

A full-discrete exponential Euler approximation of the invariant measure for parabolic stochastic partial differential equations*

Ziheng Chen^{a,b}, Siqing Gan^a, Xiaojie Wang^{a†}

^aSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Central South University, Changsha 410083, Hunan, China

^bInstitute of Computational Mathematics, Scientific/Engineering Computing, Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

June 16, 2020

Abstract

We discrete the ergodic semilinear stochastic partial differential equations in space dimension $d \leq 3$ with additive noise, spatially by a spectral Galerkin method and temporally by an exponential Euler scheme. It is shown that both the spatial semi-discretization and the spatio-temporal full discretization are ergodic. Further, convergence orders of the numerical invariant measures, depending on the regularity of noise, are recovered based on an easy time-independent weak error analysis without relying on Malliavin calculus. To be precise, the convergence order is $1 - \epsilon$ in space and $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$ in time for the space-time white noise case and $2 - \epsilon$ in space and $1 - \epsilon$ in time for the trace class noise case in space dimension $d = 1$, with arbitrarily small $\epsilon > 0$. Numerical results are finally reported to confirm these theoretical findings.

Key words: stochastic partial differential equations, invariant measure, ergodicity, weak approximation, exponential Euler scheme

AMS subject classifications: 60H15, 60H35, 37M25

1 Introduction

This work concerns the semilinear stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs)

$$dX(t) = AX(t)dt + F(X(t))dt + dW^Q(t), \quad \forall t > 0, \quad X(0) = X_0, \quad (1.1)$$

where the dominant linear operator $A: \mathcal{D}(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup $E(t) = e^{tA}, t \geq 0$ on a real separable Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \|\cdot\|)$ and $F: H \rightarrow H$ is a nonlinear deterministic mapping. Moreover, $\{W^Q(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an H -valued (possibly cylindrical) Q -Wiener process on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0})$, with the covariance operator Q obeying

$$\|(-A)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)} < \infty, \quad \text{for some } \beta \in (0, 1]. \quad (1.2)$$

Such a setting covers both space-time white noise in space dimension $d = 1$ and trace class noise in multiple space dimension $d \leq 3$. Under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 specified later, a unique mild solution $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of (1.1) exists, given by

$$X(t) = E(t)X_0 + \int_0^t E(t-s)F(X(s))ds + \int_0^t E(t-s)dW^Q(s), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (1.3)$$

*This work was supported by NSF of China (11971488, 11571373, 11671405, 91630312), NSF of Hunan Province (2020JJ2040, 2018JJ3628) and Shenghua Yuying Program of Central South University.

†Corresponding author: x.j.wang7@gmail.com (X. Wang).

This mild solution $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is shown to be ergodic (see Section 2 below for the precise definition of ergodicity), i.e., it admits a unique invariant probability measure ν on $(H, \mathcal{B}(H))$ such that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X(t))] dt = \int_H \Phi(y) \nu(dy) \quad \text{in } L^2(H, \nu), \quad \forall \Phi \in L^2(H, \nu). \quad (1.4)$$

The ergodicity characterizes the longtime behaviour of the considered equation and has significant impacts on quantum mechanics, fluid dynamics, financial mathematics and many other scientific fields [22]. In many applications, it is desirable to compute the mean of a given function with respect to the invariant law of the diffusion, i.e., the ergodic limit $\int_H \Phi(y) \nu(dy)$. To this end, one often has to integrate a system over comparatively long time intervals, which is one of the most serious difficulties from the computational point of view. Moreover, it is usually impossible to exactly simulate the ergodic limit for a nonlinear system since the explicit expression of ν is rarely available and the support of ν is an infinite-dimensional space. This motivates recent interests in developing and analyzing numerical schemes that can inherit the ergodicity of the original system and can approximate the ergodic limit efficiently.

For finite dimensional stochastic differential equations (SDEs), much progress has been made in the design and analysis of approximations of invariant measures (see, e.g., [1, 13, 15, 36, 37, 38, 41, 42] and other references therein). By contrast, approximations of invariant measures for SPDEs are at an early stage and just a very limited number of literature [7, 10, 11, 14, 25, 26] are devoted to this topic. In 2014, Bréhier [7] first studied the temporal semi-discretization by the linear implicit Euler scheme for semilinear SPDEs of parabolic type driven by additive space-time white noise. To achieve higher order accuracy, Bréhier and Vilmart [11] further introduced a kind of implicit-explicit postprocessed method for the temporal semi-discretization. In the more recent publication [10], Bréhier and Kopec analyzed spatio-temporal full discretizations by the finite element method and the semi-implicit Euler scheme to approximate invariant measures for the semi-linear SPDEs with additive space-time white noise. Instead of the linear implicit Euler scheme used in [7, 10], we turn our attention to an exponential Euler type fully discrete scheme for approximating invariant measures of more general SPDEs (1.1). It is known that exponential integrators, as explicit time-stepping schemes, are successfully used to solve deterministic stiff problems such as parabolic partial differential equations and their spatial discretizations (see the survey article [24] and references therein). The extension to SPDEs has been extensively studied in [4, 5, 16, 17, 27, 28, 30, 35, 43, 44, 45], where both strong and weak convergence of exponential integrators were well established for SPDEs over finite time intervals. However, the weak error analysis in infinite horizon for exponential integrators is missing, which partly motivates this work. Actually, in the present article we analyze convergence orders of the numerical invariant measures done by the exponential Euler scheme, based on an easy time-independent weak error analysis without relying on Malliavin calculus, which is required in the analysis of [7, 10] for the linear implicit Euler scheme. From the point of view of computational implementation, we find that both the linear implicit Euler scheme and the exponential Euler scheme can be explicitly implemented due to a spectral Galerkin spatial discretization here and thus spend essentially the same computational costs. But the exponential Euler scheme is always considerably more accurate than the linear implicit Euler scheme for various noises and time stepsizes, as clearly indicated by the numerical results in Table 4 of Section 5.

We first discrete (1.1) in space by a spectral Galerkin method

$$dX^n(t) = A_n X^n(t) dt + P_n F(X^n(t)) dt + P_n dW^Q(t), \quad \forall t > 0, \quad X^n(0) = P_n X_0, \quad (1.5)$$

where P_n is a projection operator from H to the finite-dimensional space $H_n \subset H$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_n := AP_n$ is a bounded linear operator on H_n (see Subsection 3.1 below for precise description). As pointed out in [34, Chapter 2], the spectral Galerkin method is particularly suitable for simple domains and smooth data and trivial to compute in any situation where the eigenfunctions are explicitly known. Therefore we restrict ourselves to the spectral Galerkin method (1.5) but we could also consider the finite element spatial discretization as explained in [45, Remark 1]. Observing that (1.5) is a finite-dimensional SDE in

H_n (or equivalently in \mathbb{R}^n), we apply a general ergodicity theory established in [20] to verify the ergodicity of $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, which possesses a unique invariant measure ν^n . Further, we carry out the time-independent weak error analysis, thanks to the uniform boundedness of the mean square moment of $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and the improved regularity for the associated Kolmogorov equation (see Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.5 below). Then the ergodicity and the time-independent weak error of $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ help us to derive the error between ν and ν_n , given by

$$\left| \int_H \Phi(y) \nu(dy) - \int_{H_n} \Phi(y) \nu^n(dy) \right| \leq C \lambda_n^{-\beta+\epsilon}. \quad (1.6)$$

Here $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small, $\beta \in (0, 1]$ comes from (1.2) and λ_n serves as the n -th eigenvalue of the linear operator $-A$.

Given $\tau > 0$ being the uniform time stepsize, the exponential Euler scheme takes the form of

$$Y_{m+1}^n = E_n(\tau)Y_m^n + \tau E_n(\tau)P_n F(Y_m^n) + E_n(\tau)P_n \Delta W_m^Q, \quad Y_0^n = X_0^n, \quad (1.7)$$

where Y_m^n is the numerical approximation of $X^n(t_m)$. As one of the key ingredients to guarantee the ergodicity and nice regularity of $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, the semigroup operator $E_n(\tau) = e^{\tau A_n}$ exhibits an exponentially decreasing property in the sense of $\|E_n(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} \leq e^{-\lambda_1 \tau}$, $\lambda_1 > 0, \tau > 0$. More formally, we rely on the general ergodicity theory of Markov chain established in [36] to show the ergodicity of $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, with a unique invariant measure ν_τ^n . Now it remains to do the time-independent weak error analysis of the temporal discretization, which starts from a weak error representation formula presented in [45]. There the weak error analysis was done on a finite time interval $[0, T]$. However, weak error estimates here must be time-independent and hold over long time. Again, owing to the ergodicity and time-independent weak error of $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, the error between ν^n and ν_τ^n can be measured as

$$\left| \int_{H_n} \Phi(y) \nu^n(dy) - \int_{H_n} \Phi(y) \nu_\tau^n(dy) \right| \leq C \tau^{\beta-\epsilon}. \quad (1.8)$$

Combining this with (1.6) results in the space-time full approximations of invariant measures (Corollary 4.13). Specializing (1.6) and (1.8) into the case of space dimension $d = 1$, implies that the convergence order is $1 - \epsilon$ in space and $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$ in time for the space-time white noise case and $2 - \epsilon$ in space and $1 - \epsilon$ in time for the trace class noise case, with arbitrarily small $\epsilon > 0$.

To conclude, convergence orders of the numerical invariant measures, depending on the regularity of noise, are recovered based on an easy time-independent weak error analysis without relying on Malliavin calculus, which is required in the analysis of [7, 10] for the linear implicit Euler scheme. Furthermore, numerical results reveal that the exponential Euler scheme performs better than the linear implicit Euler scheme. Finally we mention that one can consult [14, 25, 26] for recent progress on approximations of invariant measures for stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equations and [2, 3, 8, 9, 18, 19, 23, 29, 46] and references therein, for other relevant works on weak approximations over finite time intervals.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Some setting and assumptions are collected in the next section. Sections 3 and 4 focus on the ergodicity of the numerical approximations for both spatial and temporal discretizations as well as the error estimates between invariant measures. Numerical experiments are finally performed to illustrate the theoretical results in Section 5.

2 Setting and assumptions

Throughout this paper, we need the following notation. Let C be a generic constant that may vary from one place to another. Let $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ be the set of positive integers and $\epsilon > 0$ be an arbitrarily small parameter. Let $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H, \|\cdot\|_H)$ and $(U, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_U, \|\cdot\|_U)$ be two real separable Hilbert spaces. By $C_b^k(U, H)$ we denote the space of not necessarily bounded mappings from U to H that have continuous and bounded Fréchet derivatives up to order k for $k = 1, 2$. Furthermore, by $\mathcal{L}(U, H)$ we denote the

space of all bounded linear operators from U to H with the usual operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}(U,H)}$ and write $\mathcal{L}(U) := \mathcal{L}(U,U)$ for simplicity. Moreover, we need the space of all nuclear operators from U to H denoted by $\mathcal{L}_1(U,H)$ and the space of all Hilbert–Schmidt operators from U to H by $\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)$. Analogously, we write $\mathcal{L}_1(U) := \mathcal{L}_1(U,U)$ and $\mathcal{L}_2(U) := \mathcal{L}_2(U,U)$. As usual, $\mathcal{L}_1(U)$ and $\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)$ are endowed with the nuclear norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(U)}$ and the Hilbert–Schmidt norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)}$, respectively,

$$\|\Gamma_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(U)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle \Gamma_1 \psi_i, \psi_i \rangle, \quad \|\Gamma_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|\Gamma_2 \psi_i\|_H^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (2.1)$$

for any $\Gamma_1 \in \mathcal{L}_1(U)$ and $\Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{L}_2(U,H)$. Additionally, the norms defined in (2.1) do not depend on the particular choice of the orthonormal basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of U , see [21, Appendix C]. For the convenience of the following analysis, we list some norm inequalities, see [39, Appendix B]. If $\Gamma_1 \in \mathcal{L}_1(U)$ and $\Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{L}(U)$, then $\Gamma_1^* \in \mathcal{L}_1(U)$, $\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{L}_1(U)$, $\Gamma_2 \Gamma_1 \in \mathcal{L}_1(U)$ and

$$|\operatorname{Tr} \Gamma_1| \leq \|\Gamma_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(U)}, \quad \operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_1^*) = \operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_1), \quad \operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2) = \operatorname{Tr}(\Gamma_2 \Gamma_1). \quad (2.2)$$

When $\Gamma_1 \in \mathcal{L}_2(U,H)$ and $\Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{L}_2(H,U)$, it holds that $\Gamma_1^* \in \mathcal{L}_2(H,U)$, $\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{L}_1(H)$ and

$$\|\Gamma_1^*\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H,U)} = \|\Gamma_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)}, \quad \|\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(H)} \leq \|\Gamma_1\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(U,H)} \|\Gamma_2\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H,U)}. \quad (2.3)$$

For $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}(U,H)$ and $\Gamma_j \in \mathcal{L}_j(U)$, $j = 1, 2$, we have $\Gamma \Gamma_j \in \mathcal{L}_j(U,H)$ and

$$\|\Gamma \Gamma_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_j(U,H)} \leq \|\Gamma\|_{\mathcal{L}(U,H)} \|\Gamma_j\|_{\mathcal{L}_j(U)}, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (2.4)$$

To proceed, we need some assumptions.

