

# Generalized prolate spheroidal functions: algorithms and analysis

Philip Greengard

Columbia University, New York, NY, 10027

May 8, 2024

## Abstract

Generalized prolate spheroidal functions (GPSFs) arise naturally in the study of bandlimited functions as the eigenfunctions of a certain truncated Fourier transform. In one dimension, the theory of GPSFs (typically referred to as prolate spheroidal wave functions) has a long history and is fairly complete. Furthermore, more recent work has led to the development of numerical algorithms for their computation and use in applications. In this paper we consider the more general problem, extending the one dimensional analysis and algorithms to the case of arbitrary dimension. Specifically, we introduce algorithms for efficient evaluation of GPSFs and their corresponding eigenvalues, quadrature rules for bandlimited functions, formulae for approximation via GPSF expansion, and various analytical properties of GPSFs. We illustrate the numerical and analytical results with several numerical examples.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Mathematical and numerical preliminaries</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Spherical harmonics in $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$	5
2.2	Jacobi polynomials	6
2.3	Zernike polynomials	7
2.4	Numerical evaluation of Zernike polynomials	8
2.5	Modified Zernike polynomials	9
2.6	Prüfer transform	11
2.7	Generalized prolate spheroidal functions	13
2.7.1	Basic facts	13
2.7.2	Eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of $F_c$	14
2.7.3	The dual nature of GPSFs	16
2.7.4	Zernike polynomials and GPSFs	17

<b>3</b>	<b>Analytical apparatus</b>	<b>18</b>
3.1	Properties of GPSFs . . . . .	18
3.2	Decay of the expansion coefficients of GPSFs in Zernike polynomials . . . . .	18
3.3	Tridiagonal nature of $L_{N,c}$ . . . . .	20
<b>4</b>	<b>Numerical evaluation of GPSFs</b>	<b>22</b>
4.1	Numerical evaluation of the single eigenvalue $\beta_{N,i}$ . . . . .	23
4.2	Numerical evaluation of eigenvalues $\beta_{N,0}, \beta_{N,1}, \dots, \beta_{N,k}$ . . . . .	25
<b>5</b>	<b>Quadratures for band-limited functions</b>	<b>28</b>
5.1	Roots of $\Phi_{0,n}$ . . . . .	33
5.2	Generalized Gaussian quadratures for $\Phi_{0,n}$ . . . . .	35
<b>6</b>	<b>Approximation via GPSF expansions</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Numerical experiments</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Appendix A</b>	<b>48</b>
9.1	Properties of the derivatives of GPSFs . . . . .	48
9.2	Derivation of the integral operator $Q_c$ . . . . .	50

# 1 Introduction

Prolate spheroidal wave functions (PSWFs) are the natural basis for representing bandlimited functions on the interval. Much of the theory and numerical machinery for PSWFs in one dimension is fairly complete (see, for example, [29, 25, 28, 11]). Slepian et al. showed in [27] that the so-called Generalized Prolate Spheroidal Functions (GPSFs) are the natural extension of PSWFs in higher dimensions. GPSFs are functions  $\psi_j : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$\lambda_j \psi_j(x) = \int_B \psi_j(t) e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle} dt \tag{1}$$

for some  $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$  where  $B$  denotes the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is referred to as bandlimited with bandlimit  $c > 0$  if there exists a square-integrable function  $\sigma : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$f(x) = \int_B \sigma(t) e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle} dt. \tag{2}$$

Bandlimited functions are encountered in a variety of applications including in signal processing, antenna design, radar, etc. GPSFs have been used in applications including in cryo-EM [19].

Much of the theory and numerical machinery of GPSFs in two dimensions is described in [26]. GPSFs are studied in arbitrary dimension in [31], including analysis and algorithms for evaluating GPSFs and their associated eigenvalues. The numerical methods of [31] are

an extension of the Bouwkamp-type algorithms of [4] to arbitrary dimensions. It is unclear if these algorithms extend stably to the high bandlimit regime. This work is to a large extent an extension to arbitrary dimensions of the analytical and numerical tools introduced in [25] for the 1-dimensional PSWFs and [26] for the and 2-dimensional GPSFs. We introduce algorithms for evaluating GPSFs, quadrature rules for integrating bandlimited functions, and numerical schemes for representing bandlimited functions as GPSF expansions. We also provide numerical machinery for efficient evaluation of eigenvalues  $\lambda_j$  (see (1)). The algorithms of this paper have been implemented in an open source software package.<sup>1</sup>

There are several observations underlying the algorithms and analysis in this paper. First, the truncated Fourier transform operator, (1), when applied to a spherical harmonic expansion separates into a sequence of one-dimensional integral operators applied to the radial components of the spherical harmonic expansion. The eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of those integral operators are also eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the truncated Fourier transform. For many of these integral operators, the magnitude of the large eigenvalues are identical up to machine precision. Thus, standard algorithms (see, e.g., [30]) would be unsuitable for computing their eigendecompositions. Surprisingly, each integral operator commutes with a differential operator that is tridiagonal and symmetric when acting on a basis of Zernike polynomials. Furthermore, the Zernike expansion of a GPSF has exponentially decaying coefficients. These observations can be used to construct numerical algorithms for the stable evaluation of the eigendecomposition of the truncated Fourier transform operator.

The family of functions that we consider in this work, GPSFs, concentrate on the unit ball in both spatial and frequency domains. For functions concentrating on hypercubes in both domains, eigenfunctions of the operator corresponding to (1) are a tensor product of PSWFs. Other work has focused on more general geometries in spatial and frequency domains [16, 15, 22]. These focus on analysis of the spectra of the spatial-limiting and bandlimiting operators.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we provide basic mathematical background that will be used throughout the remainder of the paper. Section 3 contains analytical facts related to the numerical evaluation of GPSFs that will be used in subsequent sections. In Section 4, we describe a numerical scheme for evaluating GPSFs. Section 5 contains a quadrature rule for integrating bandlimited functions. Section 6 includes a numerical scheme for expanding bandlimited functions into GPSF expansions. In Section 7, we provide the numerical results of implementing the quadrature and approximation schemes as well as plots of GPSFs and their eigenvalues. In the appendix, we include miscellaneous technical lemmas relating to GPSFs.

## 2 Mathematical and numerical preliminaries

In this section, we introduce notation and elementary mathematical and numerical facts which will be used in subsequent sections.

In accordance with standard practice, we define the Gamma function,  $\Gamma(x)$ , by the for-

---

<sup>1</sup><https://github.com/pgree/gpsfexps>

mula

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt \quad (3)$$

where  $e$  will denote the base of the natural logarithm. We will be denoting by  $\delta_{i,j}$  the function defined by the formula

$$\delta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

The following is a well-known technical lemma that follows immediately from 5.6.1 in [8]. It will be used in Section 3.2.

**Lemma 2.1** *For any real number  $a > 0$  and for any integer  $n > ae$ ,*

$$\frac{a^n \sqrt{n}}{\Gamma(n+1)} < 1 \quad (5)$$

where  $\Gamma(n)$  is defined in (3).

The following lemma follows immediately from Formula 10.2.2 in [8].

**Lemma 2.2** *For all real numbers  $x \in [0, 1]$ , and for all real numbers  $\nu \geq -1/2$ ,*

$$|J_\nu(x)| \leq \frac{|x/2|^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} \quad (6)$$

where  $J_\nu$  is a Bessel function of the first kind and  $\Gamma(\nu)$  is defined in (3).

The following proposition provides well-known formulas for the volume and area of a  $(p+2)$ -dimensional hypersphere. The formulas can be found in, for example, [20].

**Proposition 2.1** *Suppose that  $S^{p+1}(r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{p+2} : \|x\| = r\}$  denotes the  $(p+1)$ -dimensional hypersphere of radius  $r > 0$ . Suppose further that  $A_{p+2}(r)$  denotes the area of  $S^{p+1}(r)$  and  $V_{p+2}(r)$  denotes the volume enclosed by  $S^{p+1}(r)$ . Then*

$$A_{p+2}(r) = \frac{2\pi^{p/2+1}}{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2}+1)} r^{p+1}, \quad (7)$$

and

$$V_{p+2}(r) = \frac{\pi^{p/2+1}}{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2}+2)} r^{p+2}. \quad (8)$$

## 2.1 Spherical harmonics in $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$

Suppose that  $S^{p+1}$  denotes the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ . Spherical harmonics are a set of real-valued continuous functions on  $S^{p+1}$ , which are orthonormal and complete in  $L^2(S^{p+1})$ . The spherical harmonics of degree  $N \geq 0$  are denoted by  $S_N^1, S_N^2, \dots, S_N^\ell, \dots, S_N^{h(N,p)}: S^{p+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , where  $h(N, p)$ , the degeneracy of degree  $N$ , is defined by,

$$h(N, p) = (2N + p) \frac{(N + p - 1)!}{p! N!}, \quad (9)$$

for all nonnegative integers  $N, p$ .

The following theorem defines the spherical harmonics as the values of certain harmonic, homogeneous polynomials on the sphere (see, for example, [2]).

**Theorem 2.3** *For each spherical harmonic  $S_N^\ell$ , where  $N \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq \ell \leq h(N, p)$  are integers, there exists a polynomial  $K_N^\ell: \mathbb{R}^{p+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which is harmonic, i.e.*

$$\nabla^2 K_N^\ell(x) = 0, \quad (10)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ , and homogeneous of degree  $N$ , i.e.,

$$K_N^\ell(\lambda x) = \lambda^N K_N^\ell(x), \quad (11)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{p+2}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$S_N^\ell(\xi) = K_N^\ell(\xi), \quad (12)$$

for all  $\xi \in S^{p+1}$ .

The following lemma follows immediately from the orthonormality of spherical harmonics and Theorem 2.3.

**Lemma 2.4** *For all  $N > 0$  and for all  $1 \leq \ell \leq h(N, p)$ ,*

$$\int_{S^{p+1}} S_N^\ell(x) dx = 0. \quad (13)$$

For  $N = 0$  and  $\ell = 1$ ,  $S_0^1$  is the constant function defined by the formula

$$S_0^1(x) = [A_{p+2}(1)]^{-1/2} \quad (14)$$

where  $A_{p+2}$  is defined in (7).

The following lemma bounds the  $L^\infty$  norm of  $S_N^\ell$ . A proof can be found in, for example, [9].

**Lemma 2.5** *For all  $x \in S^{p+1}$ , we have*

$$S_N^\ell(x)^2 \leq \frac{h(N, p)}{V_{p+2}} = \frac{\frac{(2N+p)(N+p-1)!}{p! N!}}{\pi^{p/2+1} / \Gamma(p/2 + 2)} \quad (15)$$

where  $V_{p+2}$  denotes the volume of the unit sphere  $S_{p+1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ .

## 2.2 Jacobi polynomials

In this section, we summarize some properties Jacobi polynomials.

Jacobi polynomials, denoted  $P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}$ , are orthogonal polynomials on the interval  $(-1, 1)$  with respect to weight function

$$w(x) = (1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta. \quad (16)$$

Specifically, for all non-negative integers  $n, m$  with  $n \neq m$  and real numbers  $\alpha, \beta > -1$ ,

$$\int_{-1}^1 P_n^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)P_m^{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)(1-x)^\alpha(1+x)^\beta dx = 0 \quad (17)$$

The following lemma, provides a stable recurrence relation that can be used to evaluate a particular class of Jacobi polynomials (see, for example, [1]).

**Lemma 2.6** For any integer  $n \geq 1$  and  $\alpha > -1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n+1}^{(\alpha,0)}(x) &= \frac{(2n+\alpha+1)\alpha^2 + (2n+\alpha)(2n+\alpha+1)(2n+\alpha+2)x}{2(n+1)(n+\alpha+1)(2n+\alpha)} P_n^{(\alpha,0)}(x) \\ &\quad - \frac{2n(n+\alpha)(2n+\alpha+2)}{2(n+1)(n+\alpha+1)(2n+\alpha)} P_{n-1}^{(\alpha,0)}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where

$$P_0^{(\alpha,0)}(x) = 1 \quad (19)$$

and

$$P_1^{(\alpha,0)}(x) = \frac{\alpha + (\alpha + 2)x}{2}. \quad (20)$$

The Jacobi polynomial  $P_n^{(\alpha,0)}$  is defined in (17).

The following two lemmas, which provide a differential equation and a recurrence relation for Jacobi polynomials, can be found in, for example, [1].

**Lemma 2.7** For any integer  $n \geq 2$  and  $\alpha > -1$ ,

$$(1-x^2)P_n^{(\alpha,0)''}(x) + (-\alpha - (\alpha+2)x)P_n^{(\alpha,0)'}(x) + n(n+\alpha+1)P_n^{(\alpha,0)}(x) = 0 \quad (21)$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  where  $P_n^{(\alpha,0)}$  is defined in (17).

**Lemma 2.8** For all  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $x \in (0, 1)$ , and any integer  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$a_{1n}P_{n+1}^{(\alpha,0)} = (a_{2n} + a_{3n}x)P_n^{(\alpha,0)}(x) - a_{4n}P_{n-1}^{(\alpha,0)}(x) \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1n} &= 2(n+1)(n+\alpha+1)(2n+\alpha) \\ a_{2n} &= (2n+\alpha+1)\alpha^2 \\ a_{3n} &= (2n+\alpha)(2n+\alpha+1)(2n+\alpha+2) \\ a_{4n} &= 2n(n+\alpha)(2n+\alpha+2) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_0^{(\alpha,0)}(x) &= 1 \\ P_1^{(\alpha,0)}(x) &= \frac{\alpha + (\alpha + 2)x}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

## 2.3 Zernike polynomials

In this section, we describe properties of Zernike polynomials, which are a family of orthogonal polynomials on the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ . They are the natural basis for representing GPSFs. A more in-depth description of Zernike polynomials, including their properties and relevant numerical algorithms can be found in [14]. In accordance with [27], for the remainder of this paper we denote the dimension by  $p+2$ . Zernike polynomials are defined via the formula

$$Z_{N,n}^\ell(x) = R_{N,n}(\|x\|)S_N^\ell(x/\|x\|), \quad (25)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{p+2}$  such that  $\|x\| \leq 1$ , where  $N$  and  $n$  are nonnegative integers,  $S_N^\ell : S^{p+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\ell = 1, \dots, h(N, p)$ , are the orthonormal spherical harmonics of degree  $N$  (see Section 2.1), and  $R_{N,n}$  are polynomials of degree  $2n + N$  defined via the formula

$$R_{N,n}(r) = r^N \sum_{m=0}^n (-1)^m \binom{n + N + \frac{p}{2}}{m} \binom{n}{m} (r^2)^{n-m} (1 - r^2)^m, \quad (26)$$

for all  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ . Here,  $n$  denotes the radial order of the Zernike polynomials. We note that the spherical harmonics  $S_N^\ell : S^{p+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  depend implicitly on the dimension,  $p+1$ . The polynomials  $R_{N,n}$  satisfy the relation

$$R_{N,n}(1) = 1, \quad (27)$$

and are orthogonal with respect to the weight function  $w(r) = r^{p+1}$ , so that

$$\int_0^1 R_{N,n}(r)R_{N,m}(r)r^{p+1} dr = \frac{\delta_{n,m}}{2(2n + N + \frac{p}{2} + 1)}. \quad (28)$$

We define the polynomials  $\bar{R}_{N,n}$  via the formula

$$\bar{R}_{N,n}(r) = \sqrt{2(2n + N + p/2 + 1)}R_{N,n}(r), \quad (29)$$

so that

$$\int_0^1 (\bar{R}_{N,n}(r))^2 r^{p+1} dx = 1, \quad (30)$$

where  $N$  and  $n$  are nonnegative integers. In an abuse of notation, we refer to both the polynomials  $Z_{N,n}^\ell$  and the radial polynomials  $R_{N,n}$  as Zernike polynomials where the meaning is obvious.

**Remark 2.1** *When  $p = -1$ , Zernike polynomials take the form*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{0,n}^1(x) &= R_{0,n}(|x|) = P_{2n}(x), \\ Z_{1,n}^2(x) &= \text{sgn}(x) \cdot R_{1,n}(|x|) = P_{2n+1}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

for  $-1 \leq x \leq 1$  and nonnegative integer  $n$ , where  $P_n$  denotes the Legendre polynomial of degree  $n$  and

$$\text{sgn}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } x < 0, \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

for all real  $x$ .

