

Characteristics of $Z(2)$ multi-kink soliton configurations

Susobhan Mandal¹

¹*Department of Physical Sciences,
Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Kolkata,
Mohanpur - 741 246, WB, India*

E-mail: sm17rs045@iiserkol.ac.in

ABSTRACT: In this article, we first briefly review the solution of $Z(2)$ kink solitons. Then we construct some multi-kink soliton configurations which are static and show their few features which are actually important to characterize their stability conditions. Not only that this show also the particle characteristics of these kink configurations in these solitonic configurations. Then we will talk about dynamical kinks and show the affect of dynamics in the expression of force exerted by the neighbouring kink and anti-kink on each other in the multi-kink configurations. We have also defined an algebra through which we can write down equivalent ways of writing down multi-kink configuration mathematically.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Scalar solitons in 1 + 1 dimension	2
2.1	Brief review	2
2.2	Static solution	3
2.3	$\mathbb{Z}(2)$ kinks	4
2.4	Multi-kink configurations	5
3	Characteristics of multi-kink configurations	6
3.1	Multi-kink configuration in "Additive ansatz"	6
3.2	Multi-kink configuration in "Product ansatz"	8
3.3	Physics behind expression of force	9
3.4	Multi-kink configuration in "product ansatz" for 2 kinks and 1 anti-kink	9
3.5	General Multi-kink configuration	12
4	Dynamical Kink and Correction to force expression	12
5	Algebra of $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ kinks and anti-kinks	13
6	Conclusion	16
7	Acknowledgement	16

1 Introduction

The soliton solutions are important in the studying models arising from various natural phenomena like the wave phenomena observed in fluid mechanics, optical fibers [1], nuclear physics, high-energy physics, plasma physics, biology, solid-state physics, chemical kinematics etc.

Study of non-trivial field configurations in Quantum field theories is one of important aspects of modern theoretical physics for different reasons. Convention quantum field theory(QFT) which we are familiar with, assume the fact classical field around which study the excitations are spacetime independent. Though this is true for the bulk of the effect in QFT, but there are in fact states and effects which are due to non-trivial topologies of unperturbed state. And the most important feature of these effects is that they can't be extracted using perturbative analysis [2]. We begin by studying these configurations in Minkowski spacetime which are not excitations around a trivial classical configurations and these particles are collectively known as solitons.

A soliton is a non-dissipative non-trivial finite energy solution to field equation [3] coming from minimizing the action which describes the dynamics of the system. There is a subset, known as kinks which are also non dissipative non-trivial finite energy solutions [4]. What distinguishes the kinks from the other soliton configurations is that solitons remain unperturbed in collisions with other solitons, while this is not the case for kinks. A necessary condition for the existence of soliton and kink solutions to a field equation is that the potential energy in the action must have atleast 2 degenerate minimas. This is a consequence of the bounded energy of the kink.

In this article we will talk about $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ kink which arises from a ϕ^4 like theory and which has its own importance in physics for example nonlinear conductivity in some quasi-one-dimensional metals [5], cooperative diffusion in one dimensional ionic conductors [6], spin-density excitations in 1-D organic polymers [7], nonlinear excitations in strongly-coupled plasma [8], existence of solitons in carbon nanotubes [9]. These are localized waves that propagate along one spatial direction with undeformed shape and some particle-like properties. We are mainly deal with time-independent kink solutions in scalar field theories with one spatial dimension since Derrick's theorem ruled out the existence of non-trivial time-independent finite energy kink soliton solutions in scalar field theories if the spatial dimension is greater than 1.

2 Scalar solitons in 1 + 1 dimension

2.1 Brief review

We start the discussion by mentioning classical scalar field theory in Minkowski spacetime. In conventional QFT, classical solutions are the nothing but vacuum configuration for which we quantize the theory. Thus understanding classical solution is necessary in order to understand full quantum theory. And to do that we basically study the solutions of Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\partial_\mu \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} \quad (2.1)$$

coming from minimizing an action of following form

$$S[\phi] = \int d^4x \mathcal{L}(\phi(x), \partial\phi(x)) \quad (2.2)$$

As we will see, that equation (1) can have solution which varies over spacetime. In order for these solutions to have physical importance, they must have finite energy given by following expression

$$E = \int dx \mathcal{E}(x, t) \quad (2.3)$$

where $\mathcal{E} = T^0_0(x, t)$ which is the (00)th component of the stress-energy tensor. Furthermore, we want vacuum states which are stable under time evolution instead of turning again into trivial configuration or solution at late times. This condition can be written as

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \max_{\forall x} \mathcal{E}(x, t) \neq 0 \quad (2.4)$$

This is a physicist way of defining soliton which is different from its mathematical definition which put further restriction on these class of solutions by imposing superposition principle.

The crucial aspect of all such solutions is going to be, what is the manifold of vacua for a theory. To understand this we started with a generic action in 1 + 1 dimension given by following Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial^\mu\phi - U(\phi) \quad (2.5)$$

with $U(\phi)$ being some complicated function.

There could be many possible position where the potential is vanishing (even if it is non-zero minimum we can always make it zero). If we denote all such minimas with set $\{\phi_i\}$ then $U(\phi_i) = 0, \forall i$. The equation of motion is given by

$$\partial_t^2\phi - \partial_x^2\phi = -\frac{\partial U}{\partial\phi} \quad (2.6)$$

and the energy density is given by

$$E[\phi] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_t\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x\phi)^2 + U(\phi) \right] \quad (2.7)$$

Note that $E[\phi] = 0$ means ϕ must be identically equal to one of the values in set $\{\phi_i\}$. But to have a non-zero finite energy solution all we want is that scalar field must approach one of the vacua $\{\phi_i\}$ asymptotically. Therefore, we can say that $E[\phi] < \infty$ implies that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x) = \phi_i, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \phi = \phi_j \quad (2.8)$$

So basically, finite energy solutions interpolate between two vacua or the zeros of the potential.