Assumption 2.1. *Let $A: \mathcal{D}(A) \subset H \rightarrow H$ be a densely defined, self-adjoint, negative definite linear operator, which is not necessarily bounded but with compact inverse.*

In the above setting, the dominant linear operator A generates a strongly continuous semigroup of contractions $E(t) = e^{tA}$, $t \geq 0$ on H and there exists an increasing sequence of real numbers $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of H such that

$$-Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i, \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{with} \quad 0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

This allows us to define fractional powers of $-A$, i.e., $(-A)^\gamma$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, in a much simple way, see [33, Appendix B.2]. So we introduce the Hilbert space $\dot{H}^\gamma = \mathcal{D}((-A)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}})$ for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, equipped with the inner product $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{\dot{H}^\gamma} = \langle (-A)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \varphi, (-A)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \psi \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^\gamma \langle \varphi, e_i \rangle \langle \psi, e_i \rangle$ and the corresponding norm $\|\varphi\|_\gamma = \sqrt{\langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{\dot{H}^\gamma}}$ for all $\varphi, \psi \in \dot{H}^\gamma$. The next lemma gives some smoothing properties of semigroup $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, see [7, Proposition 2.4] and [10, Proposition 2.6] for similar results. Since we will make use of them very frequently, we present a proof, but only under the above assumption on A .

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose that Assumption 2.1 holds. Then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-A)^\gamma E(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} &\leq C t^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2}t}, \quad \forall t > 0, \gamma \geq 0, \\ \|(-A)^{-\rho}(E(t) - E(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} &\leq C(t-s)^\rho e^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2}s}, \quad \forall 0 \leq s < t, \rho \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. For the first part of (2.6), we use (2.5) and the spectral mapping theorem [40, Section 3.2] to show

$$\|(-A)^\gamma E(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|(-A)^\gamma E(t) e_i\| = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i^\gamma e^{-\lambda_i t} \leq 2^\gamma t^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2}t} \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\frac{\lambda_i t}{2}\right)^\gamma e^{-\frac{\lambda_i t}{2}},$$

which leads to the desired result thanks to the fact that the function $x \mapsto x^\gamma e^{-x}$ is bounded for all $x \geq 0$ and $\gamma \geq 0$. It remains to verify the second part of (2.6). Repeating the previous techniques yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(-A)^{-\rho}(E(t) - E(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H)} = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|(-A)^{-\rho}(E(t) - E(s))e_i\| \\ &= \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i^{-\rho} (1 - e^{-\lambda_i(t-s)}) e^{-\lambda_i s} \leq e^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2}s} \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_i^{-\rho} (1 - e^{-\lambda_i(t-s)}) e^{-\frac{\lambda_i}{2}s} \\ &\leq (t-s)^\rho e^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2}s} \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\lambda_i(t-s))^{-\rho} (1 - e^{-\lambda_i(t-s)}). \end{aligned}$$

By the boundedness of the function $x \mapsto x^{-\rho}(1 - e^{-x})$ for all $x \geq 0$, $\rho \in [0, 1]$, we complete the proof. \square

Assumption 2.3. Let $\{W^Q(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a cylindrical Q -Wiener process on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}; \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0})$ with $Q: H \rightarrow H$ being a self-adjoint, positive definite bounded linear operator. Furthermore, let A and Q be commutable and satisfy

$$\|(-A)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H)} < \infty, \quad \text{for some } \beta \in (0, 1]. \quad (2.7)$$

In addition, let the initial data $X_0 \in \dot{H}^{\max(2\beta, 1)}$ be deterministic. Let the nonlinear mapping $F: H \rightarrow H$ satisfy a one-sided Lipschitz condition

$$\langle \varphi_1 - \varphi_2, F(\varphi_1) - F(\varphi_2) \rangle \leq L_F \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|^2, \quad \text{with } L_F < \lambda_1, \quad \forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in H, \quad (2.8)$$

where λ_1 is the smallest eigenvalue of $-A$. Finally, let F be twice differentiable and there exist $\delta \in [1, 2)$ and $\eta \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\|F'(\varphi)\psi\| \leq L \|\psi\|, \quad \forall \varphi, \psi \in H, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\|(-A)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} F'(\varphi)\psi\| \leq L(1 + \|\varphi\|_1) \|\psi\|_{-1}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \dot{H}^1, \psi \in H, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\|(-A)^{-\eta} F''(\varphi)(\psi_1, \psi_2)\| \leq L \|\psi_1\| \|\psi_2\|, \quad \forall \varphi, \psi_1, \psi_2 \in H. \quad (2.11)$$

Remark 2.4. We would like to mention that (2.9) implies a globally Lipschitz condition on F and that one could simply use (2.9) to obtain a one-sided Lipschitz condition like (2.8) but with a different one-sided Lipschitz constant L , i.e.,

$$\langle \varphi_1 - \varphi_2, F(\varphi_1) - F(\varphi_2) \rangle \leq L \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\|^2, \quad \forall \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in H,$$

instead of formulating (2.8) additionally. In this way one would require $L < \lambda_1$, to ensure (2.13) below, which in turn promises the ergodicity of (1.3). Such a restriction, as required by [10, 11], turns to be stricter than $L_F < \lambda_1$ because for some nonlinear mappings the Lipschitz constant L in (2.9) might be very large but the one-sided Lipschitz constant L_F coming from (2.8) could be small (even negative). For example, Nemytskii operators $F(\varphi)(\cdot) = f(\varphi(\cdot))$ with $f(x) = -\vartheta x$ for $\vartheta > \lambda_1$ satisfy (2.9) and (2.8) with $L = \vartheta > \lambda_1$ and $L_F = -\vartheta < 0 < \lambda_1$, respectively. To sum up, the condition (2.8) is used to relax the restriction for the ergodicity and the conditions (2.9)–(2.11) are required in the following longtime weak error analysis.

It is well-known that $\{W^Q(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ can be represented as

$$W^Q(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{q_i} \beta_i(t) e_i, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad (2.12)$$

where $\{\beta_i(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ for $i \in \{n \in \mathbb{N}: q_n > 0\}$ are independent real-valued Brownian motions on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ with respect to the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. A class of semilinear stochastic heat equations satisfying the above assumptions can be found in [45, Example 3.2]. Moreover, under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3, (1.1) admits a unique mild solution, see [22, Theorem 5.3.1].

Theorem 2.5 (Existence, uniqueness of mild solution). *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold. Then (1.1) admits a unique mild solution $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ given by (1.3).*

In the sequel we will introduce some concepts related to the ergodicity of $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. By $B_b(H)$ (resp. $C_b(H)$) we denote the Banach space of all Borel bounded mappings (resp. continuous and bounded mappings) $\Phi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the norm $\|\Phi\|_0 = \sup_{x \in H} |\Phi(x)|$. With this, we define the transition semigroup $P: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(B_b(H))$ by

$$P_t \Phi(x) = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X(t, x))], \quad \forall \Phi \in B_b(H),$$

where $X(t, x)$ is the mild solution of (1.1) with $X(0) = x \in H$. Then it is easy to check that $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is a Markov semigroup on $B_b(H)$, see [20, Definition 5.1] for the precise definition of Markov semigroup.

Let us give some definitions related to $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be strong Feller if $P_t \Phi \in C_b(H)$ for any $\Phi \in B_b(H)$ and any $t > 0$. Also, $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is said to be irreducible if $P_t 1_{B(x_0, r)}(x) > 0$ for any $x, x_0 \in H, r > 0$ and any $t > 0$, where $B(x_0, r)$ is the open ball in H with center x_0 and radius $r > 0$. Moreover, a probability measure μ on $(H, \mathcal{B}(H))$ is said to be invariant for $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ if

$$\int_H P_t \Phi d\mu = \int_H \Phi d\mu, \quad \forall \Phi \in B_b(H), t \geq 0.$$

According to the Von Neumann mean ergodic theorem [20, Theorem 5.12], the limit

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_t \Phi dt, \quad \forall \Phi \in L^2(H, \mu)$$

always exists in $L^2(H, \mu)$, where $L^2(H, \mu)$ is the space of all square integrable functions $\Phi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with respect to μ .

Definition 2.6. *Let μ be an invariant probability measure for $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$. We say that $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is ergodic if*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T P_t \Phi dt = \int_H \Phi(y) \mu(dy) \quad \text{in } L^2(H, \mu), \quad \forall \Phi \in L^2(H, \mu).$$

Now we say that the stochastic process $\{X(t, x)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is ergodic if the associated Markov semigroup $\{P_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is ergodic. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A) \subset H$, we can use (2.5) and (2.8) to derive

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A\varphi + F(\varphi), \varphi \rangle &= \left\langle A \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi, e_i \rangle e_i, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \langle \varphi, e_j \rangle e_j \right\rangle + \langle F(\varphi) - F(0), \varphi \rangle + \langle F(0), \varphi \rangle \\ &\leq -\lambda_1 \|\varphi\|^2 + L_F \|\varphi\|^2 + \|F(0)\| \|\varphi\| \leq -\frac{\lambda_1 - L_F}{2} \|\varphi\|^2 + \frac{\|F(0)\|^2}{2(\lambda_1 - L_F)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the weighted Young inequality $ab \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{b^2}{4\varepsilon}$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varepsilon = \frac{\lambda_1 - L_F}{2} > 0$. That is to say, we have

$$\langle A\varphi + F(\varphi), \varphi \rangle \leq -c \|\varphi\|^2 + C, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A) \quad (2.13)$$

for some constants $c, C > 0$, which is a sufficient condition for $\{X(t, x)\}_{t \geq 0}$ being ergodic, see, e.g., [22, Section 8.6] and [11] for more details.

Theorem 2.7 (Ergodicity of mild solution). *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold. Then $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ given by (1.3) is ergodic with a unique invariant probability measure ν satisfying (1.4).*

3 Spatial discretization and its ergodicity

This section aims to analyze the error of invariant measures in the spatial direction. To this end, we first obtain a numerical solution $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in space by applying a spectral Galerkin method to (1.1) in Subsection 3.1. Subsection 3.2 shows that $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is ergodic with a unique invariant measure ν_n . This ergodicity and the time-independent weak error established in Subsection 3.3 finally imply the convergence order of invariant measures ν and ν_n in Subsection 3.4.