**Remark 2.2** When  $p = 0$ , Zernike polynomials take the form

$$Z_{N,n}^1(x_1, x_2) = R_{N,n}(r) \cos(N\theta), \quad (33)$$

$$Z_{N,n}^2(x_1, x_2) = R_{N,n}(r) \sin(N\theta), \quad (34)$$

where  $x_1 = r \cos(\theta)$ ,  $x_2 = r \sin(\theta)$ , and  $N$  and  $n$  are nonnegative integers. In this case, the spherical harmonics,  $S_N^\ell$ , of (25) are  $\sin(N\theta), \cos(N\theta)$  where  $\ell$  distinguishes  $\sin$  from  $\cos$ . The term Zernike polynomials is often used to refer to the Zernike polynomials defined on the unit disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , that is, with  $p = 0$ . This is largely due to their use in optics communities [3]. What we refer to as Zernike polynomials in this paper are their generalization to arbitrary dimension.

The following lemma, which can be found in, for example, [1], shows how Zernike polynomials are related to Jacobi polynomials.

**Lemma 2.9** For all non-negative integers  $N, n$ ,

$$R_{N,n}(r) = (-1)^n r^N P_n^{(N+\frac{n}{2}, 0)}(1 - 2r^2), \quad (35)$$

where  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , and  $P_n^{(\alpha, 0)}$ ,  $\alpha > -1$ , is defined in (26).

## 2.4 Numerical evaluation of Zernike polynomials

In this section, we provide a stable recurrence relation (see Lemma 2.10) that can be used to evaluate Zernike polynomials.

The following lemma follows immediately from applying Lemma 2.9 to (22).

**Lemma 2.10** The polynomials  $R_{N,n}$ , defined in (26) satisfy the recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} R_{N,n+1}(r) = & \\ - \frac{((2n + N + 1)N^2 + (2n + N)(2n + N + 1)(2n + N + 2)(1 - 2r^2))}{2(n + 1)(n + N + 1)(2n + N)} R_{N,n}(r) & \\ - \frac{2n(n + N)(2n + N + 2)}{2(n + 1)(n + N + 1)(2n + N)} R_{N,n-1}(r) & \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ ,  $N$  is a non-negative integer,  $n$  is a positive integer, and

$$R_{N,0}(r) = r^N \quad (37)$$

and

$$R_{N,1}(r) = -r^N \frac{N + (N + 2)(1 - 2r^2)}{2}. \quad (38)$$

**Remark 2.3** The algorithm for evaluating Zernike polynomials using the recurrence relation in Lemma 2.10 is known as Kintner's method (see [17] and, for example, [6]).



## 2.5 Modified Zernike polynomials

In this section, we define the modified Zernike polynomials,  $\bar{T}_{N,n}$  and provide some of their properties. This family of functions will be used in Section 4 for the numerical evaluation of GPSFs.

We define the function  $T_{N,n}$  by the formula

$$T_{N,n}(r) = r^{\frac{p+1}{2}} R_{N,n}(r) \quad (39)$$

where  $N, n$  are non-negative integers. We define  $\bar{T}_{N,n} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the formula,

$$\bar{T}_{N,n}(r) = r^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \bar{R}_{N,n}(r) \quad (40)$$

where  $N, n$  are non-negative integers and  $\bar{R}_{N,n}$  is a normalized Zernike polynomial defined in (29), so that

$$\int_0^1 (\bar{T}_{N,n}(r))^2 dr = 1. \quad (41)$$

**Lemma 2.11** *The functions  $\bar{T}_{N,n}$  are orthonormal on the interval  $(0, 1)$  with respect to weight function  $w(x) = 1$ . That is,*

$$\int_0^1 \bar{T}_{N,n}(r) \bar{T}_{N,m}(r) dr = \delta_{n,m}. \quad (42)$$

**Proof.** Using (40), (28) and (30), for all non-negative integers  $N, n, m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \bar{T}_{N,n}(r) \bar{T}_{N,m}(r) dr &= \int_0^1 r^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \bar{R}_{N,n}(r) r^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \bar{R}_{N,m}(r) dr \\ &= \int_0^1 \bar{R}_{N,n}(r) \bar{R}_{N,m}(r) r^{p+1} dr \\ &= \delta_{n,m} \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

■

The following identity follows immediately from the combination of (40), (35), and (29).

**Lemma 2.12** *For all non-negative integers  $N, n$ ,*

$$\bar{T}_{N,n}(r) = P_n^{(N+p/2,0)}(1-2r^2) r^N (-1)^n \sqrt{2(2n+N+p/2+1)} r^{\frac{p+1}{2}} \quad (44)$$

where  $\bar{T}_{N,n}$  is defined in (40) and  $P_n^{(N+p/2,0)}$  is a Jacobi polynomial defined in (17).

The following observation provides a numerical scheme for computing  $\bar{T}_{N,n}$  and follows immediately from combining Lemma 2.12 with (22).

**Observation 2.4** *The modified Zernike polynomials  $\bar{T}_{N,n}$  can be evaluated by first computing*

$$P_n^{(N+p/2,0)}(1-2r^2) \quad (45)$$

via recurrence relation (22) and then multiplying the resulting number by

$$r^N (-1)^n \sqrt{2(2n+N+p/2+1)} r^{\frac{p+1}{2}}. \quad (46)$$

The following lemma, which provides a differential equation for  $\overline{T}_{N,n}$ , follows immediately from substituting (44) into (21).

**Lemma 2.13** *For all  $r \in [0, 1]$ , non-negative integers  $N, n$  and real  $p \geq -1$ ,*

$$(1 - r^2)\overline{T}_{N,n}''(r) - 2r\overline{T}_{N,n}'(r) + \left( \chi_{N,n} + \frac{\frac{1}{4} - (N + \frac{p}{2})^2}{r^2} \right) \overline{T}_{N,n}(r) = 0 \quad (47)$$

where  $\chi_{N,n}$  is defined by the formula

$$\chi_{N,n} = (N + p/2 + 2n + 1/2)(N + p/2 + 2n + 3/2). \quad (48)$$

The following lemma provides a recurrence relation satisfied by  $\overline{T}_{N,n}$ . It follows immediately from the combination of Lemma 2.12 and (18).

**Lemma 2.14** *For any non-negative integers  $N, n$  and for all  $r \in [0, 1]$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} r^2\overline{T}_{N,n}(r) &= \frac{\sqrt{2(2n + N + p/2 + 1)}}{\sqrt{2(2(n-1) + N + p/2 + 1)}} \frac{a_{4n}}{2a_{3n}} \overline{T}_{N,n-1}(r) \\ &+ \frac{a_{2n} + a_{3n}}{2a_{3n}} \overline{T}_{N,n}(r) \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{2(2n + N + p/2 + 1)}}{\sqrt{2(2(n+1) + N + p/2 + 1)}} \frac{a_{1n}}{2a_{3n}} \overline{T}_{N,n+1}(r) \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

where  $\overline{T}_{N,n}$  is defined in (40) and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1n} &= 2(n+1)(n+N+p/2+1)(2n+N+p/2) \\ a_{2n} &= (2n+N+p/2+1)(N+p/2)^2 \\ a_{3n} &= (2n+N+p/2)(2n+N+p/2+1)(2n+N+p/2+2) \\ a_{4n} &= 2n(n+N+p/2)(2n+N+p/2+2). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

**Proof.** Applying the change of variables  $1 - 2r^2 = x$  to (22) and setting  $\alpha = N + p/2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} r^2 P_n^{(N+p/2,0)}(1-2r^2) &= \frac{a_{2n}}{2a_{3n}} P_n^{(N+p/2,0)}(1-2r^2) + \frac{1}{2} P_n^{(N+p/2,0)}(1-2r^2) \\ &- \frac{a_{4n}}{2a_{3n}} P_{n-1}^{(N+p/2,0)}(1-2r^2) - \frac{a_{1n}}{2a_{3n}} P_{n+1}^{(N+p/2,0)}(1-2r^2). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

Identity (49) follows immediately from the combination of (51) with Lemma 2.12. ■

We define the function  $\tilde{T}_{N,n}$  by the formula

$$\tilde{T}_{N,n}(r) = \frac{\overline{T}_{N,n}(r)}{r^{N+\frac{p+1}{2}}}. \quad (52)$$

where  $N, n$  are non-negative integers and  $r \in (0, 1)$ . We use  $\tilde{T}_{N,n}$  in Section 4.1 in the proof of Theorem 4.4, which provides a formula for efficiently evaluating a single eigenvalue  $\beta_{N,n}$  of the prolate integral operator (see (84)). The following technical lemma involving  $\tilde{T}_{N,n}$  will be used in Section 3.3.

**Lemma 2.15** For all non-negative integers  $N, n$ ,

$$\tilde{T}_{N,n}(0) = \sqrt{2(2n + N + p/2 + 1)}(-1)^n \binom{n + N + p/2}{n}. \quad (53)$$

**Proof.** Combining (40) and (26), we observe that

$$\bar{T}_{N,n}(r) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_{N+k} r^{N + \frac{p+1}{2} + 2k} \quad (54)$$

where  $a_{N+k}$  is some real number for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . In particular, using (26),

$$a_N = \sqrt{2(2n + N + p/2 + 1)}(-1)^n \binom{n + N + p/2}{n}. \quad (55)$$

Combining (52) and (55), we obtain (53). ■

The following lemma follows immediately from (54) and provides a relation that will be used in Section 4.2 for the evaluation of certain eigenvalues.

**Lemma 2.16** Suppose that  $N$  is a nonnegative integer and that  $n \geq 1$  is an integer. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_n r T'_{N,n-1}(r) - \tilde{b}_n r T'_{N,n}(r) + \tilde{c}_n r T'_{N,n+1}(r) \\ = a_n T_{N,n-1}(r) - b_n T_{N,n}(r) + c_n T_{N,n+1}(r), \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

for all  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_n &= -2n(2n + N + p/2 + 2), \\ \tilde{b}_n &= 2(N + p/2)(2n + N + p/2 + 1), \\ \tilde{c}_n &= 2(n + N + p/2 + 1)(2n + N + p/2), \\ a_n &= n(2(N + p/2) + 4n - 1)(2n + N + p/2 + 2), \\ b_n &= (N + p/2)(2n + N + p/2 + 1) - 2(2n + N + p/2)_3, \\ c_n &= (2(N + p/2) + 4n + 5)(n + N + p/2 + 1)(2n + N + p/2), \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

with  $(\cdot)_k$  denoting the Pochhammer symbol or rising factorial.

## 2.6 Prüfer transform

In this section, we describe the Prüfer Transform, which will be used in Section 5.1 in an algorithm for finding the roots of GPSFs. A more detailed description of the Prüfer Transform can be found in [10].

**Lemma 2.17 (Prüfer Transform)** Suppose that the function  $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the differential equation

$$\phi''(x) + \alpha(x)\phi'(x) + \beta(x)\phi(x) = 0, \quad (58)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are differentiable functions. Then,

$$\frac{d\theta}{dx} = -\sqrt{\beta(x)} - \left( \frac{\beta'(x)}{4\beta(x)} + \frac{\alpha(x)}{2} \right) \sin(2\theta), \quad (59)$$

where the function  $\theta : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by the formula,

$$\frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)} = \sqrt{\beta(x)} \tan(\theta(x)). \quad (60)$$

**Proof.** Introducing the notation

$$z(x) = \frac{\phi'(x)}{\phi(x)} \quad (61)$$

for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , and substituting (61) into (58), we obtain,

$$\frac{dz}{dx} = -(z^2(x) + \alpha(x)z(x) + \beta(x)). \quad (62)$$

Introducing the notation,

$$z(x) = \sqrt{\beta(x)} \tan(\theta(x)), \quad (63)$$

with  $\theta$  an unknown function, we differentiate (63) and observe that,

$$\frac{dz}{dx} = \sqrt{\beta(x)} \frac{\theta'}{\cos^2(\theta)} + \frac{\beta'(x)}{2\beta(x)} \tan(\theta(x)). \quad (64)$$

Substituting (63) and (64) into (62) we obtain

$$\frac{d\theta}{dx} = -\sqrt{\beta(x)} - \left( \frac{\beta'(x)}{4\beta(x)} + \frac{\alpha(x)}{2} \right) \sin(2\theta). \quad (65)$$

■

**Remark 2.5** *The Prüfer Transform is often used in algorithms for finding the roots of oscillatory special functions. For instance, suppose that  $\phi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a special function satisfying differential equation (58). It turns out that in most cases, coefficient  $\sqrt{\beta(x)}$  in (58) is significantly larger than*

$$\frac{\beta'(x)}{4\beta(x)} + \frac{\alpha(x)}{2} \quad (66)$$

on the interval  $[a, b]$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are defined in (58).

Under these conditions, the function  $\theta$  (see (60)), is monotone and its derivative neither approaches infinity nor 0. Furthermore, finding the roots of  $\phi$  is equivalent to finding  $x \in [a, b]$  such that

$$\theta(x) = \pi/2 + k\pi \quad (67)$$

for some integer  $k$ .

**Remark 2.6** *If for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , the function  $\sqrt{\beta(x)}$  satisfies*

$$\sqrt{\beta(x)} > \frac{\beta'(x)}{4\beta(x)} + \frac{\alpha(x)}{2}, \quad (68)$$

*then, for all  $x \in [a, b]$ , we have  $\frac{d\theta}{dx} < 0$  (see (59)) and we can view  $x : [-\pi/2, \pi/2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as a function of  $\theta$  where  $x$  satisfies the first order differential equation*

$$\frac{dx}{d\theta} = \left( -\sqrt{\beta(x)} - \left( \frac{\beta'(x)}{4\beta(x)} + \frac{\alpha(x)}{2} \right) \sin(2\theta) \right)^{-1}. \quad (69)$$

## 2.7 Generalized prolate spheroidal functions

### 2.7.1 Basic facts

In this section, we summarize several facts about generalized prolate spheroidal functions (GPSFs). Let  $B$  denote the closed unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ . Given a real number  $c > 0$ , we define the operator  $F_c: L^2(B) \rightarrow L^2(B)$  via the formula

$$F_c[\psi](x) = \int_B \psi(t) e^{ic\langle x, t \rangle} dt, \quad (70)$$

for all  $x \in B$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ . The operator  $F_c$  is compact because its kernel is continuous [18].  $F_c$  is also normal, but not self-adjoint. We denote the eigenvalues of  $F_c$  by  $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \dots$ , and assume that  $|\lambda_j| \geq |\lambda_{j+1}|$  for each non-negative integer  $j$ . For each non-negative integer  $j$ , we denote by  $\psi_j$  the eigenfunction corresponding to  $\lambda_j$ , so that

$$\lambda_j \psi_j(x) = \int_B \psi_j(t) e^{ic\langle x, t \rangle} dt, \quad (71)$$

for all  $x \in B$ . We assume that  $\|\psi_j\|_{L^2(B)} = 1$  for each  $j$ . The following theorem is proved in [27] and describes the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of  $F_c$ .

**Theorem 2.18** *Suppose that  $c > 0$  is a real number and that  $F_c$  is defined by (70). Then the eigenfunctions  $\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n, \dots$  of  $F_c$  are real, orthonormal, and complete in  $L^2(B)$ . For each  $j$ , the eigenfunction  $\psi_j$  is either even, in the sense that  $\psi_j(-x) = \psi_j(x)$  for all  $x \in B$ , or odd, in the sense that  $\psi_j(-x) = -\psi_j(x)$  for all  $x \in B$ . The eigenvalues corresponding to even eigenfunctions are real, and the eigenvalues corresponding to odd eigenfunctions are purely imaginary. The domain on which the eigenfunctions are defined can be extended from  $B$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$  by requiring that (71) hold for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ ; the eigenfunctions will then be orthogonal on  $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$  and complete in the class of band-limited functions with bandlimit  $c$ .*

**Remark 2.7** *The function  $\psi_j$  admits an analytic extension to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  defined by equation (71). In a slight abuse of notation we denote both the function defined on  $B$  and its analytic extension to  $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$  by  $\psi_j(x)$ .*

We define the self-adjoint operator  $Q_c: L^2(B) \rightarrow L^2(B)$  via the formula

$$Q_c = \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^{p+2} F_c^* \cdot F_c. \quad (72)$$

Since  $F_c$  is normal, it follows that  $Q_c$  has the same eigenfunctions as  $F_c$ , and that the  $j$ th eigenvalue  $\mu_j$  of  $Q_c$  is connected to  $\lambda_j$  via the formula

$$\mu_j = \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^{p+2} |\lambda_j|^2. \quad (73)$$

We also observe that

$$Q_c[\psi](x) = \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^{p/2+1} \int_B \frac{J_{p/2+1}(c\|x-t\|)}{\|x-t\|^{p/2+1}} \psi(t) dt, \quad (74)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ , where  $J_\nu$  denotes the Bessel functions of the first kind and  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes Euclidean distance in  $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$  (see Appendix A for a proof).

