$ over all of $(0,1 / 2)$ and $(1 / 2,1)$ respectively. Call this bound $S$. We now may choose a piecewise constant map $k_{m} \in K_{S}$, as defined in Definition 4.2, so that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)-k\right\|_{\infty}<32 \mu .
$$

Recalling that $f_{c, m}>1 / 2$, we get $g_{m}>1 / 4$, and equation (4.17) gives us lower bound of $1 / 4$ on $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)$ and by equation (4.4) a lower bound on $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}+1}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)$, which could be taken for example
as $1 / 20$. We then apply Corollary 4.2 with $f=\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}+1}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right), g=k_{m}, s=1 / 20$ and to find $L$ such that for $n \geq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}+n}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)-\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(k_{m}\right)\right\|<32 \mu L, \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

regardless of choice of $g_{m}$. By Lemma 4.6 there exists $N$ such that for all $m \geq M_{2}$ and for $n \geq N$ we have $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(k_{m}\right)-f_{c, m}\right\|_{\infty}<\mu$. Take $B=32 L+1$ and $M=M_{2}$ to obtain equation (4.15).
Lemma 4.9. Let $A_{m}$ denote the subset of $[0,1]$ mapped outside of $[0,1]$ by $F_{m}$. Take $0<\mu<1 / 4$ and let $g_{m}$ be a density with $\left\|g_{m}-f_{c, m}\right\|_{\infty}<\mu$. Let $V_{m}$ be distributed according to that density and $g^{\star}$ denote the density corresponding to the distribution of $F_{r}\left(V_{m} ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m\right)$, conditional on $V_{m} \in A_{m}$. Then there exists $B>0, M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m \geq M$ and $n \geq$ $N+\lceil\log (m / \varepsilon) / \log (4+\varepsilon / m)\rceil$ and for any sequence of $g_{m}$ satisfying above properties we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(g_{m}^{\star}\right)-f_{c, m}\right\|_{\infty}<\mu B . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We partition on the four events $V_{m} \in(0,1 / 4), V_{m} \in(1 / 4,1 / 2), V_{m} \in(1 / 2,3 / 4)$ and $V_{m} \in(3 / 4,1)$, then apply the same arguments as for $V_{m} \in(0,1 / 4)$ in Lemma 4.8

Lemma 4.10. Let $\delta, \varepsilon>0$. Let $0<1 / 2<d$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let $Y_{m}^{\prime}(t)$ and $T_{m, j}^{\prime}, j=1,2, \ldots$, be as described in Definition 4.3. Then for any $k \geq 1$ and $0<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k}$ we have

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left.T_{m, k+1}^{\prime} \leq \frac{\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor}{m}+\tau \right\rvert\, T_{m, k}^{\prime}=\frac{\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor}{m}, \ldots, T_{m, 1}^{\prime}=\frac{\left\lfloor m t_{1}\right\rfloor}{m}\right) \rightarrow 1-\exp (-\gamma \tau)
$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, where $\gamma$ is given in equation (4.3).
Proof. We first describe how the density corresponding to $F_{r}^{\lfloor m t\rfloor}\left(X_{m}^{\prime} ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m\right)$ develops, conditional on $T_{m, k}^{\prime}=\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor / m, \ldots, T_{m, 1}^{\prime}=\left\lfloor m t_{1}\right\rfloor / m$. Let $\mu>0$. Using equation (4.14), there exist $N_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $n \geq N_{1}$ and $m \geq M_{1}$ implies $\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(f_{i, m}^{\prime}\right)-f_{c, m}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \mu B(d)$. For large enough $m$ we will have $\left\lfloor m t_{1}\right\rfloor>N_{1}$. Choosing $\mu$ small enough, we have that $\mu B(d)<1 / 4$ and so can apply Lemma 4.9 with $g_{m}=\mathcal{P}_{m}^{\left\lfloor m t_{1}\right\rfloor-1}\left(f_{i, m}^{\prime}\right)$. Write $g_{m}^{(1)}=g_{m}^{\star}$ for the density after the jump at time $\left\lfloor m t_{1}\right\rfloor / m$. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B>0$ such that for large enough $m$ and $n \geq N+\lceil\log (m / \varepsilon) / \log (4+\varepsilon / m)\rceil=N+S(m)$

