

(CO)HOMOLOGY OF CROSSED PRODUCTS IN WEAK CONTEXTS

JORGE A. GUCCIONE, JUAN J. GUCCIONE, AND CHRISTIAN VALQUI

ABSTRACT. We obtain a mixed complex simpler than the canonical one that computes the type cyclic homologies of the weak crossed products $E := A \times_{\chi}^{\mathcal{F}} V$ introduced in [2]. This complex is provided with a canonical filtration, whose spectral sequence generalizes the spectral sequence obtained in [6]. Under suitable conditions, the above mentioned mixed complex is provided with another filtration, whose spectral sequence generalizes the Feigin-Tsygan spectral sequence. These results apply in particular to the crossed products of algebras by weak bialgebras.

CONTENTS

1	Preliminaries	2
1.1	Weak Brzeziński's crossed products	3
1.2	Mixed complexes	6
1.3	The perturbation lemma	7
2	A resolution for a weak Brzeziński's crossed product	7
2.1	Comparison with the normalized bar resolution	16
2.2	The filtrations of the resolutions	17
3	Hochschild homology of general crossed products	20
3.1	Comparison maps	22
3.2	The filtrations of the complexes that give the Hochschild homology	23
4	Hochschild cohomology of general crossed products	24
4.1	Comparison maps	26
4.2	The filtrations of the complexes that give the Hochschild cohomology	26
5	The cup and cap products for general crossed products	27
6	Cyclic homology of a general crossed product	28
6.1	The spectral sequences	31

Introduction

Due to the relation of the actions of groups on algebras with non-commutative geometry, the problem of developing tools to compute the cyclic homology of smash products algebras $A\#k[G]$, where A is an algebra and G is a group, was considered in [9, 12, 18]. For instance, in the first paper the authors obtained a spectral sequence converging to the cyclic homology of $A\#k[G]$, and in [12], this result was derived from the theory of paracyclic modules and cylindrical modules developed by the authors. The main tool for this computation was a version for cylindrical modules of the Eilenberg-Zilber theorem. More recently, and also due to its connections

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* primary 16E40; secondary 16T05.

Key words and phrases. Crossed products, Hochschild (co)homology, Cyclic homology, Weak Hopf algebras.

with non-commutative geometry, the cyclic homology of algebras obtained through more general constructions (Hopf crossed products, Hopf Galois extensions, Braided Hopf crossed products, etcetera) has been extensively studied. See for instance [1, 6, 7, 13, 15, 19, 20]. The method developed in [6, 7, 13] has the advantage over others that it works for arbitrary cocycles. In this paper we use it to compute the Hochschild (co)homology and the type cyclic homologies of the weak crossed products introduced in [2]. Specifically, for such a crossed product $E := A \times_{\chi}^{\mathcal{F}} V$, we construct a mixed complex, simpler than the canonical one, that computes the Hochschild, cyclic, negative and periodic homologies of E . The Hochschild and cyclic complexes of this mixed complex are provided with canonical filtrations whose spectral sequences generalize the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence and the Feigin and Tsygan spectral sequence. We also study the Hochschild cohomology of these algebras. In the subsequent paper [14] we use the main results of this paper in order to compute the Hochschild (co)homology and the type cyclic homologies of crossed products of algebras by weak Hopf algebras with invertible cocycle. We hope that our method works also for partial crossed products (see [3])

The paper is organized as follows:

A crossed product system with preunit is a tuple $(A, V, \chi, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$, where A a k -algebra, V a k -vector space and $\chi: V \otimes_k A \rightarrow A \otimes_k V$ and $\mathcal{F}: V \otimes_k A \rightarrow A \otimes_k V$ are maps satisfying suitable conditions. Each such a tuple has associated an algebra $E := A \times_{\chi}^{\mathcal{F}} V$. If \mathcal{F} is a cocycle that satisfied the twisted module condition (see Definition 1.5), then E is an associative algebra with unit, which is named the unitary crossed product of A by V associated with χ and \mathcal{F} . In Section 1 we give a review of the these crossed products (that includes the crossed products introduced in [5]), we also recall the concept of mixed complex and the perturbation lemma. In Section 2 we construct a resolution of E as an E -bimodule. In Section 3 and 4 we use this resolution to obtain complexes, simpler than the canonical ones, that compute the Hochschild homology and the Hochschild cohomology of E with coefficients in an E -bimodule M . Then, in Section 5 we study the cup and the cap products of E , and, finally, in Section 6 we obtain a mixed complex, simpler than the canonical one, that computes the type cyclic homologies of E .

1 Preliminaries

In this article we work in the category of vector spaces over a field k . Hence we assume implicitly that all the maps are k -linear maps. The tensor product over k is denoted by \otimes_k . By an algebra we understand an associative algebra over k . Given an arbitrary algebra K , a K -bimodule V and a $n \geq 0$, we let $V^{\otimes_K n}$ denote the n -fold tensor product $V \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K V$, which is considered as a K -bimodule via

$$\lambda \cdot (v_1 \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K v_n) \cdot \lambda' := \lambda \cdot v_1 \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K v_n \cdot \lambda'.$$

Given k -vector spaces U, V, W and a map $g: V \rightarrow W$ we write $U \otimes_k g$ for $\text{id}_U \otimes_k g$ and $g \otimes_k U$ for $g \otimes_k \text{id}_U$. Given an algebra C , we let $\mu: C \otimes_k C \rightarrow C$ denote its multiplication map. When C is unitary we let $\eta: k \rightarrow C$, denote its unit.

In some parts of this article we use the nowadays well known graphic calculus for monoidal and braided categories. As usual, morphisms will be composed from top to bottom and tensor products will be represented by horizontal concatenation from left to right. The identity map of a k -vector space will be represented by a vertical line. Given an algebra A , the diagrams

$$\Upsilon, \quad \uparrow, \quad \cup \quad \text{and} \quad \cap$$

stand for the multiplication map, the unit (when C is unital), the action of C on a left C -module and the action of C on a right C -module, respectively. We will also use the diagrams

$$\times, \quad \otimes, \quad \textcircled{\mathcal{F}} \quad \text{and} \quad \textcircled{\nu}$$

to denote the flip, a twisting map (See Definition 1.1), and the maps \mathcal{F} and ν of a crossed product system with preunit (See Definition 1.3).

1.1 Weak Brzeziński's crossed products

In this subsection we recall a very general notion of crossed product developed in [2, 10], and we review its basic properties. Also we compare this construction with the one given in [5].

Definition 1.1. A triple (A, V, χ) , consisting of an associative algebra A , a k -vector space V and a map $\chi: V \otimes_k A \rightarrow A \otimes_k V$, is a *twisted space* if

$$\chi \circ (V \otimes_k \mu_A) = (\mu_A \otimes_k V) \circ (A \otimes_k \chi) \circ (\chi \otimes_k A). \quad (1.1)$$

In such a case we say that χ is a *twisting map*.

Throughout this paper we assume that A is a unitary algebra and (A, V, χ) is a twisted space. A direct computation shows that $A \otimes_k V$ is a non unitary A -bimodule via

$$a' \cdot (a \otimes_k v) = a' a \otimes_k v \quad \text{and} \quad (a \otimes_k v) \cdot a' = a \cdot \chi(v \otimes_k a').$$

Let ∇_χ be the endomorphism of $A \otimes_k V$ defined by $\nabla_\chi(a \otimes_k v) := a \cdot \chi(v \otimes_k 1_A)$. It is easy to see that ∇_χ is a left and right A -linear idempotent, and that $\chi(V \otimes_k A) \subseteq A \times V$, where $A \times V := \nabla_\chi(A \otimes_k V)$. Let p_χ and ι_χ be the corestriction of ∇_χ to $A \times V$ and the canonical inclusion of $A \times V$ in $A \otimes_k V$, respectively. By the above discussion $p_\chi \circ \iota_\chi = \text{id}_{A \times V}$. Moreover $A \times V$ is an unitary A -subbimodule of $A \otimes_k V$ and both p_χ and ι_χ are A -bimodule morphisms.

Remark 1.2. Note that $\nabla_\chi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} \cdot 1_A$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in A \otimes_k V$. So, $A \times V$ is the set of all the \mathbf{x} 's in $A \otimes_k V$ such that $\mathbf{x} \cdot 1_A = \mathbf{x}$. The group $X_\chi := \{\mathbf{x} \in A \otimes_k V : \mathbf{x} \cdot 1_A = 0\}$. is an A -subbimodule of $A \otimes_k V$ and $A \otimes_k V = A \times V \oplus X_\chi$. Moreover the projection of $A \otimes_k V$ onto $A \times V$ along X_χ coincides with p_χ .

Let C be a k -vector space and let $\nabla_C: C \rightarrow C$ be an idempotent map. An associative product $\mu_C: C \otimes_k C \rightarrow C$ is said to be *normalized with respect to ∇_C* if $\nabla_C(cc') = cc' = \nabla_C(c)\nabla_C(c')$, for all $c, c' \in C$.

Let C be an algebra. A map $\nu: k \rightarrow C$ is a *preunit* of μ_C if $\nu(1)$ is a central idempotent of C .

Definition 1.3. We say that a tuple $(A, V, \chi, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$ is a *crossed product system with preunit* if

- (1) (A, V, χ) is a twisted space,
- (2) $\mathcal{F}: V \otimes_k V \rightarrow A \otimes_k V$ is a map with $\text{Im}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq A \times V$,
- (3) $\nu: k \rightarrow A \otimes_k V$ is a map satisfying

$$(\mu_A \otimes_k V) \circ (A \otimes_k \mathcal{F}) \circ (\chi \otimes_k V) \circ (V \otimes_k \nu) = \nabla_\chi \circ (\eta_A \otimes_k V), \quad (1.2)$$

$$(\mu_A \otimes_k V) \circ (A \otimes_k \mathcal{F}) \circ (\nu \otimes_k V) = \nabla_\chi \circ (\eta_A \otimes_k V), \quad (1.3)$$


$$(\mu_A \otimes_k V) \circ (A \otimes_k \chi) \circ (\nu \otimes_k A) = (\mu_A \otimes_k V) \circ (A \otimes_k \nu). \quad (1.4)$$

Notation 1.4. Given a crossed product system with preunit $(A, V, \chi, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$, we let $A \otimes_\chi^\mathcal{F} V$ denote $A \otimes_k V$ endowed with the (non necessarily associative) multiplication map $\mu_{A \otimes_\chi^\mathcal{F} V}$ defined by

$$\mu_{A \otimes_\chi^\mathcal{F} V} := (\mu_A \otimes_k V) \circ (\mu_A \otimes_k \mathcal{F}) \circ (A \otimes_k \chi \otimes_k V),$$

and we let $A \times_{\chi}^{\mathcal{F}} V$ denote $A \times V$ endowed with the (non necessarily associative) multiplication map $\mu_{A \times_{\chi}^{\mathcal{F}} V}$, defined as the restriction and corestriction of $\mu_{A \otimes_{\chi}^{\mathcal{F}} V}$ to $A \times V$ (this is correct since clearly $\text{Im}(\mu_{A \otimes_{\chi}^{\mathcal{F}} V}) \subseteq A \times V$). In the sequel for simplicity we will write E instead of $A \times_{\chi}^{\mathcal{F}} V$ and \mathcal{E} instead of $A \otimes_{\chi}^{\mathcal{F}} V$.

Definition 1.5. Let $(A, V, \chi, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$ be a crossed product system with preunit. We say that \mathcal{F} is a *cocycle* that satisfies the *twisted module condition* if



More precisely, the first equality says that \mathcal{F} satisfies the twisted module condition, and the second one says that \mathcal{F} is a cocycle.

Let $(A, V, \chi, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$ be a crossed product system with preunit and let

$$\nabla_{\nu}: \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}, \quad j'_{\nu}: A \rightarrow \mathcal{E}, \quad j_{\nu}: A \rightarrow E \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma: V \rightarrow E$$

be the arrows defined by

$$\nabla_{\nu}(a \otimes_k v) := (a \otimes_k v) \nu(1_k), \quad j'_{\nu}(a) := a \cdot \nu(1_k), \quad j_{\nu}(a) := \nabla_{\chi}(j'_{\nu}(a)) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(v) := \nabla_{\chi}(1_A \otimes_k v).$$

Theorem 1.6. Let $(A, V, \chi, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$ be a crossed product system with preunit. If \mathcal{F} is a cocycle that satisfies the twisted module condition, then the following facts hold:

- (1) $\mu_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a left and right A -linear associative product, that is normalized with respect to ∇_{χ} .
- (2) ν is a preunit of $\mu_{\mathcal{E}}$, $\nabla_{\nu} = \nabla_{\chi}$ and $\nu(k) \subseteq E$.
- (3) μ_E is left and right A -linear, associative and has unit $1_E := \nu(1_k)$.
- (4) The maps ι_{χ} and p_{χ} are multiplicative.
- (5) j'_{ν} is left and right A -linear, multiplicative, and $j'_{\nu}(A) \subseteq E$.
- (6) j_{ν} is left and right A -linear, multiplicative and unitary.
- (7) $j_{\nu}(a)\mathbf{x} = a \cdot \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}j_{\nu}(a) = \mathbf{x} \cdot a$, for all $a \in A$ and $\mathbf{x} \in E$.
- (8) $\chi(v \otimes_k a) = (1_A \otimes_k v)j'_{\nu}(a)$ and $\mathcal{F}(v \otimes_k w) = (1_A \otimes_k v)(1_A \otimes_k w)$.
- (9) $\chi(v \otimes_k a) = \gamma(v)j_{\nu}(a)$ and $\mathcal{F}(v \otimes_k w) = \gamma(v)\gamma(w)$.

Proof. Except for items 4), 7) and the assertions about the right A -linearity in items 3), 5) and 6), whose proofs we leave to the reader, this follows immediately from [10, Remark 3.10, one implication of Theorem 3.11 and Corollary 3.12]. \square

Remark 1.7. By item 5) of the previous theorem, $j_{\nu}(a) = j'_{\nu}(a)$ for all $a \in A$.

When the hypotheses of Theorem 1.6 are fulfilled we say that E is the *unitary crossed product* of A with V associated with χ and \mathcal{F} .

Example 1.8. Let (A, V, χ) and \mathcal{F} be as in items 1) and 2) of Definition 1.3 and let $1_V \in V$. If $\chi(1_V \otimes_k a) = a \cdot \chi(1_V \otimes_k 1_A)$ and \mathcal{F} is a twisted module cocycle satisfying

$$\mathcal{F}(1_V \otimes_k v) = \mathcal{F}(v \otimes_k 1_V) = \chi(v \otimes_k 1_A),$$

then the tuple $(A, V, \chi, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$, where ν is the map defined by $\nu(1_k) := \chi(1_V \otimes_k 1_A)$, is a crossed product system with preunit.

Example 1.9. The crossed product systems introduced by Brzeziński in [5] are the crossed product systems with unit $(A, V, \chi, \mathcal{F}, 1_V)$, such that $\chi(v \otimes_k 1_A) = 1_A \otimes_k v$ and \mathcal{F} is a normal cocycle that satisfies the twisted module condition. The crossed product constructed from the datum $(A, V, \chi, \mathcal{F}, 1_V)$ is called *the Brzeziński crossed product of A with V associated with χ, \mathcal{F} and 1_V* . If $(A, V, \chi, \mathcal{F}, 1_V)$ is a Brzeziński's crossed product system, then

$$\chi(1_V \otimes_k a) = a \otimes_k 1_V \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla = \text{id}_{A \otimes_k V},$$

which implies $E = \mathcal{E}$. Suppose now that V is an algebra with unit 1_V . A Brzeziński's crossed product in which \mathcal{F} is the *trivial cocycle* given by $\mathcal{F}(v \otimes_k w) := 1_A \otimes_k vw$, is called a *twisted tensor product*. In this case \mathcal{F} is automatically a normal cocycle and the twisted module condition says that

$$\chi \circ (\mu_V \otimes_k A) = (A \otimes_k \mu_V) \circ (\chi \otimes_k V) \circ (V \otimes_k \chi).$$

When we deal with twisted tensor products we write $A \otimes_\chi V$ instead $A \otimes_\chi^{\mathcal{F}} V$.

In the rest of the section we assume that $(A, V, \chi, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$ is a crossed product system with preunit, in which \mathcal{F} is a cocycle that satisfies the twisted module condition.

Remark 1.10. By definition $\nabla_\chi(a \otimes_k v) = a \cdot \gamma(v)$. Consequently; if $a \cdot \gamma(v) = \sum_l a_{(l)} \otimes_k v_{(l)}$, then

$$j_\nu(a)\gamma(v) = a \cdot \gamma(v) = \sum_l a_{(l)} \cdot \gamma(v_{(l)}) = \sum_l j_\nu(a_{(l)})\gamma(v_{(l)}); \quad (1.5)$$

if $\chi(v \otimes_k a) = \sum_l a_{(l)} \otimes_k v_{(l)}$, then

$$\gamma(v)j_\nu(a) = \chi(v \otimes_k a) = \sum_l j_\nu(a_{(l)})\gamma(v_{(l)}); \quad (1.6)$$

and if $\mathcal{F}(v \otimes_k w) = \sum_l a_{(l)} \otimes_k u_{(l)}$, then

$$\gamma(v)\gamma(w) = \mathcal{F}(v \otimes_k w) = \sum_l j_\nu(a_{(l)})\gamma(u_{(l)}). \quad (1.7)$$

Proposition 1.11. *For each subalgebra R of A ,*

$$(R \otimes_k V) \cap E \subseteq R \cdot \gamma(V) = j_\nu(R)\gamma(V).$$

Moreover, if $\gamma(V) \subseteq R \otimes_k V$, then the equality holds.

Proof. Since ∇_χ is a left A -linear projection with image E , we have

$$(R \otimes_k V) \cap E = \nabla_\chi((R \otimes_k V) \cap E) \subseteq \nabla_\chi(R \otimes_k V) = R \cdot \gamma(V) = j_\nu(R)\gamma(V).$$

The last assertion holds since $\gamma(V) \subseteq R \otimes_k V$ implies $R \cdot \gamma(V) \subseteq R \cdot (R \otimes_k V) = R \otimes_k V$. \square

Definition 1.12. We say that a subalgebra R of A is *stable under χ* if $\chi(V \otimes_k R) \subseteq R \otimes_k V$.

Lemma 1.13. *If R is a stable under χ subalgebra of A , then*

$$\gamma(V)j_\nu(R) \subseteq (R \otimes_k V) \cap E = j_\nu(R)\gamma(V).$$

Proof. Since R is stable under χ , we know that $\gamma(V) \subseteq R \otimes_k V$. So,

$$\gamma(V)j_\nu(R) = \chi(V \otimes_k R) \subseteq (R \otimes_k V) \cap E = j_\nu(R)\gamma(V),$$

where the first equality holds by Theorem 1.6(9); and the last one, by Proposition 1.11. \square

Lemma 1.14. *Let R be a k -subalgebra of A . If $\mathcal{F}(V \otimes_k V) \subseteq R \otimes_k V$, then*

$$\gamma(V)\gamma(V) \subseteq (R \otimes_k V) \cap E \subseteq j_\nu(R)\gamma(V).$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.6(9), the fact that $\mathcal{F}(V \otimes_k V) \subseteq (R \otimes_k V) \cap E$ and Proposition 1.11. \square

Notation 1.15. We let $\chi_{jl}: V^{\otimes_k^j} \otimes_k A^{\otimes_k^l} \longrightarrow A^{\otimes_k^l} \otimes_k V^{\otimes_k^j}$ denote the map defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{11} &:= \chi, \\ \chi_{j+1,1} &:= (\chi_{j1} \otimes_k V) \circ (V^{\otimes_k^j} \otimes_k \chi) && \text{for } j \leq 1, \\ \chi_{j,l+1} &:= (A^{\otimes_k^l} \otimes_k \chi_{j1}) \circ (\chi_{jl} \otimes_k A) && \text{for } j, l \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we set $\chi_{j0} := \text{id}_{V^{\otimes_k^j}}$ and $\chi_{0l} := \text{id}_{A^{\otimes_k^l}}$ for all $j, l \geq 1$.

Proposition 1.16. *Let K be a subalgebra of A and let $\bar{A} := A/K$. If K is stable under χ , then each χ_{jl} induces maps*

$$\bar{\chi}_{jl}: V^{\otimes_k^j} \otimes_k A^{\otimes_k^l} \longrightarrow A^{\otimes_k^l} \otimes_k V^{\otimes_k^j} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\chi}_{jl}: V^{\otimes_k^j} \otimes_k \bar{A}^{\otimes_k^l} \longrightarrow \bar{A}^{\otimes_k^l} \otimes_k V^{\otimes_k^j}.$$

Proof. Straightforward. \square

1.2 Mixed complexes

In this subsection we recall briefly the notion of mixed complex. For more details about this concept we refer to [4, 16].

