

AN ANALOGUE OF THE ERDŐS–KAC THEOREM FOR THE SPECIAL LINEAR GROUP OVER THE INTEGERS

DANIEL EL-BAZ

ABSTRACT. We investigate the number of prime factors of individual entries for matrices in the special linear group over the integers. We show that, when properly normalised, it satisfies a central limit theorem of Erdős–Kac-type. To do so, we employ a sieve-theoretic set-up due to Granville and Soundararajan. We also make use of an estimate coming from homogeneous dynamics due to Gorodnik and Nevo.

1. INTRODUCTION

The celebrated Erdős–Kac theorem is a central limit theorem for the number of (distinct) prime factors ω of a “random” integer, in the following sense: for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \# \left\{ 1 \leq m \leq n : \frac{\omega(m) - \log \log n}{\sqrt{\log \log n}} \leq x \right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt.$$

There is an abundant number of results of this type for the number of prime factors of various sequences of integers: shifted primes [8], values of integer polynomials [9] and friable numbers [10, 1, 11] to cite but a few examples.

In this note, we study the number of prime factors of the entries in integer matrices of unit determinant. More precisely, we define, for $n \geq 2$ and $T > 0$,

$$(1) \quad V_T(\mathbb{Z}) = \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : \|g\| \leq T\},$$

where for $g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$, $\|g\| = \sqrt{\mathrm{Tr}(g^t g)}$ is the Frobenius norm.

For an integer $n \geq 1$, we let $\omega(n)$ be the number of distinct prime factors of n . We extend ω to \mathbb{Z} by defining $\omega(0) = 0$ and for $n \geq 1$, $\omega(-n) = \omega(n)$. Our main theorem can now be stated.

Theorem 1.1. *For every $n \geq 2$, every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and for each pair $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$, we have*

$$(2) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\#V_T(\mathbb{Z})} \# \left\{ g \in V_T(\mathbb{Z}) : \frac{\omega(g_{i,j}) - \log \log T}{\sqrt{\log \log T}} \leq x \right\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} dt.$$

Our proof uses the sieve-theoretic framework unravelled by Granville and Soundararajan in 2006 [7], which we recall in subsection 3.1 so as to be self-contained. To obtain the necessary estimates to feed into the sieve, we apply a deep result of Gorodnik and Nevo from 2010 [6].

We note that since this effective congruence counting is the key input, one can also apply such arguments to thin linear groups, thanks to the work of Bourgain, Gamburd and Sarnak [3]. Indeed, this was done in the case of Apollonian circle packings with integral curvatures by Djanković in [4].

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank Efthymios Sofos for asking the question answered in this paper. I am grateful to Zeev Rudnick for several helpful conversations and encouraging me to write up this short note. I am also grateful to Carlo Pagano for the comments he made on a previous version of this draft that led to an improved presentation. This research was supported by the European Research Council under

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11N36; Secondary 22F30.

Key words and phrases. sieve theory, probabilistic number theory, effective congruence counting.

the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) / ERC grant agreement n° 320755.

2. COUNTING WITH CONGRUENCES

We need the following special case of [6, Corollary 5.2].

For $n \geq 2$ and a positive integer Q , define the following — principal congruence — subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$:

$$(3) \quad \Gamma(Q) = \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : g \equiv I_n \pmod{Q}\}.$$

Claim 2.1 (Gorodnik–Nevo). *For every $n \geq 2$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $M \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and every integer $Q \geq 1$,*

$$(4) \quad \#\{A \in \Gamma(Q)M : \|A\| \leq T\} = \frac{\#V_T(\mathbb{Z})}{[\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(Q)]} + O(\#V_T(\mathbb{Z})^{1-\delta}),$$

where the implied constant is independent of Q .

In fact, we shall require the following consequence.

Corollary 2.1. *For every $n \geq 2$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every square-free integer q and every $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$,*

$$(5) \quad \#\{g \in V_T(\mathbb{Z}) : q \mid g_{i,j}\} = \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{P} \\ p \nmid q}} \frac{p^{n-1} - 1}{p^n - 1} \#V_T(\mathbb{Z}) + O\left(q^{n^2-2} \#V_T(\mathbb{Z})^{1-\delta}\right)$$

Proof. Fix $n \geq 2$ and $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$.

The group $\Gamma(q)$ acts by left multiplication on the set $\{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : q \mid g_{i,j}\}$, which we may therefore view as a disjoint union of finitely (a priori, at most q^{n^2-1}) $\Gamma(q)$ -orbits. We restrict the orbits to elements having norm at most T and note that each orbit has the same number of points, given by the formula in Claim 2.1:

$$(6) \quad \frac{\#V_T(\mathbb{Z})}{[\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(q)]} + O(\#V_T(\mathbb{Z})^{1-\delta}).$$

First, $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z})/\Gamma(q) \cong \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$ — a manifestation of “strong approximation” — so that

$$(7) \quad [\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(q)] = \#\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z})$$

$$(8) \quad = \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{P} \\ p \nmid q}} \#\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$$

$$(9) \quad = \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{P} \\ p \nmid q}} \frac{(p^n - 1)(p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \cdots (p^n - p^{n-1})}{p - 1}$$

where the second equality follows from the Chinese remainder theorem.

