Measures of maximal entropy for surface diffeomorphisms

Jérôme Buzzi, Sylvain Crovisier^{*}, and Omri Sarig[†]

June 19, 2022

Abstract

We show that C^{∞} surface diffeomorphisms with positive topological entropy have at most finitely many ergodic measures of maximal entropy in general, and at most one in the topologically transitive case. This answers a question of Newhouse, who proved that such measures always exist. To do this we generalize Smale's spectral decomposition theorem to non-uniformly hyperbolic surface diffeomorphisms, we introduce homoclinic classes of measures, and we study their properties using codings by irreducible countable state Markov shifts.

Contents

1 Introduction

1.1 Measures of maximal entropy

A famous theorem of Newhouse says that C^{∞} diffeomorphisms on compact manifolds without boundary have ergodic measures of maximal entropy [\[66\]](#page-67-0). He asked if the number of these measures is finite when the manifold is two-dimensional and the diffeomorphism has positive topological entropy [\[67,](#page-67-1) Problem 2].

In this paper, we answer this question positively. A by-product of our analysis is a Spectral Decomposition Theorem similar to Smale's result for Axiom A diffeomorphisms [\[87\]](#page-68-0), but for general surface diffeomorphisms with positive topological entropy. Some of our results apply to C^r diffeomorphisms with $r > 1$ and to more general equilibrium measures.

[∗]S.C. was partially supported by the ERC project 692925 NUHGD.

[†]O.S. was partially supported by ISF grants 199/14 and 1149/18.

Let M be a closed surface: a compact two-dimensional C^{∞} Riemannian manifold without boundary. Denote its distance function by $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Let $f: M \to M$ be a diffeomorphism. A compact invariant set K is called transitive, if some point $x \in K$ has a dense forward and backward orbits $\{f^{n}(x) : n \ge 0\}$ and $\{f^{-n}(x) : n \geq 0\}$ in K. We say that f is topologically transitive if M is transitive. f is topologically mixing if for any non-empty open sets U, V, we have $f^{n}(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ for all large n.

Recall that the topological entropy $h_{\text{top}}(f)$ is related to the metric entropies $h(f, \nu)$ of f-invariant Borel probability measures ν by the variational principle, see [\[44\]](#page-66-0) and [\[90,](#page-68-1) Chapter 8]:

$$
h_{\text{top}}(f) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{P}(f)} h(f, \nu) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{e}}(f)} h(f, \nu),
$$

where $\mathbb{P}(f)$ is the set of f-invariant Borel probability measures on M and $\mathbb{P}_e(f)$ the set of ergodic $\mu \in \mathbb{P}(f)$. If K is an invariant compact set, $h_{\text{top}}(f, K)$ denotes the topological entropy of $f|_K$.

Definition 1.1. A measure of maximal entropy (m.m.e.) is a measure μ in $\mathbb{P}(f)$ such that $h(f, \mu)$ $h_{\text{top}}(f)$.

It is well-known that almost every ergodic component of a m.m.e. is an ergodic m.m.e. Ergodic m.m.e.'s are important to classification problems [\[3,](#page-64-0) [17\]](#page-65-0) and to the asymptotic analysis of periodic orbits [\[13,](#page-65-1) [23\]](#page-65-2).

We have already mentioned Newhouse's Theorem on the existence of a m.m.e. for C^{∞} diffeomorphisms. In this paper, we show:

Main Theorem. Let f be a C^{∞} diffeomorphism on a closed surface, and suppose $h_{\text{top}}(f) > 0$. Then:

- The number of ergodic measures of maximal entropy of f is finite.
- When f is topologically transitive, it has a unique measure of maximal entropy.
- When f is topologically mixing, its unique m.m.e. is isomorphic to a Bernoulli scheme.

The theorem would be false without the assumptions on the entropy or the dimension (think of the identity map and of the identity×Anosov).

This result extends to diffeomorphisms f defined on a possibly non-compact surface M , possibly with boundary but that have a *global compact attractor*, i.e., an invariant compact subset Λ such that (i) $f|_{\Lambda}$ is transitive; (ii) $d(f^{n}(x), \Lambda) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$ for any $x \in M$; (iii) $\Lambda \subset U$ with $U \subset M$ a boundaryless surface. This is for instance the case for a positive Lebesgue measure set of non-hyperbolic parameters of the Henon maps [\[7\]](#page-65-3). The following consequence has been previously obtained for good parameters of Hénon maps by Berger [\[8\]](#page-65-4):

Corollary 1.2. Let f be a C^{∞} diffeomorphism of a two-dimensional manifold having a global compact attractor and positive entropy. Then f has a unique measure of maximal entropy.

1.2 Homoclinic classes and spectral decomposition

A general question in dynamics is to find a decomposition into invariant elementary pieces. A famous example is Smale's "spectral decomposition" for Axiom A diffeomorphisms [\[87\]](#page-68-0). We discuss here generalizations of Smale's spectral decomposition to general C^{∞} surface diffeomorphisms with positive entropy.

 $Per_h(f) := \{ \mathcal{O} : \mathcal{O}$ is a hyperbolic periodic orbit of saddle type.

For $y \in \mathcal{O}$, let $W^u(y) := \{z : d(f^{-n}(z), f^{-n}(y)) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0\}$ and $W^s(y) := \{z : d(f^n(z), f^n(y)) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0\}.$ Set $W^u(\mathcal{O}) = \bigcup_{y \in \mathcal{O}} W^u(y)$ and $W^s(\mathcal{O}) = \bigcup_{y \in \mathcal{O}} W^s(y)$. These are C^rsub-manifolds. Given two $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \text{Per}_h(f)$, let $W^u(\mathcal{O})$ $\pitchfork W^s(\mathcal{O}')$ denote the collection of transverse intersection points of $W^u(\mathcal{O})$ and $W^s(\mathcal{O}')$. Two $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2 \in \text{Per}_h(f)$ are called *homoclinically related* if $W^u(\mathcal{O}_i) \pitchfork W^s(\mathcal{O}_j) \neq 0$ \emptyset for $i \neq j$. We then write $\mathcal{O}_1 \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_2$.

Definition 1.3. The homoclinic class of \mathcal{O} is the set

$$
\mathrm{HC}(\mathcal{O}):=\{\mathcal{O}'\in\mathrm{Per}_h(f):\mathcal{O}'\stackrel{h}{\sim}\mathcal{O}\}.
$$

As in [\[2\]](#page-64-1), the integer gcd($\{Card(\mathcal{O}'): \mathcal{O}' \in \text{Per}_h(f), \mathcal{O}' \overset{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}\}\}$) is called period of the homoclinic class.

Each homoclinic class is a transitive invariant compact set ([\[64\]](#page-67-2), see also section [2\)](#page-10-0).

In the particular case when the non-wandering set $\Omega(f)$ is uniformly hyperbolic and contains a dense set of periodic points (f is "Axiom A"), Smale's spectral decomposition theorem [\[87\]](#page-68-0) asserts that there are only finitely many different homoclinic classes, that these classes are pairwise disjoint, and that $\Omega(f)$ is the union of the sinks, the sources and the homoclinic classes. Further properties were obtained in [\[11\]](#page-65-5) and [\[10\]](#page-65-6).

Without the Axiom A assumption, it is not necessarily true that the homoclinic classes are finite in number, or pairwise disjoint, or that their union covers $\Omega(f)$. But for C^{∞} diffeomorphisms on closed surfaces, "everything works modulo a set negligible with respect to ergodic measures of positive entropy:"

Theorem 1 (Spectral decomposition). Let f be a C^{∞} diffeomorphism on a closed surface and consider a maximal family $\{O_i\}$ of non-homoclinically related hyperbolic periodic orbits. Then:

- (1) COVERING: $\mu(\cup_i \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_i)) = 1$ for any $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_e(f)$ with $h(f, \mu) > 0$.
- (2) DISJOINTNESS: $h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_i) \cap \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_j)) = 0$ for any $i \neq j$.
- (3) PERIOD: If ℓ_i is the period of the homoclinic class of \mathcal{O}_i , there is a compact set A_i such that
	- $\text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_i) = A_i \cup f(A_i) \cup \cdots \cup f^{\ell_i-1} A_i \text{ and } f^{\ell_i} A_i = A_i,$
	- $f^{\ell_i}: A_i \to A_i$ is topologically mixing,
	- $f^j(A_i) \cap A_i$ has empty relative interior in $HC(O_i)$ and zero topological entropy when $0 <$ $j < \ell_i$.
- (4) FINITENESS: For any $\chi > 0$, the set of HC(\mathcal{O}_i) such that $h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_i)) \geq \chi$ is finite.
- (5) UNIQUENESS: If f is topologically transitive, at most one $HC(O_i)$ has positive topological entropy. If f is topologically mixing, then this $HC(O_i)$ has period $\ell_i = 1$.

We turn to the dynamics inside a homoclinic class. To begin with we recall some definitions and properties. An ergodic invariant probability measure μ is hyperbolic of saddle type, if it has one positive Lyapunov exponent, one negative Lyapunov exponent, and no zero Lyapunov exponent. In dimension two, any $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{\rho}(f)$ with positive entropy is hyperbolic of saddle type by Ruelle's inequality [\[79\]](#page-68-2), and we denote its two exponents by $-\lambda^s(\mu) < 0 < \lambda^u(\mu)$. Let $\mathbb{P}_h(f)$ be the set of hyperbolic measures $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{e}}(f)$ of saddle type. By Pesin's Stable Manifold Theorem if $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{h}}(f)$, then μ -almost every x has stable and unstable manifolds $W^s(x)$, $W^u(x)$, see section [2.4.](#page-14-0)

The notion of homoclinic relation extends to measures, see the precise definition in Section [3.1.](#page-21-0) In particular, for $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$, we write $\mathcal{O} \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$ if $W^{u}(x) \uparrow W^{s}(\mathcal{O}) \neq \emptyset$ and $W^s(x) \pitchfork W^u(\mathcal{O}) \neq \emptyset$ for μ -almost every x.

Following the approach developed in [\[84\]](#page-68-3), we will code parts of the dynamics by *countable state* Markov shifts $\sigma \colon \Sigma \to \Sigma$ (see Section [3.1](#page-21-0) for the definition). The main novelty here is that, by restricting to a homoclinic class we can obtain a shift which is *irreducible*, i.e., which is topologically transitive. Irreducibility is important, because by the work of Gurevich, irreducible countable state Markov shifts with finite Gurevich entropy can have at most one m.m.e [\[46\]](#page-66-1).

The dynamics on each homoclinic class can be described both from the measured and symbolic viewpoints as follow:

Theorem 2. Let f be a C^{∞} diffeomorphism on a closed surface and HC(\mathcal{O}) a homoclinic class with positive topological entropy. Then:

- (1) HC(O) supports a unique $\mu \in \mathbb{P}(f)$ with entropy equal to $h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(O))$. The support of μ coincides with HC(\mathcal{O}). The measure-preserving map (f, μ) is isomorphic to the product of a Bernoulli scheme and of the cyclic permutation of order $\ell := \gcd(\{\mathrm{Card}(\mathcal{O}'): \mathcal{O}' \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}\})$. If $HC(\mathcal{O})$ is contained in a topologically mixing compact invariant set, then $\ell = 1$.
- (2) Any $\nu \in \mathbb{P}_e(f|_{\text{HC}(\mathcal{O})})$ with $h(f,\nu) > 0$ is homoclinically related to \mathcal{O} .
- (3) For any $\chi > 0$, there exist an irreducible locally compact countable state Markov shift (Σ, σ) and a Hölder-continuous map $\pi: \Sigma \to \mathrm{HC}(\mathcal{O})$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = f \circ \pi$ and

 $- \pi \colon \Sigma^{\#} \to \mathrm{HC}(\mathcal{O})$ is finite-to-one, $-\pi(\Sigma^{\#}) = \mathrm{HC}(O)$ mod ν for each $\nu \in \mathbb{P}_e(f)$ such that $h(f,\nu) > \chi$.

Here and throughout, $\Sigma^{\#}$ is the set of sequences where some symbol is repeated infinitely many times in the future, and some (possibly different) symbol is repeated infinitely many times in the past.

1.3 Finite regularity and equilibrium states

Our methods give information on equilibrium measures other than the measure of maximal entropy, and under weaker regularity assumptions.

We let $f: M \to M$ be a C^r diffeomorphism with $1 < r \leq \infty$, i.e., f is invertible and, together with its inverse, it is continuously differentiable $|r|$ times, and if $r \notin \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ then its $|r|$ -th derivative is Hölder continuous with Hölder exponent $r - |r|$.

Suppose $\phi : M \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is a Borel function such that sup $\phi < \infty$. An f-invariant probability measure μ is called an *equilibrium measure* for the potential ϕ , if $h(f, \mu) + \int \phi d\mu = P_{\text{top}}(\phi)$, where

$$
P_{\text{top}}(\phi) := \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{e}}(f)} \{ h(f, \nu) + \int \phi d\nu \}.
$$

For example, equilibrium measures of $\phi \equiv 0$ are measures of maximal entropy.

The *admissible potentials* are functions $\phi : M \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ which are sums of functions of the following types:

- $-$ Hölder-continuous functions.
- Geometric potentials: $\phi_{\text{geo}}^u(x) := -\beta \log \|Df|_{E^u(x)}(x)\|$ or $\phi_{\text{geo}}^s(x) := -\beta \log \|Df^{-1}|_{E^s(x)}(x)\|$ where β is a real number and $T_xM = E^u(x) \oplus E^s(x)$ is the Oseledets decomposition at x, with the convention that $\phi_{\rm geo}^t(x) := -\infty$ $(t = u, s)$ if E^t is not well-defined at x. The functions ϕ_{geo}^u , ϕ_{geo}^s are measurable upper-bounded but not always continuous.

Notice that ϕ is admissible for f if and only if it is admissible for f^{-1} .

Given $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $||Df^n|| := \max_{x \in M} ||Df^n|_{T_xM}||$, $\lambda^u(f) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} \log ||Df^n||$ and $\lambda^{s}(f) :=$ $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} \log ||Df^{-n}||$. Our statements involve the number $\lambda_{\min}(f) := \min \{\lambda^s(f), \lambda^u(f)\}\$. For each ergodic hyperbolic invariant measure μ , let

$$
\delta^{u}(\mu) := h(f, \mu)/\lambda^{u}(\mu) \text{ and } \delta^{s}(\mu) := h(f, \mu)/\lambda^{s}(\mu)
$$
\n(1.1)

which are called *stable and unstable dimensions* of μ (see [\[56\]](#page-67-3)).

The following result extends the Main Theorem to C^r diffeomorphisms and other equilibrium measures:

Main Theorem Revisited. Let f be a C^r diffeomorphism with $r > 1$ on a closed surface M and let $\phi: M \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ be an admissible potential. Then:

- (1) For any $\chi > \lambda_{\min}(f)/r$ there are finitely many ergodic equilibrium measures for ϕ with entropy strictly bigger than χ ;
- (2) Each compact invariant transitive subset of M carries at most one ergodic hyperbolic equilibrium measure μ for ϕ with $\delta^u(\mu) > 1/r$, and at most one ergodic hyperbolic equilibrium measure μ for ϕ with $\delta^s(\mu) > 1/r$.

In particular, this theorem applies under a small potential condition:

Corollary 1.4. Let f be a C^r diffeomorphism with $r > 1$ on a closed surface and let ϕ be an admissible potential. Assume also that

$$
P_{\text{top}}(\phi) > \sup \phi + \frac{\lambda_{\min}(f)}{r}.
$$
\n(1.2)

Then ϕ has at most finitely many ergodic equilibrium measures, and if f is topologically transitive, then it has at most one.

The role of [\(1.2\)](#page-4-0) is to guarantee that every equilibrium measure has entropy larger than $\lambda_{\min}(f)/r$. Notice that this condition holds whenever sup $\phi - \inf \phi < h_{\text{top}}(f) - \frac{\lambda_{\min}(f)}{r}$ $\frac{\ln\left(J\right) }{r}$.

Corollary 1.5. Let f be a topologically transitive C^{∞} diffeomorphism on a closed surface. Then any admissible potential ϕ has at most one ergodic equilibrium measure with positive entropy. If f is topologically mixing, then this measure, if it exists, is Bernoulli.

By the Pesin formula [\[57\]](#page-67-4), SRB measures μ satisfy $\lambda^u(\mu) = h(f, \mu) > 0$; in particular $\delta^u(\mu) = 1$ and they are equilibrium measures of the geometric potential $\phi := -\log ||Df|_{E^u}||$. The second version of the Main Theorem thus implies the following result of [\[77\]](#page-68-4) (see [\[55\]](#page-67-5) for the Bernoulli property).

Corollary 1.6 (Hertz-Hertz-Tahzibi-Ures's theorem revisited). Let f be a C^r diffeomorphism $(r > 1)$ on a closed surface. Then each transitive invariant compact set Λ supports at most one SRB measure. When such a measure μ exists, its support coincides with a homoclinic class HC(O) satisfying $\mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$. Moreover, if $f|\Lambda$ is topologically mixing, the unique SRB measure, if it exists, is Bernoulli.

We also have C^r versions of the spectral decomposition theorem and of Theorem [2.](#page-3-0) They are stated later in Section [6.](#page-50-0)

1.4 Other consequences

a. Borel classification. Using Hochman's Borel generator theorem [\[49\]](#page-67-6) (see also [\[17\]](#page-65-0)), we classify the dynamics on the homoclinic classes up to Borel conjugacy modulo zero entropy measures, i.e., a Borel conjugacy after discarding invariant subsets carrying only measures with zero entropy (see Section [7.3\)](#page-57-0). In the C^{∞} case, we show (see Section [3.1](#page-21-0) for the period of a Markov shift):

Theorem 3. Let f be a C^{∞} diffeomorphism on a closed surface. For any hyperbolic periodic orbit \mathcal{O} , $f : \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}) \to \text{HC}(\mathcal{O})$ is Borel conjugate modulo zero entropy measures to an irreducible countable state Markov shift with period equal to the period of the homoclinic class of \mathcal{O} :

$$
\ell := \gcd(\{\mathrm{Card}(\mathcal{O}') : \mathcal{O}' \in \mathrm{Per}_{h}(f), \mathcal{O}' \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}\}).
$$

In the C^{∞} smooth setting, using the Spectral Decomposition Theorem, this yields an alternate proof of the classification theorem from [\[17\]](#page-65-0). More importantly, this allows the computation of the complete invariant of [\[17\]](#page-65-0) from the topological entropies and the periods of the homoclinic classes, see Corollary [7.5.](#page-60-0) For instance,

Corollary 1.7. Let f be a C^{∞} diffeomorphism on a closed surface with positive topological entropy. If f is topologically mixing, then its topological entropy is a complete invariant for Borel conjugacy modulo zero entropy measures.

b. Dependence of the simplex of m.m.e.'s on the diffeomorphism. Let $N_{\text{max}}(f)$ be the number of ergodic m.m.e.'s of a diffeomorphism f. It is equal to the dimension of the simplex $\mathcal{M}(f)$ of (possibly non ergodic) measures maximizing the entropy. The following upper semicontinuity property holds in the C^{∞} setting.

Theorem 4. Let M be a closed surface. The function $N_{\text{max}} : \text{Diff}^{\infty}(M) \to \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ satisfies:

$$
if h_{\text{top}}(f) > 0, \quad \limsup_{g \to f} N_{\text{max}}(g) \le N_{\text{max}}(f). \tag{1.3}
$$

More precisely, let k be an integer, $f_n \in \text{Diff}^{\infty}(M)$, and Σ_n be some k-face of the simplex $\mathscr{M}(f_n)$. If $f_n \to f$ in Diff[∞](M) and $\Sigma_n \to \Sigma$ in the Hausdorff topology, then $\Sigma \subset \mathscr{M}(f)$ and Σ is a k-dimensional simplex.

Remark 1.8. Upper semicontinuity of N_{max} can fail at diffeomorphisms with zero entropy: consider for instance a sequence of rational rotations of the circle converging to an irrational one.

Remark 1.9. In the C^r topology, for finite r , our techniques only yield that the number of ergodic m.m.e.'s is locally bounded at $f \in \text{Diff}^{r}(M)$ with $h_{\text{top}}(f) > \lambda_{\min}(f)/r$. We do not know if their number is actually upper semicontinuous under this hypothesis.

Remark 1.10. Lower semicontinuity should not hold in general: e.g., different homoclinic classes of maximal entropy may sometimes merge through an arbitrarily small perturbation, see [\[42\]](#page-66-2) for an example in dimension 3. These examples also indicate that the limit of ergodic m.m.e.'s does not have to be ergodic. More generally, the limiting simplex Σ in the above statement can be strictly included in a k-face of $\mathcal{M}(f)$.

c. Measured homoclinic classes in arbitrary dimension. Using the recent generalization by Ben Ovadia [\[6\]](#page-65-7) of [\[84\]](#page-68-3), our construction of a coding by irreducible Markov shifts (Theorem [3.1](#page-20-1) below) has a straightforward generalization to any dimension, with the same proof. We point out that this direct generalization is restricted to *measured homoclinic classes*, i.e., classes of homoclinically related hyperbolic ergodic measures. Thus these classes appear as natural pieces of the dynamics whereas the classical topological homoclinic classes seem more difficult to analyze.

In particular, the following consequence of the coding (see Corollary [3.3\)](#page-21-1) holds in any dimension: Let f be a C^r diffeomorphism, $r > 1$, of a closed manifold, ϕ an admissible potential, and O a hyperbolic periodic orbit. Then there is at most one ergodic, hyperbolic, equilibrium measure for ϕ homoclinically related to $\mathcal O$. Moreover, when such an equilibrium measure exists, its support coincide with $HC(O)$, and it is isomorphic to the product of a Bernoulli scheme with the cyclic permutation of order $gcd{Card(O') : \mathcal{O'} \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}}.$

1.5 Comments, questions

Our techniques yield rather complete qualitative results assuming C^{∞} smoothness and positive entropy. When we only assume finite smoothness (i.e., C^r smoothness with $1 < r < \infty$), then we usually need to restrict to entropy larger than $\lambda_{\min}(f)/r$ (though the higher value of $\lambda_{\max}(f)/r$ is sometimes needed for the exploitation of topological transitivity). Let us review these results and discuss their possible optimality. Throughout this section f is a C^r diffeomorphism of a closed surface.

a. Infinite number of homoclinic classes. By Theorem [5.2,](#page-44-0) if $\{\mu_i\}_{i\in I}$ is a family of ergodic hyperbolic measures such that $\mu_i \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu_j \implies i = j$, then

for any
$$
\chi > \frac{\lambda_{\min}(f)}{r}
$$
 the set $\{i \in I : h(f, \mu_i) \ge \chi\}$ is finite.

A standard construction produces infinitely many disjoint horseshoes with topological entropy bounded away from zero, by a C^r -perturbation near a homoclinic orbit (see for instance [\[62,](#page-67-7) [65,](#page-67-8) [43,](#page-66-3) [30\]](#page-66-4)). In a forthcoming work [\[33\]](#page-66-5) we use this technique to show that this value of $\lambda_{\text{min}}(f)/r$ is the best possible for the finiteness property in the following sense.

Theorem. For any $1 < r < \infty$, there is a C^r surface diffeomorphism f with infinitely many pairwise disjoint homoclinic classes HC(\mathcal{O}_n), each supporting a measure μ_n with $h(f, \mu_n) = h_{top}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_n))$ and such that

$$
\liminf_{n \to \infty} h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_n)) \ge \frac{\lambda_{\min}(f)}{r}.
$$

We believe the threshold $\lambda_{\min}(f)/r$ is also sharp for the finiteness of the number of ergodic m.m.e. proved in the Main Theorem Revisited:

Conjecture 1. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, any $1 < r < \infty$, there is a C^r surface diffeomorphism f with $h_{\text{top}}(f) > \lambda_{\min}(f)/r - \varepsilon$ and infinitely many m.m.e.'s.

b. Uniqueness in the transitive case. When f is topologically transitive, the Main Theorem Revisited asserts the uniqueness of the m.m.e. when $h_{\text{top}}(f) > \lambda_{\text{max}}(f)/r$ (it shows the existence of at most two ergodic m.m.e.'s when $h_{\text{top}}(f) > \lambda_{\min}(f)/r$. But in fact we know of no example showing that positive topological entropy is not enough to ensure uniqueness in the transitive case:

Question 1. Given $1 \leq r < \infty$, does there exist a transitive C^r-smooth surface diffeomorphism with positive topological entropy that has multiple m.m.e.'s?

Likewise, Corollary [6.7](#page-52-0) says that two homoclinic classes $HC(O)$, $HC(O')$ with $O' \overset{h}{\sim} O$ can intersect only in a set with zero topological entropy as soon as $h_{top}(f, HC(\mathcal{O})) > \lambda_{max}(f)/r$. But we do not know if this entropy condition is necessary and much less if it is sharp:

Question 2. Given $1 \leq r < \infty$, does there exist a C^r-smooth surface diffeomorphism admitting two homoclinic classes HC(O), HC(O') such that $h_{top}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}) \cap H(\mathcal{O}')) > 0$ but $\mathcal{O}' \overset{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$?

c. Nonexistence of m.m.e. Our results address the finiteness of the number of ergodic m.m.e.'s, but not their existence. Examples of C^r surface diffeomorphisms f without m.m.e. are constructed in [\[30\]](#page-66-4). However their topological entropy is smaller than (but arbitrarily close to) $\lambda_{\min}(f)/r$. It has been asked [\[30\]](#page-66-4) whether this is optimal as it is for interval maps (see [\[36,](#page-66-6) [21\]](#page-65-8)):

Conjecture 2. For any $1 < r < \infty$, any C^r surface diffeomorphism f with topological entropy larger than $\lambda_{\min}(f)/r$ has at least one measure maximizing the entropy.

There are two possible scenarios leading to the non-existence of any m.m.e. In the examples built in [\[30\]](#page-66-4) the lack of m.m.e.'s occurs despite the existence of a homoclinic class with maximal entropy (see [\[33\]](#page-66-5) for details):

Theorem. For any $1 \lt r \lt \infty$, there is a C^r surface diffeomorphism f with a homoclinic class $HC(O)$ such that

(1)
$$
h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O})) = h_{\text{top}}(f) = \frac{\lambda_{\min}(f)}{r},
$$

(2) $h(f, \mu) < h_{\text{top}}(f)$, for any $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{e}(f)$.

But we expect that the examples conjectured in paragraph [1.5.](#page-6-0)(a) could be modified so that the supremum of the topological entropies of the homoclinic classes is not achieved, providing a different mechanism for nonexistence:

Conjecture 3. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, any $1 < r < \infty$, there is a C^r surface diffeomorphism f such that (1) $h_{\text{top}}(f) > (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{\lambda_{\min}(f)}{r} > 0,$

(2) $h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O})) < h_{\text{top}}(f)$, for any $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$.

1.6 Previous finiteness results

Various classes of dynamical systems have finitely many ergodic m.m.e.'s. This was first shown for uniformly hyperbolic systems: subshifts of finite type [\[70\]](#page-68-5), hyperbolic toral automorphisms in dimension two [\[3\]](#page-64-0), Anosov diffeomorphisms [\[86\]](#page-68-6), Axiom A diffeomorphisms [\[11\]](#page-65-5), or flows [\[14,](#page-65-9) [71\]](#page-68-7).

This was generalized to nonuniformly expanding maps: topologically transitive countable state Markov shifts [\[46\]](#page-66-1), piecewise-monotonic interval maps [\[50\]](#page-67-9), smooth interval maps [\[26\]](#page-65-10), skew-products of those [\[27\]](#page-65-11), surface maps with singularities [\[58\]](#page-67-10), maps satisfying suitable expansivity and specification properties (see, e.g. [\[16,](#page-65-12) [41\]](#page-66-7)), and related symbolic systems [\[27,](#page-65-11) [88,](#page-68-8) [29,](#page-66-8) [39,](#page-66-9) [73\]](#page-68-9). Nonuniformly hyperbolic invertible dynamics have been considered: piecewise affine surface homeomorphisms [\[28\]](#page-66-10), various derived from Anosov [\[68,](#page-67-11) [35,](#page-66-11) [89,](#page-68-10) [34,](#page-66-12) [38\]](#page-66-13) or partially hyperbolic systems [\[76\]](#page-68-11). The uniqueness of the m.m.e. has also been established for the Henon map $[8]$, rational maps of the Riemann sphere [\[60\]](#page-67-12) and endomorphisms of the complex projective plane [\[18\]](#page-65-13).

Further generalizations include nonsingular flows [\[59\]](#page-67-13) with positive topological entropy or assuming some type of specification properties [\[40,](#page-66-14) [72\]](#page-68-12). Flows of special interest have also been analyzed such as the Teichmüller flow $[19]$, or the geodesic flow on compact surfaces with nonpositive curvature $[24]$.

Let us note that these results rely on two main approaches. The first one is to observe that the non-uniform hyperbolicity and the homoclinic relations provide specification properties (going back to [\[16\]](#page-65-12)) which may allow to work directly at the level of the manifold (this is the case for instance in the recent works $[40, 41, 24]$ $[40, 41, 24]$ $[40, 41, 24]$.

We will use the maybe more common strategy of building a semi-conjugacy with a Markov shift. Such a symbolic system decomposes into countably many transitive sets ("irreducible components"). Gurevič proved uniqueness [\[46\]](#page-66-1) for these components, reducing the problem to that of counting the large entropy irreducible components.

Directly relevant to this work, C^r surface diffeomorphisms with $r > 1$ have been shown in [\[84\]](#page-68-3) to have at most *countably* many ergodic m.m.e.'s with positive entropy.

1.7 Discussion of the techniques

The proof of the finiteness of the number of m.m.e.'s in the Main Theorem has three parts:

(1) A homoclinic relation between hyperbolic measures is introduced and a related measurable partition of the manifold is built: to each ergodic hyperbolic measure μ is associated a measurable invariant set H_{μ} satisfying $\mu(H_{\mu}) = 1$ and

– $H_{\mu} = H_{\nu}$ when μ is homoclinically related to ν ,

– $H_{\mu} \cap H_{\nu} = \varnothing$ when μ and ν are not homoclinically related.

- (2) We show that if $(\mu_i)_{i\geq 1}$ is a sequence of hyperbolic measures such that $H_{\mu_i} \neq H_{\mu_j}$ for $i \neq j$, then $h(f, \mu_i) \longrightarrow 0.$ Consequently, if $h_{top}(f) > 0$ then at most finitely many sets H_{μ} can support a m.m.e.
- (3) We code every set H_{μ} in a finite-to-one way by an irreducible countable state Markov shift. Since irreducible Markov shifts can carry at most one m.m.e. [\[46\]](#page-66-1), H_{μ} can carry at most one m.m.e.

(1), (2) and (3) imply that the number of m.m.e.'s is finite. The other properties (uniqueness of the m.m.e. in the transitive case and strong mixing in topological mixing case) are obtained in a subsequent step:

(4) Measures of large entropy supported by a common transitive set are homoclinically related.

The first step is based on Pesin theory and applies to any C^r -diffeomorphism $(r > 1)$ in any dimension (see Section [2\)](#page-10-0).

The second and the fourth steps are specific to surface diffeomorphisms. Indeed in this case any ergodic measure with positive entropy is hyperbolic thanks to Ruelle-Margulis' inequality. One may expect that a lower bound on the entropy gives a control of the geometry of the stable and unstable manifolds of points in a set with positive measure: this would clearly imply that among a large set of ergodic measures $\{\mu_i\}$ with entropy uniformly bounded away from zero, two of them have to be homoclinically related. We were not able to follow that approach.

Instead we first use dimension 2 and especially that we can bound topological disks (su-quadrilaterals) by a two stable and two unstable segments. We then use upper-semicontinuity estimates for the entropy map given by Yomdin-Newhouse theory [\[94,](#page-69-0) [66\]](#page-67-0) (this requires high smoothness), to obtain topological intersections between stable and unstable manifolds of two different measures μ_i, μ_j that are weak-∗ close (see Section [5\)](#page-41-0).

A "dynamical" version of Sard's lemma allows us then to conclude that some of these topological intersections are transverse (again this requires enough smoothness). The use of the classical Sard's Lemma already appeared in the analysis of SRB measures in [\[77\]](#page-68-4). But because SRB measures have absolutely continuous conditional measures and m.m.e do not, we need a different, non-standard, version of Sard's Lemma, which we develop in Section [4.](#page-34-0)

After the two first steps, we are reduced to showing the uniqueness of the m.m.e. among a set of hyperbolic measures that are homoclinically related. We use the semiconjugacy with a Markov shift provided by [\[84\]](#page-68-3). As discussed in Section [1.6,](#page-8-0) it suffices to choose this Markov shift irreducible. However, since the coding in [\[84\]](#page-68-3) is highly non-canonical and has lots of redundancies, it could easily happen that a topologically transitive smooth system is coded by a non-transitive Markov shift with many irreducible components. We show in Section [3](#page-20-0) that for each set H_{μ} , there exists an irreducible component of the coding in [\[84\]](#page-68-3) which codes the whose class H_{μ} , completing the third step.

The fourth step again uses that stable and unstable manifolds are one-dimensional. Given two hyperbolic measures carried by a common transitive set, we get intersections of their stable and unstable foliations through the use of su -quadrilaterals. If both measures have, e.g., an unstable foliation with large transverse dimension, then Sard's lemma shows that any stable leaf of one measure must transversally intersect some leaf of the unstable foliation of the other measure, leading to a homoclinic relation between the measures.

Outline of the paper

Section [2](#page-10-0) introduces homoclinic classes for measures (generalizing the classical definition for periodic orbits) and establishes some general properties. In the case of surfaces, we build su-quadrilaterals as mentioned above.

Section [3](#page-20-0) codes homoclinic classes of measures by transitive Markov shifts by selecting a transitive component of the global symbolic extension built in [\[84\]](#page-68-3). Other codings with injectivity properties are obtained together with some properties of equilibrium measures.

Section [4](#page-34-0) establishes a version of Sard Lemma adapted to dynamical foliations and gives a criterion for a curve to have a transverse intersection with the stable manifold of a periodic orbit inside a horseshoe whose dimension is large enough.

Using Yomdin-Newhouse theory and su-quadrilaterals, Section [5](#page-41-0) proves that measures with large entropy cannot accumulate without being homoclinically related.

Using Sard Lemma and su-quadrilaterals, Section [6](#page-50-0) deduces homoclinic relations from topological transitivity and establishes the Spectral Decomposition Theorem.

Finally, Section [7](#page-56-0) concludes by deducing the main results, announced in the introduction, from the results proved so far.

An appendix establishes the required Lipschitz regularity of the dynamical foliations for horseshoes on surfaces (such results are folklore but we could not find a reference for the precise statements we need).

2 Homoclinic classes and horseshoes

Throughout this section, unless stated otherwise, M is a closed manifold of any dimension, and $f: M \to M$ is a C^1 diffeomorphism. The orbit of a point p is denoted by $\mathcal{O}(p)$. The transverse *intersection* of C^1 -submanifolds $U, V \subset M$ is

$$
U \pitchfork V := \{ x \in U \cap V : T_x V + T_x U = T_x M \}. \tag{2.1}
$$

A diffeomorphism f is said to be $Kupka-Smale$ if all its periodic orbits are hyperbolic and if all homoclinic and heteroclinic intersections of their invariant manifolds are transverse.

