

ON THE ARCHIMEDEAN LOCAL GAMMA FACTORS FOR ADJOINT REPRESENTATION OF GL_3 , PART I

FANGYANG TIAN

ABSTRACT. Studying the analytic properties of the partial Langlands L -function via Rankin-Selberg method has been proved to be successful in various cases. Yet in few cases is the local theory studied at the archimedean places, which causes a tremendous gap to complete the analytic theory of the complete L -function. In this paper, we will establish the meromorphic continuation and the functional equation of the archimedean local integrals associated with D. Ginzburg's global integral ([Gin91]) for the adjoint representation of GL_3 . Via the local functional equation, the local gamma factor $\Gamma(s, \pi, \text{Ad}, \psi)$ can be defined. In a forthcoming paper, we will compute the local gamma factor $\Gamma(s, \pi, \text{Ad}, \psi)$ explicitly, which fill in some blanks in the archimedean local theory of Ginzburg's global integral.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and Notations	1
1.1. The Adjoint L -function for GL_n	1
1.2. Some Basic Structures of G_2	2
1.3. Ginzburg's Work on $L(s, \pi, \text{Ad})$ and Related Work of Other People	4
1.4. Archimedean Local Setup and Organization of this Paper	5
2. Convergence of Local Integrals	7
2.1. Archimedean Case	7
2.2. Some Quick Remarks on the Non-archimedean Case	10
3. Asymptotic Expansion of the Whittaker Functions (Archimedean Cases)	11
3.1. First Step	12
3.2. Second Step	18
4. Meromorphic Continuation of the Local Integrals (Archimedean Case)	22
4.1. Some Reductions	22
4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.6	25
5. The Uniqueness Theorem (Archimedean Case)	31
Appendix A. Some Elementary Integrals	33
References	36

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATIONS

1.1. The Adjoint L -function for GL_n . The study of analytic properties of L -functions can be dated back to B. Riemann's time, when the meromorphic continuation and functional

Date: November 8, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 22E45, 22E50; Secondary 11F70.

Key words and phrases. Archimedean Local Integral, Rankin-Selberg Integral, Adjoint L -function for General Linear Group.

equation of Riemann Zeta function $\zeta(s)$ were established via integral representations of Jacobi-Theta series. The analytic theory of Riemann Zeta function $\zeta(s)$, or in general the Dirichlet L -function $L(s, \chi)$, can now be interpreted as theory of automorphic forms of the group GL_1 (see [Tate50]). When we move to groups of higher rank, we consider a connected linear algebraic group G defined over a number field F . We write \mathbb{A} for the ring of adèles of F . An automorphic representation π of $G(\mathbb{A})$ is a natural non-abelian generalization of a Hecke character of GL_1 . Correspondingly, we will study the Langlands L -function $L(s, \pi, r)$, a natural generalization of the Dirichlet L -function, where r is a finite dimensional representation of the L -group ${}^L G$. It is a theorem of Langlands that $L(s, \pi, r)$ converges on some right half plane. Langlands also conjectured that

Conjecture 1.1. *The L -function $L(s, \pi, r)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane which has only finitely many poles. It also satisfies a functional equation relating s to $1 - s$.*

We refer to [Borel77] for more detailed discussion on Langlands L -functions. Among all the Langlands L -functions, the adjoint L -function is of great interest. The adjoint L -function, in particular its special value at 1, appears in computations of automorphic period, in the local theory related to Plancherel measure, etc. (see [Ha13],[H-I-I08], [Ven17]). We also refer to [J-R97] for an interesting and deep relation between the holomorphy of the adjoint L -function and a classical algebraic number theoretic conjecture on holomorphy of the quotient of two Dedekind Zeta functions.

The Rankin-Selberg method, which was originally developed to study the standard L -function for $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$, has been proved to be successful in providing fruitful results on the analytic properties of Langlands L -function. We refer to D. Bump's paper [Bump05] for an excellent survey on this topic. The study of adjoint L -function via Rankin-Selberg method started from S. Gelbart and H. Jacquet's work on GL_2 (see [Ge-Jac78]), where they studied the global and local theory of the global integral (due to G. Shimura) constructed by integrating a cusp form on GL_2 against an Eisenstein series on the Metaplectic group Mp_2 . As for the adjoint L -function for GL_3 , D. Ginzburg constructed in his paper [Gin91] a global integral by integrating a cusp form on GL_3 against an Eisenstein series on G_2 . He only proved that the global integral admits an Euler product and at the local unramified non-archimedean places, the local integral is the adjoint L -function $L(3s - 1, \pi, \mathrm{Ad})$ (modulo some normalizing factor). His construction is quite remarkable and subtle. We will review Ginzburg's work with more details in the next two Subsections. To complete the analytic theory of the adjoint L -function of GL_3 , we also have to take care of the local archimedean places as well as the non-archimedean places where local representation is ramified. The goal of this paper is to explore some basic archimedean local theory and establish a foundation for attacking the local functional equation at archimedean places in a forthcoming paper. The construction of the global integrals for the adjoint L -function for GL_4 and GL_5 uses similar ideas, i.e. integrating a cusp form on GL_4 (GL_5 resp.) against an Eisenstein series on the exceptional group F_4 (E_8 resp.). We refer to [Bump-Gin98] and [Gin-Hun08] for detailed construction in these two cases. Unfortunately, less is known on the local theory in the case of GL_5 . For example, even the unramified computation in [Gin-Hun08] is not complete.

1.2. Some Basic Structures of G_2 . Let us first review some basic structures of G_2 . Our main reference is [SGA3]. Let G_2 be the connected, simply connected group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_2 , and T be the torus of G_2 with Lie algebra \mathfrak{t} . The root system of $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{t})$ can be described

as

$$\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{t}) = \{\pm\epsilon_i, \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j \mid i, j = 1, 2, 3 \text{ and } i \neq j\},$$

where $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 = 0$. We choose a positivity on the root system $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_2, \mathfrak{t})$ such that the set of simple roots is given by

$$\{\alpha = \epsilon_2, \beta = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2\},$$

i.e. α is the short simple root while β is the long simple root. Hence all positive roots are $\alpha, \beta, \alpha + \beta, 2\alpha + \beta, 3\alpha + \beta, 3\alpha + 2\beta$. All the six long roots $\pm\beta, \pm(3\alpha + \beta), \pm(3\alpha + 2\beta)$ generate a subgroup of G_2 isomorphic to SL_3 . We emphasize that this subgroup is not a Levi subgroup of any parabolic subgroup of G_2 . This subtle embedding will cause some major computational difficulties in the theory of archimedean local integrals.

For any root γ , let $\mathfrak{g}_{2,\gamma}$ be the root space of \mathfrak{g}_2 corresponding to γ . Denote by $x_\gamma(t)$ the one parameter unipotent subgroup of G_2 with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{2,\gamma}$. For each simple root $\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$, there is a homomorphism $\phi_\gamma : \text{SL}_2 \rightarrow G_2$ such that

$$\phi_\gamma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = x_{-\gamma}(t), \quad \phi_\gamma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = x_\gamma(t).$$

Set

$$w_\gamma = \phi_\gamma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Then it is easy to check that

$$w_\gamma = x_\gamma(1)x_{-\gamma}(-1)x_\gamma(1),$$

and w_γ is a representative for the simple reflection relative to simple root γ . Throughout this paper, when no confusion arises, we will not distinguish an element in the Weyl group from its representative. Following [SGA3, Exposé XXIII, Section 3.4], for any root γ , since $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{2,\gamma} = 1$, we can choose generators $X_\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_{2,\gamma}$ in the following way:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} X_\alpha &= dx_\alpha(1), & X_{-\alpha} &= dx_{-\alpha}(1), \\ X_\beta &= dx_\beta(1), & X_{-\beta} &= dx_{-\beta}(1), \\ X_{\alpha+\beta} &= \text{Ad}(w_\beta)(X_\alpha), & X_{2\alpha+\beta} &= \text{Ad}(w_\alpha)(X_{\alpha+\beta}), \\ X_{3\alpha+\beta} &= -\text{Ad}(w_\alpha)(X_\beta), & X_{3\alpha+2\beta} &= \text{Ad}(w_\beta)(X_{3\alpha+\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

For any negative root γ , we can construct generators $X_\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_{2,\gamma}$ from $X_{-\alpha}, X_{-\beta}$ and simple reflections using the same recipe. Finally, for any root γ , the one dimensional subgroup $x_\gamma(t)$ is parameterized such that $X_\gamma = dx_\gamma(1)$. Then the following commutator relations hold:

Lemma 1.2. [SGA3, Exposé XXIII, Section 3.4] *We adopt the following convention of commutator $(x, y) = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$, and have*

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (x_\beta(s), x_\alpha(t)) &= x_{\alpha+\beta}(st)x_{2\alpha+\beta}(st^2)x_{3\alpha+\beta}(st^3)x_{3\alpha+2\beta}(s^2t^3), \\ (x_{\alpha+\beta}(s), x_\alpha(t)) &= x_{2\alpha+\beta}(2st)x_{3\alpha+\beta}(3st^2)x_{3\alpha+2\beta}(3s^2t), \\ (x_{2\alpha+\beta}(s), x_\alpha(t)) &= x_{3\alpha+\beta}(3st), \\ (x_{3\alpha+\beta}(s), x_\beta(t)) &= x_{3\alpha+2\beta}(-st), \\ (x_{2\alpha+\beta}(s), x_{\alpha+\beta}(t)) &= x_{3\alpha+2\beta}(3st). \end{aligned}$$

For any other pair (γ, δ) of positive roots, $(x_\gamma(s), x_\delta(t)) = 1$.

Also, we have

Lemma 1.3. [SGA3, Exposé XXIII, Section 3.4]

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Ad}(w_\alpha)(X_{2\alpha+\beta}) &= -X_{\alpha+\beta}, & \operatorname{Ad}(w_\alpha)(X_{3\alpha+\beta}) &= X_\beta, \\ \operatorname{Ad}(w_\alpha)(X_{3\alpha+2\beta}) &= X_{3\alpha+2\beta}, & \operatorname{Ad}(w_\beta)(X_{\alpha+\beta}) &= -X_\alpha, \\ \operatorname{Ad}(w_\beta)(X_{2\alpha+\beta}) &= X_{2\alpha+\beta}, & \operatorname{Ad}(w_\beta)(X_{3\alpha+2\beta}) &= -X_{3\alpha+\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.4. *The choices of root vectors in this paper are slightly different from those of [Gin91]. For example, [Gin91] 1.1 implies that $\operatorname{Ad}(w_\beta)(X_{\alpha+\beta}) = X_\alpha$ under Ginzburg's choice of $X_{\alpha+\beta}$, but this contradicts to Lemma 1.3. We note that our choice and Ginzburg's choice only differ by a sign.*

1.3. Ginzburg's Work on $L(s, \pi, \operatorname{Ad})$ and Related Work of Other People. In this Subsection, we continue to assume that F is a number field and retain all notations in Subsection 1.2. Let P be the standard maximal parabolic subgroup of G_2 with Levi decomposition is $P = MU$, where U is generated by the following one parameter subgroups

$$x_\beta(t), x_{\alpha+\beta}(t), x_{2\alpha+\beta}(t), x_{3\alpha+\beta}(t), x_{3\alpha+2\beta}(t).$$

In [Gin91], Ginzburg considered the normalized parabolically induced representation

$$(1.4) \quad \rho_s := \operatorname{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{G_2(\mathbb{A})} \delta_P^{s-\frac{1}{2}}$$

on the space V_{ρ_s} . From Langlands' theory of Eisenstein series (see [Sha10]), if we take a function $f_s \in V_{\rho_s}$, then the Eisenstein series

$$(1.5) \quad E(g, f_s) := \sum_{\gamma \in P(F) \backslash G_2(F)} f_s(\gamma g)$$

can be extended to a meromorphic function of $s \in \mathbb{C}$. It satisfies a functional equation

$$E(g, f_s) = E(g, M(w)f_s),$$

where $M(w)$ is the intertwining operator relative to the Weyl element $w = w_{3\alpha+2\beta}$.

Let π be a cuspidal representation of $\operatorname{GL}_3(\mathbb{A})$ with a trivial central character. Then π is generic and has a global Whittaker model $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ for some non-trivial character ψ of $F \backslash \mathbb{A}$. Here the generic character for the standard maximal unipotent subgroup N of GL_3 is given by

$$(1.6) \quad \psi_N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ & 1 & y \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \psi(x + y).$$

The global integral which Ginzburg constructed is obtained by integrating a cusp form $\phi \in V_\pi$ against the Eisenstein series $E(g, f_s)$ (in (1.5)):

$$(1.7) \quad Z(\phi, f_s) = \int_{\operatorname{SL}_3(F) \backslash \operatorname{SL}_3(\mathbb{A})} \phi(g) E(g, f_s) dg,$$

Ginzburg proved in [Gin91, Theorem 1] that the above global integral $Z(\phi, f_s)$ admits an Euler product

$$(1.8) \quad Z(\phi, f_s) = \prod_{\nu} Z_\nu(W_{\phi_\nu}, f_{s,\nu}).$$

Here the local integrals $Z_\nu(W_{\phi_\nu}, f_{s,\nu})$ are defined by

$$(1.9) \quad Z_\nu(W_{\phi_\nu}, f_{s,\nu}) = \int_{N_2(F_\nu) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_3(F_\nu)} W_{\phi_\nu}(g) f_{s,\nu}(\gamma g) dg,$$

where W_{ϕ_ν} lives in the local Whittaker model $\mathcal{W}(\pi_\nu, \psi_\nu)$, $\gamma = x_{-(\alpha+\beta)}(-1)w_\beta$ is the representative of the open orbit in $P \backslash \mathrm{G}_2 / \mathrm{SL}_3$, and the group N_2 consists of all matrices of the form

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ & 1 & -x \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Ginzburg also proved in [Gin91, Theorem 2] that when ν is a non-archimedean local place where π_ν and $\rho_{s,\nu}$ are unramified, if we take W_{ϕ_ν} to be the spherical Whittaker function and $f_{s,\nu} \in V_{\rho_{s,\nu}}$ to be the spherical function such that

$$W_{\phi_\nu}(I) = f_{s,\nu}(I) = 1,$$

the local integral can be explicitly computed in terms of the local unramified adjoint L -function of π_ν , with some normalizing factors:

$$(1.11) \quad Z_\nu(W_{\phi_\nu}, f_{s,\nu}) = \frac{L(3s-1, \pi_\nu, \mathrm{Ad})}{\zeta_\nu(3s)\zeta_\nu(6s-2)\zeta_\nu(9s-3)}.$$

A modification of Ginzburg's construction for adjoint L -function of unitary groups was first found by J. Hundley (see [Hun12]). By studying the local and global theory of these integral representations (for GL_3 and unitary groups), Hundley and Q. Zhang (see [Hun-Zh18]) were able to extend the result of holomorphy of partial L -function $L^S(s, \pi, \mathrm{Ad})$ obtained in [Hun18] to the finite part of the complete L -function, denoted by $L_f(s, \pi, \mathrm{Ad})$. Thus, one should be able to complete the analytic theory of complete L -function $L(s, \pi, \mathrm{Ad})$ for GL_3 and $\mathrm{U}(2, 1)$ via integral representations once the corresponding archimedean local theory is complete. This is one of the main intuitions of this paper.

1.4. Archimedean Local Setup and Organization of this Paper. The goal of this paper is to study the archimedean local theory for the integrals (1.9). Let us clarify the setup in the archimedean local theory first.