Ultimately we want to find explicitly the solutions of field equation which is rather difficult in general but we can simplify our analysis by using Lorentz invariance. What we need to do is to first find static solutions and then boost it to find general time-dependent solutions.

2.2 Static solution

The field equation for static configurations become following

$$\partial_x^2\phi = \frac{\partial U}{\partial\phi} \quad (2.9)$$

which is an ordinary second order differential equation. Now multiply both side with $\partial_x\phi$ then we will get

$$\partial_x\phi\partial_x^2\phi = \partial_x\phi\frac{\partial U}{\partial\phi} \implies \partial_x\left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_x\phi)^2 - U(\phi)\right] = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

Therefore the quantity $W[\phi] = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x\phi)^2 - U(\phi)$ is independent of spatial position and we know that at vacuas $\{\phi_i\}$, $W[\phi] = 0$ which means we can say that static configurations must satisfy

$$\frac{1}{2}(\partial_x\phi)^2 - U(\phi) = 0 \implies \partial_x\phi = \pm\sqrt{2U(\phi)} \quad (2.11)$$

Using these the above equation and previous facts about $\{\phi_i\}$ one can easily show 2 properties of these solutions which we state here without explicit proof

- In one spatial dimension, we can only have solutions that go between neighbouring vacua which means solutions of eq.(2.11) can only connect ϕ_i and ϕ_{i+1} for any value of i .
- Any solution with same initial and final vacuum is a trivial solution.

Therefore, for potential $U(\phi)$ having n number of minimas $\{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ we can have possible transition which are the solutions

$$\phi_1 \rightarrow \phi_2, \dots, \phi_{n-1} \rightarrow \phi_n, \quad \phi_n \rightarrow \phi_{n-1}, \dots, \phi_2 \rightarrow \phi_1 \quad (2.12)$$

Thus in total we have $2(n - 1)$ possible solutions. The one goes in left order known as solitons and solutions in other directions are known as anti-solitons.

2.3 $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ kinks

To get an explicit solution we need to integrate out eq.(2.11) which will lead to following solutions for a general potential $U(\phi)$

$$x - x_0 = \pm \int_{\phi_0}^{\phi(x)} \frac{d\tilde{\phi}}{\sqrt{2U(\tilde{\phi})}} \quad (2.13)$$

We now consider kink solution which is a 1 + 1 dimensional solution with potential $U(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}(\phi^2 - \eta^2)^2$. The higher dimensional version of these are domain walls [10], [11], [12].

The vacua of this potential are at $\phi_0 = \pm\eta$. And if we plug this expression into eq.(2.13) we will find

$$\begin{aligned} x - x_0 &= \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}} \int_{\phi_0}^{\phi(x)} d\tilde{\phi} (\tilde{\phi}^2 - \eta^2)^{-1} \\ &= \pm \frac{1}{\eta} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda}} \tanh^{-1} \left(\frac{\phi(x)}{\eta} \right) \\ \implies \phi(x) &= \pm \eta \tanh(\sigma(x - x_0)), \quad \sigma = \eta \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

This is final expression for $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ kink soliton solution where + denote kink configuration and - denote anti-kink configuration. We will come to why do we call it $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ kink.

But before it we will show that the energy density of this configuration is localized in space. This determines the particle behaviour. The energy density of this configuration is

$$\mathcal{E}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x \phi)^2 + U(\phi) = \frac{\lambda \eta^4}{2} \operatorname{sech}^4(\sigma(x - x_0)) \quad (2.15)$$

And the total energy is

$$E = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \mathcal{E}(x) = \frac{4}{3} \sigma \eta^2 \quad (2.16)$$




Figure 1: plot of energy density for particular values of σ, η

The reason we call it $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ kink solutions because the potential $U(\phi)$ has \mathbb{Z}_2 solution or in other-words $U(\phi)$ is invariant under $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$ transformation. So, the vacua of this potential are related by \mathbb{Z}_2 action.

One may ask why the $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ kink cannot evolve into the trivial vacuum? For this to happen, the boundary condition at, say, $x = \infty$ would have to change in a continuous way from η to $-\eta$. However, a small deviation of the field at infinity from one of the two vacua costs an infinite amount of potential energy. This is because as ϕ is changed, the field in an infinite region of space lies at a non-zero value of the potential. Hence, there is an infinite energy barrier to changing the boundary condition. To get time dependent solution we just need to boost transformation

$$x \mapsto \frac{x - vt}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}} \quad (2.17)$$

then the solution becomes

$$\phi(x, t) = \eta \tanh(\sigma\gamma(x - x_0 - vt)) \quad (2.18)$$

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}$.

2.4 Multi-kink configurations

Since the kink solution is localize in space we can construct a field configuration with many such kink and anti-kink combination. However, we can arbitrarily combine them because of the constraint on $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ kink system is that kink must necessarily followed by an anti-kink since the asymptotic fields are restricted to vacuum $\phi_0 = \pm\eta$. Therefore, it is not possible to have 2 neighbouring $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ kinks in any multi-kink configuration.

