

# Stochastic Quantization of Axial Vector Gauge Theories with Fermions

A. K. Kapoor\*

Chennai Mathematical Institute  
H1, SIPCOT IT Park, Siruseri,  
Kelambakkam, Tamil Nadu 603103, INDIA

April 7, 2021

## Abstract

The stochastic quantization scheme proposed by Parisi and Wu in 1981 is known to have differences from conventional quantum field theory in higher orders. It has been suggested that some of these new features might give rise to a mechanism to explain tiny fermion masses as arising due to radiative corrections. In view of importance for need of going beyond the standard model, in this article some features of  $U(1)$  axial vector gauge theory in Parisi Wu stochastic quantization scheme are reported. Renormalizability of a massive axial vector gauge theory coupled to a massless fermion appears as one of the important conclusions.

In an earlier article [1], it has been suggested that fermion masses may get generated as radiative corrections in theories with  $U(1)$  axial vector gauge field when Parisi Wu stochastic quantization method (SQM) is used[2]. It may be recalled that originally SQM was proposed as a scheme to quantize gauge theories without gauge fixing. It has several important features which do not have an analogue in conventional quantum field theory (CQFT). One such well known feature is Zwanziger stochastic gauge fixing. We refer the reader to [3, 4, 5] for review of Parisi Wu quantization. For references to earlier works on renormalization of fermionic theories in SQM can be found in [6].

---

\*ak Kapoor@cmi.ac.in; akhcu@gmail.com

In this letter I will be concerned primarily with a four dimensional axial vector  $U(1)$  gauge theory coupled to a massless fermion. Features that appear in non-abelian vector and axial vector gauge theories will be briefly addressed in the end.

The Parisi Wu scheme is formulated in terms of the Euclidean action. The *Euclidean action* for corresponding four dimensional CQFT of a  $U(1)$  axial vector gauge theory will be taken to be

$$S_E = \int d^4x \mathcal{L} d^4x \quad (1)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi}(-i\gamma_\mu D_\mu + m)\psi - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{M^2}{2}A_\mu A_\mu - \frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial \cdot A)^2 + \mathcal{L}' \quad (2)$$

where

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu - ig\gamma^5 A_\mu, \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu, \quad (3)$$

and  $\mathcal{L}'$  is part of the Euclidean Lagrangian describing other fields which may be present.

In the following we will take mass of the fermion to be zero,  $m = 0$ . A term  $\frac{1}{2\alpha}(\partial_\mu A_\mu)^2$  has been included so that the degree of divergence remains bounded. We will obtain Ward identities which ensure that unphysical degree of freedom, the scalar component of the axial vector field, decouples. In fact the scalar part of the gauge field will turn out to be a free field.

In the stochastic quantization scheme the fields are stochastic processes obeying Langevin equations in fictitious time. We will use  $x$  to collectively denote all four components of four vector  $x_\mu = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$  and  $t$  will be used to denote the fictitious time or the stochastic time.

In the equilibrium limit the SQM equal time correlation functions of the fields coincide with the Green functions of Euclidean CQFT. The basic Langevin equations of SQM are given by

$$\frac{\partial A_\mu(x, t)}{\partial t} = -\gamma^{-1} \frac{\delta S_E}{\delta A_\mu} + \eta_\mu(x, t) \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial \psi(x, t)}{\partial t} = - \int dx' K(x, x') \frac{\delta S_E}{\delta \psi(x')} + \theta(x, t) \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial \bar{\psi}(x, t)}{\partial t} = \int dx' \frac{\delta S_E}{\delta \bar{\psi}(x')} K(x, x') + \bar{\theta}(x, t). \quad (6)$$

The Gaussian white noises  $\eta_\mu(x, t), \theta(x, t), \bar{\theta}(x, t)$  are assumed to have averages

$$\langle \eta_\mu(x, t) \eta_\nu(x', t') \rangle = 2\gamma^{-1} \delta_{\mu\nu} \delta(x - x') \delta(t - t') \quad (7)$$

$$\langle \theta(x, t) \bar{\theta}(x', t') \rangle = 2K(x, x') \delta(t - t'). \quad (8)$$

