

## LIMIT POINTS FOR BROWDER SPECTRUM OF OPERATOR MATRICES

A. TAJMOUATI, M. KARMOUNI AND S. ALAOUÏ CHRIFI

ABSTRACT. Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces, and let  $M_C$  be an operator acting on  $X \oplus Y$  given by  $M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$ . We investigate the limit point set of the Browder spectrum of  $M_C$ . It is shown that

$$\text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C) \cup W_{\text{acc}\sigma_b} = \text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(B)$$

where  $W_{\text{acc}\sigma_b}$  is a subsets of  $\text{acc}\sigma_*(B) \cap \text{acc}\sigma_*(A)$  and a union of certain holes in  $\text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C)$ . Furthermore, several sufficient conditions for  $\text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C) = \text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(B)$  holds for every  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  are given.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper,  $X$  and  $Y$  denote infinite dimensional complex Banach spaces, and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  denotes the complex algebra of all bounded linear operators from  $X$  to  $Y$ . When  $Y = X$  we simply write  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  instead of  $\mathcal{B}(X, X)$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  we use  $R(T)$  and  $N(T)$  to denote the range and the null space of  $T$ , respectively. Write  $\alpha(T) = \dim N(T)$  and  $\beta(T) = \text{codim } R(T)$ . Sets of upper semi-Fredholm operators, lower semi-Fredholm operators, left semi-Fredholm operators and right semi-Fredholm operators are defined respectively as  $\Phi_+(X) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : \alpha(T) < \infty \text{ and } R(T) \text{ is closed}\}$ ,  $\Phi_-(X) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : \beta(T) < \infty\}$ ,  $\Phi_l(X) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : \alpha(T) < \infty \text{ and } R(T) \text{ is closed and complemented subspace of } X\}$  and  $\Phi_r(X) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : \beta(T) < \infty \text{ and } N(T) \text{ is a complemented subspace of } X\}$ . Sets of semi-Fredholm and Fredholm operators are defined as  $\Phi_{\pm}(X) = \Phi_+(X) \cup \Phi_-(X)$  and  $\Phi(X) = \Phi_+(X) \cap \Phi_-(X)$ .

The ascent of  $T$  is defined as  $\text{asc}(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : N(T^n) = N(T^{n+1})\}$  and the descent of  $T$  is defined as  $\text{des}(T) = \inf\{n \in \mathbb{N} : R(T^n) = R(T^{n+1})\}$ . Where  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ . Sets of upper semi-Browder operators, lower semi-Browder operators, left semi-Browder operators and right semi-Browder operators are defined respectively  $B_+(X) = \{T \in \Phi_+(X) : \text{asc}(T) < \infty\}$ ,  $B_-(X) = \{T \in \Phi_-(X) : \text{des}(T) < \infty\}$ ,  $B_l(X) = \{T \in \Phi_l(X) : \text{asc}(T) < \infty\}$  and  $B_r(X) = \{T \in \Phi_r(X) : \text{des}(T) < \infty\}$ . Sets of semi-Browder and Browder operators are defined as  $B_{\pm}(X) = B_+(X) \cup B_-(X)$  and  $B(X) = B_+(X) \cap B_-(X)$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , the essential spectrum, the upper semi-Browder spectrum, the lower semi-Browder spectrum, the semi-Browder spectrum, the left semi-Browder spectrum, the right

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A10, 47A11.

*Key words and phrases.* Browder operator, Browder spectrum, Limit point, Upper-triangular operator matrix.

semi-Browder spectrum and the Browder spectrum are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_e(T) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \notin \Phi(X)\}, \\ \sigma_{b_+}(T) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \notin B_+(X)\}, \\ \sigma_{b_-}(T) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \notin B_-(X)\}, \\ \sigma_{b_\pm}(T) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \notin B_\pm(X)\}, \\ \sigma_{ib}(T) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \notin B_i(X)\}, \\ \sigma_{rb}(T) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \notin B_r(X)\}, \\ \sigma_b(T) &= \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda I - T \notin B(X)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Evidently,  $\sigma_{b_+}(T) = \sigma_{b_-}(T^*)$  and  $\sigma_{b_-}(T) = \sigma_{b_+}(T^*)$ , where  $T^* \in \mathcal{B}(X^*)$  the adjoint operator of  $T$  on the dual space  $X^*$  and  $\sigma_b(T) = \sigma_{b_+}(T) \cup \sigma_{b_-}(T)$  consequently  $\sigma_b(T) = \sigma_b(T^*)$ .