3.1 Spectral Galerkin method

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the finite-dimensional subspace H_n of H by $H_n := \text{span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ and projection operator $P_n: H \rightarrow H_n$ by $P_n\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, \varphi \rangle e_i$ for all $\varphi \in H$. Now we introduce the spectral Galerkin approximation to (1.1) in H_n as follows

$$\begin{cases} dX^n(t) = A_n X^n(t) dt + P_n F(X^n(t)) dt + P_n dW^Q(t), & \forall t > 0, \\ X^n(0) = X_0^n := P_n X_0 \in H_n, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

where $A_n: H_n \rightarrow H_n$ is defined by $A_n := AP_n$ and generates a strongly continuous semigroup $E_n(t) = e^{tA_n}, t \geq 0$ on H_n . Similarly, for every $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ we can define $(-A_n)^\gamma: H_n \rightarrow H_n$ as $(-A_n)^\gamma \varphi := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^\gamma \langle \varphi, e_i \rangle e_i$ for all $\varphi \in H_n$. Note that $(-A_n)^\gamma P_n \varphi = (-A)^\gamma P_n \varphi$ and $E_n(t) P_n \varphi = E(t) P_n \varphi$ hold for all $\varphi \in H$. Furthermore, variants of conditions in Assumptions 2.1, 2.3 and (2.6) remain true and will be frequently used in the following estimates. For example, we have

$$\|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_n)} < \infty, \quad \text{for some } \beta \in (0, 1], \quad (3.2)$$

$$\langle A_n \varphi + P_n F(\varphi), \varphi \rangle \leq -c \|\varphi\|^2 + C, \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A_n), \quad (3.3)$$

$$\|(-A_n)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} P_n F'(\varphi) \psi\| \leq L(1 + \|\varphi\|_1) \|\psi\|_{-1}, \quad \forall \varphi \in \dot{H}^1, \psi \in H, \delta \in [1, 2), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\|(-A_n)^{-\eta} P_n F''(\varphi)(\psi_1, \psi_2)\| \leq L \|\psi_1\| \|\psi_2\|, \quad \forall \varphi, \psi_1, \psi_2 \in H, \eta \in [0, 1), \quad (3.5)$$

$$\|(-A_n)^\gamma E_n(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} \leq C t^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2} t}, \quad \forall t > 0, \gamma \geq 0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\|(-A_n)^{-\rho} (E_n(t) - E_n(s))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} \leq C (t-s)^\rho e^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2} s}, \quad \forall 0 \leq s < t, \rho \in [0, 1], \quad (3.7)$$

where β, δ, η are the same with the parameters in (2.7), (2.10), (2.11), respectively and the constants c, C, L are independent of n and t . It is easy to verify the above estimates by taking the previous conditions or assertions into account. For example, the proof of (3.6) is similar to that of the first assertion of (2.6) in Lemma 2.2 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-A_n)^\gamma E_n(t)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} &= \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|(-A_n)^\gamma E_n(t) e_i\| = \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \lambda_i^\gamma e^{-\lambda_i t} \\ &\leq 2^\gamma t^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2} t} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left(\frac{\lambda_i t}{2}\right)^\gamma e^{-\frac{\lambda_i t}{2}} \leq C t^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2} t}, \quad \forall t > 0, \gamma \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the above assumptions ensure that (3.1) has a well-defined solution with a uniform mean square moment bound.

Theorem 3.1 (Existence, uniqueness and moment boundedness of spatial approximation). *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold. Then (3.1) admits a unique solution $X^n: [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow H_n$ with continuous sample path given by*

$$X^n(t) = E_n(t) X_0^n + \int_0^t E_n(t-s) P_n F(X^n(s)) ds + \int_0^t E_n(t-s) P_n dW^Q(s), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.} \quad (3.8)$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $C = C(X_0) > 0$ independent of n, t such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\|X^n(t)\|^2] \leq C. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. It suffices to show (3.9) since the existence of the unique solution $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ can be found in [31, Theorem 4.5.3]. In fact, set $\mathcal{O}^n(t) := \int_0^t E_n(t-s)P_n dW^Q(s), \forall t \geq 0$, we can apply the Itô isometry, (3.2) and (3.6) to derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathcal{O}^n(t)\|^2] &= \int_0^t \|E_n(t-s)P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_n)}^2 ds \\ &\leq \|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_n)}^2 \int_0^t \|(-A_n)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} E_n(t-s)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda_1(t-s)} ds \leq C, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where in the last step we used the Gamma function

$$\int_0^\infty x^{\varrho-1} e^{-x} dx < \infty, \quad \forall \varrho > 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Define $\bar{X}^n(t) := X^n(t) - \mathcal{O}^n(t), \forall t \geq 0$, then it satisfies the following partial differential equation

$$\frac{d\bar{X}^n(t)}{dt} = A_n \bar{X}^n(t) + P_n F(\bar{X}^n(t) + \mathcal{O}^n(t)), \quad \forall t > 0, \quad \bar{X}^n(0) = X_0^n. \quad (3.12)$$

As a result, we have

$$\frac{de^{ct}\|\bar{X}^n(t)\|^2}{dt} = 2e^{ct}\langle A_n \bar{X}^n(t) + P_n F(\bar{X}^n(t) + \mathcal{O}^n(t)), \bar{X}^n(t) \rangle + ce^{ct}\|\bar{X}^n(t)\|^2, \quad (3.13)$$

where the constant c comes from (3.3). Employing (3.3), (2.9), the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the weighted Young inequality $ab \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{b^2}{4\varepsilon}$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varepsilon = \frac{c}{2L} > 0$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} e^{ct}\|\bar{X}^n(t)\|^2 &= \|\bar{X}_0^n\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t e^{cs} \langle A_n \bar{X}^n(s) + P_n F(\bar{X}^n(s)), \bar{X}^n(s) \rangle ds + c \int_0^t e^{cs} \|\bar{X}^n(s)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t e^{cs} \langle P_n F(\bar{X}^n(s) + \mathcal{O}^n(s)) - P_n F(\bar{X}^n(s)), \bar{X}^n(s) \rangle ds \\ &\leq \|\bar{X}_0^n\|^2 + 2 \int_0^t e^{cs} (-c\|\bar{X}^n(s)\|^2 + C) ds + c \int_0^t e^{cs} \|\bar{X}^n(s)\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_0^t e^{cs} \|P_n F(\bar{X}^n(s) + \mathcal{O}^n(s)) - P_n F(\bar{X}^n(s))\| \|\bar{X}^n(s)\| ds \\ &\leq \|\bar{X}_0^n\|^2 - c \int_0^t e^{cs} \|\bar{X}^n(s)\|^2 ds + 2C \frac{e^{ct} - 1}{c} + 2L \int_0^t e^{cs} \|\mathcal{O}^n(s)\| \|\bar{X}^n(s)\| ds \\ &\leq \|\bar{X}_0^n\|^2 + 2C \frac{e^{ct} - 1}{c} + \frac{L^2}{c} \int_0^t e^{cs} \|\mathcal{O}^n(s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Taking expectations on the both sides of (3.14) and using (3.10) yield

$$e^{ct}\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{X}^n(t)\|^2] \leq \|\bar{X}_0^n\|^2 + 2C \frac{e^{ct} - 1}{c} + \frac{CL^2}{c} \int_0^t e^{cs} ds \leq \|\bar{X}_0^n\|^2 + Ce^{ct}. \quad (3.15)$$

Multiplying e^{-ct} on the both sides of (3.15) gives $\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{X}^n(t)\|^2] \leq \|\bar{X}_0^n\|^2 + C$. This together with (3.10) and $\mathbb{E}[\|X^n(t)\|^2] \leq 2\mathbb{E}[\|\bar{X}^n(t)\|^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[\|\mathcal{O}^n(t)\|^2]$ results in the required conclusion (3.9). \square

3.2 Ergodicity for the spatial discretization

In order to give a sufficient condition for the ergodicity of the semi-discretization approximations process $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, we begin with a definition.

Definition 3.2 (Lyapunov condition). *Denote the solution $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of (3.1) with initial value $X^n(0) = z \in H_n$ by $\{X^n(t, z)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and let $V: H_n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ be a Borel function with compact level sets $K_a := \{x \in H_n : V(x) \leq a\}$ for all $a > 0$. We say that $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the Lyapunov condition if there exist $z \in H_n$ and $C(z) > 0$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[V(X^n(t, z))] \leq C(z), \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Now we have the following theorem, see Proposition 7.10, Theorems 7.6 and 5.16 in [20].

Theorem 3.3. *If the solution $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of (3.1) satisfies the Lyapunov condition, then $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ possesses at least one invariant probability measure. If in addition it happens that the corresponding Markov semigroup of $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is strong Feller and irreducible, then $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ possesses a unique invariant probability measure and hence is ergodic.*

With the above theorem, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.4 (Ergodicity of $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$). *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold. Then $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ given by (3.8) is ergodic with a unique invariant measure ν^n .*

Proof. To prove the ergodicity of $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, let us first give an equivalent form of (3.1). Since $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an H_n -valued stochastic process, we have

$$X^n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i(t) e_i, \quad x_i(t) = \langle X^n(t), e_i \rangle, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.17)$$

Inserting (3.1) with (2.12) into $x_i(t) = \langle X^n(t), e_i \rangle$ yields

$$dx_i(t) = (-\lambda_i x_i(t) + \langle P_n F(X^n(t)), e_i \rangle) dt + \sqrt{q_i} d\beta_i(t), \quad \forall t > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.18)$$

From now on, we use B' to denote the transpose of a vector or matrix B . By denoting

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t))' \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \beta(t) = (\beta_1(t), \beta_2(t), \dots, \beta_n(t))' \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \Lambda &= \text{diag}(-\lambda_1, \dots, -\lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \quad \bar{Q} = \text{diag}(\sqrt{q_1}, \dots, \sqrt{q_n}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, \\ g(x(t)) &= (\langle P_n F(X^n(t)), e_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle P_n F(X^n(t)), e_n \rangle)' \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

we can rewrite (3.18) as an \mathbb{R}^n -valued SDE

$$dx(t) = (\Lambda x(t) + g(x(t))) dt + \bar{Q} d\beta(t), \quad \forall t > 0, \quad (3.19)$$

and thus it suffices to show that $\{x(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is ergodic. Indeed, the ergodicity of $\{x(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ implies there exists a random variable $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) = \xi$, i.e., $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x_i(t) = \xi_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. It follows that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X^n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i e_i$, which immediately ensures that $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is ergodic by the definition of ergodicity. By Theorem 3.3 the proof of the ergodicity of $\{x(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is equivalent to show that $\{x(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is strong Feller, irreducible and satisfies the Lyapunov condition (3.16). In what follows we will validate these properties one by one. Thanks to $\text{Rank}(\bar{Q}) = n$, the strong Feller property of $\{x(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ follows immediately by [12, Proposition 2.3.2].

To show the irreducibility of $\{x(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, we denote $G(x(t)) := \Lambda x(t) + g(x(t))$ in (3.19) to get

$$dx(t) = G(x(t)) dt + \bar{Q} d\beta(t), \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (3.20)$$

Let $y, y^+ \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\delta, t > 0$ be arbitrary and denote the solution of (3.20) with initial value $x(0) = y$ by $\{x(t, y)\}_{t \geq 0}$. By the definition of irreducibility, it suffices to prove that

$$\mathbb{P}(|x(t, y) - y^+| < \delta) > 0, \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (3.21)$$

Here and below, we denote (\cdot, \cdot) to be the usual Euclidean inner product in \mathbb{R}^n and $|\cdot|$ be the corresponding norm in \mathbb{R}^n , or the Frobenius matrix norm in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. To show (3.21), we follow the idea stemmed from [36] and consider the associated control problem

$$\frac{d\bar{x}(t)}{dt} = G(\bar{x}(t)) + \bar{Q} \frac{dU(t)}{dt}, \quad \forall t > 0. \quad (3.22)$$

Then for every fixed $t > 0$, we can find a control function $U \in C^1([0, t]; \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $U(0) = 0$ such that (3.22) is satisfied and $\bar{x}(0) = y, \bar{x}(t) = y^+$. This can be achieved by polynomial interpolation between the end points using a linear polynomial in time with vector coefficients in \mathbb{R}^n and by the invertibility of matrix \bar{Q} . The integral forms of (3.20) and (3.22) show that

$$x(s, y) - \bar{x}(s) = \int_0^s G(x(r, y)) - G(\bar{x}(r)) dr + \bar{Q}(\beta(s) - U(s)), \quad \forall s \in [0, t].$$

Note that the event $\Omega_t^\varepsilon := \{\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |\beta(s)(\omega) - U(s)| \leq \varepsilon\}$ occurs with positive probability for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $t > 0$, since the Wiener measure of any such tube is positive (see [36, Lemma 3.4]). Observing that G is global Lipschitz continuous because of (2.9), one sees that

$$|x(s, y)(\omega) - \bar{x}(s)| \leq L_G \int_0^s |x(r, y)(\omega) - \bar{x}(r)| dr + \varepsilon |\bar{Q}|, \quad \forall s \in [0, t], \omega \in \Omega_t^\varepsilon.$$

By Gronwall's inequality, we have $|x(s, y)(\omega) - \bar{x}(s)| \leq \varepsilon |\bar{Q}| e^{sL_G}$ for all $s \in [0, t]$ and $\omega \in \Omega_t^\varepsilon$. Choosing $s = t$ and $\varepsilon = \delta / (|\bar{Q}| e^{tL_G})$ and observing $\bar{x}(t) = y^+$, (3.21) holds and the irreducibility follows.