Now we describe what is known as "product ansatz" which is multi-kink configuration but not an exact solution of eq.(2.11). This configuration can mathematically be written as product of alternative kink and anti-kink configurations in following way

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\eta^{N+N'-1}} \prod_{i=1}^N \phi_k(x - k_i) \prod_{j=1}^{N'} (-\phi_k(x - l_j)) \quad (2.19)$$




Figure 2: kink, anti-kink combination in additive ansatz

where N, N' are the number of kinks and anti-kinks, ϕ_k is the kink solution. And $\{k_i\}$ and $\{l_j\}$ are the positions of kinks and anti-kinks such that $\dots l_i < k_i < l_{i+1}$. Note also that $|N - N'| \leq 1$ because kinks and anti-kinks must be in alternative position. Product ansatz is generally a good approximation when as long as the positions of neighbouring kink and anti-kink are separated more than their widths. Therefore, in such case in the vicinity of $x = k_i$, only non-trivial factor is $\phi_k(x - k_i)$ and rest of the factors are either 1 or -1.

Note that the factor $\frac{1}{\eta^{N+N'-1}}$ is introduced to maintain the dimensionality of the field variable $\phi(x)$ and also for normalization.

Another way to write an approximate multi-kink solution is addition approach. If ϕ_i denotes the i^{th} kink(or anti-kink) in a sequence of N kinks and anti-kinks then we can write following ansatz [13]

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \phi_i(x) \pm (N_2 - 1)\eta, \quad N_2 = N(\text{mod}2) \quad (2.20)$$

where $+$ is taken when leftmost is kink and $-$ is taken when leftmost is anti-kink.

Although the above ansatz are not exact solutions of the field equations in static limit but still they give field configurations which are made out of several widely spaced kinks and anti-kinks in smooth way. If we evolve this multi-kink configuration under field equation the localized kinks and anti-kinks start moving due to forces exerted by other kinks and anti-kinks, which we will discuss in next section.

3 Characteristics of multi-kink configurations

3.1 Multi-kink configuration in "Additive ansatz"

We will follow [13] to calculate the amount of force through neighbouring kink and anti-kink interact. To calculate that for simplicity we consider following configuration where we consider one kink and one anti-kink configuration. Let's consider kink is located at a position $x = -a$ and anti-kink is centered at $x = a$ where the separation is $2a$ which is larger than their individual width $2R$. Now we want to estimate the force on the kink owing to anti-kink.

To do that we will consider energy-momentum tensor, given by

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - V(\phi)\right] \quad (3.1)$$

where $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ is the 1 + 1 dimensional Minkowski metric. The momentum of kink can be evaluated by integrating $T^{0i} = -T_{0i}$ from $-a - R$ to $-a + R$ in which kink is localized. Therefore, momentum of the kink is given by

$$\mathcal{P} = - \int_{-a-R}^{-a+R} dx \partial_t\phi\partial_x\phi \quad (3.2)$$

Now we will use Newton's 2nd law to evaluate force and in the expression of time derivative of above equation we will use field equation

$$\begin{aligned} F = \frac{d\mathcal{P}}{dt} &= - \int_{-a-R}^{-a+R} dx \left[\partial_t^2\phi\partial_x\phi + \partial_t\phi\partial_t\partial_x\phi \right] \\ &= - \int_{-a-R}^{-a+R} dx \left[\partial_x^2\phi\partial_x\phi - \partial_x\phi V'(\phi) + \partial_t\phi\partial_t\partial_x\phi \right] \\ &= - \int_{-a-R}^{-a+R} dx \partial_x \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_x\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t\phi)^2 - V(\phi) \right] \\ &= \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_x\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t\phi)^2 + V(\phi) \right]_{-a-R}^{-a+R} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

To proceed further we will use the additive ansatz which in this case is following

$$\phi(t=0, x) = \phi_k(x) + \bar{\phi}_k(x) - \phi_k(\infty) = \phi_k(x) + \bar{\phi}_k(x) - \eta \quad (3.4)$$

In addition, we assume initially kinks are at rest which means $\partial_t\phi|_{t=0} = 0$. And we will further use the fact that $(\partial_x\phi_k)^2 = 2V(\phi_k)$ which leads to following expression

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_x\phi)^2 + V(\phi) \right]_{-a-R}^{-a+R} \\ &= \left[-\partial_x\phi_k\partial_x\bar{\phi}_k + V(\phi_k + \bar{\phi}_k - \phi_k(\infty)) - V(\phi_k) - V(\bar{\phi}_k) \right]_{-a-R}^{-a+R} \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

We can safely ignore the derivative terms since we want to calculate the leading order term and $\partial_x\phi_k, \partial_x\bar{\phi}_k \rightarrow 0$ near $x = -a$. Now we define following quantities

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_k^\pm &= \phi_k(-a \pm R), \quad \bar{\phi}_k^\pm = \bar{\phi}_k(-a \pm R) \\ \Delta\phi_k^\pm &= \phi_k(-a \pm R) - \phi_k(\pm\infty) \\ \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^\pm &= \bar{\phi}_k(-a \pm R) - \bar{\phi}_k(\pm\infty) \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Now we also define $m_\psi^2 = V''(\phi_k(\infty)) = V''(\bar{\phi}_k(\infty))$. After doing some simple algebraic manipulation we can write

$$F = m_\psi^2(\Delta\phi_k^+\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^+ - \Delta\phi_k^-\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^-) \quad (3.7)$$