We observe that

$$Q_c[\psi](x) = \mathbb{1}_B(x) \cdot \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\mathbb{1}_{B(c)}(t) \cdot \mathcal{F}[\psi](t)](x), \quad (75)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}: L^2(\mathbb{R}^{p+2}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$  is the  $(p+2)$ -dimensional Fourier transform,  $B(c)$  denotes the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{p+2} : \|x\| \leq c\}$ , and  $\mathbb{1}_A$  is defined via the formula

$$\mathbb{1}_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A. \end{cases} \quad (76)$$

From (75) it follows that  $\mu_j < 1$  for all  $j$ .

We observe further that  $Q_c$  is closely related to the operator  $P_c: L^2(\mathbb{R}^{p+2}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^{p+2})$ , defined via the formula

$$P_c[\psi](x) = \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^{p/2+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p+2}} \frac{J_{p/2+1}(c\|x-t\|)}{\|x-t\|^{p/2+1}} \psi(t) dt, \quad (77)$$

which is the orthogonal projection onto the space of bandlimited functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$  with bandlimit  $c > 0$ .

### 2.7.2 Eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of $F_c$

In this section we describe the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the operator  $F_c$ , defined in (70). Suppose that  $\psi$  is some eigenfunction of the integral operator  $F_c$ , with corresponding complex eigenvalue  $\lambda$ , so that

$$\lambda\psi(x) = \int_B \psi(t) e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle} dt, \quad (78)$$

for all  $x \in B$  (see Theorem 2.18).

**Observation 2.8** *The operator  $F_c$ , defined by (70), is spherically symmetric in the sense that, for any  $(p+2) \times (p+2)$  orthogonal matrix  $U$ ,  $F_c$  commutes with the operator  $\hat{U}: L^2(B) \rightarrow L^2(B)$ , defined via the formula*

$$\hat{U}[\psi](x) = \psi(Ux), \quad (79)$$

for all  $x \in B$ . Hence, the problem of finding the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of  $F_c$  is amenable to the separation of variables.

This separation implies that the eigenfunctions may be expressed as

$$\psi(x) = \Phi_N^\ell(\|x\|)S_N^\ell(x/\|x\|), \quad (80)$$

where  $S_N^\ell$ ,  $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, h(N, p)$  denotes the spherical harmonics of degree  $N$  (see Section 2.1), and  $\Phi_N^\ell(r)$  is a real-valued function defined on the interval  $[0, 1]$ . We observe that

$$e^{ic\langle x, t \rangle} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{h(N, p)} i^N (2\pi)^{p/2+1} \frac{J_{N+p/2}(c\|x\|\|t\|)}{(c\|x\|\|t\|)^{p/2}} S_N^\ell(x/\|x\|) S_N^\ell(t/\|t\|), \quad (81)$$

where  $x, t \in B$ , and where  $J_\nu$  denotes the Bessel functions of the first kind (see Section VII of [27] for a proof). Equation (81) can be viewed as a Jacobi-Anger expansion in arbitrary dimension [7]. Substituting (80) and (81) into (78), we find that

$$\lambda \Phi_N^\ell(r) = i^N (2\pi)^{p/2+1} \int_0^1 \frac{J_{N+p/2}(cr\rho)}{(cr\rho)^{p/2}} \Phi_N^\ell(\rho) \rho^{p+1} d\rho, \quad (82)$$

for all  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ . We define the operator  $H_{N,c}: L^2([0, 1], \rho^{p+1} d\rho) \rightarrow L^2([0, 1], \rho^{p+1} d\rho)$  via the formula

$$H_{N,c}[\Phi](r) = \int_0^1 \frac{J_{N+p/2}(cr\rho)}{(cr\rho)^{p/2}} \Phi(\rho) \rho^{p+1} d\rho, \quad (83)$$

where  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , and observe that  $H_{N,c}$  is self-adjoint and compact (its kernel is continuous), and does not depend on  $\ell$ . Dropping the index  $\ell$ , we denote by  $\beta_{N,0}, \beta_{N,1}, \dots, \beta_{N,n}, \dots$  the eigenvalues of  $H_{N,c}$ , and assume that  $|\beta_{N,n}| \geq |\beta_{N,n+1}|$  for each nonnegative integer  $n$ . For each nonnegative integer  $n$ , we let  $\Phi_{N,n}$  denote the eigenfunction corresponding to eigenvalue  $\beta_{N,n}$ , so that

$$\beta_{N,n} \Phi_{N,n}(r) = \int_0^1 \frac{J_{N+p/2}(cr\rho)}{(cr\rho)^{p/2}} \Phi_{N,n}(\rho) \rho^{p+1} d\rho, \quad (84)$$

for all  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ . The eigenfunctions  $\Phi_{N,n}$  are purely real, and we assume that  $\|\Phi_{N,n}\|_{L^2([0,1], \rho^{p+1} d\rho)} = 1$  and that  $\Phi_{N,n}(1) > 0$  for each nonnegative integer  $N$  and  $n$  (see Theorem 9.6). It follows from (84) and (82) that the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of  $F_c$  are given by the formulas

$$\psi_{N,n}^\ell(x) = \Phi_{N,n}(\|x\|)S_N^\ell(x/\|x\|), \quad (85)$$

and

$$\lambda_{N,n}^\ell = i^N (2\pi)^{p/2+1} \beta_{N,n}, \quad (86)$$

respectively, where  $x \in B$ ,  $N$  and  $n$  are nonnegative integers, and  $\ell$  is an integer so that  $1 \leq \ell \leq h(N, p)$  (see Section 2.1). We note in formula (86) the expected degeneracy of eigenvalues due to the spherical symmetry of the integral operator  $F_c$  (see Observation 2.8); we denote  $\lambda_{N,n}^\ell$  by  $\lambda_{N,n}$  where the meaning is clear.

**Observation 2.9** *The domain on which the functions  $\Phi_{N,n}$  are defined may be extended from the interval  $[0, 1]$  to the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  by requiring that (78) hold for all  $r \in \mathbb{C}$ . Moreover, the functions  $\Phi_{N,n}$ , extended in this way, are entire.*

### 2.7.3 The dual nature of GPSFs

In this section, we observe that the eigenfunctions  $\Phi_{N,0}, \Phi_{N,1}, \dots, \Phi_{N,n}, \dots$  of the integral operator  $H_{N,c}$ , defined in (83), are also the eigenfunctions of a certain differential operator.

Let  $\beta_{N,n}$  denote the eigenvalue corresponding to the eigenfunction  $\Phi_{N,n}$ , for all nonnegative integers  $N$  and  $n$ , so that

$$\beta_{N,n} \Phi_{N,n}(r) = \int_0^1 \frac{J_{N+p/2}(cr\rho)}{(cr\rho)^{p/2}} \Phi_{N,n}(\rho) \rho^{p+1} d\rho, \quad (87)$$

where  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ ,  $N$  and  $n$  are nonnegative integers, and  $J_\nu$  denotes the Bessel functions of the first kind (see (84)). Making the substitutions

$$\varphi_{N,n}(r) = r^{(p+1)/2} \Phi_{N,n}(r), \quad (88)$$

and

$$\gamma_{N,n} = c^{(p+1)/2} \beta_{N,n}, \quad (89)$$

we observe that

$$\gamma_{N,n} \varphi_{N,n}(r) = \int_0^1 J_{N+p/2}(cr\rho) \sqrt{cr\rho} \varphi_{N,n}(\rho) d\rho, \quad (90)$$

where  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , and  $N$  and  $n$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers. We define the operator  $M_{N,c}: L^2([0, 1]) \rightarrow L^2([0, 1])$  via the formula

$$M_{N,c}[\varphi](r) = \int_0^1 J_{N+p/2}(cr\rho) \sqrt{cr\rho} \varphi(\rho) d\rho, \quad (91)$$

where  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , and  $N$  is an arbitrary nonnegative integer.  $M_{N,n}$  is self-adjoint and since its kernel is continuous,  $M_{N,c}$  is compact. The eigenvalues of  $M_{N,c}$  are  $\gamma_{N,0}, \gamma_{N,1}, \dots, \gamma_{N,n}, \dots$ , and  $\varphi_{N,n}$  is the eigenfunction corresponding to eigenvalue  $\gamma_{N,n}$ , for each nonnegative integer  $n$ .

We define the differential operator  $L_{N,c}$  via the formula

$$L_{N,c}[\varphi](x) = \frac{d}{dx} \left( (1-x^2) \frac{d\varphi}{dx}(x) \right) + \left( \frac{\frac{1}{4} - (N + \frac{p}{2})^2}{x^2} - c^2 x^2 \right) \varphi(x), \quad (92)$$

where  $0 < x < 1$ ,  $N$  is a nonnegative integer, and  $\varphi$  is twice continuously differentiable. Let  $C$  be the class of functions  $\varphi$  which are bounded and twice continuously differentiable on the interval  $(0, 1)$ , such that  $\varphi'(0) = 0$  if  $p = -1$  and  $N = 0$ , and  $\varphi(0) = 0$  otherwise. Then it is easy to show that, operating on functions in class  $C$ ,  $L_{N,c}$  is self-adjoint. From Sturmian theory we obtain the following theorem (see [27]).



**Theorem 2.19** *Suppose that  $c > 0$ ,  $N$  is a nonnegative integer, and  $L_{N,c}$  is defined via (92). Then there exists a strictly increasing unbounded sequence of positive numbers  $\chi_{N,0} < \chi_{N,1} < \dots$  such that for each nonnegative integer  $n$ , the differential equation*

$$L_{N,c}[\varphi](x) + \chi_{N,n}\varphi(x) = 0 \quad (93)$$

*has a solution which is bounded and twice continuously differentiable on the interval  $(0, 1)$ , so that  $\varphi'(0) = 0$  if  $p = -1$  and  $N = 0$ , and  $\varphi(0) = 0$  otherwise.*

Generalized prolate spheroidal functions (GPSFs) were defined in [27] as functions  $\varphi$  satisfying equation (93).

The following theorem is proved in [27].

**Theorem 2.20** *Suppose that  $c > 0$ ,  $N$  is a nonnegative integer, and the operators  $M_{N,c}$  and  $L_{N,c}$  are defined via (91) and (92) respectively. Suppose also that  $\varphi: (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is in  $L^2([0, 1])$ , is twice differentiable, and that  $\varphi'(0) = 0$  if  $p = -1$  and  $N = 0$ , and  $\varphi(0) = 0$  otherwise. Then*

$$L_{N,c}[M_{N,c}[\varphi]](x) = M_{N,c}[L_{N,c}[\varphi]](x), \quad (94)$$

for all  $0 < x < 1$ .

**Remark 2.10** *Since Theorem 2.19 shows that the eigenvalues of  $L_{N,c}$  are not degenerate, Theorem 2.20 implies that  $L_{N,c}$  and  $M_{N,c}$  have the same eigenfunctions.*

#### 2.7.4 Zernike polynomials and GPSFs

In this section we describe the relationship between Zernike polynomials and GPSFs. We use  $\varphi_{N,n}^c$ , where  $c > 0$  and  $N$  and  $n$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers, to denote the  $n$ th eigenfunction of  $L_{N,c}$ , defined in (92); we denote by  $\chi_{N,n}(c)$  the eigenvalue corresponding to eigenfunction  $\varphi_{N,n}^c$ .

For  $c = 0$ , the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the differential operator  $L_{N,c}$ , defined in (92), are

$$\overline{T}_{N,n}(r) \quad (95)$$

and

$$\chi_{N,n}(0) = (N + \frac{p}{2} + 2n + \frac{1}{2})(N + \frac{p}{2} + 2n + \frac{3}{2}), \quad (96)$$

respectively (see Lemma 2.13), where  $\overline{T}_{N,n}$  is defined in (40),  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , and  $N, n$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers.

For small  $c > 0$ , the connection between Zernike polynomials and GPSFs is given by the formulas

$$\varphi_{N,n}^c(r) = \overline{T}_{N,n}(r) + o(c^2), \quad (97)$$

and

$$\chi_{N,n}(c) = \chi_{N,n}(0) + o(c^2), \quad (98)$$

as  $c \rightarrow 0$ , where  $0 \leq r \leq 1$  and  $N$  and  $n$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers (see [27]).

For  $c > 0$ , the functions  $T_{N,n}$  are also related to the integral operator  $M_{N,c}$ , defined in (91), via the formula

$$M_{N,c}[T_{N,n}](x) = \int_0^1 J_{N+p/2}(cxy) \sqrt{cxy} T_{N,n}(y) dy = \frac{(-1)^n J_{N+p/2+2n+1}(cx)}{\sqrt{cx}}, \quad (99)$$

where  $x \geq 0$  and  $N$  and  $n$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers (see Equation (85) in [14]).

### 3 Analytical apparatus

In this section, we provide analytical apparatus relating to GPSFs that will be used in numerical schemes in subsequent sections.

#### 3.1 Properties of GPSFs

The following theorem provides a formula for the ratios of eigenvalues  $\beta_{N,n}$  (see (84)), and is used in the numerical evaluation of  $\beta_{N,n}$ . A proof follows immediately from Theorem 7.1 of [25].

**Theorem 3.1** *Suppose that  $N$  is a nonnegative integer. Then*

$$\frac{\beta_{N,m}}{\beta_{N,n}} = \frac{\int_0^1 x \Phi'_{N,n}(x) \Phi_{N,m}(x) x^{p+1} dx}{\int_0^1 x \Phi'_{N,m}(x) \Phi_{N,n}(x) x^{p+1} dx}, \quad (100)$$

for each nonnegative integers  $n$  and  $m$ .

#### 3.2 Decay of the expansion coefficients of GPSFs in Zernike polynomials

Since the functions  $\Phi_{N,n}$  are analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$  for all nonnegative integers  $N$  and  $n$  (see Observation 2.9), and  $\Phi_{N,n}^{(k)}(0) = 0$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$  (see Theorem 9.5), the functions  $\Phi_{N,n}$  are representable by a series of Zernike polynomials of the form

$$\Phi_{N,n}(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} \bar{R}_{N,k}(r), \quad (101)$$

for all  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ , where  $a_{n,0}, a_{n,1}, \dots$  satisfy

$$a_{n,k} = \int_0^1 \bar{R}_{N,k}(r) \Phi_{N,n}(r) dr \quad (102)$$

where  $\bar{R}_{N,n}$  is defined in (29). The following technical lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.3.

**Lemma 3.2** For any integer  $p \geq -1$ , for all  $c > 0$ , and for all  $\rho \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\left| \int_0^1 \frac{J_{N+p/2}(c\rho)}{(c\rho)^{p/2}} \overline{R}_{N,k}(r) r^{p+1} dr \right| < \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{N+p/2+2k+1} \quad (103)$$

for any non-negative integers  $N, k$  such that  $N + 2k \geq ec$  where  $\overline{R}_{N,n}$  is defined in (29) and  $J_{N+p/2}$  is a Bessel function of the first kind.

**Proof.** According to equation (85) in [14],

$$\int_0^1 \frac{J_{N+p/2}(c\rho)}{(c\rho)^{p/2}} R_{N,k}(r) r^{p+1} dr = \frac{(-1)^n J_{N+p/2+2k+1}(c\rho)}{(c\rho)^{p/2+1}}, \quad (104)$$

where  $J_{N+p/2}$  is a Bessel function of the first kind. Applying Lemma 2.2 to (104), we obtain

$$\left| \int_0^1 \frac{J_{N+p/2}(c\rho)}{(c\rho)^{p/2}} \overline{R}_{N,k}(r) r^{p+1} dr \right| \leq \frac{(c\rho/2)^{N+p/2+2k+1} \sqrt{2(N+p/2+2k+1)}}{(c\rho)^{p/2+1} \Gamma(N+p/2+2k+2)}. \quad (105)$$

Combining Lemma 2.1 and (105), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 \frac{J_{N+p/2}(c\rho)}{(c\rho)^{p/2}} \overline{R}_{N,k}(r) r^{p+1} dr \right| &\leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{N+p/2+2k+1} (c\rho)^{N+2k} \frac{\sqrt{2(2k+N)}}{\Gamma(2k+N+1)} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{N+p/2+2k+1} \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

for  $N + 2k \geq ec$ . ■

The following theorem shows that the coefficients  $a_{N,k}$  of GPSFs in a Zernike polynomial basis decay exponentially and establishes a bound for the decay rate.

**Theorem 3.3** For all non-negative integers  $N, n, k$  and for all  $c > 0$ ,

$$\left| \int_0^1 \Phi_{N,n}(r) \overline{R}_{N,k} r^{p+1} dr \right| < (p+2)^{-1/2} (\beta_{N,n})^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{N+p/2+2k+1} \quad (107)$$

where  $N + 2k \geq ec$ .

