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#### Abstract

We use the mean exit time to quantify macroscopic dynamical behaviors of stochastic dynamical systems driven by tempered Lévy fluctuations, which are solutions of nonlocal elliptic equations. Firstly, we construct a new numerical scheme to compute and solve the mean exit time associated with the one dimensional stochastic system. Secondly, we extend the analytical and numerical results to two dimensional case: horizontal-vertical and isotropic case. Finally, we verify the effectiveness of the presented schemes with numerical experiments in several examples.
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## 1 Introduction

Because of the boundedness of the physical space, the extremely heavy tails of these models are not realistic for most real-world applications. This has led researchers to use models that are similar to stable distributions in some central region, but with lighter tails. Tempered stable distributions are a class of models that capture this type of behavior, which describe the trapped dynamics, widely appearing in nature [1,2].

The mean exit time (MET) is an important tool to quantify macroscopic dynamical behaviors of a stochastic system, as it describes the expected time of a particle initially inside a bounded domain until the particle first exits the domain. Deng et al. studied the mean exit time for the anomalous processes having the tempered Lévy stable waiting times in the theory [3,4. Motivated the previous work, in this letter, we construct new numerical schemes to compute and solve the mean exit time associated with these one and two dimensional stochastic systems. Furthermore, we verify the effectiveness of the presented schemes with numerical experiments in several examples.

[^0]
## 2 MET for one-dimensional case

Consider the following one dimensional stochastic dynamical system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=f\left(X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\mathrm{d} L_{t} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is a drift term (vector field), and $L_{t}$ is a tempered stable Lévy process with triplet $(0, d, \kappa \nu)$. i.e., zero linear coefficient, diffusion coefficient $d \geq 0$, Lévy measure $\kappa \nu(d y)$ and $\kappa$ is a nonnegative parameter. The jump measure $\nu$ for one dimensional tempered Lévy process is obtained by multiplying the $\alpha$-stable Lévy measure $\nu_{\alpha}(d y)$ by an exponential decaying function, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(d y)=\nu_{\alpha}(d y)\left(1_{\{y>0\}} e^{-\lambda_{1} y}+1_{\{y<0\}} e^{\lambda_{2} y}\right)=\left[\frac{C_{\alpha, \lambda_{1}}}{e^{\lambda_{1} y} y^{1+\alpha}} 1_{\{y>0\}}+\frac{C_{\alpha, \lambda_{2}}}{e^{-\lambda_{2} y}(-y)^{1+\alpha}} 1_{\{y<0\}}\right] d y \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{\alpha, \lambda_{i}}(i=1,2)$ is a positive constant, $\alpha \in(0,1) \bigcup(1,2)$ is called the stable index, and $\lambda_{i}$ is the positive tempering parameter. Here we consider 'symmetric' tempered Lévy process, i.e., $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda$, then $C_{\alpha, \lambda_{i}}=C_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2|\Gamma(-\alpha)|}$ (see [4]).

The mean exit time for the solution orbit $X_{t}$ in Eq. (2.1) starting at $x$ from a bounded domain $D$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{x}(\omega):=\inf \left\{t \geq 0: X_{t}(\omega, x) \notin D, X_{0}=x\right\}, \quad u(x):=\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{x}(\omega)\right] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies the following integro-differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L} u=-1, x \in D, \quad u(x)=0, \quad x \in D^{c} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L} u=f(x) u_{x}+\frac{d}{2} u_{x x}+\varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}}\left[u(x+y)-u(x)+1_{\{|y|<1\}}(y) y u_{x}\right] \nu(\mathrm{d} y) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we will construct a new numerical scheme to compute the MET for one dimensional stochastic dynamical system with a scalar tempered Lévy fluctuation.