Figure 3: kink, anti-kink combination in product ansatz

Inserting the exact solutions $\phi_k(x) = \eta \tanh(\sigma(x + a))$ and $\bar{\phi}_k(x) = -\eta \tanh(\sigma(x - a))$, Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\phi_k^+ &= \eta \tanh \sigma R - \eta \\
\Delta\phi_k^- &= -\eta \tanh \sigma R + \eta \\
\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^+ &= \eta \tanh(\sigma(2a - R)) - \eta \\
\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^- &= \eta \tanh(\sigma(2a + R)) - \eta
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= \eta^2 m_\psi^2 (1 - \tanh \sigma R) [2 - \tanh(\sigma(2a - R)) - \tanh(\sigma(2a + R))] \\
&\approx 4\eta^2 m_\psi^2 e^{-4\sigma a} \frac{1 + e^{4\sigma R}}{1 + e^{-2\sigma R}}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

which in leading order can be written as $F \approx 4\eta^2 m_\psi^2 e^{-4\sigma a} = \frac{4m_\psi^4}{\lambda} e^{-2am_\psi}$. The force is attractive since it is acting on the kink at $x = -a$ and points toward the anti-kink at $x = a$. We will late discuss what does this expression physically mean?

3.2 Multi-kink configuration in "Product ansatz"

Note that in "product ansatz" all we need to is to put solution $\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\eta} \phi_k(x) \bar{\phi}_k(x)$ therefore, only thing we need to change is the eq.(3.6) as follows

$$F = \left[V \left(\frac{1}{\eta} \phi_k \bar{\phi}_k \right) - [V(\phi_k) + V(\bar{\phi}_k)] \right]_{-a-R}^{-a+R} \tag{3.10}$$

which is easy to derive through field equation and algebraic manipulation.

In this case configuration schematically looks like following Now again we define following

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_k^\pm &= \phi_k(-a \pm R), \quad \bar{\phi}_k^\pm = \bar{\phi}_k(-a \pm R) \\
\Delta\phi_k^\pm &= \phi_k(-a \pm R) - \phi_k(\pm\infty) \\
\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^\pm &= \bar{\phi}_k(-a \pm R) - \bar{\phi}_k(-\infty)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Then we can write eq.(3.11) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= \left[V \left(\frac{1}{\eta} \phi_k^+ \bar{\phi}_k^+ \right) - V \left(\frac{1}{\eta} \phi_k^- \bar{\phi}_k^- \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - [V(\phi_k^+) + V(\bar{\phi}_k^+) - V(\phi_k^-) - V(\bar{\phi}_k^-)] \right] \\
&= \left[V(\eta + \Delta\phi_k^+ + \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^+) - V(-\eta + \Delta\phi_k^- - \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^-) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - [V(\eta + \Delta\phi_k^+) + V(\eta + \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^+) - V(-\eta + \Delta\phi_k^-) - V(\eta + \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^-)] \right] \\
&= V''(\eta) \left[\Delta\phi_k^+ \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^+ + \Delta\phi_k^- \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^- \right] \\
&= m_\psi^2 (\Delta\phi_k^+ \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^+ + \Delta\phi_k^- \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^-)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Note that to go from 2nd line to 3rd line we have used Taylor series expansion upto leading order which is 2nd order. Again using the expressions for the solutions $\phi_k(x)$, $\bar{\phi}_k(x)$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= m_\psi^2 \eta^2 (\tanh \sigma R - 1) [\tanh(\sigma(2a - R)) - \tanh(\sigma(2a + R))] \\
&\approx 4m_\psi^2 \eta^2 e^{-4\sigma a} \frac{e^{-\sigma R}}{e^{\sigma R} + e^{-\sigma R}} (e^{2\sigma R} - e^{-2\sigma R}) \\
&= 4m_\psi^2 \eta^2 e^{-4\sigma a} e^{-\sigma R} (e^{\sigma R} - e^{-\sigma R}) \\
&= 4m_\psi^2 \eta^2 e^{-4\sigma a} (1 - e^{-2\sigma R})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Note that although in leading order the expression of force matches with the answer we got in previous subsection but higher order terms does not match. This is because both one kink and one anti-kink with product and additive ansatz are almost similar with small changes which leads to same leading order term but different higher order terms.

So, the magnitude of force in leading order in both cases is $F = \frac{4m_\psi^4}{\lambda} e^{-2am_\psi}$.

3.3 Physics behind expression of force

The expression for the force could have been guessed from other considerations. The kinks are interacting through exchanging of massive scalar particles of mass m_ψ . As described in many QFT books [14], [15], [16] the force mediated through scalar interactions is the Yukawa force which goes like $e^{-2m_\psi a}$.

This is because of the fact if we want to study excitations near asymptotic vacua we can write $\phi = \eta + \psi$. Substituting this form in the Lagrangian (2.5) with potential mentioned before we can write action in following form

$$S = \int d^2x \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \psi \partial^\mu \psi - \frac{1}{2} m_\psi^2 \psi^2 - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}} m_\psi \psi^3 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \psi^4 \right] \tag{3.14}$$

which exactly justifies our answer.