**Proof.** Combining (87) and (102), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^1 \Phi_{N,n}(r) \overline{R}_{N,k} r^{p+1} dr \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 (\beta_{N,n})^{-1} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{J_{N+p/2}(c\rho)}{(c\rho)^{p/2}} \Phi_{N,n}(\rho) \rho^{p+1} d\rho \right) \overline{R}_{N,k}(r) r^{p+1} dr \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

Changing the order of integration of (108),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^1 \Phi_{N,n}(r) \overline{R}_{N,k} r^{p+1} dr \right| \\ &= (\beta_{N,n})^{-1} \int_0^1 \left| \Phi_{N,n}(\rho) \rho^{p+1} \right| \left| \int_0^1 \frac{J_{N+p/2}(c\rho)}{(c\rho)^{p/2}} \overline{R}_{N,k}(r) r^{p+1} dr \right| d\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

Applying Lemma 3.2 to (109) and applying Cauchy-Schwarz, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 \Phi_{N,n}(r) \overline{R}_{N,k} r^{p+1} dr \right| &\leq (\beta_{N,n})^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{N+p/2+2k+1} \int_0^1 \Phi_{N,n}(r) r^{p+1} dr \\ &\leq (2p+3)^{-1/2} (\beta_{N,n})^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{N+p/2+2k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

for  $N + 2k \geq ec$ . ■

In the following remark we summarize the proof of Theorem 3.3.

**Remark 3.1** *The preceding proof bounds  $|\langle \Phi_{N,n}, \overline{R}_{N,n} \rangle_{r^{p+1}}|$ , by first observing that*

$$\Phi_{N,n} = \beta_{N,n}^{-1} H_{N,c}[\Phi_{N,n}] \quad (111)$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{r^{p+1}}$  denotes the  $L^2$  inner product with weight function  $r^{p+1}$ . We then use that  $H_{N,c}$  is self-adjoint to obtain

$$|\langle \Phi_{N,n}, \overline{R}_{N,n} \rangle_{r^{p+1}}| = \beta_{N,n}^{-1} |\langle H_{N,c}[\overline{R}_{N,n}], \Phi_{N,n} \rangle_{r^{p+1}}|. \quad (112)$$

Next, we substitute an  $L^\infty$  bound on  $H_{N,c}[\overline{R}_{N,n}]$  (Lemma 3.2) to obtain

$$\langle \Phi_{N,n}, \overline{R}_{N,n} \rangle \leq \beta_{N,n}^{-1} \|H_{N,c}[\overline{R}_{N,n}]\|_\infty \langle |\Phi_{N,n}|, r^{p+1} \rangle \quad (113)$$

where the inner product in (113) is the usual  $L^2$  inner product. The result follows immediately from a bound on  $\|H_{N,c}[\overline{R}_{N,n}]\|_\infty$  and applying Cauchy-Schwarz to  $\langle |\Phi_{N,n}|, r^{p+1} \rangle$ .

### 3.3 Tridiagonal nature of $L_{N,c}$

In this section, we show that in the basis of  $\overline{T}_{N,n}$  (see (40)), the matrix representing differential operator  $L_{N,c}$  (see (92)) is symmetric and tridiagonal. A similar set of observations is made in Section 4 of [29] for the purpose of computing with prolate spheroidal wave functions defined on the interval. This section generalizes several of those observations to arbitrary dimensions.

The following lemma provides an identity relating the differential operator  $L_{N,c}$  to  $\overline{T}_{N,n}$ .

**Lemma 3.4** *For all non-negative integers  $N, n$  and real numbers  $c > 0$*

$$L_{N,c}[\overline{T}_{N,n}] = -\chi_{N,n} \overline{T}_{N,n}(x) - c^2 x^2 \overline{T}_{N,n}(x) \quad (114)$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  where  $\chi_{N,n}$  is defined in (48) and  $L_{N,c}$  is defined in (92).

**Proof.** Applying  $L_{N,c}$  to  $\overline{T}_{N,n}$ , we obtain

$$L_{N,c}[\overline{T}_{N,n}](x) = (1 - x^2) \overline{T}_{N,n}''(x) - 2x \overline{T}_{N,n}'(x) + \left( \frac{\frac{1}{4} - (N + \frac{p}{2})^2}{x^2} - c^2 x^2 \right) \overline{T}_{N,n}(x). \quad (115)$$

Identity (114) follows immediately from the combination of (47) and (115). ■

The following theorem follows readily from the combination of Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 2.14.

**Theorem 3.5** For any non-negative integer  $N$ , any integer  $n \geq 1$ , and for all  $r \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$L_{N,c}[\overline{T}_{N,n}] = a_n \overline{T}_{N,n-1}(r) + b_n \overline{T}_{N,n}(r) + c_n \overline{T}_{N,n+1}(r) \quad (116)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \frac{-c^2(n + N + p/2)n}{(2n + N + p/2)\sqrt{2n + N + p/2 + 1}\sqrt{2n + N + p/2 - 1}} \\ b_n &= \frac{-c^2(N + p/2)^2}{2(2n + N + p/2)(2n + N + p/2 + 2)} - \frac{c^2}{2} + \chi_{N,n} \\ c_n &= \frac{-c^2(n + 1 + N + p/2)(n + 1)}{(2n + N + p/2 + 2)\sqrt{2n + N + p/2 + 3}\sqrt{2n + N + p/2 + 1}} \end{aligned} \quad (117)$$

and  $\chi_{N,n}$  is defined in (48).

**Observation 3.2** It follows immediately from Theorem 3.5 that the matrix corresponding to the differential operator  $L_{N,c}$  acting on the  $\overline{T}_{N,n}$  basis is symmetric and tridiagonal. Specifically, for any positive integer  $n$  and for all  $r \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_0 & c_0 & & & & & 0 \\ c_0 & b_1 & c_1 & & & & \\ & c_1 & b_2 & c_2 & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & c_{n-2} & b_{n-1} & c_{n-1} & \\ 0 & & & & c_{n-1} & b_n & \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{T}_{N,0}(r) \\ \vdots \\ \overline{T}_{N,n}(r) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ c_n \overline{T}_{N,n+1}(r) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{T}_{N,0}(r) \\ \vdots \\ \overline{T}_{N,n}(r) \end{bmatrix} \quad (118)$$

where  $b_k$  and  $c_k$  are defined in (117) and  $\overline{T}_{N,k}$  is defined in (40).

**Observation 3.3** Let  $A$  be the infinite symmetric tridiagonal matrix satisfying  $A_{1,1} = b_0$ ,  $A_{1,2} = c_0$  and for all integers  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k,k-1} &= c_{k-1} \\ A_{k,k} &= b_k \\ A_{k,k+1} &= c_k, \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

where  $b_k, c_k$  are defined in (117). That is,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} b_0 & c_0 & & & \\ c_0 & b_1 & c_1 & & \\ & c_1 & b_2 & c_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}. \quad (120)$$

Suppose further that we define the infinite vector  $a_n$  by the equation

$$a_n = (a_{n,0}, a_{n,1}, \dots)^T, \quad (121)$$

where  $a_{n,k}$  is defined in (102). By the combination of Theorem 2.20 and Remark 2.10, we know that  $\varphi_{N,n}$  is the eigenfunction corresponding to  $\chi_{N,n}(c)$ , the  $n$ th smallest eigenvalue of differential operator  $L_{N,c}$ . Therefore,

$$Aa_n = \chi_{N,n}(c)a_n. \quad (122)$$

Furthermore, the  $a_{n,k}$  decay exponentially in  $k$  (see Theorem 3.3).

**Remark 3.4** The eigenvalues  $\chi_{N,n}$  of differential operator  $L_{N,c}$  and the coefficients in the Zernike expansion of the eigenfunctions  $\Phi_{N,n}$  can be computed numerically to high relative precision by the following process. First, we reduce the infinite dimensional matrix  $A$  (see (120)) to  $A_K$ , its upperleft  $K \times K$  submatrix where  $K$  is chosen, using Theorem 3.3, so that  $a_{n,K-1}$  is smaller than machine precision and is in the regime of exponential decay. We can then use standard algorithms to find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of matrix  $A_K$ . See Algorithm 4.1 for a more detailed description of the algorithm. We use this approach because discretizing integral operator  $H_{N,c}$  (see (83)) would lead to nearly degenerate eigenvalues and thus the unstable evaluation of eigenfunctions.

## 4 Numerical evaluation of GPSFs

In this section, we describe an algorithm (Algorithm 4.1) for the evaluation of  $\Phi_{N,n}(r)$  (see (84)) for all  $r \in [0, 1]$ .

**Algorithm 4.1 (Evaluation of  $\Phi_{N,n}$ )**

1. Use Theorem 3.3 to determine  $K$  of Remark 3.4, the number of terms needed in a Zernike expansion of  $\Phi_{N,n}$  to achieve some desired precision.
2. Generate  $A_K$ , the symmetric, tri-diagonal, upper-left  $K \times K$  sub-matrix of  $A$  (see (120)).
3. Use an eigensolver to find the eigenvector,  $\tilde{a}_n$ , corresponding to the  $n + 1^{\text{th}}$  largest eigenvalue,  $\tilde{\chi}_{N,n}$ . That is, find  $\tilde{a}_n$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_{N,n}$  such that

$$A_K \tilde{a}_n = \tilde{\chi}_{N,n} \tilde{a}_n \quad (123)$$

where we define the components of  $\tilde{a}_{N,n}$  by the formula,

$$\tilde{a}_n = (a_{n,0}, a_{n,1}, \dots, a_{n,K-1}). \quad (124)$$

4. Evaluate  $\Phi_{N,n}(r)$  by the expansion

$$\Phi_{N,n}(r) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_{n,i} \overline{R}_{N,i}(r) \quad (125)$$

where,  $\overline{R}_{N,i}$  is evaluated via Lemma 2.10 and  $a_{n,i}$  are the components of eigenvector (124) recovered in Step 3.

**Remark 4.1** *It turns out that because of the structure of  $A_K$ , standard numerical algorithms (for example, the inverse power method) compute the components of eigenvector  $\tilde{a}_n$  (see (124)), and thus the coefficients of a GPSF in a Zernike expansion, to high relative precision. In particular, the components of  $\tilde{a}_n$  that are of magnitude far less than machine precision are computed to high relative precision. For example, when using double-precision arithmetic, a component of  $\tilde{a}_n$  of magnitude  $10^{-100}$  will be computed in absolute precision to 116 digits. This fact is proved in a more general setting in [24].*

#### 4.1 Numerical evaluation of the single eigenvalue $\beta_{N,i}$

In this section, we describe a numerical method to evaluate the eigenvalue  $\beta_{N,n}$  (see (84)) for fixed  $n$  to high relative precision.

The following is a technical lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.4.

**Lemma 4.1** *For all non-negative integers  $N, k$ ,*

$$\int_0^1 r^N \varphi_{N,k}(r) r^{\frac{p+1}{2}} dr = \frac{a_{k,0}}{\sqrt{2N+p+2}} \quad (126)$$

where  $\varphi_{N,k}$  is defined in (88) and  $p \geq -1$  is an integer.

**Proof.** Using (26),

$$\int_0^1 r^N \varphi_{N,k}(r) r^{\frac{p+1}{2}} dr = \int_0^1 R_{N,0}(r) \varphi_{N,k}(r) r^{\frac{p+1}{2}} dr \quad (127)$$

Applying (102) and (40) to (127), we obtain

$$\int_0^1 r^N \varphi_{N,k}(r) r^{\frac{p+1}{2}} dr = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2N+p+2}} \int_0^1 \bar{T}_{N,0}(r) \varphi_{N,k}(r) dr = \frac{a_{k,0}}{\sqrt{2N+p+2}}. \quad (128)$$

■

We will denote by  $\tilde{\varphi}_{N,n}(r)$  the function on  $[0, 1]$  defined by the formula

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{N,n}(r) = \frac{\varphi_{N,n}(r)}{r^{N+\frac{p+1}{2}}} \quad (129)$$

where  $N, n$  are non-negative integers. We introduce  $\tilde{\varphi}_{N,n}$  to remove the leading power of  $\varphi_{N,n}$  at the origin to make its value non-zero.

The following identity will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.4.

**Lemma 4.2** *For all non-negative integers  $N, k$ ,*

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{N,k}(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{k,i} \sqrt{2(2i+N+p/2+1)} (-1)^i \binom{i+N+p/2}{i}. \quad (130)$$

where  $\tilde{\varphi}_{N,k}$  is defined in (129) and  $a_{k,i}$  is defined in (102).

**Proof.** Combining (129) and (52), we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{N,k}(r) = \frac{\varphi_{N,k}(r)}{r^{N+\frac{p+1}{2}}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{k,i} \frac{\overline{T}_{N,i}(r)}{r^{N+\frac{p+1}{2}}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{k,i} \tilde{T}_{N,i}(r) \quad (131)$$

where  $\tilde{T}_{N,n}$  is defined in (52) and  $\overline{T}_{N,n}$  is defined in (40). Identity (130) follows immediately from applying Lemma 2.15 to (131) and setting  $r = 0$ . ■

**Lemma 4.3** *For all non-negative integers  $N$  and  $p \geq -1$ ,*

$$M_{N,c}[\tilde{\varphi}_{N,k}](0) = a_{k,0} c^{N+\frac{p+1}{2}} (2^{N+p/2} \Gamma(N+p/2+1) \sqrt{2N+p+2})^{-1} \quad (132)$$

where  $M_{N,c}$  is defined in (91),  $\tilde{\varphi}_{N,k}$  is defined in (129), and  $a_{k,0}$  is defined in (102).

**Proof.** The Bessel function of the first kind  $J_{N+p/2}$  is given by

$$J_{N+p/2}(cr\rho) = \left(\frac{cr\rho}{2}\right)^{N+p/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-cr\rho)^2/4)^k}{k! \Gamma(N+p/2+k+1)} \quad (133)$$

where  $\Gamma(n)$  is the gamma function (see formula 10.2.2 of [8]). Dividing both sides of (91) by  $r^{N+\frac{p+1}{2}}$ , we obtain the equation

$$M_{N,c}[\tilde{\varphi}_{N,k}] = \int_0^1 \frac{J_{N+p/2}(cr\rho)}{r^{N+\frac{p}{2}}} \sqrt{c\rho} \varphi_{N,k}(\rho) d\rho \quad (134)$$

where  $\tilde{\varphi}_{N,k}$  is defined in (129). Setting  $r = 0$  in (134) and substituting in (133), we obtain

$$M_{N,c}[\tilde{\varphi}_{N,k}](0) = \int_0^1 \left(\frac{c\rho}{2}\right)^{N+p/2} \frac{(c\rho)^{1/2}}{\Gamma(N+p/2+1)} \varphi_{N,k}(\rho) d\rho \quad (135)$$

Equation (132) follows immediately from applying Lemma 4.1 and (89) to (135). ■

The following theorem provides a formula that can be used to compute  $\beta_{N,n}$  (see (89)), an eigenvalue of integral operator  $H_{N,c}$  (see (83)). It follows immediately from combining (89), (90), (91), (132), and (130).

**Theorem 4.4** *For all  $c > 0$ ,  $p \geq -1$ , and non-negative integers  $N, k$ ,*

$$\beta_{N,k} = c^{-\frac{p+1}{2}} \frac{M[\tilde{\varphi}_{N,k}](0)}{\tilde{\varphi}_{N,k}(0)} = \frac{a_{k,0} c^N (2^{N+p/2} \Gamma(N+p/2+1) \sqrt{2N+p+2})^{-1}}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{k,i} \sqrt{2(2i+N+p/2+1)} (-1)^i \binom{i+N+p/2}{i}} \quad (136)$$

where  $M_{N,c}$  is defined in (91),  $\beta_{N,k}$  is defined in (87) and  $a_{k,i}$  is defined in (102).



**Remark 4.2** For any non-negative integers  $N, k$ , the eigenvalue  $\beta_{N,k}$  can be evaluated stably by first using Algorithm 4.1 to compute the eigenvector  $\tilde{a}_k$  (see (124)), and then evaluating  $\beta_{N,k}$  via sum (136) where  $\tilde{a}_k$  are approximations to  $a_k$ . In (136), the sum

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{k,i} \sqrt{2(2i + N + p/2 + 1)} (-1)^i \binom{i + N + p/2}{i} \quad (137)$$

can be computed to high relative precision by truncating the sum at a point when the next term is no more than machine precision times the sum up to that point. The eigenvalue  $\beta_{N,k}$  can be evaluated to high relative precision even when it is of magnitude far less than machine precision if the components of eigenvector  $a_k$  are evaluated to high relative precision (see Remark 4.1).