Recall that an operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is said to have the single valued extension property at  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  (abbreviated SVEP) if for every open neighborhood  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  of  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ , the only analytic function  $f : U \rightarrow X$  which satisfies the equation  $(T - \lambda I)f(\lambda) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in U$  is the function  $f = 0$ . Denote by  $S(T)$  the open set of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  where  $T$  fails to have the SVEP at  $\lambda$ . An operator  $T$  is said to have the SVEP if  $T$  has the SVEP at every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , in this case  $S(T) = \emptyset$ . According to [1, Theorem 3.52] we have

$$\sigma_b(T) = \sigma_{b_+}(T) \cup S(T^*) = \sigma_{b_-}(T) \cup S(T).$$

For a compact subset  $K$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ , let  $\text{acc}K$ ,  $\text{int}K$ ,  $\text{iso}K$ ,  $K^c$ ,  $\partial K$ ,  $\overline{K}$  and  $\eta(K)$  be the set of all accumulation points, the interior set, the set of isolated points, the complement, the boundary, the closure and the polynomially convex hull of  $K$  respectively.

An operator  $T$  is called Drazin invertible if there exists  $S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  such that

$$TS = ST, STS = S \text{ and } TST - T \text{ is nilpotent,}$$

it is well known that  $S$  exists if and only if  $p = \text{asc}(T) = \text{des}(T)$ . The set  $\sigma_D(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not Drazin invertible}\}$  is the Drazin spectrum. Although Browder operators are exactly Fredholm Drazin invertible operators. Koliha [7] generalized the concept of Drazin invertible to generalized Drazin invertible, in fact  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is generalized Drazin invertible if there exists  $S \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  such that

$$TS = ST, STS = S \text{ and } TST - T \text{ is quasi-nilpotent,}$$

(i.e.  $\sigma(TST - T) = \{0\}$ ). The former author realized that  $T$  is generalized Drazin invertible if and only if  $0 \notin \text{acc}\sigma(T)$ . The set  $\sigma_{gD}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not generalized Drazin invertible}\} = \text{acc}\sigma(T) = \text{acc}\sigma_D(T)$  is the generalized Drazin spectrum. For more details about those inverses we refer the reader to ([3], [7], [13] and [14]). It is common knowledge that the spectrum  $\sigma_* = \sigma_e, \sigma_b, \sigma_{b_+}, \sigma_{b_-}, \sigma_{ib}$  or  $\sigma_{rb}$  is a compact subset of the complex plan  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $\sigma_D(T)$  or  $\sigma_{gD}(T)$  are closed subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$  possibly empty.

We can easily show that

$$\text{acc}\sigma_b(T) = \text{acc}\sigma_e(T) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_D(T) = \text{acc}\sigma_e(T) \cup \sigma_{gD}(T).$$

The set  $\text{acc}\sigma_b(T)$  may be empty, for example when  $T$  is polynomially Riesz (i.e there exists a non-zero complex polynomial  $P$  such that  $P(T)$  is a Riesz operator).

Then  $P(\sigma_b(T)) = \sigma_b(P(T)) = \{0\}$ , as a result  $\sigma_b(T) = P^{-1}\{0\}$  is a finite set which has no accumulation point.

If  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$  and  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  then  $M_C \in \mathcal{B}(X \oplus Y)$  represents a bounded linear operator on Banach space  $X \oplus Y$  given by:

$$M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}$$

it called upper triangular operator matrix. It is well know that in the case of infinite dimensional, the inclusion  $\sigma(M_C) \subset \sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B)$ , may be strict. This attracts the attention of many mathematicians to study the defect  $(\sigma_*(A) \cup \sigma_*(B)) \setminus \sigma_*(M_C)$  where  $\sigma_*$  runs over different type of spectra.

In [15] S.Zhang et al, gave a description of the set  $\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)} \sigma_b(M_C)$ . They showed the following theorem which we are going to need in the sequel.