Now we are in a position to verify that $\{x(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the Lyapunov condition (3.16). For this, we choose a Borel function $V(x) = |x|^2, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Because of the continuity of norm and the Heine–Borel theorem in the finite-dimensional space \mathbb{R}^n , it follows that the level sets K_a are compact for all $a > 0$. By (3.19) and the Itô formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d|x(t)|^2 &= 2(x(t), \Lambda x(t)) dt + 2(x(t), g(x(t))) dt + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i dt + 2(x(t), \bar{Q} d\beta(t)) \\ &\leq -2\lambda_1 |x(t)|^2 dt + 2(x(t), g(x(t))) dt + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i dt + 2(x(t), \bar{Q} d\beta(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Recall the notation $x(t)$ and $g(x(t))$, we use the projection property of P_n and (2.8) to get

$$\begin{aligned} (x(t), g(x(t))) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle X^n(t), e_i \rangle \langle P_n F(X^n(t)), e_i \rangle = \langle X^n(t), P_n F(X^n(t)) \rangle \\ &= \langle X^n(t), F(X^n(t)) - F(0) \rangle + \langle X^n(t), F(0) \rangle \\ &\leq L_F \|X^n(t)\|^2 + \frac{\lambda_1 - L_F}{2} \|X^n(t)\|^2 + \frac{\|F(0)\|^2}{2(\lambda_1 - L_F)} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_1 + L_F}{2} \|X^n(t)\|^2 + \frac{\|F(0)\|^2}{2(\lambda_1 - L_F)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the weighted Young inequality $ab \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{b^2}{4\varepsilon}$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varepsilon = \frac{\lambda_1 - L_F}{2} > 0$. Observing $\|X^n(t)\|^2 = |x(t)|^2$ because of (3.17) and taking expectations on the both sides of (3.23) show that

$$\frac{d\mathbb{E}[|x(t)|^2]}{dt} \leq -(\lambda_1 - L_F) \mathbb{E}[|x(t)|^2] + \left(\frac{\|F(0)\|^2}{\lambda_1 - L_F} + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \right),$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[|x(t)|^2] &\leq e^{-(\lambda_1 - L_F)t} \mathbb{E}[|x(0)|^2] + \frac{1 - e^{-(\lambda_1 - L_F)t}}{\lambda_1 - L_F} \left(\frac{\|F(0)\|^2}{\lambda_1 - L_F} + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \right) \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[|x(0)|^2] + \frac{1}{\lambda_1 - L_F} \left(\frac{\|F(0)\|^2}{\lambda_1 - L_F} + \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \right).\end{aligned}$$

This means that $\{x(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the Lyapunov condition (3.16) and thus finishes the proof. \square

3.3 Weak spatial approximation error over long time

An important ingredient to obtain the time-independent weak error is the improved estimates on the derivatives of the solution of the associated Kolmogorov equation. To show this, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Phi \in C_b^2(H, \mathbb{R})$ we introduce the function $v^n: [0, \infty) \times H_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$v^n(t, y) = \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(t, y))], \quad \forall t \geq 0, y \in H_n, \quad (3.24)$$

where $X^n(t, y)$ is the unique solution of (3.1) with the initial value $X_0^n = y$. Recall that $v^n(t, y)$ is continuously differentiable with respect to t and continuously twice differentiable with respect to y and acts as the unique strict solution of the following Kolmogorov equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v^n(t, y)}{\partial t} = \langle Dv^n(t, y), A_n y + P_n F(y) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \{ D^2 v^n(t, y) (P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}) (P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^* \}, & \forall t > 0, \\ v^n(0, y) = \Phi(y), \end{cases} \quad (3.25)$$

under Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3, see [21, Theorem 9.16]. Here by a strict solution of (3.25) we mean a function $v^n \in C_b^{1,2}([0, \infty) \times H_n, \mathbb{R})$ such that (3.25) holds. Moreover, by the Riesz representation theorem, we can always identify the first derivative $Dv^n(t, y)$ at $y \in H_n$ with an element in H_n and the second derivative $D^2 v^n(t, y)$ at $y \in H_n$ with a bounded linear operator on H_n .

Repeating the proof of Propositions 5.1 and 5.2 in [7] with slight changes and taking Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 into account, we have the following regularity results on the derivatives of $v^n(t, y)$.

Proposition 3.5 (Regularity of $Dv^n(t, y)$ and $D^2 v^n(t, y)$). *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold. Let $v^n(t, y)$ be defined by (3.24) with $\Phi \in C_b^2(H, \mathbb{R})$. Then for any $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in [0, 1)$ with $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 < 1$ there exist $C_\gamma, C_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}, \tilde{c} > 0$ such that*

$$\|(-A_n)^\gamma Dv^n(t, y)\| \leq C_\gamma (1 + t^{-\gamma}) e^{-\tilde{c}t}, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\|(-A_n)^{\gamma_2} D^2 v^n(t, y) (-A_n)^{\gamma_1}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} \leq C_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} (1 + t^{-\eta} + t^{-(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)}) e^{-\tilde{c}t} \quad (3.27)$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and $y \in H_n$, where the parameter η comes from (2.11).

With the above preparations, we can prove the following time-independent weak error.

Theorem 3.6 (Spatial weak error). *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold. Let $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ be given by (1.1) and (3.1), respectively. Then for any $T > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Phi \in C_b^2(H, \mathbb{R})$ there exists $C > 0$ independent of T, n such that*

$$|\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X(T))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(T))]| \leq C \lambda_n^{-\beta + \epsilon}. \quad (3.28)$$

Proof. We set $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [n, \infty)$ and decompose the spatial approximation error as follows

$$|\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X(T))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(T))]| \leq |\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X(T))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^k(T))]| + |\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^k(T))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(T))]|. \quad (3.29)$$

Taking $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.29) and employing the fact that $X^k(T)$ converges to $X(T)$ in mean square sense (see, e.g., [46, Lemma A.1]) lead to

$$|\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X(T))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(T))]| \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^k(T))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(T))]|. \quad (3.30)$$

By (3.24) and (3.25), it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^k(T))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(T))] = \mathbb{E}[v^k(T, X_0^k)] - \mathbb{E}[v^k(T, X_0^n)] + \mathbb{E}[v^k(T, X_0^n)] - \mathbb{E}[v^k(0, X^n(T))]. \quad (3.31)$$

Before we calculate the first term on the right hand side of (3.31), we note that

$$\|(P_k - P_n)v\| \leq \|P_k\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_k)} \|(I - P_n)(-A)^{-\beta}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_k)} \|(-A)^\beta v\| \leq \lambda_n^{-\beta} \|v\|_{2\beta}, \quad \forall v \in \dot{H}^{2\beta}. \quad (3.32)$$

We then use Taylor's formula, (3.26), (3.32) and $X_0 \in \dot{H}^{2\beta}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbb{E}[v^k(T, X_0^k)] - \mathbb{E}[v^k(T, X_0^n)]| &= \left| \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[\langle Dv^k(T, X_0^n + r(X_0^k - X_0^n)), X_0^k - X_0^n \rangle] dr \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[\|Dv^k(T, X_0^n + r(X_0^k - X_0^n))\| \| (P_k - P_n)X_0 \|] dr \\ &\leq C \lambda_n^{-\beta} e^{-\tilde{c}T} \|X_0\|_{2\beta} \leq C \lambda_n^{-\beta}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Now we process to consider the second term on the right hand side of (3.31). Applying the Itô formula to $v^k(T-t, X^n(t))$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$, one sees that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[v^k(0, X^n(T))] - \mathbb{E}[v^k(T, X_0^n)] &= \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[- \frac{\partial v^k(T-t, X^n(t))}{\partial t} \right] dt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\langle Dv^k(T-t, X^n(t)), A_n X^n(t) + P_n F(X^n(t)) \rangle] dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr} \{ D^2 v^k(T-t, X^n(t)) (P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}) (P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^* \}] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

Substituting (3.25) into (3.34) and using $A_n X^n(t) - A_k X^n(t) = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cap [n, \infty)$ enable us to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[v^k(0, X^n(T))] - \mathbb{E}[v^k(T, X_0^n)] &= \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\langle Dv^k(T-t, X^n(t)), (P_n - P_k)F(X^n(t)) \rangle] dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr} \{ D^2 v^k(T-t, X^n(t)) (P_n - P_k) Q^{\frac{1}{2}} (P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^* \}] dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr} \{ D^2 v^k(T-t, X^n(t)) (P_k Q^{\frac{1}{2}}) ((P_n - P_k) Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^* \}] dt := I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

In the sequel we will estimate I_1, I_2, I_3 separately. By (3.26), (2.9), (3.9) and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\leq \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\|(-A_k)^{1-\epsilon} Dv^k(T-t, X^n(t))\| \|(-A_k)^{-1+\epsilon} ((P_n - P_k)F(X^n(t)))\|] dt \\ &\leq C \lambda_n^{-1+\epsilon} \int_0^T (1 + (T-t)^{-1+\epsilon}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} \mathbb{E}[\|F(X^n(t))\|] dt \leq C \lambda_n^{-1+\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

Here we emphasize that the error constant C in the last term of (3.36) is independent of time T and thus (3.36) is essentially different from the analogue estimation (69) in [45], which allows the error constant C to depend on T . Such estimation may cause explosion as time T goes to infinity and is no longer working

for (3.41). So here and below we sharp similar estimations appeared in [45] via some new arguments and techniques to adapt our purpose. Concerning I_2 , we can derive from (2.2) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &= \frac{1}{2} \left| \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[\text{Tr} \left\{ (-A_k)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} D^2 v^k(T-t, X^n(t)) (P_n - P_k) Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \left((-A_k)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^* \right\} \right] dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| (-A_k)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} D^2 v^k(T-t, X^n(t)) (-A_k)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}-\epsilon} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_k)} \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left\| (-A_k)^{-\frac{1+\beta}{2}+\epsilon} (P_n - P_k) (-A_k)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_k)} \\ &\quad \cdot \left\| (-A_k)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_k Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \left((-A_k)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^* \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(H_k)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $\left\| (-A_k)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_k)} = \left\| (-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_n)}$ and applying (3.27), (2.3), (3.2) and (3.11) bring about

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| &\leq C \int_0^T (1 + (T-t)^{-\eta} + (T-t)^{-(1-\epsilon)}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} dt \left\| (-A_k)^{-\beta+\epsilon} (P_n - P_k) \right\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_k)} \\ &\quad \cdot \left\| (-A_k)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_k Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_k)} \left\| (-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_n)} \leq C \lambda_n^{-\beta+\epsilon}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Similarly to I_2 , we can arrive at

$$|I_3| \leq C \lambda_n^{-\beta+\epsilon}. \quad (3.38)$$

Inserting (3.36), (3.37) and (3.38) into (3.35) gives

$$\left| \mathbb{E}[v^k(0, X^n(T))] - \mathbb{E}[v^k(T, X_0^n)] \right| \leq C \lambda_n^{-\beta+\epsilon}.$$

This together with (3.33) and (3.31) verifies the desired result (3.28). \square

3.4 Error of invariant measures for spatial discretization

Theorem 3.7. *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold. Let ν and ν^n be the corresponding unique invariant measures of $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$, respectively. Then for any $T > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Phi \in C_b^2(H, \mathbb{R})$ there exists $C > 0$ independent of T, n such that*

$$\left| \int_H \Phi(y) \nu(dy) - \int_{H_n} \Phi(y) \nu^n(dy) \right| \leq C \lambda_n^{-\beta+\epsilon}. \quad (3.39)$$

Proof. From Theorems 2.7 and 3.4, we know $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ are ergodic. This together with the definition of ergodicity implies (1.4) and

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(t))] dt = \int_{H_n} \Phi(y) \nu^n(dy) \quad \text{in } L^2(H, \nu), \quad \forall \Phi \in C_b^2(H, \mathbb{R}), \quad (3.40)$$

and hence

$$\left| \int_H \Phi(y) \nu(dy) - \int_{H_n} \Phi(y) \nu^n(dy) \right| \leq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left| \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X(t))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(t))] \right| dt \leq C \lambda_n^{-\beta+\epsilon}, \quad (3.41)$$

where (3.28) was used in the last step. \square

Remark 3.8. *Note that two important classes of noise are included here. One is the space-time white noise in the case $Q = I$ and the other is the trace class noise in the case $\text{Tr}(Q) < \infty$. For the space-time white noise, it is well-known that (2.7) is fulfilled with $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$ in space dimension $d = 1$ [32, Remark 3.2]. In this situation our result indicates that the convergence order between ν and ν^n is $1 - \epsilon$ for arbitrarily small $\epsilon > 0$. For the trace class noise, (2.7) is satisfied with $\beta = 1$ [32, Remark 3.2] and our result implies that the convergence order between ν and ν^n is $2 - \epsilon$ for arbitrarily small $\epsilon > 0$, in space dimension $d = 1$.*

4 Spatio-temporal full discretization and its ergodicity

We will apply an exponential Euler scheme to (3.1) to obtain a spatio-temporal full discretization approximation $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and give some regularity estimates in Subsection 4.1. Subsection 4.2 shows that $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ is ergodic with a unique invariant measure ν_τ^n via the theory of geometric ergodicity of Markov chain. Based on a weak error representation formula, the time-independent weak error is investigated in Subsection 4.3. Armed with the ergodicity and weak error estimate, we finally obtain the error between invariant measures ν^n and ν_τ^n in Subsection 4.4.