Next, the number of orbits is precisely

$$(10) \quad \#\{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) : g_{i,j} = 0\}.$$

By the Chinese remainder theorem we simply need to compute, for p prime,

$$(11) \quad \#\{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p) : g_{i,j} = 0\}.$$

We estimate the number of such matrices in $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and then divide by $\#\mathbb{F}_p^\times = p - 1$ to get the desired count. We have $p^{n-1} - 1$ choices for the j th column, then $p^n - p$ choices for another column to be linearly independent from that column, $p^n - p^2$ choices for a third column to be linearly independent from the span of those two columns, etc.

Therefore

$$(12) \quad \#\{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{F}_p) : g_{i,j} = 0\} = \frac{(p^{n-1} - 1)(p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \cdots (p^n - p^{n-1})}{p - 1}$$

and

$$(13) \quad \#\{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) : g_{i,j} = 0\} = \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{P} \\ p|q}} \frac{(p^{n-1} - 1)(p^n - p)(p^n - p^2) \cdots (p^n - p^{n-1})}{p - 1}.$$

It thus follows from (9) and (13) that

(14)

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \#\{g \in V_T(\mathbb{Z}) : q \mid g_{i,j}\} &= \frac{\#\{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}/q\mathbb{Z}) : g_{i,j} = 0\}}{[\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma(q)]} \#V_T(\mathbb{Z}) + O\left(\prod_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{P} \\ p|q}} p^{n^2-2} \#V_T(\mathbb{Z})^{1-\delta}\right) \\ &= \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{P} \\ p|q}} \frac{p^{n-1} - 1}{p^n - 1} \#V_T(\mathbb{Z}) + O\left(q^{n^2-2} \#V_T(\mathbb{Z})^{1-\delta}\right), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. \square

3. SIEVING

3.1. The Granville–Soundararajan framework. For a multiset $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_x\}$ and a positive integer d , define

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{A}_d = \#\{n \leq x : d \mid a_n\}.$$

Suppose that, for square-free d , \mathcal{A}_d can be written in the form $\frac{h(d)}{d}x + r_d$ for some non-negative multiplicative function h with $0 \leq h(d) \leq d$ for all square-free d . For a set of primes \mathcal{P} and $a \in \mathcal{A}$, define $\omega_{\mathcal{P}}(a) = \#\{p \in \mathcal{P} : p \mid a\}$. Define $\mu_{\mathcal{P}} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{h(p)}{p}$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}^2 = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{h(p)}{p} \left(1 - \frac{h(p)}{p}\right)$. Define $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathcal{P})$ to be the set of square-free integers which are the products of at most k elements of \mathcal{P} . Finally, define $C_k = \frac{\Gamma(k+1)}{2^{k/2} \Gamma(\frac{k}{2} + 1)}$.

The following is [7, Proposition 3].

Claim 3.1 (Granville–Soundararajan). *Uniformly for all natural numbers $k \leq \sigma_{\mathcal{P}}^{2/3}$, we have*

$$(17) \quad \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} (\omega_{\mathcal{P}}(a) - \mu_{\mathcal{P}})^k = C_k x \sigma_{\mathcal{P}}^k \left(1 + O\left(\frac{k^3}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}^2}\right)\right) + O\left(\mu_{\mathcal{P}}^k \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_k(\mathcal{P})} |r_d|\right)$$

if k is even and

$$(18) \quad \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} (\omega_{\mathcal{P}}(a) - \mu_{\mathcal{P}})^k \ll C_k x \sigma_{\mathcal{P}}^k \frac{k^{3/2}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}} + \mu_{\mathcal{P}}^k \sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_k(\mathcal{P})} |r_d|$$

if k is odd.

3.2. Proof of the main theorem. In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1.

Fix $n \geq 2$ and $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$.

Adopting the notation in [7], we define

$$(19) \quad \mathcal{A} = \{g_{i,j} : g \in V_T(\mathbb{Z})\},$$

a multiset of size $x := \#V_T(\mathbb{Z})$.