In an operator formalism proposed by Namiki et al. [4], the SQM is formulated as a field theory in five dimensions following a definite prescriptions of writing the action in five dimension. A stochastic momentum field is introduced for each field in the Lagrangian. Letting  $\pi_\mu, \bar{\omega}, \omega$  to denote the stochastic momentum corresponding to the gauge field  $A_\mu$  and the fermionic fields  $\psi, \bar{\psi}$ , the stochastic action  $\Lambda$  of the five dimensional field theory takes the following form.

$$\Lambda = \int dx dt \left( \pi_\mu \frac{\partial A_\mu}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \bar{\psi}}{\partial t} \omega + \bar{\omega} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \mathcal{H} \right) \quad (9)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \left[ \gamma^{-1} \pi_\mu \pi_\mu + 2\bar{\omega} K \omega - \bar{\omega} \tilde{K} \frac{\delta S_E}{\delta \bar{\psi}} + \frac{\delta S_E}{\delta \psi} \tilde{K} \omega - \gamma^{-1} \pi_\mu \frac{\delta S_E}{\delta A_\mu} \right]. \quad (10)$$

Here  $K(x, t)$  is a suitable kernel that needs to be used for the fermions. For our present discussion an explicit expression of the kernel for fermions is not required. We write down expressions for two point function for the axial vector fields

$$\langle T[A_\mu(k, t) \pi_\nu(k', t')] \rangle = \theta(t - t') \delta(k + k') P_{\mu\nu} e^{-(k^2 + m^2)(t - t')} \quad (11)$$

$$\langle T[A_\mu(k, t) A_\nu(k', t')] \rangle = \delta(k + k') P_{\mu\nu} e^{-(k^2 + m^2)|t - t'|} \quad (12)$$

$$\langle T[\pi_\mu(x, t) \pi_\nu(k', t')] \rangle = 0. \quad (13)$$

where

$$P_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\delta_{\mu\nu}}{k^2 + M^2} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{M^2} \left( \frac{1}{k^2 + M^2} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2 + \alpha M^2} \right) \quad (14)$$

The two point correlation function, such as the one appearing in (11), is also known as  $G$  propagator for the axial vector field. This is just the Green function for the Langevin equation. The presence of  $\theta$  function in the  $G$ -propagator be noted.

Recall that in CQFT of Yukawa coupling  $g\bar{\psi}\gamma^5\psi$  of a spin zero field  $\phi$  coupled to a fermion the scalar four point function is divergent and a  $\phi^4$

counter term is required. Even if such a term is not included in the Lagrangian as coupling term, it needs to be added to the Lagrangian in order to remove ultraviolet divergences. Therefore, the renormalized theory has a new unknown parameter,  $\lambda$ , appearing in the renormalized Green functions. Something similar happens in SQM of axial vector  $U(1)$  gauge theory we are considering here, but there are important differences.

The three point vertex function  $\pi_\mu, A_\nu, A_\sigma$  is linearly divergent and a counter term needs to be added to the stochastic Hamiltonian. The counter term has the form  $f_{AA\pi}\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}\pi_\mu(x, t)A_\nu(x, t)\partial_\sigma A_\lambda(x, t)$ . It must be mentioned here that this counter term is gauge invariant. This is easily checked by noting that  $\pi_\mu$  does not transform under gauge transformations. The renormalized theory has an extra parameter, the coefficient  $f_{AA\pi}$  of the new  $A$ - $A$ - $\pi$  counter term.

At this point we mention two important features of SQM of axial gauge theory. For any choice of kernel the stochastic action breaks the chiral symmetry at the tree level itself. The presence of the new counter term mentioned here breaks the stochastic supersymmetry responsible for equivalence of SQM and CQFT formalism of the model. These two departures from expectations based on experience with other models, such as scalar fields, formed the basis of suggestion that fermion masses could be generated fully by radiative corrections and a possible explanation may be found for tiny masses of leptons.

Coming back to the  $\pi$ - $A$ - $A$  counter term, note that this will contribute a linear divergence to the three point Green function  $A_\mu(y)A_\nu(y)A_\lambda(z)$  in the equilibrium limit. A closure examination reveals that this three point function is completely fixed by the Bose symmetry and thus the linear divergence can be eliminated unambiguously [7]. Based on the known non-renormalization property of axial anomaly, it is expected that in SQM  $f_{AA\pi}$  will not get renormalized as perturbation terms of orders higher than three are considered.