**Remark 4.3** Theorem 4.4 is a generalization to arbitrary dimension of equations (10.15) and (10.16) of [25], which allow for efficiently evaluating a single eigenvalue corresponding to the one-dimensional prolate spheroidal wave functions.

## 4.2 Numerical evaluation of eigenvalues $\beta_{N,0}, \beta_{N,1}, \dots, \beta_{N,k}$

In this section, we describe an algorithm (Algorithm 4.2) for numerically evaluating the eigenvalues  $\beta_{N,0}, \beta_{N,1}, \dots, \beta_{N,k}$  (see (84)) for any non-negative integers  $N, k$ . It is possible to use the tools of Section 4.1 to evaluate each of the eigenvalues  $\beta_{N,0}, \beta_{N,1}, \dots, \beta_{N,k}$  one at a time. However, the algorithm we introduce in this section, Algorithm 4.2, is more efficient for this task.

**Observation 4.4** Here we show that for all non-negative integers  $N, K$  and  $x_0, \dots, x_K \in \mathbb{R}$ , equation (56) can be used to stably and efficiently construct  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_K$  such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^K \alpha_i \bar{T}_{N,i}(r) \quad (138)$$

is an accurate approximation of

$$\sum_{i=0}^K x_i r \bar{T}'_{N,i}(r) \quad (139)$$

for all  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ . The approximation can be constructed as follows. Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $x_0, \dots, x_K$  be a sequence of real numbers such that

$$\sum_{i=K_1+1}^K |x_k| < \epsilon \quad (140)$$

where  $0 \leq K_1 \leq K$ . Using (40) and (29), we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^K x_i \bar{T}_{N,n}(r) = \sum_{i=0}^K \alpha_i T_{N,n}(r) \quad (141)$$

where  $x_0, \dots, x_K$  are real numbers and  $\alpha_i$  is defined by the formula

$$\alpha_i = x_i \sqrt{2(2i + N + p/2 + 1)} \quad (142)$$

for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, K$ . Scaling both sides of (56) by  $\alpha_0/\tilde{a}_1$  and setting  $n = 1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_0 r T'_{N,0}(r) - \frac{\alpha_0 \tilde{b}_1}{\tilde{a}_1} r T'_{N,1}(r) + \frac{\alpha_0 \tilde{c}_1}{\tilde{a}_1} r T'_{N,2}(r) \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0 a_1}{\tilde{a}_1} T_{N,0}(r) - \frac{\alpha_0 b_1}{\tilde{a}_1} T_{N,1}(r) + \frac{\alpha_0 c_1}{\tilde{a}_1} T_{N,2}(r) \end{aligned} \quad (143)$$

where  $a_i, b_i, c_i, \tilde{a}_i, \tilde{b}_i, \tilde{c}_i$  are defined in Lemma 2.16. Scaling (56) with setting  $n = 2$  and adding the resulting equation to (143), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_0 r T'_{N,0}(r) + \alpha_1 r T'_{N,1}(r) + \left( \frac{\alpha_0 \tilde{c}_1}{\tilde{a}_1} - \frac{\tilde{b}_2}{\tilde{a}_2} \left( \frac{\alpha_0 \tilde{b}_1}{\tilde{a}_1} + \alpha_1 \right) \right) r T'_{N,2}(r) \\ &+ \left( \left( \frac{\alpha_0 \tilde{b}_1}{\tilde{a}_1} + \alpha_1 \right) \tilde{a}_2^{-1} \right) (\tilde{c}_2 r T'_{N,3}(r)). \\ &= \frac{\alpha_0 a_1}{\tilde{a}_1} T_{N,0}(r) - \frac{\alpha_0 b_1}{\tilde{a}_1} T_{N,1}(r) + \frac{\alpha_0 c_1}{\tilde{a}_1} T_{N,2}(r) \\ &+ \left( \left( \frac{\alpha_0 \tilde{b}_1}{\tilde{a}_1} + \alpha_1 \right) \tilde{a}_2^{-1} \right) (a_2 T_{N,1}(r) - b_2 T_{N,2}(r) + c_2 T_{N,3}(r)). \end{aligned} \quad (144)$$

We note that the coefficients of the first two terms on the left-hand-side of (144) coincide with the coefficients of the first two terms of (139).

We continue by adding scaled versions of (56) to (144) until the expansion on the left hand side of (144) approximates (141). After  $K_1 + 1$  steps, the resulting expansion will be accurate to approximately  $\epsilon$  precision. Specifically, at the start of step  $k$ , for  $2 \leq k \leq K_1 + 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_0 r T'_{N,0}(r) + \alpha_1 r T'_{N,1}(r) + \dots + \alpha_{k-1} r T'_{N,k-1}(r) + c_k r T'_{N,k}(r) + c_{k+1} r T'_{N,k+1}(r) \\ &= y_0 T_{N,0}(r) + y_1 T_{N,1}(r) + \dots + y_k T_{N,k}(r) + y_{k+1} T_{N,k}(r) \end{aligned} \quad (145)$$

for some real numbers  $c_k, c_{k+1}, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{k+1}$ . Scaling both sides of (56) and adding the resulting equation to (145), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_0 r T'_{N,0}(r) + \alpha_1 r T'_{N,1}(r) + \dots + \alpha_{k-2} r T'_{N,k-2}(r) + \alpha_{k-1} r T'_{N,k-1}(r) \\ &+ \left( \frac{-x_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-1}}{\tilde{a}_k} (-\tilde{b}_k) + x_k \right) r T'_{N,k}(r) + \left( \frac{-x_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-1}}{\tilde{a}_k} \tilde{c}_k \right) r T'_{N,k+1}(r) \\ &= y_0 T_{N,0} + y_1 T_{N,1} + \dots + \left( \frac{-x_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-1}}{\tilde{a}_k} a_k + y_{k-1} \right) T_{N,k-1}(r) \\ &+ \left( \frac{-x_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-1}}{\tilde{a}_k} (-b_k) + y_k \right) T_{N,k}(r) + \left( \frac{-x_{k-1} + \alpha_{k-1}}{\tilde{a}_k} c_k \right) T_{N,k+1}(r). \end{aligned} \quad (146)$$

The following observation, when combined with Observation 4.4, provides a numerical scheme for evaluating integrals of the form

$$\int_0^1 r \Phi'_{N,n}(r) \Phi_{N,m}(r) r^{p+1} dr. \quad (147)$$

This scheme will be used in Algorithm 4.2.

**Observation 4.5** *Suppose that*

$$r \Phi'_{N,n}(r) = \sum_{i=0}^K x_i \bar{R}_{N,i}(r) \quad (148)$$

and

$$\Phi_{N,m}(r) = \sum_{i=0}^K y_i \bar{R}_{N,i}(r). \quad (149)$$

where  $x_i, y_i$  are real numbers. Then, substituting (148) and (149) into (28) and (30), we have,

$$\int_0^1 r \Phi'_{N,n}(r) \Phi_{N,m}(r) r^{p+1} dr = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=0}^K x_i \bar{R}_{N,i}(r) \sum_{i=0}^K y_i \bar{R}_{N,i}(r) r^{p+1} dr = \sum_{i=0}^K x_i y_i. \quad (150)$$

We now describe an algorithm for evaluating the eigenvalues  $\beta_{N,0}, \beta_{N,1}, \dots, \beta_{N,k}$  for any non-negative integers  $N, k$ .

**Algorithm 4.2 (Evaluation of eigenvalues  $\beta_{N,0}, \beta_{N,1}, \dots, \beta_{N,k}$ )**

1. Use Algorithm 4.1 to recover the Zernike expansions of the GPSFs

$$\Phi_{N,0}, \Phi_{N,1}, \dots, \Phi_{N,n}. \quad (151)$$

2. Compute eigenvalue  $\beta_{N,0}$  (see (84)) using Remark 4.2.

3. Use Observation 4.4 to evaluate the  $\bar{R}_{N,n}$  expansion of  $r \Phi'_{N,0}$  and  $r \Phi'_{N,1}$ .

4. Use Observation 4.5 to compute the integrals

$$\int_0^1 r \Phi'_{N,1}(r) \Phi_{N,0}(r) r^{p+1} dr \quad (152)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 r \Phi'_{N,0}(r) \Phi_{N,1}(r) r^{p+1} dr \quad (153)$$

where the Zernike expansions of  $\Phi_{N,0}(r), \Phi_{N,1}(r)$  were computed in Step 1 and the Zernike expansions of  $r \Phi'_{N,0}(r), r \Phi'_{N,1}(r)$  were computed in Step 3.

5. Using Theorem 3.1, evaluate  $\beta_{N,1}$  using the formula

$$\beta_{N,1} = \beta_{N,0} \frac{\int_0^1 r \Phi'_{N,1}(r) \Phi_{N,0}(r) r^{p+1} dr}{\int_0^1 r \Phi'_{N,0}(r) \Phi_{N,1}(r) r^{p+1} dr}. \quad (154)$$

where  $\beta_{N,0}$  was obtained in Step 2 and the numerator and denominator of (154) were evaluated in Step 4.

6. Repeat Steps 3-5  $k$  times, each time computing the next eigenvalue,  $\beta_{N,i+1}$  via the formula

$$\beta_{N,i+1} = \beta_{N,i} \frac{\int_0^1 r \Phi'_{N,i+1}(r) \Phi_{N,i}(r) r^{p+1} dr}{\int_0^1 r \Phi'_{N,i}(r) \Phi_{N,i+1}(r) r^{p+1} dr}. \quad (155)$$

**Remark 4.6** The eigenvalues  $\beta_{N,0}, \dots, \beta_{N,k}$  could be computed to high relative precision one-by-one via repeated application of equation (136). This procedure would require the evaluation of the eigenvectors  $\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{k+1}$  (see (124)) to high relative precision (see Remark 4.1). Numerical and analytical tools for this task are provided in [24]. On the other hand, Algorithm 4.2 requires only absolute precision in  $\tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{k+1}$ .

## 5 Quadratures for band-limited functions

In this section, we describe a quadrature scheme for bandlimited functions using nodes that are a tensor product of roots of GPSFs in the radial direction and nodes that integrate spherical harmonics in the angular direction.

The following lemma shows that a quadrature rule that accurately integrates complex exponentials, also integrates bandlimited functions accurately.

**Lemma 5.1** Let  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n \in B$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

$$\left| \int_B e^{ic\langle x, t \rangle} dt - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i e^{ic\langle x, \xi_i \rangle} \right| < \epsilon \quad (156)$$

for all  $x \in B$  where  $B$  denotes the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for any non-negative integer  $n$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  is fixed. Then, for all  $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f(x) = \int_B \sigma(t) e^{ic\langle x, t \rangle} dt \quad (157)$$

where  $\sigma \in L^2(B)$ , we have

$$\left| \int_B f(x) dx - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(\xi_i) \right| < \epsilon \int_B |\sigma(t)| dt. \quad (158)$$

**Proof.** Using (157), we know

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_B f(t) dt - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(\xi_i) \right| &= \left| \int_B \int_B \sigma(t) e^{ic\langle x, t \rangle} dt dx - \sum_{i=0}^n w_i \int_B \sigma(t) e^{ic\langle \xi_i, t \rangle} dt \right| \\ &= \left| \int_B \sigma(t) \left( \int_B e^{ic\langle x, t \rangle} dx - \sum_{i=0}^n w_i e^{ic\langle \xi_i, t \rangle} \right) dt \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (159)$$

Applying (156) to (159), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_B f(t) dt - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(\xi_i) \right| &\leq \int_B |\sigma(t)| \left| \int_B e^{ic\langle x, t \rangle} dx - \sum_{i=0}^n w_i e^{ic\langle \xi_i, t \rangle} \right| dt \\ &< \epsilon \int_B |\sigma(t)| dt. \end{aligned} \quad (160)$$

■

The following technical lemma bounds the quadrature error when integrating complex exponentials with a tensor-product quadrature rule that integrates spherical harmonics and certain scaled Bessel functions.

**Lemma 5.2** *Let  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{m_1} \in [0, 1]$  and  $v_1, \dots, v_{m_1} \in \mathbb{R}$  be the nodes and weights of a quadrature rule that integrates*

$$\frac{J_{p/2}(c\rho)}{(c\rho)^{p/2}} \rho^{p+1} \quad (161)$$

to accuracy  $\epsilon > 0$  for all  $c > 0$  and  $r \in [0, 1]$ , i.e.,

$$\left| \int_0^1 \frac{J_{p/2}(c\rho)}{(c\rho)^{p/2}} \rho^{p+1} d\rho - \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} \frac{J_{p/2}(c\rho_i)}{(c\rho_i)^{p/2}} \rho_i^{p+1} \right| < \epsilon. \quad (162)$$

Let  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{m_2} \in S_{p+1}$  and  $u_1, \dots, u_{m_2} \in \mathbb{R}$  be the quadrature nodes and weights that integrate exactly the spherical harmonics  $S_N^\ell$  for  $N = 0, 1, \dots, K$  and  $\ell = 1, \dots, h(N, p)$ . Then denoting

$$t_1, \dots, t_m \in B \text{ and } w_1, \dots, w_m \in \mathbb{R} \quad (163)$$

the nodes and weights of the quadrature that is a tensor product of these two quadratures, we have for any positive integer  $K$  and any integer  $p \geq -1$ ,

$$\left| \int_B e^{ic\langle x, t \rangle} dt - \sum_{j=1}^m w_j e^{ic\langle x, t_j \rangle} \right| \leq (2\pi)^{p/2+1} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2} + 1)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi^{p/2+1}}} \epsilon \right) \quad (164)$$

$$+ \frac{\pi^{p/2+1}}{\Gamma(p/2 + 2)} \sum_{N=K+1}^{\infty} \frac{(c/2)^N}{\Gamma(N + p/2 + 1)} \left( \frac{(2N + p)(N + p - 1)!}{p!N!} \right)^2 \quad (165)$$

**Proof.** Representing a complex exponential as a plane wave expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_B e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle} dt - \sum_{j=1}^m w_j e^{ic\langle x,t_j \rangle} \right| = \\
& \left| i^N (2\pi)^{p/2+1} \int_B \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{h(N,p)} \frac{J_{N+p/2}(c\|x\|\|t\|)}{(c\|x\|\|t\|)^{p/2}} S_N^\ell(x/\|x\|) S_N^\ell(t/\|t\|) dt - \right. \\
& \left. i^N (2\pi)^{p/2+1} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{h(N,p)} S_N^\ell(x/\|x\|) \sum_{j=1}^{m_1} \frac{J_{N+p/2}(c\|x\|\rho_j)}{(c\|x\|\rho_j)^{p/2}} v_j \sum_{k=1}^{m_2} S_N^\ell(\theta_k) u_k \right|. \tag{166}
\end{aligned}$$

Using that  $S_N^\ell$  integrate to zero for all  $N > 0$ , quadrature rule (163) is exact for  $S_N^\ell$  for  $N = 0, \dots, K$ , and (161) is integrated to  $\epsilon$  accuracy, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_B e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle} dt - \sum_{j=1}^m w_j e^{ic\langle x,t_j \rangle} \right| \\
& \leq (2\pi)^{p/2+1} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2} + 1)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi^{p/2+1}}} \epsilon + \sum_{N=K+1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{h(N,p)} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{J_{N+p/2}(c\|x\|\|t_j\|)}{(c\|x\|\|t_j\|)^{p/2}} S_N^\ell(t_j/\|t_j\|) S_N^\ell(x/\|x\|) w_j \right| \right) \tag{167}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (167) with the observation that nodes and weights (163) correctly integrate a constant function on  $B$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_B e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle} dt - \sum_{j=1}^m w_j e^{ic\langle x,t_j \rangle} \right| \\
& \leq (2\pi)^{p/2+1} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2} + 1)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi^{p/2+1}}} \epsilon + \frac{\pi^{p/2+1}}{\Gamma(p/2 + 2)} \sum_{N=K+1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{h(N,p)} \left\| \frac{J_{N+p/2}(c\|x\|\|t_j\|)}{(c\|x\|\|t_j\|)^{p/2}} \right\|_{\infty} \|S_N^\ell(x/\|x\|)\|_{\infty}^2 \right). \tag{168}
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (15) and Lemma 2.2 to (168), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_B e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle} dt - \sum_{j=1}^m w_j e^{ic\langle x,t_j \rangle} \right| \\
& \leq (2\pi)^{p/2+1} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2} + 1)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi^{p/2+1}}} \epsilon + \frac{\pi^{p/2+1}}{\Gamma(p/2 + 2)} \sum_{N=K+1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{h(N,p)} \frac{(c\|x\|\|t\|)^N (1/2)^{N+p/2} (2N+p)(N+p-1)!}{\Gamma(N+p/2+1) p!N!} \right) \\
& \leq (2\pi)^{p/2+1} \left( \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p}{2} + 1)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi^{p/2+1}}} \epsilon + \frac{\pi^{p/2+1}}{\Gamma(p/2 + 2)} \sum_{N=K+1}^{\infty} h(N,p) \frac{(c/2)^N (2N+p)(N+p-1)!}{\Gamma(N+p/2+1) p!N!} \right) \tag{169}
\end{aligned}$$

Inequality (164) follows immediately from substituting (9) into (169). ■

**Remark 5.1** Lemma 5.2 shows that in order to integrate a complex exponential on the unit ball, it is sufficient to use a quadrature rule that is the tensor product of nodes that integrate all spherical harmonics  $S_N^\ell$  for sufficiently large  $N$  and nodes in the radial direction that integrate functions of the form

$$\frac{J_{p/2}(cr\rho)}{(cr\rho)^{p/2}} \rho^{p+1}. \quad (170)$$

We will show in Remark 5.2 that accurately integrating functions of the form of (170) can be done using a quadrature rule that integrates GPSFs.