A *mixed complex* $\mathcal{X} := (X, b, B)$ is a graded k -module $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$, endowed with morphisms

$$b: X_n \longrightarrow X_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad B: X_n \longrightarrow X_{n+1},$$

such that

$$b \circ b = 0, \quad B \circ B = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B \circ b + b \circ B = 0.$$

A *morphism of mixed complexes* $g: (X, b, B) \longrightarrow (Y, d, D)$ is a family of maps $g: X_n \rightarrow Y_n$, such that $d \circ g = g \circ b$ and $D \circ g = g \circ B$. Let u be a degree 2 variable. A mixed complex $\mathcal{X} := (X, b, B)$ determines a double complex

$$\text{BP}(\mathcal{X}) = \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b \\ \dots & \xleftarrow{B} & X_3 u^{-1} & \xleftarrow{B} & X_2 u^0 & \xleftarrow{B} & X_1 u & \xleftarrow{B} & X_0 u^2 \\ & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & \\ \dots & \xleftarrow{B} & X_2 u^{-1} & \xleftarrow{B} & X_1 u^0 & \xleftarrow{B} & X_0 u & & \\ & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow b & & & & \\ \dots & \xleftarrow{B} & X_1 u^{-1} & \xleftarrow{B} & X_0 u^0 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow b & & & & & & \\ \dots & \xleftarrow{B} & X_0 u^{-1} & & & & & & \end{array},$$

where $b(\mathbf{x}u^i) := b(\mathbf{x})u^i$ and $B(\mathbf{x}u^i) := B(\mathbf{x})u^{i-1}$. By deleting the positively numbered columns we obtain a subcomplex $\text{BN}(\mathcal{X})$ of $\text{BP}(\mathcal{X})$. Let $\text{BN}'(\mathcal{X})$ be the kernel of the canonical surjection from $\text{BN}(\mathcal{X})$ to (X, b) . The quotient double complex $\text{BP}(\mathcal{X})/\text{BN}'(\mathcal{X})$ is denoted by $\text{BC}(\mathcal{X})$. The homology groups $\text{HC}_*(\mathcal{X})$, $\text{HN}_*(\mathcal{X})$ and $\text{HP}_*(\mathcal{X})$, of the total complexes of $\text{BC}(\mathcal{X})$, $\text{BN}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\text{BP}(\mathcal{X})$ respectively, are called the *cyclic*, *negative* and *periodic homology groups* of \mathcal{X} . The homology $\text{HH}_*(\mathcal{X})$, of (X, b) , is called the *Hochschild homology* of \mathcal{X} . Finally, it is clear that a morphism $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ of mixed complexes induces a morphism from the double complex $\text{BP}(\mathcal{X})$ to the double complex $\text{BP}(\mathcal{Y})$.

Let C be an algebra. If K is a subalgebra of C we will say that C is a K -algebra. Given a K -bimodule M , we let $M \otimes_K$ denote the quotient $M/[M, K]$, where $[M, K]$ is the k -submodule of M generated by all the commutators $m\lambda - \lambda m$, with $m \in M$ and $\lambda \in K$. Moreover, for $m \in M$, we let $[m]$ denote the class of m in $M \otimes_K$.

By definition, the *normalized mixed complex of the K -algebra C* is $(C \otimes_K \overline{C}^{\otimes_K^*} \otimes_K, b_*, B_*)$, where $\overline{C} := C/K$, b_* is the canonical Hochschild boundary map and the Connes operator B_* is given by

$$B([c_0 \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K c_r]) := \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{ir} [1 \otimes_K c_i \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K c_r \otimes_K c_0 \otimes_K c_1 \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K c_{i-1}].$$

The *cyclic, negative, periodic* and *Hochschild homology groups* $\mathrm{HC}_*^K(C)$, $\mathrm{HN}_*^K(C)$, $\mathrm{HP}_*^K(C)$ and $\mathrm{HH}_*^K(C)$ of C are the respective homology groups of $(C \otimes_K \overline{C}^{\otimes_K^*} \otimes_K, b_*, B_*)$.

1.3 The perturbation lemma

Next, we recall the perturbation lemma. We present the version given in [8].

A *homotopy equivalence data*

$$(Y, \partial) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{p} \\ \xrightarrow{i} \end{array} (X, d) \quad X_* \xrightarrow{h} X_{*+1} \quad (1.8)$$

consists of the following:

- (1) Chain complexes (Y, ∂) , (X, d) and quasi-isomorphisms i, p between them,
- (2) A homotopy h from $i \circ p$ to id .

A *perturbation* of (1.8) is a map $\delta: X_* \rightarrow X_{*+1}$ such that $(d + \delta)^2 = 0$. We call it *small* if $\mathrm{id} - \delta \circ h$ is invertible. In this case we write $A := (\mathrm{id} - \delta \circ h)^{-1} \circ \delta$ and we consider the diagram

$$(Y, \partial^1) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{p^1} \\ \xrightarrow{i^1} \end{array} (X, d) \quad X_* \xrightarrow{h^1} X_{*+1}, \quad (1.9)$$

where

$$\partial^1 := \partial + p \circ A \circ i, \quad i^1 := i + h \circ A \circ i, \quad p^1 := p + p \circ A \circ h, \quad h^1 := h + h \circ A \circ h.$$

A *deformation retract* is a homotopy equivalence data such that $p \circ i = \mathrm{id}$. A deformation retract is called *special* if $h \circ i = 0$, $p \circ h = 0$ and $h \circ h = 0$.

In all the cases considered in this paper the morphism $\delta \circ h$ is locally nilpotent (in other for all $x \in X_*$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\delta \circ h)^n(x) = 0$). Consequently, $(\mathrm{id} - \delta \circ h)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\delta \circ h)^n$.

Theorem 1.17 ([8]). *If δ is a small perturbation of (1.8), then the diagram (1.9) is an homotopy equivalence data. Furthermore, if (1.8) is a special deformation retract, then so it is (1.9).*

2 A resolution for a weak Brzeziński's crossed product

Let A be an algebra, V a k -vector space, K a subalgebra of A and $(A, V, \chi, \mathcal{F}, \nu)$ a crossed product system with preunit. Assume that \mathcal{F} is a cocycle that satisfies the twisted module condition and that K is stable under χ . Recall that $E = A \times_{\chi}^{\mathcal{F}} V$. By the discussions above Remark 1.2 we know that E is an A -bimodule, and so it is also a K -bimodule. Moreover, by Theorem 1.6(7) the left and right actions of A on E coincide with those obtained through the morphism $j_{\nu}: A \rightarrow E$. Let Υ denote the family of all the epimorphisms of E -bimodules which split as (E, K) -bimodule maps. In this section we construct a Υ -relative projective resolution

(X_*, d_*) , of E as an E -bimodule, simpler than the normalized bar resolution of E . Also we will construct comparison maps between both resolutions. We let \otimes denote \otimes_K and we set

$$\bar{A} := \frac{A}{K}, \quad \bar{E} := \frac{E}{j_\nu(K)} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{E} := \frac{E}{j_\nu(A)}.$$

Notations 2.1. We will use the following notations:

(1) For each $x \in E$ we let \bar{x} and \tilde{x} denote its class in \bar{E} and \tilde{E} , respectively. Similarly, for $a \in A$ we let \bar{a} denote its class in \bar{A} .

(2) Given $x_1, \dots, x_s \in E$ and $1 \leq i \leq j \leq s$, we set

$$\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{ij} := \bar{x}_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{x}_j \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{ij} := \tilde{x}_i \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A \tilde{x}_j.$$

(3) Given $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$ and $1 \leq i \leq j \leq s$, we set $\mathbf{v}_{ij} := v_i \otimes_k \cdots \otimes_k v_j$.

(4) Given $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ and $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$, we set $\mathbf{a}_{ij} := a_i \otimes \cdots \otimes a_j$, and we let $\bar{\mathbf{a}}_{ij}$ denote the class of \mathbf{a}_{ij} in $\bar{A}^{\otimes^{j-i+1}}$.

(5) We let $\bar{\gamma}: V \rightarrow \bar{E}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}: V \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ denote the maps induced by γ .

(6) Given $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$ and $1 \leq i \leq j \leq s$, we write $\bar{\gamma}(\mathbf{v}_{ij})$, $\gamma_A(\mathbf{v}_{ij})$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_A(\mathbf{v}_{ij})$ to mean

$$\bar{\gamma}(v_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_j), \quad \gamma(v_i) \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A \gamma(v_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\gamma}(v_i) \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}(v_j),$$

respectively.

(7) We let $\bar{j}_\nu: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{E}$ denote the map induced by j_ν .

(8) Given $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq r$, we set $\bar{j}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{ij}) := \bar{j}_\nu(\bar{a}_i) \otimes \cdots \otimes \bar{j}_\nu(\bar{a}_j)$.

(9) We let

$$\tilde{\mu}_E: E \otimes_A E \rightarrow E, \quad \bar{\mu}_E: E \otimes E \rightarrow E \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\mu}_A: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$$

denote the maps induced by the multiplication maps μ_E and μ_A .

For all $s \geq 0$, we set

$$Y'_s := E \otimes_A E^{\otimes_A^s} \otimes_A E \quad \text{and} \quad Y_s := E \otimes_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes_A^s} \otimes_A E,$$

while, for all $r, s \geq 0$, we set

$$X'_{rs} := E \otimes_A E^{\otimes_A^s} \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^r} \otimes E \quad \text{and} \quad X_{rs} := E \otimes_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes_A^s} \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^r} \otimes E.$$

Remark 2.2. For each $s \geq 0$, we consider $E \otimes_k V^{\otimes_k^s}$ as a right A -module via

$$(x \otimes_k \mathbf{v}_{1s}) \cdot a := \sum x \cdot a^{(l)} \otimes_k \mathbf{v}_{1s}^{(l)},$$

where $\sum_l a^{(l)} \otimes_k \mathbf{v}_{1s}^{(l)} := \chi(\mathbf{v}_{1s} \otimes_k a)$.

Proposition 2.3. *The following assertions hold:*

(1) For each $s \geq 0$ the map $\theta_s: (E \otimes_k V^{\otimes_k^s}) \otimes_A E \rightarrow Y'_s$, given by

$$\theta_s((1_E \otimes_k \mathbf{v}_{1s}) \otimes_A 1_E) := 1_E \otimes_A \gamma_A(\mathbf{v}_{1s}) \otimes_A 1_E,$$

is an isomorphism of E -bimodules.

(2) For each $r, s \geq 0$ the map $\theta_{rs}: (E \otimes_k V^{\otimes_k^s}) \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes^r} \otimes E \rightarrow X'_{rs}$, given by

$$\theta_{rs}((1_E \otimes_k \mathbf{v}_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes 1_E) := 1_E \otimes_A \gamma_A(\mathbf{v}_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes 1_E,$$

is an isomorphism of E -bimodules.

Proof. We prove item (2) and leave the proof of item (1), which is similar, to the reader. By Remark 1.2 we have a canonical isomorphism

$$X'_{rs} = E \otimes_A (A \otimes_k V)^{\otimes \bar{A}} \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes r} \otimes E \simeq (E \otimes_k V^{\otimes \bar{k}}) \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes r} \otimes E. \quad (2.10)$$

Let $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ and $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$. Since, for all $v \in V$,

$$\gamma(v) = (1 \otimes_k v) \cdot 1_A \equiv 1 \otimes_k v \pmod{X_\chi},$$

the isomorphism (2.10) maps $1_E \otimes_A \gamma_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes 1_E$ to $(1_E \otimes_k v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes 1_E$, and so it is the inverse of θ_{rs} . \square

Remark 2.4. The following assertions hold:

- (1) $Y'_0 = Y_0 \simeq E \otimes_A E$ and $X'_{r0} = X_{r0} \simeq E \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes r} \otimes E$ as E -bimodules.
- (2) $X'_{0s} \simeq E \otimes_A E^{\otimes \bar{A}} \otimes E$ and $X_{0s} \simeq E \otimes_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes \bar{A}} \otimes E$ as E -bimodules.

Consider the diagram of E -bimodules and E -bimodule maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \vdots & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & -\partial_3 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ Y_2 & \xleftarrow{v_2} & X_{02} & \xleftarrow{d_{12}^0} & X_{12} & \xleftarrow{d_{22}^0} & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & -\partial_2 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ Y_1 & \xleftarrow{v_1} & X_{01} & \xleftarrow{d_{11}^0} & X_{11} & \xleftarrow{d_{21}^0} & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & -\partial_1 & & & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ Y_0 & \xleftarrow{v_0} & X_{00} & \xleftarrow{d_{10}^0} & X_{10} & \xleftarrow{d_{20}^0} & \cdots, \end{array}$$

where (Y_*, ∂_*) is the normalized bar resolution of the A -algebra E , introduced in [11]; for each $s \geq 0$, the complex (X_{*s}, d_{*s}^0) is $(-1)^s$ -times the normalized bar resolution of the K -algebra A , tensored on the left over A with $E \otimes_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes \bar{A}}$, and on the right over A with E ; and for each $s \geq 0$, the map v_s is the canonical surjection.

Proposition 2.5. *Each one of the rows of the above diagram is contractible as a (E, K) -bimodule complex. A contracting homotopy*

$$\sigma_{0s}^0: Y_s \rightarrow X_{0s} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{r+1,s}^0: X_{rs} \rightarrow X_{r+1,s} \quad \text{for } r \geq 0,$$

of the s -th row, is given by

$$\sigma_{0s}^0(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes_A x_{s+1}) := \sum x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \cdot a_{(l)} \otimes \gamma(v_{(l)})$$

and

$$\sigma_{r+1,s}^0(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes x_{s+1}) := (-1)^{r+s+1} \sum x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes \overline{a_{(l)}} \otimes \gamma(v_{(l)}),$$

where $\sum_l a_{(l)} \otimes_k v_{(l)} = x_{s+1}$.

Proof. To begin not that the morphism σ_{0s}^0 is well defined, since

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{0s}^0(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes_k a \cdot x_{s+1}) = \sum x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \cdot aa_{(l)} \otimes \gamma(v_{(l)}) = \tilde{\sigma}_{0s}^0(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \cdot a \otimes_k x_{s+1}).$$

In order to finish the proof of the proposition we must check that

$$v_s \circ \sigma_{0s}^0 = \text{id}_{Y_s}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\sigma_{0s}^0 \circ v_s + d_{1s}^0 \circ \sigma_{1s}^0 = \text{id}_{X_{0s}} \quad (2.12)$$

and

$$\sigma_{rs}^0 \circ d_{rs}^0 + d_{r+1,s}^0 \circ \sigma_{r+1,s}^0 = \text{id}_{X_{rs}} \quad \text{for } r > 0. \quad (2.13)$$

A direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} v(\sigma^0(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes_A x_{s+1})) &= \sum x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes_A a_{(l)} \cdot \gamma(v_{(l)}), \\ \sigma^0(v(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes_A x_{s+1})) &= \sum_l x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \cdot a_{(l)} \otimes \gamma(v_{(l)}), \\ \sigma^0(d^0(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes x_{s+1})) &= \sum (-1)^r x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes_A b'(1_A \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes 1_A) \otimes_A \bar{a}_{(l)} \otimes \gamma(v_{(l)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d^0(\sigma^0(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes x_{s+1})) = \sum (-1)^{r+1} x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes_A b'(1_A \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes \bar{a}_{(l)} \otimes 1_A) \otimes_A \gamma(v_{(l)}),$$

where b'_* is the boundary map of the normalized Hochschild resolution of the K -algebra A . So, equalities (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13) follow immediately from equality (1.5). \square

Remark 2.6. Using equality (1.5) it is easy to see that if $x_{s+1} \in (K \otimes_k V) \cap E$, then, for all $a \in A$,

$$\sigma_{0s}^0(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes_A a \cdot x_{s+1}) = x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \cdot a \otimes x_{s+1} \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\sigma_{r+1,s}^0(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes a \cdot x_{s+1}) = (-1)^{r+s+1} x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes \bar{a} \otimes x_{s+1}. \quad (2.15)$$

Remark 2.7. The complex of E -bimodules

$$E \xleftarrow{-\tilde{\mu}_E} Y_0 \xleftarrow{-\partial_1} Y_1 \xleftarrow{-\partial_2} Y_2 \xleftarrow{-\partial_3} Y_3 \xleftarrow{-\partial_4} Y_4 \xleftarrow{-\partial_5} Y_5 \xleftarrow{-\partial_6} \dots$$

is contractible as a complex of (E, A) -bimodules. A chain contracting homotopy

$$\sigma_0^{-1}: E \rightarrow Y_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{s+1}^{-1}: Y_s \rightarrow Y_{s+1} \quad \text{for } s \geq 0,$$

is given by $\sigma_{s+1}^{-1}(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes_A x_{s+1}) := (-1)^s x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1,s+1} \otimes_A 1_E$.

Notation 2.8. In the sequel we will use the following notations:

- (1) Let $r, s \geq 0$ and let $0 \leq u \leq r$. For each k -subalgebra R of A , we let $L_{rs}^u(R)$ denote the (A, K) -subbimodule of X_{rs} generated by the simple tensors of the form

$$1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes 1_E,$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$, $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ and at least u of the a_j 's are in R .

- (2) Let $r, s \geq 0$ and let $0 \leq u \leq r$. For each k -subalgebra R of A , we let $\mathfrak{L}_{rs}^u(R)$ denote the (A, K) -subbimodule of X'_{rs} generated by the simple tensors of the form

$$1_E \otimes_A \gamma_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes 1_E,$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$, $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ and at least u of the a_j 's are in R .

- (3) Let $r, s \geq 0$ and let $0 \leq u \leq r$. For each k -subalgebra R of A we set

$$U_{rs}^u(R) := L_{rs}^u(R) \cdot \gamma(V), \quad W_{rs}^u(R) := L_{rs}^u(R) \cdot A \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{U}_{rs}^u(R) := \mathfrak{L}_{rs}^u(R) \cdot \gamma(V)$$

Remark 2.9. Note that for $0 \leq u \leq r$ and all $R \subseteq A$,

$$L_{rs}^u(A) = L_{rs}^0(R), \quad U_{rs}^u(A) = U_{rs}^0(R) \quad \text{and} \quad W_{rs}^u(A) = W_{rs}^0(R).$$

To abbreviate expressions we will write L_{rs} , U_{rs} and W_{rs} instead of $L_{rs}^0(A)$, $U_{rs}^0(A)$ and $W_{rs}^0(A)$, respectively.

For $r \geq 0$ and $1 \leq l \leq s$, we define E -bimodule maps $d_{rs}^l: X_{rs} \rightarrow X_{r+l-1, s-l}$ recursively on l and r , by:

$$d^l(\mathbf{z}) := \begin{cases} \sigma^0 \circ \partial \circ v(\mathbf{z}) & \text{if } l = 1 \text{ and } r = 0, \\ -\sigma^0 \circ d^1 \circ d^0(\mathbf{z}) & \text{if } l = 1 \text{ and } r > 0, \\ -\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \sigma^0 \circ d^{l-j} \circ d^j(\mathbf{z}) & \text{if } 1 < l \text{ and } r = 0, \\ -\sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \sigma^0 \circ d^{l-j} \circ d^j(\mathbf{z}) & \text{if } 1 < l \text{ and } r > 0, \end{cases}$$

for $\mathbf{z} \in E \cdot L_{rs}$.

Theorem 2.10. *The chain complex*

$$E \xleftarrow{\bar{\mu}_E} X_0 \xleftarrow{d_1} X_1 \xleftarrow{d_2} X_2 \xleftarrow{d_3} X_3 \xleftarrow{d_4} X_4 \xleftarrow{d_5} \cdots,$$

where

$$X_n := \bigoplus_{r+s=n} X_{rs} \quad \text{and} \quad d_n := \sum_{l=1}^n d_{0n}^l + \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{l=0}^{n-r} d_{r, n-r}^l,$$

is a Υ -relative projective resolution of E .