Throughout this section, we need the following notation. Let $\tau > 0$ be the uniform time stepsize. Further let $m, M \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $t_m = m\tau$ and $T = M\tau$. Moreover, the generic constant C must be independent of the spatial dimension n and the final time $T = M\tau$ but may depend on X_0, Φ, L_F, L and other parameters.

4.1 Exponential Euler scheme

Now we approximate (3.1) in time by the exponential Euler scheme

$$Y_m^n = E_n(\tau)Y_{m-1}^n + \tau E_n(\tau)P_n F(Y_{m-1}^n) + E_n(\tau)P_n \Delta W_{m-1}^Q, \quad Y_0^n = X_0^n, \quad (4.1)$$

where Y_m^n is an approximation of $X^n(t_m)$ and $E_n(\tau)P_n \Delta W_{m-1}^Q := \int_{t_{m-1}}^{t_m} E_n(\tau)P_n dW^Q(s)$ is well defined since $E_n(\tau)P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}: H \rightarrow H_n$ is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator.

The following lemma concerns the regularity of $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ over long time.

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold. Let $\tau < \tau_0 \leq \frac{\lambda_1 - L_F}{4L^2}$ and let $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be given by (4.1). Then for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma \in [0, \frac{\beta}{2})$, there exists $C > 0$ independent of n, m such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^\gamma Y_m^n\|^2] \leq C. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. We first prove the following inequalities

$$\mathbb{E}[\|Y_m^n\|^2] \leq C, \quad \mathbb{E}[\|F(Y_m^n)\|^2] \leq C. \quad (4.3)$$

Indeed, it suffices to verify the first inequality of (4.3) since the second one is an immediate consequence of the first one and (2.9). Now we can easily rewrite (4.1) as

$$Y_m^n = E_n^m(\tau)Y_0^n + \tau \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} E_n^{m-i}(\tau)P_n F(Y_i^n) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} E_n^{m-i}(\tau)P_n \Delta W_i^Q. \quad (4.4)$$

Set $\lfloor s \rfloor = t_i$ for $s \in [t_i, t_{i+1})$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$ and denote

$$\mathcal{O}_m^n := \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} E_n^{m-i}(\tau)P_n \Delta W_i^Q = \int_0^{t_m} E_n(t_m - \lfloor s \rfloor)P_n dW^Q(s),$$

then by the Itô isometry, (3.6), (3.2) and (3.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\mathcal{O}_m^n\|^2] &= \int_0^{t_m} \|E_n(t_m - \lfloor s \rfloor)P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_n)}^2 ds \\ &\leq \int_0^{t_m} \|(-A_n)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} E_n(t_m - \lfloor s \rfloor)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)}^2 \|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_n)}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^{t_m} (t_m - \lfloor s \rfloor)^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda_1(t_m - \lfloor s \rfloor)} ds \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

This together with (2.9) indicates

$$\mathbb{E}[\|P_n F(\mathcal{O}_m^n)\|^2] \leq 2L^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\mathcal{O}_m^n\|^2] + 2\|F(0)\|^2 \leq C. \quad (4.6)$$

Set $\bar{Y}_m^n := Y_m^n - \mathcal{O}_m^n$, it is obvious that $\bar{Y}_0^n = Y_0^n$ and

$$\bar{Y}_m^n = E_n^m(\tau) \bar{Y}_0^n + \tau \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} E_n^{m-i}(\tau) P_n F(\bar{Y}_i^n + \mathcal{O}_i^n),$$

which immediately gives

$$\bar{Y}_m^n = E_n(\tau) \bar{Y}_{m-1}^n + \tau E_n(\tau) P_n F(\bar{Y}_{m-1}^n + \mathcal{O}_{m-1}^n).$$

According to $\|E_n(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} \leq e^{-\lambda_1 \tau}$ and (2.8), (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{Y}_m^n\|^2 &\leq \|E_n(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)}^2 (\|\bar{Y}_{m-1}^n\|^2 + \tau^2 \|P_n F(\bar{Y}_{m-1}^n + \mathcal{O}_{m-1}^n)\|^2 + 2\tau \langle \bar{Y}_{m-1}^n, P_n F(\bar{Y}_{m-1}^n + \mathcal{O}_{m-1}^n) \rangle) \\ &\leq e^{-2\lambda_1 \tau} (\|\bar{Y}_{m-1}^n\|^2 + 2\tau^2 \|P_n F(\bar{Y}_{m-1}^n + \mathcal{O}_{m-1}^n) - P_n F(\mathcal{O}_{m-1}^n)\|^2 + 2\tau^2 \|P_n F(\mathcal{O}_{m-1}^n)\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\tau \langle \bar{Y}_{m-1}^n, P_n F(\bar{Y}_{m-1}^n + \mathcal{O}_{m-1}^n) - P_n F(\mathcal{O}_{m-1}^n) \rangle + 2\tau \langle \bar{Y}_{m-1}^n, P_n F(\mathcal{O}_{m-1}^n) \rangle) \\ &\leq (1 + 2\tau L_F + 2\tau^2 L^2 + \frac{\lambda_1 - L_F}{2} \tau) e^{-2\lambda_1 \tau} \|\bar{Y}_{m-1}^n\|^2 + 2(\tau^2 + \frac{\tau}{\lambda_1 - L_F}) e^{-2\lambda_1 \tau} \|P_n F(\mathcal{O}_{m-1}^n)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the weighted Young inequality $ab \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{b^2}{4\varepsilon}$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varepsilon = \frac{\lambda_1 - L_F}{4} > 0$. Observing $\tau < \tau_0 \leq \frac{\lambda_1 - L_F}{4L^2}$, we have $2\tau^2 L^2 \leq \frac{\lambda_1 - L_F}{2} \tau$ and consequently

$$1 + 2\tau L_F + 2\tau^2 L^2 + \frac{\lambda_1 - L_F}{2} \tau \leq 1 + (L_F + \lambda_1) \tau \leq e^{(\lambda_1 + L_F) \tau}$$

due to the inequality $1 + x \leq e^x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $e^{-(\lambda_1 + L_F) \tau} \leq \max\{1, e^{-(\lambda_1 + L_F) \tau_0}\}$ and (4.6) result in

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{Y}_m^n\|^2] &\leq e^{-(\lambda_1 - L_F) \tau} \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{Y}_{m-1}^n\|^2] + C e^{-(\lambda_1 - L_F) \tau} \tau \\ &\leq e^{-(\lambda_1 - L_F) m \tau} \mathbb{E}[\|\bar{Y}_0^n\|^2] + \frac{C e^{-(\lambda_1 - L_F) \tau} \tau}{1 - e^{-(\lambda_1 - L_F) \tau}} \\ &\leq \|X_0\|^2 + \frac{C}{\lambda_1 - L_F}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields the first inequality of (4.3) because of (4.5) and $Y_m^n = \bar{Y}_m^n + \mathcal{O}_m^n$. With regard to (4.2), we derive from (4.4) that

$$Y_m^n = E_n(t_m) Y_0^n + \int_0^{t_m} E_n(t_m - [s]) P_n F(Y_{[s/\tau]}^n) ds + \int_0^{t_m} E_n(t_m - [s]) P_n dW^Q(s).$$

Using the Itô isometry, (3.6), (4.3), (2.7) and $X_0 \in \dot{H}^\beta$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|(-A_n)^\gamma Y_m^n\|_{L^2(\Omega, H_n)} &\leq \|(-A_n)^\gamma E_n(t_m) Y_0^n\|_{L^2(\Omega, H_n)} + \left\| \int_0^{t_m} (-A_n)^\gamma E_n(t_m - [s]) P_n dW^Q(s) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega, H_n)} \\ &\quad + \int_0^{t_m} \|(-A_n)^\gamma E_n(t_m - [s]) P_n F(Y_{[s/\tau]}^n)\|_{L^2(\Omega, H_n)} ds \\ &\leq \|E_n(t_m)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} \|(-A_n)^\gamma Y_0^n\| + \int_0^{t_m} \|(-A_n)^\gamma E_n(t_m - [s])\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} \|P_n F(Y_{[s/\tau]}^n)\|_{L^2(\Omega, H_n)} ds \\ &\quad + \left(\int_0^{t_m} \|(-A_n)^{\gamma - \frac{\beta-1}{2}} E_n(t_m - [s])\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)}^2 \|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_n)}^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C + C \int_0^{t_m} (t_m - [s])^{-\gamma} e^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2}(t_m - [s])} ds + \left(C \int_0^{t_m} (t_m - [s])^{-2\gamma + \beta - 1} e^{-\lambda_1(t_m - [s])} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Observing $1 - \gamma > 0, -2\gamma + \beta > 0$, we finally use (3.11) to obtain (4.2) and thus complete the proof. \square

Furthermore, we can show the following result.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold. Let $\tau < \tau_0 \leq \frac{\lambda_1 - L_F}{4L^2}$ and let $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be given by (4.1). Then for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and arbitrarily small $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $C > 0$ independent of n, m such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} Y_m^n\|^2] \leq C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon-1}. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. Making use of (4.1), Hölder's inequality and Itô's isometry gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} Y_m^n\|^2] &\leq 3\mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} E_n(\tau) Y_{m-1}^n\|^2] + 3\tau^2 \mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} E_n(\tau) P_n F(Y_{m-1}^n)\|^2] \\ &\quad + 3\mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} E_n(\tau) P_n \Delta W_{m-1}^Q\|^2] \\ &\leq 3\|(-A_n)^{\frac{1-(\beta-\epsilon)}{2}} E_n(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)}^2 \mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-\epsilon}{2}} Y_{m-1}^n\|^2] \\ &\quad + 3\tau^2 \|(-A_n)^{\frac{1}{2}} E_n(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)}^2 \mathbb{E}[\|P_n F(Y_{m-1}^n)\|^2] \\ &\quad + 3\tau \|(-A_n)^{\frac{1-(\beta-1)}{2}} E_n(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)}^2 \|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_n)}^2 \\ &\leq C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon-1} + C\tau + C\tau^{\beta-1} = C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon-1}(1 + \tau^{-(\beta-\epsilon)+2} + \tau^\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where we also applied (3.6), (4.2)–(4.3) and (3.2) in the penultimate step. The fact that $\tau \in (0, \tau_0)$, $\epsilon > 0$ and $-(\beta - \epsilon) + 2 > 0$ finally ends the proof. \square

4.2 Ergodicity for the space-time full discretization

To prove the ergodicity of $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, we introduce the theory of geometric ergodicity of Markov chain, which was first established by Mattingly, Stuart and Higham in [36] to prove ergodicity of several discretizations based on backward Euler method for SDEs. Then it was applied in [14] to test ergodicity of a modified implicit Euler method for an ergodic one-dimensional damped stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equation.

Assumption 4.3 (Lyapunov condition). *There is a function $V: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ with $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = \infty$ and real numbers $\alpha_1 \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha_2 \in [0, \infty)$ such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[V(x_{k+1}) | \mathcal{F}_k] \leq \alpha_1 V(x_k) + \alpha_2,$$

where \mathcal{F}_k denotes the σ -algebra of events up to and including the k -th iteration.

Definition 4.4. *We say that V is essentially quadratic if there exist $C_i > 0, i = 1, 2, 3$, such that*

$$C_1(1 + |x|^2) \leq V(x) \leq C_2(1 + |x|^2), \quad |\nabla V(x)| \leq C_3(1 + |x|), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Assumption 4.5 (Minorization condition). *The Markov chain $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ on a state space $(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with transition kernel $P_k(x, B) := \mathbb{P}(x_k \in B | x_0 = x), k \in \mathbb{N}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfies, for some fixed compact set $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

(i) *for some $y^* \in \text{int}(S)$ there is, for any $\delta > 0$, a $\bar{k} = \bar{k}(\delta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$P_{\bar{k}}(y, B_\delta(y^*)) > 0, \quad \forall y \in S,$$

where $B_\delta(y^*)$ denotes the open ball of radius δ centered at y^* ;

(ii) *for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the transition kernel $P_k(x, B)$ possesses a density $p_k(x, y)$ such that*

$$P_k(x, B) = \int_B p_k(x, y) dy, \quad \forall x \in S, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap \mathcal{B}(S)$$

and $p_k(x, y)$ is jointly continuous in $(x, y) \in S \times S$.

