

SOME REMARKS IN ELEMENTARY PRIME NUMBER THEORY

RAFFAELE MARCOVECCHIO

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the many nice features of the Selberg integral (see [9]), i.e. of

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} S_n(\alpha, \beta; \gamma) &= \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{\alpha-1} (1-x_i)^{\beta-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |x_i - x_j|^{2\gamma} dx_1 \cdots dx_n \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + j\gamma)\Gamma(\beta + j\gamma)\Gamma(1 + (j+1)\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + (n+j-1)\gamma)\Gamma(1 + \gamma)}, \end{aligned}$$

is that it can be used in elementary methods for the study of the distribution of prime numbers. In the most general setting α, β, γ are complex numbers such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\alpha), \operatorname{Re}(\beta) > 0, \quad \operatorname{Re}(\gamma) > -\min \left\{ \frac{1}{n}, \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)}{n-1}, \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\beta)}{n-1} \right\}.$$

Such elementary methods in prime number theory are developments of a method introduced by Gelfond and Schnirelmann [4] (see also [7, Chapter 10] for a detailed analysis on this and some other related methods). We refer the reader to [3] for a survey on the relevance of the integral (1), and to [2] for a general survey on the prime number theory.

For $\gamma = 1$ the integral (1) evaluates a determinant of Hankel's type:

$$(2) \quad \frac{1}{n!} S_n(\alpha, \beta; 1) = \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left(\int_0^1 x^{\alpha+i+j-3} (1-x)^{\beta-1} dx \right).$$

This is easily seen by using a classical argument due to Heine (see e.g. [10]). In the papers [8] and [1], independently, (2) was used in order to generalize the Gelfond–Schnirelmann method. In [8] (and in [1] with similar considerations), by taking $\alpha = \beta = [sn]$ the greatest integer not exceeding sn , for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $s = 0.39191162\dots$, a new elementary proof of the following theorem is obtained:

Theorem 1.1 (Nair [8]). *For all sufficiently large x , we have*

$$(3) \quad \psi_1(x) \geq (0.49517\dots)x^2 + O(x \log^2 x).$$

Date: November 6, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary ; Secondary .

Key words and phrases. keywords.

Here $\psi_1(x)$ is the sum function

$$\psi_1(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \psi(n),$$

and $\psi(x)$ is Chebyshev's ψ -function. Combining (1) and (2), and evaluating the Euler beta integrals, we obtain

$$(4) \quad \Gamma(\beta)^n \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + i + j - 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + i + j - 2)} \right) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + j)\Gamma(\beta + j)j!}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n + j - 1)}.$$

In [8], the required upper bound of $S_n([sn], [sn]; 1)$ is obtained by computing the maximum of the integrand in $S_n([sn], [sn]; 1)$ over $[0, 1]^n$, while no use is made of the evaluation (4).

The aim of this paper is twofold. We give a somehow more direct proof of (a generalisation of) (4), and use this formula to obtain the required upper bound when $\alpha = \beta = [sn]$, thus getting a slightly new proof of (3). Though none of these two remarks has special novelty, it seems hopeful that the general setting alluded to above, and even further generalizations occurring when γ is a general positive integer (not necessarily 1), might eventually lead to interesting developments.

2. A NICE DETERMINANT

In this section we review the proof of (3) in [1] and [8]. We recall the following evaluation from [6]:

Lemma 2.1. [6, Lemma 3] *For all indeterminates $X_1, \dots, X_n, A_2, \dots, A_n, B_2, \dots, B_n$ we have*

$$\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left((X_i + B_2) \cdots (X_i + B_j)(X_i + A_{j+1}) \cdots (X_i + A_n) \right) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (X_i - X_j) \prod_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} (B_i - A_j).$$

By choosing $X_i = i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), $B_i = \alpha + i - 3$ and $A_i = \alpha + \beta + i - 3$ ($i = 2, \dots, n$) one easily gets (4).

From the Stirling formula it easily follows that

$$\log(1! \cdots n!) = \frac{n^2}{2} \log n - \frac{3}{4}n^2 + O(n \log n) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Let us denote by $\Delta_n(s)$ the quantity in (4) with $\alpha = \beta = [sn]$, where s is a positive parameter to be chosen later. Then

$$\Delta_n(s) = 1! \cdots (n-1)! \left(\frac{1! \cdots ([sn] + n - 2)!}{1! \cdots ([sn] - 2)!} \right)^2 \frac{1! \cdots (2[sn] + n - 3)!}{1! \cdots (2[sn] + 2n - 3)!}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \log \Delta_n(s) &= \left(\frac{(2s+1)^2}{2} \log(2s+1) - s^2 \log s - (s+1)^2 \log(s+1) - 2(s+1)^2 \log 2 \right) n^2 \\ &\quad + O(n \log n) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the Pochhammer symbol $(x)_\beta$ for the shifted factorial,

$$\frac{\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(\alpha + i + j - 2)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + i + j - 2)} = \frac{(\beta - 1)!}{(\alpha + i + j - 2)_\beta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\beta-1} \binom{\beta-1}{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{\alpha + i + j + k - 2}.$$