For the rest of the paper, unless we state that F is non-archimedean, we will always assume that F is an archimedean local field, i.e. $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . Though the archimedean component of a cuspidal representation is in prior a (\mathfrak{g}, K) -module, for some technical reasons, it is more convenient to work with their Casselman-Wallach completions. Recall that a representation of a real reductive group is a Casselman-Wallach representation, if it is a smooth Fréchet representation which satisfies the moderate growth condition and its Harish-Chandra module has finite length. For the constructions and basic properties of Casselman-Wallach representations, we refer to [Wal92, Chapter 11]. Thus, following Ginzburg's assumption, we assume that π is an irreducible admissible generic Casselman-Wallach representation of $\mathrm{GL}_3(F)$ with a trivial central character. Then π has a non-zero Whittaker model $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ for some non-trivial additive character ψ of F . The generic character ψ_N associated with ψ is defined exactly in the same way as in (1.6). The local archimedean analogue of induced representation in 1.4 is

$$(1.12) \quad \rho_s := \mathrm{Ind}_{P(F)}^{\mathrm{G}_2(F)} \delta_P^{s-\frac{1}{2}},$$

which is assumed to be a Casselman-Wallach representation. The representation space of the above ρ_s is still denoted by V_{ρ_s} . The modular character δ_P satisfies

$$\delta_P\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & \\ & t_2 & \\ & & t_3 \end{pmatrix}\right) = |t_1^3 t_3^{-3}|_F.$$

The local integral which we consider in this paper is

$$(1.13) \quad Z(W_v, f_s) = \int_{N_2(F)\backslash\mathrm{SL}_3(F)} W_v(g) f_s(\gamma g) dg,$$

where $\gamma = x_{-(\alpha+\beta)}(-1)w_\beta$.

We first prove that

Theorem 1.5. *When F is archimedean, there is a sufficiently large s_0 such that when $\mathrm{Re}(s) > s_0$, the local integrals $Z(W_v, f_s)$ defined in (1.13) converge absolutely.*

The next step is to establish a meromorphic continuation of the local integrals $Z(W_v, f_s)$. To achieve this, we first need to establish an asymptotic expansion of the Whittaker function W_v along the torus of $\mathrm{GL}_3(F)$. In this paper, there are two asymptotic expansions of this nature. The first one is a coarse asymptotic expansion due to H. Jacquet and J. Shalika in Section 2 (see (2.11)). Unfortunately, this coarse asymptotic expansion cannot help us to see the dependence of the each summand in the expansion of W_v on the choice of vector v . A refined asymptotic expansion is suggested by D. Soudry (see [Sou95]), following N. Wallach's method (see [Wal92, Section 15.2]). Moreover, if we consider a character η of the standard Borel subgroup B_{GL_3} of $\mathrm{GL}_3(F)$, defined by

$$(1.14) \quad \eta\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \star & \star \\ & t_2 & \star \\ & & t_3 \end{pmatrix}\right) := \prod_{i=1}^3 |t_i|_F^{u_i} \chi_i(t_i),$$

where each $u_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and each χ_i is a unitary character of the maximal compact subgroup of F ; and consider a principal series

$$(1.15) \quad \pi = \mathrm{Ind}_{B_{\mathrm{GL}_3}}^{\mathrm{GL}_3(F)} \eta,$$

then we also write $\pi = \pi_u$, $W_v = W_{v,u}$ for the analytic continuation of the Jacquet integral (see [Wal92, 15.4.1]), to emphasize their dependence on the complex parameters $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$. We can also keep track on the dependence of the asymptotic expansion of $W_{v,u}$ on the complex parameters u . Soudry proved these results for orthogonal groups in [Sou95]. He also claims that his approach works for all split real reductive groups. Thus, in Section 3, we will follow Soudry's method closely and carry out the detailed proof for $\mathrm{GL}_3(F)$.

With the refined asymptotic expansion at hand, we are able to establish the meromorphic continuation of the local integrals $Z(W_v, f_s)$ in Section 4. Now we state the theorem.

Theorem 1.6. *When F is archimedean, the local integral $Z(W_v, f_s)$ extends to a meromorphic function of s on the whole complex plane. Under the projective tensor product topology, $Z(W_v, f_s)$ is a continuous bilinear form on $V_\pi \hat{\otimes} V_{\rho_s}$ (the projective tensor space of two Fréchet spaces V_π and V_{ρ_s}). Moreover, if $\pi = \pi_u$ is a principal series as in (1.15), then $Z(W_{v,u}, f_s)$ is also meromorphic in u .*

To establish the functional equation, we will also consider a local integral $\tilde{Z}(W_v, f_s)$ obtained by applying the local intertwining operator $M(w_{3\alpha+2\beta})$ to f_s . Here, the local intertwining operator is defined by

$$(M(w_{3\alpha+2\beta})f_s)(g) = \int_U f_s(w_{3\alpha+2\beta}^{-1}ug)du$$

when $\text{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently large. It has a meromorphic continuation in s . The local integrals $\tilde{Z}(W_v, f_s)$ on the other side of the local functional equations are

$$\tilde{Z}(W_v, f_s) := \int_{N_2(F)\backslash\text{SL}_3(F)} W_v(g) \cdot (M(w_{3\alpha+2\beta})f_s)(\gamma \cdot g)dg.$$

Then $\tilde{Z}(W_v, f_s)$ also has a meromorphic continuation in s and is continuous on $V_\pi \hat{\otimes} V_{\rho_s}$ for all parameter s , according to Theorem 1.6. Now we state the Uniqueness Theorem.

Theorem 1.7. *When F is archimedean, given any irreducible Casselman-Wallach representation π whose central character is trivial, there is a discrete, at most countable subset S of \mathbb{C} depending on π , such that whenever $s \notin S$, the space of continuous bilinear forms $B(v, f_s)$ satisfying the following equivariant property*

$$(1.16) \quad B(\pi(g)v, \rho_s(g)f_s) = B(v, f_s), \quad \forall g \in \text{SL}_3(F),$$

is at most one dimensional.

We will prove the above theorem in Section 5. Now that $Z(W_v, f_s)$ and $\tilde{Z}(W_v, f_s)$ are both continuous bilinear forms on $V_\pi \hat{\otimes} V_{\rho_s}$ which are meromorphic in s and satisfy the same equivariant property (1.16). Thus, there exists a meromorphic function $\Gamma(s, \pi, \text{Ad}, \psi)$ such that

$$(1.17) \quad \Gamma(s, \pi, \text{Ad}, \psi)Z(W_v, f_s) = \tilde{Z}(W_v, f_s).$$

We also note that if $\pi = \pi_u$ is a principal series, then $\Gamma(s, \pi_u, \text{Ad}, \psi,)$ is also meromorphic in u .

Remark 1.8. *An analogue of Theorem 1.7 for non-archimedean cases was established in [Hun-Zh18, Proposition 3.6], thus the local functional equation (1.17) is in fact established at all places.*

We will also mention some results for non-archimedean local integrals in Subsection 2.2, yet proofs will not be given here since we wish to focus on the archimedean local theory. In a forthcoming paper, we will compute the local gamma factors $\Gamma(s, \pi, \text{Ad}, \psi)$ explicitly. To complete the local theory at archimedean cases, we also need to solve the g.c.d problem, i.e. the poles of the local integrals are governed by the L -function, and the L -function can be obtained by testing some smooth (or even K -finite) functions. These work is in progress.

Finally, I greatly thank my advisor Dihua Jiang for introducing me to this wonderful topic and Lei Zhang for some helpful advices on the current version of this paper.

2. CONVERGENCE OF LOCAL INTEGRALS

2.1. Archimedean Case. Let us first start with the archimedean case and assume that $F = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} throughout this Subsection. We will retain all notations in Subsection 1.4. We have to distinguish two absolute values $|\cdot|$ and $|\cdot|_F$ when $F = \mathbb{C}$. The absolute value with the subscript is defined by $|z|_{\mathbb{C}} = z\bar{z}$, while the absolute value without the subscript is

the ordinary one. The absolute value $|\cdot|$ will mainly appear in the Iwasawa decomposition of the elements in the lower unipotent subgroups of $G_2(F)$. We fix a maximal compact subgroup K_{G_2} such that the following Iwasawa decomposition holds:

$$(2.1) \quad G_2(F) = N_{G_2} A_{G_2} K_{G_2},$$

where N_{G_2} is the standard maximal unipotent subgroup of $G_2(F)$ generated by all positive roots. Then $K_{\mathrm{SL}_3} = \mathrm{SL}_3(F) \cap K_{G_2}(F)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_3(F)$. Hence

$$(2.2) \quad K_{\mathrm{SL}_3} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{SO}_3(\mathbb{R}) & \text{if } F = \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathrm{SU}_3 & \text{if } F = \mathbb{C}. \end{cases}$$

Let us prove a simple lemma first.

Lemma 2.1. *For any function $f_s \in V_{\rho_s}$ (see (1.12)), we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & f_s\left(\gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 t_2 & & \\ & t_2 & \\ & & t_1^{-1} t_2^{-2} \end{pmatrix} g\right) \\ &= |t_1 t_2|_F^{3s} \cdot \left| |t_1^{-1} z|^2 + (1 + |t_2|^2)^3 \right|_F^{-\frac{3}{2}s} \cdot f_s\left(k''\left(-\frac{-t_1^{-1} z}{(1 + |t_2|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) k'(t_2) w_\beta g\right) \end{aligned}$$

where k' and k'' are smooth functions taking values in K_{G_2} .

Proof. By Lemma 1.2, $x_{-\beta}$ and $x_{-(\alpha+\beta)}$ commutes. Then the following computation holds:

$$(2.4) \quad \gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} t_1 t_2 & & \\ & t_2 & \\ & & t_1^{-1} t_2^{-2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t_2 & & \\ & t_1 t_2 & \\ & & t_1^{-1} t_2^{-2} \end{pmatrix} x_{-\beta}(-t_1^{-1} z) x_{-(\alpha+\beta)}(-t_2) w_\beta.$$

We have the following Iwasawa decomposition of $x_{-(\alpha+\beta)}(-t_2)$ in $G_2(F)$:

$$(2.5) \quad x_{-(\alpha+\beta)}(-t_2) = \begin{pmatrix} (1 + |t_2|^2)^{-1} & & \\ & (1 + |t_2|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \\ & & (1 + |t_2|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} x_{\alpha+\beta}(-\bar{t}_2) k'(t_2),$$

where k' is a smooth function taking values in the maximal compact subgroup K_{G_2} , and \bar{t}_2 is the complex conjugate of t_2 . Then by (2.4), (2.5) and the invariance of f_s , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & f_s\left(\gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t_1 t_2 & & \\ & t_2 & \\ & & t_1^{-1} t_2^{-2} \end{pmatrix} g\right) \\ &= |t_1 t_2|_F^{3s} f_s(x_{-\beta}(-t_1^{-1} z) x_{-(\alpha+\beta)}(-t_2) w_\beta g) \\ &= |t_1 t_2|_F^{3s} f_s(x_{-\beta}(-t_1^{-1} z) \begin{pmatrix} (1 + |t_2|^2)^{-1} & & \\ & (1 + |t_2|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \\ & & (1 + |t_2|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} x_{\alpha+\beta}(-\bar{t}_2) k'(t_2) w_\beta g) \\ &= |t_1 t_2|_F^{3s} \cdot |1 + |t_2|^2|_F^{-\frac{9}{2}s} \cdot f_s\left(x_{-\beta}\left(\frac{-t_1^{-1} z}{(1 + |t_2|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right) x_{\alpha+\beta}(-\bar{t}_2) k'(t_2) w_\beta g\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.2, $x_{-\beta}\left(-\frac{t_1^{-1}z}{(1+|t_2|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)x_{\alpha+\beta}(-\bar{t}_2)x_{-\beta}\left(\frac{t_1^{-1}z}{(1+|t_2|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)$ belongs to the maximal unipotent subgroup of $G_2(F)$. Hence

$$f_s\left(x_{-\beta}\left(-\frac{t_1^{-1}z}{(1+|t_2|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)x_{\alpha+\beta}(-\bar{t}_2)k'(t_2)w_{\beta}g\right) = f_s\left(x_{-\beta}\left(-\frac{t_1^{-1}z}{(1+|t_2|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)k'(t_2)w_{\beta}g\right).$$

We also have the Iwasawa decomposition of $x_{-\beta}(-z)$:

$$x_{-\beta}(-z) = \begin{pmatrix} (|z|^2 + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & & \\ & (|z|^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} x_{\beta}(-\bar{z})k''(z),$$

where $k''(z)$ is a smooth function taking values in K_{G_2} , and \bar{z} is the complex conjugate of z . Then the invariant property of f_s yields

$$\begin{aligned} & f_s\left(x_{-\beta}\left(-\frac{t_1^{-1}z}{(1+|t_2|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)k'(t_2)w_{\beta}g\right) \\ &= \left| -\frac{t_1^{-1}z}{(1+|t_2|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \right|^2 + 1 \Big|_F^{-\frac{3}{2}s} f_s\left(k''\left(-\frac{t_1^{-1}z}{(1+|t_2|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)k'(t_2)w_{\beta}j(k)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining all of the above, we get (2.3). \square

Now we return to the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5, the Archimedean Case. Using the Iwasawa decomposition for $\text{SL}_3(F)$, we obtain that

$$(2.6) \quad Z(W_v, f_s) = \int_F \int_{A_{\text{SL}_3}} \int_{K_{\text{SL}_3}} W_v\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} ak\right) f_s\left(\gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} ak\right) \delta_{B_{\text{SL}_3}}^{-1}(a) dkdadz.$$

Here

$$(2.7) \quad A_{\text{SL}_3} = \left\{ a = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & & \\ & t_2 & \\ & & t_1^{-1}t_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid t_1, t_2 > 0 \right\},$$

and K_{SL_3} is the maximal compact subgroup of $\text{SL}_3(F)$ as in (2.2). Thus, by Lemma 2.1, we get

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} Z(W_v, f_s) &= \int_F \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^2} \int_{K_{\text{SL}_3}} W_v\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1 t_2 & & \\ & t_2 & \\ & & t_1^{-1} t_2^{-2} \end{pmatrix} k\right) \psi(z) |t_1^{3s-4} t_2^{9s-6}|_F \\ &\quad \cdot \left| |t_1^{-1}z|^2 + (1+t_2^2)^3 \right|_F^{-\frac{3}{2}s} \cdot f_s\left(k''\left(-\frac{t_1^{-1}z}{(1+t_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)k'(t_2)w_{\beta}k\right) dk d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2 dz. \end{aligned}$$

We change the variable $z \mapsto t_1(1+t_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}z$ and rewrite (2.8) as

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} Z(W_v, f_s) &= \int_F \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^2} \int_{K_{\text{SL}_3}} W_v\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1 t_2 & & \\ & t_2 & \\ & & t_1^{-1} t_2^{-2} \end{pmatrix} k\right) \psi\left(t_1(1+t_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}z\right) |t_1|_F^{3s-3} |t_2|_F^{9s-6} \\ &\quad \cdot \left| |z|^2 + 1 \right|_F^{-\frac{3}{2}s} \cdot \left| 1 + t_2^2 \right|_F^{-\frac{9}{2}s + \frac{3}{2}} f_s\left(k''(-z)k'(t_2)w_{\beta}k\right) dk d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2 dz. \end{aligned}$$

Under the assumption that π has a trivial central character, we get

$$W_v\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1 t_2 & & & \\ & t_2 & & \\ & & t_1^{-1} t_2^{-2} & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} k\right) = W_v\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1 \cdot t_1 t_2^3 & & & \\ & t_1 t_2^3 & & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} k\right).$$

We change the variable $t'_2 = t_1 t_2^3$ and rewrite (2.9) as

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} Z(W_v, f_s) &= \int_F \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^2} \int_{K_{\text{SL}_3}} W_v\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1 t'_2 & & & \\ & t'_2 & & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} k\right) \psi(t_1 (1 + t_1^{-\frac{2}{3}} t'_2)^{\frac{3}{2}} z) \\ &\cdot \left| \frac{t_1^{3s} t_2'^{3s}}{(t_1^{\frac{2}{3}} + t_2'^{\frac{2}{3}})^{\frac{9}{2}s}} \right|_F \cdot |t_1^{-2} t_2'^{-2}|_F \cdot \|z\|^2 + 1|_F^{-\frac{3}{2}s} \cdot |t_1^{\frac{2}{3}} + t_2'^{\frac{2}{3}}|_F^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\cdot \frac{1}{3} f_s(k''(-z)) k'(t_1^{-\frac{1}{3}} t_2'^{\frac{1}{3}}) w_\beta j(k) dk d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2 dz. \end{aligned}$$

To save notations, we change $t'_2 \mapsto t_2$ in (2.10). Proposition 2 in [J-S88, Section 4.3] provides a coarse asymptotic expansion of Whittaker functions along the torus: there exists a finite set X of finite functions such that

$$(2.11) \quad W_v\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1 t_2 & & & \\ & t_2 & & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} k\right) = \sum_{\xi \in X} \varphi_\xi(t_1, t_2, k) \xi(t_1, t_2),$$

where $\varphi_\xi \in S(F^2 \times K_{\text{GL}_3})$ are Schwartz functions. After integrating over K_{GL_3} in (2.10) and using the asymptotic expansion (2.11), we can see that $Z(W_v, f_s)$ is majorized by a finite linear combination of some integrals of the following type

$$\int_F \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^2} \varphi(t_1, t_2) \xi(t_1, t_2) \cdot \left| \left(\frac{t_1^{\frac{2}{3}} t_2^{\frac{2}{3}}}{t_1^{\frac{2}{3}} + t_2^{\frac{2}{3}}} \right)^{\frac{9}{2}s - \frac{3}{2}} \right|_F \cdot |t_1^{-1} t_2^{-1}|_F \cdot (|z|^2 + 1)^{-\frac{3}{2}s} |_F d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2 dz,$$

where φ is a nonnegative Schwartz function on F^2 .

