On one variant of strongly nonlinear Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality involving Laplace operator with application to nonlinear elliptic problems

Tomasz Choczewski^a and Agnieszka Kałamajska^{a,b,*,†}

^aFaculty of Mathematics, Informatics, and Mechanics, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, Poland; ^bInstitute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

November 9, 2018

Key words and phrases: Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities, interpolation inequalities, Sobolev spaces, regularity, elliptic PDE's.

MSC (2000): Primary 46E35, Secondary 26D10

Abstract

We obtain the inequality

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p h(u(x)) dx \le C(n,p) \int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x)| |\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^p h(u(x)) dx,$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded Lipschitz domain, $u \in W^{2,1}_{loc}(\Omega)$ is postive and obeys some additional assumptions, Δu is the Laplace operator, $\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(\cdot)$ is certain transformation of the continuous function $h(\cdot)$. We also explain how to apply such inequality to deduce regularity for solutions of nonlinear eigenvalue problems of elliptic type for degenerated PDEs, with the illustration within the model of electrostatic micromechanical systems (MEMS).

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: A.Kalamajska@mimuw.edu.pl

 $^{^\}dagger {\rm The}$ work of A.K. was supported by the National Science Center (Poland), Grant $2014/14/{\rm M/ST1/00600}$

1 Introduction

In [16], Section 8.2.1 one finds the following inequality:

$$\int_{\{x:u(x)\neq 0\}} \left(\frac{|u'(x)|}{u^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)}\right)^p dx \le \left(\frac{p-1}{|1-\frac{1}{2}p|}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |u''(x)|^p dx,$$

where p > 2, $u \ge 0$ is smooth and compactly supported. Inspired by this inequality, the authors of [11] have obtained more general inequality:

$$\int_{\{x:u(x)\neq 0\}} \left(\frac{|u'(x)|}{|u(x)|^{\theta}}\right)^{p} dx \le \left(\frac{p-1}{|1-\theta p|}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\{x:u(x)\neq 0\}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{|u(x)u''(x)|}}{|u(x)|^{\theta}}\right)^{p} dx, \tag{1.1}$$

where $2 \leq p < \infty, \theta \in \mathbf{R}$, under certain assumptions on u, which permit non-negative smooth compactly supported functions.

In fact, inequality (1.1) is the special case of the more general inequality:

$$\int_{(a,b)} |u'(x)|^p h(u(x)) dx \le C_p \int_{(a,b)} \sqrt{|u''(x)\mathcal{T}_h(u(x))|}^p h(u(x)) dx, \tag{1.2}$$

where $-\infty \leq a < b \leq +\infty$, u obeys some assumptions and we can permit $u \in C_0^{\infty}((a,b)), u \geq 0$, $h(\cdot)$ is a given continuous function, $\mathcal{T}_h(\cdot)$ is certain transform of $h(\cdot)$ (see Definition 2.1), the constant C_p does not depend on u. When for example $h(t) = t^{\alpha}, \alpha > -1$, then $\mathcal{T}_h(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\alpha+1}\lambda$, so it is proportional to λ and in that case the inequality (1.2) takes the form (1.1).

After the substitution of $h \equiv 1$ in (1.2) and the application of Hölder's inequality, we obtain the classical Gagliardo-Nirenberg multiplicative inequality ([8, 17]):

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u'(x)|^p dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \le C \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u(x)|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |u''(x)|^r dx\right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \text{ whenever } \frac{2}{p} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r}, (1.3)$$

where $p \geq 2$. However, the classical inequality (1.3) holds within all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. We call inequality (1.2) strongly nonlinear multiplicative inequality, because of its nonlinear form and the link with the classical Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (1.3).

Inequalities (1.1) and their more general variants (1.2) were further developed in several directions. In particular, regularity assumptions for the admissible function u in (1.1) have been relaxed in [10], while Orlicz variants of (1.2), having the form:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} M(|u'(x)|h(u(x)))dx \le D \int_{\mathbb{R}} M\left(\sqrt{|u''(x)\mathcal{T}_h(u)(x)|} \cdot h(u(x))\right) dx, \tag{1.4}$$

where M is convex, were obtained in [12]. In the special case of $M(t) = t^p$, one retrieves the equation (1.2), under the assumption $2 \le p < \infty$. It was possible to consider also

to the case of $1 in [3], at the cost of certain modification of inequality (1.4). In particular, in place of the transformation <math>\mathcal{T}_h(u)$, one deals with some more general transformation, which is nonlocal in most cases.

In this paper we are interested in the multidimensional variant of (1.2). Partial answer to that problem was presented in [5], where we obtained the inequality:

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p h(u(x)) dx \le C(n,p) \int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\nabla^{(2)} u(x)| |\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^p h(u(x)) dx,$$

where $C(n,p) = (p-1+\sqrt{n-1})^{\frac{p}{2}}$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ has Lipschitz boundary and $n \geq 2$, $u: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is positive and belongs to certain subset in the Sobolev space $W_{loc}^{2,1}(\Omega)$, $\nabla^{(2)}u$ is the Hessian matrix of u and $\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u)$ is the transformation of the continuous function $h(\cdot)$ as in Definition 2.1, under certain additional assumptions. As kindly pointed to us by Patrizia Donato, it would be interesting to obtain the inequality having the form:

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p h(u(x)) dx \le A_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|Pu(x)\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^p h(u(x)) dx, \tag{1.5}$$

involving some elliptic operator P. In this paper we contribute to the answer on that question, having in mind Laplace operator $Pu = \Delta u$, see Theorems 4.2 and 5.1. Let us mention that the 'unweighted' $(h \equiv 1)$ multidimensional variant of inequality (1.4), the inequality:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(|\nabla u|) dx \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} M(|u||\nabla^{(2)}u|) dx,$$

was obtained earlier in [13].

