

ROBUST AND FINE-GRAINED PROSODY CONTROL OF END-TO-END SPEECH SYNTHESIS

Younggun Lee, Taesu Kim

Neosapience, Inc., Seoul, Republic of Korea

ABSTRACT

We propose prosody embeddings for emotional and expressive speech synthesis networks. The proposed methods introduce temporal structures in the embedding networks, which enable fine-grained control of the speaking style of the synthesized speech. The temporal structures could be designed either in speech-side or text-side, which lead different control resolution in time. The prosody embedding networks are plugged into end-to-end speech synthesis networks, and trained without any other supervision except the target speech for synthesizing. The prosody embedding networks learned to extract prosodic features. By adjusting the learned prosody features, we could change the pitch and amplitude of the synthesized speech both in frame level and phoneme level. We also introduce temporal normalization of prosody embeddings, which shows better robustness against speaker perturbation in prosody transfer tasks.

Index Terms— Prosody, Speech style, Speech synthesis, Text-to-speech

1. INTRODUCTION

After Tacotron [1] paved the way for end-to-end Text-To-Speech (TTS) using neural networks, researchers tried to generate more naturally sounding speech by conditioning TTS model on speaker embedding and prosody embedding [2, 3, 4, 5]. (We will use the term *prosody* as defined in [4] for the rest of this paper.) Since there is no available label for prosody, learning to control prosody in TTS is a difficult problem to tackle. Recent approaches learn to extract prosody embedding from a reference speech in an unsupervised manner and use the prosody embedding to control speech style [4, 5]. Their models showed ability to generate speeches with various expressive styles with Tacotron [1] using prosody embedding. They also could transfer prosody of one speaker to another using different speaker ID while leaving the prosody embedding unchanged. However, we observed two limitations from the their models.

First, it is not clear how to control prosody at a specific moment of generated speech. Their prosody embedding has fixed-length (length of 1 in their experiment) regardless of the length of the reference speech or that of the text input. It is very likely to lose temporal information while squeezing reference speech into a fixed-length embedding, so fine-grained control of prosody at a specific moment of speech is difficult for this model. For example, we can set global style as "lively" or "sad", but we cannot control prosody of a specific moment with fixed-length embedding. Since the humans are sensitive to subtle change of nuance, it is important to have fine-grained control of prosody to represent one's intention precisely.

Secondly, inter-speaker prosody transfer is not robust if the difference between pitch range of a source speaker and a target speaker is big. For example, when the source speaker (female) has higher

pitch than the target speaker (male), the prosody-transferred speech tends to show higher pitch than the usual pitch of the target speaker.

In this work, we will focus on solving the above two problems. We will introduce two types of variable-length prosody embedding, which has the same length with reference speech or input text, to enable sequential control of prosody. In addition, we will show that normalizing prosody embedding helps to maintain robustness of prosody transfer against speaker perturbation. With our methods, the speaker-normalized variable-length prosody embedding was able to not only control prosody at each specific frame, but also transfer prosody between two speakers even in singing voice. Moreover, the proposed methods showed better generation quality both in quantitative and qualitative senses.

2. RELATED WORK

Prosody modeling had been done in supervised way by using annotated labels such as ToBI [6]. Problems were reported about hand annotation, and it was expensive to obtain [7].

Skerry-Ryan et al. [4] used convolutional neural networks and Gated Recurrent Unit (GRU) [8] to compresses prosody of the reference speech. The output denoted by p is the fixed-length prosody embedding. They enabled prosody transfer using the prosody embedding, but they could not get control of prosody at a specific point of time. Another problem was reported by [5]; the fixed-length prosody embedding worked poorly if the length of the reference speech was shorter than the speech to generate. In addition to the fixed-length prosody embedding, variable-length prosody embedding was also implemented in [4] using the output of the GRU at every time-step. However, it could not draw attention because they could not obtain satisfactory results as it was not robust to text and speaker perturbations. We noticed the usefulness of the variable-length prosody and elaborated this concept for the fine-grained prosody control.