**Theorem 1.1.** [15]. *For given  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  the following holds:*

$$\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)} \sigma_b(M_C) = \sigma_{lb}(A) \cup \sigma_{rb}(B) \cup W_0(A, B)$$

Where  $W_0(A, B) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : N(A-\lambda) \times N(B-\lambda) \text{ is not isomorphic to } X/R(A-\lambda) \times Y/R(B-\lambda)\}$ .

In [16], authors investigate the filling-in-holes problem of  $2 \times 2$  upper triangular operator matrices for Browder spectrum, they showed the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** [16] *Let  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  and  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ . Then*

$$\sigma_b(M_C) \cup W_b = \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)$$

where  $W_b$  is the union of certain holes in  $\sigma_b(M_C)$ , which happen to be subsets of  $\sigma_b(A) \cap \sigma_b(B)$ .

The next lemma has been demonstrated in [13]:

**Lemma 1.1.** [13] *For given  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  if  $M_C$  is Drazin invertible for some  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  then:*

- (i)  $des(B) < \infty$  and  $asc(A) < \infty$ .
- (ii)  $des(A^*) < \infty$  and  $asc(B^*) < \infty$ .

The purpose of this paper is to study the relationship between  $acc\sigma_b(M_C)$  and  $acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$ . We investigate the local spectral theory to prove the equality

$$acc\sigma_b(M_C) \cup [S(A^*) \cap S(B)] = acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B).$$

Also, we show that the passage from  $acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$  to  $acc\sigma_b(M_C)$  can be described as follows:

$$acc\sigma_b(M_C) \cup W_{acc\sigma_b} = acc\sigma_b(M_0) = acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$$

where  $W_{acc\sigma_b}$  is the union of certain holes in  $acc\sigma_b(M_C)$ , which happen to be subsets of  $acc\sigma_b(A) \cap acc\sigma_b(B)$ . Finally we give sufficient conditions on  $A$  and  $B$  to ensure the equality  $acc\sigma_b(M_C) = acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS AND PROOFS

In order to state precisely the relationship between  $acc\sigma_b(M_C)$  and  $acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$ , we began this section by the following two lemmas which will be widely used in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  and  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ . Then*

$$acc\sigma_b(M_C) \subseteq acc\sigma_b(M_0) = acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$$

*Proof.* We have  $\sigma_b(M_0) = \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)$ , it is clear that  $acc\sigma_b(M_0) = acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$ . Now without lose generality let  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$  then, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $A - \lambda I$  and  $B - \lambda I$  are Browder for every  $\lambda$ ,  $0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon$ , according to [4, Lemma 2.4],  $M_C - \lambda I$  is Browder for every  $\lambda$ ,  $0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon$ . Thus  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(M_C)$ .  $\square$

The inclusion,  $acc\sigma_b(M_C) \subset acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$ , may be strict as we can see in the following example.

**Example 1.** *Let  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}(l^2)$  defined by:*

$$Ae_n = e_{n+1}.$$

$$B = A^*.$$

$$C = e_0 \otimes e_0.$$

where  $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the orthonormal basis of  $l^2$ . We have  $\sigma_b(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ , then  $acc\sigma_b(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ . Since  $M_C$  is unitary, then  $acc\sigma_b(M_C) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; |\lambda| = 1\}$ . So  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(M_C)$ , but  $0 \in acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$ . Notes that  $A^* = B$  has not the SVEP.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . We said that  $T$  has the property  $(aB)$  at  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  if  $\lambda \notin acc\sigma_b(T)$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** *If two of  $M_C$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  have the property  $(aB)$  at 0, then the third have also the property  $(aB)$  at 0.*

*Proof.* (1) If  $A$  and  $B$  have the property  $(aB)$  at 0, by lemma 2.1  $M_C$  has the property  $(aB)$  at 0.

(2) If  $M_C$  and  $A$  have the property  $(aB)$  at 0, that is  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(M_C)$  and  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(A)$ , then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $M_C - \lambda I$  and  $A - \lambda I$  are Browder operators for every  $\lambda$ ,  $0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon$ . Thus according to [6, Corollary 5] and [3, Lemma 2.3] we have  $B - \lambda I$  is Browder for every  $\lambda$ ,  $0 < |\lambda| < \varepsilon$ , i.e  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(B)$ .