3.4 Multi-kink configuration in "product ansatz" for 2 kinks and 1 anti-kink

In this subsection we will talk about configuration of following form

$$\phi = \phi_k^{(1)} \bar{\phi}_k^{(2)} \phi_k^{(3)} \tag{3.15}$$




Figure 4: product ansatz for 2 kinks and 1 anti-kink

where 2 kinks are located at $x = -a, 3a$ and anti-kink is located at $x = a$. Following is the schematic diagram Note that here we have following functions

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_k^{(1)}(x) &= \eta \tanh(\sigma(x + a)) \\
\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)}(x) &= -\eta \tanh(\sigma(x - a)) \\
\phi_k^{(3)}(x) &= \eta \tanh(\sigma(x - 3a))
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

And we now define following quantities

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_k^{(1)\pm} &= \phi_k^{(1)}(a \pm R) \\
\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)\pm} &= \bar{\phi}_k^{(2)}(a \pm R) \\
\phi_k^{(3)\pm} &= \phi_k^{(3)}(a \pm R) \\
\Delta\phi_k^{(1)\pm} &= \phi_k^{(1)}(a \pm R) - \eta \\
\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)\pm} &= \bar{\phi}_k^{(2)}(a \pm R) \pm \eta \\
\Delta\phi_k^{(3)\pm} &= \phi_k^{(3)}(a \pm R) + \eta
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

In this subsection our aim is to measure the net force exerted on the anti-kink due to 2 kinks on opposite side. According to Newton's law of point particle picture this should come out to be zero but we need to check it rigorously. If this holds the particle characteristics of $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ kinks is proven.

Note that as earlier in this case, net force acting on anti-kink is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= \left[V \left(\frac{1}{\eta^2} \phi_k^{(1)} \bar{\phi}_k^{(2)} \phi_k^{(3)} \right) - V(\phi_k^{(1)}) - V(\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)}) - V(\phi_k^{(3)}) \right]_{a-R}^{a+R} \\
&= \left[V \left(\frac{1}{\eta^2} \phi_k^{(1)+} \bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+} \phi_k^{(3)+} \right) - V \left(\frac{1}{\eta^2} \phi_k^{(1)-} \bar{\phi}_k^{(2)-} \phi_k^{(3)-} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - V(\phi_k^{(1)+}) - V(\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+}) - V(\phi_k^{(3)+}) + V(\phi_k^{(1)-}) + V(\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)-}) + V(\phi_k^{(3)-}) \right] \quad (3.18) \\
&= \left[V(\eta + \Delta\phi_k^{(1)+} - \Delta\phi_k^{(2)+} - \Delta\phi_k^{(3)+}) - V(-\eta - \Delta\phi_k^{(1)-} - \Delta\phi_k^{(2)-} + \Delta\phi_k^{(3)-}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - V(\eta + \Delta\phi_k^{(1)+}) - V(-\eta + \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+}) - V(-\eta + \Delta\phi_k^{(3)+}) + V(\eta + \Delta\phi_k^{(1)-}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + V(\eta + \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)-}) + V(-\eta + \Delta\phi_k^{(3)-}) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

Now after doing Taylor series expansion upto 2nd order we will find

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= \frac{m_\psi^2}{2} \left[(\Delta\phi_k^{(1)+} - \Delta\phi_k^{(2)+} - \Delta\phi_k^{(3)+})^2 - (-\Delta\phi_k^{(1)-} - \Delta\phi_k^{(2)-} + \Delta\phi_k^{(3)-})^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (\Delta\phi_k^{(1)+})^2 - (\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+})^2 - (\Delta\phi_k^{(3)+})^2 + (\Delta\phi_k^{(1)-})^2 + (\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)-})^2 + (\Delta\phi_k^{(3)-})^2 \right] \quad (3.19) \\
&= m_\psi^2 \left[\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+} \Delta\phi_k^{(3)+} + \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)-} \Delta\phi_k^{(3)-} - \Delta\phi_k^{(1)+} \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+} - \Delta\phi_k^{(1)-} \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)-} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \Delta\phi_k^{(1)-} \Delta\phi_k^{(3)-} - \Delta\phi_k^{(1)+} \Delta\phi_k^{(3)+} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

After doing simple algebra one would find

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+} \Delta\phi_k^{(3)+} + \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)-} \Delta\phi_k^{(3)-} &= 4\eta^2 e^{-4\sigma a} (1 - e^{-2\sigma R}) \\
\Delta\phi_k^{(1)+} \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+} + \Delta\phi_k^{(1)-} \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)-} &= 4\eta^2 e^{-4\sigma a} (1 - e^{-2\sigma R})
\end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

which means in line before last line of eq.(3.22) 4 terms cancelled each other. Therefore, only thing left to check is following

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\phi_k^{(1)-} \Delta\phi_k^{(3)-} - \Delta\phi_k^{(1)+} \Delta\phi_k^{(3)+} &= (\eta \tanh(\sigma(2a - R))\eta)(\eta - \eta \tanh(\sigma(2a + R))) \\
&\quad - (\eta \tanh(\sigma(2a + R))\eta)(\eta - \eta \tanh(\sigma(2a - R))) \\
&= -\eta^2 (1 - \tanh(\sigma(2a - R)))(1 - \tanh(\sigma(2a + R))) \\
&\quad + \eta^2 (1 - \tanh(\sigma(2a + R)))(1 - \tanh(\sigma(2a - R))) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

Therefore, upto 2nd order terms in Taylor series we found $F_{\text{net}} = 0$ which shows particle characteristic. But to make sure F_{net} exactly equal to 0, we need to go beyond 2nd order in Taylor series expansion.