It follows that

$$d_{\alpha+\beta+i+j-1} \frac{(\beta-1)!}{(\alpha+i+j-2)_\beta} \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where d_m denotes the least common multiple of $1, \dots, m$. Hence

$$(5) \quad d_{\alpha+\beta+i+j-1} \frac{(\beta-1)!}{(\alpha+i+j-2)_\beta} \geq 1.$$

However, due to the above determinant calculation, we get the following improved inequality:

$$(6) \quad \prod_{i=1}^n d_{\alpha+\beta+n+i-3} \frac{(n-i)!(\beta+i-2)!}{(\alpha+i-1)_{\beta+n-1}} \geq 1.$$

Therefore

$$\psi_1(2[sn] + 2n) - \psi_1(2[sn] + n) \geq -\log \Delta_n(s).$$

Thus, after some calculations one arrives at (3).

One may get in the mood of using this special case of [6, Lemma 9], i.e. [6, Lemma 3] with $X_i + B_j$ replaced by $(X_i + B_j)(X_i - B_j - C)$, $X_i + A_j$ by $(X_i + A_j)(X_i - A_j - C)$, $X_i - X_j$ by $(X_i - X_j)(C - X_i - X_j)$ and $B_i - A_i$ by $(B_i - A_j)(B_i + A_j + C)$. This can be easily obtained by putting $X_i = -(Y_i - C/2)^2$, $A_i = (E_i + C/2)^2$ and $B_i = (F_i + C/2)^2$.

3. A PRETENTIOUS GENERALIZATION (BUT ALMOST SUITABLE FOR ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS)

If we let $B_i = i$ and $A_i = \beta + i$ in Lemma 2.1, we obtain the following generalization of (4):

$$\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left(\frac{(\beta-1)!}{(x_i + j + 1)_\beta} \right) = \frac{(\beta-1)! \cdots (\beta+n-2)!}{(x_1+2)_{\beta+n-1} \cdots (x_n+2)_{\beta+n-1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i).$$

This implies that

$$d_{x_1+\beta+n} \cdots d_{x_n+\beta+n} \frac{(\beta-1)! \cdots (\beta+n-2)!}{(x_1+2)_{\beta+n-1} \cdots (x_n+2)_{\beta+n-1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (x_j - x_i) \geq 1,$$

for all positive integers β, x_1, \dots, x_n such that x_1, \dots, x_n are distinct. The Chudnovsky–Nair determinant is the special case where x_1, \dots, x_n are consecutive numbers.

4. CONCLUSIONS

No further interesting application seems to come out of considerations of hyperdeterminants [5], when $\gamma > 1$, or q -analogs. Therefore the main contribution of this short note, if any, is to enlighten the improvement from (5) to (6).

REFERENCES

- [1] G.V.Chudnovsky, *Number theoretic applications of polynomials with rational coefficients defined by extremality conditions*, in: Arithmetic and Geometry, vol. I, M.Artin and J.Tate eds., Birkhäuser, Boston (1983), 61–105.
- [2] H.G.Diamond, *Elementary methods in the study of the distribution of prime numbers*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **7**:3 (1982), 553–589.
- [3] P.J.Forrester and S.O.Warnaar, *The importance of the Selberg integral*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **45**:4 (2008), 489–534.
- [4] A.O.Gelfond, *Commentary on the papers “On the estimation of the number of primes not exceeding a given value” and “On prime numbers”*, Collected Works of P.L.Chebyshev, Vol. I, Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Moscow-Leningrad (1946), 285–288 (in Russian).
- [5] J.-G.Luque and J.-Y.Thibon, *Hankel hyperdeterminants and Selberg integrals*, J. Phys. A **36** (2003), 5267–5292.
- [6] C.Krattenthaler, *Advanced determinant calculus*, Sémin. Lothar. Combin. **42** (1999), B42q, 67pp.
- [7] H.L.Montgomery, *Ten lectures on interfaces between analytic number theory and harmonic analysis*, CBMS **84**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1994.
- [8] M.Nair, *A new method in elementary prime number theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **25** (1982), 385–391.
- [9] A.Selberg, *Bemerkninger om et multipelt integral*, Norsk. Mat. Tidsskr. **24** (1944), 71–78.
- [10] W.Zudilin, *A determinantal approach to irrationality*, Constr. Approx. **45** (2017), 301–310.

DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA E GEOLOGIA, UNIVERSITÀ DI CHIETI-PESCARA, VIALE PINDARO, 42, 65127 PESCARA, ITALY

E-mail address: raffaele.marcovecchio@unich.it