(3) If  $B$  and  $M_C$  have the property  $(aB)$  at 0, then  $A$  has the property  $(aB)$  at 0, the proof is similar to *ii*.  $\square$

Now we are in position to prove our first main result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$  and  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  we have*

$$acc\sigma_b(M_C) \cup [S(A^*) \cap S(B)] = acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B).$$

*Proof.* It follows from lemma 2.1 that  $acc\sigma_b(M_C) \subseteq acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$ . Also, it is known that  $S(A^*) \cap S(B) \subseteq acc\sigma(A) \cup acc\sigma(B) \subseteq acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$ . Hence  $acc\sigma_b(M_C) \cup [S(A^*) \cap S(B)] \subseteq acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$ . For the contrary inclusion, it is sufficient to prove that  $(acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)) \setminus acc\sigma_b(M_C) \subseteq S(A^*) \cap S(B)$ .

Let  $\lambda \in (acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)) \setminus acc\sigma_b(M_C)$  we can assume without lose of generality that  $\lambda = 0$ . Then  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(M_C)$ , hence there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $M_C - \mu I$  is Browder for every  $0 < |\mu| < \varepsilon$ , so  $A - \mu I \in \Phi_+(X)$ ,  $B - \mu I \in \Phi_-(Y)$  for every  $0 < |\mu| < \varepsilon$ . Moreover it follows from lemma 1.1 that  $des(B - \mu I) < \infty$  and  $asc(A - \mu I) < \infty$  for every  $0 < |\mu| < \varepsilon$ , so  $0 \notin acc\sigma_{b_+}(A) \cup acc\sigma_{b_-}(B)$ . For the sake of contradiction assume that  $0 \notin S(A^*) \cap S(B)$ .

**Case 1**  $0 \notin S(A^*)$  : If  $0 \in \sigma_b(A^*)$  we have  $\sigma_b(A^*) = \sigma_{b_-}(A^*) \cup S(A^*)$ , then  $0 \in \sigma_{b_-}(A^*)$ . Since  $0 \notin acc\sigma_{b_+}(A) = \overline{acc\sigma_{b_-}(A^*)}$ , it follows that 0 is an isolated point of  $\sigma_{b_-}(A^*)$ . On the other hand  $\overline{S(A^*)} \subseteq \sigma_b(A^*) = \sigma_{b_-}(A^*) \cup S(A^*)$ , thus  $\partial S(A^*) \subseteq \sigma_{b_-}(A^*)$ . As  $\sigma_b(A^*) = \sigma_{b_-}(A^*) \cup S(A^*)$  and  $0 \in iso\sigma_{b_-}(A^*)$  then 0 is an isolated point of  $\sigma_b(A^*) = \sigma_b(A)$ . Hence  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(A)$ , according to Lemma 2.2  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(B)$  but this is impossible. Now if  $0 \notin \sigma_b(A^*)$  then  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(A^*) = acc\sigma_b(A)$ . Hence  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(B)$ , and this is contradiction.

**Case 2**  $0 \notin S(B)$  : If  $0 \in \sigma_b(B)$  we have  $0 \notin acc\sigma_{b_-}(B)$ . Indeed  $\sigma_b(B) = \sigma_{b_-}(B) \cup S(B)$  then  $0 \in \sigma_{b_-}(B)$  but  $0 \notin acc\sigma_{b_-}(B)$  thus  $0 \in iso\sigma_{b_-}(B)$  it follows that  $0 \in iso\sigma_b(B)$  i.e  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(B)$ . Since  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(M_C)$  then  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(A)$ , contradiction. Now if  $0 \notin \sigma_b(B)$  then  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(B)$  since  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(M_C)$  thus  $0 \notin acc\sigma_b(A)$ , contradiction. As a result

$$acc\sigma_b(M_C) \cup [S(A^*) \cap S(B)] = acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B).$$