Wang et al. [5] came up with the global style token (GST) Tacotron to encode different speaking styles. Although they used the same reference encoder architecture with [4], they did not use p itself as a prosody embedding. Using content-based attention mechanism, they computed attention weights for style tokens from p . The attention weights represent contribution of each style token, and the weighted sum of style tokens is now used as a style embedding. During training, each randomly initialized style token learns speaking style in an unsupervised way. In inference mode, they could control prosody by either predicting style embedding from a reference speech or specifying attention weight of style tokens. The style embedding of length 1 is copied l_{text} times to match size with the text encoder states, which is encoded from a phoneme sequence of length l_{text} . Then, the sum of copied style embedding and text encoder states is then fed into the Tacotron's attention module. This enabled explicit control of speaking style, but still it worked only in a global

sense. If we are interested in controlling prosody of a phoneme, it would be ideal to get the same prosody for different phonemes when the phonemes are conditioned on the same prosody embedding. However, GST Tacotron generates various kinds of prosody for input phonemes that are conditioned on the same style embedding, which is not desirable for prosody control. Wang et al. also proposed text-side style control by using multiple style embeddings for different segments of input text. This method could roughly change style of text segments, but it has a limitation to control phoneme-wise prosody for the reason mentioned above.

3. BASELINE MODEL

We implemented two types of Tacotron each of which was proposed in [4]. We used simplified version [9] of Tacotron for base encoder-decoder architecture, but we used original Tacotron [1] style of Post-processing net and Griffin-Lim algorithm [10] for reconstruction of waveform from spectrogram. For the encoder input x , we used phoneme sequence of normalized text to ease the learning. One-hot speaker identity is converted to a speaker embedding vector s by embedding lookup layer. Equation 1 describes base encoder-decoder, where e , p , and d denote text encoder state, variable-length prosody embedding, and decoder state respectively.

$$\begin{aligned} e_{1:l_e} &= \text{Encoder}(x_{1:l_e}) \\ \alpha_i &= \text{Attention}(e_{1:l_e}, d_{i-1}) \\ e'_i &= \sum_j \alpha_{ij} e_j \\ d_i &= \text{Decoder}(e'_i, s) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Reference speech is encoded to prosody embedding using reference encoder. Mel-spectrogram of the reference speech is went through 2D-convolutional layers. The output of the last convolutional layer is fed to uni-directional GRU. The last output of GRU r_N is the fixed-length prosody embedding p . If we use the every output of GRU $r_{1:N}$ for prosody embedding, it forms the variable-length prosody embedding $p_{1:N}$.

4. PROPOSED METHOD

Fine-grained prosody control can be done by adjusting values of the variable-length prosody embedding. We propose two types of prosody control method: speech-side control and text-side control. The variable-length prosody embedding is used as conditional input at the encoder module or at the decoder module for speech-side control or text-side control respectively. In order to do this, we need to align and downsample the prosody embedding to match the length of the prosody embedding l_p with the speech-side (the number of the decoder time-steps, l_d) or the text-side (the number of the encoder time-steps, l_e).

4.1. Modifications in reference encoder

We empirically found that the following modifications improved generation quality. We used CoordConv [11] for the first convolutional layer. By its construction, Coordconv can utilize positional information while losing translation invariance. We speculate the positional information was helpful to encode prosody sequentially. We used ReLU for activation function to force values of the prosody embedding to lie in $[0, \infty]$.

The proposed models are trained the same as the Tacotron model. The model is trained by L1 loss between target spectrogram

and the generated spectrogram, and no other supervision was given for the reference encoder. Unless otherwise stated, we used the same hyperparameter settings as [4].

4.2. Speech-side prosody control

The length l_p of variable-length prosody embedding made from a reference spectrogram with length l_{ref} is the same as l_{ref} . Notice that, the decoder should generate the same spectrogram as the reference spectrogram, and that r -frames are generated at each decoder time-step. This makes l_p to have r -times longer length than l_d . By choosing appropriate stride sizes for the convolutional layers, we could shorten reference spectrogram to match l_p with l_d .

