

AN INTEGRALGEOMETRIC APPROACH TO DORRONSORO ESTIMATES

TUOMAS ORPONEN

ABSTRACT. A theorem of Dorronsoro from 1985 quantifies the fact that a Lipschitz function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can be approximated by affine functions almost everywhere, and at sufficiently small scales. This paper contains a new, purely geometric, proof of Dorronsoro's theorem. In brief, it reduces the problem in \mathbb{R}^n to a problem in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} via integralgeometric considerations. For the case $n = 1$, a short geometric proof already exists in the literature.

A similar proof technique applies to parabolic Lipschitz functions $f: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. A natural Dorronsoro estimate in this class is known, due to Hofmann. The method presented here allows one to reduce the parabolic problem to the Euclidean one, and to obtain an elementary proof also in this setting. As a corollary, I deduce an analogue of Rademacher's theorem for parabolic Lipschitz functions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Existing proof strategies	2
1.2. Motivation for a geometric proof	3
1.3. Dorronsoro estimates for parabolic Lipschitz functions	3
1.4. Acknowledgements	3
2. Proofs in Euclidean space	3
2.1. Measures on the affine Grassmannian	4
2.2. Integralgeometric β numbers	4
2.3. Estimates for the integralgeometric β numbers	5
2.4. Proof of the main theorem	6
3. Proofs in parabolic space	12
3.1. Parabolic Lipschitz functions	12
3.2. Some auxiliary coefficients	15
3.3. Estimates for the auxiliary coefficients	15
3.4. Proof of the Dorronsoro estimate	18
3.5. Rademacher's theorem	20
References	22

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26B05 (Primary) 42B35 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. Quantitative differentiation, Lipschitz functions, β numbers.

T.O. is supported by the Academy of Finland via the project *Quantitative rectifiability in Euclidean and non-Euclidean spaces*, grant No. 309365.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz function. By Rademacher's theorem, f is differentiable Lebesgue almost everywhere. In particular, f is approximated by affine maps on sufficiently small neighbourhoods of almost every point in \mathbb{R}^n . Quantifying this statement is a classical problem. Consider the following coefficients:

$$\beta_p(Q) := \inf_A \left[\frac{1}{\text{diam}(Q)^n} \int_Q \left(\frac{|f - A|}{\text{diam}(Q)} \right)^p d\mathcal{L}^n \right]^{1/p},$$

where $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded set, $1 \leq p < \infty$, and \inf runs over all affine maps $A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For $p = \infty$, define

$$\beta_\infty(Q) := \inf_A \sup_{x \in Q} \frac{|f(x) - A(x)|}{\text{diam}(Q)}.$$

Assuming that f is L -Lipschitz, one has $\beta_p(Q) \lesssim L$ for any bounded set $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Moreover, Rademacher's theorem implies that $\beta_p(B(x, r)) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$ for Lebesgue almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In the 1985, Dorronsoro [7, Theorem 2] quantified this corollary of Rademacher's theorem in the following way:

Theorem 1.1 (Dorronsoro's theorem). *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an L -Lipschitz function. Then, for $1 \leq p < 2n/(n-2)$ (and $p = \infty$ for $n = 1$), the following holds for any $C \geq 1$:*

$$\sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} \beta_p(CQ)^2 |Q| \lesssim_C L |Q_0|, \quad Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Here \mathcal{D} is the family of standard dyadic cubes on \mathbb{R}^n , $|Q| = \ell(Q)^n$ stands for the Lebesgue measure of Q , and CQ is the cube which is concentric with Q and has $\text{diam}(CQ) = C \text{diam}(Q)$.

This paper contains a new, purely geometric, proof of Theorem 1.1. Dorronsoro's theorem has numerous applications in the theory of quantitative rectifiability and singular integrals; here are a few examples. Jones [15] used the case $n = 1$ to give a proof for the L^2 -boundedness of the Cauchy integral on Lipschitz graphs. A similar approach works in higher dimensions, and for more general singular integrals, as shown by Tolsa [19]. Dorronsoro's theorem is an important tool in the machinery behind David and Semmes' theory of uniformly rectifiable sets, see [5, Section 10]. Recently, Dorronsoro's theorem was used as a tool in Azzam and Schul's work [2] on higher dimensional traveling salesman theorems.

1.1. Existing proof strategies. There are at least three different proofs of Theorem 1.1 in the literature. Dorronsoro's original argument in [7] is rather indirect. Instead of Lipschitz functions, Dorronsoro formulates his result in terms of functions in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. During the proof, Dorronsoro first establishes an analogue of his result for functions in the fractional order Sobolev spaces $W^{\alpha,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$, and finally derives the case $\alpha = 1$ (the most relevant case for geometric applications) by complex interpolation. This is not an elementary proof, but it also gives much more information than Theorem 1.1 (which is only a special case of [7, Theorem 2]).

A much shorter proof is outlined in the appendix of Azzam's paper [1], see in particular [1, p. 645]. This proof avoids interpolation, but is crucially based on the Fourier transform converting differentiation into multiplication. It is not clear – at least to the

author – who this approach should be attributed to. Azzam states that the proof is well-known, and that pieces of it can be found in the lectures [4] of Christ, and unpublished lecture notes of David.

Most recently, Hytönen and Naor [14, Theorem 5] found another proof which avoids complex interpolation. It is based on basic properties of the heat semigroup, Littlewood-Paley theory, martingale arguments, and Rota's representation theorem. The proof of Hytönen and Naor is longer than Azzam's, but perhaps lighter than Dorronsoro's original: it does not require developing the theory of fractional order Sobolev spaces.

1.2. Motivation for a geometric proof. There is one more proof of Dorronsoro's theorem, which was not mentioned above: when $n = 1$, one can infer Theorem 1.1 from Jones' traveling salesman theorem [16] applied to the the graph $\Gamma_f \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ of the Lipschitz function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In addition to Jones' original complex-analytic argument, at least two different geometric proofs of the traveling salesman theorem are available, due to Okikiolu [17] and Bishop and Peres [3, Chapter 10]. Further, in the special case of graphs, Okikiolu's argument simplifies very substantially: to the best of my knowledge, the shortest (published) proof of Theorem 1.1 for $n = 1$ can be found in the book of Garnett and Marshall, [9, Chapter X, Lemma 2.4].

This approach was omitted from the previous section for the simple reason that it only works when $n = 1$. In this case, however, especially the proof in [9] is much shorter and more elementary than the methods which work for all $n \geq 1$. In this paper, an equally elementary proof is given for all $n \geq 1$. It does not attempt to generalise any of the geometric arguments from the case $n = 1$; rather, it reduces the problem in \mathbb{R}^n to a problem in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} by integralgeometric considerations. The heaviest tools are Chebyshev's inequality and Fubini's theorem. The case $n = 2$ is particularly simple, and is given separately in Section 2.4.1.

1.3. Dorronsoro estimates for parabolic Lipschitz functions. The technique developed in the paper can also be used to give an elementary proof of a Dorronsoro estimate for *parabolic Lipschitz functions*. The result is originally due to Hofmann [10], but the proof in [10] is even less elementary than the existing proofs of Dorronsoro's theorem in \mathbb{R}^n , see Remark 3.9 for further commentary. To keep the introduction short, I postpone the definition of parabolic Lipschitz functions to Section 3. Once the correct notation has been set up, the main result in the parabolic setting looks exactly the same as Theorem 1.1 (for $p = 2$), see Theorem 3.8.

As a quick application, I close the paper by deriving an analogue of Rademacher's theorem for parabolic Lipschitz functions, see Theorem 3.10. As far as I know, this result is new.

1.4. Acknowledgements. I thank Katrin Fässler for many useful discussions during the preparation of this paper, and in particular for pointing out the references [6, 11]. I am also thankful to Martí Prats for pointing out the reference [9].

2. PROOFS IN EUCLIDEAN SPACE

Let $D(n, p)$ be the statement of Theorem 1.1 for $n \geq 1$ and $p \in [1, \infty]$, and note that $D(n, q)$ is a stronger statement than $D(n, p)$ for $q \geq p$. Most of Dorronsoro's paper [7] is devoted to establishing $D(n, 1)$ for all $n \geq 1$, and then $D(n, p)$ for $1 \leq p < 2n/(n - 2)$ is reduced to $D(n, 1)$ in [7, Section 5].

The structure of the proof below can be described as follows:

$$D(1, \infty) + D(n-1, 2) \implies D(n, 2), \quad n \geq 2.$$

In particular, assuming $D(1, \infty)$, one obtains $D(n, p)$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq 2$. For $2 < p < 2n/(n-2)$, one needs to reduce $D(n, p)$ to $D(n, 1)$ as in [7, Section 5].

2.1. Measures on the affine Grassmannian. Let $0 \leq m \leq n$, and let \mathcal{A}_m be the family of all (affine) m -planes in \mathbb{R}^n . For $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we write

$$\mathcal{A}_m(B) := \{V \in \mathcal{A}_m : B \cap V \neq \emptyset\}.$$

We denote by η_m the unique translation invariant Borel measure on \mathcal{A}_m with the normalisation

$$\eta_m(\mathcal{A}_m(B(1))) = 1.$$

Here $B(1) := B(0, 1)$ is the open unit ball centred at the origin, and translation invariance means that

$$\eta_m(\{V + x : V \in \mathcal{V}\}) = \eta_m(\mathcal{V})$$

for all Borel subsets $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{A}_m$, and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In the cases $m = 0$ and $m = n$ one has $\eta_0 = c_n \mathcal{L}^n$ and $\eta_n = \delta_{\mathbb{R}^n}$.