2.1 Hyperbolic sets

A hyperbolic set for f is a compact f-invariant set $\Lambda \subset M$ with a direct sum decomposition $T_xM =$ $E^s(x) \oplus E^u(x)$ for all $x \in \Lambda$ such that for some $C > 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, for all $x \in \Lambda$, $n \geq 0$, $v^s \in E^s(x)$ and $v^u \in E^u(x)$, we have $||Df_x^n v^s|| \leq C\lambda^n ||v^s||$, and $||Df_x^{-n}v^u|| \leq C\lambda^n ||v^u||$.

By [\[48\]](#page-67-14), if Λ is hyperbolic, then the following sets are injectively immersed sub-manifolds for every $x \in \Lambda$:

$$
W^{s}(x) := \{ y \in M : \text{dist}(f^{n}(y), f^{n}(x)) \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0 \},\
$$

$$
W^{u}(x) := \{ y \in M : \text{dist}(f^{-n}(y), f^{-n}(x)) \xrightarrow[n \to +\infty]{} 0 \}.
$$

We have $T_xW^u(x) = E^s(x)$ and $T_xW^s(x) = E^u(x)$, and the convergence in the definition of $W^{s/u}$ is uniformly exponential, see [\[85\]](#page-68-13). (The definition of $W^{s/u}(x)$ for non-uniformly hyperbolic orbits, such as typical points of hyperbolic measures, is different, see section [2.3.](#page-12-0))

It is also shown in [\[48\]](#page-67-14) that, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough,

$$
W^s_{\varepsilon}(x) := \{ y \in M : d(f^k(x), f^k(y)) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } k \ge 0 \},
$$

$$
W^u_{\varepsilon}(x) := \{ y \in M : d(f^{-k}(x), f^{-k}(y)) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } k \ge 0 \},
$$

are uniform open neighborhoods of x in $W^s(x)$ and $W^u(x)$. The subsets $W^s_{\varepsilon}(x), W^u_{\varepsilon}(x)$ are called the *local stable and unstable manifolds* of x (of size ε).

A hyperbolic set Λ is called *locally maximal*, if it has an open neighborhood V such that Λ = $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}f^n(\overline{V})$. It is known that a hyperbolic set is locally maximal iff it has the following property, called local product structure: There exist $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ such that for every $x, y \in \Lambda$, $W_{\varepsilon}^{u}(x) \cap W_{\varepsilon}^{s}(y)$ consists of at most one point, and in case $d(x, y) < \delta$ exactly one point; this point belongs to Λ ; and the intersection of $W^u_{\varepsilon}(x), W^s_{\varepsilon}(y)$ there is transverse. See [\[85\]](#page-68-13).

A basic set Λ for f is an f-invariant compact set which is transitive, hyperbolic, and locally maximal. A totally disconnected and infinite basic set is called a horseshoe.

2.2 Homoclinic classes of hyperbolic periodic orbits

We review some facts and definitions from [\[64\]](#page-67-2). Recall that $\text{Per}_{h}(f)$ is the collection of hyperbolic periodic orbits of saddle type.

Definition 2.1 (Smale's order). Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2 \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$. We say that \mathcal{O}_1 precedes \mathcal{O}_2 in the Smale preorder if $W^u(\mathcal{O}_1) \pitchfork W^s(\mathcal{O}_2) \neq \emptyset$. We then write $\mathcal{O}_1 \preceq \mathcal{O}_2$.

The condition $W^u(\mathcal{O}_1) \cap W^s(\mathcal{O}_2) \neq \emptyset$ implies the existence of orbits which are asymptotic to \mathcal{O}_1 in the past and asymptotic to \mathcal{O}_2 in the future (" \mathcal{O}_1 can come before \mathcal{O}_2 "). The transversality of the intersection is used to show that \preceq is transitive, see [\[64\]](#page-67-2). Since \preceq is obviously reflexive on Per_h(f), the following is an equivalence relation on $\text{Per}_{h}(f)$:

Definition 2.2 (Homoclinic equivalence relation). We say that \mathcal{O}_1 and \mathcal{O}_2 are homoclinically related if $\mathcal{O}_1 \preceq \mathcal{O}_2$ and $\mathcal{O}_2 \preceq \mathcal{O}_1$. We then write $\mathcal{O}_1 \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_2$.

Definition 2.3. The homoclinic class of a hyperbolic periodic orbit \mathcal{O} is

$$
\mathrm{HC}(\mathcal{O}):=\overline{\{x\in \mathcal{O}^{\prime} : \mathcal{O}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{Per}_{\mathrm{h}}(f),\ \mathcal{O}^{\prime}\stackrel{h}{\sim}\mathcal{O}\}}\stackrel{!}{=} \overline{W^u(\mathcal{O})\pitchfork W^s(\mathcal{O})}
$$

See [\[64\]](#page-67-2) for the proof of $\stackrel{!}{=}$. The compact set HC(\mathcal{O}) is transitive [64]. In general, different homoclinic classes may have non-empty intersection.

Definition 2.4. The period of the homoclinic class of \mathcal{O} is

$$
\ell(\mathcal{O}) := \gcd(\{\mathrm{Card}(\mathcal{O}'): \mathcal{O}' \in \mathrm{Per}_{h}(f), \mathcal{O}' \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}\}).
$$

[\[2\]](#page-64-1) shows that:

Proposition 2.5. Let p be a hyperbolic periodic point of saddle type. If ℓ is the period of the homoclinic class of $\mathcal{O}(p)$ and if $A := \overline{W^u(p) \oplus W^s(p)}$, then $HC(\mathcal{O}(p)) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\tilde{\ell}-1} f^k(A)$. Moreover:

- (1) $f^{\ell}(A) = A$ and the restriction of f^{ℓ} to A is topologically mixing.
- (2) For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, either $f^{i}(A) = A$, or $f^{i}(A) \cap A$ has empty relative interior in HC($\mathcal{O}(p)$). Hence there is a divisor L of ℓ such that $f^L(A) = A$ and $\mathrm{int}_{HC(\mathcal{O}(p))}(A \cap f^j A) = \emptyset$ for any $0 < j < L$.
- (3) For any p and $q = f^k(p)$ in O, $W^s(p) \pitchfork W^u(q) \neq \emptyset \iff k \in \ell \mathbb{Z}$.

A basic set is contained in the homoclinic class of any of its periodic orbits [\[64\]](#page-67-2). Conversely, a homoclinic class is approximated by horseshoes; The next result is classical and the proof is identical to Smale's horseshoe theorem [\[53,](#page-67-15) Theorem 6.5.5]:

Proposition 2.6. For every $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$, there exists an increasing sequence of horseshoes $\Lambda_n \subset$ $\mathrm{HC}(\mathcal{O})$ such that $\mathrm{HC}(\mathcal{O}) = \overline{\bigcup_{n\geq 1} \Lambda_n}$, and so that for every $\mathcal{O}'\in \mathrm{Per_h}(f)$, if $\mathcal{O}'\overset{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$ then $\mathcal{O}'\subset \Lambda_n$ for some Λ_n .

2.3 Hyperbolic measures

We refer to [\[53,](#page-67-15) Chapter S], [\[5\]](#page-65-16) for the theory of non-uniform hyperbolicity. We summarize the facts that we will use.

Lyapunov exponents Suppose μ is an invariant probability measure. By the Oseledets theorem, for μ -almost every x, the limit $\chi(x, v) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} \log ||Df_x^n v||$ exists for all non-zero $v \in T_xM$, and takes just finitely many values as v ranges over $T_xM \setminus \{0\}$. These values are called the Lyapunov exponents of x. We denote them by $\lambda_1(f, x) < \cdots < \lambda_{m(x)}(f, x)$. When μ is ergodic, the Lyapunov exponents are constant μ -almost everywhere and are denoted by $\lambda_1(f, \mu) < \cdots < \lambda_{m(\mu)}(f, \mu)$.

The measure μ is hyperbolic if $\lambda_i(f, x) \neq 0$ for every i for μ -a.e. x. In this case $T_xM = E^s(x) \oplus$ $E^u(x)$ where $E^s(x)$, $E^u(x)$ are linear spaces such that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} \log ||Df_x^n v|| < 0$ on $E^s(x) \setminus \{0\}$, and $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} \log ||Df_x^{-n}v|| < 0$ on $E^u(x) \setminus \{0\}.$

We say that a hyperbolic measure has *saddle type* if $\lambda_1(f, x) < 0 < \lambda_{m(x)}(f, x)$ for μ -almost every $x \in M$ (equivalently, both dim $E^{s}(x) > 0$, and dim $E^{u}(x) > 0$ at μ -almost every point x).

By Ruelle's inequality [\[79\]](#page-68-2), if $\dim(M) = 2$ then every ergodic invariant measure with positive entropy is hyperbolic of saddle type. We denote the negative Lyapunov exponent by $-\lambda^{s}(f,\mu)$, and the positive Lyapunov exponent by $\lambda^u(f,\mu)$.

INVARIANT MANIFOLDS / PESIN BLOCKS. Suppose f is a C^r diffeomorphism f with $r > 1$. Pesin's theory asserts that there exist a family of compact sets (called Pesin blocks) $(K_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and for each n two families of embedded C^r -discs $(W^s_{loc}(x))_{x\in K_n}$ and $(W^u_{loc}(x))_{x\in K_n}$ (called *local stable* and *unstable* manifolds) such that:

- a. For each $n, f(K_n) \cup K_n \cup f^{-1}(K_n) \subset K_{n+1}$. Hence the measurable set $Y := \cup K_n$ is invariant.
- b. For each n, there exists a continuous splitting $TM|_{K_n} = \mathcal{E}^s \oplus \mathcal{E}^u$ and for each hyperbolic measure μ and μ -almost every point $x \in K_n$, we have $E^s(x) = \mathcal{E}^s(x)$ and $E^u(x) = \mathcal{E}^u(x)$.
- c. For each n, the discs $W_{loc}^s(x)$, $W_{loc}^u(x)$ contain x and are tangent to $\mathcal{E}^s(x)$ and $\mathcal{E}^u(x)$ respectively. They vary continuously for the C^r -topology with x: They are the images of C^r -embeddings $\varphi_x^s \colon \mathcal{E}^s(x) \to M$ and $\varphi_x^u \colon \mathcal{E}^u(x) \to M$ which vary continuously in the compact-open topology.
- d. Let $Y^{\#}$ be the set of points having infinitely many forward and backward iterates in one K_n . Then, for every point $x \in Y^{\#}$ the following sets, called the *stable* and *unstable manifolds of* x,

$$
W^{s}(x) := \{ y \in M : \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log d(f^{k}y, f^{k}x) < 0 \},
$$
\n
$$
W^{u}(x) := \{ y \in M : \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log d(f^{-k}y, f^{-k}x) < 0 \},
$$

are injectively immersed C^r -submanifolds.

Moreover for any $n_k \to +\infty$ satisfying $f^{n_k}(x) \in K_n$, one has $W^s(x) = \bigcup_k f^{-n_k}(W^s_{loc}(f^{n_k}(x))$. Similarly, for any $n_k \to +\infty$ satisfying $f^{-n_k}(x) \in K_n$, one has $W^u(x) = \bigcup_k f^{n_k}(W^u_{loc}(f^{-n_k}(x))$.

e. Let Y' be the set of points y admitting sequences of forward iterates $f^{n_k}(y)$ and backward iterates $f^{-m_k}(y)$ in a same Pesin block K_n which both converge to y. Then the following property hold:

Lemma 2.7 (Inclination Lemma). For any $y \in Y'$, any disc $D \subset W^u(y)$, and any embedded disc $\Delta \subset M$ having a transverse intersection point with $W^s(y)$, there exist discs $D_k \subset \Delta$ and times $n_k \to +\infty$ such that $f^{n_k}(D_k) \to D$ in the C^1 topology.

A similar property holds for backward iterates $f^{-n_k}(D_k)$ of discs transverse to $W^u(y)$.

f. The measurable invariant sets $Y' \subset Y^{\#} \subset Y$ have full measure for any hyperbolic measure μ . Moreover, each uniformly hyperbolic set is contained in one of the K_n .

The sets K_n are defined as in [\[74,](#page-68-14) Theorem 1.3.1] or [\[52\]](#page-67-16).^{[1](#page-13-0)} Property [\(a\)](#page-12-1) is immediate. Properties [\(b\)](#page-12-2) and [\(f\)](#page-13-1) follow from the Oseledets theorem. Property [\(c\)](#page-13-2) is the Pesin stable manifold theorem [\[74,](#page-68-14) Theorem 2.2.1].

The global stable manifold (property [\(d\)](#page-13-3)) follows from the properties of the local stable manifold obtained in [\[74\]](#page-68-14) (see also [\[53\]](#page-67-15) and [\[5\]](#page-65-16)). The inclusion $\bigcup_k f^{-n_k}W^s_{loc}(f^{n_k}(x)) \subseteq W^s(x)$ is immediate. For the other inclusion, one first chooses $y \in W^s(x)$ and $\chi > 0$ such that $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n}\log d(f^n y, f^n x) <$ $-\chi$. Then if n is large enough, the point x has arbitrarily large iterates in K_n , and there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $z \in K_n$,

$$
W^s_{loc}(z) \supset \{\zeta \in M, \ \forall k \ge 0, d(f^k(\zeta), f^k(z)) \le C \exp(-k\chi)\}.
$$

One deduces that $f^{n_k}(y)$ belongs to $W^s_{loc}(f^{n_k}(x))$ for n_k large enough such that $f^{n_k}(x) \in K_n$. Hence $W^{s}(x)$ is contained in the union $\bigcup_{k} f^{-n_{k}}(W^{s}_{loc}(f^{n_{k}}(x)))$.

The inclination lemma (property [\(e\)](#page-13-4)) is proved using a graph transform argument. An embedded disc is an admissible manifold (see [\[53,](#page-67-15) Section S.4]) if it belongs to a small C^1 neighborhood of $W^u_{loc}(y)$. For sufficiently small neighborhoods, [\[53\]](#page-67-15) proves that for any n large enough, if $f^{n_k}(y_k)$ belongs to K_n and is close enough to y, then the image $f^{n_k}(\Delta)$ contains an admissible manifold. Pulling it back to Δ gives D_k .

¹To be precise, one considers a function $N : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ that grows fast enough and one defines $K_n := \Lambda_{1/N(n),N(n)}$ where $\Lambda_{\chi,\ell}$ is the set defined in [\[52,](#page-67-16) section 2].

We use the following simple characterization of χ -hyperbolicity:

Proposition 2.8. An invariant hyperbolic compact set K with hyperbolic splitting $T_K M = E^s \oplus E^u$ is χ -hyperbolic if and only if it admits numbers $C > 0$ and $\kappa > \chi$ such that, for all $v^s \in E^s$ and $v^u \in E^u$,

$$
\forall n \ge 0 \quad \|Df^n.v^s\| \le Ce^{-\kappa n} \|v^s\| \text{ and } \|Df^{-n}.v^u\| \le Ce^{-\kappa n} \|v^u\|. \tag{2.2}
$$

Proof. Obviously, (2.2) implies χ -hyperbolicity.

For the converse, we assume that [\(2.2\)](#page-14-1) does not hold. Then for every $\kappa > \chi$, there exist arbitrarily large integers and unit vectors v such that either $v \in E^s$ and $||Df^n.v|| \geq e^{-\kappa n}||v||$ or $v \in E^u$ and $||Df^{-n}.v|| \geq e^{-\kappa n}||v||$. Assume without loss of generality that the first case holds.

Let $T^sK := \{v \in TM : x \in K, v \in E^s(x), ||v|| = 1\}$ and let $F : T^sK \to T^sK$, $F(v) =$ $Df(v)/||Df(v)||$. This is a homeomorphism, because $x \mapsto E^s(x)$ is continuous and f is a diffeomorphism. Let $\varphi := \log ||Df(v)||$, then $\varphi : T^s K \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous.

By our assumptions, there are $v_k \in T^sK$ and $n_k \to \infty$ such that $||Df^{n_k} \cdot v|| \geq e^{-\kappa n_k} ||v||$ for all k. Every accumulation point of $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n_k-1} \delta_{F^j(v_k)}$ is an F-invariant probability measure $\hat{\mu}$ on $T^s K$ such that $\int_{T^sK} \varphi(v) d\widehat{\mu}(v) \geq -\chi$. A calculation shows that $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi \circ F^j = \log ||Df^n||$, whence

$$
\int_{T^sK} \log \|Df^n(v)\|d\hat{\mu}(v) \ge -n\chi \text{ for all } n. \tag{2.3}
$$

The measure $\hat{\mu}$ projects to an invariant probability measure μ on K, which by [\(2.3\)](#page-14-2) must satisfy 1 $\frac{1}{n}\int_K \log ||Df^n|_{E^s(x)}|| d\mu \geq -\chi$ for all n. A standard argument now shows that the largest negative Lyapunov exponent of μ is larger or equal to $-\chi$. Consequently, K is not χ -hyperbolic. \Box

2.4 Homoclinic classes of hyperbolic measures

Suppose M is a closed manifold of any dimension and let $f \in \text{Diff}^r(M)$, $r > 1$. We extend the definition of Smale's pre-order \leq and the homoclinic relation $\stackrel{h}{\sim}$ to the set of ergodic hyperbolic measures of saddle type $\mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$:

Definition 2.9. For $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$, we write $\mu_1 \preceq \mu_2$ iff there are measurable sets $A_1, A_2 \subset M$ with $\mu_i(A_i) > 0$ such that for all $(x_1, x_2) \in A_1 \times A_2$, the manifolds $W^u(x_1)$ and $W^s(x_2)$ have a point of transverse intersection.

Definition 2.10. μ_1, μ_2 are homoclinically related if $\mu_1 \preceq \mu_2$ and $\mu_2 \preceq \mu_1$. We write $\mu_1 \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu_2$. The set of measures homoclinically related to a measure μ is called the measured homoclinic class of μ .

Every hyperbolic periodic orbit $\mathcal O$ carries a unique hyperbolic invariant probability measure $\mu_{\mathcal O}$. Smale's order and the homoclinic relation for hyperbolic measures are compatible with Smale's order and the homoclinic relation for hyperbolic periodic orbits in the following sense:

$$
(\mathcal{O}\preceq \mathcal{O}' \iff \mu_{\mathcal{O}}\preceq \mu_{\mathcal{O}'}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{O}\stackrel{h}{\sim}\mathcal{O}' \iff \mu_{\mathcal{O}}\stackrel{h}{\sim}\mu_{\mathcal{O}'}).
$$

Proposition 2.11. When $f \in \text{Diff}^r(M)$, $r > 1$, Smale's pre-order on measures is reflexive and transitive. Consequently, the homoclinic relation is an equivalence relation for ergodic hyperbolic measures of saddle type.

Proof. Reflexivity is clear, we show transitivity: If $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$ satisfy $\mu_1 \preceq \mu_2$ and $\mu_2 \preceq \mu_3$, then $\mu_1 \leq \mu_3$. The following proof is based on Newhouse's proof that Smale's pre-order for hyperbolic periodic orbits is transitive [\[64\]](#page-67-2).

Let X_i $(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ be sets such that (a) $\mu_i(X_i) > 0$; (b) X_i is a Pesin block for μ_i in the sense of Section [2.3;](#page-12-0) (c) Every $y \in X_i$ satisfies the conclusion of the Inclination Lemma [2.7;](#page-13-5) (d) Every neighborhood of every $x_i \in X_i$ has positive μ_i measure.

Since $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2$ and $\mu_2 \leq \mu_3$, there exist points x_1, x_2, x_2', x_3 having infinitely many backward iterates in X_1, X_2, X_3 , respectively, which satisfy the conclusion of Lemma [2.7](#page-13-5) and such that

- \circ $W^u(x_1) \pitchfork W^s(x_2) \neq \emptyset$,
- \circ $W^u(x_2') \pitchfork W^s(x_3) \neq \emptyset$.

Since μ_2 is ergodic, it is possible to arrange $x'_2 = x_2$.

Pick a disc $D \subset W^u(x_2)$ such that $D \pitchfork \overline{W^s(x_3)} \neq \emptyset$. Then there are discs $D_k \subset W^u(x_1)$ and times $m_k \to +\infty$ such that $f^{m_k}(D_k)$ converges to D in the C^1 topology. This proves that $f^{m_k}(D_k)$ \pitchfork $W^s(x₃) \neq \emptyset$ for k large. We have thus proved that $f^m(W^u(x₁))$ has a transverse intersection point with $W^s(x₃)$ for some arbitrarily large $m \geq 0$.

There exist n_1 and n_3 arbitrarily large such that $f^{-n_1}(x_1) \in X_1$ and $f^{n_3}(x_3) \in X_3$. One deduces that $f^{m+n_1}(W^u_{loc}(f^{-n_1}(x_1))$ has a transverse intersection point with $f^{-n_3}(W^s_{loc}(f^{n_3}(x_3))$. Since the local stable and unstable manifolds vary continuously on Pesin blocks, for any point x in X_1 close to $f^{-n_1}(x_1)$ and any point y in X_3 close to $f^{n_3}(x_3)$, the manifolds $f^{m+n_1-n_3}(W^u(x))$ and $W^s(y)$ have a transverse intersection point. The neighborhoods of $f^{-n_1}(x_1)$ in X_1 and of $f^{n_3}(x_3)$ in X_3 have positive measure for μ_1 and μ_3 respectively. This shows that $\mu_1 \preceq \mu_3$. \Box

Notation. For $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$, one writes $\mathcal{O} \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$ when $\mu_{\mathcal{O}} \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$. This is easily seen to be equivalent to requiring both $W^u(x) \pitchfork W^s(\mathcal{O}) \neq \emptyset$ and $W^s(x) \pitchfork W^u(\mathcal{O}) \neq \emptyset$ for μ -almost every point x as mentioned in the introduction.

One says that a transitive hyperbolic set Λ is homoclinically related to μ and writes $\Lambda \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$ if, for some $\nu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f|\Lambda)$, we have $\nu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$. For any two points $x, y \in \Lambda$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $W^u(f^n(x)) \pitchfork W^s(y) \neq \emptyset$, hence any two ergodic measures in Λ are homoclinically related.

The following is a slight improvement of Katok's Horseshoe Theorem [\[52\]](#page-67-16):

Theorem 2.12. For any $f \in \text{Diff}^r(M)$, $r > 1$, any $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$, any weak-* neighborhood U of μ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a horseshoe Λ such that

$$
(1) \mathbb{P}_{h}(f|_{\Lambda}) \subset U,
$$

- (2) $h_{\text{top}}(f|_{\Lambda}) > h(f, \mu) \varepsilon$,
- (3) if $\chi_1 \leq \cdots \leq \chi_d$ are the Lyapunov exponents of μ , counted with multiplicities, then the Lyapunov exponents of any $\nu \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{e}}(f|\Lambda)$ satisfy $|\chi_i(f,\nu) - \chi_i| < \varepsilon$,

(4) $\Lambda \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$.

Proof. The two first items are a folklore strengthening of [\[52\]](#page-67-16) and are proved in [\[53,](#page-67-15) Thm. S.5.9] for the case of a surface M. The three first items in arbitrary dimension are proved in $[4,$ Appendix]).

Note that the proof in [\[53\]](#page-67-15) shows that for μ -almost every x, one can choose Λ which contains a point y whose local stable and unstable manifolds are arbitrarily C^1 -close to the local stable and \Box unstable manifolds of x. The last item follows.

Definition 2.13. The topological homoclinic class of $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$ is the set

$$
\mathrm{HC}(\mu) := \bigcup \{ \mathrm{supp}\,\nu : \nu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f) \, , \nu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu \}.
$$

In a horseshoe Λ , the periodic measures are dense in $\mathbb{P}_e(f|\Lambda)$ [\[53,](#page-67-15) Cor. 6.4.19], hence:

Corollary 2.14. For any $f \in \text{Diff}^r(M)$, $r > 1$, and any $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_h(f)$, the set of measures supported by periodic orbits is dense in the set of hyperbolic ergodic measures homoclinically related to μ , endowed with the weak- $*$ topology. In particular, there exists $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$ such that $\mathcal{O} \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$ and

$$
\mathrm{HC}(\mu) = \bigcup \{ \mathrm{supp} \,\nu : \nu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f) \, , \nu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O} \} = \mathrm{HC}(\mathcal{O}).
$$

So the definitions of topological homoclinic classes of orbits and measures are consistent.

To each measured homoclinic class, we associate a subset of the manifold. Recall the set Y' of regular points introduced in Section [2.3.](#page-12-0)

Proposition 2.15. Suppose $r > 1$, $f \in \text{Diff}^r(M)$, and $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$. The set

$$
H_{\mathcal{O}} := \{ x \in Y' : W^u(x) \pitchfork W^s(\mathcal{O}) \neq \varnothing \text{ and } W^s(x) \pitchfork W^u(\mathcal{O}) \neq \varnothing \},
$$

is invariant and measurable. It contains every transitive uniformly hyperbolic set $\Lambda \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O},$ and

$$
\forall \mu \in \mathbb{P}_{e}(f), \ \mu(H_{\mathcal{O}}) = 1 \iff (\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f) \ \text{and} \ \mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}). \tag{2.4}
$$

Proof. The properties of Pesin blocks recalled in Section [2.3](#page-12-0) give, for any $x \in H_{\mathcal{O}}$, positive integers m^+, m^- and a Pesin block P_n such that

$$
f^{m^+}(x), f^{-m^-}(x) \in K_n, \quad W^s_{loc}(f^{m^+}(x)) \pitchfork W^u(\mathcal{O}) \neq \varnothing \text{ and } W^u_{loc}(f^{-m^-}(x)) \pitchfork W^s(\mathcal{O}) \neq \varnothing. \tag{2.5}
$$

For any m^+, m^-, n , the set of points x satisfying [\(2.5\)](#page-16-0) is measurable (since the local manifolds vary continuously for the C^1 -topology on each Pesin block). Hence $H_{\mathcal{O}}$ is measurable.

By definition of the homoclinic relation of measures, if an hyperbolic ergodic measure μ satisfies $\mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$, then $\mu(H_{\mathcal{O}})$ is positive. Since $H_{\mathcal{O}}$ is invariant $\mu(H_{\mathcal{O}}) = 1$. Conversely if μ is ergodic and satisfies $\mu(H_{\mathcal{O}}) = 1$, it is hyperbolic of saddle type (from the properties of the Pesin blocks) and $\mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$ by definition of $H_{\mathcal{O}}$. \Box

Remark 2.16. We note that if two sets $H_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $H_{\mathcal{O}'}$ intersect, then $\mathcal{O} \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}'$ and the two sets coincide. Indeed, if $x \in H_0 \cap H_{\mathcal{O}}$, then the inclination lemma [2.7](#page-13-5) implies that the stable manifolds of \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' contain discs that converge towards the stable manifold of x for the C^1 -topology; the same hold for the unstable manifolds, implying the homoclinic relation between \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' .

Let us recall that $n \geq 1$ is a *period of an invariant measure* $\mu \in \mathbb{P}(f)$ if there exists a measurable set A such that $f^i(A) \cap A = \emptyset$ for $0 < i < n$, $f^n(A) = A$ and $\mu(A \cup \cdots \cup f^{n-1}(A)) = 1$. When it exists, the largest period is called the period of μ .

Proposition 2.17. For any $f \in \text{Diff}^r(M)$, $r > 1$, and any $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$ whose homoclinic class has period $\ell = \ell(\mathcal{O})$, there exists a partition B_1, \ldots, B_ℓ of the set $H_{\mathcal{O}}$ into ℓ measurable sets that are cyclically permuted by the dynamics. Hence ℓ is a period of any measure $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$ that is homoclinically related to $\mathcal O$. Moreover, for any two points $x, y \in H_{\mathcal O}$, we have

$$
(\exists i, \{x, y\} \subset B_i) \Leftrightarrow W^s(x) \land W^u(y) \neq \varnothing \Leftrightarrow W^u(x) \land W^s(y) \neq \varnothing. \tag{2.6}
$$

Proof. Proposition [2.5\(](#page-12-3)3) gives a partition A_1, \ldots, A_ℓ of $\mathcal O$ into ℓ subsets such that for any $p, q \in \mathcal O$, if p, q belong to the same A_i then $W^s(p) \uparrow W^u(q)$ and $W^u(p) \uparrow W^s(q)$ are both non-empty, and if p, q do not belong to the same A_i , then $W^s(p) \pitchfork W^u(q)$ and $W^u(p) \pitchfork W^s(q)$ are both empty. Note that A_i are cyclically permuted by the dynamics.

By the definition of $H_{\mathcal{O}}$, for every point $x \in H_{\mathcal{O}}$, there exists $p \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $W^u(x) \pitchfork W^s(p) \neq \emptyset$. The same property holds for any point q in the set A_i containing p, since the stable manifold of q accumulates on the stable manifold of p (from the inclination lemma [2.7](#page-13-5) applied to $f^{[O]}$ at p).

 $W^u(x)$ does not intersect transversally the stable manifold of a point q in another set A_j ; Otherwise, since $x \in H_{\mathcal{O}} \subset Y'$, there is $r \in \mathcal{O}$ such that $W^u(r) \uparrow W^s(x) \neq \varnothing$ and the inclination lemma applied to f at x yields an iterate of $W^u(r)$ which intersects transversally the stable manifolds of points in A_i and A_i , a contradiction.

We have thus associated μ -almost every point x to a unique set A_i . The association is equivariant and induces a measurable partition into ℓ sets cyclically permuted by the dynamics:

$$
B_i := \{ x \in H_{\mathcal{O}} : \forall p \in A_i, \ W^u(x) \pitchfork W^s(p) \neq \varnothing \}.
$$

Let us consider any $x \in B_i$ and some point $p \in A_i$. Arguing with f^{-1} instead of f, one gets some set A_j such that for any $q \in \mathcal{O}$, the set $W^s(x) \pitchfork W^u(q)$ is non empty if and only if q belongs to A_j . But the inclination lemma at x implies that there exist some iterate $f^{n_k}(W^u(q))$ which contain a disc close to the local unstable manifold of x. In particular $f^{n_k}(W^u(q))$ intersects transversally $W^s(p)$, so that $f^{n_k}(q) \in A_i$. Note also that $f^{n_k}(W^u(q))$ intersects transversally $W^s(x)$, which implies that $f^{n_k}(q) \in A_j$. Hence $A_i = A_j$. As a consequence, the symmetric characterization holds:

$$
B_i = \{ x \in H_{\mathcal{O}} : \forall p \in A_i, \ W^s(x) \pitchfork W^u(p) \neq \varnothing \}.
$$

The previous argument also shows that for any $x \in B_i$ and $q \in A_i$, the unstable manifold $W^u(q)$ accumulates on $W^u(x)$: this has been obtained for some iterates $f^{n_k}(W^u(q))$ with $f^{n_k}(q) \in A_i$, but $f^{n_k}(W^u(q))$ and $W^u(q)$ have the same accumulation sets since both $f^{n_k}(q)$ and q belong to A_i .

Conversely the unstable manifold $W^u(x)$ accumulates on $W^u(q)$: indeed, since $x \in Y'$, one can consider a large backward iterate $f^{-n_k}(x)$ close to x in the same Pesin block (remember item (e) of

section [2.3\)](#page-12-0); as a consequence the local unstable manifold of x and $f^{-n_k}(x)$ are close and intersect transversally $W^{s}(q)$ at two points close to each other. The inclination lemma, then implies that $W^{u}(x)$ accumulates on the unstable manifold $W^u(f^{n_k}(q))$, hence on $W^u(q)$ (since q and $f^{n_k}(q)$ must both belong to A_i).

If $y \in B_i$, $x \in H_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $W^u(x) \pitchfork W^s(y) \neq \emptyset$, then $x \in B_i$: indeed we deduce from the previous paragraphs that $W^s(p)$ accumulates on $W^s(p)$ for $p \in A_i$; then $W^s(p)$ intersects $W^u(x)$ transversally.

Conversely let us assume that $x, y \in B_i$ and let us fix $p \in A_i$. We have shown previously that $W^u(x)$ accumulates on $W^u(p)$, hence $W^u(x) \pitchfork W^s(y) \neq \emptyset$. This concludes the proof of the equivalence, eq. [\(2.6\)](#page-17-0). \Box

2.5 Quadrilaterals associated to hyperbolic measures

In this section, we assume that M is a closed surface, and use two-dimensionality to associate to each hyperbolic measure topological discs with positive measure of the following type.

Definition 2.18. Let $\mathcal O$ be a hyperbolic periodic orbit contained in a horseshoe Λ . An su-quadrilateral associated to O is an open disc $Q \subset M$ whose boundary is a union of four compact curves

$$
\partial Q = \partial^{u,1} Q \cup \partial^{s,1} Q \cup \partial^{u,2} Q \cup \partial^{s,2} Q,
$$

satisfying $\partial^{\sigma} Q := \partial^{\sigma,1} Q \cup \partial^{\sigma,2} Q \subset W^{\sigma}(O)$ for $\sigma = s, u$. We call $\partial^{s,i} Q, i = 1, 2$, the stable sides and $\partial^{u,i}Q$ the unstable sides of Q.

One will get such sets by applying the following proposition. A measure is *atomless* if $\mu({x}) = 0$ for all $x \in M$.

Proposition 2.19. Let f be a C^r diffeomorphism, $r > 1$, of a closed surface and let μ be an atomless invariant probability measure which is hyperbolic of saddle type. Then for every $t > 0$ there are suquadrilaterals Q_1, \ldots, Q_N associated to $\mathcal{O}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_N \in \text{Per}_h(f)$ such that $\text{diam}(Q_i) < t$ and $\mu(\bigcup Q_i) >$ 1-t. Given an ergodic decomposition $\mu = \int \mu_x d\mu$, one can ensure that for each i, $\mu\{x : \mu_x \stackrel{h}{\sim} O_i\} \neq 0$.

Proof. Let us assume first that μ is ergodic and consider a Pesin block X satisfying $\mu(X) > 1 - t/2$. Without loss of generality, $X \subset Y' \cap \text{supp}(\mu)$ where Y' is the set of full measure in the Inclination Lemma (Lemma [2.7\)](#page-13-5).

Fix $\tau > 0$ small to be determined later. One can reduce the size of local stable and unstable manifolds at the points of X in order to satisfy the following property: There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every ε -close $x, y \in X$, the manifolds $W^u_{loc}(x)$ and $W^s_{loc}(y)$ intersect at a unique point $[x, y]$, this intersection is transverse, and $d(x, [x, y]) \leq \tau$, $d(y, [x, y]) \leq \tau$.

Without loss of generality, X is the finite union of disjoint compact sets X_1, \ldots, X_ℓ with diameter less than ε and such that the 2 τ -neighborhood of X_i is contained in an open disc $U_i \subset M$.