If one sets  $f_{AA\pi}$  to zero, we will be back to anomalous theory equivalent to CQFT. If one sets it to a particular value, that value will not receive any corrections due to renormalization. Hence it can be as tiny as we wish without need for assigning any more theoretical explanation.

The actual value of  $f_{AA\pi}$  coupling constant will have to be fixed from experimental data or some other theoretical requirement. If correct number of fermions are present to ensure anomaly cancellation the model will be

renormalizable and one can set  $f_{AA\pi}$  to zero.

We will now argue that in models where there is no cancellation of anomaly, we can choose value of  $f_{AA\pi}$  so as to enforce conserved axial vector current Ward identities in the limit of fermion masses are taken to be zero. The relevant Ward identities in presence of a  $\pi$ - $A$ - $A$  term follow quite trivially from the equations of motion of the stochastic momentum field  $\pi_\mu$  and taking equal time limit.

Let  $\Xi$  denote an arbitrary product of fields  $A_\mu(x_k, t_k), \bar{\psi}(y_\ell, t_\ell), \psi(z_m, t_m)$ . Then the equation of motion of  $\pi_\alpha(x, t)$  can be written down by considering the generating function of the correlation functions as a functional integral and setting its variation w.r.t.  $\pi_\mu(x, t)$  equal to zero.

$$\left\langle \frac{\delta \Lambda}{\delta \pi_\mu(x, t)} \Xi \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\delta}{\delta \pi_\mu(x, t)} \Xi \right\rangle = 0 \quad (15)$$

Here  $\langle \dots \rangle$  denotes the  $n$ - point functions of the five dimensional theory. It is easy to see that

$$\frac{\delta \Lambda}{\delta \pi_\mu(x, t)} = \frac{\partial A_\mu(x, t)}{\partial t} - \frac{2}{\gamma} \pi_\mu(x, t) + \frac{\delta S}{\delta A_\mu(x, t)} \quad (16)$$

As a first step to get the desired Ward identity we substitute (16) in (15) and take equal time limit of the above equation. In the equilibrium limit the equal time correlation functions of SQM give the Green functions of CQFT. For equal time correlation functions, the second term involving the correlation function of  $\pi_\mu(x, t)$  becomes zero when considering only connected diagrams. This can be seen as a consequence of the following facts.

1. The  $\pi_\mu$ - $\pi_\nu$  two point correlation function is zero.
2. Every interaction term contains one power of stochastic momentum.
3. Due to presence of theta function,  $G$  lines cannot form a loop because integration region over times corresponding to internal vertices shrinks to a point.
4. At least one external  $G$  line starting from an external vertex will be continuously connected by a chain of  $G$  lines to some external vertex. At equal times, this contribution drops out if the chain passes through an internal vertex. This is again due to the fact that the time integration corresponding to the internal vertices on  $G$  line collapses to zero,

5. From the above properties it follows that at equal times contribution comes from only those (disconnected) diagrams in which external  $\pi_\mu$  line is connected to some external line  $A_\nu$ . At equal times the  $G$  propagator reduces to  $(1/2)\delta(x-y)$ :

$$\langle T[A_\mu(x,t)\pi_\nu(y,t)] \rangle_0 = \frac{1}{2}\delta(x-y)\delta_{\mu\nu}. \quad (17)$$

Here  $\langle \dots \rangle_0$  denotes equal time correlation function. Thus the equation of motion for the  $\pi_\mu$  field takes the form.

$$\langle T \frac{\delta S_E}{\delta A_\mu} \Xi \rangle_0 - \langle T \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \Xi \rangle_0 = 0 \quad (18)$$

Now let us take the divergence

$$\langle T \left( \partial_\mu \frac{\delta S_E}{\delta A_\mu} \right) \Xi \rangle_0 - \langle T \partial_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \Xi \rangle_0 = 0 \quad (19)$$

and write out all terms explicitly. This leads to the following Ward identity.