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of [13, Corollary A2]. \square

In order to carry out our computations we also need to give an explicit contracting homotopy of this resolution. For this we define (E, K) -bimodule maps

$$\sigma_{l, s-l}^l: Y_s \longrightarrow X_{l, s-l} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{r+l+1, s-l}^l: X_{rs} \longrightarrow X_{r+l+1, s-l}$$

recursively on l , by:

$$\sigma_{r+l+1, s-l}^l := -\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \sigma^0 \circ d^{l-i} \circ \sigma^i \quad (0 < l \leq s \text{ and } r \geq -1).$$

Proposition 2.11. *A contracting homotopy*

$$\bar{\sigma}_0: E \rightarrow X_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\sigma}_{n+1}: X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

of the resolution introduced in Theorem 2.10, is given by

$$\bar{\sigma}_0(\mathbf{x}) := -\sigma_{00}^0 \circ \sigma_0^{-1}(\mathbf{x})$$

and

$$\bar{\sigma}_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} -\sum_{l=0}^{n+1} \sigma_{l, n-l+1}^l \circ \sigma_{n+1}^{-1} \circ v_n(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{l=0}^n \sigma_{l+1, n-l}^l(\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in X_{0n}, \\ \sum_{l=0}^{n-r} \sigma_{r+l+1, n-r-l}^l(\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in X_{r, n-r} \text{ with } r > 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of [13, Corollary A2]. \square

Notation 2.12. Given a right A -submodule E_1 of E and a left A -submodule E_2 of E , we let $E_1 \bar{\otimes}_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes_A^s} \bar{\otimes}_A E_2$ denote the image of the canonical map $E_1 \otimes_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes_A^s} \otimes_A E_2 \rightarrow E \otimes_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes_A^s} \otimes_A E$.

Remark 2.13. By its very definition,

$$\sigma^0(j_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes}_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes_A^s} \bar{\otimes}_A E) \subseteq U_{0s} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^0(L_{rs} \cdot E) \subseteq U_{r+1, s} \quad \text{for all } r, s \geq 0.$$

So,

$$d^l(E \cdot L_{rs}) \subseteq E \cdot U_{r+l-1, s-l} \quad \text{for all } r \geq 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq l \leq s. \quad (2.16)$$

Remark 2.14. By Remark 2.13 and the definition of σ^l , we have

$$\sigma^l(Y_s) \subseteq E \cdot U_{l,s-l} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^l(X_{rs}) \subseteq E \cdot U_{r+l+1,s-l} \quad \text{for all } r \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq l \leq s.$$

Remark 2.15. By Remark 2.14 and the definition of $\bar{\sigma}$, we have

$$\bar{\sigma}(X_{r,n-r}) \subseteq \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{l=-1}^n E \cdot U_{l+1,n-l} & \text{if } r = 0, \\ \bigoplus_{l=0}^{n-r} E \cdot U_{r+l+1,n-r-l} & \text{if } r > 0. \end{cases}$$

From now on we assume that K is stable under χ and that $1_E \in K \otimes_k V$. By the first condition we have $\gamma(V) \subseteq K \otimes_k V$. So, by equality (2.15),

$$\sigma^0(E \cdot U_{rs}) = 0 \quad \text{for all } r, s \geq 0. \quad (2.17)$$

On the other hand, by the second condition and equalities (2.14) and (2.15),

$$\sigma^0(j_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes}_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes_A^s} \bar{\otimes}_A j_\nu(A)) \subseteq L_{0s} \quad \text{for all } r, s \geq 0. \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\sigma^0(W_{rs}) \subseteq L_{r+1,s} \quad \text{for all } s \geq 0. \quad (2.19)$$

Remark 2.16. By Remark 2.14 and equality (2.17), we have $\sigma^0 \circ \sigma^0 = 0$.

Remark 2.17. By Remark 2.14, the definitions of $\bar{\sigma}$, v and σ^{-1} , and the inclusion in (2.18),

$$\bar{\sigma}(X_{0n}) \subseteq E \cdot L_{0,n+1} \oplus \bigoplus_{l=0}^n E \cdot U_{l+1,n-l}.$$

Proposition 2.18. *The homotopy $\bar{\sigma}$ found in Proposition 2.11 satisfies*

$$\bar{\sigma}_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}) = -\sigma_{0,n+1}^0 \circ \sigma_{n+1}^{-1} \circ v_n(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{l=0}^n \sigma_{l+1,n-l}^l(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \in X_{0n}.$$

Proof. By the definitions of $\bar{\sigma}$, v and σ^{-1} , it suffices to prove that

$$\sigma^l(E \bar{\otimes}_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes_A^{n+1}} \bar{\otimes}_A j_\nu(A)) = 0 \quad \text{for all } l \geq 1.$$

Suppose this is false, and let $l \geq 1$ be the least upper index for which the above equality is wrong. Hence

$$\sigma^l(\mathbf{x}) = -\sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \sigma^0 \circ d^{l-i} \circ \sigma^i(\mathbf{x}) = -\sigma^0 \circ d^l \circ \sigma^0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for each } \mathbf{x} \in E \bar{\otimes}_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes_A^{n+1}} \bar{\otimes}_A j_\nu(A).$$

But, by the inclusions in (2.16) and (2.18)

$$\sigma^0 \circ d^l \circ \sigma^0(\mathbf{x}) \in \sigma^0 \circ d^l(E \cdot L_{0n}) \subseteq \sigma^0(E \cdot U_{l+1,n-l}),$$

which, by equality (2.17) is zero. This contradiction shows that the proposition is true. \square

Proposition 2.19. *The contracting homotopy $\bar{\sigma}$ satisfies $\bar{\sigma} \circ \bar{\sigma} = 0$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.18 it will be sufficient to see that $\sigma^0 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ v \circ \sigma^0 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ v = 0$ and $\sigma^l \circ \sigma^{l'} = 0$ for all $l, l' \geq 0$. The first equality is true because $v \circ \sigma^0 = \text{id}$ and $\sigma^{-1} \circ \sigma^{-1} = 0$. Since $\sigma^0 \circ \sigma^0 = 0$, in order to prove the second one it suffices to show that there exists a map ζ^l such that $\sigma^l = \sigma^0 \circ \zeta^l \circ \sigma^0$ for all $l \geq 1$. But this follows by an easy inductive argument. \square

Proposition 2.20. *For all $r \geq 0$ and $1 \leq l \leq s$, we have $\sigma^l(E \cdot W_{rs}) = 0$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on l . Suppose that this assertion is true for all $l < l_0$. By the definition of σ^{l_0} and the inductive hypothesis,

$$\sigma^{l_0}(\mathbf{x}) = - \sum_{i=0}^{l_0-1} \sigma^0 \circ d^{l_0-i} \circ \sigma^i(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma^0 \circ d^{l_0} \circ \sigma^0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for each } \mathbf{x} \in E \cdot W_{rs}.$$

But, by the inclusions in (2.16) and (2.19)

$$\sigma^0 \circ d^{l_0} \circ \sigma^0(\mathbf{x}) \in \sigma^0 \circ d^{l_0}(E \cdot L_{r+1,s}) \subseteq \sigma^0(E \cdot U_{r+l+1,s-l}),$$

which by equality (2.17) is zero. \square

Remark 2.21. Since $\sigma^{-1} \circ v(E \cdot W_{0n}) = 0$, by the definition of $\bar{\sigma}$ and Proposition 2.20,

$$\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma^0(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq r \leq n \text{ and all } \mathbf{x} \in E \cdot W_{r,n-r}.$$

Consequently, by the inclusion in (2.19),

$$\bar{\sigma}(W_{r,n-r}) \subseteq L_{r+1,n-r} \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq r \leq n. \quad (2.20)$$

Definition 2.22. For each $r \geq 0$ and $s > 0$, we define E -bimodule maps

$$\mu'_i: X'_{rs} \longrightarrow X'_{r,s-1} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq s,$$

by

$$\mu'_i(\mathbf{x}_{0s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1,s+r} \otimes 1_E) := \begin{cases} x_0 x_1 \otimes_A \mathbf{x}_{2s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1,s+r} \otimes 1_E & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \mathbf{x}_{0,i-1} \otimes_A x_i x_{i+1} \otimes_A \mathbf{x}_{i+2,s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1,s+r} \otimes 1_E & \text{if } 0 < i < s, \\ \sum_l \mathbf{x}_{0,s-2} \otimes_A x_{s-1} j_\nu(a_s) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1,s+r}^{(l)} \otimes \gamma(v_s^{(l)}) & \text{if } i = s, \end{cases}$$

where $a_0, \dots, a_{s+r} \in A$, $v_0, \dots, v_s \in V$, $x_j := j_\nu(a_j) \gamma(v_j)$ for $0 \leq j \leq s$, and

$$\sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1,s+r}^{(l)} \otimes_k v_s^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v_s \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1,s+r}).$$

Theorem 2.23. *The map $d^1: X_{rs} \rightarrow X_{r,s-1}$ is induced by $\sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^i \mu'_i$.*

Proof. For $0 \leq i \leq s$, let $x_i = j_\nu(a_i) \gamma(v_i)$ with $a_i \in A$ and $v_i \in V$. Assume that $r = 0$. Since $1_E \in K \otimes_k V$ and $\gamma(v_s) \subseteq K \otimes_k V$, from equality (2.14) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d^1(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes 1_E) &= \sigma^0 \circ \partial \circ v(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes 1_E) \\ &= x_0 x_1 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{2s} \otimes 1_E \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} (-1)^i x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1,i-1} \otimes_A \widetilde{x_i x_{i+1}} \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{i+2,s} \otimes 1_E \\ &\quad + (-1)^s x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1,s-2} \otimes_A \widetilde{x_s j_\nu(a_s)} \otimes \gamma(v_s). \end{aligned}$$

Assume now that $r > 0$ and that the theorem holds for $r-1$ and s . Let $T \in E \otimes_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes_s} \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes_{r-1}} \otimes E$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T &:= x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1,s-1} \otimes_A \widetilde{x_s j_\nu(a_{s+1})} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+2,s+r} \otimes 1_E \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} (-1)^i x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1,s+i-1} \otimes \overline{a_{s+i} a_{s+i+1}} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+2,r} \otimes 1_E, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{s+1}, \dots, a_{s+r} \in A$. By the very definition of d^1 ,

$$\sigma^0 \circ d^1(T) = \begin{cases} \sigma^0 \circ \sigma^0 \circ \partial \circ v(T) & \text{if } r = 1, \\ -\sigma^0 \circ \sigma^0 \circ d^1 \circ d^0(T) & \text{if } r > 1, \end{cases}$$

which, by Remark 2.16 and the definition of d^1 , implies

$$d^1(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1,s+r} \otimes 1_E) = (-1)^{s+r+1} \sigma^0 \circ d^1(x_0 \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1,s+r-1} \otimes J_\nu(a_{s+r})).$$

Now the theorem for d_{rs}^1 can be obtained easily by induction on r , using that $1_E \in K \otimes_k V$ and $\gamma(V) \subseteq K \otimes_k V$, the first equality in Theorem 1.6(9) and equality (2.15). \square

In the following theorem we assume that V is a non unitary associative algebra, a non counitary coassociative coalgebra such that

$$(vw)^{(1)} \otimes_k (vw)^{(2)} = v^{(1)}w^{(1)} \otimes_k v^{(2)}w^{(2)} \quad \text{for all } v, w \in V,$$

where we are using the Sweedler notation. Assume also that there exist maps $\rho: V \otimes_k A \rightarrow A$ and $f: V \otimes_k V \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\gamma(v)J_\nu(a) = v^{(1)} \cdot a \otimes_k v^{(2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(v)\gamma(w) = f(v^{(1)} \otimes_k w^{(1)}) \otimes_k v^{(2)}w^{(2)}, \quad (2.21)$$

where $v \cdot a := \rho(v \otimes_k a)$. We will use the following notation: Given $v \in V$ and $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$, we set

$$v \cdot \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} := \overline{v^{(1)} \cdot a_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \overline{v^{(r)} \cdot a_r} \in \bar{A}^{\otimes r}.$$

The previous conditions are satisfied for the crossed products of algebras by weak bialgebras. So Theorems 3.6 and 4.6 (which are immediate consequences of the following result) apply in this context. We will use these results in [14].

Theorem 2.24. *The map $d^2: X_{rs} \rightarrow X_{r+1,s-2}$ is given by*

$$d^2(\mathbf{z}_{rs}) = (-1)^{s+1} 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,s-2}) \otimes \mathfrak{T}(v_{s-1}^{(1)}, v_s^{(1)}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}) \otimes \gamma(v_{s-1}^{(2)} v_s^{(2)}),$$

where $\mathbf{z}_{rs} := 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes 1_E$, with $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$ and $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$, and

$$\mathfrak{T}(v_{s-1}, v_s, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}) := \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^i v_{s-1}^{(1)} \cdot (v_s^{(1)} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1i}) \otimes f(v_{s-1}^{(2)} \otimes_k v_s^{(2)}) \otimes v_{s-1}^{(3)} v_s^{(3)} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,r}.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on r . Assume $r = 0$. By definition $d^2(\mathbf{z}_{0s}) = -\sigma^0 \circ d^1 \circ d^1(\mathbf{z}_{0s})$. Since $1_E \in K \otimes_k V$ and $\gamma(V) \subseteq K \otimes_k V$, by Theorem 2.23 and equality (2.15), we have

$$d^2(\mathbf{z}_{0s}) = \sigma^0(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,s-2}) \otimes \gamma(v_{s-1})\gamma(v_s)).$$

Consequently, by the second equalities (2.15) and (2.21),

$$d^2(\mathbf{z}_{0s}) = (-1)^{s+1} 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,s-2}) \otimes f(v_{s-1}^{(1)} \otimes_k v_s^{(1)}) \otimes \gamma(v_{s-1}^{(2)} v_s^{(2)}),$$

as desired. Suppose now that the result is true for r . By definition

$$d^2(\mathbf{z}_{r+1,s}) = -\sigma^0 \circ (d^2 \circ d^0 + d^1 \circ d^1)(\mathbf{z}_{r+1,s}). \quad (2.22)$$

A similar computation as above (using the inductive hypothesis) shows that

$$\sigma^0 \circ d^2 \circ d^0(\mathbf{z}_{r+1,s}) = (-1)^r \sigma^0(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,s-2}) \otimes \mathfrak{T}(v_{s-1}^{(1)}, v_s^{(2)}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}) \otimes \gamma(v_{s-1}^{(2)} v_s^{(2)}) J_\nu(a_{r+1}))$$

and

$$\sigma^0 \circ d^1 \circ d^1(\mathbf{z}_{r+1,s}) = -\sigma^0(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,s-2}) \otimes v_{s-1}^{(1)} \cdot (v_s^{(1)} \cdot \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,r+1}) \otimes \gamma(v_{s-1}^{(1)})\gamma(v_s^{(1)})).$$

The formula for $d^2(\mathbf{z}_{r+1,s})$ follows now from equalities (2.15), (2.21) and (2.22). \square

Proposition 2.25. *Let R be a k -subalgebra of A . If R is stable under χ , then*

$$\mu'_i(\mathfrak{L}_{rs}^u(R)) \subseteq \begin{cases} E \cdot \mathfrak{L}_{r,s-1}^u(R) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \mathfrak{L}_{r,s-1}^u(R) & \text{if } 0 < i < s, \\ \mathfrak{U}_{r,s-1}^u(R) & \text{if } i = s. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $i = 0$ this is trivial, while for $0 < i < s$ it follows using equality (1.6) repeatedly. Finally for $i = s$, it follows from the very definition of μ'_s using that R is stable under χ . \square

Theorem 2.26. *Let R be a k -subalgebra of A . If R is stable under χ and $\mathcal{F}(V \otimes_k V) \subseteq R \otimes_k V$, then the following assertions hold:*

- (1) $d^0(L_{rs}^u(R)) \subseteq L_{r-1,s}^{u-1}(R) \cdot R + L_{r-1,s}^u(R) \cdot A$, for each $r \geq 1$, $s \geq 0$ and $0 < u \leq r$.
- (2) $d^1(L_{rs}^u(R)) \subseteq E \cdot L_{r,s-1}^u(R) + U_{r,s-1}^u(R)$, for each $r \geq 0$, $s \geq 1$ and $0 \leq u \leq r$.
- (3) $d^l(L_{rs}^u(R)) \subseteq U_{r+l-1,s-l}^{u+l-1}(R)$, for each $r \geq 0$, $s \geq 2$, $0 \leq u \leq r$ and $2 \leq l \leq s$.

Proof. Item (1) is trivial and item (2) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.23 and Proposition 2.25. It remains to prove item (3). Assume that $s \geq l > 1$, $r \geq 0$ and that the result is true for every $d_{r',s'}^j$ with $j \leq \min(l-1, s')$, or with $j = l \leq s'$ and $r' < r$, or with $j = l \leq s' < s$ and $r' = r$. We claim that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \sigma^0 \circ d^{l-j} \circ d^j(L_{rs}^u(R)) \subseteq U_{r+l-1,s-l}^{u+l-1}(R).$$

By item (2) and the inductive hypothesis

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \sigma^0 \circ d^{l-j} \circ d^j(L_{rs}^u(R)) \subseteq \sigma^0 \circ d^{l-1}(E \cdot L_{r,s-1}^u(R)) + \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \sigma^0 \circ d^{l-j}(U_{r+j-1,s-j}^{u+j-1}(R)).$$

So, by the inclusion in (2.16) and equality (2.17),

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \sigma^0 \circ d^{l-j} \circ d^j(L_{rs}^u(R)) \subseteq \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \sigma^0 \circ d^{l-j}(U_{r+j-1,s-j}^{u+j-1}(R)). \quad (2.23)$$

Since, by item (2),

$$d^1(U_{r+l-2,s-l+1}^{u+l-2}(R)) \subseteq E \cdot U_{r+l-2,s-l}^{u+l-2}(R) + L_{r+l-2,s-l}^{u+l-2}(R) \cdot \gamma(V)\gamma(V),$$

and, by the inductive hypothesis,

$$d^{l-j}(U_{r+j-1,s-j}^{u+j-1}(R)) \subseteq L_{r+l-2,s-l}^{u+l-2}(R) \cdot \gamma(V)\gamma(V) \quad \text{for } l-j > 1,$$

from the inclusion (2.23) we obtain that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \sigma^0 \circ d^{l-j} \circ d^j(L_{rs}^u(R)) \subseteq \sigma^0(E \cdot U_{r+l-2,s-l}^{u+l-2}(R)) + \sigma^0(L_{r+l-2,s-l}^{u+l-2}(R) \cdot \gamma(V)\gamma(V)).$$

Thus, the claim follows using equality (2.17) and the fact that, by the second equality in Theorem 1.6(9), Proposition 1.11 and equality (2.15),

$$\sigma^0(L_{r+l-2,s-l}^{u+l-2}(R) \cdot \gamma(V)\gamma(V)) \subseteq U_{r+l-1,s-l}^{u+l-1}(R).$$

Consequently, by the very definition of d^l we have

$$d^l(L_{rs}^u(R)) \subseteq \begin{cases} U_{r+l-1,s-l}^{u+l-1}(R) & \text{if } r = 0 \\ \sigma^0 \circ d^l \circ d^0(L_{rs}^u(R)) + U_{r+l-1,s-l}^{u+l-1}(R) & \text{if } r > 0. \end{cases}$$

Hence, we are reduced to prove that

$$\sigma^0 \circ d^l \circ d^0(L_{rs}^u(R)) \subseteq U_{r+l-1,s-l}^{u+l-1}(R) \quad \text{for each } r > 0.$$

But, by item (1) and the inductive hypothesis,

$$\sigma^0 \circ d^l \circ d^0(L_{rs}^u(R)) \subseteq \sigma^0(U_{r+l-2,s-l}^{u+l-2}(R) \cdot R + U_{r+l-2,s-l}^{u+l-1}(R) \cdot A)$$

$$\subseteq \sigma^0 \left(L_{r+l-2, s-l}^{u+l-2}(R) \cdot \gamma(V)_{J\nu}(R) + L_{r+l-2, s-l}^{u+l-1}(R) \cdot E \right),$$

and so, by the definition of σ^0 , Lemma 1.13, the fact that $\gamma(V) \subseteq K \otimes_k V$ and equality (2.15),

$$\sigma^0 \circ d^l \circ d^0(L_{rs}^u(R)) \subseteq U_{r+l-1, s-l}^{u+l-1}(R),$$

as we want. \square

Corollary 2.27. *If \mathcal{F} takes its values in $K \otimes_k V$, then (X_*, d_*) is the total complex of the double complex $(X_{**}, d_{**}^0, d_{**}^1)$.*

2.1 Comparison with the normalized bar resolution

Let $(E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes*} \otimes E, b'_*)$ be the normalized bar resolution of the K -algebra E . As it is well known, the complex

$$E \xleftarrow{\overline{\mu}_E} E \otimes E \xleftarrow{b'_1} E \otimes \overline{E} \otimes E \xleftarrow{b'_2} E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes 2} \otimes E \xleftarrow{b'_3} \dots,$$

is contractible as a complex of (E, K) -bimodules, with contracting homotopy

$$\xi_0: E \longrightarrow E \otimes E \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_{n+1}: E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes E \longrightarrow E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n+1} \otimes E \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

given by $\xi_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}) := (-1)^{n+1} \mathbf{x} \otimes 1_E$. Let

$$\phi_*: (X_*, d_*) \longrightarrow (E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes*} \otimes E, b'_*) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_*: (E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes*} \otimes E, b'_*) \longrightarrow (X_*, d_*)$$

be the morphisms of E -bimodule complexes, recursively defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0 &:= \text{id}, & \psi_0 &:= \text{id}, \\ \phi_n(\mathbf{x} \otimes 1_E) &:= \xi_n \circ \phi_{n-1} \circ d_n(\mathbf{x} \otimes 1_E) \quad \text{for } n > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\psi_n(\mathbf{y} \otimes 1_E) := \overline{\sigma}_n \circ \psi_{n-1} \circ b'(\mathbf{y} \otimes 1_E) \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

Notation 2.28. Given a right K -submodule E_1 of E , we let $E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes E_1$ denote the image of the canonical map $E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes E_1 \longrightarrow E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes E$.