The following theorem comes from Theorem 2.5 in [36].

Theorem 4.6. *If Markov chain $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies Assumptions 4.3 and 4.5 with an essentially quadratic Lyapunov function V , then $\{x_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is ergodic with a unique invariant measure.*

Armed with the above theorem, we can prove the following result.

Theorem 4.7 (Ergodicity of $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$). *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold and let $\tau < \tau_0 \leq \frac{\lambda_1 - LF}{4L^2}$. Then $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ given by (4.1) is ergodic with a unique invariant measure ν_τ^n .*

Proof. In view of (2.12), we can rewrite (4.1) as

$$Y_m^n = E_n(\tau)Y_{m-1}^n + \tau E_n(\tau)P_n F(Y_{m-1}^n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{q_i} e^{-\lambda_i \tau} \Delta \beta_i^{m-1} e_i \quad (4.8)$$

with the Wiener increments $\Delta \beta_i^{m-1} := \beta_i(t_m) - \beta_i(t_{m-1})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Owing to the independence of $\{\Delta \beta_i^m\}_{i=1}^n$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows from [6, Page xix] that the random variables make a Markov chain. According to Theorem 4.6, it suffices to show $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies the Lyapunov condition and the minorization condition.

Let us first show the Lyapunov condition. Choosing $V(x) = \|x\|^2 + 1$, $x \in H_n$, it is easy to verify that V is essentially quadratic. From (4.1) and the properties of conditional expectation, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[V(Y_{m+1}^n) | \mathcal{F}_m] = \|E_n(\tau)(Y_m^n + \tau P_n F(Y_m^n))\|^2 + \mathbb{E}[\|E_n(\tau)P_n \Delta W_m^Q\|^2] + 1. \quad (4.9)$$

Observing $\|E_n(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} \leq e^{-\lambda_1 \tau}$, (2.8)–(2.9) and applying the weighted Young inequality $ab \leq \varepsilon a^2 + \frac{b^2}{4\varepsilon}$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varepsilon = 3L^2\tau > 0$ enable us to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|E_n(\tau)(Y_m^n + \tau P_n F(Y_m^n))\|^2 \leq e^{-2\lambda_1 \tau} (\|Y_m^n\|^2 + \tau^2 \|F(Y_m^n)\|^2 + 2\tau \langle Y_m^n, F(Y_m^n) \rangle) \\ & \leq e^{-2\lambda_1 \tau} (\|Y_m^n\|^2 + 2\tau^2 \|F(Y_m^n) - F(0)\|^2 + 2\tau^2 \|F(0)\|^2 + 2\tau \langle Y_m^n, F(Y_m^n) - F(0) \rangle + 2\tau \langle Y_m^n, F(0) \rangle) \\ & \leq e^{-2\lambda_1 \tau} (\|Y_m^n\|^2 + 8L^2\tau^2 \|Y_m^n\|^2 + 2\tau^2 \|F(0)\|^2 + 2\tau L_F \|Y_m^n\|^2 + \|F(0)\|^2 / (6L^2)) \\ & \leq e^{-2\lambda_1 \tau} (1 + 2\lambda_1 \tau) \|Y_m^n\|^2 + e^{-2\lambda_1 \tau} \|F(0)\|^2 (1 + 12\tau^2 L^2) / (6L^2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where we used $\tau < \tau_0 \leq \frac{\lambda_1 - LF}{4L^2}$ in the last step. Employing Itô's isometry, (3.6) and (3.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\|E_n(\tau)P_n \Delta W_m^Q\|^2] = \tau \|E_n(\tau)P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_n)}^2 \\ & \leq \tau \|(-A_n)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} E_n(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)}^2 \|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_n)}^2 \leq C\tau^\beta e^{-\lambda_1 \tau}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Inserting (4.10) and (4.11) into (4.9), one can derive

$$\mathbb{E}[V(Y_{m+1}^n) | \mathcal{F}_m] \leq \alpha_1 \|Y_m^n\|^2 + \alpha_2$$

with $\alpha_1 := (1 + 2\lambda_1 \tau) e^{-2\lambda_1 \tau} \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\alpha_2 := e^{-2\lambda_1 \tau} \|F(0)\|^2 (1 + 12\tau^2 L^2) / (6L^2) + C\tau^\beta e^{-\lambda_1 \tau} + 1 \in [0, \infty),$$

which says that Assumption 4.3 is fulfilled with an essentially quadratic Lyapunov function V .

Now we are ready to prove the minorization condition. By the Heine–Borel theorem in the finite-dimensional space H_n , we know that $S_n := \{s \in H_n : \|s\| \leq 1\} \in \mathcal{B}(H_n)$ is a compact set. For any $s \in S_n$ and $z \in Z_n$ with $Z_n \in \mathcal{B}(H_n)$, we use (4.8) together with $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ being an orthonormal basis of H_n to get

$$\Delta \beta_i^{m-1} = (e^{\lambda_i \tau} \langle s, e_i \rangle - \langle z, e_i \rangle - \tau \langle P_n F(z), e_i \rangle) / \sqrt{q_i}, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

which shows that $\{\Delta\beta_i^{m-1}\}_{i=1}^n$ can be properly chosen to guarantee that $Y_m^n = s$ starting from $Y_{m-1}^n = z$. Then the first condition in Assumption 4.5 is fulfilled thanks to the property that Brownian motions hit any cylindrical set with positive probability. It remains to show the second condition in Assumption 4.5. Since each Gaussian random variable $\Delta\beta_i^{m-1}$ admits C^∞ density function, and so does $E_n(\tau)P_n\Delta W_{m-1}^Q$ in (4.8), then the transition kernel $P_1(x, B_n)$ with $x \in S_n \in \mathcal{B}(H_n)$, $B_n \in \mathcal{B}(H_n) \cap \mathcal{B}(S_n)$ possesses a density $p_1(x, y)$, which is jointly continuous in $(x, y) \in S_n \times S_n$. Finally, the time-homogeneous property of Markov chain $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ promises the joint continuity of densities $p_m(x, y)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we complete the proof by Theorem 4.6. \square

4.3 Weak temporal approximation error over long time

Armed with our assumptions, one can easily check that all conditions of the weak error representation formula introduced in [45, Theorem 2.2] are fulfilled. Therefore, we can apply this formula to carry out an easy weak error analysis via some elementary arguments. To adapt our analysis, the formula is listed below with some non-essential changes.

Theorem 4.8 (Weak error representation formula). *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold. Then for any $T = M\tau$ and $\Phi \in C_b^2(H; \mathbb{R})$ the weak error of the exponential Euler scheme (4.1) for the problem (3.1) has the following representation*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(T))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(Y_M^n)] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\langle Dv^n(T-t, \tilde{Y}^n(t)), P_n F(\tilde{Y}^n(t)) - E_n(t-t_m)P_n F(Y_m^n) \rangle] dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr} \{ D^2 v^n(T-t, \tilde{Y}^n(t)) ((P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})(P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^* \\ &\quad - (E_n(t-t_m)P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})(E_n(t-t_m)P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^*) \}] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Here $X^n(T)$ and Y_M^n are determined by (3.8) and (4.1), respectively, and $\tilde{Y}^n(t), \forall t \in [t_m, t_{m+1}]$ is a continuous extension of Y_m^n , defined by

$$\tilde{Y}^n(t) = E_n(t-t_m)(Y_m^n + P_n F(Y_m^n)(t-t_m) + P_n(W^Q(t) - W^Q(t_m))), \quad \forall t \in [t_m, t_{m+1}], \quad (4.13)$$

where $E_n(t-t_m)P_n(W^Q(t) - W^Q(t_m)) := \int_{t_m}^t E_n(t-t_m)P_n dW^Q(s)$.

An approximation result between $\tilde{Y}^n(t)$ and Y_m^n is given by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold. Let $\tau < \tau_0 \leq \frac{\lambda_1 - LF}{4L^2}$ and let $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\tilde{Y}^n(t)$ be given by (4.1) and (4.13), respectively. Then for any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ independent of n, m such that*

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n\|^2] \leq C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon}, \quad \forall t \in [t_m, t_{m+1}]. \quad (4.14)$$

Proof. One can easily derive from (4.13) that

$$\tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n = (E_n(t-t_m) - I)Y_m^n + \int_{t_m}^t E_n(t-t_m)P_n F(Y_m^n) ds + \int_{t_m}^t E_n(t-t_m)P_n dW^Q(s). \quad (4.15)$$

Using the inequality $|a+b+c|^2 \leq 3(|a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2)$ for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n\|^2] &\leq 3\mathbb{E}[\|(E_n(t-t_m) - I)Y_m^n\|^2] + 3\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\int_{t_m}^t E_n(t-t_m)P_n F(Y_m^n) ds\right\|^2\right] \\ &\quad + 3\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\int_{t_m}^t E_n(t-t_m)P_n dW^Q(s)\right\|^2\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from Hölder's inequality and Itô's isometry that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n\|^2] &\leq 3\mathbb{E}[\|(E_n(t-t_m) - I)Y_m^n\|^2] + 3\tau^2\mathbb{E}[\|E_n(t-t_m)P_nF(Y_m^n)\|^2] \\
&\quad + 3(t-t_m)\|E_n(t-t_m)P_nQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H,H_n)}^2 \\
&\leq 3\|(E_n(t-t_m) - I)(-A_n)^{-\frac{\beta-\epsilon}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)}^2\mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-\epsilon}{2}}Y_m^n\|^2] \\
&\quad + 3\tau^2\|E_n(t-t_m)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)}^2\mathbb{E}[\|F(Y_m^n)\|^2] \\
&\quad + 3(t-t_m)\|E_n(t-t_m)(-A_n)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)}^2\|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}P_nQ^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H,H_n)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

One can further employ (3.6)–(3.7), (4.2)–(4.3), (3.2) and the stability of the semigroup $\{E_n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ to get the desired result (4.14). \square

The next theorem gives a time-independent weak error.

Theorem 4.10 (Temporal weak error). *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold. Let $\tau < \tau_0 \leq \frac{\lambda_1 - L_F}{4L^2}$ and let $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be given by (3.1) and (4.1), respectively. Then for any $T > 0$, $n, M \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\Phi \in C_b^2(H, \mathbb{R})$ there exists $C > 0$ independent of T, n, M such that for any $T = M\tau$,*

$$|\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(T))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(Y_M^n)]| \leq C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon}. \quad (4.16)$$

Proof. We first use (4.12) to decompose the weak error at time $T = M\tau$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(T))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(Y_M^n)] \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\langle Dv^n(T-t, \tilde{Y}^n(t)), P_nF(\tilde{Y}^n(t)) - P_nF(Y_m^n) \rangle] dt \\
&\quad + \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\langle Dv^n(T-t, \tilde{Y}^n(t)), (I - E_n(t-t_m))P_nF(Y_m^n) \rangle] dt \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr} \{ D^2v^n(T-t, \tilde{Y}^n(t))(I - E_n(t-t_m))(P_nQ^{\frac{1}{2}})(P_nQ^{\frac{1}{2}})^* \}] dt \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr} \{ D^2v^n(T-t, \tilde{Y}^n(t))E_n(t-t_m)(P_nQ^{\frac{1}{2}})((I - E_n(t-t_m))(P_nQ^{\frac{1}{2}}))^* \}] dt \\
&:= \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} J_1^m + J_2^m + J_3^m + J_4^m.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Below we will estimate these terms separately. For J_1^m , further decomposition leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_1^m| &\leq \left| \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\langle Dv^n(T-t, \tilde{Y}^n(t)) - Dv^n(T-t, Y_m^n), P_nF(\tilde{Y}^n(t)) - P_nF(Y_m^n) \rangle] dt \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\langle Dv^n(T-t, Y_m^n), P_nF(\tilde{Y}^n(t)) - P_nF(Y_m^n) \rangle] dt \right| := J_{11}^m + J_{12}^m.
\end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Applying Taylor's formula in Banach space, (3.27) with $\gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_2 = 0$, (2.9) and (4.14) to J_{11}^m , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{11}^m &\leq \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[\|\langle D^2v^n(T-t, \chi(r))(\tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n), P_nF(\tilde{Y}^n(t)) - P_nF(Y_m^n) \rangle\|] dr dt \\
&\leq C \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + (T-t)^{-\eta}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n\| \|P_nF(\tilde{Y}^n(t)) - P_nF(Y_m^n)\|] dt \\
&\leq C \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + (T-t)^{-\eta}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n\|^2] dt \\
&\leq C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + (T-t)^{-\eta}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} dt,
\end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