The following lemma shows that (170) is well represented by an expansion in GPSFs. This lemma will be used to construct quadrature nodes for integrating bandlimited functions.

**Lemma 5.3** For all real numbers  $r, \rho \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\frac{J_{p/2}(cr\rho)}{(cr\rho)^{p/2}} \rho^{p+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta_{0,i} \Phi_{0,i}(r) \Phi_{0,i}(\rho) \quad (171)$$

where  $J_{p/2}$  is a Bessel function,  $\Phi_{0,n}$  is defined in (84) and  $\beta_{0,i}$  is defined in (87).

**Proof.** Since  $\Phi_{0,i}$  are complete in  $L^2[0, 1]_{r^{p+1}}$ ,

$$\frac{J_{p/2}(cr\rho)}{(cr\rho)^{p/2}} \rho^{p+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{i,j} \Phi_{0,i}(r) \Phi_{0,j}(\rho) \quad (172)$$

where  $\alpha_{i,j}$  is defined by the formula

$$\alpha_{i,j} = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{J_{p/2}(cr\rho)}{(cr\rho)^{p/2}} r^{p+1} \Phi_{0,i}(r) \Phi_{0,j}(\rho) dr \rho^{p+1} d\rho. \quad (173)$$

Changing the order of integration of (173) and substituting in (87), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{i,j} &= \int_0^1 \Phi_{0,j}(r) \int_0^1 \frac{J_{p/2}(cr\rho)}{(cr\rho)^{p/2}} \rho^{p+1} \Phi_{0,i}(\rho) d\rho r^{p+1} dr \\ &= \beta_{0,i} \int_0^1 \Phi_{0,j}(r) \Phi_{0,i}(r) r^{p+1} dr \\ &= \delta_{i,j} \beta_{0,i} \end{aligned} \quad (174)$$

where  $\beta_{0,i}$  is defined in (87). Identity (171) follows immediately from the combination of (172) and (174).  $\blacksquare$

The following remark shows that a quadrature rule that correctly integrates certain GPSFs also integrates certain Bessel functions.

**Remark 5.2** Let  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$  be the  $n$  roots of  $\Phi_{0,n}$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{R}$  the  $n$  weights such that

$$\int_0^1 \Phi_{0,k}(r) r^{p+1} dr = \sum_{i=0}^n \Phi_{0,k}(\rho_i) w_i \quad (175)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, K$ . By Lemma 5.3,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^1 \frac{J_{p/2}(c\rho)}{(c\rho)^{p/2}} \rho^{p+1} d\rho - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{J_{p/2}(c\rho_i)}{(c\rho_i)^{p/2}} w_i \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{0,j} \Phi_{0,j}(r) \Phi_{0,j}(\rho) \right) \rho^{p+1} d\rho - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_{0,j} \Phi_{0,j}(r) \Phi_{0,j}(\rho_i) \right) \right| \end{aligned} \quad (176)$$

where  $\beta_{0,j}$  is defined in (87). Applying (175) to (176), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^1 \frac{J_{p/2}(c\rho)}{(c\rho)^{p/2}} \rho^{p+1} d\rho - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{J_{p/2}(c\rho_i)}{(c\rho_i)^{p/2}} \rho_i^{p+1} w_i \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{j=K+1}^{\infty} \beta_{0,j} \Phi_{0,j}(r) \Phi_{0,j}(\rho) \right) \rho^{p+1} d\rho - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \left( \sum_{j=K+1}^{\infty} \beta_{0,j} \Phi_{0,j}(r) \Phi_{0,j}(\rho_i) \right) \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (177)$$

As long as  $\beta_{0,K+1}$  is in the regime of exponential decay, (177) is of magnitude approximately  $\beta_{0,K+1}$ .

We now describe a quadrature rule that integrates complex exponentials. This quadrature rule uses nodes that are a tensor product of roots of  $\Phi_{0,n}$  in the radial direction and nodes that integrate spherical harmonics in the angular direction.

**Observation 5.3** Suppose that  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in (0, 1)$  and weights  $w_1, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

$$\int_0^1 \Phi_{0,k}(r) r^{p+1} dr = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \Phi_{0,k}(r_i) \quad (178)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, K_1$ . Suppose further that  $x_1, \dots, x_m \in S^{p+1}$  are nodes and  $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathbb{R}$  are weights such that

$$\int_{S^{p+1}} S_N^\ell(x) dx = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i S_N^\ell(x_i) \quad (179)$$

for all  $N \leq K_2$  and for all  $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, h(N, p)\}$ . Then it follows immediately from Remark 5.1 and Remark 5.2 that

$$\left| \int_B e^{ic(x,t)} dt - \sum_{i=0}^m v_i \sum_{j=1}^n w_j e^{ic(x, r_j x_i)} \right| \quad (180)$$

will be exponentially small for large enough  $n, m$ . Lemma 5.1 shows that quadrature (180) will also accurately integrate functions of the form

$$\int_B \sigma(t) e^{ic(x,t)} dt \quad (181)$$

where  $\sigma$  is in  $L^2(B)$ .



**Remark 5.4** A generalized Chebyshev quadrature of the form (178) can be generated by first computing the  $n$  roots of  $\Phi_{0,n}$  (see Section 5.1) and then solving for  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  the  $n \times n$  linear system of equations

$$\int_0^1 \Phi_{0,k}(r)r^{p+1}dr = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \Phi_{0,k}(r_i) \quad (182)$$

for  $k_0, \dots, k_{n-1}$  and  $r_1, \dots, r_n$  are the  $n$  roots of  $\Phi_{0,n}$ . Linear system (182) is the transpose of a Vandermonde system. If the functions  $\Phi_{0,k}$  were polynomials of degree  $k$ , then this procedure would construct a Gaussian quadrature, not a Chebyshev quadrature. However, the construction of Gaussian quadratures with this method relies on Euclid's division algorithm for polynomials, which does not hold for the functions  $\Phi_{0,0}, \dots, \Phi_{0,k-1}$ . Section 5.2 contains a description of an algorithm for generating generalized Gaussian quadratures for GPSFs.

**Remark 5.5** The tensor product quadrature rule (180) uses in a higher concentration of nodes near the origin than near the unit sphere. An alternative procedure could achieve no loss of accuracy while using fewer nodes in the angular direction for smaller radial components. This strategy is used in [26] for the  $p = 0$  GPSFs. Such a procedure could be implemented using, for example, methods similar to those in [5].

## 5.1 Roots of $\Phi_{0,n}$

In this section, we describe an algorithm for finding the roots of  $\Phi_{0,n}$ . These roots will be used in the design of quadratures for GPSFs.

The following lemma, which provides a differential equation satisfied by  $\varphi_{N,n}$ , follows immediately from the combination of (93), (94), and Remark 2.10. It will be used in the evaluation of roots of  $\varphi_{0,n}$  later in this section.

**Lemma 5.4** For all non-negative integers  $N, n$ ,

$$\varphi''_{N,n}(r) + \alpha(r)\varphi'_{N,n}(r) + \beta(r)\varphi_{N,n}(r) = 0, \quad (183)$$

where

$$\alpha(r) = \frac{-2r}{1-r^2} \quad (184)$$

and

$$\beta(r) = \frac{1/4 - (N + p/2)^2}{(1-r^2)r^2} - \frac{c^2r^2 + \chi_{N,n}}{1-r^2} \quad (185)$$

where  $\varphi_{N,n}$  is defined in (88) and  $\chi_{N,n}$  is defined in (93).

The following lemma is obtained by applying the Prüfer Transform (see Lemma 2.17) to (183).

**Lemma 5.5** For all non-negative integers  $n$ , real numbers  $k > -1$ , and  $r \in (r_1, r_n)$ ,

$$\frac{d\theta}{dr} = -\sqrt{\beta(r)} - \left( \frac{\beta'(r)}{4\beta(r)} + \frac{\alpha(r)}{2} \right) \sin(2\theta(r)), \quad (186)$$

where  $r_1, r_n \in (0, 1)$  are the smallest and largest roots of  $\varphi_{N,n}$ , the function  $\theta : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by the formula

$$\frac{\varphi_{N,n}(r)}{\varphi'_{N,n}(r)} = \sqrt{\beta(r)} \tan(\theta(r)), \quad (187)$$

and  $\beta'(r)$ , the derivative of  $\beta(r)$  with respect to  $r$ , is defined by the formula

$$\beta'(r) = \frac{-2(1/4 - (N + p/2)^2)(1 - 2r^2)}{(1 - r^2)r^3} + \frac{-2rc^2(1 - r^2) + 2r(-c^2r^2 - \chi_{N,n})}{(1 - r^2)^2} \quad (188)$$

and where  $\alpha(r)$ ,  $\beta(r)$  are defined in (184) and (185),  $\varphi_{N,n}$  is defined in (88) and  $\chi_{N,n}$  is defined in (93).

**Remark 5.6** Extensive numerical results show that for any non-negative integer  $n$  and  $N = 0$ ,  $\beta(x)$  is positive and

$$\frac{d\theta}{dr} < 0 \quad (189)$$

for all  $r \in (r_1, r_n)$  where  $r_1$  and  $r_n$  are the smallest and largest roots of  $\varphi_{N,n}$  respectively. Therefore, applying Remark 2.6 to (186), we can view  $r$  as a function of  $\theta$  where  $r$  satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{dr}{d\theta} = \left( -\sqrt{\beta(r)} - \left( \frac{\beta'(r)}{4\beta(r)} + \frac{\alpha(r)}{2} \right) \sin(2\theta(r)) \right)^{-1} \quad (190)$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\beta'$  are defined in (184), (185) and (188).

The following is a description of an algorithm for the evaluation of the  $n$  roots of  $\Phi_{0,n}$ . We denote the  $n$  roots of  $\Phi_{0,n}$  by  $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_n$ .

**Algorithm 5.1 (Find roots of  $\Phi_{0,n}$ )**

0. Compute the  $\bar{T}_{N,n}$  expansion of  $\varphi_{0,n}$  using Algorithm 4.1.
1. Use bisection to find the largest root  $r_0 \in (0, 1)$  of  $\beta(r)$  where  $\beta(r)$  is defined in (185). If  $\beta$  has no root on  $(0, 1)$ , then set  $r_0 = 1$ .
2. If  $\gamma_{0,n}(c) > 1/\sqrt{c}$ , place Chebyshev nodes (order  $5n$ , for example) on the interval  $(0, r_0)$  and check, starting at  $r_0$  and moving in the negative direction, for a sign change. Once a sign change has occurred, use Newton to find an accurate approximation to the root.

If  $\gamma_{0,n}(c) \leq 1/\sqrt{c}$ , then use three steps of Muller's method [23] starting at  $r_0$ , using the first and second derivatives of  $\varphi_{0,n}$  followed by Newton's method.

3. Defining  $\theta_0$  by the formula

$$\theta_0 = \theta(r_0), \quad (191)$$

where  $\theta$  is defined in (60), solve the ordinary differential equation  $\frac{dr}{d\theta}$  (see (190)) on the interval  $(\pi/2, \theta_0)$ , with initial condition  $r(\theta_0) = r_0$ . To solve the differential equation, it is sufficient to use, for example, second order Runge Kutta with 100 steps (independent of  $n$ ). We denote by  $\tilde{r}_n$  the approximation to  $r(\pi/2)$  obtained by this process. It follows immediately from (67) that  $\tilde{r}_n$  is an approximation to  $r_n$ , the largest root of  $\varphi_{N,n}$ .

4. Use Newton's method with  $\tilde{r}_n$  as an initial guess to find  $r_n$  to high precision. The GPSF  $\varphi_{N,n}$  and its derivative  $\varphi'_{N,n}$  can be evaluated using the expansion computed in Step 0.

5. With initial condition

$$r(\pi/2) = r_n, \quad (192)$$

solve differential equation  $\frac{dr}{d\theta}$  (see (190)) on the interval

$$(-\pi/2, \pi/2) \quad (193)$$

using, for example, second order Runge Kutta with 100 steps. We denote by  $\tilde{r}_{n-1}$  the approximation to

$$r(-\pi/2) \quad (194)$$

obtained by this process.

6. Use Newton's method, with initial guess  $\tilde{r}_{n-1}$ , to find to high precision the second largest root,  $r_{n-1}$ .

7. For  $k = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , repeat Step 4 on the interval

$$(-\pi/2 - k\pi, -\pi/2 - (k-1)\pi) \quad (195)$$

with initial condition

$$x(-\pi/2 - (k-1)\pi) = r_{n-k+1} \quad (196)$$

and repeat Step 4 on  $\tilde{r}_{n-k}$ .

## 5.2 Generalized Gaussian quadratures for $\Phi_{0,n}$

In this section, we describe an algorithm for generating generalized Gaussian quadratures for the GPSFs  $\Phi_{0,0}, \Phi_{0,1}, \dots, \Phi_{0,2n-1}$  for some integer  $n$ .

**Definition 5.1** A generalized Gaussian quadrature with respect to a set of functions

$$f_1, \dots, f_{2n-1} : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (197)$$

and non-negative weight function  $w : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a set of  $n$  nodes,  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [a, b]$ , and  $n$  weights,  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that, for any integer  $j \leq 2n - 1$ ,

$$\int_a^b f_j(x)w(x)dx = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i f_j(x_i). \quad (198)$$

A generalized Chebyshev quadrature consists of nodes  $x'_1, \dots, x'_{2n-1} \in [a, b]$  and weights  $\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_{2n-1} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_a^b f_j(x)w(x)dx = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \omega'_i f_j(x'_i). \quad (199)$$

**Remark 5.7** In order to generate a generalized Gaussian quadrature for GPSFs with bandlimit  $c > 0$ , we first generate a generalized Chebyshev quadrature for GPSFs with bandlimit  $c/2$  and then, using those nodes and weights as a starting point, we use Newton's method with step-length control to find nodes and weights that form a generalized Gaussian quadrature for GPSFs with bandlimit  $c$ .

The following is a description of an algorithm for generating generalized Gaussian quadratures for the GPSFs

$$\Phi_{0,0}^c, \dots, \Phi_{0,2n-1}^c. \quad (200)$$

**Algorithm 5.2 (Generalized Gaussian quadrature for  $\Phi_{0,0}^c, \dots, \Phi_{0,2n-1}^c$ )**

1. Using Algorithm 5.1, generate a generalized Chebyshev quadrature for the functions

$$\Phi_{0,0}^{c/2}, \dots, \Phi_{0,n-1}^{c/2}. \quad (201)$$

That is, find,  $r_1, \dots, r_n$ , the  $n$  roots of  $\Phi_{0,n}$  and weights  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  such that

$$\int_0^1 \Phi_{0,k}^{c/2}(r)dr = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \Phi_{0,k}^{c/2}(r_i) \quad (202)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ .

2. Evaluate the vector  $\mathbf{d} = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_{2n-1})$  of discrepancies where  $d_k$  is defined by the formula

$$d_k = \int_0^1 \Phi_{0,k}^c(r)dr - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \Phi_{0,k}^c(r_i) \quad (203)$$

for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1$ .

3. Generate  $A$ , the  $2n \times 2n$  matrix of partial derivatives of  $d$  with respect to the  $n$  nodes and  $n$  weights. Specifically, for  $j = 0, \dots, 2n - 1$ , the matrix  $A$  is defined by the formula

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \Phi_{0,j}^c(r_i) & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ w_i \Phi_{0,j}^{c'}(r_i) & \text{for } i = n + 1, \dots, 2n. \end{cases} \quad (204)$$

where  $\Phi_{0,k}^{c'}(r)$  denotes the derivative of  $\Phi_{0,k}^c(r)$  with respect to  $r$ .