In fact, only the measures η_1 and η_{n-1} will be needed below, and I record a pair of useful representations for them. If $e \in S^{n-1}$, let ρ_e be the orthogonal projection to the line $\ell_e := \text{span}(e)$, and let π_e be the orthogonal projection to $V_e := e^\perp$. Then, for some constants $c_1, c_{n-1} > 0$, one has

$$\eta_1(\mathcal{L}) = c_1 \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\{v \in V_e : \pi_e^{-1}\{v\} \in \mathcal{L}\}) d\sigma(e), \quad \mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{A}_1, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\eta_{n-1}(\mathcal{V}) = c_{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathcal{H}^1(\{t \in \ell_e : \rho_e^{-1}\{t\} \in \mathcal{V}\}) d\sigma(e), \quad \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{A}_{n-1}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\sigma := \mathcal{H}^{n-1}|_{S^{n-1}}$. The reader can either check that (2.1)-(2.2) define translation invariant measures on \mathcal{A}_1 and \mathcal{A}_{n-1} . Alternatively, (2.1)-(2.2) can be considered as the definitions of η_1 and η_{n-1} .

2.2. Integralgeometric β numbers. Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. For

$$0 \leq m \leq d, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad V \in \mathcal{A}_m, \quad \text{and} \quad Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

we define

$$\beta_p(Q, V) := \inf_A \left[\frac{1}{\text{diam}(Q)^m} \int_{Q \cap V} \left(\frac{|f - A|}{\text{diam}(Q)} \right)^p d\mathcal{H}^m \right]^{1/p}.$$

Here the inf runs over all affine maps $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The reader is supposed to think "cube" when seeing "Q", but the definition applies more generally. Note that the index m on the right hand side is implicitly determined by the dimension of the plane V . I also define $\beta_\infty(Q, V)$ as expected:

$$\beta_\infty(Q, V) := \inf_A \sup_{x \in Q \cap V} \frac{|f(x) - A(x)|}{\text{diam}(Q)}.$$

Finally, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$, and a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, define the *integralgeometric β number*

$$\beta_{p,q}^m(Q) := \left[\int_{\mathcal{A}_m(Q)} \beta_p(Q, V)^q d\eta_m(V) \right]^{1/q}. \quad (2.3)$$

Example 2.4. Since $\mathcal{A}_n = \{\mathbb{R}^n\}$ and $\eta_n = \delta_{\mathbb{R}^n}$, observe that

$$\beta_{p,q}^n(Q) = \beta_p(Q, \mathbb{R}^n) = \inf_A \left[\frac{1}{\text{diam}(Q)^n} \int_Q \left(\frac{|f - A|}{\text{diam}(Q)} \right)^p d\mathcal{L}^n \right]^{1/p} = \beta_p(Q)$$

for any $1 \leq q < \infty$, where $\beta_p(C_0Q)$ was defined in the first section. The number $\beta_{p,q}^0(Q)$, on the other hand, is not terribly useful:

$$\beta_{p,q}^0(Q) = \left[\int_Q \beta_p(Q, \{x\})^q d\mathcal{L}^n(x) \right]^{1/q} = 0$$

for any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, since evidently $\beta_p(Q, \{x\}) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

2.3. Estimates for the integralgeometric β numbers. As already mentioned in the introduction, there is a simple geometric proof available for the case $n = 1$ of Theorem 1.1, namely [9, Chapter X, Lemma 2.4] (or [3, 16, 17]). I emphasise that the proof in [9] gives the case $n = 1$ of Theorem 1.1 for $p = \infty$; this is crucial below. The following estimate for the numbers $\beta_{\infty,2}^1(Q)$ is an easy corollary:

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an L -Lipschitz function. Then, for any $C \geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} \beta_{\infty,2}^1(CQ)^2 |Q| \lesssim_C L |Q_0|, \quad Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Proof. Start by observing that

$$\eta_1(\mathcal{A}_1(CQ)) \sim_C \text{diam}(Q)^{n-1}, \quad Q \in \mathcal{D},$$

as follows easily from (2.1). We then fix $Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}$ and make the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} \beta_{\infty,2}^1(CQ)^2 |Q| &= \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} \left[\int_{\mathcal{A}_1(CQ)} \beta_{\infty}(CQ, V)^2 d\eta_1(\ell) \right] |Q| \\ &\lesssim_C \int_{\mathcal{A}_1(CQ_0)} \sum_{\substack{Q \subset Q_0 \\ CQ \cap \ell \neq \emptyset}} \beta_{\infty}(CQ, \ell)^2 \text{diam}(Q) d\eta_1(\ell) \\ &\lesssim_C L \int_{\mathcal{A}_1(CQ_0)} \text{diam}(Q_0) d\eta_1(\ell) \sim_C L |Q_0|^n, \end{aligned}$$

applying the case $n = 1$ of Theorem 1.1 to the restriction of f to ℓ when passing to the last line. The proof is complete. \square

A very similar argument gives the following result for the numbers $\beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(Q)$:

Lemma 2.6. *Let $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an L -Lipschitz function, and assume that Theorem 1.1 holds in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Then, for any $C \geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} \beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(CQ)^2 |Q| \lesssim_C L |Q_0|, \quad Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Proof. This time, deduce from (2.2) that

$$\eta_{n-1}(\mathcal{A}_{n-1}(CQ)) \sim_C \text{diam}(Q), \quad Q \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Then, fix $Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}$, and estimate as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} \beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(CQ)^2 |Q| &= \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} \left[\int_{\mathcal{A}_{n-1}(CQ)} \beta_2(CQ, V)^2 d\eta_{n-1}(V) \right] |Q| \\ &\lesssim_C \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n-1}(CQ_0)} \sum_{\substack{Q \subset Q_0 \\ CQ \cap V \neq \emptyset}} \beta_2(CQ, V)^2 \text{diam}(Q)^{n-1} d\eta_{n-1}(V) \\ &\lesssim_C L \int_{\mathcal{A}_{n-1}(CQ_0)} \text{diam}(Q)^{n-1} d\eta_{n-1}(V) \sim_C L |Q_0|, \end{aligned}$$

applying Theorem 1.1 to the restriction of f to V when passing to the last line. The proof is complete. \square

2.4. Proof of the main theorem. Assume inductively that $n \geq 2$, and Theorem 1.1 for $p = 2$ is true in dimension $n - 1$. Fix an L -Lipschitz function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. All the β -coefficients appearing below will be defined relative to this function f . Possible dependence on the ambient dimension " n " will be suppressed in the \lesssim notation. With Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6 in mind, fix $C \geq 1$ and set

$$\beta(Q) := \beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(Q)^2 + \beta_{\infty,2}^1(Q) \tag{2.7}$$

for any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. Then, the lemmas imply that

$$\sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} \beta(CQ)^2 |Q| \lesssim L |Q_0|, \quad Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}.$$

So, Theorem 1.1 will immediately follow from the estimate

$$\beta_2(cQ) \lesssim \beta(Q) \tag{2.8}$$

for any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where $c > 0$ is a small constant, depending on n . For technical reasons, the proof will literally show that $\beta_2(cQ) \lesssim \beta(CQ)$, where $0 < 1 \ll 1 \ll C$ are constants depending on n , but this clearly implies (2.8). Applying scalings and translations, it is also easy to see that it is sufficient to prove (2.8) for the cube $Q = [0, 1]^n$. This notation will be adopted for the rest of the proof (of (2.8) and Theorem 1.1).

If $\beta(Q)$ is small, then f is close to an affine map A_V on $Q \cap V$ for most planes $V \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}(Q)$. The main problem in proving (2.8) is that the maps A_V associated to different $V \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}(Q)$ may *a priori* have nothing to do with each other: to prove (2.8), one needs to construct a "global" affine map A approximating f well inside cQ . The only candidates

available, however, are the maps A_V . Lemma 2.15 below will establish a weak "compatibility" condition for a (randomly selected) $(n + 1)$ -tuple $A_{V_1}, \dots, A_{V_{n+1}}$, which will eventually allow the construction of A in Section 2.4.3.

2.4.1. *Quick proof in the plane.* The proof of (2.8) is very simple in the case $n = 2$, so I sketch it separately, before giving the general details. This discussion is not needed later, so the reader can also skip ahead until Definition 2.12.




FIGURE 1. The proof in the case $n = 2$.

Choose three lines $\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 \in \mathcal{A}_1(Q)$ at random. Then, if $c > 0$ is sufficiently small, the following things happen (simultaneously) with positive probability:

- (i) $5cQ \subset \Delta \subset Q$, where Δ is the triangle bounded by ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 , see Figure 1.
- (ii) $\beta_\infty(Q, \ell_j) \lesssim \beta_{\infty,2}^1(Q) \leq \beta(Q)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3$.