### 2.1 Numerical schemes

Introduce the following function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{s}^{\infty} x^{-\varrho} e^{-x} \mathrm{~d} x=s^{-\frac{\varrho}{2}} e^{-\frac{s}{2}} W_{-\frac{\varrho}{2}, \frac{1-\varrho}{2}}(s), \quad \text { for } \quad s>0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ is the Whittaker W function.
Assume the spatial domain $D=(-1,1)$, in the sense of the principal value, the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}} 1_{\{|y|<1\}}(y) y u_{x} \nu(\mathrm{~d} y)$ vanishes, then the integral term of equation (2.5) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}}[u(x+y)-u(x)] \nu(\mathrm{d} y) & =C_{\alpha} \int_{-\infty}^{-1-x} \frac{u(x+y)-u(x)}{e^{\lambda|y|}|y|^{1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d} y+C_{\alpha} \int_{-1-x}^{1-x} \frac{u(x+y)-u(x)}{e^{\lambda|y|}|y|^{1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d} y \\
& +C_{\alpha} \int_{1-x}^{\infty} \frac{u(x+y)-u(x)}{e^{\lambda|y|}|y|^{1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d} y \\
& =-C_{\alpha} u(x)\left[W_{1}(x)+W_{2}(x)\right]+C_{\alpha} \int_{-1-x}^{1-x} \frac{u(x+y)-u(x)}{e^{\lambda|y|}|y|^{1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d} y \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{1}(x)=\lambda^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}(1+x)^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda(1+x)}{2}} W_{-\frac{1+\alpha}{2},-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\lambda(1+x)), \\
& W_{2}(x)=\lambda^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}}(1-x)^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda(1-x)}{2}} W_{-\frac{1+\alpha}{2},-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\lambda(1-x)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the singular integral term of equation (2.7), we take $\delta=\min \{1-x, 1+x\}$, using a modified trapezoidal rule for the singular term, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-1-x}^{1-x} \frac{u(x+y)-u(x)}{\left.e^{\lambda|y|}|y|\right|^{1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d} y= & \mathbb{P} \cdot \mathbb{V} \cdot \int_{-1-x}^{1-x} \frac{u(x+y)-u(x)-1_{\{|y|<\delta\}} y u^{\prime}(x)}{e^{\lambda|y|}|y|^{2}}|y|^{1-\alpha} \mathrm{d} y \\
= & \int_{0}^{1-x} g(y) y^{1-\alpha} \mathrm{d} y+\int_{0}^{1+x} \tilde{g}(y) y^{1-\alpha} \mathrm{d} y \\
= & h \sum_{j=1}^{J_{1}} G\left(y_{j}\right)-\zeta(\alpha-1) g(0) h^{2-\alpha}-\zeta(\alpha-2) g^{\prime}(0) h^{3-\alpha}+O\left(h^{2}\right) \\
& +h \sum_{j=1}^{J_{2}} \tilde{G}\left(y_{j}\right)-\zeta(\alpha-1) \tilde{g}(0) h^{2-\alpha}-\zeta(\alpha-2) \tilde{g}^{\prime}(0) h^{3-\alpha}+O\left(h^{2}\right), \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g(y)=\frac{u(x+y)-u(x)-1_{\{|y|<\delta\}} y u^{\prime}(x)}{e^{\lambda|y|}|y|^{2}}, \tilde{g}(y)=g(-y), G(y)=g(y)|y|^{1-\alpha}, \tilde{G}(y)=G(-y), J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ are the index corresponding to $1-x$ and $1+x$, respectively. Moreover, $h \cdot J_{1}=1-x$ and $h \cdot J_{2}=1+x$. The summation symbol $\sum^{\prime}$ means the term of upper index is multiplied by $\frac{1}{2}, \zeta$ is the Riemann zeta function, $g(0)=\tilde{g}(0)=\frac{u^{\prime \prime}(x)}{2}, g^{\prime}(0)=\frac{u^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}{6}-\lambda g(0), \tilde{g}^{\prime}(0)=-\frac{u^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)}{6}+\lambda g(0)$.

Let us divide the interval $[-2,2]$ into $4 J$ subintervals and define $x_{j}=j h$ for $-2 J \leq j \leq 2 J$ integer, where $h=\frac{1}{J}$. Using central difference numerical scheme for the first and two derivatives and modifying the "punched-hole" trapezoidal rule in the nonlocal term, we get the discretization scheme of (2.4), i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{h} \frac{U_{j+1}-2 U_{j}+U_{j-1}}{h^{2}}-f\left(x_{j}\right)\left(\frac{U_{j+1}-U_{j-1}}{2 h}\right)-\kappa C_{\alpha}\left[W_{1}\left(x_{j}\right)+W_{2}\left(x_{j}\right)\right] U_{j} \\
& +\kappa C_{\alpha} h \sum_{k=-J-j, k \neq 0}^{\prime \prime J-j} \frac{U_{j+k}-U_{j}}{e^{\lambda\left|x_{k}\right|}\left|x_{k}\right|^{1+\alpha}}=-1 \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sum^{\prime \prime}$ means that the quantities corresponding to the two end summation indices are multiplied by $1 / 2$ and $C_{h}=\frac{d}{2}-\varepsilon C_{\alpha} \zeta(\alpha-1) h^{2-\alpha}$.