4. Solve for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  the  $2n \times 2n$  linear system of equations

$$A\mathbf{x} = -\mathbf{d} \quad (205)$$

where  $A$  is defined in (204) and  $\mathbf{d}$  is defined in (203).

5. Update nodes and weights via Newton's method. That is, defining  $\mathbf{r} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  to be the vector of nodes and weights

$$\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T, \quad (206)$$

we construct the updated vector of nodes and weights  $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$  such that

$$\tilde{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{x}. \quad (207)$$

6. Evaluate  $\tilde{\mathbf{d}}$ , the discrepancies (203) for the updated nodes and weights  $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$ . If  $\|\tilde{\mathbf{d}}\|_2 < \|\mathbf{d}\|_2$ , continue to the next step. Otherwise, go back to Step 5 and divide the steplength by 2. That is, define  $\tilde{\mathbf{r}}$  by the formula,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x} \quad (208)$$

Continue dividing the steplength by 2 until  $\|\tilde{\mathbf{d}}\|_2 < \|\mathbf{d}\|_2$ .

7. Repeat steps 2-6 until the discrepancies,  $d_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1$  (see (203)) are approximately machine precision.

**Remark 5.8** It turns out that a generalized Chebyshev quadrature with nodes that are roots of  $\Phi_{0,n}^{c/2}$  provide a reasonable quadrature for  $\Phi_{0,0}^c, \dots, \Phi_{0,2n-1}^c$ . Algorithm 5.2 takes advantage of this fact by using this quadrature as a starting point for an optimization that finds a Gaussian quadrature for  $\Phi_{0,0}^c, \dots, \Phi_{0,2n-1}^c$ . For the one-dimensional prolate spheroidal wave functions (PSWFs), a similar procedure is used to construct quadrature rules [29]. In [29], the authors show that a generalized Chebyshev quadrature for PSWFs with bandlimit  $c/2$  (and nodes that are roots of the order- $n$  PSWF) integrate to high accuracy the PSWFs of bandlimit  $c$ . This observation relies on a so-called Euclid division algorithm for band-limited functions. While in the high-dimensional setting we have no analogue of the Euclid division theorem, we observe empirically that a similar strategy to the one-dimensional approach of [29] is a good approximation to a Gaussian quadrature.

**Remark 5.9** *The construction of generalized Gaussian quadratures for families of functions is now widespread for tasks such as solutions to integral equations with singular kernels (see, e.g., [21, 5]). One popular algorithm for generating generalized Gaussian quadratures (or near Gaussian) was introduced in [5]. That method first constructs a Chebyshev quadrature for some family of functions, and then an optimization procedure is used to one-by-one remove nodes and readjust the remaining nodes and weights. We do not view Algorithm 5.2 as a general tool like that of [5] for constructing generalized Gaussian quadratures. Rather, for this particular family of functions, it turns out that we have a computationally inexpensive, and accurate approximation to a generalized Gaussian quadrature that allows for a relatively simple procedure.*

## 6 Approximation via GPSF expansions

In this section, we describe a numerical scheme for representing a bandlimited function as an expansion in GPSFs.

As shown in the context of quadrature (see Section 5), GPSFs are a natural basis for representing bandlimited functions. We formulate the approximation problem for GPSFs as recovering the coefficients of a bandlimited function  $f$  in its GPSF expansion. That is, suppose that  $f$  is of the form

$$f(x) = \int_B \sigma(t) e^{ic\langle x, t \rangle} dt \quad (209)$$

where  $\sigma \in L^2(B)$  for all  $x \in B$ . Then,  $f$  can be represented to arbitrary accuracy with an expansion of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \psi_i(x) \quad (210)$$

where  $\psi_j(x)$  is a GPSF defined in (71) and  $a_i$  satisfies

$$a_i = \int_B \psi_i(t) f(t) dt. \quad (211)$$

The approximation problem we consider here is the evaluation of the coefficients  $a_1, \dots, a_N$ .

The following lemma shows that a quadrature rule that recovers the coefficients of the expansion in GPSFs of a complex exponential will also recover the coefficients in a GPSF expansion of a bandlimited function.

**Lemma 6.1** *Suppose that for all  $t \in B$ ,*

$$\left| \int_B \psi_j(x) e^{ic\langle x, t \rangle} dx - \sum_{k=1}^n w_k \psi_j(x_k) e^{ic\langle x_k, t \rangle} \right| < \epsilon \quad (212)$$

where  $B$  denotes the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$  and  $\psi_j$  is defined in (71). Then,

$$\left| \int_B \psi_j(x) f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n w_k \psi_j(x_k) f(x_k) \right| < \epsilon \int_B |\sigma(t)| dt. \quad (213)$$

where

$$f(x) = \int_B \sigma(t) e^{ic\langle x, t \rangle} dt \quad (214)$$

for any  $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^{p+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** Substituting (214) into (213) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_B \psi_j(x) f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n w_k \psi_j(x_k) f(x_k) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_B \psi_j(x) \int_B \sigma(t) e^{ic\langle x, t \rangle} dt dx - \sum_{k=1}^n w_k \psi_j(x_k) \int_B \sigma(t) e^{ic\langle x_k, t \rangle} dt \right| \end{aligned} \quad (215)$$

Changing the order of integration of (215), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_B \psi_j(x) f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n w_k \psi_j(x_k) f(x_k) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_B \sigma(t) \left[ \int_B \psi_j(x) e^{ic\langle x, t \rangle} dx - \sum_{k=1}^n w_k \psi_j(x_k) e^{ic\langle x_k, t \rangle} \right] dt \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (216)$$

Equation (213) follows immediately from substituting (212) and (214) into (216).  $\blacksquare$

The following lemma shows that the product of a complex exponential with a GPSF of bandlimit  $c > 0$  is a bandlimited function with bandlimit  $2c$ . The proof is a slight modification of Lemma 5.3 in [26].

**Lemma 6.2** *Let  $c > 0$  and  $\omega \in B$ . Then there exists  $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^{p+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that,*

$$\psi_j(x) e^{ic\langle \omega, x \rangle} = \int_B \sigma(\xi) e^{i2c\langle \xi, x \rangle} d\xi \quad (217)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{p+2}$  where  $\psi_j$  is defined in (71) and  $\sigma$  satisfies

$$\left| \int_B \sigma(t)^2 dt \right| \leq 4/|\lambda_j|^2 \quad (218)$$

where  $\lambda_j$  is defined in (71).

**Proof.** Using (71),

$$\psi_j(x) e^{ic\langle \omega, x \rangle} = \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \int_B e^{ic\langle \omega+t, x \rangle} \psi_j(t) dt. \quad (219)$$

Applying the change of variables  $\xi = (t + \omega)/2$  to (219), we obtain

$$\psi_j(x) e^{ic\langle \omega, x \rangle} = \frac{1}{\lambda_j} \int_{B_\omega} e^{i2c\langle \xi, x \rangle} 2\psi_j(2\xi - \omega) d\xi \quad (220)$$

where  $B_\omega$  is the ball of radius  $1/2$  centered at  $\omega/2$ . It follows immediately from (220) that

$$\psi_j(x)e^{ic(\omega,x)} = \int_{B_\omega} e^{i2c(\xi,x)} \sigma(\xi) d\xi. \quad (221)$$

where

$$\sigma(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{2\psi_j(2\xi-\omega)}{\lambda_j} & \text{if } \xi \in B_\omega, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (222)$$

Inequality (218) follows immediately from the combination of (222) with the fact that  $\psi_j$  is  $L^2$  normalized.  $\blacksquare$

The following observation provides a numerical scheme for recovering the coefficients in a GPSF expansion of a bandlimited function.

**Observation 6.1** *Suppose that  $f$  is defined by the formula*

$$f(x) = \int_B \sigma(t)e^{ic(x,t)} dt \quad (223)$$

where  $\sigma$  is some function in  $L^2(B)$ . Then,  $f$  is representable in the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \psi_k(x) \quad (224)$$

where

$$a_k = \int_B f(x) \psi_k(x) dx. \quad (225)$$

It follows immediately from the combination of Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.1 that using quadrature rule (180) with bandlimit  $2c$  will integrate  $a_k$  accurately. That is, following the notation of Observation 5.3,

$$\left| a_k - \sum_{i=0}^n w_i \sum_{j=1}^m v_j f(r_i x_j) \psi_k(r_i x_j) \right| \quad (226)$$

is exponentially small for large enough  $m, n$ .

**Remark 6.2** *When integrating a function of the form of (224) on the unit disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , the  $v_j$  in (226) are defined by the formula*

$$v_j = j \frac{2\pi}{2m-1} \quad (227)$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2m-1$  and the sums

$$\sum_{j=1}^m v_j f(r_i x_j) \psi_k(r_i x_j) \quad (228)$$

for each  $i$  can be computed using an FFT.



The following lemma bounds the magnitudes of the coefficients of a GPSF expansion of a bandlimited function.

**Lemma 6.3** *Suppose that  $f$  is defined by the formula*

$$f(x) = \int_B \sigma(t) e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle} dt \quad (229)$$

for all  $x \in B$ . Then,

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i \psi_i(x) \quad (230)$$

where  $\psi_j(x)$  is a GPSF defined in (71) and  $a_i$  satisfies

$$|a_i| \leq |\lambda_i| \left( \int_B |\sigma(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \quad (231)$$

where  $\lambda_i$  is defined in (71).

**Proof.** Since  $\psi_j$  form an orthonormal basis for  $L^2[B]$ ,  $f$  is representable in the form of (230) and for all positive integers  $i$ ,

$$a_i = \int_B f(t) \psi_i(t) dt = \int_B \left( \int_B \sigma(\xi) e^{ic\langle t,\xi \rangle} d\xi \right) \psi_i(t) dt. \quad (232)$$

Swapping the order of integration of (232) and applying (71) and using Cauchy-Schwarz, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_i| &= \left| \lambda_i \int_B \sigma(t) \psi_i(t) dt \right| \leq |\lambda_i| \left( \int_B |\sigma(t)|^2 dt \int_B |\psi_j(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &= |\lambda_i| \left( \int_B |\sigma(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (233)$$

■

**Remark 6.3** *Lemma 6.3 shows that in order to accurately represent a bandlimited function,  $f$ , it is sufficient to find the projection of  $f$  onto all GPSFs with corresponding eigenvalue above machine precision.*

## 7 Numerical experiments

The quadrature and approximation formulae described in Sections 5 and 6 were implemented in Fortran 77. We used the Lahey/Fujitsu compiler on a 2.9 GHz Intel i7-3520M Lenovo laptop. All examples in this section were run in double precision arithmetic.

In Figures 1, 2, and 3, we plot the eigenvalues  $|\lambda_{N,n}|$  of integral operator  $F_c$  (see (70)) for different  $N$  and different  $c$  for  $p = 0, 1, 2$ , i.e., GPSFs defined on the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ . We note that for fixed  $c, p$ , as  $N$  increases, there are fewer eigenvalues above  $\epsilon$  for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . This behavior is expected, but an analysis of the spectrum of  $F_c$  is beyond the scope of this paper.

Figures 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8 include plots of the GPSFs  $\Phi_{N,n}(r)$  (see (84)) for different  $N, n, c$ , and for  $p = 0, 1, 2$ . In Figure 4 we plot  $\Phi_{0,n}$  for bandlimit  $c = 50$  and  $p = 1$  for  $n = 0, 2, 5, 10$ . Figure 5 includes plots of  $\Phi_{0,n}$  for  $c = 100, p = 0$ , and  $n = 0, 2, 5, 10$ . We plot  $\Phi_{10,n}$  in Figure 6 for  $c = 50, p = 1$ , and  $n = 0, 2, 5, 10$ . In Figure 7 we plot  $\Phi_{25,n}$  for  $c = 100, p = 0$ , and  $n = 0, 2, 5, 10$ . Lastly, Figure 8 includes plots of  $\Phi_{25,n}$  for  $c = 200, p = 2$  for  $n = 0, 2, 5, 10$ .

Figure 9 plots the magnitudes of coefficients of the GPSF expansion of the complex exponential  $e^{ic(x,t)}$  for all  $t$  on the unit disk where  $x = (0.3, 0.4)$  and  $c = 50$ . The vertical axis,  $|\alpha_{N,n}|$ , is the magnitude of the coefficient of  $\Phi_{N,n}(r) \sin(\theta)$  in the GPSF expansion of (234). These coefficients were obtained via formula (226). Their exponential decay is explained in Observation 6.1. Figure 9 demonstrates that for smaller  $N$ , there are more coefficients above machine precision. This behavior is related to the distribution of the eigenvalues in Figures 1, 2, and 3.

In Tables 1-6, we provide the accuracy of quadrature rule (180) in integrating the function

$$e^{ic(x,t)} \tag{234}$$

over the unit disk where  $x = (0.9, 0.2)$ . We provide results for  $c = 20$  and  $c = 100$  using generalized Chebyshev and generalized Gaussian quadratures in the radial direction. Generalized Chebyshev quadratures were generated via the method described in Remark 5.4. The generalized Gaussian quadratures were constructed with Algorithm 5.2. In each table in this section, the column labeled “ $c$ ” denotes the bandlimit  $c$  in (234). Relative errors of quadrature are denoted “relative error” and the true value of the integral was obtained by a calculation in extended precision using a large number of nodes.

In all examples in this section, Algorithm 5.2 for generating generalized Gaussian quadratures converged to full double precision accuracy in several seconds and within 5 iterations. For example, for  $c = 100$  and  $p = 0$ , Algorithm 5.2 took 6 seconds and 4 iterations for a discrepancy  $\|\mathbf{d}\| < 10^{-14}$  (see (203)).

In Tables 1, 2, and 3 we set  $c = 20$ . Tables 1 and 2 use the generalized Chebyshev quadrature in the radial direction. In Table 1 we fix the number of nodes in the angular direction at 50 and demonstrate convergence in the number of nodes in the radial direction. Table 2 fixes the number of radial nodes at 14 and demonstrates convergence in the number of angular nodes. In Table 3 we demonstrate convergence of the generalized Gaussian quadrature in the radial direction with 50 angular nodes.

In Tables 4, 5, and 6 we set  $c = 100$ . Tables 4 and 5 use the generalized Chebyshev quadrature in the radial direction. In Table 4 we fix the number of nodes in the angular direction at 140 and demonstrate convergence in the number of nodes in the radial direction of the generalized Chebyshev quadrature. Table 5 fixes the number of radial nodes at 40 and demonstrates convergence in the number of angular nodes. In Table 6 we demonstrate the accuracy of the generalized Gaussian quadrature with 150 angular nodes and a varying number of nodes in the radial direction.




Figure 1: Eigenvalues of  $F_c$  (see (70)) for  $c = 100$  and  $p = 0$




Figure 2: Eigenvalues of  $F_c$  (see (70)) for  $c = 50$  and  $p = 1$

$c$	radial nodes	angular nodes	relative error
20	6	50	$0.84109 \times 10^0$
	8		$0.70864 \times 10^{-3}$
	10		$0.15834 \times 10^{-7}$
	12		$0.75601 \times 10^{-13}$
	14		$0.68485 \times 10^{-14}$
	16		$0.29262 \times 10^{-14}$
	18		$0.75991 \times 10^{-14}$

Table 1: Quadratures for  $e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle}$  where  $x = (0.9, 0.2)$  over the unit disk using several different numbers of radial nodes for  $c = 20$ . Generalized Chebyshev quadratures are used in the radial direction.




Figure 3: Eigenvalues of  $F_c$  (see (70)) for  $c = 200$  and  $p = 2$




Figure 4: Plots of GPSFs  $\Phi_{0,n}$  (see (84)) with  $c = 50$  and  $p = 1$

$c$	radial nodes	angular nodes	relative error
20	14	20	$0.46437 \times 10^0$
		25	$0.18500 \times 10^{-1}$
		30	$0.14547 \times 10^{-3}$
		35	$0.64949 \times 10^{-7}$
		40	$0.25015 \times 10^{-9}$
		45	$0.16653 \times 10^{-12}$
		50	$0.51483 \times 10^{-14}$
		55	$0.30672 \times 10^{-14}$
		60	$0.53592 \times 10^{-14}$

Table 2: Quadratures for  $e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle}$  where  $x = (0.9, 0.2)$  over the unit disk using several different numbers of angular nodes for  $c = 20$ . Generalized Chebyshev quadratures are used in the radial direction.