Now, let A_j , $1 \leq j \leq 3$, be an affine map minimising $\beta_\infty(Q, \ell_j)$. Further, let A be the unique affine map which coincides with f at the three corners p_1, p_2, p_3 of Δ . By (i), these corners are contained in Q and their separation is $\sim_c 1$. Further, by (ii),

$$|A(p_i) - A_j(p_i)| = |f(p_i) - A_j(p_i)| \lesssim \beta_\infty(Q, \ell_j) \lesssim \beta(Q) \quad (2.9)$$

whenever ℓ_j contains p_i . This implies that A_j coincides, up to an error of $\beta(Q)$ with A on (at least) two of the corners of Δ . It follows that

$$\|A - A_j\|_{L^\infty(\ell_j \cap Q)} \lesssim \beta(Q), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 3. \quad (2.10)$$

To wrap up the proof, write $\ell^t := \{(x, y) : y = t\}$. Without loss of generality, assume that

$$\int_0^1 \beta_\infty(Q, \ell^t)^2 dt \lesssim \beta_{\infty,2}^1(Q)^2. \quad (2.11)$$

(By definition of $\beta_{\infty,2}^1(Q)$, this is true in almost all directions, even if it happens to fail for horizontal lines). Then, consider a fixed line $\ell^t \in \mathcal{A}_1(cQ)$, and let A^t be an affine map minimising $\beta_\infty(Q, \ell^t)$. Note that ℓ^t now meets $\partial\Delta$ in two points $\{x_t, y_t\}$ with $|x_t - y_t| \sim_c 1$. For notational convenience, assume that always $x_t \in \ell_1$ and $y_t \in \ell_2$. Then,

$$|A(x_t) - A^t(x_t)| \leq |A(x_t) - A_1(x_t)| + |A_1(x_t) - f(x_t)| + |f(x_t) - A^t(x_t)| \lesssim \beta(Q) + \beta_\infty(Q, \ell^t)$$

by (ii), (2.10) and the definition of $\beta_\infty(Q, \ell^t)$. The same estimate holds for y_t , and consequently

$$\|A - A^t\|_{L^\infty(\ell^t \cap Q)} \lesssim_c \beta_\infty(Q, \ell^t) + \beta(Q).$$

It now follows from Fubini's theorem and (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_2(cQ)^2 &\lesssim_c \int_{cQ} |f - A|^2 d\mathcal{L}^2 \leq \int_{\{t:\ell^t \cap cQ \neq \emptyset\}} \int_{cQ \cap \ell^t} |f - A_t|^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 dt \\
&\quad + \int_{\{t:\ell^t \cap cQ \neq \emptyset\}} \int_{cQ \cap \ell^t} |A_t - A|^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 dt \\
&\lesssim \int_0^1 \beta_\infty(Q, \ell^t)^2 + \beta(Q)^2 dt \\
&\lesssim \beta_{\infty,2}^1(Q)^2 + \beta(Q)^2 \lesssim \beta(Q).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof for $n = 2$.

2.4.2. The general case. Where does the argument above fail $n \geq 3$? The main problem (not the only one) is (2.9): the lines ℓ_j should be viewed as $(n-1)$ -planes, so the proof above would yield (2.9) with $\beta_{\infty,2}^{n-1}(Q)$ on the right hand side. This quantity is no longer dominated by $\beta(Q)$ when $n \geq 3$. Eventually, it is possible to get $\beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(Q) \leq \beta(Q)$ on the right hand side of (2.9) (see (2.29)), but this takes some more work – and is, in effect, the main content of Lemma 2.15 below.

Definition 2.12. For $\tau > 0$, a family $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ is called τ -transversal if for all n -element subsets $\mathcal{V}_0 \subset \mathcal{V}$, the determinant of the normal vectors of the planes in \mathcal{V}_0 is at least τ .

Example 2.13. Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a simplex with $n+1$ faces and positive Lebesgue measure. Let $V_1, \dots, V_{n+1} \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ be the planes containing the faces of Δ . Then $\{V_1, \dots, V_{n+1}\}$ is τ -transversal for some $\tau > 0$. Also, if d is some natural metric on \mathcal{A}_{n-1} (in particular the metric defined below), $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough (depending on τ), and $V'_j \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ with $d(V_j, V'_j) < \epsilon$, then $\{V'_1, \dots, V'_{n+1}\}$ is $(\tau/2)$ -transversal.

The following metric d will be used on \mathcal{A}_{n-1} . Any $V \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}$ can be written as $V = \{x : x \cdot e = t\}$, where $e \in S^{n-1}$ is normal to V , and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The pair (e, t) is unique up to sign. If V_1, V_2 are then associated to (e_1, t_1) and (e_2, t_2) , respectively, write

$$d(V_1, V_2) := \min\{|(e_1, t_1) - (e_2, t_2)|, |(e_1, t_1) + (e_2, t_2)|\},$$

where $|\cdot|$ refers to Euclidean metric on $S^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Remark 2.14. I record the following corollary of transversality: if $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{A}_{n-1}(Q)$ is a τ -transversal family, and if $C \geq 1$ is sufficiently large (depending on $\tau > 0$), then the unique point in $V_1 \cap \dots \cap V_n$ lies in CQ for any distinct $V_1, \dots, V_n \in \mathcal{V}$.

Recall that $Q = [0, 1]^n$; this is not too important, but it simplifies notation by eliminating the constant need to normalise by $\text{diam}(Q)$.

Lemma 2.15. Let $\tau > 0$. Fix any $n+1$ planes $V_1, \dots, V_{n+1} \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}(\frac{1}{2}Q)$ so that $\{V_1, \dots, V_{n+1}\}$ is τ -transversal. The following holds if $C \geq 1$ is sufficiently large and $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, depending on τ . There exist planes $V'_1, \dots, V'_{n+1} \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}(Q)$ with the following properties:

- (a) $d(V_j, V'_j) \leq \epsilon$,
- (b) $\beta_2(CQ, V'_j) \lesssim_{C,\epsilon} \beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(CQ)$,

- (c) For every $1 \leq j \leq n+1$, there exists an affine quasi-minimiser A_j for $\beta_2(CQ, V'_j)$ with the following property. If $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq n+1$, and x is the unique point in $V'_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap V'_{i_n}$, then $x \in CQ$, and

$$|f(x) - A'_{i_j}(x)| \lesssim_{C,\epsilon} \beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(CQ), \quad 1 \leq j \leq d.$$

Property (c) is a "compatibility" condition for the maps A_1, \dots, A_{n+1} : it implies that whenever x is a "corner" of the simplex bounded by the planes V'_1, \dots, V'_{n+1} , then all the affine maps associated to the n planes meeting at x have nearly the same value at x .

Proof of Lemma 2.15. The proof is a combination of Chebyshev's inequality and Fubini's theorem. Consider first a fixed plane V_j , $1 \leq j \leq n+1$. Let $e_j \in S^{n-1}$ be a direction normal to V , and let $e'_j \in S^{n-1}$ with $|e_j - e'_j| \leq \epsilon$. Write

$$V'_j(t) := \rho_{e'_j}^{-1}\{te'_j\} = \{x : x \cdot e'_j = t\} \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1},$$

and let $s_j \in \mathbb{R}$ be a parameter minimising $t \mapsto d(V_j, V'_j(t))$. Since $V_j \cap \frac{1}{2}[0, 1]^n \neq \emptyset$, it is easy to see from the definition of d that

$$d(V_j, V'_j(t + s_j)) \lesssim \epsilon, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \epsilon. \quad (2.16)$$

Also, $V'_j(t) \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ for all $t \in [0, \epsilon]$, if $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small. Then, by definition of $\beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(CQ)$ and the measure η_{n-1} (recall (2.2)), and Chebyshev's inequality, one can find $e'_j \in S^{n-1}$ with $|e_j - e'_j| \leq \epsilon$ such that

$$\int_0^\epsilon \beta_2(CQ, V'_j(t + s_j))^2 dt \lesssim_{C,\epsilon} \beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(CQ)^2. \quad (2.17)$$

Now, applying Chebyshev's inequality again to (2.17), and recalling (2.16), one could easily find $V'_j := V'_j(t + s_j)$, $0 \leq t \leq \epsilon$, satisfying (a) and (b). For (c), more work is needed.

For $t \in [0, \epsilon]$, let $A_{j,t}$ be an affine (quasi-)minimiser for $\beta_2(CQ, V'_j(t + s_j))$. In other words,

$$\int_{CQ \cap V'_j(t+s_j)} |f(x) - A_{j,t}(x)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \lesssim \beta_2(CQ, V'_j(t + s_j))^2. \quad (2.18)$$

As $t \in [0, \epsilon]$ varies, the sets $CQ \cap V'_j(t + s_j)$ foliate a certain part of CQ , which I denote by B_j , that is,

$$B_j := CQ \cap \bigcup_{t \in [0, \epsilon]} V'_j(t + s_j).$$

Combining (2.17)-(2.18) with yet another application of Chebyshev's inequality, there is a subset $G_j \subset B_j$ of measure $|G_j| \geq (1 - \epsilon^{2d})|B_j|$ such that

$$|f(x) - A_{j,t}(x)| \lesssim_{C,\epsilon} \beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(CQ), \quad x \in G_j, \quad (2.19)$$

where $t \in [0, \epsilon]$ is the unique parameter such that $x \in V'_j(t + s_j)$.