Proposition 2.29. *$\psi_* \circ \phi_* = \text{id}_*$ and $\phi_* \circ \psi_*$ is homotopically equivalent to the identity map. More precisely, the one degree map*

$$\omega_{*+1}: E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes*} \otimes E \longrightarrow E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes*+1} \otimes E,$$

recursively defined by

$$\omega_1 := 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{n+1}(\mathbf{y} \otimes 1_E) := \xi_{n+1} \circ (\phi_n \circ \psi_n - \text{id} - \omega_n \circ b'_n)(\mathbf{y} \otimes 1_E) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0,$$

is a homotopy from $\phi_* \circ \psi_*$ to id_* .

Proof. We prove both assertions by induction. Clearly $\psi_0 \circ \phi_0 = \text{id}$. Assume that $\psi_n \circ \phi_n = \text{id}$. Since

$$\phi_{n+1}(E \cdot L_{n+1-s, s}) = \xi_{n+1} \circ \phi_n \circ d_{n+1}(E \cdot L_{n+1-s, s}) \subseteq E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n+1} \otimes_{J\nu}(K),$$

on $E \cdot L_{n+1-s, s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{n+1} \circ \phi_{n+1} &= \overline{\sigma}_{n+1} \circ \psi_n \circ b'_{n+1} \circ \xi_{n+1} \circ \phi_n \circ d_{n+1} \\ &= \overline{\sigma}_{n+1} \circ \psi_n \circ \phi_n \circ d_{n+1} - \overline{\sigma}_{n+1} \circ \psi_n \circ \xi_n \circ b'_n \circ \phi_n \circ d_{n+1} \\ &= \overline{\sigma}_{n+1} \circ d_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \text{id}_{n+1} - d_{n+2} \circ \bar{\sigma}_{n+2},$$

So, to conclude that $\psi_{n+1} \circ \phi_{n+1} = \text{id}$ it suffices to check that $\bar{\sigma}_{n+2}(E \cdot L_{n+1-s,s}) = 0$. But by the definition of $\bar{\sigma}_{n+2}$ and the arguments in the proof of Proposition 2.19, this will follow if we prove that

$$\sigma^{-1} \circ v(E \cdot L_{0,n+1}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^0(E \cdot L_{n+1-s,s}) = 0.$$

The first equality is clear and the second one is a particular case of equality (2.17).

Now we prove the second assertion. Clearly $\phi_0 \circ \psi_0 - \text{id} = 0 = b'_1 \circ w_1$. Let

$$U_n := \phi_n \circ \psi_n - \text{id} \quad \text{and} \quad T_n := U_n - \omega_n \circ b'_n.$$

Assuming that $b'_n \circ \omega_n + \omega_{n-1} \circ b'_{n-1} = U_{n-1}$, we get that, on $E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n} \bar{\otimes}_{J\nu}(K)$,

$$\begin{aligned} b'_{n+1} \circ \omega_{n+1} + \omega_n \circ b'_n &= b'_{n+1} \circ \xi_{n+1} \circ T_n + \omega_n \circ b'_n \\ &= T_n - \xi_n \circ b'_n \circ T_n + \omega_n \circ b'_n \\ &= U_n - \xi_n \circ b'_n \circ U_n + \xi_n \circ b'_n \circ \omega_n \circ b'_n \\ &= U_n - \xi_n \circ U_{n-1} \circ b'_n + \xi_n \circ b'_n \circ \omega_n \circ b'_n \\ &= U_n - \xi_n \circ U_{n-1} \circ b'_n + \xi_n \circ U_{n-1} \circ b'_n - \xi_n \circ \omega_{n-1} \circ b'_{n-1} \circ b'_n \\ &= U_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $b'_{n+1} \circ \omega_{n+1} + \omega_n \circ b'_n = U_n$ on $E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes E$. \square

Proposition 2.30. *We have $\psi(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes 1_E) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n)$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

Proof. By definition $\psi(E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n-1} \bar{\otimes}_{J\nu}(K)) \subseteq \text{Im}(\bar{\sigma})$. So, by Proposition 2.19,

$$\psi(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes 1_E) = \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi \circ b'(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes 1_E) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n),$$

as desired. \square

Remark 2.31. Let $x_0, \dots, x_n \in E$ and let $\mathbf{x} := x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes 1_E$. Since

$$\omega(E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n-1} \bar{\otimes}_{J\nu}(K)) \subseteq E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n} \bar{\otimes}_{J\nu}(K)$$

and ξ vanishes on $E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n} \bar{\otimes}_{J\nu}(K)$,

$$\omega(\mathbf{x}) = \xi(\phi(\psi(\mathbf{x})) - \mathbf{x} - \omega(b'(\mathbf{x}))) = \xi(\phi(\psi(\mathbf{x})) - (-1)^n \omega(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n)).$$

2.2 The filtrations of the resolutions

Notation 2.32. For each $n, i \geq 0$ we write

$$F^i(X_n) := \bigoplus_{0 \leq s \leq i} X_{n-s,s}$$

and we let $F^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n})$ denote the K -subbimodule of $\bar{E}^{\otimes n}$ generated by the tensors $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n}$ such that at least $n-i$ of the x_j 's belong to $J\nu(A)$. Furthermore, given a right K -submodule E_1 of E and a left K -submodule E_2 of E , we let $E_1 \bar{\otimes} F^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} E_2$ denote the image of the canonical map $E_1 \otimes F^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \otimes E_2 \rightarrow E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes E$. Moreover we write $F^i(E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes E) := E \bar{\otimes} F^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} E$.

The normalized bar resolution $(E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes*} \otimes E, b_*)$ and the resolution (X_*, d_*) are filtered by

$$F^0(E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes*} \otimes E) \subseteq F^1(E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes*} \otimes E) \subseteq F^2(E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes*} \otimes E) \subseteq \dots$$

and

$$F^0(X_*) \subseteq F^1(X_*) \subseteq F^2(X_*) \subseteq F^3(X_*) \subseteq F^4(X_*) \subseteq F^5(X_*) \subseteq \dots,$$

respectively.

Notation 2.33. Let $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $0 \leq u \leq n - i$. For each k -subalgebra R of A , we let $F_{R,u}^i(\overline{E}^{\otimes n})$ denote the K -subbimodule of $\overline{E}^{\otimes n}$ generated by all the simple tensors $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{1n}$ such that

$$\#\{j : x_j \notin J_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)\} = 0, \quad \#\{j : x_j \notin J_\nu(A)\} \leq i \quad \text{and} \quad \#\{j : x_j \in J_\nu(R)\} \geq u.$$

Furthermore, given a right K -submodule E_1 of E and a left K -submodule E_2 of E , we let $E_1 \overline{\otimes} F_{R,u}^i(\overline{E}^{\otimes n}) \overline{\otimes} E_2$ denote the image of the canonical map $E_1 \otimes F_{R,u}^i(\overline{E}^{\otimes n}) \otimes E_2 \rightarrow E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes E$.

Remark 2.34. Note that $F_{R,0}^i(\overline{E}^{\otimes n})$ and $E_1 \overline{\otimes} F_{R,0}^i(\overline{E}^{\otimes n}) \overline{\otimes} E_2$ do not depend on R .

Definition 2.35. For $s \geq 1$ and $i \geq 0$, we define $F_{si} : V \otimes_k^s \otimes_k \overline{A}^{\otimes i} \rightarrow V \otimes_k^{s-1} \otimes_k \overline{A}^{\otimes i} \otimes_K \overline{E}$, by

$$F_{si}(v_{1s} \otimes_k \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1i}) := (-1)^i \sum v_{1,s-1} \otimes_k \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1i}^{(l)} \otimes_K \overline{\gamma}(v_s^{(l)}),$$

where $\sum_l \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1i}^{(l)} \otimes_k v_s^{(l)} := \overline{\chi}(v_s \otimes_k \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1i})$.

Remark 2.36. Note that $F_{s0}(v_{1s}) = v_{1,s-1} \otimes_k \overline{\gamma}(v_s)$.

Definition 2.37. For $s, r \geq 0$, we let $\text{Sh}_{sr} : V \otimes_k^s \otimes_k \overline{A}^{\otimes r} \rightarrow \overline{E}^{\otimes r+s}$ denote the map recursively defined by:

$$\text{Sh}_{0r} := \overline{J}_\nu^{\otimes r} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sh}_{sr} := \sum_{i=0}^r \left(\text{Sh}_{s-1,i} \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes r-i+1} \right) \circ \left(F_{si} \otimes \overline{J}_\nu^{\otimes r-i} \right) \quad \text{for } s \geq 1.$$

Remark 2.38. Let R be a k -subalgebra of A and let $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$ and $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$. It is evident that if R is stable under χ , then $\text{Sh}_{sr}(v_{1s} \otimes_k \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}) \in F_{R,u}^s(\overline{E}^{\otimes r+s})$, where $u = \#\{i : a_i \in R\}$.

Proposition 2.39. Let R be a k -subalgebra of A . If R is stable under χ and \mathcal{F} takes its values in $R \otimes_k V$, then

$$\phi(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1i}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i} \otimes 1_E) \equiv 1_E \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i}) \otimes 1_E \quad \text{mod } J_\nu(A) \overline{\otimes} F_{R,1}^{i-1}(\overline{E}^{\otimes n}) \overline{\otimes} J_\nu(K),$$

for all $v_1, \dots, v_i \in V$ and $a_1, \dots, a_{n-i} \in A$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . For $n = 0$ the proposition is trivial. Assume that $n > 0$ and that the proposition is true for $n - 1$. Write

$$\mathbf{x} := 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1i}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i} \otimes 1_E.$$

By item (3) of Theorem 2.26, Remark 2.38, the inductive hypothesis and the definition of ξ ,

$$\xi \circ \phi \circ d^l(\mathbf{x}) \in J_\nu(A) \overline{\otimes} F_{R,1}^{i-l+1}(\overline{E}^{\otimes n}) \overline{\otimes} J_\nu(K) \quad \text{for all } l > 1.$$

So,

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \xi \circ \phi \circ d^0(\mathbf{x}) + \xi \circ \phi \circ d^1(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{mod } J_\nu(A) \overline{\otimes} F_{R,1}^{i-1}(\overline{E}^{\otimes n}) \overline{\otimes} J_\nu(K).$$

Note now that, since by the inductive hypothesis, $\phi(E \cdot L_{i',n-i'-1}) \subseteq \text{Im}(\xi) \subseteq \ker(\xi)$, from the definition of d^0 it follows that

$$\xi \circ \phi \circ d^0(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^n \xi \circ \phi(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1i}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i-1} \otimes J_\nu(a_{n-i})),$$

while, by Theorem 2.23 and Proposition 2.25, we have

$$\xi \circ \phi \circ d^l(\mathbf{x}) = \sum (-1)^i \xi \circ \phi(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i}^{(l)} \otimes \gamma(v_i^{(l)})),$$

where $\sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i}^{(l)} \otimes_k v_i^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v_i \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i})$. Now the proof can be finished using the inductive hypothesis. \square

Remark 2.40. If the hypothesis of Proposition 2.39 are satisfied, then there exist maps

$$\phi'_{in}: V^{\otimes_k i} \otimes_k \bar{A}^{\otimes_k n-i} \longrightarrow J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{R,1}^{i-1}(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n,$$

such that

$$\phi_n(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1i}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i} \otimes 1_E) = 1_E \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i}) \otimes 1_E + \phi'_{in}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i}),$$

for all $v_1, \dots, v_i \in V$ and $a_1, \dots, a_{n-i} \in A$ (of course $\phi'_{0n} = 0$ for all n).

Notation 2.41. Given $v_1, \dots, v_i \in V$ and $a_1, \dots, a_{n-i} \in A$ we will write $\phi'_{in}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i})$ in the form $\phi''_{in}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i}) \otimes 1_E$.

Theorem 2.42. *The maps ϕ , ψ and ω preserve filtrations.*

Proof. Since for ϕ this follows from Proposition 2.39, we only must check it for ψ and ω . In order to abbreviate expressions we set

$$F_Q^i(X_n) := \bigoplus_{s=0}^i E \cdot U_{n-s,s} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let $0 \leq i \leq n$. By Remark 2.15

$$\bar{\sigma}(F^i(X_n)) \subseteq F_Q^i(X_{n+1}) \quad \text{if } i < n, \quad (2.24)$$

while, by Remark 2.17,

$$\bar{\sigma}(X_{0n}) \subseteq E \cdot L_{0,n+1} + F_Q^n(X_{n+1}). \quad (2.25)$$

Furthermore, by the inclusion (2.20),

$$\bar{\sigma}(E \cdot W_{n-i,i}) \subseteq E \cdot L_{n+1-i,i} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq n. \quad (2.26)$$

We claim that

$$\psi(E \bar{\otimes} F^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K)) \subseteq E \cdot L_{n-i,i} + F_Q^{i-1}(X_n). \quad (2.27)$$

For $n = 0$ this is trivial. Suppose (2.27) is valid for n . Let

$$x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n+1} \otimes 1_E \in E \bar{\otimes} F^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n+1}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K),$$

where $0 \leq i \leq n+1$. By Proposition 2.30, to prove (2.27) for $n+1$, we only must check that

$$\bar{\sigma}(\psi(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes x_{n+1})) \subseteq E \cdot L_{n+1-i,i} + F_Q^{i-1}(X_{n+1}).$$

If $x_{n+1} \in J_\nu(A)$, then $i \leq n$ and using (2.24), (2.26) and the inductive hypothesis, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma}(\psi(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes x_{n+1})) &= \bar{\sigma}(\psi(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes 1_E)x_{n+1}) \\ &\subseteq \bar{\sigma}(E \cdot W_{n-i,i} + F^{i-1}(X_n)) \\ &\subseteq E \cdot L_{n+1-i,i} + F_Q^{i-1}(X_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

while if $x_{n+1} \notin J_\nu(A)$, then $x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes x_{n+1} \in F^{i-1}(E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes E)$, which together with (2.24), (2.25) and the inductive hypothesis, implies that

$$\bar{\sigma}(\psi(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes x_{n+1})) \subseteq \bar{\sigma}(F^{i-1}(X_n)) \subseteq E \cdot L_{n+1-i,i} + F_Q^{i-1}(X_{n+1}),$$

which finishes the proof of the claim. From (2.27) it follows immediately that ψ preserves filtrations. Next, we prove that ω also does it. More concretely we claim that

$$\omega(E \bar{\otimes} F^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes}_{J_\nu}(K)) \subseteq E \bar{\otimes} F^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n+1}) \bar{\otimes}_{J_\nu}(K).$$

This is trivial for ω_1 , since $\omega_1 = 0$. Assume that ω_n does it. Let

$$\mathbf{x} := x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes 1_E \in E \bar{\otimes} F^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes}_{J_\nu}(K).$$

By Remark 2.31, we know that

$$\omega(\mathbf{x}) = \xi \circ \phi \circ \psi(\mathbf{x}) + (-1)^n \xi \circ \omega(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n).$$

Since, by inclusion (2.27), Proposition 2.39 and the definition of ξ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \circ \phi \circ \psi(\mathbf{x}) &\in \xi \circ \phi(E \cdot L_{n-i,i} + F_Q^{i-1}(X_n)) \\ &\subseteq \xi(E \bar{\otimes} F_{A,0}^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes}_{J_\nu}(K) + J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{A,0}^{i-1}(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes}_{J_\nu}(K) \cdot \gamma(V)) \\ &\subseteq E \bar{\otimes} F_{A,1}^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n+1}) \bar{\otimes}_{J_\nu}(K), \end{aligned}$$

in order to finish the proof of the claim it remains to check that

$$\xi(\omega(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n)) \in E \bar{\otimes} F^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n+1}) \bar{\otimes}_{J_\nu}(K).$$

If $x_n \in J_\nu(A)$, then, by the inductive hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(\omega(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n)) &= \xi(\omega(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes 1_E)x_n) \\ &\subseteq \xi(E \bar{\otimes} F^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes}_{J_\nu}(A)) \\ &\subseteq E \bar{\otimes} F^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n+1}) \bar{\otimes}_{J_\nu}(K), \end{aligned}$$

while if $x_n \notin J_\nu(A)$, then $x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n \in F^{i-1}(E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes E)$, and so

$$\xi(\omega(x_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n)) \in \xi(F^{i-1}(E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes E)) \subseteq E \bar{\otimes} F^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n+1}) \bar{\otimes}_{J_\nu}(K),$$

as we want. \square

3 Hochschild homology of general crossed products

In this section we use freely the notations introduced in Section 2. We assume that all the properties required in that section are fulfilled (for the convenience of the reader we recall that all these properties were established at the beginning of Section 2, with the only exception of the fact that K is stable under χ and $1_E \in K \otimes_k V$, which were established below Remark 2.15). Let M be an E -bimodule. Since (X_*, d_*) is a Υ -relative projective resolution of E , the Hochschild homology $H_*^K(E, M)$, of the K -algebra E with coefficients in M , is the homology of $M \otimes_{E^e} (X_*, d_*)$. It is well known that when K is separable, $H_*^K(E, M)$ is the absolute Hochschild homology of E with coefficients in M . We consider M as an A -bimodule through the map $J_\nu: A \rightarrow E$. For $r, s \geq 0$, write

$$\widehat{X}_{rs}(M) := M \otimes_A \widetilde{E}^{\otimes_A^s} \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes r} \otimes.$$

Since $\widetilde{E}^{\otimes_A^0} = J_\nu(A)$ and $\bar{A}^{\otimes 0} = K$, we have

$$\widehat{X}_{r0}(M) \simeq M \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes r} \otimes \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{X}_{0s}(M) \simeq M \otimes_A \widetilde{E}^{\otimes_A^s} \otimes. \quad (3.28)$$

Throughout this section we let $[m \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}]$ denote the class of $m \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}$ in $\widehat{X}_{rs}(M)$. It is easy to check that the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{X}_{rs}(M) & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_{E^e} X_{rs} \\ [m \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}] & \longmapsto & m \otimes_{E^e} (1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes 1_E) \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism. Let $\widehat{d}_{rs}^l: \widehat{X}_{rs}(M) \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{r+l-1, s-l}(M)$ be the map induced by $\text{id}_M \otimes_{E^e} d_{rs}^l$. Via the above identifications, $M \otimes_{E^e} (X_*, d_*)$ becomes the complex $(\widehat{X}_*(M), \widehat{d}_*)$, where

$$\widehat{X}_n(M) := \bigoplus_{r+s=n} \widehat{X}_{rs}(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{d}_n := \sum_{l=1}^n \widehat{d}_{0n}^l + \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{l=0}^{n-r} \widehat{d}_{r, n-r}^l.$$

Consequently, we have the following result:

Theorem 3.1. *The Hochschild homology $\mathbb{H}_*^K(E, M)$, of the K -algebra E with coefficients in M , is the homology of $(\widehat{X}_*(M), \widehat{d}_*)$.*

Remark 3.2. It follows immediately from Corollary 2.27 that, if \mathcal{F} takes its values in $K \otimes_k V$, then $(\widehat{X}_*(M), \widehat{d}_*)$ is the total complex of the double complex $(\widehat{X}_{**}(M), \widehat{d}_{**}^0, \widehat{d}_{**}^1)$.

Remark 3.3. If $K = A$, then $(\widehat{X}_*(M), \widehat{d}_*) = (\widehat{X}_{0*}(M), \widehat{d}_{0*}^1)$.