We can rewrite the summation terms of Eq. (2.9) as multiplication form of matrix-vector $R \mathbf{U}$, where $R$ is a $(2 J-1) \times(2 J-1)$ matrix. Moreover, the matrix $R$ can be decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=T_{R}+D_{R} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{R}$ is a Toeplitz matrix, i.e.,



Figure 1: (a) Comparison between numerical solution and exact solution $u(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)_{+}$for $\alpha=0.5, \lambda=0.01 ;$ (b)the same as (a) except $\alpha=1.5$; (c) the error between numerical solution and exact solution for $\alpha=0.5$. (d) the same as (c) except $\alpha=1.5$.


Figure 2: The solutions of mean exit time $u(x)$ of Eq. (2.4) for different $\lambda$. (a) $\alpha=0.5 ;(\mathrm{b}) \alpha=1.5$.
and $D_{R}$ is a tridiagonal one, i.e.,

$$
D_{R}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{1-J} & 0 & & & \\
0 & a_{2-J} & 0 & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & 0 & a_{J-2} & 0 \\
& & & 0 & a_{J-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with

$$
\widetilde{C}=\kappa C_{\alpha} h, a_{l}=-\varepsilon C_{\alpha} h \sum_{k=-J-l, k \neq 0}^{\prime \prime J-l} \frac{1}{e^{\lambda\left|x_{k}\right|}\left|x_{k}\right|^{1+\alpha}}, l=1-J, 2-J \ldots, J-1
$$

### 2.2 Numerical experiments

### 2.2.1 Verification

Taking $u(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)_{+}$(i.e., $u(x)=1-x^{2}$ for $x \in(-1,1)$, otherwise, $\left.u(x)=0\right)$ and $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=$ $\lambda, f=d=0, \varepsilon=1$ into the right-hand side (RHS) of Eq. (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R H S= & C_{\alpha} \int_{-1-x}^{1-x} \frac{-2 x y-y^{2}}{e^{\lambda|y|}|y|^{1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d} y-C_{\alpha} u(x)\left[\int_{1-x}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{e^{\lambda y} y^{1+\alpha}}+\int_{1+x}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{e^{\lambda y} y^{1+\alpha}}\right] \\
= & 2 C_{\alpha} x \lambda^{\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}\left[(1-x)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda(1-x)}{2}} W_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-\alpha}{2}}(\lambda(1-x))-(1+x)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda(1+x)}{2}} W_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}, \frac{1-\alpha}{2}}(\lambda(1+x))\right] \\
& -C_{\alpha} \Gamma(2-\alpha) \lambda^{\alpha-2}[P(2-\alpha, \lambda(1-x))+P(2-\alpha, \lambda(1+x))]-C_{\alpha}\left(1-x^{2}\right)\left[W_{1}(x)+W_{2}(x)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P(a, x)=\frac{\int_{0}^{x} e^{-y} y^{a-1} \mathrm{~d} y}{\Gamma(a)}(a \geq 0)$ is the incomplete Gamma function, and $Q(a, x)=1-P(a, x)$ is the 'upper' incomplete Gamma function.

Take the exact solution $u(x)=\left(1-x^{2}\right)_{+}$of constructed equation to verify our numerical method and compute the convergence orders. Fig. 1 shows the errors between the numerical and exact
solutions with $\lambda=0.01, f=d=0, \varepsilon=1$ and different $\alpha$. Fig. [1(a) and (b) show our numerical solution almost agree with the exact solutions for different $\alpha(\alpha=0.5, \alpha=1.5)$. The numerical convergence order is equal to 2 . To verify it, we plot $\log _{10}\left(\mid\right.$ error $\left.\left.\right|_{2}\right)$ against $\log _{10}(J)$ with different resolutions $J=20,40,80,160,320$ in Fig. $\mathbb{1}$ (c) and Fig. 1 (d), where $\mid$ error $\left.\right|_{2}$ represents the 2-norm errors. This above results imply that the errors almost reach our order expected from the above analysis.


Figure 3: The effect of domain $D$ and drift term $f$ on MET $u(x)$ of Eq. (2.4) for $\lambda=0.01$ and $d=0, \varepsilon=1$. (a) the domain $D=(-1,1)$ for different $\alpha=0.5,1.5$ with $f=0$; (b) the same as (a) except $D=(-5,5) ;(\mathrm{c}) \alpha=0.5, D=(-1,1)$ for different drift term $f ;(\mathrm{d})$ the same as (c) except $\alpha=1.5$.