where $\chi(r) := Y_m^n + r(\tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n), \forall r \in [0, 1]$. Using Taylor's formula in Banach space again further decomposes J_{12}^m as follows

$$\begin{aligned} J_{12}^m &\leq \left| \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\langle Dv^n(T-t, Y_m^n), P_n F'(Y_m^n)(\tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n) \rangle] dt \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\langle Dv^n(T-t, Y_m^n), \int_0^1 P_n F''(Y_m^n + r(\tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. (\tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n, \tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n)(1-r) dr \rangle] dt \right| := J_{12}^{ma} + J_{12}^{mb}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, (3.26), (3.4) and (2.9), we can derive from (4.15) that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{12}^{ma} &\leq \left| \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\langle Dv^n(T-t, Y_m^n), P_n F'(Y_m^n)(E_n(t-t_m) - I)Y_m^n \rangle] dt \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\langle Dv^n(T-t, Y_m^n), P_n F'(Y_m^n)E_n(t-t_m)P_n F(Y_m^n)(t-t_m) \rangle] dt \right| \\ &\leq C \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + (T-t)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} \mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} P_n F'(Y_m^n)(E_n(t-t_m) - I)Y_m^n\|] dt \\ &\quad + C\tau \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} \mathbb{E}[\|P_n F'(Y_m^n)E_n(t-t_m)P_n F(Y_m^n)\|] dt \\ &\leq C \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + (T-t)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} \mathbb{E}[(1 + \|Y_m^n\|_1)\|(E_n(t-t_m) - I)Y_m^n\|] dt \\ &\quad + C\tau \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} \mathbb{E}[\|E_n(t-t_m)P_n F(Y_m^n)\|] dt. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, elementary inequality, the stability of the semigroup $\{E_n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and (4.3), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{12}^{ma} &\leq C \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + (T-t)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} (1 + (\mathbb{E}[\|Y_m^n\|_1^2])^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-\epsilon}{2}} Y_m^n\|^2])^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \|(-A_n)^{-\frac{1+\beta-\epsilon}{2}}(E_n(t-t_m) - I)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} dt + C\tau \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} dt \\ &\leq C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + (T-t)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} dt + C\tau \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} dt \\ &\leq C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + (T-t)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} dt, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

where (3.7), Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 were employed in the second step. Thanks to (3.26), (3.5) and (4.14), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} J_{12}^{mb} &\leq C \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \int_0^1 \mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^{-\eta} P_n F''(Y_m^n + r(\tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n)) \\ &\quad (\tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n, \tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n)\|] (1 + (T-t)^{-\eta}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} (1-r) dr dt \\ &\leq C \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|\tilde{Y}^n(t) - Y_m^n\|^2] (1 + (T-t)^{-\eta}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} dt \\ &\leq C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + (T-t)^{-\eta}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Putting (4.21)–(4.22) into (4.20) implies

$$J_{12}^m \leq C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + (T-t)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} + (T-t)^{-\eta}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} dt,$$

which together with (4.18)–(4.19) leads to

$$|J_1^m| \leq C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + (T-t)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} + (T-t)^{-\eta}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} dt. \quad (4.23)$$

As to J_2^m , with the help of (3.26), (4.3) and (3.7), we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} |J_2^m| &\leq \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^{1-\epsilon} Dv^n(T-t, \tilde{Y}^n(t))\| \\ &\quad \cdot \|(-A_n)^{-(1-\epsilon)}(I - E_n(t-t_m))\|_{\mathcal{L}_{H_n}} \cdot \|P_n F(Y_m^n)\|] dt \\ &\leq C\tau^{1-\epsilon} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + (T-t)^{-(1-\epsilon)}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

Concerning J_3^m , we employ (2.2), (2.4) and the self-adjointness of A_n to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3^m| &= \left| \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\text{Tr} \{(-A_n)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} D^2 v^n(T-t, \tilde{Y}^n(t))(I - E_n(t-t_m)) \right. \\ &\quad \cdot (P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})((-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^* \}] dt \Big| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} D^2 v^n(T-t, \tilde{Y}^n(t))(-A_n)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}-\epsilon}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} \\ &\quad \cdot \|(-A_n)^{-\frac{1+\beta}{2}+\epsilon}(I - E_n(t-t_m))(P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})((-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^*\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(H_n)}] dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} D^2 v^n(T-t, \tilde{Y}^n(t))(-A_n)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}-\epsilon}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} \\ &\quad \cdot \|(-A_n)^{-(\beta-\epsilon)}(I - E_n(t-t_m))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} \\ &\quad \cdot \|((-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})((-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^*\|_{\mathcal{L}_1(H_n)}] dt. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.3), (3.27), (3.7) and (3.2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |J_3^m| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} \mathbb{E}[\|(-A_n)^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} D^2 v^n(T-t, \tilde{Y}^n(t))(-A_n)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}-\epsilon}\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} \\ &\quad \cdot \|(-A_n)^{-(\beta-\epsilon)}(I - E_n(t-t_m))\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} \|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_n)}^2] dt. \\ &\leq C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + (T-t)^{-\eta} + (T-t)^{-(1-\epsilon)}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

With regard to J_4^m , similarly to J_3^m , we can get

$$|J_4^m| \leq C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + (T-t)^{-\eta} + (T-t)^{-(1-\epsilon)}) e^{-\tilde{c}(T-t)} dt. \quad (4.26)$$

Inserting (4.23), (4.24), (4.25) and (4.26) into (4.17) and using (3.11) yields the required conclusion. \square

4.4 Error of invariant measures for the space-time full discretization

Theorem 4.11. *Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold. Let $\tau < \tau_0 \leq \frac{\lambda_1 - L_F}{4L^2}$ and let ν^n and ν_τ^n be the corresponding unique invariant measure of $\{X^n(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, respectively. Then for any $\Phi \in C_b^2(H, \mathbb{R})$ there exists $C > 0$ independent of n, τ such that*

$$\left| \int_{H_n} \Phi(y) \nu^n(dy) - \int_{H_n} \Phi(y) \nu_\tau^n(dy) \right| \leq C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon}. \quad (4.27)$$

Proof. Theorem 4.7 and the definition of ergodicity imply

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \mathbb{E}[\Phi(Y_m^n)] = \int_{H_n} \Phi(y) \nu_\tau^n(dy), \quad \forall \Phi \in C_b^2(H, \mathbb{R}), \quad (4.28)$$

which in combination with (3.40) and (4.16) results in

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{H_n} \Phi(y) \nu^n(dy) - \int_{H_n} \Phi(y) \nu_\tau^n(dy) \right| &\leq \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{M\tau} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} |\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(t))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(Y_m^n)]| dt \\ &\leq \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{M\tau} \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} |\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(t))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(t_m))]| dt + C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon} := K_1 + C\tau^{\beta-\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Now it remains to treat K_1 . Using (3.24)–(3.25), we can show that for any $t \in [t_m, t_{m+1}]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(t))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(t_m))] &= v^n(t, X_0^n) - v^n(t_m, X_0^n) = \int_{t_m}^t \frac{\partial v^n(s, X_0^n)}{\partial s} ds \\ &= \int_{t_m}^t \langle Dv^n(s, X_0^n), A_n X_0^n + P_n F(X_0^n) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \{ D^2 v^n(s, X_0^n) (P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}) (P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^* \} ds \\ &= - \int_{t_m}^t \langle (-A_n)^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} Dv^n(s, X_0^n), (-A_n)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} X_0^n \rangle ds + \int_{t_m}^t \langle Dv^n(s, X_0^n), P_n F(X_0^n) \rangle ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_m}^t \text{Tr} \{ (-A_n)^{1-\beta} D^2 v^n(s, X_0^n) ((-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}) ((-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}})^* \} ds. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.26)–(3.27), (2.9), (3.2) and $X_0 \in \dot{H}^\beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(t))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(t_m))]| \\ &\leq \int_{t_m}^t \|(-A_n)^{1-\frac{\beta}{2}} Dv^n(s, X_0^n)\| \|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} X_0^n\| ds + \int_{t_m}^t \|Dv^n(s, X_0^n)\| \|P_n F(X_0^n)\| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_m}^t \|(-A_n)^{1-\beta} D^2 v^n(s, X_0^n)\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_n)} \|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_n)}^2 ds \\ &\leq C \|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} X_0^n\| \int_{t_m}^t (1 + s^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1}) e^{-\tilde{c}s} ds + CL(1 + \|X_0^n\|) \int_{t_m}^t e^{-\tilde{c}s} ds \\ &\quad + C \|(-A_n)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} P_n Q^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_2(H, H_n)}^2 \int_{t_m}^t (1 + s^{-\eta} + s^{\beta-1}) e^{-\tilde{c}s} ds \\ &\leq C \int_{t_m}^{t_{m+1}} (1 + s^{-\eta} + s^{\frac{\beta}{2}-1} + s^{\beta-1}) e^{-\tilde{c}s} ds. \end{aligned}$$

With this and (3.11), we can easily get $K_1 = 0$ and hence complete the proof. \square

Remark 4.12. Bearing Remark 3.8 in mind and specializing Theorem 4.11 to the space-time white noise case with $\beta < \frac{1}{2}$ yields that the convergence order between ν^n and ν_τ^n is $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$ for arbitrarily small $\epsilon > 0$, which coincides with that in [7] for the linear implicit Euler scheme. Further applying this theorem to the trace class noise case with $\beta = 1$ gives an order $1 - \epsilon$ with arbitrarily small $\epsilon > 0$ for the convergence rate between ν^n and ν_τ^n in space dimension $d = 1$.

As a direct consequence of Theorems 3.7 and 4.11, we have

Corollary 4.13. Suppose that Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 hold. Let $\tau < \tau_0 \leq \frac{\lambda_1 - L_F}{4L^2}$ and let ν and ν_τ^n be the corresponding unique invariant measure of $\{X(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ and $\{Y_m^n\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$, respectively. Then for any $\Phi \in C_b^2(H, \mathbb{R})$ there exists $C > 0$ independent of n, τ such that

$$\left| \int_H \Phi(y) \nu(dy) - \int_{H_n} \Phi(y) \nu_\tau^n(dy) \right| \leq C(\lambda_n^{-\beta+\epsilon} + \tau^{\beta-\epsilon}). \quad (4.29)$$

Table 1: The temporal averages for different initial values

$\frac{1}{M+1} \sum_{m=0}^M \mathbb{E}[\Phi(Y_m^n)], n = 100, M = \frac{T}{\tau}, \tau = 2^{-6}, \Phi(y) = e^{- y ^2}, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$						
T	$u_0^1(x) = 0, u_0^2(x) = \sqrt{2} \sin(\pi x), u_0^3(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sin(i\pi x)/i$					
	$Q = I$			$\text{Tr}(Q) < \infty$		
	u_0^1	u_0^2	u_0^3	u_0^1	u_0^2	u_0^3
10	0.93451	0.93095	0.93187	0.93828	0.93471	0.93563
20	0.93553	0.93375	0.93421	0.93932	0.93753	0.93799
50	0.93495	0.93424	0.93442	0.93875	0.93803	0.93821
100	0.93506	0.93471	0.93480	0.93885	0.93850	0.93859
200	0.93482	0.93465	0.93469	0.93862	0.93844	0.93848
500	0.93523	0.93516	0.93518	0.93902	0.93895	0.93897

5 Numerical experiments

In this section, some numerical experiments are performed to illustrate the previous findings. We consider an example from [45, Example 3.2] as follows

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + 1 + u + \sin(u) + \dot{W}^Q, & t > 0, x \in (0, 1), \\ u(0, x) = \sqrt{2} \sin(\pi x), & x \in (0, 1), \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = 0, & t > 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

In order to fulfill (2.7) and (2.12), we take $q_i = 1, i \in \mathbb{N}, \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ for the space-time white noise case ($Q = I$) and $q_i = i^{-1.005}, i \in \mathbb{N}, \beta = 1$ for the trace class noise case ($\text{Tr}(Q) < \infty$). Then one can easily show that all conditions in Assumptions 2.1 and 2.3 are satisfied in this setting. We also remark that all the expectations are approximated by computing averages over 100 samples and the exact solutions to (5.1) are identified with the numerical ones using a large $n = 2^{10}$ as reference for the spatial test and a small $\tau = 2^{-15}$ as reference for the temporal test.

By ergodicity, we know that the temporal averages $\frac{1}{M+1} \sum_{m=0}^M \mathbb{E}[\Phi(Y_m^n)]$ should be a constant for all initial values in the whole space and may vary for different test functions $\Phi \in C_b^2(H, \mathbb{R})$. These facts are numerically verified by Table 1 with three different initial values u_0^1, u_0^2, u_0^3 and Table 2 with three different test functions Φ_1, Φ_2, Φ_3 . Additionally, both the spatial and temporal weak errors listed in Table 3 show that these errors are independent of time T .