Most of the already discussed variants of inequality (1.1) have already been applied. In particular, the inequality (1.4) was applied in [12] to obtain second order Orlicz type izoperimetric inequalities and capacitary estimates. Inequalities of the type (1.2) were used to deduce regularity and asymptotic behaviour of solutions to general nonlinear eigenvalue problems for singular PDE's in [11]. Such an example problem is the Emden-Fowler type equation : $u''(x) = g(x)u(x)^{\alpha}$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, where we assume that $g(x) \in L^p((a,b))$, which appears for example in electricity theory, fluid dynamics or mathematical biology, see e. g. [2, 4, 7, 15, 18, 20, 21, 22]. Regularity of solutions to such a problem was studied in [10], by usage of inequality (1.1). In another source, [19], the variant of (1.1) was used to obtain regularity of solutions of the Cucker-Smale equation with singular communication weight.

As explained in Section 6, our new derived inequality:

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p h(u(x)) dx \le A_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x) \mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^p h(u(x)) dx,$$

can be applied to deduce regularity for positive solutions to nonlinear PDE's like:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Delta u = g(x)\tau(u) & \text{in} \quad \Omega, \\ u \equiv 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega \end{array} \right., \quad \text{where} \ \ g \in L^{p/2}(\Omega), p \geq 2.$$

In the particular case of $\tau(u) = \frac{1}{(1-u)^2}$, 0 < u < 1, we deal with the model of electrostatic micromechanical systems (MEMS). Using our proposed methods, we deduce that then the composition: $(1-u)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ belongs to $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and moreover:

$$4\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla((1-u)^{\frac{1}{2}})|^p dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p (1-u)^{-\frac{p}{2}} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \le (p-1)\left(\int_{\Omega} |g(x)|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

We believe that the presented inequality can be extended in many ways and applied to the regularity theory in nonlinear degenerated PDEs of various type.

2 Preliminaries and notation

Notation. From now on, we assume that $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is an open domain, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In some cases $\Omega = B(0,r)$ is the ball of center at 0 and radius r. When Ω has Lipschitz boundary, that is Ω belongs to the $C^{0,1}$ - class, see e.g. [16], by $d\sigma$ we denote the n-1-dimensional Hausdorff measure on $\partial\Omega$. We use the standard notation: $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ to denote smooth functions with compact support, $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$ to denote the global and local Sobolev functions defined on Ω , respectively. If $I \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ is an interval, by AC(I) we denote functions which are absolutely continuous on I. If $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and f is defined on A by $f\chi_A$ we mean an extension of f by zero outside set A. When $1 , we define the continuous function <math>\Phi_p : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$, by

$$\Phi_p(\lambda) = \begin{cases} |\lambda|^{p-2} \lambda & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$$

When $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$, by $a \otimes b$ we denote the tensor product of a and b, the square matrix $(a_ib_j)_{i,j\in\{1,\dots,n\}}$, while $a \cdot b$ denotes the scalar product of a and b. By $\nabla^{(2)}u(x)$ we mean Hessian matrix of twice differentiable function $u:\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$, the matrix $\left(\frac{\partial^2 u(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\right)_{i,j\in\{1,\dots,n\}}$. When 1 , then <math>p' is Hölder conjugate to p, i.e. p' = p/(p-1). If A is a vector or matrix, by |A| we denote its Euclidean norm.

We also recall the definition of the infinity Laplacian:

$$\Delta_{\infty} u(x) := \begin{cases} v(x)^t \nabla^{(2)} u(x) v(x), & \text{where } v(x) = \frac{\nabla u(x)}{|\nabla u(x)|} & \text{if } \nabla u(x) \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \nabla u(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We will consider certain operator involving Δ_{∞} -Laplacian:

$$\Delta^{\spadesuit} u(x) := \Delta_{\infty} u(x) - \Delta u(x)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{i,j \in \{1,\dots,n\} \ i \neq j}} v_i(x) v_j(x) \frac{\partial^{(2)} u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n ((v_i(x))^2 - 1) \frac{\partial^{(2)} u}{\partial x_i^2}(x),$$

where $v(x) = \frac{\nabla u(x)}{|\nabla u(x)|} \chi_{\{\nabla u(x) \neq 0\}} \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

Transformation of weights. In the sequel we will use the following definition, which has appeared first in [11].

Definition 2.1 (transformations of the nonlinear weight h). Let $0 < B \le \infty$, $h : (0, B) \to (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function which is integrable on $(0, \lambda)$ for every $\lambda < B$, $C \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $H_C : [0, B) \to \mathbf{R}$ be the locally absolutely continuous primitive of h extended to 0, given by

$$H_C(\lambda) := \int_0^{\lambda} h(s)ds - C, \quad \lambda \in [0, B).$$

We define the transformation of h, $\mathcal{T}_{h,C}:(0,B)\to(0,\infty)$ by

$$\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(\lambda) := \frac{H_C(\lambda)}{h(\lambda)}, \quad \lambda \in (0, B).$$

In the case when C=0 we omit it from the notation, i.e. we write $H_0=:H, \mathcal{T}_{h,0}=:\mathcal{T}_h$. Note that h and $\mathcal{T}_{h,C}$ might not be defined at 0 or B in case $B<\infty$.

The following example shows that in many situations we may substitute the transformation \mathcal{T}_h by h, at the cost of constant in the estimate.