□

From theorem 2.1 , we obtain immediately the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  in  $S(A^*) \cap S(B) = \emptyset$ , then for every  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  we have*

$$acc\sigma_b(M_C) = acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B).$$

*In particular if  $A^*$  or  $B$  have he SVEP, then the last equality hold.*

**Example 2.** *Let  $U$  be the simple unilateral shift on  $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ , set  $S = U \oplus U^*$  the operator defined on  $l^2(\mathbb{N}) \oplus l^2(\mathbb{N})$ . It follows that  $\sigma_b(S) = \sigma(S) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ . Consider the operators  $A, B$  defined by  $A = S + I$  and  $B = S - I$ , we have*

$$S(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq |\lambda - 1| < 1\}$$

$$S(B) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq |\lambda + 1| < 1\}$$

*So,  $S(A^*) \cap S(B) = \emptyset$ . Consequently  $acc\sigma_b(M_C) = acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$ .*

The following lemma summarizes some well-known facts which will be used frequently.

**Lemma 2.3.** [14] *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be two bounded subsets of complex plan  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the following statements hold:*

- (i)  $accM \cup accN = acc(M \cup N)$ ;
- (ii)  $iso(M \cup N) \subseteq isoM \cup isoN$ ;
- (iii) *If  $M$  is closed, then  $\partial(accM) \cup isoM = \partial M$ ;*
- (iv) *If  $M$  is closed, then  $iso(\partial M) = isoM$ .*

The following two lemmas are the key of our second main result.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $E, F$  be two compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ , such that  $E \subseteq F$  and  $\partial F \subseteq E$ , then*

$$\partial accF \subseteq accE.$$

*Proof.* For any  $\lambda \in \partial(accF)$  then either  $\lambda \in acc(\partial accF)$  or  $\lambda \in iso(\partial accF)$ .

**Case 1:** If  $\lambda \in acc(\partial accF)$ , then there exist  $\lambda_n \in \partial accF$   $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \lambda$ , since

$$\partial accF \subseteq \partial F \subseteq E$$

it follows that  $\lambda_n \in E$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , thus we have  $\lambda \in accE$ .

**Case 2:** If  $\lambda \in iso(\partial accF)$ , then we get  $\lambda \in iso(accF)$  from Lemma 2.3, that is

$$iso(\partial accF) = iso(accF).$$

Thus there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\tau \notin accF$  for every  $\tau$ ,  $0 < |\lambda - \tau| < \varepsilon$ , but  $\lambda \in accF$  then there exist  $\mu_n \in \partial F$   $n = 1, 2, \dots$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n = \lambda$  and  $\mu_n \neq \lambda$  for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  i.e there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $0 < |\mu_n - \lambda| < \varepsilon$  for every  $n \geq N$ .

Now let  $\lambda_n = \mu_{N+1+n}$ , then  $\lambda_n \in isoF$   $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \lambda$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$isoF \subseteq \partial F \subseteq E$$

so  $\lambda_n \in isoF \subseteq E$  for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \lambda$ , therefore  $\lambda \in accE$ . Then for both cases  $\partial accF \subseteq accE$  is true.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $E, F$  be two compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $E \subseteq F$  and  $\eta(E) = \eta(F)$  then  $\eta(accE) = \eta(accF)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $E \subseteq F$  then  $accE \subseteq accF$ , we need to show that  $\partial(accF) \subseteq \partial(accE)$  from the maximum module theorem. But since  $int(accE) \subseteq int(accF)$  it suffices to show that  $\partial(accE) \subseteq (accF)$  which is always verified by lemma 2.4.  $\square$

The following theorem says that the passage from  $acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$  to  $acc\sigma_b(M_C)$  is the punching of some set in  $acc\sigma_b(A) \cap acc\sigma_b(B)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$  and  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ . Then*

$$acc\sigma_b(M_C) \cup W_{acc\sigma_b} = acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)$$

where  $W_{acc\sigma_b}$  is the union of certain holes in  $acc\sigma_b(M_C)$ , which happen to be subsets of  $acc\sigma_b(A) \cap acc\sigma_b(B)$ .