Fix a point p_i in X_i . By the compactness of X_i and the continuity of the local unstable manifolds, there exist two points $p_i^{s,+}, p_i^{s,-} \in X_i \cap W_{loc}^s(p_i)$ such that for any $x \in X_i$, $[x, p_i]$ belongs to the subcurve of $W^s_{loc}(p_i)$ bounded by $p_i^{s,-}$ $i^{s,-}$ and $p_i^{s,+}$ $e_i^{s,+}$. Similarly there exists two points $p_i^{u,+}$ $u_i^{\mu,+}, p_i^{\mu,-} \in X_i$ such that for any $y \in X_i$, $[p_i, y]$ belongs to the subcurve of $W^u_{loc}(p_i)$ bounded by $p_i^{u,-}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{u,-}$ and $p_i^{u,+}$ $i^{u,+}$. See Figure [1.](#page-19-0)

Let $\gamma_i^{s,+}$ $i^{s,+}_{i}$ denote the piece of $W^s_{loc}(p_i^{u,+})$ $\binom{u,+}{i}$ bounded by $\left[p_i^{s,-}\right]$ $i^{s,-}, p_i^{u,+}$ $\binom{u,+}{i}$ and $\binom{p^{s,+}}{i}$ $\sum_{i}^{s,+}, p_i^{\hat{u},+}$ $\left[\begin{matrix} u^{i}_{i} \\ i \end{matrix}\right]$, and let $\gamma_i^{s,-}$ $i^{s,-}$ denote the piece of $W^s_{loc}(p_i^{u,-})$ $\binom{u,-}{i}$ bounded by $\widehat{p_i^{s,-}}$ $\sum_{i}^{s,-},p_i^{u,-}$ $[i]$ and $[p_i^{s,+}]$ $\sum_{i}^{s,+}, p_i^{u,-}$ $\sum_{i=1}^{u,-}$ Similarly, let $\gamma_i^{u,+}$ $i^{u,+}$ denote the piece of

Figure 1: The construction of the su-quadrilateral Q_i . All lines are stable or unstable manifolds (the nearly horizontal ones being the stable ones).

 $W^u_{loc}(p_i^{s,+})$ $\binom{s,+}{i}$ bounded by $\left[p_i^{s,+}\right]$ $i, i^{(k)}$, $p_i^{u,-}$ $\binom{u,-}{i}$ and $\left[p_i^{s,+}\right]$ $i^{s,+}, p_i^{u,+}$ $\binom{u,+}{i}$, and let $\gamma_i^{u,-}$ $u_i^{u,-}$ denote the piece of $W^u_{loc}(p_i^{s,-})$ $i^{s,-}$) bounded by $[p_i^{s,-}]$ $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i^u$ $\binom{u,-}{i}$ and $\binom{p^{s,-}}{i}$ $\sum_{i}^{s,-} p_i^u +$ $\binom{u,+}{i}$.

Two pieces of local stable manifolds $W_{loc}^s(x)$, $W_{loc}^s(y)$, $x, y \in X_i$, are either disjoint, or the union of their closures is a C^1 -curve; the same holds for local unstable manifolds. Consequently, the four curves $\gamma_i^{s,+}$ $\gamma_i^{s,+}, \gamma_i^{u,-}$ $\alpha_i^{u,-}, \gamma_i^{s,-}$ $\gamma_i^{s,-}, \gamma_i^{u,+}$ $i^{u,+}$ form a closed simple curve γ_i .

By construction, γ_i is inside the 2 τ -neighborhood of p_i , therefore inside U_i . By Jordan's Theorem (in the disc U_i), γ_i bounds an open disc $D_i \subset U_i$ which contains X_i in its "interior", formally defined to be the connected piece of $U_i \setminus \gamma_i$ which does not contain ∂U_i in its closure.

By choice of ε , γ_i has diameter less than 4 τ . For every closed smooth surface, there exists τ_0 such that every simple closed curve γ with diameter less than τ_0 bounds a topological disc with diameter less than $\min\{t, \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{diam}(M)\}\)$. Choosing $\tau := \frac{1}{4}\tau_0$, we obtain that $\operatorname{diam}(D_i) < t$.

Since μ is an invariant atomless measure, every local stable or unstable manifold has zero measure (because its forward or backward images have diameters tending to zero). So the boundary of D_i has zero measure with respect to μ .

Theorem [2.12](#page-15-0) gives a hyperbolic periodic orbit $\mathcal O$ inside a horseshoe homoclinically related to μ . Since $p_i^{u,\pm}$ $\{u_i^u, \pm, p_i^{s,\pm}\} \in X_i \subset X \subset Y$, we have by the Inclination Lemma [2.7](#page-13-5) that the four curves $W^s_{loc}(p_i^{s,+})$ $\sum_{i}^{s,+}$, $W^u_{loc}(p_i^{u,+})$ $\binom{u,+}{i}$, $W_{loc}^s(p_i^{s,-})$ $\binom{s,-}{i}$, $W_{loc}^u(p_i^{u,-})$ $i_i^{u,-}$ can be C^1 -approximated by curves contained in the manifolds $W^s(\mathcal{O})$ and $W^u(\mathcal{O})$. These curves bound a su-quadrilateral Q_i associated to a horseshoe homoclinically related to \mathcal{O} , whence to μ . By construction, its diameter is smaller than t. Since the boundary of D_i has zero μ -measure, the symmetric difference between D_i and Q_i has arbitrarily small μ -measure. One deduces that the union of the D_i has measure close to the measure of X, hence larger than $1 - t$.

Let us now consider the case of non-ergodic μ which are hyperbolic of saddle type. Let $\mathbb{P}_e(f)$ denote the collection of ergodic f-invariant measures, and let $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}_{e}(f))$ denote the collection of Borel probability measures on $\mathbb{P}_{e}(f)$. The ergodic decomposition of μ gives $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{P}_{e}(f))$ such that

$$
\mu = \int_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{e}}(f)} \nu \, d\lambda(\nu).
$$

Since μ is hyperbolic of saddle of type, λ -a.e. ν belongs to $\mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$.

Any ergodic hyperbolic measure is either atomless or supported on a hyperbolic periodic orbit. Since there are at most countably many hyperbolic periodic orbits, and since μ is atomless, λ -almost every measure ν is atomless. By the regularity of λ , there is a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{P}_{e}(f)$ with $\lambda(K)$ $1 - t/2$ such that every measure in K is atomless.

By the first part of the proof, for each $\nu \in K$ there is a finite collection $Q_1^{\nu}, \ldots, Q_{N(\nu)}^{\nu}$ of suquadrilaterals with diameter smaller than t and satisfying $\nu(\bigcup Q_i^{\nu}) > 1-t/2$. Since $\nu(\partial Q_i^{\nu}) = 0$, each $\nu \in K$ has a weak-* neighborhood $\mathcal{V}(\nu)$ such that

$$
\nu' \in \mathcal{V}(\nu) \implies \nu' \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N(\nu)} Q_i^{\nu} \right) > 1 - t/2.
$$

Since K is compact, it has a finite sub-cover: $K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^M \mathcal{V}(\nu_j)$. The collection $\{Q_i^{\nu_j}\}$ i^{ij} : $j = 1, ..., M; 1 \leq$ $i \leq N(\nu_j)$ } covers a set with μ -measure larger than $(1-\frac{t}{2})$ $(\frac{t}{2})^2$, and $\lambda \{ \nu : \nu(Q_i^{\nu_j})\}$ $\binom{\nu_j}{i} > 0$ $\neq 0$ for all i, j .

3 Symbolic dynamics of homoclinic classes

The goal of this section is to prove the following theorem. As in the introduction, $\Sigma^{\#}$ denotes the regular part of a countable state Markov shift $\sigma: \Sigma \to \Sigma$ (see Section [3.1\)](#page-21-0).

Theorem 3.1. Let f be a C^r diffeomorphism, $r > 1$, on a closed surface M. Let μ be an ergodic hyperbolic measure for f. For every $\chi > 0$ there are a locally compact countable state Markov shift Σ and a Hölder-continuous map $\pi : \Sigma \to M$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = f \circ \pi$ and:

- (C0) Σ is irreducible.
- (C1) $\pi : \Sigma^{\#} \to M$ is finite-to-one; more precisely if $x_i = a$ for infinitely many $i < 0$ and $x_i = b$ for infinitely many $i > 0$, then $\#\{y \in \Sigma^{\#} : \pi(y) = \pi(\underline{x})\}$ is bounded by a constant $C = C(a, b)$.
- (C2) (a) $\nu[\pi(\Sigma^{\#})] = 1$ for every χ -hyperbolic $\nu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$ such that $\nu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$. Moreover, there is an ergodic measure $\bar{\nu}$ on Σ such that $\pi_*(\bar{\nu}) = \nu$.

(b) Conversely, for every ergodic $\bar{\nu}$ on Σ , the projection $\nu := \pi_*(\bar{\nu})$ is ergodic, hyperbolic, homoclinically related to μ , and $h(f, \nu) = h(\sigma, \bar{\nu})$.

- (C3) For any $x \in \pi(\Sigma)$ there is a unique splitting $T_xM = E^s(x) \oplus E^u(x)$ such that
	- (i) lim sup $_{n\to\infty}$ $\frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} \log ||Df_x^n|_{E^s(x)} || \leq -\frac{\chi}{2};$
	- (ii) lim sup $_{n\to\infty}$ $\frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} \log ||Df_x^{-n}|_{E^u(x)}|| \leq -\frac{\chi}{2}.$

Moreover the maps $\underline{x} \mapsto E^{s/u}(\pi(\underline{x}))$ are Hölder continuous on Σ .

Remarks 3.2. By (C3), if $\phi : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is an admissible potential, then $\phi \circ \pi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ is Hölder continuous.

The image $\pi(\Sigma)$ is contained in the topological homoclinic class HC(μ) (this follows from item (C2.b)). For more information on the measures $\nu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$ such that $\nu[\pi(\Sigma^{\#})] = 1$, see Section [6.3.](#page-52-1)

It is worthwhile to compare Theorem [3.1](#page-20-1) to the main result of [\[84\]](#page-68-3). There, the third author built a coding $\hat{\Sigma} \to M$ which captures all ergodic *χ*-hyperbolic measures. But this coding is not necessarily irreducible. The point of Theorem [3.1](#page-20-1) is that we can obtain irreducibility by localizing to homoclinic classes. For each class of homoclinically related measures, we select an *irreducible* component Σ of Σ which captures all the χ -hyperbolic measures in the class.

In Section [3.4,](#page-27-0) we state some further properties for future reference, including lifting transitive compact hyperbolic subsets, the Bowen property and almost everywhere injective coding with respect to any given ergodic measure. In Section [3.5,](#page-30-0) we deduce the following properties of hyperbolic equilibrium measures.

Corollary 3.3. Let $r > 1$ and let f be a C^r diffeomorphism of a closed surface M. Suppose $\phi : M \to$ $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is an admissible potential, and μ is an ergodic hyperbolic equilibrium measure for ϕ . Then:

- Any ergodic, hyperbolic, equilibrium measure for ϕ which is homoclinically related to μ is equal to μ .
- The support of μ is $HC(\mu)$.
- $-$ The measure μ is isomorphic to the product of a Bernoulli scheme with a cyclic permutation of order gcd{Card (\mathcal{O}) : $\mathcal{O} \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$ }.

3.1 Definitions

We begin with some definitions from symbolic dynamics. Let $\mathscr G$ denote a countable (possibly finite) directed graph with set of vertices V and set of directed edges $E \subset V \times V$. We write $v \to w$, when $(v, w) \in E$. We will always assume that every vertex v has an incoming edge $u \to v$ and an outgoing edge $v \to w$.

The countable state Markov shift (or simply Markov shift for short) associated to $\mathscr G$ is the dynamical system $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ defined on the metric space (Σ, d) where

$$
\Sigma = \Sigma(\mathscr{G}) := \{ (v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in V^{\mathbb{Z}} : v_i \to v_{i+1} \text{ for all } i \},
$$

 $d(\underline{v}, \underline{w}) := \exp[-\inf\{|i| : v_i \neq w_i\}]$ and σ is the left shift map

$$
\sigma[(v_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}]:=(v_{i+1})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}.
$$

The set V is called the *alphabet* of the shift. Words $(v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in V^n$ such that $v_i \to v_{i+1}$ for all i are called *admissible*. Admissible words are also called *paths on* \mathscr{G} . Note that any subshift of finite type is topologically conjugate to a countable state Markov shift.

It is easy to see that (Σ, d) is locally compact iff every vertex has finite valency: the numbers of incoming and outgoing edges at a vertex are finite. Unless the graph $\mathscr G$ is finite, Σ is not compact. Gurevič has extended the usual topological entropy to non compact Markov shift by setting:

$$
h(\Sigma) := \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{P}(\sigma,\Sigma)} h(f,\mu). \tag{3.1}
$$

It is also not difficult to see that $\sigma : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ is topologically transitive iff for any $v, w \in V$

$$
\exists (v_i)_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Sigma, n \ge 1, v_0 = v \text{ and } v_n = w.
$$
\n
$$
(3.2)
$$

When this happens we say that Σ is *transitive* (or *irreducible*). In this case, one defines the *period* of Σ as the greatest common divisor of the lengths of the loops in \mathscr{G} .

In the non-transitive case, one introduces the subsets $V' \subset V$ which satisfy [\(3.2\)](#page-22-0) for any $v, w \in V'$ and which are maximal for the inclusion. They induce subshifts $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma$ that are called *irreducible* components of Σ .

Definition 3.4. The regular part of Σ is the subset

 $\Sigma^{\#} := \{(v_i) \in \Sigma : \text{both } (v_i)_{i>0}, (v_i)_{i<0} \text{ contain constant subsequences}\}.$

It has full measure with respect to every shift invariant measure on Σ .

3.2 Global symbolic dynamics

We recall the results of [\[84\]](#page-68-3):

Theorem 3.5 ([\[84\]](#page-68-3)). Let $r > 1$ and let f be a C^r diffeomorphism on a closed surface M. For every $\chi > 0$, there are a locally compact countable state Markov shift $\hat{\Sigma}$ and a Hölder continuous map $\widehat{\pi} : \widehat{\Sigma} \to M$ such that $\widehat{\pi} \circ \sigma = f \circ \widehat{\pi}$ and:

- (C1) $\hat{\pi} : \hat{\Sigma}^{\#} \to M$ is finite-to-one; more precisely, if $x_i = a$ for infinitely many $i < 0$ and $x_i = b$ for infinitely many $i > 0$, then $\#\{y \in \hat{\Sigma}^{\#} : \hat{\pi}(y) = \hat{\pi}(\underline{x})\}$ is bounded by a constant $C(a, b)$.
- $(\widehat{C}2) \nu(\widehat{\pi}(\widehat{\Sigma}^{\#})) = 1$ for every χ -hyperbolic measure $\nu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$. Moreover, there exists an ergodic measure $\bar{\nu}$ on $\hat{\Sigma}$ such that $\hat{\pi}_*(\bar{\nu}) = \nu$. Conversely, if $\bar{\nu}$ is a σ -ergodic measure on Σ , then $\hat{\pi}_*\bar{\nu}$ is f-ergodic, hyperbolic, and $h(f, \nu) = h(\sigma, \bar{\nu}).$
- (C3) for any $x \in \hat{\pi}(\hat{\Sigma})$, there is a splitting $T_xM = E^s(x) \oplus E^u(x)$ where:
	- (i) lim sup $_{n\to+\infty}$ $\frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} \log ||Df_x^n|_{E^s(x)} || \leq -\frac{\chi}{2},$
	- (ii) lim sup $_{n\rightarrow+\infty}\frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} \log ||Df_x^{-n}|_{E^u(x)}|| \leq -\frac{\chi}{2}.$

Moreover, the maps $x \mapsto E^{s/u}(\hat{\pi}(x))$ are Hölder continuous on $\hat{\Sigma}$.

(C4) For every $\underline{x} \in \widehat{\Sigma}$ there are two C^1 sub-manifolds $V^u(\underline{x}), V^s(\underline{x})$ passing through $x := \widehat{\pi}(\underline{x})$ s.t.:

(i)
$$
\forall y \in V^s(\underline{x}), \forall n \geq 0, d(f^n(y), f^n(x)) \leq e^{-\frac{n\chi}{2}}
$$
 and $T_xV^s(\underline{x}) = E^s(x)$,

(ii)
$$
\forall y \in V^u(\underline{x}), \forall n \geq 0, d(f^{-n}(y), f^{-n}(x)) \leq e^{-\frac{n\chi}{2}}
$$
 and $T_xV^u(\underline{x}) = E^u(x)$.

Properties (C1) and $(\hat{C}2)$ correspond to Theorems 1.3, 1.4, 1.5 of [\[84\]](#page-68-3). There the theorems are stated under the stronger condition that $h(f, \nu) > \chi$ but in fact χ -hyperbolicity is all that is used. Property (C3) is Proposition 12.6 in [\[84\]](#page-68-3). Property (C4) follows from Proposition 6.3 and Definitions 10.3 and 11.4 in [\[84\]](#page-68-3).

We need another property constructed in [\[84\]](#page-68-3), which was identified and brought to the fore in [\[17\]](#page-65-0):

(C5) Locally finite Bowen Property. There is a symmetric binary relation \sim on the alphabet V of $\widehat{\Sigma}$ satisfying

$$
\forall x, y \in \widehat{\Sigma}^{\#}, \quad \widehat{\pi}(x) = \widehat{\pi}(y) \iff (\forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \ x_n \sim y_n),
$$

$$
\forall b \in V, \ \#\{a \in V : a \sim b\} < \infty.
$$

The binary relation ∼ is the *affiliation relation* defined in [\[84,](#page-68-3) §12.3]. Since we do not need its precise definition, we will not repeat it.

Proposition 3.6. Let $r > 1$ and let f be a C^r diffeomorphism on a closed manifold M. Let $\widehat{\Sigma}$ be a locally compact countable state Markov shift and suppose $\hat{\pi} : \hat{\Sigma} \to M$ is a Hölder continuous map satisfying $\hat{\pi} \circ \sigma = f \circ \hat{\pi}$ and (C3) for some $\chi > 0$. Then the following property holds:

(C6) For any ergodic measure $\bar{\nu}$ on $\hat{\Sigma}$, $\nu := \hat{\pi}_*(\bar{\nu})$ is $\chi/3$ -hyperbolic. Moreover, if $\bar{\nu}_1$, $\bar{\nu}_2$ are two such measures on a common irreducible component of $\widehat{\Sigma}$, their projections ν_1, ν_2 are homoclinically related.

Proof. The first assertion follows from (C3). For the second assertion, suppose $\bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2$ are supported inside the same irreducible component. There exist two measurable sets $A, B \subset \hat{\Sigma}$ with $\bar{\nu}_1(A) > 0$, $\bar{\nu}_2(B) > 0$ such that the elements $(v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in A have the same symbol $v_0 = v^A$, the elements $(v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in B have the same symbol $v_0 = v^B$, and there exists a sequence of symbols $v^A \to v^1 \to \cdots \to v^{k-1} \to v^B$. Let $B' := \sigma^{-k}(B)$, then $\bar{\nu}_2(B') > 0$ and every $(w_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in B'$ satisfies $w_k = v^B$.

By Pesin's Stable Manifold Theorem [\[5\]](#page-65-16), there is a subset $A'' \subset \hat{\pi}(A)$ with positive ν_1 -measure such that every $x \in A''$ has a well-defined unstable manifold $W^u(x)$, and there is a subset $B'' \subset \hat{\pi}(B')$
with positive using such that every $u \in B''$ has a well defined stable manifold $W^s(u)$. One can with positive ν_2 -measure such that every $y \in B''$ has a well-defined stable manifold $W^s(y)$. One can also assume that points in $A'' \cup B''$ have an Oseledets splitting. This splitting must coincide with the splitting $E^s \oplus E^u$ given by condition (C3).

We claim that for every $x \in A''$ and $y \in B''$, $W^u(x) \pitchfork W^s(y) \neq \emptyset$. Indeed, write $x = \hat{\pi}(\underline{x})$ with $x \in \hat{\pi}(\underline{x})$ with $y = x^B$ and equilibrity $\hat{\pi} = \hat{\pi}(x)$ where $\hat{\pi} = x$ for $i \leq 0$, $\hat{\pi} = x$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. $x_0 = v^A$ and $y = \hat{\pi}(y)$ with $y_k = v^B$, and consider $z := \hat{\pi}(z)$ where $z_i = x_i$ for $i \leq 0$, $z_i = v_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $z_i = y_i$ for $i \geq k$. Then $z \in \widehat{\Sigma}$, $d(\sigma^{-n}z, \sigma^{-n}x) \leq e^{-n}$, and $d(\sigma^n z, \sigma^n y) \leq e^{k-n}$. By the Hölder continuity of $\hat{\pi}$, $d(f^n(z), f^n(y)), d(f^{-n}(z), f^{-n}(x)) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} 0$ exponentially fast, so $z \in W^u(x) \cap W^s(y)$. The exponential convergence also implies that $V^u(\underline{z}) \subset W^u(x)$, $V^s(\underline{z}) \subset W^s(y)$ where $V^{u/s}(\underline{x})$ are as in (C4). So $T_z W^{u/s}(z) = T_z V^{u/s}(\underline{x}) = E^{u/s}(z)$ with $E^{u/s}(z)$ as in (C3). Since $E^u(z) \cap E^s(z) = \{0\},$ the manifolds $W^u(x)$, $W^s(y)$ are transverse at z. This proves $\nu_1 \preceq \nu_2$. By symmetry $\nu_2 \preceq \nu_1$, whence $\nu_1 \stackrel{h}{\sim} \nu_2.$ \Box

As usual we endow the space of Borel probability measures on $\hat{\Sigma}$ with the weak-* topology.

Proposition 3.7. Let $r > 1$ and let f be a C^r diffeomorphism on a closed manifold M. Let $\widehat{\Sigma}$ be a locally compact countable state Markov shift and suppose $\hat{\pi} : \hat{\Sigma} \to M$ is a continuous map satisfying $\hat{\pi} \circ \sigma = f \circ \hat{\pi}$ and (C3). Then the following property hold.

(C7) For any $\chi' > 0$, the set of ergodic measures $\bar{\nu}$ whose projection $\hat{\pi}_*(\bar{\nu})$ is χ' -hyperbolic is open for
the relative weak \star topology of $\mathbb{P}(\widehat{\Sigma})$ the relative weak- $*$ topology of $\mathbb{P}_e(\Sigma)$.

Proof. Let us consider an ergodic measure $\bar{\nu}_0$ such that $\hat{\pi}_*(\bar{\nu}_0)$ is χ' -hyperbolic: there exists $\lambda_0 < -\chi'$
such that all its Lyanuary amongsts along F^s are smaller than λ . For any $\delta > 0$, there ex such that all its Lyapunov exponents along E^s are smaller than λ_0 . For any $\delta > 0$, there exists an integer $N \geq 1$ such that the open set

$$
A := \{ x \in \widehat{\Sigma} : \forall v \in E^s(\widehat{\pi}(x)), \ \| Df^N(v) \| < \exp(-N\lambda_0) \|v\| \}
$$

has measure for $\bar{\nu}_0$ larger than $1 - \delta$. Since E^s is continuous over $\hat{\Sigma}$ by (C3), this is still the case for any ergodic measure $\bar{\nu}$ close to $\bar{\nu}_0$. Having chosen $\delta > 0$ small enough, this implies that for such $\bar{\nu}$,

$$
\frac{1}{N}\int \log \|Df^N|_{E^s}\|d\bar{\nu} < -\chi'.
$$

A sub-additivity argument shows that $\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n} \int \log \|Df^n|_{E^s} \|d\bar{\nu} = \inf_{n} \frac{1}{n} \int \log \|Df^n|_{E^s} \|d\bar{\nu} < -\chi'$. In particular all the Lyapunov exponents of $\bar{\nu}$ along E^s are smaller than $-\chi'$. Arguing in the same way for the unstable exponents, one concludes that $\bar{\nu}$ is χ' -hyperbolic. \Box

Note that a set $S \subset \hat{\Sigma}$ is relatively compact if and only if $\{x_k : x \in S\}$ is finite for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $S \subset \hat{\Sigma}$ is relatively compact in $\hat{\Sigma}$, then $\hat{\pi}(S) \subset M$ is relatively compact (but the converse is not necessarily true).

Proposition 3.8 (Compactness). Let f be a homeomorphism of a compact metric space M, let $\hat{\Sigma}$ be a locally compact countable state Markov shift and let $\hat{\pi} : \hat{\Sigma} \to M$ be a continuous map satisfying $\hat{\pi} \circ \sigma = f \circ \hat{\pi}$ and (C5). Then the following property holds:

(C8) Consider a sequence $\underline{x}^1, \underline{x}^2, \underline{x}^3, \dots \in \widehat{\Sigma}^{\#}$ which is relatively compact in $\widehat{\Sigma}$. Then, any sequence $\underline{y}^1, \underline{y}^2, \underline{y}^3, \dots \in \widehat{\Sigma}^{\#}$ such that $\widehat{\pi}(\underline{x}^i) = \widehat{\pi}(\underline{y}^i)$ for each $i \geq 1$ is also relatively compact.

Proof. Since $(\underline{x}^i)_{i\geq 1}$ is relatively compact, $\{x_k^i : i \geq 1\}$ is finite for all k. So $\mathcal{A}_k := \{a : \exists i \geq 1 \mid a \sim x_k^i\}$ is finite for all k, where ∼ is the relation in (C5). Since $\hat{\pi}(\underline{y}^i) = \hat{\pi}(\underline{x}^i)$, $y_k^i \in \mathcal{A}_k$ for all i, k. The finiteness of A implies that (x^i) , is relatively compact. finiteness of \mathcal{A}_k implies that $(y^i)_{i\geq 1}$ is relatively compact. \Box

3.3 Lifting transitive χ -hyperbolic compact sets

In this section we prove the following:

Proposition 3.9. In the setting of Theorem [3.5](#page-22-1), the coding $\hat{\pi}$: $\hat{\Sigma} \to M$ can be chosen to satisfy the following additional property.

(C9) For any transitive invariant χ -hyperbolic compact set $K \subset M$, there exists a transitive invariant compact set $X \subset \widehat{\Sigma}$ such that $\widehat{\pi}(X) = K$.

We check that the construction performed in [\[84\]](#page-68-3) satisfies this property. In the next section we summarize the steps of the proof of Theorem [3.5](#page-22-1) and give the precise corresponding references to [\[84\]](#page-68-3).

3.3.1 The global coding: some properties of the construction

The χ -hyperbolic dynamics of f on M is analyzed through the following construction:

- An invariant measurable set $\text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}$. Its construction is explained below. It satisfies:
	- (P1) The set NUH $_{\chi}^{\#}$ has full measure for any ergodic $_{\chi}$ -hyperbolic measure of saddle type.
- $-$ **A** map q_{ε} : NUH $_{\chi}^{\#}$ \rightarrow (0, + ∞). It measures the quality of the hyperbolicity. It is uniformly bounded from above, but it could have arbitrarily small positive values. However:
	- (P2) If K is a χ -hyperbolic compact invariant set, then q_{ε} has a positive lower bound over $K \cap$ $\text{NUH}^\#_\chi.$
- A countable collection R of pairwise disjoint Borel sets. These sets are obtained as rectangles of a Markov partition and satisfy:

$$
\bigcup_{R\in\mathcal{R}}R\supset\text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}.
$$

Moreover the following finiteness property holds:

- (P3) For each $t > 0$, the set $\{x \in \text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}, q_{\varepsilon}(x) > t\}$ meets only finitely many $R \in \mathcal{R}$.
- A Markov shift $\hat{\Sigma}$ together with a map $\hat{\pi}: \hat{\Sigma} \to M$. The shift $\hat{\Sigma}$ is associated to the collection of vertices R and to the collection of edges $R \to S$ such that $f(R) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. It codes the set $\text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}$:
	- (P4) Given any $x \in \text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}$, consider the sequence $\underline{R} \in \widehat{\Sigma}$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $f^{n}(x) \in R_{n}$. Then $\widehat{\pi}(R) = x$.

Comments on the constructions. Let us briefly explain where and how these constructions are performed in [\[84\]](#page-68-3).

Step 1. Non-uniformly hyperbolic dynamics. The set $\text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}$ is described in [\[84,](#page-68-3) Section 2.5]. It consists of points $x \in M$ such that:

(a) T_xM admits a splitting $T_xM = E^s(x) \oplus E^u(x)$ satisfying

- (1) $E^s(x) = \text{span}\{e^s(x)\}, \|\underline{e}^s(x)\| = 1, \lim_{n \to \pm \infty} \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} \log \| (Df^n) \underline{e}^s(x) \| < -\chi;$
- (2) $E^u(x) = \text{span}\{\underline{e}^u(x)\}, \|\underline{e}^u(x)\| = 1, \lim_{n \to \pm \infty} \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n}\log||(Df^n)\underline{e}^u(x)|| > \chi;$
- (3) $\lim_{n\to\pm\infty}\frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n} \log |\sin \alpha(f^n(x))| = 0$, where $\alpha(x) := \measuredangle(E^s(x), E^u(x)).$

To these points one associates positive numbers $u(x)$ and $s(x)$ defined by

$$
u(x)^{2} := 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{2n\chi} ||Df^{-n}e^{u}(x)||^{2}, \quad s(x)^{2} := 2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{2n\chi} ||Df^{n}e^{s}(x)||^{2}
$$

and a number $Q_{\varepsilon}(x) > 0$, which is an explicit continuous function of $\alpha(x)$, $1/u(x)$, $1/s(x)$, and which goes to zero as any of these three quantities goes to zero, see [\[84\]](#page-68-3), Section 2.3.

- (b) $\frac{1}{n} \log Q_{\varepsilon}(f^n(x)) \to 0$ as $|n| \to \infty$.
- (c) lim sup $\limsup_{n\to+\infty} q_{\varepsilon}(f^n(x)) \neq 0$ and $\limsup_{n\to-\infty}$ $\limsup_{n\to\infty} q_{\varepsilon}(f^n(x)) \neq 0$, where

$$
q_{\varepsilon}(x)^{-1} := \varepsilon^{-1} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\varepsilon |k|/3} Q_{\varepsilon}(f^k(x))^{-1}.
$$

Property (P1) follows from the Oseledets Theorem, see [\[84,](#page-68-3) Sec. 2.5].

Uniformly hyperbolic dynamics. Let K be a χ -hyperbolic compact invariant set. At any point x in $K \cap \text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}$, the splitting given by the definition of $\text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}$ corresponds to the hyperbolic splitting. By Proposition [2.8,](#page-14-3) the *χ*-hyperbolicity of K implies that the functions $\alpha(x)$, $1/u(x)$, $1/s(x)$ which appear in the definition of NUH $^{\#}_{\chi}$ are uniformly bounded away from zero. In particular Q_{ε} and therefore q_{ε} are also bounded away from zero, proving (P2).

Step 2. A first Markov shift Σ . A first countable collection A of Pesin charts $\Psi_x^{p^u,p^s}$ is built in [\[84,](#page-68-3) Proposition 3.5. $\Psi_x^{p^u,p^s}$ is a pair of two concentric Pesin charts with the same center x, but different sizes p^u, p^s . The set $\{\Psi_x^{p^u,p^{s^*}} \in \mathcal{A} : \min(p^s,p^u) > t\}$ is finite for each $t > 0$. Definition 4.3 in [\[84\]](#page-68-3) introduces a directed graph with vertices A, the associated shift Σ , and a projection $\pi: \Sigma \to M$ ([\[84,](#page-68-3) Theorem 4.16]). For each $v \in \mathcal{A}$, one sets $Z(v) = \{\pi(\underline{u}) : \underline{u} \in \Sigma^{\#} \text{ and } u_0 = v\}$. Each point $x \in \text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}$ lifts by π to a sequence $(\Psi_{x_n}^{p_n^u,p_n^s}) \in \Sigma^{\#}$ satisfying ([\[84,](#page-68-3) proof of Proposition 4.5]):

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \min(p_n^u, p_n^s) \ge q_\varepsilon(f^n(x))e^{-\varepsilon/3}.\tag{3.3}
$$

The sets $Z(v)$ define a covering $Z([84, \text{ Section } 10.1])$ $Z([84, \text{ Section } 10.1])$ $Z([84, \text{ Section } 10.1])$ of an invariant set containing NUH $_{\chi}^{\#}$. The covering is locally finite [\[84,](#page-68-3) Theorem 10.2]: For every $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$, $\#\{Z' \in \mathcal{Z} : Z' \cap Z \neq \emptyset\} < \infty$.

Step 3. The subshift Σ . The local finiteness of the cover Z is used in [\[84,](#page-68-3) Section 11] to construct a collection R of pairwise disjoint sets such that $\bigcup \mathcal{R} = \bigcup \mathcal{Z}$, $\forall R \in \mathcal{R}$ ($\exists Z \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $R \subset Z$), and $\forall Z \in \mathcal{Z} \ (\#\{R \in \mathcal{R} : Z \supset R\} < \infty).$

Property (P3) can be checked as follows. By step 2, the set $\{\Psi_x^{p^u,p^s} \in \mathcal{A} : \min(p^u,p^s) > t\}$ is finite for every $t > 0$. By (3.3) , $\{x \in \text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#} : q_{\varepsilon}(x) > t\}$ can be convered by finitely many $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$. The local finiteness implies that it meets only finitely many $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$. Each Z contains at most finitely many $R \in \mathcal{R}$. So (P3) follows.

Lemmas 12.1 and 12.4 in [\[84\]](#page-68-3) prove that for any $\underline{R} \in \widehat{\Sigma}$, the sequence Closure $\left(\bigcap_{k=-n}^{n} f^{-k}(R_k)\right)$ decreases to a singleton: by definition, this is $\hat{\pi}(R)$. Property (P4) immediately follows from the definitions of $\hat{\Sigma}$ and $\hat{\pi}$.

3.3.2 Proof of Proposition [3.9](#page-24-0)

First, we substitute for K a larger set K with a fully supported invariant probability measure as follows. Since K is hyperbolic and transitive, for any neighborhood V of K , there is a closed invariant set $K \subset K \subset V$, equal to a continuous factor of a transitive shift of finite type (built from specification and expansiveness). Thus there is an ergodic invariant probability measure ν on \tilde{K} with full support. Since hyperbolicity is an open property, the set K is still χ -hyperbolic (choosing the neighborhood V small enough) and from property (P1) we get $\nu(\tilde{K} \cap \text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}) = 1$. Since $\text{supp}(\nu) = \tilde{K}$, the set $\widetilde{K} \cap \text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}$ is dense in \widetilde{K} .

Let us consider $q_{\varepsilon}: \text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#} \to (0, +\infty)$ from the preceding section. According to (P2), this function has a nontrivial lower bound on $\widetilde{K} \cap \text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}$. For each $x \in K \cap \text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}$, Property (P4) defines a sequence $\underline{R}(x) \in \widehat{\Sigma}$. Property (P3) implies that all sequences $\underline{R}(x), x \in K \cap \text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}$, only use finitely many symbols hence are contained in some invariant compact set $X_0 \subset \widehat{\Sigma}$. As $\widehat{\pi}$ is continuous, $\widehat{\pi}(X_0)$ is a compact set which contains $\widetilde{K} \cap \text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}$, hence K.

Let $X \subset X_0$ be an invariant compact set such that $\hat{\pi}(X) \supset K$, and which is minimal for the inclusion (such a set exists by Zorn's Lemma). By assumption, there exists $z \in K$ having a dense forward orbit in K. Consider a lift $x \in X$ of z. The ω -limit set of the forward orbit of x is an invariant compact subset of X, which projects on K by $\hat{\pi}$ since the forward orbit of z is dense in K. Since X has been chosen minimal, this limit set coincides with X , hence the forward orbit of x is dense in X. This shows that X is transitive. The proof of Proposition [3.9](#page-24-0) is complete. \Box

3.4 Lifting homoclinic classes of measures

In this section, we prove Theorem [3.1](#page-20-1) as a consequence of the following:

Proposition 3.10. Let $r > 1, \chi > 0$ and let f be a C^r diffeomorphism on a closed manifold M. Consider a locally compact countable state Markov shift $\widehat{\Sigma}$, and a continuous map $\widehat{\pi} : \widehat{\Sigma} \to M$ satisfying $\hat{\pi} \circ \sigma = f \circ \hat{\pi}$ and (C1), (C2), (C5), (C6), (C7), (C9). Then the following property holds.