Let us first assume that $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{3}$, then clearly the dz -integral converges. By Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\left| \left(\frac{t_1^{\frac{2}{3}} t_2^{\frac{2}{3}}}{t_1^{\frac{2}{3}} + t_2^{\frac{2}{3}}} \right)^{\frac{9}{2}s - \frac{3}{2}} \right|_F \leq |t_1^{\frac{1}{3}} t_2^{\frac{1}{3}}|_F^{\frac{9}{2}\text{Re}(s) - \frac{3}{2}}.$$

Thus, $Z(W_v, f_s)$ is majorized by a finite linear combination of integrals of the following type:

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^2} \varphi(t_1, t_2) \xi(t_1, t_2) \cdot |t_1 t_2|_F^{\frac{3}{2}\text{Re}(s) - \frac{3}{2}} d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2.$$

Therefore, when $\text{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently large, $Z(W_v, f_s)$ converges absolutely. \square

2.2. Some Quick Remarks on the Non-archimedean Case. Let us conclude this Section by making some remarks in the non-archimedean case. In fact, we can prove Theorem 1.5 in the non-archimedean case via the same method. However, we can move one step further and prove convergence of the local integral and its meromorphic continuation together in the non-archimedean case by using the K -finiteness conditions of W_v and f_s . Here we reformulate the theorem as below, whose proof can be found in my thesis (see [Tian18, Theorem 3.2.1])

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that F is non-archimedean. The local integral $Z(W_v, f_s)$ converges absolutely when $\text{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently large. They are rational functions of q^{-3s} , where q is the cardinality of the residue field. Hence they automatically enjoy a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane. When π is a principal series (not necessarily unramified):*

$$\pi = \text{Ind}_{B_{\text{GL}_3}}^{\text{GL}_3(F)} | \cdot |^{u_1} \chi_1 \otimes | \cdot |^{u_2} \chi_2 \otimes | \cdot |^{u_3} \chi_3,$$

where $u_1, u_2, u_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ and each χ_j ($j = 1, 2, 3$) is a character of the group of units of the ring of the integers of F , the local integrals (1.13) are also meromorphic in u_1, u_2, u_3 .

I also proved the following theorem in my thesis in detail ([Tian18, Theorem 4.1.1]):

Theorem 2.3. *When F is non-archimedean, there exists a Whittaker function $W_v \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ and a function $f_s \in V_{\rho_s}$ such that $Z(W_v, f_s) = 1$ for every $s \in \mathbb{C}$.*

The above two theorems can be viewed as special cases of the recent work of Hundley and Zhang (see [Hun-Zh18, Lemma 3.1]), yet unfortunately they did not provide enough details in their paper.

Now we fix one non-trivial additive character ψ of F . By Pontryagin Duality, any non-trivial additive character of F is of the form

$$\psi_c(x) = \psi(cx) \quad \text{for some } c \in F^\times.$$

To emphasize the dependence of Whittaker functions on the additive character, we write W_v^ψ for a Whittaker function in $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$. Note that if $W_v^\psi \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$, then the function $W_v^{\psi_c}$ defined by

$$W_v^{\psi_c}(g) = W_v^\psi \left(\begin{pmatrix} c & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & c^{-1} \end{pmatrix} g \right)$$

lives in $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi_c)$. It is easy to check that

$$(2.12) \quad Z(W_v^{\psi_c}, f_s) = |c|_F^{-3s+3} Z(W_v^\psi, f_s).$$

When we vary the Whittaker models of π and consider all such local integrals $Z(W_v, f_s)$, all of these $Z(W_v, f_s)$ span a subspace of $\mathbb{C}(q^{-3s})$ which is closed under multiplication by q^{-3s} and q^{3s} . It is a fractional ideal containing 1 by Theorem 2.3. Thus, it is generated by a function $\frac{1}{P(q^{-3s})}$ for some polynomial P . We expect that $\frac{1}{P(q^{-3s})}$ is essentially the adjoint L-function for π , in the sense of Langlands. We will consider this problem in a future work.

3. ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF THE WHITTAKER FUNCTIONS (ARCHIMEDEAN CASES)

In this Section, we assume that F is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} . Because the local representation π satisfies the moderate growth property, for any a in the torus of $\text{GL}_3(F)$, the Whittaker function $W_v(a)$ behave like a Schwartz function when a approaches to infinity. Thus, the asymptotic behaviour of $W_v(a)$ near 0 should be responsible for the poles of the local integrals $Z(W_v, f_s)$.

Recall that in Section 2, we use the coarse asymptotic expansion (2.11) to prove the Convergence Theorem (Theorem 1.5). The Schwartz functions φ_ξ in (2.11) depend on the vector $v \in V_\pi$. Unfortunately, when we vary $v \in V_\pi$, we can not see how all these φ_ξ change accordingly. In this Section, we will follow Soudry's method (see [Sou95]) closely and obtain an asymptotic expansion of $W_v(a)$ near 0. We will see that each term in the desired asymptotic expansion in this Section is continuous in v .

3.1. First Step. We first fix a norm on $\mathrm{GL}_3(F)$: for any real or complex matrix g , define its Euclidean norm

$$\|g\|_e = \mathrm{Tr}(g \cdot \bar{g}^t)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \left(\sum |g_{ij}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Moreover, when $g \in \mathrm{GL}_3(F)$, we define its Harish-Chandra norm by

$$\|g\|_H = \|g\|_e^2 + \|g^{-1}\|_e^2.$$

In particular, when $g = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & a_2 & \\ & & a_3 \end{pmatrix}$, $\|g\|_H = \sum_{i=1}^3 |a_i|^2 + |a_i|^{-2}$. It is easy to see that

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & a_2 & \\ & & a_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & & \\ & b_2 & \\ & & b_3 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_H \leq \left\| \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & \\ & a_2 & \\ & & a_3 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_H \cdot \left\| \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & & \\ & b_2 & \\ & & b_3 \end{pmatrix} \right\|_H.$$

In the following, we will always use Harish-Chandra norm and drop subscript H .

As in the Introduction, we assume that π is an irreducible generic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_3(F)$. Moreover, if we consider a principal series π as in (1.15), then we also write $\pi = \pi_u$, $W_v = W_{v,u}$ to emphasize their dependence on the complex parameters $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$.

By the continuity of the Whittaker functional, there exist a $\mu > 0$ and a continuous seminorm q on V_π such that

$$(3.1) \quad |W_v(g)| \leq \|g\|^\mu \cdot q(v),$$

for any $v \in V_\pi$. If a compact set $\Omega \in \mathbb{C}^3$ is given, then a similar inequality

$$(3.2) \quad |W_{v,u}(g)| \leq \|g\|^\mu \cdot q(v)$$

also holds for all $v \in V_{\pi_u}$, $u \in \Omega$. The constant μ and seminorm q in (3.2) only depends on Ω .

Put

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & H_1 &= - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & H_2 &= - \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ X_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & X_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & X_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & 1 \\ & 0 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and set $\alpha_1 = \epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$, $\alpha_2 = \epsilon_2 - \epsilon_3$. Then

$$\alpha_1(H_0) = \alpha_2(H_0) = 0, \alpha_1(H_1) = \alpha_2(H_2) = -1, \alpha_1(H_2) = \alpha_2(H_1) = 0.$$

For $m = 1, 2$, let P_m be the standard parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_3(F)$ of type $(m, 3-m)$ with the Levi decomposition $P_m = M_m U_m$. Denote by V_0 ($V_{0,u}$ resp.) the underlying $(\mathfrak{gl}_3, K_{\mathrm{GL}_3})$ -module of V_π (V_{π_u} resp.). Set $K_m = M_m \cap K_{\mathrm{GL}_3}$. By [Wal88, Section 4.3.1], for any positive integer k , $V_0/\mathrm{Lie}(U_m)^k V_0$ is a finitely generated admissible $(\mathrm{Lie}(M_m), K_m)$ -module, and it decomposes into finitely many generalized H_m -eigenspaces

$$V_0/\mathrm{Lie}(U_m)^k V_0 = \bigoplus_{\xi} (V_0/\mathrm{Lie}(U_m)^k V_0)_{\xi},$$

where

$$(V_0/\mathrm{Lie}(U_m)^k V_0)_{\xi} := \{\bar{v} \in V_0/\mathrm{Lie}(U_m)^k V_0 \mid (\pi(H_m) - \xi)^d \bar{v} = 0 \text{ for some } d > 0\}.$$

Denote by $E_k^{(m)}$ ($E_{k,u}^{(m)}$ resp.) the finite set of generalized eigenvalues of H_m on $V_0/\text{Lie}(U_m)^k V_0$ ($V_{0,u}/\text{Lie}(U_m)^k V_{0,u}$ resp.). In particular, $E_1^{(m)}$ ($E_{1,u}^{(m)}$ resp.) is the finite set of generalized eigenvalues of H_m on $V_0/\text{Lie}(U_m)V_0$ ($V_{0,u}/\text{Lie}(U_m)V_{0,u}$ resp.). Define

$$E^{(m)} := \bigcup_{k=1}^{+\infty} E_k^{(m)}, \quad E_u^{(m)} := \bigcup_{k=1}^{+\infty} E_{k,u}^{(m)}.$$

By [Wal88, Section 4.4.2],

$$E^{(m)} \subseteq \{\xi - n \mid \xi \in E_1^{(m)}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad E_u^{(m)} \subseteq \{\xi - n \mid \xi \in E_{1,u}^{(m)}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Moreover, by [Wal92, Section 12.4.6 and 12.4.7], $E_{1,u}^{(m)}$ consists of polynomials of u_1, u_2, u_3 of degree 1.

In [Wal92, Section 15.2.4], Wallach proves the following lemma (we only state the lemma in the case of \mathfrak{gl}_3).

Lemma 3.1. *For each $m \in \{1, 2\}$, and $k_m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an integer $N(m) \in \mathbb{N}$, a finite set $\{e_i^{(m)}\}_{i=1}^{N(m)} \subseteq U(\mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathbb{C}))$, a finite set $\{D_{r,i}^{(m)}\} \subseteq U(\mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathbb{C}))$, where r indexes the basis of monomials $X_r^{(m)}$ in $\text{Lie}(U_m)^{k_m}$, (for example, if $m = 1$, $X_r^{(1)}$ is of the form $X_3^{l_3} X_2^{l_2}$) and $i = 1, 2, \dots, N(m)$, such that for any $(\mathfrak{gl}_3, K_{\text{GL}_3})$ -module V_0 , there exists an $N(m) \times N(m)$ matrix $B^{(m,k_m)} = (B_{ij}^{(m,k_m)})$ depending on V_0 such that*

- (1) $e_1^{(m)} = 1$,
- (2) For any $v \in V_0$,

$$(3.3) \quad \pi(H_m)\pi(e_i^{(m)})v = \sum_j B_{ij}^{(m,k_m)}\pi(e_j^{(m)})v + \sum_r \pi(X_r^{(m)})\pi(D_{r,i}^{(m)})v.$$

If we take V_0 to be the $(\mathfrak{gl}_3, K_{\text{GL}_3})$ -module of π_u in the above lemma, then we write

$$B^{(m,k_m)} = B_u^{(m,k_m)} = (B_{ij,u}^{(m,k_m)})$$

to emphasize the dependence on the complex parameter u . If we fix one k_m , we also omit the superscript k_m and write $B^{(m)}(B_u^{(m)}$ resp.) for $B^{(m,k_m)}(B_u^{(m,k_m)}$ resp.). Thus, we can conclude from Lemma 3.1 that all of the generalized eigenvalues of $B_u^{(m,k_m)}$ are contained in $E_{k_m,u}^{(m)}$ and hence they are polynomials of u of degree 1. Moreover by [Wal92, Section 12.4.7], each entry of $B_u^{(m,k_m)}$ is rational in u_1, u_2, u_3 .

We label all elements in $E^{(m)} = \{\xi_j^{(m)} \mid j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ in the descending order, i.e.

$$\text{Re}(\xi_1^{(m)}) \geq \text{Re}(\xi_2^{(m)}) \geq \dots$$

We also write $\xi_{j,u}^{(m)}$ when we emphasize their dependence on parameters. In this Section, we fix two numbers ξ_m for $m = 1, 2$. Later, when we prove Theorem 1.6, we will choose ξ_1, ξ_2 as negative as we want to cover enough generalized eigenvalues.

Theorem 3.2. *Fix $\xi_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose $V_0 = V_{0,u}$, where u runs in a fixed closed ball $\Omega = B(u_0, r_0) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ (u_0 is the center) with a radius $r_0 > 0$. Let k_2 be a sufficiently large positive integer such that*

$$-\text{Re}(\xi_2) - k_2 + 2\mu < -1.$$

There is a finite set $C_u^{(2)} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_2} E_{j,u}^{(2)}$, and a finite set \mathcal{L} of nonnegative integers, a finite subset $\mathcal{P}_u \subseteq \mathbb{C}(u)[t]$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq U(\mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathbb{C}))$ such that for all $v \in V_{0,u}$, $x_2 \geq 0$, $W_{v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + x_2 H_2})$ is a finite linear combination of terms of the following types:

- (1) $e^{x_2 \xi_u} p_u(x_2) W_{\pi(e)v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1})$,
- (2) $e^{x_2 \xi_u} h_u(x_2) \int_0^{x_2} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt := h_u(x_2) \tilde{\phi}_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)$,
- (3) $e^{x_2 \xi_u} h_u(x_2) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt := e^{x_2 \xi_u} h_u(x_2) \phi_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)$,
- (4) $e^{x_2 \xi_u} h_u(x_2) \int_{x_2}^{+\infty} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt := h_u(x_2) \tilde{\phi}_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)$,

where $p_u, h_u \in \mathcal{P}_u$, $e, D \in \mathcal{D}$, and $\xi_u \in C_u^{(2)}$ are polynomials of u of degree 1. For each $\xi_u \in C_u^{(2)}$, we decompose $\Omega = \Omega_{\xi_u,1} \cup \Omega_{\xi_u,2}$ where

$$\Omega_{\xi_u,1} := \left\{ u \in \Omega \mid -k_2 - \operatorname{Re}(\xi_u) + 2\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2} \right\},$$

$$\Omega_{\xi_u,2} := \left\{ u \in \Omega \mid -k_2 - \operatorname{Re}(\xi_u) + 2\mu \leq -\frac{1}{3} \right\}.$$

If $u \in \Omega_{\xi_u,1}$, then we only need terms of type (1) and type (2). The function $\phi_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)$ defined in type (2) is holomorphic in u and uniformly continuous in x_1, x_2, v when u runs in $\Omega_{\xi_u,1}$. It satisfies the following estimate

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \tilde{\phi}_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u) \right| \leq e^{\operatorname{Re}(\xi_u) x_2} \cdot \tilde{\delta}_l(x_2) \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v)$$

where q' is a continuous seminorm and $\tilde{\delta}_l(t) = \frac{t^{l+1}}{l+1}$.