*Proof.* We first claim that, for every  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  we have

$$(acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)) \setminus (acc\sigma_b(A) \cap acc\sigma_b(B)) \subseteq acc\sigma_b(M_C), \quad (1)$$

to see this suppose that  $\lambda \in (acc\sigma_b(A) \cup acc\sigma_b(B)) \setminus (acc\sigma_b(A) \cap acc\sigma_b(B))$  then either  $\lambda \in acc\sigma_b(A) \setminus acc\sigma_b(B)$  or  $\lambda \in acc\sigma_b(B) \setminus acc\sigma_b(A)$ .

- (1) If  $\lambda \in acc\sigma_b(A) \setminus acc\sigma_b(B)$  it follows that  $A$  has not the property  $(aB)$  at  $\lambda$  and  $B$  has the property  $(aB)$  at  $\lambda$ , thus  $\lambda \in acc\sigma_b(M_C)$ , for if it were not so, then  $\lambda \notin acc\sigma_b(M_C)$  and hence according to lemma 2.2  $\lambda \notin acc\sigma_b(A)$  which is impossible.
- (2) If  $\lambda \in acc\sigma_b(B) \setminus acc\sigma_b(A)$ , similarly as in (1) we can show that  $\lambda \in acc\sigma_b(M_C)$ .

Moreover, from the proof of Theorem 1.2) we have

$$\partial(\sigma_b(A) \cap \sigma_b(B)) \subseteq \sigma_b(M_C).$$

Applying lemma 2.4 and lemma 2.5 we have

$$\eta(\text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C)) = \eta(\text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(B)). \quad (2)$$

Therefore 2 says that the passage from  $\text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C)$  to  $\text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(B)$  is the filling in certain of the holes in  $\text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C)$ . But  $(\text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(B)) \setminus \text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C)$  is contained in  $\text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cap \text{acc}\sigma_b(B)$  by 1, it follows that the filling in certain of the holes in  $\text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C)$  should occur in  $\text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cap \text{acc}\sigma_b(B)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$ . If  $\text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cap \text{acc}\sigma_b(B)$  has no interior points, then for every  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  we have*

$$\text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C) = \text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(B).$$

From theorem 1.2 and theorem 2.2, we have the following.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  and  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent*

- (i)  $\sigma_b(M_C) = \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C) = \text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(B)$ .

*Proof.* First we show that

$$W_b \subseteq W_{\text{acc}\sigma_b}. \quad (3)$$

Indeed, if  $\lambda \in W_b$  then according to Theorem 1.2 we have  $\lambda \in (\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)) \setminus \sigma_b(M_C)$ , then  $\lambda \notin \sigma_b(M_C)$  consequently  $\lambda \notin \text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C)$ , it is enough to show that  $\lambda \in \text{acc}(\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B))$ , if it was not then  $\lambda \notin \text{acc}(\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B))$  but  $\lambda \in \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)$  thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \in \text{iso}(\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)) &\subseteq \text{iso}(\sigma_b(A)) \cup \text{iso}(\sigma_b(B)) \quad (\text{Lemma 2.3}) \\ &\subseteq \partial\sigma_b(A) \cup \partial\sigma_b(B) \quad (\text{Lemma 2.3}) \\ &\subseteq \sigma_{lb}(A) \cup \sigma_{rb}(B) \quad (\text{Theorem 1.1}) \\ &\subseteq \sigma_b(M_C) \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\lambda \in \sigma_b(M_C)$ , contradiction. Therefore

$$\lambda \in (\text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(B)) \setminus \text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C)$$

by theorem 2.2 we have  $\lambda \in W_{\text{acc}\sigma_b}$ , so  $W_b \subseteq W_{\text{acc}\sigma_b}$ , according to this inclusion the following implication is hold

$$\text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C) = \text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(B) \Rightarrow \sigma_b(M_C) = \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)$$

Conversely, if  $\sigma_b(M_C) = \sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{acc}(\sigma_b(M_C)) &= \text{acc}(\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)) \\ &= \text{acc}(\sigma_b(A)) \cup \text{acc}(\sigma_b(B)). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

The following example shows that the inclusion 3 used in the proof of theorem 2.3 may be strict in general.