For any hyperbolic ergodic measure μ there is an irreducible component $\Sigma \subset \widehat{\Sigma}$ satisfying (C2).

Proof. Let us fix $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$. One can assume that the homoclinic class of μ contains a *χ*-hyperbolic measure, since otherwise the conclusion of the theorem holds trivially. Recall that a basic set is a compact, invariant, transitive, uniformly hyperbolic, locally maximal set.

Lemma 3.11. Suppose $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_N$ are homoclinically related x-hyperbolic periodic orbits. Then there exists a *χ*-hyperbolic basic set K which contains every \mathcal{O}_i .

Proof. Since the orbits O_i are homoclinically related, for every $1 \le i, j \le N$ there are $t_{ij} \in M$, $x_k \in O_k$, $0 \le a_{ij} < |\mathcal{O}_j|$ such that $d(f^{-n}(t_{ij}), f^{-n}(x_i)) \longrightarrow 0$, $d(f^{n}(t_{ij}), f^{n+a_{ij}}(x_j)) \longrightarrow 0$ exponentially fast. When $i = j$, let $t_{ii} := x_i$.

Let $L := \bigcup_{i,j=1}^N \mathcal{O}(t_{ij})$. This set is compact, invariant, and uniformly χ' -hyperbolic for some $\chi' > \chi$. By the shadowing lemma and expansivity, there are $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ so small that:

- (a) Every ε -pseudo-orbit in $L^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is δ -shadowed by at least one orbit [\[53,](#page-67-15) Theorem 18.1.2];
- (b) Every ε -pseudo-orbit in $L^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is 2 δ -shadowed by at most one orbit, see [\[53,](#page-67-15) Theorem 18.1.3] (in particular the orbit in (a) is unique);

(c) Let U be a δ -neighborhood of L, then $\bigcap_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}f^n(U)$ is uniformly χ -hyperbolic [\[53,](#page-67-15) Prop. 6.4.6 and its proof].

Let $L_m := \bigcup_{i,j=1}^N \{f^k(t_{ij}) : -m \leq k \leq m+a_{ij}-1\}$. Choosing m large enough guarantees that L_m contains $\mathcal{O}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{O}_N$, $d(f^m(t_{ij}), f^{m+a_{ij}}(t_{jj})) < \varepsilon/2$, and $d(f^{-m}(t_{ij}), f^{-m}(t_{ii})) < \varepsilon/2$. Let

 $K := \{x : \text{the orbit of } x \text{ is } \delta\text{-shadowed by an } \varepsilon\text{-pseudo-orbit in } L_m^{\mathbb{Z}}\}.$

This set contains $\mathcal{O}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_N$. It is also invariant, uniformly χ -hyperbolic, locally maximal, and (since L_m is finite) closed.

We claim that K is transitive. Let Σ be the finite state Markov shift associated with the graph with set of vertices L_m and edges $\xi \to \eta$ when $d(f(\xi), \eta) < \varepsilon$. Let $\pi : \Sigma \to K$ denote the map which sends a pseudo-orbit to the unique orbit it shadows. The uniqueness of the shadowed orbit implies that $\pi \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \pi$ and that π is continuous, see e.g. [\[15,](#page-65-17) Lemma 3.13]. Thus to show that K is transitive it is enough to show that Σ is topologically transitive, or equivalently that Σ is irreducible. Any two vertices in $V_{ii} := \{ f^k(t_{ii}) : k \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ can be connected by a path, because t_{ii} is periodic. The paths $f^{-m}(t_{ii}) \to f^{-m+1}(t_{ij}) \to f^{-m+2}(t_{ij}) \to \cdots \to f^{m-1}(t_{ij}) \to f^{m+a_{ij}}(t_{jj})$ are admissible by choice of m. So for every $\xi \in V_{ij} := \{f^k(t_{ij}) : -m \leq k \leq m+a_{ij}-1\}$ there is a path which starts in V_{ii} , passes through ξ , and terminates in V_{jj} . This implies that any two $\xi, \eta \in L_m = \bigcup_{i,j} V_{ij}$ can be connected by a path, whence Σ is transitive. \Box

Lemma 3.12. Let $\{O_i\}$ be the set of all x-hyperbolic periodic orbits which are homoclinically related to μ . Then there is an irreducible component $\Sigma \subset \Sigma$ such that every \mathcal{O}_i lifts to a periodic orbit in Σ .

Proof. If $\#\{O_i\} \leq 1$ the lemma is trivial, so assume that $\#\{O_i\} \geq 2$. In this case O_1 has a transverse homoclinic intersection, and there are infinitely many χ -hyperbolic periodic orbits which are homoclinic to μ . By [\[53,](#page-67-15) Prop. 1.1.4]), $\{\mathcal{O}_i\}$ is countable. Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \ldots$ be an enumeration.

For each $n \geq 1$, there exists a uniformly χ -hyperbolic basic set K_n which contains $\mathcal{O}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_n$ (Lemma [3.11\)](#page-27-1). By (C5), there exists an invariant compact transitive set $X_n \subset \Sigma$ which lifts K_n . Since X_n is compact and invariant, there is a finite set of vertices A such that $X_n \subset A^\mathbb{Z}$. So $X_n \subset \widehat{\Sigma}^{\#}$.

Let us consider a periodic point $x^i \in \mathcal{O}_i \subset \text{NUH}_{\chi}^{\#}$, with period τ_i . Let \underline{v}^i be a lift of x^i in $X_n \subset \widehat{\Sigma}^{\#}$. For every $k, \sigma^{k\tau_i}(\underline{v}^i) \in \Sigma^{\#}$. Property (C1) says that x^i has only finitely many $\hat{\pi}$ -lifts in $\hat{\Sigma}^{\#}$. Hence $\underline{v}^i \in X_n$ is periodic. Thus, $\mathcal{O}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_n$ lift to periodic orbits in X_n .

Each of the sets X_1, X_2, \ldots contains some lift of the orbit \mathcal{O}_1 . Since \mathcal{O}_1 has only finitely many lifts in $\hat{\Sigma}^{\#}$, there exists an infinite subsequence X_{n_i} of the previous sets which contain the same periodic lift \underline{w}^1 of \mathcal{O}_1 . Let Σ denote the irreducible component of $\widehat{\Sigma}$ which contains \underline{w}^1 . This is the maximal closed, invariant, and transitive set containing this element. Necessarily, $X_{n_i} \subset \Sigma$ for all i. So Σ contains periodic lifts of every \mathcal{O}_i , $i \geq 1$. \Box

Lemma 3.13. Every *χ*-hyperbolic ergodic invariant measure ν of saddle type that is homoclinically related to μ lifts to an ergodic shift invariant measure on the irreducible Σ given by Lemma [3.12](#page-28-0).

Proof. Let ν be as above. By ($\widehat{C}2$), there exists an ergodic measure $\bar{\nu}$ supported on $\widehat{\Sigma}$ which lifts ν . Suppose $\underline{v} \in \widehat{\Sigma}^{\#}$ is recurrent and generic for $\overline{\nu}$, i.e. $(1/n) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \delta_{\sigma^i(\underline{v})} \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{w^*} \overline{\nu}$. We set $x := \widehat{\pi}(\underline{v})$.

By recurrence, there exist sequences $m_i, n_i \to +\infty$ such that $v_{n_i} = v_{-m_i} = v_0$. Let \underline{q}^i denote the periodic sequence with period $m_i + n_i$ such that $(q_k^i)_{k=-i}^{n_i-1}$ $x_{k=-m_i}^{n_i-1} = (v_{-m_i}, \ldots, v_0, \ldots, v_{n_i-1})$. Notice that \underline{q}^i is in $\hat{\Sigma}^{\#}$, in the same irreducible component as $\bar{\nu}$. Moreover, the invariant probability measure supported by the orbit of q^i converges as $i \to \infty$ to $\overline{\nu}$.

Let $x^i = \hat{\pi}(\underline{q}^i)$. From (C6), x^i is a hyperbolic periodic point whose orbit is homoclinically related μ . Since the invariant probability measure supported by the orbit of g^i converges to $\bar{\mu}$ (C7) shows to μ . Since the invariant probability measure supported by the orbit of q^i converges to $\bar{\nu}$, (C7) shows that the corresponding measures are also χ -hyperbolic for all i large enough. Therefore Lemma [3.12](#page-28-0) gives an irreducible component Σ , depending only on μ , containing some periodic lifts p^i of all x^i with i large enough.

The sequence (q^i) is relatively compact in Σ , because $q_0^i = v_0$ for all $i \geq 0$ and Σ is associated to a graph all of whose vertices have finite degrees $(\widehat{\Sigma}$ is locally compact). Since $\widehat{\pi}(q^i) = \widehat{\pi}(p^i) = x^i$ and $x^i \in \Sigma^{\#}$ are here les (G_{Σ}) and Degrees it is 2.8 that (x^i) is also velocities here are at in $p^i, q^i \in \Sigma^{\#}$, we have by (C5) and Proposition [3.8](#page-24-1) that $\{p^i\}$ is also relatively compact in Σ . Let $p \in \Sigma$ be the limit of some convergent sub-sequence $\{p^{i_k}\}\right$. By continuity of the projection, $\hat{\pi}(p) = x$.

We claim that $\underline{p} \in \Sigma^{\#}$. By construction, $q_{n_j}^i = q_{-m_j}^i = v_0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, i$. By the Bowen property (C5), $p_{n_j}^i, p_{-m_j}^i \in \{a : a \sim v_0\}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, i$. This property is inherited by all limits of subsequences of $\{p^i\}$, and so $p_k \in \{a : a \sim v_0\}$ for infinitely many positive and negative k. Since $\{a : a \sim v_0\}$ is finite, $p \in \Sigma^{\#}$.

We just showed that any point $x \in E := \hat{\pi} \{$ generic points for $\bar{\nu} \}$ lifts to $\Sigma^{\#}$. Since $\nu(E^c) = 0$, v-almost every x has a lift to $\Sigma^{\#}$. The number of such lifts is finite by (C1). Now set

$$
\bar{\mu}(E) = \int_M \left(\frac{1}{|\pi^{-1}(x) \cap \Sigma^{\#}|} \sum_{\underline{v} \in \pi^{-1}(x) \cap \Sigma^{\#}} \mathbf{1}_E(\underline{v}) \right) d\mu(x).
$$

This is an invariant probability measure on $\Sigma^{\#}$, and almost every ergodic component of $\bar{\mu}$ is an ergodic lift of ν to $\Sigma^{\#}$ (see e.g. [\[84,](#page-68-3) Proposition 13.2]). \Box

Property (C2.b) is also true, because for every ergodic measure $\bar{\nu}$ supported on the irreducible component Σ given by Lemma [3.12,](#page-28-0) the projection $\nu := \hat{\pi}_*(\bar{\nu})$ is obviously ergodic and homoclinically related to μ by property (C6). Thus Σ satisfies property (C2).

The proof of Proposition [3.10](#page-27-2) is now complete.

We deduce the following stronger version of Theorem [3.1.](#page-20-1)

Theorem 3.14. Let $r > 1$ and f be a C^r diffeomorphism on a closed surface M. Suppose μ be an ergodic hyperbolic measure for f. For every $\chi > 0$ there are a locally compact countable state Markov shift Σ and a Hölder-continuous map $\pi : \Sigma \to M$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = f \circ \pi$ and which satisfies properties $(C0)$ - $(C8)$, as well as

(C9) For any transitive compact x-hyperbolic set $K \subset M$ that is homoclinically related to μ , there exists a transitive invariant compact set $X \subset \Sigma$ such that $\pi(X) = K$.

Proof. Let us consider a locally compact countable state Markov shift $\hat{\Sigma}$ and a map $\hat{\pi} : \hat{\Sigma} \to M$ given by Theorem [3.5.](#page-22-1) It satisfies properties $(C0)$, $(C1)$, $(C2)$, $(C3)$, $(C4)$, $(C5)$ and, by Propositions [3.6,](#page-23-0) [3.7,](#page-24-2) [3.8,](#page-24-1) [3.9,](#page-24-0) also properties $(C6)$, $(C7)$, $(C8)$, $(C9)$.

$$
\Box
$$

Let us consider an irreducible component $\Sigma \subset \widehat{\Sigma}$ given by Proposition [3.10](#page-27-2) and the restriction π of $\hat{\pi}$ to Σ. In particular (C2) holds for Σ and π . Item (C0) of Theorem [3.1](#page-20-1) and the local compactness hold because Σ is an irreducible component of the locally compact Markov shift Σ . Items (C4)-(C8) and the Hölder-continuity of π are immediate, since π is the restriction of $\hat{\pi}$.

It remains to prove (C9). If K is a transitive χ -hyperbolic compact set homoclinically related to μ , one considers as in the proof of Proposition [3.9](#page-24-0) an invariant ergodic measure ν whose support is a transitive invariant χ -hyperbolic set $K' \supseteq K$. Using the continuity of $W^u_{loc}(\cdot)$, $W^s_{loc}(\cdot)$ on K' [\[48\]](#page-67-14), it is not difficult to verify that $\nu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$. So ν lifts to some $\hat{\nu}$ on Σ . We claim that supp $\hat{\nu}$ is compact. It will follow that $K \subset \pi(\text{supp }\hat{\nu})$ and we will conclude as in the proof of Proposition [3.9.](#page-24-0)

We turn to the claim. (C9) gives a compact set $Y \subset \hat{\Sigma}$ such that $\hat{\pi}(Y) = \text{supp }\nu$. In particular $Y \subset A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for some finite set A and $Y \subset \hat{\Sigma}^{\#}$. The Bowen property (C5) for $\hat{\pi}|_{\hat{\Sigma}^{\#}}$ implies that $(\widehat{\pi}|_{\widehat{\Sigma}^{\#}})^{-1}(\text{supp }\nu) \subset B^{\mathbb{Z}}$ where $B := \{b : \exists a \in A \ b \sim a\}$. The set B is finite as the Bowen relation is locally finite. Since π is a restriction of $\widehat{\pi}$, y.e. a point belong to $\Sigma \cap B^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Therefore locally finite. Since π is a restriction of $\hat{\pi}$, v-a.e. point belongs to $\Sigma \cap B^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Therefore, supp $\hat{\nu} \subset \Sigma \cap B^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is compact as claimed. is compact as claimed.

3.5 Properties of equilibrium measures

Let f be a C^r diffeomorphism, $r > 1$, of a closed surface M. Let $\phi : M \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ be an admissible potential. We now prove a slightly strengthened version of Corollary [3.3.](#page-21-1) To be precise, given an invariant measure ν , let: $P_{\phi}(f,\nu) := h(f,\nu) + \int \phi \, d\nu$. We consider an ergodic hyperbolic measure μ which is an equilibrium for ϕ in its homoclinic class, i.e.,

$$
\forall \nu \in \mathbb{P}(f) \quad \nu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu \implies P_{\phi}(f, \nu) \le P_{\phi}(f, \mu). \tag{3.4}
$$

This is weaker than being an equilibrium measure.

We choose $\chi > 0$ small enough so that μ is χ -hyperbolic. Theorem [3.1](#page-20-1) applied to the set of measures homoclinically related to μ and the threshold χ provides Σ transitive and $\pi : \Sigma \to M$ with an invariant measure $\hat{\mu}$ for Σ such that $\pi_*(\hat{\mu}) = \mu$. Theorem [2.12](#page-15-0) gives some hyperbolic periodic orbit O related to μ that is χ -hyperbolic. It has a periodic lift $\widehat{\mathcal{O}} \subset \Sigma^{\#}$.

Note that $\hat{\mu}$ is an equilibrium measure on (Σ, σ) for the potential $\phi \circ \pi$. Indeed, for any $\hat{\nu} \in \mathbb{P}_{e}(\sigma)$, $\pi_*(\widehat{\nu})$ is an ergodic, hyperbolic measure and $\pi_*\widehat{\nu} \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$ by (C6) so that $P_{\phi \circ \pi}(\sigma, \widehat{\nu}) = P_{\phi}(f, \pi_*\widehat{\nu}) \leq$
 $P_{\phi}(f, \mu)$ (the equality is because finite to ane feature presents entropy the inequalit $P_{\phi}(f, \mu)$ (the equality is because finite-to-one factors preserve entropy, the inequality is because of eq. [\(3.4\)](#page-30-1)). Moreover, as the potential ϕ is admissible, $\phi \circ \pi : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ is Hölder-continuous (see Remark [3.2\)](#page-21-2).

UNIQUENESS. Any ergodic equilibrium measure ν for ϕ in the homoclinic class of μ coincides with μ .

One takes $\chi > 0$ small enough so that ν is χ -hyperbolic. By (C2.a), there is an invariant measure $\hat{\nu}$ for Σ such that $\pi_*(\hat{\nu}) = \nu$. By the above argument, $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\nu}$ are equilibrium measures for $\phi \circ \pi$. As Σ is transitive and $\phi \circ \pi$ is Hölder-continuous, [\[37\]](#page-66-15) implies that $\hat{\mu} = \hat{\nu}$, hence $\mu = \nu$, proving the first property of Corollary [3.3.](#page-21-1)

SUPPORT. The support of μ is $HC(\mu)$.

Since $\hat{\mu}$ is an equilibrium measure for a Hölder-continuous potential, and Σ is transitive, $\hat{\mu}$ has full support in Σ [\[82,](#page-68-15) [37\]](#page-66-15). So $\overline{\pi(\Sigma)} = \text{supp}(\mu) \subseteq \text{HC}(\mu)$. We claim that $\pi(\Sigma)$ is dense in HC(μ).

It is enough to prove that the χ -hyperbolic periodic points which are homoclinically related to μ are dense in HC(μ), because by (C2.a), they all belong to $\pi(\Sigma)$.

Firstly, the union of all hyperbolic periodic orbits $\mathcal{O}' \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$ is dense in HC(\mathcal{O}) = HC(μ). Secondly any point in \mathcal{O}' can be approximated by a hyperbolic periodic point x'' homoclinically related to $\mathcal O$ whose orbit induces a probability measure arbitrary close to the invariant probability supported on O, for the weak-* topology on $\mathbb{P}_e(\hat{\Sigma})$ (take x'' to be the initial condition of a periodic orbit which shadows ℓ -loops of \mathcal{O}' and then m-loops of \mathcal{O} , and such that ℓ/m is large). The property (C7) ensures that the orbit of x'' is χ -hyperbolic, as \mathcal{O} .

BERNOULLI PROPERTY AND PERIOD. The measure μ is isomorphic to the product of a Bernoulli scheme with a cyclic permutation of order gcd{Card(\mathcal{O}) : $\mathcal{O} \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$ }.

As shown in [\[83\]](#page-68-16), Ornstein theory implies that the equilibrium measure $\hat{\mu}$ for $(\sigma, \Sigma, \phi \circ \pi)$ is isomorphic to the product of a Bernoulli scheme and a cyclic permutation, and this property is inherited by the factor μ . It remains to identify the order of the permutation.

Let us decompose μ : there exist disjoint measurable sets A_1, \ldots, A_ℓ such that $f(A_i) = A_{i+1}$ when $i < \ell$, $f(A_{\ell}) = A_1$, $\mu(A_i) > 0$ and $\mu_i := \mu(\cdot | A_i) \equiv \ell \mu |_{A_i}$ is Bernoulli for f^{ℓ} . Note that each μ_i is an equilibrium measure for f^{ℓ} and the potential $\phi_{\ell} = \frac{1}{\ell}$ $\frac{1}{\ell}(\phi + \phi \circ f + \cdots + \phi \circ f^{\ell-1})$. From the above uniqueness (applied to f^{ℓ}), the μ_i are not homoclinically related.

Let \mathcal{O}' be some hyperbolic periodic orbit homoclinically related to μ , it decomposes into disjoint periodic orbits $\mathcal{O}'_1,\ldots,\mathcal{O}'_k$ for f^{ℓ} with equal cardinality. Since μ is homoclinically related to \mathcal{O}' , each \mathcal{O}'_j is homoclinically related to one and only one μ_i as these measures are not related. The number of orbits O_j related to μ_i , does not depend on i (by invariance of the dynamics), hence the period ℓ of μ divides the number of orbits \mathcal{O}'_j , and then the period of \mathcal{O}' . This proves that the period of μ divides $gcd{Card(\mathcal{O}')} : \mathcal{O}' \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$, the period of the homoclinic class of \mathcal{O} .

Conversely, Proposition [2.17](#page-17-1) proves that the period of the homoclinic class divides the period of the measure μ . Hence, the period of μ is equal to gcd{Card(\mathcal{O}') : $\mathcal{O}' \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$ }.

The proof of Corollary [3.3](#page-21-1) is now complete.

3.6 Injective coding on a large set

The coding $\pi : \Sigma \to M$ obtained in Theorem [3.1](#page-20-1) is finite-to-one on its regular part $\Sigma^{\#}$. We present two combinatorial constructions that use the Bowen property (C5) to create large injectivity sets without destroying the irreducibility property (C0).

INJECTIVE CODING OF A GIVEN MEASURE. When an ergodic measure μ is given, the constructions in [\[17\]](#page-65-0) yield irreducible, finite-to-one, and Hölder-continuous codings that can be made μ -almost everywhere injective. We have the following variant of [\[17,](#page-65-0) Prop. 6.3].

Theorem 3.15. Let f be a C^r diffeomorphism, $r > 1$, on a closed surface M. Let μ be an ergodic hyperbolic measure for f. Then there are $\chi > 0$, a locally compact countable state Markov shift Σ and a Hölder-continuous map $\pi : \Sigma \to M$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma = f \circ \pi$, (C0), (C1), (C2.b), (C3)-(C8), and:

$$
\mu({x \in M : |\pi^{-1}(x) \cap \Sigma^{\#}| = 1}) = 1.
$$
\n(3.5)

$$
\Box
$$

In particular, there is a unique invariant probability measure ν on Σ such that $\pi_*(\nu) = \mu$; moreover $\pi : (\nu, \sigma) \to (\mu, f)$ is a measure-preserving conjugacy.

Contrary to Theorem [3.1,](#page-20-1) this construction does not ensure $(C2.a)$, i.e., $\nu(\pi(\Sigma^{\#})) = 1$ for every χ hyperbolic measure ν homoclinically related to μ or (C9), i.e., the lifting of any transitive χ -hyperbolic compact set homoclinically related to μ .

Proof. Let μ be an ergodic and hyperbolic measure for f. It is χ -hyperbolic for some $\chi > 0$. Let $\widehat{\Sigma}$ and $\hat{\pi}$ be given by Theorem [3.5](#page-22-1) for that parameter χ . Observe that $\hat{\pi} : \hat{\Sigma}^{\#} \to M$ is continuous and satisfies (C1) and the locally finite Bowen property (C5): $\hat{\pi}$ is excellent with a multiplicity bound in the terminology of [\[32\]](#page-66-16). Since $\mu(\hat{\pi}(\hat{\Sigma}^{\#})) = 1$, we can apply Theorem 5.2 of that paper and get an irreducible Markov shift Σ and a map $\pi : \Sigma \to M$ such that Σ is locally compact (because $\widehat{\Sigma}$ was) and π is Hölder-continuous (because $\hat{\pi}$ was and $\pi = \hat{\pi} \circ q$ for some 1-Lipschitz map $q : \Sigma \to \hat{\Sigma}$). One easily checks the remaining claims:

- $(C0)$: Σ is irreducible;
- $-$ (C1), (C5): from item (1) of [\[32,](#page-66-16) Theorem 5.2];
- $(C2.b),(C3),(C4),(C6),(C7)$: from the same properties of $\hat{\pi}$, since $\pi = \hat{\pi} \circ q$ with q continuous;
- $(C8)$: from item (4) of [\[32,](#page-66-16) Theorem 5.2];

– Equation (3.5) : from item (5) of $[32,$ Theorem $5.2(7)$. This implies the last part of the theorem: existence of a unique lift ν of μ and $\pi : (\Sigma, \nu) \to (M, \mu)$ is a measure-preserving conjugacy. \Box

Injective coding for all equilibrium measures. Using the magic word theory of [\[32\]](#page-66-16), one gets injectivity on a larger set:

Theorem 3.16. Let f be a C^r diffeomorphism, $r > 1$, on a closed surface M. Let μ be an ergodic hyperbolic measure for f. For every $\chi > 0$, there are a locally compact countable state Markov shift Σ and a Hölder-continuous map $\tilde{\pi} : \Sigma \to M$ such that $\tilde{\pi} \circ \sigma = f \circ \tilde{\pi}$, which satisfies (C0)-(C9) and the following additional property.

(C10) There is an open set $\emptyset \neq U \subset \widetilde{\Sigma}$ such that for any ergodic ν on M with $\nu(\widetilde{\pi}(U \cap \widetilde{\Sigma}^{\#})) > 0$,

$$
\nu({x \in M : |\tilde{\pi}^{-1}(x) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}^{\#}| = 1}) = 1.
$$

Proof. From Theorem [3.1,](#page-20-1) there are a Markov shift Σ and a map $\pi : \Sigma \to M$ satisfying $\pi \circ \sigma = f \circ \pi$ and all the properties (C0)-(C9). We can apply Theorem 5.3 of [\[32\]](#page-66-16) since $\pi|_{\Sigma^{\#}}$ is Borel, finite-to-one and satisfies the locally finite Bowen property. We get a new Markov shift Σ (locally compact by item (1) of that theorem) and a Hölder-continuous map $q : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ such that $\tilde{\pi} : \Sigma \to M$ defined by $\tilde{\pi} := \pi \circ q$ is a Hölder-continuous map satisfying $f \circ \tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\pi} \circ \sigma$. One checks (C0)-(C8):

- (C0): by item (7) since Σ is irreducible;
- (C1), (C5): by items (1) since Σ satisfies a multiplicity bound in the terminology of [\[32\]](#page-66-16);
- (C2.a): by items (1) and (5);
- (C2.b), (C3), (C4), (C6), (C7): from the same properties of $\hat{\pi}$, since $\pi = \hat{\pi} \circ q$ with q continuous; – (C8): because π satisfies the same property and $q : \tilde{\Sigma}^{\#} \to \Sigma^{\#}$ is a proper map.

We then prove (C9). As in Section [3.3.2,](#page-27-3) it is enough to show that any χ -hyperbolic transitive hyperbolic set K which is the support of some ergodic χ -hyperbolic measure $\nu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$ is contained in

 $\widetilde{\pi}(\widetilde{K})$ for some compact subset \widetilde{K} of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$. It follows from (C9) for π that there is a compact set $X \subset \Sigma$ such that $K = \pi(X)$. By (C8) for π , $\pi^{-1}(K) \cap \Sigma^{\#}$ is also compact. By item (4) of Theorem 5.3 of [\[32\]](#page-66-16), $\tilde{K} := q^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(K) \cap \Sigma^{\#}) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}^{\#}$ must also be compact. Note that $\tilde{K} = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(K) \cap \tilde{\Sigma}^{\#}$ since $q(\tilde{Y}^{\#}) \subset Y^{\#}$. This implies that $\tilde{\pi}(\tilde{K}) \supset \pi(\tilde{\Sigma}^{\#}) \cap K$. The latter set $\pi(\tilde{\Sigma}^{\$ $q(\widetilde{X}^{\#}) \subset X^{\#}$. This implies that $\widetilde{\pi}(\widetilde{K}) \supset \pi(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{\#}) \cap K$. The latter set $\pi(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{\#}) \cap K$ has full ν -measure by (C2.a). Since $\tilde{\pi}(K)$ is compact, it must contain K since it is the support of ν .

We finally prove (C10) for $U := [w]$ the cylinder in $\tilde{\Sigma}$ defined by the word w from [\[32,](#page-66-16) Theorem 5.3(6)]. Let $\nu \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{e}}(f)$ with $\nu(\pi(U \cap \widetilde{\Sigma}^{\#})) > 0$. Let $\widetilde{\Sigma}_1$ be the set of sequences $x \in \widetilde{\Sigma}$ such that either w does not occur, or it occurs infinitely many times in the past and infinitely many times in the future. By Poincaré recurrence, Σ_1 has full measure for all invariant probability measures of Σ . Since $\tilde{\pi}|_{\tilde{\pi}^{\#}}$ is finite-to-one, this implies that $\nu(\widetilde{\pi}(\widetilde{\Sigma}^{\#} \setminus \widetilde{\Sigma}_1)) = 0$ so $\nu(\widetilde{\pi}(U \cap \widetilde{\Sigma}_1)) > 0$. Therefore for ν -a.e. $y \in M$, $y = \pi(\sigma^n(x))$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in U \cap \widetilde{\Sigma}_1$. By the choice of U and $\widetilde{\Sigma}_1$, w occurs in x infinitely often in the past and also in the future. (C10) now follows from [\[32,](#page-66-16) Theorem 5.3(6)].

Recall the notion of equilibrium in a homoclinic class and the notation $P_{\phi}(f,\nu)$, see eq. [\(3.4\)](#page-30-1).

Corollary 3.17. Let f be a C^r diffeomorphism, $r > 1$, of a closed surface M, let $\phi : M \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ be an admissible potential, let $\chi > 0$ and let μ be an ergodic χ -hyperbolic measure which is an equilibrium measure for ϕ in its homoclinic class. Consider a locally compact countable state Markov shift Σ and a continuous map $\pi : \Sigma \to M$ satisfying $\pi \circ \sigma = f \circ \pi$ and properties (C0), (C2.a), (C10).

Then Σ admits an equilibrium measure $\bar{\mu}$ for the potential $\phi \circ \pi$. It is unique and $\pi : (\Sigma, \bar{\mu}) \to (M, \mu)$ is a measure-preserving conjugacy.

Proof of the Corollary. As proved in Section [3.5,](#page-30-0) (C2.a) implies that $\mu = \pi_*(\bar{\mu})$ where $\bar{\mu}$ is an equilibrium measure for the potential $\phi \circ \pi$. Moreover, there is no other equilibrium for that Hölder-continuous potential and $\bar{\mu}$ has full support in the irreducible shift Σ . In particular $\bar{\mu}(U) > 0$ for U as in (C10). This implies that π is injective on a measurable subset of Σ which has full $\bar{\mu}$ -measure. \Box

Corollary 3.18. Let f be a C^r diffeomorphism, $r > 1$, on a closed surface M, let Σ be a locally compact countable state Markov shift and $\pi : \Sigma \to M$ be a continuous map satisfying $\pi \circ \sigma = f \circ \pi$ and (C0), (C6), (C9), (C10) for some $\chi > 0$. Let us assume also that Σ supports an ergodic measure $\widehat{\mu}$ whose projection $\mu := \pi_* \widehat{\mu}$ is χ -hyperbolic.

Then the period of Σ equals the period $\ell := \gcd\{\text{Card}\,\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{O} \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu\}$ of the homoclinic class of μ .

Proof. Let us consider some hyperbolic periodic orbits $\mathcal{O}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_N$ homoclinically related to μ and satisfying gcd($|\mathcal{O}_1|, \ldots, |\mathcal{O}_N|$) = ℓ . Let $U \subset \Sigma$ be an open set as in (C10) and let q_0 be the projection by π of a periodic point in U. By (C0) and (C6), the periodic orbit \mathcal{O}_0 of q_0 is homoclinically related to μ . By Theorem [2.12,](#page-15-0) there is a χ-hyperbolic orbit \mathcal{O}_* with $\mathcal{O}_* \overset{h}{\sim} \mu$. Lemma [3.11](#page-27-1) provides a hyperbolic basic set K containing all the previous periodic orbits. As in section [3.5](#page-30-0) when we analyzed the support of equilibrium measures, using one can assume that $\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_N$ and K are χ -hyperbolic. By (C9), there exists an invariant compact subset $X \subset \Sigma$ such that $\pi(X) = K$.

We claim that there is a neighborhood V of q_0 such that $V \cap K \subset \pi(U)$. Otherwise one can consider points $y^n \in X \setminus U$ with $\pi(y^n) \to q_0$. Since X is a compact subset of Σ , one can assume that (y^n) converges to some point $y_* \in X \subset \Sigma^{\#}$. By continuity, $\pi(y_*) = \pi(q_0)$. Property (C10) then implies that $y_* = q_0$, a contradiction proving the claim.

Using shadowing, one can replace the orbits $\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{O}_N$ by periodic orbits in K that all meet V and whose periods still have greatest common divisor equal to ℓ . Now these periodic orbits are contained in the projection $\pi(X)$ and in the injectivity set of π : they lift as periodic orbits in Σ having the same periods. In particular the period of Σ divides ℓ . By (C6), all the periodic orbits in Σ project by π on periodic orbits homoclinically related to μ , hence ℓ divides the period of Σ , so ℓ is the period of Σ . \Box

4 Dynamical laminations and Sard's Lemma

Throughout this section, M is a closed Riemannian surface and $f : M \to M$ is a C^r diffeomorphism, $1 < r < \infty$. Let

$$
\mathrm{Emb}^r((-1,1),M):=\{\varphi:(-1,1)\to M:\varphi\text{ is a }C^r\text{ embedding}\}.
$$

We endow it with the *compact-open* C^r -topology.

By a C^1 -curve, we mean the image of the restriction of an element $\varphi \in \text{Emb}^1((-1,1), M)$ to the interval $(-1/2, 1/2)$. Hence, the compact-open C¹-topology induces a uniform C¹-topology on parametrized curves $\tau = \varphi((-1/2, 1/2))$. Most of the time, we will abuse the language and speak about $C¹$ -close curves or $C¹$ -neighborhoods of curves when we are really discussing their parametrizations.

4.1 Laminations, transversals, holonomies, dimensions

LAMINATIONS. A partition of a set $K \subset M$ is a collection $\mathscr L$ of pairwise disjoint subsets of K, whose union equals K. For every $x \in K$, we let

 $\mathscr{L}(x) :=$ the (unique) element of \mathscr{L} which contains x.

The set K will sometimes be denoted by $K = \text{supp}(\mathscr{L})$.

A continuous (one-dimensional) lamination with C^r leaves is a partition $\mathscr L$ into connected sets such that every point $x_0 \in \text{supp}(\mathscr{L})$ has an open neighborhood U and a map $\Theta: U \cap K \to \text{Emb}^r((-1,1), M)$ satisfying for all $x \in U \cap K$:

(L1) $\Theta(x)(0) = x;$

(L2) $\mathscr{L}_U(x) := \Theta(x) \left((-1/2, 1/2) \right)$ is the connected component of $U \cap \mathscr{L}(x)$ which contains x;

(L3) Θ is continuous on $U \cap K$ in the compact-open C^r topology.

The set $\mathscr{L}(x)$ is called the (global) leaf of x and is an injectively embedded C^r submanifold. The set $\mathscr{L}_U(x)$ is the local leaf of x (in U). The sets U above are called lamination neighborhoods. Note that $\text{supp}(\mathscr{L})$ may be covered by a finite or countable collection of lamination neighborhoods.

TRANSVERSALS. A *transversal* to a continuous lamination $\mathscr L$ is a C^1 -embedded one-dimensional submanifold $\tau \subset M$ which intersects supp (\mathscr{L}) , such that for every $x \in \tau \cap \text{supp}(\mathscr{L})$ and every local leaf L of x, it holds $T_xM = T_x\tau \oplus T_xL$. We write in this case $\tau \pitchfork \mathscr{L}$.