For $r, s \geq 0$, let $X_{rs}(M) := M \otimes_A E^{\otimes s} \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes r}$. Likewise for $\widehat{X}_{rs}(M)$, we have canonical identifications $X_{rs}(M) \simeq M \otimes_{E^e} X'_{rs}$. For $0 \leq i \leq s$, let $\mu_i: X_{rs}(M) \rightarrow X_{r, s-1}(M)$ be the map induced by μ'_i . It is easy to see that

$$\mu_i([m \otimes_A \mathbf{x}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1, s+r}]) = \begin{cases} [m \cdot x_1 \otimes_A \mathbf{x}_{2s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1, s+r}] & \text{if } i = 0, \\ [m \otimes_A \mathbf{x}_{1, i-1} \otimes_A x_i x_{i+1} \otimes_A \mathbf{x}_{i+2, s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1, s+r}] & \text{if } 0 < i < s, \\ [\sum_l [\gamma(v_s^{(l)}) \cdot m \otimes_A \mathbf{x}_{1, s-2} \otimes_A x_{s-1} j_\nu(a_s) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1, s+r}^{(l)}]] & \text{if } i = s, \end{cases}$$

where $m \in M$, $a_1, \dots, a_{s+r} \in A$, $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$, $x_j := j_\nu(a_j) \gamma(v_j)$ for $0 \leq j \leq s$ and

$$\sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1, s+r}^{(l)} \otimes_k v_s^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v_s \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1, s+r}).$$

Notation 3.4. Given a k -subalgebra R of A and $0 \leq u \leq r$, we let $\widehat{X}_{rs}^u(R, M)$ denote the k -submodule of $\widehat{X}_{rs}(M)$ generated by all the circular simple tensors $[m \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}]$, with $m \in M$, $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$, $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$, and at least u of the a_j 's in R .

Theorem 3.5. *The following assertions hold:*

- (1) *The morphism $\widehat{d}^0: \widehat{X}_{rs}(M) \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{r-1, s}(M)$ is $(-1)^s$ -times the boundary map of the normalized chain Hochschild complex of the K -algebra A with coefficients in $M \otimes_A \widetilde{E}^{\otimes s}$, considered as an A -bimodule via the left and right canonical actions.*
- (2) *The morphism $\widehat{d}^1: \widehat{X}_{rs}(M) \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{r, s-1}(M)$ is induced by $\sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^i \mu'_i$.*
- (3) *Let R be a k -subalgebra of A . If R is stable under χ and \mathcal{F} takes its values in $R \otimes_k V$, then $\widehat{d}^l(\widehat{X}_{rs}(M)) \subseteq \widehat{X}_{r+l-1, s-l}^{l-1}(R, M)$, for each $r \geq 0$ and $1 < l \leq s$.*

Proof. Item (1) follows from the definition of \widehat{d}^0 , item (2), from Theorem 2.23, and item (3), from Theorem 2.26(3). \square

Theorem 3.6. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.24 the map $\widehat{d}^2: \widehat{X}_{rs}(M) \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{r+1, s-2}(M)$ is given by*

$$\widehat{d}^2([m \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}]) = (-1)^{s+1} [\gamma(v_{s-1}^{(2)} v_s^{(2)}) \cdot m \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1, s-2}) \otimes \mathfrak{T}(v_{s-1}^{(1)}, v_s^{(1)}, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r})],$$

where $m \in M$, $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$, $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ and $\mathfrak{T}(v_{s-1}, v_s, \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r})$ is as in Theorem 2.24.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2.24. \square

Proposition 3.7. *If \mathcal{F} takes its values in $K \otimes_k V$, then $A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes r} \otimes M$ is an E -bimodule via*


$$j_\nu(a)\gamma(v) \cdot T \cdot j_\nu(a')\gamma(v') := \sum a a_0^{(l)} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}^{(l)} \otimes \gamma(v^{(l)}) \cdot m \cdot j_\nu(a')\gamma(v'),$$

where $r \geq 0$, $T := a_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes m$ and $\sum_l a_0^{(l)} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}^{(l)} \otimes_k v^{(l)} = (A \otimes \bar{\chi}) \circ (\chi \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes k})(v \otimes_k a_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r})$.

Proof. It is clear that $A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes r} \otimes M$ is a right E -module. We next prove it is also a left E -module. For this it suffices to show that the left action is unitary and that

$$\gamma(v)j_\nu(a) \cdot T = \gamma(v) \cdot (j_\nu(a) \cdot T) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma(v_1)\gamma(v_2) \cdot T = \gamma(v_1) \cdot (\gamma(v_2) \cdot T). \quad (3.29)$$

The first equality in (3.29) follows easily using equality (1.6), while for the second one, it suffices to check that



where the domain is $V \otimes_k V \otimes_k A \otimes A^{\otimes r} \otimes M$ and the codomain is $A \otimes A^{\otimes r} \otimes M$. But this follows easily by an inductive argument using the twisted module condition and the fact that \mathcal{F} takes its values in $K \otimes_k V$. The proof that the left action is unitary follows the same pattern, but using equality (1.4) instead of the twisted module condition. \square

Remark 3.8. Note that $H_r(\widehat{X}_{*s}(M), \widehat{d}_{*s}^0) = H_r^K(A, M \otimes_A \widetilde{E}^{\otimes s})$ and that if \mathcal{F} takes its values in $K \otimes_k V$, then $H_s(\widehat{X}_{r*}(M), \widehat{d}_{r*}^1) = H_s^A(E, A \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes r} \otimes M)$.

3.1 Comparison maps

Let $(M \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes *}, b_*)$ be the normalized Hochschild chain complex of the K -algebra E with coefficients in M . Recall that there is a canonical identification

$$(M \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes *}, b_*) \simeq M \otimes_{E^e} (E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes *}, b'_*).$$

From now on we let $[m \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n}]$ denote the class of $m \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n}$ in $M \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n}$. Let

$$\widehat{\phi}_*: (\widehat{X}_*(M), \widehat{d}_*) \longrightarrow (M \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes *}, b_*) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\psi}_*: (M \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes *}, b_*) \longrightarrow (\widehat{X}_*(M), \widehat{d}_*)$$

be the morphisms of complexes induced by ϕ_* and ψ_* , respectively. By Proposition 2.29 we know that $\widehat{\psi}_* \circ \widehat{\phi}_* = \text{id}_*$ and $\widehat{\phi}_* \circ \widehat{\psi}_*$ is homotopically equivalent to the identity map. More precisely a homotopy $\widehat{\omega}_{*+1}$, from $\widehat{\phi}_* \circ \widehat{\psi}_*$ to id_* , is the family of maps

$$\left(\widehat{\omega}_{n+1}: M \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes E \longrightarrow M \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n+1} \otimes E \right)_{n \geq 0},$$

induced by $(\omega_{n+1}: E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes E \longrightarrow E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n+1} \otimes E)_{n \geq 0}$.

3.2 The filtrations of the complexes that give the Hochschild homology

The complex $(\widehat{X}_*(M), \widehat{d}_*)$ is filtered by

$$F^0(\widehat{X}_*(M)) \subseteq F^1(\widehat{X}_*(M)) \subseteq F^2(\widehat{X}_*(M)) \subseteq F^3(\widehat{X}_*(M)) \subseteq F^4(\widehat{X}_*(M)) \subseteq \dots,$$

where $F^i(\widehat{X}_n(M)) := \bigoplus_{s=0}^i \widehat{X}_{n-s,s}(M)$. Hence, by Remark 3.8 there is a spectral sequence

$$E_{rs}^l \implies H_{r+s}^K(E, M), \quad \text{with } E_{rs}^1 = H_r^K(A, M \otimes_A \widetilde{E}^{\otimes_s^A}). \quad (3.30)$$

Let $F^i(M \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes^n} \otimes)$ be the image of the canonical map $M \otimes F^i(\overline{E}^{\otimes^n}) \otimes \longrightarrow M \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes^n} \otimes$. The normalized Hochschild complex $(M \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes^n} \otimes, b_*)$ is filtered by

$$F^0(M \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes^n} \otimes) \subseteq F^1(M \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes^n} \otimes) \subseteq F^2(M \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes^n} \otimes) \subseteq \dots$$

The spectral sequence associated with this filtration is called the *homological Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence of E with coefficients in M*.

Theorem 3.9. *The maps $\widehat{\phi}_*$, $\widehat{\psi}_*$ and $\widehat{\omega}_*$ preserve filtrations.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2.42. \square

Corollary 3.10. *The homological Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence of E with coefficients in M is isomorphic to the spectral sequence (3.30).*

Notation 3.11. For $0 \leq u \leq n$, $i \geq 0$ and each k -subalgebra R of A , we let $M \otimes F_{R,u}^i(\overline{E}^{\otimes^n}) \otimes$ denote the image of the canonical map $M \otimes F_{R,u}^i(\overline{E}^{\otimes^n}) \otimes \longrightarrow M \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes^n} \otimes$.

Proposition 3.12. *Let R be a k-subalgebra of A. If R is stable under χ and \mathcal{F} takes its values in $R \otimes_k V$, then*

$$\widehat{\phi}([m \otimes_A \widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1i}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i}]) = [m \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i})] + [m \otimes_A \phi''_{in}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i})],$$

where $m \in M$, $a_1, \dots, a_{n-i} \in A$, $v_1, \dots, v_i \in V$ and $\phi''_{in}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i})$ is as in Notation 2.41.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Remark 2.40. \square

To prove Propositions 3.13 and 3.14 we will need some technical results that we establish in an appendix. For the sake of brevity we set $F_R^i(\widehat{X}_n(M)) := \bigoplus_{s=0}^i \widehat{X}_{s,n-s}^1(R, M)$.

Proposition 3.13. *Let R be a k-subalgebra of A. Assume that R is stable under χ and that \mathcal{F} takes its values in $R \otimes_k V$. Let $m \in M$, $v, v_1, \dots, v_i \in V$ and $a, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n \in A$. The map $\widehat{\psi}_n$ has the following properties:*

- (1) $\widehat{\psi}([m \otimes \overline{\gamma}(v_{1i}) \otimes \overline{j}_\nu(\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n})]) = [m \otimes_A \widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1i}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}]$.
- (2) Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in j_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)$. If there exist indices $j_1 < j_2$ such that $x_{j_1} \in j_\nu(A)$ and $x_{j_2} \in \gamma(V)$, then $\widehat{\psi}([m \otimes \overline{\mathbf{x}}_{1n}]) = 0$.
- (3) If $\mathbf{x} = [m \otimes \overline{\gamma}(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \overline{a \cdot \gamma(v)} \otimes \overline{j}_\nu(\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n})]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv & [m \otimes_A \widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes_A a \cdot \widetilde{\gamma}(v) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}] \\ & + \sum [\gamma(v^{(l)}) \cdot m \otimes_A \widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \overline{a} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}^{(l)}], \quad \text{mod } F_R^{i-2}(\widehat{X}_n(M)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_l \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}^{(l)} \otimes_k v^{(l)} := \overline{\chi}(v \otimes_k \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n})$.

- (4) If $\mathbf{x} = [m \otimes \overline{\gamma}(v_{1,j-1}) \otimes \overline{a \cdot \gamma(v)} \otimes \overline{\gamma}(v_{j+1,i}) \otimes \overline{j}_\nu(\overline{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n})]$ with $j < i$, then

$$\widehat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv [m \otimes_A \widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,j-1}) \otimes_A a \cdot \widetilde{\gamma}(v) \otimes_A \widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{j+1,i}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}] \quad \text{mod } F_R^{i-2}(\widehat{X}_n(M)).$$

(5) If $\mathbf{x} = [m \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i,j-1}) \otimes \overline{a \cdot \gamma(v)} \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{j+1,n})]$ with $j > i$, then

$$\widehat{\psi}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sum \gamma(v^{(l)}) \cdot m \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i,j-1} \otimes \bar{a} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{j+1,n}^{(l)} \pmod{F_R^{i-2}(\widehat{X}_n(M))},$$

where $\sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{j+1,n}^{(l)} \otimes_k v^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{j+1,n})$.

(6) Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ satisfying $\#\{l : x_l \notin J_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)\} = 1$. If there exist $j_1 < j_2$ such that $x_{j_1} \in J_\nu(A)$ and $x_{j_2} \in \gamma(V)$, then

$$\widehat{\psi}([m \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n}]) \in F_R^{i-2}(\widehat{X}_n(M)),$$

where $i := \#\{l : x_l \notin J_\nu(A)\}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition A.3. \square

Proposition 3.14. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ be such that $\#\{l : x_l \notin J_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)\} = 1$, let $m \in M$ and let $i := \#\{l : x_l \notin J_\nu(A)\}$. There exists $\mathbf{y} \in J_\nu(A) \otimes F_{A,0}^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n+1})$ such that $\widehat{\omega}([m \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n}]) = [m \otimes_A \mathbf{y}]$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition A.5. \square

4 Hochschild cohomology of general crossed products

In this section we are in the same conditions as in the previous one. Since (X_*, d_*) is a Υ -relative projective resolution of E , the Hochschild cohomology $H_K^*(E, M)$, of the K -algebra E with coefficients in an E -bimodule M , is the cohomology of the cochain complex $\text{Hom}_{E^e}((X_*, d_*), M)$. It is well known that when K is separable, $H_K^*(E, M)$ is the absolute Hochschild cohomology of E with coefficients in M . For each $s \geq 0$, we will denote by $\text{Hom}_A(\bar{E}^{\otimes s}, M)$ the abelian group of left A -linear maps from $\bar{E}^{\otimes s}$ to M . As it is well known, $\text{Hom}_A(\bar{E}^{\otimes s}, M)$ is an A -bimodule via

$$(a \cdot \alpha)(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s}) := \alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \cdot a) \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha \cdot a)(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s}) := \alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s}) \cdot a.$$

For each $r, s \geq 0$, write

$$\widehat{X}^{rs}(M) := \text{Hom}_{(A,K)}(\bar{E}^{\otimes s} \otimes \bar{A}^{\otimes r}, M) \simeq \text{Hom}_{K^e}(\bar{A}^{\otimes r}, \text{Hom}_A(\bar{E}^{\otimes s}, M)). \quad (4.31)$$

Note that, since $\bar{E}^{\otimes 0} = J_\nu(A)$ and $\bar{A}^{\otimes 0} = K$, we have

$$\widehat{X}^{r0}(M) \simeq \text{Hom}_{K^e}(\bar{A}^{\otimes r}, M) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{X}^{0s}(M) \simeq \text{Hom}_{(A,K)}(\bar{E}^{\otimes s}, M). \quad (4.32)$$

It is easy to check that the k -linear map

$$\zeta^{rs} : \text{Hom}_{E^e}(X_{rs}, M) \longrightarrow \widehat{X}^{rs}(M),$$

given by $\zeta(\alpha)(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}) := \alpha(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1s} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r} \otimes 1_E)$, is an isomorphism. For each $l \leq s$, we let $\widehat{d}_l^{rs} : \widehat{X}^{r+l-1, s-l}(M) \longrightarrow \widehat{X}^{rs}(M)$ denote the map induced by $\text{Hom}_{E^e}(d_{rs}^l, M)$. Via the above identifications, $\text{Hom}_{E^e}((X_*, d_*), M)$ becomes the complex $(\widehat{X}^*(M), \widehat{d}^*)$, where

$$\widehat{X}^n(M) := \bigoplus_{r+s=n} \widehat{X}^{rs}(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{d}^n := \sum_{l=1}^n \widehat{d}_l^{0n} + \sum_{r=1}^n \sum_{l=0}^{n-r} \widehat{d}_l^{r, n-r}.$$

We have thus proven the following result:

Theorem 4.1. The Hochschild cohomology $H_K^*(E, M)$, of the K -algebra E with coefficients in M , is the cohomology of $(\widehat{X}^*(M), \widehat{d}^*)$.

Remark 4.2. It follows immediately from Corollary 2.27 that, if \mathcal{F} takes its values in $K \otimes_k V$, then $(\widehat{X}^*(M), \widehat{d}^*)$ is the total complex of the double complex $(\widehat{X}^{**}(M), \widehat{d}_0^{**}, \widehat{d}_1^{**})$.

Remark 4.3. If $K = A$, then $(\widehat{X}^*(M), \widehat{d}^*) = (\widehat{X}^{0*}(M), \widehat{d}_1^{0*})$.

For each $s \geq 0$, we let $\text{Hom}_A(E^{\otimes_A^s}, M)$ denote the abelian group of left A -linear maps from $E^{\otimes_A^s}$ to M . Likewise $\text{Hom}_A(\widetilde{E}^{\otimes_A^s}, M)$, the group $\text{Hom}_A(E^{\otimes_A^s}, M)$ is an A -bimodule via

$$(a \cdot \alpha)(\mathbf{x}_{1s}) := \alpha(\mathbf{x}_{1s} \cdot a) \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha \cdot a)(\mathbf{x}_{1s}) := \alpha(\mathbf{x}_{1s}) \cdot a,$$

For $r, s \geq 0$, let

$$X^{rs}(M) := \text{Hom}_{(A,K)}(E^{\otimes_A^s} \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes^r}, M) \simeq \text{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{A}^{\otimes^r}, \text{Hom}_A(E^{\otimes_A^s}, M)).$$

Similarly like for $\widehat{X}^{rs}(M)$, we have canonical identifications $X^{rs}(M) \simeq \text{Hom}_{E^e}(X'_{rs}, M)$. Let

$$\mu^i: X^{r,s-1}(M) \longrightarrow X^{rs}(M) \quad (0 \leq i \leq s),$$

be the map induced by μ'_i . It is easy to see that

$$\mu^i(\alpha)(\gamma_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}) = \begin{cases} \gamma(v_1) \cdot \alpha(\gamma_A(v_{2s}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}) & \text{if } i = 0, \\ \alpha(\gamma_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes_A \gamma(v_i) \gamma(v_{i+1}) \otimes_A \gamma_A(v_{i+2,s}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}) & \text{if } 0 < i < s, \\ \sum \alpha(\gamma_A(v_{1,s-1}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}^{(l)}) \cdot \gamma(v_s^{(l)}) & \text{if } i = s, \end{cases}$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$, $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$ and $\sum_l \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}^{(l)} \otimes_k v_s^{(l)} := \overline{\chi}(v_s \otimes_k \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1r})$.

Notation 4.4. Given a k -subalgebra R of A and $0 \leq u \leq r$, we set $\widehat{X}_u^{rs}(R, M) := \zeta^{rs}(T_{rs}^u(M))$, where $T_{rs}^u(M)$ denote the k -submodule of $\text{Hom}_{E^e}(X_{rs}, M)$ consisting of all the E -bimodule maps that factorize throughout the E -subbimodule of $X_{r+u,s-u-1}$ generated by all the simple tensors $1_E \otimes_A \widetilde{\mathbf{x}}_{1,s-u-1} \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1,r+u} \otimes 1_E$, with at least u of the a_j 's in R .

Theorem 4.5. *The following assertions hold:*

- (1) *Via the identifications (4.31), the morphism $\widehat{d}_0: \widehat{X}^{r-1,s}(M) \rightarrow \widehat{X}^{rs}(M)$ is $(-1)^s$ -times the coboundary map of the normalized cochain Hochschild complex of the K -algebra A with coefficients in $\text{Hom}_A(\widetilde{E}^{\otimes_A^s}, M)$, considered as an A -bimodule as at the beginning of this section.*
- (2) *The morphism $\widehat{d}_1: \widehat{X}^{r,s-1}(M) \rightarrow \widehat{X}^{rs}(M)$ is induced by $\sum_{i=0}^s (-1)^i \mu^i$.*
- (3) *Let R be a k -subalgebra of A . If R is stable under χ and \mathcal{F} takes its values in $R \otimes_k V$, then $\widehat{d}_l(\widehat{X}^{r+l-1,s-l}(M)) \subseteq \widehat{X}_{l-1}^{rs}(R, M)$, for each $r \geq 0$ and $1 < l \leq s$.*

Proof. Item (1) follows from the definition of d^0 ; item (2), from Theorem 2.23; and item (3), from Theorem 2.26(3). \square

Theorem 4.6. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.24 the map $\widehat{d}_2: \widehat{X}^{r+1,s-2}(M) \rightarrow \widehat{X}^{rs}(M)$ is given by*

$$\widehat{d}_2(\alpha)(\widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}) = (-1)^{s+1} \alpha(\widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,s-2}) \otimes \mathfrak{T}(v_{s-1}^{(1)} v_s^{(1)}, \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1r})) \cdot \gamma(v_{s-1}^{(2)} v_s^{(2)}),$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_s \in H$, $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ and $\mathfrak{T}(v_{s-1}, v_s, \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1r})$ is as in Theorem 2.24.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2.24. \square

Remark 4.7. Note that $H^r(\widehat{X}^{*s}(M), \widehat{d}_0^{*s}) = H_K^r(A, \text{Hom}_A(\widetilde{E}^{\otimes_A^s}, M))$.