Example 2.1. When $h(\lambda) = \lambda^{\theta}$, $\theta > -1$, then $\mathcal{T}_h(\lambda) = (1+\theta)^{-1}\lambda$, so \mathcal{T} is proportional to the identity function. Similarly, $\mathcal{T}_h(\lambda)$ can be estimated from above by the proportional to the identity function, when we can deduce that $\mathcal{T}_h(\lambda) = \frac{H(\lambda)}{h(\lambda)} \leq A\lambda$, with some general constant A. This is always the case when H is convex.

The classical Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality. We recall the variant of the classical statement of the Gagliardo and Nirenberg interpolation inequality ([8, 17]).

Theorem 2.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be the bounded Lipschitz domain, $p,q,r \in [1,\infty]$, $\frac{2}{q} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{p}$, 0 < k < m and k,m are positive integers. Then there exists constant C > 0 such that for any $u \in W^{2,1}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \le C\left(\|u\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}^{1/2}\|\nabla^{(2)}u\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{1/2} + \|u\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}\right).$$

First strongly nonlinear multidimensional interpolation inequalities. In [5] we obtained the following variant of inequality (1.2). We omit the formulation some more general statements proven there, also where we admitted functions u such that A < u < B with general constants A, B, or more general domains Ω .

Theorem 2.2. Let $n \geq 2$, $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be the bounded Lipschitz domain, $2 \leq p < \infty$, $0 < B \leq \infty$, $h: (0,B) \to (0,\infty)$, H_C , $\mathcal{T}_{h,C}$ be as in Definition 2.1, $u \in W^{2,p/2}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$, 0 < u < B in Ω and

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \Phi_p(\nabla u(x)) \cdot n(x) H_C(u(x)) d\sigma(x) \in [-\infty, 0], \tag{2.1}$$

where n(x) denotes unit outer normal vector to $\partial\Omega$, defined for σ almost all $x \in \partial\Omega$.

Then

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p h(u(x)) dx \leq C(n,p) \int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\nabla^{(2)} u(x)| |\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^p h(u(x)) dx,$$

where
$$C(n, p) = (p - 1 + \sqrt{n-1})^{\frac{p}{2}}$$
.

Remark 2.1. Assume that $H_C(0) \ge 0$. When we deal with Dirichlet condition: u = 0 on $\partial\Omega$, then ∇u is perpendicular to $\partial\Omega$. As u is positive inside Ω , we have $\partial_{n(x)}u(x) = \nabla u(x) \cdot n(x) \le 0$ for σ almost every $x \in \partial\Omega$. Therefore also $\Phi_p(\nabla u(x)) \cdot n(x) \le 0$, for σ almost every $x \in \partial\Omega$, and the condition (2.1) is satisfied.

We also focus on the following statement from [5], the variant of Theorem 5,1, which was our inspiration for further work on the nonlinear interpolation inequalities.

Theorem 2.3. Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 be satisfied. Then we have

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p h(u(x)) dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq (p-2) \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|(\Delta_{\infty} u(x))\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|}\right)^p h(u(x)) dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x)\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|}\right)^p h(u(x)) dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$
(2.2)

In particular, when p = 2, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^2 h(u(x)) dx \le \int_{\Omega} |\Delta u(x)| \mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x)) |h(u(x)) dx.$$

3 The question and one negative example

It would be interesting to know if one could obtain stronger inequality than (2.2), having the form:

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p h(u(x)) dx \le C_p \int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x)\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^p h(u(x)) dx, \tag{3.1}$$

with some constant C_p independent on u, eventually positive inside Ω and such that $u \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, where p > 2. The following example shows that such inequality is not possible when Ω is not bounded, even within the class of radially symmetric functions defined on the complement of the ball.

Example 3.1. Assume that p > 2, and let $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0,1)$, $h(x) = x^{-\alpha}$, $\alpha < 1$, in particular $H(x) = \frac{x^{-\alpha+1}}{1-\alpha}$. We consider

$$u(x) := \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{1}{|x|^{n-2}} & \text{when} \quad n \ge 3\\ \log|x| & \text{when} \quad n = 2 \end{cases}$$

Obviously $\Delta u = 0$ in Ω , so that the right side in (3.1) is 0, whereas the left one is positive. Moreover, u satisfies the boundary condition (2.1), because $u \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

Our next goal is to give a positive answer to our question within the class of radial functions defined on balls.

4 Analysis within the radially symmetric functions

In the whole section we assume that Ω is a proper ball with center at the origin. Moreover, we admit radial functions only to the inequality (3.1).

We start with the following statement, which gives the inequality slightly weaker than (3.1). It contains the additional term depending on u but not on its derivatives on the right hand side.

Theorem 4.1. Assume that $\Omega = B(0,r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, $0 < r, B < \infty$, $n \ge 2$, p > 2, $C \in \mathbb{R}$, let $h: (0,B) \to (0,\infty)$ and H_C , $\mathcal{T}_{h,C}$ be as in Definition 2.1, $H_C(0) \ge 0$. Moreover, let

$$u \in \mathcal{R} := \{ u \in W^{2,p/2}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega}), : u(x) = w(|x|), 0 < w < B \text{ on } [0,r), w(r) = 0 \}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{(\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u))^p (h(u))^2}{|x|^p} dx < \infty. \tag{4.1}$$

Then

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{p} h(u(x)) dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq 2(p-1) \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x)\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|}\right)^{p} h(u(x)) dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} + [(p-2)(n-1)]^{2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|}{|x|}\right)^{p} h(u(x)) dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$
(4.2)