Now we do 3rd order where all we have to do is take the 3rd order term from the Taylor series expansion of eq.(3.21) with using the fact that $V'''(-\eta) = -V'''(\eta)$. Then we can

write at 3rd order net force to be following

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= \frac{V'''(\eta)}{3!} \left[(\Delta\phi_k^{(1)+} - \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+} - \Delta\phi_k^{(3)+})^3 + (\Delta\phi_k^{(3)-} - \Delta\phi_k^{(1)-} - \Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)-})^3 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (\Delta\phi_k^{(1)+})^3 + (\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+})^3 + (\Delta\phi_k^{(3)+})^3 + (\Delta\phi_k^{(1)-})^3 + (\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)-})^3 - (\Delta\phi_k^{(3)-})^3 \right] \\
&= \frac{V'''(\eta)}{3!} \left[-3(\Delta\phi_k^{(1)+})^2\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+} - 3(\Delta\phi_k^{(1)+})^2\Delta\phi_k^{(3)+} + 3\Delta\phi_k^{(1)+}(\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+})^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 3(\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+})^2\Delta\phi_k^{(3)+} + 3\Delta\phi_k^{(1)+}(\Delta\phi_k^{(3)+})^2 - 3\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+}(\Delta\phi_k^{(3)+})^2 + 6\Delta\phi_k^{(1)+}\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)+}\Delta\phi_k^{(3)+} \right] \\
&\quad + \left[-3(\Delta\phi_k^{(3)-})^2\Delta\phi_k^{(1)-} - 3(\Delta\phi_k^{(3)-})^2\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)-} + 3(\Delta\phi_k^{(1)-})^2\Delta\phi_k^{(3)-} - 3(\Delta\phi_k^{(1)-})^2\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)-} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 3\Delta\phi_k^{(3)-}(\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)-})^2 + 6\Delta\phi_k^{(1)-}\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)-}\Delta\phi_k^{(3)-} \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Now if we substitute following

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\phi_k^{(1)\pm} &= \eta(\tanh(\sigma(2a \pm R)) - 1) \\
\Delta\bar{\phi}_k^{(2)\pm} &= \pm\eta(1 - \tanh \sigma R) \\
\Delta\phi_k^{(3)\pm} &= \eta(1 - \tanh(\sigma(2a \mp R)))
\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

in eq.(3.25) we will find that this 3rd contribution also vanishes. Following similar approach one can show that even at 4th order there will be no contribution in force acting on anti-kink due to 2 kinks. Therefore, $F_{\text{net}} = 0$ truly which means kinks and anti-kinks both shows particle like behaviour.

3.5 General Multi-kink configuration

Note that according to earlier facts, if we form a multi-kink configuration initially then all the kinks and ant-kinks in the bulk don't feel forces from neighbouring anti-kinks or kinks. But the kinks or anti-kinks located at the boundary of this configuration feel attractive force towards bulk which ultimately leads to collision between neighbouring kink and anti-kink at boundary which deforms the configuration at the boundary locally. But such deformation propagates toward bulk and eventually destroy the whole configuration. Therefore, these multi-kink configurations which are static initially, form a dynamical instability and so they are unstable configurations.

4 Dynamical Kink and Correction to force expression

We now want to look at kinks that move. Given what we have done so far, this is trivial in a sense of considering static configurations. Our theory is Lorentz invariant, so we simply apply a Lorentz boost. This changes kink solution in following way

$$\phi_k(x) \rightarrow \phi_k(x, t) = \eta \tanh(\sigma\gamma(x - x_0 - vt)) \tag{4.1}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}$ and under small velocity approximation $v \ll 1$, we can write the above solution as

$$\phi_k(x, t) \approx \eta \tanh(\sigma(x - a(t))), \quad a(t) = x_0 + vt \quad (4.2)$$

Note that this solution satisfy

$$\partial_t \phi_k = -\dot{a}(t) \partial_x \phi_k \implies |\partial_t \phi_k| \ll 1 \quad (4.3)$$

Although, the configuration (4.2) is not an exact solution of field equation but we can think of it as moving kink with small velocity $\dot{a}(t)$.

Now we go back to situation in subsection (3.2) with product ansatz but with dynamical kinks and want to measure force exerted on kink at a given time. We follow similar approach but only modification comes as follows

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \left[\frac{1}{2}(\dot{a}^2 + 1)(\partial_x \phi)^2 + V(\phi) \right]_{-a-R}^{-a+R} \\ &= \left[V \left(\frac{1}{\eta} \phi_k \bar{\phi}_k \right) - [V(\phi_k) + V(\bar{\phi}_k)](\dot{a}^2 + 1) \right]_{-a-R}^{-a+R} \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Now here we want to point out the fact that we are able to carry out integral over T_{0i} to evaluate momentum because we do it at a given instant of time.

After some simple algebra one can show that only new thing that we need to calculate and which contribute as a correction in force is following

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\text{correction}} &= V''(\eta) \left[\frac{\dot{a}^2}{2} [(\Delta \phi_k^-)^2 + (\Delta \bar{\phi}_k^-)^2 - (\Delta \phi_k^+)^2 - (\Delta \bar{\phi}_k^+)^2] \right] \\ &= \frac{m_\psi^2 \dot{a}^2 \eta^2}{2} \left[(1 - \tanh \sigma R)^2 + (1 - \tanh(\sigma(2a + R)))^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (1 - \tanh \sigma R)^2 - (1 - \tanh(\sigma(2a - R)))^2 \right] \\ &= \frac{m_\psi^2 \dot{a}^2 \eta^2}{2} \left[(1 - \tanh(\sigma(2a + R)))^2 - (1 - \tanh(\sigma(2a - R)))^2 \right] \\ &\approx m_\psi^2 \dot{a}^2 \eta^2 [\tanh(\sigma(2a - R)) - \tanh(\sigma(2a + R))] \\ &\approx -2m_\psi^2 \dot{a}^2 \eta^2 e^{-4\sigma a} (e^{2\sigma R} - e^{-2\sigma R}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Note that correction factor is small because $\dot{a}^2 \ll 1$ compared to the leading order expression for force.