If $u \in \Omega_{\xi_u,2}$, we only need terms of type (1), (3) and (4). The functions $\phi_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)$ are also holomorphic in $u \in \Omega_{\xi_u,2}$ and uniformly continuous in x_1, x_2, v when u runs in $\Omega_{\xi_u,2}$. They satisfy the following estimates:

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \phi_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u) \right| \leq \delta_l(x_2) \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v);$$

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \tilde{\phi}_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u) \right| \leq e^{\operatorname{Re}(\xi_u) x_2} \tilde{\delta}_l(x_2) \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v),$$

where q' is a continuous seminorm, $\delta_l(t)$ is the constant function

$$\delta_l(t) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{3}t} t^l dt$$

and $\tilde{\delta}_l(t)$ is a polynomial of t of degree l with constant coefficients coming from integration by parts.

Same expansions and estimates also hold if we drop the subscript u and consider the underlying $(\mathfrak{gl}_3, K_{\text{GL}_3})$ -module for all irreducible generic Casselman-Wallach representations.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. We only look at the case when $\pi = \pi_u$ and keep track on the dependence on the parameters u . A word by word repetition also works for all irreducible admissible generic Casselman-Wallach representations π when we drop the subscript u .

For k_2 , we choose $N(2) \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{e_i^{(2)}\}_{i=1}^{N(2)}$, $\{D_{r,i}^{(2)}\}$, $B_u^{(2)}$ as in Lemma 3.1. Put

$$\vec{F}_u(x_1, t, v) := \begin{pmatrix} W_{\pi(e_1^{(2)})v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) \\ \cdots \\ W_{\pi(e_{N(2)}^{(2)})v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\vec{G}_u(x_1, t, v) := \begin{pmatrix} \sum_r W_{\pi(X_r^{(2)})\pi(D_{r,1}^{(2)})v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) \\ \dots \\ \sum_r W_{\pi(X_r^{(2)})\pi(D_{r,N(2)})v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to (3.3), it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} W_{\pi(e_i^{(2)})v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) \\ &= \sum_j B_{ij,u}^{(2)} \cdot W_{\pi(e_j^{(2)})v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) + \sum_r W_{\pi(X_r^{(2)})\pi(D_{r,i}^{(2)})v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \vec{F}_u(x_1, t, v) = B_u^{(2)} \vec{F}_u(x_1, t, v) + \vec{G}_u(x_1, t, v).$$

Note that $\text{Lie}(U_2)$ is spanned by X_3 and X_2 , so every $X_r^{(2)}$ is of the form $X_3^{l_3} X_2^{l_2}$ with $l_3 + l_2 = k_2$. If $l_3 = 0$, in other words $l_2 = k_2$ and $X_r^{(2)} = X_2^{k_2}$, we define $\tilde{D}_{r,i}^{(2)} = D_{r,i}^{(2)}$. Then we have

$$W_{\pi(X_r^{(2)})\pi(D_{r,i}^{(2)})v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) = C_\psi e^{-k_2 t} W_{\pi(\tilde{D}_{r,i}^{(2)})v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2})$$

for some non-zero $C_\psi \in \mathbb{C}$, only depending on ψ and F . By rescaling $\tilde{D}_{r,i}^{(2)}$, we rewrite the above equation as

$$(3.8) \quad W_{\pi(X_r^{(2)})\pi(D_{r,i}^{(2)})v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) = e^{-k_2 t} W_{\pi(\tilde{D}_{r,i}^{(2)})v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}).$$

If $l_3 > 0$, we define $\tilde{D}_{r,i}^{(2)} = 0$. Then it is easy to check that

$$W_{\pi(X_r^{(2)})\pi(D_{r,i}^{(2)})v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) = 0.$$

Hence (3.8) also holds in this case.

The solution to the differential equation (3.7) is

$$(3.9) \quad \vec{F}_u(x_1, x_2, v) = e^{x_2 B_u^{(2)}} \vec{F}_u(x_1, 0, v) + \int_0^{x_2} e^{(x_2-t) B_u^{(2)}} \vec{G}_u(x_1, t, v) dt$$

We compare the first coordinates of both sides in (3.9). The first coordinate on the LHS of (3.9) is $W_v(e^{x_1 H_1 + x_2 H_2})$. Since each entry of $B_u^{(2)}$ is rational in u , each entry of the matrix $e^{x_2 B_u^{(2)}}$ is a linear combination

$$e^{x_2 \xi_u} p_u(x_2),$$

where $p_u(x_2)$ belongs to a finite subset of $\mathbb{C}(u)[x_2]$, and ξ_u lies in a finite subset of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k_2} E_{i,u}^{(2)}$. Thus, the first coordinate of the first term on the right hand side of (3.9) is a finite linear combination of

$$(3.10) \quad e^{x_2 \xi_u} p_u(x_2) W_{\pi(e_i)}(e^{x_1 H_1}),$$

where ξ_u belongs to a finite subset of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k_2} E_{i,u}^{(2)}$ consisting of polynomials of degree 1, and $p_u(t)$ belongs to a finite subset of $\mathbb{C}(u)[t]$.

The first coordinate of the second term on the RHS of (3.9) is a finite linear combination of

$$\int_0^{x_2} e^{(x_2-t) \xi_u} p_u(x_2 - t) \cdot e^{-k_2 t} W_{\pi(\tilde{D}_{r,i}^{(2)})v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt,$$

where $\xi_u, p_u(t)$ satisfy the same conditions as above. After expanding the polynomials $p_u(x_2 - t)$ into monomials, we can see that the first coordinate of the second term on the RHS of (3.9) is a finite linear combination of

$$(3.11) \quad e^{x_2 \xi_u} h_u(x_2) \int_0^{x_2} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt,$$

where $h_u(t)$ belongs to a finite subset of $\mathbb{C}(u)[t]$, ξ_u lies in a finite subset of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k_2} E_{i,u}^{(2)}$, l runs in a finite set \mathcal{L} of nonnegative integers, and D belongs to a finite set $\{\tilde{D}_{r,i}^{(2)}\}$. We also note that \mathcal{L} only depends on the size of $B_u^{(2)}$, which is independent on the parameter u .

Since the continuous function $-\operatorname{Re}(\xi_u)$ is bounded on the compact set Ω , we may assume that $-\operatorname{Re}(\xi_u) < C$ for some constant C . Then the integral in (3.11) admits the following estimate

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^{x_2} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt \right| \\ & \leq \int_0^{x_2} e^{(-\operatorname{Re}(\xi_u) - k_2)t} t^l \|e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}\|^\mu dt \cdot q(\pi(D)v) \\ & \leq \int_0^{x_2} e^{(C - k_2)t} t^l \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu (2e^{2t} + 2e^{-2t} + 2)^\mu dt \cdot q(\pi(D)v) \\ & \leq 6^\mu \int_0^{x_2} e^{(C - k_2 + 2\mu)t} t^l \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu dt \cdot q(\pi(D)v) \\ & = \int_0^{x_2} e^{(C - k_2 + 2\mu)t} t^l dt \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v) \end{aligned}$$

for some continuous seminorm q' . Thus as a function of x_1, x_2, u, v , the integral

$$\int_0^{x_2} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt$$

is holomorphic in $u \in \Omega$ and continuous in x_1, x_2, v (also uniformly continuous when u runs in Ω).

If $u \in \Omega_{\xi_u,1}$, we can use a similar estimate and get

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^{x_2} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt \right| \\ & \leq 6^\mu \int_0^{x_2} e^{(-\operatorname{Re}(\xi_u) - k_2 + 2\mu)t} t^l \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu dt \cdot q(\pi(D)v) \\ & = \int_0^{x_2} e^{(-\operatorname{Re}(\xi_u) - k_2 + 2\mu + \frac{1}{2})t} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}t} t^l dt \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v) \\ & \leq \int_0^{x_2} e^{(-\operatorname{Re}(\xi_u) - k_2 + 2\mu + \frac{1}{2})x_2} \cdot t^l dt \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v) \\ & = e^{(-\operatorname{Re}(\xi_u) - k_2 + 2\mu + \frac{1}{2})x_2} \cdot \tilde{\delta}_l(x_2) \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v) \\ & \leq e^{(\operatorname{Re}(\xi_2) - \operatorname{Re}(\xi_u))x_2} \cdot \tilde{\delta}_l(x_2) \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v) \end{aligned}$$

for some continuous seminorm q' and $\tilde{\delta}_l(t) = \frac{t^{l+1}}{l+1}$.

We now claim that the integral

$$(3.14) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt$$

converges absolutely when $u \in \Omega_{\xi_u, 2}$. Indeed, by imitating the estimate (3.13), we can show that the integrand in (3.14) is dominated by

$$e^{(-\text{Re}(\xi_u) - k_2)t} t^l \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\| \cdot 6^\mu e^{2\mu t} q_1(v)$$

for some continuous seminorm q_1 . Thus,

$$(3.15) \quad \left| \int_0^{+\infty} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt \right| \leq \int_0^{+\infty} e^{(-\text{Re}(\xi_u) - k_2 + 2\mu)t} t^l dt \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v) \\ \leq \delta_l(x_2) \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v),$$

where q' is a continuous seminorm and $\delta_l(t)$ is the constant function

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{3}t} t^l dt.$$

Hence our claim follows. Moreover, the estimate (3.15) also shows that as a function of x_1, u, v , the integral (3.14) is holomorphic in u and uniformly continuous in x_1, v when u runs in $\Omega_{\xi_u, 2}$.

For $u \in \Omega_{\xi_u, 2}$, now we can write

$$\int_0^{x_2} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt \\ = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt - \int_{x_2}^{+\infty} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt.$$

By (3.15), each summand on the RHS of the above equation converges absolutely and defines a holomorphic function in u which is also uniformly continuous in x_1, x_2, v when u runs in $\Omega_{\xi_u, 2}$. By using the same trick, we can check that the second summand above admits the following estimate

$$(3.16) \quad \left| \int_{x_2}^{+\infty} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt \right| \leq \int_{x_2}^{+\infty} e^{(-\text{Re}(\xi_u) - k_2 + 2\mu)t} t^l dt \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v) \\ \leq e^{(-\text{Re}(\xi_u) - k_2 + 2\mu)x_2} \tilde{\delta}_l(x_2) \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v)$$

where $\tilde{\delta}_l(t)$ is a polynomial of t of degree l coming from integration by parts. Thus,

$$(3.17) \quad \left| e^{x_2 \xi_u} \int_{x_2}^{+\infty} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt \right| \leq e^{(-k_2 + 2\mu)x_2} \tilde{\delta}_l(x_2) \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v) \\ \leq e^{\text{Re}(\xi_2)x_2} \tilde{\delta}_l(x_2) \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v)$$

□

We can also fix ξ_1 and prove a similar theorem by switching the role of x_1 and x_2 . We only state the result. The proof is exactly the same as that of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. Fix $\xi_1 \in \mathbb{C}$. Suppose $V_0 = V_{0,u}$, where u runs in a fixed closed ball $\Omega = B(u_0, r_0) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ (u_0 is the center) with a radius $r_0 > 0$. Let k_1 be a sufficiently large positive integers such that

$$-\operatorname{Re}(\xi_1) - k_1 + 2\mu < -1.$$

There is a finite set $C_u^{(1)} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_1} E_{j,u}^{(2)}$, and a finite set \mathcal{L} of nonnegative integers, a finite subset $\mathcal{P}_u \in \mathbb{C}(u)[t]$ and a finite subset $\mathcal{D} \in U(\mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathbb{C}))$ such that for all $v \in V_{0,u}$, $x_1 \geq 0$, $W_{v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + x_2 H_2})$ is a linear combination of terms of the following types:

- (1) $e^{x_1 \eta_u} p_u(x_1) W_{\pi(e)v,u}(e^{x_2 H_2})$,
- (2) $e^{x_1 \eta_u} h_u(x_1) \int_0^{x_1} e^{(-\eta_u - k_1)r} r^l W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{x_2 H_2 + r H_1}) dr := h_u(x_1) \tilde{\phi}_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)$,
- (3) $e^{x_1 \eta_u} h_u(x_1) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{(-\eta_u - k_1)r} r^l W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{x_2 H_2 + r H_1}) dr := e^{x_1 \eta_u} h_u(x_1) \phi_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)$,
- (4) $e^{x_1 \eta_u} h_u(x_1) \int_{x_1}^{+\infty} e^{(-\eta_u - k_1)r} r^l W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{r H_1 + x_2 H_2}) dr := h_u(x_1) \tilde{\phi}_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)$,

where $p_u, h_u \in \mathcal{P}_u$, $e, D \in \mathcal{D}$, and $\eta_u \in C_u^{(1)}$ are polynomials of u of degree 1. For each $\eta_u \in C_u^{(1)}$, we decompose $\Omega = \Omega_{\eta_u,1} \cup \Omega_{\eta_u,2}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\eta_u,1} &:= \{u \in \Omega \mid -k_1 - \operatorname{Re}(\eta_u) + 2\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}\}; \\ \Omega_{\eta_u,2} &:= \{u \in \Omega \mid -k_1 - \operatorname{Re}(\eta_u) + 2\mu \leq -\frac{1}{3}\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $u \in \Omega_{\eta_u,1}$, then we only need terms of type (1) and type (2). The function $\phi_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)$ defined in type (2) is holomorphic in u and uniformly continuous in x_1, x_2, v when u runs in $\Omega_{\eta_u,1}$. It satisfies the following estimate

$$(3.18) \quad |\tilde{\phi}_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)| \leq e^{\operatorname{Re}(\xi_1)x_1} \cdot \tilde{\delta}_l(x_1) \cdot \|e^{x_2 H_2}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v)$$

where q' is a continuous seminorm and $\tilde{\delta}_l(t) = \frac{t^{l+1}}{l+1}$.

If $u \in \Omega_{\eta_u,2}$, we only need terms of type (1), (3) and (4). The functions $\phi_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)$ and $\tilde{\phi}_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)$ are also holomorphic in $u \in \Omega_{\eta_u,2}$ and uniformly continuous in x_1, x_2, v when u runs in $\Omega_{\eta_u,2}$. They satisfy the following estimates:

$$(3.19) \quad |\phi_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)| \leq \delta_l(x_2) \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v);$$

$$(3.20) \quad |\tilde{\phi}_{l,e,D}(x_1, x_2, v, u)| \leq e^{\operatorname{Re}(\xi_2)x_2} \tilde{\delta}_l(x_2) \cdot \|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v);$$

where q' is a continuous seminorm, $\delta_l(t)$ is the constant function

$$\delta_l(t) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{1}{3}t} t^l dt$$

and $\tilde{\delta}_l(t)$ is a polynomial of t of degree l with constant coefficients coming from integration by parts.

Same expansions and estimates also hold if we drop the subscript u and consider the underlying $(\mathfrak{gl}_3, K_{GL_3})$ -module for all irreducible generic Casselman-Wallach representations.