TRANSVERSE DIMENSION. Let \dim_H denote the Hausdorff dimension. The *(upper)* transverse dimension of a continuous lamination $\mathscr L$ is

$$
d(\mathcal{L}) := \sup\{\dim_H(\tau \cap \text{supp}(\mathcal{L})) : \tau \text{ is a transversal }\}.
$$
\n(4.1)

HOLONOMY PROJECTIONS. Consider a point $x_0 \in \text{supp}(\mathscr{L})$ with a lamination neighborhood U_0 and a transversal τ_0 containing x_0 .

Up to reducing τ_0 , we may assume that, for every $y \in \text{supp}(\mathscr{L})$ close to x, τ_0 intersects the leaf $\mathscr{L}_{U_0}(y)$ in exactly one point. Then there is an open set $V \ni x_0$ such that, for any transversal τ that is sufficiently uniformly C¹-close to τ_0 , the following map $\Pi_{\tau}: V \cap \text{supp}(\mathscr{L}) \to \tau$ is well-defined:

 $\Pi_\tau(y) := \text{unique point in } \mathscr{L}_{U_0}(y) \cap \tau.$

This is because of the continuity condition (L3) in the definition of a lamination, and the transversality of the intersection of $\mathscr{L}_{U_0}(y)$ and τ at x_0 .

LIPSCHITZ HOLONOMIES PROPERTY: We say that a lamination $\mathscr L$ has *Lipschitz holonomies* if, associated to any x_0, U_0, τ_0 as above, there exist $L > 0$, a uniformly C^1 neighborhood $\mathcal T$ of τ_0 and a neighborhood V of x_0 with the following property: For any transversals $\tau, \tau' \in \mathcal{T}$, the holonomy projection map $\Pi_{\tau\to\tau'}\colon\tau\cap V\cap\text{supp}(\mathscr{L})\to\tau'$ has Lipschitz constant $\leq L$, where $\Pi_{\tau\to\tau'}$ is the restriction of the map $\Pi_{\tau'}$ to τ .

4.2 The stable and unstable laminations of a horseshoe

We will now demonstrate the definitions of the previous section by an important example. Throughout this section, we fix a real number $r > 1$, and a C^r diffeomorphism f of a surface M. We also consider a basic set Λ with stable and unstable dimensions both equal to 1.

Recall from Section [2.1](#page-10-1) the local stable and unstable manifolds $W^{s/u}_\varepsilon(x)$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough. Let $W^s_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda) := \bigcup_{x \in \Lambda} W^s_{\varepsilon}(x), \quad W^u_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda) := \bigcup_{x \in \Lambda} W^u_{\varepsilon}(x)$. These sets are naturally partitioned: For each $x \in W^s_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda)$, one considers the connected component of $W^s(x) \cap W^s_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda)$ containing x (for the topology of $W^s(x)$). This defines two one-dimensional continuous laminations with C^r leaves, called (local) stable and unstable laminations of Λ , and denoted by $\mathscr{W}_{\varepsilon}^u(\Lambda)$ and $\mathscr{W}_{\varepsilon}^s(\Lambda)$. See [\[48\]](#page-67-14) for details. Set

$$
\lambda^u(f,\Lambda):=\lim_{n\to+\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log(\sup_{x\in\Lambda}\|Df^n_x\|)\text{ and }\lambda^s(f,\Lambda):=\lim_{n\to+\infty}\frac{1}{n}\log(\sup_{x\in\Lambda}\|Df^{-n}_x\|).
$$

The following statement summarizes well-known results:

Theorem 4.1. Let Λ be a basic set of a C^r diffeomorphism f on a closed surface, where $r > 1$. Then, for small enough $\varepsilon > 0$:

- (1) The local manifolds $W^{s/u}_\varepsilon(x)$ are given by C^r embeddings depending continuously on $x \in \Lambda$;
- (2) The laminations $\mathscr{W}^u_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda)$, $\mathscr{W}^s_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda)$ have Lipschitz holonomies.
- (3) There exist $d_{\Lambda}^u, d_{\Lambda}^s \in (0,1)$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough and any $x \in \Lambda$,

 $\dim_H(W^s_\varepsilon(x) \cap \Lambda) = d^u_\Lambda$ and $\dim_H(W^u_\varepsilon(x) \cap \Lambda) = d^s_\Lambda$.

(4) $d_{\Lambda}^u \geq h_{\text{top}}(f|\Lambda)/\lambda^s(f,\Lambda)$ and $d_{\Lambda}^s \geq h_{\text{top}}(f|\Lambda)/\lambda^u(f,\Lambda)$. Also $d_{\Lambda}^{s} \geq h(f, \mu)/\lambda^{u}(f, \mu)$ and $d_{\Lambda}^{u} \geq h(f, \mu)/\lambda^{s}(f, \mu)$ for any ergodic measure supported on Λ .

Comments. d_{Λ}^u is the transverse dimension to the lamination $\mathscr{W}_{\varepsilon}^u(\Lambda)$. It should not be confused with the (non-transverse!) unstable dimension $\delta^u(\mu)$ of a measure μ , introduced in Section [1.3.](#page-3-1)

Part [\(1\)](#page-35-0) is standard, including for non-integer r, see, e.g., [\[93\]](#page-69-1). Part [\(2\)](#page-35-1) can be obtained for C^2 diffeomorphisms, by noticing that $\mathscr{W}^{s/u}(\Lambda)$ extend to C^1 -foliations of an open set (see for instance [\[9\]](#page-65-18)). When $r \in (1, 2)$, one can use the methods of [\[75\]](#page-68-17). We give a proof in [A](#page-61-0)ppendix A for completeness. Part [\(3\)](#page-35-2) is proved in [\[69\]](#page-68-18). Part [\(4\)](#page-36-0) uses [\[61\]](#page-67-17): it asserts that for any basic set Λ and any ergodic measure μ on Λ , there is an inequality:

$$
d_{\Lambda}^u \ge h(f, \mu)/\lambda^s(f, \mu) = \delta^s(\mu).
$$

One concludes using $\lambda^{s}(f,\mu) \leq \lambda^{s}(f,\Lambda)$ and the variational principle $h_{\text{top}}(f,\Lambda) = \sup_{\mu} h(f,\mu)$, where μ ranges over the ergodic measures supported on Λ . Note that [\[61\]](#page-67-17) assumes f to be Axiom A, but does not use it. (In case $r \geq 2$, part [4](#page-36-0) also follows from [\[56\]](#page-67-3).)

The parts (2), (3), (4) do not generalize in general to higher dimensions.

4.3 A "dynamical" Sard's Theorem

Fix a real number $r > 1$ and let $\mathscr L$ be a one-dimensional continuous lamination with C^r leaves inside a closed Riemannian surface M.

Suppose $\gamma : [0,1] \to M$ is a C^1 -curve such that $\gamma'(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. We are interested in the lamination

$$
\mathscr{N}_{\gamma}(\mathscr{L}) := \{ \mathscr{L}(\gamma(t)) : t \in [0,1], \ \gamma(t) \in \text{supp}(\mathscr{L}) \ \text{and} \ \gamma'(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)}\mathscr{L} \}
$$

made from all \mathscr{L} -leaves with at least one non-transverse intersection with γ . The following version of Sard's Theorem says that the higher the smoothness of the leaves of \mathscr{L} , the smaller the transverse dimension of $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{L})$. Recall the definition of the transverse dimension d from eq. [\(4.1\)](#page-35-3).

Theorem 4.2. Suppose $\mathscr L$ is a continuous lamination with C^r leaves $(r > 1)$ and Lipschitz holonomies, inside a compact closed surface. Then for every C^r curve γ ,

$$
d(\mathcal{N}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{L})) \leq 1/r.
$$

Remark 4.3. Theorem [4.2](#page-36-1) is an immediate consequence of the classical Sard's theorem [\[81,](#page-68-19) Thm 2 whenever the lamination extends to a C^r foliation of a neighborhood of its support. But the laminations $\mathscr{W}^s(\Lambda), \mathscr{W}^u(\Lambda)$ to which we intend to apply Theorem [4.2](#page-36-1) do not in general [\[47\]](#page-66-17) even extend to a differentiable foliation of an open neighborhood of their support.

Proof. It is enough to find a countable open cover of $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{L})$ by open sets W_i such that $d(\mathcal{N}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{L}, W_i)) \leq d$ $1/r$, where

 $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{L}, W_i) := \{ \ell \in \mathcal{L} : \ell \text{ intersects } \gamma \text{ non-transversally somewhere in } W_i \}.$

This is because $\mathscr{N}_{\gamma}(\mathscr{L}) \subset \bigcup \mathscr{N}_{\gamma}(\mathscr{L}, W_i)$, and the Hausdorff dimension of a countable union is the supremum of the Hausdorff dimensions of its elements.

We cover supp(\mathscr{L}) by a countable collection of lamination neighborhoods U_{α} . For each tangency point p, there exist U_{α} and a C^{r} chart $\chi: (-1,1)^{2} \rightarrow V$ such that (see Figure [2\)](#page-37-0):

Figure 2: Inside the chart χ . A point of tangency $q = \chi(t, 0) \in \text{supp}(\mathscr{L}) \cap \gamma$ parameterized by $t \in T$. The holonomy projections $\pi_0(q) = \mathscr{L}_V(q) \cap \tau_0$ and $\pi_s(q) = \chi(s, \phi_t(s)) \in \tau_s$ are also drawn.

- (1) $V \subset U_{\alpha}, \gamma \cap V = \chi((-1,1) \times \{0\})$ and $p = \chi(0,0)$.
- (2) For each $t \in (-1,1)$ such that $\chi(t,0) \in \text{supp}(\mathscr{L})$, we denote by $\mathscr{L}_V(t)$ the connected component of $\mathscr{L}(\chi(t,0)) \cap V$ containing $\chi(t,0)$. Its preimage by χ is the graph of a C^r function $\varphi_t: (-1,1) \to$ $(-1, 1)$. It satisfies $\varphi_t(t) = 0$ and by (L3), it varies continuously with t in the C^r topology on $\{t : \chi(t,0) \in \text{supp}(\mathscr{L})\}.$
- (3) Let $\tau_s := \{s\} \times (-1, 1)$ for each $s \in (-1, 1)$. For each s, let π_s denote the holonomy which sends points $(x, \varphi_t(x))$ (with $\chi(t, 0) \in \text{supp}(\mathscr{L})$) to $(s, \varphi_t(s))$. There exists $L_0 > 0$ such that for any $s, s' \in (-1, 1)$, the restriction of the map π_s to $\tau_{s'}$ is L_0 -Lipschitz.

Let $W = \chi((-1/2, 1/2) \times (-1, 1))$. We will show that $d(\mathcal{N}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{L}, W)) \leq 1/r$. We parametrize the set of leaves in $\mathcal{N}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{L}, W)$ by

$$
T:=\{t\in (-1/2,1/2): \chi(t,0)\in \text{supp}(\mathscr{L})\text{ and } \varphi_t'(t)=0\}
$$

and
$$
\mathcal{T} := \{(0, \varphi_t(0)) : t \in T\} = \pi_0(\chi(T \times \{0\}))
$$

(so that $\mathscr T$ is the locus of tangency and T is the set of their abscissas).

CLAIM 1. $d(\mathcal{N}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{L}, W)) \leq \dim_H(\mathcal{T}).$

Proof. Fix some transversal $\tau \pitchfork \mathcal{N}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{L}, W)$.

For each $x \in \tau \cap \text{supp } N_{\gamma}(\mathscr{L}, W)$, there exists a compact disc $D \subset \mathscr{L}(x)$ which contains x and some point $y = \chi(0, \varphi_t(0))$ in \mathscr{T} . Using the definition of holonomy projections and the compactness of D, one can construct a sequence of points $x_0 = x, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n = y$ in D, open sets V_i, V'_i such that $V_i \ni x_i, V'_i \ni x_{i+1}$ and $V'_i \subset V_{i+1}$, transversals $\tau^0 := \tau, \tau^1 := \tau_{s_1}, \ldots, \tau^{n-1} := \tau_{s_{n-1}}, \tau^n := \tau_0$ passing through x_i , and bi-Lipschitz holonomies $\Pi_{\tau^i \to \tau^{i+1}}$: supp $(\mathscr{L}) \cap \tau^i \cap V_i \to \text{supp}(\mathscr{L}) \cap \tau^{i+1} \cap V'_i$.

Composing these maps, we obtain an open neighborhood O of y, an open set $X \ni x$ and a bi-Lipschitz holonomy which associates to each $x' = \chi(t', \varphi_{t'}(t')) \in X \cap \tau \cap \text{supp } N_{\gamma}(\mathscr{L})$ a point $y' =$ $\chi(0,\varphi_{t'}(0))$ in $\mathscr{T} \cap O$, by holonomy. Note that by choosing X sufficiently small one can guarantee that x' and y' are contained in a compact disc $D' \subset \mathcal{L}(x')$ which is close to D in the Hausdorff topology.

Since the Hausdorff dimension does not change after taking the image by a bi-Lipschitz holonomy, one gets $\dim_H(X \cap \tau \cap \text{supp }N_\gamma(\mathscr{L})) \leq \dim_H(\mathscr{T})$.

Let $\mathscr C$ denote the space the triples (x, D, y) , endowed with the Hausdorff topology. Each triple $(x, D, y) \in \mathscr{C}$ admits a neighborhood U in \mathscr{C} such that

$$
\dim_H(\{x'\in\tau:\ (x',D',y')\in U\})\leq \dim_H(\mathscr{T}).
$$

Since the Hausdorff topology on $\mathscr C$ is separable and metrizable, one can cover $\mathscr C$ by countably many such neighborhoods U_i . This gives

$$
\dim_H[\tau \cap \operatorname{supp} N_{\gamma}(\mathscr{L}, W)] = \sup_{i} \dim_H[\{x' : (x', D', t') \in U_i\}] \le \dim_H(\mathscr{T}).
$$

Passing to the supremum over all $\tau \pitchfork \mathcal{N}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{L}, W)$, gives $d(\mathcal{N}_{\gamma}(\mathcal{L}, W)) \leq \dim_H(\mathcal{T})$.

To estimate $\dim_H(\mathscr{T})$, we decompose $\mathscr{T} = \bigcup_{s=1}^{[r]-1} \mathscr{T}_s \cup \widehat{\mathscr{T}}_r$, where (identifying s and $\chi(s,0)$ as convenient),

$$
\mathcal{T}_s := \pi_0(T_s) \text{ and } \widehat{\mathcal{T}_r} := \pi_0(\widehat{T}_r), T_s := \{ t \in T : \varphi_t(t) = \varphi'_t(t) = \dots = \varphi_t^{(s)}(t) = 0, \varphi_t^{(s+1)}(t) \neq 0 \}, \n\widehat{T}_r := \{ t \in T : \varphi_t(t) = \varphi'_t(t) = \dots = \varphi_t^{([r])}(t) = 0 \}.
$$

The theorem follows immediately from the following claims.

CLAIM 2. $\dim_H(\widehat{\mathscr{T}}_r) < 1/r$.

Proof. For $\delta > 0$, let $\widehat{T}_r = \bigcup_{i=1}^N J_i$ be a partition into sets of diameter $\langle \delta \rangle$ with disjoint convex hulls. In particular $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \text{diam}(J_i) \leq 2$. For each $i = 1, ..., N$, one chooses $t_i \in J_i$ and projects J_i along $\mathscr L$ to a subset $\mathscr{J}_i := \pi_{t_i}(J_i)$ of the vertical line $\tau_i := \{t_i\} \times (-1, 1)$. Each $x \in J_i \subset T_r$, viewed as the point $(x, 0) = (x, \varphi_x(x)) \in \chi^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_\gamma(\mathcal{L}))$, maps to the point $(t_i, \varphi_x(t_i))$.

The Taylor formula at x (in the Lagrange form) gives

$$
|\varphi_x(t_i)| = |\varphi_x(x + (t_i - x))| \le \frac{|t_i - x|^{[r]}}{[r]!} \left(\sup_{|y - x| \le \delta} |\varphi_x^{([r])}(y)|\right) \le C(\text{diam}(J_i))^r,
$$

where

$$
C := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{r!} \sup_{t \in T} \|\varphi_t^{(r)}\| & \text{if } r \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \frac{1}{[r]!} \sup_{t \in T} \sup_{-1/2 \le x < y \le 1/2} \frac{\|\varphi_t^{([r])}(x) - \varphi_t^{([r])}(y)\|}{|x - y|^{r - [r]}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

By (L3), the map $t \mapsto \varphi_t$ is continuous on T in the C^r topology, so $C < \infty$.

It follows that $\text{diam}(\mathcal{J}_i) < 2C(\text{diam}(J_i))^r$ and

$$
\operatorname{diam}[\pi_0(J_i)] = \operatorname{diam}[\pi_0(\mathscr{J}_i)] \leq 2CL_0(\operatorname{diam}(J_i))^r.
$$

Thus $\widehat{\mathscr{T}}_r$ is covered by N sets $\pi_0(J_i)$ of diameter smaller than $2CL_0\delta^r$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N[\text{diam}\,\pi_0(J_i)]^{1/r} \leq$ $(2CL_0)^{1/r} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \text{diam}(J_i) \leq 2(2CL_0)^{1/r}$. Hence the $1/r$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of $\widehat{\mathscr{F}}_r$ is finite.

CLAIM 3. For $1 \leq s \leq [r] - 1$, \mathcal{T}_s is at most countable, whence $\dim_H(\mathcal{T}_s) = 0$.

Proof. It is enough to show that all accumulation points of T_s lie outside T_s . So we consider a limit $t_* \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ of some sequence of points $t_n \in T_s \setminus \{t_*\}$ and assume by contradiction that $t_* \in T_s$.

Let $\varphi_n := \varphi_{t_n}, \, \varphi_* := \varphi_{t_*}, \, c_n = \varphi_n^{(s+1)}(t_n)/(s+1)!$ and $c_* = \varphi_*^{(s+1)}(t_*)/(s+1)!$. Since $t_*, t_n \in T_s$, we have $c_n, c_* \neq 0$. Since $\varphi_n \to \varphi_*$ in the C^r topology, we have $c_n \to c_*$. By Taylor's formula in the Lagrange form, there exists $\varepsilon: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ non-decreasing such that $\varepsilon(u) \xrightarrow[u \to 0^+]{} 0$, and for any n and any $x \in (-1, 1)$,

$$
\left|\varphi_n(x) - c_n(x - t_n)^{s+1}\right| \le \varepsilon(|x - t_n|) \cdot |x - t_n|^{s+1},
$$

$$
\left|\varphi_*(x) - c_*(x - t_*)^{s+1}\right| \le \varepsilon(|x - t_*|) \cdot |x - t_*|^{s+1}.
$$

Figure 3: Proof that \mathscr{T}_s is countable (Claim 3).

Choose $K > 2$ so large that $(K + 1)^{s+1} - K^{s+1} > L_0$, where $L_0 > 0$ is the Lipschitz constant of the holonomies. For $n \gg 1$, let $x := t_* + K(t_* - t_n)$ and

$$
A_n := |\varphi_n(x) - \varphi_*(x)| \ge \left| c_n(K+1)^{s+1} (t_* - t_n)^{s+1} - c_* K^{s+1} (t_* - t_n)^{s+1} \right|
$$

$$
- 2\varepsilon (|K+1||t_* - t_n|) \cdot |K+1|^{s+1} |t_* - t_n|^{s+1},
$$

$$
B_n := |\varphi_n(t_*) - \varphi_*(t_*)| = |\varphi_n(t_*)| \le |c_n(t_* - t_n)^{s+1}| + \varepsilon (|t_* - t_n|) \cdot |t_* - t_n|^{s+1} \le (c_n + o(1)) |t_* - t_n|^{s+1}.
$$

See Figure [3.](#page-39-0) By definition of ε , this gives

$$
\frac{A_n}{B_n} \ge (1 - o(1)) \left(| (K+1)^{s+1} - \frac{c_*}{c_n} K^{s+1} | - o(1) \right) \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{} (K+1)^{s+1} - K^{s+1},
$$

so $\liminf A_n/B_n \geq (K+1)^{s+1} - K^{s+1}.$

At the same time, $(t_*, \varphi_n(t_*))$ and $(t_*, \varphi_*(t_*))$ project by π_x to $(x, \varphi_n(x))$ and $(x, \varphi_*(x))$. Since π_x is L_0 -Lipschitz, $A_n/B_n \le L_0 < (K+1)^{s+1} - K^{s+1}$ for all n, a contradiction.

This concludes the proof of Theorem [4.2.](#page-36-1)

4.4 Intersection of horseshoes and su-quadrilaterals

We will use repeatedly the following consequence of Theorem [4.2.](#page-36-1) Recall the Smale pre-order \preceq and su-quadrilaterals from Definitions [2.1](#page-11-0) and [2.18.](#page-18-0)

Proposition 4.4. Let M be a closed surface, $f \in \text{Diff}^r(M)$, $r > 1$, and let Q be a su-quadrilateral. Let x_1 be hyperbolic periodic point of f such that $W^u(x_1)$ meets both Q and $M \setminus \overline{Q}$. Assume furthermore one of the following:

- (1) f is Kupka-Smale; or
- (2) there is $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$ such that $\mathcal{O}(x_1) \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu_1$ and $\delta^{s}(\mu_1) > 1/r$.

Then $W^u(\mathcal{O}(x_1))$ accumulates on $\partial^u Q$ for the C^1 topology. Moreover, if x_2 is a hyperbolic periodic point of f such that $W^s(x_2)$ meets both Q and $M \setminus \overline{Q}$, then $\mathcal{O}(x_1) \preceq \mathcal{O}(x_2)$.

We will use the following property of the stable and unstable laminations of basic sets. Recall that the spectral decomposition $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 \cup \cdots \cup \Lambda_{p-1}$ of a basic set satisfies: $f(\Lambda_i) = \Lambda_{i+1}$, $f(\Lambda_{p-1}) = \Lambda_0$ and $f^p|_{\Lambda_0}$ topologically mixing.

Lemma 4.5. Let $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 \cup \cdots \cup \Lambda_{p-1}$ be a basic set with its spectral decomposition. If x, y belong to the same Λ_i for some $0 \leq i < p$ then $W^u(x)$ accumulates on $W^u(y)$. More precisely, for any compact disc $D \subset W^u(y)$, there are compact discs $D_1, D_2, \dots \subset W^u(x)$ such that $D_n \to D$ in the C^1 topology.

Proof of Proposition [4.4](#page-40-0). By definition, the boundary of Q is a finite union of segments of $W^s(\mathcal{O}) \cup$ $W^u(\mathcal{O})$ for some $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$.

To begin with, we assume (1), i.e., f is Kupka-Smale. Clearly, $W^u(x_1)$ intersects $\partial^s Q$, hence $W^s(\mathcal{O})$. The Kupka-Smale property implies that this intersection is transverse. This gives $\mathcal{O}(x_1) \preceq \mathcal{O}$. The Inclination Lemma [2.7](#page-13-5) then shows that $W^u(\mathcal{O}(x_1))$ accumulates on $W^u(\mathcal{O}) \supset \partial^u Q$, as claimed. Similarly we get $\mathcal{O} \leq \mathcal{O}(x_2)$ and therefore $\mathcal{O}(x_1) \leq \mathcal{O}(x_2)$, concluding the proof in this case.

We then assume (2): $\mathcal{O}(x_1) \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$ with $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$ such that $\delta^{s}(\mu) > 1/r$. By Theorem [2.12,](#page-15-0) for arbitrarily small $\varepsilon > 0$, the measure μ is homoclinically related to a horseshoe Λ with $h_{\text{top}}(f,\Lambda)$ $h(f, \mu) - \varepsilon$ and $\lambda^{s}(f, \nu) < \lambda^{s}(f, \mu) + \varepsilon$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{P}(f|\Lambda)$. A routine argument (see, e.g., Proposition [2.8\)](#page-14-3) shows that $\lambda^{s}(f, \Lambda) < \lambda^{s}(\mu) + \varepsilon$. So $h_{top}(f, \Lambda) > h(f, \mu) - \varepsilon \equiv \frac{\lambda^{s}(\mu)}{r} + \lambda^{s}(\mu) \left(\delta^{s}(\mu) - \frac{1}{r}\right)$ $(\frac{1}{r}) - \varepsilon$. Since by assumption $\delta^s(\mu) > 1/r$, $h_{\text{top}}(f, \Lambda) > \lambda^s(f, \Lambda)/r$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small.

 \Box

The Inclination Lemma and the transitivity of Smale's pre-order show that we can also assume that $x_1 \in \Lambda$. Let $\Lambda = \Lambda_0 \cup \cdots \cup \Lambda_{p-1}$ be the spectral decomposition with, say, $x_1 \in \Lambda_0$.

Since f is C^r , Theorem [4.1](#page-35-4) [\(1\)](#page-35-0)-[\(2\)](#page-35-1) shows that $\mathscr{W}_{\varepsilon}^u(\Lambda)$ is a continuous lamination with C^r leaves, that $W^s(\mathcal{O})$ is C^r , and that $\mathscr{W}_{\varepsilon}^u(\Lambda)$ has Lipschitz holonomies. By Lemma [4.5,](#page-40-1) for all $x \in \Lambda_0$, $W^u(x)$ accumulates on $W^u(x_1)$ and therefore, for all $x \in \Lambda$, $W^u(x) \cap W^s(\mathcal{O}) \neq \emptyset$. By invariance, there exists a curve $\gamma \subset W^s(\mathcal{O})$ which intersects each leaf of $\mathscr{W}_{\varepsilon}^u(\Lambda_0)$. Let us assume that there is no $x \in \Lambda_0$ such that $W^u(x) \pitchfork \gamma \neq \emptyset$, so $\mathscr{W}_{\varepsilon}^u(\Lambda_0) \subset \mathscr{N}_{\gamma}(\mathscr{W}_{\varepsilon}^u(\Lambda))$. Thus Theorem [4.2](#page-36-1) gives:

$$
d(\mathscr{W}^u_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda_0)) \le 1/r.
$$

But Theorem [4.1](#page-35-4) [\(3\)](#page-35-2) and [\(4\)](#page-36-0) say that for every $x \in \Lambda$,

$$
d(\mathscr{W}^u_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda_0)) \ge \dim_H(W^s_{\varepsilon}(x) \cap \Lambda_0) = d^u_{\Lambda_0} \ge h_{\text{top}}(f,\Lambda)/\lambda^s(f,\Lambda) > 1/r.
$$

This contradiction gives some $x \in \Lambda_0$ with $W^u(x) \pitchfork W^s(\mathcal{O}) \neq \emptyset$. From Lemma [4.5](#page-40-1) this holds also for x₁. By the inclination lemma, $W^u(\mathcal{O}(x_1))$ accumulates on $W^u(\mathcal{O})$ and $\partial^u(Q)$ in the C^1 topology.

Let us consider now some hyperbolic periodic point x_2 such that $W^s(x_2)$ intersects both Q and $M \setminus \overline{Q}$. The manifold $W^s(x_2)$ crosses $W^u(q)$ topologically for some $q \in \mathcal{O}$, and hence intersects each global unstable manifold of Λ_j for some $0 \leq j \leq p$. We refer to Figure [4.](#page-41-1)

Figure 4: Proof of Proposition [4.4:](#page-40-0) The su-quadrilateral Q, the two periodic points x_1, x_2 , the horseshoe Λ and the relevant stable (horizontal) and unstable (vertical) manifolds and foliations.

We can conclude as before that, for some (and then for all) $x \in \Lambda_j$, one has $W^u(x) \pitchfork W^s(x_2) \neq \emptyset$. Considering $x = f^j(x_1) \in \Lambda_j$, we get $\mathcal{O}(x_1) \preceq \mathcal{O}(x_2)$. \Box

5 Bounding the number of homoclinic classes with large entropy

In this section, we show that for some small constant c , the number of homoclinic classes of ergodic hyperbolic measures with entropy bigger than c is finite. We do this by showing that $\limsup h(f, \mu_n) \leq$

c for every infinite sequence of pairwise non homoclinically related ergodic hyperbolic measures μ_n . The value of c depends on the regularity of the diffeomorphism and its expansion properties. Suppose $f \in \text{Diff}^r(M)$ and define $||Df^k|| := \max{||Df^kv|| : v \in TM, ||v|| = 1}.$ Let

$$
\lambda_{\min}(f) = \min\{\lambda^s(f), \lambda^u(f)\}\quad \text{where } \lambda^u(f) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|Df^n\|, \ \lambda^s(f) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \|Df^{-n}\|. \tag{5.1}
$$

We shall see below that $c = \lambda_{\min}(f)/r$. In particular, if $f \in \text{Diff}^{\infty}(M)$ then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are at most finitely many different homoclinic classes carrying measures with entropy bigger than ε .

5.1 Preliminaries on entropy

We need the following facts on the metric entropy of invariant measures of homeomorphisms on compact metric spaces (such as $f : M \to M$).

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, an (ε, n) -Bowen ball is a set of the form

$$
B(x, \varepsilon, n) := \{ y \in M : d(f^k(x), f^k(y)) \le \varepsilon \ \forall 0 \le k < n \},
$$

where $x \in M$. Consider $Y \subset M$ (not necessarily invariant), and let $\varepsilon > 0$. A set $F \subset Y$ is called (ε, n) -spanning for Y, if $Y \subset \bigcup_{x \in F} B(x, \varepsilon, n)$.

Let $r_f(\varepsilon, n, Y)$ denote the minimal cardinality of an (ε, n) -spanning subset of Y. When $Y = M$, we write $r_f(\varepsilon, n)$.

TOPOLOGICAL ENTROPY ON NON-INVARIANT SETS [\[12\]](#page-65-19). The topological entropy of f on a set Y is

$$
h_{\text{top}}(f, Y) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} h(f, Y, \varepsilon), \text{where}
$$

$$
h_{\text{top}}(f, Y, \varepsilon) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log r_f(\varepsilon, n, Y).
$$

KATOK ENTROPY FORMULA [\[52,](#page-67-16) THEOREM 1.1]. Let μ be an f-ergodic invariant probability measure, then the metric entropy of μ satisfies

$$
h(f, \mu) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} h(f, \mu, \varepsilon), \text{ where}
$$

$$
h(f, \mu, \varepsilon) := \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \inf_{\mu(Y) > 1/2} \log r_f(\varepsilon, n, Y).
$$

Since μ is ergodic, $1/2$ can be replaced by any $0 < \tau < 1$ without affecting the value of $h(f, \mu, \varepsilon)$. Katok's entropy formula implies that $h(f, \mu, \varepsilon) \leq h(f, \mu) \leq h_{\text{top}}(f)$ (the last inequality was first proved in [\[45\]](#page-66-18)).

The metric entropy is an affine function of the measure, and if $\mu = \int_X \mu_x d\mu(x)$ is the ergodic decomposition of $\mu \in \mathbb{P}(f)$, then $h(f, \mu) = \int_X h(f, \mu_x) d\mu(x)$ (see [\[78,](#page-68-20) Sec. 9.8]). This suggests the following definition of $h(f, \mu, \varepsilon)$ for non-ergodic measures μ :

$$
h(f, \mu, \varepsilon) := \int_X h(f, \mu_x, \varepsilon) d\mu(x).
$$

By the monotone convergence theorem, $h(f, \mu) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} h(f, \mu, \varepsilon)$.

For $C¹$ surface diffeomorphisms, ergodic measures with positive entropy are hyperbolic of saddle type because of Ruelle's Entropy Inequality [\[79\]](#page-68-2):

Theorem 5.1 (Ruelle). Let f be a C^1 diffeomorphism on a surface, and let μ be an ergodic invariant measure. The Lyapunov exponents $\chi_1(f,\mu) \leq \chi_2(f,\mu)$ (counted with multiplicity) satisfy

$$
h(f, \mu) \le \max\{\min(-\chi_1(f, \mu), \chi_2(f, \mu)), 0\}.
$$

In particular, if $h(f, \mu) > 0$ then $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$ and $\lambda_{\min}(f) \geq h(f, \mu)$.

TAIL ENTROPY. The *tail entropy* of f is defined by

$$
h^*(f) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} h^*(f, \varepsilon)
$$
 where

$$
h^*(f, \varepsilon) := \sup_{x \in M} h_{\text{top}}(f, \{y \in M : \forall n \ge 0 \ d(f^n y, f^n x) < \varepsilon\}).
$$

The quantities $h^*(f, \varepsilon)$ were introduced by Bowen [\[12\]](#page-65-19) and then studied by Misiurewicz [\[62,](#page-67-7) [63\]](#page-67-18) together with their limit $h^*(f)$ under the name of topological conditional entropies. The relevance of this concept for us lies in the following well-known inequalities (variants go back to [\[12,](#page-65-19) [62\]](#page-67-7)). First, recalling that for any $\delta > 0$

$$
h^*(f, \varepsilon) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \sup_{x \in M} r_f(\delta, n, B(x, \varepsilon, n))
$$

(see Proposition 2.2 in [\[12\]](#page-65-19)), it follows that:

$$
h(f, \mu) \le h(f, \mu, \varepsilon) + h^*(f, \varepsilon). \tag{5.2}
$$

Second, we have the following upper semicontinuity property (see [\[63,](#page-67-18) Theorem 4.2]):

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} h(f, \mu_n) \le h(f, \mu) + h^*(f) \text{ if } \mu_n \to \mu \text{ weak-*}.
$$
\n(5.3)

When f is a C^r diffeomorphism of a closed surface, Burguet has obtained in [\[20\]](#page-65-20) the following bound on the tail entropy, which improves previous estimates by Buzzi [\[26\]](#page-65-10) using Yomdin theory:

$$
h^*(f) \le \lambda_{\min}(f)/r. \tag{5.4}
$$

This implies a theorem of Newhouse [\[66\]](#page-67-0): Given a C^{∞} diffeomorphism f, the entropy function $\mu \mapsto$ $h(f, \mu)$ is upper semicontinuous over the set of invariant probability measures.

DEPENDENCE ON THE DIFFEOMORPHISM. According to a theorem of Newhouse [\[66\]](#page-67-0), $f \mapsto h_{\text{top}}(f)$ is continuous on $\text{Diff}^{\infty}(M)$. In $\text{Diff}^{r}(M)$ we have the following bound on the defect of upper semicontinuity. The *robust tail entropy* (introduced in [\[22\]](#page-65-21)) is defined by

$$
h_{\text{Diff}^r}^*(f) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} h_{\text{Diff}^r}^*(f, \varepsilon) \text{ with } h_{\text{Diff}^r}^*(f, \varepsilon) := \limsup_{g \to f} h^*(g, \varepsilon).
$$

$$
\limsup_{(f_n,\mu_n)\to(f,\mu)} h(f_n,\mu_n) \le h(f,\mu) + h^*_{\text{Diff}^{\infty}}(f) \text{ when } (f_n,\mu_n) \to f \text{ in } \text{Diff}^r(M) \times \mathbb{P}(M).
$$

In this setting, uniform estimates from Yomdin's theory $[25, Prop. 7.17]$ $[25, Prop. 7.17]$ show $:$ ^{[2](#page-44-1)}

$$
h^*_{\text{Diff}^r}(f) \le \frac{\dim M}{r} \cdot \lambda_{\min}(f).
$$

In particular, $h_{\text{Diff}}^* \propto f = 0$ for any $f \in \text{Diff}^{\infty}(M)$. Observe that if $f_n \to f$ in the C^{∞} -topology and μ_n is a m.m.e. for f_n , then any weak-* accumulation point of μ_n is a m.m.e. for f.