Remark 2.20 (Mid-proof remark). Let $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq n+1$, and let

$$V'_{i_1}(t_1 + s_{i_1}), \dots, V'_{i_n}(t_n + s_{i_n}) \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}(Q)$$

be planes with $t_j \in [0, \epsilon]$, with the notation above. Then, if $\epsilon > 0$ is small enough (depending on τ), and $C \geq 1$ is large enough (depending on ϵ), and recalling (2.16), Example 2.13, and Remark 2.14, the intersection $V'_{i_1}(t_1 + s_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap V'_{i_n}(t_n + s_{i_n})$ contains a single point which lies in CQ .

Now, fix $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq n+1$. Observe that, assuming $\epsilon > 0$ so small $\det(e'_{i_1}, \dots, e'_{i_n}) \geq \tau/2$, the map

$$\Phi = \Phi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}: [0, \epsilon]^n \rightarrow B_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap B_{i_n},$$

defined by the relation

$$\Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in V'_{i_1}(t_1 + s_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap V'_{i_n}(t_n + s_{i_n})$$

has determinant $\gtrsim_\tau 1$. Here we used Remark 2.20 to ensure that the target of Φ actually lies in $B_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap B_{i_n}$. It follows that Φ^{-1} is well-defined on the range of Φ , and recalling the definition of G_j , one has

$$|\Phi^{-1}([B_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap B_{i_n}] \setminus [G_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap G_{i_n}])| \lesssim_\tau n\epsilon^{2n}.$$

Since $|[0, \epsilon]^n| = \epsilon^n$, this implies that for $\epsilon > 0$ small enough, a random choice $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, \epsilon]^n$ satisfies

$$V'_{i_1}(t_1 + s_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap V'_{i_n}(t_n + s_{i_n}) = \{\Phi(t_1, \dots, t_n)\} \subset G_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap G_{i_n} \quad (2.21)$$

and

$$\beta_2(CQ, V'_j(t_j + s_{i_j})) \lesssim_{C, \epsilon} \beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(CQ), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n \quad (2.22)$$

with probability $\geq 1 - \epsilon$. The assertion about (2.22) simply follows from (2.17). Unwrapping the definitions, (2.21) means that the unique point $x \in V'_{i_1}(t_1 + s_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap V'_{i_n}(t_n + s_{i_n})$ lies in each G_{i_j} , $1 \leq j \leq n$, which by (2.19) means that

$$|f(x) - A_{i_j, t_j}(x)| \lesssim_{C, \epsilon} \beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(CQ), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

as required in (c). However, a little technicality remains: the choice of (t_1, \dots, t_n) depends on the choice of $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq n+1$, because $\Phi = \Phi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}$ does. A further combinatorial argument is therefore required.

Recall again that a randomly selected n -tuple $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, \epsilon]^n$ satisfied (2.21)-(2.22) with probability $\geq 1 - \epsilon$, for a fixed choice of $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq n+1$. Denote the corresponding set of (good) n -tuples by H_{i_1, \dots, i_n} , so that

$$|H_{i_1, \dots, i_n}| \geq (1 - \epsilon)\epsilon^n. \quad (2.23)$$

Consider next the map $\Psi: [0, \epsilon]^{n+1} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^n$,

$$\Psi(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) := (\Psi_1(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}), \dots, \Psi_n(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1})),$$

where

$$\Psi_j(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) = \Phi_{1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n+1}(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_{n+1}).$$

When $1 \leq j \leq n+1$ is fixed, then (2.21)-(2.22) imply that

$$\Psi_j(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in G_1 \cap \dots \cap G_{j-1} \cap G_{j+1} \cap \dots \cap G_{n+1} \quad (2.24)$$

and

$$\beta_2(CQ, V'_i(t_i + s_i)) \lesssim_{C, \epsilon} \beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(CQ), \quad i \in \{1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n+1\} \quad (2.25)$$

for all $(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in [0, \epsilon]^n$ with

$$(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in H_{1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad t_j \in [0, \epsilon], \quad (2.26)$$

because neither condition (2.24)-(2.25) depends on the variable t_j . Denote the set of points in $[0, \epsilon]^{n+1}$ satisfying (2.26) by \tilde{H}_j , so $|\tilde{H}_j| \geq (1 - \epsilon)\epsilon^{n+1}$ by (3.18). Now, if $0 < \epsilon < (n + 1)^{-1}$ (in addition to all previous requirements), there exists

$$(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in \bigcap_{j=1}^{n+1} \tilde{H}_j.$$

This implies that

$$\beta_2(CQ, V'_i(t_j + s_j)) \lesssim_{C, \epsilon} \beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(CQ), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n + 1, \quad (2.27)$$

and

$$\Phi_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_n}) \in G_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap G_{i_n} \quad (2.28)$$

simultaneously for all $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq n + 1$. Now, given the vector (t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) as above, one can finally define

$$V'_j := V'_j(t_j + s_j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n + 1.$$

Let $A_j := A_{j, t_j}$ be the minimiser for $\beta_2(Q, V'_j)$, as in (2.19). Then (b) holds by (2.27) and (c) holds by (2.28) (repeating the argument given after (2.22)). \square

2.4.3. *Concluding the proof of (2.8).* All the pieces are now in place to conclude the proof of (2.8), and hence the proof of Theorem 1.1. The remaining arguments will largely follow those already seen in Section 2.4.1.

Proof of (2.8). Let $C \geq 1$ be a constant, which is at least as large as the constant from the preceding lemma, and let $0 < c \ll 1$ be the constant from (2.8); I will tacitly assume that c is as small as needed for the following arguments. Let Δ_0 be a (closed) simplex bounded by $n + 1$ faces (of dimension $n - 1$) with

$$10cQ \subset \Delta_0 \subset \frac{1}{2}Q.$$

Let $V_1, \dots, V_{n+1} \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}(\frac{1}{2}Q)$ be the planes containing the faces of Δ_0 . Then, apply Lemma 2.15 to locate the planes $V'_1, \dots, V'_{n+1} \in \mathcal{A}_{n-1}(Q)$ satisfying (a)-(c), and let A_j be the minimiser for $\beta_2(CQ, V'_j)$ from (c). Finally, let Δ be the simplex whose $(n - 1)$ -faces are contained on the planes V'_1, \dots, V'_{n+1} ; denote these faces by Δ_j . Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ small enough in (a), it still holds that $5cQ \subset \Delta$. The dependence on this " ϵ " will be suppressed from the \lesssim notation, as it is a constant depending only on n .

Let A be the unique affine map whose values coincide with f at the $n + 1$ corners of Δ . I claim that A is close to A_j on Δ_j . To see this, note that Δ_j is a simplex containing n corners x_1^j, \dots, x_n^j of Δ (in particular, the separation of these points is $\sim_c 1$), and

$$|A(x_i^j) - A_j(x_i^j)| = |f(x_i^j) - A_j(x_i^j)| \lesssim_C \beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(CQ), \quad 1 \leq i \leq d, \quad (2.29)$$

by the choice of A , and (c) of Lemma 2.15. It follows that

$$\|A - A_j\|_{L^\infty(\Delta_j)} \lesssim_C \beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(CQ).$$

This estimate, combined with (b) of Lemma 2.15, implies that f is well-approximated by A on the sides of Δ . It remains to argue that f is, also, well-approximated by A inside Δ – and, in particular, on cQ .

The argument is similar to the one seen in Section 2.4.1. Recall the definition of $\beta_{\infty,2}^1(CQ)$ from (2.3) and the definition of the measure η_1 from (2.1). One can now find

$e \in S^{n-1}$ such that the following holds. Let $\pi := \pi_e$ be the orthogonal projection to $V := e^\perp$, and write $\ell_v := \pi^{-1}\{v\}$ for $v \in V$. Then, in analogy with (2.11),

$$\int_{\pi(cQ)} \beta_\infty(CQ, \ell_v)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(v) \lesssim_C \beta_{\infty,2}^1(CQ)^2. \quad (2.30)$$

For technical reasons, I mention here that the vector $e \in S^1$ can be chosen arbitrarily close to any given vector $e_0 \in S^1$, allowing the implicit constants in (2.30) to depend on $|e - e_0|$.