4.1 Comparison maps

Let $(\mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^*}, M), b^*)$ be the normalized Hochschild cochain complex of the K -algebra E with coefficients in M . Recall that there is a canonical identification

$$(\mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^*}, M), b^*) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{E^e}((E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes^*} \otimes E, b'_*), M).$$

Let

$$\widehat{\phi}^*: (\mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^*}, M), b^*) \longrightarrow (\widehat{X}^*(M), \widehat{d}^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\psi}^*: (\widehat{X}^*(M), \widehat{d}^*) \longrightarrow (\mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^*}, M), b^*)$$

be the morphisms of complexes induced by ϕ_* and ψ_* respectively. Proposition 2.29 implies that $\widehat{\phi}^* \circ \widehat{\psi}^* = \mathrm{id}^*$ and $\widehat{\psi}^* \circ \widehat{\phi}^*$ is homotopically equivalent to the identity map. An homotopy $\widehat{\omega}^{*+1}$ from $\widehat{\psi}^* \circ \widehat{\phi}^*$ to id^* , is the family of maps

$$\left(\widehat{\omega}^{n+1}: \mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^{n+1}}, M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^n}, M) \right)_{n \geq 0},$$

induced by $(\omega_{n+1}: E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes^n} \otimes E \longrightarrow E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes^{n+1}} \otimes E)_{n \geq 0}$.

4.2 The filtrations of the complexes that give the Hochschild cohomology

The complex $(\widehat{X}^*(M), \widehat{d}^*)$ is filtered by

$$F_0(\widehat{X}^*(M)) \supseteq F_1(\widehat{X}^*(M)) \supseteq F_2(\widehat{X}^*(M)) \supseteq F_3(\widehat{X}^*(M)) \supseteq F_4(\widehat{X}^*(M)) \supseteq \dots,$$

where $F_i(\widehat{X}^n(M)) := \bigoplus_{s=i}^n \widehat{X}^{n-s,s}(M)$. Hence, by Remark 4.7 there is an spectral sequence

$$E_l^{r,s} \implies H_K^{r+s}(E, M), \quad \text{with } E_1^{r,s} = H_K^r(A, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\widetilde{E}^{\otimes^s_A}, M)). \quad (4.33)$$

We let $F_i(\mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^*}, M))$ denote the k -submodule of $\mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^*}, M)$ consisting of all homomorphisms $\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^*}, M)$, such that $\alpha(F^i(\overline{E}^{\otimes^*})) = 0$. The normalized Hochschild cochain complex $(\mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^*}, M), b^*)$ is filtered by

$$F_0(\mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^*}, M)) \supseteq F_1(\mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^*}, M)) \supseteq F_2(\mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^*}, M)) \supseteq \dots \quad (4.34)$$

The spectral sequence associated to this filtration is called the *cohomological Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence of E* with coefficients in M .

Theorem 4.8. *The maps $\widehat{\phi}^*$, $\widehat{\psi}^*$ and $\widehat{\omega}^*$ preserve filtrations.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2.42. \square

Corollary 4.9. *The cohomological Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence is isomorphic to the spectral sequence (4.33).*

Recall that the cup product in $\mathrm{HH}_K^*(E)$, of $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^m}, E)$ and $\beta' \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^n}, E)$, is given by $(\beta \smile \beta')(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{1,m+n}) := \beta(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{1m})\beta'(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_{m+1,m+n})$.

Corollary 4.10. *When $M = E$ the spectral sequence (4.33) is multiplicative.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.9 and the fact that the filtration (4.34) satisfies $F_i \smile F_j \subseteq F_{i+j}$. \square

Proposition 4.11. *Let R be a k -subalgebra of A and let ρ_l be the left action of A on M . If R is stable under χ and \mathcal{F} takes its values in $R \otimes_k V$, then for all $\beta \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\overline{E}^{\otimes^n}, M)$,*

$$\widehat{\phi}(\beta)(\widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1i}) \otimes \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i}) = (\beta \circ \mathrm{Sh})(v_{1i} \otimes_k \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i}) + (\rho_l \circ (j_A(A) \otimes \beta) \circ \phi''_{in})(v_{1i} \otimes_k \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i}),$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_{n-i} \in A$, $v_1, \dots, v_i \in V$ and $\phi''_{in}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \overline{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i})$ is as in Notation 2.41.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Remark 2.40. \square

We will use the following proposition in the next section.

Proposition 4.12. *Let $0 \leq s \leq n$ and $\alpha \in \widehat{X}^{n-s,s}(M)$. Let $a_1, \dots, a_i \in A$, $v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n \in V$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in J_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)$. The map $\widehat{\psi}(\alpha)$ have the following properties:*

- (1) *If $i = s$, then $\widehat{\psi}(\alpha)(\widetilde{\gamma}(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{s+1,n})) = \alpha(\widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s+1,n})$.*
- (2) *If $i \neq s$, then $\widehat{\psi}(\alpha)(\widetilde{\gamma}(v_{1i}) \otimes \bar{J}_A(\mathbf{a}_{i+1,n})) = 0$.*
- (3) *If there exist $j_1 < j_2$ such that $x_{j_1} \in J_\nu(A)$ and $x_{j_2} \in \gamma(V)$, then $\widehat{\psi}(\alpha)(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n}) = 0$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from items (1) and (2) of Proposition A.3. \square

5 The cup and cap products for general crossed products

In this section we obtain formulas involving the complexes $(\widehat{X}^*(E), \widehat{d}^*)$ and $(\widehat{X}_*(M), \widehat{d}_*)$ that induce the cup product of $\mathrm{HH}_K^*(E)$ and the cap product of $\mathrm{H}_*^K(E, M)$. First of all recall that for $m \leq n$, the cap product $\frown: \mathrm{H}_n^K(E, M) \times \mathrm{HH}_K^m(E) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{n-m}^K(E, M)$, is induced by the map

$$(M \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{K^e}(\bar{E}^{\otimes m}, E) \longrightarrow M \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n-m} \otimes,$$

defined by $[m \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n}] \frown \beta := [m \cdot \beta(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1m}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{m+1,n}]$. When $m > n$ we set $[m \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n}] \frown \beta := 0$.

Definition 5.1. For $\alpha \in \widehat{X}^{rs}(E)$ and $\alpha' \in \widehat{X}^{r's'}(E)$ we define $\alpha \bullet \alpha' \in \widehat{X}^{r''s''}(E)$ by

$$(\alpha \bullet \alpha')(\widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s''}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r''}) := \sum (-1)^{r's'} \alpha(\widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}^{(l)}) \alpha'(\widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{s+1,s''}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{r+1,r''}^{(l)}),$$

where $r'' := r + r'$, $s'' := s + s'$, $v_1, \dots, v_{s''} \in V$, $a_1, \dots, a_{r''} \in A$ and

$$\sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}^{(l)} \otimes_k v_{s+1,s''}^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v_{s+1,s''} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}).$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\alpha \in \widehat{X}^{rs}(E)$ and $\alpha' \in \widehat{X}^{r's'}(E)$. If \mathcal{F} takes its values in $K \otimes_k V$, then, for all $v_1, \dots, v_i \in V$ and $a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n \in A$, we have*

$$\widehat{\phi}(\widehat{\psi}(\alpha) \smile \widehat{\psi}(\alpha'))(\widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1i}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}) = \begin{cases} (\alpha \bullet \alpha')(\widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s''}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{s''+1,n}) & \text{if } i = s'', \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $s'' := s + s'$ and $n := r + r' + s + s'$.

Proof. For the sake of brevity we set $T := \mathrm{Sh}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n})$. By Proposition 4.11,

$$\widehat{\phi}(\widehat{\psi}(\alpha) \smile \widehat{\psi}(\alpha'))(\widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1i}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}) = (\widehat{\psi}(\alpha) \smile \widehat{\psi}(\alpha'))(T).$$

Since, by the definition of \smile and Proposition 4.12,

- if $i \neq s''$, then $(\widehat{\psi}(\alpha) \smile \widehat{\psi}(\alpha'))(T) = 0$,
- if $i = s''$, then

$$(\widehat{\psi}(\alpha) \smile \widehat{\psi}(\alpha'))(T) = \sum (-1)^{s'r} \alpha(\widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,i+r}^{(l)}) \alpha'(\widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{s+1,i}^{(l)} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+r+1,n}),$$

$$\text{where } \sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,i+r}^{(l)} \otimes_k v_{s+1,i}^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v_{s+1,i} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,i+r}),$$

the result follows. \square

Corollary 5.3. *If \mathcal{F} takes its values in $K \otimes_k V$, then the cup product of $\mathrm{HH}_K^*(E)$ is induced by the operation \bullet in $(\widehat{X}^*(E), \widehat{d}^*)$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 5.2. \square

Remark 5.4. Let M be an E -bimodule. There is an evident generalization of the definition of the cup products that makes up $H_K^*(E, M)$ in a $\text{HH}_K^*(E)$ -bimodule. It is clear that obvious generalizations of Theorem 5.2 and Corollary 5.3 hold.

Definition 5.5. Let $\alpha \in \widehat{X}^{r's'}(E)$ and let $\mathbf{x} := [m \otimes_A \gamma_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}] \in \widehat{X}_{rs}(M)$, where $m \in M$, $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$ and $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$. If $r' \leq r$ and $s' \leq s$, then we define $\mathbf{x} \diamond \alpha \in \widehat{X}_{r-r', s-s'}(M)$ by

$$\mathbf{x} \diamond \alpha := \sum (-1)^{r'(s-s')} [m \cdot \alpha(\tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s'}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r'}) \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{s'+1, s}^{(l)}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{r'+1, r}],$$

where $\sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r'}^{(l)} \otimes_k v_{s'+1, s}^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v_{s'+1, s} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r'})$. If $r' > r$ or $s' > s$, then we set $\mathbf{x} \diamond \alpha := 0$.

Theorem 5.6. Let $m \in M$, $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$, $a_1, \dots, a_r \in A$ and $\alpha \in \widehat{X}^{r's'}(E)$. If \mathcal{F} takes its values in $K \otimes_k V$, then

$$\widehat{\psi}(\widehat{\phi}([m \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}]) \frown \widehat{\psi}(\alpha)) = [m \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}] \diamond \alpha.$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.12,

$$\widehat{\psi}(\widehat{\phi}([m \otimes_A \gamma_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}]) \frown \widehat{\psi}(\alpha)) = \widehat{\psi}([m \otimes T] \frown \widehat{\psi}(\alpha)),$$

where $T := \text{Sh}(v_{1s} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r})$. Now, by the definition of \frown and Proposition 4.12, we know that

- If $s' > s$ or $r' > r$, then $[m \otimes T] \frown \widehat{\psi}(\alpha) = 0$.
- If $s' \leq s$ and $r' \leq r$, then

$$[m \otimes T] \frown \widehat{\psi}(\alpha) = \sum (-1)^{r's-r's'} m \cdot \alpha(\tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s'}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r'}) \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{s'+1, s}^{(l)} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{r'+1, r}),$$

where $\sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r'}^{(l)} \otimes_k v_{s'+1, s}^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v_{s'+1, s} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r'})$.

The result follows now immediately from items (1) and (2) of Proposition 3.13. \square

Corollary 5.7. If \mathcal{F} takes its values in $K \otimes_k V$, then in terms of the complexes $(\widehat{X}_*(M), \widehat{d}_*)$ and $(\widehat{X}^*(E), \widehat{d}^*)$, the cap product is induced by the operation \diamond .

Proof. This follows from Theorem 5.6. \square

6 Cyclic homology of a general crossed product

In this section we construct a mixed complex computing the cyclic homology of E , whose underlying Hochschild complex is $(\widehat{X}_*(E), \widehat{d}_*)$. The notation $\widehat{X}_{n+1}(M)$ is not only meaningful in the case where M is an E -bimodule, but also when M is an A -bimodule. Throughout this section we will use it with $M = J_\nu(A)$.

Notation 6.1. For $i \leq n$, we let $F_{A,0}^{i,1}(\overline{E}^{\otimes n})$ denote the K -subbimodule of $\overline{E}^{\otimes n}$ generated by all the simple tensors \mathbf{x}_{1n} such that $\#\{j : x_j \notin J_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)\} \leq 1$ and $\#\{j : x_j \notin J_\nu(A)\} \leq i$. Furthermore we let $J_\nu(K) \otimes F_{A,0}^{i,1}(\overline{E}^{\otimes n}) \otimes$ denote the image of the canonical map

$$J_\nu(K) \otimes F_{A,0}^{i,1}(\overline{E}^{\otimes n}) \otimes \longrightarrow E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes.$$

Lemma 6.2. Let $\widehat{\phi}$ and $\widehat{\omega}$ be as in Subsection 3.1. The composition $B \circ \widehat{\omega} \circ B \circ \widehat{\phi}$, where the map $B_* : E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes *+1} \otimes \longrightarrow E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes *+1} \otimes$ is the Connes operator, is the zero map.

Proof. By Proposition 3.12, Remark 2.38 and the very definition of B ,

$$B \circ \widehat{\phi}(\widehat{X}_{n-i,i}(E)) \subseteq J_\nu(K) \otimes F_{A,0}^{i+1,1}(\overline{E}^{\otimes n+1}) \otimes.$$

So, by Proposition 3.14 we have

$$\widehat{\omega} \circ B \circ \widehat{\phi}(\widehat{X}_{n-i,i}(E)) \subseteq J_\nu(K) \otimes F_{A,0}^{i+1}(\overline{E}^{\otimes n+2}) \otimes \subseteq \ker B,$$

as desired. \square

For each $n \geq 0$, let $\widehat{D}_n: \widehat{X}_n(E) \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{n+1}(E)$ be the map $\widehat{D} := \widehat{\psi} \circ B \circ \widehat{\phi}$.

Theorem 6.3. $(\widehat{X}_*(E), \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*)$ is a mixed complex that yields the Hochschild, cyclic, negative and periodic homologies of the K -algebra E . Moreover we have chain complexes maps

$$\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{BP}(\widehat{X}_*(E), \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*)) \xleftarrow[\widehat{\Phi}]{\widehat{\Psi}} \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{BP}(E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes*} \otimes, b_*, B_*))$$

given by $\widehat{\Phi}_n(\mathbf{x}u^i) := \widehat{\phi}(\mathbf{x})u^i + \widehat{\omega} \circ B \circ \widehat{\phi}(\mathbf{x})u^{i-1}$ and $\widehat{\Psi}_n(\mathbf{x}u^i) := \sum_{j \geq 0} \widehat{\psi} \circ (B \circ \widehat{\omega})^j(\mathbf{x})u^{i-j}$. These maps satisfy $\widehat{\Psi} \circ \widehat{\Phi} = \mathrm{id}$ and $\widehat{\Phi} \circ \widehat{\Psi}$ is homotopically equivalent to the identity map. A homotopy $\widehat{\Omega}_{*+1}: \widehat{\Phi}_* \circ \widehat{\Psi}_* \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_*$ is given by $\widehat{\Omega}_{n+1}(\mathbf{x}u^i) := \sum_{j \geq 0} \widehat{\omega} \circ (B \circ \widehat{\omega})^j(\mathbf{x})u^{i-j}$.

Proof. For each $i \geq 0$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\phi}u^i: \widehat{X}_{n-2i}(E)u^i &\longrightarrow (E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n-2i} \otimes)u^i, \\ \widehat{\psi}u^i: (E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n-2i} \otimes)u^i &\longrightarrow \widehat{X}_{n-2i}(E)u^i \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widehat{\omega}u^i: (E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n-2i} \otimes)u^i \longrightarrow (E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n+1-2i} \otimes)u^i,$$

be the maps defined by $\widehat{\phi}u^i(\mathbf{x}u^i) := \widehat{\phi}(\mathbf{x})u^i$, etcetera. By the results in Subsection 2.1 we have a special deformation retract

$$\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{BC}(\widehat{X}_*(E), \widehat{d}_*, 0)) \xleftarrow[\oplus_{i \geq 0} \widehat{\phi}u^i]{\oplus_{i \geq 0} \widehat{\psi}u^i} \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{BC}(E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes*} \otimes, b_*, 0)) \quad \oplus_{i \geq 0} \widehat{\omega}u^i.$$

Applying the perturbation lemma to this datum endowed with the perturbation induced by B , and taking into account Lemma 6.2, we obtain the special deformation retract

$$\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{BP}(\widehat{X}_*(E), \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*)) \xleftarrow[\widehat{\Phi}]{\widehat{\Psi}} \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{BP}(E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes*} \otimes, b_*, B_*)) \quad \widehat{\Omega}_{*+1}.$$

It is easy to see that $\widehat{\Phi}$, $\widehat{\Psi}$ and $\widehat{\Omega}$ commute with the canonical surjections

$$\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{BC}(\widehat{X}_*(E), \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{BC}(\widehat{X}_*(E), \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*))[2]$$

and

$$\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{BC}(E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes*} \otimes, b_*, B_*)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{BC}(E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes*} \otimes, b_*, B_*))[2].$$

A standard argument, from these facts, finishes the proof. \square

Remark 6.4. If K is a separable algebra, then mixed complex $(\widehat{X}_*(E), \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*)$ gives the Hochschild, cyclic, negative and periodic absolute homologies of E .

Definition 6.5. For each $r, s \geq 0$, let $\widehat{D}_{rs}^0: \widehat{X}_{rs} \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{r,s+1}$ and $\widehat{D}_{rs}^1: \widehat{X}_{rs} \rightarrow \widehat{X}_{r+1,s}$ be the maps defined by

- If $\mathbf{x} = [j_\nu(a_0)\gamma(v_0) \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}]$, with $a_0, \dots, a_r \in A$, $v_0, \dots, v_s \in V$, then

$$\widehat{D}^0(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{j=0}^s \sum_l (-1)^{j^{s+s}} [1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{j+1,s}^{(l)}) \otimes_A \widetilde{j_\nu(a_0)\gamma(v_0)} \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1j}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}^{(l)}]$$

and

$$\widehat{D}^1(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_l (-1)^{j^{r+r+s}} [\gamma(v_0^{(l)}) \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s}^{(l)}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{j+1,r} \otimes \bar{a}_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1j}^{(l)}],$$

where

$$\sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}^{(l)} \otimes_k v_{j+1,s}^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v_{j+1,s} \otimes_k \bar{a}_{1r}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1j}^{(l)} \otimes_k v_0^{(l)} \otimes_k v_{1s}^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v_0 \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1j}).$$

- If $\mathbf{x} = [j_\nu(a_0) \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1r}]$ with $a_0, \dots, a_r \in A$, $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$, then

$$\widehat{D}^0(\mathbf{x}) := 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{D}^1(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_l (-1)^{j^{r+r+s}} [1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1s}^{(l)}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{j+1,r} \otimes \bar{a}_0 \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1j}^{(l)}],$$

where $\sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1j}^{(l)} \otimes_k v_{1s}^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v_{1s} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1j})$.

Proposition 6.6. *Let R be a k -subalgebra of A . Assume that R is stable under χ and \mathcal{F} takes its values in $R \otimes_k V$. Let $a_0, \dots, a_{n-i} \in A$ and $v_0, \dots, v_i \in V$. The Connes operator \widehat{D} satisfies the following properties:*

(1) *If $\mathbf{x} = [j_\nu(a_0)\gamma(v_0) \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1i}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i}]$, then*

$$\widehat{D}(\mathbf{x}) = \widehat{D}^0(\mathbf{x}) + \widehat{D}^1(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{mod } F_R^{i-1}(\widehat{X}_{n+1}(E)) + F_R^i(\widehat{X}_{n+1}(j_\nu(A))).$$

(2) *If $\mathbf{x} = [j_\nu(a_0) \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1i}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i}]$, then*

$$\widehat{D}(\mathbf{x}) = \widehat{D}^1(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{mod } F_R^{i-1}(\widehat{X}_{n+1}(j_\nu(A))).$$

Proof. (1) We must compute $\widehat{D}(\mathbf{x}) = \widehat{\psi} \circ B \circ \widehat{\phi}(\mathbf{x})$. By Proposition 3.12

$$\widehat{D}(\mathbf{x}) = \widehat{\psi} \circ B([j_\nu(a_0)\gamma(v_0) \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i})]) + \widehat{\psi} \circ B([j_\nu(a_0)\gamma(v_0) \otimes_A \mathbf{y}]),$$

where $[j_\nu(a_0)\gamma(v_0) \otimes_A \mathbf{y}] \in E \otimes_{F_{R,1}^{i-1}}(\overline{E}^{\otimes n}) \otimes$. Now

- $B([j_\nu(a_0)\gamma(v_0) \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i})])$ is a sum of classes in $E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n+1} \otimes$ of simple tensors $1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_{1,n+1}$, with $n-i$ of the y_j 's in $j_\nu(A)$, i of the y_j 's in $\gamma(V)$ and one $y_j \notin j_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)$.
- $B([j_\nu(a_0)\gamma(v_0) \otimes_A \mathbf{y}])$ is a sum of classes in $E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n+1} \otimes$ of simple tensors $1 \otimes \mathbf{z}_{1,n+1}$, with at least one z_j in $j_\nu(R)$, at least $n-i+1$ of the z_j 's in $j_\nu(A)$ and at most one z_j in $E \setminus j_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)$.