### 2.2.2 Effect of parameters

Here we consider the effect of tempering parameter $\lambda$ for MET. Fig. 2 shows the numerical solution of MET for different $\lambda(\lambda=0,0.01,0.05,0.1)$ and $\alpha(\alpha=0.5,1.5)$ with $f=d=0, \varepsilon=1, D=$ $(-1,1)$. For $\lambda=0$, we use the method in reference [5] for comparison. For $\alpha=0.5$ (see Fig. 2(a)), the 'particle' takes more time to exit as $\lambda$ becomes larger, which agrees with our intuition, i.e., the Lévy measure becomes smaller as the tempering parameter $\lambda$ becomes larger, then the jump intensity is smaller and the 'particle' is harder to exit the domain. Fig. 2(b) shows the similar results, but the effect of tempering parameter is small for $\alpha=1.5$. It is also interesting to point out the effect of domain $D$ and drift term $f$ for MET. When the other parameters are fixed, we find that the 'particle' will take more time to exit the domain as the domain becomes larger in Fig. 3 (a) and (b). For $D=(-5,5)$, we find that the MET increases when the parameter $\alpha$ increases near the origin. However, for $D=(-1,1)$, the MET decreases when the parameter $\alpha$ increases near the origin. In Fig. 3 (c) and (d), the 'particle' is harder to exit the domain, because the drift term ' $f(x)=-x$ ' drives it toward the origin.

## 3 MET for two-dimensional case

Consider the following two dimensional stochastic dynamical system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=f\left(X_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t+\mathrm{d} L_{t} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is a vector field, and $L_{t}$ is a tempered stable Lévy process with triplet $(0, \mathbf{d}, \kappa \nu)$, $\mathbf{d}$ is a symmetric non-negative definite matrix, the jump measure $\nu$ is the following two cases: horizontalvertical case and isotropic case, i.e., $\nu(\mathrm{d} y)=\frac{C_{1}}{e^{\lambda_{1} y_{1}}\left|y_{1}\right|^{1+\alpha_{1}}} \delta\left(y_{2}\right) \mathrm{d} y_{1} \mathrm{~d} y_{2}+\frac{C_{2}}{e^{\lambda_{2} y_{2}}\left|y_{2}\right|^{1+\alpha_{2}}} \delta\left(y_{1}\right) \mathrm{d} y_{1} \mathrm{~d} y_{2}$ and $\nu(d y)=\frac{\widetilde{C}_{\alpha} d y}{e^{\lambda|y|}|y|^{\alpha+2}}$ with $C_{1}=\frac{1}{2\left|\Gamma\left(-\alpha_{1}\right)\right|}, C_{2}=\frac{1}{2\left|\Gamma\left(-\alpha_{2}\right)\right|}$ and $\widetilde{C}_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2 \pi|\Gamma(-\alpha)|}$.

The usual exponentially tempered Lévy measure $\nu$ is expressed as (see [6])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(B)=\int_{S_{2}} \Gamma(\mathrm{~d} \theta) \int_{0}^{\infty} 1_{B}(r \theta) e^{-r} r^{-1-\alpha} \mathrm{d} r, \forall B \in \mathscr{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $S_{2}=\{x:|x|=1\}$ the unit circle in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and $\Gamma$ is the finite measure on this unit circle.
The generator for (3.11) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathscr{L}} u(x)=f^{i}\left(\partial_{i} u\right)(x)+\frac{1}{2} d^{i j}\left(\partial_{i} \partial_{j} u\right)(x)+\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}}\left[u(x+y)-u(x)+1_{B_{h}(\mathbf{0})} y^{i}\left(\partial_{i} u\right)(x)\right] \nu(\mathrm{d} y) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{h}(\mathbf{0})=\{x:|x| \leq h \ll 1\}$.