Note that

$$(20) \quad x \sim c_n T^{n^2-n}$$

by a special case of equation (1.12) in [5, Example 1.6], with the explicit formula for c_n

$$\text{given by } c_n = \frac{\pi^{n^2/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n^2-n+2}{2}\right) \zeta(2) \cdots \zeta(n)}.$$

For our application, we take

$$(21) \quad \mathcal{P} = \{\text{all primes } \leq T^{\varepsilon(T)}\}$$

where ε is a real function which tends to 0 at infinity that we shall choose later. More precisely, we shall choose $\varepsilon(T)$ to be of the form

$$\varepsilon(T) := \frac{1}{(\log \log T)^\psi},$$

where ψ is a fixed positive constant to be chosen later.

Finally, we define the multiplicative function $h: \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by

$$(22) \quad h(q) = \mu^2(q)q \prod_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{P} \\ p|q}} \frac{p^{n-1} - 1}{p^n - 1}$$

In particular, for p prime,

$$(23) \quad \frac{h(p)}{p} = \frac{p^{n-1} - 1}{p^n - 1} = \frac{1}{p} + O\left(\frac{1}{p^n}\right).$$

Therefore, using Mertens' theorem we have

$$(24) \quad \mu_{\mathcal{P}} = \log \log T + O(\log \log \log T)$$

and likewise

$$(25) \quad \sigma_{\mathcal{P}}^2 = \log \log T + O(\log \log \log T).$$

Now Corollary 2.1 can be restated as follows: there exists a positive δ such that for every square-free positive integer q ,

$$(26) \quad \mathcal{A}_q = \frac{h(q)}{q}x + r_q$$

where

$$(27) \quad r_q = O(q^{n^2-2}x^{1-\delta}).$$

To help simplify the presentation, we introduce some probabilistic language.

For each $T > 0$, we equip the finite set $V_T(\mathbb{Z})$ with the uniform probability measure. For the given pair $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$, we define the random variable $\omega_{i,j}$ on $V_T(\mathbb{Z})$ by $\omega_{i,j}: g \mapsto \omega(g_{i,j})$. We can thus restate Theorem 1.1 as the convergence in distribution, as $T \rightarrow +\infty$, of the random variables $\frac{\omega_{i,j}(g) - \log \log T}{\sqrt{\log \log T}}$ to the standard Gaussian $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

The estimates for the moments in Claim 3.1 apply to $\omega_{\mathcal{P}}$ which is a truncated version of the random variable we are interested in; still, choosing ε carefully allows us to extract all the information we need, as we make clear in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *As $T \rightarrow +\infty$, the random variables $\frac{\omega_{i,j} - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}}$ converge in distribution to $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ if and only if the random variables $\frac{\omega_{\mathcal{P}} - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}}$ do.*

Proof. We have

$$c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, z > 1 \Rightarrow \#\{p \mid c : p > z\} \leq \frac{\log |c|}{\log z},$$

where the primes are counted with multiplicity. Therefore if $0 < |c| \leq T$ and choosing $z = T^{\varepsilon(T)}$, then

$$\omega(c) - \omega_{\mathcal{P}}(c) \leq \frac{\log T}{\log T^{\varepsilon(T)}} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon(T)}.$$

Observe that if we can choose the function ε such that

$$(28) \quad \frac{1}{\varepsilon(T)} = o(\sqrt{\log \log T}),$$

then by the first sentence of [2, Remark 1], we are done. We can simply pick any $\psi \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ to have our truncation function ε tend to 0 at infinity and satisfy (28), which completes the proof. \square

Since we are interested in proving a central limit theorem, we shall not worry about the uniformity in k — it is, in principle, possible to keep track of that and obtain good estimates for all moments.

In particular, Claim 3.1 reads, as T (or equivalently x) goes to infinity: for every even k ,

$$(29) \quad \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\frac{\omega_{\mathcal{P}}(a) - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}} \right)^k = C_k x \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}^2}\right) \right) + O\left(\frac{\mu_{\mathcal{P}}^k}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}^k} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{D}_k(\mathcal{P})} |r_q|\right)$$

and for every odd k ,

$$(30) \quad \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\frac{\omega_{\mathcal{P}}(a) - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}} \right)^k \ll \frac{x}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}} + \frac{\mu_{\mathcal{P}}^k}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}^k} \sum_{q \in \mathcal{D}_k(\mathcal{P})} |r_q|.$$

To conclude using that result, we first need to show that the error term

$$\mathcal{R}_k(T) := \sum_{q \in \mathcal{D}_k(\mathcal{P})} |r_q|$$

is sufficiently small. To do so, we first observe that every q in $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathcal{P})$ satisfies

$$q \leq (\max\{p : p \in \mathcal{P}\})^k \leq T^{k\varepsilon(T)}.$$

Using this with (27) we obtain

$$\mathcal{R}_k(T) \ll x^{1-\delta} \sum_{q \leq T^{k\varepsilon(T)}} q^{n^2-2} \leq T^{(n^2-1)k\varepsilon(T)} x^{1-\delta} \ll x^{1-\delta + \frac{n^2-1}{n^2-n} k\varepsilon(\gamma_n x^{1/(n^2-n)})},$$

where in the last inequality we recalled (20) and let $\gamma_n = \left(\frac{1}{c_n}\right)^{\frac{1}{n^2-n}}$.