The result follows now using items (3)–(6) of Proposition 3.13 and the definitions of Sh and B .

(2) As in the proof of item (1) we have

$$\widehat{D}(\mathbf{x}) = \widehat{\psi} \circ B([j_\nu(a_0) \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i})]) + \widehat{\psi} \circ B([j_\nu(a_0) \otimes_A \mathbf{y}]),$$

where $[j_\nu(a_0) \otimes_A \mathbf{y}] \in F_{R,1}^{i-1}(E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes)$. Now

- $B([j_\nu(a_0) \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{1,n-i})])$ is a sum of classes in $E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n+1} \otimes$ of simple tensors $1 \otimes \mathbf{y}_{1,n+1}$, with $n-i+1$ of the y_j 's in $j_\nu(A)$ and i of the y_j 's in $\gamma(V)$.

- $B([J_\nu(a_0) \otimes_A \mathbf{y}])$ is a sum of classes in $E \otimes \overline{E}^{\otimes n+1}$ of simple tensors $1 \otimes \mathbf{z}_{1,n+1}$, with each z_j in $J_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)$, at least one z_j in $J_\nu(R)$, and at least $n - i + 2$ of the z_j 's in $J_\nu(A)$.

The result follows now from items (1)–(2) of Proposition 3.13 and the definition of Sh and B . \square

Corollary 6.7. *If $K = A$, then $(\widehat{X}_*(E), \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*) = (\widehat{X}_{0*}(E), \widehat{d}_{0*}^1, \widehat{D}_{0*})$, where*

$$\widehat{D}_{0n}([J_\nu(a)\gamma(v_0) \otimes_A \widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1n})]) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^{n+jn} [1_E \otimes_A \widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{j+1,n}) \otimes_A \widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_0) \otimes_A \widetilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1j})].$$

6.1 The spectral sequences

In this subsection we study two spectral sequences. The first one generalizes those obtained in [6, Section 3.1] and [20, Theorem 4.7], while the second one generalizes those obtained in [1, 17] and [6, Section 3.2]. Let \widehat{d}_{rs}^0 and \widehat{d}_{rs}^1 be as at the beginning of Section 3 and let \widehat{D}_{rs}^0 and \widehat{D}_{rs}^1 be as in Definition 6.5.

6.1.1 The first spectral sequence

Recall from Remark 3.8 that $H_r(\widehat{X}_{*s}, \widehat{d}_{*s}^0) = H_r^K(A, E \otimes_A \widetilde{E}^{\otimes s})$. Let

$$\check{d}_{rs}: H_r^K(A, E \otimes_A \widetilde{E}^{\otimes s}) \longrightarrow H_r^K(A, E \otimes_A \widetilde{E}^{\otimes s-1})$$

and

$$\check{D}_{rs}: H_r^K(A, E \otimes_A \widetilde{E}^{\otimes s}) \longrightarrow H_r^K(A, E \otimes_A \widetilde{E}^{\otimes s+1})$$

be the maps induced by \widehat{d}^1 and \widehat{D}^0 , respectively.

Proposition 6.8. *For each $r \geq 0$,*

$$\check{H}_r^K(A, E \otimes_A \widetilde{E}^{\otimes s}) := \left(H_r^K(A, E \otimes_A \widetilde{E}^{\otimes s}), \check{d}_{r*}, \check{D}_{r*} \right)$$

is a mixed complex and there is a convergent spectral sequence

$$(E_{sr}^v, \partial_{sr}^v)_{v \geq 0} \implies \mathrm{HC}_{r+s}^K(E),$$

such that $E_{sr}^2 = \mathrm{HC}_s(\check{H}_r^K(A, E \otimes_A \widetilde{E}^{\otimes s}))$ for all $r, s \geq 0$.

Proof. For each $s, n \geq 0$, let

$$F^s(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{BC}(\widehat{X}, \widehat{d}, \widehat{D})_n)) := \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} F^{s-2j}(\widehat{X}_{n-2j})u^j,$$

where $F^{s-2j}(\widehat{X}_{n-2j})$ is the filtration introduced in Subsection 3.2. Let $(E_{sr}^v, \partial_{sr}^v)_{v \geq 0}$ be the spectral sequence associated with the filtration

$$F^0(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{BC}(\widehat{X}_*, \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*))) \subseteq F^1(\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{BC}(\widehat{X}_*, \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*))) \subseteq \dots$$

of $\mathrm{Tot}(\mathrm{BC}(\widehat{X}_*, \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*))$. A straightforward computation shows that

- $E_{sr}^0 = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \widehat{X}_{r,s-2j}u^j$,
- $\partial_{sr}^0: E_{sr}^0 \longrightarrow E_{s,r-1}^0$ is $\bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \widehat{d}_{r,s-2j}^0 u^j$,
- $E_{sr}^1 = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} H_r(\widehat{X}_{*,s-2j}, \widehat{d}_{*,s-2j}^0)u^j$,

$$- \partial_{sr}^1 : E_{sr}^1 \longrightarrow E_{s-1,r}^1 \text{ is } \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \check{d}_{r,s-2j} u^j + \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} \check{D}_{r,s-2j} u^{j-1}.$$

From this it follows easily that $\check{H}_r^K(A, E \otimes_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes_A^*})$ is a mixed complex and

$$E_{sr}^1 = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \check{H}_r^K(A, E \otimes_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes_A^{s-2j}}) u^j \quad \text{and} \quad E_{sr}^2 = \text{HC}_s\left(\check{H}_r^K(A, E \otimes_A \tilde{E}^{\otimes_A^*})\right).$$

In order to finish the proof note that the filtration of $\text{Tot}(\text{BC}(\widehat{X}_*, \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*))$ introduced above is canonically bounded, and so, by Theorem 6.3, the spectral sequence $(E_{sr}^v, \partial_{sr}^v)_{v \geq 0}$ converges to the cyclic homology of the K -algebra E . \square

6.1.2 The second spectral sequence

Assume that \mathcal{F} takes its values in $K \otimes_k V$. Let

$$\check{d}_{rs} : H_s^A(E, A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r} \otimes E) \longrightarrow H_s^A(E, A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r-1} \otimes E)$$

and

$$\check{D}_{rs} : H_s^A(E, A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r} \otimes E) \longrightarrow H_s^A(E, A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r+1} \otimes E)$$

be the maps induced by \widehat{d}^0 and \widehat{D}^1 , respectively.

Proposition 6.9. *For each $s \geq 0$,*

$$\check{H}_s^A(E, A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes^*} \otimes E) := \left(H_s^A(E, A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes^*} \otimes E), \check{d}_{*s}, \check{D}_{*s} \right)$$

is a mixed complex and there is a convergent spectral sequence

$$(\mathfrak{E}_{rs}^v, \mathfrak{D}_{rs}^v)_{v \geq 0} \implies \text{HC}_{r+s}^K(E),$$

such that $\mathfrak{E}_{rs}^2 = \text{HC}_r(\check{H}_s^A(E, A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes^} \otimes E))$ for all $r, s \geq 0$.*

Proof. For each $r, n \geq 0$, let

$$\mathfrak{F}^r(\text{Tot}(\text{BC}(\widehat{X}, \widehat{d}, \widehat{D})_n)) := \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \mathfrak{F}^{r-2j}(\widehat{X}_{n-2j}) u^j,$$

where $\mathfrak{F}^{r-2j}(\widehat{X}_{n-2j}) := \bigoplus_{i \leq r-2j} \widehat{X}_{i, n-i-2j}$. Consider the spectral sequence $(\mathfrak{E}_{rs}^v, \mathfrak{D}_{rs}^v)_{v \geq 0}$, associated with the filtration

$$\mathfrak{F}^0(\text{Tot}(\text{BC}(\widehat{X}_*, \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*))) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}^1(\text{Tot}(\text{BC}(\widehat{X}_*, \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*))) \subseteq \dots$$

of $\text{Tot}(\text{BC}(\widehat{X}_*, \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*))$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} - \mathfrak{E}_{rs}^0 &= \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \widehat{X}_{r-2j,s} u^j, \\ - \mathfrak{D}_{rs}^0 : \mathfrak{E}_{rs}^0 &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{r,s-1}^0 \text{ is } \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \widehat{d}_{r-2j,s}^1 u^j, \\ - \mathfrak{E}_{rs}^1 &= \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} H_s(\widehat{X}_{r-2j,*}, \widehat{d}_{r-2j,*}^1) u^j, \\ - \mathfrak{D}_{rs}^1 : \mathfrak{E}_{rs}^1 &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{E}_{r-1,s}^1 \text{ is } \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \check{d}_{r-2j,s} u^j + \bigoplus_{j \geq 1} \check{D}_{r-2j,s} u^{s-j}. \end{aligned}$$

From this and Remark 3.8 it follows that $\check{H}_s^A(E, A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes^*} \otimes E)$ is a mixed complex,

$$\mathfrak{E}_{rs}^1 = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} H_{s-j}^A(E, A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes r-j} \otimes E) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{E}_{rs}^2 = \text{HC}_r\left(\check{H}_s^A(E, A \otimes \overline{A}^{\otimes^*} \otimes E)\right).$$

In order to finish the proof note that the filtration of $\text{Tot}(\text{BC}(\widehat{X}_*, \widehat{d}_*, \widehat{D}_*))$ introduced above is canonically bounded, and so, by Theorem 6.3, the spectral sequence $(\mathfrak{E}_{sr}^v, \mathfrak{D}_{sr}^v)_{v \geq 0}$ converges to the cyclic homology of the K -algebra E . \square

Appendix

For each $0 \leq i < n$ and each k -subalgebra R of A we set $F_{U,R}^i(X_n) := \bigoplus_{s=0}^i U_{n-s,s}^1(R)$.

Lemma A.1. *Let R be a k -subalgebra of A . Assume that R is stable under χ and that \mathcal{F} takes its values in $R \otimes_k V$. Let $r, s \geq 0$ and let $n := r + s$. For each $\mathbf{z} \in X_{rs}$ it is true that:*

- (1) *If $\mathbf{z} \in E \cdot W_{rs}$, then $\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{z}) = \sigma^0(\mathbf{z}) \in E \cdot L_{r+1,s}$.*
- (2) *If $\mathbf{z} \in L_{rs}^u(R) \cdot E$, then $\sigma^l(\mathbf{z}) \in U_{r+l+1,s-l}^{l+u}(R)$ for all $l \geq 0$.*
- (3) *If $\mathbf{z} \in E \cdot U_{rs}$, then $\sigma^l(\mathbf{z}) = 0$ for $l \geq 0$.*
- (4) *If $\mathbf{z} \in L_{rs} \cdot E$ and $r > 0$, then $\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{z}) \equiv \sigma^0(\mathbf{z})$ modulo $F_{U,R}^{s-1}(X_{n+1})$.*
- (5) *If $\mathbf{z} \in L_{0n} \cdot E$, then $\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{z}) \equiv \sigma^0(\mathbf{z}) - \sigma^0 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ v(\mathbf{z})$ modulo $F_{U,R}^{n-1}(X_{n+1})$.*
- (6) *If $\mathbf{z} \in E \cdot U_{rs}$ and $r > 0$, then $\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{z}) = 0$.*
- (7) *If $\mathbf{z} \in E \cdot U_{0n}$, then $\bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{z}) = -\sigma^0 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ v(\mathbf{z})$.*

Proof. Item 1) is Remark 2.21 and item (2) holds for $l = 0$ by the very definition of σ^0 . Assume that item (2) is true for all the maps σ^i with $i < l$. By items (2) and (3) of Theorem 2.26,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^l(\mathbf{z}) &= - \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \sigma^0 \circ d^{l-i} \circ \sigma^i(\mathbf{z}) \\ &\in \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \sigma^0(d^{l-i}(U_{r+i+1,s-i}^{u+i}(R))) \\ &\subseteq \sigma^0(E \cdot U_{r+l,s-l}^{u+l-1}(R)) + \sigma^0(L_{r+l,s-l}^{u+l-1}(R) \cdot \gamma(V)\gamma(V)). \end{aligned}$$

So, $\sigma^l(\mathbf{z}) \in U_{r+l+1,s-l}^{u+l}(R)$, since by the definition of σ^0 , equality (2.17) and Lemma 1.14,

$$\sigma^0(E \cdot U_{r+l,s-l}^{u+l}(R)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^0(L_{r+l,s-l}^{u+l-1}(R) \cdot \gamma(V)\gamma(V)) \subseteq U_{r+l+1,s-l}^{u+l}(R).$$

Item (3) follows easily by induction on l using the recursive definition of σ^l (the initial case $l = 0$ is equality (2.17)). Items (4), (5), (6) and (7) follow from Proposition 2.18 and items (2) and (3). \square

Remark A.2. From the definition of $\bar{\sigma}$ and item (2) of the previous lemma it follows that

$$\bar{\sigma}(F_{U,R}^i(X_n) \cdot E) \subseteq F_{U,R}^i(X_{n+1}) \quad \text{for } i < n.$$

Proposition A.3. *Let R be a k -subalgebra of A . Assume that R is stable under χ and that \mathcal{F} takes its values in $R \otimes_k V$. Let $v, v_1, \dots, v_i \in V$ and $a, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n \in A$. The map ψ_n has the following properties:*

- (1) $\psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1i}) \otimes \bar{j}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i+1,n}) \otimes 1_E) = 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1i}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n} \otimes 1_E$.
- (2) *Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{J}_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)$. If there exist indices $j_1 < j_2$ such that $x_{j_1} \in \mathfrak{J}_\nu(A)$ and $x_{j_2} \in \gamma(V)$, then $\psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes 1_E) = 0$.*
- (3) *If $\mathbf{x} = 1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \overline{a \cdot \gamma(v)} \otimes \bar{j}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i+1,n}) \otimes 1_E$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\mathbf{x}) &\equiv 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes_A a \cdot \tilde{\gamma}(v) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n} \otimes 1_E \\ &\quad + \sum 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \bar{a} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}^{(l)} \otimes \gamma(v^{(l)}) \quad \text{mod } F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_n), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}^{(l)} \otimes_k v^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n})$.

(4) If $\mathbf{x} = 1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1,j-1}) \otimes \overline{a \cdot \gamma(v)} \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{j+1,i}) \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i+1,n}) \otimes 1_E$ with $j < i$, then

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,j-1}) \otimes_A a \cdot \tilde{\gamma}(v) \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{j+1,i}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n} \otimes 1_E \pmod{F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_n)}.$$

(5) If $\mathbf{x} = 1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i,j-1}) \otimes \overline{a \cdot \gamma(v)} \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{j+1,n}) \otimes 1_E$ with $j > i$, then

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sum 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i,j-1} \otimes \bar{a} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{j+1,n}^{(l)} \otimes \gamma(v^{(l)}) \pmod{F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_n)},$$

where $\sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{j+1,n}^{(l)} \otimes_k v^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{j+1,n})$.

(6) Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ satisfying $\#\{l : x_l \notin J_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)\} = 1$. If there exist $j_1 < j_2$ such that $x_{j_1} \in J_\nu(A)$ and $x_{j_2} \in \gamma(V)$, then

$$\psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes 1_E) \in F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_n),$$

where $i := \#\{l : x_l \notin J_\nu(A)\}$.

Proof. (1) We proceed by induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is trivial. Suppose $n > 0$ and the result is valid for $n - 1$. Assume first that $i < n$. By Proposition 2.30, the inductive hypothesis and item (1) of Lemma A.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1i}) \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i+1,n}) \otimes 1_E) &= (-1)^n \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1i}) \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i+1,n-1}) \otimes J_\nu(a_n)) \\ &= (-1)^n \bar{\sigma}(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1i}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n-1} \otimes J_\nu(a_n)) \\ &= (-1)^n \sigma^0(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1i}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n-1} \otimes J_\nu(a_n)), \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows from equality (2.15), since $1_E \in K \otimes_k V$. Assume now that $i = n$. By Proposition 2.30, the inductive hypothesis, item (7) of Lemma A.1 and the definitions of v and σ^{-1} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1n}) \otimes 1_E) &= (-1)^n \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1,n-1}) \otimes \gamma(v_n)) \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \sigma^0 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ v(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,n-1}) \otimes \gamma(v_n)) \\ &= \sigma^0(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1n}) \otimes_A 1_E). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows now immediately from equality (2.14), since $1_E \in K \otimes_k V$.

(2) We proceed by induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is trivial. Suppose $n > 0$ and the result is valid for $n - 1$. By Proposition 2.30 and the inductive hypothesis, if there exist $j_1 < j_2 < n$ such that $x_{j_1} \in J_\nu(A)$ and $x_{j_2} \in \gamma(V)$, then

$$\psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes 1_E) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma}(0) = 0.$$

On the other hand, if $\mathbf{x}_{1n} = \gamma(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes J_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i,n-1}) \otimes \gamma(v_n)$, then, by Proposition 2.30 and statement (1),

$$\psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes 1_E) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma}(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i,n-1} \otimes \gamma(v_n)),$$

and the result follows from item (6) of Lemma A.1.

(3) We proceed by induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is trivial. Suppose $n > 0$ and the result is valid for $n - 1$. Assume first that $i < n$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &:= 1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \overline{a \cdot \gamma(v)} \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i+1,n-1}) \otimes J_\nu(a_n), \\ \mathbf{z} &:= 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes_A a \cdot \tilde{\gamma}(v) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n-1} \otimes J_\nu(a_n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{z}' := \sum 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \bar{a} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n-1}^{(l)} \otimes \gamma(v^{(l)}) J_\nu(a_n),$$

where $\sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1, n-1}^{(l)} \otimes_k v^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1, n-1})$. Clearly $\mathbf{z}' \in L_{n-i, i-1} \cdot E$, while $\mathbf{z} \in W_{n-i-1, i}$ (use equality (1.6) repeatedly). By Proposition 2.30 and the inductive hypothesis,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi(\mathbf{y}) \equiv (-1)^n \bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{z}') \pmod{\bar{\sigma}(F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_{n-1}) \cdot J_\nu(A))}$$

So, by Remark A.2 and items (1) and (4) of Lemma A.1,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv (-1)^n \sigma^0(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{z}') \pmod{F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_n)}.$$

Using the fact that $\gamma(V) \subseteq K \otimes_k V$ and equalities (1.6) and (2.15) in order to compute $\sigma^0(\mathbf{z}')$, and using the fact that $1_E \in K \otimes_k V$ and equality (2.15) in order to compute $\sigma^0(\mathbf{z})$, we obtain the desired expression for $\psi(\mathbf{x})$. Assume now that $i = n$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &:= 1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1, n-1}) \otimes a \cdot \gamma(v), \\ \mathbf{z} &:= 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1, n-1}) \otimes a \cdot \gamma(v) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{z}' := 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1, n-1}) \otimes_A a \cdot \tilde{\gamma}(v) \otimes_A 1_E.$$

By Proposition 2.30, item (1) and Lemma A.1(5),

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi(\mathbf{y}) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{z}) \equiv (-1)^n \sigma^0(\mathbf{z}) - (-1)^n \sigma^0 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ v(\mathbf{z}) \pmod{F_{U,R}^{n-2}(X_n)}.$$

Hence, by the definitions of v and σ^{-1} ,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv (-1)^n \sigma^0(\mathbf{z}) + \sigma^0(\mathbf{z}') \pmod{F_{U,R}^{n-2}(X_n)}.$$

The desired formula for $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ follows now easily using the fact that $\gamma(V) \subseteq K \otimes_k V$ and equality (2.15) in order to compute $\sigma^0(\mathbf{z})$, and using the fact that $1_E \in K \otimes_k V$ and equality (2.14) in order to compute $\sigma^0(\mathbf{z}')$.