3.2. Second Step. From Theorem 3.5, we can see that certain summand in the asymptotic expansion will only appear for some certain u (not all $u \in \Omega$). Hence to shorten the statement of our theorem, we say

Definition 3.4. A summand S in the asymptotic expansion of the Whittaker function is said to be holomorphic in $u \in \Omega$ and uniformly continuous with respect to the other variables when u runs in Ω , if S appears when u lies inside a subset $\Omega_0 \subseteq \Omega$, and it is holomorphic in $u \in \Omega_0$ and uniformly continuous with respect to the other variables when u runs in Ω_0 .

The following is the main theorem of this Section.

Theorem 3.5. Fix ξ_1, ξ_2 . Suppose $V_0 = V_{0,u}$ where u runs in a fixed closed ball $\Omega = B(u_0, r_0) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ (u_0 is the center) with a radius $r_0 > 0$. Let k_1, k_2 be two sufficiently large positive integers such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\text{Re}(\xi_1) - k_1 + 2\mu &< -1, \\ -\text{Re}(\xi_2) - k_2 + 2\mu &< -1. \end{aligned}$$

Then there are finite sets $C_u^{(1)} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_1} E_j^{(1)}$ and $C_u^{(2)} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^{k_2} E_j^{(2)}$, and a finite set $\mathcal{P}_u \in \mathbb{C}(u)[t_1, t_2]$, a finite subset $\mathcal{D} \in U(\mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathbb{C}))$ and a finite subset \mathcal{L} of nonnegative integers such that for all $v \in V_{0,u}$, $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$, $W_v(e^{x_1 H_1 + x_2 H_2})$ is a linear combination of terms of the following types:

- (1) $e^{x_1 \eta_u + x_2 \xi_u} P_u(x_1, x_2) f_0(v, u)$,
- (2) $e^{x_1 \eta_u} P_u(x_1, x_2) f_2(x_2, v, u)$,
- (3) $e^{x_2 \xi_u} P_u(x_1, x_2) f_1(x_1, v, u)$,
- (4) $P_u(x_1, x_2) f_3(x_1, x_2, v, u)$,

where $\eta_u \in C_u^{(1)}$, $\xi_u \in C_u^{(2)}$ are polynomials of u of degree 1, $P \in \mathcal{P}_u$, f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3 are holomorphic in u and uniformly continuous in x_1, x_2, v when u runs in Ω . They satisfy the following estimates

- (1) $|f_0(v, u)| \leq q'(v)$,
- (2) $|f_1(x_1, v, u)| \leq e^{\text{Re}(\xi_1) \cdot x_1} h_1(x_1) q'(v)$,
- (3) $|f_2(x_2, v, u)| \leq e^{\text{Re}(\xi_2) \cdot x_2} h_2(x_2) q'(v)$,
- (4) $|f_3(x_1, x_2, v, u)| \leq e^{\text{Re}(\xi_1) \cdot x_1 + \text{Re}(\xi_2) \cdot x_2} h_3(x_1, x_2) q'(v)$.

Here in the above, q' is a continuous seminorm on V_π and h_1, h_2, h_3 are polynomials with complex coefficients. Same statements also hold when we drop the subscript u and consider the underlying $(\mathfrak{gl}_3, K_{\text{GL}_3})$ -module for all irreducible generic Casselman-Wallach representations.

Proof. For each $k_m (m = 1, 2)$, we choose $N(m) \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{e_i^{(m)}\}_{i=1}^{N(m)}$, $\{D_{r,i}^{(m)}\}$, $B_u^{(m)}$ as in Lemma 3.1, So far, we have proved that there exist finite sets $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{P}_u, \mathcal{L}, C_u^{(2)}$ such that $W_{v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + x_2 H_2})$ is a finite linear combination of

$$e^{x_2 \xi_u} p_u(x_2) W_{\pi(e)v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1})$$

and

$$e^{x_2 \xi_u} h_u(x_2) \int_0^{x_2} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt.$$

Because $x_1 \geq 0$, by Theorem 3.3, we can expand $W_{v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2})$ with respect to x_1 . To save notations, we combine the finite set of non-negative integers, the finite set of the

elements in the Lie algebra etc. Then by Theorem 3.3, $W_{v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2})$ is a finite linear combination of terms of the following types:

(1)

$$(3.21) \quad e^{x_1 \eta_u} r_u(x_1) W_{\pi(e)v,u}(e^{t H_2})$$

(2)

$$(3.22) \quad e^{x_1 \eta_u} r_u(x_1) \int_0^{x_1} e^{(-\eta_u - k_1)r} r^{l'} W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{r H_1 + t H_2}) dr$$

(3)

$$(3.23) \quad e^{x_1 \eta_u} r_u(x_1) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{(-\eta_u - k_1)r} r^{l'} W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{r H_1 + t H_2}) dr$$

(4)

$$(3.24) \quad e^{x_1 \eta_u} r_u(x_1) \int_{x_1}^{+\infty} e^{(-\eta_u - k_1)r} r^{l'} W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{r H_1 + t H_2}) dr$$

where $\eta_u \in C_u^{(1)}$, r_u belongs to a finite subset Q_u of $\mathbb{C}(u)[t]$, $l' \in \mathcal{L}$, $D, e \in \mathcal{D}$. In particular, if we set $t = 0$, we get an expansion of $W_{v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1})$. Each term appears if u satisfying the corresponding conditions in Theorem 3.3. Thus

$$e^{x_2 \xi_u} p_u(x_2) W_{\pi(e)v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1})$$

is a finite linear combination of

$$e^{x_2 \xi_u + x_1 \eta_u} p_u(x_2) r_u(x_1) \phi_{l,e,D}(x_1, u, v)$$

and

$$e^{x_2 \xi_u} p_u(x_2) r_u(x_1) \tilde{\phi}_{l,e,D}(x_1, u, v),$$

where $\phi_{l,e,D}(x_1, u, v)$, $\tilde{\phi}_{l,e,D}(x_1, u, v)$ are holomorphic in u and uniformly continuous in x_1, x_2, v when u runs in Ω . By the estimates in Theorem 3.3,

$$|\tilde{\phi}_{l,e,D}(x_1, u, v)| \leq e^{\operatorname{Re}(\xi_1)x_1} h_1(x_1) q'(v)$$

and

$$|\phi_{l,e,D}(x_1, u, v)| \leq q'(v)$$

for some polynomial h_1 with constant coefficients and continuous seminorm q' (h_1, q' may be different in different inequalities). Thus all summands in the expansion of

$$e^{x_2 \xi_u} p_u(x_2) W_{\pi(e)v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1})$$

satisfy the properties in the theorem.

As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, for all $u \in \Omega$, $W_{v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2})$ is a finite linear combination of terms of the following types:

(1)

$$e^{x_1 \eta_u} r_u(x_1) W_{\pi(e)v,u}(e^{t H_2})$$

(2)

$$e^{x_1 \eta_u} r_u(x_1) \int_0^{x_1} e^{(-\eta_u - k_1)r} r^{l'} W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{r H_1 + t H_2}) dr.$$

Thus,

$$e^{x_2 \xi_u} h_u(x_2) \int_0^{x_2} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + t H_2}) dt$$

is a finite linear combination of terms of follow types

(1)

$$(3.25) \quad e^{x_1 \eta_u + x_2 \xi_u} r_u(x_1) h_u(x_2) \int_0^{x_2} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(e)v,u}(e^{t H_2}) dt;$$

(2)

$$(3.26) \quad e^{x_1 \eta_u + x_2 \xi_u} r_u(x_1) h_u(x_2) \int_0^{x_2} \int_0^{x_1} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l e^{(-\eta_u - k_1)r} r^{l'} W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{r H_1 + t H_2}) dr dt.$$

The summands in the expansion of (3.25) can be analyzed in the exact same way as in (3.11). Thus those summands satisfy the properties in Theorem 3.5. It remains to deal with the integral (3.26). By using the same estimate method as in Theorem 3.2, it is easy to see that the integral (3.26) defines a holomorphic function in $u \in \Omega$ which is uniformly continuous in x_1, x_2, v when u runs in Ω . Let us define

$$\Omega_{\xi_u,1} := \{u \in \Omega \mid -k_2 - \text{Re}(\xi_u) + 2\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}\};$$

$$\Omega_{\xi_u,2} := \{u \in \Omega \mid -k_2 - \text{Re}(\xi_u) + 2\mu \leq -\frac{1}{3}\};$$

$$\Omega_{\eta_u,1} := \{u \in \Omega \mid -k_1 - \text{Re}(\eta_u) + 2\mu \geq -\frac{1}{2}\};$$

$$\Omega_{\eta_u,2} := \{u \in \Omega \mid -k_1 - \text{Re}(\eta_u) + 2\mu \leq -\frac{1}{3}\}.$$

If $u \in \Omega_{\xi_u,1}$, we leave the dt -integral unchanged; if $u \in \Omega_{\xi_u,2}$, then we rewrite the dt -integral as $\int_0^{+\infty} - \int_{x_2}^{+\infty}$. We can do the similar operation for the dr -integral. Then we can imitate the method in Theorem 3.2 and finish the proof. Here we only deal one case, the others can be analyzed in the same way.

Let us assume that $u \in \Omega_{\xi_u,1} \cap \Omega_{\eta_u,2}$, then (3.26) can be rewritten as

$$(3.27) \quad e^{x_1 \eta_u + x_2 \xi_u} r_u(x_1) h_u(x_2) \int_0^{x_2} \int_0^{+\infty} - e^{x_1 \eta_u + x_2 \xi_u} r_u(x_1) h_u(x_2) \int_0^{x_2} \int_{x_1}^{+\infty}.$$

Using a similar estimate as (3.15), we can show that the integral

$$\int_0^{+\infty} e^{(-\eta_u - k_1)r} r^{l'} W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{r H_1 + t H_2}) dr$$

converges absolutely and is bounded by $\|e^{t H_2}\|^\mu \cdot q'(v)$. Thus, both summands in (3.27) define a holomorphic function in u which is uniformly continuous in the other variables when u runs in Ω . It suffices to prove that they satisfy the desired estimates. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| e^{x_2 \xi_u} \int_0^{x_2} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l e^{(-\eta_u - k_1)r} r^{l'} W_{\pi(D)v,u}(e^{r H_1 + t H_2}) dr dt \right| \\ & \leq \left| e^{x_2 \xi_u} \cdot \int_0^{x_2} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l \cdot \|e^{t H_2}\|^\mu q'(v) dt \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the method in (3.13), the above is bounded by

$$e^{\operatorname{Re}(\xi_2)x_2} h(x_2) q''(v)$$

for some polynomial h with constant coefficients and a continuous seminorm q'' . Therefore the first summand in (3.27) contributes a term of the form $e^{x_1\eta_u} p_u(x_1, x_2) f_2(x_2, v, u)$ in Theorem 3.5.

By using a similar estimate as (3.16), we can show that the integral

$$\left| e^{x_1\eta_u} \int_{x_1}^{+\infty} e^{(-\eta_u - k_1)r} r^{l'} W_{\pi(D)v, u}(e^{rH_1 + tH_2}) dr \right|.$$

is bounded by $e^{\operatorname{Re}(\xi_1)x_1} h_1(x_1) q'(v)$ for some polynomial h_1 and continuous seminorm q' . Then using the method in (3.13), we can obtain that the integral

$$\left| e^{x_1\eta_u + x_2\xi_u} \int_0^{x_2} \int_{x_1}^{+\infty} e^{(-\xi_u - k_2)t} t^l e^{(-\eta_u - k_1)r} r^{l'} W_{\pi(D)v, u}(e^{rH_1 + tH_2}) dr dt \right|$$

is bounded by

$$e^{\operatorname{Re}(\xi_1) \cdot x_1 + \operatorname{Re}(\xi_2) \cdot x_2} h_3(x_1, x_2) q''(v)$$

for some continuous seminorm q'' and polynomial h_3 with complex coefficients. Thus the second summand in (3.27) contributes a term of the form $p_u(x_1, x_2) f_3(x_1, x_2, v, u)$ in Theorem 3.5. \square

Remark 3.6. *Since the space of K -finite vectors in V_π is dense, by the continuity of Whittaker functional, the asymptotic expansion in Theorem 3.5 also holds for all $v \in V_\pi$.*

4. MEROMORPHIC CONTINUATION OF THE LOCAL INTEGRALS (ARCHIMEDEAN CASE)

In this Section, we will use Theorem 3.5 to prove Theorem 1.6 by analysing the asymptotic behaviour of the integrand of $Z(W_v, f_s)$ near 0. Throughout this Section, whenever an integral parameterized by s is a bilinear form on the projective tensor product space $V_\pi \hat{\otimes} V_{\rho_s}$, we say that it satisfies property \mathcal{M} , if

- (1) it converges absolutely when $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently large,
- (2) it extends to a meromorphic function of s to the whole complex plane,
- (3) its meromorphic continuation is a continuous on $V_\pi \hat{\otimes} V_{\rho_s}$.

For some basic properties of projective tensor space, we refer to [Tr67]. Now we start from some reductions to get rid of the integral over the maximal compact subgroup.

4.1. Some Reductions. Let us first define

$$B(W_v, f_s) := \int_F \int_{A_{\mathrm{SL}_3}} W_v \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} a \right) f_s(\gamma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} a) \delta_{B_{\mathrm{SL}_3}}^{-1}(a) da dz,$$

where the subgroup A_{SL_3} is defined in (2.7). Then the local integral $Z(W_v, f_s)$ defined in (1.13) can be rewritten as

$$Z(W_v, f_s) = \int_{K_{\mathrm{SL}_3}} B(\pi(k)W_v, \rho_s(k)f_s) dk.$$

Lemma 4.1. *If $B(W_v, f_s)$ satisfies property \mathcal{M} , then $Z(W_v, f_s)$ satisfies property \mathcal{M} . Moreover, if $\pi = \pi_u$ is a principal series as in (1.15) and we assume that $B(W_{v,u}, f_s)$ is meromorphic in u , then $Z(W_{v,u}, f_s)$ is also meromorphic in u .*

Proof. If $B(W_v, f_s)$ satisfies property \mathcal{M} , then whenever $s = s_0$ belongs to a compact set away from the poles of $Z(W_v, f_s)$, the function

$$k \mapsto B(\pi(k)W_v, \rho_s(k)f_s),$$

with both $v \in V_\pi$ and $f_s \in V_{\rho_s}$ fixed, is a continuous function on a compact group, hence it is bounded. Moreover, the integral

$$(4.1) \quad Z(W_v, f_s) = \int_{K_{\text{SL}_3}} B(\pi(k)W_v, \rho_s(k)f_s) dk$$

converges absolutely and uniformly in s when s runs in that compact set. This proves the meromorphic continuation of $Z(W_v, f_s)$. Similarly, when $\pi = \pi_u$, the convergence of (4.1) is also uniform in u when u runs in a compact set Ω away from the poles. Thus, if $B(W_{v,u}, f_s)$ is meromorphic in u , then $Z(W_{v,u}, f_s)$ is also meromorphic in u . For each fixed $k \in K_{\text{SL}_3}$, the function

$$(v, f_s) \mapsto B(\pi(k)W_v, \rho_s(k)f_s)$$

is a bounded continuous bilinear form on $V_\pi \hat{\otimes} V_{\rho_s}$. Set

$$B_k(v, f_s) = B(\pi(k)W_v, \rho_s(k)f_s).$$

Then by the Uniform Boundedness Principle (see [Tr67]), the family of bounded continuous bilinear form B_k indexed by $k \in K_{\text{SL}_3}$ is equicontinuous. In other words, let $d_{\pi, \rho}$ be the metric describing the topology of the Fréchet space $V_\pi \hat{\otimes} V_{\rho_s}$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any two pairs $(v_1, f_{1,s}), (v_2, f_{2,s}) \in V_\pi \hat{\otimes} V_{\rho_s}$ satisfying

$$d_{\pi, \rho}((v_1, f_{1,s}), (v_2, f_{2,s})) < \delta,$$

we have

$$|B(\pi(k)W_{v_1}, \rho_s(k)f_{1,s}) - B(\pi(k)W_{v_2}, \rho_s(k)f_{2,s})| < \epsilon.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |Z(W_{v_1}, f_{1,s}) - Z(W_{v_2}, f_{2,s})| &\leq \int_{K_{\text{SL}_3}} |B(\pi(k)W_{v_1}, \rho_s(k)f_{1,s}) - B(\pi(k)W_{v_2}, \rho_s(k)f_{2,s})| dk \\ &\leq \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $Z(W_v, f_s)$ is a continuous bilinear form. \square

The following Dixmier-Malliavin lemma (see [D-M78]) is well known to experts.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (π, V) be a continuous representation of a Lie group G on a Fréchet space V . Then every smooth vector $v \in V$ can be represented by a finite linear combination*

$$v = \sum_i \pi(f_i)v_i = \sum_i \int_G \pi(x)f_i(x)v_i dx,$$

where $f_i(x) \in C_c^\infty(G)$, and v_i are smooth vectors in V .