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(g_{m}^{(1)}\right)-f_{c, m}\right\|_{\infty}<\mu B(d) B .
$$

Between time $\left\lfloor t_{j} m\right\rfloor / m$ and $\left\lfloor t_{j+1} m\right\rfloor / m$, the density of $F_{r}^{\lfloor m t\rfloor}\left(X_{m}^{\prime} ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m\right)$ develops as given by applying operator $\mathcal{P}_{m}$. Provided that $\mu<B^{-k} / 4$ and $m$ is large enough that $N+S(m)<\left\lfloor m t_{j+1}\right\rfloor-$ $\left\lfloor m t_{j}\right\rfloor$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, k$, we can iteratively apply Lemma 4.9 with $g_{m}=\mathcal{P}_{m}^{\left\lfloor m t_{j+1}\right\rfloor-\left\lfloor m t_{j}\right\rfloor-1}\left(g_{m}^{(j)}\right)$, where $g_{m}^{(j)}$ is the density after the $j$-th jump has occurred, at time $\left\lfloor m t_{j}\right\rfloor / m$. Then for large enough $m$ and $n \geq N+S(m)$ we in particular find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(g_{m}^{(k)}\right)-f_{c, m}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \mu B(d) B^{k} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(g_{m}^{(k)}\right)$ describes the densities of $F_{r}^{\lfloor m t\rfloor}\left(X_{m}^{\prime} ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m\right)$ conditional on $T_{m, k}^{\prime}=\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor / m$, $\ldots, T_{m, 1}^{\prime}=\left\lfloor m t_{1}\right\rfloor / m$ and no further jump occurring. Choose $\tau>0$. Then

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(T_{m}^{k}>t_{k}+\tau \left\lvert\, T_{m}^{k}=\frac{\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor}{m}\right., \ldots, T_{m}^{1}=\frac{\left\lfloor m t_{1}\right\rfloor}{m}\right)=\prod_{n=1}^{\lfloor m \tau\rfloor} \int_{[0,1] \backslash A_{m}^{m}} \mathcal{P}_{m}^{n-1}\left(g_{m}^{(k)}\right)(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

where $A_{m}$ is defined as in Lemma 4.9. Since equation (4.21) holds, we can use the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 4.7 to find lower and upper bounds on

$$
\prod_{n=S(m)+1}^{\lfloor m \tau\rfloor} \int_{[0,1] \backslash A_{m}} \mathcal{P}_{m}^{n-1}\left(g_{m}^{(k)}\right)(x) \mathrm{d} x
$$

converging to $\exp [-\gamma(1+\mu B(d) B) \tau]$ and $\exp [-\gamma(1-\mu B(d) B) \tau]$, respectively, as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Using equation (4.19) from Lemma 4.8, there are $l_{m} \in K_{S}$ and $L>0$ such that

$$
\left\|\mathcal{P}_{m}^{u_{m}+n}\left(g_{m}^{(k)}\right)-\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(l_{m}\right)\right\|_{\infty}<32 \mu B(d) B^{k} L
$$

for $n \geq 1$, implying that $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(g_{m}^{(k)}\right)$ stays close to a piecewise constant function in $K_{S}$ as soon as jumps are possible. This tells us that an upper bound $b$ on the densities $\mathcal{P}_{m}^{n}\left(g_{m}^{(k)}\right)$ can be found, valid for all $n \geq u_{m}$ and all $m$ large enough. But then

$$
(1-b(\ell(\varepsilon / m)+\ell(\delta / m)))^{S(m)} \leq \prod_{n=1}^{S(m)} \int_{[0,1] \backslash A_{m}} \mathcal{P}_{m}^{n-1}\left(g_{m}^{(k)}\right)(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq 1
$$

The expression on the left converges to 1 as $m \rightarrow \infty$ since $S(m)$ grows like log. But combining this with our earlier bounds with limits $\exp [-\gamma(1 \pm \mu B(d) B) \tau]$ and letting $\mu \rightarrow 0$, we find that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left.T_{m, k+1}^{\prime} \leq \frac{\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor}{m}+\tau \right\rvert\, T_{m, k}^{\prime}=\frac{\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor}{m}, \ldots, T_{m, 1}^{\prime}=\frac{\left\lfloor m t_{1}\right\rfloor}{m}\right) \rightarrow 1-\exp (-\gamma \tau) \quad \text { as } m \rightarrow \infty
$$