Note that the lines $\ell_v, v \in \pi(cQ)$, meet cQ , and hence also $\partial\Delta$. In fact, $\partial\Delta \cap \ell_v = \{x_v, y_v\}$ with $|x_v - y_v| \sim_c 1$ whenever $v \in \pi(cQ)$, because $cQ \subset 5cQ \subset \Delta$. Write

$$\delta(v) := \max\{|f(x_v) - A(x_v)|, |f(y_v) - A(y_v)|\}. \quad (2.31)$$

Then, for $v \in \pi(cQ)$ fixed, let A^v be an affine map minimising for $\beta_\infty(CQ, \ell_v)$, and note that

$$|A(x_v) - A^v(x_v)| \leq |A(x_v) - f(x_v)| + |f(x_v) - A^v(x_v)| \lesssim \delta(v) + \beta_\infty(CQ, \ell_v),$$

using also that $\Delta \subset cQ$. Since the same estimate holds for y_v , and $|x_v - y_v| \sim_c 1$, one infers that

$$\|f - A\|_{L^\infty(cQ \cap \ell_v)} \leq \beta_\infty(CQ, \ell_v) + \|A - A^v\|_{L^\infty(\Delta \cap \ell_v)} \lesssim_c \delta(v) + \beta_\infty(CQ, \ell_v).$$

Consequently, by Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_2(cQ)^2 &\lesssim_c \int_{cQ} |f - A|^2 d\mathcal{L}^n = \int_{\pi(cQ)} \int_{\ell_v \cap cQ} |f - A|^2 d\mathcal{H}^1 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(v) \\ &\lesssim_c \int_{\pi(cQ)} [\beta_\infty(CQ, \ell_v)^2 + \delta(v)^2] d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(v). \end{aligned}$$

The integral of the numbers $\beta_\infty(CQ, \ell_v)^2$ is controlled by $\beta_{\infty,2}^1(CQ)^2 \leq \beta(CQ)^2$ by (2.30). To deal with the numbers $\delta(v)$, one should start by recalling their definition from (2.31). Since $x_v, y_v \in \partial\Delta$, both points are contained on sets of the form $CQ \cap V_j'$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n+1$, and hence the L^2 -integral of $v \mapsto \delta(v)$ is bounded as follows (recalling also (b) of Lemma 2.15):

$$\int_{\pi(cQ)} \delta(v)^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(v) \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \beta_2(CQ, V_j')^2 \lesssim_C \beta_{2,2}^{n-1}(CQ)^2 \leq \beta(CQ)^2.$$

To be precise, the first estimate requires that the lines $\ell_v, v \in V$, are reasonably transversal to each plane V_j' , but this can be arranged by choosing the vector $e \in S^{n-1}$ appropriately; recall the remark after (2.30). Combining the estimates above, one has $\beta_2(cQ) \lesssim_{c,C} \beta(Q)$, and the proof of (2.8) is complete. \square

3. PROOFS IN PARABOLIC SPACE

3.1. Parabolic Lipschitz functions. I start with the proper definition of parabolic Lipschitz functions, following [8, 12], although, in practice, only geometric corollaries of it will be used.

Definition 3.1. A continuous function $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *parabolic L -Lipschitz* if

$$|\psi(x, t) - \psi(y, t)| \leq L|x - y|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.2)$$

and $\mathbb{D}_n\psi \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with norm at most L , where \mathbb{D}_n stands for the half-order time derivative defined by

$$\mathbb{D}_n\psi(x, t) = \left(\frac{\tau}{\|(\xi, \tau)\|} \hat{A}(\xi, \tau) \right)^\vee(x, t), \quad (x, t), (\xi, \tau) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R},$$

and $\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ refers to the BMO space defined through parabolic balls.

Since this definition is not directly used in the paper, I refer to [8,12] for further details. The following proposition is implication (3) \implies (5b) (or alternatively (3) \implies (6b)) in [6, Theorem 2.3]:

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is parabolic L -Lipschitz. Then, there exists a constant $C_L > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{I_1} \iint_{I_2 \times I_2} \frac{|\psi(x, t) - \psi(x, s)|^2}{|s - t|^2} ds dt \leq C_L \quad (3.4)$$

for all parabolic boxes $Q = I_1 \times I_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$.

A *parabolic box* is any rectangle of the form $I_1 \times I_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where $I_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is a standard Euclidean cube of side-length $\ell(I_1)$, and $I_2 \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval of length $\ell(I_2) = |I_2| = \ell(I_1)^2$. In the sequel, the space $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ is equipped with the metric d ,

$$d((x, s), (y, t)) := |x - y| + |s - t|^{1/2}.$$

Metric concepts in the parabolic space $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, such as balls and diameter, are defined using the metric d . It is proven in [10] (or see [13] for a more easily accessible reference) that parabolic Lipschitz functions are Lipschitz continuous with respect to the metric d :

$$|\psi(p) - \psi(q)| \lesssim d(p, q), \quad p, q \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (3.5)$$

In particular, it follows that ψ is $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder in the t -variable. However, (3.5) is not a characterisation of parabolic Lipschitz functions: (3.4) implies that ψ is "often" a little better than $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder in the t -variable.

Remark 3.6. The only information used about parabolic Lipschitz functions in this paper are the conditions (3.4) and (3.5). To be completely accurate, the Dorronsoro estimate will require (3.4) plus the "horizontal" Lipschitz condition (3.2); the "full" Lipschitz condition (3.5) will only be applied during the proof of the parabolic Rademacher theorem, Theorem 3.10. The arguments below will be completely non-Fourier-analytic. Having said that, since the very definition of being parabolic Lipschitz contains the Fourier transform, literally nothing can be proven about these functions without some reference to Fourier analysis. The derivation of (3.4) from Definition 3.1 in [6] consists of verifying that the *parabolic derivative* $\mathbb{D}\psi$ of ψ is in BMO (as explained on [6, p. 5-6], this not quite the same object as $\mathbb{D}_n\psi$), and then inferring condition (3.4) from the classical work of Strichartz [18] (as detailed in the appendix of [6], more precisely [6, (8.4)-(8.5)]).

To formulate Dorronsoro's theorem for parabolic Lipschitz functions, let $Q = I_1 \times I_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$ be a parabolic box, let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous, and let

$$\beta_p(Q) := \inf_A \left(\frac{1}{\text{diam}(Q)^{n+1}} \int_Q \left(\frac{|\psi(x, t) - A(x)|^p}{\text{diam}(Q)} \right)^p dx dt \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad (3.7)$$

where the inf ranges over affine maps $A: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. These are the parabolic analogues of the numbers $\beta_p(Q)$ discussed earlier in Euclidean space, and I make no distinction in the notation; only the parabolic coefficients will appear in the remainder of this document. For technical reasons, I also define an " L -restricted" version of the coefficient $\beta_p(Q)$, denoted $\beta_p^L(Q)$, where the "inf $_A$ " is only taken over affine maps $A: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of (Euclidean) Lipschitz constant at most $L \geq 1$.

While the definition (3.7) makes sense for any parabolic box Q , I will only use it for *dyadic parabolic boxes*. More precisely, let \mathcal{D}_j^{n-1} and \mathcal{D}_j^1 , $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, stand for the standard families of dyadic cubes and intervals in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} and \mathbb{R} , respectively, of side-length 2^{-j} . Define \mathcal{D}_j , $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and \mathcal{D} to be the following families of parabolic boxes:

$$\mathcal{D}_j := \{I_1 \times I_2 : I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_j^{n-1} \text{ and } I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{2j}^1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D} := \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{D}_j.$$

Here is the parabolic analogue of Dorronsoro's theorem:

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a parabolic Lipschitz function (more precisely, a function satisfying (3.2) and (3.4)). Then, for any $C \geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} \beta_2(CQ)^2 |Q| \lesssim_C |Q_0|, \quad Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Here $CQ = CI_1 \times CI_2$ if $Q = I_1 \times I_2$ is a parabolic box. Moreover, if ψ is parabolic L -Lipschitz, then $\beta_2(CQ)$ above can be replaced by $\beta_2^L(CQ)$.

Remark 3.9. Theorem 3.8 is originally due to Hofmann [11], see in particular [11, (35)]. The proof given after [11, (35)] is remarkably short, but it is Fourier-analytic and, more importantly, based on a "localization lemma", see [11, Appendix, Lemma 2]. The proof of the localization lemma is not elementary, as it assumes the L^2 -boundedness of first order parabolic Calderón commutators of the form $\left[\sqrt{\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}}, \psi \right]$, established earlier by Hofmann in [10].

3.1.1. Rademacher's theorem for parabolic Lipschitz functions. Since a parabolic Lipschitz map $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Euclidean Lipschitz in the horizontal variables, one can apply Rademacher's theorem to the following end: for Lebesgue almost every $p = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, there exists a linear map $A_p: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{|\psi(y, t) - \psi(x, t) - A_p(y - x)|}{|x - y|} = \epsilon_p(|x - y|),$$

where $\epsilon_p(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Combined with (a suitable corollary of) Theorem 3.8, the "horizontal differentiability" above can be upgraded to "full" differentiability in the following sense:

Theorem 3.10. *Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be parabolic Lipschitz. Then, for Lebesgue almost every $p = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, there exists a linear map $A_p: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\frac{|\psi(q) - \psi(p) - A_p(y - x)|}{d(p, q)} = \epsilon_p(d(p, q)),$$

where $\epsilon_p(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.

In other words, the linear map determined by the horizontal gradient automatically approximates ψ also in the vertical direction, at least almost everywhere. This is an analogue of Rademacher's theorem for parabolic Lipschitz functions.

3.2. Some auxiliary coefficients. The proof of Theorem 3.8 follows the same idea as the proof of Theorem 1.1. Again, one introduces a pair of auxiliary (and "lower dimensional") coefficients, see below, for which, individually, Theorem 3.8 is straightforward. Then, as before, the main trick is to recombine the information from the auxiliary coefficients to say something useful about the numbers $\beta_2(Q)$.