**Example 3.** Define  $U, V \in \mathcal{B}(l^2)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} Ue_n &= e_{n+1} \\ Ve_{n+1} &= e_n \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the orthonormal basis of  $l^2$ . Let us introduce an operator  $P : l^2 \rightarrow l^2$  as:

$$P(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) = (x_1, 0, 0, \dots), \quad (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in l^2.$$

consider the operator  $M_C = \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} : l^2 \oplus l^2 \oplus l^2 \rightarrow l^2 \oplus l^2 \oplus l^2$ , where  $A = U$ ,

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} V & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : l^2 \oplus l^2 \rightarrow l^2 \oplus l^2 \text{ and } C = (P, 0) : l^2 \oplus l^2 \rightarrow l^2.$$

We have  $\sigma_b(M_C) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\sigma_b(A) = \sigma_b(B) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ ; then  $\text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$ ,  $\text{acc}\sigma_b(A) = \text{acc}\sigma_b(B) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ . Consequently

$$W_{\sigma_b} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < |\lambda| < 1\}, \quad W_{\text{acc}\sigma_b} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}.$$

Thus  $W_{\sigma_b} \neq W_{\text{acc}\sigma_b}$ .

Nevertheless, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $(A, B) \in \mathcal{B}(X) \times \mathcal{B}(Y)$  and  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ . If  $\text{iso}\partial W_{\sigma_b} = \emptyset$  then

$$W_{\sigma_b} = W_{\text{acc}\sigma_b}.$$

*Proof.* According to Lemma 2.3, and since  $\text{iso}\partial W_{\sigma_b} = \emptyset$ , we obtain

$$\text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C) = \text{iso}(\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B)) \subseteq \text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{iso}\sigma_b(B).$$

Let  $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C)$ , then either  $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma_b(A)$  or  $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)$ . If  $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma_b(A)$ , then  $A$  has the property  $(aB)$  at  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda I - A$  is not Browder, by Lemma 2.2,  $B$  has also the property  $(aB)$  at  $\lambda$ , thus  $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)$  or  $\lambda \in \rho_b(B)$ . In contrast, if  $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)$  we obtain similarly that  $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma_b(A)$  or  $\lambda \in \rho_b(A)$ . this means that

$$\text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C) \subseteq (\text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cap \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)) \cup (\text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cap \rho_b(B)) \cup (\rho_b(A) \cap \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)).$$

In addition, due to theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cap \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)) \cup (\text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cap \rho_b(B)) \cup (\rho_b(A) \cap \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)) \\ &\subseteq \text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{iso}\sigma_b(B) \subseteq \partial\sigma_b(A) \cup \partial\sigma_b(B) \\ &\subseteq \sigma_{lb}(A) \cup \sigma_{rb}(B) \subseteq \sigma_b(M_C), \end{aligned}$$

and from Lemma 2.2, we have

$$(\text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cap \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)) \cup (\text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cap \rho_b(B)) \cup (\rho_b(A) \cap \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)) \subseteq \text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C)^c,$$

it follows that

$$(\text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cap \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)) \cup (\text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cap \rho_b(B)) \cup (\rho_b(A) \cap \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)) \subseteq \text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C).$$

Consequently,  $(\text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cap \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)) \cup (\text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cap \rho_b(B)) \cup (\rho_b(A) \cap \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)) = \text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C)$ , but  $\text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C) \cap (\text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(B)) = \emptyset$ .

From Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)) \setminus \text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C) &= (\text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \setminus \text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C)) \cup (\text{iso}\sigma_b(B) \setminus \text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C)) \\ &\subseteq \text{acc}\sigma_b(B) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(A). \end{aligned}$$



These imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B) &= (\text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(B)) \cup (\text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)) \\ &= \text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(B) \cup \text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C) \cup (\text{iso}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{iso}\sigma_b(B)) \setminus \text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C) \\ &\subseteq (\text{acc}\sigma_b(A) \cup \text{acc}\sigma_b(B)) \cup \text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C) \\ &\subseteq \text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C) \cup W_{\text{acc}\sigma_b} \cup \text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C).\end{aligned}$$