Next we test the weak convergence orders with $u_0(x) = \sqrt{2} \sin(\pi x), x \in (0, 1)$ being the initial value. To this end, we take $\tau = 2^{-20}, n = 2^{-i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$ for the spatial test and $n = 100, \tau = 2^{-j}, j = 5, 6, \dots, 12$ for the temporal test. We mention that we choose $\Phi(y) = \exp(-|y|^2), y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to be the test function and set the final time $T = 20$, which is large enough to ensure that the equilibrium is reached based on Tables 1 and 2. From Figure 1, one can observe that, the slopes of the error lines and the reference lines match well, indicating that the convergence order is $1 - \epsilon$ in space and $\frac{1}{2} - \epsilon$ in time for the space-time white noise case and $2 - \epsilon$ in space and $1 - \epsilon$ in time for the trace class noise case with arbitrarily small $\epsilon > 0$.

Finally we fix $n = 100$ and also compare weak errors of the exponential Euler scheme with those of the existing linear implicit Euler scheme in [7, 10]. From Table 4, we can see that the exponential Euler scheme is always considerably more accurate than the linear implicit Euler scheme.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to the two anonymous referees whose insightful comments and valuable suggestions are crucial to the improvements of the manuscript.

Table 2: The temporal averages for different test functions


$$\frac{1}{M+1} \sum_{m=0}^M \mathbb{E}[\Phi(Y_m^n)], n = 100, M = \frac{T}{\tau}, \tau = 2^{-6}, u_0(x) = \sqrt{2} \sin(\pi x)$$

T	$\Phi_1(y) = e^{- y ^2}, \Phi_2(y) = \sin(y), \Phi_3(y) = \cos(y), y \in \mathbb{R}^n$					
	$Q = I$			$\text{Tr}(Q) < \infty$		
	Φ_1	Φ_2	Φ_3	Φ_1	Φ_2	Φ_3
10	0.93059	0.22854	0.96248	0.93471	0.21735	0.96448
20	0.93375	0.22412	0.96426	0.93753	0.21281	0.96627
50	0.93424	0.22338	0.96463	0.93803	0.21207	0.96664
100	0.93471	0.22260	0.96494	0.93850	0.21128	0.96695
200	0.93465	0.22269	0.96492	0.93844	0.21138	0.96693
500	0.93516	0.22176	0.96521	0.93859	0.21043	0.96723


Table 3: The spatial weak errors and the temporal weak errors

$$T = M\tau, u_0(x) = \sqrt{2} \sin(\pi x), \Phi(y) = e^{-|y|^2}, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

T	$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X(T))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(T))]$		$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(T))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(Y_M^n)]$	
	$n = 50, n_{\text{ref}} = 100, \tau = 2^{-5}$		$n = 100, \tau = 2^{-5}, \tau_{\text{ref}} = 2^{-8}$	
	$Q = I$	$\text{Tr}(Q) < \infty$	$Q = I$	$\text{Tr}(Q) < \infty$
10	0.0000043250	0.0000043352	0.0323918796	0.0236526091
20	0.0000032528	0.0000032601	0.0325885619	0.0249577624
50	0.0000030435	0.0000030462	0.0289957068	0.0218740569
100	0.0000025270	0.0000025277	0.0349042067	0.0276291459
200	0.0000035050	0.0000035119	0.0297790439	0.0226310914
500	0.0000029597	0.0000029627	0.0347515849	0.0261828866



(a) spatial weak convergence orders



(b) temporal weak convergence orders

Figure 1: The weak convergence orders for trace class noise case and space-time white noise case

Table 4: The temporal weak errors for exponential Euler (EE) scheme and linear implicit Euler (LIE) scheme with $n = 100$, $\tau_{\text{ref}} = 2^{-15}$ and $T = 20$

$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(X^n(T))] - \mathbb{E}[\Phi(Y_M^n)], M = T/\tau, u_0(x) = \sqrt{2}\sin(\pi x), \Phi(y) = e^{- y ^2}, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$				
τ	$Q = I$		$\text{Tr}(Q) < \infty$	
	EE	LIE	EE	LIE
2^{-5}	0.0458270571	0.0723812355	0.0270082268	0.0559207587
2^{-6}	0.0330721299	0.0623557903	0.0167672984	0.0466522564
2^{-7}	0.0227228795	0.0482236813	0.0094952116	0.0334350770
2^{-8}	0.0157090897	0.0364990864	0.0054211588	0.0230548773
2^{-9}	0.0106864989	0.0260774425	0.0030290821	0.0145068919
2^{-10}	0.0069976095	0.0180278780	0.0016330434	0.0088346321

References

- [1] A. Abdulle, G. Vilmart, and K. C. Zygalakis. High order numerical approximation of the invariant measure of ergodic SDEs. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 52(4):1600–1622, 2014.
- [2] A. Andersson, R. Kruse, and S. Larsson. Duality in refined Sobolev–Malliavin spaces and weak approximation of SPDE. *Stoch. PDE: Anal. Comp.*, 4(1):113–149, 2016.
- [3] A. Andersson and S. Larsson. Weak convergence for a spatial approximation of the nonlinear stochastic heat equation. *Math. Comp.*, 85(299):1335–1358, 2016.
- [4] R. Anton, D. Cohen, S. Larsson, and X. Wang. Full discretization of semilinear stochastic wave equations driven by multiplicative noise. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 54(2):1093–1119, 2016.
- [5] R. Anton, D. Cohen, and L. Quer-Sardanyons. A fully discrete approximation of the one-dimensional stochastic heat equation. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 40(1):247–284, 2020.
- [6] A. A. Borovkov. *Ergodicity and Stability of Stochastic Processes*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. Wiley, Chichester, 1998.
- [7] C.-E. Bréhier. Approximation of the invariant measure with an Euler scheme for stochastic PDEs driven by space-time white noise. *Potential Anal.*, 40(1):1–40, 2014.
- [8] C.-E. Bréhier and A. Debussche. Kolmogorov equations and weak order analysis for SPDEs with nonlinear diffusion coefficient. *J. Math. Pure. Appl.*, 119:193–254, 2018.
- [9] C.-E. Bréhier, M. Hairer, and A. M. Stuart. Weak error estimates for trajectories of SPDEs for Spectral Galerkin discretization. *J. Comput. Math.*, 36(2):159–182, 2018.
- [10] C.-E. Bréhier and M. Kopec. Approximation of the invariant law of SPDEs: error analysis using a Poisson equation for a full-discretization scheme. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 37:1375–1410, 2017.
- [11] C.-E. Bréhier and G. Vilmart. High order integrator for sampling the invariant distribution of a class of parabolic stochastic PDEs with additive space-time noise. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.*, 38(4):A2283–A2306, 2016.
- [12] S. Cerrai. *Second Order PDE’s in Finite and Infinite Dimension: A Probabilistic Approach*, volume 1762 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 2001.

- [13] C. Chen, J. Hong, and Y. Lu. Approximation of invariant measures for stochastic differential equations with piecewise continuous arguments via backward Euler method. *arXiv:1906.04096*, 2019.
- [14] C. Chen, J. Hong, and X. Wang. Approximation of invariant measure for damped stochastic nonlinear Schrödinger equation via an ergodic numerical scheme. *Potential Anal.*, 46(2):323–367, 2017.
- [15] C. Chen and D. Liu. Ergodic approximation to chemical reaction system with delay. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 57(1):70–95, 2019.
- [16] D. Cohen, S. Larsson, and M. Sigg. A trigonometric method for the linear stochastic wave equation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 51(1):204–222, 2013.
- [17] D. Cohen and L. Quer-Sardanyons. A fully discrete approximation of the one-dimensional stochastic wave equation. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 36(1):400–420, 2016.
- [18] D. Conus, A. Jentzen, and R. Kurniawan. Weak convergence rates of spectral Galerkin approximations for SPDEs with nonlinear diffusion coefficients. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 29(2):653–716, 2019.
- [19] S. Cox, A. Jentzen, and F. Lindner. Weak convergence rates for temporal numerical approximations of stochastic wave equations with multiplicative noise. *arXiv:1901.05535*, 2019.
- [20] G. Da Prato. *An Introduction to Infinite-Dimensional Analysis*. Universitext, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- [21] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*, volume 44 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1992.
- [22] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk. *Ergodicity for Infinite Dimensional Systems*, volume 229 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [23] A. Debussche. Weak approximation of stochastic partial differential equations: the nonlinear case. *Math. Comp.*, 80(273):89–117, 2011.
- [24] M. Hochbruck and A. Ostermann. Exponential integrators. *Acta Numerica*, 19:209–286, 2010.
- [25] J. Hong and X. Wang. *Invariant Measures for Stochastic Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations*, volume 2251 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd, Singapore, 2019.
- [26] J. Hong, X. Wang, and L. Zhang. Numerical analysis on ergodic limit of approximations for stochastic NLS equation via multi-symplectic scheme. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 55(1):305–327, 2017.
- [27] A. Jentzen, P. Kloeden, and G. Winkel. Efficient simulation of nonlinear parabolic SPDEs with additive noise. *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, 21(3):908–950, 2011.
- [28] A. Jentzen and P. E. Kloeden. Overcoming the order barrier in the numerical approximation of stochastic partial differential equations with additive space-time noise. *Proc. R. Soc. A*, 465(2102):649–667, 2009.
- [29] A. Jentzen and R. Kurniawan. Weak convergence rates for Euler-type approximations of semilinear stochastic evolution equations with nonlinear diffusion coefficients. *arXiv:1501.03539*, 2015.
- [30] P. E. Kloeden, G. J. Lord, A. Neuenkirch, and T. Shardlow. The exponential integrator scheme for stochastic partial differential equations: Pathwise error bounds. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 235(5):1245–1260, 2011.
- [31] P. E. Kloeden and E. Platen. *Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations*, volume 23 of *Applications of Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 1992.

- [32] M. Kovács, S. Larsson, and F. Saedpanah. Finite element approximation of the linear stochastic wave equation with additive noise. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 48(2):408–427, 2010.
- [33] R. Kruse. *Strong and Weak Approximation of Semilinear Stochastic Evolution Equations*, volume 2093 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Switzerland, 2014.
- [34] G. J. Lord, C. E. Powell, and T. Shardlow. *An Introduction to Computational Stochastic PDEs*, volume 50 of *Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, New York, 2014.
- [35] G. J. Lord and A. Tambue. Stochastic exponential integrators for the finite element discretization of SPDEs for multiplicative and additive noise. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 33(2):515–543, 2013.
- [36] J. C. Mattingly, A. M. Stuart, and D. J. Higham. Ergodicity for SDEs and approximations: locally Lipschitz vector fields and degenerate noise. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 101(2):185–232, 2002.
- [37] J. C. Mattingly, A. M. Stuart, and M. V. Tretyakov. Convergence of numerical time-averaging and stationary measures via Poisson equations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 48(2):552–577, 2010.
- [38] G. N. Milstein and M. V. Tretyakov. Computing ergodic limits for Langevin equations. *Phys. D*, 229(1):81–95, 2007.
- [39] C. Prévôt and M. Röckner. *A Concise Course on Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*, volume 1905 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [40] G. R. Sell and Y. You. *Dynamics of Evolutionary Equations*, volume 143 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer Science and Business Media, New York, 2002.
- [41] D. Talay. Second-order discretization schemes of stochastic differential systems for the computation of the invariant law. *Stochastics*, 29(1):13–36, 1990.
- [42] D. Talay. Stochastic Hamiltonian systems: exponential convergence to the invariant measure, and discretization by the implicit Euler scheme. *Markov Process. Related Fields*, 8(2):163–198, 2002.
- [43] A. Tambue and J. M. T. Ngnotchouye. Weak convergence for a stochastic exponential integrator and finite element discretization of stochastic partial differential equation with multiplicative & additive noise. *Appl. Numer. Math.*, 108:57–86, 2016.
- [44] X. Wang. An exponential integrator scheme for time discretization of nonlinear stochastic wave equation. *J. Sci. Comput.*, 64(1):234–263, 2015.
- [45] X. Wang. Weak error estimates of the exponential Euler scheme for semi-linear SPDEs without Malliavin calculus. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Sys-Ser. A*, 36(1):481–497, 2016.
- [46] X. Wang and S. Gan. Weak convergence analysis of the linear implicit Euler method for semilinear stochastic partial differential equations with additive noise. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 398(1):151–169, 2013.