We apply the Dixmier-Malliavin lemma to the subgroup $U_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$. Every

$v \in V_\pi$ is a finite linear combination of $\pi(\varphi_i^{(1)})v_i$:

$$v = \sum_i \pi(\varphi_i^{(1)})v_i,$$

where $\varphi_i^{(1)} \in C_c^\infty(F)$ and each $v_i \in V_\pi$. Thus, accordingly,

$$(4.2) \quad W_v\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \sum_i W_{\pi(\varphi_i^{(1)})v_i}\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \sum_i W_{v_i}\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \widehat{\varphi_i^{(1)}}(t_1^3),$$

where $\widehat{\varphi_i^{(1)}}$ is a Fourier transform of $\varphi_i^{(1)}$, hence a Schwartz function. We apply the Dixmier-Malliavin lemma to the subgroup $U_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & x \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ and apply the same trick as in (4.2).

Then we can conclude that the function

$$W_v\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$$

is a finite linear combination of

$$(4.3) \quad W_{v_j}\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \varphi_j^{(1)}(t_1^3) \varphi_j^{(2)}(t_2^3),$$

where $v_j \in V_\pi$, $\varphi_j^{(1)}$ and $\varphi_j^{(2)}$ are Schwartz functions on F . Using the same calculation as in the proof of Theorem 1.5 (see (2.10)), we can obtain that

$$(4.4) \quad B(W_v, f_s) = \int_F \int_{(\mathbb{R}_+^\times)^2} W_v\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \psi((t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}} z) \cdot \left| \frac{t_1^{9s} t_2^{9s}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{2}s}} \right|_F \cdot |t_1^{-6} t_2^{-6}|_F \\ \cdot \left(|z|^2 + 1 \right)_F^{-\frac{3}{2}s} \cdot |t_1^2 + t_2^2|_F^{\frac{3}{2}} \cdot 3f_s(k''(z)k'(t_1^{-1}t_2)w_\beta) d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2 dz.$$

Because both V_π and the space of Schwartz functions on F , denoted by $\mathcal{S}(F)$, are Fréchet spaces and the bilinear map $\mathcal{S}(F) \times V_\pi \rightarrow V_\pi$:

$$(\varphi, v) \mapsto \pi(\varphi)v$$

is separately continuous, we can conclude that the linear map $\mathcal{S}(F) \hat{\otimes} V_\pi \mapsto V_\pi$:

$$\varphi \hat{\otimes} v \mapsto \pi(\varphi)v$$

is continuous and surjective (by Dixmier-Malliavin Lemma). Hence it is also an open map by the Open Mapping Theorem.

Now we combine (4.3), (4.4) and conclude that: to prove that $B(W_{v,u}, f_s)$ satisfies the property \mathcal{M} and is meromorphic in u , it suffices to prove that the following integral $C(W_{v,u}, f_s)$ satisfies property \mathcal{M} and is meromorphic in u :

$$(4.5) \quad C(W_{v,u}, f_s) := \int_F \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} W_{v,u}\left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \psi(z) \cdot |t_1^{9s-6} t_2^{9s-6}|_F \cdot \varphi_1(t_1) \varphi_2(t_2) \\ \cdot \left(|z|^2 + (t_1^2 + t_2^2)^3 \right)_F^{-\frac{3}{2}s} \cdot 3f_s\left(k''\left(\frac{z}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right)k'(t_1^{-1}t_2)w_\beta\right) d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2 dz,$$

where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{S}(F)$.

Remark 4.3. *The goal to introduce Schwartz functions φ_1, φ_2 is to control the behaviour of the integrand of the RHS of (4.5) when t_1 or t_2 goes to infinity.*

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.6. We only focus on the real case ($F = \mathbb{R}$), the proof of the complex case is similar. Note that by the Iwasawa decomposition of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ t_1^{-1}t_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t_1}{\sqrt{t_1^2+t_2^2}} & \\ & \frac{t_2}{\sqrt{t_1^2+t_2^2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_1^{-1}t_2 \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t_1}{\sqrt{t_1^2+t_2^2}} & \frac{-t_2}{\sqrt{t_1^2+t_2^2}} \\ \frac{t_2}{\sqrt{t_1^2+t_2^2}} & \frac{t_1}{\sqrt{t_1^2+t_2^2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence as a function of two variables t_1, t_2 , k' is a smooth bounded function on $[0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) - \{(0, 0)\}$. If we set $t_1 = r \cos \theta, t_2 = r \sin \theta$, then variable r doesn't appear in k' . As a function of θ , k' can be extended to a smooth function on $[0, 2\pi]$.

Let us first deal with the dz -integral first.

Set

$$(4.6) \quad F(t_1, t_2, s) := 3(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{4}s} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(z) \cdot (|z|^2 + (t_1^2 + t_2^2)^3)^{-\frac{3}{2}s} f_s(k''(\frac{z}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}})k'(t_1^{-1}t_2)w_\beta) dz.$$

Lemma 4.4. *For any $(t_1, t_2) \neq (0, 0)$, $F(t_1, t_2, s)$ converges absolutely when $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{3}$, and it has a holomorphic continuation in the whole complex plane. Moreover, if we use polar coordinate*

$$t_1 = r \cos \theta, t_2 = r \sin \theta,$$

then as a function of r and θ , $F(t_1(r, \theta), t_2(r, \theta), s)$ (simply denoted by $F(r, \theta, s)$ in the following) is a bounded smooth function in θ and behaves like a Schwartz function when r tends to infinity. $F(r, \theta, s)$ also has an asymptotic expansion

$$F(r, \theta, s) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k(\theta) r^{\frac{9}{2}s+6k} + \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} b_k(\theta) r^{3-\frac{9}{2}s+6k}.$$

when r tends to zero, where $a_k(\theta), b_k(\theta)$ are bounded smooth functions of θ .

Proof. For every fixed $(t_1, t_2) \neq (0, 0)$, $F(t_1, t_2, s)$ converges absolutely when $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{3}$, hence it defines a holomorphic function in the right half plane $\text{Re}(s) > \frac{1}{3}$.

If we set $t_1 = r \cos \theta, t_2 = r \sin \theta$, then

$$F(r, \theta, s) = 3r^{\frac{9}{2}s} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(z) \cdot (z^2 + r^6)^{-\frac{3}{2}s} f_s(k''(\frac{z}{r^3})k'(\theta)w_\beta) dz.$$

$\text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ contains a subgroup H that is isomorphic to $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ lying on the upper left corner:

$$H := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid g \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

The restriction of any function $f_s \in V_{\rho_s}$ on H satisfies the following invariant property:

$$f_s \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} t & & \\ & t^{-1} & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} x \right) = |t|^{3s} f_s(x),$$

hence $f_s|_H$ lies inside a principal series of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\text{Ind}_{B_{\text{SL}_2}}^{\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})} | \cdot |^{\frac{3s-1}{2}} \otimes | \cdot |^{-\frac{3s-1}{2}}.$$

When we fix θ , then $F(r, \theta, s)$ is exactly the Jacquet integral of a right translation of f_s . Hence by [Wal92, Section 15.4], the above integral has a holomorphic continuation to the whole complex plane, and it behaves like a Schwartz function when r tends to infinity. Moreover, $F(r, \theta, s)$ has the following asymptotic expansion when r tends to zero:

$$F(r, \theta, s) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k(\theta) r^{\frac{9}{2}s+6k} + \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} b_k(\theta) r^{3-\frac{9}{2}s+6k}.$$

Because all the asymptotic coefficients $a_k(\theta), b_k(\theta)$ can be obtained by limit process recursively (see [Bl-H75, Section 1.4]), they are bounded smooth functions of θ . \square

Now we begin to prove the desired meromorphic continuation of $C(W_{v,u}, f_s)$. In the real case, the integral $C(W_{v,u}, f_s)$ defined in (4.5) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} C(W_{v,u}, f_s) &= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} W_{v,u} \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & & \\ & t_2^3 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \frac{t_1^{9s-6} t_2^{9s-6}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{4}s}} \\ &\quad \cdot \varphi_1(t_1) \varphi_2(t_2) \cdot F(t_1, t_2, s) d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $F(t_1, t_2, s)$ is smooth on $[0, +\infty) \times [0, \infty) - \{(0, 0)\}$. At the origin $(0, 0)$, we can only expect an asymptotic expansion as above.

Proof of Theorem 1.6, real case. Still, because we want to keep track on the parameters u , we only focus on the case $\pi = \pi_u$. A word by word repetition will also work for any irreducible generic Casselman-Wallach representation π . Let u run in a fixed closed ball $\Omega = B(u_0, r_0)$. Since both V_{π_u} and V_{ρ_s} are Fréchet spaces, the notions of continuity and separate continuity on $V_{\pi_u} \hat{\otimes} V_{\rho_s}$ coincide. So we can fix f_s first and prove the continuity in v . We fix a vertical strip $\text{Re}(s) \in (a, b)$ (here $a < b$ are two real numbers). For $m = 1, 2$, we choose two negative numbers ξ_1, ξ_2 which has sufficiently large absolute values. The exact conditions that they satisfy will be clear from the following proof.

We choose k_1, k_2 so large such that

$$\begin{aligned} -\text{Re}(\xi_1) - k_1 + 2\mu &< -1, \\ -\text{Re}(\xi_2) - k_2 + 2\mu &< -1. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exist two finite subsets $C_u^{(1)}, C_u^{(2)}$, a finite set of polynomials \mathcal{P}_u , a finite subset of non-negative integers \mathcal{L} and a finite subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq U(\mathfrak{gl}_3(\mathbb{C}))$ such that $W_{v,u}(e^{x_1 H_1 + x_2 H_2})$ has an asymptotic expansion as in Theorem 3.2 when $x_2 \geq 0$, and $W_v(e^{x_1 H_1 + x_2 H_2})$ has an asymptotic expansion as in Theorem 3.5 when $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$.

We fix a very small positive number $1 > \epsilon > 0$ and split the integral $C(W_{v,u}, f_s)$ into four parts:

$$(4.7) \quad \int_\epsilon^{+\infty} \int_\epsilon^{+\infty}, \quad \int_0^\epsilon \int_\epsilon^{+\infty}, \quad \int_\epsilon^{+\infty} \int_0^\epsilon, \quad \int_0^\epsilon \int_0^\epsilon.$$

Case 1: $t_1, t_2 \geq \epsilon$. Since both $\varphi_1(t_1)$ and $\varphi_2(t_2)$ are Schwartz functions and $F(t_1, t_2, s)$ behaves like a Schwartz function when $t_1^2 + t_2^2 \rightarrow +\infty$, the integral

$$\int_\epsilon^{+\infty} \int_\epsilon^{+\infty} W_{v,u} \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & & \\ & t_2^3 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \frac{t_1^{9s-6} t_2^{9s-6}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{4}s}} \cdot \varphi_1(t_1) \varphi_2(t_2) \cdot F(t_1, t_2, s) d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2$$

converges absolutely and defines a holomorphic function of s . Moreover, by the estimate (3.1), the above integral defines a holomorphic function in $u \in \Omega$ which is continuous in v .

Case 2: $t_1 \geq \epsilon, t_2 \leq \epsilon$. We apply the asymptotic expansion of $W_{v,u}$ in the variable t_2 .

Then by Theorem 3.2, $W_{v,u} \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ is a finite $\mathbb{C}(u)$ -linear combination of terms of the form

- (1) type 1: $t_2^{-3\xi_u} (\ln t_2)^r \cdot W_{\pi(e)v,u} \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$,
- (2) type 2: $t_2^{-3\xi_u} (\ln t_2)^r \cdot \int_0^{+\infty} e^{(-\xi-k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v,u} \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot e^{tH_2} dt$,
- (3) type 3: $t_2^{-3\xi_u} (\ln t_2)^r \cdot \int_{-3 \ln t_2}^{+\infty} e^{(-\xi-k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v,u} \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot e^{tH_2} dt$,
- (4) type 4: $t_2^{-3\xi_u} (\ln t_2)^r \cdot \int_0^{-3 \ln t_2} e^{(-\xi-k_2)t} t^l W_{\pi(D)v,u} \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot e^{tH_2} dt$,

where all $e, D \in \mathcal{D}$, $r, l \in \mathcal{L}$, $\xi_u \in C_u^{(2)}$. To unify our notations, each term above can be written as

$$t_2^{-3\xi_u} (\ln t_2)^r \cdot H(t_1, t_2, v, u),$$

where $H(t_1, t_2, v, u)$ is holomorphic in $u \in \Omega$ and uniformly continuous in t_1, t_2, v when u runs in Ω . It also satisfies the estimates in Theorem 3.2. Put

$$G(t_2, v, u, s) := \int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} H(t_1, t_2, v, u) \frac{t_1^{9s-6}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{4}s}} \varphi_1(t_1) F(t_1, t_2, s) d^\times t_1.$$

Then for each fixed t_2 , the integral $G(t_2, v, u, s)$ converges absolutely for all s and $u \in \Omega$, hence it defines a holomorphic function in s and u . It is also a continuous in t_2 and linear in v . The second part of $C(W_{v,u}, f_s)$ in (4.7) is a finite linear combination of integrals of the form

$$(4.8) \quad \int_0^{\epsilon} t_2^{9s-6-3\xi_u} (\ln t_2)^r \varphi_2(t_2) G(t_2, v, u, s) d^\times t_2.$$

If $H(t_1, t_2, v, u)$ is of type 1 or 2, then it is in fact a continuous function in t_1 (t_2 does not appear in H) bounded by $\|e^{x_1 H_1}\|^\mu q'(v)$ for some continuous seminorm q' (by Theorem 3.2). In these two cases, from the expression

$$G(t_2, v, u, s) = \int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} H(t_1, v, u) \frac{t_1^{9s-6}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{4}s}} \varphi_1(t_1) F(t_1, t_2, s) d^\times t_1,$$

$G(t_2, v, u, s)$ and all its partial derivatives $\frac{\partial^l G}{\partial t_2^l}(t_2, v, u, s)$ are smooth functions in t_2 , holomorphic in u , and continuous and linear in v . Therefore, the integral

$$\int_0^{\epsilon} t_2^{9s-6-3\xi_u} (\ln t_2)^r \varphi_2(t_2) G(t_2, v, u, s) d^\times t_2$$

admits a meromorphic continuation in s . This meromorphic continuation is also meromorphic in u and continuous in v (since each ξ_u is a polynomial of u of degree 1).