Proof. Let us denote: u(x) = w(|x|). Then we have

$$\nabla u(x) = w'(|x|) \cdot \frac{x}{|x|}, \ |\nabla u(x)| = |w'(x)|,$$

$$\nabla^{(2)} u(x) = w''(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|} + \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} \left[I - \frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|} \right],$$

where by I we denote the identity matrix. As $v^t(v \otimes v)v = 1$ and $v^tIv = 1$ when |v| = 1, an easy computation gives:

$$\Delta_{\infty}u(x) = w^{''}(|x|) \text{ and } \Delta u(x) = tr(\nabla^{(2)}u(x)) = w^{''}(|x|) + (n-1)\frac{w^{'}(|x|)}{|x|}.$$

As u satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 (see Remark 2.1), we have

$$I := \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \le$$

$$(p-2) \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|(w''(|x|))\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^p h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

$$+ \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x)\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^p h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} =: I_1 + I_2.$$

$$(4.3)$$

Moreover, $w''(|x|) = \Delta u(x) - (n-1)\frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|}$. Therefore

$$I_{1} \leq (p-2) \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x)\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^{p} h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

$$+ (p-2)(n-1) \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{\left| \frac{|\nabla u(x)|}{|x|} \mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x)) \right|} \right)^{p} h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

$$\leq (p-2) \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x)\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^{p} h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

$$+ (p-2)(n-1) \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{p} h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|}{|x|} \right)^{p} h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .$$

$$(4.4)$$

Summing up I_1 and I_2 we obtain inequality:

$$I \leq (p-1) \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x)\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^{p} h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

$$+ (p-2)(n-1)I^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|}{|x|} \right)^{p} h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

To finish the proof of (4.2) we note that when $I < \infty$, then inequality $I \le a + bI^{\frac{1}{2}}$ implies $I \le \left(\frac{b+\sqrt{b^2+4a}}{2}\right)^2$, while $\left(\frac{b+\sqrt{b^2+4a}}{2}\right)^2 \le b^2 + 2a$. In the case of $I = \infty$ we have $I_1 = \infty$ or $I_2 = \infty$ in (4.3). When $I_2 = \infty$, then (4.2) holds trivially. The case of $I_2 < \infty$ and $I_1 = \infty$ is impossible because of (4.4). Indeed, we then would have:

$$\infty = \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\nabla u(x)| |\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|}{|x|} \right)^{p/2} h(u(x)) dx
\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|}{|x|} \right)^p (h(u(x)))^2 dx \right)^{1/2} < \infty.$$

The above expression is finite because of (4.1) and an application of the classical Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequality (Theorem 2.1). Namely, when $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W^{2,p/2}(\Omega)$, then $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Remark 4.1.

1) We do not know if technical assumption (4.1) can be violated in general, but in some cases it can be done. Indeed, assume that

$$G_{h,C}(\lambda) := \mathcal{T}_{h,C}(\lambda)h(\lambda)^{1/p} \in W^{1,\infty}((0,B)). \tag{4.5}$$

This implies that $v(x) := G_{h,C}(u(x)) \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\Omega)$ and $\nabla v(x) = (G'_{h,C}(u(x))\nabla u(x))$ a.e. (see e.g. [16], Section 1.1.3), so that

$$|\nabla v(x)| \le ||G'_{h,C}||_{L^{\infty}(0,B)}|\nabla u(x)| \in L^{p}(\Omega).$$

Last conclusion follows from Theorem 2.1, because $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, $\nabla^{(2)}u \in L^{p/2}(\Omega)$. The condition (4.5) is satisfied when we chose for example $h(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha > 0$ and C = 0.

2) Another situation when (4.1) holds is when $h \in L^{\infty}$. Indeed, let $[(p-2)(n-1)]^2 \mathcal{A}^{\frac{2}{p}}$ be the second term in (4.2). If $\mathcal{A} = \infty$ then (4.2) holds trivially, while if $\mathcal{A} < \infty$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{|\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|}{|x|} \right)^p (h(u(x)))^2 dx \le ||h||_{\infty} \mathcal{A} < \infty.$$

Our next statement allows to violate last term in (4.2), under some additional assumptions.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\Omega = B(0,r) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, where $0 < r, B < \infty$, $2 , <math>C \in \mathbf{R}$, and $h: (0,B) \to (0,\infty)$, H_C , $\mathcal{T}_{h,C}$ be as in Definition 2.1. Assume further that: a) $H_C(0) \ge 0$,

b) $G_{h,C,p}(\lambda) := \mathcal{T}_{h,C}(\lambda)h^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda) : (0,B) \to (0,\infty)$ extends to locally absolutely continuous function defined on [0,B) such that $G_{h,C,p}(0) = 0$ and

$$C_{h,C,p} := \sup\{\frac{|G'_{h,C,p}(\lambda)|}{h^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda)} : \lambda \in (0,B)\} < \infty, \ D = D_{h,C,p,n} := (p-2)\frac{(n-1)p}{(n-p)}C_{h,C,p} < 1.$$

Moreover, let $u \in W^{2,p/2}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ be the radially symmetric function such that 0 < u < B in Ω , $u \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and $\int_{\Omega} \frac{(\mathcal{T}_h(u))^p (h(u))^2}{|x|^p} dx < \infty$.

Then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p h(u(x)) dx \le A \int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x)\mathcal{T}_h(u(x))|} \right)^p h(u(x)) dx, \tag{4.6}$$

where $A = \left(\frac{2(p-1)}{1-D^2}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}}$.

The proof will be based on the classical Hardy inequality (see e.g. [9], [14]). It appears that best constants in the Hardy inequality have their impact on the choice of the admissable to the inequality (4.6) set of functions h. More precisely, the term $\frac{(n-1)p}{n-p}$ in the definition of constant D comes from the analysis of Hardy constant.