5.2 Finiteness of the number of homoclinic classes with large entropy

There are only finitely many homoclinic classes containing measures with large entropy:

Theorem 5.2. Suppose M is a closed surface and $f : M \to M$ is a C^r diffeomorphism with $r > 1$. If μ_n are ergodic hyperbolic measures such that μ_i, μ_j are not homoclinically related for $i \neq j$, then

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} h(f, \mu_n) \le \lambda_{\min}(f)/r.
$$
\n(5.5)

If f is Kupka-Smale we have the better bound $\limsup_{n\to\infty} h(f,\mu_n) \leq h^*(f)$.

Proof. By [\(5.4\)](#page-43-0), $\lambda_{\min}(f)/r \geq h^*(f)$. We assume by contradiction that there exists some number h close to $\limsup_{n\to\infty} h(f,\mu_n)$ such that

$$
h^*(f) < h < \limsup_{n \to \infty} h(f, \mu_n) \text{ if } f \text{ is Kupka-Smale,}
$$
\n
$$
\lambda_{\min}(f)/r < h < \limsup_{n \to \infty} h(f, \mu_n) \text{ if } f \text{ is not Kupka-Smale.}
$$

Without loss of generality, $\lambda_{\min}(f) = \lambda^{s}(f)$ (otherwise we replace f by f^{-1}). From our assumptions, when f is not Kupka-Smale, the measures μ_n with n large have entropy larger than $\lambda^s(f)/r$, hence satisfy $\delta^s(\mu_n) := h(f, \mu)/\lambda^s(\mu) > (\lambda^s(f)/r)/\lambda^s(\mu) \geq \frac{1}{r}$ $\frac{1}{r}$. Since M is compact, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$
\mu_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{\text{weak-\ast}} \nu.
$$

From [\(5.3\)](#page-43-1)

$$
h(f,\nu) \ge \limsup h(f,\mu_n) - h^*(f) \ge h - h^*(f) > 0.
$$

The limit measure ν needs not be ergodic. Using the ergodic decomposition, we can represent

$$
\nu = (1 - \alpha)\nu_0 + \alpha\nu_1,
$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, and where ν_0, ν_1 are two f-invariant probability measures such that $h(f, \nu_0) = 0$ and almost every ergodic component of ν_1 has positive entropy.

²Burguet has explained to us how the variational principle established in [\[22\]](#page-65-21) yields the sharp estimate $h_{\text{Diff}}^*(f) \leq$ $\lambda_{\min}(f)/r$ in the case of surface diffeomorphisms.

Let $\delta := h - h^*(f)$, and fix $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$
h^*(f,\varepsilon) < h^*(f) + \delta/2. \tag{5.6}
$$

Pick a finite subset $C_1 \subset M$ with $\bigcup_{x \in C_1} B(x, \varepsilon/2) = M$. Choose $\kappa > 0$ so small that

$$
\kappa < \frac{\delta/10}{\log \#\mathcal{C}_1} \text{ and } h(\kappa) < \delta/10, \text{ where } h(t) := -t \log t - (1-t) \log t.
$$

CLAIM 1: For all integers N_0 large enough there are subsets $C_0 = C_0(N_0) \subset M$ with $\#C_0 \leq e^{\delta N_0/10}$ and such that $\nu_0(B_0) > 1 - \kappa$, where

$$
B_0 := \bigcup_{x \in C_0} \operatorname{int} B(x, \varepsilon/2, N_0).
$$

Proof of the Claim. Find a finite measurable partition β of M into sets of diameter less than $\varepsilon/2$. Let $\beta_n := \bigvee_{i=0}^{n-1} f^{-i}\beta$ and $\beta_n(x) :=$ the atom of β_n which contains x.

By definition, the metric entropy with respect to the partition β is:

$$
h_{\nu_0}(f,\beta) := \lim_{N \to \infty} -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{A \in \beta_N} \nu_0(A) \log \nu_0(A).
$$

Since $h(\nu_0, f) = 0$, $h_{\nu_0}(f, \beta) = 0$. A direct computation shows that for all N large enough,

$$
B'_0 := \{ x \in M : \nu_0(\beta_N(x)) > e^{-N\delta/10} \}
$$
 satisfies $\nu_0(B'_0) > 1 - \kappa$.

Enumerate $\{\beta_N(x): x \in B'_0\}$ as $\beta_N(x_1), \ldots, \beta_N(x_{\ell(N)})$, and take $C_0 := \{x_1, \ldots, x_{\ell(N)}\}$. Observe that $\ell(N) \leq e^{N\delta/10}$ and $B_0 = \bigcup_{x \in C_0} B(x, \varepsilon/2, N) \supset \bigcup \beta_N(x_i) = B'_0$, whence $\nu_0(B_0) > 1 - \kappa$. \Box

Choose N_0 and C_0 as in the claim, making sure that N_0 is large enough to satisfy $h(1/N_0) < \delta/10$.

By construction, almost every ergodic component of ν_1 has positive entropy and is therefore hy-perbolic of saddle type. By Proposition [2.19,](#page-18-1) there are finitely many su-quadrilaterals Q_1, \ldots, Q_N , associated to $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_1, \ldots, \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}_N \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$ such that

$$
\text{diam}(Q_i) < \varepsilon \text{ and } \nu_1 \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N Q_i \right) > 1 - \kappa,
$$

The measures μ_n converge weak-* to ν and $B_0 \cup \bigcup_i Q_i$ is open, so

$$
\nu\left(B_0\cup\bigcup_i Q_i\right)\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty}\mu_n\left(B_0\cup\bigcup_i Q_i\right).
$$

Therefore, for all large n ,

$$
\mu_n\left(B_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^N Q_i\right) > 1 - \kappa, \text{ and } \mu_n\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N Q_i\right) > \alpha(1 - \kappa) > 0. \tag{5.7}
$$

Since $h_{\mu_n}(f) > h$, Theorem [2.12](#page-15-0) gives a horseshoe Λ_n such that $h(f|_{\Lambda_n}) > h$ and Λ_n contains a saddle \mathcal{O}_n homoclinically related to μ_n . In particular, $\mu_n(HC(\mathcal{O}_n)) = 1$. Consider the spectral decomposition of $HC(\mathcal{O}_n)$ from Proposition [2.5:](#page-12-3)

$$
\mathrm{HC}(\mathcal{O}_n)=K_{n,0}\cup\cdots\cup K_{n,\tau_n-1}
$$

where $K_{n,j} = \overline{W^u(f^jx_n) \oplus W^s(f^jx_n)}$ for some $x_n \in \mathcal{O}_n$ and all $0 \leq j < \tau_n$. We have $f(K_{n,j}) = K_{n,j+1}$ for $j = 0, \ldots, \tau_n - 2$ and $f(K_{n,\tau_n-1}) = K_{n,0}$. It is convenient to extend $K_{n,j}$ to $j \geq \tau_n$ periodically: $K_{n,j+\tau_n} = K_{n,j}.$

CLAIM 2. For all large n, there exist $0 \leq j < \tau_n$ and $1 \leq i \leq N$ such that $K_{n,j}$ intersects both Q_i and $M \setminus Q_i$.

Proof of the Claim. We fix n large. Since $\mu_n(\bigcup_{j=0}^{\tau_n-1} K_{n,j}) = \mu_n(\text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_n)) = 1$ and $\mu_n(\bigcup_{i=1}^N Q_i) > 0$, there exist $0 \leq j \leq \tau_n-1$ and $1 \leq i \leq N$ such that $K_{n,j}$ intersects Q_i . Assume by way of contradiction that every $K_{n,j}$ that intersects Q_i is contained in $\overline{Q_i}$.

Notice that $\mu_n(K_{n,\ell}) \geq 1/\tau_n$ for all ℓ . We fix a measurable $G \subset K_{n,0}$ such that $\mu_n(G) > 1/(2\tau_n)$ and so that for some N_1 , for all $m > N_1$ and every $y \in G$

$$
\#\{k \in \{0, \dots, m-1\} : f^k(y) \in B_0 \cup \bigcup Q_i\} > (1 - \kappa)m. \tag{5.8}
$$

Such a set exists because of the ergodicity of μ_n , [\(5.7\)](#page-46-0), and the pointwise ergodic theorem.

We fix some large integer $m > N_1$, and cover G by a "small" collection of (ε, m) -Bowen balls. Let

$$
J_1 := \{0 \le j < m : K_{n,j} \subset \bigcup_{1 \le i \le N} \overline{Q_i}\}.
$$

For every $j \in J_1$, let $i(j)$ be the smallest $1 \le i \le N$ such that $\overline{Q_i}$ contains $K_{n,j}$. Clearly, for all $j \in J_1$, $j + \tau_n \in J_1$ and $i(j + \tau_n) = i(j)$. Since $G \subset K_{n,0}$, for every $y \in G$, $j \in J_1 \implies f^j(y) \in K_{n,j} \subseteq \overline{Q}_{i(j)}$.

Let N₀ be as in Claim 1, set $a_0 := -N_0$, and define inductively $a_{\ell+1} := \min\{j \ge a_{\ell} + N_0 : f^j(y) \in$ B_0 if the set is non-empty, and $a_{\ell+1} := +\infty$ otherwise. Let $\ell_* := \max\{\ell \geq 0 : a_{\ell} < m\}$ and

$$
J_0 := \{a_\ell : 1 \leq \ell \leq \ell_*\} \subset J_2 := \bigcup_{1 \leq \ell \leq \ell_*} [a_\ell, a_\ell + N_0 - 1], \ J_3 := \{0, 1, \ldots, m - 1\} \setminus (J_1 \cup J_2).
$$

Note that J_0 , J_2 and J_3 (but not J_1) depend on y and that $j \in J_3 \implies f^j(y) \notin B_0 \cup \bigcup_i Q_i$. So by (5.8) , we have $\#J_3 < \kappa \cdot m$.

Recall the finite collections of points C_0 , C_1 such that $B_0 = \bigcup_{x \in C_0} B(x, \varepsilon/2, N_0)$ and $\bigcup_{x \in C_1} B(x, \varepsilon/2) =$ M. To each $y \in G$, we associate the following data:

(i) $J_0 \subset [0, m-1]$ whose elements are separated by at least N_0 ;

- (ii) $X_0 := (x_{0,j})_{j \in J_0} \in (\mathcal{C}_0)^{J_0}$ such that for all $j \in J_0$, we have $f^j(y) \in B(x_{0,j}, \varepsilon/2, N_0)$;
- (iii) $J_3 \subset [0, m-1]$ with $\# J_3 < \kappa \cdot m$;
- (iv) $X_3 := (x_{3,j})_{j \in J_3} \in (\mathcal{C}_1)^{J_3}$ such that for all $j \in J_3$ we have $f^j(y) \in B(x_{3,j}, \varepsilon/2)$.

Here is an upper bound for the number of possibilities for (J_0, X_0, J_3, X_3) as y ranges over G:

$$
m\left({m\choose \lceil m/N_0\rceil}\right)\times(\#\mathcal{C}_0)^{\lceil m/N_0\rceil}\times m\left({m\choose \lfloor m\cdot\kappa\rfloor}\right)\times(\#\mathcal{C}_1)^{\lfloor \kappa\cdot m\rfloor}.
$$

The first and third factors are upper bounds for the number of subsets of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ with cardinality less than m/N_0 or κm (bounds satisfied by J_0 , J_3).

Recall the de Moivre-Laplace approximation: for every $p \in (0,1)$, if $p_m \to p$ as $m \to \infty$, then $\binom{m}{p_m m} \sim e^{mh(p_m)} \sqrt{2\pi mp(1-p)} = e^{m(h(p)+o(1))}$ as $m \to \infty$. It follows that the number of possibilities for (J_0, X_0, J_3, X_3) as y ranges over G is bounded by

$$
\exp m\bigg((h(1/N_0)+o(1))+\delta/10+(h(\kappa)+o(1))+\kappa\log\#\mathcal{C}_1\bigg),\text{ as }m\to\infty.
$$

Recall that $h(1/N_0) < \delta/10$, $h(\kappa) < \delta/10$, and $\kappa \log \# C_1 < \delta/10$. So for all m large enough, the number of possibilities for (J_0, X_0, J_3, X_3) is less than $\exp(\delta m/2)$.

For $j \in J_1$, since $y \in G \subset K_{n,0}, f^j(y) \in K_{n,j} \subset \overline{Q_{i(j)}}$ which has diameter $\lt \varepsilon$. For $j \in J_2$, the location of $f^j(y)$ is determined up to error $\varepsilon/2$ by X_0 , and for $j \in J_3$ by X_3 . So if $y, y' \in G$ share the same data (J_0, X_0, J_3, X_3) , then $y' \in B(y, \varepsilon, m)$.

It follows that for every m large enough, G has a cover by (ε, m) -Bowen balls with cardinality at most $\exp(\delta m/2)$. By Katok's entropy formula, this means that $h(f, \mu_n, \varepsilon) \leq \delta/2$. We chose ε so that $h^*(f, \varepsilon) < h^*(f) + \delta/2$. By [\(5.2\)](#page-43-2) and [\(5.6\)](#page-45-0),

$$
h_{\mu_n}(f) \le h(f, \mu_n, \varepsilon) + h^*(f, \varepsilon) < \frac{1}{2}\delta + h^*(f) + \frac{1}{2}\delta = h^*(f) + \delta = h.
$$

But by assumption, $h_{\mu_n}(f) > h$. This contradiction proves the claim.

We can now complete the proof of the theorem as follows. Fix M_0 so large that every $n > M_0$ satisfies Claim 2. For such n, let $0 \leq j(n) < \tau_n$ and $1 \leq i(n) \leq N$ be indices such that $K_{n,j(n)}$ intersects $Q_{i(n)}$ and $M \setminus \overline{Q_{i(n)}}$. Since the range of $i(\cdot)$ is bounded, there are $n_1, n_2 > M_0$ such that $n_1 \neq n_2$ and $i(n_1) = i(n_2) =: i$.

By the definition of $K_{n,j}$ there are $y_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{n_1}$ and $y_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{n_2}$ such that $K_{n_1,j(n_1)} = \overline{W^u(y_1) \oplus W^s(y_1)}$ and $K_{n_2,j(n_2)} = \overline{W^u(y_2) \oplus W^s(y_2)}$. So $W^{\sigma}(y_1), W^{\sigma}(y_2)$ $(\sigma = u, s)$ both intersect Q_i and $M \setminus \overline{Q}_i$. We may apply Proposition [4.4](#page-40-0) to the orbits $\mathcal{O}_{n_1}, \mathcal{O}_{n_2}$ (either f is Kupka-Smale or $\mathcal{O}_{n_1}, \mathcal{O}_{n_2}$ are homoclinically related to measures μ_{n_i} such that $\delta^s(\mu_{n_i}) > 1/r$). This gives $\mathcal{O}_{n_1} \overset{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{n_2}$. But this implies that $\mu_{n_1} \overset{h}{\sim} \mu_{n_2}$ in contradiction to our assumptions. \Box

Corollary 5.3. A C^{∞} surface diffeomorphism with positive topological entropy has at most finitely many ergodic measures of maximal entropy.

Proof. Corollary [3.3](#page-21-1) with $\phi \equiv 0$ says that different ergodic measures of maximal entropy are not homoclinically related. So the existence of infinitely many ergodic measures of maximal entropy would contradict Theorem [5.2.](#page-44-0) \Box

$$
\Box
$$

5.3 Semi-continuity of the simplex of measures of maximal entropy (theorem [4\)](#page-5-0)

In this section we prove theorem [4.](#page-5-0) We need the following well-known stability result [\[53\]](#page-67-15), [\[85\]](#page-68-13): For every hyperbolic periodic point p of $f \in \text{Diff}^{\infty}(M)$ with given local stable and unstable manifolds $W^u_{loc}(p)$, $W^s_{loc}(p)$ and period $\pi(p)$, there are $\delta > 0$ and a neighborhood $f \in \mathcal{U} \subset \text{Diff}^{\infty}(M)$ such that for every $g \in \mathcal{U}, g^{\pi(p)}$ has a unique hyperbolic fixed point $p(g)$ δ-close to p. This is a $\pi(p)$ -periodic point for g and for U sufficiently small, the local stable and unstable manifolds of $p(g)$ are δ -close in the C¹-topology to $W^s_{loc}(p)$, $W^u_{loc}(p)$. We call $p(g)$, $W^s_{loc}(p(g))$, $W^u_{loc}(p(g))$ the *hyperbolic continuations* of p, $W^s_{loc}(p)$, $W^u_{loc}(p)$ on $\mathcal U$.

The proof of Theorem [4](#page-5-0) is based on Theorem [5.2](#page-44-0) and the following proposition:

Proposition 5.4. Let f be a C^{∞} -surface diffeomorphism of a closed surface M with $h_{\text{top}}(f) > 0$. Consider some m.m.e. $\mu_0 = \alpha \nu + (1 - \alpha) \nu'$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, where ν is an ergodic m.m.e. and let $\mathcal{O} \stackrel{h}{\sim} \nu$ be some hyperbolic periodic orbit. Then there are neighborhoods $U \ni f$ in $\text{Diff}^{\infty}(M)$ and $U \ni \mu_0$ and a number $h < h_{\text{top}}(f)$ such that, for any $g \in \mathcal{U}$, and every measure $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{e}(g) \cap U$ with entropy $h(g, \mu) > h$, μ is homoclinically related to the hyperbolic continuation \mathcal{O}_g of the orbit \mathcal{O}_g .

Proof of Theorem [4](#page-5-0), given proposition [5.4](#page-48-0). : Consider $f_n, f \in \text{Diff}^{\infty}(M)$ such that $f_n \xrightarrow[n \to \infty]{C^{\infty}} f$, and let Σ_n be some k-faces of the simplex of measures of maximal entropy of f_n . Assume that $(\Sigma_n)_{n\geq 1}$ converges in the Hausdorff topology to some set Σ .

The extremal points of Σ_n are $k+1$ distinct ergodic m.m.e.'s μ_n^0, \ldots, μ_n^k . By passing to a subsequence, we can assume that, for each i, the sequence (μ_n^i) converges to some probability measure μ^i . Each μ^i is a m.m.e. for f (see the end of section [5.1\)](#page-42-0), and Σ is the convex hull of μ^0, \ldots, μ^k .

To prove that Σ is k-dimensional, we show that no μ^i is in the convex hull of $\{\mu^j : j \neq i\}$. Assume by contradiction that $\mu^0 = \sum_{i \neq 0} \alpha_i \mu^i$ (say). Without loss of generality $\alpha_1 \neq 0$. By Corollary [5.3,](#page-47-0) f has only finitely many ergodic m.m.e.'s. One of them, say ν , is an ergodic component of μ^0 and μ^1 .

Fix $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$ such that $\nu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$. For $i = 0, 1$, the proposition applied to $(\mu^{i}, \nu, \mathcal{O})$ yields neighborhoods \mathcal{U}_i , U_i and a number h_i . For n large enough, $f_n \in \mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{U}_1$, $\mu_n^0 \in \mathbb{P}_e(f_n) \cap U_0$ and $\mu_n^1 \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{e}}(f_n) \cap U_1$. As recalled in Section [5.1,](#page-42-0) $\max(h_0, h_1) \leq \limsup_{(f_n, \mu_n^i) \to (f, \mu^i)} h(f_n, \mu_n^i) \leq h(f, \mu^i)$. Let \mathcal{O}_{f_n} denote the hyperbolic continuation of \mathcal{O} to $\mathcal{U}_0 \cap \mathcal{U}_1$, then $\mu_n^0 \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{f_n} \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu_n^1$ for n large, whence $\mu_n^0 \overset{h}{\sim} \mu_n^1$ (Proposition [2.11\)](#page-15-1). By Corollary [3.3,](#page-21-1) $\mu_n^0 = \mu_1^n$, a contradiction.

We have thus proved the second part of the theorem. Property [\(1.3\)](#page-5-1) follows immediately.

Proof of Proposition [5.4](#page-48-0). Let us consider the robust tail entropy $h_{\text{Diff}}^* \in (f, \varepsilon)$ at scale ε as defined in Section [5.1.](#page-42-0) Since f is C^{∞} , it goes to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Hence, we can choose $\delta, \varepsilon > 0$ small enough, so that

$$
h^*_{\text{Diff}^{\infty}}(f, \varepsilon) < \delta < \frac{\alpha}{10} h_{\text{top}}(f).
$$

Fix some integers $N_0, \ell \geq 1$ large so that

$$
r_f(\varepsilon/2, N_0) \le \exp\left((h_{\text{top}}(f) + \delta)N_0\right)
$$
 and $\frac{2h_{\text{top}}(f)}{\delta}N_0 \le \ell$.

The following number is positive and smaller than $h_{\text{top}}(f)$:

$$
h := 4\delta + (1 - \alpha/2)h_{\text{top}}(f).
$$

 \Box

For g C⁰-close to f and all $n \geq 0$, writing $n = sN_0 + t$ with $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq t < N_0$, we have

$$
r_g(\varepsilon, n) \le r_g(\varepsilon, N_0)^{s+1} \le r_f(\varepsilon/2, N_0)^{s+1} \le e^{(h_{\text{top}}(f) + \delta)N_0(n/N_0 + 1)}
$$

$$
\le e^{2h_{\text{top}}(f)N_0}e^{(h_{\text{top}}(f) + \delta)n} \le e^{\delta\ell}e^{(h_{\text{top}}(f) + \delta)n}.
$$
 (5.9)

Proposition [2.19](#page-18-1) applied to ν yields su-quadrilaterals Q_1, \ldots, Q_N associated to periodic orbits $\mathcal{O}'_1,\ldots\mathcal{O}'_N$ that are homoclinically related to \mathcal{O} , such that $\text{diam}(Q_i) < \varepsilon/(\text{Lip}(f)^{\ell}+1) \leq \varepsilon$ and $\nu(\bigcup Q_i) > 1/2.$

Let $\mathcal{U} \ni f$ and $U \ni \mu^0$ be "small" open sets in Diff[∞](M) and $\mathbb{P}(M)$ (we will determine how small they need to be below). Now let $g \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{e}(g) \cap U$. The su-quadrilaterals Q_i are bounded by transverse curves contained in the stable and unstable manifolds of \mathcal{O}'_i . For $g \in \mathcal{U}$, the local stable and unstable manifolds of \mathcal{O}'_i , their images under bounded iterations, and their transverse intersection admit hyperbolic continuations. Hence if $\mathcal U$ is sufficiently small, then $\mathcal O$ and the suquadrilaterals Q_i admit hyperbolic continuation to U. We denote these continuations by \mathcal{O}_q and $Q_i = Q_i(g)$ $(g \in \mathcal{U})$. Choosing U and U appropriately guarantees that diam $Q_i(g) < \varepsilon / \text{Lip}(g)^{\ell}$ and $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1,...,N}Q_i(g)\right)>\alpha/2\text{ and } \mathrm{Lip}(g)>1\text{ for all }g\in\mathcal{U},\mu\in U\cap\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{e}}(g).$

We assume by contradiction that $\mu \in U \cap \mathbb{P}_{e}(g)$, and $h(g, \mu) > h$, but μ is not homoclinically related to \mathcal{O}_q . Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem [5.2,](#page-44-0) let $\mathcal{O}(p)$ be a hyperbolic periodic orbit (of g) homoclinically related to μ . Its homoclinic class must have full measure for μ and, by Proposition [2.5,](#page-12-3) it coincides with $\bigcup_{j=0}^{\tau-1} g^j K$ with $K := \overline{W^s(p) \pitchfork W^u(p)}$ and $g^{\tau}(K) = K$. Using Proposition [4.4](#page-40-0) and arguing as in the end of the proof of Theorem [5.2,](#page-44-0) one can show that if some iterate $g^{j}(K)$ intersects both Q_i and $M \setminus \overline{Q}_i$, then $\mathcal{O}(p) \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}'_i$, whence $\mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_g$. This contradicts our assumption. One deduces that for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq i \leq N$, either $g^j(K) \subset \overline{Q_i}$ or $g^j(K) \subset M \setminus Q_i$. Let $J_1 := \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : g^j(K) \}$ is contained in some \overline{Q}_i . Note that J_1 contains a fraction $\mu(\bigcup_i \overline{Q}_i)$ of the integers. For $j \in J_1$, let $i(j) := \min\{1 \le i \le N : g^{j}(K) \subset Q_{i}\}.$ Since $g^{\tau}(K) = K$, the set J_1 and the function i are τ -periodic.

Continuing as in the proof of Theorem [5.2,](#page-44-0) we bound $r_g(\varepsilon,n,K)$. Observe that if $g^j(K) \subset \overline{Q}_i$ and $0 \leq n < \ell$, then $\text{diam}(g^{j+n}(K)) \leq \text{diam}(g^n(\overline{Q}_i)) \leq \text{Lip}(g)^{\ell} \text{diam}(\overline{Q}_i) \leq \varepsilon$. Thus, the images $g^{n}(K)$ have diameter less than ε for all $n \in \widehat{J}_1 := J_1 + [0, \ell - 1]$. Now, $\mathbb{N} \setminus \widehat{J}_1$ is a disjoint union of maximal subintervals $[a_1, b_1] < [a_2, b_2] < \ldots$ with $b_{s+1} > a_s + \ell$ for all s. For each $s \ge 1$, let C_s be a $(\varepsilon/2, b_s - a_s)$ -spanning subset of M with minimal cardinality. To each $x \in K$, associate $(y_s)_{s\geq 1}$ with $y_s \in C_s$ such that $g^{a_s}(x)$ belongs to the Bowen ball $B_g(y_s, \varepsilon/2, b_s - a_s)$ for g.

We claim that, if $x, x' \in K$ are associated to the same sequence $(y_s)_{s\geq 1}$, then all their iterates $g^k(x), g^k(x')$ stay ε -close. This is clear for $k \in \widehat{J}_1$. If $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \widehat{J}_1$, then both iterates belong to $g^{k-a_s}(B_g(y_s, \varepsilon/2, b_s - a_s))$ for some $s \ge 1$ such that $0 \le k - a_s \le b_s - a_s$. This set also has diameter smaller than ε . Let us consider some large $n = b_t$. The cardinality of $[a_1, b_1] \cup \cdots \cup [a_t, b_t]$ is smaller than $(1-\alpha/2)n$, and t is smaller than $n/\ell + 1$. By [\(5.9\)](#page-49-0), the minimal cardinality of an (ε, n) -spanning subset of K is bounded for $n = b_t$ large by

$$
r_g(\varepsilon, n, K) \le \prod_{s=1}^t |C_s| \le \prod_{s=1}^t e^{\delta \ell} e^{(h_{\text{top}}(f) + \delta)(b_s - a_s)} \le e^{\delta(n+\ell)} e^{(1-\alpha/2)(h_{\text{top}}(f) + \delta)n}.
$$

Since $\mu(K) > 0$ and μ is ergodic, this gives $h(g, \mu, \varepsilon) < 2\delta + (1 - \alpha/2)h_{\text{top}}(f)$.

Now make the neighborhood U of f to be so small that for every $g \in U$, $h^*(g, \varepsilon) \leq h^*_{\text{Diff}}(f, \varepsilon) + \delta$. Since $h_{\text{Diff}^{\infty}}^{*}(f, \varepsilon) < \delta$ one gets from [\(5.2\)](#page-43-2) that

$$
h(g,\mu) \le h(g,\mu,\varepsilon) + h^*(g,\varepsilon) < 4\delta + (1 - \alpha/2)h_{\text{top}}(f) = h.
$$

This contradicts our assumption that the entropy of μ is larger than h.

6 Spectral decomposition and topological homoclinic classes

In this section we discuss the spectral decomposition for C^r surface diffeomorphisms. To achieve this we analyze the structure of transitive sets, and the intersection of topological homoclinic classes.

6.1 Thickness of homoclinic classes

Recall that if μ is a hyperbolic ergodic measure, then $\delta^s(\mu) = h(f, \mu)/\lambda^s(\mu)$ where λ^s is the absolute value of the negative Lyapunov of μ . The following definition is motivated by Proposition [4.4:](#page-40-0)

Definition 6.1. Let μ be a hyperbolic ergodic measure for a diffeomorphism f on a closed surface M. We say that μ is s-thick if there exist $\nu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$ and $r > 1$ such that f is C^{r} , $\nu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$ and $\delta^{s}(\nu) > 1/r$. Similarly μ is u-thick if it is s-thick for f^{-1} . And μ is thick if it is both s-thick and u-thick.

Remark 6.2. As before these definitions extend to saddle periodic orbits $\mathcal O$ by considering $\mu_{\mathcal O}$, the invariant probability measure on \mathcal{O} . Thickness only depends on the equivalence class of the hyperbolic measure for the homoclinic relation. Note that:

- for a C[∞] diffeomorphism, any ergodic hyperbolic non-atomic measure is thick, because it is homoclinically related to a horseshoe with positive entropy and we can choose r arbitrarily large.
- for a C^r diffeomorphism any ergodic measure with entropy larger than $\lambda^{s}(f)/r$ is s-thick and any ergodic measure with entropy larger than $\max{\{\lambda^u(f),\lambda^s(f)\}}/r$ is thick.

Proposition 6.3. A measure $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$ is s-thick if and only if there exist $r \in (1,\infty)$ and a basic set Λ such that f is C^r , $\Lambda \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$, and $h_{\text{top}}(f,\Lambda) > \lambda^s(f,\Lambda)/r$.

Proof. Suppose μ is s-thick, then Λ can be constructed as in case (2) of the proof of Proposition [4.4.](#page-40-0) Conversely, if there is a basic set Λ such that $\mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \Lambda$ and $h_{top}(f,\Lambda) > \lambda^{s}(f,\Lambda)/r$, then by the variational principle there exists an ergodic measure ν on Λ whose entropy is so close to $h_{\text{top}}(f,\Lambda)$ that $h(f, \nu) > \lambda^{s(\nu)}/r$ and $\delta^{s(\nu)} > 1/r$. Since ν is supported in Λ , $\nu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu$. So μ is s-thick. \Box

6.2 Homoclinic relation and topological transitivity

The next theorem is the key technical result of this section. We will use it below to show that if f is a topologically transitive C^{∞} surface diffeomorphism, then any two $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$ with positive entropy are homoclinically related.

 \Box

Theorem 6.4. Suppose $r > 1$ and f is a C^r diffeomorphism on a closed surface. Let Λ be a transitive set, and suppose μ_1, μ_2 are two hyperbolic ergodic measures such that $HC(\mu_1) \cap \Lambda$, $HC(\mu_2) \cap \Lambda$ carry non-atomic ergodic hyperbolic measures (this holds whenever $h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mu_i) \cap \Lambda) > 0$). If

– f is Kupka-Smale, or

 $- \mu_1$ is s-thick.

then $\mu_1 \preceq \mu_2$.

Remark 6.5. The Kupka-Smale condition can be replaced by the following local assumption: There exist hyperbolic periodic orbits of saddle type \mathcal{O}_1 $\stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu_1$ and \mathcal{O}_2 $\stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu_2$ such that all the intersections between $W^u(\mathcal{O}_1)$ and $W^s(\mathcal{O}_2)$ are transverse. (See the comment at the end of the proof.)

Proof. The idea is to construct $\mathcal{O}_i \in \text{Per}_h(f)$ homoclinically related to μ_i so that $W^u(\mathcal{O}_1), W^s(\mathcal{O}_2)$ intersect the interior and the exterior of the same su-quadrilateral, and then invoke Proposition [4.4.](#page-40-0)

STEP 1. There are su-quadrilaterals Q_1, Q_2 such that $Q_i \cap \Lambda \cap \text{HC}(\mu_i) \neq \emptyset$, $\text{HC}(\mu_i) \not\subset \overline{Q_i}$, and $f^n(\partial^s Q_1) \cap \overline{Q_2} = \varnothing$ and $f^{-n}(\partial^u Q_2) \cap \overline{Q_1} = \varnothing$, for all large $n \geq 0$.

For each $i = 1, 2$, we pick:

- ν_i an ergodic non-atomic hyperbolic measure such that $\nu_i(\Lambda \cap \text{HC}(\mu_i)) = 1$;
- − \mathcal{O}'_i a periodic hyperbolic orbit with $\mathcal{O}'_i \overset{h}{\sim} \nu_i$ and $\mathcal{O}'_1 \neq \mathcal{O}'_2$ (these exist by the assumptions on ν_i .);
- $x_i \in \text{supp } \nu_i \setminus (\mathcal{O}'_1 \cup \mathcal{O}'_2)$ (these exist by the assumptions on ν_i .)

Let $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{3} \min\{d(x_1, x_2), d(\{x_1, x_2\}, \mathcal{O}'_1 \cup \mathcal{O}'_2), \text{diam}(HC(\mu_1)), \text{diam}(HC(\mu_2))\}.$ Fix $i \in \{0, 1\}.$ Proposition [2.19](#page-18-1) gives su-quadrilaterals with diameters less than $\rho/2$ and whose union has measure larger than $1 - \nu_i(B(x_i, \rho/2))$. At least one of the quadrilaterals (call it Q_i) must be contained in $B(x_i, \rho)$ and have positive ν_i -measure. Q_i is associated to a periodic orbit homoclinically related to ν_i , whence to \mathcal{O}'_i . Using the inclination lemma, one can replace the \widehat{Q}_i by an su-quadrilateral Q_i associated to \mathcal{O}'_i such that $\text{diam}(Q_i) < \rho/2$, $Q_i \subset B(x_i, \rho/2)$ and $\mu_i(Q_i) > 0$ (see Definition [2.18\)](#page-18-0).

The choice of ρ ensures that $\overline{Q_i}$ is disjoint from $\mathcal{O}'_1 \cup \mathcal{O}'_2$. Note that $f^n(\partial^s Q_1)$ and $f^{-n}(\partial^u Q_2)$ converge to \mathcal{O}'_1 and \mathcal{O}'_2 as $n \to \infty$. The claimed properties of Q_1 and Q_2 are now easy to check.

STEP 2. There is an integer $n \geq 0$ such that $f^n(\partial^u Q_1)$ meets both Q_2 and $M \setminus \overline{Q_2}$.

Since Λ is transitive, there exists $n \geq 0$ arbitrarily large such that $f^{n}(Q_{1}) \cap Q_{2} \neq \emptyset$. From Step 1, one sees that $f^n(\partial^s Q_1) \subset M \setminus \overline{Q_2}$. Since $\partial^u Q_1$ has the same endpoints as $\partial^s Q_1$, the image $f^n(\partial^u Q_1)$ meets $M \setminus \overline{Q_2}$. To conclude, we assume by contradiction that $f^n(\partial^u Q_1) \cap Q_2 = \emptyset$. Thus $f^{n}(\partial Q_1) \cap Q_2 = \emptyset$. Since Q_2 is connected and meets $f^{n}(Q_1)$, this implies that Q_2 is contained in $f^{n}(Q_1)$. In particular, $\partial^{u}Q_2 \subset \overline{Q_2} \subset f^{n}(\overline{Q_1})$, contradicting $f^{-n}(\partial^{u}Q_2) \cap \overline{Q_1} = \emptyset$.

STEP 3. Let $\mathcal{O}_1 \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$ such that $\mathcal{O}_1 \overset{h}{\sim} \mu_1$. Then $W^u(\mathcal{O}_1)$ meets both Q_2 and $M \setminus \overline{Q_2}$.