5 Algebra of $\mathbb{Z}(2)$ kinks and anti-kinks

Let's denote kink and anti-kink solutions by ϕ_k and $\bar{\phi}_k$ as earlier but this time we will use an extra level to denote the location of kinks and anti-kinks. So, we denote by $\phi_k^{(a)}$ a kink located at $x = a$ position and $\bar{\phi}_k^{(-a)}$ an anti-kink located at $x = -a$ position. so if we consider distance between successive kink and anti-kink is $2a$. Then we can write down following things (assuming left most is kink and located at $x = -a$) for 2 and 3 localized

states

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\eta}\phi_k^{(-a)}\bar{\phi}_k^{(a)} &\equiv \phi_k^{(-a)} + \bar{\phi}_k^{(a)} \\
\frac{1}{\eta}\phi_k^{(-a)}\bar{\phi}_k^{(a)} + \phi_k^{(3a)} + \eta &\equiv -\frac{1}{\eta^2}\phi_k^{(-a)}\bar{\phi}_k^{(a)}\phi_k^{(3a)} \equiv \phi_k^{(-a)} - \frac{1}{\eta}\bar{\phi}_k^{(a)}\phi_k^{(3a)} - \eta \\
&\equiv \phi_k^{(-a)} + \bar{\phi}_k^{(a)} + \phi_k^{(3a)}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Note that for 1 kink and 1 anti-kink algebra is trivial because there are only 2 way we can combine them mathematically according to ansatzs we have mentioned earlier.

But for 2 kinks and 1 anti-kink we can see there are 4 equivalent ways to combine using basic algorithms(using product and additive ansatz). But note that apart from 2nd and 4th ansatz which is trivial to write, 1st and 3rd way of writing is little non-trivial. And important thing to notice is that changing relative sign between ways of first gluing kink anti-kink through product then glu right kink through addition and first anti-kink kink through product then glu leftmost kink with them through addition.

In more appropriate way is that gluing a left kink with right anti-kink and gluing left anti-kink with right kink is differ upto by a negative sign, therefore gluing operation is also not commutative through both addition and product operation.

But both the operations are associative.

If we denote kinks and anti-kinks by their topological charge +1 and -1 respectively(there is 0 topological charge which denote a configuration whose asymptotic vacua are same) then product operation \otimes (upto overall multiplicative factor) of gluing is defined in following way

$$\begin{aligned}
(+1) \otimes (-1) &= -((-1) \otimes (+1)) \\
((+1) \otimes (-1)) \otimes (+1) &= (+1) \otimes ((-1) \otimes (+1))
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

And if we denote addition operation of gluing by \oplus (upto addition and subtraction by real number) then

$$\begin{aligned}
(+1) \oplus (-1) &= -((-1) \oplus (+1)) \\
((+1) \oplus (-1)) \oplus (+1) &= (+1) \oplus ((-1) \oplus (+1))
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

And according to earlier discussion

$$\begin{aligned}
((+1) \otimes (-1)) \oplus (+1) &= (+1) \oplus -((-1) \otimes (+1)) \\
(+1) \oplus (-1) &= (+1) \otimes (-1) \\
(-1) \oplus (+1) &= -((-1) \otimes (+1))
\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

(Note that rules in eq.(5.4) proves associativity of addition).

Similarly we have other relations in this algebra which are as fundamental as ones are in above

$$\begin{aligned}
-((+1) \oplus (-1)) \otimes (+1) &= (+1) \otimes ((-1) \oplus (+1)) \\
((-1) \oplus (+1)) \otimes (-1) &= -(-1) \otimes ((+1) \oplus (-1)) \\
-((-1) \otimes (+1)) \oplus (-1) &= (-1) \oplus ((+1) \otimes (-1))
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Apart from +1, -1 other \pm sign denotes actual signs used in addition algebra are used to glu the kink and anti-kink configurations with appropriate sign.

As we increase the number of kinks and anti-kinks such equivalent expressions are increasing drastically, for example for 2 kinks and 2 anti-kinks followings are few equivalent way of writing a configuration whose left most part is kink

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\eta^3} \phi_k^{(-a)} \bar{\phi}_k^{(a)} \phi_k^{(3a)} \bar{\phi}_k^{(5a)} &\equiv \phi_k^{(-a)} + \bar{\phi}_k^{(a)} + \phi_k^{(3a)} + \bar{\phi}_k^{(5a)} - \eta \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{\eta^2} \phi_k^{(-a)} \bar{\phi}_k^{(a)} \phi_k^{(3a)} + \bar{\phi}_k^{(5a)} - \eta \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{1}{\eta} \phi_k^{(-a)} \bar{\phi}_k^{(a)} + \phi_k^{(3a)} + \eta \right) \bar{\phi}_k^{(5a)} \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{\eta} \phi_k^{(-a)} \bar{\phi}_k^{(a)} + \phi_k^{(3a)} + \eta + \bar{\phi}_k^{(5a)} - \eta \\
&\equiv \phi_k^{(-a)} - \frac{1}{\eta} \bar{\phi}_k^{(a)} \phi_k^{(3a)} + \bar{\phi}_k^{(5a)} - 2\eta \\
&\equiv \vdots
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

Above equivalent configurations can be obtained using our algebra which is as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(+1) \otimes (-1) \otimes (+1) \otimes (-1) &\equiv ((+1) \oplus (-1)) \oplus ((+1) \oplus (-1)) \\
&\equiv (((+1) \oplus (-1)) \oplus (+1)) \oplus (-1) \\
&\equiv (((+1) \otimes (-1)) \otimes (+1)) \oplus (-1) \\
&\equiv (((+1) \otimes (-1)) \oplus (+1)) \otimes (-1) \\
&\equiv ((+1) \otimes (-1)) \oplus (+1) \oplus (-1) \\
&\equiv (+1) \oplus (-((-1) \otimes (+1))) \oplus (-1) \\
&\equiv \vdots
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Note that except 2nd line in above rest of line give respective configurations as we have mentioned for 2 kinks and 2 anti-kink before above equation.