At each decoder time-step i , p_i is first fed to the attention module together with $e_{1:l_e}$ to compute i -th attention weights α_i . We did not feed speaker embedding to the attention module since we assumed speaker identity to be conditionally independent with attention weights when prosody is given. The weighted sum of $e_{1:l_e}$ with α_i gives us context vector e'_i . The input of the decoder module at the i -th time-step is a concatenation of $\{e'_i, p_i, s\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} e_{1:l_e} &= \text{Encoder}(x_{1:l_e}) \\ \alpha_i &= \text{Attention}(e_{1:l_e}, p_i, d_{i-1}) \\ e'_i &= \sum_j \alpha_{ij} e_j \\ d_i &= \text{Decoder}(e'_i, p_i, s) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

4.3. Text-side prosody control

The linear relationship between l_p and l_d made it easy to make speech-side prosody embedding to have the same length with the number of the decoder time-steps. Unfortunately, such a relationship is not guaranteed between l_p and l_e . We introduced reference attention module that uses scaled dot-product attention [12] to find alignment between $e_{1:l_e}$ and $p_{1:l_{ref}}$. In the reference attention module, *key* κ and *value* v is obtained from p and *query* is e . Conceptually, the attention mechanism computes attention weight according to the similarity between the *query* and each *key*, then weighted sum of the *values* is obtained using the attention weight. To get κ and v from prosody embedding, we doubled output dimension h of the reference encoder for the text-side prosody control, and the output is split into two matrices of size $(l_{ref} \times h)$. The weighted sum of $v_{1:l_{ref}}$ with β gives us text-side prosody embedding p^t . Then, p^t is concatenated to e at the every usage of e .

$$\begin{aligned} e_{1:l_e} &= \text{Encoder}(x_{1:l_e}) \\ [\kappa_{1:l_{ref}}; v_{1:l_{ref}}] &= p_{1:l_{ref}} \\ \beta_j &= \text{Ref-Attention}(e_j, \kappa_{1:l_{ref}}) \\ p_j^t &= \sum_k \beta_{jk} v_k \\ \alpha_i &= \text{Attention}(e_{1:l_e}; p_{1:l_e}^t, d_{i-1}) \\ e'_i &= \sum_j \alpha_{ij} [e_j; p_j^t] \\ d_i &= \text{Decoder}(e'_i, s) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

4.4. Prosody normalization

The prosody embedding is normalized using each speaker's prosody mean. During training, we computed sample mean along the temporal dimension of variable-length prosody embedding and stored average of the sample mean for each speaker. For both training and




Fig. 1: Speech-side prosody control.




Fig. 2: Text-side prosody control.

evaluation, the normalization was done by subtracting the speaker-wise prosody mean from every time-step of prosody embedding.

5. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

5.1. Dataset

The previous works [4, 5] used large amount of data to train prosodic TTS model (296 hours of data for multi-speaker model). To have large amount of data, we used multiple datasets including VCTK, CMU ARCTIC, and internal datasets. The final dataset consisted of 104 hours (58 hours from English and 46 hours from Korean) with 136 speakers (128 English speakers and 8 Korean speakers).

Since variable-length prosody embedding has large enough capacity to copy the reference audio, we had to use very small dimension for bottleneck size. This led us to use prosody size of 2 and 4 for speech-side and text-side prosody embedding respectively.

5.2. Speech-side control of prosody

By adjusting values of speech-side prosody embedding, we could change prosody at specific frames. Figure 1 shows change in learned prosody embeddings (line graph) and their corresponding spectrograms. The first dimension of the prosody embedding, the second row of Figure 1, tended to control pitch of generating speech. By comparing the highlighted part of Figure 1-(a) and (b), one can check change of pitch from the spaces between the harmonics. The second dimension of the prosody embedding, the third row of Figure 1, tended to control amplitude of generating speech. By comparing the highlighted part of Figure 1-(a) and (c), one can check change of




Fig. 3: Attention alignment between text and speech

amplitude from the intensity of the harmonics. We recommend the readers to listen to the examples on our demo page.¹

5.3. Text-side control of prosody

We first checked if the reference attention module learned to find alignment between the phoneme sequence and the reference audio. The Figure 3 shows attention alignment plot of the original attention module (a) and reference attention module (b). From their analogous shape, we could see the reference attention module could align the reference speech to the text.