 Q_1 meets $HC(\mu_1) = HC(\mathcal{O}_1)$, hence $W^u(\mathcal{O}_1) \cap Q_1 \neq \emptyset$. At the same time, $HC(\mu_1) \not\subset \overline{Q}_1$, so $W^u(\mathcal{O}_1)$ also meets $M \setminus \overline{Q_1}$. Proposition [4.4](#page-40-0) says that $W^u(\mathcal{O}_1)$ accumulates on $\partial^u Q_1$ both in the case when f is Kupka-Smale and in the case when μ_1 is s-thick. By step 2, $W^u(\mathcal{O}_1)$ meets Q_2 and $M \setminus \overline{Q_2}$.

STEP 4. Conclusion.

Let \mathcal{O}_2 be any periodic orbit homoclinically related to μ_2 . As before, $W^s(\mathcal{O}_2)$ meets Q_2 since $Q_2 \cap \text{HC}(\mu_2) \neq \emptyset$ and $W^s(\mathcal{O}_2)$ also meets $M \setminus \overline{Q_2}$ since $\text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_2) \not\subset \overline{Q_2}$. Proposition [4.4](#page-40-0) then gives $\mathcal{O}_1 \preceq \mathcal{O}_2$. The theorem follows.

We now explain Remark 6.5. Suppose all that we know on f and μ_i is that for some $\mathcal{O}_i \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu_i$, all the intersections of $W^u(\mathcal{O}_1), W^s(\mathcal{O}_2)$ are transverse. Since $HC(\mu_i) = \overline{W^u(\mathcal{O}_i) \oplus W^s(\mathcal{O}_i)}$, we can approximate the Q_i from step 1 by su-quadrilaterals Q'_i with sides in $W^u(\mathcal{O}_i), W^s(\mathcal{O}_i)$ which are C^0 -close to the sides of Q_i . By step 2, for some n, $f^n(\partial^u Q_1)$ meets both Q_2 and $M \setminus \overline{Q}_2$. Make the approximation good enough that $f^n(\partial^u Q'_1)$ meets Q'_2 and $M \setminus \overline{Q'_2}$. By Jordan's theorem, $W^u(\mathcal{O}_1)$ intersects $W^s(\mathcal{O}_2)$. By the assumption on \mathcal{O}_i the intersection is transverse. So $\mu_1 \preceq \mathcal{O}_1 \preceq \mathcal{O}_2 \preceq \mu_2$.

6.3 Support and homoclinic relations of measures

Proposition 6.6. Suppose $r > 1$ and let f be a C^r diffeomorphism on a closed surface. Let μ be a non-atomic ergodic hyperbolic measure supported on a homoclinic class $HC(\mathcal{O})$. Suppose

- f is Kupka-Smale, or
- \mathcal{O} and μ are both s-thick, or
- $-$ O is thick.

Then μ is homoclinically related to \mathcal{O} .

Proof. We apply Theorem [6.4](#page-51-0) to the transitive set $\Lambda = \text{HC}(\mathcal{O})$ and to the the measures $\mu_{\mathcal{O}}, \mu$ and get $\mathcal{O} \leq \mu$. Replacing f by f^{-1} (if f is Kupka-Smale or when \mathcal{O} is thick), or exchanging μ and \mathcal{O} (if \mathcal{O} and μ are both s-thick), we get $\mu \preceq \mathcal{O}$. \Box

Corollary 6.7. Suppose $r > 1$ and f is a C^r diffeomorphism on a closed surface. Let HC(O) be a homoclinic class and suppose $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f)$ satisfies at least one of the following conditions:

- (1) μ non-atomic and $h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O})) > \lambda_{\text{max}}(f)/r$ (see [\(5.1\)](#page-42-1));
- (2) $h(f,\mu) > \lambda_{\min}(f)/r$ and $\mathcal{O} \stackrel{h}{\sim} \nu$ with $h(f,\nu) > \lambda_{\min}(f)/r$ (see [\(5.1\)](#page-42-1));

Then: $\mu(HC(\mathcal{O})) = 1$ if and only if $\mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$.

Proof. If $\mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$, then $\mu(\text{HC}(\mathcal{O})) = 1$ by Corollary [2.14](#page-16-1) and the transitivity of the homoclinic relation.

We prove the converse. In case (1), the variational principle gives an ergodic ν carried by HC(O) with $h(f,\nu) > \lambda_{\max}(f)/r$. So ν is thick. For any $\nu' \in \mathbb{P}_{h}(f|_{HC(\mathcal{O})})$, we apply Theorem [6.4](#page-51-0) to $(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}), \nu, \nu')$ and $(f^{-1}, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}), \nu, \nu')$ and get $\nu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \nu'$. Taking $\nu' = \mu$ and $\nu' = \mu_{\mathcal{O}}$, the transitivity of the relation $\stackrel{h}{\sim}$ gives $\mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$. In case (2), if $\lambda_{\min}(f) = \lambda^{s}(f)$, then $\mathcal O$ and μ are s-thick and we conclude by Proposition [6.6.](#page-52-2) If $\lambda_{\min}(f) = \lambda^u(f)$, then $\lambda_{\min}(f^{-1}) = \lambda^s(f^{-1})$ and conclude as before. \Box

6.4 The intersection of different homoclinic classes

Proposition 6.8. Suppose $r > 1$ and f is a C^r diffeomorphism on a closed surface. Let HC(\mathcal{O}_1), $\mathrm{HC}(\mathcal{O}_2)$ be two homoclinic classes such that $\mathcal{O}_1 \overset{h}{\not\sim} \mathcal{O}_2$. Then $h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_1) \cap \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_2)) \leq \lambda_{\text{max}}(f)/r$. If f is Kupka-Smale, or if $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2$ are both s-thick, then $h_{top}(f, HC(\mathcal{O}_1) \cap HC(\mathcal{O}_2)) = 0$.

Proof. Assume by contradiction that $h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_1) \cap \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_2)) > \lambda_{\text{max}}(f)/r$, then by the variational principle, there exists $\mu_1 \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{e}}(f)$ carried by $\mathrm{HC}(\mathcal{O}_1) \cap \mathrm{HC}(\mathcal{O}_2)$ such that $h(f, \mu_1) > \lambda_{\max}(f)/r$. Necessarily μ_1 is non-atomic, hyperbolic and thick.

Since $\mu_1, \mu_{\mathcal{O}_i}$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem [6.4](#page-51-0) with $\Lambda := \text{HC}(\mu_1)$, we have $\mu_1 \preceq \mu_{\mathcal{O}_i}$. The same theorem, this time applied to the diffeomorphism f^{-1} , gives $\mu_1 \succeq \mu_{\mathcal{O}_i}$. So $\mathcal{O}_i \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu_1$ for $i = 1, 2$, whence $\mathcal{O}_1 \overset{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_2$. But this contradicts our assumption.

Next suppose f is Kupka-Smale or \mathcal{O}_1 , \mathcal{O}_2 are both s-thick, and $h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_1) \cap \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_2)) > 0$. By the variational principle, there is $\nu \in \mathbb{P}_{e}(f|_{HC(\mathcal{O}_1) \cap HC(\mathcal{O}_2)})$ with positive entropy. The set $\Lambda := \text{supp}(\nu)$ is transitive, $\Lambda \subset \textup{HC}(\mathcal{O}_1) \cap \textup{HC}(\mathcal{O}_2)$, and $h_{\text{top}}(f,\Lambda) > 0$. By Theorem [6.4,](#page-51-0) $\mathcal{O}_1 \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_2$. \Box

Different topological homoclinic classes may intersect, for instance along a periodic orbit or an invariant separating circle: An example may be built by surgery, using the techniques of [\[51\]](#page-67-19). It seems difficult to build more complicated intersections, even in low regularity: we do not have any example of two distinct homoclinic classes of a C^1 surface diffeomorphism whose intersection has positive entropy.

6.5 Equilibrium states

We have already stated some properties of hyperbolic equilibrium states, see Corollary [3.3.](#page-21-1) Using the dynamical Sard theorem [4.2](#page-36-1) and dimension estimates, we obtain further local uniqueness properties.

Proposition 6.9. Suppose $r > 1$ and let f be a C^r diffeomorphism on a closed surface. Let μ^1, μ^2 be two non-atomic hyperbolic ergodic equilibrium measures for some admissible potential $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$. Assume that μ_1, μ_2 are carried by the same transitive set Λ , and that

- (i) f is Kupka-Smale; or
- (ii) μ^1, μ^2 are both s-thick or both u-thick (for instance their entropy is larger than $\lambda_{\min}(f)/r$); or
- (iii) μ^1 is thick; or
- (iv) $h_{\text{top}}(f,\Lambda) > \lambda_{\text{max}}(f)/r$.
- Then $\mu^1 = \mu^2$.

Proof. By corollary [3.3,](#page-21-1) it is enough to show that $\mu^1 \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu^2$.

In the first three cases, we apply Theorem [6.4](#page-51-0) twice to the transitive set Λ , and the measures μ_1 and μ_2 : one gets $\mu_1 \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu_2$. In the fourth case, we consider an ergodic measure ν supported on Λ such that $h(f, \nu) > \lambda_{\max}(f)/r$. Case (1) of Corollary [6.7](#page-52-0) applied to HC(ν) implies that $\mu_1 \stackrel{h}{\sim} \nu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu_2$. \Box

6.6 The size of the coded set $\pi(\Sigma^{\#})$ in Theorem [3.1](#page-20-1)

Theorem 6.10. Suppose $r > 1$ and f is a C^r diffeomorphism on a closed surface. Let μ be an ergodic hyperbolic measure for f. Fix $\chi > 0$ and let $\pi : \Sigma \to M$ be the coding given by Theorem [3.1](#page-20-1). Suppose

- f is Kupka-Smale; or
- $-h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mu)) > \lambda_{\text{max}}(f)/r$; or
- μ is thick.

 $Then \ \overline{\pi(\Sigma)} = \overline{\pi(\Sigma^{\#})} = \text{HC}(\mu) \ \text{and} \ \nu(\pi(\Sigma^{\#})) = 1 \ \text{for each} \ \chi\text{-hyperbolic non-atomic ergodic measure } \nu$ supported on HC(μ). In particular, every $\nu \in \mathbb{P}_{e}(f)$ carried by HC(μ) with $h(f,\nu) > \chi$ is carried by $\pi(\Sigma^{\#})$.

Proof. Find $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$ such that $HC(\mu) = HC(\mathcal{O})$. By Proposition [6.6](#page-52-2) (when f is Kupka-Smale or if μ , whence \mathcal{O} , is thick) and Corollary [6.7](#page-52-0) (when $h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O})) > \lambda_{\text{max}}(f)/r$), any non-atomic hyperbolic ergodic measure supported on $HC(\mu)$ is homoclinically related to μ . The theorem then follows immediately from Theorem [3.1.](#page-20-1) \Box

Theorem 6.11. Suppose $r > 1$ and f is a C^r diffeomorphism on a closed surface. Let μ be an **s-thick** ergodic hyperbolic measure. Fix $\chi > 0$ and let $\pi : \Sigma \to M$ be the coding given by Theorem [3.1](#page-20-1). Then $\overline{\pi(\Sigma)} = \pi(\Sigma^{\#}) = \text{HC}(\mu)$ and $\nu(\pi(\Sigma^{\#})) = 1$ for each *χ*-hyperbolic **s-thick** ergodic measure ν carried by HC(μ). In particular, if $0 < \chi \leq \lambda^{s}(f)/r$, then any ergodic measure carried by HC(μ) with entropy larger than $\lambda^{s}(f)/r$ is carried by $\pi(\Sigma^{\#})$.

Proof. Again the proof uses Proposition [6.6](#page-52-2) (\mathcal{O} and ν are both s-thick) and Theorem [3.1.](#page-20-1) \Box

6.7 Spectral decomposition and periods for C^r diffeomorphisms

Theorem 6.12 (Thick Spectral decomposition for C^r diffeomorphisms). Suppose $r > 1$ and f is a C^r diffeomorphism on a closed surface. Consider a maximal family $\{\mathcal{O}_i\}_{i\in I}$ of **s-thick** hyperbolic periodic orbits such that: $\mathcal{O}_i \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_j \implies i = j$ for any $i, j \in I$. Then:

- (1) $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i\in I} \mathrm{HC}(\mathcal{O}_i)\right) = 1$ for every **s-thick** ergodic measure μ , and $\mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_i$ for some $i \in I$.
- (2) $h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_i) \cap \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_j)) = 0$ for any pair of distinct $i, j \in I$.
- (3) Let $\ell_i := \gcd(\{Card(\mathcal{O}'): \mathcal{O}' \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_i\})$ and set $A_i := \overline{W^s(p) \pitchfork W^u(p)}$ for some $p \in \mathcal{O}_i$. Then:
	- $\text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_i) = A_i \cup f(A_i) \cup \cdots \cup f^{\ell_i-1} A_i \text{ and } f^{\ell_i} A_i = A_i,$
	- $-f^{\ell_i}: A_i \to A_i$ is topologically mixing,
	- $f^j(A_i) ∩ A_i$ has empty relative interior in HC(\mathcal{O}_i) and zero topological entropy if $0 < j < \ell_i$.
- (4) For any $\chi > \lambda^{s}(f)/r$, the set of $i \in I$ such that $h_{top}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_i)) > \chi$ is finite.
- (5) If Λ is a transitive invariant compact set, there is at most one $i \in I$ such that $HC(O_i) \subset \Lambda$. If, additionally, $f|_{\Lambda}$ is topologically mixing, the unique $HC(O_i) \subset \Lambda$, if it exists, has period $\ell_i = 1$.

Note that the homoclinic class of a periodic hyperbolic orbit is either finite (and reduced a single orbit), or infinite and has positive topological entropy.

Theorem 6.13 (Spectral decomposition for Kupka-Smale diffeomorphisms). Let f be a Kupka-Smale C^r diffeomorphism, $r > 1$, on a closed surface and consider a maximal family ${O_i}_{i\in I}$ of hyperbolic periodic orbits such that: $\mathcal{O}_i \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_j \implies i = j$ for any $i, j \in I$. Then:

(1) $\mu\left(\bigcup_{i\in I} \mathrm{HC}(\mathcal{O}_i)\right) = 1$ for any hyperbolic ergodic measure μ : more precisely, $\mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_i$ for some $i \in I$.

(2-3) The properties 2 and 3 of Theorem [6.12](#page-54-0) are satisfied by $\{O_i\}_{i\in I}$.

- (4) For any $\chi > h^*(f)$, the set of $i \in I$ such that $h_{top}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_i)) > \chi$ is finite.
- (5) If Λ is a transitive invariant compact set, there is at most one $i \in I$ such that $HC(\mathcal{O}_i)$ is infinite and included in Λ . If, additionally, $f|_{\Lambda}$ is topologically mixing, then the unique infinite HC(\mathcal{O}_i), if it exists, has period $\ell_i = 1$.

Proof of Theorems [6.12](#page-54-0) and [6.13](#page-55-0). Item [\(1\)](#page-54-1) is a consequence of Katok's horseshoe theorem (Corol-lary [2.14\)](#page-16-1): If μ is a non-atomic ergodic measure, there exists $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$ such that $\mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$ and μ is supported on HC(\mathcal{O}). By definition, $\mathcal O$ is s-thick if μ is. Item [\(2\)](#page-54-2) is because of Proposition [6.8.](#page-53-0)

Now let us consider some $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$ and let $\ell = \text{gcd}(\{\text{Card}(\mathcal{O}') : \mathcal{O}' \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}\})$ be the period of the homoclinic class of \mathcal{O} . We will work with the diffeomorphism $F := f^{\ell}$.

Let $A := \overline{W^{s}(p) \oplus W^{u}(p)}$ for some $p \in \mathcal{O}$. Proposition [2.5](#page-12-3) gives most of Item (3). What remains to be shown is that $f^j(A) \cap A$ has zero entropy and empty interior relatively to $HC(O)$ for $0 < j < l$. We fix $0 < j < \ell$ and denote by \mathcal{O}^0 and $\mathcal{O}^j \subset \mathcal{O}$ the F-orbits of p and $f^j(p)$. From Proposition [2.5,](#page-12-3) the sets A and $f^{j}(A)$ are the F-homoclinic classes of \mathcal{O}^{0} and \mathcal{O}^{j} .

Since f is Kupka-Smale, F is Kupka-Smale, and if $\mathcal O$ is s-thick for f, then $\mathcal O^0$, $\mathcal O^j$ are s-thick for F. So if $h_{\text{top}}(F, f^j(A) \cap A) > 0$, then Proposition [6.8](#page-53-0) implies that $\mathcal{O}^0, \mathcal{O}^j$ are F-homoclinically related. So $W^{s}(p)$ $\pitchfork W^{u}(f^{j+k\ell}p) \neq \emptyset$ for some integer k, in contradiction to Proposition [2.5.](#page-12-3)(3).

If $f^j(A) \cap A$ has non-empty interior in $HC(O)$, then Proposition [2.5](#page-12-3) claims that $f^j(A) = A$, whence $h_{\text{top}}(F, f^j(A) \cap A) = h_{\text{top}}(F, A) > 0$, and we obtain a contradiction as before. Item [\(3\)](#page-54-3) follows.

Let us pick χ larger than $\lambda_{\min}(f)/r$, or larger than the tail entropy $h^*(f)$ when f is Kupka-Smale. Let $J \subset I$ be the set of indices i such that $h_{top}(f, HC(\mathcal{O}_i)) \geq \chi$. For each $i \in J$, there exists an ergodic measure μ_i supported on $HC(O_i)$ with entropy larger than χ . Note that μ_i is s-thick when $\chi > \lambda_{\min}(f)/r$. Hence either \mathcal{O}_i and μ_i are both s-thick, or f is Kupka-Smale. In both cases, $\mathcal{O}_i \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mu_i$ by Proposition [6.6.](#page-52-2) Since \mathcal{O}_i are pairwise non-homoclinically related, μ_i are pairwise non-homoclinically related $(i \in J)$. Theorem [5.2](#page-44-0) therefore implies that J is finite, proving item [\(4\)](#page-54-4).

We turn to item [\(5\)](#page-54-5). Assume $\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{O}' \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$ have infinite homoclinic classes included in some transitive compact set Λ. Infinite homoclinic classes contain transverse homoclinic intersections, therefore they have positive topological entropy. If f is Kupka-Smale, or if both \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' are s-thick, then we can apply Theorem [6.4](#page-51-0) and conclude that $\mathcal{O} \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}'$.

If $f|_{\Lambda}$ is topologically mixing and has an infinite homoclinic class HC(\mathcal{O}), we decompose it: $HC(\mathcal{O}) = A \cup f(A) \cup \cdots \cup f^{\ell-1}(A)$ as in item [\(3\)](#page-54-3). Note that the sets $A, f(A), \ldots, f^{\ell-1}(A)$ are ℓ distinct homoclinic classes included in Λ for f^{ℓ} . Since $f^{\ell}|_{\Lambda}$ is topologically transitive, we have uniqueness so $\ell = 1$, proving the item. \Box

7 Proof of the Main Theorems

7.1 C^{∞} diffeomorphisms

Theorem [1.](#page-2-0) This theorem follows from Theorem [6.12](#page-54-0) and the simple observation that if $f \in$ $\text{Diff}^{\infty}(M)$ and dim $M = 2$ then every $\mathcal{O} \in \text{Per}_{h}(f)$ such that $h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O})) > 0$ is thick.

Theorem [2.](#page-3-0) Suppose $HC(\mathcal{O})$ has positive topological entropy.

By Corollary [6.7,](#page-52-0) part (1), any hyperbolic non-atomic ergodic measure carried by $HC(O)$ must be homoclinically related to \mathcal{O} . In dimension two, every ergodic measure with positive entropy is hyperbolic and non-atomic, so this proves the second part of the Theorem.

If $f \in \text{Diff}^{\infty}(M)$, then $\mu \mapsto h(f, \mu)$ is an upper semi-continuous function with respect to the weak-* topology on the compact space of invariant probability measures carried by $HC(O)$ [\[66\]](#page-67-0). Therefore $h(f, \mu)$ attains its maximum at some measure μ carried by HC(O). By the variational principle, $h(f, \mu) = h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O})).$

The entropy of a measure is an average of the entropies of its ergodic components. It follows that a.e. ergodic component of μ also has entropy $h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}))$. So without loss of generality, μ is ergodic. Since μ is ergodic with positive entropy, by the beginning of the proof, μ is homoclinically related to \mathcal{O} . Therefore, by Corollary [3.3,](#page-21-1) μ is the unique m.m.e. for $f|_{\text{HC}(\mathcal{O})}$, has full support in $HC(O)$, and is isomorphic to the product of a Bernoulli scheme and the cyclic permutation of order $\ell := \gcd\{\text{Card}(\mathcal{O}') : \mathcal{O}' \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}\}.$ Since μ is thick, we can apply Theorem [6.12](#page-54-0) part (5) to see that if $HC(O)$ is contained in some topologically mixing compact invariant set then $\ell = 1$. This finishes the proof of the first item of Theorem [2.](#page-3-0)

The third item follows from Theorem [6.10.](#page-54-6)

Main Theorem (page [2\)](#page-1-0). By Theorems [1](#page-2-0) and [2,](#page-3-0) the number of ergodic measures of maximal entropy is less than or equal to the number of homoclinic classes with full topological entropy. By Theorem [1,](#page-2-0) this number is finite, and in the topologically transitive case equal to one.

In the topologically mixing case the unique measure of maximal entropy is Bernoulli, because of Theorem [2,](#page-3-0) part 1, and Theorem [1,](#page-2-0) part 5.

Corollary [1.5.](#page-5-2) The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 2.

Corollary [1.2.](#page-1-1) So far all our surfaces were assumed to be closed surfaces without boundary. But since all our work took place inside a small neighborhood of a homoclinic class, our methods also apply to diffeomorphisms on more general surfaces with compact global attractors.

One can reduce to the case of a closed surface in the following way: the attractor Λ is contained in an open set U disjoint from the boundary of the surface, such that $f(\overline{U}) \subset U$. Then, using [\[1,](#page-64-3) Proposition 3.3, one can identify U with the open set of a closed surface M and find a diffeomorphism f of \overline{M} which coincides with f on U and such that:

- $\widetilde{M} \setminus U$ has finitely many connected components, each homeomorphic to the 2-disc,
- the intersection of the non-wandering set of \tilde{f} with any connected component of $\widetilde{M} \setminus U$ is a repelling periodic point.

Now Theorems [2.12](#page-15-0) and [6.4](#page-51-0) show that Λ contains exactly one infinite homoclinic class HC(\mathcal{O}) and that every ergodic measure of maximal entropy is homoclinically related to \mathcal{O} . By Corollary [3.3,](#page-21-1) the measure of maximal entropy of \tilde{f} is unique. By definition of \tilde{f} , the measures of maximal entropy of f and \hat{f} coincide, hence f has a unique measure of maximal entropy.

7.2 C^r diffeomorphisms

Main Theorem Revisited (page [5\)](#page-4-1). To prove the first part we assume without loss of generality that $\lambda_{\min}(f) = \lambda^{s}(f)$. Otherwise replace f by f^{-1} noting that the statement we are trying to prove does not change, whereas $\lambda^u(f) = \lambda^s(f^{-1})$. We fix $\chi > \lambda_{\min}(f)/r = \lambda^s(f)/r$ and let $\mathcal E$ be the set of ergodic equilibrium measures μ with $h(f, \mu) > \chi$. We must check that $\mathcal E$ is finite.

Every measure $\mu \in \mathcal{E}$ is s-thick, because $\delta^s(\mu) = h(f, \mu)/\lambda^s(\mu) > \chi/\lambda^s(f) > 1/r$ by choice of χ . Let \mathcal{O}_i , $i \in I$, be a maximal collection of pairwise non homoclinically related hyperbolic periodic orbits which are s-thick. Let $J := \{i \in I : h_{top}(f, HC(\mathcal{O}_i)) > \chi\}$. By part (4) of Theorem [6.12,](#page-54-0) the set J is finite, and by part (1) of Theorem [6.12](#page-54-0) for each $\mu \in \mathcal{E}$ there is $i(\mu) \in J$ such that $\mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{i(\mu)}$. The map $\mu \mapsto i(\mu)$ is injective by Corollary [3.3.](#page-21-1) Hence $\mathcal E$ is finite.

For the second part, note that Proposition [6.9](#page-53-1) implies that there is at most one s-thick equilibrium measure supported by any given transitive compact set Λ . Thus there is at most one ergodic equilibrium measure μ on Λ with $\delta^s(\mu) > 1/r$ (and at most one ergodic equilibrium measure μ on Λ with $\delta^u(\mu) > 1/r$).

Corollary [1.4.](#page-4-2) As in the previous proof, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $\lambda_{\min}(f)$ = $\lambda^{s}(f)$. If μ is an ergodic equilibrium measure for ϕ then $P_{\text{top}}(\phi) = h(f, \mu) + \int \phi d\mu$, whence by the assumption $P_{\text{top}}(\phi) > \sup \phi + \frac{\lambda_{\min}(f)}{r}$ $\frac{n(f)}{r}$, $h(f, \mu) > \lambda_{\min}(f)/r$, whence $\delta^{s}(\mu) > 1/r$. The first part of the Main Theorem Revisited says that there can be at most finitely many ergodic equilibrium measures like that. The second part of the Main Theorem Revisited says that if f is topologically transitive, then there can be at most one ergodic equilibrium measure like that.

Corollary [1.6.](#page-5-3) As explained in the introduction, an ergodic SRB for a C^r diffeomorphism $f(r > 1)$ is a hyperbolic equilibrium measure for the admissible potential $\phi := -\log ||Df|_{E^u}||$. The entropy formula for SRB measures says that $\delta^u = 1$ [\[57\]](#page-67-4), so SRB measures are always u-thick. The Main Theorem Revisited then implies that each transitive compact set Λ can support at most one such measure and its support is some homoclinic class HC(\mathcal{O}). Since μ is u-thick, Theorem [6.12](#page-54-0) applies. By part (5) of this theorem, if $HC(\mathcal{O})$ is contained in a topologically mixing invariant compact set, then the period $gcd({[O'] : O' \stackrel{h}{\sim} O})$ is 1. By Corollary [3.3,](#page-21-1) μ is Bernoulli. This proves Corollary [1.6.](#page-5-3)

7.3 Borel classification (Theorem [3](#page-5-4) and Corollary [1.7\)](#page-5-5)

A Borel space is a pair (X, \mathcal{B}) where X is a set, and \mathcal{B} is a σ -algebra of subsets of X. Elements of B are called *measurable sets.* A *standard Borel space* is a Borel space (X, \mathcal{B}) such that there exists a metric d on X which makes (X, d) a complete separable metric space, and B is the smallest σ -algebra which contains all open balls in (X, d) . In this case elements of B are called *Borel sets*. See [\[54\]](#page-67-20) for background.

An *isomorphism* of Borel spaces $(X_1, \mathcal{B}_1), (X_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ is a invertible map $\psi: X_1 \to X_2$ such that for all $E \in \mathcal{B}_1$, $\psi(E) \in \mathcal{B}_2$, and for all $E \in \mathcal{B}_2$, $\psi^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{B}_1$. If $(X_1, \mathcal{B}_1) = (X_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ we call ψ a Borel automorphism.

We classify the dynamics of homoclinic classes up to (partial) Borel conjugacies defined as follows (see also [\[91,](#page-69-2) [92,](#page-69-3) [49,](#page-67-6) [31,](#page-66-19) [17\]](#page-65-0)).

Definition 7.1. Suppose $(X_1, \mathcal{B}_1), (X_2, \mathcal{B}_2)$ are Borel spaces, and $f_1 : X_1 \to X_1$ and $f_2 : X_2 \to X_2$ are Borel automorphisms.

- (1) A subset Y_i of X_i is almost full if it is measurable and carries all atomless f_i -invariant and ergodic Borel probability measure of (X_i, \mathcal{B}_i) . The complement of an almost full set is called almost null.
- (2) We call f_1, f_2 almost Borel conjugate if there are f_i -invariant measurable $X_i^0 \subset X_i$ which carry all atomless f_i -invariant ergodic probability measures and an isomorphism $\psi : (X_1^0, \mathcal{B}_1 \cap X_1^0) \to$ $(X_2^0, \mathcal{B}_2 \cap X_2^0)$ such that $f_2 \circ \psi = \psi \circ f_1$. Here $\mathcal{B}_i \cap X_i^0 := \{ E \cap X_i^0 : E \in \mathcal{B}_i \}.$
- (3) We say that there is an almost Borel embedding of (X_1, f_1) into (X_2, f_2) if there is an f_2 -invariant measurable $Z_2 \subset X_2$ such that $f_1, f_2|_{Z_2}$ are almost Borel conjugate. Here Z_2 is viewed as a Borel space with sigma-algebra $\mathcal{B}_2 \cap Z_2$.
- (4) We call f_1, f_2 Borel conjugate modulo zero entropy if there exist invariant measurable $X_i^0 \subset X_i$ which carry all the positive entropy ergodic invariant Borel probability measures for f_i , and an isomorphism $\psi: (X_1^0, \mathcal{B}_1 \cap X_1^0) \to (X_2^0, \mathcal{B}_2 \cap X_2^0)$ such that $f_2 \circ \psi = \psi \circ f_1$.

A measurable subset which carries all atomless invariant and ergodic Borel probability measures as in (1) is called almost full. The complement of an almost full set is called almost null.

Let f be a C^r diffeomorphism of a closed manifold M $(r > 1)$ and let $\mathcal O$ be a hyperbolic periodic orbit of saddle type. Recall the set Y' of regular points introduced in section [2.3](#page-12-0) and the set

 $H_{\mathcal{O}} := \{x \in Y' : W^u(x) \pitchfork W^s(\mathcal{O}) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } W^s(x) \pitchfork W^u(\mathcal{O}) \neq \emptyset\}$

from Proposition [2.15.](#page-16-2) We also need the following invariants of $HC(\mathcal{O})$:

Definition 7.2. Let $\mathcal O$ be a hyperbolic periodic orbit of saddle type.

- The period of the homoclinic class of $\mathcal O$ is $\ell(\mathcal O) := \gcd\{\mathrm{Card}(\mathcal O') : \mathcal O' \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal O\},\$
- The entropy of the homoclinic class of $\mathcal O$ is $h(\mathcal O) := \sup\{h(f, \mu) : \mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal O\},\$
- The multiplicity of the homoclinic class of O is $m(O) := \#\{\mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} O : h(f, \mu) = h(O)\}.$

Note that the entropy $h(\mathcal{O})$ is zero only when $\mathcal O$ is finite. Note also (see [\[80\]](#page-68-21)) that for any $h(\mathcal{O}) > 0$, $\ell \geq 1$ and $m(\mathcal{O}) \in \{0, 1\}$, there exists an irreducible countable state Markov shift Σ with Gurevič entropy (recall [\(3.1\)](#page-22-2)) equal to $h(\mathcal{O})$, period $\ell(\mathcal{O})$, and exactly $m(\mathcal{O})$ ergodic m.m.e.'s.

From now on, M is a closed surface. In this case, $m(\mathcal{O}) \in \{0,1\}$ by Corollary [3.3.](#page-21-1) Moreover, there exists an almost Borel conjugacy between $H_{\mathcal{O}}$ and any an irreducible countable state Markov shift with the same invariants.

Theorem 7.3. Let f be a C^r diffeomorphism $(r > 1)$ on a closed surface and let O be a hyperbolic periodic orbit of saddle type. Let Σ be an irreducible countable state Markov shift with period $\ell(\mathcal{O}),$ entropy $h(\mathcal{O})$ and with $m(\mathcal{O})$ ergodic m.m.e.'s. Then $(f, H_{\mathcal{O}})$ is almost Borel conjugate to (σ, Σ) .

$$
\forall \mu \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{e}}(T), \quad h(f, \mu) < t \text{ and } p \text{ is a period of } (T, \mu), \tag{7.1}
$$

has an almost Borel embedding into (S, X) . We say that (S, X) is *strictly* (t, p) -universal if it is (t, p) -universal and satisfies [\(7.1\)](#page-59-0). Given $t > 0$, the t-slice X_t of an automorphism T of a standard Borel space X is any invariant measurable $X_t \subset X$ such that:

$$
\forall \mu \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbf{e}}(T) \quad \mu(X_t) = 1 \iff h(T, \mu) < t.
$$

The t-slice always exists and is unique up to an almost null set (see, e.g., [\[31,](#page-66-19) Prop. 2.9 and 2.12]). We will use the following variant of [\[17,](#page-65-0) Sect. 4].

Lemma 7.4. For any number $t > 0$ and integer $p \ge 1$, the following are (t, p) -universal systems:

- (i) any irreducible countable state Markov shift of period p and entropy t ;
- (ii) any automorphism of a standard Borel space containing almost Borel conjugate copies of horseshoes of period p and entropy h arbitrarily close to t.

Proof. Item (i) follows from [\[17,](#page-65-0) Prop. 4.2(1)] since by definition, systems that contain strictly (t, p) universal systems are (t, p) -universal. For item (ii), note using [\[49\]](#page-67-6) that a horseshoe of period p and entropy h contains a strictly (h, p) -universal system. The same must hold for any almost Borel conjugate system. Now, [\[17,](#page-65-0) Lemma 3.2] shows that the whole system is (t, p) -universal. \Box

Proof of Theorem [7.3](#page-58-0). We assume that $H(\mathcal{O})$ is infinite since the claims are otherwise trivial. Let $H^0_{\mathcal{O}}$ and Σ^0 , be the $h(\mathcal{O})$ -slices of $H_{\mathcal{O}}$ and Σ . Note that

$$
h(\mathcal{O}) = \sup_{\mu \in \mathbb{P}(f|_{H_{\mathcal{O}}})} h(f, \mu) = \sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{P}(\Sigma)} h(\sigma, \nu).
$$

We first claim that the systems $(f, H_{\mathcal{O}} \setminus H_{\mathcal{O}}^0)$ and $(\sigma, \Sigma \setminus \Sigma^0)$ are almost Borel conjugate. Indeed they carry the m.m.e.'s of $H_{\mathcal{O}}$ and Σ and no other invariant probability measures. When $m(\mathcal{O}) = 0$, there are no such measures and the claim holds trivially. When $m(\mathcal{O}) = 1$, Corollary [3.3](#page-21-1) ensures that the m.m.e. of $H_{\mathcal{O}}$ is isomorphic to a Bernoulli scheme times the cyclic permutation of order $\ell(\mathcal{O})$ and therefore is measure preservingly conjugate to Σ with its m.m.e. This gives an almost Borel conjugacy between $(f, H_{\mathcal{O}} \setminus H_{\mathcal{O}}^0)$ and $(\sigma, \Sigma \setminus \Sigma^0)$.

It thus suffices to show that $(f, H^0_{\mathcal{O}})$ and (σ, Σ^0) are almost Borel conjugate. By Hochman's dynamical Cantor-Bernstein argument [\[49\]](#page-67-6), it is sufficient to show that there are almost Borel embeddings $(f, H^0_{\mathcal{O}}) \hookrightarrow (\sigma, \Sigma^0)$ and $(\sigma, \Sigma^0) \hookrightarrow (f, H^0_{\mathcal{O}})$.

By Proposition [2.17,](#page-17-1) $\ell(\mathcal{O})$ is a period of each $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{e}(f|_{H_{\mathcal{O}}^0})$. For these measures, we also have: $h(f, \mu) < h(\mathcal{O})$. By Lemma [7.4,](#page-59-1) part (i), Σ is $(h(\mathcal{O}), \ell(\mathcal{O}))$ -universal, hence there is an almost Borel embedding of $H^0_{\mathcal{O}}$ into Σ . Since $\Sigma \setminus \Sigma^0$ only carries a measure with entropy $h(\mathcal{O})$, its preimage by the embedding carries no invariant measure: we thus get an almost Borel embedding of $H^0_{\mathcal{O}}$ into Σ^0 .