Theorem 4.3. Let $1 , <math>\alpha \neq p-1$. Suppose that f = f(t) is locally absolutely continuous function in $(0,\infty)$ such that $\int_0^\infty |f'(t)|^p t^\alpha dt < \infty$, and let

$$f^{+}(0) := \lim_{t \to 0} f(t) = 0 \text{ for } \alpha
 $f(\infty) := \lim_{t \to \infty} f(t) = 0 \text{ for } \alpha > p - 1.$ (4.7)$$

Then the following inequality holds:

$$\int_0^\infty |f(t)|^p t^{\alpha-p} dt \le C \int_0^\infty |f'(t)|^p t^\alpha dt,$$

where $C = \left(\frac{p}{|\alpha - p + 1|}\right)^p$ is best possible.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We can assume that right hand side in (4.6) is finite. We have u(x) := w(|x|) and $u \in W^{2,1}(B(0,r))$. It implies that w and w' are locally absolutely continuous on (0,r). The function

$$v(x) := G_{h,C,p}(u(x)) = G_{h,C,p}(w(|x|)) =: \tilde{v}(|x|)$$

is radially symmetric. We have $\tilde{v}(r) = (G_{h,C,p} \circ w)(r)$, in particular $\tilde{v}'(s) = G'_{h,C,p}(w(s)) \cdot w'(s)$ and, as $w' \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(0,r)$, $G'_{h,C,p} \in L^{1}_{loc}(0,r)$, we get $\tilde{v}' \in L^{1}_{loc}(0,r)$. Therefore \tilde{v} is also locally absolutely continuous on (0,r). As $\tilde{v}(s) \to 0$ as $s \to r$, we can extend \tilde{v} by 0 to the whole $(0,\infty)$, which gives locally absolutely continuous function. We keep the same notation for \tilde{v} and for the extension. Then $f = \tilde{v}$ satisfies condition (4.7) with $\alpha = n - 1$. Applying polar coordinates and Theorem 4.3 with that α we obtain:

$$\mathcal{A} := \left(\int_{B(0,r)} \left(\frac{|\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|}{|x|} \right)^p h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} = \left(\int_{B(0,r)} \left(\frac{|v(x)|}{|x|} \right)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} dx$$

$$= \left(\theta_n \int_0^\infty |\tilde{v}(s)|^p s^{n-1} ds \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \le \theta_n^{\frac{2}{p}} \left(\frac{p}{n-p} \right)^2 \left(\int_0^\infty |\tilde{v}'(s)|^p s^{n-1} ds \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}, \tag{4.8}$$

where θ_n is the n-1 dimensional measure of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n . Note that

$$|\tilde{v}'(s)| = |G'_{h,C,p}(w(s))w'(s)| \le C_{h,C,p}h^{\frac{1}{p}}(w(s))|w'(s)|,$$

so that

$$|\tilde{v}'(s)|^p \le C_{h,C,p}^p |w'(s)|^p h(w(s)).$$

Using this and (4.8), we obtain inequality:

$$\mathcal{A} \le \left(\frac{p}{n-p}\right)^2 C_{h,C,p}^2 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p h(u(x)) dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

According to Theorem 4.1 this implies

$$I := \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

$$\leq 2(p-1) \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x)\mathcal{T}_h(u(x))|} \right)^p h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} + [(p-2)(n-1)]^2 \mathcal{A}$$

$$\leq 2(p-1) \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x)\mathcal{T}_h(u(x))|} \right)^p h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} + D^2 I =: \mathcal{X} + D^2 I.$$

By our assumption $A < \infty$, therefore also $I < \infty$, because of first inequality above. The condition D < 1 implies the statement after we rearrange the inequality: $I \le \mathcal{X} + D^2 I$ with the finite I.

The following remarks are in order.

Remark 4.2.

1) Let $h(\lambda) = \alpha \lambda^{\alpha-1}$, $\alpha > 0$, n > 1 and 2 , <math>C = 0. We have

$$H(\lambda) = \lambda^{\alpha}, \ h'(\lambda) = \alpha(\alpha - 1)\lambda^{\alpha - 2}, \ \mathcal{T}_{h}(\lambda) = \alpha^{-1}\lambda, \ G_{h,0,p}(\lambda) = \alpha^{\frac{1}{p} - 1}\lambda^{\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{\alpha}{p}},$$

$$G'_{h,0,p}(\lambda) = \alpha^{\frac{1}{p} - 1}(\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{\alpha}{p})\lambda^{\frac{\alpha - 1}{p}}, \ \frac{G'_{h,0,p}(\lambda)}{h^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{\alpha}{p}) =: C_{h,0,p}.$$

Easy computations show that conditions in Theorem 4.2 are satisfied when

$$D = (p-2)\frac{(n-1)p}{(n-p)}\frac{1}{\alpha}(\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{\alpha}{p}) < 1.$$

We observe that D < 1 if for instance when p is sufficiently close to 2.

- 2) When for some $E \in \mathbf{R}_+$ and for any $\lambda \in (0, B)$ we have $|H_C(\lambda)h'(\lambda)| \leq Eh^2(\lambda)$, then $C_{h,C,p} \leq 1 + (1 \frac{1}{p})E$.
- 3) In all cases D < 1 for p sufficiently close to 2, provided that $C_{h,C,p} < \infty$.

5 Relaxing the radiality assumption

Our next results apply to the case when Ω is bounded domain with C^2 boundary and u is not necessarily radial.