Before jump into conclusion part of this article, we want to clarify a doubt which is following.

Note that we have written the operation $(+1) \oplus (-1) = (+1) \otimes (-1)$ but if one goes through following operations it seems that he/she would find inconsistent answer

$$(+1) \oplus (-1) \rightarrow -((-1) \oplus (+1)) \rightarrow (-1) \otimes (+1) = -((+1) \otimes (-1)) \tag{5.8}$$

but this is actually wrong. So now the question is what went wrong? The problem lies in the fact that in the fundamental did not change the order of kink and anti-kink in more than once since in this case we swap the position of them twice there is an extra - sign arises. But swapping is only possible when we do it for every kink, anti-kink pair and in this case operation contains 1 kinks and 1 anti-kinks. But we consider total odd number of kinks, anti-kinks then such swapping is not doable. We can think of it as non-commutativity in operational paths.

6 Conclusion

Multi-kink configurations are found in many models like in [17] and their collisions studied in [18]. They can be used for transport process mentioned in [19], [20] because of their approximate time dependent solution with long stability. Seeking explicit solutions for nonlinear evolution equations, by using different numerous methods, plays a major role in mathematical physics and becomes one of the most active areas of research investigation for mathematicians and physicists. In order to understand the physical mechanism of phenomena in nature, described by nonlinear PDEs, exact solutions of the nonlinear PDEs (partial differential equations) must be explored but often it is difficult to find an exact solution of such PDEs.

In this article we follow slightly different approach and show how to construct approximate solutions by gluing basic building blocks which are kinks and anti-kinks. And then study their properties in terms of stabilities and shown indeed particle like properties of individual kinks and anti-kinks. By doing that we have shown that at fundamental level gluing kinks and anti-kinks in two different ways are equivalent. So we are basically looking at the collective behaviour of many individual kinks and anti-kinks which give rise to a multi-kink configuration although it is not long lasting in terms of stability issue. Then we went ahead and defined an algebra to give us the equivalent ways of constructing such multi-kink configurations.

7 Acknowledgement

Author would like to thank CSIR to support this work through JRF fellowship.

References

- [1] D. J. Frantzeskakis. Weak topological optical solitons in the femtosecond time scale. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B*, 14(9):2359–2364, Sep 1997.
- [2] George Serman. Perturbative quantum field theory. *International Journal of Modern Physics A*, 16(18):3041–3065, 2001.
- [3] V. P. Nair. *Quantum field theory: A modern perspective*. 2005.
- [4] R. Rajaraman. *SOLITONS AND INSTANTONS. AN INTRODUCTION TO SOLITONS AND INSTANTONS IN QUANTUM FIELD THEORY*. 1982.
- [5] JC Kimball. Kinks, solitons, and nonlinear transport in solids. *Physical Review B*, 21(6):2104, 1980.
- [6] M Remoissenet. Cooperative diffusion in one dimensional ionic conductors and soliton brownian motion. *Solid State Communications*, 27(7):681–684, 1978.
- [7] C Aslangul and D Saint-James. Non-linear spin-density excitations in 1-d organic polymers. *Journal de Physique*, 44(8):953–955, 1983.
- [8] Ioannis Kourakis and Padma Kant Shukla. Nonlinear excitations in strongly-coupled plasma lattices: envelope solitons, kinks and intrinsic localized modes. *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 16(06):1711–1725, 2006.

- [9] Claudio Chamon. Solitons in carbon nanotubes. *Physical Review B*, 62(4):2806, 2000.
- [10] AK Zvezdin. Dynamics of domain walls in weak ferromagnets. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.01502*, 2017.
- [11] Gordon W Semenoff, V Semenoff, and Fei Zhou. Domain walls in gapped graphene. *Physical review letters*, 101(8):087204, 2008.
- [12] Tomasz Krajewski, Zygmunt Lalak, Marek Lewicki, and Paweł Olszewski. Domain walls in the extensions of the standard model. *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics*, 2018(05):007, 2018.
- [13] T. Vachaspati. *Kinks and Domain Walls: An Introduction to Classical and Quantum Solitons*. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [14] Matthew D. Schwartz. *Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model*. Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [15] A. Zee. *Quantum field theory in a nutshell*. 2003.
- [16] Michael E. Peskin and Daniel V. Schroeder. *An Introduction to quantum field theory*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, USA, 1995.
- [17] G. P. de Brito, R. A. C. Correa, and A. de Souza Dutra. Analytical multikinks in smooth potentials. *Phys. Rev.*, D89(6):065039, 2014.
- [18] Aliakbar Moradi Marjaneh, Vakhid A. Gani, Danial Saadatmand, Sergey V. Dmitriev, and Kurosh Javidan. Multi-kink collisions in the ϕ^6 model. *JHEP*, 07:028, 2017.
- [19] Vsevolod Vladimirov, Ekaterina Kutafina, and Pudelko Anna. Constructing soliton and kink solutions of pde models in transport and biology. *Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, 2, 06 2006.
- [20] J. Brox, P. Kiefer, M. Bujak, T. Schaetz, and H. Landa. Spectroscopy and directed transport of topological solitons in crystals of trapped ions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 119:153602, Oct 2017.