### 3.1 MET for the horizontal-vertical case

When the components of the tempered Lévy process $L_{t}$ are independent, the particles (or solutions) spread in either horizontal or vertical direction (4). The finite measure $\Gamma$ in (3.12) concentrates on the points of intersection of unit circle $S_{2}$ and axes. The MET satisfies the following integrodifferential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathscr{L}} u=-1, \quad x \in D, \quad u(x)=0, \quad x \in D^{c} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1.1 Numerical methods

Here we take $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{2}=\alpha, \lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda, C_{1}=C_{2}=C_{\alpha}$ and the square domain $D=(-1,1)^{2}$. Set $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. The integral terms in (3.14) can be divided into two parts, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}}\left[u\left(x_{1}+y_{1}, x_{2}+y_{2}\right)-u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right] \nu(\mathrm{d} y) \\
= & -C_{\alpha} u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left[W_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+W_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)\right]+C_{\alpha} \int_{-1-x_{1}}^{1-x_{1}} \frac{\left[u\left(x_{1}+y_{1}, x_{2}\right)-u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]}{e^{\lambda\left|y_{1}\right|}\left|y_{1}\right|^{1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d} y_{1} \\
& -C_{\alpha} u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left[W_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)+W_{2}\left(x_{2}\right)\right]+C_{\alpha} \int_{-1-x_{2}}^{1-x_{2}} \frac{\left[u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+y_{2}\right)-u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right]}{e^{\lambda\left|y_{2}\right|}\left|y_{2}\right|^{1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d} y_{2} . \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we use the modified trapezoidal rule for the integral terms in (3.15) to get

$$
\int_{-1-x_{i}}^{1-x_{i}} \tilde{G}\left(y_{i}\right) \mathrm{d} y_{i}=h \sum_{k=-J-j, k \neq 0}^{J-j} \tilde{G}\left(y_{i_{k}}\right)-\zeta(\alpha-1) h^{2-\alpha} u_{x_{i} x_{i}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+O\left(h^{2}\right), \quad i=1,2,
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{G}\left(y_{1}\right)=\frac{u\left(x_{1}+y_{1}, x_{2}\right)-u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{e^{\lambda\left|y_{1}\right|}\left|y_{1}\right|^{1+\alpha}}, \quad \tilde{G}\left(y_{2}\right)=\frac{u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+y_{2}\right)-u\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{e^{\lambda\left|y_{2}\right|}\left|y_{2}\right|^{1+\alpha}} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1.2 Numerical experiments

Here we fix the factors $f^{i}=0, d^{i j}=0, D=(-1,1)^{2}, \varepsilon=1$. Fig. 4 displays the MET for twodimensional horizontal-vertical case with different $\lambda$ and $\alpha$. We find that the MET increases as the parameter $\lambda$ increases. However, it decays faster for $\alpha=1.5$ than $\alpha=0.5$ near the boundary.

### 3.2 MET for the isotropic case

When the particles spread uniformly in all directions, this case is called the isotropic Lévy process. Here we assume the process is radially symmetric and the domain $D=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|x|<1\right\}$, then we have $u(x)=u(r)$, where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and $r=|x|=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}$.

Set $\frac{d^{i j}}{2}=d(r) \mathbf{I}$ and $f^{i}=f(r) \frac{x_{i}}{r}, i=1,2$, where $f(\cdot)$ and $d(\cdot)$ are smooth scalar functions, then the MET satisfies the following integro-differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r) u^{\prime}(r)+d(r)\left[u^{\prime \prime}(r)+\frac{u^{\prime}(r)}{r}\right]+\kappa \widetilde{C}_{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{u(x+y)-u(x)-1_{B_{h}(\mathbf{0})} y^{i}\left(\partial_{i} u\right)(x)}{e^{\lambda|y|}|y|^{\alpha+2}} \mathrm{~d} y=-1 . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2.1 Numerical methods

For the radially symmetric case, we only consider the solution $u(x)$ on the positive $x_{1}$-axis. For simplicity, we denote $x=(r, 0)$ for $r \geq 0$. By taking $0<h \ll 1$, the singular integral term in Eq.(3.17)becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}} \frac{u(x+y)-u(x)-1_{B_{h}(\mathbf{0})} y^{i}\left(\partial_{i} u\right)(x) u(x)}{e^{\lambda|y|}|y|^{\alpha+2}} \mathrm{~d} y \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash B_{h}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{u(x+y)-u(x)}{e^{\lambda|y|}|y|^{\alpha+2}} \mathrm{~d} y+\int_{B_{h}(\mathbf{0}) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{u(x+y)-u(x)-y^{i}\left(\partial_{i} u\right)(x)}{e^{\lambda|y||y|^{\alpha+2}} \mathrm{~d} y}  \tag{3.18}\\
& =2 \int_{(0,1) \backslash(r-h, r+h)} s[u(s)-u(r)] F_{\lambda}^{1}(s, r) \mathrm{d} s+2 \int_{(r-h, r+h)} s[u(s)-u(r)] F_{\lambda}^{2}(s, r) \mathrm{d} s  \tag{3.19}\\
& \quad-2 \lambda^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} u(r) \int_{0}^{\pi} \tilde{r}^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda \tilde{r}}{2}} W_{-\frac{1+\alpha}{2},-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\lambda \tilde{r}) \mathrm{d} \theta+C_{0}\left[u^{\prime \prime}(r)+\frac{u^{\prime}(r)}{r}\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(h^{4-\alpha}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P(a, x)$ is the incomplete Gamma function, $C_{0}=\pi \lambda^{2-\alpha} \Gamma(2-\alpha) P(2-\alpha, \lambda h), \tilde{r}=\sqrt{1-r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta}-$ $r \cos \theta$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{\lambda}^{1}(s, r)=\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{-\lambda \sqrt{s^{2}+r^{2}-2 s r \cos \theta}}\left[s^{2}+r^{2}-2 s r \cos \theta\right]^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} \mathrm{~d} \theta,  \tag{3.21}\\
& F_{\lambda}^{2}(s, r)=\int_{\gamma}^{\pi} e^{-\lambda \sqrt{s^{2}+r^{2}-2 s r \cos \theta}}\left[s^{2}+r^{2}-2 s r \cos \theta\right]^{-\frac{\alpha+2}{2}} \mathrm{~d} \theta .
\end{align*}
$$