I now introduce the auxiliary coefficients, defined for continuous functions $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and parabolic (not necessarily dyadic) boxes $Q = I_1 \times I_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. First define the *horizontal affinity coefficient*

$$A(Q) := \left(\int_{I_2} \left[\inf_{A_t} \int_{I_1} \left(\frac{|\psi(x, t) - A_{Q,t}(x)|}{\text{diam}(I_1)} \right)^2 dx \right] dt \right)^{1/2}, \quad (3.11)$$

where the inf runs over all affine maps $A_t: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The reader may note that $A(Q)$ could be re-written as an L^2 average over the Euclidean $\beta_2(I_1)$ coefficients associated to the maps $x \mapsto \psi(x, t)$. Next, define the *vertical oscillation coefficient*

$$\text{osc}(Q) := \left(\int_{I_1} \left[\inf_{c_x} \int_{I_2} \frac{|\psi(x, t) - c_x|^2}{|I_2|} dt \right] dx \right)^{1/2}, \quad (3.12)$$

where the inf runs over constants $c_x \in \mathbb{R}$. This coefficient measures, how much better than $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder the the map ψ is in the t -variable, inside the box Q .

I also define the L -restricted version $A^L(Q)$, where the " \inf_{A_t} " only runs over affine maps $A_t: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with Lipschitz constant bounded from above by $L \geq 1$. Now, the proof of Theorem 3.8 proceeds as follows: one first establishes suitable estimates for the horizontal affinity and vertical oscillation coefficients separately, using Dorrnsoro's theorem in \mathbb{R}^n and the condition (3.4), respectively. Finally, one verifies that

$$\beta_2(Q)^2 \lesssim A(Q)^2 + \text{osc}(Q)^2$$

for all parabolic boxes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Combining these pieces gives Theorem 3.8.

3.3. Estimates for the auxiliary coefficients. I start with the vertical oscillation coefficients:

Proposition 3.13. *If $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and satisfies (3.4), then, for any $C \geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{Q \subset Q_0} \text{osc}^2(CQ)|Q| \lesssim_C C_L |Q_0|, \quad Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Here C_L is the constant in (3.4).

Proof. Fix $C \geq 1$. Then, fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and consider the map $\psi_x(t) = \psi(x, t)$. Let $Q_0 = I_1^0 \times I_2^0 \in \mathcal{D}$ with

$$\ell(I_1^0) = 2^{-j_0} \quad \text{and} \quad |I_2^0| = 2^{-2j_0}.$$

For dyadic intervals $I \subset I_2^0$, let $\hat{I} = I + 2C|I| = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : t - 2C|I| \in I\}$, and write

$$c_I := \int_{\hat{I}} \psi(s) ds.$$

Estimate as follows:

$$\inf_c \int_{CI} \frac{|\psi_x(t) - c|^2}{|CI|} dt \leq \int_{CI} \frac{|\psi_x(t) - c_I|^2}{|CI|} dt \leq \int_{\hat{I}} \int_{CI} \frac{|\psi_x(t) - \psi_x(s)|^2}{|CI|} dt ds. \quad (3.14)$$

Next, note that whenever $t \in CI$ and $s \in \hat{I}$, then

$$c_1|I| \leq r := s - t \leq C_1|I|$$

for some $c_1, C_2 \sim_C 1$. Hence, after the change of variable $s \mapsto t + r$, and noting that $|\hat{I}| = |I|$, one gets

$$(3.14) \lesssim_C \int_{c_1|I|}^{C_1|I|} \int_{CI} \frac{|\psi_x(t) - \psi_x(t+r)|^2}{|I|^2} dt dr.$$

For $j \geq j_0$ fixed, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{D}_{2^j}^1 \\ I \subset I_2^0}} \inf_{c_I} \int_{CI} \frac{|\psi_x(t) - c_I|^2}{|CI|} dt &\lesssim_C \int_{c_1 2^{-2j}}^{C_1 2^{-2j}} \sum_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{D}_{2^j}^1 \\ I \subset I_2^0}} \int_{CI} \frac{|\psi_x(t) - \psi_x(t+r)|^2}{|I|^2} dt dr \\ &\lesssim_C \int_{c_1 2^{-2j}}^{C_1 2^{-2j}} \int_{CI_2^0} \frac{|\psi_x(t) - \psi_x(t+r)|^2}{r^2} dt dr. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

To complete the proof, start by writing

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{Q \subset Q_0} \text{osc}(CQ)^2 |Q| &= \sum_{\substack{I_1 \times I_2 \in \mathcal{D} \\ I_1 \times I_2 \subset I_1^0 \times I_2^0}} \left(\int_{CI_1} \left[\inf_{c_{Q,x}} \int_{CI_2} \frac{|\psi(x,t) - c_{Q,x}|^2}{|CI_2|} dt \right] dx \right) |Q| \\ &\sim_C \sum_{j \geq j_0} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_j^{n-1} \\ I_1 \subset I_1^0}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{2^j}^1 \\ I_2 \subset I_2^0}} \left(\int_{CI_1} \left[\inf_{c_{Q,x}} \int_{CI_2} \frac{|\psi(x,t) - c_{Q,x}|^2}{|CI_2|} dt \right] dx \right) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq j_0} \int_{CI_1^0} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_j^{n-1} \\ x \in CI_1}} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{2^j}^1 \\ I_2 \subset I_2^0}} \left[\inf_{c_{Q,x}} \int_{CI_2} \frac{|\psi_x(t) - c_{Q,x}|^2}{|CI_2|} dt \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

For $j \geq j_0$ and $x \in CI_1^0$ fixed, the innermost sum can next be estimated by applying (3.15). Since moreover $\text{card}\{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_j^{n-1} : x \in CI_1\} \lesssim_C 1$ for any $j \geq j_0$ fixed, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{Q \subset Q_0} \text{osc}(CQ)^2 |Q| &\lesssim_C \sum_{j \geq j_0} \int_{CI_1^0} \int_{c_1 2^{-2j}}^{C_1 2^{-2j}} \int_{CI_2^0} \frac{|\psi_x(t) - \psi_x(t+r)|^2}{r^2} dt dr dx \\ &\sim_C \int_{CI_1^0} \int_0^{C_1 |I_2^0|} \int_{CI_2^0} \frac{|\psi_x(t) - \psi_x(t+r)|^2}{r^2} dt dr dx \\ &\leq \int_{CI_1^0} \int_{CI_2^0} \int_{C_2 I_2^0} \frac{|\psi(x,t) - \psi(x,s)|^2}{|t-s|^2} ds dt dx \lesssim_C C_L |Q_0|, \end{aligned}$$

using (3.4) in the last inequality; on the last line also $C_2 \sim C + C_2$ is a constant. This completes the proof. \square

Next follows a similar estimate for the horizontal affinity coefficients:

Proposition 3.16. *If $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and satisfies (3.2) for some $L \geq 1$, then, for any $C \geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} A^L(CQ)^2 |Q| \lesssim_C L |Q_0|, \quad Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Proof. As in the previous proof, write $Q_0 =: I_1^0 \times I_2^0 \in \mathcal{D}$, and

$$\ell(I_1^0) =: 2^{-j_0} \quad \text{and} \quad |I_2^0| =: 2^{-2j_0}.$$

The proposition is an application of Dorronsoro's theorem. If $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed, then the map $\psi^t: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\psi^t(x) := \psi(x, t)$ is L -Lipschitz, uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so Theorem 1.1 implies that

$$\sum_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{D}^{n-1} \\ I \subset I_1^0}} \left(\inf_{A_I} \int_{CI} \left(\frac{|\psi^t(x) - A_I(x)|}{\text{diam}(CI)} \right)^2 dx \right) |I| \lesssim_C L |I_1^0| \quad (3.17)$$

for any $C \geq 1$. Here \inf runs over L -Lipschitz affine functions $A_I: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$: Theorem 1.1 does not explicitly mention that the affine maps can be taken L -Lipschitz, but one can see this in various ways. For example, one can recall how the map A was constructed in Section 2.4.3, and see that its Lipschitz constant is no larger than the Lipschitz constant of ψ^t . For another proof, see [1, Section 7.3] where the form of the affine approximation is explicit, see [1, p. 645], and $\|\nabla_x A_I\|_\infty \leq \|\nabla_x \psi^t\|_\infty$.