$$\langle T [(-\square + \alpha^2 M^2)(\partial \cdot A) + \partial_\mu J_\mu^5] \Xi \rangle_0 = \langle T \partial_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \Xi \rangle_0 \quad (20)$$

where  $J_\mu^5$  is the axial vector current of the fermion and its divergence is of the form  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\lambda\sigma}$  and is nonzero due to the presence of anomaly.<sup>1</sup> When a  $\pi$ - $A$ - $A$  counter term is included, (20) gets modified and the new Ward identity becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle T [ -\square + \alpha^2 M^2 (\partial \cdot A) + \partial_\mu J_\mu^5 ] \Xi \rangle \\ + & \langle T [ f_{AA\pi} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \partial_\mu A_\nu(x,t) \partial_\sigma A_\lambda(x,t) \Xi ] \rangle_0 = \langle T \partial_\mu \frac{\delta}{\delta A_\mu} \Xi \rangle_0. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

It is obvious that the structure of the term proportional to  $f_{AA\pi}$  is such that it will cancel the anomalous divergence of the fermion current. In presence of this term, the current coupled to the axial vector field has an extra term proportional to  $f_{AA\pi}$ . *The structure of the extra term ensures that a choice of  $f_{AA\pi}$  exists such that the current coupled to the axial gauge field is conserved.*

The Ward identity (21), with suitable choice of  $f_{AA\pi}$  implies that the unphysical scalar part of the axial vector decouples from the physical sector.

---

<sup>1</sup>The axial vector anomaly has been discussed in SQM by many authors, see sec 2.1 of Namki et al. [3]

This can be seen by amputating the external lines and putting them on mass shell and noting that the resulting equation implies that  $\partial \cdot A$  satisfies free field equation.

*This leads to the result that this theory is renormalizable and physically acceptable when quantized using Parisi Wu stochastic quantization scheme.*

On the other hand if fermion multiplet is arranged to cancel anomaly, the  $f_{AA\pi}$  counter term must be set equal to zero; a non-zero value will mean that the current coupled to the axial vector gauge field is not conserved and the unphysical degree of freedom,  $\partial_\mu A^\mu$  may not decouple and we may end up with a non-unitary theory.

It will be an interesting and useful exercise to see which of the above conclusions survive, and if any new results appear, in a non-abelian gauge theory involving vector as well as axial vector gauge fields. It is straightforward to see that non-abelian version, of  $\pi$ - $A$ - $A$  counter term in  $U(1)$  theory, is  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} D_\mu \pi_\nu F_{\lambda\sigma}$ . In SQM of pure Yang Mills theory such term is gauge invariant and renormalizable by power counting. A priori, there is no reason to exclude such a term in SQM formulation of pure Yang Mills theory.

In the electroweak sector of realistic model, inclusion of a  $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} D_\mu \pi_\nu F_{\lambda\sigma}$  term in case of Yang Mills field will lead to a wide variety of  $C, P, T$  violating interactions depending on coupling to the matter field. In the strong sector it will have important implications for the  $U(1)$  problem and strong  $CP$  violation. A study of phenomenological consequences will have to wait until important issues for a non-abelian gauge theories have been fully investigated.

I thank H.S. Mani for helpful discussions and Harikumar a useful suggestion.

## References

- [1] A.K. Kapoor, "Radiative Corrections as Origin of Tiny Fermion Masses", arXiv:1502.07.07637v1 [hep-th] (2015) and references therein.
- [2] G. Parisi and Y. Wu Sci. Sinica **24** (1981) 483
- [3] M. Namiki, "Stochastic Quantization" Springer Verlag, New York (1992).

- [4] S. Chaturvedi, A. K. Kapoor and V. Srinivasan, "Stochastic Quantization Scheme of Parisi and Wu", Bibliopolis, Napoli (1990).
- [5] Daamgard and Huffel, "Stochastic Quantization", World Scientific, Singapore (1988).
- [6] R. Sandhya, S. Chaturvedi, A. K. Kapoor and V. Srinivasan, Progress of Theoretical Physics Supplement Number 111 (1993) 237.
- [7] A K Kapoor, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Phys. 5 (1979) 471.