Since $\varepsilon(x) = o(1)$ we obtain that

$$\mathcal{R}_k(T) \ll x^{1-\frac{\delta}{2}}.$$

Injecting the above estimate into (29) and (30) respectively, we obtain that we have — recalling the main terms of (24) and (25) and noting that as $T \rightarrow +\infty$, $\log \log T \sim \log \log x$ due to (20) — for every even k ,

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\frac{\omega_{\mathcal{P}}(a) - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}} \right)^k = C_k \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log x}\right) \right) + O\left((\log \log x)^{k/2} x^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}\right)$$

and for every odd k ,

$$\frac{1}{x} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\frac{\omega_{\mathcal{P}}(a) - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}} \right)^k \ll \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log \log x}} + (\log \log x)^{k/2} x^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}$$

where the implied constants depend on k and we recall that C_k is the k th moment of the standard normal distribution. Equivalently, as $T \rightarrow +\infty$, we have that for every even k ,

$$(31) \quad \frac{1}{\#V_T(\mathbb{Z})} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\frac{\omega_{\mathcal{P}}(a) - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}} \right)^k \rightarrow C_k$$

and for every odd k ,

$$(32) \quad \frac{1}{\#V_T(\mathbb{Z})} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(\frac{\omega_{\mathcal{P}}(a) - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}} \right)^k \rightarrow 0,$$

which shows (since the normal distribution is characterised by its moments) that as $T \rightarrow +\infty$, the random variables $\frac{\omega_{\mathcal{P}} - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}}$ converge in distribution to $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

Thanks to Lemma 3.1, this means that the random variables $\frac{\omega_{i,j} - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}}$ converge in distribution to $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. This is almost what we want, with the following lemma making the final connection and concluding the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.2. *As $T \rightarrow +\infty$, the random variables $\frac{\omega_{i,j} - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}}$ converge in distribution to $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ if and only if the random variables $\frac{\omega_{i,j} - \log \log T}{\sqrt{\log \log T}}$ do.*

Proof. We write

$$(33) \quad \frac{\omega_{i,j} - \log \log T}{\sqrt{\log \log T}} = \frac{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}}{\sqrt{\log \log T}} \left(\frac{\omega_{i,j} - \mu_{\mathcal{P}}}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}} + \frac{\mu_{\mathcal{P}} - \log \log T}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}} \right)$$

and note that, as $T \rightarrow +\infty$, $\frac{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}}{\sqrt{\log \log T}} \rightarrow 1$, while $\frac{\mu_{\mathcal{P}} - \log \log T}{\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}} \rightarrow 0$, thanks to (25) and (24). The claim now follows from the third sentence in [2, Remark 1]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Alladi. An Erdős–Kac theorem for integers without large prime factors. *Acta Arith.*, 49(1):81–105, 1987.
- [2] P. Billingsley. On the central limit theorem for the prime divisor functions. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 76:132–139, 1969.
- [3] J. Bourgain, A. Gamburd, and P. Sarnak. Affine linear sieve, expanders, and sum-product. *Invent. Math.*, 179(3):559–644, 2010.
- [4] G. Djanković. The Erdős–Kac theorem for curvatures in integral Apollonian circle packings. *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)*, 89(103):11–17, 2011.
- [5] W. Duke, Z. Rudnick, and P. Sarnak. Density of integer points on affine homogeneous varieties. *Duke Math. J.*, 71(1):143–179, 1993.
- [6] A. Gorodnik and A. Nevo. Quantitative ergodic theorems and their number-theoretic applications. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 52(1):65–113, 2015.
- [7] A. Granville and K. Soundararajan. Sieving and the Erdős–Kac theorem. In *Equidistribution in number theory, an introduction*, volume 237 of *NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem.*, pages 15–27. Springer, Dordrecht, 2007.
- [8] H. Halberstam. On the distribution of additive number-theoretic functions. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-30(1):43–53, 1955.
- [9] H. Halberstam. On the distribution of additive number-theoretic functions (ii). *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s1-31(1):1–14, 1956.
- [10] D. Hensley. The number of positive integers $\leq x$ and free of prime factors $> y$. *Journal of Number Theory*, 21(3):286 – 298, 1985.
- [11] A. Hildebrand. On the number of prime factors of integers without large prime divisors. *Journal of Number Theory*, 25(1):81 – 106, 1987.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, TEL AVIV, ISRAEL

MAX PLANCK INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, VIVATSGASSE 7, 53111 BONN, GERMANY
E-mail address: daniel1elbaz88@gmail.com