If $H(t_1, t_2, u, v)$ is of type 3, then by the estimates in Theorem 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & |t_2^{-3\xi_u} (\ln t_2)^r G(t_2, v, u, s)| \\ & \leq \int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} \left| t_2^{-3\xi_u} (\ln t_2)^r H(t_1, t_2, u, v) \frac{t_1^{9s-6}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{4}s}} \varphi_1(t_1) F(t_1, t_2, s) \right| d^\times t_1 \\ & \leq \int_{\epsilon}^{+\infty} \left| t_2^{-3\operatorname{Re}(\xi_2)} \cdot t_1^{6\mu} h(\ln t_2) q'(v) \cdot \frac{t_1^{9s-6}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{4}s}} \varphi_1(t_1) F(t_1, t_2, s) \right| d^\times t_1 \\ & \leq t_2^{-3\operatorname{Re}(\xi_2)} h(\ln t_2) q''(v) \end{aligned}$$

for some polynomial h and continuous seminorm q'' . Therefore

$$|t_2^{9s-6-3\xi_u} (\ln t_2)^r \varphi_2(t_2) G(t_2, v, s)| \leq t_2^{9\operatorname{Re}(s)-6-3\operatorname{Re}(\xi_2)} h(\ln t_2) |\varphi_2(t_2)| q''(v)$$

for some polynomial h and continuous seminorm q'' . Since we can choose $\operatorname{Re}(\xi_2)$ as negative as we want, if we assume that the exponent satisfies

$$9\operatorname{Re}(s) - 6 - 3\operatorname{Re}(\xi_2) > 1$$

in the vertical strip $\operatorname{Re}(s) \in (a, b)$, then (4.8) is holomorphic in s and u , and defines a continuous linear function in v .

If $H(t_1, t_2, u, v)$ is of type 4, the estimate for type 3 also holds in this case, since the estimate for 2) and 4) in Theorem 3.2 share the same pattern. Thus, the integral

$$\int_0^\epsilon t_2^{9s-6-3\xi_u} (\ln t_2)^r \varphi_2(t_2) G(t_2, v, u, s) d^\times t_2$$

also defines a holomorphic function in s and u , which is also continuous in v .

Case 3: $t_1 \leq \epsilon, t_2 \geq \epsilon$. Since t_1 and t_2 play a symmetric role in the integral, the proof is exactly the same as Case 2.

Case 4: $t_1 < \epsilon, t_2 < \epsilon$. We apply Theorem 3.5 again. When $t_1 < \epsilon, t_2 < \epsilon$, $W_v \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)$

is a finite $\mathbb{C}(u)$ -linear combination of terms of the form

- (1) $t_1^{-3\eta_u} t_2^{-3\xi_u} (\ln t_1)^{r_1} (\ln t_2)^{r_2} f_0(v, u)$,
- (2) $t_1^{-3\eta_u} (\ln t_1)^{r_1} (\ln t_2)^{r_2} f_2(\ln t_2, v, u)$,
- (3) $t_2^{-3\xi_u} (\ln t_1)^{r_1} (\ln t_2)^{r_2} f_1(\ln t_1, v, u)$,
- (4) $(\ln t_1)^{r_1} (\ln t_2)^{r_2} f_3(\ln t_1, \ln t_2, v, u)$,

where $r_1, r_2 \in \mathcal{L}$, $\eta_u \in C_u^{(1)}$, $\xi_u \in C_u^{(2)}$. Moreover, f_0, f_1, f_2 admit estimates as in Theorem 3.5, i.e

- (1) $|f_0(v, u)| \leq q'(v)$,
- (2) $|f_1(\ln t_1, v, u)| \leq t_1^{-3\operatorname{Re}(\xi_1)} h_1(\ln t_1) q'(v)$,
- (3) $|f_2(\ln t_2, v, u)| \leq t_2^{-3\operatorname{Re}(\xi_2)} h_2(\ln t_2) q'(v)$,
- (4) $|f_3(\ln t_1, \ln t_2, v, u)| \leq t_1^{-3\operatorname{Re}(\xi_1)} t_2^{-3\operatorname{Re}(\xi_2)} h_3(\ln t_1, \ln t_2) q'(v)$.

We need to study

$$(4.9) \quad \int_0^\epsilon \int_0^\epsilon W_{v,u} \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \frac{t_1^{9s-6} t_2^{9s-6}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{4}s}} \cdot \varphi_1(t_1) \varphi_2(t_2) F(t_1, t_2, s) d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2.$$

We can choose ϵ so small that $F(t_1, t_2, s)$ (or equivalently $F(r, \theta, s)$) can be approximated by its asymptotic expansion (see Lemma 4.4).

$$F(r, \theta, s) \sim \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} a_k(\theta) r^{\frac{9}{2}s+6k} + \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} b_k(\theta) r^{3-\frac{9}{2}s+6k}.$$

After we cut off the first finite terms $F_q(r, \theta, s)$ in the asymptotic expansion of $F(r, \theta, s)$, the remainder

$$F(r, \theta, s) - F_q(r, \theta, s) = O(r^p).$$

Here p can be as large as we want if we choose a sufficiently large q . Now we use the estimate

$$|W_{v,u} \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right)| \leq 6^\mu t_1^{-6\mu} t_2^{-6\mu} q(v).$$

If we choose a large p such that p can beat any exponent appearing in the integrand of (4.9), then

$$\int_0^\epsilon \int_0^\epsilon W_{v,u} \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \frac{t_1^{9s-6} t_2^{9s-6}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{4}s}} \cdot \varphi_1(t_1) \varphi_2(t_2) (F(t_1, t_2, s) - F_q(t_1, t_2, s)) d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2$$

is a holomorphic function in s and u , and continuous in v . We note that the choice of p (hence q) only depends on the vertical strip (a, b) . Therefore it suffices to prove the meromorphic continuation of

$$\int_0^\epsilon \int_0^\epsilon W_{v,u} \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \frac{t_1^{9s-6+l_1} t_2^{9s-6+l_2}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{4}s}} \cdot F_q(t_1, t_2, s), d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2.$$

Clearly the above is a linear combination of

$$\int_0^\epsilon \int_0^\epsilon W_{v,u} \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \frac{t_1^{9s-6+l_1} t_2^{9s-6+l_2}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{4}s}} \cdot r^{\frac{9}{2}s+6k} a_k(\theta) d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2.$$

and

$$(4.10) \quad \int_0^\epsilon \int_0^\epsilon W_{v,u} \left(\begin{pmatrix} t_1^3 t_2^3 & & \\ & t_2^3 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \cdot \frac{t_1^{9s-6+l_1} t_2^{9s-6+l_2}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{4}s}} \cdot r^{-\frac{9}{2}s+6k+3} b_k(\theta), d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2.$$

The proofs of the meromorphic continuation for above two integrals are almost the same. We only establish the meromorphic continuation for the second one in detail, because the second one is slightly more complicated since the denominator $(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{4}s} = r^{\frac{9}{2}s}$ can not be canceled out. We note that there are only finitely many l_1, l_2, k . They only depend on the vertical strip $\text{Re}(s) \in (a, b)$, not on the choice of ξ_1, ξ_2 .

The term $t_1^{-3\eta u} t_2^{-3\xi u} (\ln t_1)^{r_1} (\ln t_2)^{r_2} f_0(v, u)$ contributes an integral

$$(4.11) \quad \int_0^\epsilon \int_0^\epsilon t_1^{-3\eta u} t_2^{-3\xi u} (\ln t_1)^{r_1} (\ln t_2)^{r_2} \frac{t_1^{9s-6+l_1} t_2^{9s-6+l_2}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{2}s}} \cdot r^{6k+3} b_k(\theta) d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2 \cdot f_0(v, u)$$

in (4.10). We aim to show that the above integral has a meromorphic continuation for nonnegative integers l_1, l_2, k and any bounded smooth functions $b_k(\theta)$. Because the integrand is not factorizable in the Cartesian coordinate, we are facing a situation slightly more complicated than that in [Sou95], yet this complication still can be resolved in the polar coordinate.

$$t_1 = r \cos \theta, t_2 = r \sin \theta.$$

After expanding polynomials $(\ln t_1)^{r_1} = (\ln r + \ln \cos \theta)^{r_1}$ and $(\ln t_2)^{r_2} = (\ln r + \ln \sin \theta)^{r_2}$, we only need to prove that the following integral has a meromorphic continuation in s

$$(4.12) \quad \iint_D r^{9s+a_1} (\ln r)^{a_2} \cdot (\cos \theta)^{9s+b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{9s+c_1} (\ln \sin \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta) dr d\theta,$$

where D is a square $[0, \epsilon] \times [0, \epsilon]$, a_1, b_1, c_1 are polynomials of u of degree 1, a_2, b_2, c_2 are nonnegative integers, and $f(\theta)$ is a bounded smooth function in θ . But this is very elementary and the proof is based on integration by parts. For details, see Appendix A.

The term $t_1^{-3\eta u} (\ln t_1)^{r_1} (\ln t_2)^{r_2} f_2(\ln t_2, v, u)$ contributes an integral

$$(4.13) \quad \int_0^\epsilon \int_0^\epsilon (t_1)^{-3\eta u} (\ln t_1)^{r_1} (\ln t_2)^{r_2} f_2(\ln t_2, v, u) \frac{t_1^{9s-6} t_2^{9s-6}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{2}s}} \cdot t_1^{l_1} t_2^{l_2} r^{6k+3} b_k(\theta) d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2$$

in (4.10). We change variable $t_2 \mapsto t_1 t_2$, then (4.13) is equal to a finite linear combination of

$$(4.14) \quad \int_0^\epsilon \int_0^{\epsilon t_1} t_1^{9s-9+l_1+l_2+6k-3\eta u} (\ln t_1)^{r_1'} (\ln t_2)^{r_2'} f_2(\ln t_1 t_2, v, u) \cdot \frac{t_2^{9s-6+l_2}}{(t_2^2 + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}(9s-6k-3)}} \cdot b_k(\arctan t_2) d^\times t_2 d^\times t_1.$$

By the estimate of f_2 , we get

$$|f_2(\ln t_1 t_2, v, u)| \leq (t_1 t_2)^{-3\operatorname{Re}(\xi_2)} h_2(\ln t_1 t_2) q'(v),$$

for some polynomial h_2 and a continuous seminorm q' . As we only have finitely many l_1, l_2, k which only depend on (a, b) , if we require the exponent $\operatorname{Re}(\xi_2)$ to be sufficiently negative so that it will beat the exponents of t_1, t_2 in (4.14), then the integral (4.14) converges absolutely in the vertical strip $\operatorname{Re}(s) \in (a, b)$. Thus it defines a holomorphic function in s and u which is continuous in v . The contribution of the term $t_2^{-3\xi u} (\ln t_1)^{r_1} (\ln t_2)^{r_2} f_1(\ln t_1, v, u)$ can be analyzed in the same way.

The term $(\ln t_1)^{r_1} (\ln t_2)^{r_2} f_3(\ln t_1, \ln t_2, v)$ contributes an integral

$$(4.15) \quad \int_0^\epsilon \int_0^\epsilon (\ln t_1)^{r_1} (\ln t_2)^{r_2} f_3(\ln t_1, \ln t_2, v, u) \frac{t_1^{9s-6+l_1} t_2^{9s-6+l_2}}{(t_1^2 + t_2^2)^{\frac{9}{2}s}} \cdot r^{6k+3} b_k(\theta) d^\times t_1 d^\times t_2$$

in (4.10). By the estimate of f_3 , if we choose $\operatorname{Re}(\xi_1), \operatorname{Re}(\xi_2)$ so negative that the exponent $-3\operatorname{Re}(\xi_1)$ and $-3\operatorname{Re}(\xi_2)$ in the estimate of f_3 can beat all the exponents in the integrand of (4.15), then the integral (4.15) is holomorphic in s, u and continuous in v . In the end, after taking the $\mathbb{C}(u)$ -linear combination, we finally prove that the local integrals are meromorphic in s, u .

To summarize all of the above, we proved that $C(W_{v,u}, f_s)$ has a meromorphic continuation in s, u and continuous in v under the Fréchet topology of V_{π_u} . The continuity on the second variable is straight forward. Because f_s only affects $F(t_1, t_2, s)$. The coefficients $a_k(\theta), b_k(\theta)$ depend on f_s . They are continuous with respect to f_s when f_s runs in the Fréchet space V_{ρ_s} . So, if a sequence $f_{s,k} \rightarrow 0$ in V_{ρ_s} , then all $a_k(\theta), b_k(\theta)$ tend to zero. This implies the meromorphic continuation of $C(W_{v,u}, f_s)$ is continuous on V_{ρ_s} . \square

Remark 4.5. *To end this Section, we remark that the proof of the complex case proceeds almost in the same way as the real case, the only difference comes from the dz -integral. In the complex case, the dz -integral is the Jacquet integral of a principal series of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$.*

5. THE UNIQUENESS THEOREM (ARCHIMEDEAN CASE)

Let us first quote a Lemma in [Gin91].

Lemma 5.1. (1) $|P \backslash \text{G}_2 / \text{SL}_3| = 2$, and we can take representatives e and $\gamma = x_{-(\alpha+\beta)}(-1)w_\beta$.
(2)

$$\text{SL}_3^\gamma := \text{SL}_3 \cap \gamma^{-1}P\gamma = \left\{ n_2 \begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid n_2 \in N_2 \right\},$$

where the subgroup N_2 consists matrices of the form (1.10).

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Given two Fréchet representations of π_1 and π_2 of a Lie group G , we denote by $\text{Bil}_G(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ the space of G -equivariant continuous bilinear form on $V_{\pi_1} \hat{\otimes} V_{\pi_2}$. The dimension of $\text{Bil}_G(\pi_1, \pi_2)$ is called the intertwining number between representations π_1 and π_2 . By the Reciprocity Law ([Br56, Theorem 6;4]), we get

$$\dim \text{Bil}_{\text{SL}_3(F)}(\pi, \text{Ind}_{P(F)}^{\text{G}_2(F)} \delta_P^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \Big|_{\text{SL}_3(F)}) = \dim \text{Bil}_{\text{G}_2(F)}(\text{Ind}_{\text{SL}_3(F)}^{\text{G}_2(F)} \pi, \text{Ind}_{P(F)}^{\text{G}_2(F)} \delta_P^{s-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Let $\text{SL}_3(F)$ act on the flag variety $P(F) \backslash \text{G}_2(F)$ on the right. By Lemma 5.1, there are exactly two orbits with representatives e and $\gamma = x_{-(\alpha+\beta)}(-1)w_\beta$. Then by [Br56, Theorem 6;3], we have an estimate:

$$(5.1) \quad \dim \text{Bil}_{\text{G}_2(F)}(\text{Ind}_{\text{SL}_3(F)}^{\text{G}_2(F)} \pi, \text{Ind}_{P(F)}^{\text{G}_2(F)} \delta_P^{s-\frac{1}{2}}) \leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} i(\delta_P^{s-\frac{1}{2}}, \pi, e, n) \right) + i(\delta_P^{s-\frac{1}{2}}, \pi, \gamma, 0),$$

where $i(\delta_P^{s-\frac{1}{2}}, \pi, \Omega_e, n)$ is the intertwining number between the two representations of

$$H_e := P(F) \cap \text{SL}_3(F).$$

One is

$$\delta_P^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \hat{\otimes} \pi$$

and the other one is some finite dimensional representation Λ_n coming from transversal derivatives. The second term $i(\delta_P^{s-\frac{1}{2}}, \pi, \gamma, 0)$ on the RHS of (5.1) is the intertwining number between two representations of $H_\gamma := \text{SL}_3(F) \cap \gamma^{-1}P(F)\gamma$:

$$\delta_\gamma : h \mapsto \delta_P^{s-\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma h \gamma^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi \Big|_{H_\gamma}.$$

We claim that for all n , $i(\delta_p^{s-\frac{1}{2}}, \pi, e, n) = 0$. We know that any eigenvalue of a unipotent matrix on a finite dimensional vector space must be 1. Suppose that $i(\delta_p^{s-\frac{1}{2}}, \pi, \Omega_e, n) \neq 0$ for some n . Since H_e contains all standard upper triangular unipotent matrices in $\mathrm{SL}_3(F)$, the generic character must be trivial. We get a contradiction.