As we did in Section 5.2, we changed prosody of phonemes by adjusting text-side prosody embedding in Figure 2. It seemed that amplitude was affected by first and third dimension, and pitch was affected by second and third dimension, and length was affected by

¹<http://neosapience.com/research/2018/10/29/icassp>




Fig. 4: Spectrogram from singing voice transfer.

first and third dimension. It would be ideal if each dimension represents one prosodic feature (i.e. pitch, amplitude, length, and etc.). We think the the prosody embedding is entangled because we did not posed any constraints for the prosody embedding to be disentangled.

5.4. Comparison with GST Tacotron

We compared our methods to GST Tacotron both quantitatively and qualitatively. For the quantitative comparison, we used Mean Cepstral Distortion (MCD) using the first 13 MFCCs as it was proposed in [4]. Table 1 shows the proposed methods outperform GST Tacotron in terms of MCD_{13} , where lower MCD is better. In particular, speech-side prosody control, which has the highest temporal resolution of prosody embedding, showed the lowest MCD.

Table 1: Mean cepstral distortion of types of prosody embedding

Model	MCD_{13}
Global style token	0.413
Speech-side prosody control	0.294
Text-side prosody control	0.342

One shortcoming of GST Tacotron is that GST works only in a global sense. If we fix GST for multiple decoder time-steps, decoder generates speech while changing prosody implicitly at each time-step to make the GST’s speech style. It is not problematic if the generated prosody perfectly matches with one’s intention, but in many cases we needed modification to get the intended one. Since GST changes prosody implicitly, it is ambiguous to control prosody at specific moment. On the other hand, our proposed prosody embeddings controls prosody explicitly. In Section 5.2 and 5.3, we observed that the prosody can be controlled by adjusting values of the prosody embedding. We further demonstrated the proposed methods’ explicitness and consistency by fixing prosody embedding to have the same value over the whole frames. This should give us a flat speech style in contrast to the GST, and we can see the result in Figure 1-(d) and Figure 2-(e). The results are obtained by fixing the dimensions that controlled pitch.

If we apply the prosody control to the wider dynamic range of prosody embedding, it will be able to generate singing voice. We demonstrate this in Figure 4. Using an unseen singing voice file downloaded from the audio samples in [4], we performed prosody transfer using the three prosody control methods. While GST could not reconstruct melody of the song, we could recognize melody of the original song using the proposed methods. In particular, generated song from speech-side prosody control was almost the same as the original song. For this task, the lyrics, speaker identity, and

prosody embedding were the only requirements for generation. We could see the proposed methods’ capability to generate a song given appropriate sequence of prosody embedding.

5.5. Inter-speaker prosody transfer

We compared MCD of the speech-side prosody embeddings with and without normalization in Table 2. For each prosody embedding, we computed MCD between reference and generated speech for each prosody reconstruction and prosody transfer task. In both tasks, we used a female speaker’s speech as reference speech, and we used speaker ID of the same female speaker or another male speaker for prosody reconstruction and prosody transfer respectively. Without normalization, the generated speech tended to show in higher pitch than the male speaker and sometimes failed to generate speech. We think the failure happens because the combination of the male speaker ID and female prosody embedding did not exist during the training. When we used normalization for the prosody embedding, the model was exposed to the similarly distributed prosody embedding during the training phase. It made the prosody transfer easier than without normalization. Table 2 also represents this phenomenon with higher MCD in prosody transfer of the not-normalized model than that of the normalized model.

Table 2: Mean cepstral distortion of types of prosody transfer

Model	Female-to-Female	Female-to-Male
Normalized	0.329	0.518
Not-normalized	0.304	0.531

6. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We have proposed temporally structured prosody embedding networks to control the expressive style of synthesized speech. The proposed methods have changed pitch and amplitude both in frame-level and phoneme-level resolution. Also, the normalized prosody embedding has made prosody transfer more robust to pitch discrepancy between the reference and generated speaker. The proposed methods have shown better quality in terms of MCD score, and the prosody of a song could be successfully transferred to another speaker, which results in voice conversion of a song.