(4) We proceed by induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is trivial. Suppose $n > 0$ and the result is valid for $n - 1$. Assume first that $i < n$. Let

$$\mathbf{y} := 1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1, j-1}) \otimes \overline{a \cdot \gamma(v)} \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{j-1, i}) \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i+1, n-1}) \otimes J_\nu(a_n)$$

and

$$\mathbf{z} := 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1, j-1}) \otimes_A a \cdot \tilde{\gamma}(v) \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{j-1, i}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1, n-1} \otimes J_\nu(a_n).$$

Note that $\mathbf{z} \in W_{n-i-1, i}$. By Proposition 2.30 and the inductive hypothesis,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi(\mathbf{y}) \equiv (-1)^n \bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{z}) \pmod{\bar{\sigma}(F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_{n-1}) \cdot J_\nu(A))}.$$

So, by Remark A.2 and item (1) of Lemma A.1,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv (-1)^n \sigma^0(\mathbf{z}) \pmod{F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_n)}.$$

The desired formula for $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ follows from equality (2.15), because $1_E \in K \otimes_k V$. Assume now that $j < i - 1$ and $i = n$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &:= 1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1, j-1}) \otimes \overline{a \cdot \gamma(v)} \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{j+1, n-1}) \otimes \gamma(v_n), \\ \mathbf{z} &:= 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1, j-1}) \otimes_A a \cdot \tilde{\gamma}(v) \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{j+1, n-1}) \otimes \gamma(v_n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{z}' := 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1, j-1}) \otimes_A a \cdot \tilde{\gamma}(v) \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{j+1, n}) \otimes_A 1_E.$$

Clearly $\mathbf{z} \in U_{0, n-1}$. By Proposition 2.30 and the inductive hypothesis,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi(\mathbf{y}) \equiv (-1)^n \bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{z}) \pmod{\bar{\sigma}(F_{U,R}^{n-3}(X_{n-1}) \cdot E)}.$$

Consequently, by Remark A.2 and item (7) of Lemma A.1,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv (-1)^{n+1} \sigma^0 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ v(\mathbf{z}) \pmod{F_{U,R}^{n-3}(X_n)}.$$

So, the definitions of v and σ^{-1} ,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sigma^0(\mathbf{z}') \quad \text{mod } F_{U,R}^{n-3}(X_n),$$

and the formula for $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ follows from equality (2.14), because $1_E \in K \otimes_k V$. Assume finally that $j = i - 1$ and $i = n$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &:= 1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1,n-2}) \otimes \overline{a \cdot \gamma(v)} \otimes \gamma(v_n), \\ \mathbf{z} &:= 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,n-2}) \otimes_A a \cdot \tilde{\gamma}(v) \otimes \gamma(v_n), \\ \mathbf{z}' &:= 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,n-2}) \otimes \bar{a} \otimes \gamma(v)\gamma(v_n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{z}'' := 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,n-2}) \otimes_A a \cdot \tilde{\gamma}(v) \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}(v_n) \otimes_A 1_E.$$

Clearly $\mathbf{z}' \in L_{1,n-2} \cdot E$ and $\mathbf{z} \in U_{0,n-1}$. By Proposition 2.30 and item (3),

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi(\mathbf{y}) \equiv (-1)^n \bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{z} + \mathbf{z}') \quad \text{mod } \bar{\sigma}(F_{U,R}^{n-3}(X_{n-1}) \cdot E).$$

Hence, by Remark A.2 and items (4) and (7) of Lemma A.1,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv (-1)^{n+1} \sigma^0 \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ v(\mathbf{z}) + (-1)^n \sigma^0(\mathbf{z}') \quad \text{mod } F_{U,R}^{n-3}(X_n).$$

By Lemma 1.14 and the definition of σ^0 we have $\sigma^0(\mathbf{z}') \in U_{2,n-2}^1(R)$. Consequently, by the definitions of v and σ^{-1} ,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sigma^0(\mathbf{z}'') \quad \text{mod } F_{U,R}^{n-2}(X_n).$$

Since $1_E \in K \otimes_k V$, the formula for $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ follows now immediately from equality (2.14).

(5) We proceed by induction on n . The case $n = 0$ is trivial. Suppose $n > 0$ and the result is valid for $n - 1$. Assume first that $j < n$. Let

$$\mathbf{y} := 1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i,j-1}) \otimes \overline{a \cdot \gamma(v)} \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{j+1,n-1}) \otimes J_\nu(a_n)$$

and

$$\mathbf{z} := \sum_l 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i,j-1} \otimes \bar{a} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{j+1,n-1}^{(l)} \otimes \gamma(v^{(l)}) J_\nu(a_n),$$

where $\sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{j+1,n-1}^{(l)} \otimes_k v^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v \otimes_k \bar{a}_{j+1,n-1})$. Note that $\mathbf{z} \in L_{n-i,i-1} \cdot E$. By Proposition 2.30 and the inductive hypothesis,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi(\mathbf{y}) \equiv (-1)^n \bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{z}) \quad \text{mod } \bar{\sigma}(F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_{n-1}) \cdot J_\nu(A)).$$

Thus, by Remark A.2 and item (4) of Lemma A.1,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv (-1)^n \sigma^0(\mathbf{z}) \quad \text{mod } F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_n).$$

The expression for $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ follows now from the fact that $\gamma(V) \subseteq K \otimes_k V$ and equalities (1.6) and (2.15). We next consider the case $j = n$. Let

$$\mathbf{y} := 1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i,n-1}) \otimes a \cdot \gamma(v)$$

and

$$\mathbf{z} := 1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i,n-1} \otimes a \cdot \gamma(v).$$

Note that $\mathbf{z} \in L_{n-i,i-1} \cdot E$. By Proposition 2.30 and item (1),

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi(\mathbf{y}) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma}(\mathbf{z}).$$

Thus, by item (4) of Lemma A.1,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv (-1)^n \sigma^0(\mathbf{z}) \quad \text{mod } F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_n).$$

The expression for $\psi(\mathbf{x})$ follows now from the fact that $\gamma(V) \subseteq K \otimes_k V$ and equality (2.15).

(6) By Proposition 2.30,

$$\psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes 1_E) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma} \circ \psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n).$$

Assume first that $x_n \notin J_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)$. Then, by item (2),

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = (-1)^n \bar{\sigma}(0) = 0.$$

Assume now that $x_n \in J_\nu(A)$. By inductive hypothesis, $\psi(\mathbf{x}) \in \bar{\sigma}(F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_{n-1}) \cdot J_\nu(A))$, and so, by Remark A.2,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \in F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_n),$$

Assume finally that $x_n \in \gamma(V)$. If j_2 in the statement can be taken lesser than n , then, by inductive hypothesis, $\psi(\mathbf{x}) \in \bar{\sigma}(F_{U,R}^{i-3}(X_{n-1}) \cdot \gamma(V))$, and so, again by Remark A.2,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \in F_{U,R}^{i-3}(X_n) \subseteq F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_n).$$

If j_2 is necessarily equals n , then, by items (3), (4) and (5),

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \in \bar{\sigma}(U_{n-i,i-1} + L_{n-i+1,i-2} \cdot \gamma(V)\gamma(V)) + \bar{\sigma}(F_{U,R}^{i-3}(X_{n-1}) \cdot \gamma(V)).$$

So, by Remark A.2 and items (4) and (6) of Lemma A.1,

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) \in \sigma^0(L_{n-i+1,i-2} \cdot \gamma(V)\gamma(V)) + F_{U,R}^{i-3}(X_n),$$

and the result follows from Lemma 1.14, the fact that $\gamma(V) \subseteq K \otimes_k V$ and equality (2.15). \square

Proposition A.4. *Let R be a k -subalgebra of A . Assume that R is stable under χ and that \mathcal{F} takes its values in $R \otimes_k V$. Let $v, v_1, \dots, v_i \in V$ and $a, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n \in A$. The map $\phi_n \circ \psi_n$ has the following properties:*

(1) *If $\mathbf{x} := 1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1i}) \otimes \bar{j}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i+1,n}) \otimes 1_E$, then*

$$\phi \circ \psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 1_E \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{1i} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}) \otimes 1_E \quad \text{mod } J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{R,1}^{i-1}(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K).$$

(2) *Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in J_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)$. If there exist indices $j_1 < j_2$ such that $x_{j_1} \in J_\nu(A)$ and $x_{j_2} \in \gamma(V)$, then $\phi \circ \psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes 1_E) = 0$.*

(3) *If $\mathbf{x} = 1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \overline{a \cdot \gamma(v)} \otimes \bar{j}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i+1,n}) \otimes 1_E$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \circ \psi(\mathbf{x}) &\equiv \sum J_\nu(a^{(j)}) \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{1,i-1}^{(j)} \otimes_k v \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}) \otimes 1_E \\ &\quad + \sum 1_E \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{1,i-1} \otimes_k \bar{a} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}^{(l)}) \otimes \gamma(v^{(l)}), \end{aligned}$$

modulo

$$J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{R,1}^{i-1}(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K) + J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{R,1}^{i-2}(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K) \cdot \gamma(V),$$

where

$$\sum_j \bar{a}^{(j)} \otimes_k v_{1,i-1}^{(j)} := \bar{\chi}(v_{1,i-1} \otimes_k \bar{a}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}^{(l)} \otimes_k v^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}).$$

(4) *If $\mathbf{x} = 1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1,j-1}) \otimes \overline{a \cdot \gamma(v)} \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{j+1,i}) \otimes \bar{j}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i+1,n}) \otimes 1_E$ with $j < i$, then*

$$\phi \circ \psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sum J_\nu(a^{(l)}) \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{1,i-1}^{(l)} \otimes_k v \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}) \otimes 1_E$$

modulo

$$J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{R,1}^{i-1}(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K) + J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{R,1}^{i-2}(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K) \cdot \gamma(V),$$

where $\sum_l \bar{a}^{(l)} \otimes_k v_{1,i-1}^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v_{1,i-1} \otimes_k \bar{a})$.

(5) If $\mathbf{x} = 1_E \otimes \bar{\gamma}(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{i,j-1}) \otimes \overline{a \cdot \gamma(v)} \otimes \bar{J}_\nu(\mathbf{a}_{j+1,n}) \otimes 1_E$ with $j > i$, then

$$\phi \circ \psi(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sum_l 1_E \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{1,i-1} \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i,j-1} \otimes \bar{a} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{j+1,n}^{(l)}) \otimes \gamma(v^{(l)})$$

modulo $J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{R,1}^{i-2}(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K) \cdot \gamma(V)$, where $\sum_l \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{j+1,n}^{(l)} \otimes_k v^{(l)} := \bar{\chi}(v \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{j+1,n})$.

(6) Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ satisfying $\#\{l : x_l \notin J_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)\} = 1$. If there exist $j_1 < j_2$ such that $x_{j_1} \in J_\nu(A)$ and $x_{j_2} \in \gamma(V)$, then

$$\phi \circ \psi(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes 1_E) \in J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{R,1}^{i-2}(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K) \cdot \gamma(V),$$

where $i := \#\{l : x_l \notin J_\nu(A)\}$.

Proof. (1) This is a consequence of Proposition 2.39 and item (1) of Proposition A.3.

(2) This is a consequence of item (2) of Proposition A.3.

(3) By item (3) of Proposition A.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \circ \psi(\mathbf{x}) &\equiv \phi(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes_A a \cdot \tilde{\gamma}(v) \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n} \otimes 1_E) \\ &\quad + \sum \phi(1_E \otimes_A \tilde{\gamma}_A(v_{1,i-1}) \otimes \bar{a} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}^{(l)} \otimes \gamma(v^{(l)})) \quad \text{mod } \phi(F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_n)), \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by equality (1.6) and Proposition 2.39,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \circ \psi(\mathbf{x}) &\equiv \sum J_\nu(a^{(j)}) \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{1,i-1}^{(j)} \otimes_k v \otimes_k \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}) \otimes 1_E \\ &\quad + \sum 1_E \otimes \text{Sh}(v_{1,i-1} \otimes_k \bar{a} \otimes \bar{\mathbf{a}}_{i+1,n}^{(l)}) \otimes \gamma(v^{(l)}), \end{aligned}$$

modulo

$$J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{R,1}^{i-1}(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K) + J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{R,1}^{i-2}(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K) \cdot \gamma(V) + \phi(F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_n)).$$

Since, by Remark 2.38, Proposition 2.39, and the definition of $F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_n)$,

$$\phi(F_{U,R}^{i-2}(X_n)) \subseteq J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{R,1}^{i-2}(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K) \cdot \gamma(V),$$

the assertion in the statement is true.

(4) Mimic the proof of item (3).

(5) Mimic the proof of item (3).

(6) Mimic the proof of item (3). □

Proposition A.5. If $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ satisfy $\#\{j : x_j \notin J_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)\} \leq 1$, then

$$\omega(\mathbf{x}) \in J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{A,0}^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n+1}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K),$$

where $\mathbf{x} := 1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1n} \otimes 1_E$ and $i := \#\{j : x_j \notin J_\nu(A)\}$.

Proof. We first claim that if $\#\{j : x_j \notin J_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)\} = 0$, then $\omega(\mathbf{x}) = 0$. We proceed by induction on n . The case $n = 1$ is trivial, since $\omega_1 = 0$ by definition. Assume that $n > 1$ and the claim holds for $n - 1$. Then,

$$\omega(\mathbf{x}) = \xi(\phi \circ \psi(\mathbf{x}) - (-1)^n \omega(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n)) = \xi \circ \phi \circ \psi(\mathbf{x}) = 0,$$

where the first equality holds by Remark 2.31; the second one, by the inductive hypothesis; and the last one, by the facts that $J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{A,0}^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K) \subseteq \ker(\xi)$ and, by items (1) and (2) of Proposition A.4,

$$\phi \circ \psi(\mathbf{x}) \in J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{A,0}^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K).$$

We now assume that $\#\{j : x_j \notin J_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)\} = 1$ and we prove the proposition by induction on n . This is trivial for $n = 1$ since $w_1 = 0$. Suppose that $n > 1$ and the proposition is true for $n - 1$. Since by Remark 2.31

$$\omega(\mathbf{x}) = \xi(\phi \circ \psi(\mathbf{x}) - (-1)^n \omega(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n)),$$

and, by items (3)–(6) of Proposition A.4, Remark 2.38, Proposition 2.39 and the definition of ξ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \circ \phi \circ \psi(\mathbf{x}) &\in \xi\left(J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{A,0}^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K) + J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{A,0}^{i-1}(\bar{E}^{\otimes n}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K) \cdot \gamma(V)\right) \\ &\subseteq J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{A,0}^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n+1}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K), \end{aligned}$$

in order to finish the proof it suffices to check that

$$\xi \circ \omega(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n) \in J_\nu(A) \bar{\otimes} F_{A,0}^i(\bar{E}^{\otimes n+1}) \bar{\otimes} J_\nu(K). \quad (\text{A.35})$$

But, since by the inductive hypothesis and the claim,

- if $x_n \in J_\nu(A)$, then $\omega(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n) \in F_{A,0}^i(E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes E) \cdot J_\nu(A)$,
- if $x_n \in \gamma(V)$, then $\omega(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n) \in F_{A,0}^{i-1}(E \otimes \bar{E}^{\otimes n} \otimes E) \cdot \gamma(V)$,
- if $x_n \notin J_\nu(A) \cup \gamma(V)$, then $\omega(1_E \otimes \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{1,n-1} \otimes x_n) = 0$,

the condition (A.35) is satisfied. \square

References

- [1] R. Akbarpour and M. Khalkhali, *Hopf algebra equivariant cyclic homology and cyclic homology of crossed product algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **559** (2003), 137–152, DOI 10.1515/crll.2003.046. MR1989648
- [2] J. N. Alonso Álvarez, J. M. Fernández Vilaboa, R. González Rodríguez, and A. B. Rodríguez Raposo, *Crossed products in weak contexts*, Appl. Categ. Structures **18** (2010), no. 3, 231–258, DOI 10.1007/s10485-008-9139-2. MR2640214 (2011d:18009)
- [3] Marcelo Muniz S Alves, Eleizer Batista, Michael Dokuchaev, and Antonio Paques, *Twisted partial actions of Hopf algebras*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **197** (2013), no. 1, 263–308.
- [4] Dan Burghelea, *Cyclic homology and the algebraic K-theory of spaces. I*, theory, Part I, II (1983), Contemp. Math., vol. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 89–115, DOI 10.1090/conm/055.1/862632. MR862632 (88i:18009a)
- [5] Tomasz Brzeziński, *Crossed products by a coalgebra*, Comm. Algebra **25** (1997), no. 11, 3551–3575, DOI 10.1080/00927879708826070. MR1468823 (98i:16034)
- [6] Graciela Carboni, Jorge A. Guccione, and Juan J. Guccione, *Cyclic homology of Hopf crossed products*, Adv. Math. **223** (2010), no. 3, 840–872, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2009.09.008. MR2565551 (2010m:16015)
- [7] Graciela Carboni, Jorge A. Guccione, Juan J. Guccione, and Christian Valqui, *Cyclic homology of Brzeziński’s crossed products and of braided Hopf crossed products*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 6, 3502–3568, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2012.09.006. MR2980507
- [8] Marius Crainic, *On the perturbation lemma, and deformations* (2004), available at [arXiv:Math.AT/0403266](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0403266).
- [9] B. L. Feigin and B. L. Tsygan, *Additive K-theory, K-theory, arithmetic and geometry* (Moscow, 1984), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1289, Springer, Berlin, 1987, pp. 67–209, DOI 10.1007/BFb0078368. MR923136
- [10] J. M. Fernández Vilaboa, R. González Rodríguez, and A. B. Rodríguez Raposo, *Preunits and weak crossed products*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **213** (2009), 2244–2261.
- [11] Murray Gerstenhaber and Samuel D. Schack, *Relative Hochschild cohomology, rigid algebras, and the Bockstein*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **43** (1986), no. 1, 53–74, DOI 10.1016/0022-4049(86)90004-6. MR862872 (88a:16045)
- [12] Ezra Getzler and John D. S. Jones, *The cyclic homology of crossed product algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **445** (1993), 161–174, DOI 10.1515/crll.1995.466.19. MR1244971
- [13] Jorge A. Guccione and Juan J. Guccione, *Hochschild (co)homology of Hopf crossed products*, K-Theory **25** (2002), 138–169.
- [14] Jorge A. Guccione, Juan J. Guccione, and Christian Valqui, *(Co)homology of crossed products by weak Hopf algebras*, preprint.

- [15] P. Jara and D. Ştefan, *Hopf-cyclic homology and relative cyclic homology of Hopf-Galois extensions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **93** (2006), no. 1, 138–174, DOI 10.1017/S0024611506015772. MR2235945
- [16] Christian Kassel, *Cyclic homology, comodules, and mixed complexes*, J. Algebra **107** (1987), no. 1, 195–216, DOI 10.1016/0021-8693(87)90086-X. MR883882 (88k:18019)
- [17] M. Khalkhali and B. Rangipour, *On the cyclic homology of Hopf crossed products*, Galois theory, Hopf algebras, and semiabelian categories, Fields Inst. Commun., vol. 43, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 341–351. MR2075593
- [18] V. Nistor, *Group cohomology and the cyclic cohomology of crossed products*, Invent. Math. **99** (1990), no. 2, 411–424, DOI 10.1007/BF01234426. MR1031908
- [19] Christian Voigt, *Equivariant periodic cyclic homology*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **6** (2007), no. 4, 689–763, DOI 10.1017/S1474748007000102. MR2337312
- [20] Jiao Zhang and Naihong Hu, *Cyclic homology of strong smash product algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **663** (2012), 177–207, DOI 10.1515/CRELLE.2011.098. MR2889710

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES-UBA, PABELLÓN 1-CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, INTENDENTE GUIRALDES 2160 (C1428EGA) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

INSTITUTO DE INVESTIGACIONES MATEMÁTICAS “LUIS A. SANTALÓ”, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES-UBA, PABELLÓN 1-CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, INTENDENTE GUIRALDES 2160 (C1428EGA) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

E-mail address: vander@dm.uba.ar

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS EXACTAS Y NATURALES-UBA, PABELLÓN 1-CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, INTENDENTE GUIRALDES 2160 (C1428EGA) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

INSTITUTO ARGENTINO DE MATEMÁTICA-CONICET, SAVEDRA 15 3ER PISO, (C1083ACA) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

E-mail address: jjgucchi@dm.uba.ar

PONTIFICIA UNIVERSIDAD CATÓLICA DEL PERÚ - INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA Y CIENCIAS AFINES, SECCIÓN MATEMÁTICAS, PUCP, AV. UNIVERSITARIA 1801, SAN MIGUEL, LIMA 32, PERÚ.

E-mail address: cvalqui@pucp.edu.pe