As for the intertwining number $i(\delta_p^{s-\frac{1}{2}}, \pi, \gamma, 0)$, we apply Casselman's Subrepresentation Theorem [Wal88, Section 3.8.3] and assume that π is a quotient of a principal series $\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{\mathrm{SL}_3}}^{\mathrm{SL}_3(F)} \sigma$. Then

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \dim \mathrm{Bil}_{H_\gamma}(\pi|_{H_\gamma}, \delta_\gamma) &= \dim \mathrm{Bil}_{\mathrm{SL}_3(F)}(\pi, \mathrm{Ind}_{H_\gamma}^{\mathrm{SL}_3(F)} \delta_\gamma) \\ &\leq \dim \mathrm{Bil}_{\mathrm{SL}_3(F)}(\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{\mathrm{SL}_3}}^{\mathrm{SL}_3(F)} \sigma, \mathrm{Ind}_{H_\gamma}^{\mathrm{SL}_3(F)} \delta_\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

The RHS of (5.2) can be estimated via Bruhat's theory as well. By Lemma 5.1, we have

$$H_\gamma = \mathrm{SL}_3(F) \cap \gamma^{-1} P(F) \gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x & z \\ & 1 & x \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

The orbit space which we consider this time is $B_{\mathrm{SL}_3} \backslash \mathrm{SL}_3(F) / H_\gamma$. There are twelve orbits.

We can choose the representatives $y_j = w_j \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and w_j , where w_j are Weyl elements of $\mathrm{SL}_3(F)$ listed below:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= I, & w_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & w_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ -1 & & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ w_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ & & 1 \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}, & w_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ 1 & & \\ & -1 & \end{pmatrix}, & w_6 &= \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & \\ -1 & & \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\dim \mathrm{Bil}_{\mathrm{SL}_3(F)}(\mathrm{Ind}_{B_{\mathrm{SL}_3}}^{\mathrm{SL}_3(F)} \sigma, \mathrm{Ind}_{H_\gamma}^{\mathrm{SL}_3(F)} \delta_\gamma)$$

is bounded by

$$\sum_n \sum_{j=0}^6 i(\sigma, \delta_\gamma, y_j, n) + \sum_n \sum_{i=0}^6 i(\sigma, \delta_\gamma, w_i, n).$$

Here, for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, we can show by a simple matrix computation that $H_i = B_{\mathrm{SL}_3} \cap w_i^{-1} H_\gamma w_i$ contains a nontrivial diagonal subgroup. Similarly, for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, $H_j^y = B_{\mathrm{SL}_3} \cap y_j^{-1} H_\gamma y_j$ contains a nontrivial one dimensional abelian subgroup $A(j)$. To be more

precise,

$$\begin{aligned} A(1) &= \left\{ y_1^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a & a-1 & \\ & 1 & \\ & & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} y_1 \mid a \in F^\times \right\}; \\ A(2) &= \left\{ y_2^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a & a-1 & \\ & 1 & \\ & & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} y_2 \mid a \in F^\times \right\}; \\ A(3) &= \left\{ y_3^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} y_3 \mid z \in F \right\}; \\ A(4) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{a-1}{a} & \\ & a^{-1} & \\ & & a \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in F^\times \right\}; \\ A(5) &= \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1} & & \\ & a & -a+1 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a \in F^\times \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each above abelian subgroup $A(j)$, we can choose a generator d_j . By Bruhat theory, $i(\sigma, \delta_\gamma, y_j, n)$ is the intertwining number between the representation

$$(5.3) \quad \sigma \otimes (\delta_\gamma)^{y_j}$$

of H_j^y and some finite dimensional representation $\tilde{\Lambda}_n$ of H_j^y coming from transversal derivatives. Here $(\delta_\gamma)^{y_j}$ is the representation obtained from twisting δ_γ by y_j . If $i(\sigma, \delta_\gamma, y_j, n)$ is nonzero for some n , then $\sigma \otimes (\delta_\gamma)^{y_j}$ is a one dimensional subrepresentation of $\tilde{\Lambda}_n$. Hence

$$(5.4) \quad \sigma \otimes (\delta_\gamma)^{y_j}(d_j) = \chi(d_j)$$

for some character χ . The above is in fact an equation of s which only has at most countably many solutions. These solutions form a discrete set. The same argument applies to $i(\sigma, \delta_\gamma, w_i, n)$.

Thus so far, we have at most countably many finite dimensional representations $\tilde{\Lambda}_n$. For each $\tilde{\Lambda}_n$, we only have finitely many one-dimensional subrepresentations. Thus there exists a discrete, at most countable subset S of \mathbb{C} such that whenever $s \notin S$,

$$i(\sigma, \delta_\gamma, y_j, n) = i(\sigma, \delta_\gamma, w_i, n) = 0,$$

where $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$, and $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. As for H_6^y , it is in fact a trivial subgroup, so the above equation (5.4) is in fact an identity. The big orbit $B_{\text{SL}_3} y_6 H_\gamma$ contributes one in

$$\dim \text{Bil}_{\text{SL}_3(F)}(\pi, \text{Ind}_{P(F)}^{\text{G}_2(F)} \delta_P^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \Big|_{\text{SL}_3(F)}).$$

□

APPENDIX A. SOME ELEMENTARY INTEGRALS

In this Appendix, we will prove the meromorphic continuation of the elementary integral (4.12) which appeared in the proof of Theorem 1.6. Let D_ϵ be the unit square $[0, \epsilon] \times [0, \epsilon]$ on the xy -plane. We use polar coordinate

$$x = r \cos \theta, y = r \sin \theta.$$

Our goal is to prove

Proposition A.1. *Let $f(\theta, s)$ be a smooth periodic function of θ on $[0, 2\pi]$, which is holomorphic in s in some right half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq s_0$, then for any complex numbers a_1, b_1, c_1 , and any nonnegative integers a_2, b_2, c_2 , the following integral*

$$(A.1) \quad \iint_{D_\epsilon} r^{s+a_1} (\ln r)^{a_2} \cdot (\cos \theta)^{s+b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{s+c_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s) dr d\theta$$

converges absolutely when $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently large, and it has a meromorphic continuation to the same right half plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq s_0$. Moreover, if a_1, b_1, c_1 are polynomials of a complex variable u of degree 1, then the meromorphic continuation of (A.1) (in s) is also meromorphic in u .

Clearly the meromorphic continuation of (4.12) is a direct consequence of Proposition (A.1).

Lemma A.2. *Let $f(\theta, s_1, s_2)$ be a smooth periodic function of θ on $[0, 2\pi]$, holomorphic in some right half space $\{(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \operatorname{Re}(s_1), \operatorname{Re}(s_2) \geq s_0\}$. Then for any complex numbers b_1, c_1 , and nonnegative integers b_2, c_2 the following integral*

$$(A.2) \quad \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta$$

converges absolutely when $\operatorname{Re}(s_1), \operatorname{Re}(s_2)$ are sufficiently large, and it has a meromorphic continuation to the same right half space $\{(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \operatorname{Re}(s_1), \operatorname{Re}(s_2) \geq s_0\}$.

Proof. It is clear that the integral (A.2) converges when $\operatorname{Re}(s_1), \operatorname{Re}(s_2)$ are sufficiently large. When $\operatorname{Re}(s_1), \operatorname{Re}(s_2)$ are large, by integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1+2} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{s_2 + c_1 + 1} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (s_1 + b_1 + 1) (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1+2} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} (\ln \sin \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{s_2 + c_1 + 1} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1+2} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2-1} (\ln \sin \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{s_2 + c_1 + 1} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1+2} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} (\ln \sin \theta)^{c_2-1} f(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{s_2 + c_1 + 1} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1+1} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1+1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} (\ln \sin \theta)^{c_2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{s_1 + b_1 + 1}{s_2 + c_1 + 1} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{s_1 + b_1 + 1}{s_2 + c_1 + 1}\right) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1+2} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{s_2 + c_1 + 1} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1+2} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2-1} (\ln \sin \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{s_2 + c_1 + 1} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1+2} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} (\ln \sin \theta)^{c_2-1} f(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{s_2 + c_1 + 1} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1+1} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1+1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} (\ln \sin \theta)^{c_2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, there is another integration by parts which raises the exponents of \sin by 2. So, whenever we apply integration by parts, either the exponents of \sin or \cos increase, or the exponents of $\ln \sin$ or $\ln \cos$ decrease. Hence it suffices to prove that the following integral has a meromorphic continuation

$$(A.3) \quad \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1} (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1} f(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta.$$

As above, by integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{s_1 + b_1 + 1}{s_2 + c_1 + 1} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1} (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1} f(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{s_1 + b_1 + 1}{s_2 + c_1 + 1}\right) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1+2} (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1} f(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{s_2 + c_1 + 1}\right) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{s_1+b_1+1} (\sin \theta)^{s_2+c_1+1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}(\theta, s_1, s_2) d\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

After doing integration by parts as many times as we need, the exponents of $\sin \theta$ and $\cos \theta$ are nonnegative when $\text{Re}(s_1), \text{Re}(s_2) \geq s_0$, this shows (A.3), and hence (A.2), has a meromorphic continuation. \square

Remark A.3. *We can also show that the following integrals*

$$\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} (\cos \theta)^{s+b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{s+c_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s) d\theta$$

and

$$\int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{s+b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{s+c_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s) d\theta$$

also have meromorphic continuation. The proof is the same as the proof of Lemma A.2. We only need to change the upper and lower bounds of the integrals.

Now we return to prove Proposition A.1. For simplicity, we only prove it for $\epsilon = 1$, the proof for general ϵ is exactly the same (only notationally more complicated). In the following proof, to simplify notations, we write D for the unit square in the first quadrant instead of D_1 .

Proof of Proposition A.1. Set D_1 to be the quarter of unit disk in the first quadrant, $D_2 = D - D_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{D_1} r^{s+a_1} (\ln r)^{a_2} \cdot (\cos \theta)^{s+b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{s+c_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s) dr d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 r^{s+a_1} (\ln r)^{a_2} dr \cdot \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{s+b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{s+c_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s) d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

hence by Lemma A.2, the above integral has a meromorphic continuation. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{D_2} r^{s+a_1} (\ln r)^{a_2} \cdot (\cos \theta)^{s+b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{s+c_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s) dr d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \left(\int_1^{\frac{1}{\cos \theta}} r^{s+a_1} (\ln r)^{a_2} dr \right) (\cos \theta)^{s+b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{s+c_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s) d\theta \\ &+ \int_{\frac{\pi}{4}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left(\int_1^{\frac{1}{\sin \theta}} r^{s+a_1} (\ln r)^{a_2} dr \right) (\cos \theta)^{s+b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2} \cdot (\sin \theta)^{s+c_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{c_2} f(\theta, s) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Applying integration by parts to dr -integral successively, we can show that the dr -integral in the first term on the right hand side is a linear combination of $(\cos \theta)^{b_1} (\ln \cos \theta)^{b_2}$, with coefficients in the field of rational functions $\mathbb{C}(s)$. So by Lemma A.2 and the remark below it, the first term on the right hand side has a meromorphic continuation. Similarly, the second term also has a meromorphic continuation. Hence we finish the proof of Proposition A.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [Borel77] A. Borel, Automorphic L-functions. Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, pp. 27-61, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.
- [Bl-H75] N. Bleistein and R. Handelsman, Asymptotic Expansions of Integrals, New York : Holt, Rinehart and Winston 1975.
- [Br56] F. Bruhat, Sur les représentations induites des groupes de Lie, Bulletin de la S.M.F., tome 84(1956), 97-205.
- [Bump05] D. Bump, The Rankin-Selberg method: an introduction and survey. Automorphic representations, L-functions and applications: progress and prospects, 41C73, Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., 11, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2005.
- [Bump-Gin98] D. Bump and D. Ginzburg, The adjoint L-function of $GL(4)$. J. Reine Angew. Math. 505 (1998), 119-172.
- [D-M78] J. Dixmier and P. Malliavin, Factorisations de fonctions et de vecteurs indefiniment differentiables. Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 102 (1978), no. 4, 307-330. MR MR517765.
- [Ge-Jac78] S. Gelbart and H. Jacquet, A relation between automorphic representations of $GL(2)$ and $GL(3)$. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 11 (1978), no. 4, 471-542.
- [Ge-Sh88] S. Gelbart and F. Shahidi, Analytic Properties of Automorphic L-Functions, Academic Press, 1988.
- [Gin91] D. Ginzburg, A Rankin-Selberg integral for the adjoint representation of GL_3 (1991), Invent. math 105, P571-588.
- [Gin-Hun08] D. Ginzburg and J. Hundley, The adjoint L-function for GL_5 . Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci. 15 (2008), 24-32.
- [Ha13] M. Harris, L-functions and periods of adjoint motives. Algebra Number Theory 7 (2013), no. 1, 117-155.

- [Hun18] J. Hundley, Holomorphy of adjoint L functions for quasisplit A_2 . Res. Number Theory 4 (2018), no. 4, 4:44.
- [Hun12] J. Hundley, The adjoint L-function of $\mathrm{SU}_{2,1}$. Multiple Dirichlet series, L-functions and automorphic forms, 193-204, Progr. Math., 300, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2012.
- [Hun-Zh18] J. Hundley and Q. Zhang, The Adjoint L -function for GL_3 and $\mathrm{U}_{2,1}$, arXiv:1808.06285
- [H-I-I08] K. Hiraga, A. Ichino and T. Ikeda, Formal degrees and adjoint γ -factors, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (2008), no. 1, 283-304.
- [J-PS-S79] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, J. Shalika, Automorphic Forms on $\mathrm{GL}(3)$ I, Ann. of Math.(2), 109 (1979), no. 1, 169-212.
- [J-R97] D. Jiang and S. Rallis, Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series of the exceptional group of type G_2 . Pacific J. Math. 181 (1997), no. 2, 281-314.
- [J-S88] H. Jacquet and J. Shalika, Exterior square L-functions, Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and L-functions, Vol. II (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988), 143-226.
- [La70] R. Langlands, Problems in the theory of automorphic forms, in Lectures in modern analysis and Applications, Lecture Notes in Math. 170, Springer-Verlag, New York (1970), 18-61.
- [Sha10] F. Shahidi, Eisenstein series and automorphic L-functions Colloquium publications (American Mathematical Society); v. 58. Providence, R.I. : American Mathematical Society 2010.
- [SGA3] Schémas en groupes, 1962-1964 (Group schemes), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 151, 152 and 153, 1970.
- [Sou95] D. Soudry, On the Archimedean theory of Rankin-Selberg convolutions for $\mathrm{SO}_{2l+1} \times \mathrm{GL}_n$, Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure, Sér. 4, 28 no. 2 (1995), P161-P224.
- [Tate50] J. Tate. (1950), Fourier analysis in number fields, and Hecke's zeta-function, Algebraic Number Theory (Proc. Instructional Conf., Brighton, 1965), Thompson, Washington, D.C., pp. 305-347,
- [Tian18] F. Tian, On the Local Theory of Certain Global Zeta Integrals and Related Problems. Thesis (Ph.D.), University of Minnesota, 2018
- [Tr67] F. Trèves, Topological vector spaces, Distributions and Kernels, Pure and applied mathematics (Academic Press) 25, New York: Academic Press, 1967.
- [Ven17] A. Venkatesh, Cohomology of arithmetic groups and periods of automorphic forms. (English summary) Jpn. J. Math. 12 (2017), no. 1, 1-32.
- [Wal88] N. Wallach, Real Reductive Groups I, Academic Press, 1988.
- [Wal92] N. Wallach, Real Reductive Groups II, Academic Press, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, SINGAPORE

E-mail address: mattf@nus.edu.sg