The bottleneck size was the only factor that regularized the prosody embedding network in this paper. Disentangling techniques will be beneficial to factorize the prosody embeddings into more explainable prosodic features and separate them from other speech features. This will be a fruitful direction for future work.

7. REFERENCES

- [1] Yuxuan Wang, R.J. Skerry-Ryan, Daisy Stanton, Yonghui Wu, Ron J. Weiss, Navdeep Jaitly, Zongheng Yang, Ying Xiao, Zhifeng Chen, Samy Bengio, Quoc Le, Yannis Agiomyrgiannakis, Rob Clark, and Rif A. Saurous, "Tacotron: Towards end-to-end speech synthesis," in *Proc. Interspeech 2017*, 2017, pp. 4006–4010.
- [2] Andrew Gibiansky, Serkan Arik, Gregory Diamos, John Miller, Kainan Peng, Wei Ping, Jonathan Raiman, and Yanqi Zhou, "Deep voice 2: Multi-speaker neural text-to-speech," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30*, 2017, pp. 2962–2970.
- [3] Eliya Nachmani, Adam Polyak, Yaniv Taigman, and Lior Wolf, "Fitting new speakers based on a short untranscribed sample," in *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning*. 2018, pp. 3683–3691, PMLR.
- [4] RJ Skerry-Ryan, Eric Battenberg, Ying Xiao, Yuxuan Wang, Daisy Stanton, Joel Shor, Ron Weiss, Rob Clark, and Rif A. Saurous, "Towards end-to-end prosody transfer for expressive speech synthesis with tacotron," in *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2018, vol. 80, pp. 4693–4702.
- [5] Yuxuan Wang, Daisy Stanton, Yu Zhang, RJ-Skerry Ryan, Eric Battenberg, Joel Shor, Ying Xiao, Ye Jia, Fei Ren, and Rif A. Saurous, "Style tokens: Unsupervised style modeling, control and transfer in end-to-end speech synthesis," in *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2018, vol. 80, pp. 5180–5189.
- [6] Kim E. A. Silverman, Mary E. Beckman, John F. Pitrelli, Mari Ostendorf, Colin W. Wightman, Patti Price, Janet B. Pierrehumbert, and Julia Hirschberg, "Tobi: a standard for labeling english prosody," in *International Conference on Spoken Language Processing*. 1992, ISCA.
- [7] Colin W Wightman, "Tobi or not tobi?," in *Speech Prosody 2002, International Conference*, 2002.
- [8] Kyunghyun Cho, Bart van Merriënboer, Caglar Gulcehre, Dzmitry Bahdanau, Fethi Bougares, Holger Schwenk, and Yoshua Bengio, "Learning phrase representations using rnn encoder–decoder for statistical machine translation," in *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. 2014, pp. 1724–1734, Association for Computational Linguistics.
- [9] Jonathan Shen, Ruoming Pang, Ron J. Weiss, Mike Schuster, Navdeep Jaitly, Zongheng Yang, Zhifeng Chen, Yu Zhang, Yuxuan Wang, RJ-Skerrv Ryan, Rif A. Saurous, Yannis Agiomyrgiannakis, and Yonghui Wu, "Natural TTS synthesis by conditioning wavenet on MEL spectrogram predictions," in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, ICASSP 2018, Calgary, AB, Canada, April 15-20, 2018*, 2018, pp. 4779–4783.
- [10] Daniel W. Griffin and Jae S. Lim, "Signal estimation from modified short-time fourier transform," in *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, ICASSP '83, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, April 14-16, 1983*, 1983, pp. 804–807.
- [11] Rosanne Liu, Joel Lehman, Piero Molino, Felipe Petroski Such, Eric Frank, Alex Sergeev, and Jason Yosinski, "An intriguing failing of convolutional neural networks and the coordconv solution," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1807.03247*, 2018.
- [12] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Ł ukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin, "Attention is all you need," in *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30*, pp. 5998–6008. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017.