We claim that there are horseshoes Λ with period $\ell(\mathcal{O})$ and entropy arbitrarily close to $h(\mathcal{O})$ which are contained in H_Q up to almost null sets. To prove the claim, let $\mathcal{O}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{O}_N$ be hyperbolic periodic orbits homoclinically related to $\mathcal O$ and such that $\gcd\{\mathrm{Card}\,\mathcal O_i : i=1,\ldots,N\} = \ell(\mathcal O)$. For any $h < h(\mathcal{O})$, there is $\mu \in \mathbb{P}_{e}(f)$ with $\mu \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}$ and $h(f, \mu) > h$. By Theorem [2.12,](#page-15-0) there is a horseshoe $Λ_0$ homoclinically related to Ο and with $h_{\text{top}}(f, Λ_0)$ close to $h(f, μ)$. One then builds a horseshoe $\Lambda \supset \Lambda_0 \cup \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{O}_N$ (see Proposition [2.6\)](#page-12-4). By construction, Λ is homoclinically related to \mathcal{O} . From the definition of $H_{\mathcal{O}}$, the set $\Lambda \setminus H_{\mathcal{O}}$ has zero measure for all invariant probability measures supported on Λ , so Λ is almost Borel embedded in $H_{\mathcal{O}}$. Observe that the topological entropy of Λ is bigger than h and its period is $\ell(\mathcal{O})$. The claim is proved.

It now follows, by Lemma [7.4,](#page-59-1) part (ii), that $H_{\mathcal{O}}$ is $(h(\mathcal{O}), \ell(\mathcal{O}))$ -universal. Hence there is an almost Borel embedding of Σ^0 into $H_{\mathcal{O}}$ (and thus $H_{\mathcal{O}}^0$). This concludes the proof. \Box

We now consider topological homoclinic classes. We further assume C^{∞} smoothness (leaving the cases with finite smoothness and Kupka-Smale property or entropy conditions to the interested reader). We first deduce Theorem [3](#page-5-4) from Theorem [7.3](#page-58-0) by comparing $HC(O)$ to H_O .

Proof of Theorem [3.](#page-5-4) Again we can assume that HC(\mathcal{O}) is infinite. Since f is C^{∞} , Corollary [6.7](#page-52-0) implies that the symmetric difference $H_{\mathcal{O}} \triangle H C(\mathcal{O})$ carries only measures with zero entropy. Now Theorem [3](#page-5-4) is an immediate consequence of Theorem [7.3.](#page-58-0) \Box

In the special case of C^{∞} surface diffeomorphisms, the above local analysis allows to recover the global classification first obtained in [\[17\]](#page-65-0) (which only required a regularity C^r regularity for $r > 1$). More importantly, the present approach shows that a complete invariant of almost Borel conjugacy can be computed from the topological entropies and periods of the infinite homoclinic classes.

Corollary 7.5. Let f be a C^{∞} diffeomorphism of a closed surface and consider a maximal family ${\mathcal{O}}_i{}_{i\in I}$ of non-homoclinically related hyperbolic periodic orbits with infinite homoclinic classes. The entropy and the periods of the homoclinic classes $(h(\mathcal{O}_i), \ell(\mathcal{O}_i))_{i\in I}$ determine the Borel conjugacy class of f modulo zero entropy measures.

More precisely, the following double sequence $(h_k(f), m_k(f))_{k\geq 1}$ is a complete invariant:

$$
h_k(f) := \sup(\{h(\mathcal{O}_i) : \ell(\mathcal{O}_i) | k\} \cup \{0\}) \text{ and}
$$

$$
m_k(f) := \text{Card}(\{i \in I : \ell(\mathcal{O}_i) = k \text{ and } h(\mathcal{O}_i) = h_k(f)\}).
$$

Proof. For each $i \in I$ with $h(\mathcal{O}_i) > 0$, let Σ_i be an irreducible Markov shift with entropy $h(\mathcal{O}_i)$ and period $\ell(\mathcal{O}_i)$ with a m.m.e. (this exists by [\[80\]](#page-68-21)). Since f is C^{∞} , the upper semi-continuity [\(5.2\)](#page-43-2) implies that HC(\mathcal{O}_i) supports an ergodic measure μ_i with entropy $h_{\text{top}}(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_i))$. Moreover $\mu_i \stackrel{h}{\sim} \mathcal{O}_i$ by Corollary [6.7,](#page-52-0) part 1. The proof of Theorem [3](#page-5-4) implies that (σ, Σ_i) and $(f, \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_i))$ are Borel conjugate modulo zero entropy measures. Theorem [1](#page-2-0) then shows that f is Borel conjugate modulo zero entropy measures to the disjoint union $\bigsqcup_{i\in I} \text{HC}(\mathcal{O}_i)$ and therefore to $\bigsqcup_{i\in I} \Sigma_i$. To conclude, we apply [\[17,](#page-65-0) Theorem 1.5] which classifies Markov shifts modulo zero entropy measures. \Box

We can now conclude the proof of Corollary [1.7.](#page-5-5)

Corollary [1.7.](#page-5-5) Let $\mathscr F$ denote the collection of topologically mixing C^∞ diffeomorphisms with positive topological entropy. By Theorem [1,](#page-2-0) every $f \in \mathscr{F}$ has exactly one homoclinic class with positive topological entropy, and the period of this class is equal to one. So the complete invariant of Corollary [7.5](#page-60-0)

equals $(h_1(f), m_1(f)) = (h_{top}(f), 1)$ and $(h_k(f), m_k(f)) = (0, 0)$ for $k > 1$. So $h_{top}(f)$ determines f up to almost Borel conjugacy modulo zero entropy, within the class \mathscr{F} , as claimed in Corollary [1.7.](#page-5-5) □

A Lipschitz holonomies

The purpose of this section is to prove the following:

Theorem A.1. Fix $r > 1$ and suppose $f : M \to M$ is a C^r diffeomorphism of a closed surface M. For every basic set Λ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, the lamination $\mathscr{W}_{\varepsilon}^{s}(\Lambda)$ has Lipschitz holonomies.

Proof. We choose $\alpha > 0$ small and define the following cones of \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$
\mathcal{C}^u := \{(u, v), |u| \le \alpha |v|\} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^u := \{(u, v), \alpha |u| \le |v|\}, \n\mathcal{C}^s := \{(u, v), \alpha |u| \ge |v|\} \subset \widehat{\mathcal{C}}^s := \{(u, v), |u| \ge \alpha |v|\}.
$$

Replacing f by an iterate, we may assume that $||Df|_{E^s}(x)||, ||Df^{-1}|_{E^u}(x)|| < \alpha$ for any $x \in \Lambda$. We choose a family of C^r charts ψ_x from a neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{R}^2 to a neighborhood of x in M such that $D\psi_x\binom{1}{0}$ $\binom{1}{0}$ is a unit vector in $E^s(x)$ and $D\psi_x\binom{0}{1}$ $_{1}^{0}$) is a unit vector in $E^{u}(x)$. By compactness, one can extract a finite collection of charts $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_\ell$ and a number $\rho > 0$ with the following properties:

- Each chart ψ_i is a diffeomorphism from a ball $B(0, 2\rho) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ to an open set $U_i \subset M$.
- The union $\cup_i \psi_i(B(0, \rho))$ contains Λ.
- $-I$ For $x \in \psi_i^{-1}(U_i \cap f^{-1}(U_j))$, the linear map $A := D_{f \circ \psi_i(x)} \psi_j^{-1} \cdot D_{\psi_i(x)} f \cdot D_x \psi_i$ sends \widehat{C}^u into \mathcal{C}^u and expands its vectors by a factor larger than α^{-1} . Symmetrically, A^{-1} expands vectors in \hat{C}^s by a factor larger than α^{-1} .

By choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ small, each point x in the support of the lamination $\mathscr{W}_{\varepsilon}^{s}(\Lambda)$ belongs to some image $\psi_i(B(0,\rho))$ and the tangent space to $W^s(x)$ belongs to the image $D_{\psi_i^{-1}(x)}\psi_i(\mathcal{C}^s)$.

In order to prove the theorem, we choose a transversal τ_0 to $\mathscr{W}^s_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda)$ inside a lamination neighborhood U_0 and a point $x_0 \in \tau_0 \cap \mathscr{W}_{\varepsilon}^s(\Lambda)$. From the inclination lemma, the iterates $f^n(\tau_0)$ converge to the unstable manifolds of Λ : there exist an integer $n_0 \geq 1$ and a chart ψ_i such that $f^{n_0}(x_0) \in \psi_i(B(0, \rho))$ and the tangent space to $\psi_i^{-1} \circ f^{n_0}(\tau_0)$ at $\psi_i^{-1}(f^{n_0}(x_0))$ is contained in \mathcal{C}^u . If V is a small neighborhood of x_0 in M and T is a small neighborhood of τ_0 for the uniform C^1 -topology (see section [4\)](#page-34-0), we have:

- $\tau \in \mathcal{T}, y \in \tau \cap V$, the tangent space to $\psi_i^{-1} \circ f^{n_0}(\tau_0)$ at $\psi_i^{-1}(f^{n_0}(x_0))$ is contained in \mathcal{C}^u ,
- for any $\tau, \tau' \in \mathcal{T}$, the holonomy $\Pi_{\tau \to \tau'} : \tau \cap V \cap W^s_{\varepsilon}(\Lambda) \to \tau'$ is well defined.

We choose any small interval $I \subset \tau \cap V \cap W_{\varepsilon}^s(\Lambda)$. We will show that its image $I' := \Pi_{\tau \to \tau'}(I)$ satisfies:

$$
|I'| \le L|I| \text{ for some positive content } L = L(f, V, \mathcal{T}) \text{, independent of } \tau' \in \mathcal{T}. \tag{A.1}
$$

By symmetry, $|I| \le L|I'|$ and Theorem [A.1](#page-61-1) will immediately follow.

The endpoints of I and I' are connected by arcs J_1, J_2 contained in leaves of $\mathscr{W}_{\varepsilon}^s(\Lambda) \cap U_0$. Since V has been chosen small, the lengths of I, I', J_1, J_2 are all small. Consequently the union $I \cup I' \cup J_1 \cup J_2$ is contained in the image U_i of a chart ψ_i . Since the forward iterates of J_1, J_2 remain inside leaves of

 $\mathscr{W}_{\varepsilon}^s(\Lambda)$, the forward iterates $f^k(I \cup I' \cup J_1 \cup J_2)$ remain in the images of charts $\psi_{i(k)}$, until a time k_{\max} such that $f^{k_{\max}}(I)$ or $f^{k_{\max}}(I')$ reach a length comparable to ρ in the charts. Since we assume I to be small, we can assume that $k_{\text{max}} \geq n_0$. The iterates $f^k(J_1)$ and $f^k(J_2)$ for $0 \leq k \leq k_{\text{max}}$ seen in the chart $\psi_{i(k)}$ are tangent to the cone \mathcal{C}^s , hence their lengths decrease by a factor smaller than α at each iteration by f. The iterates $f^k(I)$ and $f^k(I')$ for $n_0 \leq k \leq k_{\text{max}}$ are tangent to \mathcal{C}^u in the charts and their lengths increase by a factor larger than α^{-1} at each iteration by f. Consequently, there exists a first time $N \leq k_{\text{max}}$ such that (see the Figure [5\)](#page-62-0) in the chart $\psi_{i(N)}$,

$$
\min\left(|f^N(I)|, |f^N(I')|\right) \ge \alpha^{-1} \max\left(|(f^N(J_1)|, |f^N(J_2)|\right). \tag{A.2}
$$

Figure 5: Image of a stable strip $I \cup I' \cup J_1 \cup J_2$ by the iterate f^N .

Lemma A.2. There exists a foliation of a set containing the topological rectangle of \mathbb{R}^2 bounded by $\psi_{i\ell}^{-1}$ $i_{i(N)}^{-1}\circ f^N(I\cup I'\cup J_1\cup J_2),$ whose leaves are tangent to $\mathcal{C}^s.$ Its holonomy defines a homeomorphism Π between the transverse arcs $\psi^{-1}_{i(\Lambda)}$ $\frac{-1}{i(N)} \circ f^N(I)$ and $\psi_{i(N)}^{-1}$ $\overline{C}_{i(N)}^{-1} \circ f^N(I'),$ which is Lipschitz with constant $Lip(\Pi) < 1 + 10\alpha$.

Proof. The two curves ψ_{i}^{-1} $i(N) \circ f^{N}(J_i)$ are tangent to the horizontal cone \mathcal{C}^{s} , hence are contained in graphs of two α -Lipschitz functions φ_1, φ_2 . For $u \in [1, 2]$, the functions

$$
\varphi_u := (2 - u)\varphi_1 + (u - 1)\varphi_2
$$

are α -Lipschitz and their graphs define the leaves of the foliation. We denote by Π the holonomy map between $\widetilde{I} := \psi_{i(\Lambda)}^{-1}$ $\widetilde{I}_{i(N)}^{-1} \circ f^N(I)$ and $\widetilde{I}' := \psi_{i(N)}^{-1}$ $_{i(N)}^{-1}\circ f^{N}(I^{\prime }).$

Let $z \in \tilde{I}$ and $z' = \Pi(z)$. Let V, V' be two vertical segments which connect the graphs of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 and which contain z and z' respectively. The holonomy $\Pi_{V,V'}$ between V and V' is linear since the leaves φ_t have been obtained as barycenters. As a consequence, $\Pi_{V,V'}$ is a Lipschitz map whose Lipschitz constant equals $\frac{|V'|}{|V|}$ $\frac{|V'|}{|V|}$. Using that the curves are tangent to \mathcal{C}^s or \mathcal{C}^u and $(A.2)$, we get

$$
\text{Lip}(\Pi_{V,V'}) = \frac{|V'|}{|V|} \in [1 - 3\alpha, 1 + 3\alpha].
$$

The holonomy map Π decomposes into

$$
\Pi=\Pi_{V',\widetilde{I}'}\circ \Pi_{V,V'}\circ \Pi_{\widetilde{I},V}.
$$

The holonomy map $\Pi_{\tilde{I},V}$ fixes z. Note that the foliation is C^1 , the slope of the leaves is smaller than α and the (absolute value of the) slope of \tilde{I} is larger than α^{-1} . A simple application of the implicit function theorem using $|(\phi_2(x) - \phi_1(x))/(\phi_2(y) - \phi_1(y))| \leq 2$ implies that the map $\Pi_{\widetilde{I},V}$ as well as its inverse is differentiable with Lipschitz constant bounded by $1 + \alpha$. One argues similarly for $\Pi_{V', \tilde{I}'}$. This gives the conclusion of the lemma.

We thus obtain a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism $\Pi_{I,I'}$ between I and I' defined by

$$
\Pi_{I,I'} = f^{-N} \circ D\psi_{i(N)} \circ \Pi \circ D\psi_{i(N)}^{-1} \circ f^N.
$$

Its Lipschitz constant at any point $x \in I$ is bounded by

$$
||Df^{N}|_{I'}(\Pi_{I,I'}(x))||^{-1} \cdot ||D\psi_{i(N)}|| \cdot \text{Lip}(\Pi) \cdot ||D\psi_{i(N)}^{-1}|| \cdot ||Df^{N}|_{I}(x)||.
$$

Defining $x' := \prod_{I,I'}(x)$, we thus have to bound the following quantity:

$$
\frac{\|Df^N|_I(x)\|}{\|Df^N|_{I'}(x')\|} = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\|Df|_{f^i(I)}(f^i(x))\|}{\|Df|_{f^i(I')}(f^i(x'))\|}.
$$
\n(A.3)

The diffeomorphism f induces a homeomorphism F of the unit tangent bundle $T¹M$ defined by:

$$
F(u) = ||Df.u||^{-1} Df.u.
$$

We endow T^1M with a distance d_1 induced by an arbitrary Riemannian metric.

Lemma A.3. There exist $C > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0,1)$ with the following property: consider a sequence of charts $U_{i(0)},\ldots,U_{i(n)}$, two points $x,x'\in M$ and two unit vectors $u\in T_xM$, $v\in T_{x'}M$ such that:

- for each $0 \leq k \leq n$, both points $f^k(x)$, $f^k(x')$ belong to $U_{i(k)}$ and their images by $\psi_{i(k)}^{-1}$ $\frac{-1}{i(k)}$ belong to a curve tangent to \mathcal{C}^s ,
- − the preimages by $\psi_{i(0)}^{-1}$ of u and v are tangent to \mathcal{C}^u .

Then $d_1(F^n(u), F^n(v)) \leq C \lambda^n$.

Proof. Using the charts, we denote by x_k, x'_k the images of $f^k(x), f^k(x')$ by the map $\psi_{i(k)}^{-1}$ $\overline{u}_{i(k)}^{-1}$ and $u_k =$ $(u_k^1, u_k^2), v_k = (v_k^1, v_k^2)$ the vectors $D(\psi_{i(k)}^{-1})$ $\bar{u}_{i(k)}^{-1} \circ f^{k}$).u and $D(\psi_{i(k)}^{-1})$ $\overline{i(k)} \circ f^k$).v. The diffeomorphism f lifts as maps $f_k := \psi_{i(k+1)}^{-1} \circ f \circ \psi_{i(k)}$. Since the number of charts is finite, it is enough to estimate the distance between x_n, x'_n and the angle between the vectors u_n and v_n in the charts.

The contraction of the vectors in the cone \mathcal{C}^s , the smallness of α , and the fact that x_n, x'_n belong to a curve tangent to \mathcal{C}^s contained in $B(0, 2\rho)$, imply that for any $0 \leq k \leq n$,

$$
d(x_k, x'_k) \le 5\rho 2^{-k}
$$

.

It is thus enough to find $c > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and show:

$$
\left|\frac{u_k^1}{u_k^2} - \frac{v_k^1}{v_k^2}\right| \le c\lambda^k.
$$
\n(A.4)

This is proved inductively. The case $k = 0$ holds if $c = 2\alpha$ since each quotient is in $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ as u_0 and v_0 are tangent to \mathcal{C}^u . Let us denote $w_k := (w_k^1, w_k^2)$ the image of $v_k = (v_{k-1}^1, v_{k-1}^2)$ by $Df_{k-1}(x_{k-1})$ (rather than by $Df_{k-1}(x'_{k-1})$). The contraction and expansion in the cones by $\overline{D}f_{k-1}(x_{k-1})$ gives

$$
\left|\frac{u_k^1}{u_k^2} - \frac{w_k^1}{w_k^2}\right| \le \frac{1}{2} \left|\frac{u_{k-1}^1}{u_{k-1}^2} - \frac{v_{k-1}^1}{v_{k-1}^2}\right|.
$$

Let $\beta := r-1$ when $r \in (1,2)$ and $\beta := \frac{1}{2}$ when $r \geq 2$, then Df_k are uniformly β -Hölder continuous. This allows to compare the image of v_{k-1} under $Df_{k-1}(x_{k-1})$ and $Df_{k-1}(x'_{k-1})$: there exists a constant $c' > 0$ such that:

$$
\left| \frac{v_k^1}{v_k^2} - \frac{w_k^1}{w_k^2} \right| \le c' d(x_k, x'_k)^{\beta} \le 5\rho^{\beta} c' 2^{-\beta k}.
$$

Assuming that $(A.4)$ holds for $k-1$, one thus gets

$$
\left|\frac{v_k^1}{v_k^2} - \frac{u_k^1}{u_k^2}\right| \le \frac{c}{2}\lambda^{k-1} + 5\rho^{\beta}c'2^{-\beta k}.
$$

This gives [\(A.4\)](#page-63-0) for k provided one has chosen $2^{-\beta} < \lambda < 1$ and $c \ge 5c'\rho^{\beta}/(1-(2\lambda)^{-1})$. \Box

The points $f^{N}(x)$, $f^{N}(x')$ belong to the image by $\psi_{i(k)}$ of a curve tangent to \mathcal{C}^{s} , hence the same holds for any iterate $f^k(x)$, $f^k(x')$, $0 \le k \le N$ by backward invariance of the cone \mathcal{C}^s . The lemma [A.3](#page-63-1) can thus be applied to the tangent spaces of $f^{n_0}(I)$ and $f^{n_0}(I')$ at $f^{n_0}(x)$ and $f^{n_0}(x')$ until the time $N - n_0$. Since Df is $(r - 1)$ -Hölder continuous, there exists a constant $C' > 0$ such that:

$$
\log \frac{\|Df_{|f^i(I')}(f^i(x'))\|}{\|Df_{|f^i(I)}(f^i(x))\|} \le C'\lambda^{i(r-1)} \quad \text{for } n_0 \le i \le N.
$$

Hence there is a constant $C'' > 0$ which only depends on n_0, C' and the norms of Df , Df^{-1} such that

$$
\frac{\|Df^N|_I(x)\|}{\|Df^N|_{I'}(x')\|} \le C'' \exp \sum_{i\ge 0} \lambda^{i(r-1)}.
$$
\n(A.5)

Combining with Lemma [A.2,](#page-62-2) the Lipschitz constant L of $\Pi_{I,I'}$ only depends on C'', λ, r and on the norms of $D\psi_i$ and $D\psi_i^{-1}$. Eq. [\(A.1\)](#page-61-2) and therefore the theorem is proved. \Box

References

- [1] Flavio Abdenur, Christian Bonatti, Sylvain Crovisier, and Lorenzo D´ıaz. Generic diffeomorphisms on compact surfaces. Fund. Math., 187(2):127–159, 2005.
- [2] Flavio Abdenur and Sylvain Crovisier. Transitivity and topological mixing for C ¹ diffeomorphisms. In Essays in mathematics and its applications, pages 1–16. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [3] Roy Adler and Benjamin Weiss. Entropy, a complete metric invariant for automorphisms of the torus. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 57:1573–1576, 1967.
- [4] Artur Avila, Sylvain Crovisier, and Amie Wilkinson. $C¹$ density of stable ergodicity. Preprint, arXiv:1709.04983, 2017.
- [5] Luis Barreira and Yakov Pesin. Nonuniform hyperbolicity, volume 115 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [6] Snir Ben Ovadia. Symbolic dynamics for non uniformly hyperbolic diffeomorphisms of compact smooth manifolds. Preprint, arXiv:1609.06494, 2017.
- [7] Michael Benedicks and Lennart Carleson. The dynamics of the Hénon map. Ann. of Math. (2), $133(1)$:73– 169, 1991.
- [8] Pierre Berger. Properties of the maximal entropy measure and geometry of hénon attractors. J. Eur. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [9] Christian Bonatti and Sylvain Crovisier. Center manifolds for partially hyperbolic sets without strong unstable connections. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, 15:785–828, 2016.
- [10] Rufus Bowen. Markov partitions for Axiom A diffeomorphisms. Amer. J. Math., 92:725–747, 1970.
- [11] Rufus Bowen. Periodic points and measures for Axiom A diffeomorphisms. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 154:377–397, 1971.
- [12] Rufus Bowen. Entropy-expansive maps. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 164:323–331, 1972.
- [13] Rufus Bowen. The equidistribution of closed geodesics. Amer. J. Math., 94:413–423, 1972.
- [14] Rufus Bowen. Maximizing entropy for a hyperbolic flow. Math. Systems Theory, 7(4):300–303, 1974.
- [15] Rufus Bowen. Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 470. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1975.
- [16] Rufus Bowen. Some systems with unique equilibrium states. Math. Syst. Theory, 8(3):193–202, 1975.
- [17] Mike Boyle and Jérôme Buzzi. The almost Borel structure of surface diffeomorphisms, Markov shifts and their factors. J. Eur. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [18] Jean-Yves Briend and Julien Duval. Deux caractérisations de la mesure d'équilibre d'un endomorphisme de $P^k(\mathbb{C})$. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci., 93:145-159, 2001.
- [19] Alexander Bufetov and Boris Gurevič. Existence and uniqueness of a measure with maximal entropy for the teichmiller flow on the moduli space of abelian differentials. *Mat. Sb.*, $202(7)$:3–42, 2011.
- [20] David Burguet. Symbolic extensions in intermediate smoothness on surfaces. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supr., 45(4):337–362, 2012.
- [21] David Burguet. Existence of measures of maximal entropy for C^r interval maps. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 142(3):957–968, 2014.
- [22] David Burguet. Usc/fibred entropy structure and applications. Dyn. Syst., 32(3):391–409, 2017.
- [23] David Burguet. Periodic expansiveness of smooth surface diffeomorphisms and applications. J. Eur. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [24] Keith Burns, Vaughn Climenhaga, Todd Fisher, and Daniel Thompson. Unique equilibrium states for geodesic flows in nonpositive curvature. Preprint, arXiv:1803.10374.
- [25] Jérôme Buzzi. Représentation markovienne des applications régulières de l'intervalle. PhD thesis, Université Paris-Sud, Orsay, 1995.
- [26] Jérôme Buzzi. Intrinsic ergodicity of smooth interval maps. Israel J. Math., $100:125-161$, 1997.
- [27] Jérôme Buzzi. Subshifts of quasi-finite type. *Invent. Math.*, $159(2):369-406$, 2005.
- [28] Jérôme Buzzi. Maximal entropy measures for piecewise affine surface homeomorphisms. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 29(6):1723–1763, 2009.
- [29] Jérôme Buzzi. Puzzles of quasi-finite type, zeta functions and symbolic dynamics for multi-dimensional maps. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 60(3):801–852, 2010.
- [30] Jérôme Buzzi. C^r surface diffeomorphisms with no maximal entropy measure. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 34(6):1770–1793, 2014.
- [31] Jérôme Buzzi. The almost Borel structure of diffeomorphisms with some hyperbolicity. Proc. Symp. Pure Math., 89:9–44, 2015.
- [32] Jérôme Buzzi. Bowen factors, their degree, and codings of surface diffeomorphisms. arXiv:1807.04017, 2018.
- [33] Jérôme Buzzi, Sylvain Crovisier, and Omri Sarig. Surface diffeomorphisms with infinitely many or no measures maximizing the entropy. In preparation.
- [34] Jérôme Buzzi and Todd Fisher. Entropic stability beyond partial hyperbolicity. J. Mod. Dyn., 7(4):527–552, 2013.
- [35] Jérôme Buzzi, Todd Fisher, Martin Sambarino, and Carlos Vásquez. Maximal entropy measures for certain partially hyperbolic, derived from anosov systems. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 32(1):63–79, 2012.
- [36] Jérôme Buzzi and Sylvie Ruette. Large entropy implies existence of a maximal entropy measure for interval maps. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 14(4):673–688, 2006.
- [37] Jérôme Buzzi and Omri Sarig. Uniqueness of equilibrium measures for countable Markov shifts and multidimensional piecewise expanding maps. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 23(5):1383–1400, 2003.
- [38] Vaughn Climenhaga, Todd Fisher, and Daniel J. Thompson. Unique equilibrium states for bonatti-viana diffeomorphisms. Nonlinearity, 31(6):2532–2570, 2018.
- [39] Vaughn Climenhaga and Daniel Thompson. Intrinsic ergodicity beyond specification: β-shifts, S-gap shifts, and their factors. Israel J. Math., 192(2):785–817, 2013.
- [40] Vaughn Climenhaga and Daniel Thompson. Unique equilibrium states for flows and homeomorphisms with non-uniform structure. Adv. Math., 303:745–799, 2016.
- [41] Vaughn Climenhaga and Daniel J. Thompson. Intrinsic ergodicity via obstruction entropies. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 34:1816–1831, 2014.
- [42] Lorenzo Díaz and Bianca Santoro. Collision, explosion and collapse of homoclinic classes. Nonlinearity, 17:1001–1032, 2007.
- [43] Tomasz Downarowicz and Sheldon Newhouse. Symbolic extensions and smooth dynamical systems. Invent. Math., 160:453–499, 2005.
- [44] Tim Goodman. Relating topological entropy and measure entropy. Bull. London Math. Soc., 3:176–180, 1971.
- [45] L. Wayne Goodwyn. Topological entropy bounds measure-theoretic entropy. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 23:679–688, 1969.
- [46] Boris Gurevič. Shift entropy and Markov measures in the space of paths of a countable graph. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 192:963–965, 1970.
- [47] Boris Hasselblatt and Amie Wilkinson. Prevalence of non-lipschitz anosov foliations. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 19:643–656, 1999.
- [48] Morris W. Hirsch and Charles C. Pugh. Stable manifolds and hyperbolic sets. In Global Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XIV, Berkeley, Calif., 1968), pages 133–163. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970.
- [49] Michael Hochman. Isomorphism and embedding of Borel systems on full sets. Acta Appl. Math., 126:187– 201, 2013.
- [50] Franz Hofbauer. On intrinsic ergodicity of piecewise monotonic transformations with positive entropy. II. Israel J. Math., 38(1–2):107–115, 1981.
- [51] Anatole Katok. Bernoulli diffeomorphisms on surfaces. Ann. of Math., 110:529–547, 1979.
- [52] Anatole Katok. Lyapunov exponents, entropy and periodic orbits for diffeomorphisms. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., 51:137–173, 1980.
- [53] Anatole Katok and Boris Hasselblatt. *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*, volume 54 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. With a supplementary chapter by Anatole Katok and Leonardo Mendoza.
- [54] Alexander Kechris. Classical descriptive set theory, volume 156 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [55] F. Ledrappier. Propriétés ergodiques des mesures de Sinaï. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 59:163– 188, 1984.
- [56] François Ledrappier and Lai-Sang Young. The metric entropy of diffeomorphisms. II. Relations between entropy, exponents and dimension. Ann. of Math. (2), 122(3):540–574, 1985.
- [57] François Ledrappier and Jean-Marie Strelcyn. A proof of the estimation from below in Pesin's entropy formula. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 2(2):203–219, 1982.
- [58] Yuri Lima and Carlos Matheus. Symbolic dynamics for non-uniformly hyperbolic surface maps with discontinuities. Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Supér., $51(1)$, 2018.
- [59] Yuri Lima and Omri Sarig. Symbolic dynamics for three dimensional flows with positive entropy. Preprint.
- [60] Ricardo Mañé. On the uniqueness of the maximizing measure for rational maps. Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat., 14:27–43, 1983.
- [61] Anthony Manning. A relation between Lyapunov exponents, Hausdorff dimension and entropy. Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems, 1:451–459, 1981.
- [62] Michal Misiurewicz. Diffeomorphism without any measure with maximal entropy. Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys., 21:903-910, 1973.
- [63] Michal Misiurewicz. Topological conditional entropy. Studia Math., 55:903–910, 1976.
- [64] Sheldon Newhouse. Hyperbolic limit sets. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 167:125–150, 1972.
- [65] Sheldon Newhouse. Topological entropy and Hausdorff dimension for area preserving diffeomorphisms of surfaces. Astérisque, 51:323-334, 1978.
- [66] Sheldon Newhouse. Continuity properties of entropy. Ann. of Math. (2), 129(2):215–235, 1989.
- [67] Sheldon Newhouse. Entropy in smooth dynamical systems. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Kyoto, 1990), pages 1285–1294, 1991.
- [68] Sheldon Newhouse and Lai-Sang Young. Dynamics of certain skew products. In Geometric dynamics, number 1007 in Lecture Notes in Math., pages 611–629. Springer, 1983.
- [69] Jacob Palis and Marcelo Viana. On the continuity of hausdorff dimension and limit capacity for horseshoes. Lecture Notes in Math, 1331:150–160, 1988. Dynamical systems, Valparaiso 1986.
- [70] William Parry. Intrinsic Markov chains. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 112:55–66, 1964.
- [71] William Parry and Mark Pollicott. Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics. Astérisque, 187-188, 1990.
- [72] Ronnie Pavlov. On non-uniform specification and uniqueness of the equilibrium state in expansive systems. Preprint, arXiv:1702.02870.
- [73] Ronnie Pavlov. On intrinsic ergodicity and weakenings of the specification property. Adv. Math., 295:250– 270, 2016.
- [74] Yakov Pesin. Families of invariant manifolds that correspond to nonzero characteristic exponents. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 40(6):1332–1379, 1976.
- [75] Alberto Pinto and David Rand. Smoothness of holonomies for codimension 1 hyperbolic dynamics. Bull. London Math. Soc., 34(3):341–352, 2002.
- [76] Federico Rodriguez Hertz, Maria Rodriguez Hertz, Ali Tahzibi, and Ra´ul Ures. Maximizing measures for partially hyperbolic systems with compact center leaves. rgodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 32(2):825–839, 2012.
- [77] Frederico Rodriguez Hertz, Maria Alejandra Rodriguez Hertz, Ali Tahzibi, and Raul Ures. Uniqueness of SRB measures for transitive diffeomorphisms on surfaces. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 306(1):35–49, 2011.
- [78] Vladimir Rokhlin. Lectures on the entropy theory of transformations with invariant measure. Uspehi Mat. Nauk, 22(5):3–56, 1967. Translated as *Russian Math. Surveys* **15** (1960), 1–22.
- [79] David Ruelle. An inequality for the entropy of differentiable maps. Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat., 9(1):83–87, 1978.
- [80] Sylvie Ruette. Topological markov chains of given entropy and period with or without measure of maximal entropy. Preprint, arXiv:1806.00214.
- [81] Arthur Sard. Images of critical sets. Ann. of Math. (2), 68:247–259, 1958.
- [82] Omri Sarig. Thermodynamic formalism for countable markov shifts. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 19(6):1565–1593, 1999.
- [83] Omri Sarig. Bernoulli equilibrium states for surface diffeomorphisms. J. Mod. Dyn., 5(3):593–608, 2011.
- [84] Omri Sarig. Symbolic dynamics for surface diffeomorphisms with positive entropy. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 26(2):341–426, 2013.
- [85] Michael Shub. Global stability of dynamical systems. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987. Translated from the French by Joseph Christy.
- [86] Yakov Sinaĭ. Gibbs measures in ergodic theory. Uspehi Mat. Nauk, $27(4(166))$:21–64, 1972.
- [87] Steve Smale. Differentiable dynamical systems. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73:747–817, 1967.
- [88] Klaus Thomsen. On the structure of beta shifts. Contemporary Mathematics, 385, 2005.
- [89] Raúl Ures. Intrinsic ergodicity of partially hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with a hyperbolic linear part. *Proc.* Amer. Math. Soc., 140(6):1973–1985, 2012.
- [90] Peter Walters. An introduction to ergodic theory, volume 79 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.
- [91] Benjamin Weiss. Measurable dynamics. In Conference in Modern Analysis and Probability (New Haven, CN, 1982), volume 26 of Contemp. Math., pages 395–421, 1984.
- [92] Benjamin Weiss. Countable generators in dynamics-universal minimal models. In Measure and Measurable Dynamics (Rochester, NY, 1987), volume 94 of Contemp. Math., pages 321–326, 1989.
- [93] Jean-Christophe Yoccoz. Introduction to hyperbolic dynamics. In Real and complex dynamical systems (Hillerød, 1993), volume 464 of NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., pages 265–291. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1995.
- [94] Yosef Yomdin. Volume growth and entropy. Israel J. Math., 57(3):285–300, 1987.

J´erˆome Buzzi Sylvain Crovisier Omri Sarig Orsay 91405, France. Orsay 91405, France. POB 26, Rehovot, Israel

Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay Laboratoire de Mathématiques d'Orsay Faculty of Mathematics CNRS - UMR 8628 CNRS - UMR 8628 and Computer Science Université Paris-Sud 11 Université Paris-Sud 11 The Weizmann Institute of Science