Theorem4.1 is now simply a corollary of Lemma4.10, Let $Y_{m}(t)$ and $Y_{m}^{\prime}(t)$ be as defined in Theorem4.1 and Definition4.3 respectively, recalling that $Y_{m}^{\prime}$ depends on a choice of $0<d<1 / 2$. Write $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ for events $\left(T_{m, k}=\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor / m, \ldots, T_{m, 1}=\left\lfloor m t_{1}\right\rfloor / m\right)$ and $\left(T_{m, k}^{\prime}=\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor / m, \ldots, T_{m, 1}^{\prime}=\right.$ $\left.\left\lfloor m t_{1}\right\rfloor / m\right)$, respectively. By definition of initial distributions of $Y_{m}$ and $Y_{m}^{\prime}, X_{m}$ and $X_{m}^{\prime}$, with underlying densities $f_{i, m}$ and $f_{i, m}^{\prime}$, we have that

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left.T_{m, k+1} \leq \frac{\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor}{m}+\tau \right\rvert\, E, X_{m} \in[d, 1-d]\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\left.T_{m, k+1}^{\prime} \leq \frac{\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor}{m}+\tau \right\rvert\, E^{\prime}, X_{m}^{\prime} \in[d, 1-d]\right) .
$$

We have already noted in the proof of Lemma4.7that $\sup _{m}\left\|f_{i, m}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$ and $\sup _{m}\left\|f_{i, m}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}<\infty$. Conditioning on the events $X_{m}, X_{m}^{\prime} \in[d, 1-d]$ and $X_{m}, X_{m}^{\prime} \notin[d, 1-d]$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\mathbb{P}\left(\left.T_{m, k+1} \leq \frac{\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor}{m}+\tau \right\rvert\, E\right)-\mathbb{P}\left(\left.T_{m, k+1}^{\prime} \leq \frac{\left\lfloor m t_{k}\right\rfloor}{m}+\tau \right\rvert\, E^{\prime}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \mathbb{P}\left(X_{m} \notin[d, 1-d]\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(X_{m}^{\prime} \notin[d, 1-d]\right) \leq 2 d\left(\sup _{m}\left\|f_{i, m}\right\|_{\infty}+\sup _{m}\left\|f_{i, m}^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0<d<1 / 2$ was chosen arbitrarily, we can then apply Lemma4.10 and let $d \rightarrow 0$ to conclude the proof.

## 5 Discussion

We have seen in Section 3 that the behaviour of the trajectories of a shift-periodic map $F$ which satisfies the integer spike condition of Definition 3.2 can be described in terms of a discrete-time random walks for suitable initial distributions. Unlike other works in the literature, such as [27, 28], our proofs are also valid for maps with singularities. As a result, the random variables obtained by taking integer parts can have infinite higher order moments, and we observe that a variety of interesting stochastic processes can arise in scaling limits.

The varied behaviour of the trajectories of the maps discussed in this paper, however, also demonstrates that it is difficult, if not impossible, to make statements about the behaviour of trajectories which apply to all shift-periodic maps. Some of the possible issues (such as complicated expressions for invariant densities) can be seen in the proofs of Section 4 However, the ideas and proof strategies of Section 4 can be extended to other parameter-dependent families of shift-periodic maps with small holes. For instance, if $F(x ; \varepsilon / m, \delta / m)$ is replaced in Theorem4.1 by a sequence of shift-periodic maps $F_{m}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$ such that the conditionally invariant density of $F_{m}$ on interval $[0,1]$ converges uniformly to 1 , as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and they satisfy $\lambda\left\{x \in[0,1]: F_{m}(x) \notin[0,1]\right\} \rightarrow 0$ and $m \lambda\left\{x \in[0,1]: F_{m}(x) \notin[0,1]\right\} \rightarrow \gamma$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we again obtain behaviour like that of a continuous-time random walk in a limit, with waiting times distributed according to an exponential distribution with mean $1 / \gamma$.
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