We recall the following fact. For reader's convenience we enclose the sketch of the proof.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain with C^2 boundary and $1 < q < \infty$. Then for any $u \in W^{2,q}(\Omega)$ such that $u \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ we have

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla^{(2)} w(x)|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \tilde{C}_{q,\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta w|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},\tag{5.1}$$

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta^{\spadesuit} w|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \tilde{D}_{q,\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta w|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\tilde{D}_{q,\Omega} \leq \tilde{C}_{q,\Omega} + 1$ and $\tilde{C}_{q,\Omega} > 0$.

Proof. "(5.1):" Let G(x,y) be Green's function for Ω . Then $u(x) = T(\Delta u)(x)$ where $Tv(x) := \int_{\Omega} G(x,y)v(y)dy$. It is known that elliptic regularity theory that operator $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} T : L^q(\Omega) \to L^q(\Omega)$ is bounded, see e.g. [1]. "(5.2):" As $|\Delta_{\infty} u(x)| \leq |\nabla^{(2)} u(x)|$, we obtain from (5.1):

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta^{\spadesuit} w|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta_{\infty} w|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta w|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla^{(2)} w|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta w|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
\leq \left(\tilde{C}_{q,\Omega} + 1\right) \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta w|^q dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Our next lemma deals with compositions.

Lemma 5.2. Let Ω be a bounded domain with C^2 boundary, $0 < B < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$ and $W : (0, B) \to \mathbf{R}$ be such that $W \in C^1((0, B)) \cap L^{\infty}((0, B))$, w := W', $\widetilde{W} : [0, B) \to \mathbf{R}$, $\widetilde{W}(\lambda) := \int_0^{\lambda} W(s) ds$ - be the primitive of W such that $\widetilde{W}(0) = 0$.

Moreover, let $v \in W^{2,q}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ satisfies: 0 < v(x) < B in Ω .

12

Then the composition $\widetilde{W}(v(x))$ belongs to $W_{loc}^{2,q}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$, $\Delta^{\spadesuit}\widetilde{W}(v) \in L^{q}(\Omega)$ and

$$\nabla(\widetilde{W}(v)) = W(v)\nabla v,$$

$$\nabla^{(2)}(\widetilde{W}(v)) = w(v)\nabla v \otimes \nabla v + W(v)\nabla^{(2)}v,$$

$$\Delta_{\infty}(\widetilde{W}(v)) = w(v)|\nabla v|^{2} + W(v)\Delta_{\infty}v,$$

$$\Delta(\widetilde{W}(v)) = w(v)|\nabla v|^{2} + W(v)\Delta v,$$

$$\Delta^{\spadesuit}(\widetilde{W}(v)) = W(v) \cdot \Delta^{\spadesuit}v,$$

$$(5.3)$$

on the set of full measure in Ω .

Proof. Properties (5.3) are obvious. Regularity property for $\widetilde{W}(v(x))$ follow from them easily once we are convinced that $\nabla^{(2)}\widetilde{W}(v)$ belongs to $L^q_{loc}(\Omega)$. To verify this we use the fact that $v \in L^{\infty}$, and so, by Theorem 2.1 we have $\nabla v \in L^{2q}(\Omega)$, $\nabla v \otimes \nabla v \in L^q(\Omega)$, while w(v) and W(v) are locally bounded.

Our main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 5.1. Assume that $2 , <math>\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain with C^2 boundary, $n \ge 2$, $0 < B < \infty$ and the following conditions a),b),c) hold:

- a) $h:(0,B)\to(0,\infty),\ H_C,\ \mathcal{T}_{h,C}$ are as in Definition 2.1, and additionally $h\in C^1((0,B)),\ H_C(0)\geq 0;$
- b) there exists some non-negative function $G \in L^{\infty}((0,B))$ such that W := G requires the assumptions in Lemma 5.2 and for some positive constants c_1, c_2 we have

$$c_1 G \le |\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(\lambda)| h^{\frac{2}{p}}(\lambda) \le c_2 G \text{ on } (0,B)$$

$$(5.4)$$

c) $\tilde{D}_{q,\Omega}$ is as in (5.2), $E = E_{h,p,C} := \sup\{\frac{|G'(\lambda)|}{h(\lambda)^{\frac{2}{p}}} : \lambda \in (0,B)\} < \infty$ and

$$\kappa = \kappa_{h,C,p,\Omega} := (p-2)c_2\tilde{D}_{p/2,\Omega}E < 1. \tag{5.5}$$

Then for every function $u \in W^{2,p/2}_{loc}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ such that 0 < u(x) < B in Ω , $u \equiv 0$ on $\partial \Omega$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p h(u(x)) dx \le A_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x) \mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^p h(u(x)) dx, \tag{5.6}$$

where $A_{\Omega} = \frac{(p-2)c_2c_1^{-1}\tilde{D}_{p/2,\Omega}E+(p-1)}{1-\kappa}$, provided that $I(u) := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p h(u(x)) dx$ is finite. Finitness of I(u) is not needed when G in (5.4) is constant function.