However

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_b(M_C) \cap W_{\text{acc}\sigma_b} &= (\text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C) \cup \text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C)) \cap W_{\text{acc}\sigma_b} \\ &= (\text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C) \cap W_{\text{acc}\sigma_b}) \cup (\text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C) \cap W_{\text{acc}\sigma_b}) \\ &= \emptyset.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $\sigma_b(A) \cup \sigma_b(B) = \sigma_b(M_C) \cup W_{\sigma_b} = (\text{acc}\sigma_b(M_C) \cup \text{iso}\sigma_b(M_C)) \cup W_{\sigma_b}$  and  $\sigma_b(M_C) \cap W_{\sigma_b} = \emptyset$ , this highlight that  $W_{\sigma_b} = W_{\text{acc}\sigma_b}$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] AIENA P. *Fredholm and Local Spectral Theory, with Application to Multipliers*, Kluwer Academic, 2004.
- [2] BENHIDA C, ZEROUALI E H, ZGUITTI H. *Spectra of upper triangular operator matrices*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. Vol 133, No.10, (2005), 3013-3020.
- [3] X.H. CAO, M.Z. GUO, B. MENG. *Drazin spectrum and Weyl's theorem for operator matrices*, J. Math. Res. Exposition, Vol 26, No.3 (2006), 413-422.
- [4] DJORDJEVIC S V, HAN Y M. *A note of Weyl's Theorem for operator matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, Vol 131 (2002), 2543-2547.
- [5] DU H K, PAN J. *Perturbation of spectrum of  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. Vol 121 (1994), 761-766.
- [6] HAN J K, LEE H Y, LEE W Y. *Invertible completions of  $2 \times 2$  upper triangular operator matrices*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. Vol 128, No.1 (2000), 119-123.
- [7] J. J. KOLIHA. *A generalized Drazin inverse*, Glasgow Math.J. Vol 38 (1996), 367-381.
- [8] LAURSEN K B, NEUMANN M M. *An introduction to Local Spectral Theory*. London Mathematical Society Monograph, New series, Vol 20, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [9] W.Y. LEE. *Weyl spectra of operator*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. Vol 129 (2001), 131-138.
- [10] C. PEARCY. *Topics in Operator Theory*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math, Amer. Math. Soc, vol 36, 1978.
- [11] H. ZARIOUH, H. ZGUITTI. *On pseudo B-Weyl operators and generalized drazin invertible for operator matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, Vol 64 (2016), 1245-1257.
- [12] ZEROUALI E H, ZGUITTI H. *Perturbation of spectra of operator matrices and local spectral theory*, J. Math. Anal. Appl, Vol 324 (2006), 992-1005.
- [13] ZHANG S. F, ZHONG H. J, JIANG Q. F. *Drazin spectrum of operator matrices on the Banach space*, Linear Algebra Appl, Vol 429 (2008), 2067-2075.
- [14] ZHANG S, ZHONG H, LIN L. *Generalized Drazin spectrum of operator matrices*, Appl. Math. J. Chin. Univ, Vol. 29 (2014), 162-170.
- [15] ZHANG S, LIN L, ZHONG H. *Perturbation of Browder spectrum of upper triangular operator matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl, Vol. 64 (2016) 502-511.
- [16] ZHANG Y N, ZHANG H J, LIM L Q. *Browder Spectra and Essential Spectra of Operator Matrices*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series Vol. 24, No.6 (2008), 947-954.
- [17] ZHANG, S.F., ZHANG, H.J., WU, J. *Spectra of Upper-triangular Operator Matrix*, Acta Math. Sin. (in Chinese) Vol. 54 (2011), 41-60.

A. TAJMOUATI, S. ALAOUI CHRIFI

SIDI MOHAMED BEN ABDELLAH UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF SCIENCES DHAR AL MAHRAZ, LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS, FEZ, MOROCCO.

*E-mail address:* [abdelaziz.tajmouati@usmba.ac.ma](mailto:abdelaziz.tajmouati@usmba.ac.ma)

*E-mail address:* [safae.alaouichrifi@usmba.ac.ma](mailto:safae.alaouichrifi@usmba.ac.ma)

M. KARMOUNI  
CADI AYYAD UNIVERSITY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY FACULTY, SAFI, MOROCCO.  
*E-mail address:* [med89karmouni@gmail.com](mailto:med89karmouni@gmail.com)