Now, to prove the proposition, first expand as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} A^L(CQ)^2 |Q| &= \sum_{\substack{I_1 \times I_2 \in \mathcal{D} \\ I_1 \times I_2 \subset I_1^0 \times I_2^0}} \left(\int_{CI_2} \left[\inf_{A_{Q,t}} \int_{CI_1} \left(\frac{|\psi^t(x) - A_{Q,t}(x)|}{\text{diam}(CI_1)} \right)^2 dx \right] dt \right) |Q| \\ &\sim_C \sum_{j \geq j_0} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{2j}^1 \\ I_2 \subset I_2^0}} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_j^{n-1} \\ I_1 \subset I_1^0}} \left(\int_{CI_2} \left[\inf_{A_{Q,t}} \int_{CI_1} \left(\frac{|\psi^t(x) - A_{Q,t}(x)|}{\text{diam}(CI_1)} \right)^2 dx \right] dt \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{j \geq j_0} \int_{CI_2^0} \sum_{\substack{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{2j}^1 \\ t \in CI_2}} \left(\sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_j^{n-1} \\ I_1 \subset I_1^0}} \left[\inf_{A_{Q,t}} \int_{CI_1} \left(\frac{|\psi^t(x) - A_{Q,t}(x)|}{\text{diam}(CI_1)} \right)^2 dx \right] \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the expression in brackets is independent of the choice of I_2 in the middle summation. Hence, writing $N_j(t) := \text{card}\{I_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{2j}^1 : t \in CI_2\}$, one notes that $N_j(t) \lesssim_C 1$ uniformly for $j \geq j_0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed, and the previous display can be continued as

follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dots &= \sum_{j \geq j_0} \int_{CI_2^0} N_j(t) \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_j^{n-1} \\ I_1 \subset I_1^0}} \left[\inf_{A_{Q,t}} \int_{CI_1} \left(\frac{|\psi^t(x) - A_{Q,t}(x)|}{\text{diam}(CI_1)} \right)^2 dx \right] dt \\
&\lesssim_C \sum_{j \geq j_0} \int_{CI_2^0} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_j^{n-1} \\ I_1 \subset I_1^0}} \left[\inf_{A_{Q,t}} \int_{CI_1} \left(\frac{|\psi^t(x) - A_{Q,t}(x)|}{\text{diam}(CI_1)} \right)^2 dx \right] dt \\
&= \int_{CI_2^0} \sum_{\substack{I_1 \in \mathcal{D}_j^{n-1} \\ I_1 \subset I_1^0}} \left[\inf_{A_{Q,t}} \int_{CI_1} \left(\frac{|\psi^t(x) - A_{Q,t}(x)|}{\text{diam}(CI_1)} \right)^2 dx \right] dt \stackrel{(3.17)}{\lesssim_C} L \int_{CI_2^0} |I_1^0| dt \sim_C L|Q_0|.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

3.4. Proof of the Dorrnsoro estimate. This section contains a proof of the inequality

$$\beta(Q)^2 \lesssim A(Q)^2 + \text{osc}(Q)^2 \quad (3.18)$$

for any parabolic box $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and the conclusion of the proof of Theorem 3.8. The "parabolicity" of the rectangle Q plays no role in (3.18), so I formulate the following proposition:

Proposition 3.19. *Let $I_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ be a cube, and let $I_2 \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. Assume that $f: Q := I_1 \times I_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded continuous function. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ fixed, write*

$$f^t(x) = f(x, y) \quad \text{and} \quad f_x(t) = f(x, y).$$

Define

$$\beta := \int_{I_2} \inf_{A_t} \int_{I_1} |f^t(x) - A_t(x)|^2 dx dt, \quad (3.20)$$

and

$$\beta := \int_{I_1} \inf_{c_x} \int_{I_2} |f_x(t) - c_x|^2 dt dx,$$

where A_t runs over affine maps $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and c_x runs over \mathbb{R} . Then, there exists an affine map $A: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_Q |f(x, t) - A(x)|^2 dx dt \lesssim \beta + \beta.$$

If moreover the maps A_t are L -Lipschitz, then also A is L -Lipschitz.

Proof. For each $t \in I_2$, select an affine map $A_t(x) = a_t \cdot x + b_t$ with $a_t \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ and $b_t \in \mathbb{R}$ minimising

$$\int_{I_1} |f^t(x) - A_t(x)|^2 dx.$$

The minimiser exists as an L^2 -projection, and the maps $t \mapsto a_t$ and $t \mapsto b_t$ are continuous and bounded: to see this, note that $t \mapsto f^t$ is a continuous map from I_2 to $L^2(I_1)$, and the L^2 -projection is further a continuous map from $L^2(I_1)$ to the finite-dimensional subspace of affine functions, where $|a_t| + |b_t| \sim_{I_1} \|A_t\|_2$. These claims continue to hold if A_t is

restricted to being L -Lipschitz (noting that the property of being L -Lipschitz defines a convex set of affine maps, so the projection is well-defined). Further,

$$\int_{I_2} \int_{I_1} |f^t(x) - A_t(x)|^2 dx dt = \beta.$$

Similarly, choose constants $c_x \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in I_1$, such that

$$\int_{I_1} \int_{I_2} |f_x(t) - c_x|^2 dt dx = \beta.$$

Now, there is only one reasonable way to define the affine map $A: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, namely

$$A(x) := \int_{I_2} A_t(x) dt.$$

This formula indeed produces an affine map: in fact $A(x) = a \cdot x + b$, where

$$a = \int_{I_2} a_t dt \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \int_{I_2} b_t dt.$$

Note that if every A_t is L -Lipschitz, then $|a_t| \leq L$, hence also $|a| \leq L$, and consequently also A is L -Lipschitz. Recall that the mean is the best L^2 approximation:

$$\mathbb{E}[|X - \mathbb{E}[X]|^2] = \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[|X - c|^2].$$

Applied to the random variable $X: t \mapsto A_t(x)$ for a fixed $x \in I_1$, this gives

$$\int_{I_2} |A_t(x) - A(x)|^2 dy = \int_{I_2} \left| A_t(x) - \int_{I_2} A_t(x) dt \right|^2 dt \leq \int_{I_2} |A_t(x) - c_x|^2 dt.$$

Using this and (3.20), one first finds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q |f(x, t) - A(x)|^2 dx dt &\lesssim \int_{I_2} \int_{I_1} |f^t(x) - A_t(x)|^2 dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_{I_1} \int_{I_2} |A_t(x) - A(x)|^2 dt dx \\ &\leq \beta + \int_{I_1} \int_{I_2} |A_t(x) - c_x|^2 dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right also has the correct bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_1} \int_{I_2} |A_t(x) - c_x|^2 dt dx &\lesssim \int_{I_1} \int_{I_2} |f^t(x) - A_t(x)|^2 dt dx \\ &\quad + \int_{I_2} \int_{I_1} |f_x(t) - c_x|^2 dt dx = \beta + \beta, \end{aligned}$$

recalling the choices of A_t and c_x . The proof is complete. \square

Corollary 3.21. *Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous. Then,*

$$\beta_2(Q)^2 \lesssim A(Q)^2 + \text{osc}(Q)^2 \tag{3.22}$$

for any parabolic box $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The same inequality holds if $A(Q)$ and $\beta_2(Q)$ are replaced by $A^L(Q)$ and $\beta_2^L(Q)$.

Proof. Write $Q = I_1 \times I_2$, and note that $\ell(I_1)^2 \sim |I_2| \sim \text{diam}(Q)^2$. This means that the normalisation in each of the three coefficients (3.7) and (3.11)-(3.12) is roughly the same and can be factored out. After this observation, (3.22) is a direct corollary of Proposition 3.19 applied to $f = \psi$ and $Q = I_1 \times I_2$. The proof of the inequality with the coefficients $A^L(Q)$ and $\beta_2^L(Q)$ is the same, taking into account the last part of Proposition 3.19. \square

A combination of the previous arguments now yields Theorem 3.8

Proof of Theorem 3.8. For $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ parabolic Lipschitz, and for $Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}$, estimate as follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} \beta_2(CQ)^2 |Q| \lesssim \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} A(CQ)^2 |Q| + \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} \text{osc}(CQ)^2 |Q| \lesssim_C |Q_0|,$$

using (3.22) in the first inequality, and Propositions 3.13 and 3.16 in the second. If ψ is parabolic L -Lipschitz, the same argument works for the coefficients $\beta_2^L(Q)$ and $A^L(Q)$. The proof of Theorem 3.8 is complete. \square

3.5. Rademacher's theorem. Define the natural L^∞ variant of the coefficients $\beta_p(Q)$ as follows: if $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous, set

$$\beta_\infty(Q) := \inf_A \sup_{(x,t) \in Q} \frac{|\psi(x,t) - A(x)|}{\text{diam}(Q)},$$

where A again ranges over affine maps $A: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. As before, define $\beta_\infty^L(Q)$, where the affine maps are restricted to being L -Lipschitz. In this section, I recall that parabolic Lipschitz functions are Lipschitz-continuous in the metric d (as noted in (3.5)). Consequently, if ψ is parabolic Lipschitz, one has

$$\beta_\infty^L(Q) \lesssim 1, \quad Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n, L \geq 1. \quad (3.23)$$

Lemma 3.24. *Let $L \geq 1$, and let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be L -Lipschitz in the metric d . Then,*

$$\beta_\infty^L(Q) \lesssim_L \beta_2^L(2Q)^{2/(n+3)}$$

for all parabolic boxes $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. This argument is [5, (5.4)] translated to the parabolic setting. Let $A: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an affine L -Lipschitz function almost minimising $\beta := \beta_2^L(2Q)$:

$$\int_{2Q} \left(\frac{|\psi(y,s) - A(y)|}{\text{diam}(2Q)} \right)^2 dy ds \leq 2\beta^2.$$