Proof. Let us denote:

$$J(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x) \mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^p h(u(x)) dx.$$

From Theorem 2.3, Remark 2.1 and the observation that $\Delta_{\infty} = \Delta^{\spadesuit} + \Delta$, we get:

$$(I(u))^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq (p-2) \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta \Phi u(x) \mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^{p} h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

$$+ (p-2) \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x) \mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^{p} h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

$$+ \left(\int_{\Omega} \left(\sqrt{|\Delta u(x) \mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))|} \right)^{p} h(u(x)) dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}}$$

$$=: (p-2) (A(u))^{\frac{2}{p}} + (p-1) (J(u))^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

According to Lemma 5.2 with W = G, we get: $(A(u))^{\frac{2}{p}} :=$

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta^{\spadesuit} u \mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u) h^{\frac{2}{p}}(u)|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta^{\spadesuit} u c_2 G(u)|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} = c_2 \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta^{\spadesuit} \tilde{G}(u)|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

We use Lemma 5.1 to estimate:

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta^{\spadesuit} \tilde{G}(u)|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \tilde{D}_{\frac{p}{2},\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta \tilde{G}(u)|^{\frac{p}{2}} dx\right)^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

According to our assumptions on G:

$$|\Delta \tilde{G}(u)| = |G'(u)|\nabla u|^2 + G(u)\Delta u|$$

$$\leq E|\nabla u|^2 (h(u))^{\frac{2}{p}} + c_1^{-1}|\Delta u||\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u)|(h(u))^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Therefore

$$(A(u))^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq c_2 \tilde{D}_{p/2,\Omega} E(I(u))^{\frac{2}{p}} + c_2 c_1^{-1} \tilde{D}_{p/2,\Omega} (J(u))^{\frac{2}{p}},$$

$$(I(u))^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq (p-2) c_2 \tilde{D}_{p/2,\Omega} E(I(u))^{\frac{2}{p}} + (p-2) c_2 c_1^{-1} \tilde{D}_{p/2,\Omega} (J(u))^{\frac{2}{p}} + (p-1) (J(u))^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

Therefore, if $\kappa = (p-2)c_2\tilde{D}_{p/2,\Omega}E < 1$ and $J(u) < \infty$, we can rearrange the last inequality and obtain the statement, while if E = 0, finiteness assumption for J(u) is not needed.

Let us discuss our last statement.

Remark 5.1.

- 1) When h is bounded on (0,B) and $u \in W^{2,p/2}_{loc}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then $I(u) < \infty$, what follows from Theorem 2.1.
- 2) Simpler but barely possible situation is when $G(\lambda) = \mathcal{T}_{h,C}(\lambda)h^{\frac{2}{p}}(\lambda)$, so that the assumption (5.4) holds with $c_1 = c_2 = 1$. In general, the derivatives of $\mathcal{T}_{h,C}h^{\frac{2}{p}}$ might be essentially larger than the derivatives of function G.
- 3) When $\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(\lambda)h^{\frac{2}{p}}(\lambda) \equiv C$ is a constant function, then the assumption $I(u) < \infty$ can be omitted. This is for example possible within the class of functions like $h(\lambda) = (B-\lambda)^{\alpha}$ for some α 's, as we will illustrate in our next section.
- 4) We can omit the assumption $I(u) < \infty$ when $G \equiv 1$ in (5.4), that is when

$$0 < c_1 \le |(\mathcal{T}_{h,C}h^{\frac{2}{p}})(\lambda)| \le c_2 < \infty$$

in (0, B).

- 5) We do not know if in general it is possible to violate the assumption $I(u) < \infty$ from the statement. The analysis dealing with one-dimensional similar inequality given in Remark 6.2 in [11] would suggest that it might not necessary be the case.
- 6) Note that the constant A_{Ω} in Theorem 5.1 is dimension free.
- 7) It appears important to know what is best constant $\tilde{D}_{q,\Omega}$ in the inequality (5.2), because it has its impact on the condition (5.5) for the weights h admissible to the inequality (5.6).
- 8) The condition (5.5) is satisfied when $E < \infty$ and p is sufficiently close to 2.

6 Applications to the elliptic eigenvalue problems

The general approach to deduce regularity. In this section we use our results to prove the regularity of solutions. We illustrate our approach in an exemplary MEMS model from paper by Esposito [6].

Let us consider an eigenvalue problem in the form:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = g(x)\tau(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in \mathcal{R}, \end{cases}$$

where 0 < u < B in Ω , Ω is bounded domain with C^2 boundary, \mathcal{R} is the set admissible to Theorem 5.1.

We use similar technics as in [11], Section 7. Namely, assume that $g \in L^q(\Omega)$ where q > 1. Formally we want to find some function h satisfying the following inequality

$$|g(x)|^{q} = \left| \frac{\Delta u(x)}{\tau(u(x))} \right|^{q} \ge c|\mathcal{T}_{h,C}(u(x))\Delta u(x)|^{\frac{p}{2}}h(u(x)), \tag{6.1}$$

where q = p/2, $\mathcal{T}_{h,C}$ is as in Definition 2.1, c > 0 is some constant. It can be done by looking for positive function h and constant c > 0 such that for every $\lambda \in (0, B)$

$$|\tau(\lambda)|^{-q} \ge c|\mathcal{T}_h(\lambda)|^q h(\lambda) = c|H(\lambda)|^q h^{1-q}(\lambda).$$

If we find the function satisfying above equality and some additional conditions from Theorem 5.1, then by equalities (5.6) and (6.1), we obtain

$$|\nabla u|^p h(u) \in L^1(\Omega)$$
, equivalently $W_p(u) \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, where $W_p(\lambda) = \int_0^{\lambda} h^{\frac{1}{p}}(s) ds$. (6.2)

Application to the model MEMS. In [6] there appears some simple model of electrostatic micromechanical systems (MEMS), which is reduced to the following problem

$$\begin{cases}
\Delta u = \frac{rf(x)}{(1-u)^2} & \text{in } \Omega, \\
u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\
0 < u < 1 & \text{in } \Omega,
\end{cases}$$
(6.3)

where r > 0, $f \le 0$, $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}) \cap W^{2,2}(\Omega)$, Ω is open and bounded, sufficiently regular. Let us possibly weaken the assumptions on u, requiring that $u \in W^{2,q}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$, $1 < q < \infty$. We also do not need information about the sign of f.