Figure 5: Plots of GPSFs  $\Phi_{0,n}$  (see (84)) with  $c = 100$  and  $p = 0$




Figure 6: Plots of GPSFs  $\Phi_{10,n}$  (see (84)) with  $c = 50$  and  $p = 1$

$c$	radial nodes	angular nodes	relative error
20	4	50	$0.12603 \times 10^0$
	6		$0.36513 \times 10^{-6}$
	8		$0.41931 \times 10^{-12}$
	10		$0.15463 \times 10^{-14}$
	12		$0.35160 \times 10^{-14}$

Table 3: Quadratures for  $e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle}$  where  $x = (0.9, 0.2)$  over the unit disk using several different numbers of radial nodes for  $c = 20$ . Generalized Gaussian quadratures generated via Algorithm 5.2 are used in the radial direction.




Figure 7: Plots of GPSFs  $\Phi_{25,n}$  (see (84)) with  $c = 100$  and  $p = 0$




Figure 8: Plots of GPSFs  $\Phi_{25,n}$  (see (84)) with  $c = 200$  and  $p = 2$

$c$	radial nodes	angular nodes	relative error
100	30	140	$0.10612 \times 10^2$
	32		$0.11305 \times 10^0$
	34		$0.45510 \times 10^{-4}$
	36		$0.63672 \times 10^{-6}$
	38		$0.54009 \times 10^{-9}$
	40		$0.94943 \times 10^{-13}$

Table 4: Quadratures for  $e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle}$  where  $x = (0.9, 0.2)$  over the unit disk using several different numbers of radial nodes for  $c = 100$ . Chebyshev quadratures are used in the radial direction.

$c$	radial nodes	angular nodes	relative error
100	40	115	$0.12341 \times 10^{-3}$
		120	$0.12633 \times 10^{-5}$
		125	$0.28112 \times 10^{-7}$
		130	$0.60096 \times 10^{-9}$
		135	$0.13296 \times 10^{-11}$
		140	$0.94943 \times 10^{-13}$
		145	$0.23749 \times 10^{-12}$
		150	$0.16075 \times 10^{-12}$

Table 5: Quadratures for  $e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle}$  where  $x = (0.9, 0.2)$  over the unit disk using several different numbers of angular nodes for  $c = 100$ . Chebyshev quadratures are used in the radial direction.

$c$	radial nodes	angular nodes	relative error
100	20	150	$0.77025 \times 10^{-5}$
	22		$0.20280 \times 10^{-9}$
	24		$0.28465 \times 10^{-12}$
	26		$0.50904 \times 10^{-13}$
	28		$0.35430 \times 10^{-12}$
	30		$0.39846 \times 10^{-12}$

Table 6: Quadratures for  $e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle}$  where  $x = (0.9, 0.2)$  over the unit disk using several different numbers of radial nodes for  $c = 100$ . Gaussian quadratures generated using Algorithm 5.2 are used in the radial direction.




Figure 9: Coefficients, obtained via formula (226), of the GPSF expansion of the function on the unit disk  $e^{ic\langle x,t \rangle}$  where  $x = (0.3, 0.4)$  where  $c = 50$ . In the radial direction, 40 nodes were used and 140 nodes were used in the angular direction.

## 8 Acknowledgements

This work is the culmination of many discussions with Kirill Serkh. A preliminary version is in a joint unpublished technical report [13]. This paper is in some sense the completion of work started there. We thank Kirill Serkh for his help. The author thanks Vladimir Rokhlin, Manas Rachh, and Jeremy Hoskins for many useful discussions.

## 9 Appendix A

This appendix includes several technical results that were used in the main sections of this paper.

### 9.1 Properties of the derivatives of GPSFs

The following theorem follows immediately from (88) and (93).

**Theorem 9.1** *Let  $c > 0$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dx} \left( (x^{p+1} - x^{p+3}) \frac{d\Phi_{N,n}}{dx}(x) \right) \\ & + \left( \chi_{N,n} x^{p+1} - \frac{(p+1)(p+3)}{4} x^{p+1} - N(N+p)x^{p-1} - c^2 x^{p+3} \right) \Phi_{N,n}(x) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (235)$$

where  $0 < x < 1$  and  $N$  and  $n$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers.

**Corollary 9.2** *Let  $c > 0$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & x^2(1-x^2)\Phi''_{N,n}(x) + ((p+1)x - (p+3)x^3)\Phi'_{N,n}(x) \\ & + \left( \chi_{N,n} x^2 - \frac{(p+1)(p+3)}{4} x^2 - N(N+p) - c^2 x^4 \right) \Phi_{N,n}(x) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (236)$$

where  $0 < x < 1$  and  $N$  and  $n$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers.

The following lemma connects the values of the  $(k+2)$ nd derivative of the function  $\Phi_{N,n}$  with its derivatives of orders  $k-4, k-3, \dots, k+1$ , and is obtained by repeated differentiation of (236).

**Lemma 9.3** *Let  $c > 0$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & (x^2 - x^4)\Phi_{N,n}^{(k+2)}(x) + ((2k+1+p)x - (4k+3+p)x^3)\Phi_{N,n}^{(k+1)}(x) \\ & + \left( k(k+p) - N(N+p) + [\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+3) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - 3k(2k+1+p)]x^2 - c^2 x^4 \right) \Phi_{N,n}^{(k)}(x) \\ & + \left( [2k(\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+3)) - k(k-1)(4k+1+3p)]x - 4kc^2 x^3 \right) \Phi_{N,n}^{(k-1)}(x) \\ & + \left( k(k-1)(\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+3)) - k(k-1)(k-2)(k+p) - 6k(k-1)c^2 x^2 \right) \Phi_{N,n}^{(k-2)}(x) \\ & \quad - 4k(k-1)(k-2)c^2 x \Phi_{N,n}^{(k-3)}(x) - k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)c^2 \Phi_{N,n}^{(k-4)}(x) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (237)$$



where  $0 < x < 1$ ,  $N$  and  $n$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers, and  $k$  is an arbitrary integer such that  $k \geq 4$ . Also,

$$(x^2 - x^4)\Phi''_{N,n}(x) + ((p+1)x - (p+3)x^3)\Phi'_{N,n}(x) + \left(-N(N+p) + [\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+2)]x^2 - c^2x^4\right)\Phi_{N,n}(x) = 0, \quad (238)$$

and

$$(x^2 - x^4)\Phi_{N,n}^{(3)}(x) + ((p+3)x - (p+7)x^3)\Phi''_{N,n}(x) + \left((p+1) - N(N+p) + [\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+3) - 3(p+3)]x^2 - c^2x^4\right)\Phi'_{N,n}(x) + \left(2[\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+3)]x - 4c^2x^3\right)\Phi_{N,n}(x) = 0, \quad (239)$$

and

$$(x^2 - x^4)\Phi_{N,n}^{(4)}(x) + ((p+5)x - (p+11)x^3)\Phi_{N,n}^{(3)}(x) + \left(2(p+2) - N(N+p) + [\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+3) - 6(p+5)]x^2 - c^2x^4\right)\Phi''_{N,n}(x) + \left([4(\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+3)) - 6(p+3)]x - 8c^2x^3\right)\Phi'_{N,n}(x) + \left(2(\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+3)) - 12c^2x^2\right)\Phi_{N,n}(x) = 0, \quad (240)$$

and

$$(x^2 - x^4)\Phi_{N,n}^{(5)}(x) + ((p+7)x - (p+15)x^3)\Phi_{N,n}^{(4)}(x) + \left(3(p+3) - N(N+p) + [\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+3) - 9(p+7)]x^2 - c^2x^4\right)\Phi_{N,n}^{(3)}(x) + \left([6(\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+3)) - 6(3p+13)]x - 12c^2x^3\right)\Phi''_{N,n}(x) + \left(6(\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+3)) - 6(p+3) - 36c^2x^2\right)\Phi'_{N,n}(x) - 24c^2x\Phi_{N,n}(x) = 0, \quad (241)$$

where  $0 < x < 1$  and  $N$  and  $n$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers.

The following corollary and theorem are obtained immediately from Lemma 9.3.

**Corollary 9.4** *Let  $c > 0$ . Then*

$$(k(k+p) - N(N+p))\Phi_{N,n}^{(k)}(0) + \left(k(k-1)(\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+3)) - k(k-1)(k-2)(k+p)\right)\Phi_{N,n}^{(k-2)}(0) - k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)c^2\Phi_{N,n}^{(k-4)}(0) = 0, \quad (242)$$

where  $N$  and  $n$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers, and  $k$  is an arbitrary integer so that  $k \geq 4$ . Also,

$$N(N+p)\Phi_{N,n}(0) = 0, \quad (243)$$

and

$$((p+1) - N(N+p))\Phi'_{N,n}(0) = 0, \quad (244)$$

and

$$(2(p+2) - N(N+p))\Phi''_{N,n}(0) + 2(\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+3))\Phi_{N,n}(0) = 0, \quad (245)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (3(p+3) - N(N+p))\Phi_{N,n}^{(3)}(0) \\ + \left(6(\chi_{N,n} - \frac{1}{4}(p+1)(p+3)) - 6(p+3)\right)\Phi'_{N,n}(0) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (246)$$

where  $N$  and  $n$  are arbitrary nonnegative integers.

The following theorem follows immediately from (101).

**Theorem 9.5** For all integers  $N \geq 1$ ,

$$\Phi_{N,n}^{(k)}(0) = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \quad (247)$$

where  $n$  is an arbitrary nonnegative integer.

**Theorem 9.6** Suppose that  $N$  and  $n$  are nonnegative integers. Then

$$\Phi_{N,n}(1) \neq 0. \quad (248)$$

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\Phi_{N,n}(1) = 0$ . Then using Lemma 9.3, we know  $\Phi_{N,n}^{(k)}(1) = 0$  for all nonnegative  $k$ . Since  $\Phi_{N,n}$  is analytic in the complex plane, we have  $\Phi_{N,n}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . ■

## 9.2 Derivation of the integral operator $Q_c$

In this section we derive an explicit formula for the integral operator  $Q_c$ , defined in (72).

Suppose that  $B$  denotes the closed unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{p+2}$ . From (72), we have

$$Q_c[\psi](x) = \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^{p+2} \int_B \int_B e^{ic\langle x-t, u \rangle} \psi(t) du dt, \quad (249)$$

for all  $x \in B$ . It follows from Formula 10.9.4 of [8] that

$$\int_{S^{p+1}} e^{ir\langle v, u \rangle} du = (2\pi)^{p/2+1} J_{p/2}(r\|v\|) / (r\|v\|)^{p/2} \quad (250)$$

for all  $v \in B$  and  $r \in [0, 1]$  where  $J_\nu$  denotes Bessel functions of the first kind (see Section VII of [27]). Multiplying both sides of (250) by  $r^{p+1}$  and integrating with respect to  $r$  we obtain

$$\int_B e^{ic\langle v, u \rangle} du = (2\pi)^{p/2+1} \int_0^1 \frac{J_{p/2}(c\|v\|\rho)}{(c\|v\|\rho)^{p/2}} \rho^{p+1} d\rho. \quad (251)$$

It follows immediately from the combination of (251) and formula 6.561(5) in [12] that

$$\int_B e^{ic\langle v,u \rangle} du = \left(\frac{2\pi}{c}\right)^{p/2+1} \frac{J_{p/2+1}(c\|v\|)}{\|v\|^{p/2+1}}, \quad (252)$$

for all  $v \in B$ . Combining (249) and (252),

$$Q_c[\psi](x) = \left(\frac{c}{2\pi}\right)^{p/2+1} \int_B \frac{J_{p/2+1}(c\|x-t\|)}{\|x-t\|^{p/2+1}} \psi(t) dt, \quad (253)$$

for all  $x \in B$ .

## References

- [1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*. Dover, New York, 1964.
- [2] H. Bateman and Bateman Manuscript Project. *Higher Transcendental Functions [Volumes I-III]*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1953. Ed. Arthur Erdélyi. Funding by Office of Naval Research (ONR).
- [3] M. Born, E. Wolf, A. B. Bhatia, P. C. Clemmow, D. Gabor, A. R. Stokes, A. M. Taylor, P. A. Wayman, and W. L. Wilcock. *Principles of Optics: Electromagnetic Theory of Propagation, Interference and Diffraction of Light*. Cambridge University Press, 7 edition, 1999.
- [4] C. Bouwkamp. On the theory of spheroidal wave functions of order zero. *Proceedings of the Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen: Series A: Mathematical Sciences*, 53(5-6):931–944, 1950.
- [5] J. Bremer, Z. Gimbutas, and V. Rokhlin. A nonlinear optimization procedure for generalized gaussian quadratures. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 32(4):1761–1788, 2010.
- [6] C.-W. Chong, P. Raveendran, and R. Mukundan. A comparative analysis of algorithms for fast computation of Zernike moments. *Pattern Recognition*, 36(3):731–742, Jan. 2003.
- [7] D. Colton and R. Kress. *Inverse Acoustic and Electromagnetic Scattering Theory*. Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1997.
- [8] NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions. <https://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.1.12 of 2023-12-15. F. W. J. Olver, A. B. Olde Daalhuis, D. W. Lozier, B. I. Schneider, R. F. Boisvert, C. W. Clark, B. R. Miller, B. V. Saunders, H. S. Cohl, and M. A. McClain, eds.
- [9] P. Garrett. Harmonic analysis on spheres. [https://www-users.cse.umn.edu/garrett/m/mfms/notes.2013-14/09\\_spheres.pdf](https://www-users.cse.umn.edu/garrett/m/mfms/notes.2013-14/09_spheres.pdf), 2013.

- [10] A. Glaser, X. Liu, and V. Rokhlin. A fast algorithm for the calculation of the roots of special functions. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 29(4):1420–1438, 2007.
- [11] A. Gopal and V. Rokhlin. A fast procedure for the construction of quadrature formulas for bandlimited functions. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 66:193–210, 2023.
- [12] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik. *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, seventh edition, 2007. Eds. Alan Jeffrey and Daniel Zwillinger.
- [13] P. Greengard and K. Serkh. On generalized prolate spheroidal functions - preliminary report. Yale Technical Report TR-1542, 2018.
- [14] P. Greengard and K. Serkh. Zernike polynomials: Evaluation, quadrature, and interpolation. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.02720>, 2018.
- [15] K. Hughes, A. Israel, and A. Mayeli. On the eigenvalue distribution of spatio-spectral limiting operators in higher dimensions, ii. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.13092>, 2024.
- [16] A. Israel and A. Mayeli. On the eigenvalue distribution of spatio-spectral limiting operators in higher dimensions. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 70:101620, 2024.
- [17] E. C. Kintner. On the mathematical properties of the Zernike polynomials. *Optica Acta: International Journal of Optics*, 23(8):679–680, 1976.
- [18] R. Kress. *Linear Integral Equations*. Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer New York, 2013.
- [19] R. R. Lederman. Numerical algorithms for the computation of generalized prolate spheroidal functions. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.02874>, 2017.
- [20] S. Li. Concise formulas for the area and volume of a hyperspherical cap. *Asian Journal of Mathematics & Statistics*, 4:66–70, 2011.
- [21] J. Ma, V. Rokhlin, and S. Wandzura. Generalized gaussian quadrature rules for systems of arbitrary functions. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 33(3):971–996, 1996.
- [22] F. Marceca, J. L. Romero, and M. Speckbacher. Eigenvalue estimates for Fourier concentration operators on two domains. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.11685>, 2024.
- [23] D. E. Muller. A method for solving algebraic equations using an automatic computer. *Mathematical Tables and Other Aids to Computation*, 10(56):208–215, 1956.
- [24] A. Osipov. Evaluation of small elements of the eigenvectors of certain symmetric tridiagonal matrices with high relative accuracy. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 43(2):173–211, 2017.

- [25] A. Osipov, V. Rokhlin, and H. Xiao. *Prolate Spheroidal Wave Functions of Order Zero: Mathematical Tools for Bandlimited Approximation*. Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer US, 2013.
- [26] Y. Shkolnisky. Prolate spheroidal wave functions on a disc—integration and approximation of two-dimensional bandlimited functions. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 22(2):235–256, 2007.
- [27] D. Slepian. Prolate spheroidal wave functions, Fourier analysis and uncertainty — IV: Extensions to many dimensions; generalized prolate spheroidal functions. *The Bell System Technical Journal*, 43(6):3009–3057, 1964.
- [28] L.-L. Wang. A review of prolate spheroidal wave functions from the perspective of spectral methods. *Journal of Mathematical Study*, 50(2):101–143, 2017.
- [29] H. Xiao, V. Rokhlin, and N. Yarvin. Prolate spheroidal wavefunctions, quadrature and interpolation. *Inverse Problems*, 17(4):805, 2001.
- [30] N. Yarvin and V. Rokhlin. Generalized gaussian quadratures and singular value decompositions of integral operators. *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, 20(2):699–718, 1998.
- [31] J. Zhang, H. Li, L.-L. Wang, and Z. Zhang. Ball prolate spheroidal wave functions in arbitrary dimensions. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 48(2):539–569, 2020.