By (3.23) the claim of the lemma is clear if $\beta \sim_L 1$, so one may assume that β is small. Now, consider a point $p = (x,t) \in Q$, and assume to reach a contradiction that

$$|\psi(x,t) - A(x)| \geq 2C\beta^{2/(n+3)} \text{diam}(Q)$$

for some large constant $C \geq 1$. Since both ψ and A are L -Lipschitz, one infers that there exists a d -ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\text{diam}(B) \sim_L \beta^{2/(n+3)} \text{diam}(Q)$ such that

$$|\psi(y,s) - A(y)| \geq C\beta^{2/(n+3)} \text{diam}(Q), \quad (y,s) \in B.$$

Assuming that β is sufficiently small, and recalling that $p \in Q$, one has $B \subset 2Q$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta^{2(n+1)/(n+3)} \text{diam}(Q)^{n+1} \sim_L \mathcal{L}^n(B) &\lesssim \frac{1}{C^2 \beta_2^{4/(n+3)}} \int_B \left(\frac{|\psi(y, s) - A(y)|}{\text{diam}(Q)} \right)^2 dy ds \\
&\lesssim \frac{\text{diam}(Q)^{n+1}}{C^2 \beta_2^{4/(n+3)}} \int_{2Q} \left(\frac{|\psi(y, s) - A(y)|}{\text{diam}(2Q)} \right)^2 dy ds \\
&\lesssim \frac{\beta^2 \text{diam}(Q)^{n+1}}{C^2 \beta_2^{4/(n+3)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging terms leads to $1 \lesssim_L 1/C^2$, which gives the desired contradiction for $C \geq 1$ large enough. \square

Corollary 3.25. *If $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is parabolic Lipschitz, then, for any $C \geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} \beta_\infty(CQ)^{n+3} |Q| \lesssim_C |Q_0|, \quad Q_0 \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Proof. Let $L \geq 1$ be a constant such that ψ is parabolic L -Lipschitz. Then, combining Theorem 3.8 and Proposition 3.24 gives

$$\sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} \beta_\infty^L(CQ)^{n+3} |Q| \lesssim_L \sum_{\substack{Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ Q \subset Q_0}} \beta_2^L(2CQ)^2 |Q| \lesssim_C |Q_0|, \quad Q_0 \in \mathcal{D},$$

and the claim now follows from $\beta_\infty(CQ) \leq \beta_\infty^L(CQ)$. \square

Proposition 3.25 implies that if $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is parabolic Lipschitz, then

$$\sum_{\substack{p \in Q \in \mathcal{D} \\ \text{diam}(Q) \leq 1}} \beta_\infty(2Q)^{n+3} < \infty$$

for Lebesgue almost every $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$. For these $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, one has $\beta_\infty(2Q) \rightarrow 0$ as $Q \rightarrow p$. Theorem 3.10 is an easy consequence of this fact, combined with Rademacher's theorem for Euclidean Lipschitz functions. Recall the statement of Theorem 3.10:

Theorem 3.26. *Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be parabolic Lipschitz. Then, for Lebesgue almost every $p = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$, there exists a linear map $A_p: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\frac{|\psi(q) - \psi(p) - A_p(y - x)|}{d(p, q)} = \epsilon_p(d(p, q)), \quad (3.27)$$

where $\epsilon_p(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. By the Euclidean Rademacher theorem (and Fubini's theorem), for Lebesgue almost every $p = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a linear map $A_p: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\frac{|\psi(y, t) - \psi(p) - A_p(y - x)|}{|x - y|} = \tilde{\epsilon}_p(|y - x|), \quad (3.28)$$

where $\tilde{\epsilon}_p(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. It remains to show that (3.27) holds with the linear map A_p almost everywhere. According to Corollary 3.25, one has

$$\lim_{Q \rightarrow p} \beta_\infty(2Q) = 0 \quad (3.29)$$

for Lebesgue almost every $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Fix a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that (3.28)-(3.29) hold: the claim is that also (3.27) holds.

One first needs to relate the best approximating affine maps from the definition of $\beta_\infty(2Q)$ to the fixed map A_p , see (3.31) below for where this is heading. For $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ fixed with $p \in Q$, let $A^Q = A_Q + b: \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an affine map almost minimising $\beta_\infty(2Q)$, where A_Q is linear, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$\sup_{(y,s) \in 2Q} \frac{|\psi(y,s) - A^Q(y)|}{\text{diam}(2Q)} \leq 2\beta_\infty(2Q).$$

Since also $p \in Q \subset 2Q$, one has

$$|\psi(y,t) - \psi(p) - A_Q(y-x)| \lesssim \beta_\infty(2Q) \text{diam}(Q), \quad (y,t) \in 2Q. \quad (3.30)$$

Combining this with (3.28),

$$|A_Q(y-x) - A_p(y-x)| \lesssim \delta(Q) \text{diam}(Q), \quad y \in 2I_1, \quad (3.31)$$

with $\delta(Q) := \beta_\infty(2Q) + \tilde{\epsilon}(\text{diam}(2Q))$, and where $2I_1$ stands for the base of the cube $2Q = 2I_1 \times 2I_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Now, to complete the proof of (3.27), note that for any $q = (y,s) \neq p$, there exists a cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ with

$$p \in Q, \quad q \in 2Q, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam}(Q) \sim d(p,q). \quad (3.32)$$

Define

$$\epsilon_p(r) := \sup\{\delta(Q) : p \in Q \in \mathcal{D} \text{ and } \text{diam}(Q) \sim r\},$$

where the implicit constant is the same as in (3.32). Since $\delta(Q) \rightarrow 0$ as $Q \rightarrow p$, also $\epsilon_p(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$. Finally, for $q = (y,s) \neq p$, fix $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ as in (3.32), and estimate as follows, using (3.30)-(3.31):

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(q) - \psi(p) - A_p(y-x)| &\leq |\psi(q) - \psi(p) - A_Q(y-x)| + |A_Q(y-x) - A_p(y-x)| \\ &\lesssim [\beta_\infty(2Q) + \delta(Q)]d(p,q) \lesssim \epsilon_p(d(p,q))d(p,q). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (3.27). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Azzam. Bi-Lipschitz parts of quasisymmetric mappings. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 32(2):589–648, 2016.
- [2] Jonas Azzam and Raanan Schul. An analyst’s traveling salesman theorem for sets of dimension larger than one. *Math. Ann.*, 370(3-4):1389–1476, 2018.
- [3] Christopher J. Bishop and Yuval Peres. *Fractals in probability and analysis*, volume 162 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2017.
- [4] Michael Christ. *Lectures on singular integral operators*, volume 77 of *CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics*. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990.
- [5] G. David and S. Semmes. Singular integrals and rectifiable sets in \mathbf{R}^n : Au-delà des graphes lipschitziens. *Astérisque*, (193):152, 1991.
- [6] M. Dindoš, L. Dyer, and S. Hwang. Parabolic L^p Dirichlet Boundary Value Problem and VMO-type time-varying domains. *ArXiv e-prints*, May 2018.
- [7] José R. Dorronsoro. A characterization of potential spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 95(1):21–31, 1985.
- [8] E. B. Fabes and N. M. Rivière. Symbolic calculus of kernels with mixed homogeneity. In *Singular Integrals (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Chicago, Ill., 1966)*, pages 106–127. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1967.
- [9] John B. Garnett and Donald E. Marshall. *Harmonic measure*, volume 2 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008. Reprint of the 2005 original.

- [10] Steve Hofmann. A characterization of commutators of parabolic singular integrals. In *Fourier analysis and partial differential equations (Miraflores de la Sierra, 1992)*, Stud. Adv. Math., pages 195–210. CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
- [11] Steve Hofmann. Parabolic singular integrals of Calderón-type, rough operators, and caloric layer potentials. *Duke Math. J.*, 90(2):209–259, 1997.
- [12] Steve Hofmann and John L. Lewis. L^2 solvability and representation by caloric layer potentials in time-varying domains. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 144(2):349–420, 1996.
- [13] Steve Hofmann, Xinwei Li, and Dachun Yang. A generalized characterization of commutators of parabolic singular integrals. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 42(4):463–477, 1999.
- [14] Tuomas Hytönen and Assaf Naor. Quantitative affine approximation for umd targets. *to appear in J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 2019.
- [15] Peter W. Jones. Square functions, Cauchy integrals, analytic capacity, and harmonic measure. In *Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations (El Escorial, 1987)*, volume 1384 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 24–68. Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [16] Peter W. Jones. Rectifiable sets and the traveling salesman problem. *Invent. Math.*, 102(1):1–15, 1990.
- [17] Kate Okikiolu. Characterization of subsets of rectifiable curves in \mathbf{R}^n . *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 46(2):336–348, 1992.
- [18] Robert S. Strichartz. Bounded mean oscillation and Sobolev spaces. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 29(4):539–558, 1980.
- [19] Xavier Tolsa. Uniform rectifiability, Calderón-Zygmund operators with odd kernel, and quasiorthogonality. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 98(2):393–426, 2009.

UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
E-mail address: tuomas.orponen@helsinki.fi