Let g(x) = rf(x) and assume that $f \in L^q(\Omega)$, q = p/2, p > 2. We want to find function h and constant C > 0 such that

$$|\tau(\lambda)|^{-q} = (1-\lambda)^{2q} \ge c|H_C(\lambda)|^q h^{1-q}(\lambda).$$

with some constant c > 0. Let us propose

$$h(\lambda) := (1 - \lambda)^{\alpha}$$
, where $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}, C = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha}$.

Clearly, such function is always integrable near zero. Moreover, we have:

$$H_{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\alpha+1}(1-\lambda)^{\alpha+1}, \ H_{\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}(0) = -\frac{1}{\alpha+1} > 0, \text{ when } \alpha < -1,$$

$$\mathcal{T}_{h,\frac{1}{\alpha+1}}(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\alpha+1}\frac{(1-\lambda)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-\lambda)^{\alpha}} = -\frac{1}{\alpha+1}(1-\lambda),$$

$$G_{\alpha} := G_{h,\frac{1}{\alpha+1},2q}(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{\alpha+1}(1-\lambda)^{\frac{\alpha}{q}+1},$$

$$G'_{\alpha}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\alpha+1}(\frac{\alpha}{q}+1)(1-\lambda)^{\frac{\alpha}{q}}.$$

We choose $\alpha = -q$, so that $G = G_{\alpha}$ is constant and E = 0 in Theorem 5.1. We leave it to the reader to check that the remaining conditions, which are needed to apply Theorem 5.1, are satisfied. We arrive at the following regularity result:

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2q} (1-u)^{-q} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le (p-1)r \left(\int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{q} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

In particular, by (6.2),

$$(1-u)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in W^{1,2q}(\Omega),$$

which is not visible directly from the model (6.3).

Acknowledgement. This research was partially done when T.Ch. was PHD student at Faculty of Mathematics, Informatics and Mechanics at the University of Warsaw. It was continued when A.K. had research position at Institute of Mathematics of the Polish Academy of Sciences at Warsaw in academic year 2018/2019. She would like to thank IM PAN for hospitality. We also want to thank Patrizja Donato for drawing our attention to the interesting inequality (1.5).

References

- [1] Calderon, A. P., Zygmund, A., On singular integrals, Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 289–309.
- [2] Callegari, A., Nachman, A., A nonlinear singular boundary value problem in the theory of pseudoplastic fluids. SIAM J. Appl. Math. 38(2) (1980), 275–281.
- [3] Capone, C., Fiorenza, A., Kałamajska, A., Strongly nonlinear Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality in Orlicz spaces and Boyd indices, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **28**(1) (2017), 119–141.
- [4] Carleman, T., Problèmes mathématiques dans la théorie cinétique de gaz. Almqvist & Wiksell, 1957.
- [5] Choczewski, T., Kałamajska, A., On certain variant of strongly nonlinear multidimensional interpolation inequality, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 52 (2018), 49–67.
- [6] Esposito, P. Ghoussoub, N., Guo, Y., Mathematical analysis of partial differential equations modeling electrostatic MEMS. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, New York, Providence 2010.
- [7] Fermi, E., Un methodo statistico par la determinazione di alcune properitá dell' atoma, Rend. Accad. Naz. del Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. e Nat. 6 (1927), 602–607.
- [8] Gagliardo, E., Ulteriori proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni in più variabili (in Italian), Ricerche Mat. 8 (1959), 24–51.
- [9] Hardy, G.H., Littlewood J.E., Pólya G., *Inequalities*, 2d ed. Cambridge University Press, 1952.

- [10] Kałamajska, A., Mazowiecka, K.; Some regularity results to the generalized Emden-Fowler equation with irregular data, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 38(12) (2015), 2479– 2495.
- [11] Kałamajska, A., Peszek, J., On some nonlinear extensions of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality with applications to nonlinear eigenvalue problems, Asymptot. Anal. 77 (2012), 169–196.
- [12] Kałamajska, A., Peszek, J., On certain generalizations of the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and their applications to capacitary estimates and isoperimetric inequalities, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 13(1) (2013), 271–290.
- [13] Kałamajska, A., Pietruska-Pałuba, K., Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities in Orlicz spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **55**(6) (2006), 1767–1789.
- [14] Kufner, A., Maligranda, L., Persson, L-E., The Hardy inequality. About its history and some related results, Vydavatelský Servis, Plzeň, 2007.
- [15] Luning, C. D. and Perry, W. L., Positive solutions of negative exponent generalized Emden-Fowler boundary value problems. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 12(6) (1981), 874– 879.
- [16] Mazy'a, V. G., Sobolev Spaces, Springer-Verlag 1985.
- [17] Nirenberg, L., On elliptic partial differential equations, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. di Pisa, 13 (1959), 115–162.
- [18] Perry, W. L., A monotone iterative technique for solution of pth order (p < 0) reaction-diffusion problems in permeable catalysis. J. Comput. Chem. 5(4) (1984), 353–357.
- [19] Peszek, J., Discrete Cucker-Smale flocking model with a weakly singular weight, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 47(5) (2015), 3671–3686.
- [20] Thomas, L.H., The calculation of atomic fields, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 23 (1927), 1473–1484.
- [21] Whitman, G. B., Linear and nonlinear waves. Wiley–Interscience, New York, 1974.
- [22] Wong, J. S. W., On the generalized Emden-Fowler equation., SIAM Rev. 17 (1975), 339–360.