For $r \neq 0$, the integro-differential equation (3.17) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(r) u^{\prime}(r)+d(r)\left[u^{\prime \prime}(r)+\frac{u^{\prime}(r)}{r}\right]+2 \kappa \widetilde{C}_{\alpha} \int_{(0,1) \backslash(r-h, r+h)} s[u(s)-u(r)] F_{\lambda}^{1}(s, r) \mathrm{d} s \\
& +2 \kappa \widetilde{C}_{\alpha} \int_{(r-h, r+h)} s[u(s)-u(r)] F_{\lambda}^{2}(s, r) \mathrm{d} s-2 \kappa \widetilde{C}_{\alpha} \lambda^{\frac{\alpha-1}{2}} u(r) \int_{0}^{\pi} \tilde{r}^{-\frac{\alpha+1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\lambda \tilde{r}}{2}} W_{-\frac{1+\alpha}{2},-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\lambda \tilde{r}) \mathrm{d} \theta \\
& +\kappa C_{0} \widetilde{C}_{\alpha}\left[u^{\prime \prime}(r)+\frac{u^{\prime}(r)}{r}\right]+\mathcal{O}\left(h^{4-\alpha}\right)=-1, . \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

For $r=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(0) u^{\prime}(0)+\left.\left(d(0)+\kappa \widetilde{C}_{\alpha} C_{0}\right)\left[\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}\right]\right|_{x=0}+2 \pi \kappa \widetilde{C}_{\alpha} \int_{h}^{1} \frac{u(r)-u(0)}{e^{r \lambda} r^{\alpha+1}} \mathrm{~d} r-2 \pi \kappa \widetilde{C}_{\alpha} W_{1}(0) u(0) \\
& =-1 \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 4: MET for the horizontal-vertical case with different $\lambda(\lambda=0.01,0.1)$ and $\alpha(\alpha=$ $0.5,1.5)$.


Figure 5: The order of MET for the isotropic case with $\lambda=0.01$ and $\alpha$.

### 3.2.2 Numerical experiments

We use the second-order central differences for $u^{\prime}(r)$ and $u^{\prime \prime}(r)$, and take the trapezoidal rule for the nonsingular integral terms in (3.22). Assume $f(r)=0, d(r)=0$ and $D=B_{1}(0)$, as the exact solution could not be obtained, we take $U_{640}$ as the 'exact' solution, and $U_{J}$ is the numerical solution with the resolution $J=640$. Taking $\lambda=0.01, \varepsilon=1$, we compute the difference between numerical solution $U_{J}(0)$ and 'exact' solution $U_{640}(0)$ for $J=10,20,40,80,160,320$, i.e., error $=U_{J}(0)-U_{640}(0)$ at the fixed point $x=0$. From Fig. 5, we see that the rate of decay is almost $O(h)$. The Fig. 6 (b) and (d) appears the radially symmetric solution of Eq. (3.17). After rotating these two graphs along the vertical axis, we get the mean exit time $u(x, y)$ for $(x, y) \in B_{1}(0)$ in Fig. 6(a) and (c). When the parameter $\lambda$ becomes larger, the 'particle' takes more time to exit the domain for these two cases. Moreover, the tempering parameter has more influence for $\alpha=0.5$ than $\alpha=1.5$.
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